

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR ALGEBRAS
AND AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS**

QIANG FU

Volume 270 No. 2

August 2014

AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR ALGEBRAS AND AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS

QIANG FU

Let F be the Schur functor from the category of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules to that of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules, where $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ is the extended affine Hecke algebra of type A over \mathbb{C} and $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ is the affine quantum Schur algebras over \mathbb{C} . The Drinfeld polynomials associated with $F(V)$, where V is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -module, have been previously determined when $n > r$. Here we generalize these results to the case $n \leq r$. As an application, we recover the classification of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules proved by Deng, Du and Fu using a different method. As another application, we generalize a result of Green to the affine case.

1. Introduction

Finite-dimensional irreducible modules for quantum affine algebras were classified by Chari and Pressley [1991; 1994; 1995; 1997] in terms of Drinfeld polynomials. Finite-dimensional irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ were classified in [Zelevinsky 1980; Rogawski 1985], where $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ is the extended affine Hecke algebra of type A over the complex field \mathbb{C} with a non-root of unity. The category of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules and the category of finite-dimensional $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules which are of level r are related by a functor \mathcal{F} defined in [Chari and Pressley 1996, §4.2]. Here $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n over \mathbb{C} . Chari and Pressley [loc. cit.] proved that \mathcal{F} is an equivalence of categories if $n > r$. Furthermore the Drinfeld polynomials associated with $\mathcal{F}(V)$ were determined in [loc. cit., §7.6] in the case of $n > r$, where V is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -module.

Let $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n over \mathbb{C} . In [Frenkel and Mukhin 2002], finite-dimensional irreducible polynomial representations of $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ were classified. It was proved in [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 3.8.1] that the natural algebra homomorphism ζ_r from $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ to the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ is

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Program NCET, Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

MSC2010: 17B37, 20C08, 20G43.

Keywords: affine quantum Schur algebras, affine Hecke algebras, Schur functor.

surjective. Every $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -module can be regarded as a $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module via ζ_r . Let F be the Schur functor from the category of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules to the category of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules. It was proved in [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 4.1.3 and Proposition 4.2.1] that F is an equivalence of categories in the case of $n \geq r$ and that $F(V)|_{U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(V)$ for any $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -module V . Furthermore, using [Chari and Pressley 1996, §7.6], the Drinfeld polynomials associated with $F(V)$ were determined in [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 4.4.2] in the case of $n > r$, where V is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -module. We will generalize these results to the case of $n \leq r$ in Theorem 4.9. Using this result, we will prove in Corollary 4.10 the classification theorem of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules, which was established in [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 4.6.8]. Finally, we will relate the parametrization of irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(N, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules, via the functor G defined in (4.10.1), to the parametrization of irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules in Theorem 4.11. This result is the affine version of [Green 2007, (6.5f)].

2. Quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n

Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a complex number which is not a root of unity, where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $(c_{i,j})$ be the Cartan matrix of affine type A_{n-1} . We recall the Drinfeld’s new realization of quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n as follows.

Definition 2.1. The *quantum loop algebra* $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (or *quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n*) is the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by $x_{i,s}^\pm$ ($1 \leq i < n, s \in \mathbb{Z}$), $k_i^{\pm 1}$, and $g_{i,t}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) with the following relations:

(QLA1) $k_i k_i^{-1} = 1 = k_i^{-1} k_i, [k_i, k_j] = 0,$

(QLA2) $k_i x_{j,s}^\pm = v^{\pm(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})} x_{j,s}^\pm k_i, [k_i, g_{j,s}] = 0,$

(QLA3) $[g_{i,s}, x_{j,t}^\pm] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, j + 1, \\ \pm v^{-js} ([s]/s) x_{j,s+t}^\pm & \text{if } i = j, \\ \mp v^{-js} ([s]/s) x_{j,s+t}^\pm & \text{if } i = j + 1, \end{cases}$

(QLA4) $[g_{i,s}, g_{j,t}] = 0,$

(QLA5) $[x_{i,s}^+, x_{j,t}^-] = \delta_{i,j} (\phi_{i,s+t}^+ - \phi_{i,s+t}^-) / (v - v^{-1}),$

(QLA6) $x_{i,s}^\pm x_{j,t}^\pm = x_{j,t}^\pm x_{i,s}^\pm$ for $|i - j| > 1$, and $[x_{i,s+1}^\pm, x_{j,t}^\pm]_{v^{\pm c_{ij}}} = -[x_{j,t+1}^\pm, x_{i,s}^\pm]_{v^{\pm c_{ij}}},$

(QLA7) $[x_{i,s}^\pm, [x_{j,t}^\pm, x_{i,p}^\pm]_v]_v = -[x_{i,p}^\pm, [x_{j,t}^\pm, x_{i,s}^\pm]_v]_v$ for $|i - j| = 1,$

where $[x, y]_a = xy - ayx, [s] = (v^s - v^{-s}) / (v - v^{-1}),$ and the $\phi_{i,s}^\pm$ are defined via generating functions in the indeterminate u by

$$\Phi_i^\pm(u) := \tilde{k}_i^{\pm 1} \exp\left(\pm(v - v^{-1}) \sum_{m \geq 1} h_{i,\pm m} u^{\pm m}\right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \phi_{i,\pm s}^\pm u^{\pm s}$$

with $\tilde{k}_i = k_i/k_{i+1}$ ($k_{n+1} = k_1$) and $h_{i,\pm m} = v^{\pm(i-1)m}g_{i,\pm m} - v^{\pm(i+1)m}g_{i+1,\pm m}$ ($1 \leq i < n$).

The algebra $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ has another presentation which we now describe. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ be the double Ringel–Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$. By [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 2.3.1], the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ has the following presentation.

