

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**THE CONCAVITY OF THE GAUSSIAN CURVATURE OF THE  
CONVEX LEVEL SETS OF MINIMAL SURFACES WITH  
RESPECT TO THE HEIGHT**

PEI-HE WANG

# THE CONCAVITY OF THE GAUSSIAN CURVATURE OF THE CONVEX LEVEL SETS OF MINIMAL SURFACES WITH RESPECT TO THE HEIGHT

PEI-HE WANG

**For the minimal graph with strictly convex level sets, we find an auxiliary function to study the Gaussian curvature of the level sets. We prove that this curvature function is a concave function with respect to the height of the minimal surface while this auxiliary function is almost sharp when the minimal surface is the catenoid.**

## 1. Introduction

Consider a function whose graph is minimal and whose level sets are strictly convex. Extending work of Longinetti [1987], we explore the relation between the Gaussian curvature of the level sets and the height.

The nature of the level sets of the solutions of elliptic partial differential equations is a subject with a long history, going back to results of Shiffman in the 1950s for minimal surfaces. The curvature of such level sets has also been studied for several decades. Some key contributions to these problems are listed in the introduction of [Chen and Shi 2011]. Here we just mention some recent developments directly relevant to our problem.

Jost, Ma, and Ou [Jost et al. 2012] and Ma, Ye, and Ye [Ma et al. 2011] proved that the Gaussian and principal curvatures of convex level sets of three-dimensional harmonic functions attain their minima on the boundary. Ma, Ou, and Zhang [2010] gave estimates of the Gaussian curvature of convex level sets of higher-dimensional harmonic functions based on the Gaussian curvature of the boundary and the norm of the gradient on the boundary. Wang and Zhang [2012] have given estimates for the Gaussian curvature of convex level sets of minimal surfaces, Poisson equations, and a class of semilinear elliptic partial differential equations studied by Caffarelli and Spruck [1982].

---

Research was supported by STPF of University (number J11LA05), NSFC (number ZR2012AM010), the Postdoctoral fund (number 201203030) of Shandong Province and the Postdoctoral Fund (number 2012M521302) of China.

*MSC2010*: 35B45.

*Keywords*: concavity, minimal surface, Gaussian curvature, level sets.

In this paper we use the support function of strictly convex level sets and the maximum principle to obtain the concavity of the Gaussian curvature of convex level sets of minimal graphs with respect to the height:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let*

$$u \in C^4(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}), \quad t_0 \leq u(x) \leq t_1$$

*be a minimal graph in  $\Omega$ , that is, one such that*

$$(1-1) \quad \operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

*Assume  $|\nabla u| \neq 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Let*

$$\Gamma_t = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = t\} \quad \text{for } t_0 < t < t_1$$

*be the level sets of  $u$  and let  $K$  be their Gaussian curvature function. For*

$$f(t) = \min \left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} K \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(x) : x \in \Gamma_t \right\},$$

*if the level sets of  $u$  are strictly convex with respect to the normal  $\nabla u$ , we have the differential inequality*

$$D^2 f(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } (t_0, t_1).$$

Under the same assumption as in [Theorem 1.1](#), Wang and Zhang [2012] proved the following statement: *for  $n \geq 2$ , the function  $(|\nabla u|^2 / (1 + |\nabla u|^2))^\theta K$  attains its minimum on the boundary, where  $\theta = -\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\theta \geq \frac{1}{2}(n - 3)$ . From this fact they got the lower bound estimates for the Gaussian curvature of the level sets.*

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $u$  satisfy*

$$(1-2) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0, \\ u = 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1, \end{cases}$$

*where  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$  are bounded smooth convex domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_0$ . Assume  $|\nabla u| \neq 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$  and the level sets of  $u$  are strictly convex with respect to normal  $\nabla u$ . Let  $K$  be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets. For any point  $x \in \Gamma_t$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , we have the following estimates.*

- *For  $n = 3$ , we have*

$$(1-3) \quad K(x)^{1/2} \geq (1 - t)(\min_{\partial\Omega_0} K)^{1/2} + t(\min_{\partial\Omega_1} K)^{1/2}.$$

• For  $n \neq 3$ , we have

$$(1-4) \quad \left[ \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} K \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(x) \geq (1-t) \min_{\partial\Omega_0} \left[ \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} K \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + t \min_{\partial\Omega_1} \left[ \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} K \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

**Remark 1.3.** The following example shows that our estimates are almost sharp in a sense. Let  $u(r, \theta)$ ,  $r > 2$ , be the  $n$ -dimensional catenoid:

$$(1-5) \quad u(r, \theta) = \int_{-r}^{-2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{2(n-1)} - 1}} ds.$$

Then

$$(1-6) \quad |\nabla u| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2(n-1)} - 1}},$$

and the Gaussian curvature of the level set at  $x$  is  $K(x) = r^{1-n}$ . Hence,

$$(1-7) \quad f(t) = \left[ \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} K \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = r^{2-n}.$$

For  $n = 2$ ,  $f(t)$  becomes a constant function, which shows that our estimate of its concavity is sharp. Now we turn to the case  $n > 2$ .

Set

$$R = \int_{-\infty}^{-2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{2(n-1)} - 1}} ds.$$

Then we have

$$(1-8) \quad \begin{aligned} -u + R &= \int_{-\infty}^{-r} \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} ds - \int_{-\infty}^{-r} \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - s^{-2(n-1)}}} \right] ds \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2-n} r^{2-n} + \mathcal{O}(r^{4-3n}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$(1-9) \quad f(t) = (-1)^n (2-n)(R-t) + \mathcal{O}(r^{4-3n}),$$

which shows the “almost sharpness” of our estimate in higher dimensions.

To prove these theorems, let  $K$  be the Gaussian curvature of the convex level sets, and let  $\varphi = \log K(x) + \rho(|\nabla u|^2)$ . For suitable choices of  $\rho$  and  $\beta$ , we shall show the elliptic differential inequality

$$(1-10) \quad L(e^{\beta\varphi}) \leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla_{\theta}\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where  $L$  is the elliptic operator associated with the equation we discussed and here we have suppressed the terms involving  $\nabla_\theta \varphi$  (see the notations below) with locally bounded coefficients. Then we apply the strong minimum principle to obtain the main results.

