

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**MULTI-BUMP BOUND STATE SOLUTIONS
FOR THE QUASILINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION
WITH CRITICAL FREQUENCY**

YUXIA GUO AND ZHONGWEI TANG

MULTI-BUMP BOUND STATE SOLUTIONS FOR THE QUASILINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH CRITICAL FREQUENCY

YUXIA GUO AND ZHONGWEI TANG

We study the existence of single- and multi-bump solutions of quasilinear Schrödinger equations

$$-\Delta u + \lambda V(x)u - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|u|^2)u = |u|^{p-2}u,$$

the function V being a critical frequency in the sense that $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = 0$. We show that if the zero set of V has several isolated connected components $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k$ such that the interior of Ω_i is not empty and $\partial\Omega_i$ is smooth, then for $\lambda > 0$ large, there exists, for any nonempty subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, a standing wave solution trapped in a neighborhood of $\bigcup_{j \in J} \Omega_j$.

1. Introduction and main results

Consider the following quasilinear Schrödinger equation:

$$(1-1) \quad -\Delta u + \lambda V(x)u - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|u|^2)u = |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \geq 3$, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $4 < p < 2 \cdot 2^*$, and 2^* is the critical Sobolev exponent.

We are interested in the ground state solutions for (1-1), i.e., the positive solutions with least energy. Solutions of this type are related to the existence of standing wave solutions for the following quasilinear Schrödinger equation:

$$(1-2) \quad i\partial_t w = -\hbar^2 \Delta w + V(x)w - f(|w|^2)w - k\Delta h(|w|^2)h'(|w|^2)w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where V is a given potential, \hbar is the Planck constant, k is a real constant, and f, h are real functions. Such quasilinear equations appear naturally in mathematical physics, and have been derived as models of several physical phenomena corresponding to various types of h (see, for example, [Brizhik et al. 2003; Brihaye and Hartmann 2006; Brüll and Lange 1986; Hartmann and Zakrzewski 2003; Kurihura 1981], and the references therein).

Guo was supported by NSFC(11171171, 11331010). Tang was supported by NSFC(11171028).

MSC2010: primary 35Q55; secondary 35J65.

Keywords: multi-bump bound states, quasilinear Schrödinger equation, Orlicz space.

Due to its significant application in mathematical physics, the equation (1-2) with $k = 0$ (the semilinear case) has attracted much attention in recent years. Many authors have obtained existence results for one-bump or multi-bump bound state solutions under different assumptions on the potential function V . We refer the readers to [Ambrosetti et al. 1997; Ambrosetti et al. 2001; Bartsch and Wang 2000; Cingolani and Lazzo 2000; Cingolani and Nolasco 1998; del Pino and Felmer 1998; del Pino and Felmer 1997], and the references therein.

In the quasilinear case (that is, the equation (1-2) with $k \neq 0$) we observe that, due to the presence of the quasilinear term, there is a different critical exponent than in the semilinear case, as observed in [Liu et al. 2003]; the number $q = 2 \cdot 2^* = 4N/(N-2)$ behaves as a critical exponent for the quasilinear equation. There has been much recent work concerned with the quasilinear Schrödinger equations (1-1) and (1-2). For instance, in [Colin 2003], a change of variables was used to prove the existence of soliton wave solutions; see also the paper by Liu, Wang and Wang [2003], where a change of variables was also used. In [Colin and Jeanjean 2004], various existence results for standing wave solutions to (1-1) for special f and h are obtained. For the stability and instability results for a special case of (1-2), we also refer the reader to [Colin et al. 2010].

For more recent related work on the quasilinear Schrödinger equation with critical exponents, we refer the reader to, for instance, [Liu et al. 2013; 2012; do Ó et al. 2010a; 2010b, Lins and Silva 2009], and to the references therein.

The current paper is concerned with the existence of one-bump or multi-bump bound states for the following quasilinear equation with frequency V :

$$-\Delta u + \lambda V(x)u - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|u|^2)u = |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Our hypotheses on V are:

- (V₁) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $V(x) \geq 0$ and $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) > 0$;
- (V₂) $\Omega := \text{int } V^{-1}(0)$ is nonempty, bounded, has smooth boundary, and $\bar{\Omega} = V^{-1}(0)$;
- (V₃) Ω consists of k components:

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_k,$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\Omega}_i \cap \bar{\Omega}_j = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

For the proof of the main theorem, we follow the idea of Y. Ding and K. Tanaka [2003] to modify the nonlinearity and use the decay flow. We point out that, although this idea has been used before to deal with other problems, it is not at all trivial to adapt the procedure for our problem. The appearance of the quasilinear term $\Delta(|u|^2)u$ forces us to consider our problem in an Orlicz space, and more delicate estimates are also needed.

To state the main results, we first introduce some necessary notation. We denote $\lambda V(x)$ by $V_\lambda(x)$. Formally, we define the functional J_λ by

$$(1-3) \quad J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+u^2) |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx,$$

where $u \in X := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) u^2 < \infty\}$. Note that, under our assumptions, the functional J_λ is not well defined on X . We make the following change of variables, which was first used by Liu, Wang, and Wang [2003].

Let $v = h(u) = \frac{1}{2} u \sqrt{1+u^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln(u + \sqrt{1+u^2})$, so $dv = \sqrt{1+u^2} du$. Moreover, $h(u)$ satisfies

$$(1-4) \quad h(u) \sim \begin{cases} u & \text{if } |u| \ll 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} u |u| & \text{if } |u| \gg 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $h'(u) > 0$, $h(u)$ is strictly monotone and hence has an inverse function denoted by $u = f(v)$. Obviously,

$$(1-5) \quad f(v) \sim \begin{cases} v & \text{if } |u| \ll 1, \\ \sqrt{2/|v|} & \text{if } |v| \gg 1, \end{cases} \quad f'(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}}.$$

Let $G(v) = f^2(v)$. Then

$$(1-6) \quad G(v) = f^2(v) \sim \begin{cases} v^2 & \text{if } |v| \ll 1, \\ 2|v| & \text{if } |v| \gg 1, \end{cases}$$

and $G(v)$ is convex, so there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that $G(2v) \leq C_0 G(v)$,

$$(1-7) \quad G'(v) = \frac{2f(v)}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}}, \quad G''(v) = \frac{2}{(1+f^2(v))^2} > 0.$$

Now we introduce the Orlicz space (see [Rao and Ren 1991])

$$E_G^\lambda = \left\{ v \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda G(v) < +\infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$|v|_G^\lambda := \inf_{\xi > 0} \xi \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda G(\xi^{-1} v) dx \right).$$

Then E_G^λ is a Banach space (see [Liu et al. 2003]).

Let

$$H_G^\lambda := \left\{ v \in E_G^\lambda \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx < \infty \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_\lambda = \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + |v|_G^\lambda.$$

Using the change of variable, we define the functional Φ_λ on H_G^λ by

$$(1-8) \quad \Phi_\lambda(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v)) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(v)|^p dx.$$

Then Φ_λ is Gâteaux differentiable, and the Gâteaux derivative $\Phi'_\lambda(v)$ has the form

$$(1-9) \quad \langle \Phi'_\lambda(v), w \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v \nabla w dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(x) f(v) f'(v) w dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(v)|^{p-2} f(v) f'(v) w dx.$$

Obviously, $v \in H_G^\lambda$ is a critical point of Φ_λ if and only if v is a solution of the following equation:

$$(1-10) \quad -\Delta v + V_\lambda f(v) f'(v) = |f(v)|^{p-2} f(v) f'(v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Moreover, one can easily check that v is solution of (1-10) if and only if $u = f(v)$ is a solution of (1-1).

We define the Nehari manifold N_λ by $N_\lambda = \{v \in H_G^\lambda \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle \Phi'_\lambda(v), v \rangle = 0\}$, and let

$$c_\lambda = \inf_{v \in N_\lambda} \Phi_\lambda(v).$$

We say that $u = f(v)$ is a least energy solution of (1-1) if $v \in N_\lambda$ is such that c_λ is achieved.

Note that under our assumptions, for λ large enough, the following Dirichlet problem is a kind of *limit* problem:

$$(1-11) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|u|^2)u = |u|^{p-2}u, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega = \text{int}\{V^{-1}(0)\}$.

In fact, by a minor change of the arguments in Guo and Tang [2012], one can easily see that under the conditions (V_1) , (V_2) , and $4 < p < 2 \cdot 2^*$, for λ large, c_λ is achieved by a critical point v_λ of Φ_λ such that $u_\lambda = f(v_\lambda)$ is a solution of (1-1). Furthermore, for any sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$, $\{v_{\lambda_n}\}$ has a subsequence converging to v such that $u = f(v)$ is a least energy solution of (1-11). Thus by assumption (V_3) , there is Ω_{i_0} ($1 \leq i_0 \leq k$) such that $u = f(v)$ is indeed a least energy solution defined on Ω_{i_0} and $u = f(v) = 0$ elsewhere. Thus it is natural to ask whether, for a given $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, (1-1) has a family of solutions $\{u_\lambda\}$ which converges to a least energy solution in Ω_j and to 0 elsewhere. In this paper, we answer this question in the affirmative. Moreover, we can also construct multi-bump type solutions.