Lemma 2.2. *The double Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ of the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by $E_i, F_i, K_i, K_i^{-1}, z_s^+, z_s^-$, for $1 \leq i \leq n, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and relations:*

(QGL1) $K_i K_j = K_j K_i, K_i K_i^{-1} = 1,$

(QGL2) $K_i E_j = v^{\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j K_i, K_i F_j = v^{-\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1}} F_j K_i,$

(QGL3) $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j}(\tilde{K}_i - \tilde{K}_i^{-1})/(v - v^{-1}),$ where $\tilde{K}_i = K_i K_{i+1}^{-1},$

(QGL4) $\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}} (-1)^a \begin{bmatrix} 1 - c_{i,j} \\ a \end{bmatrix} E_i^a E_j E_i^b = 0$ for $i \neq j,$

(QGL5) $\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}} (-1)^a \begin{bmatrix} 1 - c_{i,j} \\ a \end{bmatrix} F_i^a F_j F_i^b = 0$ for $i \neq j,$

(QGL6) $z_s^+ z_t^+ = z_t^+ z_s^+, z_s^- z_t^- = z_t^- z_s^-, z_s^+ z_t^- = z_t^- z_s^+,$

(QGL7) $K_i z_s^+ = z_s^+ K_i, K_i z_s^- = z_s^- K_i,$

(QGL8) $E_i z_s^+ = z_s^+ E_i, E_i z_s^- = z_s^- E_i, F_i z_s^- = z_s^- F_i,$ and $z_s^+ F_i = F_i z_s^+,$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq n, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^a \frac{v^{c-s+1} - v^{-c+s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}} \quad \text{for } c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication $\Delta,$ counit $\varepsilon,$ and antipode σ defined by

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes \tilde{K}_i + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes 1 + \tilde{K}_i^{-1} \otimes F_i,$$

$$\Delta(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\pm 1} \otimes K_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta(z_s^{\pm}) = z_s^{\pm} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z_s^{\pm},$$

$$\varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = 0 = \varepsilon(z_s^{\pm}), \quad \varepsilon(K_i) = 1,$$

$$\sigma(E_i) = -E_i \tilde{K}_i^{-1}, \quad \sigma(F_i) = -\tilde{K}_i F_i, \quad \sigma(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\mp 1}, \quad \sigma(z_s^{\pm}) = -z_s^{\pm},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$

Let $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ generated by $E_i, F_i, \tilde{K}_i, \tilde{K}_i^{-1}$ for $i \in [1, n].$ Beck [1994] proved that $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by all $x_{i,s}^{\pm}, \tilde{k}_i^{\pm 1},$ and $h_{i,t}.$ The following result extends Beck’s isomorphism.

Lemma 2.3 [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Proposition 4.4.1]. *There is a Hopf algebra isomorphism*

$$f : \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n) \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} K_i^{\pm 1} &\mapsto \mathfrak{k}_i^{\pm 1}, & E_j &\mapsto \mathfrak{x}_{j,0}^+, & F_j &\mapsto \mathfrak{x}_{j,0}^- \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n), \\ E_n &\mapsto v\mathfrak{X}\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}_n, & F_n &\mapsto v^{-1}\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}_n^{-1}\mathfrak{y}, & z_s^{\pm} &\mapsto \mp s v^{\pm s} \theta_{\pm s} \quad (s \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\pm s} &= \mp \frac{1}{[s]} (\mathfrak{g}_{1,\pm s} + \cdots + \mathfrak{g}_{n,\pm s}), \\ \mathfrak{X} &= [\mathfrak{x}_{n-1,0}^-, [\mathfrak{x}_{n-2,0}^-, \dots, [\mathfrak{x}_{2,0}^-, \mathfrak{x}_{1,1}^-]_{v^{-1}} \cdots]_{v^{-1}}]_{v^{-1}}, \\ \mathfrak{y} &= [\cdots [[\mathfrak{x}_{1,-1}^+, \mathfrak{x}_{2,0}^+]_v, \mathfrak{x}_{3,0}^+]_v, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{n-1,0}^+]_v. \end{aligned}$$

We now review the classification theorem of finite-dimensional irreducible polynomial $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -modules. We first need to introduce the elements $\mathfrak{Q}_{i,s} \in U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, which will be used to define pseudo-highest weight modules. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the elements $\mathfrak{Q}_{i,s} \in U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ through the generating functions

$$\mathfrak{Q}_i^{\pm}(u) := \exp \left(- \sum_{t \geq 1} \frac{1}{[t]} g_{i,\pm t} (vu)^{\pm t} \right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \mathfrak{Q}_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s} \in U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)[[u, u^{-1}]].$$

For a representation V of $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, a nonzero vector $w \in V$ is called a *pseudo-highest weight vector* if there exists some $Q_{i,s} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(2.3.1) \quad \mathfrak{x}_{j,s}^+ w = 0, \quad \mathfrak{Q}_{i,s} w = Q_{i,s} w, \quad \mathfrak{k}_i w = v^{\lambda_i} w$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The module V is called a *pseudo-highest weight module* if $V = U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w$ for some pseudo-highest weight vector w . We also write the short form $\mathfrak{Q}_i^{\pm}(u)w = Q_i^{\pm}(u)w$ for the relations $\mathfrak{Q}_{i,s} w = Q_{i,s} w$ ($s \in \mathbb{Z}$), where

$$Q_i^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{s \geq 0} Q_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s}.$$

Let V be a finite-dimensional polynomial representation of $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of type 1. Then $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} V_{\lambda}$, where

$$V_{\lambda} = \{x \in V \mid \mathfrak{k}_j x = v^{\lambda_j} x, 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

and, since all $\mathfrak{Q}_{i,s}$ commute with the \mathfrak{k}_j , each V_{λ} is a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces of the form

$$(2.3.2) \quad V_{\lambda,\gamma} = \{x \in V_{\lambda} \mid (\mathfrak{Q}_{i,s} - \gamma_{i,s})^p x = 0 \text{ for some } p (1 \leq i \leq n, s \in \mathbb{Z})\},$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_{i,s})$ with $\gamma_{i,s} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Gamma_i^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \gamma_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s}$.

A finite-dimensional $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module V is called a *polynomial representation* if the restriction of V to $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a polynomial representation of type 1 and, for every weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ of V , the formal power series $\Gamma_i^{\pm}(u)$ associated to the eigenvalues $(\gamma_{i,s})_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defining the generalized eigenspaces $V_{\lambda, \gamma}$ as given in (2.3.2), are polynomials in u^{\pm} of degree λ_i so that the zeroes of the functions $\Gamma_i^+(u)$ and $\Gamma_i^-(u)$ are the same.