In [Section 2](#), we first give brief definitions on the support function of the level sets, and then we obtain the equation of the minimal graph in terms of the support function. We prove [Theorem 1.1](#) in [Section 3](#) by formal calculations. The main technique in the proof consists of rearranging the second and third derivative terms using the equation and the first derivative condition for  $\varphi$ . The key idea is Pogorelov’s method in a priori estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

## 2. Notations and preliminaries

Let  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$  be bounded smooth open convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_0$ , and let  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1$ . Let  $u : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function with  $|Du| > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and let its level sets be strictly convex with respect to the normal direction  $Du$ .

For simplicity, we will assume that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_0, \\ u &= 1 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_1, \end{aligned}$$

and we extend  $u$  to  $\Omega_1$  with the value 1. For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we set

$$\bar{\Omega}_t = \{x \in \bar{\Omega}_0 : u \geq t\};$$

Then every  $x \in \Omega$  belongs to the boundary of  $\bar{\Omega}_{u(x)}$ .

Next we define the *support function* of  $u$ , denoted by

$$H : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

as follows: for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H(\cdot, t)$  is the support function of the convex body  $\bar{\Omega}_t$ , that is,

$$H(X, t) = H_{\bar{\Omega}_t}(X) \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, 1].$$

For details, see [\[Colesanti and Salani 2003; Longinetti and Salani 2007\]](#).

The rest of this section is devoted to deriving the minimal graph by means of the support function. For this we need a reformulation of the first and second derivatives of  $u$  in terms of the support function  $h_{\Omega_t}$ , which is the restriction of  $H(\cdot, t)$  to the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ; see [\[Chiti and Longinetti 1992; Longinetti and Salani 2007\]](#). For the convenience of the reader, we report the main steps here.

Recall that  $h$  is the restriction of  $H$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$ , so  $h(\theta, t) = H(Y(\theta), t) = h_{\bar{\Omega}_t}(Y(\theta))$  where  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $Y(\theta) \in \mathbb{S}^n$  is a unit vector with coordinate  $\theta$ . Since the level sets of  $u$  are strictly convex and  $h(\theta, t)$  is well defined, the map

$$x(X, t) = x_{\bar{\Omega}_t}(X),$$

which assigns to every  $(X, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \times (0, 1)$  the unique point  $x \in \Omega$  on the level surface  $\{u = t\}$ , where the gradient of  $u$  is parallel to  $X$  (and orientation reversed).

Let

$$T_i = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \theta_i},$$

so that  $\{T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}\}$  is a tangent frame field on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and let

$$x(\theta, t) = x_{\bar{\Omega}_t}(Y(\theta));$$

we denote its inverse map by

$$v : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, t).$$

Notice that all these maps ( $h$ ,  $x$ , and  $v$ ) depend on the considered function  $u$  (like  $H$ ), even if we do not adopt any explicit notation to stress this fact.

For  $h(\theta, t) = \langle x(\theta, t), Y(\theta) \rangle$ , since  $Y$  is orthogonal to  $\partial \bar{\Omega}_t$  at  $x(\theta, t)$ , deriving the previous equation, we obtain

$$h_i = \langle x, T_i \rangle.$$

In order to simplify some computations, we can also assume that  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, Y$  is an orthonormal frame positively oriented. Hence, from the previous two equalities, we have

$$x = hY + \sum_i h_i T_i$$

and

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \theta_j} = -\delta_{ij} Y \quad \text{at } x,$$

where the summation index runs from 1 to  $n - 1$  if no extra explanation is given, and  $\delta_{ij}$  is the standard Kronecker symbol. Following [Chiti and Longinetti 1992], we obtain, at the point  $x$  under consideration,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} &= h_t Y + \sum_i h_{ti} T_i, \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta_j} &= h T_j + \sum_i h_{ij} T_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of the above Jacobian matrix is

$$(2-1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial t}{\partial x_\alpha} &= h_t^{-1} [Y]_\alpha, & \alpha = 1, \dots, n, \\ \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial x_\alpha} &= \sum_j b^{jj} [T_j - h_t^{-1} h_{tj} Y]_\alpha, & \alpha = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\cdot]_i$  denotes the  $i$ -coordinate of the vector in the bracket and

$$(2-2) \quad b_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta_i}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \theta_j} \right\rangle = h\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}$$

denotes the inverse tensor of the second fundamental form of the level surface  $\partial \bar{\Omega}_t$  at  $x(\theta, t)$ . The eigenvalues of the tensor  $b^{ij}$  are the principal curvatures  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}$  of  $\partial \bar{\Omega}_t$  at  $x(\theta, t)$ ; see [Schneider 1993].

The first equation of (2-1) can be rewritten as

$$Du = \frac{Y}{h_t},$$

where the left hand side is computed at  $x(\theta, t)$ , while the right hand side is computed at  $(\theta, t)$ . It follows that

$$|Du| = -\frac{1}{h_t}.$$

By the chain rule and (2-1), the second derivatives of  $u$  in terms of  $h$  can be computed as

$$(2-3) \quad u_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j} [-h_t^{-2} h_{ti} Y + h_t^{-1} T_i]_{\alpha} b^{ij} [T_j - h_t^{-1} h_{tj} Y]_{\beta} - h_t^{-3} h_{tt} [Y]_{\alpha} [Y]_{\beta}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$ .

In these new coordinates, the minimal graph equation,  $\operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} = 0$ , reads

$$(2-4) \quad h_{tt} = \sum_{i,j} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti} h_{tj}] b^{ij},$$

and the associated linear elliptic operator is

$$(2-5) \quad L = \sum_{i,j,p,q} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{pq} + h_{tp} h_{tq}] b^{ip} b^{jq} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} - 2 \sum_{i,j} h_{tj} b^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial t} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}.$$

Now we recall the well-known commutation formulas for the covariant derivatives of a smooth function  $u \in C^4(S^n)$ .

$$(2-6) \quad u_{ijk} - u_{ikj} = -u_k \delta_{ij} + u_j \delta_{ik},$$

$$(2-7) \quad u_{ijkl} - u_{ijlk} = u_{ik} \delta_{jl} - u_{il} \delta_{jk} + u_{kj} \delta_{il} - u_{lj} \delta_{ik}.$$

They will be used during the calculations in the next section. By the definition of  $b_{ij}$  and the above commutation formulas, we easily get the following Codazzi-type formula:

$$(2-8) \quad b_{ij,k} = b_{ik,j}.$$

### 3. Gauss curvature of the level sets of minimal graph

In this section we prove [Theorem 1.1](#). We state a technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** [[Ma et al. 2010](#)]. *Let  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_k > 0$ , and  $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Define the quadratic polynomial*

$$Q(X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) = - \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n-1} b_k X_k^2 - \lambda \left( \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n-1} X_k \right)^2 + 4\mu \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n-1} c_k X_k.$$

Then we have

$$Q(X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \leq 4\mu^2 \Gamma,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{c_k^2}{b_k} - \lambda \left( 1 + \lambda \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{1}{b_k} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{c_k}{b_k} \right)^2.$$

For a continuous function  $f(t)$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we define its *generalized second-order derivative* at any point  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$  as

$$D^2 f(t) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t+h) + f(t-h) - 2f(t)}{h^2}.$$

Let  $B$  be the quotient set  $B \equiv \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n$  and let  $Q \equiv B \times (0, 1)$ . Let  $G(\theta, t)$  be a regular function in  $Q$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(G(\theta, t)) \geq 0$  for  $(\theta, t) \in Q$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an elliptic operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} + \sum_i b^i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial t} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \sum_i c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$$

with regular coefficients  $a^{ij}, b^i, c^i$ .

