

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**ACTION OF LONGEST ELEMENT ON A HECKE ALGEBRA
CELL MODULE**

GEORGE LUSZTIG

ACTION OF LONGEST ELEMENT ON A HECKE ALGEBRA CELL MODULE

GEORGE LUSZTIG

Dedicated to the memory of Robert Steinberg

By a result of Mathas, the basis element T_{w_0} of the Hecke algebra of a finite Coxeter group acts in the canonical basis of a cell module as a permutation matrix times plus or minus a power of v . We generalize this result to the unequal parameter case. We also show that the image of T_{w_0} in the corresponding asymptotic Hecke algebra is given by a simple formula.

Introduction

0.1. The Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} (over $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, v an indeterminate) of a finite Coxeter group W has two bases as an \mathcal{A} -module: the standard basis $\{T_x; x \in W\}$ and the basis $\{C_x; x \in W\}$ introduced in [Kazhdan and Lusztig 1979]. The second basis determines a decomposition of W into two-sided cells and a partial order for the set of two-sided cells, see [Kazhdan and Lusztig 1979]. Let $l \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the length function, let w_0 be the longest element of W and let c be a two-sided cell. Let a (resp. a') be the value of the α -function [Lusztig 2003, 13.4] on c (resp. on w_0c). The following result was proved by Mathas [1996].

- (a) *There exists a unique permutation $u \mapsto u^*$ of c such that for any $u \in c$ we have $T_{w_0}(-1)^{l(u)}C_u = (-1)^{l(w_0)+a'}v^{-a+a'}(-1)^{l(u^*)}C_{u^*}$ plus an \mathcal{A} -linear combination of elements $C_{u'}$ with u' in a two-sided cell strictly smaller than c . Moreover, for any $u \in c$ we have $(u^*)^* = u$.*

A related (but weaker) result appears in [Lusztig 1984, (5.12.2)]. A result similar to (a) which concerns canonical bases in representations of quantum groups appears in [Lusztig 1990, Corollary 5.9]; now, in the case where W is of type A , (a) can be deduced from [loc. cit.] using the fact that irreducible representations of the Hecke algebra of type A (with their canonical bases) can be realized as 0-weight spaces of certain irreducible representations of a quantum group with their canonical bases.

Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1303060.

MSC2010: 20F55, 20G15.

Keywords: Hecke algebra, left cell, Weyl group.

As R. Bezrukavnikov pointed out to the author, (a) specialized for $v = 1$ (in the group algebra of W instead of \mathcal{H}) and assuming that W is crystallographic can be deduced from [Bezrukavnikov et al. 2012, Proposition 4.1] (a statement about Harish-Chandra modules), although it is not explicitly stated there.

In this paper we shall prove a generalization of (a) which applies to the Hecke algebra associated to W and any weight function assumed to satisfy the properties P1–P15 in [Lusztig 2003, §14], see Theorem 2.3; (a) corresponds to the special case where the weight function is equal to the length function. As an application we show that the image of T_{w_0} in the asymptotic Hecke algebra is given by a simple formula (see Corollary 2.8).

0.2. Notation. W is a finite Coxeter group; the set of simple reflections is denoted by S . We shall adopt many notations of [Lusztig 2003]. Let \leq be the standard partial order on W . Let $l \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the length function of W and let $L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a weight function (see [Lusztig 2003, 3.1]), that is, a function such that $L(w w') = L(w) + L(w')$ for any w, w' in W such that $l(w w') = l(w) + l(w')$; we assume that $L(s) > 0$ for any $s \in S$. Let w_0, \mathcal{A} be as in Section 0.1 and let \mathcal{H} be the Hecke algebra over \mathcal{A} associated to W, L as in [Lusztig 2003, 3.2]; we shall assume that properties P1–P15 in [Lusztig 2003, §14] are satisfied. (This holds automatically if $L = l$ by [Lusztig 2003, §15] using the results of [Elias and Williamson 2014]. This also holds in the quasisplit case, see [Lusztig 2003, §16].) We have $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}' \subset K$ where $\mathcal{A}' = \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$, $K = \mathbb{C}(v)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_K = K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H}$ (a K -algebra). Recall that \mathcal{H} has an \mathcal{A} -basis $\{T_x; x \in W\}$, see [Lusztig 2003, 3.2] and an \mathcal{A} -basis $\{c_x; x \in W\}$, see [Lusztig 2003, 5.2]. For $x \in W$ we have $c_x = \sum_{y \in W} p_{y,x} T_y$ and $T_x = \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(xy)} p_{w_0 x, w_0 y} c_y$ (see [Lusztig 2003, 11.4]) where $p_{x,x} = 1$ and $p_{y,x} \in v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ for $y \neq x$. We define preorders $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}, \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$ on W in terms of $\{c_x; x \in W\}$ as in [Lusztig 2003, 8.1]. Let $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}, \sim_{\mathcal{R}}, \sim_{\mathcal{LR}}$ be the corresponding equivalence relations on W , see [Lusztig 2003, 8.1] (the equivalence classes are called left cells, right cells, two-sided cells). Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the ring involution such that $\overline{v^n} = v^{-n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the ring involution such that $\overline{f T_x} = \bar{f} T_{x^{-1}}$ for $x \in W, f \in \mathcal{A}$. For $x \in W$ we have $\overline{c_x} = c_x$. Let $h \mapsto h^\dagger$ be the algebra automorphism of \mathcal{H} or of \mathcal{H}_K given by $T_x \mapsto (-1)^{l(x)} T_{x^{-1}}$ for all $x \in W$, see [Lusztig 2003, 3.5]. Then the basis $\{c_x^\dagger; x \in W\}$ of \mathcal{H} is defined. (In the case where $L = l$, for any x we have $c_x^\dagger = (-1)^{l(x)} C_x$ where C_x is as in Section 0.1.) Let $h \mapsto h^\flat$ be the algebra antiautomorphism of \mathcal{H} given by $T_x \mapsto T_{x^{-1}}$ for all $x \in W$, see [Lusztig 2003, 3.5]; for $x \in W$ we have $c_x^\flat = c_{x^{-1}}$, see [Lusztig 2003, 5.8]. For $x, y \in W$ we have $c_x c_y = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} c_z$, $c_x^\dagger c_y^\dagger = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} c_z^\dagger$, where $h_{x,y,z} \in \mathcal{A}$. For any $z \in W$ there is a unique number $a(z) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any x, y in W we have

$$h_{x,y,z} = \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} v^{a(z)} + \text{strictly smaller powers of } v,$$

where $g_{x,y,z^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_{x,y,z^{-1}} \neq 0$ for some x, y in W . We have also

$$h_{x,y,z} = \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} v^{-a(z)} + \text{strictly larger powers of } v.$$

Moreover $z \mapsto \mathbf{a}(z)$ is constant on any two-sided cell. The free abelian group J with basis $\{t_w; w \in W\}$ has an associative ring structure given by $t_x t_y = \sum_{z \in W} \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} t_z$; it has a unit element of the form $\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} n_d t_d$ where \mathcal{D} is a subset of W consisting of certain elements with square 1 and $n_d = \pm 1$. Moreover for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $n_d = \gamma_{d,d,d}$.

