

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

DETERMINANT RANK OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

GUIHUA GONG, HUAXIN LIN AND YIFENG XUE

Volume 274 No. 2

April 2015

DETERMINANT RANK OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

GUIHUA GONG, HUAXIN LIN AND YIFENG XUE

Dedicated to George A. Elliott on his seventieth birthday

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $U_0(A)$ be the group of unitaries of A which are path-connected to the identity. Denote by $CU(A)$ the closure of the commutator subgroup of $U_0(A)$. Let $i_A^{(1,n)} : U_0(A)/CU(A) \rightarrow U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$ be the homomorphism defined by sending u to $\text{diag}(u, 1_{n-1})$. We study the problem of when the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism for all n . We show that it is always surjective and that it is injective when A has stable rank one. It is also injective when A is a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero, or A has no tracial state. We prove that the map is an isomorphism when A is Villadsen's simple AH-algebra of stable rank $k > 1$. We also prove that the map is an isomorphism for all Blackadar's unital projectionless separable simple C^* -algebras. Let $A = M_n(C(X))$, where X is any compact metric space. We note that the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism for all n . As a consequence, the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is always an isomorphism for any unital C^* -algebra A that is an inductive limit of the finite direct sum of C^* -algebras of the form $M_n(C(X))$ as above. Nevertheless we show that there is a unital C^* -algebra A such that $i_A^{(1,2)}$ is not an isomorphism.

1. Introduction

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $U(A)$ be the unitary group. Denote by $U_0(A)$ the normal subgroup which is the connected component of $U(A)$ containing the identity of A . Denote by $DU(A)$ the commutator subgroup of $U_0(A)$ and by $CU(A)$ the closure of $DU(A)$. We will study the group $U_0(A)/CU(A)$. Recently this group has become an important invariant for the structure of C^* -algebras. It plays an important role in the classification of C^* -algebras (see [Elliott and Gong 1996; Nielsen and Thomsen 1996; Elliott 1997; Thomsen 1997; Gong 2002; Elliott et al. 2007; Lin 2007; 2011; Gong et al. 2015], for example). It was shown in [Lin 2007] that the map $U_0(A)/CU(A) \rightarrow U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$ if A is a unital simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank at most one (see also [Lin

Huaxin Lin is the corresponding author.

MSC2010: primary 46L06, 46L35; secondary 46L80.

Keywords: determinant rank for C^* -algebras.

2010b, Corollary 3.5]). In general, when A has stable rank k , it was shown by Rieffel [1987] that the map $U(M_k(A))/U_0(M_k(A)) \rightarrow U(M_{k+m}(A))/U_0(M_{k+m}(A))$ is an isomorphism for all integers $m \geq 1$. In this case $U(M_k(A))/U_0(M_k(A)) = K_1(A)$. This fact plays an important role in the study of the structure of C^* -algebras, in particular those C^* -algebras of stable rank one, since it simplifies computations when K -theory involved. Therefore it seems natural to ask when the map $i_A^{(1,n)} : U_0(A)/CU(A) \rightarrow U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$ is an isomorphism. It will also greatly simplify our understanding and usage of the group when $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism for all n . The main tool to study $U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$ is the de la Harpe–Skandalis determinant, studied early by K. Thomsen [1995] (henceforth abbreviated [Th]), which involves the tracial state space $T(A)$ of A . On the other hand, we observe that when $T(A) = \emptyset$, $U_0(A)/CU(A) = \{0\}$. So we focus our attention on the case $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. One of the authors was asked repeatedly if the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is an isomorphism when A has stable rank one.

It turns out that it is easy to see that the map $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is always surjective for all n . Therefore the issue is when $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is injective.

Definition 1.1. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Consider the homomorphism

$$i_A^{(m,n)} : U_0(M_m(A))/CU(M_m(A)) \rightarrow U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$$

(induced by $u \mapsto \text{diag}(u, 1_{n-m})$) for integers $n \geq m \geq 1$. The determinant rank of A is defined to be

$$\text{Dur } A = \min \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid i_A^{(m,n)} \text{ is isomorphism for all } n > m\}.$$

If no such integer exists, we set $\text{Dur } A = \infty$.

We show that if $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, then $\text{Dur } A \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\text{Dur } A_n\}$. We prove that $\text{Dur } A = 1$ for all C^* -algebras of stable rank one, which answers the question mentioned above. We also show that $\text{Dur } A = 1$ for any unital C^* -algebra A with real rank zero. A closely related and repeatedly used fact is that the map $u \rightarrow u + (1 - e)$ is an isomorphism from $U(eAe)/CU(eAe)$ onto $U(A)/CU(A)$ when A is a unital simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank at most one and $e \in A$ is a projection (see [Lin 2007, Theorem 6.7; 2010b, Theorem 3.4]). We show in this note that this holds for any simple C^* -algebra of stable rank one.

Given Rieffel's early result mentioned above, one might be led to think that, when A has higher stable rank, or at least when $A = C(X)$ for higher-dimensional finite CW complexes, $\text{Dur } A$ is perhaps large. On the other hand it was suggested (see [Th, Section 3]) that $\text{Dur } A = 1$ may hold for most unital simple separable C^* -algebras. We found out, somewhat surprisingly, that the determinant rank of $M_n(C(X))$ is always 1 for any compact metric space X and for any integer $n \geq 1$. This, together with previous mentioned result, shows that if $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, where A_n is a finite

direct sum of C^* -algebras of the form $M_n(C(X))$, then $\text{Dur } A = 1$. Furthermore, we found out that $\text{Dur } A = 1$ for all of Villadsen’s examples of unital simple AH-algebras A with higher stable rank. This research suggests that when A has an abundant amount of projections then $\text{Dur } A$ is likely to be 1 (see Proposition 3.6(3)). In fact, we prove that if A is a unital simple AH-algebra with property (SP), then $\text{Dur } A = 1$. On the other hand, however, we show that if A is a unital projectionless simple C^* -algebra and $\rho_A(K_0(A)) = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\text{Dur } A = 1$. Furthermore, if A is one of Blackadar’s examples of unital projectionless simple separable C^* -algebras with infinite many extremal tracial states, then $\text{Dur } A = 1$. Indeed, it seems that it is difficult to find any example of unital separable simple C^* -algebras for which $\text{Dur } A$ is larger than 1. Nevertheless Proposition 3.12 below provides a necessary condition for $\text{Dur } A = 1$. In fact, we find that a certain unital separable C^* -algebra violates this condition, which, in turn, provides an example of a unital separable C^* -algebra A such that $\text{Dur } A > 1$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some notation and basic known facts for convenience, many of which are taken from [Th] and other sources.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Denote by $M_n(A)$ the $n \times n$ matrix algebra over A . If A is not unital, we will use \tilde{A} , the unitization of A , so suppose that A is unital. For u in $U_0(A)$, let $[u]$ be the class of u in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$.

We view A^n as the set of all $n \times 1$ matrices over A . Set

$$S_n(A) = \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in A^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = 1 \right\},$$

$$\text{Lg}_n(A) = \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in A^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i = 1 \text{ for some } b_1, \dots, b_n \in A \right\}.$$

According to [Rieffel 1983; 1987], the topological stable rank and the connected stable rank of A are defined as

$$\text{tsr } A = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Lg}_m(A) \text{ is dense in } A^m \text{ for all } m \geq n\}$$

$$\text{csr } A = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid U_0(M_m(A)) \text{ acts transitively on } S_m(A) \text{ for all } m \geq n\}.$$

If no such integer exists, we set $\text{tsr } A = \infty$ and $\text{csr } A = \infty$. These notions are very useful tools in computing K -groups of C^* -algebras (see, e.g., [Rieffel 1987; Xue 2000; 2001; 2010]).

Definition 2.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Denote by A_{sa} (resp. A_+) the set of all self-adjoint (resp. positive) elements in A . Denote by $T(A)$ the tracial state space of A . Let $\tau \in T(A)$. We will also use the notation τ for the unnormalized trace

$\tau \otimes \text{Tr}_n$ on $M_n(A)$, where Tr_n is the standard trace for $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Every tracial state on $M_n(A)$ has the form $(1/n)\tau$.

Definition 2.3. For $a, b \in A$, set $[a, b] = ab - ba$. Furthermore, set

$$[A, A] = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n [a_j, b_j] \mid a_j, b_j \in A, j = 1, \dots, n, n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Now, let A_0 denote the subset of A_{sa} consisting of elements of the form $x - y$ for $x, y \in A_{sa}$ with $x = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j c_j^*$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j^* c_j$ (convergent in norm) for some sequence $\{c_j\}$ in A . By [Cuntz and Pedersen 1979], A_0 is a closed subspace of A_{sa} .

Proposition 2.4 [Cuntz and Pedersen 1979; Thomsen 1995, Section 3]. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with unit 1. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $A_0 = A_{sa}$.
- (2) $1 \in A_0$.
- (3) $T(A) = \emptyset$.
- (4) $A = \overline{[A, A]}$.
- (5) $A_{sa} = \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] \mid a \in A\}}$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is obvious.

(2) \implies (3). If $T(A) \neq \emptyset$, then there is a tracial state τ on A . Since $1 \in A_0$, it follows that there is a sequence $\{a_j\}$ in A such that $b = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^* a_j$ and $c = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j a_j^*$ are convergent in A and $1 = b - c$. Thus, $\tau(b) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau(a_j^* a_j) = \tau(c)$ and $\tau(1) = \tau(b - c) = 0$, a contradiction since $\tau(1) = 1$.

(3) \implies (1). This follows from the proof of [Th, Lemma 3.1].

(4) \iff (5). Let $a, b \in A$ and write $a = a_1 + ia_2$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A_{sa}$. Then

$$(2-1) \quad [a, b] = [a_1, b_1] - [a_2, b_2] + i[a_2, b_1] + i[a_1, b_2].$$

Put $c_1 = a_1 + ib_1$, $c_2 = a_2 + ib_2$, $c_3 = a_2 + ib_1$ and $c_4 = a_1 + ib_2$. Then, from (2-1), we get that

$$(2-2) \quad [a, b] = \frac{1}{2i}[c_1^*, c_1] - \frac{1}{2i}[c_2^*, c_2] + \frac{1}{2}[c_3^*, c_3] + \frac{1}{2}[c_4^*, c_4].$$

So, by (2-2), (4) and (5) are equivalent.