Following [Frenkel and Mukhin 2002], an n -tuple of polynomials

$$\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u))$$

with constant terms 1 is called *dominant* if, for each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, the ratio $Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u)$ is a polynomial. Let $\mathfrak{Q}(n)$ be the set of dominant n -tuples of polynomials.

For $g(u) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} (1 - a_i u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ with constant term 1 and $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$, define

$$(2.3.3) \quad g^{\pm}(u) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} (1 - a_i^{\pm 1} u^{\pm 1}).$$

For $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)$, define $Q_{i,s} \in \mathbb{C}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, by the formula

$$Q_i^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{s \geq 0} Q_{i, \pm s} u^{\pm s},$$

where $Q_i^{\pm}(u)$ is defined using (2.3.3). Let $I(\mathbf{Q})$ be the left ideal of $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $x_{j,s}^+$, $\mathfrak{Q}_{i,s} - Q_{i,s}$, and $k_i - v^{\lambda_i}$, for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda_i = \deg Q_i(u)$, and define

$$M(\mathbf{Q}) = U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)/I(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Then $M(\mathbf{Q})$ has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted by $L(\mathbf{Q})$. The polynomials $Q_i(u)$ are called *Drinfeld polynomials* associated with $L(\mathbf{Q})$.

Theorem 2.4 [Frenkel and Mukhin 2002]. *The $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -modules $L(\mathbf{Q})$ with $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)$ are all nonisomorphic finite-dimensional irreducible polynomial representations of $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.*

If $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)$ satisfies $Q_j(v^{j-1}u)/Q_{j+1}(v^{j+1}u) = Q'_j(v^{j-1}u)/Q'_{j+1}(v^{j+1}u)$ and $\deg Q_j(u) - \deg Q_{j+1}(u) = \deg Q'_j(u) - \deg Q'_{j+1}(u)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, then $L(\mathbf{Q})|_{U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong L(\mathbf{Q}')|_{U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)}$, by [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Lemma 4.7.1, Corollary 4.7.2]. Thus we can denote $L(\mathbf{Q})|_{U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)}$ by $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$, where $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), \dots, P_{n-1}(u))$ with $P_j(u) = Q_j(v^{j-1}u)/Q_{j+1}(v^{j+1}u)$.

Let $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ be the set of $(n - 1)$ -tuples of polynomials with constant term 1. The following result is due to Chari and Pressley [1991; 1994; 1995].

Theorem 2.5. *The modules $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$ with $\mathbf{P} \in \mathfrak{P}(n)$ are all nonisomorphic finite-dimensional irreducible $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules of type 1.*

3. Affine quantum Schur algebras

In this section we collect some facts about extended affine Hecke algebras and affine quantum Schur algebras, which will be used in Section 4. The extended affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ is defined to be the algebra generated by

$$T_i, \quad X_j^{\pm 1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq r),$$

and relations

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i + 1)(T_i - v^2) &= 0, \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad (|i - j| > 1), \\ X_i X_i^{-1} &= 1 = X_i^{-1} X_i, \quad X_i X_j = X_j X_i, \\ T_i X_i T_i &= v^2 X_{i+1}, \quad X_j T_i = T_i X_j \quad (j \neq i, i + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathfrak{S}_r be the symmetric group with generators $s_i := (i, i + 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$. Let $I(n, r) = \{(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid 1 \leq i_k \leq n, \forall k\}$. The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_r acts on the set $I(n, r)$ by place permutation:

$$i w = (i_{w(1)}, \dots, i_{w(r)}), \quad \text{for } i \in I(n, r) \text{ and } w \in \mathfrak{S}_r.$$

Let $\Omega_\mathbb{C}$ be a vector space over \mathbb{C} with basis $\{\omega_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, write

$$\omega_{\mathbf{i}} = \omega_{i_1} \otimes \omega_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{i_r} = \omega_{i_1} \omega_{i_2} \dots \omega_{i_r} \in \Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r}.$$

The tensor space $\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r}$ admits a right $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -module structure defined by

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot X_t^{-1} = \omega_{i_1} \dots \omega_{i_{t-1}} \omega_{i_t+n} \omega_{i_{t+1}} \dots \omega_{i_r} & \text{for all } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^r, \\ \omega_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot T_k = \begin{cases} v^2 \omega_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{if } i_k = i_{k+1}, \\ v \omega_{i_{s_k}} & \text{if } i_k < i_{k+1}, \\ v \omega_{i_{s_k}} + (v^2 - 1) \omega_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{if } i_{k+1} < i_k, \end{cases} & \text{for all } \mathbf{i} \in I(n, r), \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq r - 1$ and $1 \leq t \leq r$.

The algebra

$$\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T}_\Delta(n, r))$$

is called an affine q -Schur algebra, where $\mathcal{T}_\Delta(n, r) = \Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r}$. Let $\Omega_{n, \mathbb{C}}$ be the subspace of $\Omega_\mathbb{C}$ spanned by ω_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ generated by T_k for $1 \leq k \leq r - 1$. Then the algebra $\mathcal{S}(n, r)_\mathbb{C} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T}(n, r))$ is called a q -Schur algebra, where $\mathcal{T}(n, r) = \Omega_{n, \mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$.

The algebras $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ are related by an algebra homomorphism ζ_r , which we now describe. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \bar{i} denotes the corresponding integer modulo n .

The complex vector space $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a natural $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ -module with the action

$$(3.0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} E_i \cdot \omega_s &= \delta_{\overline{i+1}, \overline{s}} \omega_{s-1}, & F_i \cdot \omega_s &= \delta_{\overline{i}, \overline{s}} \omega_{s+1}, & K_i^{\pm 1} \cdot \omega_s &= v^{\pm \delta_{\overline{i}, \overline{s}}} \omega_s, \\ z_i^+ \cdot \omega_s &= \omega_{s-tn}, & z_i^- \cdot \omega_s &= \omega_{s+tn}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hopf algebra structure induces a $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ -module $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$. By [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Proposition 3.5.5], the actions of $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ are commute. We will identify $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ and $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ via the algebra isomorphism f defined in Lemma 2.3. Consequently, there is an algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta_r : U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

It is proved in [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 3.8.1] that ζ_r is surjective. Let $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta, \mathbb{C}}(n)$ generated by E_i, F_i, K_j, K_j^{-1} for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. The restriction of ζ_r to $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ induces a surjective algebra homomorphism $\zeta_r : U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [Jimbo 1986]). Every $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module (resp., $\mathcal{S}(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module) will be inflated into a $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module (resp., $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module) via ζ_r .

