

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**FIXED-POINT RESULTS AND THE HYERS–ULAM STABILITY
OF LINEAR EQUATIONS OF HIGHER ORDERS**

BING XU, JANUSZ BRZDĘK AND WEINIAN ZHANG

Volume 273 No. 2

February 2015

FIXED-POINT RESULTS AND THE HYERS–ULAM STABILITY OF LINEAR EQUATIONS OF HIGHER ORDERS

BING XU, JANUSZ BRZDĘK AND WEINIAN ZHANG

We present a general method for investigation of the Hyers–Ulam stability of linear equations (differential, difference, functional, integral) of higher orders. It is shown that in many cases, that kind of stability for such equations is a consequence of a similar property of the corresponding first-order equations. Some particular examples of applications for differential, integral, difference and functional equations are described. The method is based on some fixed-point results that are proved in this paper.

1. Introduction

Sometimes we have to deal with functions that satisfy some equations only approximately. One of the possible ways to treat them is just to replace such functions by suitably corresponding exact solutions to those equations. Therefore it seems to be important to know when, why and to what extent we can do this, and what errors we thus commit. Some tools for evaluation of that issue are offered by the theory of Ulam-type stability.

Some information on that theory and further references concerning it are given in Section 3. The following definition somehow describes the main ideas of that kind of stability (\mathbb{N} stands for the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$, and C^D denotes the family of all functions mapping a set $D \neq \emptyset$ into a set $C \neq \emptyset$):

Definition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A be a nonempty set, (X, d) be a metric space, $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{A^n}$ be nonempty, \mathcal{T} be an operator (not necessarily linear) mapping \mathcal{C} into \mathbb{R}_+^A , and $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be operators (not necessarily linear) mapping a nonempty $\mathcal{D} \subset X^A$ into X^A . We say that the operator equation

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}_1\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathcal{F}_2\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

is $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T})$ -stable, provided for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ with

$$(2) \quad d(\mathcal{F}_1\varphi_0(x_1, \dots, x_n), \mathcal{F}_2\varphi_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \leq \varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in A,$$

Bing Xu has been supported by NSFC (China) Grant No. 11101295. Weinian Zhang has been supported by NSFC Grant No. 11231001, SRFDP 20120181110062 and PCSIRT IRT1273.

MSC2010: primary 39B82, 47A63, 47J99; secondary 34K20, 39B12, 47H10.

Keywords: fixed point, Hyers–Ulam stability, linear equation, linear operator, operator equation.

there exists a solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ of (1) such that

$$(3) \quad d(\varphi(x), \varphi_0(x)) \leq \mathcal{T}\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in A.$$

Roughly speaking, $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T})$ -stability of (1) means that every approximate (in the sense of (2)) solution of (1) is always close (in the sense of (3)) to an exact solution to (1).

In the particular case when \mathcal{E} contains only all constant functions, $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T})$ -stability is called Hyers–Ulam stability. In this paper we describe (in the terms of fixed points) a general method for investigation of the Hyers–Ulam stability of various higher-order linear (differential, integral, difference or functional) equations in a single variable, that is, for $n = 1$. In this way we show how to generalize and easily extend numerous results given in, e.g., [Takahasi et al. 2002; Miura et al. 2003a; 2003b; 2004; 2012; Jung 2004; 2005; 2006; Popa 2005b; Trif 2006; Wang et al. 2008; Brzdęk et al. 2008; 2010; 2011b; Li and Shen 2009; Brzdęk and Jung 2010].

In what follows, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote the sets of real and complex numbers, respectively. Also, X is a Banach space over a field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed and in general we assume that $m > 1$ (unless explicitly stated otherwise), S is a nonempty set, and $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{K}$. Additionally, \mathcal{U} is a linear subspace of X^S (the linear space over \mathbb{K} of all the functions mapping S into X), $F \in \mathcal{U}$ is fixed, $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X^S$ is a linear operator, $P_m : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial given by $P_m(z) := z^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j z^j$ and $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{C}$ are the roots of the equation

$$(4) \quad P_m(z) = 1.$$

Moreover, we write $\mathcal{U}_m := \{f \in \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{L}^i f \in \mathcal{U} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ and define a linear operator $P_m(\mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{U}_m \rightarrow X^S$ by $P_m(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{L}^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \mathcal{L}^j$, where $\mathcal{L}^0 := \mathcal{I}$ is the identity operator (i.e., $\mathcal{I}f = f$ for $f \in X^S$) and $\mathcal{L}^k := \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{L}^{k-1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In the next section we present some fixed-point results for the operator

$$\mathcal{P}_m^F := P_m(\mathcal{L}) + F$$

(i.e., $\mathcal{P}_m^F(\varphi) = P_m(\mathcal{L})(\varphi) + F$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_m$).

2. Fixed-point results

For the sake of simplicity we use the notion $\|f\| := \sup_{x \in S} \|f(x)\|$ for $f \in X^S$, which can be considered as an extension (because it admits an infinite value) of the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ defined on the linear space (over \mathbb{K}) of all bounded functions from X^S . In this section, we write

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{L}_i^v := \mathcal{L} + (1 - r_i)\mathcal{I} - v, \quad v \in X^S, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

(i.e., $\mathcal{L}_i^v(\varphi) := \mathcal{L}(\varphi) + (1 - r_i)\varphi - v$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$), provided $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{K}$. The next two fixed-point theorems are the main tools in this paper; we present their proofs at the end of the paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\xi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Suppose that*

$$(6) \quad \delta := \|\mathcal{P}_m^F \varphi_s - \varphi_s\| < \infty$$

for some $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{U}_m$ and that the following fixed-point property holds for $i = 1, \dots, m$:

(\mathcal{L}_i) For every $\psi, v \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\delta := \|\mathcal{L}_i^v \psi - \psi\| < \infty$, there is a fixed point $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{L}_i^v such that $\|\psi - \phi\| \leq \xi_i(\delta)$.

Then there exists a fixed point $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_m$ of \mathcal{P}_m^F such that

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi_s - \varphi\| \leq \xi_m \circ \dots \circ \xi_1(\delta).$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U}$ and there is an $L \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $|r_i| > L$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\|\mathcal{L}f\| \leq L\|f\|$ for $f \in \mathcal{U}$, then there is exactly one fixed point $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{P}_m^F with

$$(8) \quad \|\varphi_s - \varphi\| < \infty.$$

Remark 2.2. From the proof of Theorem 2.1 (see Section 5), φ is equal to ϕ_m , with ϕ_m obtained, step by step, by the following procedure.