(5) \implies (1). Let $x \in \text{span}\{[a^*, a] \mid a \in A\}$. Then there are elements $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ and positive numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i [a_i^*, a_i] - \sum_{i=j+1}^k \lambda_i [a_i^*, a_i]$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Put $c_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, j$ and $c_i^* = \sqrt{\lambda_i} a_i^*$ when

$i = j + 1, \dots, k$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i^* c_i - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i c_i^* \in A_0$. Since A_0 is closed, we get that

$$A_{sa} = \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] \mid a \in A\}} \subset \overline{A_0} = A_0 \subset A_{sa}.$$

(1) \implies (5). According to the definition of A_0 , every element $x \in A_0$ has the form $x = x_1 - x_2$, where $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty z_i^* z_i$ and $x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty z_i z_i^*$. Thus, $x \in \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] \mid a \in A\}}$ and hence $A_{sa} = \overline{\text{span}\{[a^*, a] \mid a \in A\}}$. \square

Combining Proposition 2.4 with Definition 2.2, we have:

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $A_0 = A_{sa}$. Then $(M_n(A))_0 = (M_n(A))_{sa}$.*

Let $a, b \in A_{sa}$. Then, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\exp(ia) \exp(ib) \left(\exp\left(-i \frac{a}{n}\right) \exp\left(-i \frac{b}{n}\right) \right)^n \in DU(A)$$

and $\exp(-i(a+b)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\exp(-ia/n) \exp(-ib/n))^n$ by the Trotter product formula [Masani 1981, Theorem 2.2]. So $\exp(ia) \exp(ib) \exp(-i(a+b)) \in CU(A)$. Consequently,

$$(2-3) \quad [\exp(ia)][\exp(ib)] = [\exp(i(a+b))] \quad \text{in } U_0(A)/CU(A).$$

The following is taken from the proof of [Th, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a \in A_{sa}$.*

- (1) *If $a \in A_0$, then $[\exp(ia)] = 0$ in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$;*
- (2) *If $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau(a) = \tau(b)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$, then $a - b \in A_0$ and $[\exp(ia)] = [\exp(ib)]$ in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$.*

Combining Lemma 2.6(1) with Corollary 2.5, we have

Corollary 2.7. *If $T(A) = \emptyset$, then $U_0(M_n(A)) = CU(M_n(A))$ for $n \geq 1$.*

Definition 2.8. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $PU_0^n(A)$ denote the set of all piecewise smooth maps $\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow U_0(M_n(A))$ with $\xi(0) = 1_n$, where 1_n is the unit of $M_n(A)$. For $\tau \in T(A)$, the de la Harpe–Skandalis function Δ_τ^n on $PU_0^n(A)$ is given by

$$\Delta_\tau^n(\xi(t)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau(\xi'(t)(\xi(t))^*) dt \quad \text{for all } \xi \in PU_0^n(A).$$

Note that we use an unnormalized trace $\tau = \tau \otimes \text{Tr}_n$ on $M_n(A)$. This gives a homomorphism $\Delta^n : PU_0^n(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A))$, the space of all real affine continuous functions on $T(A)$.

We list some properties of $\Delta_\tau^n(\cdot)$:

Lemma 2.9 [de la Harpe and Skandalis 1984, Lemmas 1 and 3]. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi \in PU_0^n(A)$. Then:*

(1) $\Delta_\tau^n(\xi_1(t)) = \Delta_\tau^n(\xi_2(t))$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$, if $\xi_1(1) = \xi_2(1)$ and

$$\xi_1 \xi_2^* \in \overline{U_0((C_0(S^1, M_n(A))))}.$$

(2) *There are $y_1, \dots, y_k \in M_n(A)_{sa}$ such that $\Delta_\tau^n(\xi(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^k \tau(y_j)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and $\xi(1) = \exp(i2\pi y_1) \cdots \exp(i2\pi y_k)$.*

Definition 2.10. Let A be a C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\text{Aff}(T(A))$ be the set of all real continuous affine functions on $T(A)$. Define $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A))$ by

$$\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A),$$

where $p \in M_n(A)$ is a projection.

Define $P_n(A)$ to be the subgroup of $K_0(A)$ generated by projections in $M_n(A)$. Denote by $\rho_A^n(K_0(A))$ the subgroup $\rho_A(P_n(A))$ of $\rho_A(K_0(A))$. In particular, $\rho_A^1(K_0(A))$ is the subgroup of $\rho_A(K_0(A))$ generated by the images of projections in A under the map ρ_A .

Definition 2.11. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Denote by $LU_0^n(A)$ the set of piecewise smooth loops in

$$\overline{U(C_0(S^1, M_n(A)))}.$$

Then, by Bott periodicity, $\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A)) \subset \rho_A(K_0(A))$. Denote by

$$q^n : \text{Aff}(T(A)) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A)) / \overline{\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A))}$$

the quotient map. Put $\overline{\Delta^n} = q^n \circ \Delta^n$. Since $\overline{\Delta^n}$ vanishes on $LU_0^n(A)$, we also use $\overline{\Delta^n}$ for the homomorphism from $U_0(M_n(A))$ into $\text{Aff}(T(A)) / \overline{\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A))}$. An important fact that we will repeatedly use is that *the kernel of $\overline{\Delta^n}$ is exactly $CU(M_n(A))$* , by [Th, Lemma 3.1]. In other words, if $u \in U_0(M_n(A))$ and $\overline{\Delta^n}(u) = 0$, then $u \in CU(M_n(A))$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $u \in U_0(M_n(A))$ for $n \geq 1$. Then there are an $a \in A_{sa}$ and a $v \in CU(M_n(A))$ such that*

$$u = \text{diag}(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})v$$

(in the case $n = 1$, we define $\text{diag}(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1}) = \exp(i2\pi a)$).

Moreover, if there is a $u \in PU_0^n(A)$ with $u(1) = u$, we can choose a self-adjoint element a so that $\hat{a} = \Delta^n(u(t))$, where $\hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Proof. Fix a piecewise smooth path $u(t) \in PU_0^n(A)$ with $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$. By Lemma 2.9(2), there are $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in M_n(A)_{sa}$ such that

$$u = \prod_{j=1}^m \exp(i2\pi a_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_\tau^n(u(t)) = \tau \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Put $a_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$. Write $a_0 = (b_{i,j})_{n \times n}$. Define $a = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{i,i}$. Then $a \in A_{sa}$. Moreover,

$$\overline{\Delta^n}(\text{diag}(\exp(-i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})u) = 0.$$

Thus, by [Th, Lemma 3.1], $\text{diag}(\exp(-i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})u \in CU(M_n(A))$. Put $v = \text{diag}(\exp(-i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})u$. Then $u = \text{diag}(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})v$. \square

3. Determinant rank

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Consider the homomorphism

$$i_A^{(m,n)} : U_0(M_m(A))/CU(M_m(A)) \rightarrow U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$$

for integers $n \geq m \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$i_A^{(m,n)} : U_0(M_m(A))/CU(M_m(A)) \rightarrow U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$$

is surjective for $n \geq m \geq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is surjective. Let $u \in U_0(M_n(A))$. It follows from Corollary 2.12 that $u = \text{diag}(\exp(i2\pi a), 1_{n-1})v$ for some $a \in A_{sa}$ and $v \in CU(M_n(A))$. Then $i_A^{(1,n)}([\exp(i2\pi a)]) = [u]$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Assume $u \in U_0(M_m(A))$.*

(1) *If $\Delta^n(\text{diag}(u(t), 1_{n-m}) \in \overline{\Delta^n(LU_0^n(A))}$ for some $n > m$, where $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a piecewise smooth path with $u(0) = 1_m$ and $u(1) = u$, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $a \in M_m(A)_{sa}$ with $\|a\| < \epsilon$, $b \in M_m(A)_{sa}$, $v \in CU(M_m(A))$ and $w \in LU_0^n(A)$ such that*

$$(3-1) \quad u = \exp(i2\pi a) \exp(i2\pi b)v \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(b) = \Delta_\tau^n(w(t)) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

(2) *If $\Delta^m(u(t)) \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ for some $u \in PU_0^m(A)$ with $u(1) = u$, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $a \in M_m(A)_{sa}$ with $\|a\| < \epsilon$, $b \in M_m(A)_{sa}$ and $v \in CU(M_m(A))$ such that*

$$(3-2) \quad u = \exp(i2\pi a) \exp(i2\pi b)v \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b} \in \rho_A(K_0(A)),$$

where $\hat{b}(\tau) = \tau(b)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. For (1), there is a $w \in LU_0^n(A)$ such that

$$(3-3) \quad \sup\{|\Delta_\tau^n(u(t)) - \Delta_\tau^n(w(t))| : \tau \in T(A)\} < \epsilon/3\pi.$$

There is an $a_1 \in M_m(A)_{sa}$ by Corollary 2.12 such that

$$(3-4) \quad \tau(a_1) = \Delta_\tau^n(u(t)) - \Delta_\tau^n(w(t)) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Combining (3-3) with [Cuntz and Pedersen 1979] and the proof of [Th, Lemma 3.1], we can find $a \in M_m(A)_{sa}$ such that $\tau(a) = \tau(a_1)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and $\|a\| < \epsilon/2\pi$. There is also a $b \in A_{sa}$ such that $\tau(b) = -\Delta_\tau^n(w(t))$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Put

$$(3-5) \quad v(t) = \exp(-i2\pi bt) \exp(-i2\pi at) u(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]$$

and $v = v(1)$. Then $\Delta_\tau^n(v(t)) = 0$. It follows from [Th, Lemma 3.1] that $v \in CU(A)$. Then $u = \exp(i2\pi a) \exp(i2\pi b)v$.

For (2), there are an integer $n \geq m$ and projections $p, q \in M_n(A)$ such that (for a piecewise smooth path $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ with $u(0) = 1_n$ and $u(1) = u$)

$$(3-6) \quad \|\Delta_\tau^m(u(t)) - \tau(p) + \tau(q)\| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Let $b \in M_m(A)_{sa}$ such that $\tau(b) = \tau(p) - \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ (see the proof above); there is an $a \in M_m(A)_{sa}$ with $\|a\| < \epsilon$ such that

$$(3-7) \quad \tau(a) = \Delta_\tau^m(u(t)) - \tau(p) + \tau(q) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Let $v = u \exp(-i2\pi a) \exp(-i2\pi b)$ and $v(t) = u(t) \exp(-i2\pi at) \exp(-i2\pi bt)$. Then $\Delta_\tau^n(v(t)) = 0$. It follows from [Th, Lemma 3.1] that $v \in CU(M_m(A))$. \square

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Let $\text{Dur } A$ be defined as in Definition 1.1. It follows from Corollary 2.7 that if $T(A) = \emptyset$ then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then, for any integer $n \geq 1$,*

$$\text{Dur}(M_n(A)) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Dur } A - 1}{n} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the integer part of x .

