

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**TORUS ACTIONS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS
OF INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGY**

ASILATA BAPAT

Volume 276 No. 1

July 2015

TORUS ACTIONS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS OF INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGY

ASILATA BAPAT

Given certain intersection cohomology sheaves on a projective variety with a torus action, we relate the cohomology groups of their tensor product to the cohomology groups of the individual sheaves. We also prove a similar result in the case of equivariant cohomology.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety together with an action of a complex algebraic torus T with isolated fixed points. We fix a regular algebraic one-parameter subgroup $\lambda: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T$, which means that the set of λ -fixed points on X equals the set of T -fixed points on X (denoted X^T). Consider the Białynicki-Birula decomposition [1973] of X : for each $w \in X^T$ define the *plus* and *minus* cells to be respectively

$$U_w = U_w^+ = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x = w\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}^*, \text{ and}$$

$$U_w^- = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot x = w\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Each plus or minus cell is a λ -stable affine space, and hence the decompositions $X = \coprod_{w \in X^T} U_w$ and $X = \coprod_{w \in X^T} U_w^-$ are cell decompositions. For the purposes of this paper, we make the following additional assumptions on the T -action on X .

Assumption 1.1. The cell decompositions $X = \coprod_{w \in X^T} U_w$ and $X = \coprod_{w \in X^T} U_w^-$ are algebraic stratifications of X . In particular, the closure of every plus cell is a union of plus cells, and analogously for minus cells.

Assumption 1.2. For each $w \in X^T$, there is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda_w: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T$ and a neighborhood V_w of w such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda_w(t) \cdot v = w$ for every $v \in V_w$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

In this paper, we use the words *sheaf* and *complex of sheaves* interchangeably to mean an object in $D_{\mathbb{C}, \text{BB}}^b(X, \mathbb{C})$, the bounded derived category of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on X that are constructible with respect to the Białynicki-Birula

MSC2010: 14F05, 14F43, 14L30, 55N33.

Keywords: intersection cohomology, torus action.

stratification. (Here we make use of Assumption 1.1.) Moreover all functors are derived, so for ease of notation we omit the decorations R and L .

For each $w \in X^T$, let IC_w denote the intersection cohomology sheaf on the closure of the cell U_w , extended by zero to all of X . The main theorem of the paper describes the cohomology of the tensor products of a collection of IC_w , in terms of the tensor products of the cohomologies of the individual IC_w .

Main result. Let $\Delta: X \rightarrow X^m$ be the diagonal embedding. Consider any sheaves $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_m$ in $D_{c,\mathrm{BB}}^b(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then their (derived) tensor product is also a sheaf in $D_{c,\mathrm{BB}}^b(X, \mathbb{C})$, and will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_m$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_m = \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_m).$$

For any sheaf \mathcal{F} , its cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) = H^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a graded vector space. There is a natural cup product $\cup: H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_m) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_m)$, defined on page 22.

Let \mathbb{C} denote the constant sheaf on X . For any sheaf \mathcal{F} , its cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is naturally a (graded) left and right module over the (graded) ring $H(X) = H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \cup: H(X) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}), \\ \cup: H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \otimes H(X) &\rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the cup product descends to a morphism

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes_{H(X)} \dots \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_m) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_m).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let (p_1, \dots, p_m) be an m -tuple of T -fixed points of X , and suppose that Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2 hold. Then the cup product map*

$$(1-1) \quad H^\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{p_1}) \otimes_{H(X)} \dots \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{p_m}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{p_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{IC}_{p_m})$$

is an isomorphism.

As X is a T -space, each IC sheaf IC_{p_j} carries a canonical T -equivariant structure, and so does the tensor product $\mathrm{IC}_{p_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{IC}_{p_m}$. Let $H_T(X) = H_T^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ be the T -equivariant cohomology of X . For any T -equivariant sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , its T -equivariant cohomology $H_T^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) = H_T^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a graded $H_T(X)$ -module. As before, there is a cup product map for T -equivariant cohomology, which factors through $H_T(X)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Under Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2, the cup product map*

$$H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{p_1}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \dots \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{p_m}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{IC}_{p_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{IC}_{p_m})$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 1.5. Even though our results are stated using IC sheaves, it is possible that they generalize to parity sheaves (defined and discussed by Juteau, Mautner, and Williamson in [Juteau et al. 2014]). Our results and proof methods are similar to the main theorem from [Ginzburg 1991]. Achar and Rider [2014, Theorem 4.1] prove a version of Ginzburg’s theorem for parity sheaves on generalized flag varieties of a Kac–Moody group. Similar generalizations may work in our case as well.