Write $\phi_0 = -F$, $\psi_m = \varphi_s$, and $\psi_j(z) = \mathcal{L}\psi_{j+1} - r_{j+1}\psi_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m - 1$. Then, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\phi_i \in \mathcal{U}$ is a fixed point of the operator $\mathcal{L} + (1 - r_i)\mathcal{F} - \phi_{i-1}$ with $\|\psi_i - \phi_i\| \leq \xi_i \circ \dots \circ \xi_1(\delta)$. By (\mathcal{L}_i), such a $\phi_i \in \mathcal{U}$ exists for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. In many cases such a ϕ_i can be described quite precisely (see, e.g., Remark 2.4).

Concerning operators satisfying (\mathcal{L}_i), some recent results can be found in, e.g., [Brzdęk and Jung 2011, Theorem 5.1; Badora and Brzdęk 2012, Theorem 2.1].

In what follows, we say that \mathcal{U} is *closed in the norm* $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ if \mathcal{U} contains every function $f \in X^S$ for which there is a sequence of functions (f_n) in \mathcal{U} that is uniformly convergent to f (i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_\infty = 0$).

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U}$ and let \mathcal{U} be closed in the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Suppose that there are $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{U}$ such that (6) holds, that*

$$(9) \quad \|\mathcal{L}f\| \leq \kappa\|f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{U},$$

and that one of the following two conditions is valid:

- (α) $r_i \in \mathbb{K}$ and $|r_i| > \kappa$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$;
- (β) $|r_i| > 2\kappa$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Then there is a unique fixed point $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{P}_m^F such that $\|\varphi_s - \varphi\| < \infty$; moreover,

$$(10) \quad \|\varphi_s - \varphi\| \leq \frac{\delta}{(|r_1| - \rho\kappa) \cdots (|r_m| - \rho\kappa)},$$

where

$$\rho := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\alpha) \text{ holds,} \\ 2 & \text{if } (\beta) \text{ holds.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.4. From the proof of Theorem 2.3 (see Section 6) and Remark 2.2, we can deduce that the function φ can be described analogously to Remark 2.2, with the functions ϕ_i (denoted in (\mathfrak{L}_i) by ϕ) given by $\phi_i(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_i^n \psi_i(x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $x \in S$, where \mathcal{T}_i is defined by (32).

3. Hyers–Ulam stability

Let $b_0, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{K}$, with $b_m \neq 0$, let $Q_m : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $Q_m(z) := \sum_{j=0}^m b_j z^j$, and let $q_1, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{C}$ be the roots of the equation

$$(11) \quad Q_m(z) = 0.$$

We define a linear operator $Q_m(\mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{U}_m \rightarrow X^S$ by $Q_m(\mathcal{L}) := \sum_{j=0}^m b_j \mathcal{L}^j$. In this section, we describe some direct consequences of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 concerning the Hyers–Ulam stability of the operator equation

$$(12) \quad Q_m(\mathcal{L})\varphi = G$$

(for functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_m$ and with a fixed $G \in X^S$), under the assumption

$$(\mathcal{G}) \quad G \in \mathcal{U} \text{ or (12) has a solution } \hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{U}_m.$$

Let us mention that Hyers–Ulam stability is related to the notions of shadowing and controlled chaos (see, e.g., [Pilyugin 1999; Palmer 2000; Hayes and Jackson 2005; Stević 2008]) as well as the theories of perturbation (see, e.g., [Chang and Howes 1984; Lin and Zhou 1995]) and optimization. At the moment it is a very popular subject of investigation (for more details, references and examples of some recent results, see, e.g., [Hyers 1941; Ulam 1964; Forti 1995; 2007; Hyers et al. 1998; Jung 2001; 2011; Agarwal et al. 2003; Popa 2005a; Jabłoński and Reich 2006; Bahyrycz 2007; Jung and Rassias 2007; 2008; Moszner 2009; Paneah 2009; Ciepliński 2010; 2011; 2012b; Sikorska 2010; Forti and Sikorska 2011; Piszczek 2013a; 2013b]).

Under suitable assumptions, we have the following natural examples of (12):

- The linear differential equation

$$(13) \quad b_m \varphi^{(m)}(z) + b_{m-1} \varphi^{(m-1)}(z) + \dots + b_1 \varphi'(z) + b_0 \varphi(z) = G(z).$$

- The linear recurrence (or difference) equation

$$(14) \quad b_m \varphi(n+m) + b_{m-1} \varphi(n+m-1) + \dots + b_1 \varphi(n+1) + b_0 \varphi(n) = G(n).$$

- The well-known linear functional equation

$$(15) \quad b_m \varphi(f^m(z)) + b_{m-1} \varphi(f^{m-1}(z)) + \dots + b_1 \varphi(f(z)) + b_0 \varphi(z) = G(z).$$

For results on the Hyers–Ulam stability of (13) see [Miura et al. 2003b] (with $G(z) \equiv 0$). Equation (14) is a discrete case of (15); its Hyers–Ulam stability was discussed in [Popa 2005a; 2005b; Brzdęk et al. 2006; 2010]. Equation (15) is one of the most important functional equations, and many results on its solutions can be found in [Kuczma 1968; Kuczma et al. 1990] (see also the references therein); its Hyers–Ulam stability was discussed, e.g., in [Kim 2000; Trif 2002] for $m = 1$ and in [Trif 2006; Brzdęk et al. 2008; 2011b; Brzdęk and Jung 2010] for $m > 1$.