The following easy lemma relates $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ with $\Omega_{n, \mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$.

Lemma 3.1 [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Lemma 4.1.1]. *There is a $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ - $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bimodule isomorphism*

$$\Omega_{n, \mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}, \quad x \otimes h \mapsto xh.$$

The irreducible $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules were classified in [Zelevinsky 1980; Rogawski 1985], which we now describe. For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$, let $M_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}/J_{\mathbf{a}}$, where $J_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the left ideal of $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by $X_j - a_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

A *segment* s with center $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is by definition an ordered sequence

$$s = (av^{-k+1}, av^{-k+3}, \dots, av^{k-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k.$$

Here k is called the length of the segment, denoted by $|s|$. If $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$ is an unordered collection of segments, define $\wp(s)$ to be the partition associated with the sequence $(|s_1|, \dots, |s_p|)$. That is, $\wp(s) = (|s_{i_1}|, \dots, |s_{i_p}|)$ with $|s_{i_1}| \geq \dots \geq |s_{i_p}|$, where $|s_{i_1}|, \dots, |s_{i_p}|$ is a permutation of $|s_1|, \dots, |s_p|$. We also call $|s| := |\wp(s)|$ the length of s .

Let \mathcal{S}_r be the set of unordered collections of segments s with $|s| = r$. Then $\mathcal{S}_r = \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda^+(r)} \mathcal{S}_{r, \mu}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{r, \mu} = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_r \mid \wp(s) = \mu\}$ and $\Lambda^+(r)$ is the set of partitions of r .

If $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_m}$ is reduced let $T_w = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_m}$. For $p \geq 1$ let

$$(3.1.1) \quad \Lambda(p, r) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{N}^p \mid \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \mu_i = r \right\}$$

For $\mu \in \Lambda(p, r)$ let \mathfrak{S}_μ be the corresponding standard Young subgroup of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_r , and let $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \{d \in \mathfrak{S}_r \mid \ell(wd) = \ell(w) + \ell(d) \text{ for } w \in \mathfrak{S}_\mu\}$. For $\mu \in \Lambda(p, r)$ let

$$(3.1.2) \quad \mathcal{I}_\mu = \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} y_\mu,$$

where

$$y_\mu = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\mu} (-v^2)^{-\ell(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

For $\mathbf{s} = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\} \in \mathcal{S}_{r,\mu}$, let $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s}) = (s_1, \dots, s_p) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ be the r -tuple obtained by juxtaposing the segments in \mathbf{s} . Let $\iota : \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s})}$ be the natural $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module isomorphism defined by sending h to \bar{h} . Let

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}}_\mu = \iota(\mathcal{I}_\mu) = \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{y}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{y}_\mu.$$

Then,

$$(3.1.3) \quad \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} y_\mu \cong E_\mu \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{v \vdash r \\ v \triangleright \lambda}} m_{v,\mu} E_v \right),$$

where E_v is the left cell module defined by the Kazhdan–Lusztig’s C-basis [1979] associated with the left cell containing $w_{0,v}$.

Let V_s be the unique composition factor of the $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{y}_\mu$ such that the multiplicity of E_μ in V_s as an $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module is nonzero.

The following classification theorem is due to [Zelevinsky 1980; Rogawski 1985].

Theorem 3.2. *The modules V_s with $s \in \mathcal{S}_r$ are all nonisomorphic finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules.*

Let $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-mod}$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-mod}$) be the category of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules (resp., $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules). The categories $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-mod}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-mod}$ are related by the Schur functor F , which we now define. Using the $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-}\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bimodule $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$, we define a functor

$$(3.2.1) \quad F = F_{n,r} : \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-mod}, \quad V \mapsto \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} V.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_r^{(n)} = \{s = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\} \in \mathcal{S}_r, p \geq 1, |s_i| \leq n, \forall i\}.$$

The following classification theorem is given in [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorems 4.3.4 and 4.5.3].

Lemma 3.3. *For $s \in \mathcal{S}_r$ we have $F(V_s) \neq 0$ if and only if $s \in \mathcal{S}_r^{(n)}$. Furthermore, the set*

$$\{F(V_s) \mid s \in \mathcal{S}_r^{(n)}\}$$

is a complete set of nonisomorphic finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules.

The following result, which will be used in Theorem 4.9, is taken from [Chari and Pressley 1996, §7.6; Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 4.4.2 and Lemma 4.6.5].

Lemma 3.4. *Assume $n \geq r$. Let $s = (av^{-r+1}, av^{-r+3}, \dots, av^{r-1})$ be a single segment and $\mu = \wp(s) = (r)$. Then $V_s = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu$ and $F(V_s) \cong L(\mathcal{Q})$, where $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u))$ with*

$$Q_n(u) = (1 - av^{-n+1}u)^{\delta_{n,r}},$$

$$\frac{Q_i(uv^{i-1})}{Q_{i+1}(uv^{i+1})} = (1 - au)^{\delta_{i,r}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

4. Identification of irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules

In this section we will prove that $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\wp(s)})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules for $s \in \mathcal{S}_r^{(n)}$ and $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\wp(s)}) = 0$ for $s \notin \mathcal{S}_r^{(n)}$ in Proposition 4.6. Using this result, we will relate the parametrization of irreducible $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules, via the functor F defined in (3.2.1), to the parametrization of finite-dimensional irreducible polynomial representations of $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ in Theorem 4.9. As applications, we will classify finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules in Corollary 4.10, and generalize [Green 2007, (6.5f)] to the affine case.