2. Setup

The Białyński–Birula stratification. One can find (see, e.g., [Sumihiro 1974] or [Kambayashi 1966]) a T -equivariant projective embedding of X into some \mathbb{P}^N , such that the action of T on \mathbb{P}^N is linear. Consider the following standard Morse–Bott function on \mathbb{P}^N :

$$[z_0 : \cdots : z_N] \mapsto \frac{\sum_{i=0}^N c_i |z_i|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^N |z_i|^2},$$

where c_i are the weights of the λ -action on \mathbb{P}^N . The critical sets of this function are precisely the T -fixed points on \mathbb{P}^N . The Morse–Bott cells of this function are locally closed algebraic subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^N . Since X has isolated T -fixed points, one can show that the composition $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function with critical set X^T (see, e.g., [Audin 2004]). Each cell of the Morse decomposition under f is a preimage of a Morse–Bott cell of \mathbb{P}^N . Hence it is a locally closed algebraic subvariety of X . Moreover, each cell of the Morse decomposition is known to be a union of Białyński–Birula plus cells. A discussion of this may also be found in [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997, Section 2.4].

The collection of fixed points of the λ -action carries a partial order, where $v < w$ if $U_v \subset \overline{U_w}$. By the previous discussion, we see that $v < w$ if and only if $f(v) < f(w)$. Fix a weakly increasing enumeration $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ of the points of X^T (sometimes denoted $\{w_0, \dots, w_N\}$), and set $X_n = \bigcup_{i \leq n} U_i$. Since the closure of every plus cell is a union of plus cells, it follows from the previous discussion that each X_n is a closed subvariety of X .

Similarly, set $X_n^- = \bigcup_{i \geq n} U_i^-$. By using the Morse function $(-f)$ instead of f , we see that each X_n^- is a closed subvariety of X . Hence we obtain two increasing filtrations of X by closed subvarieties: $X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_N = X$ and $X_N^- \subset \cdots \subset X_0^- = X$.

We have the following inclusions:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{i_n} X, \quad X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{v} X_n \xleftarrow{u} U_n.$$

For any point $p \in X_n^-$, we have $f(w_n) \leq f(p)$, with equality only if $p \in X^T$. For any point $p \in X_n$, we have $f(p) \leq f(w_n)$, with equality only if $p \in X^T$. Hence if $p \in X_n^- \cap X_n$, then $f(p) = f(w_n)$, and $p \in X^T$. But $X_n^- \cap X_n \cap X^T = \{w_n\}$, and

it follows that $p = w_n$. Hence for every n , the subvarieties X_n^- and X_n intersect transversally in the single point w_n .

Let $c_n \in H^\bullet(X)$ be the Poincaré dual to the homology class of X_n^- . As a vector space, $H^\bullet(X)$ is generated by the collection $\{c_n\}$. Finally, fix an m -tuple (p_1, \dots, p_m) of T -fixed points of X , and set $L_{j,n} = i_n^{-1} \text{IC}_{p_j}$ for each j and n .

The cup product in cohomology. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ be the unique morphism to a point. For any sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , its cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ is a graded vector space, and may be thought of as $\pi_* \mathcal{F}$. We use this to define the cup product map.

Recall that the functors (π^{-1}, π_*) form an adjoint pair, which has a counit $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi_* \rightarrow \text{id}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_m$ be sheaves on X . Tensoring the counit maps together, we have a map

$$\pi^{-1} \circ \pi_*(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi^{-1} \circ \pi_*(\mathcal{F}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_m.$$

The left hand side is canonically isomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(\pi_* \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{F}_m)$. Using the (π^{-1}, π_*) adjunction once more, we obtain the *cup product*:

$$\cup : \pi_* \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_m).$$

The cup product gives each $H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_i)$ the structure of a left and right module over $H(X)$. This module structure induces the following map, also called the cup product:

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes_{H(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_m) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_m).$$

Proposition 2.1. *For every n , the cup product map*

$$(2-1) \quad H^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(L_{m,n}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{m,n})$$

is an isomorphism.

When $X_n = X$, we have $L_{j,n} = \text{IC}_{p_j}$ for each j . Hence Theorem 1.3 follows from this proposition, and we now focus on proving the proposition.

3. Proof of the isomorphism

We prove Proposition 2.1 by induction on the n th filtered piece of $X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_N$. In the base case of $n = 0$, the space X_0 is zero-dimensional. Hence each sheaf $L_{j,0}$ is isomorphic to its cohomology. In this case the cup product map (2-1) reduces to the identity map, which is an isomorphism.

Now we prove the induction step on the filtered piece X_n . We mainly use the following distinguished triangles:

$$(3-1) \quad u_! u^{-1} L_{j,n} \rightarrow L_{j,n} \rightarrow v_* v^{-1} L_{j,n},$$

$$(3-2) \quad v_! v^1 L_{j,n} \rightarrow L_{j,n} \rightarrow u_* u^{-1} L_{j,n}.$$

After taking cohomology, each of the above distinguished triangles produces a long exact sequence. In our case, all connecting homomorphisms of these long exact sequences vanish (see, e.g., [Soergel 1990, Lemma 20] and [Ginzburg 1991, Proposition 3.2]).

For brevity, we will use the following notation through the remainder of the paper.

$$(3-3) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{m,n} &= L_{2,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{m,n}, \\ A_{m,n} &= H^\bullet(L_{2,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(L_{m,n}), \\ B_{m,n} &= H^\bullet(u_* u^{-1} L_{2,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(u_* u^{-1} L_{m,n}). \end{aligned}$$

The following two lemmas prove the proposition on the open part U_n in X_n .

