# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# TRANSFERENCE OF CERTAIN MAXIMAL HILBERT TRANSFORMS ON THE TORUS

DASHAN FAN, HUOXIONG WU AND FAYOU ZHAO

Volume 284 No. 2 October 2016

# TRANSFERENCE OF CERTAIN MAXIMAL HILBERT TRANSFORMS ON THE TORUS

DASHAN FAN, HUOXIONG WU AND FAYOU ZHAO

Using transference techniques, we show that  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  estimates for many operators may be transferred to the  $L^p(\mathbb{T}^n)$  estimates on the n-torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$  via measure-preserving actions of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . These operators include the maximal bilinear Hilbert transform, the oscillation, and the variation and short variation operators of the Hilbert transform on the torus  $\mathbb{T}$ . As an extension, we study the (maximal) bilinear Riesz transforms on the n-torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb C$  be the complex plane and  $\mathbb R^2_+$  the upper half plane

$$\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+} = \{ (x, y) = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0 \}.$$

The boundary of  $\mathbb{R}^2_+$  is the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the boundary condition  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , where f is real-valued and  $1 \le p < \infty$ . It is well known that the Poisson integral

$$u(x, y) = P_y(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \frac{y}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} dt$$

is the solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ . Precisely, u is a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2_+$  and u(x, y) tends to f(x) nontangentially for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $y \to 0^+$ . There is a (unique) harmonic function

$$v(x, y) = Q_y(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \frac{x - t}{(x - t)^2 + y^2} dt$$

such that

$$F(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$$

is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ . This function  $Q_y(f)(x)$  is called the conjugate Poisson integral of f. From [Stein and Weiss 1971, p. 186], we know that the

The research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11471288, 11471041, 11371295, 11201287), China Scholarship Council (No. 201406895019) and the FETU. The corresponding author is Zhao.

MSC2010: primary 42B20, 42B25; secondary 42A50, 40A30.

*Keywords:* bilinear Hilbert transform, maximal operator, Riesz transform, oscillation, variation, torus, transference.

function F(z), with z = x + iy, has the nontangential limit  $f(x) + \frac{i}{\pi}Hf(x)$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here H is the Hilbert transform defined by

(1) 
$$Hf(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} H_{\varepsilon}f(x)$$

and

$$H_{\varepsilon}f(x) = \int_{|t|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x-t)}{t} dt.$$

To study the pointwise convergence of Hf(x), one then needs to study the truncated maximal Hilbert transform

$$H^*f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |H_{\varepsilon}f(x)|.$$

Let

$$D = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

be the unit disc. Its boundary  $\mathbb{T}=\partial D$  is the one-dimensional torus. Without loss of generality, we may identify the torus  $\mathbb{T}$  with its fundamental interval  $\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]$ . The Dirichlet problem on D with the boundary condition  $\tilde{f}\in L^p(\mathbb{T})$  similarly raises an analytic function  $\tilde{F}(z)=\tilde{u}(x,y)+i\tilde{v}(x,y)$ . The function  $\tilde{F}(z)$ , for  $z=x+iy\in D$ , has the nontangential limit  $\tilde{f}(x)+\frac{i}{\pi}\widetilde{H}\tilde{f}(x)$  for almost all points  $x\in\mathbb{T}$ . Here  $\tilde{H}$  is the periodic version of the Hilbert transform defined by

$$\widetilde{H}\widetilde{f}(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{f}(x)$$

and

(2) 
$$\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{f}(x) = \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{f}(x-t)\cot(\pi t) dt.$$

By computing the Fourier coefficients, one can see that  $\widetilde{H}\widetilde{f}(x)$  has the Fourier series

$$\widetilde{H}\widetilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} i \operatorname{sgn}(k) a_k e^{2\pi i k x}$$

for any

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e^{2\pi i kx}$$

(see also [Edwards and Gaudry 1977]). It is known that  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{sgn}(k) a_k e^{2\pi i k x}$  (up to a constant multiplier) is the conjugate Fourier series of  $\tilde{f}$ .

The bilinear Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}(f,g)$  and the maximal bilinear Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}^*(f,g)$  are defined respectively as

(3) 
$$\mathcal{H}(f,g)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|t| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x-t)g(x+t)}{t} dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^*(f,g)(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|t| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x-t)g(x+t)}{t} \, dt \right|.$$

The operator  $\mathcal{H}(f,g)$  is not merely a formal extension from the Hilbert transform. It has deep roots in the study of certain harmonic analysis and PDE problems. The study of the bilinear Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}(f,g)$  was initiated by Calderón when he studied certain Cauchy integrals  $C_{\gamma}(f)$  along the Lipschitz curves. In order to obtain the  $L^2$  boundedness of  $C_{\gamma}(f)$ , Calderón introduced a commutator (now known as the first Calderón commutator) and raised a famous conjecture, which says that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a bounded operator from  $L^{\infty} \times L^2 \to L^2$ ; see [Jones 1994]. The conjecture was solved in a more general setting by Lacey and Thiele in their celebrated theorem:

**Theorem A1** [Lacey and Thiele 1997]. Let  $1 < q, r \le \infty$ , and  $\frac{2}{3} . Then$ 

$$\|\mathcal{H}(f,g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \|g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})},$$

provided 
$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$$
.

The notation  $A \leq B$  for A, B > 0 means that there exists a constant c > 0 independent of all essential variables such that  $A \leq cB$ . We also use the notation  $A \simeq B$  when  $A \prec B$  and  $B \prec A$ .