Our main results are:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (V_1) – (V_3) hold. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any nonempty subset J of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there exists $\Lambda = \Lambda(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \geq \Lambda$, (1-1) has a solution u_λ such that $v_\lambda = h(u_\lambda)$ satisfies*

$$(1-12) \quad \left| \Phi_\lambda(v_\lambda) - \sum_{j \in J} c(\Omega_j) \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$(1-13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_J} (|\nabla v_\lambda|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v_\lambda)) dx \leq \varepsilon,$$

where $\Omega_J = \bigcup_{j \in J} \Omega_j$. Moreover, for any sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, we can extract a subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_i}\}$ such that $v_{\lambda_{n_i}}$ converges strongly in H_G^1 to a function v that satisfies $v(x) = 0$ for $x \notin \Omega_J$, and $u = f(v)|_{\Omega_j}$ is a least energy solution of

$$(1-14) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|u|^2)u = |u|^{p-2}u, & u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_j, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega_j, \end{cases}$$

for $j \in J$. Here $c(\Omega_j)$ in (1-12) is the least energy of (1-14).

Corollary 1.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1, there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that for $\lambda > \Lambda$, (1-1) has at least $2^k - 1$ bound states.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some estimates in Orlicz space. In Section 3, we modify the functional by penalizing the nonlinearity. In Section 4, we consider compactness for the modified functional. In Section 5, we give some asymptotic properties for some sequences and prove that, for λ large, the critical points of the modified functional are indeed critical points of the original one. Section 6 is devoted to the properties of the limit problem. In Section 7, we give a minimax argument. In Section 8, we prove the existence of critical points by a flow argument; the proofs of the main results are also delivered in this section.

In the following, without specific notification, all the integral variables are x , and for simplicity we omit dx in every integral.

2. Some estimates in the Orlicz space

We begin with a precise estimate between the Orlicz norm and some integrals in Orlicz space H_G^λ , namely:

Lemma 2.1 [Guo and Tang 2012]. *There exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that, for any $v \in H_G^\lambda$,*

$$(2-1) \quad C_1 \min\{\|v\|_\lambda, \|v\|_\lambda^2\} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f^2(v) \leq C_2 \max\{\|v\|_\lambda, \|v\|_\lambda^2\}.$$

Let Ω'_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) be bounded open subsets with smooth boundary such that

$\overline{\Omega}_i$ and $\overline{\Omega}_j$ are disjoint if $i \neq j$ and that $\overline{\Omega}_j \subset \Omega'_j$ for all j . Let K be one of the following sets:

$$(2-2) \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \Omega'_j \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, k), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} \Omega'_j \ (J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}).$$

Lemma 2.2. *There exist $\delta_0 > 0$, $\nu_0 > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \geq 1$,*

$$(2-3) \quad \delta_0 \int_K (|\nabla v|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v)) \leq \int_K (|\nabla v|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v)) - \nu_0 \int_K f^2(v).$$

Proof. We follow similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [Tang 2008], but with necessary modifications. We omit it. \square

3. Penalization of the functional

To proceed, we introduce the cut-off function $l(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$l(t) = \begin{cases} \min\{t^{(p-2)/2}, \nu_0\} & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

where ν_0 is as in Lemma 2.2. For a fixed nonempty subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, set

$$\Omega_J = \bigcup_{j \in J} \Omega_j, \quad \Omega'_J = \bigcup_{j \in J} \Omega'_j, \quad \chi_{\Omega'_J}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \Omega'_J, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin \Omega'_J, \end{cases}$$

and

$$w(x, \xi^2) = \chi_{\Omega'_J}(x) \xi^{p-2} + (1 - \chi_{\Omega'_J}(x)) l(\xi^2),$$

$$W(x, \xi^2) = \int_0^{\xi^2} w(x, t) dt.$$

We define $\Psi_\lambda : H_G^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Psi_\lambda(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x, f^2(v)).$$

Then one can check that $\Psi_\lambda \in C^2(H_G^\lambda, \mathbb{R})$ and that its critical points are solutions of

$$-\Delta v + V_\lambda f(v) f'(v) = w(x, f^2(v)) f(v) f'(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Note that $l(t) = t^{(p-2)/2}$ for $t \in [0, \nu_0^{2/(p-2)}]$, hence a critical point v of Ψ_λ is a solution of (1-10) if and only if $|f(v)|^2 \leq \nu_0^{2/(p-2)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_J$.

4. Compactness of the modified functional

Proposition 4.1. *For $\lambda \geq 1$, Ψ_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, any sequence $\{v_n\} \subset H_G^\lambda$ satisfying*

$$(4-1) \quad \Psi_\lambda(v_n) \rightarrow c,$$

$$(4-2) \quad \Psi'_\lambda(v_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } (H_G^\lambda)^*,$$

has a strongly convergent subsequence in H_G^λ , where $(H_G^\lambda)^$ is the dual space of H_G^λ .*

To prove [Proposition 4.1](#), we require the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $\{v_n\} \subset H_G^\lambda$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence. Then there exist two positive constants, $m(c)$ and $M(c)$, which are independent of $\lambda \geq 1$, such that*

$$m(c) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_\lambda^2 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_\lambda^2 \leq M(c).$$

Proof. Let $w_n = f(v_n)/f'(v_n)$. It follows from (4-1) and (4-2) that

$$\Psi_\lambda(v_n) - \frac{1}{p} \Psi'_\lambda(v_n) w_n = c + o(1) + \varepsilon_n \|w_n\|_\lambda,$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v_n)}{1 + f^2(v_n)} \right) \right) |\nabla v_n|^2 &+ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f^2(v_n) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x, f^2(v_n)) + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v_n)) f^2(v_n) \\ &= c + o(1) + \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Let $L(t) = \int_0^t l(t) dt$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x, f^2(v_n)) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v_n)) f^2(v_n) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} \left(\frac{1}{2} L(f^2(v_n)) - \frac{1}{p} l(f^2(v_n)) f^2(v_n) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $t \in [v_0^{2/(p-2)}, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} L(t^2) - \frac{1}{p} l(t^2) t^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(v_0 t^2 - \frac{p-2}{p} v_0^{p/(p-2)} \right) - \frac{1}{p} t^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (v_0 t^2 - v_0^{p/(p-2)}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) v_0 t^2, \end{aligned}$$

and for $t \leq v_0^{2/(p-2)}$,

$$\frac{1}{2} L(t^2) - \frac{1}{p} l(t^2) t^2 = 0.$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f^2(v_n) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) v_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f^2(v_n) \\ \leq c + o(1) + \varepsilon_n \|v_n\|_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since $4 < p < 4N/(N-2)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f^2(v_n) - v_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f^2(v_n) \leq \left(\frac{p-4}{2p}\right)^{-1} c + o(1) + o(\|v_n\|_\lambda).$$

By [Lemma 2.2](#), we get

$$\delta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^2 dx + V_\lambda f^2(v_n)) \leq \left(\frac{p-4}{2p}\right)^{-1} c + o(1) + \varepsilon_n \|v_n\|_\lambda.$$

It follows from [Lemma 2.1](#) that

$$C_1 \min\{\|v_n\|_\lambda, \|v_n\|_\lambda^2\} \leq \delta_0^{-1} \left(\frac{p-4}{2p}\right)^{-1} c + o(1) + o(\|v_n\|_\lambda).$$

Thus $\|v_n\|_\lambda$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_\lambda \leq M(c) := \max\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \delta_0^{-1} c, \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \delta_0^{-1} c}\right\}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\frac{1}{2}L(t^2) - \frac{1}{p}l(t^2)t^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

we have

$$c + o(1) + \varepsilon_n \|w_n\|_\lambda \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) C_2 \max\{\|v_n\|_\lambda, \|v_n\|_\lambda^2\}.$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_\lambda^2 \geq m(c) := \min\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} C_2^{-1} c, \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} C_2^{-1} c}\right\}.$$

This completes the proof of [Lemma 4.2](#). □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By [Lemma 4.2](#), we know that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in H_G^λ and thus is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so there exists a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ (still denoted by $\{v_n\}$) such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla v_n &\rightharpoonup \nabla v \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ v_n &\rightarrow v \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ f(v_n) &\rightharpoonup f(v) \quad \text{weakly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } 2 \leq q \leq 2 \cdot 2^*, \\ f(v_n) &\rightarrow f(v) \quad \text{strongly in } L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.8 of [Guo and Tang 2012], v is a critical point of Ψ_λ , that is, for any $\psi \in H_G^\lambda$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla v \nabla \psi + V_\lambda f(v) f'(v) \psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v)) f(v) f'(v) \psi.$$