For any $x \in W$ there is a unique element $d_x \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} d_x$. For a commutative ring R with 1 we set $J_R = R \otimes J$ (an R -algebra).

There is a unique \mathcal{A} -algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\phi(c_x^\dagger) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}, z \in W; d_z = d} h_{x,d,z} n_d t_z$ for any $x \in W$. After applying $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}$ to ϕ (we regard \mathbb{C} as an \mathcal{A} -algebra via $v \mapsto 1$), ϕ becomes a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}[W] \xrightarrow{\sim} J_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [Lusztig 2003, 20.1(e)]). After applying $K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}$ to ϕ , ϕ becomes a K -algebra isomorphism $\phi_K : \mathcal{H}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} J_K$ (see [Lusztig 2003, 20.1(d)]).

For any two-sided cell \mathbf{c} let $\mathcal{H}^{\leq \mathbf{c}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^{< \mathbf{c}}$) be the \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{H} spanned by $\{c_x^\dagger, x \in W, x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} x' \text{ for some } x' \in \mathbf{c}\}$ (resp. $\{c_x^\dagger, x \in W, x <_{\mathcal{LR}} x' \text{ for some } x' \in \mathbf{c}\}$). Note that $\mathcal{H}^{\leq \mathbf{c}}, \mathcal{H}^{< \mathbf{c}}$ are two-sided ideals in \mathcal{H} . Hence $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}} := \mathcal{H}^{\leq \mathbf{c}} / \mathcal{H}^{< \mathbf{c}}$ is an $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ -bimodule. It has an \mathcal{A} -basis $\{c_x^\dagger, x \in \mathbf{c}\}$. Let $J^{\mathbf{c}}$ be the subgroup of J spanned by $\{t_x; x \in \mathbf{c}\}$. This is a two-sided ideal of J . Similarly, $J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{c}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes J^{\mathbf{c}}$ is a two-sided ideal of $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $J_K^{\mathbf{c}} := K \otimes J^{\mathbf{c}}$ is a two-sided ideal of J_K .

We write $E \in \text{Irr} W$ whenever E is a simple $\mathbb{C}[W]$ -module. We can view E as a (simple) $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module E_{\bullet} via the isomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$. Then the (simple) J_K -module $K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{\bullet}$ can be viewed as a (simple) \mathcal{H}_K -module E_v via the isomorphism ϕ_K . Let E^\dagger be the simple $\mathbb{C}[W]$ -module which coincides with E as a \mathbb{C} -vector space but with the w action on E^\dagger (for $w \in W$) being $(-1)^{l(w)}$ times the w -action on E . Let $\mathbf{a}_E \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in [Lusztig 2003, 20.6(a)].

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Let $\sigma : W \rightarrow W$ be the automorphism given by $w \mapsto w_0 w w_0$; it satisfies $\sigma(S) = S$ and it extends to a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[W]$. For $s \in S$ we have $l(w_0) = l(w_0 s) + l(s) = l(\sigma(s)) + l(\sigma(s) w_0)$ hence $L(w_0) = L(w_0 s) + L(s) = L(\sigma(s)) + L(\sigma(s) w_0) = L(\sigma(s)) + L(w_0 s)$ so that $L(\sigma(s)) = L(s)$. It follows that $L(\sigma(w)) = L(w)$ for all $w \in W$ and that we have an \mathcal{A} -algebra automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ where $\sigma(T_w) = T_{\sigma(w)}$ for any $w \in W$. This extends to a K -algebra isomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_K$. We have $\sigma(c_w) = c_{\sigma(w)}$ for any $w \in W$. For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\sigma(h^\dagger) = (\sigma(h))^\dagger$. Hence we have $\sigma(c_w^\dagger) = c_{\sigma(w)}^\dagger$ for any $w \in W$. We have $h_{\sigma(x), \sigma(y), \sigma(z)} = h_{x,y,z}$ for all $x, y, z \in W$. It follows that $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(w)) = \mathbf{a}(w)$ for all $w \in W$ and $\gamma_{\sigma(x), \sigma(y), \sigma(z)} = \gamma_{x,y,z}$ for all $x, y, z \in W$ so that we have a ring

isomorphism $\sigma : J \rightarrow J$ where $\sigma(t_w) = t_{\sigma(w)}$ for any $w \in W$. This extends to an \mathcal{A} -algebra isomorphism $\sigma : J_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{A}}$, to a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism $\sigma : J_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow J_{\mathbb{C}}$ and to a K -algebra isomorphism $\sigma : J_K \rightarrow J_K$. From the definitions we see that $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{A}}$ (see Section 0.2) satisfies $\phi\sigma = \sigma\phi$. Hence $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}\sigma = \sigma\phi_{\mathbb{C}}$ and ϕ_K satisfies $\phi_K\sigma = \sigma\phi_K$. We show:

(a)
$$\text{For } h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ we have } \sigma(h) = T_{w_0}hT_{w_0}^{-1}.$$

It is enough to show this for h running through a set of algebra generators of \mathcal{H} . Thus we can assume that $h = T_s^{-1}$ with $s \in S$. We must show that $T_{\sigma(s)}^{-1}T_{w_0} = T_{w_0}T_s^{-1}$: both sides are equal to $T_{\sigma(s)w_0} = T_{w_0s}$.

Lemma 1.2. *For any $x \in W$ we have $\sigma(x) \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} x$.*

From 1.1(a) we deduce that $T_{w_0}c_xT_{w_0}^{-1} = c_{\sigma(x)}$. In particular, $\sigma(x) \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} x$. Replacing x by $\sigma(x)$ we obtain $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} \sigma(x)$. The lemma follows.

