

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE BLUM–HANSON PROPERTY FOR $C(K)$ SPACES

PASCAL LEFÈVRE AND ÉTIENNE MATHERON

THE BLUM–HANSON PROPERTY FOR $\mathcal{C}(K)$ SPACES

PASCAL LEFÈVRE AND ÉTIENNE MATHERON

We show that if K is a compact metrizable space, then the Banach space $\mathcal{C}(K)$ has the so-called Blum–Hanson property exactly when K has finitely many accumulation points. We also show that the space $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}) = \mathcal{C}(\beta\mathbb{N})$ does not have the Blum–Hanson property.

1. Introduction

The following intriguing result is usually referred to as the *Blum–Hanson theorem* (see [Blum and Hanson 1960] and [Jones and Kuftinec 1971]): if T is a linear operator on a Hilbert space H with $\|T\| \leq 1$, and if $x \in H$ is such that $T^n x \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the sequence $(T^n x)$ is *strongly mixing*, which means that every subsequence of $(T^n x)$ converges to 0 in the Cesàro sense; in other words,

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K T^{n_i} x \right\| = 0$$

for any increasing sequence of integers (n_i) . (The terminology “strongly mixing” comes from [Berend and Bergelson 1986].)

Accordingly, a Banach space X is said to have the *Blum–Hanson property* if the Blum–Hanson theorem holds true on X ; that is, if T is a linear operator on X such that $\|T\| \leq 1$, then every weakly null T -orbit is strongly mixing. For example, it was shown rather recently in [Müller and Tomilov 2007] that $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ has the Blum–Hanson property for any $p \in [1, \infty)$. On the other hand, it is known since [Akcoglu et al. 1974] that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the space of all continuous real-valued functions on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 , does not have this property. Further results and references can be found in [Lefèvre et al. 2015].

In this short note, we address the Blum–Hanson property for $\mathcal{C}(K)$ spaces. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a metrizable compact space. Then $\mathcal{C}(K)$ has the Blum–Hanson property if and only if K has finitely many accumulation points.*

MSC2010: primary 46E15, 47A35; secondary 46B25.

Keywords: Blum–Hanson property, spaces of continuous functions, Stone–Čech compactification.

This will be proved in the next section. In [Section 3](#), we obtain in much the same way one nonmetrizable result, namely that the space $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}) = \mathcal{C}(\beta\mathbb{N})$ fails the Blum–Hanson property. Our two results can be put together to get a single theorem on the Blum–Hanson property for spaces of bounded continuous functions, which is done in [Section 4](#). We conclude the paper by stating explicitly the “general principle” underlying our proofs.

2. Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#)

For the “if” part of the proof, we will make use of a result from [\[Lefèvre et al. 2015\]](#) which is stated as [Lemma 2.1](#) below.

Let X be a Banach space. For any $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, set

$$r_X(t, x) := \sup \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + ty_n\| \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all weakly null sequences $(y_n) \subset X$ with $\|y_n\| \leq 1$.

Since $r_X(t, x)$ is 1-Lipschitz with respect to t , the quantity $r_X(t, x) - t$ is nonincreasing and hence it has a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, possibly equal to $-\infty$. Actually, this limit is nonnegative if X does not have the Schur property, i.e., there is at least one weakly null sequence in X which is not norm null.

For the needs of the present paper only, we shall say that the Banach space X has *property (P)* if, for every weakly null sequence $(x_k) \subset X$, it holds that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (r_X(t, x_k) - t) = 0.$$

The result we need is the following lemma; for the proof, see the remark just after [Theorem 2.1](#) in [\[Lefèvre et al. 2015\]](#).

Lemma 2.1. *Property (P) implies the Blum–Hanson property.*

An extreme example of a space with property (P) is $X := c_0(\mathbb{N})$. Indeed, if $x \in c_0$ and if (z_n) is a weakly null sequence in c_0 , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \|x + z_n\|_\infty = \max(\|x\|_\infty, \limsup \|z_n\|_\infty).$$

It follows that

$$(*) \quad r_{c_0}(t, x) = \max(\|x\|, t),$$

so that $r_{c_0}(t, x) - t = 0$ whenever $t \geq \|x\|$, for any $x \in c_0$.

Let us also note the following useful stability property, whose proof is straightforward.

Remark 2.2. If X_1, \dots, X_N are Banach spaces with property (P), then the ℓ_∞ direct sum $X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_N$ also has (P).