Next we show that $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in H_G^λ . Indeed, it follows from (4-1) and (4-2) that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= (\Psi'_\lambda(v_n) - \Psi'_\lambda(v)) \left(\frac{f(v_n)}{f'(v_n)} - \frac{f(v)}{f'(v)} \right) \\ &= \Psi'_\lambda(v_n) \frac{f(v_n)}{f'(v_n)} - \Psi'_\lambda(v_n) \frac{f(v)}{f'(v)} - \Psi'_\lambda(v) \frac{f(v_n)}{f'(v_n)} - \Psi'_\lambda(v) \frac{f(v)}{f'(v)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v_n)}{1 + f^2(v_n)} \right) |\nabla v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f^2(v_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v_n)) f^2(v_n) \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v)}{1 + f^2(v)} \right) \nabla v_n \nabla v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \frac{f(v_n)}{\sqrt{1 + f^2(v_n)}} f(v) \sqrt{1 + f^2(v)} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) f'(v_n) \frac{f(v)}{f'(v)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v_n)}{1 + f^2(v_n)} \right) \nabla v_n \nabla v \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \frac{f(v)}{\sqrt{1 + f^2(v)}} f(v_n) \sqrt{1 + f^2(v)} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v)) f(v) f'(v) \frac{f(v_n)}{f'(v_n)} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v)}{1 + f^2(v)} \right) |\nabla v|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f^2(v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v)) f^2(v) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v_n)}{1 + f^2(v_n)} \right) (\nabla v_n - \nabla v)^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{f^2(v_n)}{1 + f^2(v_n)} - \frac{f^2(v)}{1 + f^2(v)} \right) \nabla v (\nabla v_n - \nabla v) \tag{I} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \left(f^2(v_n) - \frac{f(v)}{\sqrt{1 + f^2(v)}} f(v_n) \sqrt{1 + f^2(v_n)} \right) \tag{II} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \left(f^2(v) - \frac{f(v_n)}{\sqrt{1 + f^2(v_n)}} f(v) \sqrt{1 + f^2(v)} \right) \tag{III} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v_n)) \left(f(v_n) f'(v_n) \frac{f(v)}{f'(v)} - f^2(v_n) \right) \tag{IV} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v)) \left(f(v) f'(v) \frac{f(v_n)}{f'(v_n)} - f^2(v) \right) \tag{V} \\ &=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v_n)}{1 + f^2(v_n)} \right) (\nabla v_n - \nabla v)^2 + \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} + \text{IV} + \text{V}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we shall estimate the above terms one by one. First of all, note that since $\nabla v_n \rightharpoonup \nabla v$ weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\frac{f^2(v_n)}{1+f^2(v_n)} - \frac{f^2(v)}{1+f^2(v)}$$

is bounded, we have $\text{I} = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} + \text{III} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \left(f^2(v_n) - \frac{f(v)}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}} f(v_n) \sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)} \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \left(f^2(v) - \frac{f(v_n)}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} f(v) \sqrt{1+f^2(v)} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f(v_n) (f(v_n) - f(v)) + V_\lambda f(v_n) f(v) \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}} \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda f(v) (f(v) - f(v_n)) + V_\lambda f(v) f(v_n) \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda (f(v_n) - f(v))^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \frac{f(v_n) f(v)}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)} (\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)} + \sqrt{1+f^2(v)})} (f^2(v) - f^2(v_n)) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda \frac{f(v) f(v_n)}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)} (\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)} + \sqrt{1+f^2(v)})} (f^2(v_n) - f^2(v)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda (f(v_n) - f(v))^2 + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we use the facts that $f^2(v_n) \rightharpoonup f^2(v)$ weakly and that the two terms

$$\frac{f(v) f(v_n)}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)} (\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)} + \sqrt{1+f^2(v)})}$$

and

$$\frac{f(v) f(v_n)}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)} (\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)} + \sqrt{1+f^2(v)})}$$

are bounded. For the last two terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IV} + \text{V} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) \left(\frac{f(v_n)}{f'(v)} f(v) - f(v_n) \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x, f^2(v)) f(v) \left(\frac{f(v)}{f'(v_n)} f(v_n) - f(v) \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v_n)|^{p-2} f(v_n) \left(\frac{f'(v_n)}{f'(v)} f(v) - f(v_n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) \left(\frac{f(v'_n)}{f'(v)} f(v) - f(v_n) \right) \\
 & + \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v)|^{p-2} f(v) \left(\frac{f'(v)}{f'(v_n)} f(v_n) - f(v) \right) \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v)) f(v) \left(\frac{f'(v)}{f'(v_n)} f(v_n) - f(v) \right) \\
 = & \int_{\Omega'_j} (f(v_n) - f(v))(f^{p-1}(v) - f^{p-1}(v_n)) \\
 & + \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v_n)|^{p-2} f(v_n) \left(\frac{f'(v_n)}{f'(v)} - 1 \right) f(v) \quad (\text{I}_1) \\
 & + \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v)|^{p-2} f(v) \left(\frac{f'(v)}{f'(v_n)} - 1 \right) f(v_n) \quad (\text{I}_2) \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) \left(\frac{f(v'_n)}{f'(v)} f(v) - f(v_n) \right) \quad (\text{I}_3) \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v)) f(v) \left(\frac{f'(v)}{f'(v_n)} f(v_n) - f(v) \right) \quad (\text{I}_4) \\
 = & \int_{\Omega'_j} (f(v_n) - f(v))(f^{p-1}(v) - f^{p-1}(v_n)) + \text{I}_1 + \text{I}_2 + \text{I}_3 + \text{I}_4,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{I}_1 & = \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v_n)|^{p-2} f(v_n) \left(\frac{f'(v_n)}{f'(v)} - 1 \right) f(v) \\
 & = \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v_n)|^{p-2} f(v_n) \frac{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)} - \sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} f(v) \\
 & = \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v_n)|^{p-2} f(v)(f(v) - f(v_n)) \frac{f(v_n)(f(v) + f(v_n))}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}(\sqrt{1+f^2(v)} + \sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)})} \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega'_j} f^p(v_n) \right)^{(p-2/p)} \left(\int_{\Omega'_j} f^p(v) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\Omega'_j} (f(v) - f(v_n))^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{since } f(v_n) \rightarrow f(v) \text{ strongly in } L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\text{I}_2 = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As for $\text{I}_3 + \text{I}_4$, we have

$$\text{I}_3 + \text{I}_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) \left(\frac{f(v'_n)}{f'(v)} f(v) - f(v_n) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v)) f(v) \left(\frac{f'(v)}{f'(v_n)} f(v_n) - f(v) \right) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) (f(v) - f(v_n)) \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v)) f(v) (f(v_n) - f(v)) \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) \left(\frac{f'(v_n)}{f'(v)} - 1 \right) f(v) \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v)) f(v) \left(\frac{f'(v)}{f'(v_n)} - 1 \right) f(v_n).
\end{aligned}$$

For the same reasons that we used in the above estimates for I_1 , we can see that the last two terms in the above equalities go to zero as n goes to infinity.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 + I_4 & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) (f(v) - f(v_n)) \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v)) f(v) (f(v_n) - f(v)) + o(1) \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} (l(f^2(v_n)) - l(f^2(v))) f(v) (f(v) - f(v_n)) \\
& \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) (f(v) - f(v_n))^2 + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $f(v_n) \rightarrow f(v)$ strongly in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $f(v_n) \rightharpoonup f(v)$ weakly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 \leq q \leq 2 \cdot 2^*$, and $l(t) \leq v_0$ for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega'_j} (f(v_n) - f(v))(f^{p-1}(v) - f^{p-1}(v_n)) = o(1)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} (l(f^2(v_n)) - l(f^2(v))) f(v) (f(v) - f(v_n)) = o(1).$$

At last, we obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
o(1) & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v_n)}{1 + f^2(v_n)} \right) |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^2 \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda (f(v) - f(v_n))^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} l(f^2(v_n)) (f(v) - f(v_n))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(f(v) - f(v_n))|^2 \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}} - \frac{\nabla v_n}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} \right|^2 \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} \left| \nabla v_n - \nabla v + \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}}\right) \nabla v \right|^2 \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla v - \nabla v_n|^2}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}}\right) \nabla v (\nabla v - \nabla v_n) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1+f^2(v_n)}}{\sqrt{1+f^2(v)}}\right)^2 |\nabla v|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We claim that both of the last two terms in the above last equality are $o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, the first term goes to zero because $\nabla v_n \rightarrow \nabla v$, while the second term goes to zero by the dominated convergence theorem.