**Lemma 3.2** [[Longinetti 1987](#)]. *The function  $\phi(t) = \max\{G(\theta, t) : \theta \in B\}$  satisfies the differential inequality*

$$D^2 \phi(t) \geq 0.$$

Moreover,  $\phi(t)$  is a convex function with respect to  $t$ .

The lemma is proved only in dimension  $n = 2$  in [[Longinetti 1987](#)], but it is easy to see that it is valid for the general case  $n \geq 2$ .

Since the level sets of  $u$  are strictly convex with respect to the normal  $Du$ , the matrix of second fundamental form  $(b_{ij})$  is positive definite in  $\Omega$ . Set

$$\varphi = \rho(h_1^2) - \log K(x),$$

where  $K = \det(b^{ij})$  is the Gaussian curvature of the level sets and  $\rho(t)$  is a smooth function defined on  $(0, +\infty)$ . For suitable choices of  $\rho$  and  $\beta$ , we will derive the



differential inequality

$$(3-1) \quad L(e^{\beta\varphi}) \leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla_{\theta}\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where the elliptic operator  $L$  is given in (2-5) and we have modified the terms involving  $\nabla_{\theta}\varphi$  with locally bounded coefficients. Then, by applying a maximum principle argument in Lemma 3.2, we can obtain the desired result.

In order to prove (3-1) at an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , we may assume the matrix  $(b_{ij}(x_0))$  is diagonal by rotating the coordinate system suitably. From now on, all the calculations will be done at the fixed point  $x_0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We shall prove the theorem in three steps.

*Step 1: computation  $L(\varphi)$ .* Taking the first derivative of  $\varphi$ , we get

$$(3-2) \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta_j} = 2\rho'h_t h_{tj} + \sum_{k,l} b^{kl} b_{kl,j},$$

$$(3-3) \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} = 2\rho'h_t h_{tt} + \sum_{k,l} b^{kl} b_{kl,t}.$$

Taking the derivative of (3-2) and (3-3) once more, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\theta_i \partial\theta_j} &= (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)h_{ti}h_{tj} + 2\rho'h_t h_{tji} - \sum_{k,l,r,s} b^{kr} b_{rs,i} b^{sl} b_{kl,j} + \sum_{k,l} b^{kl} b_{kl,ji}, \\ \frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\theta_i \partial t} &= (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)h_{ti}h_{tt} + 2\rho'h_t h_{titi} - \sum_{k,l,r,s} b^{kr} b_{rs,i} b^{sl} b_{kl,t} + \sum_{k,l} b^{kl} b_{kl,ti}, \\ \frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial t^2} &= (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)h_{tt}^2 + 2\rho'h_t h_{ttt} - \sum_{k,l,r,s} b^{kr} b_{rs,t} b^{sl} b_{kl,t} + \sum_{k,l} b^{kl} b_{kl,tt}. \end{aligned}$$

So we can write

$$(3-4) \quad L(\varphi) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2) \left[ \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} h_{ti}h_{tj} - 2 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} h_{tt} + h_{tt}^2 \right], \\ I_2 &= 2\rho'h_t \left[ \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} h_{tji} - 2 \sum_i h_{ti} b^{ii} h_{titi} + h_{titi} \right], \\ I_3 &= - \sum_{k,l} b^{kk} b^{ll} \left[ \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,j}, - 2 \sum_i h_{ti} b^{ii} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b_{kl,t}^2 \right] \\ I_4 &= \sum_k b^{kk} L(b_{kk}). \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this section, we will deal with the four terms above respectively. For the term  $I_1$ , by recalling our equation, that is,

$$(3-5) \quad h_{tt} = \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]b^{ij},$$

we have, by recalling that  $(b^{ij})$  is diagonal at  $x_0$ ,

$$(3-6) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2) \left[ \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]b^{ii}b^{jj}h_{ti}h_{tj} - 2 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} h_{tt} + h_{tt}^2 \right] \\ &= (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2) \left[ (1+h_t^2) \sum_i (h_{ti}b^{ii})^2 + \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} - h_{tt} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)(1+h_t^2) \sum_i (h_{ti}b^{ii})^2 + (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)(1+h_t^2)^2 \sigma_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_1 = \sum_i b^{ii}$  is the mean curvature.

Now we treat the term  $I_2$ . Differentiating (3-5) with respect to  $t$ , we have

$$(3-7) \quad h_{ttt} = 2h_t h_{tt} \sigma_1 + 2 \sum_{i,j} h_{titi} h_{tj} b^{ij} - \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]b^{ii}b^{jj}b_{ij,t}.$$

By inserting (3-7) into  $I_2$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 2\rho' h_t \left[ \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]b^{ii}b^{jj}h_{tji} - 2 \sum_i h_{ti}b^{ii}h_{titi} + h_{ttt} \right] \\ &= 2\rho' h_t \left[ \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]b^{ii}b^{jj}(h_{tji} - b_{ij,t}) + 2h_t h_{tt} \sigma_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of the second fundamental form, that is, (2-2), together with (3-5), we obtain

$$(3-8) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &= 2\rho' h_t \left[ \sum_{i,j} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]b^{ii}b^{jj}(-h_t \delta_{ij}) + 2h_t h_{tt} \sigma_1 \right] \\ &= -2\rho' h_t^2 (1+h_t^2) \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 - 2\rho' h_t^2 \sum_i (h_{ti}b^{ii})^2 + 4\rho' h_t^2 (1+h_t^2) \sigma_1^2 \\ &\quad + 4\rho' h_t^2 \sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3-6) and (3-8),