Proof. Note that $n(\lfloor (\text{Dur } A - 1)/n \rfloor + 1) \geq \text{Dur } A$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and $I \subset A$ a closed ideal of A such that the quotient map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ induces the surjective map from $K_0(A)$ onto $K_0(A/I)$. Then $\text{Dur}(A/I) \leq \text{Dur } A$.*

Proof. Let $m = \text{Dur } A$ and $n > m$. Let $u \in U_0(M_m(A/I))$ be a unitary such that $\text{diag}(u, 1_{n-m}) \in CU(M_n(A/I))$. We will show that $u \in CU(M_m(A/I))$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 3.2, without loss of generality we may assume that there are $a_1, b_1 \in (M_m(A/I))_{sa}$ such that

$$(3-8) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= \exp(i2\pi a_1) \exp(i2\pi b_1)v, \\ v &\in CU(M_m(A/I)), \quad \|a_1\| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(b_1) = \tau(q_1) - \tau(q_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $q_1, q_2 \in M_K(A/I)$ are projections for some large $K \geq m$, for all $\tau \in T(A/I)$. By the assumption, without loss of generality we may assume further that there are projections $p_1, p_2 \in M_K(A)$ such that $\pi_*([p_1 - [p_2]]) = [q_1] - [q_2]$, where $\pi_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A/I)$ is induced by π . Let $b_2 \in (M_m(A))_{sa}$ such that $\tau(b_2) = \tau(p_1) - \tau(p_2)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. There exists an $a \in (M_m(A))_{sa}$ such that $\pi_m(a) = a_1$, where $\pi_m : M_m(A) \rightarrow M_m(A/I)$ is the map induced by π . Then, by (3-8),

$$(3-9) \quad \pi_m(\exp(i2\pi a))\pi_m(\exp(i2\pi b_2))u^* \in CU(M_m(A/I)).$$

Put $u_1 = \pi_m(\exp(i2\pi a))\pi_m(\exp(i2\pi b_2))$. Let $w = \exp(i2\pi b_2)$. Then $\bar{\Delta}(w) = 0$. Since $m = \text{Dur } A$, this implies that $w \in CU(M_m(A))$. It follows that $\pi_m(w) \in CU(M_m(A/I))$, which implies by (3-9) that $\text{dist}(u, CU(M_m(A/I))) < \epsilon$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, \phi_n)$ be a unital C^* -algebra, where each A_n is unital. Suppose that $\text{Dur } A_n \leq r$ for all n . Then $\text{Dur } A \leq r$.*

Proof. We write $\phi_{n_1, n_2} : A_{n_1} \rightarrow A_{n_2}$ for $\phi_{n_2} \circ \phi_{n_2-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n_1}$ and $\phi_{n_1, \infty} : A_{n_1} \rightarrow A$ for the map induced by the inductive limit system. Let $u \in U_0(M_r(A))$ such that $u_1 = \text{diag}(u, 1_{n-r}) \in CU(M_n(A))$ for some $n > r$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There is a $v \in DU(M_n(A))$ such that

$$(3-10) \quad \|u_1 - v\| < \frac{\epsilon}{8n}.$$

Write $v = \prod_{j=1}^K v_j$, where $v_j = x_j y_j x_j^* y_j$ and $x_j, y_j \in U_0(M_n(A))$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Choose a large $N \geq 1$ such that there are $v' \in U_0(M_r(A_N))$ and $x'_j, y'_j \in U_0(M_n(A_N))$ such that

$$(3-11) \quad \|u - \phi_{N, \infty}(u')\| < \frac{\epsilon}{8nK} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_{N, \infty}(x'_j) - x_j\| < \frac{\epsilon}{8nK}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Then we have by (3-10) and (3-11)

$$(3-12) \quad \left\| \phi_{N, \infty}(u'_1) - \prod_{j=1}^K \phi_{N, \infty}(v'_j) \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{4n},$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$, where $u'_1 = \text{diag}(u', 1_{n-r})$ and $v'_j = x'_j y'_j (x'_j)^* (y'_j)^*$. Then (3-12) implies that there is an $N_1 > N$ such that

$$(3-13) \quad \left\| \phi_{N, N_1}(u'_1) - \prod_{j=1}^K \phi_{N, N_1}(v'_j) \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2n}.$$

Put $U = \phi_{N,N_1}(u')$, $U_1 = \text{diag}(U, 1_{n-r})$ and $w_j = \phi_{N,N_1}(v'_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Note that $\phi_{N_1,\infty}(U) = \phi_{N,\infty}(u')$. There is an $a \in (\mathbf{M}_n(A_{N_1}))_{\text{sa}}$ (by (3-13)) such that

$$(3-14) \quad U_1 = \exp(i2\pi a) \prod_{j=1}^K w_j \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\| < 2 \arcsin \frac{\epsilon}{8n}.$$

There is a $b \in (\mathbf{M}_r(A_{N_1}))_{\text{sa}}$ such that

$$(3-15) \quad \tau(b) = \tau(a) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \|b\| < 2n \arcsin \frac{\epsilon}{8n}.$$

Put $W = \text{diag}(U \exp(-i2\pi b), 1_{n-r})$; then $W \in CU(\mathbf{M}_n(A_{N_1}))$. Since $\text{Dur } A_{N_1} \leq r$, we conclude that $U \exp(-i2\pi b) \in CU(\mathbf{M}_r(A_{N_1}))$. It follows that

$$\phi_{N_1,\infty}(U \exp(-i2\pi b)) \in CU(\mathbf{M}_r(A)).$$

However, by (3-10), (3-11), (3-15),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - \phi_{N_1,\infty}(U \exp(-i2\pi b))\| \\ & \leq \|u - \phi_{N,\infty}(u')\| + \|\phi_{N_1,\infty}(U) - \phi_{N_1,\infty}(U \exp(-i2\pi b))\| \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{8nK} + \|1 - \exp(-i2\pi \phi_{N_1,\infty}(b))\| < \frac{\epsilon}{8nK} + \epsilon/4 < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{Dur } A \leq r$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in A_{\text{sa}}$ and put $\hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.*

- (1) *If $\exp(2\pi i a) \in CU(A)$, then $\hat{a} \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$.*
- (2) *If $u \in U_0(A)$ and for some piecewise smooth path $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ with $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$, $\Delta^1(u(t)) \in \rho_A^k(K_0(A))$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $\text{diag}(u, 1_{k-1}) \in CU(\mathbf{M}_k(A))$.*
- (3) *If $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$, then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from [Th].

(2) By applying Corollary 2.12, there exists a $v \in CU(A)$ such that

$$u = \exp(i2\pi a)v \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(a) = \Delta_\tau^1(u(t)) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

So for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there are projections $p_1, \dots, p_{m_1}, q_1, \dots, q_{m_2} \in \mathbf{M}_k(A)$ such that

$$(3-16) \quad \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \tau(p_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \tau(q_j) - \tau(a) \right| : \tau \in T(A) \right\} < \frac{\arcsin(\epsilon/4)}{\pi}.$$

Set $b = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} p_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} q_j$ and $a_0 = \text{diag}(a, \overbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}^{(k-1)})$. Then $a_0, b \in M_k(A)_{\text{sa}}$ and

$$|\tau(a_0) - \tau(b)| < \frac{\arcsin(\epsilon/4)}{k\pi} \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(M_k(A))$$

by (3-16). Thus, by the proof of [Th, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{\|a_0 - b - x\| \mid x \in (M_k(A))_0\} \\ = \sup\{|\tau(a_0 - b)| \mid \tau \in T(M_k(A))\} \leq \frac{\arcsin(\epsilon/4)}{k\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $x_0 \in (M_k(A))_0$ such that $\|a_0 - b - x_0\| < 2 \arcsin(\epsilon/4)/k\pi$. Put $y_0 = a_0 - b - x_0$. Then $\|y_0\| \leq 2 \arcsin(\epsilon/4)/k\pi$. Put $u_1 = \text{diag}(u, 1_{k-1}) \exp(-i2\pi y_0)$. Define

$$w(t) = \text{diag}(u(t), 1_{k-1}) \exp(-i2\pi y_0 t) \prod_{j=1}^{m_1} \exp(-i2\pi p_j t) \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \exp(i2\pi q_j t)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $w(0) = 1$, $w(1) = u(1) \exp(-i2\pi y_0) = u_1$ and, moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\tau}^k(w(t)) &= \tau(a) - \tau(y_0) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \tau(p_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \tau(q_j) \right) \\ &= \tau(a) - \tau(a_0) + \tau(b) - \tau(x_0) - \tau(b) \\ &= \tau(a) - \tau(a_0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

It follows that $w(1) = u_1 \in CU(M_k(A))$. Then

$$\|\text{diag}(u, 1_{k-1}) - u_1\| = \|\exp(i2\pi y_0) - 1_k\| < \epsilon.$$

(3) Let $u \in U_0(A)$ such that $\text{diag}(u, 1_{n-1}) \in CU(M_n(A))$. Let $u(t)$ be a piecewise smooth path with $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$. Then

$$\Delta^1(u(t)) \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}.$$

By Part (2), $u \in CU(A)$. This implies that $\text{Dur } A = 1$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a compact metric space. Then $\text{Dur}(M_n(C(X))) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, it suffices to consider the case $A = C(X)$. One has

$$\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = C(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \rho_A(K_0(A)).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.6(3) that $\text{Dur } A = 1$. □

Combining Theorem 3.5 with Proposition 3.7, we have:

Corollary 3.8. *Let $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, \phi_n)$, where $A_m = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m(n)} M_{k(n,j)}(X_{n,j})$ and each $X_{n,j}$ is a compact metric space. Then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with real rank zero. Then $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$ and $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.7, we may assume that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Since A is of real rank zero, by [Zhang 1990, Theorem 3.3], for any $n \geq 2$ and any nonzero projection $p \in M_n(A)$, there are projections $p_1, \dots, p_n \in A$ such that $p \sim \text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ in $M_n(A)$. Thus, $\tau(p) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(p_j)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and, consequently, $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$. It follows from Proposition 3.6(3) that $\text{Dur } A = 1$. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. If $\text{csr}(C(S^1, A)) \leq n + 1$ for some $n \geq 1$, then $\text{Dur } A \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $u \in U_0(M_n(A))$ such that $\text{diag}(u, 1_k) \in CU(M_{n+k}(A))$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ be a piecewise smooth path with $u(0) = 1_n$ and $u(1) = u$. By [Th], $\Delta^{n+k}(\text{diag}(u(t), 1_k)) \in \Delta^{n+k}(LU_0^{n+k}(A))$. It follows from Lemma 3.2(1) that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are $a, b \in M_n(A)_{\text{sa}}$ and $v \in CU(M_n(A))$ with $\|a\| < 2 \arcsin(\epsilon/4)/\pi$ such that

$$(3-17) \quad u = \exp(i2\pi a) \exp(i2\pi b)v \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(b) = \Delta_\tau^{n+k}(w(t)) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A),$$

where $w \in LU_0^{n+k}(A)$. Since $\text{csr}(C(S^1, A)) \leq n + 1$, by Proposition 2.6 of [Rieffel 1987] there is a $w_1 \in LU_0^n(A)$ such that $\text{diag}(w_1, 1_{n+k})$ is homotopy to w . In particular, $\Delta_\tau^n(w_1(t)) = \Delta_\tau^{n+k}(w(t))$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Consider the piecewise smooth path

$$U(t) = \exp(-i2\pi at) \exp(i2\pi bt)w_1^*(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then $U(0) = 1_n$ and $U(1) = \exp(i2\pi b)$. We compute that $\Delta_\tau^n(U(t)) = 0$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows by [Th, Lemma 3.1] that $\exp(i2\pi b) \in CU(M_n(A))$. By (3-17),

$$[u] = [\exp(i2\pi a)] \quad \text{in } U_0(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A)),$$

Therefore $\text{dist}(u, CU(M_n(A))) \leq \|\exp(i2\pi a) - 1_n\| < \epsilon$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. This follows from the inequality $\text{csr}(C(S^1, A)) \leq \text{tsr } A + 1$ (see [Rieffel 1983, Corollary 8.6]) and Theorem 3.10. \square

We end this section with the following:

Proposition 3.12. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that there is a projection $p \in M_2(A)$ such that, for any $x \in K_0(A)$ with $\rho_A(x) = \rho_A([p])$, no unitary in $U(\tilde{C})$ represents x , where $C = C_0((0, 1), A)$. Then $\text{Dur } A > 1$.*

Proof. There exists an $a \in A_+$ such that $\tau(a) = \rho_A([p])(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Put $u = \exp(i2\pi a)$ and $v = \text{diag}(u, 1)$. Then it follows from Proposition 3.6(2) that $v \in CU(M_2(A))$. This implies that $i_A^{(1,2)}([u]) = 0$. Now we show that $u \notin CU(A)$. Let

$$w(t) = \exp(2i(1-t)\pi a) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Then $w(0) = u$ and $w(1) = 1_A$. If $u \in CU(A)$, then, by [Th, Lemma 3.1], there is a continuous and piecewise smooth path of unitaries $\xi \in \tilde{C}$, where $C = C_0((0, 1), A)$, such that

$$(3-18) \quad \Delta_\tau(\xi(t)) = \tau(p) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

The Bott map shows that the unitary ξ is homotopic to a projection loop which corresponds to some $x \in K_0(A)$ with $\rho_A(x) = \rho_A([p])$, which contradicts the assumption. \square

4. Simple C^* -algebras

Let us begin with the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a unital infinite-dimensional simple C^* -algebra of real rank zero with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \text{Aff}(T(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad U_0(A) = CU(A).$$

Proof. Let $p \in A$ be a nonzero projection, let $\lambda = n/m$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then by Zhang’s half theorem (see [Lin 2010a, Lemma 9.4]), there is a projection $e \in A$ such that $\max_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(p) - n\tau(e)| < n\epsilon/m$. Thus,

$$\max_{\tau \in T(A)} |\lambda\tau(p) - m\tau(e)| < \epsilon,$$

and consequently $r\rho_A(p) \in \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $a \in A_{\text{sa}}$. Since A has real rank zero, a is a limit of the form $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j p_j$, where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k are mutually orthogonal projections in A and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\hat{a} \in \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}$ by the above argument, where $\hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Since $\text{Aff}(T(A)) = \{\hat{a} \mid a \in A_{\text{sa}}\}$ by [Lin 2007, Theorem 9.3], it follows from Theorem 3.9 that

$$\text{Aff}(T(A)) \subset \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))} \subset \text{Aff}(T(A)),$$

that is, $\text{Aff}(T(A)) = \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))}$.