Thus we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(f(v) - f(v_n))|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v - \nabla v_n|^2$$

by [Lemma 2.2](#) and the definition of $l(t)$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla(f(v) - f(v_n))|^2 + V_\lambda(f(v) - f(v_n))^2) \\
 & < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v_n)}{1+f^2(v_n)}\right) |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(f(v) - f(v_n))^2 \\
 & \quad - v_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j} (f(v) - f(v_n))^2 = o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(f(v_n) - f(v))^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(f^2(v_n) - f^2(v)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(v_n) - f(v))(f(v_n) + f(v)) \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\lambda(f(v_n) - f(v))^2 \right)^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C . By Proposition 2.1(3) of [\[Liu et al. 2003\]](#), we have $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in H_G^λ . This completes the proof of [Proposition 4.1](#). \square

5. Some asymptotic behavior

We denote by $H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j)$ the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ under the norm of $H_G^1(\Omega)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that the sequences $\{v_n\} \subset H_G^1$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ satisfy*

$$(5-1) \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(5-2) \quad \Psi_{\lambda_n}(v_n) \rightarrow c,$$

$$(5-3) \quad \|\Psi'_{\lambda_n}(v_n)\|_{\lambda_n}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Then there exists a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ (still denoted by $\{v_n\}$) such that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly in } H_G^1$$

for some $v \in H_G^1$. Moreover, we have:

(i) $v \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_J$, and v is a solution of

$$(5-4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v = |f(v)|^{p-2} f(v) f'(v), & \text{in } \Omega_j, \\ v \in H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j) & \text{for } j \in J. \end{cases}$$

(ii) v_n converges to v in a stronger sense, namely

$$v_n \rightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } H_G^1 \text{ as } \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(iii) *The functions $\{v_n\}$ satisfy:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n) \rightarrow 0, \\ & \Psi_{\lambda_n}(v_n) \rightarrow \sum_{j \in J} I_{\Omega_j}(v), \\ & \|v_n\|_{\lambda_n, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} \rightarrow 0, \\ & \|v_n\|_{\lambda_n, \Omega'_j} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 \quad \text{for } j \in J, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By arguments similar to those used in the proof of [Lemma 4.2](#), we have

$$m(c) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{\lambda_n}^2 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{\lambda_n}^2 \leq M(c).$$

Thus $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in H_G^1 . Hence there is a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ (still denoted by $\{v_n\}$) such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla v_n \rightharpoonup \nabla v \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ & v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } 2 \leq q \leq 2 \cdot 2^*, \\ & v_n \rightarrow v \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ & f(v_n) \rightarrow f(v) \quad \text{strongly in } L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } 2 \leq q < 2 \cdot 2^*, \\ & f(v_n) \rightharpoonup f(v) \quad \text{weakly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } 2 \leq q \leq 2 \cdot 2^*. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Let $C_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid V(x) \geq 1/m\}$. Then for n large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_m} f^2(v_n) &\leq \frac{m}{\lambda_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda_n V f^2(v_n) \leq \frac{m}{\lambda_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n) + |\nabla v_n|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{m}{\lambda_n} C \max\{\|v_n\|_{\lambda_n}, \|v_n\|_{\lambda_n}^2\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$0 \leq \int_{C_m} f^2(v) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_m} f^2(v_n) = 0.$$

Hence $f(v) = 0$ on $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{\Omega}$. Note that $\Psi'_{\lambda_n}(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \Psi'_{\lambda_n}(v_n) \cdot \frac{f(v)}{f'(v)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega_j} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v)}{1 + f^2(v)}\right) \nabla v_n \nabla v - \int_{\Omega_j} w(x, f^2(v_n)) f(v_n) f'(v_n) \frac{f(v)}{f'(v)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega_j} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v)}{1 + f^2(v)}\right) |\nabla v|^2 - \int_{\Omega_j} w(x, f^2(v)) f^2(v) + o(1); \end{aligned}$$

here we use the fact that $f(v_n) \rightarrow f(v)$ strongly in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

On the other hand, by [Lemma 2.2](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \|v\|_{\lambda_n} &\leq \int_{\Omega_j} (|\nabla v|^2 - v_0 f^2(v)) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_j} \left(1 + \frac{f^2(v)}{1 + f^2(v)}\right) |\nabla v|^2 - \int_{\Omega_j} w(x, f^2(v)) f^2(v) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|v\|_{\lambda_n}$ indeed does not depend on λ_n . We have that $v \equiv 0$ in Ω_j for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus J$, and this completes the proof of part (i).

(ii) Indeed, by a similar argument as in the proof of [Proposition 4.1](#), for n large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_{\lambda_n} (f(v_n) - f(v))^2 - v_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j} (f(v) - f(v_n))^2 \\ &\geq \delta_0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_{\lambda_n} (f(v_n) - f(v))^2 \right) \\ &\geq \delta_0 C \min\{\|v_n - v\|_{H_G^{\lambda_n}}, \|v_n - v\|_{H_G^{\lambda_n}}^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|v_n - v\|_{H_G^1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of part (ii).

(iii) This is a direct consequence of parts (i) and (ii). In fact, from (ii) and (i), one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j} V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j} V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n) (f(v_n) - f(v))^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j} V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j} V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v) = 0.$$

Obviously, we get

$$\Psi_{\lambda_n}(v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 + V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x, f^2(v_n)) \rightarrow \sum_{j \in J} I_{\Omega_j}(v),$$

where $I_{\Omega_j}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 - (1/p) \int_{\Omega_j} |f(v)|^p$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^2(v_n)\|_{H_G^{\lambda_n}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j)} &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla v_n\| &= \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 \quad \text{for } j \in J. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of [Proposition 5.1](#). □

Proposition 5.2. *There exist constants $M > 0$, $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that if v_λ is a critical point of Ψ_λ for $\lambda \geq \Lambda_0$, then $|f(v_\lambda)|^2 \leq v_0^{2/(p-2)}$ and $\Psi_\lambda(v) \leq M$. In particular, v_λ solves the problem (1-10).*

Proof. Let $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x - y| < r\}$. Since v_λ is a critical point of Ψ_λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta v_\lambda + V_\lambda f(v_\lambda) f'(v_\lambda) \\ = \chi(\Omega_j) |f(v_\lambda)|^{p-1} f'(v_\lambda) + (1 - \chi(\Omega_j)) l(x, f^2(v_\lambda)) f(v_\lambda) f'(v_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$-\Delta v_\lambda + (V_\lambda - \chi(\Omega_j) |f(v_\lambda)|^{p-12} - (1 - \chi(\Omega_j)) l(x, f^2(v_\lambda))) \frac{f(v_\lambda) f'(v_\lambda)}{v_\lambda} v_\lambda = 0.$$

Let

$$V_0 = (V_\lambda - \chi(\Omega_j) |f(v_\lambda)|^{p-12} - (1 - \chi(\Omega_j)) l(x, f^2(v_\lambda))) \frac{f(v_\lambda) f'(v_\lambda)}{v_\lambda}.$$

Then our assumptions on V imply that V_0 belongs to K_N^{loc} , the local Kato class,

and thus $|v_\lambda(x)|_{L^\infty}$ is bounded (see Theorem C1.2 of [Simon 1982]). It follows from Theorem 8.17 of [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1983] that

$$|v_\lambda(x)| \leq C \int_{B(x,r)} |v_\lambda(y)|^p dy.$$

By Proposition 5.1, we see that for any sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, we can extract a subsequence of $\{\lambda_n\}$ (still denoted by $\{\lambda_n\}$) such that $v_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow v \in H_0^1(\Omega_j)$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j)$. Since the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ can be chosen arbitrarily, we conclude that

$$v_\lambda \rightarrow v \in H_0^1(\Omega_j) \quad \text{strongly as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now choose $r \in (0, \text{dist}(\Omega_j, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j))$; we have, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j$, that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_\lambda(x)| &\leq C(r) \int_{B_r(x)} |v_\lambda(x)|^p \\ &\leq C(r) (\text{meas } B_r(x))^{1-q/2^*} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |v_\lambda(x)|^{2^*} \right)^{p/2^*} \\ &\leq C(r) (\text{meas } B_r(x))^{1-q/2^*} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla v_\lambda(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq C(r) \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} |\nabla v_\lambda|^2 \right)^{1/2} + V_\lambda f^2(v_\lambda) \right) \\ &\leq C \max\{\|v_\lambda\|, \|v_\lambda\|^{1/2}\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f(|v_\lambda|) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.2. \square

Remark 5.3. The critical points of Ψ_λ are not necessarily positive. In fact, if we replace the function v by its positive part v^+ in the nonlinearity term $W(x, f^2(v))$ of Ψ_λ , and the new functional is denoted by Ψ_λ^+ , then by arguments similar to those above, one can see that the new functional Ψ_λ^+ still satisfies properties analogous to all those proved for Ψ_λ in previous sections. As a consequence, the critical points of Ψ^+ are positive. In the following, for convenience we only consider Ψ_λ instead of Ψ_λ^+ .