We can now start the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us denote by K' the set of all accumulation points of K . We may assume that $K' \neq \emptyset$, since otherwise K is finite and hence $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is finite-dimensional.

(a) Assume first that K' is finite, say $K' = \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$, and let us show that $X := \mathcal{C}(K)$ has the Blum–Hanson property.

One may write $K = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_N$, where the K_i are pairwise disjoint compact sets and $K'_i = \{a_i\}$. Then $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is isometric to the ℓ_∞ direct sum $\mathcal{C}(K_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}(K_N)$, and each $\mathcal{C}(K_i)$ is isometric to the space c of all convergent sequences of real numbers. Therefore (by [Lemma 2.1](#) and [Remark 2.2](#)), it is enough to show that the space c has property (P).

We view c as the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$, so that c_0 is identified with the subspace of all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$ such that $f(\infty) = 0$. We have to show that if (f_k) is a weakly null sequence in c , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (r_c(t, f_k) - t) = 0.$$

Observe first that since $f_k(\infty) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, one can find a (weakly null) sequence $(\tilde{f}_k) \subset c$ such that $\tilde{f}_k \in c_0$ for all k and $\|\tilde{f}_k - f_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$: just set $\tilde{f}_k := f_k - f_k(\infty)\mathbf{1}$.

Let (g_n) be a weakly null sequence in c with $\|g_n\|_\infty \leq 1$. As above, choose a (weakly null) sequence $(\tilde{g}_n) \subset c$ such that $\|\tilde{g}_n - g_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and $\tilde{g}_n \in c_0$ for all n . Since $\|g_n\|_\infty \leq 1$, we may also assume that $\|\tilde{g}_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ for all n . Then, since f_k and the \tilde{g}_n are living in c_0 , we get from [\(*\)](#) above that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{f}_k + t\tilde{g}_n\|_\infty \leq r_{c_0}(t, \tilde{f}_k) = \max(\|\tilde{f}_k\|_\infty, t).$$

By the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k + t g_n\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{f}_k - f_k\|_\infty + \max(\|\tilde{f}_k\|_\infty, t)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t \geq 0$. This being true for any weakly null sequence (g_n) with $\|g_n\|_\infty \leq 1$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (r_c(f_k, t) - t) \leq \|\tilde{f}_k - f_k\|_\infty$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (r_c(t, f_k) - t) = 0.$$

(b) Now assume that K' is infinite. Since K is metrizable, it follows that K contains a compact set S of the form

$$S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [\{s_{i,k} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{s_{\infty,k}\}] \cup \{s_{\infty,\infty}\},$$

where all the points involved are distinct and

- $s_{i,k} \rightarrow s_{\infty,k}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed $k \geq 1$;
- $s_{\infty,k} \rightarrow s_{\infty,\infty}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- the sets $S_k := \{s_{i,k} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{s_{\infty,k}\}$ accumulate to $\{s_{\infty,\infty}\}$, i.e., they are eventually contained in any neighborhood of $s_{\infty,\infty}$.

Thus, we have $S' = \{s_{\infty,k} : k \geq 1\} \cup \{s_{\infty,\infty}\}$ and $S'' = \{s_{\infty,\infty}\}$.

The key point is now to construct a special continuous map $\theta : S \rightarrow S$ and to consider the associated *composition operator* C_θ acting on $\mathcal{C}(S)$. This is the same strategy as in [Akcoglu et al. 1974], in our setting.

Fact 2.3. *One can construct a continuous map $\theta : S \rightarrow S$ such that, denoting by θ^n the iterates of θ , the following properties hold true:*

- (i) $\theta^n(s) \rightarrow s_{\infty,\infty}$ pointwise on S as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) *there exists an open neighborhood V of $s_{\infty,\infty}$ in S such that*

$$\sup_{s \in S} \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \theta^n(s) \notin V\} = \infty.$$

Proof. We define the map θ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(s_{\infty,\infty}) &= s_{\infty,\infty}, \\ \theta(s_{i,k}) &= s_{i,k-1} && \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ \theta(s_{\infty,k}) &= s_{\infty,k-1} && \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ \theta(s_{i,1}) &= s_{i-1,i-1} && \text{if } i \geq 2, \\ \theta(s_{\infty,1}) &= s_{\infty,\infty}, \\ \theta(s_{1,1}) &= s_{\infty,\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that θ is continuous at each point $s_{\infty,k}$, $k \geq 2$. Moreover, since $s_{i-1,i-1} \rightarrow s_{\infty,\infty}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, the map θ is also continuous at $s_{\infty,1}$ and at $s_{\infty,\infty}$. Since all other points of S are isolated, it follows that θ is continuous on S .