Note that

$$\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) \subset \Delta^1(LU_0^1(A)) \subset \rho_A(K_0(A)) = \rho_A^1(K_0(A)).$$

So $\overline{\Delta^1(LU_0^1(A))} = \overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \text{Aff}(T(A))$. Thus, $\overline{\Delta^1} = 0$ (see Definition 2.11), and the assertion follows. \square

For unital simple C^* -algebras, we have:

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a unital infinite-dimensional simple C^* -algebra. Then $\text{Dur } A = 1$ if one of the following holds:*

- (1) A is not stably finite.
- (2) A has stable rank one.
- (3) A has real rank zero.
- (4) A is projectionless and $\rho_A(K_0(A)) = \mathbb{Z}$ (with $\rho_A([1_A]) = 1$).
- (5) A has property (SP) and has a unique tracial state.

Proof. (1) In this case, there is a nonunitary isometry $u \in M_k(A)$ for some $k \geq 2$. Since $M_k(A)$ is also simple, every tracial state on $M_k(A)$ is faithful if $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $T(A) = \emptyset$. The assertion follows from Corollary 2.7.

(2) This follows from Corollary 3.11.

(3) This follows from Theorem 4.1 or Theorem 3.9.

(4) By the assumption, we have $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A)) = \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 3.6, $\text{Dur } A = 1$.

(5) Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\tau \in T(A)$ be the unique tracial state. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and $p \in M_k(A)$ a projection. Since A has (SP), there is a nonzero projection $q \in A$ such that $0 < \tau(q) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ (see, for example, [Lin 2001, Lemma 3.5.7]). Then, there is an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $|m\tau(q) - \tau(p)| < \epsilon$. This implies that $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.6, $\text{Dur } A = 1$. \square

For a unital simple C^* -algebra A , Theorem 4.2 indicates that the only case when $\text{Dur } A$ might not be 1 is when A is stably finite and has stable rank greater than 1. The only example of this that we know so far is given by Villadsen [1999].

However, we have the following:

Theorem 4.3. *For each integer $n \geq 1$, there is a unital simple AH-algebra A with $\text{tsr } A = n$ such that $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. Fix an integer $n > 1$. Let $A = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A_k, \phi_k)$ be the unital simple AH-algebra with $\text{tsr } A = n$ constructed by Villadsen [1999]. Then $A_1 = C(D^n)$. The connecting maps ϕ_k are “diagonal” maps. More precisely, $\phi_k(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)} f(\gamma_{k,j}) \otimes p_{k,j}$ for all $f \in A_k$, where $p_{k,1}$ is a trivial rank-1 projection, $A_{k+1} = \phi_k(\text{id}_{A_k})M_{(r(k))}(C(X_k))\phi_k(\text{id}_{A_k})$ (for some large $r(n)$) for some spaces X_k , and $\gamma_{k,j} : X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k$ is a continuous map (these are π_{i+1}^1 and some point evaluations as denoted in [Villadsen 1999, p. 1092]). Clearly A_1 contains a rank-1 projection. Suppose that A_k , as a unital hereditary C^* -subalgebra of

$M_{r(k)}(C(X_k))$, contains a rank-1 projection e_k (of $M_{r(k)}(C(X_k))$). Then, since $(\text{id}_{A_k} \circ \gamma_{k,1}) \otimes p_{k,1} \leq \phi_k(\text{id}_{A_k})$, we have $(\text{id}_{A_k} \circ \gamma_{k,1}) \otimes p_{k,1} \in A_{k+1}$. Then $e_k \circ \gamma_{k,1} \otimes p_{k,1} \in A_{k+1}$, which is a rank-1 projection.

The above shows every A_k contains a rank-1 projection.

Now let $p \in M_m(A)$ be a projection. We may assume that there is a projection $q \in M_m(A_{k_0+1})$ such that $\phi_{k_0+1,\infty}(q) = p$. Let $e_{k_0} \in A_{k_0+1}$ be a rank-1 projection. Then there is an integer $L \geq 1$ such that $L\tau(e_{k_0}) = \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(A_{k_0+1})$. It follows that

$$L\tau(\phi_{k_0+1,\infty}(e_{k_0})) = \tau(p) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

So $\rho_A^1(K_0(A)) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$ and hence $\text{Dur } A = 1$ by Proposition 3.6. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a unital simple AH-algebra with (SP) property. Then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show that $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is injective, and by Proposition 3.6 it suffices to show that $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$.

Let p be a projection in $M_n(A)$. Since A is simple, $\inf\{\tau(p) \mid \tau \in T(A)\} = d > 0$. Given a positive number $\epsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}d\}$. Choose an integer $K \geq 1$ such that $1/K < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Since A is a simple unital C^* -algebra with (SP), it follows from [Lin 2001, Lemma 3.5.7] that there are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent nonzero projections $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K \in A$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^K p_j \leq p$. We compute that

$$(4-1) \quad \tau(p_1) < \epsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(p_1) < d/K \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Since A is simple and unital, there are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in A$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N x_j^* p_1 x_j = 1_A.$$

Let $A = \varprojlim(A_m, \phi_m)$, where $A_m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r(m)} P_{m,j} M_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j})) P_{n,j}$ for each m , $X_{n,j}$ is a connected finite CW-complex and $P_{m,j} \in M_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j}))$ is a projection. Without loss of generality, we may assume that, there are projections $p'_1 \in A_m$, $p' \in M_n(A_m)$ and elements $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N \in A_m$ such that $\phi_{m,\infty}(p'_1) = p_1$, $\phi_{m,\infty}(y_j) = x_j$, $(\phi_{m,\infty} \otimes \text{id}_{M_n})(p') = p$ and

$$(4-2) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N y_j^* p'_1 y_j - 1_A \right\| < 1.$$

Write p'_1 and p' as

$$p'_1 = p'_{1,1} \oplus p'_{1,2} \oplus \dots \oplus p'_{1,r(m)} \quad \text{and} \quad p' = q_1 \oplus q_2 \oplus \dots \oplus q_{r(m)},$$

where, for each $j = 1, \dots, r(m)$, $p'_{1,j} \in P_{m,j} \mathbf{M}_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j})) P_{m,j}$ and $q_j \in \mathbf{M}_n(P_{m,j} \mathbf{M}_{R(m,j)}(C(X_{m,j})) P_{m,j})$ are projections. Note that (4-2) implies that $p'_{1,j} \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r(m)$. Define

$$r_{1,j} = \text{rank } p'_{1,j} \quad \text{and} \quad r_j = \text{rank } q_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, r(m).$$

Then $r_j = l_j r_{1,j} + s_j$, where $l_j, s_j \geq 0$ are integers and $s_j < r_{1,j}$. It follows that

$$(4-3) \quad \left| t(p') - \sum_{j=1}^{r(m)} l_j t(p'_{1,j}) \right| < t(p'_1) \quad \text{for all } t \in T(A_m).$$

Define $q_{1,j} = \phi_{m,\infty}(p'_{1,j})$ for $j = 1, \dots, r(m)$. Then each $q_{1,j}$ is a projection in A . Note that for each $\tau \in T(A)$, $\tau \circ \phi_{m,\infty}$ is a tracial state on A_m . So, by (4-3),

$$\left| \tau(p) - \sum_{j=1}^{r(m)} l_j \tau(q_{1,j}) \right| < \tau(p_1) < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

This implies that $\overline{\rho_A^1(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let A be a unital simple C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$, and let $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for any $b \in A_{\text{sa}}$, there is a $c \in \text{Her } a$ such that $b - c \in A_0$.*

Proof. Since A is simple and unital, there are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in A$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m x_j^* a x_j = 1_A$. Set $c = \sum_{j=1}^m a^{1/2} x_j b x_j^* a^{1/2}$. Then $c \in \text{Her } a$ and

$$\tau(c) = \sum_{j=1}^m \tau(a^{1/2} x_j b x_j^* a^{1/2}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \tau(b x_j^* a x_j) = \tau(b) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6(2) that $b - c \in A_0$. \square

A special case of the following can be found in [Lin 2010b, Theorem 3.4]:

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a unital simple C^* -algebra and let $e \in A$ be a nonzero projection. Consider the map $U_0(eAe)/CU(eAe) \rightarrow U_0(A)/CU(A)$ given by $i_e([u]) = [u + (1-e)]$. This map is always surjective, and is also injective if $\text{tr } A = 1$.*

Proof. To see that i_e is surjective, let $u \in U_0(A)$. Write $u = \prod_{k=1}^n \exp(i a_k)$ for $a_k \in A_{\text{sa}}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By Lemma 4.5, there are $b_1, \dots, b_n \in eAe$ such that $b_k - a_k \in A_0$. Put $w = e \prod_{k=1}^n \exp(i b_k)$. Then $w \in U_0(eAe)$. Set $v = w + (1-e)$. Then $v = \prod_{k=1}^n \exp(i b_k)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.6(1),

$$i_e([w]) = [v] = \sum_{k=1}^n [\exp(i b_k)] = \sum_{k=1}^n [\exp(i a_k)] = [u] \quad \text{in } U_0(A)/CU(A),$$

that is, i_e is surjective.