To compute $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\wp(s)})$, we need Proposition 4.3 of [Rogawski 1985], which we now describe. For $1 \leq j \leq p$, let $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,j}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ generated by T_i with $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mu^{(j)}}$, where

$$\mu^{(j)} = (1^{\mu_{[1,j-1]}}, \mu_j, 1^{r-\mu_{[1,j]}}),$$

and $\mu_{[1,j]} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_j$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,j} \cong \mathcal{H}(\mu_j)_\mathbb{C}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j}$ is a right $\mathcal{H}(\mu_j)_\mathbb{C}$ -module, $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j}$ can be also regarded as a right $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,j}$ -module.

Recall the notation \mathcal{F}_μ defined in (3.1.2). For $\mu \in \Lambda(p, r)$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$ let

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu = \bigcap_{\substack{s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_\mu \\ 1 \leq i \leq r-1}} \mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C} C_i, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} = \bigcap_{\substack{s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mu^{(j)}} \\ 1 \leq i \leq r-1}} \mathcal{H}_{\mu,j} C_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,j} y_{\mu^{(j)}}.$$

where $C_i = v^{-1}T_i - v$ and $y_{\mu^{(j)}} = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mu^{(j)}}} (-v^2)^{-\ell(w)} T_w$. By Proposition 4.3 of [Rogawski 1985] we have:

Lemma 4.1. *We have $\mathcal{F}_\mu = \mathcal{F}_\mu$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j}$ for $\mu \in \Lambda(p, r)$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Assume I is a left ideal of $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$. Then $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}} I \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} I$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is semisimple, there exist a left ideal J of $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} = I \oplus J$. Then $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} I \oplus \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} J$. Thus the natural linear map $f : \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} I \rightarrow \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ defined by sending $w \otimes h$ to wh is injective. Consequently, $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} I \cong \text{Im}(f) = \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} I$. \square

By Lemmas 3.1, 4.1, and 4.2 we conclude that $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu}) \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu} \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu}$, where $\mu = \wp(s)$ for some $s \in \mathcal{S}_r$. We now compute $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For $\mu \in \Lambda(p, r)$, we have*

$$\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu} = \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_1} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_p} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,p}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mu} = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq p} \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}}$ we have $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu} \subseteq \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq p} (\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}})$. Furthermore by Lemma 4.1 we have $\mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}} = \mathcal{X}_{\mu,j} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} = \mathcal{X}_{\mu,j} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j}$ where $\mathcal{X}_{\mu,j} = \text{span}\{T_w \mid w \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu^{(j)}}^{-1}\}$. This implies that

$$\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}} = \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} = \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\mu_{j-1}} \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_{j+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_p}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq p$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu} &\subseteq \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq p} (\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\mu_{j-1}} \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_{j+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_p}) \\ &= \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_1} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_p} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,p}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we assume $w_1 h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p h_p \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_1} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_p} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,p}$, where $w_j \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j}$ and $h_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j}$. Since $h_k h_l = h_l h_k$ for any k, l and $h_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j}$, we have $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_p = (h_1 \cdots h_{j-1} h_{j+1} \cdots h_p) h_j \in \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, $1 \leq j \leq p$ with $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mu^{(j)}}$. This implies that $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_p \in \mathcal{F}_{\mu}$. It follows that $w_1 h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p h_p = (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p) h_1 \cdots h_p \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_{\mu}$. The assertion follows. \square

For $\mu \in \Lambda(p, r)$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,j}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by T_i and $X_{\mu_{[1,j-1]+1}}, \dots, X_{\mu_{[1,j]}}$ with $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mu^{(j)}}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,j} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mu_j)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j}$ is a right $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mu_j)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module, one can regard $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j}$ as a right $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,j}$ -module.

For $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\} \in \mathcal{S}_{r,\mu}$, let $\mathbf{a} = (s_1, \dots, s_p) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ be the r -tuple obtained by juxtaposing the segments in s . For $1 \leq j \leq p$ let $\mathfrak{I}_{\mu,j}$ be the left ideal of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,j}$ generated by $X_k - a_k$ for $\mu_{[1,j-1]+1} \leq k \leq \mu_{[1,j]}$. Let $\iota_j : \mathcal{H}_{\mu,j} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,j} / \mathfrak{I}_{\mu,j}$ be the natural $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,j}$ -module isomorphism defined by sending h to \bar{h} . Let

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu,j} = \iota_j(\mathcal{F}_{\mu,j}) = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,j} \bar{y}_{\mu^{(j)}} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,j} \bar{y}_{\mu^{(j)}}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *Maintain the notation above. There is a $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module isomorphism*

$$\varphi : (\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_1} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,1}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_p} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,p}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu,p}) \rightarrow F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu})$$

such that $\varphi(w_1 \otimes \bar{h}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p \otimes \bar{h}_p) = w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p \otimes \overline{h_1 \cdots h_p}$ for $w_j \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j}$ and $h_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq p$.

Proof. Combining Lemmas 3.1, 4.1 with 4.2 yields $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu) \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \mathcal{F}_\mu$ and $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,j}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu,j} \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu,j}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu,j} \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_j} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$. This, together with Lemma 4.3, implies the assertion. \square

We now prove that φ is in fact a $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module isomorphism.

Lemma 4.5. *The map φ is a $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $u \in U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $w = w_1 \otimes \bar{h}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p \otimes \bar{h}_p \in (\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_1} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,1}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_p} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,p}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu,p})$, where $w_i \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_i}$ and $h_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\mu,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Assume $\Delta^{(p-1)}(u) = \sum_{(u)} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_p$, $u_i w_i = \sum_{k_i} w_{i,k_i} g_{i,k_i}$ and $g_{i,k_i} h_i = \sum_{j_i} g_{i,k_i,j_i} X_{j_i}$, where $w_{i,k_i} \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes \mu_i}$, $g_{i,k_i} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,i}$, and $g_{i,k_i,j_i} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,i}$, $X_{j_i} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu,i}$. Then