1.3. Let $E \in \text{Irr}W$. We define $\sigma_E : E \rightarrow E$ by $\sigma_E(e) = w_0e$ for $e \in E$. We have $\sigma_E^2 = 1$. For $e \in E, w \in W$, we have $\sigma_E(w e) = \sigma(w)\sigma_E(e)$. We can view σ_E as a vector space isomorphism $E_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\bullet}$. For $e \in E_{\bullet}, w \in W$ we have $\sigma_E(t_w e) = t_{\sigma(w)}\sigma_E(e)$. Now $\sigma_E : E_{\bullet} \rightarrow E_{\bullet}$ defines by extension of scalars a vector space isomorphism $E_v \rightarrow E_v$ denoted again by σ_E . It satisfies $\sigma_E^2 = 1$. For $e \in E_v, w \in W$ we have $\sigma_E(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}\sigma_E(e)$.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $E \in \text{Irr}W$. There is a unique (up to multiplication by a scalar in $K - \{0\}$) vector space isomorphism $g : E_v \rightarrow E_v$ such that $g(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}g(e)$ for all $w \in W, e \in E_v$. We can take for example $g = T_{w_0} : E_v \rightarrow E_v$ or $g = \sigma_E : E_v \rightarrow E_v$. Hence $T_{w_0} = \lambda_E \sigma_E : E_v \rightarrow E_v$ where $\lambda_E \in K - \{0\}$.*

The existence of g is clear from the second sentence of the lemma. If g' is another isomorphism $g' : E_v \rightarrow E_v$ such that $g'(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}g'(e)$ for all $w \in W, e \in E_v$, then for any $e \in E_v$ we have $g^{-1}g'(T_w e) = g^{-1}T_{\sigma(w)}g'(e) = T_w g^{-1}g'(e)$ and using Schur's lemma we see that $g^{-1}g'$ is a scalar. This proves the first sentence of the lemma hence the third sentence of the lemma.

1.5. Let $E \in \text{Irr}W$. We have

(a)
$$\sum_{x \in W} \text{tr}(T_x, E_v) \text{tr}(T_{x^{-1}}, E_v) = f_{E_v} \dim(E)$$

where $f_{E_v} \in \mathcal{A}'$ is of the form

(b)
$$f_{E_v} = f_0 v^{-2a_E} + \text{strictly higher powers of } v$$

and $f_0 \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. (See [Lusztig 2003, 19.1(e), 20.1(c), 20.7].)

From [Lemma 1.4](#) we see that $\lambda_E^{-1}T_{w_0}$ acts on E_v as σ_E . Using [\[Lusztig 2005, 34.14\(e\)\]](#) with $c = \lambda_E^{-1}T_{w_0}$ (an invertible element of \mathcal{H}_K) we see that

$$(c) \quad \sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{tr}(T_x \sigma_E, E_v) \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E^{-1} T_{x^{-1}}, E_v) = f_{E_v} \dim(E).$$

Lemma 1.6. *Let $E \in \operatorname{Irr}W$. We have $\lambda_E = v^{n_E}$ for some $n_E \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

For any $x \in W$ we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E c_x^\dagger, E_v) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}, z \in W; d=d_z} h_{x,d,z} n_d \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_\bullet) \in \mathcal{A}'$$

since $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_\bullet) \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows that $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E h, E_v) \in \mathcal{A}'$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. In particular, both $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{w_0}, E_v)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0}^{-1} \sigma_E, E_v)$ belong to \mathcal{A}' . Thus $\lambda_E \dim E$ and $\lambda_E^{-1} \dim E$ belong to \mathcal{A}' so that $\lambda_E = b v^n$ for some $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the definitions we have $\lambda_E|_{v=1} = 1$ (for $v = 1$, T_{w_0} becomes w_0) hence $b = 1$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $E \in \operatorname{Irr}W$. There exists $\epsilon_E \in \{1, -1\}$ such that for any $x \in W$ we have*

$$(a) \quad \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} T_x, (E^\dagger)_v) = \epsilon_E (-1)^{l(x)} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v).$$

Let $(E_v)^\dagger$ be the \mathcal{H}_K -module with underlying vector space E_v such that the action of $h \in \mathcal{H}_K$ on $(E_v)^\dagger$ is the same as the action of h^\dagger on E_v . From the proof in [\[Lusztig 2003, 20.9\]](#) we see that there exists an isomorphism of \mathcal{H}_K -modules $b : (E_v)^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^\dagger)_v$. Let $\iota : (E_v)^\dagger \rightarrow (E^\dagger)_v$ be the vector space isomorphism which corresponds under b to $\sigma_{E^\dagger} : (E^\dagger)_v \rightarrow (E^\dagger)_v$. Then we have $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} T_x, (E^\dagger)_v) = \operatorname{tr}(\iota T_x, (E_v)^\dagger)$. It is enough to prove that $\iota = \pm \sigma_E$ as a K -linear map of the vector space $E_v = (E_v)^\dagger$ into itself. From the definition we have $\iota(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)} \iota(e)$ for all $w \in W, e \in (E_v)^\dagger$. Hence $(-1)^{l(w)} \iota(T_{w^{-1}}^{-1} e) = (-1)^{l(w)} T_{\sigma(w^{-1})}^{-1} \iota(e)$ for all $w \in W, e \in E_v$. It follows that $\iota(h e) = (-1)^{l(w)} T_{\sigma(h)} \iota(e)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}, e \in E_v$. Hence $\iota(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)} \iota(e)$ for all $w \in W, e \in E_v$. By the uniqueness in [Lemma 1.4](#) we see that $\iota = \epsilon_E \sigma_E : E_v \rightarrow E_v$ where $\epsilon_E \in K - \{0\}$. Since $\iota^2 = 1, \sigma_E^2 = 1$, we see that $\epsilon_E = \pm 1$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $E \in \operatorname{Irr}W$. We have $n_E = -\mathbf{a}_E + \mathbf{a}_{E^\dagger}$.*

For $x \in W$ we have (using [Lemmas 1.4](#) and [1.6](#))

$$(a) \quad \operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0 x}, E_v) = \operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0} T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v) = v^{n_E} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v).$$

Making a change of variable $x \mapsto w_0x$ in 1.5(a) and using that $T_{x^{-1}w_0} = T_{w_0\sigma(x)^{-1}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{E_v} \dim(E) &= \sum_{x \in W} \text{tr}(T_{w_0x}, E_v) \text{tr}(T_{w_0\sigma(x)^{-1}}, E_v) \\ &= v^{2n_E} \sum_{x \in W} \text{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v) \text{tr}(\sigma_E T_{\sigma(x)}^{-1}, E_v). \end{aligned}$$

Using now Lemma 1.7 and the equality $l(x) = l(\sigma(x^{-1}))$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{E_v} \dim(E) &= v^{2n_E} \sum_{x \in W} \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} T_x, (E^\dagger)_v) \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} T_{\sigma(x^{-1})}, (E^\dagger)_v) \\ &= v^{2n_E} \sum_{x \in W} \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} T_x, (E^\dagger)_v) \text{tr}(T_{\xi^{-1}\sigma_{E^\dagger}}, (E^\dagger)_v) \\ &= v^{2n_E} f_{(E^\dagger)_v} \dim(E^\dagger). \end{aligned}$$