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be any complexes of sheaves on U_n with locally constant cohomology sheaves. Then the cup product map*

$$\cup: H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism. Since \cup factors through the surjection

$$H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \twoheadrightarrow H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}),$$

the induced cup product

$$\cup: H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})$$

is also an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram, where π is the projection to a point.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_n & \xrightarrow{u} & X_n \\ & \searrow p=\pi \circ u & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \text{pt} \end{array}$$

Recall that if A and B are any two complexes on X , then the cup product is induced by adjunction from the natural map

$$\pi^{-1}(\pi_* A \otimes \pi_* B) \cong \pi^{-1}\pi_* A \otimes \pi^{-1}\pi_* B \rightarrow A \otimes B,$$

which may be broken up as follows:

$$\pi^{-1}\pi_* A \otimes \pi^{-1}\pi_* B \rightarrow A \otimes \pi^{-1}\pi_* B \rightarrow A \otimes B.$$

Therefore the cup product map may be broken up as follows:

$$\pi_* A \otimes \pi_* B \rightarrow \pi_*(A \otimes \pi^{-1}\pi_* B) \rightarrow \pi_*(A \otimes B).$$

In our case, this becomes the following sequence of maps:

$$\pi_* u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi_* u_* \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \pi_*(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi^{-1}\pi_* u_* \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} \pi_*(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G}).$$

Since π is a proper map, we know that $\pi_* \cong \pi_!$, and hence μ_1 is an isomorphism by the projection formula. It remains to show that μ_2 is an isomorphism.

The pair of adjoint functors (π^{-1}, π_*) gives the counit morphism $p^{-1}p_* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow u^{-1}u_* \mathcal{G}$. The key observation is that this map is an isomorphism, because \mathcal{G} is a direct sum of its cohomology sheaves on the affine space U_n . Now consider the following commutative diagram.

$$(3-4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi^{-1}\pi_* u_* \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow[\text{(proj.)}]{\cong} & u_!(\mathcal{F} \otimes p^{-1}p_* \mathcal{G}) \\ \mu_2 \downarrow \text{(counit)} & & \cong \downarrow \text{(counit)} \\ u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow[\text{(proj.)}]{\cong} & u_!(\mathcal{F} \otimes u^{-1}u_* \mathcal{G}) \end{array}$$

The map μ_2 is obtained by applying the functor π_* to the left vertical map in (3-4) above. The diagram shows that this map is an isomorphism, and hence μ_2 is also an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The cup product map induces an isomorphism*

$$H^*(u_! u^{-1} L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} B_{m,n} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_c^*(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})).$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.1 with complexes of sheaves $\mathcal{F} = u^{-1}L_{1,n}$ and $\mathcal{G} = u^{-1}L_{2,n}$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^*(u_! u^{-1} L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^*(u_* u^{-1} L_{2,n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(u_! u^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes u_* u^{-1} L_{2,n}).$$

Moreover, $u^{-1}u_* u^{-1} L_{2,n} \cong u^{-1}L_{2,n}$. Using this fact and the projection formula,

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(u_! u^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes u_* u^{-1} L_{2,n}) &\cong H^*(u_!(u^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes u^{-1} u_* u^{-1} L_{2,n})) \\ &\cong H^*(u_! u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes L_{2,n})). \end{aligned}$$

All together, we get an isomorphism

$$H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(u_*u^{-1}L_{2,n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes L_{2,n})),$$

which can be written in our previously introduced notation as

$$H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} B_{2,n} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{2,n})).$$

Now we can successively tensor the above map over $H(X)$ with the spaces $H^\bullet(u_*u^{-1}L_{i,n})$, with i ranging from 3 to m . Each time, we apply Lemma 3.1 for $\mathcal{F} = u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{i-1,n})$ and $\mathcal{G} = u^{-1}L_{i,n}$ and use the argument above. Ultimately this construction yields

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} B_{m,n} &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m-1,n})) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(u_*u^{-1}L_{m,n}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(u_!(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}))) \\ &\cong H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The next lemma is a refinement of a standard cohomology exact sequence to our particular case.

Lemma 3.3. *There is an exact sequence*

$$H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} B_{m,n} \rightarrow H^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \rightarrow H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Consider the distinguished triangle (3-1) for the sheaf $L_{1,n}$. Taking cohomology and applying the functor $(-)\otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n}$, we obtain the right-exact sequence

$$H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \xrightarrow{f} H^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \xrightarrow{g} H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the distinguished triangles (3-2) for each of the sheaves $L_{j,n}$ for $j \geq 2$, we have surjective morphisms

$$H^\bullet(L_{j,n}) \twoheadrightarrow H^\bullet(u_*u^{-1}L_{j,n}).$$

Taking the tensor product of all of these along with $H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n})$, we obtain a surjective morphism

$$H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \xrightarrow{h} H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} B_{m,n}.$$