The fixed-point approach has been already applied in the investigation of the Hyers–Ulam stability [Baker 1991; Jung and Chang 2005; Jung and Kim 2006; Mirzavaziri and Moslehian 2006; Jung 2007; Brzdęk et al. 2011a; Brzdęk and Ciepliński 2011; Ciepliński 2012a]. In this section we continue this direction and present two corollaries on such stability, obtained from Theorems 2.1 and 2.3. The first one corresponds to the results in [Brzdęk et al. 2008]. Namely, it states that, in some cases, the Hyers–Ulam stability of (12) can be derived from the analogous properties of the corresponding first-order operator equations, which we express in the form of the following hypothesis:

(\mathcal{H}_i) $\rho_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a function such that, for every $\varphi_s, \eta \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\|\mathcal{L}\varphi_s - q_i\varphi_s - \eta\| \leq \delta$, there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\|\varphi_s - \varphi\| \leq \rho_i(\delta)$ and

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{L}\varphi = q_i\varphi + \eta.$$

For examples of operators satisfying (\mathcal{H}_i) see [Brzdęk et al. 2010; 2011b] and Section 4.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose that $G \in X^S$, (\mathcal{G}) and (\mathcal{H}_i) hold for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{U}_m$ satisfies*

$$(17) \quad \|\mathcal{Q}_m(\mathcal{L})\varphi_s - G\| \leq \delta.$$

Then there exists a solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_m$ of (12) such that

$$(18) \quad \|\varphi_s - \varphi\| \leq \rho_m \circ \dots \circ \rho_1 \left(\frac{\delta}{|b_m|} \right).$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U}$, and there is $L \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\|\mathcal{L}f - \mathcal{L}g\| \leq L\|f - g\|$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{U}$ and $|q_i| > L$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, then there is exactly one solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$ of (12) with

$$(19) \quad \|\varphi_s - \varphi\| < \infty.$$

Proof. Assume first that $G \in \mathcal{U}$. Let

$$F = -\frac{1}{b_m} G, \quad a_0 = \frac{b_0}{b_m} + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad a_i = \frac{b_i}{b_m} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

Then (17) implies that $\|P_m^F(\mathcal{L})\varphi_s - \varphi_s\| \leq \delta/|b_m|$. Further, it is easily seen that q_1, \dots, q_m are the roots of (4) and (\mathcal{H}_i) yields (\mathcal{L}_i) for $i = 1, \dots, m$ with $\xi_i = \rho_i$. So, by Theorem 2.1, there is a fixed point $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_m$ of $P_m^F(\mathcal{L})$ such that (18) holds. Clearly φ is a solution to (12).

Now consider the case where (12) has a solution $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{U}_m$. Let $\zeta_s := \varphi_s - \hat{\varphi}$. Then $\zeta_s \in \mathcal{U}_m$ and $\|Q_m(\mathcal{L})\zeta_s - G_0\| = \|Q_m(\mathcal{L})\zeta_s\| \leq \delta$, where $G_0 \in X^S$ and $G_0(x) \equiv 0$. Clearly $G_0 \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence, by the first part of the proof, there exists a solution $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}_m$ of (12) (with $G = G_0$) such that

$$\|\zeta_s - \zeta\| \leq \rho_m \circ \dots \circ \rho_1 \left(\frac{\delta}{|b_m|} \right).$$

Now, it is easily seen that $\varphi := \zeta + \hat{\varphi}$ is a solution of (12), and (18) holds.

It remains to show the statement concerning the uniqueness of φ . So, suppose that there is an $L \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\|\mathcal{L}f\| \leq L\|f\|$ for $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $|q_i| > L$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then such a φ is the unique fixed point of \mathcal{P}_m^F satisfying (19), and therefore it is also the unique solution of (12) such that (19) is valid. \square

It is easily seen that [Brzdęk et al. 2008, Theorem 1] is a particular case of our Corollary 3.1.

Remark 3.2. In the case where $|q_i| < L$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, it follows from [Brzdęk et al. 2010, Theorem 3(c)] that in the general situation we may not have uniqueness of φ in Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U}$, $G \in X^S$, (\mathcal{G}) be valid, \mathcal{U} be closed in the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, $\delta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{U}$, (17) hold, and*

$$(20) \quad \|\mathcal{L}f - \mathcal{L}g\| \leq \kappa\|f - g\|, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Assume that one of the following two conditions is valid:

- (α) $q_i \in \mathbb{K}$ and $|q_i| > \kappa$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$;
- (β) $|q_i| > 2\kappa$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Then there is a unique solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$ of (12) with $\|\varphi_s - \varphi\| < \infty$; moreover,

$$(21) \quad \|\varphi_s - \varphi\| \leq \frac{\delta}{|b_m|(|q_1| - \rho\kappa) \cdots (|q_m| - \rho\kappa)},$$

where

$$\rho := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\alpha) \text{ holds,} \\ 2 & \text{if } (\beta) \text{ holds.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Arguing analogously as in the proof of Corollary 3.1, we deduce the statement from Theorem 2.3. \square

If $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is bounded, $f : S \rightarrow S$, and $\mathcal{L}g := \sigma g \circ f$ for $g \in \mathcal{U}$, then (20) holds with $\kappa := \sup_{t \in S} |\sigma(t)|$. So, Corollary 3.3 yields the following result, which complements (and generalizes to a certain extent) some results in [Trif 2006; Brzdęk et al. 2008; 2011b]:

Corollary 3.4. *Let one of the conditions (α) , (β) of Corollary 3.3 hold, and let $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $G \in X^S$, $f : S \rightarrow S$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi_s : S \rightarrow X$, $\kappa := \sup_{t \in S} |\sigma(t)| < |q_j|$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, and*

$$(22) \quad \sup_{t \in S} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^m b_j \sigma_j(t) \varphi_s(f^j(t)) - G(t) \right\| \leq \delta,$$

where $\sigma_0(t) = 1$ and $\sigma_j(t) = \sigma_{j-1}(t)\sigma(f^{j-1}(t))$ for $t \in S$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then there is a unique solution $\varphi : S \rightarrow X$ of the functional equation

$$(23) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m b_j \sigma_j(t) \varphi(f^j(t)) = G(t)$$

such that (21) holds. Moreover, if S is endowed with a topology and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ and f are continuous, then the following two statements are valid:

- (i) If φ_s and G are continuous, then φ is continuous.
- (ii) If $X = \mathbb{K}$ and φ_s and G are Borel measurable, then φ is Borel measurable.

