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A construction is described that associates to each positive smooth function $F: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth Riemannian metric $g_{F}$ on $S_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ that is complete and curvature homogeneous. The construction respects moduli: positive smooth functions $F$ and $G$ lie in the same $\operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$ orbit if and only if the associated metrics $g_{F}$ and $g_{G}$ lie in the same $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ orbit.

The constructed metrics all have curvature tensor modeled on the same algebraic curvature tensor. Moreover, the following are shown to be equivalent: $F$ is constant, $g_{F}$ is left-invariant, and $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{F}\right)$ Riemannian covers a finite volume manifold. Applications of the construction are discussed.

## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be a connected Riemannian manifold, $\nabla$ its Levi-Civita connection, and $R$ its curvature tensor. Then $(M, g)$ is said to be curvature homogeneous of order $k$ if for every $p, q \in M$ there exists a linear isometry $I: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{q} M$ such that

$$
I^{*}\left(\nabla^{i} R\right)_{q}=\left(\nabla^{i} R\right)_{p}
$$

for each $i=0,1, \ldots, k$. When $M$ is curvature homogeneous of order $0, M$ is simply said to be curvature homogeneous. Locally homogeneous $(M, g)$ are clearly curvature homogeneous of all orders. I. M. Singer proved the converse in a seminal paper:

Theorem 1.1 [Singer 1960]. A connected and complete d-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ that is curvature homogeneous of order at least $d(d-1) / 2-1$ is locally homogeneous. If, in addition, $M$ is simply connected, then $(M, g)$ is homogeneous.

While Singer's theorem ensures that completeness and curvature homogeneity of sufficiently large order implies local homogeneity, there exist examples of complete

[^0]and curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifolds that are not locally homogeneous. We refer the reader to [Boeckx et al. 1996] for an extensive collection of examples and additional references. In this note we prove:

Theorem 1.2. There is a construction that associates to each positive smooth function $F: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a complete and curvature homogeneous Riemannian metric $g_{F}$ on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. In this construction, the following are equivalent:
(1) $F$ is constant.
(2) The metric $g_{F}$ is left-invariant.
(3) $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{F}\right)$ Riemannian covers a finite volume manifold.

Theorem 1.2 is related to a conjecture attributed to Gromov by Berger [1988] that we now describe. Let $T$ denote a fixed algebraic curvature tensor on Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n}$ and let $M$ denote a connected, smooth $n$-manifold. A Riemannian metric $h$ on $M$ with curvature tensor $R$ is said to be modeled on $T$ if for each $x \in M$ there is a linear isometry $I: T_{x} M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n}$ such that $I^{*}(T)=R_{x}$. It is clear that such a Riemannian metric $h$ is curvature homogeneous and that $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ acts on the space of such metrics by pullback. Let $\mathcal{M}(M, T)$ denote the space of $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ orbits of complete Riemannian metrics on $M$ with curvature tensor modeled on $T$.

Conjecture 1.3 (Gromov). If $M$ is compact, then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(M, T)$ is finite-dimensional.

It is known that the assumption of compactness in Gromov's conjecture cannot in general be replaced by an assumption of completeness on the metrics under consideration. For example, infinite-dimensional moduli spaces of complete metrics with curvature tensors modeled on certain reducible symmetric spaces are constructed in [Tricerri and Vanhecke 1989; Kowalski et al. 1992] (see also [Boeckx et al. 1996, Propositions 4.15-4.16]).

Question [Tricerri and Vanhecke 1989, Problem 2]. Do the isometry classes of the germs of Riemannian metrics which have the curvature tensor of a given "irreducible" homogeneous Riemannian manifold depend on a finite number of parameters?

As explained in Section 3, the Riemannian metrics constructed in Theorem 1.2 all have curvature tensors modeled on a fixed algebraic curvature tensor that we will call $T$ throughout. The algebraic curvature tensor $T$ is modeled on the curvature tensor of an irreducible left-invariant metric on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Our next theorem describes the moduli space of these metrics.