(The last step uses 1.5(c) for E^\dagger instead of E .) Thus we have $f_{E_v} = v^{2n_E} f_{(E^\dagger)_v}$. The left-hand side is as in 1.5(b) and similarly the right-hand side of the form

$$f'_0 v^{2n_E - 2a_{E^\dagger}} + \text{strictly higher powers of } v$$

where $f_0, f'_0 \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. It follows that $-2a_E = 2n_E - 2a_{E^\dagger}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 1.9. *Let $E \in \text{Irr}W$ and let $x \in W$. We have*

- (a) $\text{tr}(T_x, E_v) = (-1)^{l(x)} v^{-a_E} \text{tr}(t_x, E_\bullet) \pmod{v^{-a_E+1}\mathbb{C}[v]},$
- (b) $\text{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = (-1)^{l(x)} v^{-a_E} \text{tr}(\sigma_E t_x, E_\bullet) \pmod{v^{-a_E+1}\mathbb{C}[v]}.$

For a proof of (a), see [Lusztig 2003, 20.6(b)]. We now give a proof of (b) along the same lines as that of (a). There is a unique two sided cell c such that $t_z|_{E_\bullet} = 0$ for $z \in W - c$. Let $a = a(z)$ for all $z \in c$. By [Lusztig 2003, 20.6(c)] we have $a = a_E$. From the definition of c_x we see that $T_x = \sum_{y \in W} f_y c_y$, where $f_x = 1$ and $f_y \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ for $y \neq x$. Applying \dagger we obtain $(-1)^{l(x)} T_{x^{-1}}^{-1} = \sum_{y \in W} f_y c_y^\dagger$; applying $\bar{}$ we obtain $(-1)^{l(x)} T_x = \sum_{y \in W} \bar{f}_y c_y^\dagger$. Thus we have

$$(-1)^{l(x)} \text{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = \sum_{y \in W} \bar{f}_y \text{tr}(\sigma_E c_y^\dagger, E_v) = \sum_{\substack{y, z \in W \\ d \in \mathcal{D}; d=d_z}} \bar{f}_y h_{y, d, z} n_d \text{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_\bullet).$$

In the last sum we can assume that $z \in c$ and $d \in c$ so that $h_{y, d, z} = \gamma_{y, d, z^{-1}} v^{-a} \pmod{v^{-a+1}\mathbb{Z}[v]}$. Since $\bar{f}_x = 1$ and $\bar{f}_y \in v\mathbb{Z}[v]$ for all $y \neq x$ we see that

$$(-1)^{l(x)} \text{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = \sum_{\substack{z \in c \\ d \in \mathcal{D} \cap c}} \gamma_{x, d, z^{-1}} n_d v^{-a} \text{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_\bullet) \pmod{v^{-a+1}\mathbb{C}[v]}.$$

If $x \notin \mathbf{c}$ then $\gamma_{x,d,z^{-1}} = 0$ for all d, z in the sum so that $\text{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = 0$; we have also $\text{tr}(\sigma_E t_x, E_\bullet) = 0$ and the desired formula follows. We now assume that $x \in \mathbf{c}$. Then for d, z as above we have $\gamma_{x,d,z^{-1}} = 0$ unless $x = z$ and $d = d_x$ in which case $\gamma_{x,d,z^{-1}} n_d = 1$. Thus (b) holds again. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 1.10. *Let $E \in \text{Irr}W$. Let \mathbf{c} be the unique two sided cell such that $t_z|_{E_\bullet} = 0$ for $z \in W - \mathbf{c}$. Let \mathbf{c}' be the unique two sided cell such that $t_z|_{(E^\dagger)_\bullet} = 0$ for $z \in W - \mathbf{c}'$. We have $\mathbf{c}' = w_0\mathbf{c}$.*

Using 1.8(a) and 1.7(a) we have

$$(a) \quad \text{tr}(T_{w_0x}, E_v) = v^{n_E} \text{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}, E_v) = v^{n_E} \epsilon_E (-1)^{l(x)} \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} T_x, (E^\dagger)_v).$$

Using 1.9(a) for E and 1.9(b) for E^\dagger we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_{w_0x}, E_v) &= (-1)^{l(w_0x)} v^{-a_E} \text{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_\bullet) \pmod{v^{-a_E+1}\mathbb{C}[v]}, \\ \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} T_x, (E^\dagger)_v) &= (-1)^{l(x)} v^{-a_{E^\dagger}} \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} t_x, E_\bullet^\dagger) \pmod{v^{-a_{E^\dagger}+1}\mathbb{C}[v]}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (a) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{l(w_0x)} v^{-a_E} \text{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_\bullet) + \text{strictly higher powers of } v \\ &= v^{n_E} \epsilon_E v^{-a_{E^\dagger}} \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} t_x, E_\bullet^\dagger) + \text{strictly higher powers of } v. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equality $n_E = -a_E + a_{E^\dagger}$ (see Lemma 1.8) we deduce

$$(-1)^{l(w_0x)} \text{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_\bullet) = \epsilon_E \text{tr}(\sigma_{E^\dagger} t_x, E_\bullet^\dagger).$$

Now we can find $x \in W$ such that $\text{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_\bullet) \neq 0$ and the previous equality shows that $t_x|_{(E^\dagger)_\bullet} \neq 0$. Moreover from the definition we have $w_0x \in \mathbf{c}$ and $x \in \mathbf{c}'$ so that $w_0\mathbf{c} \cap \mathbf{c}' \neq \emptyset$. Since $w_0\mathbf{c}$ is a two-sided cell (see [Lusztig 2003, 11.7(d)]) it follows that $w_0\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}'$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 1.11. *Let \mathbf{c} be a two-sided cell of W . Let \mathbf{c}' be the two-sided cell $w_0\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}w_0$ (see Lemma 1.2). Let $a = a(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbf{c}$; let $a' = a(x')$ for any $x' \in \mathbf{c}'$. The K -linear map $J_K^{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow J_K^{\mathbf{c}'}$ given by $\xi \mapsto \phi(v^{a-a'} T_{w_0})\xi$ (left multiplication in J_K) is obtained from a \mathbb{C} -linear map $J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{c}'}$ (with square 1) by extension of scalars from \mathbb{C} to K .*

We can find a direct sum decomposition $J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{c}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m E^i$ where E^i are simple left ideals of $J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{c}}$ contained in $J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{c}}$. We have $J_K^{\mathbf{c}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m K \otimes E^i$. It is enough to show that for any i , the K -linear map $K \otimes E^i \rightarrow K \otimes E^i$ given by the action of $\phi(v^{a-a'} T_{w_0})$ in the left J_K -module structure of $K \otimes E^i$ is obtained from a \mathbb{C} -linear map $E^i \rightarrow E^i$ (with square 1) by extension of scalars from \mathbb{C} to K . We can find $E \in \text{Irr}W$ such that E^i is isomorphic to E_\bullet as a $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module. It is then enough to show that the action of $v^{a-a'} T_{w_0}$ in the left \mathcal{H}_K -module structure of E_v is obtained from the map $\sigma_E : E \rightarrow E$ by extension of scalars from \mathbb{C} to K . This follows from the equality