$$g_{i,k_i}(u_i(h_i)) = g_{i,k_i} \bar{h}_i = \sum_{j_i} a_{j_i} \overline{g_{i,k_i,j_i}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} uw &= \sum_{(u)} u_1 w_1 \otimes \bar{h}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_p w_p \otimes \bar{h}_p \\ &= \sum_{(u)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} w_{1,k_1} \otimes g_{1,k_1} \bar{h}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{p,k_p} \otimes g_{p,k_p} \bar{h}_p \\ &= \sum_{(u)} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_p \\ j_1, \dots, j_p}} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_p} w_{1,k_1} \otimes \overline{g_{1,k_1,j_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{p,k_p} \otimes \overline{g_{p,k_p,j_p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$g_{1,k_1} \cdots g_{p,k_p} \overline{h_1 \cdots h_p} = \overline{g_{1,k_1} h_1 \cdots g_{p,k_p} h_p} = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_p} \overline{g_{1,k_1,j_1} \cdots g_{p,k_p,j_p}},$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(uw) &= \sum_{(u)} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_p \\ j_1, \dots, j_p}} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_p} w_{1,k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{p,k_p} \otimes \overline{g_{1,k_1,j_1} \cdots g_{p,k_p,j_p}} \\ &= \sum_{(u)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} w_{1,k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{p,k_p} \otimes g_{1,k_1} \cdots g_{p,k_p} \overline{h_1 \cdots h_p} \\ &= \sum_{(u)} u_1 w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_p w_p \otimes \overline{h_1 \cdots h_p} \\ &= u(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p \otimes \overline{h_1 \cdots h_p}) \\ &= u\varphi(w). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We can now describe $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\wp(s)})$ as follows.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\} \in \mathcal{G}_{r,\mu}$ with $s_i = (a_i v^{-\mu_i+1}, a_i v^{-\mu_i+3}, \dots, a_i v^{\mu_i-1})$. Then $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu) = 0$ for $s \notin \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$ and $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu) \cong L(\mathbf{Q}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ for $s \in \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$, where $\mathbf{Q}_i = (Q_{i,1}(u), \dots, Q_{i,n}(u))$ with $Q_{i,n}(u) = (1 - a_i v^{-n+1} u)^{\delta_{\mu_i,n}}$ and $Q_{i,j}(uv^{j-1})/Q_{i,j+1}(uv^{j+1}) = (1 - a_i u)^{\delta_{j,\mu_i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. Since $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu_i} \cong V_{s_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, by Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 we conclude that $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu) = F_{n,r}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu) \cong F_{n,\mu_1}(V_{s_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes F_{n,\mu_p}(V_{s_p})$. If $s \notin \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$, then there exists $1 \leq k \leq p$ such that $|s_k| = \mu_k > n$. By Lemma 3.3 we have $F_{n,\mu_k}(V_{s_k}) = 0$ and hence $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu) = 0$. If $s \in \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$, then by Lemma 3.4 we have $F_{n,\mu_i}(V_{s_i}) \cong L(\mathbf{Q}_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Consequently, $F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu) \cong L(\mathbf{Q}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. \square

We now turn to studying $F(V_s)$ for $s \in \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$. To compute $F(V_s)$, we need to generalize [Chari and Pressley 1996, §7.2] to the case of $n \leq r$. Recall the notation $\Lambda(n, r)$ defined in (3.1.1). Let $\Lambda^+(n, r) = \Lambda(n, r) \cap \Lambda^+(r)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ let $L(\lambda)$ be the irreducible $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module with highest weight λ . For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathfrak{k}_i = \zeta_r(K_i)$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{k}_i; 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{\mathfrak{k}_i v^{-s+1} - \mathfrak{k}_i^{-1} v^{s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}.$$

For $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ let $\mathfrak{k}_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{k}_1; 0 \\ \mu_1 \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{k}_n; 0 \\ \mu_n \end{bmatrix}$. The following result is the generalization of [Chari and Pressley 1996, §7.2].

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mu \in \Lambda^+(r)$. Then $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} E_\mu \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu' \in \Lambda(n, r)$, where μ' is the dual partition of μ . Furthermore if $\mu' \in \Lambda^+(n, r)$, then $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} E_\mu \cong L(\mu')$.*

Proof. We choose N such that $N > \max\{n, r\}$. Let $e = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)} \mathfrak{k}_\mu \in \mathcal{G}(N, r)_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is well known that for $\mu \in \Lambda^+(N, r)$, $eL(\mu) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$ (see [Green 2007, (6.5f)]). Furthermore by [Chari and Pressley 1996, §7.2; Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Lemma 4.3.3] we have $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} E_\mu \cong e(\Omega_{N,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} E_\mu) \cong e(L(\mu'))$. Thus $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} E_\mu \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu' \in \Lambda(n, r)$. If $\mu' \in \Lambda^+(n, r)$, then $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} E_\mu \cong e(L(\mu')) \cong L(\mu')$. \square

In the case of $n > r$, the Drinfeld polynomials associated with $F(V_s)$ were calculated for $s \in \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$ in [Chari and Pressley 1996, §7.6; Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Theorem 4.4.2]. We are now prepared to use Proposition 4.6 and Lemma 4.7 to generalize these results to the case of $n \leq r$ in Theorem 4.9.

Let $\mathfrak{Q}(n)_r = \{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}(n) \mid \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \deg Q_i(u) = r\}$. For $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\} \in \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$ with

$$s_i = (a_i v^{-\mu_i+1}, a_i v^{-\mu_i+3}, \dots, a_i v^{\mu_i-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\mu_i},$$

define $\mathcal{Q}_s = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u))$ by setting $Q_n(u) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ \mu_i = n}} (1 - a_i uv^{-n+1})$ and

$$Q_i(u) = P_i(uv^{-i+1})P_{i+1}(uv^{-i+2}) \cdots P_{n-1}(uv^{n-2i})Q_n(uv^{2(n-i)})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, where

$$P_i(u) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq p \\ \mu_j = i}} (1 - a_j u).$$

Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \deg Q_i(u) = n \deg Q_n(u) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} i \deg P_i(u) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \mu_i = r.$$

So $\mathcal{Q}_s \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r$. Consequently, we obtain a map $\partial_{n,r} : \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r$ defined by sending s to \mathcal{Q}_s .