To see that i_e is injective when A has stable rank one, let $w \in U_0(eAe)$ such that $w + (1 - e) \in CU(A)$. Since A is simple, there are $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A$ such that $1 - e = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^* e z_j$. Set

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} e z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e z_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(A).$$

Then

$$(4-4) \quad \text{diag}(1 - e, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-1}) = X^* X, \quad X X^* \leq \text{diag}(\overbrace{e, e, \dots, e}^n).$$

Equation (4-4) indicates that $[1 - e] \leq n[e]$ in $K_0(A)$. Since $\text{tsr } A = 1$, we can find a projection $p \in M_s(A)$ for some $s \geq n$ and a unitary $U \in M_{s+1}(A)$ such that

$$(4-5) \quad \text{diag}(\overbrace{e, \dots, e}^n, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^r) = U \text{diag}(1 - e, p) U^*,$$

where $r = s - n + 1$. Write $v = w + (1 - e)$ as $v = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ 1 - e \end{bmatrix}$, and set

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} e \\ U \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{diag}(\overbrace{e, \dots, e}^n, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^r).$$

Then $W \text{diag}(e, 1 - e, p) M_{s+2}(A) \text{diag}(e, 1 - e, p) W^* \subset M_{n+1}(eAe) \oplus 0$ and

$$(4-6) \quad W \begin{bmatrix} v \\ p \end{bmatrix} W^* = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ U \text{diag}(1 - e, p) U^* \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}(w, Q),$$

by (4-5). Note that $\text{diag}(v, p) \in CU(\text{diag}(e, 1 - e, p) M_{s+2}(A) \text{diag}(e, 1 - e, p))$. So, by (4-6),

$$\text{diag}(w, \overbrace{e, \dots, e}^n) \in CU(M_{n+1}(eAe)).$$

Since $\text{tsr}(eAe) = 1$, it follows from Theorem 4.2(2) that $w \in CU(eAe)$. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let C be a nonunital C^* -algebra and $B = \tilde{C}$. Assume $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in U(M_k(B))$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then, there are unitaries $u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_n \in M_k(\tilde{C})$ with $\pi_k(u'_j) = 1_k$ and $w, z_j, \bar{u}_j \in U(M_k(\mathbb{C}))$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that*

$$\prod_{j=1}^n u_j = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n u'_j \right) w, \quad \text{with } u'_j = z_j^* u_j \bar{u}_j^* z_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$w = \pi_k \prod_{j=1}^n u_j,$$

where $\pi(x + \lambda) = \lambda$ for all $x \in C$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and π_k is the induced homomorphism of π on $M_k(B)$.

Moreover, if $u_j \in U_0(M_k(B))$, then we may assume that each $u'_j \in U_0(\widetilde{M_k(C)})$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Put $\bar{u}_j = \pi_k(u_j) \in U(M_k(\mathbb{C}))$. If $n = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 u_2 &= u_1 \bar{u}_1^* (\bar{u}_1 u_2 \bar{u}_1^*) (\bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2^* \bar{u}_1^*) (\bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_1^* \bar{u}_1) \\ &= u_1 \bar{u}_1^* (\bar{u}_1 u_2 \bar{u}_1^*) (\bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2^* \bar{u}_1^*) (\bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Put $u'_1 = u_1 \bar{u}_1^*$, $u'_2 = \bar{u}_1 u_2 \bar{u}_1^* \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2^* \bar{u}_1^*$, $w_1 = \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2$, $z_1 = 1_k$, $z_2 = \bar{u}_1$. Then

$$\pi_k(u'_1) = 1_k, \quad \pi_k(u'_2) = \pi_k(\bar{u}_1 (u_2 \bar{u}_2^*) \bar{u}_1^*) = 1_k, \quad w_1 = \pi_k(u_1 u_2).$$

Thus the lemma holds if $n = 2$. Suppose that the lemma holds for s . Then

$$u_1 u_2 \cdots u_s u_{s+1} = (u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_s) w_s u_{s+1},$$

where $u'_j \in M_k(\widetilde{C})$ are unitaries with $\pi_k(u'_j) = 1_k$ and $u'_j = z_j^* u_j \bar{u}_j^* z_j$, where $z_j, \bar{u}_j \in U(M_k(\mathbb{C}))$, $j = 1, \dots, s$ and $w_s = \pi_k \prod_{j=1}^s u_j$. It follows that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{s+1} u_j = \left(\prod_{j=1}^s u'_j \right) w_s u_{s+1} w_s^* (w_s \bar{u}_{s+1}^* w_s^*) (w_s \bar{u}_{s+1}).$$

Put $u'_{s+1} = w_s u_{s+1} w_s^* (w_s \bar{u}_{s+1}^* w_s^*) = w_s (u_{s+1} \bar{u}_{s+1}^*) w_s^*$, $z_{s+1} = w_s^*$ and $w_{s+1} = w_s \bar{u}_{s+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_s(u'_{s+1}) &= \pi_k(w_s) \pi(u_{s+1} \bar{u}_{s+1}^*) \pi_k(w_s^*) = 1_k, \\ w_{s+1} &= w_s \bar{u}_{s+1} = \pi_k \left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^s u_j \right) u_{s+1} \right) = \pi_k \prod_{j=1}^{s+1} u_j. \end{aligned}$$

The first part of the lemma follows.

To see the second part, we first assume that $u_j = \exp(i a_j)$ for some $a_j \in (M_k(B))_{\text{sa}}$. Note that $\bar{u}_j = \exp(i \bar{a}_j)$, where $\bar{a}_j = \pi_k(a_j) \in (M_k(\mathbb{C}))_{\text{sa}}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Consider the path $u'_j(t) = \exp(i t a_j) \exp(-i t \bar{a}_j)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Note that, for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\pi_k(\exp(i t a_j) \exp(-i t \bar{a}_j)) = \exp(i t \pi_k(a_j)) \exp(-i t \pi_k(a_j)) = 1_k.$$

It follows that $u'_j(t) \in \widetilde{M_k(\mathbb{C})}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. The case that $u_j = \exp(\prod_{k=1}^{m_j} i a_k)$ follows from this and what has been proved. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let C be a nonunital C^* -algebra and $B = \widetilde{C}$. Suppose that $z = aba^*b^*$, where $a, b \in U_0(\mathbf{M}_k(B))$. Then $z = yw$, where $y \in CU(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}_k(C)})$ with $\pi_k(y) = 1_k$ and $w \in CU(\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$. Moreover, if $u = \prod_{j=1}^n z_j$, where each $z_j \in CU(\mathbf{M}_k(B))$, then $u = yv$, where $y \in CU(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}_k(C)})$ with $\pi_k(y) = 1_k$ and $v \in CU(\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{a} = \pi_k(a)$ and $\bar{b} = \pi_k(b)$. Then $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in U(\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$. It follows from Lemma 4.7 that for $j = 1, 2$ there are $a_j, b_j \in U_0(\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$ with $\pi_k(a_j) = \pi_k(b_j) = 1_k$ and $z_j \in U(\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$(4-7) \quad ab = a_1 b_1 w_1, \quad a_1 = a \bar{a}^*, \quad b_1 = z_1^* b \bar{b}^* z_1, \quad w_1 = \bar{a} \bar{b},$$

$$(4-8) \quad ba = b_2 a_2 w_2, \quad b_2 = b \bar{b}^*, \quad a_2 = z_2^* a \bar{a}^* z_2, \quad w_2 = \bar{b} \bar{a}.$$

Set $x_1 = w_1 w_2^* z_2^*$ and $x_2 = w_1 w_2^* z_1$. Then $x_1, x_2 \in U_0(\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} aba^*b^* &= a_1 b_1 (w_1 w_2^* z_2^* (a \bar{a}^*) z_2 w_2 w_1^*) (w_1 w_2^* (b \bar{b}^*) w_2 w_1^*) w_1 w_2^* \\ &= a_1 b_1 (x_1 a_1^* x_1^*) (x_2^* b_1^* x_2) w_1 w_2^* \end{aligned}$$

by (4-7) and (4-8).

Write $a_1 = \prod_{j=1}^{m_1} \exp(iy_{1j})$ and $b_1 = \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} \exp(iy_{2k})$, where $y_{1j}, y_{2k} \in (\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))_{\text{sa}}, j = 1, \dots, m_1, k = 1, \dots, m_2$. Let

$$y_{1j} = y_{1j}^+ - y_{1j}^- \quad \text{and} \quad y_{2k} = y_{2k}^+ - y_{2k}^-,$$

with $y_{1j}^+, y_{1j}^-, y_{2k}^+, y_{2k}^- \in (\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))_+$ for $j = 1, \dots, m_1$ and $k = 1, \dots, m_2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^+ + x_1 y_{1j}^- x_1^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^+ + x_2 y_{2k}^- x_2^*), \\ c_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^- + x_1 y_{1j}^+ x_1^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^- + x_2 y_{2k}^+ x_2^*), \\ d_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^+ + y_{1j}^-) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^+ + y_{2k}^-), \\ d_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (y_{1j}^- + y_{1j}^+) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (y_{2k}^- + y_{2k}^+). \end{aligned}$$

Then $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in (\mathbf{M}_2(\mathbb{C}))_+$ and clearly $c_1 - d_1, c_2 - d_2 \in (\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))_0$. Therefore, $(c_1 - c_2) - (d_1 - d_2) \in (\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))_0$. Put $y = a_1 b_1 (x_1 a_1^* x_1^*) (x_2^* b_1^* x_2)$ and $w = w_1 w_2^*$. Then $y \in U_0(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}_k(C)})$ with $\pi_k(y) = 1_k$ and $w = \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a}^* \bar{b}^* \in DU_k(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, in $U_0(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}_k(C)})/CU(\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))$,

$$[y] = [\exp(i(c_1 - c_2))] = [\exp(i(d_1 - d_2))] = [a_1][b_1][a_1^*][b_1^*] = 0.$$

This proves the first part of the lemma. The second part follows. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let A be an infinite-dimensional unital simple C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ such that there is an $m \geq 1$, for every hereditary C^* -subalgebra C , with $\text{Dur } \tilde{C} \leq m$. Then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$. By Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show that $i_A^{(1,n)}$ is injective. Let $u \in U_0(A)$ with $\text{diag}(u, 1_{n-1}) \in CU(M_n(A))$. Since A is simple and infinite-dimensional, we can find nonzero mutually orthogonal positive elements $c_1, \dots, c_m \in A$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A$ such that

$$x_j^* x_j = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_j x_j^* = c_j, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, m.$$

Put $\text{Her } c_1 = C$ and $B = \tilde{C}$. Then $\text{Her}(c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_m) \cong M_m(C)$. Note that $M_m(B)$ is not isomorphic to a subalgebra of $M_m(A)$.

By Lemma 4.5, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $u = \exp(2\pi i b)$ for some $b \in C_{\text{sa}}$. Then, by Proposition 3.6(1), $\hat{b} \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$.

Since A is simple and C is σ -unital, it follows from [Brown 1977, Theorem 2.8] that there is a unitary element W in $M(A \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (the multiplier algebra of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$) such that $W^*(C \otimes \mathcal{K})W = A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} is the C^* -algebra consisting of all compact operators on l^2 . Note that since A is a unital simple C^* -algebra, every tracial state τ on C is the normalization of a tracial state restricted on C . Therefore

$$(4-9) \quad \hat{b} \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho_B(K_0(C))} \subset \overline{\rho_B(K_0(B))}.$$

Viewing b in $B_{s,a}$, consider $v = \exp(i2\pi b) \in U_0(B)$ and $v(t) = \exp(i2\pi t b)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then (4-9) implies that $\Delta^1(v(t)) \in \overline{\rho_B(K_0(B))}$. By Lemma 3.2(2), for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are $a \in B_{\text{sa}}$ with $\|a\| < \epsilon$, $d \in B_{\text{sa}}$ with $\hat{d} \in \overline{\rho_B(K_0(B))}$ and $v_0 \in CU(B)$ such that

$$(4-10) \quad v = \exp(i2\pi a) \exp(i2\pi d) v_0.$$

Choose projections $p, q \in M_n(B)$ for some $n > m$ such that for all $\tau \in T(B)$, $\tau(\text{diag}(d, 0_{(n-1) \times (n-1)})) = \tau(p) - \tau(q)$. So $\text{diag}(\exp(i2\pi d), 1_{n-1}) \in CU(M_n(B))$ by Lemma 2.6(2). By assumption, $i_B^{(m,k)}$ is injective for all $k > m$. Therefore, we have $\text{diag}(v, 1_{m-1}) \in CU(M_m(B))$ by (4-10).