$$(3-9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 + I_2 &= 4\rho' h_t^2 \sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} + [4\rho' h_t^2 (1+h_t^2) + (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)(1+h_t^2)^2] \sigma_1^2 \\ &\quad + [(2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)(1+h_t^2) - 2\rho' h_t^2] \sum_i (h_{ti}b^{ii})^2 - 2\rho' h_t^2 (1+h_t^2) \sum_i (b^{ii})^2. \end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with the last two terms, we shall compute  $L(b_{kk})$  in advance. In this process, the index  $k$  is not summed. By differentiating (3-5) twice with respect to  $\theta_k$ , we have

$$(3-10) \quad h_{ttkk} = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \sum_{i,j} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]_{kk} b^{ij}, \\ J_2 &= 2 \sum_{ij,p,q} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}]_k (-b^{ip}b_{pq,k}b^{qj}), \\ J_3 &= \sum_{ij,p,q,r,s} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] (2b^{ir}b_{rs,k}b^{sp}b_{pq,k}b^{qj}), \\ J_4 &= \sum_{ij,p,q} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] (-b^{ip}b_{pq,kk}b^{qj}). \end{aligned}$$

For the term  $J_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \sum_{i,j} (2h_t h_{tk} \delta_{ij} + h_{tik} h_{tj} + h_{ti} h_{tjk})_k b^{ij} \\ &= 2h_{tk}^2 \sigma_1 + 2h_t h_{tkk} \sigma_1 + 2 \sum_i h_{tik} h_{ti} b^{ii} + 2 \sum_i h_{tik}^2 b^{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{tik} &= h_{kit} = b_{ki,t} - h_t \delta_{ki}, \\ h_{tik} &= h_{ikk} = b_{ik,kt} - h_{kt} \delta_{ik} = b_{kk,it} - h_{kt} \delta_{ik}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(3-11) \quad \begin{aligned} J_1 &= 2h_{tk}^2 \sigma_1 + 2h_t b_{kk,t} \sigma_1 - 2h_t^2 \sigma_1 + 2 \sum_i b_{kk,it} h_{ti} b^{ii} \\ &\quad - 2h_{tk}^2 b^{kk} + 2 \sum_l b_{kl,t}^2 b^{ll} - 4h_t b_{kk,t} b^{kk} + 2h_t^2 b^{kk}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term  $J_2$ , we have

$$(3-12) \quad \begin{aligned} J_2 &= 2 \sum_{i,j} (2h_t h_{tk} \delta_{ij} + h_{tik} h_{tj} + h_{ti} h_{tjk}) (-b^{ii} b_{ij,k} b^{jj}) \\ &= -4h_t h_{tk} \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 b_{ii,k} - 4 \sum_{i,j} h_{tik} h_{tj} b^{ii} b^{jj} b_{ij,k} \\ &= -4h_t h_{tk} \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 b_{ii,k} - 4 \sum_{i,l} h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,t} \\ &\quad + 4h_t \sum_j h_{tj} b^{kk} b^{jj} b_{kk,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have changed the lower index during the above calculations and this will happen frequently in the following procedure.

Also we have

$$(3-13) \quad J_3 = 2 \sum_{i,j,l} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,j}.$$

Applying the commutation rule  $b_{ij,kl} - b_{ij,lk} = b_{jk}\delta_{il} - b_{jl}\delta_{ik} + b_{ik}\delta_{jl} - b_{il}\delta_{jk}$ , for the term  $J_4$ , we have

$$(3-14) \quad \begin{aligned} J_4 &= - \sum_{i,j} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} b_{ij,kk} \\ &= - \sum_{i,j} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} (b_{kk,ij} + b_{ij} - b_{kk}\delta_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(3-15) \quad h_{ttkk} = h_{kktt} = b_{kk,tt} - h_{tt} = b_{kk,tt} - \sum_{i,j} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ij}.$$

By putting (3-11)–(3-15) into (3-10), recalling the definition of the operator  $L$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L(b_{kk}) &= \sum_{i,j} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ij} + 2h_{tk}^2\sigma_1 + 2h_t b_{kk,t}\sigma_1 - 2h_t^2\sigma_1 \\ &\quad - 2h_{tk}^2 b^{kk} + 2 \sum_l b_{kl,t}^2 b^{ll} - 4h_t b^{kk} b_{kk,t} + 2h_t^2 b^{kk} - 4h_t h_{tk} \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 b_{ii,k} \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_{i,l} h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,t} + 2 \sum_{i,j,l} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,j} \\ &\quad + 4h_t \sum_i h_{ti} b^{kk} b^{ii} b_{kk,i} - \sum_{i,j} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} (b_{ij} - b_{kk}\delta_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3-16) \quad \begin{aligned} I_4 &= 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l} [(1 + h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,j} - 4 \sum_{i,k,l} h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,t} \\ &\quad + 2h_t\sigma_1 \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} - 4h_t \sum_k (b^{kk})^2 b_{kk,t} - 2h_t^2\sigma_1^2 + 2 \sum_{k,l} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,t}^2 \\ &\quad + [(n-1)(1 + h_t^2) + 2h_t^2] \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 + 2\sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \\ &\quad + (n-3) \sum_i (h_{ti} b^{ii})^2. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (3-9) and (3-16) in (3-4), we obtain

(3-17)

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\varphi) = & \sum_{i,j,k,l} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{ij} + h_{ti}h_{tj}] b^{ii} b^{jj} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,j} - 2 \sum_{i,k,l} h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,t} \\
 & + \sum_{k,l} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,t}^2 + 2h_t \sigma_1 \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} - 4h_t \sum_k (b^{kk})^2 b_{kk,t} \\
 & + (2+4\rho'h_t^2)\sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} + [(n-1)(1+h_t^2) + 2h_t^2 - 2\rho'h_t^2(1+h_t^2)] \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 \\
 & + [4\rho'h_t^2(1+h_t^2) + (2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)(1+h_t^2)^2 - 2h_t^2]\sigma_1^2 \\
 & + [(2\rho' + 4\rho''h_t^2)(1+h_t^2) - 2\rho'h_t^2 + (n-3)] \sum_i (h_{ti} b^{ii})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: calculation of  $L(e^{\beta\varphi})$  and estimation of the third-order derivatives involving  $b_{kk,t}$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(e^{\beta\varphi}) = & \beta e^{\beta\varphi} \{L(\varphi) + \beta\varphi_t^2\} + \beta^2 e^{\beta\varphi} \sum_{i,j,p,q} [(1+h_t^2)\delta_{pq} + h_{tp}h_{tq}] b^{ip} b^{jq} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta_i} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta_j} \\
 & - 2\beta^2 e^{\beta\varphi} \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} b^{ij} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta_i} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To reach (3-1), we only need to prove that, for some constant  $\beta < 0$ ,

$$L(\varphi) + \beta\varphi_t^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla_{\theta}\varphi.$$

We now compute  $\beta\varphi_t^2$ .