We now show that the map f factors through the map h , by showing that $f(\ker h) = 0$. Since all boundary maps in the cohomology long exact sequence of the triangles (3-2) vanish, the following set generates $\ker h$:

$$\{a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mid a_j \in H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{j,n}) \text{ for some } 2 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Consider any element $a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in \ker h$. Suppose that $a_j \in H^\bullet(v_*v^!L_{j,n})$. Recall the commutative diagram (3.8a) from [Ginzburg 1991], reproduced below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^\bullet(v_*v^!L_{j,n}) & \hookrightarrow & H^\bullet(L_{j,n}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n}) \\ & & \downarrow c_n & & \downarrow c_n \cong \\ & & H^\bullet(L_{j,n}) & \longleftarrow & H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n}) \end{array}$$

From this diagram it follows that $c_n a_j = 0$, and that $a_1 \in c_n H^\bullet(L_{1,n})$. Since all tensor products are over $H(X)$, the image of $h(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)$ under f must be zero. Therefore f factors through h , and we obtain the desired short exact sequence. \square

Finally, we use the induction hypothesis to tackle the right side of the right-exact sequence from the previous lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *The cup product map induces an isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}).$$

Proof of lemma. The cup product map on the left hand side is the following composition:

$$H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \rightarrow H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(M_{m,n}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}),$$

where the first map is the cup product on the last $(m-1)$ factors, and the second map is the cup product of the first factor with the rest. The projection formula also shows that

$$H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) \cong H^\bullet(v^{-1}L_{1,n} \otimes v^{-1}M_{m,n}) \cong H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}).$$

By induction on m , we may assume that the cup product $A_{m,n} \rightarrow H^\bullet(M_{m,n})$ is an isomorphism, and hence the first map above is an isomorphism. It remains to show that the following map is an isomorphism:

$$H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(M_{m,n}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})$$

Since $L_{1,n-1}$ is supported on X_{n-1} , the element $c_n \in H$ acts on $H^\bullet(v_*L_{1,n-1})$ by zero. Recall from [op. cit.] that the cokernel of c_n on $H^\bullet(M_{m,n})$ is just $H^\bullet(M_{m,n-1})$. Hence

$$H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(M_{m,n}) \cong H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(M_{m,n-1}).$$

Therefore, the map above can be rewritten as the cup product map

$$H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(M_{m,n-1}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}),$$

which is an isomorphism by the induction hypothesis. \square

We now apply Saito's theory [1990; 1988] of mixed Hodge modules to obtain another short exact sequence, as follows. Every IC-sheaf has the additional structure of a pure mixed Hodge module, which induces a mixed Hodge structure on tensor products of the $L_{i,n}$.

Lemma 3.5. (i) *The cohomology $H^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})$ is pure.*

(ii) *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})) \rightarrow H^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . When $n = 0$, we have $X_{-1} = \emptyset$ and $U = X_0$. The open inclusion u is the identity map, and the closed inclusion v is the zero map, hence (ii) is clear in the base case.

The set X_0 consists of a single T -fixed point of X . Call this point w . By Assumption 1.2, there exists a neighborhood V_w of w and a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda_w: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T$ that contracts V_w to w . Let i_w denote the inclusion of $\{w\}$ into the corresponding V_w . Let j_w denote the inclusion of V_w into X . By applying [Springer 1984, Corollary 1] or [Braden 2003, Lemma 6] to the sheaves $j_w^{-1} \text{IC}_{p_i}$ for each i , we see that

$$H^\bullet(V_w, j_w^{-1} \text{IC}_{p_i}) \cong H^\bullet(i_w^{-1} j_w^{-1} \text{IC}_{p_i}) = H^\bullet(L_{i,0}).$$

The functor $H^\bullet(V_w, j_w^{-1}(-))$ weakly increases weights; on the other hand, the functor $H^\bullet(i_w^{-1} j_w^{-1}(-))$ weakly decreases weights. Hence $H^\bullet(L_{i,0})$ is pure for each i . Taking the tensor product, we see that $H^\bullet(L_{1,0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^\bullet(L_{m,0})$ is pure. Since w is a single point, we can naturally make the following identification:

$$H^\bullet(L_{1,0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^\bullet(L_{m,0}) \cong H^\bullet(L_{1,0} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{m,0}) = H^\bullet(L_{1,0} \otimes M_{m,0}).$$

Hence $H^\bullet(L_{1,0} \otimes M_{m,0})$ is pure, and (i) is proved in the base case. A similar argument has been used in [Ginzburg 1991, Lemma 3.5].

For the induction step, consider the distinguished triangle (3-1) for $L_{1,n}$. Apply the functor $(-\otimes L_{2,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{m,n})$, which may be written as $(-\otimes M_{m,n})$ in the notation of (3-3). This yields the following distinguished triangle:

$$u_! u^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n} \rightarrow L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n} \rightarrow v_* v^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}.$$

By a repeated application of the projection formula, we may write the first term of this triangle as

$$u_! u^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n} \cong u_!(u^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{-1} L_{m,n}) = u_! u^{-1} (L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}),$$

and the third term of this triangle as

$$v_* v^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n} \cong v_*(v^{-1} L_{1,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{-1} L_{m,n}) = v_*(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}).$$

Taking cohomology, we obtain the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})) &\rightarrow H^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) \\ &\rightarrow H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The term $H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1})$ is pure by the induction hypothesis.