Theorem 1.4. Let $F$ and $G$ be two positive smooth functions on the circle. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi^{*}\left(g_{G}\right)=g_{F}$ if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $F=\phi^{*}(G)$.

The space of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$ orbits of positive smooth functions on $S^{1}$ is easily seen to be infinite-dimensional. Hence, Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 yield the following negative answer to Tricerri and Vanhecke's problem:

Corollary 1.5. There is an algebraic curvature tensor $T$ modeled on an irreducible left-invariant metric on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), T\right)$ is infinitedimensional.

Our construction also has an application to the problem of finding isocurved deformations of homogeneous Riemannian spaces. Let $(M, g)$ be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Kowalski [1999] defines an isocurved deformation of $g$ to be a family of smooth Riemannian metrics $\left\{g_{t} \mid t \in[0,1]\right\}$ on $M$ satisfying:
(1) Each $\left(M, g_{t}\right)$ is a curvature homogeneous space with curvature tensor modeled on $(M, g)$.
(2) The metrics $g_{t}$ depend smoothly on $t$ and $g_{0}=g$.
(3) $\left(M, g_{t_{1}}\right)$ is not locally isometric to $\left(M, g_{t_{2}}\right)$ when $t_{1} \neq t_{2}$.

If, in addition, the metrics $g_{t}$ with $t \in(0,1)$ are not locally homogeneous, then the isocurved deformation is said to be proper.

A proper isocurved deformation of an irreducible homogeneous metric $g_{0}$ on the three-dimensional Lie group $E(1,1)$ is constructed in [Kowalski 1999]. However, the metric $g_{1}$ in the deformation is not complete, and the completeness of the intermediate metrics is not determined. Problem 1 in [Kowalski 1999] asks to find a proper isocurved deformation of an irreducible homogenous Riemannian manifold through complete Riemannian metrics.

Corollary 1.6. Let $F: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonconstant smooth positive function with a critical value not equal to one, and let $F_{t}=(1-t)+t F$. Then the family of metrics

$$
\left\{g_{t}=g_{F_{t}} \mid t \in[0,1]\right\}
$$

is a proper isocurved deformation of the irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifold $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{1}\right)$ through complete Riemannian metrics.

Proof. As remarked above, each of the metrics $g_{t}$ is modeled on a fixed algebraic curvature tensor $T$; their smoothness in the parameter $t$ will be evident from the construction. The metric $g_{0}$ is homogeneous, each of the metrics $g_{t}$ is complete, and each of the metrics $g_{t}$ with $t>0$ is not locally homogeneous by Theorem 1.2 ; the irreducibility of the metric $g_{0}$ is clear. It remains to check that the metrics $g_{t}$ are pairwise nonisometric. This follows from Theorem 1.4 after checking that the functions $F_{t}$ pairwise lie in different $\operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$ orbits. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that the number of critical points and the associated critical values of smooth functions on $S^{1}$ are $\operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$-invariants.

Theorem 1.2 is also related to a classification result for constant vector curvature three-manifolds contained in [Schmidt and Wolfson 2013] that will be used in Section 3. A Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) has constant vector curvature $\varepsilon$ if each tangent vector $v \in T M$ lies in a tangent plane of sectional curvature $\varepsilon$. This curvature condition was introduced as a pointwise analogue of the higher rank condition for Riemannian manifolds. Motivated by a number of results on rank-rigidity such as [Ballmann 1985; Burns and Spatzier 1987; Connell 2002; Constantine 2008; Hamenstädt 1991; Shankar et al. 2005], the present authors proved the following rigidity result for constant vector curvature -1 three-manifolds:

Theorem 1.7 [Schmidt and Wolfson 2013, Theorem 1.1]. Suppose that $M$ is a finite volume three-manifold with constant vector curvature -1 . If $\sec \leq-1$, then $M$ is real hyperbolic. If $\sec \geq-1$ and $M$ is not real hyperbolic, then its universal covering is isometric to a left-invariant metric on one of the Lie groups $E(1,1)$ or $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with sectional curvatures having range $[-1,1]$.