Remark 5.4. Proposition 4.1 shows that Ψ_λ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition. We can easily check that Ψ_λ has mountain pass geometry. Hence, a mountain pass argument shows that, for each $\lambda > 0$, Ψ_λ admits a nontrivial critical point u_λ . In fact, $\Psi_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq \max_{t>0} I_{\Omega_j}(t\omega_j)$ (see Section 6 for the definition of I_{Ω_j} and ω_j) and thus $\Psi_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq M$, where M is independent of λ . As a result, by Proposition 5.2, we deduce the existence of a positive solution to (1-10) and thus a positive solution

to the original problem (1-1) for $\lambda > \Lambda$. However, it is not clear whether such solutions concentrate on the set Ω_J . The aim of the following parts of the paper is to focus on the solutions with such properties.

6. Limit problem

For $j \in J$ we define the following two functionals:

$$I_{\Omega_j}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega_j} |f(v)|^p \quad \text{for } v \in H_G^{1,0}(\Omega_j),$$

and

$$(6-1) \quad \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega'_j} (|\nabla v|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v)) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v)|^p \quad \text{for } v \in H_G^1(\Omega'_j).$$

By Lemma 2.2 of [Guo and Tang 2012] and the following inequality

$$\|f(v)\|_{L^p} \leq \|f(v)\|_2^\theta \|f(v)\|_{L^{2,2^*}}^{1-\theta} \quad \text{for } 0 < \theta < 1,$$

following a standard argument (see [Tang 2008]), one can see that both I_{Ω_j} and $\Psi_{\Omega'_j}$ satisfy the mountain pass geometry conditions. That is:

$$(i) \quad I_{\Omega_j}(0) = \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(0) = 0.$$

(ii) There exist $\rho_0 > 0$ and $\rho_1 > 0$, independent of $\lambda \geq 0$, such that

$$(6-2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H_G^{1,0}(\Omega_j)} \leq \rho_0 &\implies I_{\Omega_j}(v) \geq 0, \\ \|v\|_{H_G^{1,0}(\Omega_j)} = \rho_0 &\implies I_{\Omega_j}(v) \geq \rho_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6-3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H_G^1(\Omega'_j)} \leq \rho_0 &\implies \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(v) \geq 0, \\ \|v\|_{H_G^1(\Omega'_j)} = \rho_0 &\implies \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(v) \geq \rho_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the notation

$$\|v\|_{H_G^{1,0}(\Omega_j)} = \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 \quad \text{for } v \in H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j).$$

(iii) There exists $\psi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_j)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_j(x)\|_{H_G^1(\Omega_j)} &= \|\psi_j(x)\|_{H_G^{\lambda,0}(\Omega_j)} \geq \rho_1, \\ \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(\psi_j) &= I_{\Omega_j}(\psi_j) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$(6-4) \quad \begin{aligned} c_j &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_j} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\Omega_j}(\gamma(t)), \\ c_{\lambda,j} &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\lambda,j}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(\gamma(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_j &= \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I_{\Omega_j}(\gamma(1)) < 0\}, \\ \Gamma_{\lambda,j} &= \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H_G^1(\Omega'_j)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \Psi_{\lambda,\Omega'_j}(\gamma(1)) < 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 of [Guo and Tang 2012], it is standard to verify that Φ_{λ,Ω'_j} and I_{Ω_j} satisfy the Palais–Smale condition and that $c_j, c_{\lambda,j}$ are achieved by critical points. We denote the corresponding critical points by ω_j and $\omega_{\lambda,j}$ respectively.

Lemma 6.1. (i) $0 < \rho_1 \leq c_{\lambda,j} \leq c_j$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

(ii) c_j and $c_{\lambda,j}$ are least energy levels for I_{Ω_j} and Φ_{λ,Ω'_j} , respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}c_j &= \inf\{I_{\Omega_j}(v) \mid v \in H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is a critical point of } I_{\Omega_j}\}, \\ c_{\lambda,j} &= \inf\{\Psi_{\lambda,\Omega'_j}(v) \mid v \in H_G^1(\Omega'_j) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is a critical point of } \Psi_{\lambda,\Omega'_j}\}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) $c_j = \max_{r>0} I_{\Omega_j}(r\omega_j)$, $c_{\lambda,j} = \max_{r>0} \Phi_{\lambda,\Omega'_j}(r\omega_{\lambda,j})$.

(iv) $c_{\lambda,j} \rightarrow c_j$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By (6-3), it is easy to see that $c_{\lambda,j} \geq \rho_1$. On the other hand, for any $v \in H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j)$, we may extend v to $\tilde{v} \in H_G^1(\Omega'_j)$ by

$$\tilde{v}(x) = \begin{cases} v(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega'_j \setminus \bar{\Omega}_j, \end{cases}$$

so we may regard $H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j) \subset H_G^1(\Omega'_j)$. Thus we have $\Gamma_j \subset \Gamma_{\lambda,j}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(6-5) \quad c_{\lambda,j} &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\lambda,j}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Psi_{\lambda,\Omega'_j}(\gamma(t)) \\ &\leq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_j} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Psi_{\lambda,\Omega'_j}(\gamma(t)) \\ &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_j} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\Omega_j}(\gamma(t)) = c_j.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (i).

Note that, since $f(v)$ is monotone with respect to v , and so is $|f(v)|^p$ with respect to $|f(v)|$, the proofs of (ii) and (iii) are standard; see [Tang 2008].

Now we prove (iv). Using Proposition 5.1, we may extract a subsequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\omega_{\lambda_n,j} \rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{strongly in } H_G^1(\Omega'_j),$$

where $v_0 \in H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j)$ is a solution of (5-4) and

$$\Psi_{\lambda_n,\Omega'_j}(\omega_{\lambda_n,j}) \rightarrow I_{\Omega_j}(v_0).$$

By the definition of c_j , we have

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} c_{\lambda,j} = \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(\omega_{\lambda,j}) \geq I_{\Omega_j}(u_0) \geq c_j.$$

Comparing with (6-5), we get (iv). This completes the proof of Lemma 6.1. \square

7. Minimax arguments

Now we give a minimax argument for Φ_λ (see (1-8)).

We choose $R \geq 2$ such that

$$(7-1) \quad I_{\Omega_j}(R\omega_j) < 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $J = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ ($l \leq k$). Set

$$(7-2) \quad \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) = \sum_{j=1}^l s_j R\omega_j \quad \text{for } (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in [0, 1]^l,$$

$$\Gamma_J = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1]^l, H_G^1) \mid \begin{array}{l} \gamma(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) = \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \\ \text{for } (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We define

$$b_{\lambda,J} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_J} \max_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l)} \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)).$$

Note that the projection $t \mapsto tR\omega_j$ belongs to Γ_j and satisfies

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_{\Omega_j}(tR\omega_j) = c_j$$

for any $j \in J$. Hence $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_J$, $\Gamma_J \neq \emptyset$, and $b_{\lambda,J}$ is well defined. We denote $c_J = \sum_{j=1}^l c_j$. Then we have:

Lemma 7.1. (i) $\sum_{j=1}^l c_{\lambda,j} \leq b_{\lambda,J} \leq c_J$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

(ii) $\Psi_\lambda(\gamma(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) \leq c_J - \rho_1$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_J$ and $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l)$, where ρ_1 is given in (6-2), (6-3).