By (3-3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-18) \quad \varphi_t^2 = & 4(\rho')^2 h_t^2 h_{tt}^2 + 4\rho' h_t h_{tt} \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} + \left( \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} \right)^2 \\
 = & 4(\rho')^2 h_t^2 (1+h_t^2)^2 \sigma_1^2 + 8(\rho')^2 h_t^2 (1+h_t^2) \sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \\
 & + 4(\rho')^2 h_t^2 \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \right)^2 + 4\rho' h_t (1+h_t^2) \sigma_1 \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} \\
 & + 4\rho' h_t \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \right) \left( \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} \right) + \left( \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Joining (3-17) with (3-18), we regroup the terms in  $L(\varphi) + \beta\varphi_t^2$  as follows:

$$L(\varphi) + \beta\varphi_t^2 = P_1 + P_2 + P_3,$$

where

$$P_1 = \sum_{k \neq l} \left( \sum_{i,j} h_{ti} h_{tj} b^{ii} b^{jj} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,j} - 2 \sum_i h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i} b_{kl,t} + b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,t}^2 \right),$$

$$P_2 = \sum_k (b^{kk} b_{kk,t})^2 + \beta \left( \sum_k b^{kk} b_{kk,t} \right)^2 + 2 \sum_k \left[ [1 + 2\beta\rho'(1 + h_t^2)] h_t \sigma_1 + 2\beta\rho' h_t \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \right) - \sum_i h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{kk} b_{kk,i} - 2h_t b^{kk} \right] \cdot (b^{kk} b_{kk,t}),$$

$$P_3 = (1 + h_t^2) \sum_{i,k,l} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ti} h_{tj} b^{ii} b^{jj} b^{kk} b_{kk,i} b^{kk} b_{kk,j} + [2 + 4\rho' h_t^2 + 8\beta(\rho')^2 h_t^2 (1 + h_t^2)] \sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} + [(n-1)(1 + h_t^2) + 2h_t^2 - 2\rho' h_t^2 (1 + h_t^2)] \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 + [4\rho' h_t^2 (1 + h_t^2) + (2\rho' + 4\rho'' h_t^2)(1 + h_t^2)^2 - 2h_t^2 + 4\beta(\rho')^2 h_t^2 (1 + h_t^2)^2] \sigma_1^2 + [(2\rho' + 4\rho'' h_t^2)(1 + h_t^2) - 2\rho' h_t^2 + (n-3)] \sum_i (h_{ti} b^{ii})^2 + 4\beta(\rho')^2 h_t^2 \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \right)^2.$$

In the rest of this step, we will deal with the term  $P_2$ . Let  $X_k = b^{kk} b_{kk,t}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ). Then  $P_2$  can be rewritten as

$$P_2(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \sum_k X_k^2 + \beta \left( \sum_k X_k \right)^2 + 2 \sum_k c_k X_k,$$

where

$$c_k = [1 + 2\beta\rho'(1 + h_t^2)] h_t \sigma_1 + 2\beta\rho' h_t \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \right) - \sum_i h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{kk} b_{kk,i} - 2h_t b^{kk}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_2$  the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \beta & \beta & \cdots & \beta \\ \beta & 1 + \beta & \cdots & \beta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta & \beta & \cdots & 1 + \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In a word, we want to bound  $P_2(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})$  from below. Thus the nonnegativity of  $\mathcal{P}_2$  is necessary, and this requires

$$\beta \geq -\frac{1}{n-1}.$$

For convenience, Let us choose the degenerate case, that is,  $\beta = -1/(n-1)$ . By setting  $\tau = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ , the null eigenvector of the matrix  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , we then have, by (3-2),

$$(\star) \quad P_2(1, 1, \dots, 1) = 2 \sum_k c_k = 2[n-3-2\rho'(1+h_t^2)]h_t\sigma_1 - 2 \sum_i h_{ti}b^{ii} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_i},$$

which suggests that the simplest selection should be  $\rho(t) = ((n-3)/2) \log(1+t)$ .

From now on, let us fix  $\rho(t) = ((n-3)/2) \log(1+t)$  and  $\beta = -1/(n-1)$ . But, for simplicity, we do not always substitute for the values of  $\rho$  and  $\beta$ .

By straightforward computation and  $(\star)$ , we have

$$\sum_k \left( X_k + \beta \sum_i X_i + c_k \right)^2 = P_2(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) + \sum_k c_k^2 + P_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi),$$

where

$$P_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi) = 2\beta \left( \sum_i X_i \right) \sum_k c_k = 2\beta \left( \sum_j X_j \right) \sum_i h_{ti}b^{ii} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_i}.$$

Putting  $\rho$  and  $\beta$  into some terms in  $c_k$ , we derive that

$$c_k = \frac{2}{n-1}h_t\sigma_1 - \frac{2}{n-1}\rho'h_t \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2b^{ii} \right) - \sum_i h_{ti}b^{ii}b^{kk}b_{kk,i} - 2h_t b^{kk}.$$

Therefore, together with (3-2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &P_2(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &\geq - \sum_k c_k^2 - P_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ti}h_{tj}b^{ii}b^{jj}b^{kk}b_{kk,i}b^{kk}b_{kk,j} - 4h_t \sum_{i,k} h_{ti}b^{ii}(b^{kk})^2b_{kk,i} \\ &\quad - 4h_t^2 \sum_k (b^{kk})^2 + \frac{4}{n-1}h_t^2\sigma_1^2 - \frac{8}{n-1}\rho'h_t^2\sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2b^{ii} \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{n-1}h_t^2(\rho')^2 \left( \sum_i h_{ti}^2b^{ii} \right)^2 + \tilde{P}_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{P}_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi) = -P_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi) - \frac{4}{n-1}h_t \left[ \sigma_1 - \rho' \sum_j h_{tj}^2b^{jj} \right] \sum_i h_{ti}b^{ii} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_i}.$$