An examination of the orbits of θ reveals that, for any $s \in S$, we have $\theta^n(s) = s_{\infty,\infty}$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if $s = s_{\infty,k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\text{Orb}(s, \theta) = \{s_{\infty,k}, s_{\infty,k-1}, \dots, s_{\infty,1}, s_{\infty,\infty}\},$$

whereas if $s = s_{i,k}$ for some $(i, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\text{Orb}(s, \theta) = \{s_{i,k}, s_{i,k-1}, \dots, s_{i,1}, s_{i-1,i-1}, \dots, s_{i-1,1}, s_{i-2,i-2}, \dots, s_{1,2}, s_{1,1}, s_{\infty,\infty}\}.$$

So property (i) is satisfied.

Set $V := S \setminus S_1$, where $S_1 = \{s_{i,1} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{s_{\infty,1}\}$. This is an open (actually clopen) neighborhood of $s_{\infty,\infty}$ in S . For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the orbit of $s_N := s_{N,1}$ contains exactly N points of $S \setminus V = S_1$, namely $s_{N,1}, s_{N-1,1}, \dots, s_{1,1}$. So property (ii) is satisfied as well. \square

Fact 2.4. *The space $\mathcal{C}(S)$ does not have the Blum–Hanson property.*

Proof. Let $\theta : S \rightarrow S$ be given by Fact 2.3, and let $C_\theta : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ be the composition operator associated with θ ,

$$C_\theta u = u \circ \theta \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{C}(S).$$

By property (i) above, we see that $C_\theta^n u \rightarrow u(s_{\infty,\infty})\mathbf{1}$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $u \in \mathcal{C}(S)$.

Let us choose a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ such that $f(s_{\infty,\infty}) = 0$ and $f \equiv 1$ on $F := S \setminus V$, where V satisfies (ii). Then $C_\theta^n f \rightarrow 0$ weakly. On the other hand, since $f \equiv 1$ on F , it follows from (ii) that one can find points $s \in S$ such that $\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : C_\theta^n f(s) = 1\}$ is arbitrarily large. So we have

$$\frac{1}{\#I} \left\| \sum_{n \in I} C_\theta^n f \right\|_\infty \geq 1$$

for finite sets $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ with arbitrarily large cardinality. From this, it is a simple matter to deduce that the sequence $(C_\theta^n f)$ is not strongly mixing, which concludes the proof of Fact 2.4. \square

It is now easy to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, by using the following trivial observation.

Fact 2.5. *Let X be a Banach space, and let Z be a closed subspace of X . Assume that Z is 1-complemented in X , i.e., there is a linear projection $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ such that $\|\pi\| = 1$. If Z fails the Blum–Hanson property, then so does X .*

Proof. If $T : Z \rightarrow Z$ and $z \in Z$ witness that Z fails the Blum–Hanson property, then $\tilde{T} := T \circ \pi : X \rightarrow Z \subset X$ and z witness that X also does. \square

It is well known that since K is metrizable, there is an isometric linear extension operator $J : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$. This is a classical result due to Dugundji [1951]. So the space $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is isometric to a 1-complemented subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$, namely $Z := J[\mathcal{C}(S)]$. By Fact 2.5, this concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark 2.6. The above proof shows that the space $\mathcal{C}(S)$ fails the Blum–Hanson property in a very special way. Namely, there exists a composition operator C_θ on $\mathcal{C}(S)$ all of whose orbits are weakly convergent and such that some weakly null orbit is not strongly mixing. As shown in [Akcoglu et al. 1974], the same is true for the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^2)$. On the other hand, it is observed in [Lefèvre et al. 2015] that

this is *not* so in the space $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$, for the following reason: if $\theta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous map and if the iterates θ^n converge pointwise to some continuous map $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, then the convergence is in fact uniform.

Remark 2.7. Our proof gives the following more precise result: if K has finitely many accumulation points, then $\mathcal{C}(K)$ has property (P); and, otherwise, one can find an operator T on $\mathcal{C}(K)$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$ such that *all T -orbits are weakly convergent* and some weakly null orbit is not strongly mixing.

3. A nonmetrizable example

We have been unable to show without the metrizability assumption on K that $\mathcal{C}(K)$ fails the Blum–Hanson property if K has infinitely many accumulation points. Note that metrizability was used twice in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#): to ensure that if K' is infinite then K contains the special compact set S ; and for the existence of an isometric (linear) extension operator $J : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$.