From Lemma 3.2, we know that

$$H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})) \cong H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{2,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H(X)} H^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{m,n}).$$

Recall that U_n is the Białynicki-Birula plus cell for the fixed point w_n . Hence the λ -action contracts U_n to w_n . By [Springer 1984, Corollary 2], we know that $H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{1,n})$ is isomorphic to the costalk of $u^{-1}L_{1,n}$ at w_n , which is isomorphic to a shift of the stalk of IC_{p_1} at w_n . For any $i > 1$, we know by [Springer 1984, Corollary 1] that $H^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{i,n})$ is isomorphic to the stalk of $u^{-1}L_{i,n}$ at w_n , which is equal to the stalk of IC_{p_i} at w_n . By using Assumption 1.2 and the argument used earlier in this proof, we know that the stalk of each IC_{p_i} at any T -fixed point is pure, and hence the spaces $H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{1,n})$ as well as $H^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{i,n})$ for $i > 1$ are all pure. Therefore the tensor product $H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}))$ is pure.

Since the terms on either side of the long exact sequence are pure, the connecting homomorphisms are zero, and hence $H^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})$ is also pure. This argument completes the induction step, and hence completes the proof. \square

Putting together the exact sequences from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we obtain the following commutative diagram, where the vertical maps are induced by cup products. In particular, the middle map b is just the map from Proposition 2.1.

$$(3-5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} B_{m,n} & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} & \twoheadrightarrow & H^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H(X)} A_{m,n} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\ H_c^\bullet(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})) & \hookrightarrow & H^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}) \end{array}$$

The leftmost map a is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.2. The rightmost map c is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.4. By the snake lemma, the middle map b is an isomorphism as well, and Proposition 2.1 is proved.

4. Computation of equivariant cohomology

Consider a smooth complex projective variety X with the same assumptions as in Section 1. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4.

First, recall some constructions in equivariant cohomology, following [Bernstein and Lunts 1994] and [Goresky et al. 1998]. Fix a universal principal T -bundle

$ET \rightarrow BT$, where ET is the direct limit over m of algebraic approximations ET_m and analogously for BT and BT_m . Consider the following diagram, where the map p is the second projection, and the map q is the quotient by the diagonal T -action.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & ET \times X & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ X & & ET \times_T X \end{array}$$

Since each stratum U_n is a locally closed T -invariant affine subvariety of X , the trivial local system on U_n gives rise to a canonically defined sheaf $\overline{\mathrm{IC}}_n$ on $ET \times_T X$ and a canonical isomorphism $\beta: p^{-1} \mathrm{IC}_n \xrightarrow{\cong} q^{-1} \overline{\mathrm{IC}}_n$ (see, e.g., [Bernstein and Lunts 1994]). The triple $(\mathrm{IC}_n, \overline{\mathrm{IC}}_n, \beta)$ is called the equivariant IC sheaf corresponding to U_n .

Equivariant homology and cohomology. For a variety Y equipped with a T -action, the cohomology of $ET \times_T Y$ is called the *equivariant cohomology* of Y , and is denoted by $H_T^\bullet(Y)$. In particular, since $ET \times_T \mathrm{pt} \cong BT$, we have $H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}) \cong H^\bullet(BT)$. The space $H_T^\bullet(Y)$ is a ring under cup product and is also an $H_T(X)$ -module via pullback under the projection $Y \rightarrow \mathrm{pt}$. For convenience, we will denote $H_T^\bullet(X)$ by $H_T(X)$. In our case, $H_T(X)$ is isomorphic to $H^\bullet(X) \otimes H^\bullet(BT)$ as an $H_T(X)$ -module (see, e.g., [Goresky et al. 1998, Theorem 14.1]). Similarly, the equivariant cohomology of any T -equivariant sheaf on X also carries an $H_T(X)$ -module structure.

One can define the T -equivariant Borel–Moore homology of X , denoted $H_\bullet^T(X)$. Every T -equivariant closed subvariety Y of X defines a class $[Y]_T$ of degree $2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y$ in $H_\bullet^T(X)$. If X is smooth, then every class $[Y]_T$ has an equivariant Poincaré dual cohomology class in $H_T^\bullet(X)$. More details can be found in [Graham 2001] and [Brion 2000].

Proof of the equivariant case. Consider an m -tuple (p_1, \dots, p_m) of T -fixed points of X . Then $\mathrm{IC}_{p_1}, \dots, \mathrm{IC}_{p_m}$ are the IC sheaves corresponding to U_{p_1}, \dots, U_{p_m} respectively. Let $L_{j,n} = i_n^{-1} \mathrm{IC}_{p_j}$ for each j and n .

Proposition 4.1. *Under Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2, the cup product maps*

$$H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(L_{m,n}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{m,n})$$

are isomorphisms for each n .