The proof of the theorem by Lacey and Thiele involves a very elegant method of time-frequency analysis. The essence of the matter lies in their formulation and proof of certain almost orthogonal results on the phase space. Maximal forms of these results must be proved. These maximal inequalities rely in an essential way on a novel maximal inequality of Bourgain [1989; 1990]. By refining these maximal bilinear estimates and Bourgain's lemma, Lacey further obtained the following remarkable theorem:

**Theorem A2** [Lacey 2000]. Let  $1 < q, r \le \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . If  $\frac{2}{3} , then <math>\|\mathcal{H}^*(f,g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \|g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Based on Theorems A1 and A2, it is natural to expect to establish analogous theorems for the periodic bilinear Hilbert transform on the torus. Here, the bilinear Hilbert transform and its maximal operator on the torus are defined, initially on  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ , by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{f}(x-t)\widetilde{g}(x+t)\cot(\pi t) dt$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^*(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{f}(x - t) \tilde{g}(x + t) \cot(\pi t) dt \right|.$$

However, it seems quite difficult to adopt the time-frequency method used in [Lacey and Thiele 1997]. Thus, in [Fan and Sato 2001], the authors used a "transference" method to reduce the boundedness of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})$  to the boundedness of  $\mathcal{H}(f,g)$  by estimating an error term. The method of transference is a useful tool for obtaining norm estimates independent of the dimension for classical operators acting on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [Auscher and Carro 1994; Blasco and Gillespie 2009; Coifman and Weiss 1977; Gillespie and Torrea 2004; Rubio de Francia 1989]). Fan and Sato [2001] proved the de Leeuw-type theorems (see [de Leeuw 1965]) for the transference of multilinear operators on Lebesgue spaces from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to the n-torus. In particular, they proved:

**Theorem B.** Let  $1 < q, r \le \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . If  $\frac{2}{3} , then$ 

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})} \|\widetilde{g}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Note that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) \simeq \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sgn}(k_1 - k_2) a_{k_1} a_{k_2} e^{2\pi i (k_1 + k_2) x},$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k_1} e^{2\pi i k_1 x}$$
 and  $\tilde{g}(x) = \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k_2} e^{2\pi i k_2 x}$ .

In [Fan and Sato 2001], the authors also studied the boundedness of the maximal multiplier operator

$$\widetilde{T}^{**}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} m(\varepsilon k_1, \varepsilon k_2) a_{k_1} a_{k_2} e^{2\pi i (k_1 + k_2) x} \right|,$$

where m is a bounded and continuous function (see also [Berkson et al. 2006; 2007; Blasco et al. 2005; Grafakos and Honzík 2006] for transference methods on maximal bilinear operators). For the bilinear Hilbert transform, clearly we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{**}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x),$$

since

$$\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{sgn}(\varepsilon k_1 - \varepsilon k_2) a_{k_1} a_{k_2} e^{2\pi i (k_1 + k_2) x} = \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{sgn}(k_1 - k_2) a_{k_1} a_{k_2} e^{2\pi i (k_1 + k_2) x}.$$

This observation indicates

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^*(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) \neq \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{**}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x).$$

Since the boundedness of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^*(\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g})$  still remains open, the first aim of this paper is to solve this problem by establishing the following analog of Lacey's theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let 
$$1 < q, r \le \infty$$
 and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . If  $\frac{2}{3} , then  $\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^*(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \le \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T})}$ .$ 

We adopt the method in [Fan and Sato 2001] to prove the theorem, in which the main issue is to estimate error terms in order to reduce the boundedness of  $L^q(\mathbb{T}) \times L^r(\mathbb{T}) \to L^p(\mathbb{T})$  to the known result for  $L^q(\mathbb{R}) \times L^r(\mathbb{R}) \to L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . This method additionally allows us to treat other operators related to the maximal Hilbert transform. Recall that the limits (1) and (3) mentioned above exist almost everywhere. Motivated by probability and ergodic theory [Bourgain 1989; Jones 1997; 1998], in order to obtain extra information on their convergence rate, as well as an estimate on the number of  $\lambda$ -jumps they can have, Campbell, Jones, Reinhold and Wierdl [Campbell et al. 2000] studied the oscillation and variation of the family  $(H_{\varepsilon})$  as  $\varepsilon$  approaches 0 as follows.

For each fixed sequence  $(t_k) \setminus 0$ , define the oscillation and variation operators by

(4) 
$$\mathscr{O}(H_*f)(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \le \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k \le t_k} |H_{\varepsilon_k} f(x) - H_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} f(x)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(5) 
$$\mathcal{V}_{\varrho}(H_*f)(x) = \sup_{(\varepsilon_k) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |H_{\varepsilon_k} f(x) - H_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} f(x)|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}},$$

respectively. Also, define

$$V_k(H_*f)(x) = \sup_{(\varepsilon_j) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{\frac{1}{2^k} < \varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_j \le \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} |H_{\varepsilon_j} f(x) - H_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all decreasing sequences  $(\varepsilon_j)$ . Then the "short variation operator" is defined by

(6) 
$$S_V(H_*f)(x) = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k(H_*f(x))^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For convenience, all the integrals are defined on the Schwartz class.

We recall the following results from [Campbell et al. 2000].

**Theorem C.** The oscillation operator  $\mathcal{O}(H_*)$  satisfies

$$\|\mathscr{O}(H_*f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le c_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$

for 
$$1 and  $|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{O}(H_*f)(x) > \lambda\}| \le (c/\lambda) ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ .$$

**Theorem D.** If  $\varrho > 2$ , then the variation operator  $\mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(H_*)$  satisfies

$$\|\mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(H_*f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le c(p,\varrho)\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$

for 
$$1 and  $|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{V}_{\varrho}(H_*f)(x) > \lambda\}| \le (c(\varrho)/\lambda) ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ .$$

**Theorem E.** The short variation operator  $S_V(H_*)$  satisfies

$$||S_V(H_*f)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le c_p ||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$

for 
$$1 and  $|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : S_V(H_*f)(x) > \lambda\}| \le (c/\lambda) ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ .$$

Our second aim is to use the transference method to study the analogs of these operators on the torus. For each fixed sequence  $(t_k) \setminus 0$ , define the oscillation and variation operators on the torus by

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_* \widetilde{f})(x) &= \bigg(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \leq \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_{k} \leq t_{k}} |\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k}} \widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \widetilde{f}(x)|^{2} \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_* \widetilde{f})(x) &= \sup_{(\varepsilon_{k}) \searrow 0} \bigg(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k}} \widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \widetilde{f}(x)|^{\varrho} \bigg)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}, \end{split}$$

respectively. Also, define the operator  $V_k(\widetilde{H}_*)$  on the torus by

$$V_{k}(\widetilde{H}_{*}\widetilde{f})(x) = \sup_{(\varepsilon_{j}) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{\frac{1}{2^{k}} < \varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_{j} \le \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} |\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{j}}\widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}\widetilde{f}(x)|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all decreasing sequences  $(\varepsilon_j)$ . Then define the "short variation operator" on the torus by

$$S_V(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x) = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (V_k(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f}(x))^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\right)$$