Lemma 4.8. *The map $\partial_{n,r} : \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r$ is bijective.*

Proof. It is clear that $\partial_{n,r}$ is injective. Let $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r$ and let $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$, with $\lambda_i = \deg Q_i(u)$. For $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ let

$$P_j(u) = \frac{Q_j(uv^{j-1})}{Q_{j+1}(uv^{j+1})}$$

and $v_j = \deg P_j(u) = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}$. We write, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$P_i(u) = (1 - a_{v_1+\dots+v_{i-1}+1}u)(1 - a_{v_1+\dots+v_{i-1}+2}u) \cdots (1 - a_{v_1+\dots+v_{i-1}+v_i}u),$$

and $Q_n(u) = (1 - b_1u) \cdots (1 - b_{\lambda_n}u)$. Let $p' = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} v_i$ and $p = p' + \lambda_n$. Let $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$, where

$$s_i = \begin{cases} (a_i v^{-\mu_i+1}, a_i v^{-\mu_i+3}, \dots, a_i v^{\mu_i-1}) & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p', \\ (b_{i-p'}, b_{i-p'} v^2, \dots, b_{i-p'} v^{2(n-1)}) & \text{for } p' + 1 \leq i \leq p, \end{cases}$$

and $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p'}) = (1^{v_1}, \dots, (n - 1)^{v_{n-1}})$. Since

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} |s_i| = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p'} \mu_j + n\lambda_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} i v_i + n\lambda_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i = r,$$

we have $s \in \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$. It is easy to see that $\partial_{n,r}(s) = \mathcal{Q}$. Thus $\partial_{n,r}$ is surjective. □

Theorem 4.9. *For $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\} \in \mathcal{G}_r^{(n)}$ with $s_i = (a_i v^{-\mu_i+1}, a_i v^{-\mu_i+3}, \dots, a_i v^{\mu_i-1})$, we have $F(V_s) \cong L(\mathcal{Q}_s)$, where $\mathcal{Q}_s = \partial_{n,r}(s)$. In particular, we have $F(V_s)|_{U_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$, where*

$$P_i(u) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq p \\ \mu_j = i}} (1 - a_j u) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

Proof. Let $W = F(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu)$. By Proposition 4.6 we have $W \cong L(\mathbf{Q}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, where $\mathbf{Q}_i = (Q_{i,1}(u), \dots, Q_{i,n}(u))$ with $Q_{i,n}(u) = (1 - a_i v^{-n+1} u)^{\delta_{\mu_i, n}}$ and

$$P_{i,j}(u) := \frac{Q_{i,j}(uv^{j-1})}{Q_{i,j+1}(uv^{j+1})} = (1 - a_i u)^{\delta_{j, \mu_i}}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. We will identify W with $L(\mathbf{Q}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. Let $w = w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_p \in W$, where w_i is the pseudo-highest weight vector in $L(\mathbf{Q}_i)$. Then by [Chari and Pressley 1996, §6.3; Frenkel and Mukhin 2002, Lemma 4.1] we conclude that w is the pseudo-highest weight vector in W such that $\mathbf{k}_i w = v^{\lambda_i} w$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i^\pm(u)w = Q_i^\pm(u)w$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\lambda_i = \deg Q_i^+(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^\pm(u) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} Q_{i,n}^\pm(u) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} (1 - (a_i u)^{\pm 1} v^{\pm(-n+1)})^{\delta_{\mu_i, n}} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ \mu_i = n}} (1 - (a_i u)^{\pm 1} v^{\pm(-n+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_j^\pm(u) &:= \frac{Q_j^\pm(v^{j-1}u)}{Q_{j+1}^\pm(v^{j+1}u)} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} P_{i,j}^\pm(u) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} (1 - (a_i u)^{\pm 1})^{\delta_{j, \mu_i}} = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ \mu_i = j}} (1 - (a_i u)^{\pm 1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. By definition we have $\mathbf{Q}_s = (Q_1^+(u), \dots, Q_n^+(u))$. Since

$$\lambda_j = \deg Q_j^+(u) = \lambda_n + \sum_{j \leq s \leq n-1} \deg P_s^+(u) = |\{1 \leq i \leq p \mid \mu_i \geq j\}|$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \mu'$.

Let $L = F(V_s)$. Since V_s is a semisimple $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ -module, by Lemmas 3.1 and 4.7 we have $[L : L(\lambda)] = [L : \Omega_{n, \mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}} E_\mu] = [\Omega_{n, \mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}} V_s : \Omega_{n, \mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}} E_\mu] = [V_s : E_\mu] = 1$. Thus

$$(4.9.1) \quad \dim L_\lambda = 1.$$

Since V_s is the irreducible subquotient of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\mu$, there is a surjective $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow L$, where M is a certain submodule of W . Since $1 = \dim L_\lambda \leq \dim M_\lambda \leq \dim W_\lambda = 1$, we conclude that $\dim M_\lambda = \dim W_\lambda = 1$. Hence $M_\lambda = W_\lambda = \text{span}\{w\}$ and $L_\lambda = \text{span}\{f(w)\}$. By (4.9.1) we have $f(w) \neq 0$. Since f is a $U_\mathbb{C}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module homomorphism, $f(w)$ is the pseudo-highest weight vector in L such that $\mathbf{k}_i f(w) = f(\mathbf{k}_i w) = v^{\lambda_i} f(w)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i^\pm(u)f(w) = f(\mathcal{Q}_i^\pm(u)w) = Q_i^\pm(u)f(w)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that L is the irreducible quotient module of $M(\mathbf{Q}_s)$ and hence $L \cong L(\mathbf{Q}_s)$. \square

Combining Lemmas 3.3, 4.8 with 4.9 yields the following classification theorem of irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules, which was proved as Theorem 4.6.8 in [Deng, Du and Fu 2012] using a different approach.