It is well known that the linear extension theorem may fail in the nonmetrizable case (see, e.g., [\[Pełczyński 1964, Remark 2.3\]](#)). The simplest way to see this is to observe that if there exists a linear extension operator $J : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$ then, denoting by $R : \mathcal{C}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ the canonical restriction map, the operator $\pi := JR$ is a continuous projection on $\mathcal{C}(K)$ with kernel $I(S) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(K) : f|_S = 0\}$, so $I(S)$ is a complemented subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$. But this may fail for some pairs (K, S) ; for example, one may take $(K, S) = (\beta\mathbb{N}, \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$, where $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is the Stone–Čech compactification of \mathbb{N} , since $\mathcal{C}(K) = \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ and $I(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) = c_0(\mathbb{N})$.

It may also happen that a compact set K has infinitely many accumulation points and yet does not contain any compact set like S . For example, this holds for $K = \beta\mathbb{N}$ because there are no nontrivial convergent sequences in $\beta\mathbb{N}$. However, in this (very) special case it is possible to adapt the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) to obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *The space $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}) = \mathcal{C}(\beta\mathbb{N})$ does not have the Blum–Hanson property.*

Proof. It will be more convenient to view ℓ_∞ as $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) = \mathcal{C}(\beta(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}))$.

Let $\theta : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be essentially the same map as in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) but ignoring the limit points:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(i, k) &= (i, k - 1) && \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ \theta(i, 1) &= (i - 1, i - 1) && \text{if } i \geq 2, \\ \theta(1, 1) &= (1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by C_θ the associated composition operator acting on $\ell_\infty = \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$:

$$C_\theta f(i, k) = f(\theta(i, k)) \quad \text{for every } (i, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}.$$

Set $f := \mathbf{1}_F \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$, where $F = \{(i, 1) : i \geq 1\} \setminus \{(1, 1)\} = \{(i, 1) : i \geq 2\}$. Exactly as in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), one checks that the sequence $(C_\theta^n f)$ is not strongly mixing in $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$. So it is enough to show that, on the other hand, $C_\theta^n f \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$.

Viewing $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ as $\mathcal{C}(\beta(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}))$, we have to show that $C_\theta^n f(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow 0$ for every ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Let us fix such an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .

Since $C_\theta^n f = C_\theta^n \mathbf{1}_F = \mathbf{1}_{\theta^{-n}(F)}$ when considered as an element of $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$, we have, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C_\theta^n f(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta^{-n}(F) \in \mathcal{U}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta^{-n}(F) \notin \mathcal{U}. \end{cases}$$

So we need to prove that if n is large enough, then $\theta^{-n}(F) \notin \mathcal{U}$.

If we set $S_1 := \mathbb{N} \times \{1\}$, then $\theta^{-n}(S_1) \cap S_1$ is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is readily checked from the definition of θ . Indeed, for each $s = (i, 1) \in S_1$, the first $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\theta^n(s) \in S_1$ is at least equal (in fact, exactly equal) to i ; so for each fixed n there are at most n points $s \in S_1$ such that $\theta^n(s) \in S_1$.

Since $F \subset S_1$ and θ is finite-to-one, it follows that $\theta^{-n}(F) \cap \theta^{-n'}(F)$ is finite whenever $n \neq n'$.

Now, assume without loss of generality that $\theta^{-n}(F) \in \mathcal{U}$ for more than one $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by what we have just observed, \mathcal{U} contains a finite set. Hence, \mathcal{U} is a principal ultrafilter, defined by some point $s_0 \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, we know from the definition of the map θ that $\theta^n(s_0) = (1, 1)$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(1, 1) \notin F$, it follows that $\theta^{-n}(F) \notin \mathcal{U}$ for all but finitely many n . \square

Corollary 3.2. *The space $L_\infty = L_\infty(0, 1)$ does not have the Blum–Hanson property. Likewise, if H is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, then the space $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of all bounded operators on H does not have the Blum–Hanson property.*

Proof. This is clear from [Proposition 3.1](#), since these two spaces contain a 1-complemented isometric copy of ℓ_∞ . \square

4. Further remarks

For any topological space E , let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_b(E)$ the space of all real-valued, bounded continuous functions on E . Combining [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Proposition 3.1](#), we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *If T is a metrizable topological space, then $\mathcal{C}_b(T)$ has the Blum–Hanson property exactly when T is compact and has finitely many accumulation points.*