$v^{a-a'}T_{w_0} = \sigma_E : E_v \rightarrow E_v$ (since σ_E is obtained by extension of scalars from a \mathbb{C} -linear map $E \rightarrow E$ with square 1) provided that we show that $-n_E = a - a'$. Since $n_E = -\mathbf{a}_E + \mathbf{a}_{E^\dagger}$ (see Lemma 1.8) it is enough to show that $a = \mathbf{a}_E$ and $a' = \mathbf{a}_{E^\dagger}$. The equality $a = \mathbf{a}_E$ follows from [Lusztig 2003, 20.6(c)]. The equality $a' = \mathbf{a}_{E^\dagger}$ also follows from [Lusztig 2003, 20.6(c)] applied to E^\dagger , $\mathbf{c}' = w_0\mathbf{c}$ instead of E , \mathbf{c} (see Lemma 1.10). The lemma is proved.

Lemma 1.12. *In the setup of Lemma 1.11 we have*

(a)
$$\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0})t_x = \sum_{x' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{x',x}t_{x'}$$

and

(b)
$$\phi(v^{2a-2a'}T_{w_0}^2)t_x = t_x$$

for any $x \in \mathbf{c}$, where $m_{x',x} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now (b) and the fact that (a) holds with $m_{x',x} \in \mathbb{C}$ is just a restatement of Lemma 1.11. Since $\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) \in J_{\mathcal{A}}$ we have also $m_{x',x} \in \mathcal{A}$. We now use that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{Z}$ and the lemma follows.

Lemma 1.13. *In the setup of Lemma 1.11 we have for any $x \in \mathbf{c}$ the equalities*

(a)
$$v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c_x^\dagger = \sum_{x' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{x',x}c_{x'}^\dagger$$

and

(b)
$$v^{2a-2a'}T_{w_0}^2c_x^\dagger = c_x^\dagger$$

in \mathcal{H}^c , where $m_{x',x} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the same as in Lemma 1.12. Moreover, if $m_{x',x} \neq 0$ then $x' \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x$.

The first sentence follows from Lemma 1.12 using [Lusztig 2003, 18.10(a)]. Clearly, if $m_{x',x} \neq 0$ then $x' \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$, which together with $x' \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} x$ implies $x' \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x$.

2. The main results

2.1. In this section we fix a two-sided cell \mathbf{c} of W ; a, a' are as in Lemma 1.11. We define an \mathcal{A} -linear map $\theta : \mathcal{H}^{\leq c} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $\theta(c_x^\dagger) = 1$ if $x \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$, $\theta(c_x^\dagger) = 0$ if $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} x'$ for some $x' \in \mathbf{c}$ and $x \notin \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$. Note that θ is zero on $\mathcal{H}^{< c}$ hence it can be viewed as an \mathcal{A} -linear map $\mathcal{H}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $x, x' \in \mathbf{c}$. We have*

(a)
$$\theta(c_{x^{-1}}^\dagger c_{x'}^\dagger) = n_{d_x} \delta_{x,x'} v^a + \text{strictly lower powers of } v.$$

The left-hand side of (a) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} h_{x^{-1}, x', d} &= \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \gamma_{x^{-1}, x', d} v^a + \text{strictly lower powers of } v \\ &= n_{d_x} \delta_{x, x'} v^a + \text{strictly lower powers of } v. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

We now state one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.3. *There exists a unique permutation $u \mapsto u^*$ of \mathbf{c} (with square 1) such that for any $u \in \mathbf{c}$ we have*

$$(a) \quad v^{a-a'} T_{w_0} c_u^\dagger = \epsilon_u c_{u^*}^\dagger \pmod{\mathcal{H}^{<c}}$$

where $\epsilon_u = \pm 1$. For any $u \in \mathbf{c}$ we have $\epsilon_{u^{-1}} = \epsilon_u = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)} = \epsilon_{u^*}$ and $\sigma(u^*) = (\sigma(u))^* = ((u^{-1})^*)^{-1}$.

Let $u \in \mathbf{c}$. We set $Z = \theta((v^{a-a'} T_{w_0} c_u^\dagger)^b v^{a-a'} T_{w_0} c_u^\dagger)$. We compute Z in two ways, using [Lemma 2.2](#) and [Lemma 1.13](#). We have

$$Z = \theta(c_{u^{-1}}^\dagger v^{2a-2a'} T_{w_0}^2 c_u^\dagger) = \theta(c_{u^{-1}}^\dagger c_u^\dagger) = n_{d_u} v^a + \text{strictly lower powers of } v,$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \theta\left(\left(\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u} c_y^\dagger\right)^b \sum_{y' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y',u} c_{y'}^\dagger\right) = \sum_{y, y' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u} m_{y',u} \theta(c_{y^{-1}}^\dagger c_{y'}^\dagger) \\ &= \sum_{y, y' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u} m_{y',u} n_{d_y} \delta_{y, y'} v^a + \text{strictly lower powers of } v, \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} n_{d_y} m_{y,u}^2 v^a + \text{strictly lower powers of } v \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} n_{d_u} m_{y,u}^2 v^a + \text{strictly lower powers of } v \end{aligned}$$

where $m_{y,u} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is zero unless $y \sim_{\mathcal{L}} u$ (see [Lemma 1.13](#)), in which case we have $d_y = d_u$. We deduce that $\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u}^2 = 1$, so that we have $m_{y,u} = \pm 1$ for a unique $y \in \mathbf{c}$ (denoted by u^*) and $m_{y,u} = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbf{c} - \{u^*\}$. Then [2.3\(a\)](#) holds. Using [2.3\(a\)](#) and [Lemma 1.13\(b\)](#) we see that $u \mapsto u^*$ has square 1 and that $\epsilon_u \epsilon_{u^*} = 1$.

The automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ (see [Section 1.1](#)) satisfies the equality $\sigma(c_u^\dagger) = c_{\sigma(u)}^\dagger$ for any $u \in W$; note also that $w \in \mathbf{c} \leftrightarrow \sigma(w) \in \mathbf{c}$ (see [Lemma 1.2](#)). Applying σ to [2.3\(a\)](#) we obtain

$$v^{a-a'} T_{w_0} c_{\sigma(u)}^\dagger = \epsilon_u c_{\sigma(u^*)}^\dagger$$

in \mathcal{H}^c . By [2.3\(a\)](#) we have also $v^{a-a'} T_{w_0} c_{\sigma(u)}^\dagger = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)} c_{(\sigma(u))^*}^\dagger$ in \mathcal{H}^c . It follows that $\epsilon_u c_{\sigma(u^*)}^\dagger = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)} c_{(\sigma(u))^*}^\dagger$ hence $\epsilon_u = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)}$ and $\sigma(u^*) = (\sigma(u))^*$.