As will be explained in Section 3, the metrics constructed in Theorem 1.2 all have constant vector curvature -1 and sectional curvatures having range $[-1,1]$. Therefore, it is not possible to remove the finite volume hypothesis in Theorem 1.7 in the case when $\sec \geq-1$.

## 2. $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$

Let $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Lie group consisting of $2 \times 2$ real matrices of determinant one and let $e \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the identity element. Its Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cong T_{e} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ consists of $2 \times 2$ real matrices with trace equal to zero. Consider the following three one-parameter subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\
& N=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, s \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\
& A=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{t / 2} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-t / 2}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The multiplication map $K \times N \times A \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}),(k, n, a) \mapsto k n a$ is a diffeomorphism, yielding the Iwasawa decomposition $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})=K N A$.

Define trace zero matrices $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3} \in \mathrm{sl}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
E_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad E_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad E_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ is a basis for the Lie algebra $\operatorname{sl}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $E_{1}, E_{2}$, and $E_{3}$ are the infinitesimal generators of the one-parameter subgroups $K, N$, and $A$, respectively. This Lie algebra basis satisfies the bracket relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{1}, E_{2}\right]=2 E_{3}, \quad\left[E_{2}, E_{3}\right]=-E_{2}, \quad\left[E_{1}, E_{3}\right]=E_{1}-2 E_{2} \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vectors $E_{i}$ have unique extensions to left-invariant vector fields on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ that we also denote by $E_{i}$. Declaring the left-invariant framing $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ to be orthonormal determines a left-invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Throughout the remainder of this paper, we let $g_{1}$ denote this left-invariant metric. The pullback of its curvature tensor via a linear isometry from Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ to $T_{e} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ defines an algebraic curvature tensor that we denote by $T$ in the remainder of the paper. In the next section, we give the construction of Theorem 1.2. The metrics constructed will all have curvature tensors modeled on the algebraic curvature tensor $T$.

## 3. The construction

Note that the subgroup $K$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{1}$. Throughout what follows, we assume that a diffeomorphism between $K$ and $S^{1}$ has been fixed, identifying positive smooth functions on $K$ with those on $S^{1}$. A positive smooth function $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determines a positive smooth function $\bar{F}: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. Given $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, there is a unique expression $g=k n a$ with $k \in K, n \in N$, and $a \in A$ by the Iwasawa decomposition. Define $\bar{F}(g)=\bar{F}(k n a)=F(k)$.

Alternatively, the bracket relations (2-1) show that the left-invariant vector fields $E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ span an involutive plane distribution; the foliation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by integral surfaces of this distribution coincides with the foliation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by left-cosets of the subgroup $N A$. As $N A$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, the natural projection map to the space of left-cosets

$$
\pi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) / N A
$$

is smooth. Note that the space of cosets $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) / N A$ is diffeomorphic to $K$. Then $\bar{F}=F \circ \pi$ is constant on the leaves of the foliation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by left-cosets of $N A$. We summarize this in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Smooth functions $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ lift to smooth functions $\bar{F}: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $E_{2}(\bar{F})=E_{3}(\bar{F})=0$.

Let $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth and positive function and $\bar{F}: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ its associated lift. Define a framing $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}=\bar{F} E_{1}, \quad e_{2}=E_{2}, \quad e_{3}=E_{3} \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call such a framing an $F$-framing. The bracket relations for an $F$-framing are easy to deduce from (2-1) and the fact that $E_{2}(\bar{F})=E_{3}(\bar{F})=0$. They are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=2 \bar{F} e_{3}, \quad\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{2}, \quad\left[e_{1}, e_{3}\right]=e_{1}-2 \bar{F} e_{2} \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.2. Given a smooth positive function $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the $F$-metric on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Riemannian metric denoted by $g_{F}$ which is defined by declaring the associated $F$-framing to be $g_{F}$ orthonormal.