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a $v_1 \in DU(M_m(B))$ such that $\|\text{diag}(v, 1_{m-1}) - v_1\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. We may write $v_1 = \prod_{j=1}^r z_j$, where $z_j \in M_m(B)$ is a commutator. It follows from Lemma 4.8 that there are $y \in CU(\widehat{M_m(C)})$ with $\pi_m(y) = 1_m$ and $w \in DU(M_m(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $v_1 = yw$. Noting that $w = \pi_m(w) = \pi_m(v_1)$ and $\pi(v) = 1$, we have $\|1_m - w\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Thus $\|\text{diag}(v, 1_{m-1}) - y\| < \epsilon$. Set $v_0 = v - 1$ and $y_0 = y - 1_m$. Then

$$(4-11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{diag}(v_0, 0_{(m-1) \times (m-1)}), y_0 \in M_m(C), \\ &\|\text{diag}(v_0, 0_{(m-1) \times (m-1)}) - y_0\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By identifying $1_m + M_m(C)$ with a unital C^* -subalgebra $1_A + \overline{\text{Her}(c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_m)}$ of A , we get that $\|\exp(i2\pi b) - y\| < \epsilon$ by (4-11). Since $y \in CU(M_m(C)) \subset CU(A)$ and hence $u \in CU(A)$, we have $\text{Dur } A = 1$. \square

Corollary 4.10. *Let A be a unital simple C^* -algebra. Suppose that there is an integer $K \geq 1$ such that $\text{csr}(C(S^1, C)) \leq K$ for every hereditary C^* -subalgebra C . Then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.10 that $\text{Dur } \tilde{C} \leq \max\{K - 1, 1\}$. Theorem 4.9 then applies. \square

Definition 4.11. Let A be a C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} D(\rho_A^1(K_0(A)), \rho_A(K_0(A))) &= \sup\{\text{dist}(x, \rho_A^1(K_0(A))) \mid x \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}\} \\ &= \sup\{\text{dist}(x, \rho_A^1(K_0(A))) \mid x \in \rho_A(K_0(A))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.12. *Let A be a unital simple C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ such that there is an $M > 0$ with $D(\rho_C^1(K_0(C)), \rho_C(K_0(C))) < M$ for all nonzero hereditary C^* -subalgebras C of A . Then $\text{Dur } A = 1$.*

Proof. Let $u \in U_0(A)$ such that $\text{diag}(u, 1_{n-1}) \in CU(M_n(A))$. By Corollary 2.12, we may assume that $u = \exp(i2\pi a)$ for some $a \in A_{\text{sa}}$. Then $\hat{a} \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ by Proposition 3.6(1).

Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $M/N < \epsilon/2\pi$. There are mutually orthogonal nonzero positive elements c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N in A and elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in A$ such that

$$(4-12) \quad x_j^* x_j = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_j x_j^* = c_j, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, N.$$

Let $C = \text{Her } c_1$ and $B = \tilde{C}$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that there is a $b \in C_{\text{sa}}$ such that $a - b$ is in A_0 , i.e., $\tau(a) = \tau(b)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Therefore $[\exp(i2\pi a)] = [\exp(i2\pi b)]$ in $U_0(A)/CU(A)$ by Lemma 2.6(2).

Since A is a unital simple C^* -algebra and C is σ -unital, it follows from the proof of Theorem 4.9 that $\rho_C(b) \in \overline{\rho_C(K_0(C))}$. Therefore, by assumption, there are projections $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k_1}, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k_2} \in C$ such that

$$\sup_{\tau \in T(C)} \left| \tau(b) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \tau(p_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \tau(q_j) \right) \right| < M.$$

Put $d = \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} q_j$ and $f = b - d$. Then $\exp(i2\pi d) \in CU(A)$ by (2-3) and $[\exp(i2\pi f)] = [\exp(i2\pi b)] \in U_0(A)/CU(A)$. Moreover, from

$$\inf\{\|f - x\| \mid x \in C_0\} = \sup\{|\tau(f)| \mid \tau \in T(C)\} < M$$

(see the proof of [Th, Lemma 3.1]), there are $f_0 \in C_0$ and $f_1 \in C_{sa}$ with $\|f_1\| < M$ such that $f = f_1 + f_0$. By Lemma 2.6(1), $\exp(i2\pi f_0) \in CU(A)$. Since $f_1 \in C_{sa}$, by (4-12), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ there are $g_i \in \text{Her } c_i$ with

$$(4-13) \quad \|g_i\| \leq \|f_1\|/N \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(g_i) = \tau(f_1/N) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Set $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \in A$. Then, by (4-13),

$$(4-14) \quad \|\exp(i2\pi g) - 1_A\| < M/N < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Delta^1}(\exp(i2\pi f) \exp(-i2\pi g)) = 0.$$

So $\exp(i2\pi f) \exp(-i2\pi g) \in CU(A)$ and consequently $\text{dist}(e^{i2\pi a}, CU(A)) < \epsilon$. \square

Bruce Blackadar [1981] constructed three examples of unital simple separable nuclear C^* -algebras A, A_Δ, A_H with no nontrivial projections. By [Blackadar 1981, Theorem 4.9], $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ with a unique tracial state. It follows from Theorem 4.2(4) that $\text{Dur } A = 1$. We turn to his examples A_Δ and A_H , which may have rich tracial spaces. It should be also noted that, as Blackadar showed, when Δ is not trivial (for example), $M_2(A_\Delta)$ has a projection p with $\tau(p) = 1$ for all $\tau \in T(A_\Delta)$. In particular, this implies that

$$\overline{\rho_{A_\Delta}^1(K_0(A_\Delta))} \neq \overline{\bar{\rho}_{A_\Delta}(K_0(A_\Delta))}.$$

However, $\text{Dur } A_\Delta = 1$ as shown below. It follows that there is a unitary $u \in \tilde{C}$, where $C = C_0((0, 1), A)$, which represents a projection q with $\tau(q) = 1$ for all $\tau \in T(A_\Delta)$.

Proposition 4.13. *Let B be a unital AF-algebra and σ an automorphism of B . Put $M_\sigma = \{f \in C([0, 1], B) \mid f(1) = \sigma(f(0))\}$. Then $\text{Dur } M_\sigma = 1$.*

Proof. Clearly, $T(M_\sigma) \neq \emptyset$. From the exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0((0, 1), B) \longrightarrow M_\sigma \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$(4-15) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_0((0, 1) \times S^1, B) \longrightarrow C(S^1, M_\sigma) \longrightarrow C(S^1, B) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since B is an AF-algebra, it follows from [Nistor 1986, Corollary 2.11] that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{csr}(C(S^1, B)) &= \text{csr}(C(S^1)) = 2, \\ \text{csr}(C_0((0, 1) \times S^1, B)) &= \text{csr}(C_0((0, 1) \times S^1)) = 2, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, applying [Nagy 1987, Lemma 2] to (4-15), we get

$$\text{csr}(C(S^1, M_\sigma)) \leq \max\{\text{csr}(C(S^1, B)), \text{csr}(C_0((0, 1) \times S^1, B))\} \leq 2.$$

Therefore $\text{Dur } A = 1$ by Theorem 3.10. \square

Corollary 4.14. *$\text{Dur } A_\Delta = 1$ and $\text{Dur } A_H = 1$.*

Proof. Both C^* -algebras are of the form $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, where each $A_n \cong M_\sigma$, where M_σ is as in Proposition 4.13, and thus $\text{Dur } A_n = 1$. By Theorem 3.5, $\text{Dur } A_\Delta = 1$ and $\text{Dur } A_H = 1$. \square

5. C^* -algebras with $\text{Dur } A > 1$

In this section, we will present a unital C^* -algebra C such that $\text{Dur } C = 2$. In particular, we will show that there are C^* -algebras which satisfy the condition described in Proposition 3.12.

5.1. We first list some standard facts from elementary topology. We will give a brief proof of each fact for the reader's convenience.

Fact 1. *Let*

$$B_d(0) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2} \leq d\}.$$

Let $f : B_d(0) \times S^1 \rightarrow S^3 = \text{SU}(2)$ be a continuous map which is not surjective. Then there is a homotopy

$$F : B_d(0) \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^3 = \text{SU}(2)$$

such that $F(x, e^{i\theta}, 0) = f(x, e^{i\theta})$, $F(x, e^{i\theta}, s) = f(x, e^{i\theta})$ if $\|x\| = d$ (i.e., if $x \in \partial B_d(0)$) and $g(x, e^{i\theta}) = F(x, e^{i\theta}, 1)$ satisfies

$$g(0, e^{i\theta}) = F(0, e^{i\theta}, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SU}(2) = S^3.$$

Proof. Assume that f misses a point $z \in S^3 = \text{SU}(2)$ and that $z \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SU}(2)$. Then $S^3 \setminus \{z\}$ is homeomorphic to $D^3 = \{(x, y, z) \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 < 1\}$, with the identity matrix mapping to $(0, 0, 0)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that f is a map from $B_d(0) \times S^1$ to D^3 . Let $F : B_d(0) \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D^3$ be defined by

$$F(x, e^{i\theta}, s) = f(x, e^{i\theta}) \max\{1 - s, \|x\|/d\},$$

which satisfies the condition. \square

Fact 2. *Let $f, g : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(n) \subset U(n) = U_n(\mathbb{C})$ (where $n \geq 2$) be continuous maps. If f is homotopic to g in $U(n)$, then they are also homotopic in $\text{SU}(n)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that there is a continuous map $\pi : U(n) \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)$ with $\pi \circ i = \text{id}_{\text{SU}(n)}$, where $i : \text{SU}(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ is inclusion. \square

Fact 3. Let $\xi \in S^4$ be the north pole. Suppose that $f, g : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)$ are two continuous maps such that

$$f(\xi, e^{i\theta}) = 1_n = g(\xi, e^{i\theta})$$

for all $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$. If f and g are homotopic in $\text{SU}(n)$, then there is a homotopy

$$F : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)$$

such that $F(x, e^{i\theta}, 0) = f(x, e^{i\theta})$, $F(x, e^{i\theta}, 1) = g(x, e^{i\theta})$ for all $x \in S^4$, $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ and $F(\xi, e^{i\theta}, t) = 1_n$ for all $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$.