Proof. It is enough to take $\mathcal{L}\xi = \sigma \xi \circ f$ for $\xi \in X^S$ in Corollary 3.3. Moreover, if S is endowed with a topology and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ and f are continuous, then taking $\mathcal{U} := \{\xi \in X^S : \xi \text{ is continuous}\}$ or $\mathcal{U} := \{\xi \in X^S : \xi \text{ is Borel measurable}\}$ we obtain that φ is continuous or Borel measurable, respectively. \square

Remark 3.5. The form of σ_j seems to be a bit complicated for greater m , but for instance with $m = 2$, (23) has the simple and quite general form

$$b_2 \sigma(t) \sigma(f(t)) \varphi(f^2(t)) + b_1 \sigma(t) \varphi(f(t)) + b_0 \varphi(t) = G(t).$$

4. Some further consequences

Let I be an open real interval, let $C^1(I, X)$ denote the space of strongly differentiable functions mapping I into X , and let $\mathcal{U} = C^1(I, X)$ and $\mathcal{L} = d/dt$. In the next remark we show that, in view of [Miura et al. 2004, Remark 1, Corollaries 2, 3] (see also [Takahasi et al. 2002]), hypothesis (\mathcal{H}_i) holds for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such

that $\Re q_i \neq 0$, where $\Re z$ denotes the real part of the complex number z for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\Re z = z$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, with

$$(24) \quad \rho_i(\delta) := \frac{\delta}{|\Re q_i|}, \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Moreover, in the case where I is finite and $\Re q_i = 0$, (\mathcal{A}_i) holds for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, with

$$(25) \quad \rho_i(\delta) := d(I)\delta, \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where $d(I)$ denotes the diameter of I ; see [Miura et al. 2004, Remark 1, Corollary 4].

Remark 4.1. For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we write

$$(26) \quad \rho_i(\delta) = \begin{cases} d(I)\delta & \text{if } \Re q_i = 0 \text{ and } d(I) < \infty, \\ \delta/|\Re q_i| & \text{if } \Re q_i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = d/dt$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{U}$. Take $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{U}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\|\mathcal{L}\varphi_s - q_i\varphi_s - \eta\| \leq \delta$. There is a solution $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ of the equation $\mathcal{L}\varphi_0 = q_i\varphi_0 + \eta$. Write $\varphi_1 = \varphi_s - \varphi_0$. Then $\|\mathcal{L}\varphi_1 - q_i\varphi_1\| = \|\mathcal{L}\varphi_s - q_i\varphi_s - \eta\| \leq \delta$. Hence, according to the results in [Miura et al. 2004], there is $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\|\varphi_1 - \hat{\varphi}\| \leq \rho_i(\delta)$ and $\mathcal{L}\hat{\varphi} = q_i\hat{\varphi}$. Now, it is easily seen that $\varphi := \hat{\varphi} + \varphi_0$ satisfies (16) and that $\|\varphi_s - \varphi\| = \|\varphi_1 - \hat{\varphi}\| \leq \rho_i(\delta)$.

If $d(I) = \infty$, then (\mathcal{A}_i) may not be valid for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\Re q_i = 0$ (see, e.g., [Takahasi et al. 2002, Theorem 2.1(iii)]).

In view of Remark 4.1, from Theorem 2.1 we can deduce the following corollary, which generalizes the main result obtained in [Miura et al. 2003b], although it was proved in that paper by a different method.

Corollary 4.2. *Let I be an open real interval, and let $G \in C^0(I, X)$, $q_i \in \mathbb{K}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi_s \in C^m(I, X)$ satisfy*

$$(27) \quad \|b_m\varphi_s^{(m)} + \dots + b_1\varphi_s' + b_0\varphi_s - G\| \leq \delta.$$

Suppose that $d(I) < \infty$ or $\Re q_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi \in C^m(I, X)$ of (13) such that

$$(28) \quad \|\varphi_s - \varphi\| \leq \frac{\delta}{|b_m|} \prod_{i=1}^m D_i,$$

where

$$(29) \quad D_i = \begin{cases} d(I) & \text{if } \Re q_i = 0 \text{ and } d(I) < \infty, \\ 1/|\Re q_i| & \text{if } \Re q_i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. There is a function $\varphi_0 \in C^m(I, X)$ satisfying the equation

$$b_m \varphi_0^{(m)}(x) + b_{m-1} \varphi_0^{(m-1)}(x) + \dots + b_1 \varphi_0'(x) + b_0 \varphi_0(x) = G(x).$$

Let $\zeta_s := \varphi_s - \varphi_0$. Then, by (27),

$$\|b_m \zeta_s^{(m)} + b_{m-1} \zeta_s^{(m-1)} + \dots + b_1 \zeta_s' + b_0 \zeta_s\| \leq \delta,$$

i.e., (17) is valid with $G(x) \equiv 0$. As we have already observed in Remark 4.1, hypothesis (\mathcal{H}_i) holds for $i = 1, \dots, m$ with $\mathcal{U} = C^1(I, X)$, $\mathcal{L} = d/dt$ and ρ_i given by (26). So, by Corollary 3.1, there is a solution $\zeta \in C^m(I, X)$ of the equation

$$b_m \zeta^{(m)}(x) + b_{m-1} \zeta^{(m-1)}(x) + \dots + b_1 \zeta'(x) + b_0 \zeta(x) = 0$$

such that

$$\|\zeta_s - \zeta\| \leq \frac{\delta}{|b_m|} \prod_{i=1}^m D_i,$$

where D_i is given by (29). Now, it is easily seen that $\varphi := \zeta + \varphi_0$ is a solution of (13), and (28) holds. \square

Similar results for integral equations can be derived from [Miura et al. 2012] in analogous ways. It seems that so far that no paper has been published containing stability results (of the type discussed in this paper) for linear integral equations of higher orders.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.1

This proof proceeds via induction with respect to m . The case $m = 1$ is a consequence of (\mathcal{L}_1) with $v = -F$. Assume that the theorem is true for $m = k$. Let $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{U}_m$ satisfy (6) with $m = k + 1$, which in view of the Viète formulas can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \|\mathcal{P}_{k+1}^F \varphi_s - \varphi_s\| = \left\| \mathcal{L}^{k+1} \varphi_s + \sum_{j=0}^k a_j \mathcal{L}^j \varphi_s + F - \varphi_s \right\| \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{L}^{k+1} \varphi_s + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} r_j \right) \mathcal{L}^k \varphi_s + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} r_1 \dots r_{k+1} \varphi_s + F \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi_s := \mathcal{L} \varphi_s - r_{k+1} \varphi_s$. Since $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X^S$ is a linear operator, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^p \psi_s = \mathcal{L}^{p+1} \varphi_s - r_{k+1} \mathcal{L}^p \varphi_s$$