Note that for the function $F$ which is identically one on $K$, the associated $F$ metric is the left-invariant metric $g_{1}$ described in Section 2. We remark that the space of $F$-metrics is path connected. Indeed, given two positive functions $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ on $K$, the metrics $g_{(1-t) F_{0}+t F_{1}}$ with $t \in[0,1]$ define the path joining $g_{F_{0}}$ to $g_{F_{1}}$. As we shall show, all $F$-metrics have curvature tensors modeled on the algebraic curvature tensor $T$.

In order to calculate the curvatures of an $F$-metric, we first calculate the Christoffel symbols. As an $F$-framing is by definition orthonormal for the metric $g_{F}$, Koszul's formula reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{F}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{j}, e_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{g_{F}\left(\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right], e_{k}\right)-g_{F}\left(\left[e_{j}, e_{k}\right], e_{i}\right)+g_{F}\left(\left[e_{k}, e_{i}\right], e_{j}\right)\right\} . \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3-2) and (3-3) yields

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{3}=e_{1}-2 \bar{F} e_{2}, & \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{3}=-e_{2}, \\
\nabla_{e_{3}} e_{1}=0, & \nabla_{e_{3}} e_{2}=0, \\
\nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=0, & \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{2}=e_{3},  \tag{3-4}\\
\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{2}=2 \bar{F} e_{3}, & \nabla_{e_{1}} e_{1}=-e_{3}, \\
\nabla_{e_{3}} e_{3}=0 . &
\end{array}
$$

We let $R_{i j k l}$ denote the component of the curvature tensor

$$
R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right)=g_{F}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{j}} e_{k}-\nabla_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{k}-\nabla_{\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]} e_{k}, e_{l}\right) .
$$

Tedious but straightforward calculations using (3-2), (3-4), and the fact that $e_{2}(\bar{F})=e_{3}(\bar{F})=0$ show that
(3-5) $R_{1221}=1, \quad R_{1331}=-1=R_{2332}, \quad R_{i j k l}=0$ if three indices are distinct.
The symmetries of the curvature tensor determine its remaining components.
Corollary 3.3. An F-metric $g_{F}$ is curvature homogeneous and has curvature tensor modeled on the algebraic curvature tensor $T$. An $F$-framing diagonalizes the Ricci tensor. If $\sigma$ is a two-plane and $v=\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{i} e_{i}$ is a unit vector orthogonal to $\sigma$, then

$$
\sec (\sigma)=c_{3}^{2}-c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}
$$

Consequently, $g_{F}$ has constant vector curvature $-1, e_{3}$ lies in the intersection of all curvature -1 planes, and the range of sectional curvatures for an $F$-metric is $[-1,1]$.

Proof. To prove the first claim, note that by (3-5), the curvatures of an $F$-metric with respect to an $F$-framing do not depend on the function $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, they all have curvature tensors modeled on the curvature tensor of the $F$-metric corresponding to $F \equiv 1$ which is the left-invariant metric $g_{1}$ constructed at the end of the previous section.

The fact that an $F$-framing diagonalizes the Ricci tensor is immediate from (3-5). This fact and [Schmidt and Wolfson 2013, Lemma 2.2] yield the curvature formula. The curvature formula implies the last statement.

Lemma 3.4. An $F$-metric $g_{F}$ is complete.
Proof. Let $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive smooth function and $g_{F}$ the associated $F$ metric. As $K$ is compact, there exists $M>1$ such that $1 / M<F<M$. Consider the Riemannian metrics $M^{-2} g_{1}$ and $M^{2} g_{1}$ obtained by scaling the left-invariant metric $g_{1}$. The induced norms satisfy

$$
M^{-1}\|v\|_{g_{1}}=\|v\|_{M^{-2} g_{1}}<\|v\|_{g_{F}}<\|v\|_{M^{2} g_{1}}=M\|v\|_{g_{1}}
$$

for each tangent vector $v \in T \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, the induced path metrics satisfy

$$
M^{-1} d_{g_{1}}(p, q) \leq d_{g_{F}}(p, q) \leq M d_{g_{1}}(p, q)
$$

for any pair of points $p, q \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. As $d_{g_{1}}$ Cauchy sequences converge, the same is true of $d_{g_{F}}$ Cauchy sequences.