When $X_n = X$, we have $L_{j,n} = \mathrm{IC}_{p_j}$ for each j . Hence this proposition implies Theorem 1.4. To prove the proposition, we first state two general lemmas about T -equivariant cohomology of sheaves.

Lemma 4.2. *Consider the fiber bundle $ET \times_T X \rightarrow BT$, with fiber X . Let \mathbf{IC}_w be the (T -equivariant) IC sheaf on the closure of a stratum X_w , extended by zero to all of X . Then the Leray spectral sequence for the computation of $H_T^\bullet(X; \mathbf{IC}_w) = H^\bullet(ET \times_T X; \overline{\mathbf{IC}}_w)$ collapses at the E_2 page. Hence $H_T^\bullet(\mathbf{IC}_w)$ is isomorphic to $H^\bullet(\mathbf{IC}_w) \otimes H^\bullet(BT)$ as a graded $H^\bullet(BT)$ -module.*

Proof. See [Goresky et al. 1998, Theorem 14.1]. The proof uses the fact that the cohomology of $BT \cong (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^{\dim T}$ is pure. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let Y be any T -space, and let \mathcal{F} be a T -equivariant sheaf on Y such that the space $H^\bullet(Y; \mathcal{F})$ is pure. Then $H_T^\bullet(Y; \mathcal{F})$ is pure as well.*

Proof. Recall that $H_T^\bullet(Y, \mathcal{F}) = H^\bullet(ET \times_T Y, \overline{\mathcal{F}})$. The result follows from computing the Leray spectral sequence for the fiber bundle $ET \times_T Y \rightarrow BT$, and by using that $H^\bullet(BT)$ and $H^\bullet(Y, \mathcal{F})$ are pure. \square

We also record some equivariant analogues of results stated in Section 3. First note that the boundary maps in the long exact sequences of T -equivariant cohomology for the distinguished triangles (3-1) and (3-2) vanish. The proof is analogous to the nonequivariant case, using Lemma 4.3.

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $U = X_n \setminus X_{n-1}$. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be any T -equivariant complexes of sheaves on U . Then the cup product map*

$$\cup: H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} H_T^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism. Since \cup factors through the surjection

$$H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} H_T^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \twoheadrightarrow H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}),$$

the induced cup product

$$H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})$$

is also an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the fiber bundle $ET \times_T X_n \rightarrow BT$, with fiber X_n . The E_2 pages of the Leray spectral sequences for $u_! \mathcal{F}$ and $u_* \mathcal{G}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(BT, H^q(u_! \mathcal{F})) &\implies H_T^{p+q}(u_! \mathcal{F}), \\ H^r(BT, H^s(u_* \mathcal{G})) &\implies H_T^{r+s}(u_* \mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

On the E_2 page, the cup product map can be written as the composition of the following two maps. The first map is the cup product with local coefficients, and

the second is the fiberwise cup product on the local systems.

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(BT, H^q(u_! \mathcal{F})) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} H^r(BT, H^s(u_* \mathcal{G})) &\rightarrow H^{p+r}(BT, H^q(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^s(u_* \mathcal{G})), \\ H^{p+r}(BT, H^q(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^s(u_* \mathcal{G})) &\rightarrow H^{p+r}(BT, H^{q+s}(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})). \end{aligned}$$

Since the local systems $H^q(u_! \mathcal{F})$ and $H^s(u_* \mathcal{G})$ are constant on BT , the first map yields isomorphisms

$$H^\bullet(BT, H^q(u_! \mathcal{F})) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} H^\bullet(BT, H^s(u_* \mathcal{G})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(BT, H^q(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^s(u_* \mathcal{G})).$$

Finally, we know from Lemma 3.1 that $H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})$ via the cup product map. Altogether, the cup product maps on the E_2 page yield an isomorphism

$$H^\bullet(BT, H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F})) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} H^\bullet(BT, H^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(BT, H^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})).$$

The left hand side is a tensor product of two free $H^\bullet(BT)$ -modules over $H^\bullet(BT)$. Hence it converges to

$$H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} H_T^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}).$$

The right hand side converges to $H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})$. Since the E_2 pages of the left hand side and the right hand side are isomorphic via the cup product map, the following cup product map

$$H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} H_T^\bullet(u_* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(u_! \mathcal{F} \otimes u_* \mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism. \square

Let $\tilde{c}_n \in H_T(X)$ be the equivariant Poincaré dual of $[X_n^-]_T$. Each \tilde{c}_n restricts to the class c_n under the map $H_T(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X)$, hence the collection $\{\tilde{c}_n\}$ generates $H_T(X)$ over $H^\bullet(BT)$.

The following lemma (analogous to [Ginzburg 1991, (3.8a)]) describes the action of \tilde{c}_n on the equivariant cohomology of the sheaves $L_{j,n}$ on X .