Proof. For any given $\gamma \in \Gamma_J$, let

$$T_j(s_1, \dots, s_l) = \frac{\int_{\Omega'_j} |f(\gamma(s_1, \dots, s_l))|^{p-1} f'(\gamma(s_1, \dots, s_l)) \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_l)}{\int_{\Omega'_j} |\nabla \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_l)|^2 + V_\lambda f(\gamma(s_1, \dots, s_l)) f'(\gamma(s_1, \dots, s_l)) \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_l)}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

We define a map $\mathcal{T} : [0, 1]^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = (T_1(\cdot), \dots, T_l(\cdot)).$$

Thus for $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(s_1, \dots, s_l) = \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega'_1} |f(s_1 R\omega_1)|^{p-1} f'(s_1 R\omega_1) s_1 R\omega_1}{\int_{\Omega'_1} |\nabla(s_1 R\omega_1)|^2 + V_\lambda f(s_1 R\omega_1) s_1 R\omega_1}, \dots, \frac{\int_{\Omega'_l} |f(s_l R\omega_l)|^{p-1} f'(s_l R\omega_l) s_l R\omega_l}{\int_{\Omega'_l} |\nabla(s_l R\omega_l)|^2 + V_\lambda f(s_l R\omega_l) s_l R\omega_l} \right).$$

To proceed, we consider the function ρ defined by

$$\rho(\alpha) = \frac{\int_{\Omega_j} |f(\alpha v)|^{p-1} f'(\alpha v) \alpha v}{\alpha^2 \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 + \int_{\Omega_j} V_\lambda f(\alpha v) f'(\alpha v) \alpha v} = \frac{\rho_1(\alpha)}{\int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 + \rho_2(\alpha)},$$

where

$$\rho_1(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega_j} \frac{f(\alpha v) |f(\alpha v)|^{p-1} v}{\alpha \sqrt{1 + f^2(\alpha v)}}, \quad \rho_2(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega_j} V_\lambda \frac{f(\alpha v) v}{\alpha \sqrt{1 + f^2(\alpha v)}}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [Guo and Tang 2012], we see that ρ_1 is monotone increasing and ρ_2 is monotone decreasing; as a result, we see that ρ is monotone with respect to α . On the other hand, we note that $I_{\Omega_j}(R\omega_j) < 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$, for the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 of [Tang 2008], so we obtain

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}, [0, 1]^l, (1, 1, \dots, 1)) = 1.$$

Hence there exists $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in [0, 1]^l$ such that

$$(7-3) \quad T_j(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

Now we prove (i).

Since $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\lambda, J} &\leq \max_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in [0, 1]^l} \Psi_\lambda(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) \\ &= \max_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in [0, 1]^l} \sum_{j=1}^l I_{\Omega_j}(s_j R\omega_j) = \sum_{j=1}^l c_j = c_J. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (7-3), for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_J$, there exists $s_\gamma \in [0, 1]^l$ such that

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega'_j} |f(\gamma(s_\gamma))|^{p-1} f'(\gamma(s_\gamma)) \gamma(s_\gamma)}{\int_{\Omega'_j} |\nabla \gamma(s_\gamma)|^2 + V_\lambda f(\gamma(s_\gamma)) \gamma(s_\gamma)} = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

This implies that $\Psi'_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(\gamma(s_\gamma)) \cdot \gamma(s_\gamma) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Thus, if we define $u(x) = \gamma(s_\gamma)(x)$, we have

$$\Psi_\lambda(u) = \Psi_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j}(u) + \sum_{j=1}^l \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(u),$$

where

$$\Psi_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} (|\nabla v|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} W(f^2(v)).$$

Since $W(f^2(v)) \leq v_0 f^2(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} (|\nabla v|^2 + V_\lambda f^2(v)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} W(f^2(v)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_G^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\delta_0}{2} \|u\|_{H_G^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j)}^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\lambda(u) &= \Psi_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j}(u) + \sum_{j=1}^l \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(u) \geq \sum_{j=1}^l \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(u) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^l \inf\{\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(v) \mid v \in H_G^1(\Omega'_j), \Psi'_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(v) \cdot v = 0\} = \sum_{j=1}^l c_{\lambda, j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma \in \Gamma_J$ is arbitrary, we have $b_{\lambda, J} \geq c_{\lambda, J}$.

For (ii), by the definition of γ_0 , for $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l)$ we have

$$\Psi_\lambda(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) = \sum_{j=1}^l I_{\Omega_j}(s_j R\omega_j),$$

and $I_{\Omega_j}(s_j R\omega_j) \leq c_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$. On the other hand, for some $j_0 \in J$, either $s_{j_0} = 1$ or $s_{j_0} = 0$, and thus $I_{\Omega_{j_0}}(s_{j_0} R\omega_{j_0}) \leq 0$. Therefore

$$\Psi_\lambda(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) \leq \sum_{j \neq j_0} I_{\Omega_j}(s_j R\omega_j) \leq c_J - \rho_1.$$

This completes the proof of [Lemma 7.1](#). □

Corollary 7.2. *We have $b_{\lambda, J} \rightarrow c_J$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $b_{\lambda, J}$ is a critical value of Ψ_λ for large λ .*

Proof. From [Lemma 6.1](#), we know that $c_{\lambda, j} \rightarrow c_j$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from [Lemma 7.1](#) that $b_{\lambda, J} \rightarrow c_J$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we may choose Λ large enough such that for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda$, we have $b_{\lambda, J} > c_J - \rho_1$. Since Ψ_λ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition, by the standard deformation argument we can see that $b_{\lambda, J}$ is a critical value of Ψ_λ for $\lambda \geq \Lambda$. □

8. Flow arguments and the proofs of the main results

Let

$$\Psi_{\lambda}^{c_J} = \{v \in H_G^{\lambda} \mid \Psi_{\lambda}(v) \leq c_J\}.$$

We choose

$$(8-1) \quad 0 < \mu < \frac{1}{3} \min_{j \in J} c_j,$$

and define

$$D_{\lambda}^{\mu} = \{v \in H_G^{\lambda} \mid \|v\|_{H_G^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j)} \leq \mu, |\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(v) - c_j| \leq \mu \text{ for all } j \in J\}.$$

Note that ω_j is the least energy solution of (5-4), and

$$\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(\omega_j) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla \omega_j|^2 - \int_{\Omega_j} |f(\omega_j)|^p = c_j.$$

Thus $D_{\lambda}^{\mu} \cap \Psi_{\lambda}^{c_J}$ contains all the functions of the following form:

$$\omega(x) = \begin{cases} \omega_j(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_j. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 8.1. *There exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ and $\Lambda_0 \geq 0$, independent of λ , such that*

$$(8-2) \quad \|\Psi'_{\lambda}(u)\|_{\lambda}^* \geq \sigma_0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq \Lambda_0 \text{ and for all } u \in (D_{\lambda}^{2\mu} \setminus D_{\lambda}^{\mu}) \cap \Psi_{\lambda}^{c_J}.$$

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose that there exist $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $v_n \in (D_{\lambda_n}^{2\mu} \setminus D_{\lambda_n}^{\mu}) \cap \Psi_{\lambda_n}^{c_J}$ such that $\|\Psi'_{\lambda_n}(v_n)\|_{\lambda_n}^* \rightarrow 0$. Since $v_n \in D_{\lambda_n}^{2\mu}$, thus v_n is bounded in H_G^1 , and it turns out that $\Psi_{\lambda_n}(v_n)$ stays bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We may assume that (up to a subsequence)

$$\Psi_{\lambda_n}(v_n) \rightarrow c \leq c_J.$$

Applying Proposition 5.1, we can extract a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ (still denoted by $\{v_n\}$) such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in H_G^1 and such that the following hold:

$$(8-3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\lambda_n}(v_n) = \sum_{j=1}^l I_{\Omega_j}(v) \leq c_J,$$

$$(8-4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{H_G^{\lambda_n}(\Omega'_j)}^2 = \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 \quad \text{for all } j \in J,$$

$$(8-5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega'_j} |f(v_n)|^p = \int_{\Omega_j} |f(v)|^p,$$

$$(8-6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} (|\nabla v_n|^2 + V_{\lambda_n} f^2(v_n)) = 0.$$

Since $c_J = \sum_{j=1}^J c_j$ and c_j is the least energy level for $I_{\Omega_j}(u)$, we have two possibilities:

- (1) $I_{\Omega_j}(v|_{\Omega_j}) = c_j$ for all $j \in J$.
- (2) $I_{\Omega_{j_0}}(v|_{\Omega_{j_0}}) = 0$, that is, $u|_{\Omega_{j_0}} = 0$ for some $j_0 \in J$.

In case (1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_j} |f(v)|^p = c_j \text{ for all } j \in J$$

and it follows from (8-3), (8-4), and (8-6) that $v_n \in D_{\lambda_n}^{\mu}$ for large n , which contradicts the fact that $v_n \in D_{\lambda_n}^{2\mu} \setminus D_{\lambda_n}^{\mu}$.

In case (2), it follows from (8-3) and (8-4) that

$$|\Psi_{H_G^{\lambda_n}(\Omega_{j_0})}(v_n) - c_{j_0}| \rightarrow c_{j_0} \geq 3\mu.$$

This also contradicts the fact that $v_n \in D_{\lambda_n}^{2\mu} \setminus D_{\lambda_n}^{\mu}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 8.2. *Let μ satisfy (7-3) and let Λ_0 be the constant given in Lemma 8.1. Then for $\lambda \geq \Lambda_0$, there exists a solution v_λ of (1-1) such that $v_\lambda \in D_\lambda^\mu \cap \Psi_\lambda^{c_J}$.*

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that Ψ_λ has no critical points in $D_\lambda^\mu \cap \Psi_\lambda^{c_J}$. Since Ψ_λ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition, there exists a constant $d_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|\Psi'_\lambda(v)\|_\lambda^* \geq d_\lambda \quad \text{for all } v \in D_\lambda^\mu \cap \Psi_\lambda^{c_J},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\lambda^*$ is the norm of the dual space of H_G^λ . By Lemma 8.1 we have

$$\|\Psi'_\lambda(v)\|_\lambda^* \geq \sigma_0 \quad \text{for all } v \in (D_\lambda^{2\mu} \setminus D_\lambda^\mu) \cap \Psi_\lambda^{c_J}.$$

Let $\varphi : H_G^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function such that

$$\varphi(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } v \in D_\lambda^{3\mu/2}, \\ 0 & \text{for } v \notin D_\lambda^{2\mu}, \end{cases}$$

and $0 \leq \varphi(v) \leq 1$ for any $v \in H_G^\lambda$.