Proof. Let $G : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)$ be a homotopy between f and g . That is, $G(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = f$ and $G(\cdot, \cdot, 1) = g$. Let $F : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)$ be defined by

$$F(x, e^{i\theta}, t) = G(x, e^{i\theta}, t)(G(\xi, e^{i\theta}, t))^*.$$

Then F satisfies the condition. \square

5.2. We will describe the projection $P \in M_4(C(S^4))$ of rank two which represents the class of $(2, 1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(C(S^4))$ as follows: One can regard S^4 as the quotient space $D^4/\partial D^4$, where

$$D^4 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z|^2 + |w|^2 \leq 1\}.$$

It is standard to construct a unitary

$$\alpha : D^4 \rightarrow U_4(\mathbb{C}) = U(M_4(\mathbb{C}))$$

such that $\alpha(0) = 1_4$ and such that, for any $(z, w) \in \partial D^4$ (i.e., $|z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$),

$$\alpha(z, w) := \begin{bmatrix} z & w & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{z} & -w \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{w} & z \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \beta(z, w) & 0 \\ 0 & \beta(z, w)^* \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\beta(z, w) = \begin{bmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{bmatrix}$, for $(z, w) \in \partial D^4 = S^3$, represents the generator of $K_1(C(S^3))$. Define $P : S^4 \rightarrow U_4(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$P(z, w) \triangleq \alpha(z, w) \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z, w).$$

Note that α is not defined as a function from $S^4 = D^4/\partial D^4$ to $U(4)$, but P is, since

$$P(z, w) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } (z, w) \in \partial D^4$$

and ∂D^4 is identified with the north pole $\xi \in S^4$. Hence $P(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

5.3. In the rest of the paper, for a compact metric space X with a given base point and a C^* -algebra A , by $C_0(X, A)$ we mean the C^* -algebra of the continuous functions from X to A which vanish at the base point (and $C_0(X, \mathbb{C})$ will be denoted by $C_0(X)$). (Most spaces we used here have an obvious base point, which we will not mention afterward.) Let $A = C_0(S^1, PM_4C(S^4)P)$. Let \tilde{A} be the unitization of A . Let $B = C_0(S^1, C(S^4))$. Since A is a corner of $M_4(B)$ and B is a corner of $M_2(A)$ (note that a trivial projection of rank 1 is equivalent to a subprojection of $P \oplus P$), A is stably isomorphic to B . Let \tilde{B} be a unitization of B . Then $\tilde{B} = C(S^4 \times S^1)$ and

$$K_1(\tilde{A}) \cong K_1(A) \cong K_1(B) \cong K_1(\tilde{B}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

5.4. For a unitary $u \in M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, in the identification of $[u] \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ with $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, the first component corresponds to the winding number of

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow S^4 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\det u} S^1 \subset \mathbb{C},$$

that is, the winding number of the map

$$e^{i\theta} \rightarrow \det u(\xi, e^{i\theta}),$$

where ξ is the north pole of S^4 . Hence, if $u : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)$, then the first component of $[u] \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is automatically zero.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $u : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$. Then $u \in M_2(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ represents the zero element in $K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$. In other words, if $u \in \text{SU}_n(S^4 \times S^1)$ represents a nonzero element in K -theory, then $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let $f : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^5$ be the standard quotient map sending $\{\xi\} \times S^1 \cup S^4 \times \{1\}$ to a single point. Consider $u : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$. Without loss of generality, assume $u(\xi, 1) = 1_2 \in \text{SU}(2)$. Then $u|_{S^4 \times \{1\}} : S^4 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2) = S^3$ represents an element in $\pi_4(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $u^2|_{S^4 \times \{1\}} : S^4 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2) = S^3$ is homotopically trivial, with $(\xi, 1) \in S^4 \times S^1$ as a fixed point. Evidently, $u^2|_{\{\xi\} \times S^1} : S^1 \rightarrow S^3 = \text{SU}(2)$ is homotopically trivial with $(\xi, 1) \in S^4 \times S^1$ as a fixed point. Consequently

$$u^2|_{S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1} : S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1 \rightarrow S^3$$

is homotopically trivial with $(\xi, 1) \in S^4 \times S^1$ as a fixed base point. There is a homotopy

$$F : (S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^3$$

with $F(\cdot, 0) = u^2|_{S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1}$ and

$$F(x, 1) = 1_2 \quad \text{for all } x \in S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1.$$

The following is a well-known easy fact: For any relative CW complex (X, Y) ($Y \subset X$), any continuous map $Y \times I \cup X \times \{0\} \rightarrow Z$ (where Z is any other CW complex) can be extended to a continuous map $X \times I \rightarrow Z$.

Hence, there is a homotopy $G : (S^4 \times S^1) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^3$ with $G(\cdot, 0) = u^2$, and $G|_{S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1 \times [0, 1]} = F$. Let $v : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$ be defined by $v(x) = G(x, 1)$; then $[v] = [u^2] \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ and v maps $S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1$ to $1_2 \in \text{SU}(2)$. Consequently, v passes to a map

$$v_1 : S^5 \triangleq S^4 \times S^1 / S^4 \times \{1\} \cup \{\xi\} \times S^1 \rightarrow S^3 = \text{SU}(2)$$

and represents an element in $\pi_5(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $v_1^2 : S^5 \rightarrow S^3$ is homotopically trivial, and therefore v^2 is as well. So we have

$$4[u] = 2[u^2] = 2[v] = [v^2] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)),$$

which implies $[u] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$. □

Remark 5.6. In the proof of Lemma 5.5, we in fact proved the following fact: For any $u : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$, the map $u^4 : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$ is homotopically trivial.

5.7. Note that $P \in M_4(C(S^4))$ can be regarded as a projection in $M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, still denoted by P , i.e., for fixed $x \in S^4$, $P(x, \cdot)$ is a constant projection along the S^1 direction. Then

$$(5-1) \quad K_1(A) \cong K_1(\tilde{A}) \cong K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)) \cong K_1(PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P),$$

where $A = C_0(S^1, PM_4(C(S^4))P)$ is defined in Section 5.2. Let

$$E = \{(\zeta, u) : \zeta \in S^4 \times S^1, u \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \text{ with } P(x)uP(x) = u, u^*u = uu^* = P(x)\},$$

$$SE = \{(\zeta, u) \in E : \det(P(x)uP(x) + (1_4 - P(x))) = 1\}.$$

Then $E \rightarrow S^4 \times S^1$ and $SE \rightarrow S^4 \times S^1$ are fiber bundles with fibers $U(2)$ and $\text{SU}(2)$, respectively. Also the unitaries in $PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ correspond bijectively to the cross-sections of a bundle $E \rightarrow S^4 \times S^1$. For this reason, we will call a unitary (of $PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$) with determinant 1 everywhere a cross-section of a bundle $SE \rightarrow S^4 \times S^1$.

Theorem 5.8. *If $u \in PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ has determinant 1 everywhere, i.e., if u is a cross-section of $SE \rightarrow S^4 \times S^1$, then $[u] = 0$ in $K_1(PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P)$.*

Proof. Note that $SE \rightarrow S^4 \times S^1$ is a smooth fiber bundle over the smooth manifold $S^4 \times S^1$. By a standard result in differential topology, u is homotopic to a C^∞ -section. Without loss of generality, we may assume that u itself is smooth. Identify the north pole $\xi \in S^4$ with $0 \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and a neighborhood of ξ with $B_\epsilon(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ for $\epsilon > 0$. Since $B_\epsilon(0)$ is contractible, $SE|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1}$ is a trivial bundle. Note that the projection $P \in M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ is constant along S^1 , hence $SE \cong SE|_{S^4 \times \{1\}} \times S^1$

and $SE|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1} \cong SE|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times \{1\}} \times S^1$; in other words, the fiber is constant along S^1 and $SE|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times \{1\}}$ is trivial and isomorphic to $(B_\epsilon(0) \times \{1\}) \times \text{SU}(2)$. There is a smooth bundle isomorphism

$$(5-2) \quad \gamma : SE|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1} \rightarrow (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times \text{SU}(2).$$

Then

$$\gamma \circ u|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1} : B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1 \rightarrow (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times \text{SU}(2)$$

is a smooth map with

$$\pi_1 \circ (\gamma \circ u)|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1} = \text{id}_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1},$$

where $\pi_1 : (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times \text{SU}(2) \rightarrow B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1$ is the projection onto the first coordinate. Define $\phi = \pi_2 \circ (\gamma \circ u|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1})$, where $\pi_2 : (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times \text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$ is the projection onto the second coordinate. Since ϕ is smooth, $\phi|_{\{\xi\} \times S^1}$ is not onto $\text{SU}(2)$ (note $\dim(\text{SU}(2)) = 3$ and $\dim(S^1) = 1$). Therefore, if ϵ is small enough, $\phi|_{B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1}$ is not onto. By Fact 1 of Section 5.1, ϕ is homotopic to a constant map $\phi_1 : B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$ with

$$(5-3) \quad \phi_1(\{\xi\} \times S^1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi|_{\partial B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1} = \phi_1|_{\partial B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1},$$

via a homotopy $F : (B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$ with $F(x, e^{i\theta}, t)$ constant with respect to t if $x \in \partial B_\epsilon(0)$.

Let $u_1 : B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1 \rightarrow SE$ be the cross-section defined by

$$u_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = \gamma^{-1}((x, e^{i\theta}), \phi_1(x, e^{i\theta})) \in SE.$$

Then $u_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = u(x, e^{i\theta})$ if $x \in \partial B_\epsilon(0)$. We can extend u_1 to $S^4 \times S^1$ by defining

$$u_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = u(x, e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{if } (x, e^{i\theta}) \notin B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1.$$

Hence u_1 is a section of SE with

$$u_1(\xi, e^{i\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} = P(\xi) \quad \text{for all } e^{i\theta} \in S^1.$$

Moreover, u_1 is homotopic to u by a homotopy that is constant on $(S^4 \setminus B_\epsilon(0)) \times S^1$ (on which $u_1 = u$) and that agrees with F on $B_\epsilon(0) \times S^1$. Hence $[u] = [u_1] \in K_1(\text{PM}_4(C(S^4 \times S^1)))P$. Recall that S^4 is obtained from

$$D^4 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z|^2 + |w|^2 \leq 1\}$$

by identifying

$$\partial D^4 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$$

with the north pole $\xi \in S^4$. Recall that $P \in M_4(C(S^4))$ (viewed as a projection in $M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ constant along the S^1 direction) is defined as

$$P(z, w) = \alpha(z, w) \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z, w),$$

where $\alpha(z, w)$ is defined as in Section 5.2.