Lemma 4.5. *For every j , the action of \tilde{c}_n on $H_T^\bullet(L_{j,n})$ fits into the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_T^\bullet(L_{j,n}) & \longrightarrow & H_T^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n}) \\ \tilde{c}_n \downarrow & & \tilde{c}_n \downarrow \cong \\ H_T^\bullet(L_{j,n}) & \longleftarrow & H_{T,c}^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n}) \end{array}$$

Proof. Recall that the intersection of X_n and X_n^- lies away from X_{n-1} . Hence \tilde{c}_n restricts to zero on X_{n-1} , and cup product by \tilde{c}_n annihilates the cohomology of any sheaf supported on X_{n-1} . The kernel of $H_T^\bullet(L_{j,n}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n})$ and the cokernel of $H_{T,c}^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(L_{j,n})$ are both supported on X_{n-1} . So the map of multiplication by \tilde{c}_n from $H_T^\bullet(X_n)$ to $H_T^\bullet(X_n)$ factors as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_T^\bullet(L_{j,n}) & \longrightarrow & H_T^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n}) \\ \tilde{c}_n \downarrow & & \tilde{c}_n \downarrow \\ H_T^\bullet(L_{j,n}) & \longleftarrow & H_{T,c}^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n}) \end{array}$$

It remains to show that the vertical map on the right is an isomorphism. Since X_n and X_n^- intersect transversally in the single point w_n , the restriction of \tilde{c}_n to X_n is the image in $H_T^\bullet(X_n)$ of a generator of the local cohomology group $H_T^\bullet(X_n, X_n \setminus \{w_n\})$.

Since $w_n \in U_n$, we have $H_T^\bullet(X_n, X_n \setminus \{w_n\}) \cong H_T^\bullet(U_n, U_n \setminus \{w_n\})$ by excision. But U_n is an affine space that is T -equivariantly contractible to w_n , and hence $H_T^\bullet(U_n, U_n \setminus \{w_n\}) \cong H_{T,c}^\bullet(U_n)$. This shows that multiplication by \tilde{c}_n maps $H_T^\bullet(U_n)$ isomorphically to $H_{T,c}^\bullet(U_n)$.

Since $u^{-1}L_{j,n}$ is T -equivariant, the above argument applies to the cohomology of $u^{-1}L_{j,n}$ as well. This means that \tilde{c}_n maps $H_T^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n})$ isomorphically to $H_{T,c}^\bullet(u^{-1}L_{j,n})$, and the proof is complete. \square

Once again, let $M_{m,n}$ denote the sheaf $L_{2,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{m,n}$. For brevity, we set up the following additional notation.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_{m,n} &= H_T^\bullet(L_{2,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(L_{m,n}), \\ \bar{B}_{m,n} &= H_T^\bullet(u_*u^{-1}L_{2,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(u_*u^{-1}L_{m,n}). \end{aligned}$$

The following two lemmas are analogues of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, respectively.

Lemma 4.6. *There is an exact sequence*

$$H_T^\bullet(u_*u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \bar{B}_{m,n} \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \bar{A}_{m,n} \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \bar{A}_{m,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.3. We use the fact that $H_T^\bullet(X) \cong H^\bullet(X) \otimes H^\bullet(BT)$ and use Lemma 4.5 as a substitute for the commutative diagram (3.8a) in [Ginzburg 1991]. \square

Lemma 4.7. (i) *The cohomology $H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})$ is pure.*

(ii) *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{T,c}^\bullet(u^{-1}(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n})) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n-1} \otimes M_{m,n-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The proofs are analogous to the proofs of their counterparts from Section 3, using the observation of Lemma 4.3 and the fact that $H^\bullet(BT)$ is pure. \square

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We obtain the following commutative diagram from the exact sequences of Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7.

$$(4-1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H_T^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \bar{B}_{m,n} & \longrightarrow & H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \bar{A}_{m,n} & \twoheadrightarrow & H_T^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \bar{A}_{m,n} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\ H_T^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) & \hookrightarrow & H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_T^\bullet(v_*v^{-1}L_{1,n} \otimes M_{m,n}) \end{array}$$

First observe that the action of $H_T(X)$ on $H_T^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n})$ and on $\bar{B}_{m,n}$ factors through the map $H_T(X) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(U) \cong H^\bullet(BT)$, so

$$H_T^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \bar{B}_{m,n} \cong H_T^\bullet(u_!u^{-1}L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H^\bullet(BT)} \bar{B}_{m,n}.$$

We prove by induction on m that the map a is an isomorphism. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, the case of $m = 2$ is proved by Lemma 4.4, and the general case is proved by iterating the argument. An argument similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4 proves that the map c is an isomorphism.

Hence by the snake lemma, the middle map b is an isomorphism as well. Consequently, we obtain the following isomorphisms for every n :

$$H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(L_{m,n}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(L_{1,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{m,n}).$$

In particular when $X_n = X$, we see that the cup product map

$$H_T^\bullet(\mathbf{IC}_{p_1}) \otimes_{H_T(X)} \cdots \otimes_{H_T(X)} H_T^\bullet(\mathbf{IC}_{p_m}) \rightarrow H_T^\bullet(\mathbf{IC}_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{IC}_{p_m})$$

is an isomorphism. \square

Acknowledgments. I am extremely grateful to Victor Ginzburg for suggesting the problem, and for his valuable advice and continued guidance throughout the project. I am grateful to the anonymous referee for providing detailed feedback and several improvements. I thank Quoc Ho for many inspiring mathematical conversations.