Applying $h \mapsto h^b$ to [2.3\(a\)](#) we obtain

$$v^{a-a'} c_{u^{-1}}^\dagger T_{w_0} = \epsilon_u c_{(u^*)^{-1}}^\dagger$$

in \mathcal{H}^c . By 2.3(a) we have also

$$v^{a-a'} c_{u^{-1}}^\dagger T_{w_0} = v^{a-a'} T_{w_0} c_{\sigma(u^{-1})}^\dagger = \epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})} c_{(\sigma(u^{-1}))^*}^\dagger$$

in \mathcal{H}^c . It follows that $\epsilon_u c_{(u^*)^{-1}}^\dagger = \epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})} c_{(\sigma(u^{-1}))^*}^\dagger$ hence $\epsilon_u = \epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})}$ and $(u^*)^{-1} = (\sigma(u^{-1}))^*$. Since $\epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})} = \epsilon_{u^{-1}}$, we see that $\epsilon_u = \epsilon_{u^{-1}}$. Replacing u by u^{-1} in $(u^*)^{-1} = (\sigma(u^{-1}))^*$ we obtain $((u^{-1})^*)^{-1} = (\sigma(u))^*$ as required. The theorem is proved.

2.4. For $u \in \mathbf{c}$ we have

- (a) $u \sim_{\mathcal{L}} u^*$,
- (b) $\sigma(u) \sim_{\mathcal{R}} u^*$.

Indeed, (a) follows from Lemma 1.13. To prove (b) it is enough to show that $\sigma(u)^{-1} \sim_{\mathcal{L}} (u^*)^{-1}$. Using (a) for $\sigma(u)^{-1}$ instead of u we see that it is enough to show that $(\sigma(u^{-1}))^* = (u^*)^{-1}$; this follows from Theorem 2.3.

If we assume that

- (c) any left cell in \mathbf{c} intersects any right cell in \mathbf{c} in exactly one element

then by (a), (b), for any $u \in \mathbf{c}$,

- (d) u^* is the unique element of \mathbf{c} in the intersection of the left cell of u with right cell of $\sigma(u)$.

Note that condition (c) is satisfied for any \mathbf{c} if W is of type A_n or if W is of type B_n ($n \geq 2$) with $L(s) = 2$ for all but one $s \in S$ and $L(s) = 1$ or 3 for the remaining $s \in S$. (In this last case we are in the quasisplit case and we have $\sigma = 1$ hence $u^* = u$ for all u .)

Theorem 2.5. For any $x \in W$ we set $\vartheta(x) = \gamma_{w_0 d_{w_0 x^{-1}, x}, (x^*)^{-1}}$.

- (a) If $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{c}$ satisfy $\gamma_{w_0 d, x, y} \neq 0$ then $y = (x^*)^{-1}$.
- (b) If $x \in \mathbf{c}$ then there is a unique $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0 \mathbf{c}$ such that $\gamma_{w_0 d, x, (x^*)^{-1}} \neq 0$, namely $d = d_{w_0 x^{-1}}$. Moreover we have $\vartheta(x) = \pm 1$.
- (c) For $u \in \mathbf{c}$ we have $\epsilon_u = (-1)^{l(w_0 d)} n_d \vartheta(u)$ where $d = d_{w_0 u^{-1}}$.

Applying $h \mapsto h^\dagger$ to 2.3(a) we obtain for any $u \in \mathbf{c}$:

$$(d) \quad v^{a-a'} (-1)^{l(w_0)} \overline{T_{w_0}} c_u = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \delta_{z, u^*} \epsilon_u c_z \quad \text{mod} \quad \sum_{z' \in W - \mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A}_{c_{z'}}.$$

We have $T_{w_0} = \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(w_0 y)} p_{1, w_0 y} c_y$ hence $\overline{T_{w_0}} = \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(w_0 y)} \overline{p_{1, w_0 y}} c_y$. Introducing this in (d) we obtain

$$v^{a-a'} \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(y)} \overline{p_{1, w_0 y}} c_y c_u = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \delta_{z, u^*} \epsilon_u c_z \quad \text{mod} \quad \sum_{z' \in W - \mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A}_{c_{z'}}$$

that is,

$$v^{a-a'} \sum_{y,z \in W} (-1)^{l(y)} \overline{p_{1,w_0y}} h_{y,u,z} c_z = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u c_z \pmod{\sum_{z' \in W-\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A}c_{z'}}.$$

Thus, for $z \in \mathbf{c}$ we have

$$(e) \quad v^{a-a'} \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(y)} \overline{p_{1,w_0y}} h_{y,u,z} = \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u.$$

Here we have $h_{y,u,z} = \gamma_{y,u,z^{-1}} v^{-a} \pmod{v^{-a+1}\mathbb{Z}[v]}$ and we can assume that $z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$ so that $w_0y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w_0z$ and $\mathbf{a}(w_0y) \geq \mathbf{a}(w_0z) = a'$.

For $w \in W$ we set $s_w = n_w$ if $w \in \mathcal{D}$ and $s_w = 0$ if $w \notin \mathcal{D}$. By [Lusztig 2003, 14.1] we have $p_{1,w} = s_w v^{-a(w)} \pmod{v^{-a(w)-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]}$ hence $\overline{p_{1,w}} = s_w v^{a(w)} \pmod{v^{a(w)+1}\mathbb{Z}[v]}$. Hence for y in the sum above we have $\overline{p_{1,w_0y}} = s_{w_0y} v^{a(w_0y)} \pmod{v^{a(w_0y)+1}\mathbb{Z}[v]}$. Thus (e) gives

$$v^{a-a'} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} (-1)^{l(y)} s_{w_0y} \gamma_{y,u,z^{-1}} v^{a(w_0y)-a} - \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u \in v\mathbb{Z}[v]$$

and using $\mathbf{a}(w_0y) = a'$ for $y \in \mathbf{c}$ we obtain

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} (-1)^{l(y)} s_{w_0y} \gamma_{y,u,z^{-1}} = \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u.$$

Using the definition of s_{w_0y} we obtain

$$(f) \quad \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0\mathbf{c}} (-1)^{l(w_0d)} n_d \gamma_{w_0d,u,z^{-1}} = \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u.$$

Next we note that

$$(g) \quad \text{if } d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } x, y \in \mathbf{c} \text{ satisfy } \gamma_{w_0d,x,y} \neq 0 \text{ then } d = d_{w_0x^{-1}}.$$

Indeed from [Lusztig 2003, §14, P8] we deduce $w_0d \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x^{-1}$. Using [Lusztig 2003, 11.7] we deduce $d \sim_{\mathcal{L}} w_0x^{-1}$ so that $d = d_{w_0^{-1}x^{-1}}$. This proves (g).