For simplicity, we define these operators on the space  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ . We establish the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.2.** The oscillation operator  $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{H}_*)$  satisfies

$$\|\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_p \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$$

for 
$$1 and  $|\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{H}_* \widetilde{f})(x) > \lambda\}| \le (c/\lambda) \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}$ .$$

**Theorem 1.3.** If  $\varrho > 2$ , then the variation operator  $\mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_*)$  satisfies

$$\|\mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_{*}\widetilde{f})\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c(p,\varrho)\|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})}$$

for 
$$1 and  $|\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \mathcal{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x) > \lambda\}| \le (c(\varrho)/\lambda) \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}$ .$$

**Theorem 1.4.** The short variation operator  $S_V(\widetilde{H}_*)$  satisfies

$$\|S_V(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \le c_p \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$$

for 
$$1 and  $|\{x \in \mathbb{T} : S_V(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x) > \lambda\}| \le (c/\lambda) \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}$ .$$

As we mentioned, the method in [Fan and Sato 2001] shows that  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  estimates for many linear operators may be transferred to their corresponding  $L^p$  estimates on the torus via measure-preserving actions of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . As a further application and extension, we consider the bilinear Riesz transform on the n-torus. Recall that the bilinear singular integral with rough kernel on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

(7) 
$$T_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x-y)g(x+y) \frac{\Omega(y')}{|y|^n} dy,$$

where  $\Omega(y')$  is a function defined on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and whose integral over  $S^{n-1}$  is zero. One then obtains the bilinear Riesz transform by taking  $\Omega(x) = x_j/|x|$ , where  $x_j$  is the j-th component of x. Using the same transference method, we also can transfer the  $L^p$ -boundedness of the maximal bilinear Riesz transform from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . This fact is discussed in the last two sections.

Throughout this article, we use the letter c to denote a positive constant, which is independent of the main parameters and not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

### 2. Proof of Theorems 1.1–1.4

In this section we give the proof of Theorems 1.1–1.4. As is well known, Euler discovered two remarkable expressions for circular functions, one as an infinite product and the other as an infinite series. For the sine function he established the formula

$$\sin(\pi x) = \pi x \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{k}\right) = \pi x \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 + \frac{x}{k}\right)$$

(see [Varadarajan 2007]). By logarithmic differentiation one obtains

(8) 
$$\cot(\pi x) = \text{p.v. } \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{x+k} \right),$$

where p.v. means the Cauchy principal value, that is, that the sum has to be interpreted as the limit

(9) 
$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \frac{1}{x+k} = \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{x+k} - \frac{1}{x-k} \right), \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Let  $\chi_A(t)$  be the characteristic function of the set  $A = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| > 1\}$ . To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $\varepsilon < |t| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\cot(\pi t)\chi_A\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_A\left(\frac{t+k}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_{A^C}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

and the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_{A^{C}} \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq |t| \chi_{A^{C}} \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right),$$

where  $A^{C}$  is the complement of the set A.

*Proof.* Using (8), we write the term  $\cot(\pi t)\chi_A(t/\varepsilon)$  as

$$\cot(\pi t) \chi_A \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_A \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_A \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\pi t} \chi_A \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Since  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| > 1\}$ , it is easy to see that for  $\varepsilon < |t| \le \frac{1}{2}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\chi_A\left(\frac{t+k}{\varepsilon}\right) = 1.$$

The fact above leads to

$$\frac{1}{\pi t} \chi_A \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi t} \chi_A \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_A \left( \frac{t+k}{\varepsilon} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k}.$$

Hence we have

$$\cot(\pi t)\chi_A\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_A\left(\frac{t+k}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_{A^C}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

It now remains to estimate

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\frac{1}{t+k}\chi_{A^C}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

From (9), we know

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_{A^C} \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{t+k} + \frac{1}{t-k} \right) \chi_{A^C} \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) = 2t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2 - k^2} \chi_{A^C} \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Using this yields

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_{A^{C}} \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq |t| \chi_{A^{C}} \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right),$$

which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For simplicity, we introduce some notation. Denote by

(10) 
$$\Re(t) = \frac{\chi_A(t)}{t}, \qquad \Re_{\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Re\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

(11) 
$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{t+k}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad r_{\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{t+k} \chi_{A^{C}}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}(f,g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-t)g(x+t)\mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(t) dt.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})(x) = \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{f}(x-t)\tilde{g}(x+t)\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon}(t) dt.$$

Because of Lemma 2.1, one has

$$\widetilde{H}^*(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) \le \frac{1}{\pi} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) \right| + \frac{1}{\pi} M(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x),$$

where

$$M(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{f}(x - t) \tilde{g}(x + t) r_{\varepsilon}(t) dt \right|.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the Minkowski integral inequality and Hölder's inequality, we see that, for  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$||M(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\tilde{f}(x-t)\tilde{g}(x+t)||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, dx)} |t| dt$$
$$\leq ||\tilde{f}||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{T})} ||\tilde{g}||_{L^{r}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

On the other hand, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{f}(x-t)\tilde{g}(x+t)r_{\varepsilon}(t) dt \right\|_{L^{1/2}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \left\| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\tilde{f}(x-t)\tilde{g}(x+t)r_{\varepsilon}(t)| dt \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}$$
$$\leq \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Then an interpolation yields that, for all  $\frac{1}{2} \le p < \infty$ ,

$$\|M(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Thus, to prove the theorem, we only need to show that

$$\left\| \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \right| \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \| \tilde{f} \|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{T})} \| \tilde{g} \|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

It is easy to compute that the Fourier coefficients of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon}(t)$  are

(12) 
$$c_{m,\varepsilon} = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Re\left(\frac{t+k}{\varepsilon}\right) e^{-i2\pi mt} dt$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Re(t) e^{-i2\pi mt\varepsilon} dt = -i \int_{|t| > 1} \frac{\sin(2\pi mt\varepsilon)}{t} dt$$
$$= -\pi i \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon m) + i \int_{|t| < 2\pi} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon mt)}{t} dt.$$

Clearly,  $c_{m,\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded on  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that

$$\int_{|t|<\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{f}(x-t)\widetilde{g}(x+t)\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon}(t) dt = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m b_n c_{m-n,\varepsilon} e^{2\pi i (n+m)x},$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m e^{2\pi i m x}$$
 and  $\tilde{g}(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n e^{2\pi i n x}$ .