for $p = 1, \dots, k$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{L}^k \psi_s + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \right) \mathcal{L}^{k-1} \psi_s + \dots + [(-1)^k r_1 \dots r_k + 1] \psi_s + F - \psi_s \right\| \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{L}^k \psi_s + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \right) \mathcal{L}^{k-1} \psi_s + \dots + (-1)^k r_1 \dots r_k \psi_s + F \right\| \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{L}^{k+1} \varphi_s - r_{k+1} \mathcal{L}^k \varphi_s + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \right) (\mathcal{L}^k \varphi_s - r_{k+1} \mathcal{L}^{k-1} \varphi_s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \dots + (-1)^k r_1 \dots r_k (\mathcal{L} \varphi_s - r_{k+1} \varphi_s) + F \right\| \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{L}^{k+1} \varphi_s + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} r_j \right) \mathcal{L}^k \varphi_s + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} r_1 \dots r_{k+1} \varphi_s + F \right\| \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by the Viète formulas, r_1, \dots, r_k are the roots of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (z - r_1)(z - r_2) \dots (z - r_k) + 1 \\ &= z^k + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \right) z^{k-1} + \dots + [(-1)^k r_1 \dots r_k + 1] z^0, \end{aligned}$$

by the inductive assumption, there is $\psi \in \mathcal{U}_k$ such that

$$(30) \quad \psi = \mathcal{L}^k \psi + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \right) \mathcal{L}^{k-1} \psi + \dots + [(-1)^k r_1 \dots r_k + 1] \psi + F$$

and $\|\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^\psi \varphi_s - \varphi_s\| = \|\mathcal{L} \varphi_s - r_{k+1} \varphi_s - \psi\| = \|\psi_s - \psi\| \leq \xi_k \circ \dots \circ \xi_1(\delta)$. Hence, in view of (\mathcal{L}_{k+1}) , there is a fixed point $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^ψ with

$$\|\varphi_s - \varphi\| \leq \xi_{k+1}(\xi_k \circ \dots \circ \xi_1(\delta)).$$

Note that $\mathcal{L} \varphi = \psi + r_{k+1} \varphi$, whence $\mathcal{L} \varphi \in \mathcal{U}$, which means that $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_2$. Analogously, step by step, finally we get $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$. Consequently, (30) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{L}^{k+1} \varphi - r_{k+1} \mathcal{L}^k \varphi + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j \right) (\mathcal{L}^k \varphi - r_{k+1} \mathcal{L}^{k-1} \varphi) \\ & \quad + \dots + (-1)^k r_1 \dots r_k (\mathcal{L} \varphi - r_{k+1} \varphi) + F \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{k+1} \varphi + (-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} r_j \right) \mathcal{L}^k \varphi + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} r_1 \dots r_{k+1} \varphi + F \\ &= P_m(\mathcal{L}) \varphi + F - \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the statement of the uniqueness of φ . Notice that if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ are both fixed points of \mathcal{P}_m^F with $\|\varphi_s - \varphi_i\| < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| < \infty$. So, it suffices to prove that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ are fixed points of \mathcal{P}_m^F such that

$$(31) \quad M := \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| < \infty.$$

The proof of uniqueness proceeds via induction with respect to m . For $m = 1$ we have $r_1 = 1 - a_0$ and, therefore, for arbitrary fixed points $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{P}_m^F satisfying (31), we have $|r_1|^n \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| = \|\mathcal{L}^n \varphi_1 - \mathcal{L}^n \varphi_2\| \leq L^n M$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$, because $|r_1| > L$. We further assume that the fact is true for $m = k$. Consider fixed points $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{P}_{k+1}^F satisfying (31), and write $\phi_i := \mathcal{L}\varphi_i - r_{k+1}\varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, arguing analogously as before for ψ_s , we see that $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ are fixed points of \mathcal{P}_m^F with $m = k$ and appropriate (possibly different) a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} . Moreover, $\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\| \leq (L + |r_{k+1}|)M$. Hence, according to the inductive assumption, $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ and, analogously to the case $m = 1$, finally we obtain that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$. \square

6. Proof of Theorem 2.3

First, consider the case of (α) . In view of Theorem 2.1, it is enough to show that (\mathcal{L}_i) holds for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $v \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{U}$ and assume that $\delta_0 := \|\mathcal{L}_i^v \psi - \psi\| < \infty$. Write

$$(32) \quad \mathcal{T}_i := \frac{1}{r_i}(\mathcal{L} - v).$$

In view of (5), $\|\mathcal{T}_i \psi - \psi\| \leq \delta_0 / |r_i|$, and, for every $f, g \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_i f - \mathcal{T}_i g\| = \left\| \frac{1}{r_i} \mathcal{L}f - \frac{1}{r_i} \mathcal{L}g \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa}{|r_i|} \|f - g\|.$$

Define a generalized metric (i.e., admitting an infinite value) d in X^S by $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|$ for $f, g \in X^S$ (see [Luxemburg 1958]). Applying the fixed-point alternative of J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis [1968, p. 306–307], we see that (for the generalized metric d) the limit $\phi := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_i^n \psi$ exists in X^S and ϕ is the unique fixed point of \mathcal{T}_i with

$$\|\psi - \phi\| \leq \frac{\delta_0}{|r_i|} \frac{1}{1 - \kappa/|r_i|} = \frac{\delta_0}{|r_i| - \kappa}.$$

Since the sequence $(\mathcal{T}_i^n \psi)$ converges to ϕ uniformly and \mathcal{U} is closed in the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, ϕ belongs to \mathcal{U} . Next, $\mathcal{L}_i^v \phi = \mathcal{L}\phi + (1 - r_i)\phi - v = r_i \mathcal{T}_i \phi + v + (1 - r_i)\phi - v = \phi$, implying that (\mathcal{L}_i) is valid, which completes the proof in the case of (α) .