References

- [Achar and Rider 2014] P. N. Achar and L. Rider, “Parity sheaves on the affine Grassmannian and the Mirković–Vilonen conjecture”, preprint, 2014. arXiv 1305.1684
- [Audin 2004] M. Audin, *Torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, 2nd ed., Progress in Mathematics **93**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004. MR 2005k:53158 Zbl 1062.57040

- [Bernstein and Lunts 1994] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1578**, Springer, Berlin, 1994. MR 95k:55012 Zbl 0808.14038
- [Białynicki-Birula 1973] A. Białynicki-Birula, “Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **98** (1973), 480–497. MR 51 #3186 Zbl 0275.14007
- [Braden 2003] T. Braden, “Hyperbolic localization of intersection cohomology”, *Transform. Groups* **8**:3 (2003), 209–216. MR 2004f:14037 Zbl 1026.14005
- [Brion 2000] M. Brion, “Poincaré duality and equivariant (co)homology”, *Michigan Math. J.* **48** (2000), 77–92. MR 2001m:14032 Zbl 1077.14523
- [Chriss and Ginzburg 1997] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997. Reprinted Springer, 2010. MR 98i:22021 Zbl 0879.22001
- [Ginzburg 1991] V. Ginzburg, “Perverse sheaves and \mathbb{C}^* -actions”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4**:3 (1991), 483–490. MR 92d:14013 Zbl 0760.14008
- [Goresky et al. 1998] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, “Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem”, *Invent. Math.* **131**:1 (1998), 25–83. MR 99c:55009 Zbl 0897.22009
- [Graham 2001] W. Graham, “Positivity in equivariant Schubert calculus”, *Duke Math. J.* **109**:3 (2001), 599–614. MR 2002h:14083 Zbl 1069.14055
- [Juteau et al. 2014] D. Juteau, C. Mautner, and G. Williamson, “Parity sheaves”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27**:4 (2014), 1169–1212. MR 3230821 Zbl 06355534
- [Kambayashi 1966] T. Kambayashi, “Projective representation of algebraic linear groups of transformations”, *Amer. J. Math.* **88** (1966), 199–205. MR 34 #5826 Zbl 0141.18303
- [Saito 1988] M. Saito, “Modules de Hodge polarisables”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **24**:6 (1988), 849–995. MR 90k:32038 Zbl 0691.14007
- [Saito 1990] M. Saito, “Mixed Hodge modules”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **26**:2 (1990), 221–333. MR 91m:14014 Zbl 0727.14004
- [Soergel 1990] W. Soergel, “Kategorie \mathcal{O} , perverse Garben und Moduln über den Koinvarianten zur Weylgruppe”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3**:2 (1990), 421–445. MR 91e:17007 Zbl 0747.17008
- [Springer 1984] T. A. Springer, “A purity result for fixed point varieties in flag manifolds”, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **31**:2 (1984), 271–282. MR 86c:14034 Zbl 0581.20048
- [Sumihiro 1974] H. Sumihiro, “Equivariant completion”, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **14**:1 (1974), 1–28. MR 49 #2732 Zbl 0277.14008

Received July 8, 2014. Revised December 12, 2014.

ASILATA BAPAT
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
 5734 S UNIVERSITY AVENUE
 CHICAGO, IL 60637
 UNITED STATES
 asilata@math.uchicago.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$570/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 276 No. 1 July 2015

On the degree of certain local L -functions	1
U. K. ANANDAVARDHANAN and AMIYA KUMAR MONDAL	
Torus actions and tensor products of intersection cohomology	19
ASILATA BAPAT	
Cyclicity in Dirichlet-type spaces and extremal polynomials II: functions on the bidisk	35
CATHERINE BÉNÉTEAU, ALBERTO A. CONDORI, CONSTANZE LIAW, DANIEL SECO and ALAN A. SOLA	
Compactness results for sequences of approximate biharmonic maps	59
CHRISTINE BREINER and TOBIAS LAMM	
Criteria for vanishing of Tor over complete intersections	93
OLGUR CELIKBAS, SRIKANTH B. IYENGAR, GREG PIEPMAYER and ROGER WIEGAND	
Convex solutions to the power-of-mean curvature flow	117
SHIBING CHEN	
Constructions of periodic minimal surfaces and minimal annuli in Sol_3	143
CHRISTOPHE DESMONTS	
Quasi-exceptional domains	167
ALEXANDRE EREMENKO and ERIK LUNDBERG	
Endoscopic transfer for unitary groups and holomorphy of Asai L -functions	185
NEVEN GRBAC and FREYDOON SHAHIDI	
Quasiconformal harmonic mappings between Dini-smooth Jordan domains	213
DAVID KALAJ	
Semisimple super Tannakian categories with a small tensor generator	229
THOMAS KRÄMER and RAINER WEISSAUER	
On maximal Lindenstrauss spaces	249
PETR PETRÁČEK and JIŘÍ SPURNÝ	



0030-8730(201507)276:1;1-Y