The following lemma may be of interest to some readers. It is not used in the proof of our main results and may be skipped.

Lemma 3.5. For any $F$-metric $g_{F}$, the foliation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by left-cosets of $N A$ is a foliation by totally geodesic hyperbolic planes.

Proof. Let $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth positive function, $g_{F}$ the associated $F$-metric, and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ the associated $F$-framing. The leaves of the foliation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by left cosets of $N A$ are precisely the integral surfaces of the involutive plane distribution $e_{2} \wedge e_{3}$. These leaves are totally geodesic since by (3-4), $\nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=\nabla_{e_{3}} e_{1}=0$. By (3-5), $R_{2332}=-1$, so that the leaves are hyperbolic. As $N A$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the leaves are hyperbolic planes.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 from the introduction, it remains to establish the following proposition.
Proposition 3.6. For a positive smooth function $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the following are equivalent:
(1) $F$ is constant.
(2) The metric $g_{F}$ is left-invariant.
(3) $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{F}\right)$ Riemannian covers a finite volume manifold.

Proof. Let $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive smooth function and $g_{F}$ the associated $F$-metric on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
$(1) \Longrightarrow(2)$ : Because $F$ is constant, so is its lift $\bar{F}$. The associated $F$-framing $\left\{e_{1}=\bar{F} E_{1}, e_{2}=E_{2}, e_{3}=E_{3}\right\}$ is easily seen to be left-invariant since the framing $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ is left-invariant. Therefore $g_{F}$ is a left-invariant metric.
$(2) \Longrightarrow(3)$ : This is an easy consequence of the fact that $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ admits lattice subgroups.
(3) $\Rightarrow(1)$ : Let $M$ denote the finite volume manifold Riemannian covered by $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{F}\right)$. We first claim that the metric $g_{F}$ is locally homogeneous. Indeed, by Corollary 3.3, $M$ has constant vector curvature -1 and sectional curvatures with range $[-1,1]$. By Theorem 1.7 , the universal covering $\left(\widehat{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right.$, $\left.\tilde{g}_{F}\right)$ is left-invariant (and homogeneous), whence $g_{F}$ is locally homogeneous.

Let $\bar{F}$ denote the lift of $F$ to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ be the associated $F$ framing. Let $p, q \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be two points. As $g_{F}$ is locally homogeneous, there is an $r>0$ and an isometry $I$ between the balls of radius $r$ centered at $p$ and $q$ with $I(p)=q$ :

$$
I: B(p, r) \rightarrow B(q, r)
$$

The derivative map $d I: T B(p, r) \rightarrow T B(q, r)$ preserves the line field spanned by $e_{3}$ and the perpendicular plane field $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ by the curvature formula in Corollary 3.3. Therefore, there exists a smooth map $\theta: B(q, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $d I\left(e_{3}\right)= \pm e_{3}$ and such that the restriction of $d I$ to the plane field $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ has matrix representation given by either

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & -\cos \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ framing.
By (3-2),

$$
d I_{p}\left(\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]_{p}\right)=d I_{p}\left(2 \bar{F}(p) e_{3}\right)= \pm 2 \bar{F}(p) e_{3} \in T_{q} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

where the sign is + if $d I$ preserves the orientation of $e_{3}$ and is - if the orientation is reversed. A simple calculation yields

$$
g_{F}\left(\left[d I_{p}\left(e_{1}\right), d I_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)\right]_{q}, e_{3}\right)_{q}= \pm\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]_{q}= \pm 2 \bar{F}(q)
$$

where the sign is + if $d I$ preserves the orientation of the plane field $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ and is - if the orientation is reversed.

Since $d I_{p}\left(\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]_{p}\right)=\left[d I_{p}\left(e_{1}\right), d I_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)\right]_{q}$, we have $\bar{F}(p)= \pm \bar{F}(q)$. As $\bar{F}$ is everywhere positive, it must be the case that $\bar{F}(p)=\bar{F}(q)$. Therefore $F$ is constant, concluding the proof.

We conclude the paper with a proof of Theorem 1.4, restated for the reader's convenience, followed by a conjecture.

Theorem 3.7. Let $F$ and $G$ be two positive smooth functions on the circle. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi^{*}\left(g_{G}\right)=g_{F}$ if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $F=\phi^{*}(G)$.

Proof. Recall that a diffeomorphism between $S^{1}$ and $K$ has been fixed, identifying positive smooth functions on these two spaces.

First, assume that there is a diffeomorphism $\phi: K \rightarrow K$ such that $\phi^{*}(G)=F$. Define a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as follows. By the Iwasawa decomposition, each $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ has a unique expression $g=k n a$; define $\Phi(g)=$ $\Phi(k n a)=\phi(k) n a$. It is routine to check that $\Phi^{*}\left(g_{G}\right)=g_{F}$.

Assume that $\Phi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a diffeomorphism satisfying $\Phi^{*}\left(g_{G}\right)=g_{F}$. Let $\bar{F}$ and $\bar{G}$ denote the lifts of $F$ and $G$ to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}, \tilde{e}_{3}\right\}$ denote the associated $F$-framing and $G$-framing of $T \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. Since $e_{2}=\tilde{e}_{2}, e_{3}=\tilde{e}_{3}$, and $e_{1}$ and $\tilde{e}_{1}$ are positively parallel, these framings induce the same orientation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

As $\Phi:\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{F}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{G}\right)$ is an isometry, it preserves the sectional curvatures of planes. By Corollary 3.3, it follows that the derivative map

$$
d \Phi: T \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

satisfies $d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)= \pm \tilde{e}_{3}$ and maps the plane field $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ isometrically to the plane field $\tilde{e}_{1} \wedge \tilde{e}_{2}$. Therefore, there exists a smooth map

$$
\theta:\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{G}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

such that the matrix representation of

$$
\left.d \Phi\right|_{e_{1} \wedge e_{2}}: e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{1} \wedge \tilde{e}_{2}
$$

with respect to the ordered framings $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}\right\}$ is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & -\cos \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

depending on whether $\left.d \Phi\right|_{e_{1} \wedge e_{2}}$ preserves or reverses orientation.
By (3-2),

$$
d \Phi\left(\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right)=d \Phi\left(2 \bar{F} e_{3}\right)= \pm 2 \bar{F} \tilde{e}_{3} .
$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$
\left[d \Phi\left(e_{1}\right), d \Phi\left(e_{2}\right)\right]= \pm\left(-\tilde{e}_{1}(\theta) \tilde{e}_{1}-\tilde{e}_{2}(\theta) \tilde{e}_{2}+2 \bar{G} \tilde{e}_{3}\right),
$$

where the sign $\pm$ is + if and only if $\left.d \Phi\right|_{e_{1} \wedge e_{2}}$ is orientation-preserving.
Since $d \Phi\left(\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right)=\left[d \Phi\left(e_{1}\right), d \Phi\left(e_{2}\right)\right]$, comparing $\tilde{e}_{3}$ components, we have $\bar{F}= \pm \Phi^{*}(\bar{G})$. As both $\bar{F}$ and $\bar{G}$ are positive, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}=\Phi^{*}(\bar{G}) . \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)=\tilde{e}_{3}$ if and only if $\left.d \Phi\right|_{e_{1} \wedge e_{2}}$ is orientation-preserving. In particular, $\Phi$ is orientation-preserving.