Using (g) we can rewrite (f) as follows.

$$(h) \quad (-1)^{l(w_0)} (-1)^{l(d)} n_d \gamma_{w_0d,u,z^{-1}} = \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u$$

where $d = d_{w_0u^{-1}}$.

We prove (a). Assume that $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{c}$ satisfy $\gamma_{w_0d,x,y} \neq 0$, $y \neq (x^*)^{-1}$. Using (g) we have $d = d_{w_0x^{-1}}$. Using (h) with $u = x$, $z = y^{-1}$ we see that $\gamma_{w_0d,x,y} = 0$, a contradiction. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Using (h) with $u = x$, $z = x^*$ we see that

$$(i) \quad (-1)^{l(w_0d)} n_d \gamma_{w_0d,x,(x^*)^{-1}} = \epsilon_u$$

where $d = d_{w_0x^{-1}}$. Hence the existence of d in (b) and the equality $\vartheta(x) = \pm 1$ follow; the uniqueness of d follows from (g).

Now (c) follows from (i). This completes the proof of the theorem.

2.6. In the case where $L = l$, $\vartheta(u)$ (in 2.5(c)) is ≥ 0 and ± 1 hence 1; moreover, $n_d = 1$, $(-1)^{l(d)} = (-1)^{a'}$ for any $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0\mathbf{c}$ (by the definition of \mathcal{D}). Hence we have $\epsilon_u = (-1)^{l(w_0)+a'}$ for any $u \in \mathbf{c}$, a result of Mathas [1996].

Now Theorem 2.5 also gives a characterization of u^* for $u \in \mathbf{c}$; it is the unique element $u' \in \mathbf{c}$ such that $\gamma_{w_0d,u,u'^{-1}} \neq 0$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0\mathbf{c}$.

We will show:

(a) *The subsets $X = \{d^*; d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}\}$ and $X' = \{w_0d'; d' \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0\mathbf{c}\}$ of \mathbf{c} coincide.*

Let $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$. By 2.5(b) we have $\gamma_{w_0d',d,(d^*)^{-1}} = \pm 1$ for some $d' \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0\mathbf{c}$. Hence $\gamma_{(d^*)^{-1},w_0d',d} = \pm 1$. Using [Lusztig 2003, 14.2, P2] we deduce $d^* = w_0d'$. Thus $X \subset X'$. Let Y (resp. Y') be the set of left cells contained in \mathbf{c} (resp. $w_0\mathbf{c}$). We have $\sharp(X) = \sharp(Y)$ and $\sharp(X') = \sharp(Y')$. By [Lusztig 2003, 11.7(c)] we have $\sharp(Y) = \sharp(Y')$. It follows that $\sharp(X) = \sharp(X')$. Since $X \subset X'$, we must have $X = X'$. This proves (a).

Theorem 2.7. *We have*

$$\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \vartheta(d)\epsilon_d t_{d^*} \bmod \sum_{u \in W-\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A}t_u.$$

We set $\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) = \sum_{u \in W} p_u t_u$ where $p_u \in \mathcal{A}$. Combining 1.12a, 1.13a, 2.3(a) we see that for any $x \in \mathbf{c}$ we have

$$\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0})t_x = \epsilon_x t_{x^*},$$

hence

$$\epsilon_x t_{x^*} = \sum_{u \in \mathbf{c}} p_u t_u t_x = \sum_{u,y \in \mathbf{c}} p_u \gamma_{u,x,y^{-1}} t_y.$$

It follows that for any $x, y \in \mathbf{c}$ we have

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbf{c}} p_u \gamma_{u,x,y^{-1}} = \delta_{y,x^*} \epsilon_x.$$

Taking $x = w_0d$ where $d = d_{w_0y} \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0\mathbf{c}$ we obtain

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbf{c}} p_u \gamma_{w_0d_{w_0y},y^{-1},u} = \delta_{y,(w_0d_{w_0y})^*} \epsilon_{w_0d_{w_0y}}$$

which, by Theorem 2.5, can be rewritten as

$$p_{((y^{-1})^*)^{-1}} \vartheta(y^{-1}) = \delta_{y,(w_0d_{w_0y})^*} \epsilon_{w_0d_{w_0y}}.$$

We see that for any $y \in \mathbf{c}$ we have

$$p_{\sigma(y^*)} = \delta_{y, (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*} \vartheta(y^{-1}) \epsilon_{w_0 d_{w_0 y}}.$$

In particular we have $p_{\sigma(y^*)} = 0$ unless $y = (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*$ in which case

$$p_{\sigma(y^*)} = p_{(\sigma(y))^*} = \vartheta(y^{-1}) \epsilon_y.$$

(We use that $\epsilon_{y^*} = \epsilon_y$.) If $y = (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*$ then $y^* \in X'$ hence by 2.6(a), $y^* = d^*$ that is $y = d$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Conversely, if $y \in \mathcal{D}$ then $w_0 y^* \in \mathcal{D}$ (by 2.6(a)) and $w_0 y^* \sim_{\mathcal{L}} w_0 y$ (since $y^* \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$) hence $d_{w_0 y} = w_0 y^*$. We see that $y = (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*$ if and only if $y \in \mathcal{D}$. We see that

$$\phi(v^{a-a'} T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \vartheta(d^{-1}) \epsilon_d t_{(\sigma(d))^*} + \sum_{u \in W - \mathbf{c}} p_u t_u.$$

Now $d \mapsto \sigma(d)$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$ and $\vartheta(d^{-1}) = \vartheta(d) = \vartheta(\sigma(d))$, $\epsilon_{\sigma(d)} = \epsilon_d$. The theorem follows.

Corollary 2.8. $\phi(T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \vartheta(d) \epsilon_d v^{-a(d)+a(w_0 d)} t_{d^*} \in J_{\mathcal{A}}$.

2.9. We set $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \vartheta(d) \epsilon_d t_{d^*} \in J^{\mathbf{c}}$. We show:

- (a) $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} n_d t_d$.
- (b) $t_x \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} t_{\sigma(x)}$ for any $x \in W$.