Since $\Psi_\lambda \in C^1(H_G^\lambda, \mathbb{R})$, we denote by $\mathcal{G} : H_G^{\lambda*} \rightarrow H_G^\lambda$ the pseudogradient field of Ψ , which satisfies

$$(8-7) \quad \|\mathcal{G}(u)\|_{H_G^\lambda} \leq 2\|\Psi'(u)\|_\lambda^*, \quad \langle \Psi'(u), \mathcal{G}(u) \rangle \geq (\|\Psi'(u)\|_\lambda^*)^2.$$

Now for $v \in \Psi_\lambda^{c_J}$, we define $\tilde{W}(v) : \Psi_\lambda^{c_J} \rightarrow H_G^\lambda$ by

$$\tilde{W}(v) = -\varphi(v) \frac{\mathcal{G}(u)}{\|\Psi'_\lambda(v)\|_\lambda^*}.$$

We consider the deformation $\eta : [0, \infty) \times \Psi_\lambda^{c_J} \rightarrow \Psi_\lambda^{c_J}$ defined by

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} = \tilde{W}(\eta(t, v)), \quad \eta(0, v) = v \in \Psi_\lambda^{c_J}.$$

Then $\eta(t, v)$ satisfies

$$(8-8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_\lambda(\eta(t, v)) = -\varphi(\eta(t, v)) \frac{\langle \Psi'_\lambda(\eta(t, v)), \mathcal{G}(\eta(t, v)) \rangle}{\|\Psi'_\lambda(\eta(t, v))\|_\lambda^*} \leq 0,$$

$$(8-9) \quad \left\| \frac{d\eta}{dt} \right\|_\lambda \leq 2 \quad \text{for all } t, v,$$

$$(8-10) \quad \eta(t, v) = v \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } v \in \Psi_\lambda^{c_J} \setminus D_\lambda^{2\mu}.$$

Let $\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \Gamma_J$ be the path defined in (7-2). We consider

$$\eta(t, \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l))$$

for large t . Since for all $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l)$, $\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \notin D_\lambda^{2\mu}$, we have by (8-10) that

$$\eta(t, \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) = \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \quad \text{for all } (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l),$$

and $\eta(t, \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) \in \Gamma_J$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Since $\text{supp } \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x) \subset \bar{\Omega}_J$ for all $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in \partial([0, 1]^l)$, it follows that $\Psi_\lambda(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x))$ and $\|\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x)\|_{H_G^\lambda(\Omega_j)}$ do not depend on $\lambda \geq 0$. On the other hand,

$$\Psi_\lambda(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x)) \leq c_J \quad \text{for all } (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in [0, 1]^l,$$

and $\Psi_\lambda(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x)) = c_J$ if and only if $s_j = 1/R$; that is,

$$\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x)|_{\Omega_j} = \omega_j$$

for all $j \in J$. Thus we have that

$$(8-11) \quad m_0 := \max\{\Psi_\lambda(v) \mid v \in \gamma_0([0, 1]^l) \setminus D_\lambda^\mu\}$$

is independent of λ , and $m_0 < c_J$.

By (8-9), one can see that for any $t > 0$,

$$\|\eta(0, \gamma_0(s_1, \dots, s_l)) - \eta(t, \gamma_0(s_1, \dots, s_l))\|_{H_G^\lambda} \leq 2t.$$

Since $\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega_j^\lambda} \in C^2(H_G^\lambda)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, l$, by the same arguments as in Proposition 4.5 of [Tang 2008], we have that for a large number T , there exists a positive number μ_0 , which is independent of λ , such that for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\Psi'_{\lambda, \Omega_j^\lambda}(\eta(t, \gamma_0(s_1, \dots, s_l)))\|_{H_G^\lambda}^* \leq \mu_0.$$

We claim that for large T ,

$$(8-12) \quad \max_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) \in [0, 1]^l} \Psi_\lambda(\eta(T, \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x))) \leq \max\{m_0, c_J - \frac{1}{2}\tau_0\mu\},$$

where $\tau_0 = \max\{\sigma_0, \sigma_0/\mu_0\}$, and m_0 is given in (8-11).

In fact, if $\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x) \notin D_\lambda^\mu$, then by (8-11) we have

$$\Psi_\lambda(\eta(T, \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x))) \leq m_0,$$

and thus (8-12) holds.

Now we consider the case when $\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x) \in D_\lambda^\mu$. Set

$$\tilde{d}_\lambda := \min\{d_\lambda, \sigma_0\}, \quad T = \frac{\sigma_0\mu}{4\tilde{d}_\lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\eta}(t) := \eta(t, \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)).$$

We have two cases:

- (1) $\tilde{\eta}(t) \in D_\lambda^{3\mu/2}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.
- (2) $\tilde{\eta}(t_0) \in \partial D_\lambda^{3\mu/2}$ for some $t_0 \in [0, T]$.

If (1) holds, then $\varphi(\tilde{\eta}(t)) = 1$ and $\|\Psi'_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(t))\|_\lambda^* \geq \tilde{d}_\lambda$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. It follows from (8-8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(T)) &= \Psi_\lambda(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) + \int_0^T \frac{d}{ds} \Psi_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(t)) \\ &\leq c_J - 2 \int_0^T \tilde{d}_\lambda ds = c_J - 2\tilde{d}_\lambda T \leq c_J - \frac{1}{2}\tau_0\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If (2) holds, there exists $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ such that

$$(8-13) \quad \tilde{\eta}(t_1) \in \partial D_\lambda^\mu,$$

$$(8-14) \quad \tilde{\eta}(t_2) \in \partial D_\lambda^{3\mu/2},$$

$$(8-15) \quad \tilde{\eta}(t) \in D_\lambda^{3\mu/2} \setminus D_\lambda^\mu \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_1, t_2].$$

By (8-14), either

$$\|\tilde{\eta}(t_2)\|_{H_G^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j)} = \frac{3\mu}{2}$$

or

$$|\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j}(\tilde{\eta}(t_2)) - c_{j_0}| = \frac{3\mu}{2} \quad \text{for some } j_0 \in J.$$

We only address the latter case; the former can be proved in a similar way. By (8-14), we have

$$|\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j_0}(\tilde{\eta}(t_1)) - c_{j_0}| \leq \mu,$$

and hence

$$|\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j_0}(\tilde{\eta}(t_2)) - \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j_0}(\tilde{\eta}(t_1))| \geq |\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j_0}(\tilde{\eta}(t_2)) - c_{j_0}| - |\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_j_0}(\tilde{\eta}(t_1)) - c_{j_0}| \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu.$$

On the other hand, by the mean value theorem, there exists $t' \in (t_1, t_2)$ such that

$$|\Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_{j_0}}(\tilde{\eta}(t_2)) - \Psi_{\lambda, \Omega'_{j_0}}(\tilde{\eta}(t_1))| = \left| \Psi'_{\lambda, \Omega'_{j_0}}(\tilde{\eta}(t')) \cdot \frac{d\tilde{\eta}}{dt} \right| (t_2 - t_1).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\lambda}(\tilde{\eta}(T)) &= \Psi_{\lambda}(\gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)(x)) - \int_0^T \varphi(\tilde{\eta}(s)) \frac{\langle \Psi'(\tilde{\eta}(s)), \mathcal{G}(\tilde{\eta}(s)) \rangle}{\|\Psi'_{\lambda}(\tilde{\eta}(s))\|_{\lambda}^*} v \\ &\leq c_J - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi(\tilde{\eta}(s)) \|\Psi'_{\lambda}(\tilde{\eta}(s))\|_{\lambda}^* ds \\ &= c_J - \sigma_0(t_2 - t_1) \leq c_J - \frac{1}{2} \tau_0 \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (8-12) is proved. Recall that $\tilde{\eta}(T) = \eta(T, \gamma_0(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l)) \in \Gamma_J$. Hence

$$(8-16) \quad b_{\lambda, J} \leq \Psi_{\lambda}(\tilde{\eta}(T)) \leq \max\{m_0, c_J - \frac{1}{2} \tau_0 \mu\}.$$

However, by Corollary 7.2, we have $b_{\lambda, J} \rightarrow c_J$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts (8-16), and hence Ψ_{λ} has a critical point $v_{\lambda} \in D_{\lambda}^{\mu}$ for large λ , so by Proposition 5.2, v_{λ} is a solution of the problem (1-10). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let v_{λ} be a solution to the problem (1-1) obtained in Proposition 8.2. For any given sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, we can extract a subsequence (still denoted by $\{\lambda_n\}$). Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we can extract a subsequence of $\{v_{\lambda_n}\}$ (still denoted $\{v_{\lambda_n}\}$) such that $v_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow v$ in H_G^1 and

$$(8-17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\lambda_n}(v_n) = c_j \quad \text{for all } j \in J,$$

$$(8-18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_j} (|\nabla v_{\lambda_n}|^2 + V_{\lambda_n} |f(v_{\lambda_n})|^2) = 0.$$

Since the limits in (8-17) and (8-18) do not depend on the choice of sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ ($\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$), then both (1-12) and (1-13) hold, and the limit function $v(x)$ satisfies:

- (1) $v(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_J$.
- (2) $v|_{\Omega_j}$ is a least energy solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v(x) = |f(v)|^{p-1} f(v), & x \in \Omega_j, \\ v(x) \in H_G^{0,1}(\Omega_j) \end{cases}$$

for $j \in J$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

References

- [Ambrosetti et al. 1997] A. Ambrosetti, M. Badiale, and S. Cingolani, “Semiclassical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **140**:3 (1997), 285–300. MR 98k:35172 Zbl 0896.35042
- [Ambrosetti et al. 2001] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, and S. Secchi, “Multiplicity results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **159**:3 (2001), 253–271. MR 2002m:35044 Zbl 1040.35107
- [Bartsch and Wang 2000] T. Bartsch and Z.-Q. Wang, “Multiple positive solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation”, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **51**:3 (2000), 366–384. MR 2001f:35363 Zbl 0972.35145
- [Brihaye and Hartmann 2006] Y. Brihaye and B. Hartmann, “Solitons on nanotubes and fullerenes as solutions of a modified non-linear Schrödinger equation”, pp. 135–151 in *Advances in soliton research*, edited by L. V. Chen, Nova Science, Hauppauge, NY, 2006. MR 2215050 arXiv nlin/0404059
- [Brizhik et al. 2003] L. Brizhik, A. Eremko, B. Piette, and W. J. Zakrzewski, “Static solutions of a D -dimensional modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation”, *Nonlinearity* **16**:4 (2003), 1481–1497. MR 2004e:35206 Zbl 1042.35072
- [Brüll and Lange 1986] L. Brüll and H. Lange, “Solitary waves for quasilinear Schrödinger equations”, *Exposition. Math.* **4**:3 (1986), 279–288. MR 88i:35145 Zbl 0611.35081
- [Cingolani and Lazzo 2000] S. Cingolani and M. Lazzo, “Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions”, *J. Differential Equations* **160**:1 (2000), 118–138. MR 2000j:35079 Zbl 0952.35043
- [Cingolani and Nolasco 1998] S. Cingolani and M. Nolasco, “Multi-peak periodic semiclassical states for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **128**:6 (1998), 1249–1260. MR 2000a:35222 Zbl 0922.35158
- [Colin 2003] M. Colin, “Stability of stationary waves for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation in space dimension 2”, *Adv. Differential Equations* **8**:1 (2003), 1–28. MR 2003k:35226 Zbl 1042.35074
- [Colin and Jeanjean 2004] M. Colin and L. Jeanjean, “Solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation: a dual approach”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **56**:2 (2004), 213–226. MR 2004k:35110 Zbl 1035.35038
- [Colin et al. 2010] M. Colin, L. Jeanjean, and M. Squassina, “Stability and instability results for standing waves of quasi-linear Schrödinger equations”, *Nonlinearity* **23**:6 (2010), 1353–1385. MR 2011k:35213 Zbl 1192.35163
- [Ding and Tanaka 2003] Y. Ding and K. Tanaka, “Multiplicity of positive solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation”, *Manuscripta Math.* **112**:1 (2003), 109–135. MR 2004i:35099 Zbl 1038.35114
- [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1983] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **224**, Springer, Berlin, 1983. MR 86c:35035 Zbl 0562.35001
- [Guo and Tang 2012] Y. Guo and Z. Tang, “Ground state solutions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equation”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**:6 (2012), 3235–3248. MR 2890985 Zbl 1234.35246
- [Hartmann and Zakrzewski 2003] B. Hartmann and W. J. Zakrzewski, “Electrons on hexagonal lattices and applications to nanotubes”, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**:18 (2003), Article ID # 184302.
- [Kurihura 1981] S. Kurihura, “Large-amplitude quasi-solitons in superfluid films”, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **50** (1981), 3262–3267.
- [Lins and Silva 2009] H. F. Lins and E. A. B. Silva, “Quasilinear asymptotically periodic elliptic equations with critical growth”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**:7-8 (2009), 2890–2905. MR 2010g:35179 Zbl 1167.35338

- [Liu et al. 2003] J.-q. Liu, Y.-q. Wang, and Z.-Q. Wang, “Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations, II”, *J. Differential Equations* **187**:2 (2003), 473–493. [MR 2004e:35074](#) [Zbl 1229.35268](#)
- [Liu et al. 2012] J.-Q. Liu, Z.-Q. Wang, and Y.-X. Guo, “Multibump solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**:9 (2012), 4040–4102. [MR 2899987](#) [Zbl 1247.35045](#)
- [Liu et al. 2013] X.-Q. Liu, J.-Q. Liu, and Z.-Q. Wang, “Quasilinear elliptic equations with critical growth via perturbation method”, *J. Differential Equations* **254**:1 (2013), 102–124. [MR 2983045](#) [Zbl 1266.35086](#)
- [do Ó et al. 2010a] J. M. B. do Ó, O. H. Miyagaki, and S. H. M. Soares, “Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations with critical growth”, *J. Differential Equations* **248**:4 (2010), 722–744. [MR 2011b:35489](#) [Zbl 1182.35205](#)
- [do Ó et al. 2010b] J. M. do Ó, S. Miyagaki, and Soares, “Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations in dimension two”, *Calc. Var. PDEs* **38** (2010), 275–315.
- [del Pino and Felmer 1997] M. del Pino and P. L. Felmer, “Semi-classical states for nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **149**:1 (1997), 245–265. [MR 98i:35183](#) [Zbl 0887.35058](#)
- [del Pino and Felmer 1998] M. del Pino and P. L. Felmer, “Multi-peak bound states for nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **15**:2 (1998), 127–149. [MR 99c:35228](#) [Zbl 0901.35023](#)
- [Rao and Ren 1991] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **146**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991. [MR 92e:46059](#) [Zbl 0724.46032](#)
- [Simon 1982] B. Simon, “Schrödinger semigroups”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7**:3 (1982), 447–526. [MR 86b:81001a](#) [Zbl 0524.35002](#)
- [Tang 2008] Z. Tang, “Multi-bump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields and critical frequency”, *J. Differential Equations* **245**:10 (2008), 2723–2748. [MR 2009h:35412](#) [Zbl 1180.35237](#)

Received December 16, 2012. Revised February 19, 2013.

YUXIA GUO
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY
 BEIJING, 100084
 CHINA
yguo@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

ZHONGWEI TANG
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
 BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS
 MINISTRY OF EDUCATION
 BEIJING, 100875
 CHINA
tangzw@bnu.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2014 is US \$410/year for the electronic version, and \$535/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 270 No. 1 July 2014

Hermitian categories, extension of scalars and systems of sesquilinear forms	1
EVA BAYER-FLUCKIGER, URIYA A. FIRST and DANIEL A. MOLDOVAN	
Realizations of the three-point Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}/d\mathbb{R})$	27
BEN COX and ELIZABETH JURISICH	
Multi-bump bound state solutions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equation with critical frequency	49
YUXIA GUO and ZHONGWEI TANG	
On stable solutions of the biharmonic problem with polynomial growth	79
HATEM HAJLAOUI, ABDELLAZIZ HARRABI and DONG YE	
Valuative multiplier ideals	95
ZHENGYU HU	
Quasiconformal conjugacy classes of parabolic isometries of complex hyperbolic space	129
YOUNGJU KIM	
On the distributional Hessian of the distance function	151
CARLO MANTEGAZZA, GIOVANNI MASCELLANI and GENNADY URALTSEV	
Noether's problem for abelian extensions of cyclic p -groups	167
IVO M. MICHAILOV	
Legendrian θ -graphs	191
DANIELLE O'DONNOL and ELENA PAVELESCU	
A class of Neumann problems arising in conformal geometry	211
WEIMIN SHENG and LI-XIA YUAN	
Ryshkov domains of reductive algebraic groups	237
TAKAO WATANABE	