Now pick  $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $\Psi(x) = 1$  on  $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , supp $(\Psi) \subset \left[-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]$  and  $0 \leq \Psi(x) \leq 1$ . For any positive N, denote the function  $\Psi^N$  by

(13) 
$$\Psi^N(x) = \Psi(x/N).$$

Consider the error term given by

$$E_{N,\varepsilon}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})(x) = \Psi(x/N)^2 \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})(x) - \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}(\Psi^N \tilde{f},\Psi^N \tilde{g})(x).$$

The error term  $E_{N,\varepsilon}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})(x)$  roughly gives the difference of  $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  on the torus. By checking the Fourier transform, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon} \left( \Psi^{N} \tilde{f}, \Psi^{N} \tilde{g} \right) (x) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{m} b_{n} e^{2\pi i (n + m)x}$$

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(t) \Psi \left( \frac{x + t}{N} \right) \Psi \left( \frac{x - t}{N} \right) e^{2\pi i (n - m)t} dt.$$

The definition of the inverse Fourier transform on the space of Schwartz functions shows that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(t) \Psi \Big( \frac{x+t}{N} \Big) \Psi \Big( \frac{x-t}{N} \Big) e^{2\pi i (n-m)t} \, dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) e^{2\pi i u (x+t)/N} e^{2\pi i v (x-t)/N} \, du \, dv \, e^{2\pi i (n-m)t} \, dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) e^{2\pi i x (u+v)/N} \Bigg( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(t) e^{2\pi i (u-v)t/N} e^{2\pi i (n-m)t} \, dt \Bigg) \, du \, dv. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} E_{N,\varepsilon}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})(x) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m b_n e^{2\pi i (n+m)x} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) (c_{m-n,\varepsilon} - c_{m-n+(v-u)/N,\varepsilon}) e^{2\pi i x (u+v)/N} \, du \, dv. \end{split}$$

If m = n, then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) (c_{m-n,\varepsilon} - c_{m-n+(v-u)/N,\varepsilon}) e^{2\pi i x (u+v)/N} \, du \, dv \\ &= i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{u>v} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) \left( \int_{|t|>1} \frac{\sin \varepsilon 2\pi t (v-u)/N}{t} \, dt \right) e^{2\pi i x (u+v)/N} \, du \, dv \\ &- i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{v>u} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) \left( \int_{|t|>1} \frac{\sin \varepsilon 2\pi t (u-v)/N}{t} \, dt \right) e^{2\pi i x (u+v)/N} \, du \, dv \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

If  $m \neq n$ , for any sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we choose an L > 0 such that

$$\left| \int_{u^2+v^2>L} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) (c_{m-n,\varepsilon} - c_{m-n+(v-u)/N,\varepsilon}) e^{2\pi i x (u+v)/N} \, du \, dv \right| < \delta.$$

Now we let N be sufficiently large so that, for  $u^2 + v^2 \le L$ ,

$$\operatorname{sgn}(m-n) = \operatorname{sgn}(m-n+(v-u)/N).$$

By this choice, for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &\left| \int_{u^2+v^2 \leq L} \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) (c_{m-n,\varepsilon} - c_{m-n+(v-u)/N,\varepsilon}) e^{2\pi i x (u+v)/N} \, du \, dv \right| \\ & \leq \int_{u^2+v^2 \leq L} \left| \widehat{\Psi}(u) \widehat{\Psi}(v) \int_{|t| < 2\pi} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon t (m-n)) - \sin(\varepsilon t (m-n+(v-u)/N))}{t} \, dt \right| du \, dv \\ &= o(1) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Since  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_m)$  are rapidly decreasing sequences, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon<1/2} |E_{N,\varepsilon}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})(x)| = 0.$$

Applying that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon<1/2} \left| \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f},\tilde{g})(x) \right|$$

is a periodic function, together with Theorem A2, we now have, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1/2} \left| \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \right| \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} &= \left( \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1/2} \left| \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})(x) \right| \right)^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{1/p}} \left( \int_{-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1/2} \Psi(x/N)^{2} \left| \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})(x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{N^{1/p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1/2} \left| \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon} \left( \Psi^{N} \tilde{f}, \Psi^{N} \tilde{g} \right)(x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{N^{1/p}} \| \Psi^{N} \tilde{f} \|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R})} \| \Psi^{N} \tilde{g} \|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq o(1) + \| \tilde{f} \|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R})} \| \tilde{g} \|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{R})}. \end{split}$$

Thus we get the desired result by letting  $N \to \infty$ .

We again will use the transference method to prove Theorems 1.2–1.4. To this end, we need a key lemma in order to estimate error terms.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\Psi$  be as defined in (10), (11) and (13), respectively. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , set

$$\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k},\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\widetilde{f})(x) = \left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k}} - \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}\right) * \widetilde{f}(x),$$

$$H_{\varepsilon_{k},\varepsilon_{k+1}}(f)(x) = \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon_{k}} - \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}\right) * f(x).$$

For fixed  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , define the error term

$$E_{N,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) = \Psi^N(x)\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) - H_{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\Psi^N\tilde{f})(x).$$

Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ . As  $N \to \infty$ , we have:

(i) For each fixed sequence  $(t_k) \searrow 0$ ,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \le \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k \le t_k} \left| E_{N, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = o(1).$$

(ii) If  $\frac{1}{2^k} \le \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k \le \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}$ , then for  $\varrho > 2$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{(\varepsilon_k) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| E_{N, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = o(1).$$

*Proof.* By the previous calculation (12), we know that the Fourier coefficients of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon}(t)$  are