Observing that  $P_1 \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-19) \quad & L(\varphi) + \beta\varphi_t^2 \\
 & \geq (1 + h_t^2) \sum_{i,k,l} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2 - 4h_t \sum_{i,k} h_{ti} b^{ii} (b^{kk})^2 b_{kk,i} \\
 & \quad + \left[ 2 + 4\rho' h_t^2 + 8\beta(\rho')^2 h_t^2 (1 + h_t^2) - \frac{8}{n-1} \rho' h_t^2 \right] \sigma_1 \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} \\
 & \quad + [(n-1)(1 + h_t^2) - 2h_t^2 - 2\rho' h_t^2 (1 + h_t^2)] \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 \\
 & + \left[ 4\rho' h_t^2 (1 + h_t^2) + [(2\rho' + 4\rho'' h_t^2) + 4\beta(\rho')^2 h_t^2] (1 + h_t^2)^2 - \frac{2n-6}{n-1} h_t^2 \right] \sigma_1^2 \\
 & \quad + [(2\rho' + 4\rho'' h_t^2) (1 + h_t^2) - 2\rho' h_t^2 + (n-3)] \sum_i (h_{ti} b^{ii})^2 + \tilde{P}_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the next step we will concentrate on the following two terms:

$$R = (1 + h_t^2) \sum_{i,k,l} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2 - 4h_t \sum_{i,k} h_{ti} b^{ii} (b^{kk})^2 b_{kk,i}.$$

*Step 3: conclusion of the proof of (3-1).* Recalling our first-order condition (3-2), we have

$$(3-20) \quad b^{11} b_{11,j} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_j} - \sum_{k \geq 2} b^{kk} b_{kk,j} - 2\rho' h_t h_{tj} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

For the term  $R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= (1 + h_t^2) \left[ \sum_i \sum_{k \neq l} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2 + \sum_{i,k} (b^{ii})^2 (b^{kk} b_{kk,i})^2 \right] \\
 & \quad - 4 \sum_{i,k} h_t h_{ti} b^{ii} (b^{kk})^2 b_{kk,i} \\
 &= (1 + h_t^2) \left[ 2 \sum_{k \geq 2} (b^{11})^2 b^{kk} b^{11} b_{k1,1}^2 + 2 \sum_{i,k \geq 2} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{11} b_{k1,i}^2 \right. \\
 & \quad + \sum_i \sum_{\substack{k,l \geq 2 \\ k \neq l}} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2 + \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 (b^{11} b_{11,i})^2 \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_i \sum_{k \geq 2} (b^{ii})^2 (b^{kk} b_{kk,i})^2 \right] \\
 & \quad - 4 \sum_i h_t h_{ti} b^{ii} (b^{11})^2 b_{11,i} - 4 \sum_i \sum_{k \geq 2} h_t h_{ti} b^{ii} (b^{kk})^2 b_{kk,i} \\
 &= R_1 + R_2 + R_3,
 \end{aligned}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= (1 + h_t^2) \left[ 2 \sum_{k \geq 2} (b^{11})^2 b^{kk} b^{11} b_{k1,1}^2 + \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 (b^{11} b_{11,i})^2 \right] \\
 &\quad - 4 \sum_i h_t h_{ti} b^{ii} (b^{11})^2 b_{11,i}, \\
 R_2 &= 2 \sum_{i,k \geq 2} (1 + h_t^2) (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{11} b_{k1,i}^2 + \sum_i \sum_{\substack{k,l \geq 2 \\ k \neq l}} (1 + h_t^2) (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2, \\
 R_3 &= \sum_i \sum_{k \geq 2} (1 + h_t^2) (b^{ii})^2 (b^{kk} b_{kk,i})^2 - 4 \sum_i \sum_{k \geq 2} h_t h_{ti} b^{ii} (b^{kk})^2 b_{kk,i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (3-20), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= (1 + h_t^2) \left[ 2b^{11} \sum_{i,k,l \geq 2} b^{ii} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kk,i} b_{ll,i} + 8\rho' h_t b^{11} \sum_{i,k \geq 2} h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{kk} b_{kk,i} \right. \\
 &\quad + 8(\rho')^2 h_t^2 b^{11} \sum_{i \geq 2} h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} + \sum_i \sum_{k,l \geq 2} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kk,i} b_{ll,i} \\
 &\quad \left. + 4\rho' h_t \sum_i \sum_{k \geq 2} h_{ti} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b_{kk,i} + 4(\rho')^2 h_t^2 \sum_i (h_{ti} b^{ii})^2 \right] \\
 &\quad + 4h_t \sum_i \sum_{k \geq 2} h_{ti} b^{ii} b^{11} b^{kk} b_{kk,i} + 8\rho' h_t^2 b^{11} \sum_i h_{ti}^2 b^{ii} + R(\nabla_\theta \varphi),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(\nabla_\theta \varphi) &= (1 + h_t^2) \left[ 2b^{11} \sum_{k \geq 2} b^{kk} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_k} \right)^2 - 4b^{11} \sum_{k,l \geq 2} b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{ll,k} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_k} \right. \\
 &\quad - 8\rho' h_t b^{11} \sum_{k \geq 2} b^{kk} h_{tk} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_k} + \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_i} \right)^2 \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_i \sum_{k \geq 2} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b_{kk,i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_i} - 4\rho' h_t \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 h_{ti} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_i} \\
 &\quad \left. - 4h_t b^{11} \sum_i b^{ii} h_{ti} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_i} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2 &= (1 + h_t^2) \left[ 2b^{11} \sum_{k \geq 2} (b^{kk})^3 b_{kk,1}^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,k \geq 2 \\ i \neq k}} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{11} b_{k1,i}^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,k \geq 2 \\ i \neq k}} b^{ii} (b^{kk})^3 b_{kk,i}^2 + \sum_i \sum_{\substack{k,l \geq 2 \\ k \neq l, k \neq i, l \neq i}} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $2\rho'(1+h_t^2) = n-3$ , which will be denoted by  $\alpha$  for simplicity in the following calculations. Now we are at a stage where we can rewrite the terms in  $R$  in a natural way: we denote by  $T_1$  the terms involving  $b_{kk,1}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ), by  $T_2$  the terms involving  $b_{kk,i}$  ( $k, i \geq 2$ ), and by  $T_3$  all of the rest of the terms. More precisely,

$$T_1 = \sum_{k \geq 2} (1 + 2b_{11}b^{kk}) \cdot ((1 + h_t^2)^{1/2}b^{11}b^{kk}b_{kk,1})^2 + \left( \sum_{k \geq 2} (1 + h_t^2)^{1/2}b^{11}b^{kk}b_{kk,1} \right)^2 \\ + 4h_t h_{t1} b^{11} (1 + h_t^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{k \geq 2} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} - b_{11}b^{kk} \right) \cdot ((1 + h_t^2)^{1/2}b^{11}b^{kk}b_{kk,1})$$