Define

$$v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) = \alpha^*(z, w) u_1(z, w, e^{i\theta}) \alpha(z, w).$$

Then we have that

(i)
$$v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } (z, w) \in \partial D^4,$$

and therefore v can be regarded as a map from $S^4 \times S^1$ to $M_4(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover,

(ii)
$$v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} v(z, w, e^{i\theta}) \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } (z, w, e^{i\theta}) \in S^4 \times S^1.$$

By considering the upper-left corner of v (still denoted by v), we obtain a unitary $v : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$. By Lemma 5.5 and Remark 5.6, v^4 is homotopically trivial. Furthermore, by Fact 3 of Section 5.1, there is a homotopy $F : S^4 \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$ such that

- (iii) $F(z, w, e^{i\theta}, 0) = v^4(z, w, e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{for all } (z, w) \in S^4, e^{i\theta} \in S^1,$
- (iv) $F(\xi, e^{i\theta}, t) = 1_2 \quad \text{for all } e^{i\theta} \in S^1,$
- (v) $F(z, w, e^{i\theta}, 1) = 1_2 \quad \text{for all } (z, w) \in S^4, e^{i\theta} \in S^1.$

Define $G : D^4 \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$G(z, w, e^{i\theta}, t) = \alpha(z, w) \begin{bmatrix} F(z, w, e^{i\theta}, t) & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z, w).$$

Then, by (iv), for $(z, w) \in \partial D^4$ we have

$$G(z, w, e^{i\theta}, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence G defines a map (still denoted by G) from $S^4 \times S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore $G(z, w, e^{i\theta}, t) \in P(z, w) M_4(\mathbb{C}) P(z, w)$, and

$$G(z, w, e^{i\theta}, 0) = \alpha(z, w) \begin{bmatrix} v^4 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^*(z, w) = u_1^4.$$

That is, G defines a homotopy between u_1^4 and the unit $P \in P(M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1)))P$. Consequently $[u_1^4] = 0$ and $[u_1] = 0 \in K_1(P(M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1)))P)$. Moreover, $[u] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, as desired. \square

5.9. We identify $P(M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1)))P$ as a corner of $M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$; then $K_1(P(M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1)))P)$ is isomorphic to $K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ naturally. Let $a \in P(M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1)))P$ be defined by

$$a(x, e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta} P(x).$$

On the other hand, a could also be regarded as a unitary in $M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ as $a(x, e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta} P(x) + (1_4 - P(x))$. Then $[a] = (2, 1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, since $[a]$ is the image of $[P] \in K_0(C(S^4))$ under the exponential map

$$K_1(C(S^4)) \rightarrow K_1(C_0(S^1, C(S^4))),$$

and $[P] = (2, 1) \in K_0(C(S^4)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 5.10. *No element $(1, k) \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ can be realized by a unitary $b \in PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume $b \in PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ satisfies $[b] = (1, k) \in K_1(PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b(\xi, 1) = P$. Then

$$[b^2 a^*] = (0, 2k - 1) \in K_1(PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P).$$

In particular, the map

$$e^{i\theta} \rightarrow \det \begin{bmatrix} P(\xi)(b^2 a^*)(\xi, e^{i\theta})P(\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1_4 - P(\xi) \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 8}$$

has winding number 0. That is, it is homotopically trivial. Hence

$$(x, e^{i\theta}) \xrightarrow{h} \det \begin{bmatrix} P(\xi)(b^2 a^*)(x, e^{i\theta})P(\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1_4 - P(\xi) \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 8}$$

defines a map $h : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ such that $h_* : \pi_1(S^4 \times S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1)$ is the zero map. Hence there is a lifting $\tilde{h} : S^4 \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $h(x, e^{i\theta}) = \exp(i\tilde{h}(x, e^{i\theta}))$. Define a unitary $b_1 \in PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$ by $b_1(x, e^{i\theta}) = \exp(i\frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}(x, e^{i\theta}))P(x)$. Then $[b_1] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$, and $b^2 a^* b_1^* \in U(PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P)$ has determinant 1 everywhere. By Theorem 5.8, $[b^2 a^* b_1^*] = 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$. On the other hand,

$$[b^2 a^* b_1^*] = [b^2 a^*] = (0, 2k - 1) \neq 0 \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1)),$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 5.11. Similarly, we can show that for any unitary $u \in PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$, $[u] = l[a] = (2l, l) \in K_1(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 5.12. *Let $A = C_0(S^1, PC(S^4)P)$, and let \tilde{A} be the unitization of A . Then there is no unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ such that $[u] = (1, k) \in K_1(A)$. In particular, no unitary u can correspond to a rank-1 projection in $M_4(C(S^4))$.*

Proof. Note that we may view P as a projection in $M_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))$ which is constant along the direction of S^1 (Section 5.7). So we may view \tilde{A} as a unital C^* -subalgebra of $PM_4(C(S^4 \times S^1))P$. Thus, by the identification (5-1), Theorem 5.10 applies. \square

Theorem 5.13. *Let $A = PM_4(C(S^4))P$. Then $\text{Dur } A = 2$.*

Proof. There is a projection $e \in M_2(A)$ which is unitarily equivalent to a rank-1 projection in $M_8(C(S^4))$ corresponding to $(1, 0) \in K_0(C(S^4))$. Let $C = C_0((0, 1), A)$. By Corollary 5.12, there is no unitary in \tilde{C} which represents a rank-1 projection. It follows from Proposition 3.12 that $\text{Dur } A > 1$.

However, since $\rho_C(K_0(M_2(C))) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $M_2(C)$ contains a rank-1 projection (with trace $\frac{1}{2}$), by Proposition 3.6(3), $\text{Dur}(M_2(C)) = 1$. It follows that $\text{Dur } C = 2$. \square

Acknowledgements

The majority of this work was done when Lin and Xue were in the Research Center for Operator Algebras in the East China Normal University. They are both partially supported by the center. Lin is also partially supported by a grant from the NSF.

References

- [Blackadar 1981] B. E. Blackadar, “A simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra”, *J. Operator Theory* **5**:1 (1981), 63–71. MR 82h:46076 Zbl 0494.46056
- [Brown 1977] L. G. Brown, “Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras”, *Pacific J. Math.* **71**:2 (1977), 335–348. MR 56 #12894 Zbl 0362.46042
- [Cuntz and Pedersen 1979] J. Cuntz and G. K. Pedersen, “Equivalence and traces on C^* -algebras”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **33**:2 (1979), 135–164. MR 80m:46053 Zbl 0427.46042
- [Elliott 1997] G. A. Elliott, “A classification of certain simple C^* -algebras, II”, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **12**:1 (1997), 97–134. MR 98j:46060 Zbl 0954.46035
- [Elliott and Gong 1996] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, “On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero, II”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **144**:3 (1996), 497–610. MR 98j:46055 Zbl 0867.46041
- [Elliott et al. 2007] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, and L. Li, “On the classification of simple inductive limit C^* -algebras, II: The isomorphism theorem”, *Invent. Math.* **168**:2 (2007), 249–320. MR 2010g:46102 Zbl 1129.46051
- [Gong 2002] G. Gong, “On the classification of simple inductive limit C^* -algebras, I: The reduction theorem”, *Doc. Math.* **7** (2002), 255–461. MR 2007h:46069 Zbl 1024.46018
- [Gong et al. 2015] G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, “Classification of finite simple amenable \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras”, preprint, 2015. arXiv 1501.00135

- [de la Harpe and Skandalis 1984] P. de la Harpe and G. Skandalis, “Produits finis de commutateurs dans les C^* -algèbres”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **34**:4 (1984), 169–202. MR 87i:46146b Zbl 0536.46044
- [Lin 2001] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C^* -algebras*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2001. MR 2002k:46141 Zbl 1013.46055
- [Lin 2007] H. Lin, “Simple nuclear C^* -algebras of tracial topological rank one”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **251**:2 (2007), 601–679. MR 2008k:46164 Zbl 1206.46052
- [Lin 2010a] H. Lin, *Approximate homotopy of homomorphisms from $C(X)$ into a simple C^* -algebra*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* **205**:963, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. MR 2011g:46101 Zbl 1205.46037
- [Lin 2010b] H. Lin, “Homotopy of unitaries in simple C^* -algebras with tracial rank one”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **258**:6 (2010), 1822–1882. MR 2011g:46100 Zbl 1203.46038
- [Lin 2011] H. Lin, “Asymptotic unitary equivalence and classification of simple amenable C^* -algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **183**:2 (2011), 385–450. MR 2012c:46157 Zbl 1255.46031
- [Masani 1981] P. Masani, “Multiplicative partial integration and the Trotter product formula”, *Adv. in Math.* **40**:1 (1981), 1–9. MR 82m:47030a Zbl 0485.47026
- [Nagy 1987] G. Nagy, “Stable rank of C^* -algebras of Toeplitz operators on polydisks”, pp. 227–235 in *Operators in indefinite metric spaces, scattering theory and other topics* (Bucharest, 1985), edited by H. Helson et al., *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **24**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1987. MR 89i:47045 Zbl 0642.47014
- [Nielsen and Thomsen 1996] K. E. Nielsen and K. Thomsen, “Limits of circle algebras”, *Exposition. Math.* **14**:1 (1996), 17–56. MR 97e:46097 Zbl 0865.46037
- [Nistor 1986] V. Nistor, “Stable range for tensor products of extensions of \mathcal{K} by $C(X)$ ”, *J. Operator Theory* **16**:2 (1986), 387–396. MR 88b:46085
- [Rieffel 1983] M. A. Rieffel, “Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **46**:2 (1983), 301–333. MR 84g:46085 Zbl 0533.46046
- [Rieffel 1987] M. A. Rieffel, “The homotopy groups of the unitary groups of non-commutative tori”, *J. Operator Theory* **17**:2 (1987), 237–254. MR 88f:22018 Zbl 0656.46056
- [Thomsen 1995] K. Thomsen, “Traces, unitary characters and crossed products by \mathbb{Z} ”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **31**:6 (1995), 1011–1029. MR 97a:46074 Zbl 0853.46073
- [Thomsen 1997] K. Thomsen, *Limits of certain subhomogeneous C^* -algebras*, *Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France* **71**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1997. MR 2000c:46110 Zbl 0922.46055
- [Villadsen 1999] J. Villadsen, “On the stable rank of simple C^* -algebras”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12**:4 (1999), 1091–1102. MR 2000f:46075 Zbl 0937.46052
- [Xue 2000] Y. Xue, “The general stable rank in nonstable K -theory”, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **30**:2 (2000), 761–775. MR 2001h:46125 Zbl 0980.46053
- [Xue 2001] Y. Xue, “The K -groups of $C(M) \times_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_p$ for certain pairs (M, θ) ”, *J. Operator Theory* **46**:2 (2001), 337–354. MR 2003a:46098 Zbl 0998.46037
- [Xue 2010] Y. Xue, “Approximate diagonalization of self-adjoint matrices over $C(M)$ ”, *Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput.* **2**:1 (2010), 53–65. MR 2012b:46112 Zbl 1289.46083 arXiv 1002.3962
- [Zhang 1990] S. Zhang, “Diagonalizing projections in multiplier algebras and in matrices over a C^* -algebra”, *Pacific J. Math.* **145**:1 (1990), 181–200. MR 92h:46088 Zbl 0673.46049

GUIHUA GONG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO
RIO PIEDRAS, 00931
PUERTO RICO
guihua.gong@upr.edu

HUAXIN LIN
RESEARCH CENTER FOR OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP
EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI, 200062
CHINA

and

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
EUGENE, OR 97403
UNITED STATES
hlin@uoregon.edu

YIFENG XUE
RESEARCH CENTER FOR OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP
EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI, 200062
CHINA
yfxue@math.ecnu.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$570/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 274 No. 2 April 2015

- On Demazure and local Weyl modules for affine hyperalgebras 257
ANGELO BIANCHI, TIAGO MACEDO and ADRIANO MOURA
- On curves and polygons with the equiangular chord property 305
TARIK AOUGAB, XIDIAN SUN, SERGE TABACHNIKOV and
YUWEN WANG
- The well-posedness of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in Triebel-type 325
spaces
SHAOLEI RU and JIECHENG CHEN
- Hypersurfaces with constant curvature quotients in warped product 355
manifolds
JIE WU and CHAO XIA
- The first terms in the expansion of the Bergman kernel in higher 373
degrees
MARTIN PUCHOL and JIALIN ZHU
- Determinant rank of C^* -algebras 405
GUIHUA GONG, HUAXIN LIN and YIFENG XUE
- Motion by mixed volume preserving curvature functions near spheres 437
DAVID HARTLEY
- Homomorphisms on infinite direct products of groups, rings and 451
monoids
GEORGE M. BERGMAN
- The virtual first Betti number of soluble groups 497
MARTIN R. BRIDSON and DESSISLAVA H. KOCHLOUKOVA



0030-8730(201504)274:2;1-1