(14) 
$$c_{l,\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Re(t) e^{-i\varepsilon 2\pi\ell t} dt = -i \int_{|t|>1} \frac{\sin(\varepsilon 2\pi\ell t)}{t} dt, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that

$$\int_{|t|<\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_k}(t) \widetilde{f}(x-t) dt = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m c_{m,\varepsilon_k} e^{2\pi i m x}.$$

For fixed  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we have

$$\Psi(x/N)\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\widetilde{f})(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m e^{2\pi i m x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Psi}(u)(c_{m,\varepsilon_k} - c_{m,\varepsilon_{k+1}}) e^{2\pi u x/N} du.$$

Also, by a similar estimate as in Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$H_{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\Psi^N \widetilde{f})(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m e^{2\pi i m x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Psi}(u) (c_{m+u/N,\varepsilon_k} - c_{m+u/N,\varepsilon_{k+1}}) e^{2\pi u x/N} du.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} E_{N,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m e^{2\pi i m x} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Psi}(u)((c_{m,\varepsilon_k} - c_{m,\varepsilon_{k+1}}) - (c_{m+u/N,\varepsilon_k} - c_{m+u/N,\varepsilon_{k+1}})) e^{2\pi u x/N} \, du. \end{split}$$

In order to simplify the notation, we denote by  $C_N(u)$  the term

$$(c_{m,\varepsilon_k}-c_{m,\varepsilon_{k+1}})-(c_{m+u/N,\varepsilon_k}-c_{m+u/N,\varepsilon_{k+1}}).$$

To evaluate the inner integral above, we first deal with the term  $C_N(u)$ . From the second expression of (14),

$$C_N(u) = 2i \int_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_k} \frac{\sin(2\pi mt) - \sin(2\pi (m + u/N)t)}{t} dt.$$

We consider two cases: m = 0 and  $m \neq 0$ .

If m = 0, one has

$$(15) |C_N(u)| = \left| -2i \int_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_k} \frac{\sin(2\pi t u/N)}{t} dt \right| \le 4\pi |u| \frac{1}{N} (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}).$$

If  $m \neq 0$ , it follows from trigonometric identities that

$$(16) |C_N(u)| \le 2 \int_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_k} \frac{|\sin(\pi t u/N)|}{t} dt \le 2\pi |u| \frac{1}{N} (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}).$$

Applying the above estimates, we have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \le \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k \le t_k} \left| E_{N, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (t_k - t_{k+1})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \le \frac{1}{N}.$$

Therefore we obtain that, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \le \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k \le t_k} \left| E_{N, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = o(1).$$

Similarly, we have for  $\varrho > 2$ ,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \sup_{(\varepsilon_k) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| E_{N, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sup_{(\varepsilon_k) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1})^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sup_{(\varepsilon_k) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}) \right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq \frac{1}{N}. \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain that, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{(\varepsilon_k) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| E_{N, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = o(1). \quad \Box$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* With the previous notation, by the definition of  $\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{f})$  in (2), we rewrite  $\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_k} \tilde{f} - \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \tilde{f}$  as

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k}}\widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}\widetilde{f}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{f}(x-t) \left( \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k}}(t) - \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}(t) \right) dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{f}(x-t) (r_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}(t) - r_{\varepsilon_{k}}(t)) dt, \end{split}$$

with the help of Lemma 2.1.

Recall again that  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of the set |t| > 1. It is easy to see that for  $\varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k < |t| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , we have that for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\chi_A\left(\frac{t+j}{\varepsilon_{k+1}}\right) = \chi_A\left(\frac{t+j}{\varepsilon_k}\right) = 1.$$

This leads to

$$r_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}(t) - r_{\varepsilon_k}(t) = 0.$$

It now suffices to consider

$$\mathbb{O}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \le \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k \le t_k} \left| \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{f}(x-t) \left( \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_k}(t) - \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}(t) \right) dt \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By (i) of Lemma 2.2, the basic properties of operators on the torus and Theorem C, we conclude that as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$\|\mathbb{O}(\widetilde{H}_{*}\widetilde{f})\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})}^{p} = \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \leq \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_{k} \leq t_{k}} \left| \Psi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon_{k}+1}(\widetilde{f})(x) \right|^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx$$

$$\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \leq \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_{k} \leq t_{k}} \left| H_{\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}+1}(\Psi^{N}\widetilde{f})(x) \right|^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx$$

$$\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \Psi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \widetilde{f}(x) \right|^{p} dx \leq \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} + o(1).$$

We next show that the oscillation operator  $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{H}_*)$  is of weak type (1, 1), that is, for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x)| > \lambda\right\}\right| \le \frac{c}{\lambda} \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}.$$

By the basic properties of operators on the torus, we find that for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left|\left\{x \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) : |\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x)| > \lambda\right\}\right| &= N^{-1} \left|\left\{x \in \left[-\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}\right) : |\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x)| > \lambda\right\}\right| \\ &= N^{-1} \left|\left\{|x| \le \frac{N}{2} : \left|\Psi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})(x)\right| > \lambda\right\}\right|. \end{split}$$

As in the proofs of (15) and (16), we know that  $E_{N,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \to 0$  uniformly in x as  $N \to \infty$ . For any  $\lambda_1$  such that  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda$ , choose N large enough that

$$\left|\left\{x \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) : |\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_*\tilde{f})(x)| > \lambda\right\}\right| \le N^{-1} \left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |\mathscr{O}(H_*(\Psi^N\tilde{f}))(x)| > \lambda - \lambda_1\right\}\right|.$$

Theorem C implies that the last term above can be controlled by

$$\frac{cN^{-1}}{\lambda-\lambda_1}\|\Psi^N\tilde{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{cN^{-1}N}{\lambda-\lambda_1}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} = \frac{c}{\lambda-\lambda_1}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Since  $\lambda_1 > 0$  is arbitrary, we get the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Using the same argument as in Theorem 1.2, it is enough to study

$$\mathbb{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_{*}\widetilde{f})(x) = \sup_{(\varepsilon_{k}) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{f}(x-t) \left( \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k}}(t) - \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}(t) \right) dt \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}.$$

Now by checking the proof for the oscillation operator  $\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{H}_*)$ , it suffices to show

$$\|\mathbb{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_*\widetilde{f})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Write

$$H_{\varepsilon_{k},\varepsilon_{k}+1}(f)(x) = H_{\varepsilon_{k}}f(x) - H_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}f(x),$$
  
$$\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k},\varepsilon_{k}+1}(\widetilde{f})(x) = (\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k}} - \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}) * \widetilde{f}(x).$$

For any large integer N, we define the error term

$$E_{N,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) = \Psi(x/N)\widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_k+1}(\tilde{f}) - H_{\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_k+1}(\Psi^N\tilde{f})(x).$$

Using (ii) of Lemma 2.2, we obtain that as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{(\varepsilon_k) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| E_{N, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(x) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = o(1).$$

Finally, applying Theorem D, analogously to the proof of Theorem 1.2 we obtain

$$\begin{split} \| \mathbb{V}_{\varrho} (\widetilde{H}_{*} \widetilde{f}) \|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})}^{p} &= \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sup_{(\varepsilon_{k}) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \Psi \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \widetilde{H}_{\varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon_{k}+1} (\widetilde{f})(x) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{p}{\varrho}} dx \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{(\varepsilon_{k}) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| H_{\varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon_{k}+1} (\Psi^{N} \widetilde{f})(x) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{p}{\varrho}} dx \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \Psi \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \widetilde{f}(x) \right|^{p} dx \leq \| \widetilde{f} \|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} + o(1). \end{split}$$

Letting  $N \to \infty$ , we conclude that the variation operator  $\mathcal{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_*)$  is of strong type (p, p) for 1 .

The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 works for the weak type (1, 1) for the variation operator  $\mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{H}_*)$ . We omit the details.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is similar to that of Theorem 1.3. The only change is to consider two different cases: p' > 2 and  $p' \le 2$  in place of the symmetric differentiation operator used above. We leave the details to the interested reader.

### 3. Extension to Riesz transforms

In this section we study the (maximal) bilinear Riesz transforms as n-dimensional extensions.

We start with the maximal bilinear singular integral with rough kernel

(17) 
$$T_{\Omega}^{*}(f,g)(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |T_{\Omega,\varepsilon}(f,g)(x)|,$$

where  $T_{\Omega,\varepsilon}$  is the truncated bilinear operator defined by

$$T_{\Omega,\varepsilon}(f,g)(x) = \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)g(x+y)}{|y|^n} \Omega(y') dy \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Following the standard rotation method by Calderón and Zygmund (see also [Fan and Zhao  $\geq$  2016; Grafakos and Torres 2002]), we have the following result on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $1 < q, r \le \infty, 1 \le p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . If  $\Omega \in L^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$  is an odd function, then

$$||T_{\Omega}^{*}(f,g)||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq ||f||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} ||g||_{L^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

If  $\Omega(x) = x_j/|x|$ , j = 1, 2, ..., n, then (7) and (17) are reduced to the bilinear Riesz transforms and their maximal operators in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$R_{j}(f,g)(x) = C_{n} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x - y)g(x + y) \frac{y_{j}}{|y|^{n+1}} dy,$$

$$R_{j}^{*}(f,g)(x) = C_{n} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x - y)g(x + y) \frac{y_{j}}{|y|^{n+1}} dy \right|, \quad 1 \le j \le n,$$

where  $y_j$  is the *j*-th component of y and  $C_n = \Gamma((n+1)/2)\pi^{-(n+1)/2}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $1 < q, r \le \infty, 1 \le p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . Then

$$||R_i^*(f,g)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le ||f||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||g||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As an application, we consider analogous operators on the *n*-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^n = \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^n$ .

For  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$  functions  $\tilde{f}$ ,  $\tilde{g}$ , write their Fourier series

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{k_1} e^{2\pi i \langle k_1, x \rangle}, \quad \tilde{g}(x) = \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} b_{k_2} e^{2\pi i \langle k_2, x \rangle},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the dot product.

Let

$$Q = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : -\frac{1}{2} \le x_j < \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

be the fundamental cube on which

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{f}(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \tilde{f}(x) \, dx$$

for all functions  $\tilde{f}$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let NQ denote a cube with the same center as Q and side length N times the side length of Q. Denote by  $Q_{\varepsilon}$  the set given by

$$Q_{\varepsilon} = \{ x \in Q : |x| > \varepsilon \} \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let

$$E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > 1\}$$

and  $\chi_E(x)$  be the characteristic function of E. For  $1 \le i \le n$ , let  $x_i$  and  $m_i$  be the i-th components of  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and  $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ , respectively. For any  $x \ne 0$ , the kernel of the j-th Riesz transform on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$K_j(x) = \frac{x_j}{|x|^{n+1}}.$$

Then the kernel of the j-th Riesz transform on the torus is defined, in the sense of Cauchy principle value, by

(18) 
$$\widetilde{K}_j(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{x_j + m_j}{|x + m|^{n+1}}.$$

We now define the bilinear Riesz transform  $\widetilde{R}_j$  and its maximal operator  $\widetilde{R}_j^*$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , for  $\widetilde{f}$ ,  $\widetilde{g} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , by

$$\widetilde{R}_{j}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x),$$

$$\widetilde{R}_{j}^{*}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1/2} |\widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x)|,$$

where  $\widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon}$  is defined by

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})(x) &= \int_{Q_{\varepsilon}} \widetilde{K}_{j}(y) \, \widetilde{f}(x-y) \widetilde{g}(x+y) \, dy \\ &= \int_{O} \widetilde{K}_{j}(y) \chi_{E}\Big(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\Big) \widetilde{f}(x-y) \widetilde{g}(x+y) \, dy. \end{split}$$

Our result can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $1 < q, r \le \infty, 1 \le p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . Then

$$\|\widetilde{R}_{i}^{*}(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T}^{n})} \leq \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{T}^{n})} \|\widetilde{g}\|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{T}^{n})}.$$

By checking the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show an easy lemma to obtain Theorem 3.3.

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $y \in Q$ , we have the estimate

$$\widetilde{K}_{j}(y)\chi_{E}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} K_{j}\left(\frac{y+m}{\varepsilon}\right) \chi_{E}\left(\frac{y+m}{\varepsilon}\right) - \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \setminus \{0\}} K_{j}(y+m) \chi_{E}^{c}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} K_j(y+m) \chi_{E^c} \left( \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq |y| \chi_{E^c} \left( \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right),$$

where  $E^{C}$  is the complement of the set E.