Proof. By [Theorem 1.1](#), it is enough to show that if $\mathcal{C}_b(T)$ has the Blum–Hanson property, then T is compact. Now, if T is not compact, it contains a countably

infinite closed discrete set S (thanks to the metrizability assumption). By Dugundji's extension theorem, $\mathcal{C}_b(T)$ then contains a 1-complemented isometric copy of $\mathcal{C}_b(S)$. Since $\mathcal{C}_b(S)$ is isometric to $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$, it follows from [Proposition 3.1](#) that $\mathcal{C}_b(T)$ does not have the Blum–Hanson property. \square

To conclude this paper, and since this may be useful elsewhere, we isolate the following kind of criterion for detecting the failure of the Blum–Hanson property in $\mathcal{C}_b(T)$ for a not necessarily metrizable topological space T .

Lemma 4.2. *Let T be a Hausdorff topological space. Assume that there exists a subset S of T which is normal as a topological space, such that the following properties hold true.*

- (1) *One can find a continuous map $\theta : S \rightarrow S$ and a point $a \in S$ such that*
 - (i) $\theta^n(s) \rightarrow a$ pointwise on S as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
 - (ii) *there exists an open neighborhood V of a such that*

$$\sup_{s \in S} \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \theta^n(s) \notin V\} = \infty;$$

- (iii) *there exists a further open neighborhood W of a with $\overline{W} \subset V$ such that, for any infinite set $N \subset \mathbb{N}$, one can find $n_1, \dots, n_p \in N$ such that the set $\theta^{-n_1}(S \setminus W) \cap \dots \cap \theta^{-n_p}(S \setminus W)$ is finite.*

- (2) *There is a linear isometric extension operator $J : \mathcal{C}_b(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(T)$.*

Then, one can conclude that the space $\mathcal{C}_b(T)$ fails the Blum–Hanson property.

Proof. By (2), it is enough to show that $\mathcal{C}_b(S)$ does not have the Blum–Hanson property. This will of course be done by considering the composition operator $C_\theta : \mathcal{C}_b(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(S)$.

Since $\overline{W} \subset V$ by (iii) and since S is normal, one can choose a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(S)$ such that $f \equiv 0$ on \overline{W} and $f \equiv 1$ on $F := S \setminus V$. By condition (ii) in (1), the sequence $(C_\theta^n f)$ is not strongly mixing; so we just need to check that $C_\theta^n f \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $\mathcal{C}_b(S)$.

Being Hausdorff and normal, the space S is completely regular; so the space $\mathcal{C}_b(S)$ is canonically isometric with $\mathcal{C}(\beta S)$, where βS is the Stone–Čech compactification of S . The latter can be described as the space of all z -ultrafilters on S , i.e., maximal filters of zero sets for functions in $\mathcal{C}_b(S)$, or, equivalently (since S is normal), maximal filters of closed subsets of S ; see [\[Gillman and Jerison 1960\]](#). Therefore, what we have to do is to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{\mathcal{U}} f(\theta^n(s)) \right] = 0 \quad \text{for any } z\text{-ultrafilter } \mathcal{U} \text{ on } S.$$

If \mathcal{U} is a “principal” z -ultrafilter defined by some $s_0 \in S$, i.e., \mathcal{U} is convergent with limit s_0 , then $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} f(\theta^n(s)) = f(\theta^n(s_0))$ for all n , so the result is clear since $f(\theta^n(s_0)) \rightarrow f(a) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (i).

Now, let us assume that \mathcal{U} is not principal. Then \mathcal{U} does not contain any finite set. Indeed, if a maximal filter of closed sets contains a finite union of closed sets $F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_N$, then it has to contain one of the F_i by maximality; so, if \mathcal{U} were to contain a finite set, then it would contain a singleton and hence would be principal in a trivial way. By (iii), it follows that $\theta^{-n}(S \setminus W) \notin \mathcal{U}$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$; and since \mathcal{U} is a maximal filter of closed sets, this implies that $\theta^{-n}(\overline{W}) \in \mathcal{U}$ for all but finitely many n . Since $f \equiv 0$ on \overline{W} , it follows that $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} f(\theta^n(s)) = 0$ for all but finitely many n , which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.3. This lemma would be much neater if condition (iii) above could be dispensed with; but we don't know how to prove the lemma without it. The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that when S is compact, (i) and (ii) alone are enough for $\mathcal{C}(S)$ to fail the Blum–Hanson property. At the other extreme, the proof of Proposition 3.1 shows that when S is discrete (and infinite), one can find a map $\theta : S \rightarrow S$ satisfying (i), (ii) and a property stronger than (iii).