Now, consider the case when (β) is valid and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. As is well-known (see, e.g., [Fabian et al. 2001, p. 39; Ferrera and Muñoz 2003] or [Kadison and Ringrose 1997, p. 66, Exercise 1.9.6]), X^2 is a complex Banach space with linear structure defined by the operations $(x, y) + (z, w) := (x + z, y + w)$ and

$(\alpha + i\beta)(x, y) := (\alpha x - \beta y, \beta x + \alpha y)$ and the Taylor norm $\|\cdot\|_T$ given by $\|(x, y)\|_T := \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} \|(\cos \theta)x + (\sin \theta)y\|$ for $x, y, z, w \in X, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly,

$$(33) \quad \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \leq \|(x, y)\|_T \leq \|x\| + \|y\|, \quad x, y \in X.$$

We write $p_i(w_1, w_2) := w_i$ for $w_1, w_2 \in X, i = 1, 2$ and $\|\mu\|_T := \sup_{x \in S} \|\mu(x)\|_T$ for $\mu \in (X^2)^S$. Let $\mathcal{U}_0 := \{\mu : S \rightarrow X^2 : p_i \circ \mu \in \mathcal{U}, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0\mu(x) := (\mathcal{L}(p_1 \circ \mu)(x), \mathcal{L}(p_2 \circ \mu)(x))$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{U}_0, x \in S$. Since \mathcal{L} is linear and \mathcal{U} is a linear subspace of X over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, we see that \mathcal{U}_0 is a linear subspace of X^2 over \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{L}_0 is a linear operator (also over \mathbb{C}) such that $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U}_0) \subset \mathcal{U}_0$.

Choose $\mu \in (X^2)^S$ and consider a sequence (μ_n) in \mathcal{U}_0 which is uniformly convergent to μ (in the Taylor norm). Then, by (33),

$$\max\{\|p_1 \circ \mu_n - p_1 \circ \mu\|, \|p_2 \circ \mu_n - p_2 \circ \mu\|\} \leq \|\mu_n - \mu\|_T, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which means that $p_i \circ \mu_n$ is uniformly convergent to $p_i \circ \mu$ for $i = 1, 2$. Consequently, $p_1 \circ \mu, p_2 \circ \mu \in \mathcal{U}$, whence $\mu \in \mathcal{U}_0$. Thus, \mathcal{U}_0 is closed in the supremum norm connected with the norm $\|\cdot\|_T$. Further, according to (9) and (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_0\mu\|_T &= \|(\mathcal{L}(p_1 \circ \mu), \mathcal{L}(p_2 \circ \mu))\|_T \leq \|\mathcal{L}(p_1 \circ \mu)\| + \|\mathcal{L}(p_2 \circ \mu)\| \\ &\leq \kappa\|p_1 \circ \mu\| + \kappa\|p_2 \circ \mu\| \leq 2\kappa \max\{\|p_1 \circ \mu\|, \|p_2 \circ \mu\|\} \leq 2\kappa\|\mu\|_T \end{aligned}$$

for every $\mu \in \mathcal{U}_0$. We write $\chi := (\varphi_s, 0)$ and $v_0 = (v, 0)$ for $v \in \mathcal{U}$. Then we have $\|\mathcal{L}_0\chi + (1 - r_i)\chi - v_0 - \chi\|_T = \|\mathcal{L}\varphi_s + (1 - r_i)\varphi_s - v - \varphi_s\| = \delta < \infty$, because $p_2 \circ \chi(x) = 0$ for $x \in S$. So, we have again the case of (α) , where $\mathcal{L}, \kappa, \varphi_s, F, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_m^F$ are replaced with $\mathcal{L}_0, 2\kappa, \chi, F_0 := (F, 0), \mathcal{U}_0$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_m^F := P_m(\mathcal{L}_0) + \widehat{F}_0$, respectively. So, by the first part of the proof, there is a fixed point $H \in \mathcal{U}_0$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_m^F$ with

$$(34) \quad \|\chi - H\|_T \leq \frac{\delta}{(|r_1| - 2\kappa) \cdots (|r_m| - 2\kappa)}.$$

Observe that $\varphi := p_1 \circ H$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{P}_m^F . Moreover, by (34), (10) holds with $\rho = 2$.

It remains to prove the statement of the uniqueness of φ . Let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ be a fixed point of \mathcal{P}_m^F such that $\|\varphi_s - \varphi_0\| < \infty$. Write $H_0(x) := (\varphi_0(x), 0)$ for $x \in S$. Note that $H_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$ is a fixed point of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_m^F$. Moreover, $\|\chi - H_0\|_T = \|\varphi_s - \varphi_0\| < \infty$. By Theorem 2.1 (with $L = 2\kappa$), we deduce that $H_0 = H$, whence $\varphi_0 = p_1 \circ H_0 = p_1 \circ H = \varphi$. □

References

[Agarwal et al. 2003] R. P. Agarwal, B. Xu, and W. Zhang, “Stability of functional equations in single variable”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **288**:2 (2003), 852–869. MR 2004k:39072 Zbl 1053.39042

[Badora and Brzdęk 2012] R. Badora and J. Brzdęk, “A note on a fixed point theorem and the Hyers–Ulam stability”, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **18**:6 (2012), 1115–1119. MR 2929641 Zbl 1254.39012