and

$$T_2 = (1 + h_t^2) \sum_{i \geq 2} \left\{ (1 + 2b_{ii}b^{11}) \cdot \left( \sum_{k \geq 2} b^{ii}b^{kk}b_{kk,i} \right)^2 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} 2b_{ii}b^{kk} \cdot (b^{ii}b^{kk}b_{kk,i})^2 \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k \geq 2} (b^{ii}b^{kk}b_{kk,i})^2 + 4h_t h_{ti} b^{ii} (1 + h_t^2)^{-1} \right. \\ \left. \times \sum_{k \geq 2} \left[ -b_{ii}b^{kk} + \frac{\alpha}{2} + (1 + \alpha)b_{ii}b^{11} \right] \cdot (b^{ii}b^{kk}b_{kk,i}) \right\};$$

the rest of the terms are

$$(3-21) \quad T_3 = h_t^2(1 + h_t^2)^{-1} \left[ 2\alpha^2 b^{11} \sum_{i \geq 2} h_{ii}^2 b^{ii} + \alpha^2 \sum_i (h_{ii} b^{ii})^2 + 4\alpha b^{11} \sum_i h_{ii}^2 b^{ii} \right] \\ + (1 + h_t^2) \left[ 2 \sum_{\substack{i, k \geq 2 \\ i \neq k}} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{11} b_{k1,i}^2 + \sum_i \sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 2 \\ k \neq l, k \neq i, l \neq i}} (b^{ii})^2 b^{kk} b^{ll} b_{kl,i}^2 \right] \\ + R(\nabla_\theta \varphi).$$

We shall minimize the terms  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  via [Lemma 3.1](#) for different choices of parameters.

At first, let us examine the term  $T_1$ . set  $X_k = (1 + h_t^2)^{1/2}b^{11}b^{kk}b_{kk,1}$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\mu = h_{t1}b^{11}h_t(1 + h_t^2)^{-1/2}$ ,  $b_k = 1 + 2b_{11}b^{kk}$ , and  $c_k = b_{11}b^{kk} - (1 + \alpha/2)$ , where  $k \geq 2$ . By [Lemma 3.1](#), we have

$$T_1 \geq -4h_t^2(1 + h_t^2)^{-1}(h_{t1}b^{11})^2\Gamma_1,$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{c_k^2}{b_k} - \left( 1 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{b_k} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{c_k}{b_k} \right)^2.$$

Next we shall simplify  $\Gamma_1$ . By denoting

$$\beta_k = \frac{1}{b_k},$$

we have

$$b_{11}b^{kk} = \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_k = \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \frac{3+\alpha}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \sum_{k \geq 2} \beta_k \left( \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \frac{3+\alpha}{2} \right)^2 - \left( 1 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \beta_k \right)^{-1} \left[ \sum_{k \geq 2} \beta_k \left( \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \frac{3+\alpha}{2} \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{\beta_k} - \left( 1 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \beta_k \right)^{-1} \frac{(n+1+\alpha)^2}{4} + \frac{(3+\alpha)^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$1 \leq 1 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \beta_k \leq n - 1,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{\beta_k} - \frac{(n+1+\alpha)^2}{4(n-1)} + \frac{(3+\alpha)^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} (2+\alpha)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (2\sigma_1 b_{11} - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3-22) \quad T_1 \geq - \left[ \frac{(n-2)}{n-1} (2+\alpha)^2 + 2\sigma_1 b_{11} - 2 \right] h_t^2 (1+h_t^2)^{-1} (h_{t1} b^{11})^2.$$

Now we will deal with  $T_2$ . For every  $i \geq 2$  fixed, set  $X_k = b^{ii} b^{kk} b_{kk,i}$ ,  $\lambda = 1 + 2b_{ii} b^{11}$ ,  $\mu = -h_{ti} b^{ii} h_t (1+h_t^2)^{-1}$ ,  $b_k = 1 + 2b_{ii} b^{kk} (k \neq i)$ ,  $b_i = 1$ , and  $c_k = b_{ii} b^{kk} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha - (1+\alpha)b_{ii} b^{11}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$T_2 \geq -4(1+h_t^2) \sum_{i \geq 2} (h_{ti} b^{ii})^2 \Gamma_i,$$

where

$$\Gamma_i = c_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \frac{c_k^2}{b_k} - \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \frac{1}{b_k} \right)^{-1} \left( c_i + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \frac{c_k}{b_k} \right)^2.$$

For  $k \neq i$ , denoting

$$\beta_k = \frac{1}{b_k},$$

we have

$$b_{ii} b^{kk} = \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_k = \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \delta,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{1+\alpha}{2} + (1+\alpha)b_{ii} b^{11}.$$

Noticing that

$$c_i = \frac{3}{2} - \delta, \quad \frac{\delta}{\lambda} = \frac{1 + \alpha}{2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i &= c_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \beta_k \left( \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \delta \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \beta_k \right)^{-1} \left[ c_i + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \beta_k \left( \frac{1}{2\beta_k} - \delta \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \frac{1}{\beta_k} - \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \beta_k \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\delta}{\lambda} \right)^2 + \frac{9}{4} + \frac{\delta^2}{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \frac{1}{\beta_k} - \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \beta_k \right)^{-1} \frac{(n+1+\alpha)^2}{4} + \frac{9}{4} + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \beta_k \leq n - 1,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2 \\ k \neq i}} \frac{1}{\beta_k} - \frac{(n+1+\alpha)^2}{4(n-1)} + \frac{9}{4} + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \delta \\ &= \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \alpha + \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1 b_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 b_{ii} b^{11} + \alpha b_{ii} b^{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3-23) \quad T_2 \geq -\frac{h_i^2}{1+h_i^2} \sum_{i \geq 2} \left( \frac{n-2}{n-1} \alpha^2 - \frac{4}{n-1} \alpha + \frac{2n-6}{n-1} + 2\sigma_1 b_{ii} + 2\alpha^2 b_{ii} b^{11} + 4\alpha b_{ii} b^{11} \right) (h_{ii} b^{ii})^2.$$

Now, combining (3-21), (3-22), and (3-23), we obtain

$$(3-24) \quad R \geq \frac{h_i^2}{1+h_i^2} \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \alpha^2 + \frac{4}{n-1} \alpha - \frac{2n-6}{n-1} - 2\sigma_1 b_{ii} \right) (h_{ii} b^{ii})^2 + R(\nabla_\theta \varphi).$$

For choices of  $\rho$  and  $\beta$ , by (3-19) and (3-24), we have, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\varphi) - \frac{1}{n-1} \varphi_t^2 &\geq \frac{2\sigma_1}{1+h_i^2} \sum_i h_{ii}^2 b^{ii} + (n-1) \sum_i (b^{ii})^2 + (n-3) \sigma_1^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2(n-3)}{1+h_i^2} \sum_i (h_{ii} b^{ii})^2 + \tilde{P}_2(\nabla_\theta \varphi) + R(\nabla_\theta \varphi) \\ &\geq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla_\theta \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (3-1) is completed. □

Now we give a remark on [Theorem 1.1](#).