Remark 4.4. When S is compact, condition (iii) actually follows from (i). Indeed, let W be any open neighborhood of a , and assume that (iii) fails for W and some infinite set $N \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then, by compactness we have $\bigcap_{n \in N} \theta^{-n}(S \setminus W) \neq \emptyset$. But if $s \in \bigcap_{n \in N} \theta^{-n}(S \setminus W)$ then $\theta^n(s)$ does not tend to a as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (i).

References

- [Akcoglu et al. 1974] M. A. Akcoglu, J. P. Huneke, and H. Rost, “A counter example to the Blum–Hanson theorem in general spaces”, *Pacific J. Math.* **50** (1974), 305–308. MR 50 #2947 Zbl 0252.47006
- [Berend and Bergelson 1986] D. Berend and V. Bergelson, “Mixing sequences in Hilbert spaces”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98**:2 (1986), 239–246. MR 87j:47012 Zbl 0611.47021
- [Blum and Hanson 1960] J. R. Blum and D. L. Hanson, “On the mean ergodic theorem for subsequences”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **66** (1960), 308–311. MR 22 #9572 Zbl 0096.09005
- [Dugundji 1951] J. Dugundji, “An extension of Tietze’s theorem”, *Pacific J. Math.* **1** (1951), 353–367. MR 13,373c Zbl 0043.38105
- [Gillman and Jerison 1960] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1960. Reprinted in Graduate Texts in Mathematics **43**, Springer, New York, 1976. MR 22 #6994 Zbl 0093.30001
- [Jones and Kuftinec 1971] L. K. Jones and V. Kuftinec, “A note on the Blum–Hanson theorem”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (1971), 202–203. MR 43 #6742 Zbl 0218.28012
- [Lefèvre et al. 2015] P. Lefèvre, É. Matheron, and A. Primot, “Smoothness, asymptotic smoothness and the Blum–Hanson property”, *Israel J. Math.* (online publication November 2015).
- [Müller and Tomilov 2007] V. Müller and Y. Tomilov, “Quasismilarity of power bounded operators and Blum–Hanson property”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **246**:2 (2007), 385–399. MR 2009a:47003 Zbl 1127.47011
- [Pełczyński 1964] A. Pełczyński, “On simultaneous extension of continuous functions: a generalization of theorems of Rudin–Carleson and Bishop”, *Studia Math.* **24**:3 (1964), 285–304. MR 30 #5184a Zbl 0145.16204

Received December 7, 2014.

PASCAL LEFÈVRE
LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE LENS
UNIVERSITÉ D'ARTOIS
RUE JEAN SOUVRAZ S.P. 18
62307 LENS
FRANCE
pascal.lefevre@univ-artois.fr

ÉTIENNE MATHERON
LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE LENS
UNIVERSITÉ D'ARTOIS
RUE JEAN SOUVRAZ S.P. 18
62307 LENS
FRANCE
etienne.matheron@univ-artois.fr

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$440/year for the electronic version, and \$600/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 282 No. 1 May 2016

On the half-space theorem for minimal surfaces in Heisenberg space	1
TRISTAN ALEX	
Extending smooth cyclic group actions on the Poincaré homology sphere	9
NIMA ANVARI	
A short proof of the existence of supercuspidal representations for all reductive p -adic groups	27
RAPHAËL BEUZART-PLESSIS	
Quantum groups and generalized circular elements	35
MICHAEL BRANNAN and KAY KIRKPATRICK	
Volumes of Montesinos links	63
KATHLEEN FINLINSON and JESSICA S. PURCELL	
Minimal surfaces with two ends which have the least total absolute curvature	107
SHOICHI FUJIMORI and TOSHIHIRO SHODA	
Multiplicité du spectre de Steklov sur les surfaces et nombre chromatique	145
PIERRE JAMMES	
E -polynomial of the $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -character variety of free groups	173
SEAN LAWTON and VICENTE MUÑOZ	
The Blum–Hanson property for $\mathcal{C}(K)$ spaces	203
PASCAL LEFÈVRE and ÉTIENNE MATHERON	
Crossed product algebras and direct integral decomposition for Lie supergroups	213
KARL-HERMANN NEEB and HADI SALMASIAN	
Associated primes of local cohomology modules over regular rings	233
TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL	