

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

CALCULATION OF LOCAL FORMAL MELLIN TRANSFORMS

ADAM GRAHAM-SQUIRE

Volume 283 No. 1

July 2016

CALCULATION OF LOCAL FORMAL MELLIN TRANSFORMS

ADAM GRAHAM-SQUIRE

Much recent work has been done on the local Fourier transforms for connections on the punctured formal disk. Specifically, the local Fourier transforms have been introduced, shown to induce certain equivalences of categories, and explicit formulas have been found to calculate them. In this paper, we prove analogous results in a similar situation, the local Mellin transforms for connections on the punctured formal disk. Specifically, we introduce the local Mellin transforms and show that they induce equivalences between certain categories of vector spaces with connection and vector spaces with invertible difference operators, as well as find formulas for explicit calculation in the same spirit as the calculations for the local Fourier transforms.

1. Introduction

Recently, much research has been done on local Fourier transforms for connections on the punctured formal disk. Namely, H. Bloch and H. Esnault [2004] and R. Garcia Lopez [2004] introduced and analyzed the local Fourier transforms. Explicit formulas for calculation of the local Fourier transforms were proved independently by J. Fang [2009] and C. Sabbah [2008] using different methods. D. Arinkin [2008] gave a different framework for the local Fourier transforms and also gave explicit calculation of the Katz–Radon transform. In [Graham-Squire 2013], we used Arinkin’s techniques from [2008] to reproduce the calculations of [Fang 2009; Sabbah 2008]. The global Mellin transform for connections on a punctured formal disk was given by Laumon [1996] as well as Loeser and Sabbah [1991], but since that time little work has been done on the Mellin transform in this area. Arinkin [2008, Section 2.5] remarks that it would be interesting to apply his methods to other integral transforms such as the Mellin transform. This paper is the answer to that query. We introduce the *local* Mellin transforms on the punctured formal disk and prove results for them which are analogous to those of the local Fourier transforms. One main difference between the analysis of the local Fourier and local Mellin transforms is this: whereas the local Fourier transforms deal only with

MSC2010: 14B20.

Keywords: local integral transforms, Mellin transform, difference operator, differential operator.

differential operators, the local Mellin transforms input a differential operator and output a difference operator.

The work done in this paper is as follows: after some preliminary definitions, we introduce the local Mellin transforms $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$, $\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}$, and $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty,\infty)}$ for connections on the punctured formal disk. Our construction of the local Mellin transforms is analogous to that of [Bloch and Esnault 2004; Arinkin 2008] for the local Fourier transforms. In particular, we mimic the framework given in the latter reference to define the local Mellin transforms, as Arinkin's construction lends itself most easily to calculation. We also show that the local Mellin transforms induce equivalences between certain categories of vector spaces with connection and categories of vector spaces with difference operators. Such equivalences could, in principle, reduce questions about difference operators to questions about (relatively more-studied) connections, although we do not do such an analysis in this work. We end by using the techniques of [Graham-Squire 2013] to give explicit formulas for calculation of the local Mellin transforms in the same spirit as the results of [Fang 2009; Graham-Squire 2013; Sabbah 2008]. An example of our main result is the following calculation of $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$:

Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Definitions for R , S , E , and D can be found in the body of the paper.

Theorem 10.1. *Let s and r be positive integers, $a \in \mathbb{k} - \{0\}$, and $f \in R_r^\circ(z)$ with $f = az^{-s/r} + \mathfrak{o}(z^{-s/r})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}(E_f) \simeq D_g,$$

where $g \in S_s^\circ(\theta)$ is determined by the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -\theta^{-1}, \\ g &= z - (-a)^{r/s} \frac{r+s}{2s} \theta^{1+(r/s)}. \end{aligned}$$

A necessary tool for the calculation is the formal reduction of differential operators as well as the formal reduction of linear difference operators. There are considerable parallels between difference operators and connections, and we refer the reader to [van der Put and Singer 1997] for more details.

2. Connections and difference operators

Connections on the formal disk.

Definition 2.1. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over $K = \mathbb{k}((z))$. A *connection* on V is a \mathbb{k} -linear operator $\nabla : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying the Leibniz identity:

$$\nabla(fv) = f\nabla(v) + \frac{df}{dz}v$$

for all $f \in K$ and $v \in V$. A choice of basis in V gives an isomorphism $V \simeq K^n$; we can then write ∇ as $\frac{d}{dz} + A$, where $A = A(z) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(K)$ is the *matrix* of ∇ with respect to this basis.

Definition 2.2. We write \mathcal{C} for the *category of vector spaces with connections over K* . Its objects are pairs (V, ∇) , where V is a finite-dimensional K -vector space and $\nabla : V \rightarrow V$ is a connection. Morphisms between (V_1, ∇_1) and (V_2, ∇_2) are K -linear maps $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ that are *horizontal* in the sense that $\phi \nabla_1 = \nabla_2 \phi$.

Properties of connections. We summarize below some well-known properties of connections on the formal disk. The results go back to Turrittin [1955] and Levelt [1975]; more recent references include [Babbitt and Varadarajan 1985; Beilinson et al. 2002, Sections 5.9 and 5.10; Malgrange 1991; van der Put and Singer 1997].

Let q be a positive integer and define $K_q := \mathbb{k}((z^{1/q}))$. Note that K_q is the unique extension of K of degree q . For every $f \in K_q$, we define an object $E_f \in \mathcal{C}$ by

$$E_f = E_{f,q} = \left(K_q, \frac{d}{dz} + z^{-1}f \right).$$

In terms of the isomorphism class of an object E_f , the reduction procedures of [Turrittin 1955; Levelt 1975] imply that we need only consider f in the quotient

$$\mathbb{k}((z^{1/q})) / \left(z^{1/q} \mathbb{k}[[z^{1/q}]] + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q} \right)$$

where $\mathbb{k}[[z]]$ denotes formal *power series*.

Let R_q be the set of orbits for the action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K_q/K)$ on the quotient. Explicitly, the Galois group is identified with the group of degree q roots of unity $\eta \in \mathbb{k}$; the action on $f \in R_q$ is by $f(z^{1/q}) \mapsto f(\eta z^{1/q})$. Finally, denote by $R_q^\circ \subset R_q$ the set of $f \in R_q$ that cannot be represented by elements of K_r for any $0 < r < q$. Thus R_q° is the locus of R_q where $\text{Gal}(K_q/K)$ acts freely.

Proposition 2.3. (1) *The isomorphism class of E_f depends only on the orbit of the image of f in R_q .*

(2) *E_f is irreducible if and only if the image of f in R_q belongs to R_q° . As q and f vary, we obtain a complete list of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects of \mathcal{C} .*

(3) *Every $E \in \mathcal{C}$ can be written as*

$$E \simeq \bigoplus_i (E_{f_i, q_i} \otimes J_{m_i}),$$

where the $E_{f,q}$ are irreducible, $J_m = \left(K^m, \frac{d}{dz} + z^{-1}N_m \right)$, and N_m is the nilpotent Jordan block of size m .

Proofs of the proposition are straightforward to construct; examples can be found in [Malgrange 1991; van der Put and Singer 1997; Beilinson et al. 2002].

Remark. We refer to the objects $(E_f \otimes J_m) \in \mathcal{C}$ as *indecomposable* objects in \mathcal{C} .

Difference operators on the formal disk. Vector spaces with difference operator and vector spaces with connection are defined in a similar fashion.

Definition 2.4. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over $K = \mathbb{k}((\theta))$. A *difference operator* on V is a \mathbb{k} -linear operator $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$\Phi(fv) = \varphi(f)\Phi(v)$$

for all $f \in K$ and $v \in V$, with $\varphi : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ as the \mathbb{k} -automorphism defined below. A choice of basis in V gives an isomorphism $V \simeq K^n$; we can then write Φ as $A\varphi$, where $A = A(\theta) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(K)$ is the *matrix* of Φ with respect to this basis, and for $v(\theta) \in K^n$,

$$\varphi(v(\theta)) = v\left(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}\right) = v\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+1}\theta^i\right).$$

We follow the convention of [Praagman 1983, Section 1] to define φ over the extension $K_q = \mathbb{k}((\theta^{1/q}))$. Thus for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, φ extends to a \mathbb{k} -automorphism of K_q^n defined by

$$\varphi(v(\theta^{1/q})) = v\left(\theta^{1/q} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{-1/q}{i} \theta^i\right).$$

Definition 2.5. We write \mathcal{N} for the *category of vector spaces with invertible difference operator over K* . Objects are pairs (V, Φ) , where V is a finite-dimensional K -vector space and $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ is an invertible difference operator. Morphisms between (V_1, Φ_1) and (V_2, Φ_2) are K -linear maps $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that $\phi\Phi_1 = \Phi_2\phi$.

Properties of difference operators. In [Chen and Fahim 1998; Praagman 1983], a canonical form for difference operators is constructed. We give an equivalent construction in the theorem below, which is a restatement of certain Praagman results with different notation to better fit our situation.

Theorem 2.6 [Praagman 1983, Theorem 8 and Corollary 9]. *Let $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ be an invertible difference operator. Then there exists a finite (Galois) extension K_q of K and a basis of $K_q \otimes_K V$ such that Φ is expressed as a diagonal block matrix. Each block is of the form*

$$F_g = \begin{bmatrix} g & & & \\ \theta^{\lambda+1} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

with $g \in K_q$, $\lambda \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q}$, $g = a_0\theta^\lambda + \dots + a_q\theta^{\lambda+1}$, $a_0 \neq 0$, and a_q defined up to a shift by $\frac{a_0\mathbb{Z}}{q}\theta^{\lambda+1}$. The matrix is unique modulo the order of the blocks.

Remark. The F_g are the indecomposable components for the matrix of Φ .

Theorem 2.6 allows us to describe the category \mathcal{N} in a fashion similar to our description of the category \mathcal{C} . For every $g \in K_q$, we define an object $D_g \in \mathcal{N}$ by

$$D_g = D_{g,q} := (K_q, g\varphi).$$

The canonical form given in Theorem 2.6 implies that we need only consider g in the following quotient of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{k}((\theta^{1/q}))^*$:

$$(2-1) \quad K_q^* / \left(1 + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q}\theta + \theta^{1+(1/q)}\mathbb{k}[[\theta^{1/q}]] \right).$$

Let S_q be the set of orbits for the action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K_q/K)$ on the quotient given in (2-1). Denote by $S_q^\circ \subset S_q$ the set of $g \in S_q$ that cannot be represented by elements of K_r for any $0 < r < q$. As before, S_q° can be thought of as the locus where $\text{Gal}(K_q/K)$ acts freely.

Proposition 2.7. (1) *The isomorphism class of D_g depends only on the orbit of the image of g in S_q .*

(2) *D_g is irreducible if and only if the image of g in S_q belongs to S_q° . As q and g vary, we obtain a complete list of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects of \mathcal{N} .*

(3) *Every $D \in \mathcal{N}$ can be written as*

$$D \simeq \bigoplus_i (D_{g_i, q_i} \otimes T_{m_i}),$$

where the $D_{g,q}$ are irreducible, $T_m = (K^m, U_m\varphi)$, and $U_m = I_m + \theta N_m$.

Notation. At times it is useful to keep track of the choice of local coordinate for \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{N} , and we denote this with a subscript. To stress the coordinate, we write \mathcal{C}_0 to indicate the coordinate z at the point zero, \mathcal{C}_x to indicate the coordinate $z - x := z_x$ at a point $x \neq 0$, and \mathcal{C}_∞ to indicate the coordinate $\zeta = \frac{1}{z}$ at the point at infinity. Note that \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_x , and \mathcal{C}_∞ are all isomorphic to \mathcal{C} , but not canonically. Similarly, we can write \mathcal{N}_∞ to indicate that we are considering \mathcal{N} with local coordinate at infinity. Since we only work with the point at infinity for \mathcal{N} , though, we generally omit the subscript.

We also have a superscript notation for categories, but our conventions for the categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{N} are different and a potential source of confusion. Superscript notation for vector spaces with connection is well-established, and the superscript corresponds to *slope*; for a formal definition of slope, see [Katz 1987]. Thus, for example, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_\infty^{<1}$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_∞ of connections whose irreducible components all have slopes less than one; that is, E_f such that $-1 < \text{ord}(f)$.

The correspondence to slope makes sense in the context of connections because all connections have nonnegative slope, i.e., for all E_f we have $\text{ord}(f) \leq 0$. For

difference operators we have no such restriction on the order of f , though, and thus a correspondence to slope would be artificial. The superscripts for difference operators therefore refer to the *order of irreducible components* as opposed to the slope. Thus, for example, the notation $\mathcal{N}^{>0}$ indicates the full subcategory of \mathcal{N} of difference operators whose irreducible components D_g have the property that $\text{ord}(g) > 0$.

3. Tate vector spaces

The z -adic topology.

Definition 3.1. We define the z -adic topology on the vector space V as follows: a *lattice* is a \mathbb{k} -subspace $L \subset V$ that is of the form $L = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{k}[[z]]e_i$ for some basis e_i of V over K . Then the z -adic topology on V is defined by letting the basis of open neighborhoods of $v \in V$ be cosets $v + L$ for all lattices $L \subset V$.

Remark. An equivalent definition for the z -adic topology, without reference to choice of basis, is given in [Arinkin 2008, Section 4.2]. The z -adic topology is also equivalent to the topology induced by any norm, as described in Lemma 4.4.

For ease of explication, we copy the remaining definitions and results in this section from [op. cit., Section 5.3]. For more details on Tate vector spaces, see [Beilinson and Drinfeld 2004, Section 2.7.7].

Tate vector spaces.

Definition 3.2. Let V be a topological vector space over \mathbb{k} , where \mathbb{k} is equipped with the discrete topology. V is *linearly compact* if it is complete, Hausdorff, and has a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of subspaces of finite codimension. Equivalently, a linearly compact space is the topological dual of a discrete space. V is a *Tate space* if it has a linearly compact open subspace.

Definition 3.3. A $\mathbb{k}[[z]]$ -module M is of *Tate type* if there is a finitely generated submodule $M' \subset M$ such that M/M' is a torsion module that is “cofinitely generated” in the sense that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ann}_z(M/M') < \infty, \quad \text{where } \text{Ann}_z(M/M') = \{m \in M/M' \mid zm = 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. (1) Any finitely generated $\mathbb{k}[[z]]$ -module M is linearly compact in the z -adic topology.

(2) Any $\mathbb{k}[[z]]$ -module of Tate type is a Tate vector space in the z -adic topology.

Proposition 3.5. Let V be a Tate space. Suppose an operator $Z : V \rightarrow V$ satisfies the following conditions:

(1) Z is continuous, open, and (linearly) compact. In other words, if $V' \subset V$ is an open linearly compact subspace, then so are $Z(V')$ and $Z^{-1}(V')$.

(2) Z is contracting. In other words, $Z^n \rightarrow 0$ in the sense that for any linearly compact subspace $V' \subset V$ and any open subspace $U \subset V$, we have $Z^n(V') \subset U$ for $n \gg 0$.

Then there exists a unique structure of a Tate type $\mathbb{k}[[z]]$ -module on V such that $z \in \mathbb{k}[[z]]$ acts as Z and the topology on V coincides with the z -adic topology.

4. The norm and order of an operator

Definition of norm. In the discussion of norms in this subsection we primarily follow the conventions of [Cassels and Fröhlich 1967], though our presentation is self-contained. Similar treatments of norms can also be found in [André and Baldassarri 2001; Kedlaya 2010]. Fix a real number ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. For $f = \sum_{i=k} c_i \theta^{i/q} \in K_q$ with $c_k \neq 0$, we define the order of f as $\text{ord}(f) := k/q$.

Definition 4.1. Let $f \in K$. The valuation $|\cdot|$ on K is defined as

$$|f| = \epsilon^{\text{ord}(f)}$$

with $|0| = 0$.

This is a nonarchimedean discrete valuation, and K is complete with respect to the topology induced by the valuation.

Definition 4.2. Let V be a vector space over K . A *nonarchimedean norm* on V is a real-valued function $\|\cdot\|$ on V such that the following hold:

- (1) $\|v\| > 0$ for $v \in V - \{0\}$.
- (2) $\|v + w\| \leq \max(\|v\|, \|w\|)$ for all $v, w \in V$.
- (3) $\|f \cdot v\| = |f| \cdot \|v\|$ for $f \in K$ and $v \in V$.

Example 4.3. Let $f_i \in K$. The function

$$\|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\| = \max |f_i|$$

is a norm on K^n , and K^n is complete with respect to this norm.

Lemma 4.4 [Cassels and Fröhlich 1967, lemma in Section 2.8]. *Any two norms $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$ on a finite-dimensional vector space V over K are equivalent in the following sense: there exists a real number $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} \|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\|_2 \leq C \|\cdot\|_1.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.4 that all norms on a finite-dimensional vector space over K induce the same topology.

Definition 4.5. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear operator. We define the *norm of an operator* to be

$$\|A\| = \sup_{v \in V - \{0\}} \frac{\|A(v)\|}{\|v\|}.$$

Note that $\|A\| < \infty$ if and only if A is continuous [Kolmogorov and Fomin 1975, Chapter 6, Theorem 1].

Invariant norms. The norm of an operator given in Definition 4.5 depends on the choice of the nonarchimedean norm $\|\cdot\|$. To find an invariant for norms of operators, consider the following two norms:

Definition 4.6. The *infimum norm* is defined as

$$\|A\|_{\text{inf}} = \inf\{\|A\| : \|\cdot\| \text{ is a norm on } V\}$$

and the *spectral radius* of A is given by

$$\|A\|_{\text{spec}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|A^n\|}.$$

Note that A must be continuous to guarantee that the limit defining the spectral radius exists. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that the spectral radius does not depend on the choice of norm $\|\cdot\|$. For operators in general the spectral radius is often the more useful invariant, but for the class of operators we consider (connections, difference operators, and their inverses) the two definitions coincide and we primarily use the infimum norm.

Norms of similitudes.

Proposition 4.7. Let $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ be two norms on V . Then for any invertible \mathbb{k} -linear operator $A : V \rightarrow V$, we have $\|A\|_1 \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_2 \geq 1$.

Corollary 4.8. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be invertible and let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm such that $\|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\| = 1$. Then $\|A\| = \|A\|_{\text{inf}}$.

Definition 4.9. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on V . An operator $A : V \rightarrow V$ is a *similitude* (with respect to $\|\cdot\|$) if $\|Av\| = \lambda\|v\|$ for all $v \in V$. It follows that $\|A\| = \lambda$.

Claim 4.10. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be an invertible similitude with $\|Av\| = \lambda\|v\|$. Then $\|A\|_{\text{inf}} = \lambda$ and $\|A^{-1}\| = 1/\lambda$.

Properties of norms. Given the canonical form of a connection or difference operator, it is quite easy to calculate the norm. In particular we note that indecomposable connections with no horizontal sections and indecomposable invertible difference operators are similitudes.

Remark. We introduce here notation to clear up a potentially confusing situation. The issue is the notation $\nabla = \frac{d}{dz} + A$ for a connection. In particular, at the local coordinate $\zeta = \frac{1}{z}$ the change of variable gives us $\nabla = -\zeta^2 \frac{d}{d\zeta} + A(\zeta)$. To emphasize the local coordinate we will use the notation ∇_z (respectively ∇_ζ) to indicate that we are writing ∇ in terms of z (respectively ζ). In particular, we have the equalities $\nabla_z = -\zeta^2 \nabla_\zeta$ and $z \nabla_z = -\zeta \nabla_\zeta$.

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose that $(V, \nabla) = (E_f \otimes J_m) \in \mathcal{C}$ is indecomposable and that ∇ has no horizontal sections. Then:*

$$(1) \quad \|\nabla\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^{\text{ord}(f)-1}.$$

If ∇ is invertible we also have

$$(2) \quad \|\nabla^{-1}\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^{-\text{ord}(f)+1}.$$

$$(3) \quad \text{For } (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_0, \|(z\nabla)^{-1}\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^{-\text{ord}(f)}.$$

$$(4) \quad \text{For } (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty, \|(z\nabla)^{-1}\|_{\text{inf}} = \|(\zeta \nabla_\zeta)^{-1}\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^{-\text{ord}(f)}.$$

$$(5) \quad \text{For } (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_x, \|(z \nabla_{z_x})^{-1}\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^{1-\text{ord}(f)}.$$

Proposition 4.12. *For an indecomposable $(V, \Phi) = (D_g \otimes T_m) \in \mathcal{N}$,*

$$(1) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^{\text{ord}(g)}.$$

$$(2) \quad \|(\theta\Phi)^{-1}\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^{-\text{ord}(g)-1}.$$

Order of an operator. The *order* of an operator is a notion closely related to the norm of an operator. It is often more convenient to work with order as opposed to norm, so we give a brief introduction to order below.

Definition 4.13. Let $B : V \rightarrow V$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear operator and let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm defined on V . Then the *order* of B is

$$\text{Ord}(B) = \log_\epsilon \|B\|_{\text{spec}},$$

with $\text{Ord}(0) := \infty$.

Example 4.14. The term “order” is suggestive for the following reason. Given Definition 4.13, the properties of similitudes, and ∇ an indecomposable connection with no horizontal sections, the following property holds: $\text{Ord}(\nabla) = \ell$ if and only if for all $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have $\nabla(z^n 1) = (*z^{n+\ell})1 + \text{higher order terms}$, where 1 is the identity element of V . Similarly for an indecomposable difference operator Φ , $\text{Ord}(\Phi) = j$ if and only if $\Phi(\theta^n 1) = (*\theta^{n+j})1 + \text{higher order terms}$. Note that $* \in \mathbb{k} - \mathbb{Z}$ if $\ell = -1$ and $* \in \mathbb{k}$ otherwise.

In the context of the order of an operator, we can state the results of Propositions 4.11 and 4.12 as follows.

Corollary 4.15. (1) For indecomposable $(V, \nabla) = (E_f \otimes J_m)$, with $z\nabla$ invertible, in either \mathcal{C}_0 or \mathcal{C}_∞ ,

$$\text{Ord}(\nabla) = \text{ord}(f) - 1, \quad \text{Ord}(z\nabla) = \text{ord}(f), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ord}((z\nabla)^{-1}) = -\text{ord}(f).$$

(2) For indecomposable $(V, \nabla_{z_x}) = (E_f \otimes J_m) \in \mathcal{C}_x$, with $z\nabla_{z_x}$ invertible,

$$\text{Ord}(z\nabla_{z_x}) = \text{Ord}(\nabla_{z_x}) = \text{ord}(f) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ord}((z\nabla_{z_x})^{-1}) = 1 - \text{ord}(f).$$

(3) For indecomposable $(V, \Phi) = (D_g \otimes U_m) \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\text{Ord}(\Phi) = \text{ord}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ord}((\theta\Phi)^{-1}) = -\text{ord}(g) - 1.$$

5. Lemmas

Fractional powers of an operator. The operator-root lemma below shows how to calculate the power of a sum of certain operators, even for fractional powers. The idea is that once a certain root ($1/p$) of the operator is chosen, the fractional power is easily defined as an integer power of that root.

Lemma 5.1 (operator-root lemma). *Let A and B be the following \mathbb{k} -linear operators on K_q : A is multiplication by $f = az^{p/q} + \varrho(z^{p/q})$, $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{k}$, and $B = z^n \frac{d}{dz}$ with $n \neq 0$, $p \neq 0$, and $q > 0$ all integers. We have $\text{Ord}(A) = p/q$ and $\text{Ord}(B) = n - 1$, and we assume that $p/q < n - 1$. Then for any p -th root of A we can choose a p -th root of $(A + B)$, written $(A + B)^{1/p}$, such that*

$$(A + B)^m = A^m + mA^{(m-1)}B + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}A^{m-2}[B, A] + \varrho(z^{(p/q)(m-1)+n-1})$$

holds for all $m \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p}$, where $(A + B)^m = ((A + B)^{1/p})^{pm}$.

Proof. A full proof is found in [Graham-Squire 2013, Lemma 4.4]. □

Tate vector space lemmas. We also need some lemmas describing our situation in the language of Tate vector spaces. The proofs are straightforward and are omitted.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $Z : V \rightarrow V$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear operator. If $\text{Ord}(Z) > 0$, then Z is contracting.*

Lemma 5.3. *A K -vector space V is of Tate type if and only if it is finite dimensional.*

6. Global Mellin transform

The ‘‘classical’’ Mellin transform can be stated as follows: for an appropriate f the Mellin transform of f is given by

$$\tilde{f}(\eta) = \int_0^\infty z^{\eta-1} f(z) dz.$$

One can check that

$$\eta \tilde{f} = -(z df/dz) \tilde{} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \tilde{f} = (\tilde{z} \tilde{f}),$$

where Φ is the difference operator taking $\tilde{f}(\eta)$ to $\tilde{f}(\eta + 1)$.

This leads to the notion of the *global* Mellin transform for connections on a punctured formal disk, which was introduced by Laumon [1996] and also presented by Loeser and Sabbah [1991]. Below is our definition for the global Mellin transform, which is equivalent to Laumon’s.

Definition 6.1. The global Mellin transform $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{k}[z, z^{-1}] \langle \nabla \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[\eta] \langle \Phi, \Phi^{-1} \rangle$ is a homomorphism between algebras defined on its generators by $-z \nabla \mapsto \eta$ and $z \mapsto \Phi$. Note that we have $[\nabla, z] = 1$ for the domain and $[\Phi, \eta] = \Phi$ for the target space, and the homomorphism preserves these equalities.

As in the case of the Fourier transform, we derive our definition of the local Mellin transform from the global situation. In particular, the local Mellin transform has different “flavors” depending on the point of singularity, so we refer to them as *local Mellin transforms*.

7. Definitions of local Mellin transforms

Below we give definitions of the local Mellin transforms. To alleviate potential confusion, let us explain the format we will use for the definitions. We begin by stating the definition in its entirety, but it is not a priori clear that all statements of the definition are true. We then claim that the transform is in fact well-defined and give a proof to clear up the questionable parts of the definition.

Definition 7.1. Let $E = (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_0^{>0}$. Thus all indecomposable components of ∇ have slope greater than zero, so each indecomposable component $E_f \otimes J_m$ has $\text{ord}(f) < 0$. Consider on V the \mathbb{k} -linear operators

$$(7-1) \quad \theta := -(z \nabla)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi := z : V \rightarrow V$$

Then θ extends to an action of $\mathbb{k} \langle (\theta) \rangle$ on V , $\dim_{\mathbb{k} \langle (\theta) \rangle} V < \infty$, and Φ is an invertible difference operator. We write $V = V_\theta$ to denote that we are considering V as a $\mathbb{k} \langle (\theta) \rangle$ -vector space. We define *the local Mellin transform from zero to infinity of E* to be the object

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0, \infty)}(E) := (V_\theta, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Definition 7.2. Let $E = (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_x$ be such that ∇ has no horizontal sections. Consider on V the \mathbb{k} -linear operators

$$(7-2) \quad \theta := -(z \nabla)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi := z : V \rightarrow V$$

Then θ extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((\theta))$ on V , $\dim_{\mathbb{k}((\theta))} V < \infty$, and Φ is an invertible difference operator. We define *the local Mellin transform from x to infinity of E* to be the object

$$\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}(E) := (V_\theta, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Remark. Since $E \in \mathcal{C}_x$, we are thinking of K as $\mathbb{k}((z_x))$. This emphasizes that we are localizing at a point $x \neq 0$ with local coordinate $z_x = z - x$.

Note that in the following definition we are thinking of K as $\mathbb{k}((\zeta))$, since we are localizing at the point at infinity $\zeta = \frac{1}{z}$.

Definition 7.3. Let $E = (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty^{>0}$. Thus all irreducible components of ∇ have slope greater than zero. Consider on V the \mathbb{k} -linear operators

$$\theta := -(z\nabla)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi := z : V \rightarrow V$$

Then θ extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((\theta))$ on V , $\dim_{\mathbb{k}((\theta))} V < \infty$, and Φ is an invertible difference operator. We define *the local Mellin transform from infinity to infinity of E* to be the object

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\infty,\infty)}(E) := (V_\theta, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Claim 7.4. $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$ is well-defined.

Proof. To prove the claim we must show the following:

- (i) θ extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((\theta))$ on V .
- (ii) V_θ is finite-dimensional.
- (iii) Φ is an invertible difference operator on V_θ .

We prove (i) with Lemma 7.5 below. In the proof of Lemma 7.5 we show that $(z\nabla)^{-1}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.5, and it follows that V_θ is of Tate type. Lemma 5.3 then implies that V_θ is finite-dimensional, proving (ii). To prove (iii), we first note that Φ is invertible by construction. To see that Φ is a difference operator, we need to show that $\Phi(fv) = \varphi(f)\Phi(v)$ for all $f \in K$ and $v \in V$. Since Φ is \mathbb{k} -linear and Laurent polynomials are dense in Laurent series, this reduces to showing that $\Phi(\theta^i) = \varphi(\theta^i)\Phi$, which can be proved by induction so long as you can show that

$$\Phi(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{1+\theta} \Phi.$$

This last equation is equivalent to $(\eta + 1)\Phi = \Phi\eta$, which we now prove. Using the fact that $[\nabla, z] = 1$ and the definitions given in (7-1) we compute

$$(\eta + 1)\Phi = -z\nabla z + z = -z(z\nabla + 1) + z = z(-z\nabla) = \Phi\eta. \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.5. *The definition for θ , given in (7-1), extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((\theta))$ on V .*

Proof. Since all indecomposable components of ∇ have positive slope, ∇ (and $z\nabla$) will be invertible and thus θ is well-defined. An action of $\mathbb{k}[\theta^{-1}] = \mathbb{k}[-z\nabla]$ on V is trivially defined. If $(z\nabla)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.5, we will also have an action of $\mathbb{k}[[\theta]]$ on V . This will give a well defined action of $\mathbb{k}((\theta))$ on V . Thus all we need to prove is that $(z\nabla)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.5.

We must show that $\theta = (z\nabla)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$ is continuous, open, linearly compact, and contracting. Due to the canonical form for difference operators, we can assume without loss of generality that ∇ is indecomposable and $z\nabla$ is of the form

$$z \frac{d}{dz} + \begin{bmatrix} f & & \\ 1 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

with $f \in \mathbb{k}[z^{-1/r}]$ and $\text{ord}(f) = -m/r < 0$. Let $\{e_i\}$ be the canonical basis. Since lattices are linearly compact open subspaces, to prove that $(z\nabla)^{-1}$ is open, continuous, and linearly compact it suffices to show that $(z\nabla)$ and $(z\nabla)^{-1}$ map a lattice of the form $L_k = \bigoplus (z^{1/r})^k \mathbb{k}[[z^{1/r}]] e_i$ to a lattice of the same form.

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} z\nabla(L_k) &= \bigoplus (z^{1/r})^{k-m} \mathbb{k}[[z^{1/r}]] e_i = L_{k-m}, \\ (z\nabla)^{-1}(L_k) &= \bigoplus (z^{1/r})^{k+m} \mathbb{k}[[z^{1/r}]] e_i = L_{k+m}, \end{aligned}$$

so $(z\nabla)^{-1}$ is open, continuous, and linearly compact.

To show that $(z\nabla)^{-1}$ is contracting, by Lemma 5.2 we only need to show that $\text{Ord}((z\nabla)^{-1}) > 0$. By Corollary 4.15(1), then, it suffices to show that we have $\text{ord}(f) < 0$ for the indecomposable $(V, \nabla) = E_f \otimes J_m$. This condition is fulfilled by assumption, since all indecomposable components have slope greater than zero. \square

The proof that $\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}$ is well-defined is similar to the proof above, with only one major caveat. In the proof of (i), we use the fact that the leading term of the operator is the only important term for the theoretical calculation. Thus one can think of z as $z_x + x$, and reduce to considering $z\nabla$ as merely $x\nabla$, from which the result readily follows. The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are identical. The proof that $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty,\infty)}$ is well-defined is virtually identical to the proof of Claim 7.4 once the change of variable from z to ζ is taken into consideration.

Remark. Note that the local Mellin transforms above give functors to apply to all connections except for certain connections with regular singularity. More precisely, the only invertible connections for which $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$, $\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}$, and $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty,\infty)}$ cannot be applied are those connections in \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}_∞ with slope zero. We conjecture that these connections with regular singularity will map to difference operators with

singularity at a point $y \neq \infty$. This regular singular case is sufficiently small, and the techniques necessary to prove our conjecture sufficiently different from the situation described above, that we do not discuss it here.

8. Definition of local inverse Mellin transforms

Definition 8.1. Let $D = (V, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}^{>0}$. Thus Φ is invertible and the irreducible components of Φ have order greater than zero. Consider on V the \mathbb{k} -linear operators

$$(8-1) \quad z := \Phi : V \rightarrow V \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla := -(\theta\Phi)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$$

Then z extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((z))$ on V , $\dim_{\mathbb{k}((z))} V < \infty$, and ∇ is a connection. We write V_z for V to denote that we are considering V as a $\mathbb{k}((z))$ -vector space. We define *the local inverse Mellin transform from zero to infinity of D* to be the object

$$\mathcal{M}^{-(0,\infty)}(D) := (V_z, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_0.$$

Definition 8.2. Let $D = (V, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}^{=0}$ be such that all irreducible components of Φ have order zero with the same leading coefficient $x \neq 0$, and $\Phi - x$ is invertible.

Consider on V the \mathbb{k} -linear operators

$$z := \Phi : V \rightarrow V \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla := -(\theta\Phi)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V.$$

Then the action of $z - x = z_x$ is clearly defined, z_x extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((z_x))$ on V , $\dim_{\mathbb{k}((z_x))} V < \infty$, and ∇ is a connection. We write V_{z_x} for V to denote that we are considering V as a $\mathbb{k}((z_x))$ -vector space. We define *the local inverse Mellin transform from x to infinity of D* to be the object

$$\mathcal{M}^{-(x,\infty)}(D) := (V_{z_x}, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_x.$$

Definition 8.3. Let $D = (V, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}^{<0}$. Thus Φ is invertible and the irreducible components of Φ have order less than zero. Consider on V the \mathbb{k} -linear operators

$$z := \Phi : V \rightarrow V \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla := -(\theta\Phi)^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$$

Then $\zeta = z^{-1}$ extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((\zeta))$ on V and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}((\zeta))} V < \infty$. We write V_ζ for V to denote that we are considering V as a $\mathbb{k}((\zeta))$ -vector space. We define *the local inverse Mellin transform from infinity to infinity of D* to be the object

$$\mathcal{M}^{-(\infty,\infty)}(D) := (V_\zeta, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty.$$

Claim 8.4. $\mathcal{M}^{-(0,\infty)}$ is well-defined.

Proof. To prove the claim we must show the following:

- (i) z extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((z))$ on V .
- (ii) V_z is finite-dimensional.
- (iii) ∇ is a connection on V_z .

We prove (i) with Lemma 8.5 below. In the proof of Lemma 8.5 we show that V_z is of Tate type. Lemma 5.3 then implies that V_z is finite-dimensional, proving (ii). To prove (iii) we must show that $[\nabla, f] = f'$ for all $f \in \mathbb{k}((z))$. Since ∇ is \mathbb{k} -linear and Laurent polynomials are dense in Laurent series, to show that $[\nabla, f] = f'$ we merely need to show that $[\nabla, z^n] = nz^{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A straightforward calculation shows that $[\nabla, z] = 1$, though, and then $[\nabla, z^n] = nz^{n-1}$ follows by induction. \square

Lemma 8.5. *The definition of z given in (8-1) extends to an action of $\mathbb{k}((z))$ on V .*

Proof. Since Φ is invertible, an action of $\mathbb{k}[z^{-1}]$ is defined. We prove that Φ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.5 to show that an action of $\mathbb{k}[[z]]$ is well-defined.

To apply Proposition 3.5, we need to show that $z = \Phi$ is continuous, open, linearly compact, and contracting. First we show that Φ is open, continuous, and linearly compact. We can assume that Φ is indecomposable, so in canonical form $(V, \Phi) = D_g \otimes T_m$ for some $g \in K_r$ with $\text{ord}(g) = s/r$.

Let $\{e_i\}$ be the canonical basis. As in previous proofs, it suffices to show that Φ and Φ^{-1} map a lattice of the form $L_k = \bigoplus (\theta^{1/r})^k A e_i$ to a lattice of the same form; note that here we are using $A = \mathbb{k}[[\theta^{1/r}]]$. Calculation using the canonical form shows that $\Phi(L_k) = L_{k+s}$ and $\Phi^{-1}(L_k) = L_{k-s}$, so Φ is open, continuous, and linearly compact.

To show that an indecomposable Φ is contracting, by Lemma 5.2 we need to show that $\text{Ord}(\Phi) > 0$. By Corollary 4.15(2), then, we simply need to show that for $(V, \Phi) = D_g \otimes T_m$ we have $\text{ord}(g) > 0$. This follows from the assumption that all irreducible components of Φ have order greater than zero. \square

The proofs that $\mathcal{M}^{-(x,\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{-(\infty,\infty)}$ are well-defined are similar and are omitted.

9. Equivalence of categories

Assuming that composition of the functors is defined, by inspection one can see that $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{-(0,\infty)}$ are inverse functors (and the same holds for the pairs $\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}$, $\mathcal{M}^{-(x,\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty,\infty)}$, $\mathcal{M}^{-(\infty,\infty)}$).

Thus to show that the local Mellin transforms induce certain equivalences of categories, all we need is to confirm that the functors map into the appropriate subcategories. We first prove an important property of normed vector spaces which coincides with properties of Tate vector spaces. This will be useful in demonstrating the equivalence of categories.

Normed vector spaces. Our first goal is to prove the following lemma, which will greatly simplify the relationship between the norm of an operator and its local

Mellin transform. First we give some definitions related to infinite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{k} .

Definition 9.1. Let V be an infinite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{k} . A *norm* on V is a real-valued function $\|\cdot\|$ such that the following hold:

- (1) $\|v\| > 0$ for $v \in V - \{0\}$, $\|0\| = 0$.
- (2) $\|v + w\| \leq \max(\|v\|, \|w\|)$ for all $v, w \in V$.
- (3) $\|c \cdot v\| = \|v\|$ for $c \in \mathbb{k}$ and $v \in V$.

Note that the above definition applies to an infinite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{k} , as opposed to K . Thus it is similar to Definition 4.2, but not the same.

Definition 9.2. An infinite-dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{k} is *locally linearly compact* if for any $r_1 > r_2 > 0$, $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$, the ball of radius r_2 has finite codimension in the ball of radius r_1 .

Proposition 9.3. Let V be an infinite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{k} , equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that V is complete in the induced topology. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $Y : V \rightarrow V$ be an invertible \mathbb{k} -linear operator such that $\|Y\| = \epsilon^\alpha < 1$ and $\|Y^{-1}\| = \epsilon^{-\alpha}$. Define $\hat{\epsilon} := \epsilon^\alpha$. Then

- (1) V has a unique structure of a $K = \mathbb{k}((y))$ -vector space such that y acts as Y and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ agrees with the valuation on K where $|f| = \hat{\epsilon}^{\text{ord}(f)}$ for $f \in K$.
- (2) V is finite-dimensional over K if and only if V is locally linearly compact.

Remark. If V is a Tate vector space then the unique structure of Proposition 9.3(1) coincides with that of Proposition 3.5.

Corollary 9.4. Let V be a $\mathbb{k}((y))$ -vector space, $Z : V \rightarrow V$ a similitude, and $\|Z\| = \|Z\|_{\text{inf}} = \epsilon^\alpha < 1$. Then V can be considered as a $\mathbb{k}((Z))$ -vector space (in the spirit of Proposition 9.3) and for any similitude $A : V \rightarrow V$ we have $\|A\| = \|A\|_Z$. In particular, A will be a similitude when V is viewed as either a $\mathbb{k}((y))$ - or a $\mathbb{k}((Z))$ -vector space.

Lemmas.

Lemma 9.5. The local Mellin transforms map indecomposable objects to indecomposable objects.

Proof. We give the proof for $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$; the proofs for the others are identical. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}(V, \nabla) = (V_\theta, \Phi)$ and V_θ has a proper subspace W such that $\Phi(W) \subset W$. Since V_θ is a $\mathbb{k}((\theta))$ -vector space we also trivially have that $\theta(W) \subset W$. By definition of $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$, this means that $z(W) \subset W$ and $-(z\nabla)^{-1}(W) \subset W$. In particular, it follows that $\nabla(W) \subset W$, so W is a proper subspace of V which is ∇ -invariant. This implies that if the local Mellin transform of an object is decomposable, the original object is decomposable as well, and the result follows. \square

Lemma 9.6. *Let $E = (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_0^{>0}$, θ , and Φ be as in Definition 7.1. Then $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}(E) \in \mathcal{N}^{>0}$.*

Proof. Due to the canonical decomposition it suffices to prove the lemma when E is indecomposable. Then ∇ and z are similitudes, so by Corollary 9.4, θ and Φ are also similitudes. By Lemma 9.5, Φ is indecomposable, so to prove Lemma 9.6 it suffices to show that $\|\Phi\|_\theta < 1$.

By Corollary 9.4, $\|A\|_z = \|A\|_\theta$ for any similitude A , and it follows that $\|\Phi\|_\theta = \|z\|_z = (\epsilon)^1 < 1$. \square

The next lemmas have proofs similar to the proof of Lemma 9.6; they are omitted.

Lemma 9.7. *If $D = (V, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}^{>0}$ is as in Definition 8.1, then $\mathcal{M}^{-(0,\infty)}(D) \in \mathcal{C}_0^{>0}$.*

Lemma 9.8. *If $E = (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_x$ is as in Definition 7.2, then $\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}(E) \in \mathcal{N}^0$.*

Lemma 9.9. *If $E = (V, \nabla) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty^{>0}$ is as in Definition 7.3, then $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty,\infty)}(E) \in \mathcal{N}^{<0}$.*

Lemma 9.10. *If $D = (V, \Phi) \in \mathcal{N}^{<0}$ is as in Definition 8.3, then $\mathcal{M}^{-(\infty,\infty)}(D) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty^{>0}$.*

Proofs for equivalence of categories.

Theorem 9.11. *The local Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$ induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{C}_0^{>0}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{>0}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 9.6 and 9.7, as well as the fact (stated above) that $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{-(0,\infty)}$ are inverse functors. \square

Theorem 9.12. *The local Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}$ induces an equivalence of categories between the subcategory of \mathcal{C}_x of connections with no horizontal sections and \mathcal{N}^0 .*

Theorem 9.13. *The local Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty,\infty)}$ induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{C}_\infty^{>0}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{<0}$.*

10. Explicit calculations of local Mellin transforms

In this section we give precise statements of explicit formulas for calculating the local Mellin transforms and their inverses. The results and proofs found in this chapter are analogous to those given for the local formal Fourier transforms in [Graham-Squire 2013]. Section 11 is devoted to proving the formulas given in Section 10.

Calculation of $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$.

Theorem 10.1. *Let s and r be positive integers, $a \in \mathbb{k} - \{0\}$, and $f \in R_r^\circ(z)$ with $f = az^{-s/r} + \underline{o}(z^{-s/r})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}(E_f) \simeq D_g,$$

where $g \in S_s^\circ(\theta)$ is determined by the following system of equations:

$$(10-1) \quad f = -\theta^{-1},$$

$$(10-2) \quad g = z - (-a)^{r/s} \left(\frac{r+s}{2s} \right) \theta^{1+(r/s)}.$$

Remark. We determine g using (10-1) and (10-2) as follows. One can think of (10-1) as an implicit definition for the variable z . Thus we first use (10-1) to give an explicit expression for z in terms of $\theta^{1/s}$. We then substitute this explicit expression into (10-2) to get an expression for $g(\theta)$ in terms of $\theta^{1/s}$. This same pattern for determining g holds for similar calculations in this section.

When we use (10-1) to write an expression for z in terms of $\theta^{1/s}$, the expression is not unique since we must make a choice of a root of unity. More concretely, let η be a primitive s -th root of unity. Then replacing $\theta^{1/s}$ with $\eta\theta^{1/s}$ in our explicit equation for z will yield another possible expression for z . This choice will not affect the overall result, however, since all such possible expressions will lie in the same Galois orbit. Thus by Proposition 2.7(1), any choice of root of unity will correspond to the same difference operator.

Corollary 10.2. *Let E be an object in $\mathcal{C}_0^{>0}$. By Proposition 2.3(3), let E have decomposition $E \simeq \bigoplus_i (E_{f_i} \otimes J_{m_i})$ where all E_{f_i} have positive slope. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}(E) \simeq \bigoplus_i (D_{g_i} \otimes T_{m_i})$$

where $D_{g_i} = \mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}(E_{f_i})$ for all i .

Sketch of proof. The equivalence of categories given in Theorem 9.11 implies that

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}\left(\bigoplus_i (E_{f_i} \otimes J_{m_i})\right) \simeq \bigoplus_i \mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}(E_{f_i} \otimes J_{m_i}).$$

The equivalence also implies that $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$ will map the indecomposable object $E_f \otimes J_m$ (as the unique indecomposable in \mathcal{C}_0 formed by m successive extensions of E_f) to an indecomposable object $D_g \otimes T_m$ (as the unique indecomposable in \mathcal{N} formed by m successive extensions of D_g). It follows that we only need to know how $\mathcal{M}^{(0,\infty)}$ acts on E_f , which is given by Theorem 10.1. \square

Remark. Analogous corollaries hold for the calculation of the other local Mellin transforms, however we do not state them explicitly.

Calculation of $\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}$.

Theorem 10.3. *Let s be a nonnegative integer, r a positive integer, and $a \in \mathbb{k} - \{0\}$. Let $f \in R_r^\circ(z_x)$ with $f = az_x^{-s/r} + \varrho(z_x^{-s/r})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(x,\infty)}(E_f) \simeq D_g,$$

where $g \in S_{r+s}^\circ(\theta)$ is determined by the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -\frac{zx}{z} \theta^{-1}, \\ g &= z + \frac{xs}{2(s+r)} \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Calculation of $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty, \infty)}$.

Theorem 10.4. *Let s and r be positive integers and $a \in \mathbb{k} - \{0\}$. Then for $f \in R_r^\circ(\zeta)$ with $f = a\zeta^{-s/r} + \underline{o}(\zeta^{-s/r})$,*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\infty, \infty)}(E_f) \simeq D_g,$$

where $g \in S_s^\circ(\theta)$ is determined by the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -\theta^{-1}, \\ g &= z - (-a)^{r/s} \frac{r+s}{2s} \theta^{1-(r/s)}. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 9 we explained that $\mathcal{M}^{-(0, \infty)}$, $\mathcal{M}^{-(x, \infty)}$, and $\mathcal{M}^{-(\infty, \infty)}$ are inverse functors for $\mathcal{M}^{(0, \infty)}$, $\mathcal{M}^{(x, \infty)}$, and $\mathcal{M}^{(\infty, \infty)}$, respectively. It follows that explicit formulas for the local inverse Mellin transforms can be found merely by ‘‘inverting’’ the expressions found in Theorems 10.1, 10.3, and 10.4. We give an example below of what this would look like for $\mathcal{M}^{-(0, \infty)}$, the other local inverse Mellin transforms are similar. The proofs are omitted.

Theorem 10.5. *Let p and q be positive integers and let $g \in S_q^\circ(\theta)$ be given by $g = a\theta^{p/q} + \underline{o}(\theta^{p/q})$, $a \neq 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{-(0, \infty)}(D_g) \simeq E_f,$$

where $f \in R_p^\circ(z)$ is determined by the following system of equations:

$$(10-3) \quad g + a \frac{p+q}{2q} \theta^{1+(p/q)} = z,$$

$$(10-4) \quad f = -\theta^{-1}.$$

Remark. We determine f using (10-3) and (10-4) as follows. First, using (10-3) we explicitly express θ in terms of $z^{1/p}$. We then substitute this explicit expression for θ into (10-4) and solve to get an expression for $f(z)$ in terms of $z^{1/p}$.

11. Proof of theorems

Outline. We begin with a brief outline of the proof for Theorem 10.1. Starting with Definition 8.1 of $\mathcal{M}^{(0, \infty)}$, we set $\theta = -(z\nabla)^{-1}$ and $\Phi = z$. For irreducible objects E_f and D_g we have $\nabla = \frac{d}{dz} + z^{-1}f$ and $\Phi = g\varphi$, and our goal is to use the

given value of f to find the expression for g . Since $z = z(1) = \Phi(1) = g\varphi(1) = g$, this amounts to finding an expression for the operator z in terms of the operator θ . The equation $\theta = -(z\nabla)^{-1}$ gives an expression for θ in terms of z , and we use Lemma 5.1 (the operator-root lemma) to write an explicit expression for the operator z in terms of θ . The calculation primarily involves finding particular fractional powers of f , but we must also keep track of the interplay between the linear and differential parts of ∇ during the calculation; this interplay accounts for the subtraction of the term

$$(-a)^{r/s} \frac{r+s}{2s} \theta^{1+(r/s)}$$

from our expression for g .

The proofs for Theorems 10.3 and 10.4 are similar and thus outlines for their proofs are omitted. The only change of note is that in the proof of Theorem 10.3 we must also prove a separate case for when our connection is regular singular, i.e., when $\text{ord}(f) = 0$.

Remark. We give a brief explanation regarding the origin of the system of equations found in Theorem 10.1. Consider the equations in (7-1). Let $\nabla = z^{-1}f$, i.e., as normally defined but without the differential part. Let $\Phi = g$, as normally defined but without the shift operator φ . Then the equations $f = -\theta^{-1}$ and $g = z$ fall out easily. The reason the extra term shows up in (10-2) is due to the interaction of the linear and differential parts of ∇ , as described above in the outline.

Proof of Theorem 10.1. Given $\theta = -(z\nabla)^{-1}$ and $\nabla = \frac{d}{dz} + z^{-1}f$, we find that

$$(11-1) \quad -\theta = \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + f \right)^{-1}.$$

We wish to express the operator z in terms of the operator θ .

Consider the equation

$$(11-2) \quad -\theta = f^{-1},$$

which is (11-1) without the differential part. Equation (11-2) can be thought of as an implicit expression for the variable z in terms of the variable θ , which one can rewrite as an explicit expression $z = h(\theta) \in \mathbb{k}((\theta^{1/s}))$ for the variable z . Note that $h(\theta)$ is not the same as the operator z . The leading term of f is $az^{-s/r}$, so (11-2) implies that $h(\theta) = a^{r/s}(-\theta)^{r/s} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{r/s})$. Similar reasoning and (11-1) indicate that the operator z will be of the form

$$(11-3) \quad z = h(\theta) + *(-\theta)^{(r+s)/s} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{(r+s)/s}).$$

Here the $* \in \mathbb{k}$ represents the coefficient that will arise from the interaction of the linear and differential parts of the operator θ . We wish to find the value for $*$. Let

$A = f$ and $B = z \frac{d}{dz}$, then $[B, A] = zf'$. From (11-1) we have $-\theta = (A + B)^{-1}$, and we apply Lemma 5.1 (the operator-root lemma) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11-4) \quad & (-\theta)^{r/s} \\
 &= f^{-r/s} - \frac{r}{s} f^{-r/s-1} z \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{zr} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r}{s} \right) \left(-\frac{r}{s} - 1 \right) f^{-r/s-2} z f' + \underline{o}(z^{(r+s)/r}) \\
 &= (a^{-r/s} z + \dots) + a^{-(r+s)/s} \left(\frac{-\mathbb{Z}}{s} + \frac{-(r+s)}{2s} \right) z^{(r+s)/r} + \underline{o}(z^{(r+s)/r}) \\
 &= a^{-r/s} \left(z + \dots + a^{-1} \left(\frac{-\mathbb{Z}}{s} + \frac{-(r+s)}{2s} \right) z^{1+(s/r)} + \underline{o}(z^{1+(s/r)}) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(11-5) \quad (-\theta)^{(r+s)/s} = a^{-1-(r/s)} z^{1+(s/r)} + \underline{o}(z^{1+(s/r)}).$$

Remark. We use the notation $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{zr}$ to represent $\frac{d}{dz}$ since, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the operator $\frac{d}{dz} : K_r \rightarrow K_r$ acts on $z^{n/r}$ as multiplication by $\frac{n}{rz}$.

We can now find the value for $*$ as follows: Substituting the expressions from (11-4) and (11-5) into (11-3) and making a short calculation gives

$$* = a^{r/s} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{s} + \frac{r+s}{2s} \right)$$

and thus

$$(11-6) \quad z = h(\theta) + a^{r/s} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{s} + \frac{r+s}{2s} \right) (-\theta)^{(r+s)/s} + \underline{o}(\theta^{(r+s)/s}).$$

According to (11-6), let us express $\hat{g}(\theta)$ as

$$(11-7) \quad \hat{g}(\theta) = h(\theta) - (-a)^{r/s} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{s} + \frac{r+s}{2s} \right) \theta^{(r+s)/s} + \underline{o}(\theta^{(r+s)/s}).$$

Since $h(\theta) = z$, by Proposition 2.7(1), $M_{\hat{g}}$ will be isomorphic to M_g , where g is as given in Theorem 10.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 10.3. Given $\theta = -(z\nabla)^{-1}$ and $\nabla = \frac{d}{dz_x} + z_x^{-1}f$, we write $z = z_x + x$ and find that

$$(11-8) \quad -\theta = \left((x + z_x) \left(\frac{d}{dz_x} + z_x^{-1}f \right) \right)^{-1} = \left(z z_x^{-1}f + x \frac{d}{dz_x} + z_x \frac{d}{dz_x} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus in the expression for $-\theta^{-1}$ there are three terms. We handle the proof in two cases:

Regular singularity. In this case we have $f = \alpha \in \mathbb{k} - \{0\}$, $s = 0$, and $r = 1$. Because α is only defined up to a shift by \mathbb{Z} we can ignore the d/dz_x term. The remaining portion of the proof is as described in the remark on page 134. Note that since $s = 0$, the extra θ term in the final equation in Theorem 10.3 will vanish.

Irregular singularity. In this situation we have $\text{ord}(f) < 0$. As we shall see in the proof, the only terms in (11-8) that affect the final result are those of order less than or equal to -1 (with respect to z_x). Specifically, since $z_x d/dz_x$ has order zero, all terms derived from it in the course of the calculations will fall into the $\varrho(\theta)$ term. Thus we can safely ignore the term $z_x d/dz_x$ for the remainder of the proof and consider only

$$(11-9) \quad -\theta = \left(z z_x^{-1} f + x \frac{d}{dz_x} \right)^{-1}.$$

We wish to express the operator z in terms of the operator θ . The remainder of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 10.1, but we first solve for $z_x = z - x$ in terms of θ , then add x to both sides to get an equation for z alone. \square

Proof of Theorem 10.4. Recall that $z = 1/\zeta$ and $f \in \mathbb{k}((\zeta))$. Given $\theta = -(z\nabla)^{-1}$ and $\nabla = -\zeta^2 d/d\zeta + \zeta f$, we find that

$$(11-10) \quad -\theta = \left(-\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} + f \right)^{-1}.$$

We wish to express the operator z in terms of the operator θ . The proof is similar to that of Theorem 10.1, but first we find an expression for ζ in terms of θ , and then we will invert it. \square

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Dima Arinkin for his continuing assistance, support, and encouragement of this work.

References

- [André and Baldassarri 2001] Y. André and F. Baldassarri, *De Rham cohomology of differential modules on algebraic varieties*, Progress in Mathematics **189**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001. MR 1807281 Zbl 0995.14003
- [Arinkin 2008] D. Arinkin, “Fourier transform and middle convolution for irregular \mathcal{D} -modules”, preprint, 2008. arXiv 0808.0699
- [Babbitt and Varadarajan 1985] D. G. Babbitt and V. S. Varadarajan, “Local moduli for meromorphic differential equations”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **12**:1 (1985), 95–98. MR 766962 Zbl 0579.34005
- [Beilinson and Drinfeld 2004] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Chiral algebras*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **51**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. MR 2058353 Zbl 1138.17300
- [Beilinson et al. 2002] A. Beilinson, S. Bloch, and H. Esnault, “ ϵ -factors for Gauss–Manin determinants”, *Mosc. Math. J.* **2**:3 (2002), 477–532. MR 1988970 Zbl 1061.14010
- [Bloch and Esnault 2004] S. Bloch and H. Esnault, “Local Fourier transforms and rigidity for \mathcal{D} -modules”, *Asian J. Math.* **8**:4 (2004), 587–605. MR 2127940 Zbl 1082.14506

- [Cassels and Fröhlich 1967] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich (editors), *Algebraic number theory* (Brighton, 1965), Academic Press, London, 1967. Reprinted in 1986. MR 0215665 Zbl 0153.07403
- [Chen and Fahim 1998] G. Chen and A. Fahim, “Formal reduction of linear difference systems”, *Pacific J. Math.* **182**:1 (1998), 37–54. MR 1610618 Zbl 0892.39005
- [Fang 2009] J. Fang, “Calculation of local Fourier transforms for formal connections”, *Sci. China Ser. A* **52**:10 (2009), 2195–2206. MR 2550278 Zbl 1195.14023
- [García López 2004] R. García López, “Microlocalization and stationary phase”, *Asian J. Math.* **8**:4 (2004), 747–768. MR 2127946 Zbl 1100.32005
- [Graham-Squire 2013] A. Graham-Squire, “Calculation of local formal Fourier transforms”, *Ark. Mat.* **51**:1 (2013), 71–84. MR 3029337 Zbl 1271.14023
- [Katz 1987] N. M. Katz, “On the calculation of some differential Galois groups”, *Invent. Math.* **87**:1 (1987), 13–61. MR 862711 Zbl 0609.12025
- [Kedlaya 2010] K. S. Kedlaya, *p -adic differential equations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **125**, Cambridge University Press, 2010. MR 2663480 Zbl 1213.12009
- [Kolmogorov and Fomin 1975] A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin, *Introductory real analysis*, Dover, New York, NY, 1975. MR 51 #13617 Zbl 0213.07305
- [Laumon 1996] G. Laumon, “Transformation de Fourier généralisée”, preprint, 1996. arXiv alg-geom/9603004
- [Levelt 1975] A. H. M. Levelt, “Jordan decomposition for a class of singular differential operators”, *Ark. Mat.* **13** (1975), 1–27. MR 0500294 Zbl 0305.34008
- [Loeser and Sabbah 1991] F. Loeser and C. Sabbah, “Équations aux différences finies et déterminants d’intégrales de fonctions multiformes”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **66**:3 (1991), 458–503. MR 1120656 Zbl 0760.39001
- [Malgrange 1991] B. Malgrange, *Équations différentielles à coefficients polynomiaux*, Progress in Mathematics **96**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1991. MR 1117227 Zbl 0764.32001
- [Praagman 1983] C. Praagman, “The formal classification of linear difference operators”, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **45**:2 (1983), 249–261. MR 705431 Zbl 0519.39003
- [van der Put and Singer 1997] M. van der Put and M. F. Singer, *Galois theory of difference equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1666**, Springer, Berlin, 1997. MR 1480919 Zbl 0930.12006
- [Sabbah 2008] C. Sabbah, “An explicit stationary phase formula for the local formal Fourier–Laplace transform”, pp. 309–330 in *Singularities I*, edited by J.-P. Brasselet et al., Contemporary Mathematics **474**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. MR 2454354 Zbl 1162.32018
- [Turrittin 1955] H. L. Turrittin, “Convergent solutions of ordinary linear homogeneous differential equations in the neighborhood of an irregular singular point”, *Acta Math.* **93** (1955), 27–66. MR 0068689 Zbl 0064.33603

Received February 13, 2015.

ADAM GRAHAM-SQUIRE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 HIGH POINT UNIVERSITY
 ONE UNIVERSITY PARKWAY
 HIGH POINT, NC 27268
 UNITED STATES
 agraahams@highpoint.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$/year for the electronic version, and \$/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 283 No. 1 July 2016

A New family of simple $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ -modules	1
JONATHAN NILSSON	
Derived categories of representations of small categories over commutative noetherian rings	21
BENJAMIN ANTIEAU and GREG STEVENSON	
Vector bundles over a real elliptic curve	43
INDRANIL BISWAS and FLORENT SCHAFFHAUSER	
$\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{N})$ -graded Lie superalgebras arising from fermionic-bosonic representations	63
JIN CHENG	
Conjugacy and element-conjugacy of homomorphisms of compact Lie groups	75
YINGJUE FANG, GANG HAN and BINYONG SUN	
Entire sign-changing solutions with finite energy to the fractional Yamabe equation	85
DANILO GARRIDO and MONICA MUSSO	
Calculation of local formal Mellin transforms	115
ADAM GRAHAM-SQUIRE	
The untwisting number of a knot	139
KENAN INCE	
A Plancherel formula for $L^2(G/H)$ for almost symmetric subgroups	157
BENT ØRSTED and BIRGIT SPEH	
Multiplicative reduction and the cyclotomic main conjecture for GL_2	171
CHRISTOPHER SKINNER	
Commensurators of solvable S -arithmetic groups	201
DANIEL STUDENMUND	
Gerstenhaber brackets on Hochschild cohomology of quantum symmetric algebras and their group extensions	223
SARAH WITHERSPOON and GUODONG ZHOU	



0030-8730(201607)283:1;1-X