- [Bahyrycz 2007] A. Bahyrycz, “Forti’s example of an unstable homomorphism equation”, *Aequationes Math.* **74**:3 (2007), 310–313. MR 2009d:39043 Zbl 1165.39021
- [Baker 1991] J. A. Baker, “The stability of certain functional equations”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112**:3 (1991), 729–732. MR 91j:39003 Zbl 0735.39004
- [Brzdęk and Ciepliński 2011] J. Brzdęk and K. Ciepliński, “A fixed point approach to the stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean metric spaces”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**:18 (2011), 6861–6867. MR 2012g:39035 Zbl 1237.39022
- [Brzdęk and Jung 2010] J. Brzdęk and S.-M. Jung, “A note on stability of a linear functional equation of second order connected with the Fibonacci numbers and Lucas sequences”, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2010** (2010), Art. ID #793947. MR 2678910 Zbl 1198.39036
- [Brzdęk and Jung 2011] J. Brzdęk and S.-M. Jung, “A note on stability of an operator linear equation of the second order”, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2011** (2011), Art. ID #602713. MR 2819774 Zbl 1273.39023
- [Brzdęk et al. 2006] J. Brzdęk, D. Popa, and B. Xu, “Note on nonstability of the linear recurrence”, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **76** (2006), 183–189. MR 2007k:39051 Zbl 1126.39019
- [Brzdęk et al. 2008] J. Brzdęk, D. Popa, and B. Xu, “Hyers–Ulam stability for linear equations of higher orders”, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **120**:1-2 (2008), 1–8. MR 2009e:39027 Zbl 1174.39012
- [Brzdęk et al. 2010] J. Brzdęk, D. Popa, and B. Xu, “Remarks on stability of linear recurrence of higher order”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**:12 (2010), 1459–1463. MR 2718530 Zbl 1206.39019
- [Brzdęk et al. 2011a] J. Brzdęk, J. Chudziak, and Z. Páles, “A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**:17 (2011), 6728–6732. MR 2012f:39060 Zbl 1236.39022
- [Brzdęk et al. 2011b] J. Brzdęk, D. Popa, and B. Xu, “On approximate solutions of the linear functional equation of higher order”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **373**:2 (2011), 680–689. MR 2011m:39042 Zbl 1204.65147
- [Chang and Howes 1984] K. W. Chang and F. A. Howes, *Nonlinear singular perturbation phenomena: theory and applications*, Applied Mathematical Sciences **56**, Springer, New York, 1984. MR 86e:34090 Zbl 0559.34013
- [Ciepliński 2010] K. Ciepliński, “Generalized stability of multi-additive mappings”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**:10 (2010), 1291–1294. MR 2011e:39031 Zbl 1204.39026
- [Ciepliński 2011] K. Ciepliński, “Stability of multi-additive mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **373**:2 (2011), 376–383. MR 2720687 Zbl 1204.39027
- [Ciepliński 2012a] K. Ciepliński, “Applications of fixed point theorems to the Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations: a survey”, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **3**:1 (2012), 151–164. MR 2903276 Zbl 1252.39032
- [Ciepliński 2012b] K. Ciepliński, “Stability of multi-additive mappings in β -Banach spaces”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**:11 (2012), 4205–4212. MR 2921983 Zbl 1243.39022
- [Diaz and Margolis 1968] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, “A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968), 305–309. MR 36 #3333 Zbl 0157.29904
- [Fabian et al. 2001] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Pelant, and V. Zizler, *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, CMS Books in Mathematics **8**, Springer, New York, 2001. MR 2002f:46001 Zbl 0981.46001
- [Ferrera and Muñoz 2003] J. Ferrera and G. A. Muñoz, “A characterization of real Hilbert spaces using the Bochnak complexification norm”, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **80**:4 (2003), 384–392. MR 2004b:46026 Zbl 1035.46016

- [Forti 1995] G. L. Forti, “Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables”, *Aequationes Math.* **50**:1-2 (1995), 143–190. MR 96i:39033 Zbl 0836.39007
- [Forti 2007] G.-L. Forti, “Elementary remarks on Ulam–Hyers stability of linear functional equations”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **328**:1 (2007), 109–118. MR 2007i:39046 Zbl 1111.39026
- [Forti and Sikorska 2011] G.-L. Forti and J. Sikorska, “Variations on the Drygas equation and its stability”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**:2 (2011), 343–350. MR 2011j:39048 Zbl 1201.39023
- [Hayes and Jackson 2005] W. Hayes and K. R. Jackson, “A survey of shadowing methods for numerical solutions of ordinary differential equations”, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **53**:2-4 (2005), 299–321. MR 2005m:65127 Zbl 1069.65075
- [Hyers 1941] D. H. Hyers, “On the stability of the linear functional equation”, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **27** (1941), 222–224. MR 2,315a Zbl 0061.26403
- [Hyers et al. 1998] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications **34**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998. MR 99i:39035 Zbl 0907.39025
- [Jabłoński and Reich 2006] W. Jabłoński and L. Reich, “Stability of the translation equation in rings of formal power series and partial extensibility of one-parameter groups of truncated formal power series”, *Österreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Sitzungsber. (II)* **215** (2006), 127–137. MR 2008j:39023 Zbl 1159.39316
- [Jung 2001] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of functional equations in mathematical analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, 2001. MR 2003b:39035 Zbl 0980.39024
- [Jung 2004] S.-M. Jung, “Hyers–Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **17**:10 (2004), 1135–1140. MR 2005g:34117 Zbl 1061.34039
- [Jung 2005] S.-M. Jung, “Hyers–Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order, III”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **311**:1 (2005), 139–146. MR 2006i:39044 Zbl 1087.34534
- [Jung 2006] S.-M. Jung, “Hyers–Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order, II”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **19**:9 (2006), 854–858. MR 2007a:39037 Zbl 1125.34328
- [Jung 2007] S.-M. Jung, “A fixed point approach to the stability of isometries”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329**:2 (2007), 879–890. MR 2007k:39054 Zbl 1153.39309
- [Jung 2011] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of functional equations in nonlinear analysis*, Springer Optimization and Its Applications **48**, Springer, New York, 2011. MR 2012c:39001 Zbl 1221.39038
- [Jung and Chang 2005] Y.-S. Jung and I.-S. Chang, “The stability of a cubic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **306**:2 (2005), 752–760. MR 2005m:39055 Zbl 1077.39026
- [Jung and Kim 2006] S.-M. Jung and T.-S. Kim, “A fixed point approach to the stability of the cubic functional equation”, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* (3) **12**:1 (2006), 51–57. MR 2008d:39038 Zbl 1133.39028
- [Jung and Rassias 2007] S.-M. Jung and T. M. Rassias, “Ulam’s problem for approximate homomorphisms in connection with Bernoulli’s differential equation”, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **187**:1 (2007), 223–227. MR 2323574 Zbl 1118.39014
- [Jung and Rassias 2008] S.-M. Jung and T. M. Rassias, “Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of Riccati differential equation”, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **11**:4 (2008), 777–782. MR 2458171 Zbl 1200.39010
- [Kadison and Ringrose 1997] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, I: Elementary theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **15**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. MR 98f:46001a Zbl 0888.46039