Comparing $\tilde{e}_{1}$ and $\tilde{e}_{2}$ components yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}_{1}(\theta)=\tilde{e}_{2}(\theta)=0 . \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3-2) and (3-7),

$$
2 \bar{G} \tilde{e}_{3}(\theta)=\left[\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}\right](\theta)=\left(\tilde{e}_{1} \tilde{e}_{2}-\tilde{e}_{2} \tilde{e}_{1}\right)(\theta)=0 .
$$

As $\bar{G}$ is nonzero, it follows that $\tilde{e}_{3}(\theta)=0$, whence $\theta:\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), g_{G}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is globally constant. In what follows, we will consider the two cases $d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)=\tilde{e}_{3}$ and $d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)=-\tilde{e}_{3}$ separately.
Case I: $d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)=\tilde{e}_{3}$. As $\Phi$ is orientation-preserving, we have that $\left.d \Phi\right|_{e_{1} \wedge e_{2}}$ is orientation-preserving. Using (3-2) twice, we obtain successively

$$
g_{G}\left(d \Phi\left(\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]\right), \tilde{e}_{1}\right)=\sin \theta \quad \text { and } \quad g_{G}\left(\left[d \Phi\left(e_{2}\right), d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)\right], \tilde{e}_{1}\right)=-\sin \theta .
$$

As $d \Phi\left(\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]\right)=\left[d \Phi\left(e_{2}\right), d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)\right]$, it follows that $\sin \theta=0$ and that $\theta$ is an integral multiple of $\pi$.

As $\theta$ is an integral multiple of $\pi$, the derivative map $d \Phi$ preserves the plane distribution $e_{2} \wedge e_{3}$. Consequently, the diffeomorphism $\Phi$ preserves the foliation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by left-cosets of $N A$ and descends to a diffeomorphism $\phi$ of $K$. By (3-6), $F=\phi^{*}(G)$, concluding the proof in this case.
Case II: $d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)=-\tilde{e}_{3}$. As $\Phi$ is orientation-preserving, we have that $\left.d \Phi\right|_{e_{1} \wedge e_{2}}$ is orientation-reversing. Using (3-2) twice, we obtain successively
$g_{G}\left(d \Phi\left(\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]\right), \tilde{e}_{2}\right)=\cos \theta \quad$ and $\quad g_{G}\left(\left[d \Phi\left(e_{2}\right), d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)\right], \tilde{e}_{2}\right)=2 \bar{G} \sin \theta-\cos \theta$.
As $d \Phi\left(\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]\right)=\left[d \Phi\left(e_{2}\right), d \Phi\left(e_{3}\right)\right]$, it follows that $\cos \theta=\bar{G} \sin \theta$. As $\theta$ is constant, so is $\bar{G}$. By (3-6), $\bar{F}=\bar{G}$ are equal constants. Hence, $F=G$ are equal constants, concluding the proof.

Conjecture 3.8. The metrics $g_{F}$ constructed in this paper describe all of the complete Riemannian metrics on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ (up to isometry) that are modeled on the curvature tensor $T$.