*Proof.* The first equality above follows the method of Lemma 2.1. Now we estimate the second inequality. Write

$$D_j^+ = \{ m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} : m_j > 0 \}, \quad D_j^0 = \{ m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} : m_j = 0 \},$$

and

$$y^* = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{j-1}, -y_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{y_j + m_j}{|y + m|^{n+1}} \chi_{E^C} \left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ &= \chi_{E^C} \left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \sum_{m \in D_j^+} \left(\frac{y_j + m_j}{|y + m|^{n+1}} + \frac{y_j - m_j}{|y^* + m|^{n+1}}\right) + \chi_{E^C} \left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \sum_{m \in D_j^0} \frac{y_j}{|y + m|^{n+1}} \\ &= \chi_{E^C} \left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) y_j \sum_{m \in D_j^+} \left(\frac{1}{|y + m|^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{|y^* + m|^{n+1}}\right) \\ &+ \chi_{E^C} \left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \sum_{m \in D_j^+} m_j \left(\frac{1}{|y + m|^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{|y^* + m|^{n+1}}\right) \\ &+ \chi_{E^C} \left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) y_j \sum_{m \in D_j^0} \left(\frac{1}{|y + m|^{n+1}}\right). \end{split}$$

It is trivial to get that

$$\left|\chi_{E^{C}}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)\sum_{m\in D_{i}^{0}}\frac{y_{j}}{|y+m|^{n+1}}\right| \leq \chi_{E^{C}}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)|y_{j}|$$

and

$$\left|\chi_{E^{C}}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)y_{j}\sum_{m\in D_{j}^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{|y+m|^{n+1}}+\frac{1}{|y^{*}+m|^{n+1}}\right)\right| \leq \chi_{E^{C}}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)|y_{j}|.$$

Using the mean value theorem,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le \max_{z \in I} |\nabla f(z)| |x - y|,$$

where I is the line segment between x and y. This leads to

$$\left|\chi_{E^{C}}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)\sum_{m\in D_{i}^{+}}m_{j}\left(\frac{1}{|y+m|^{n+1}}-\frac{1}{|y^{*}+m|^{n+1}}\right)\right| \leq \chi_{E^{C}}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)|y_{j}|,$$

completing the proof.

From the theorem, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $1 < q, r \le \infty, 1 \le p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . Then

$$\|\widetilde{R}_j(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^n)} \|\widetilde{g}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

This corollary corresponds to a result by Blasco and Gillespie [2009, Theorem 1.12] which says that the bilinear Riesz transform  $R_j$  is bounded from  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , provided  $1 < q, r \le \infty, 1 \le p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ .

### 4. Final remarks

We want to further illustrate that our method works for many operators. In this section, we provide another example. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define

$$R_{j,\varepsilon}(f)(x) = C_n \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x - y) \frac{y_j}{|y|^{n+1}} dy$$
 for  $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

Gillespie and Torrea [2004] introduced the oscillation, variation and short variation operators of the Riesz transform  $R_j$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The definitions of these three operators can be expressed in forms similar to (4), (5) and (6) with  $H_{\varepsilon}$  replaced by  $R_{j,\varepsilon}$  in place of the symmetric differentiation operator used above. Gillespie and Torrea also established the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of these operators for 1 .

For  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$  functions  $\tilde{f}$ , write their Fourier series

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_k e^{2\pi i \langle k, x \rangle}.$$

We define the periodic version of  $\widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon}$  by

$$\widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon}(\widetilde{f})(x) = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \widetilde{K}_{j}(y) \widetilde{f}(x-y) dy,$$

where  $\widetilde{K}_i$  is defined as in (18).

We now define the oscillation operator  $\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{R}_i)$  on the torus by

$$\mathscr{O}(\widetilde{R}_{j}\widetilde{f})(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{k+1} \le \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_{k} \le t_{k}} \left| \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon_{k}}\widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon_{k+1}}\widetilde{f}(x) \right|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the variation operator  $\mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{R}_{j})$  on the torus by

$$\mathscr{V}_{\varrho}(\widetilde{R}_{j}\widetilde{f})(x) = \sup_{(\varepsilon_{k}) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon_{k}} \widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon_{k+1}} \widetilde{f}(x) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}.$$

Define the operator  $V_k(\widetilde{R}_i)$  on the torus by

$$V_{k}(\widetilde{R}_{j}\widetilde{f})(x) = \sup_{(\varepsilon_{j}) \searrow 0} \left( \sum_{\frac{1}{2k} < \varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_{j} \le \frac{1}{2k-1}} \left| \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon_{k}}\widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{R}_{j,\varepsilon_{k+1}}\widetilde{f}(x) \right|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all decreasing sequences  $(\varepsilon_j)$ . Define the "short variation operator" on the torus by

$$S_V(\widetilde{R}_j\widetilde{f})(x) = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(V_k(\widetilde{R}_j\widetilde{f}(x))^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\right)$$

Applying the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can easily transfer those results in [Gillespie and Torrea 2004] from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ .

### Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the anonymous referees for valuable comments that improved the paper significantly.

### References

[Auscher and Carro 1994] P. Auscher and M. J. Carro, "Transference for radial multipliers and dimension free estimates", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342**:2 (1994), 575–593. MR 1152319 Zbl 0812.42009

[Berkson et al. 2006] E. Berkson, O. Blasco, M. J. Carro, and T. A. Gillespie, "Discretization and transference of bisublinear maximal operators", *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **12**:4 (2006), 447–481. MR 2256933 Zbl 1130.42016

[Berkson et al. 2007] E. Berkson, O. Blasco, M. J. Carro, and T. A. Gillespie, "Discretization versus transference for bilinear operators", pp. 265–283 in *Banach spaces and their applications in analysis* (Oxford, OH, 2006), edited by B. Randrianantoanina and N. Randrianantoanina, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2007. MR 2374713 Zbl 1132.42307

[Blasco and Gillespie 2009] O. Blasco and T. A. Gillespie, "Dimension free estimates for the bilinear Riesz transform", *Collect. Math.* **60**:3 (2009), 249–259. MR 2560969 Zbl 1187.42006