- [Kim 2000] G. H. Kim, “On the stability of generalized gamma functional equation”, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **23**:8 (2000), 513–520. MR 2001g:39050 Zbl 0955.39010
- [Kuczma 1968] M. Kuczma, *Functional equations in a single variable*, Monografie Matematyczne **46**, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1968. MR 37 #4441 Zbl 0196.16403
- [Kuczma et al. 1990] M. Kuczma, B. Choczewski, and R. Ger, *Iterative functional equations*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. **32**, Cambridge University Press, 1990. MR 92f:39002 Zbl 0703.39005
- [Li and Shen 2009] Y. Li and Y. Shen, “Hyers–Ulam stability of nonhomogeneous linear differential equations of second order”, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2009** (2009), Art. ID #576852. MR 2011d:34012 Zbl 1181.34014
- [Lin and Zhou 1995] Z.-C. Lin and M.-R. Zhou, *Perturbation methods in applied mathematics*, Jiangsu Education Press, Nanjing, 1995. In Chinese.
- [Luxemburg 1958] W. A. J. Luxemburg, “On the convergence of successive approximations in the theory of ordinary differential equations, II”, *Indag. Math.* **20** (1958), 540–546. MR 23 #A1866 Zbl 0084.07703
- [Mirzavaziri and Moslehian 2006] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, “A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation”, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **37**:3 (2006), 361–376. MR 2007i:39040 Zbl 1118.39015
- [Miura et al. 2003a] T. Miura, S. Miyajima, and S.-E. Takahasi, “A characterization of Hyers–Ulam stability of first order linear differential operators”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **286**:1 (2003), 136–146. MR 2004h:34012 Zbl 1045.47037
- [Miura et al. 2003b] T. Miura, S. Miyajima, and S.-E. Takahasi, “Hyers–Ulam stability of linear differential operator with constant coefficients”, *Math. Nachr.* **258** (2003), 90–96. MR 2004d:34113 Zbl 1039.34054
- [Miura et al. 2004] T. Miura, S.-M. Jung, and S.-E. Takahasi, “Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the Banach space valued linear differential equations $y' = \lambda y$ ”, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **41**:6 (2004), 995–1005. MR 2005f:34139 Zbl 1069.34079
- [Miura et al. 2012] T. Miura, G. Hirasawa, S.-E. Takahasi, and T. Hayata, “A note on the stability of an integral equation”, pp. 207–222 in *Functional equations in mathematical analysis*, edited by T. M. Rassias and J. Brzdęk, Springer Optimization and Its Applications **52**, Springer, New York, 2012. MR 3059690 Zbl 1248.45008
- [Moszner 2009] Z. Moszner, “On the stability of functional equations”, *Aequationes Math.* **77**:1–2 (2009), 33–88. MR 2010b:39040 Zbl 1207.39044
- [Palmer 2000] K. Palmer, *Shadowing in dynamical systems: theory and applications*, Mathematics and its Applications **501**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000. MR 2002k:37034 Zbl 0997.37001
- [Paneah 2009] B. Paneah, “A new approach to the stability of linear functional operators”, *Aequationes Math.* **78**:1–2 (2009), 45–61. MR 2010k:39038 Zbl 1207.39046
- [Pilyugin 1999] S. Y. Pilyugin, *Shadowing in dynamical systems*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1706**, Springer, Berlin, 1999. MR 2001b:37030 Zbl 0954.37014
- [Piszczek 2013a] M. Piszczek, “On selections of set-valued inclusions in a single variable with applications to several variables”, *Results Math.* **64**:1–2 (2013), 1–12. MR 3095123 Zbl 1277.39032
- [Piszczek 2013b] M. Piszczek, “The properties of functional inclusions and Hyers–Ulam stability”, *Aequationes Math.* **85**:1–2 (2013), 111–118. MR 3028204 Zbl 1271.39031
- [Popa 2005a] D. Popa, “Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a linear recurrence”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **309**:2 (2005), 591–597. MR 2006a:39045 Zbl 1079.39027

- [Popa 2005b] D. Popa, “Hyers–Ulam stability of the linear recurrence with constant coefficients”, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2005**:2 (2005), 101–107. MR 2006k:39009 Zbl 1095.39024
- [Sikorska 2010] J. Sikorska, “On a direct method for proving the Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **372**:1 (2010), 99–109. MR 2011f:39042 Zbl 1198.39039
- [Stević 2008] S. Stević, “Bounded solutions of a class of difference equations in Banach spaces producing controlled chaos”, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **35**:2 (2008), 238–245. MR 2008h:39010 Zbl 1142.39013
- [Takahasi et al. 2002] S.-E. Takahasi, T. Miura, and S. Miyajima, “On the Hyers–Ulam stability of the Banach space-valued differential equation $y' = \lambda y$ ”, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **39**:2 (2002), 309–315. MR 2003c:34090 Zbl 1011.34046
- [Trif 2002] T. Trif, “On the stability of a general gamma-type functional equation”, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **60**:1-2 (2002), 47–61. MR 2003e:39052 Zbl 1004.39023
- [Trif 2006] T. Trif, “Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a linear functional equation with constant coefficients”, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **11**:5 (2006), 881–889. MR 2008c:39045 Zbl 1115.39028
- [Ulam 1964] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in modern mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1964. MR 43 #6031 Zbl 0137.24201
- [Wang et al. 2008] G. Wang, M. Zhou, and L. Sun, “Hyers–Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21**:10 (2008), 1024–1028. MR 2450633 Zbl 1159.34041

Received March 28, 2014.

BING XU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SICHUAN UNIVERSITY
CHENGDU, SICHUAN, 610064
CHINA
xb4106@sina.com

JANUSZ BRZDĘK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY
PODCHORAŻYCH 2
30-084 KRAKÓW
POLAND
jbrzdek@up.krakow.pl

WEINIAN ZHANG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SICHUAN UNIVERSITY
CHENGDU, SICHUAN, 610064
CHINA
matzwn@126.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$570/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 273 No. 2 February 2015

Taut foliations in surface bundles with multiple boundary components	257
TEJAS KALELKAR and RACHEL ROBERTS	
Some results on archimedean Rankin–Selberg integrals	277
JINGSONG CHAI	
Prescribing the boundary geodesic curvature on a compact scalar-flat Riemann surface via a flow method	307
HONG ZHANG	
–1-Phenomena for the pluri χ_y -genus and elliptic genus	331
PING LI	
On the geometry of Prüfer intersections of valuation rings	353
BRUCE OLBERDING	
Non-Kähler expanding Ricci solitons, Einstein metrics, and exotic cone structures	369
MARIA BUZANO, ANDREW S. DANCER, MICHAEL GALLAUGHER and MCKENZIE WANG	
A note on L -packets and abelian varieties over local fields	395
JEFFREY D. ACHTER and CLIFTON CUNNINGHAM	
Rotating drops with helicoidal symmetry	413
BENNETT PALMER and OSCAR M. PERDOMO	
The bidual of a radical operator algebra can be semisimple	443
CHARLES JOHN READ	
Dimension jumps in Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups	461
JIEZHU LIN and XUANMING YE	
Fixed-point results and the Hyers–Ulam stability of linear equations of higher orders	483
BING XU, JANUSZ BRZDEK and WEINIAN ZHANG	
Complete curvature homogeneous metrics on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$	499
BENJAMIN SCHMIDT and JON WOLFSON	



0030-8730(201502)273:2;1-4