By Theorem 2.7 we have $\phi(v^{a-a'} T_{w_0}) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} + \xi$ where $\xi \in J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}} := \sum_{u \in W - \mathbf{c}} K t_u$. Since $J_K^{\mathbf{c}}$, $J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}$ are two-sided ideals of J_K with intersection zero and $\phi_K : \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow J_K$ is an algebra homomorphism, it follows that

$$\phi(v^{2a-2a'} T_{w_0}^2) = (\phi(v^{a-a'} T_{w_0}))^2 = (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} + \xi)^2 = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 + \xi'$$

where $\xi' \in J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}$. Hence, for any $x \in \mathbf{c}$ we have $\phi(v^{2a-2a'} T_{w_0}^2) t_x = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 t_x$ so that (using 1.12b): $t_x = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 t_x$. We see that $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2$ is the unit element of the ring $J_K^{\mathbf{c}}$. Thus (a) holds.

We prove (b). For any $y \in W$ we have $T_y T_{w_0} = T_{w_0} T_{\sigma(y)}$ hence, applying ϕ_K ,

$$\phi(T_y) \phi(v^{a-a'} T_{w_0}) = \phi(v^{a-a'} T_{w_0}) \phi(T_{\sigma(y)}),$$

that is, $\phi(T_y)(\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} + \xi) = (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} + \xi) \phi(T_{\sigma(y)})$. Thus, $\phi(T_y) \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} \phi(T_{\sigma(y)}) + \xi_1$ where $\xi_1 \in J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}$. Since ϕ_K is an isomorphism, it follows that for any $x \in W$ we have $t_x \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} t_{\sigma(x)} \pmod{J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}}$. Thus (b) holds.

2.10. In this subsection we assume that $L = l$. In this case [Corollary 2.8](#) becomes

$$\phi(T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} (-1)^{l(w_0) + a(w_0 d)} v^{-a(d) + a(w_0 d)} t_{d^*} \in J_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

(We use that $\vartheta(d) = 1$.)

For any left cell Γ contained in \mathfrak{c} let n_{Γ} be the number of fixed points of the permutation $u \mapsto u^*$ of Γ . Now Γ carries a representation $[\Gamma]$ of W and from [Theorem 2.3](#) we see that $\text{tr}(w_0, [\Gamma]) = \pm n_{\Gamma}$. Thus n_{Γ} is the absolute value of the integer $\text{tr}(w_0, [\Gamma])$. From this the number n_{Γ} can be computed for any Γ . In this way we see for example that if W is of type E_7 or E_8 and \mathfrak{c} is not an exceptional two-sided cell, then $n_{\Gamma} > 0$.

Acknowledgements

I thank Matthew Douglass for bringing the paper [\[Mathas 1996\]](#) to my attention. I thank the referee for helpful comments.

References

- [Bezrukavnikov et al. 2012] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, and V. Ostrik, “Character D -modules via Drinfeld center of Harish-Chandra bimodules”, *Invent. Math.* **188** (2012), 589–620. [MR 2917178](#) [Zbl 1267.20058](#)
- [Elias and Williamson 2014] B. Elias and G. Williamson, “The Hodge theory of Soergel bimodules”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **180**:3 (2014), 1089–1136. [MR 3245013](#) [Zbl 06380813](#)
- [Kazhdan and Lusztig 1979] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, “Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **53**:2 (1979), 165–184. [MR 81j:20066](#) [Zbl 0499.20035](#)
- [Lusztig 1984] G. Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **107**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984. [MR 86j:20038](#) [Zbl 0556.20033](#)
- [Lusztig 1990] G. Lusztig, “Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. II”, *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.* 102 (1990), 175–201. [MR 93g:17019](#) [Zbl 0776.17012](#)
- [Lusztig 2003] G. Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, CRM Monograph Series **18**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. [MR 2004k:20011](#) [Zbl 1051.20003](#)
- [Lusztig 2005] G. Lusztig, “Character sheaves on disconnected groups. VII”, *Represent. Theory* **9** (2005), 209–266. [MR 2006e:20089](#) [Zbl 1078.20047](#)
- [Mathas 1996] A. Mathas, “On the left cell representations of Iwahori–Hecke algebras of finite Coxeter groups”, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **54**:3 (1996), 475–488. [MR 97h:20008](#) [Zbl 0865.20027](#)

Received March 3, 2015.

GEORGE LUSZTIG
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307
 UNITED STATES
gyuri@math.mit.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$570/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 279 No. 1-2 December 2015

In memoriam: Robert Steinberg

Robert Steinberg (1922–2014): In memoriam V. S. VARADARAJAN	1
Cellularity of certain quantum endomorphism algebras HENNING H. ANDERSEN, GUSTAV I. LEHRER and RUIBIN ZHANG	11
Lower bounds for essential dimensions in characteristic 2 via orthogonal representations ANTONIO BABIC and VLADIMIR CHERNOUSOV	37
Cocharacter-closure and spherical buildings MICHAEL BATE, SEBASTIAN HERPEL, BENJAMIN MARTIN and GERHARD RÖHRLE	65
Embedding functor for classical groups and Brauer–Manin obstruction EVA BAYER-FLUCKIGER, TING-YU LEE and RAMAN PARIMALA	87
On maximal tori of algebraic groups of type G_2 CONSTANTIN BELI, PHILIPPE GILLE and TING-YU LEE	101
On extensions of algebraic groups with finite quotient MICHEL BRION	135
Essential dimension and error-correcting codes SHANE CERNELE and ZINOVY REICHSTEIN	155
Notes on the structure constants of Hecke algebras of induced representations of finite Chevalley groups CHARLES W. CURTIS	181
Complements on disconnected reductive groups FRANÇOIS DIGNE and JEAN MICHEL	203
Extending Hecke endomorphism algebras JIE DU, BRIAN J. PARSHALL and LEONARD L. SCOTT	229
Products of partial normal subgroups ELLEN HENKE	255
Lusztig induction and ℓ -blocks of finite reductive groups RADHA KESSAR and GUNTER MALLE	269
Free resolutions of some Schubert singularities MANOJ KUMMINI, VENKATRAMANI LAKSHMIBAI, PRAMATHANATH SASTRY and C. S. SESHADRI	299
Free resolutions of some Schubert singularities in the Lagrangian Grassmannian VENKATRAMANI LAKSHMIBAI and REUVEN HODGES	329
Distinguished unipotent elements and multiplicity-free subgroups of simple algebraic groups MARTIN W. LIEBECK, GARY M. SEITZ and DONNA M. TESTERMAN	357
Action of longest element on a Hecke algebra cell module GEORGE LUSZTIG	383
Generic stabilisers for actions of reductive groups BENJAMIN MARTIN	397
On the equations defining affine algebraic groups VLADIMIR L. POPOV	423
Smooth representations and Hecke modules in characteristic p PETER SCHNEIDER	447
On CRDAHA and finite general linear and unitary groups BHAMA SRINIVASAN	465
Weil representations of finite general linear groups and finite special linear groups PHAM HUU TIEP	481
The pro- p Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive p -adic group, V (parabolic induction) MARIE-FRANCE VIGNÉRAS	499
Acknowledgement	531