[Blasco et al. 2005] O. Blasco, M. J. Carro, and T. A. Gillespie, "Bilinear Hilbert transform on measure spaces", *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **11**:4 (2005), 459–470. MR 2169476 Zbl 1098.42007

[Bourgain 1989] J. Bourgain, "Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **69** (1989), 5–45. With an appendix by the author, H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson and D. S. Ornstein. MR 1019960 Zbl 0705.28008

[Bourgain 1990] J. Bourgain, "Double recurrence and almost sure convergence", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **404** (1990), 140–161. MR 1037434 Zbl 0685.28008

[Campbell et al. 2000] J. T. Campbell, R. L. Jones, K. Reinhold, and M. Wierdl, "Oscillation and variation for the Hilbert transform", *Duke Math. J.* **105**:1 (2000), 59–83. MR 1788042 Zbl 1013.42008

[Coifman and Weiss 1977] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Transference methods in analysis* (Lincoln, NE, 1976), CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **31**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977. MR 0481928 Zbl 0377.43001

[Edwards and Gaudry 1977] R. E. Edwards and G. I. Gaudry, *Littlewood–Paley and multiplier theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **90**, Springer, Berlin, 1977. MR 0618663 Zbl 0467.42001

[Fan and Sato 2001] D. Fan and S. Sato, "Transference on certain multilinear multiplier operators", *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **70**:1 (2001), 37–55. MR 1808390 Zbl 0984.42006

[Fan and Zhao  $\geq$  2016] D. Fan and F. Zhao, "Certain bilinear operators on Morrey spaces", preprint. To appear in *Hokkaido Math. J.* 

[Rubio de Francia 1989] J. L. Rubio de Francia, "Transference principles for radial multipliers", Duke Math. J. 58:1 (1989), 1–19. MR 1016410 Zbl 0676.42009

[Gillespie and Torrea 2004] T. A. Gillespie and J. L. Torrea, "Dimension free estimates for the oscillation of Riesz transforms", *Israel J. Math.* **141** (2004), 125–144. MR 2063029 Zbl 1072.42011

[Grafakos and Honzík 2006] L. Grafakos and P. Honzík, "Maximal transference and summability of multilinear Fourier series", *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **80**:1 (2006), 65–80. MR 2212316 Zbl 1102.42007

[Grafakos and Torres 2002] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres, "Multilinear Calderón–Zygmund theory", *Adv. Math.* **165**:1 (2002), 124–164. MR 1880324 Zbl 1032.42020

[Jones 1994] P. W. Jones, "Bilinear singular integrals and maximal functions", Chapter 10.2, p. 414, in *Linear and complex analysis: problem book 3, part I*, edited by V. P. Havin and N. K. Nikolski, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1573**, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

[Jones 1997] R. L. Jones, "Ergodic theory and connections with analysis and probability", *New York J. Math.* **3A** (1997), 31–67. MR 1604581 Zbl 0898.28005

[Jones et al. 1998] R. L. Jones, R. Kaufman, J. M. Rosenblatt, and M. Wierdl, "Oscillation in ergodic theory", *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **18**:4 (1998), 889–935. MR 1645330 Zbl 0924.28009

[Lacey 2000] M. T. Lacey, "The bilinear maximal functions map into  $L^p$  for 2/3 ", Ann. of Math. (2)**151**:1 (2000), 35–57. MR 1745019 Zbl 0967.47031

[Lacey and Thiele 1997] M. T. Lacey and C. Thiele, " $L^p$  estimates on the bilinear Hilbert transform for 2 ", Ann. of Math. (2)**146**:3 (1997), 693–724. MR 1491450 Zbl 0914.46034

[de Leeuw 1965] K. de Leeuw, "On  $L_p$  multipliers", Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 364–379. MR 0174937 Zbl 0171.11803

[Stein and Weiss 1971] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Mathematical Series **32**, Princeton University Press, 1971. MR 0304972 Zbl 0232. 42007

[Varadarajan 2007] V. S. Varadarajan, "Euler and his work on infinite series", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (*N.S.*) **44**:4 (2007), 515–539. MR 2338363 Zbl 1135.01010

Received January 25, 2015.

DASHAN FAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE
MILWAUKEE, WI 53201
UNITED STATES
fan@uwm.edu

HUOXIONG WU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
XIAMEN UNIVERSITY
361005 FUJIAN
CHINA
huoxwu@xmu.edu.cn

FAYOU ZHAO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY
200444 SHANGHAI
CHINA
fyzhao@shu.edu.cn

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

### msp.org/pjm

### **EDITORS**

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

### PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$440/year for the electronic version, and \$600/year for print and electronic.

Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY
mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 284 No. 2 October 2016

Spherical CR Dehn surgeries	257
MIGUEL ACOSTA	
Degenerate flag varieties and Schubert varieties: a characteristic free approach	283
GIOVANNI CERULLI IRELLI, MARTINA LANINI and PETER	
LITTELMANN	
Solitons for the inverse mean curvature flow	309
GREGORY DRUGAN, HOJOO LEE and GLEN WHEELER	
Bergman theory of certain generalized Hartogs triangles	327
Luke D. Edholm	
Transference of certain maximal Hilbert transforms on the torus	343
DASHAN FAN, HUOXIONG WU and FAYOU ZHAO	
The Turaev and Thurston norms	365
STEFAN FRIEDL, DANIEL S. SILVER and SUSAN G. WILLIAMS	
A note on nonunital absorbing extensions	383
James Gabe	
On nonradial singular solutions of supercritical biharmonic equations	395
ZONGMING GUO, JUNCHENG WEI and WEN YANG	
Natural commuting of vanishing cycles and the Verdier dual	431
DAVID B. MASSEY	
The nef cones of and minimal-degree curves in the Hilbert schemes of points	439
on certain surfaces	
ZHENBO QIN and YUPING TU	
Smooth approximation of conic Kähler metric with lower Ricci curvature	455
bound	
LIANGMING SHEN	
Maps from the enveloping algebra of the positive Witt algebra to regular	475
algebras	
SUSAN J. SIERRA and CHELSEA WALTON	