**Remark 3.3.** In the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), if we restrict to the case  $n = 2$  and just set  $\rho = 0$ , then (3-2) shows that

$$b_{11,1} = 0 \pmod{\nabla_\theta \varphi}.$$

Applying this to the expression of  $L(\varphi)$  in (3-17) will give

$$\begin{aligned} L(\varphi) &= (b^{11}b_{11,t})^2 - 2h_t(b^{11})^2b_{11,t} + (b^{11})^2h_t^2 + (1+h_t^2)(b^{11})^2 \\ &= [b^{11}b_{11,t} - h_tb^{11}]^2 + (b^{11})^2h_t^2 + (b^{11})^2 \geq 0 \pmod{\nabla_\theta \varphi}, \end{aligned}$$

and this means that, for any point  $x \in \Gamma_t$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ ,

$$\log K(x) \geq (1-t) \min_{\partial\Omega_0} \log K + t \min_{\partial\Omega_1} \log K,$$

which has already been proved by Longinetti [1987]. Also, by [Remark 1.3](#) we know that this estimate is not sharp in the two-dimensional case.

### Acknowledgments

The author thanks Professor X. Ma for many useful discussions on this subject, and the School of Mathematical Sciences of University of Sciences and Technology of China for hospitality.

The author also thanks the referees for their careful efforts to make the paper clearer.

Part of this work was done while the first author was staying at his postdoctoral mobile research station in QFNU.

### References

- [Caffarelli and Spruck 1982] L. A. Caffarelli and J. Spruck, “Convexity properties of solutions to some classical variational problems”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **7**:11 (1982), 1337–1379. MR 85f:49062 Zbl 0508.49013
- [Chen and Shi 2011] C. Chen and S. Shi, “Curvature estimates for the level sets of spatial quasiconcave solutions to a class of parabolic equations”, *Sci. China Math.* **54**:10 (2011), 2063–2080. MR 2838121
- [Chiti and Longinetti 1992] G. Chiti and M. Longinetti, “Differential inequalities for Minkowski functionals of level sets”, pp. 109–127 in *General inequalities, 6* (Oberwolfach, 1990), edited by W. Walter, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. **103**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992. MR 94b:49073 Zbl 0764.53005
- [Colesanti and Salani 2003] A. Colesanti and P. Salani, “The Brunn–Minkowski inequality for  $p$ -capacity of convex bodies”, *Math. Ann.* **327**:3 (2003), 459–479. MR 2004j:31007 Zbl 1052.31005
- [Jost et al. 2012] J. Jost, X.-N. Ma, and Q. Ou, “Curvature estimates in dimensions 2 and 3 for the level sets of  $p$ -harmonic functions in convex rings”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**:9 (2012), 4605–4627. MR 2922603 Zbl 06191423

- [Longinetti 1987] M. Longinetti, “On minimal surfaces bounded by two convex curves in parallel planes”, *J. Differential Equations* **67**:3 (1987), 344–358. MR 88m:58035 Zbl 0626.53002
- [Longinetti and Salani 2007] M. Longinetti and P. Salani, “On the Hessian matrix and Minkowski addition of quasiconvex functions”, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **88**:3 (2007), 276–292. MR 2008k:35163 Zbl 1144.26017
- [Ma et al. 2010] X.-N. Ma, Q. Ou, and W. Zhang, “Gaussian curvature estimates for the convex level sets of  $p$ -harmonic functions”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63**:7 (2010), 935–971. MR 2011d:35168 Zbl 1193.35031
- [Ma et al. 2011] X.-N. Ma, J. Ye, and Y.-H. Ye, “Principal curvature estimates for the level sets of harmonic functions and minimal graph in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* **10**:1 (2011), 225–243. MR 2012d:35134 Zbl 1235.35083
- [Schneider 1993] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn–Minkowski theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **44**, Cambridge University Press, 1993. MR 94d:52007 Zbl 0798.52001
- [Wang and Zhang 2012] P. Wang and W. Zhang, “Gaussian curvature estimates for the convex level sets of solutions for some nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations”, *J. Partial Differ. Equ.* **25**:3 (2012), 239–275. MR 2987367 Zbl 06178153 arXiv 1003.2057

Received February 16, 2012. Revised June 10, 2013.

PEI-HE WANG  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
QUFU, 273165, SHANDONG PROVINCE  
CHINA

[peihewang@hotmail.com](mailto:peihewang@hotmail.com)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[pacific@math.ucla.edu](mailto:pacific@math.ucla.edu)

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[balmer@math.ucla.edu](mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu)

Don Blasius  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Daryl Cooper  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[cooper@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:cooper@math.ucsb.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2014 is US \$410/year for the electronic version, and \$535/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).


---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 267    No. 2    February 2014

---

Sums of squares in algebraic function fields over a complete discretely valued field	257
KARIM JOHANNES BECHER, DAVID GRIMM and JAN VAN GEEL	
On the equivalence problem for toric contact structures on $S^3$ -bundles over $S^2$	277
CHARLES P. BOYER and JUSTIN PATI	
An almost-Schur type lemma for symmetric $(2,0)$ tensors and applications	325
XU CHENG	
Algebraic invariants, mutation, and commensurability of link complements	341
ERIC CHESEBRO and JASON DEBLOIS	
Taut foliations and the action of the fundamental group on leaf spaces and universal circles	399
YOSUKE KANO	
A new monotone quantity along the inverse mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{R}^n$	417
KWOK-KUN KWONG and PENGZI MIAO	
Nonfibered L-space knots	423
TYE LIDMAN and LIAM WATSON	
Families and Springer's correspondence	431
GEORGE LUSZTIG	
Reflexive operator algebras on Banach spaces	451
FLORENCE MERLEVÈDE, COSTEL PELIGRAD and MAGDA PELIGRAD	
Harer stability and orbifold cohomology	465
NICOLA PAGANI	
Spectra of product graphs and permanents of matrices over finite rings	479
LE ANH VINH	
The concavity of the Gaussian curvature of the convex level sets of minimal surfaces with respect to the height	489
PEI-HE WANG	