Corollary 4.10. *The set $\{L(\mathbf{Q}) \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r\}$ is a complete set of nonisomorphic finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules.*

Finally we will use Theorem 4.9 to generalize [Green 2007, (6.5f)] to the affine case in Theorem 4.11. Assume $N \geq n$. Let $e = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)} \mathbf{k}_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(N, r)_\mathbb{C}$. Then $e\mathcal{S}_\Delta(N, r)_\mathbb{C}e \cong \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$. Consequently, the categories $e\mathcal{S}_\Delta(N, r)_\mathbb{C}e\text{-mod}$ and $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}\text{-mod}$ may be identified. With this identification, we define a functor

$$(4.10.1) \quad G = G_{N,n,r} : \mathcal{S}_\Delta(N, r)_\mathbb{C}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}\text{-mod}, \quad V \mapsto eV.$$

Then by definition we have $G_{N,n,r} \circ F_{N,r} = F_{n,r}$. For $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r$ let $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u), 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathfrak{Q}(N)_r$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}(n)_r = \{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r\} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}(N)_r$. Clearly, by definition, we have

$$(4.10.2) \quad \partial_{N,r}(s) = \widetilde{\partial_{n,r}(s)} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathcal{F}_r^{(n)}.$$

Theorem 4.11. *Assume $N \geq n$. Then $G(L(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong L(\mathbf{Q})$ for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r$. In particular we have $\dim L(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}})_\alpha = \dim L(\mathbf{Q})_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r)$. Furthermore, for $\mathbf{Q}' \in \mathfrak{Q}(N)_r$, $G(L(\mathbf{Q}')) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{Q}' \in \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}(n)_r$.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r$ then by Lemma 4.8 we conclude that there exist $s \in \mathcal{F}_r^{(n)}$ such that $\mathbf{Q} = \partial_{n,r}(s)$. By Theorem 4.9 and (4.10.2) we have $L(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}) \cong \mathcal{T}_\Delta(N, r) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}} V_s$. So by [Deng, Du and Fu 2012, Lemma 4.3.3] and Theorem 4.9 we have

$$G(L(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong (e\mathcal{T}_\Delta(N, r)) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}} V_s \cong \mathcal{T}_\Delta(n, r) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathbb{C}} V_s \cong L(\mathbf{Q}).$$

By [Green 2007, (6.2g)], the set $\{G(L(\mathbf{Q}')) \neq 0 \mid \mathbf{Q}' \in \mathfrak{Q}(N)_r\}$ forms a complete set of non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{C}$ -modules. This together with Corollary 4.10 implies that $\{G(L(\mathbf{Q}')) \neq 0 \mid \mathbf{Q}' \in \mathfrak{Q}(N)_r\} = \{G(L(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{Q}(n)_r\}$. Consequently, $G(L(\mathbf{Q}')) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{Q}' \in \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}(n)_r$. □

References

[Beck 1994] J. Beck, “Braid group action and quantum affine algebras”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165**:3 (1994), 555–568. MR 95i:17011 Zbl 0807.17013

[Chari and Pressley 1991] V. Chari and A. Pressley, “Quantum affine algebras”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **142**:2 (1991), 261–283. MR 93d:17017 Zbl 0739.17004

[Chari and Pressley 1994] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994. MR 95j:17010 Zbl 0839.17009

[Chari and Pressley 1995] V. Chari and A. Pressley, “Quantum affine algebras and their representations”, pp. 59–78 in *Representations of groups* (Banff, AB, 1994), edited by B. N. Allison and G. H. Cliff, CMS Conf. Proc. **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. MR 96j:17009 Zbl 0855.17009

- [Chari and Pressley 1996] V. Chari and A. Pressley, “Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras”, *Pacific J. Math.* **174**:2 (1996), 295–326. MR 97i:17011 Zbl 0881.17011
- [Chari and Pressley 1997] V. Chari and A. Pressley, “Quantum affine algebras at roots of unity”, *Represent. Theory* **1** (1997), 280–328. MR 98e:17018 Zbl 0891.17013
- [Deng, Du and Fu 2012] B. Deng, J. Du, and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **401**, Cambridge University Press, 2012. MR 3113018 Zbl 1269.20045
- [Frenkel and Mukhin 2002] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, “The Hopf algebra $\text{Rep } U_q \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty$ ”, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **8**:4 (2002), 537–635. MR 2003k:17019 Zbl 1034.17009
- [Green 2007] J. A. Green, *Polynomial representations of GL_n* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics **830**, Springer, Berlin, 2007. MR 2009b:20084 Zbl 1108.20044
- [Jimbo 1986] M. Jimbo, “A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N + 1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang–Baxter equation”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11**:3 (1986), 247–252. MR 87k:17011 Zbl 0602.17005
- [Kazhdan and Lusztig 1979] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, “Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **53**:2 (1979), 165–184. MR 81j:20066 Zbl 0499.20035
- [Rogawski 1985] J. D. Rogawski, “On modules over the Hecke algebra of a p -adic group”, *Invent. Math.* **79**:3 (1985), 443–465. MR 86j:22028 Zbl 0579.20037
- [Zelevinsky 1980] A. V. Zelevinsky, “Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups, II: On irreducible representations of $GL(n)$ ”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **13**:2 (1980), 165–210. MR 83g:22012 Zbl 0441.22014

Received May 31, 2013.

QIANG FU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TONGJI UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI, 200092
CHINA
q.fu@tongji.edu.cn
q.fu@hotmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2014 is US \$410/year for the electronic version, and \$535/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 270 No. 2 August 2014

Disjointification inequalities in symmetric quasi-Banach spaces and their applications	257
SERGEY ASTASHKIN, FEDOR A. SUKOCHEV and DMITRIY ZANIN	
Hamiltonian evolutions of twisted polygons in parabolic manifolds: The Lagrangian Grassmannian	287
GLORIA MARÍ BEFFA	
On Schwarz–Christoffel mappings	319
MARTIN CHUAQUI and CHRISTIAN POMMERENKE	
Vanishing viscosity in the plane for nondecaying velocity and vorticity, II	335
ELAINE COZZI	
Affine quantum Schur algebras and affine Hecke algebras	351
QIANG FU	
On the classification of Killing submersions and their isometries	367
JOSÉ M. MANZANO	
Locally Lipschitz contractibility of Alexandrov spaces and its applications	393
AYATO MITSUISHI and TAKAO YAMAGUCHI	
Sequences of open Riemannian manifolds with boundary	423
RAQUEL PERALES and CHRISTINA SORMANI	
Invariant differential operators on a class of multiplicity-free spaces	473
HUBERT RUBENTHALER	



0030-8730(201408)270:2;1-2