## References

[Ballmann 1985] W. Ballmann, "Nonpositively curved manifolds of higher rank", Ann. of Math. (2) 122:3 (1985), 597-609. MR 87e:53059 Zbl 0585.53031
[Berger 1988] M. Berger, "L'œuvre d'André Lichnerowicz en géométrie riemannienne", pp. 11-24 in Physique quantique et géométrie (Paris, 1986), edited by D. Bernard and Y. Choquet-Bruhat, Travaux en Cours 32, Hermann, Paris, 1988. MR 89i:01060 Zbl 0642.53002
[Boeckx et al. 1996] E. Boeckx, O. Kowalski, and L. Vanhecke, Riemannian manifolds of conullity two, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1996. MR 98h:53075 Zbl 0904.53006
[Burns and Spatzier 1987] K. Burns and R. Spatzier, "Manifolds of nonpositive curvature and their buildings", Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 65 (1987), 35-59. MR $88 \mathrm{~g}: 53050$ Zbl 0643.53037
[Connell 2002] C. Connell, "A characterization of homogeneous spaces with positive hyperbolic rank", Geom. Dedicata 93 (2002), 205-233. MR 2003h:53059 Zbl 1032.53042
[Constantine 2008] D. Constantine, "2-frame flow dynamics and hyperbolic rank-rigidity in nonpositive curvature", J. Mod. Dyn. 2:4 (2008), 719-740. MR 2010e:53076 Zbl 1157.53335
[Hamenstädt 1991] U. Hamenstädt, "A geometric characterization of negatively curved locally symmetric spaces", J. Differential Geom. 34:1 (1991), 193-221. MR 92i:53046 Zbl 0733.53018
[Kowalski 1999] O. Kowalski, "On isocurved deformations of a homogeneous Riemannian space", pp. 163-171 in New developments in differential geometry (Budapest, 1996), edited by J. Szenthe, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999. MR 2000a:53089 Zbl 0946.53024
[Kowalski et al. 1992] O. Kowalski, F. Tricerri, and L. Vanhecke, "Curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifolds", J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 71:6 (1992), 471-501. MR 94c:53064 Zbl 0836.53029
[Schmidt and Wolfson 2013] B. Schmidt and J. Wolfson, "Three-manifolds with constant vector curvature", preprint, 2013. To appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J. arXiv 1110.4619
[Shankar et al. 2005] K. Shankar, R. Spatzier, and B. Wilking, "Spherical rank rigidity and Blaschke manifolds", Duke Math. J. 128:1 (2005), 65-81. MR 2006b:53056 Zbl 1082.53051
[Singer 1960] I. M. Singer, "Infinitesimally homogeneous spaces", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (1960), 685-697. MR 24 \#A1100 Zbl 0171.42503
[Tricerri and Vanhecke 1989] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, "Curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifolds", Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 22:4 (1989), 535-554. MR 91f:53044 Zbl 0698.53033

Received March 31, 2014.

## Benjamin Schmidt

Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824
United States
schmidt@ math.msu.edu

## Jon Wolfson

Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824
United States
wolfson@math.msu.edu

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS <br> msp.org/pjm 

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

Paul Balmer<br>Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555<br>balmer@math.ucla.edu<br>Robert Finn<br>Department of Mathematics<br>Stanford University<br>Stanford, CA 94305-2125<br>finn@math.stanford.edu<br>Sorin Popa<br>Department of Mathematics<br>University of California<br>Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555<br>popa@math.ucla.edu

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu
Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu
Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper

Department of Mathematics University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.
The subscription price for 2015 is US $\$ 420 /$ year for the electronic version, and $\$ 570 /$ year for print and electronic.
Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall \#3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW ${ }^{\circledR}$ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

## PUBLISHED BY

E. mathematical sciences publishers

## nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/
© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## Volume 273 No. 2 February 2015

Taut foliations in surface bundles with multiple boundary components ..... 257
Tejas Kalelkar and Rachel Roberts
Some results on archimedean Rankin-Selberg integrals ..... 277
Jingsong Chai
Prescribing the boundary geodesic curvature on a compact scalar-flat Riemann ..... 307
surface via a flow method
Hong Zhang
1-Phenomena for the pluri $\chi_{y}$-genus and elliptic genus ..... 331
Ping Li
On the geometry of Prüfer intersections of valuation rings ..... 353
Bruce Olberding
Non-Kähler expanding Ricci solitons, Einstein metrics, and exotic cone ..... 369
structuresMaria Buzano, Andrew S. Dancer, Michael Gallaugher andMcKenzie Wang
A note on $L$-packets and abelian varieties over local fields ..... 395
Jeffrey D. Achter and Clifton Cunningham
Rotating drops with helicoidal symmetry ..... 413
Bennett Palmer and Oscar M. Perdomo
The bidual of a radical operator algebra can be semisimple ..... 443
Charles John Read
Dimension jumps in Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups ..... 461
Jiezhu Lin and Xuanming Ye
Fixed-point results and the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear equations of higher ..... 483 ordersBing Xu, Janusz Brzdęk and Weinian Zhang
Complete curvature homogeneous metrics on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ..... 499
Benjamin Schmidt and Jon Wolfson


[^0]:    Schmidt was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1207655.
    MSC2010: primary 53C21; secondary 22F30.
    Keywords: curvature homogeneous space, homogeneous space, constant vector curvature.

