

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**A GAUSSIAN UPPER BOUND
OF THE CONJUGATE HEAT EQUATION
ALONG RICCI-HARMONIC FLOW**

XIAN-GAO LIU AND KUI WANG

A GAUSSIAN UPPER BOUND OF THE CONJUGATE HEAT EQUATION ALONG RICCI-HARMONIC FLOW

XIAN-GAO LIU AND KUI WANG

We mainly study the Ricci-harmonic flow. Using the monotonicity formulae of entropies, we show a uniform Sobolev inequality along Ricci-harmonic flow. Furthermore, we obtain a Gaussian upper bound for the fundamental solutions of the conjugate heat equation via Moser iteration and Sobolev inequality.

1. Introduction

Let M be a closed manifold of dimension n . List [2008] studied the following Ricci flow, coupled with a harmonic flow:

$$(1-1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t g(x, t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(x,t)} + 4 d\phi(x, t) \otimes d\phi(x, t), \\ \partial_t \phi(x, t) = \Delta_{g(x,t)} \phi, \end{cases}$$

where $g(x, t)$ is a family of Riemannian metrics, and $\phi(x, t)$ is a scalar function on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. This flow is called Ricci-harmonic flow (see also [List 2008; Müller 2012; Zhu 2013]). If ϕ is a constant, the system (1-1) degenerates to Hamilton's Ricci flow, which has been discussed widely recently; see for example the book [Chow et al. 2006] and celebrated papers [Hamilton 1982; 1986; 1993; Li 2007; Ni 2006; Perelman 2002]. The stationary solutions of (1-1) satisfy the static Einstein vacuum system

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric} = 2 d\phi \otimes d\phi, \\ \Delta \phi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly to Ricci flow, corresponding theories for Ricci-harmonic flow have been established; see for instance [List 2008].

For the sake of convenience, we denote as in [List 2008] the symmetric tensor field $S_y \in \operatorname{Sym}_2(M)$ and its trace by

$$S_{ij} := R_{ij} - 2\partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi \quad \text{and} \quad S := R - 2|d\phi|^2,$$

Wang is the corresponding author.

MSC2010: 35B40, 53C44, 35K05.

Keywords: Ricci-harmonic flow, Sobolev inequality, Gaussian upper bound.

where R denotes the scalar curvature of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then the Ricci-harmonic flow can be written simply as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g = -2Sy, \\ \partial_t \phi = \Delta \phi. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that Sobolev inequality contains a host of analytical and geometric information (e.g., [Carrillo and Ni 2009; Chau et al. 2011; Hebey 1996; Saloff-Coste 2002]), including noncollapsing properties, isoperimetric inequalities and so on. Sobolev inequality is also an important tool in studying elliptic and parabolic differential equations on manifolds (see for example [Saloff-Coste 2002]). Via the monotonicity of Perelman’s W entropy, some uniform Sobolev inequalities were proven in Ricci flow, see [Carrillo and Ni 2009; Chau et al. 2011; Kuang and Zhang 2008; Zhang 2006; 2007; 2011]. Zhang [2007] showed a global upper bound for the fundamental solution of the heat equation along the backward Ricci flow

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g = -2 \text{Ric}, \\ \Delta u + \partial_t u - Ru = 0, \end{cases}$$

providing Ricci curvature is nonnegative and the injective radius is bounded from below.

Along flow (1-1), we consider the conjugate heat equation

$$(1-2) \quad \partial_t u(x, t) + \Delta u(x, t) - S(x, t)u(x, t) = 0.$$

In [Zhu 2013], some pointwise gradient estimates for the positive solutions of (1-1) were proven, which can be viewed as Li–Yau estimates for the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator in [Chau et al. 2011; Li and Yau 1986; Ni 2004; 2006].

The main goal of this paper is to establish certain Sobolev inequalities under system (1-1) and a global upper bound for the fundamental solutions of heat equation (1-2). Via the monotonicity of the entropies, we obtain the following Sobolev inequality.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M, g(x, t), \phi(x, t))$ be a solution of the system (1-1) for $t \in [0, T_0)$ with initial metric g_0 , where $T_0 \leq \infty$ is the life span of (1-1). Let A_0 and B_0 be positive numbers such that the following L^2 Sobolev inequality holds initially, i.e., for each $v \in W^{1,2}(M, g_0)$,*

$$\left(\int_M v^{2n/(n-2)} d\mu_{g_0} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq A_0 \int_M (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4}Sv^2) d\mu_{g_0} + B_0 \int_M v^2 d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Then for all $v \in W^{1,2}(M, g(t))$, we have

$$(1-3) \quad \left(\int_M v^{2n/(n-2)} d\mu_{g(t)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq A(t) \int_M (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4}Sv^2) d\mu_{g(t)} + B(t) \int_M v^2 d\mu_{g(t)},$$

where $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are positive constants depending on A_0 , $(1+t)B_0$, n and S_0^- . Here $S_0^- = \sup_{x \in M} S^-(x, 0)$, and $S^-(x, 0)$ denotes the negative part of $S(x, 0)$.

Via Sobolev inequality (1-3), combined with Morse iteration and Davies' heat kernel estimates, we prove the following Gaussian-type upper bound for the fundamental solutions of (1-2), with constants not depending on the lower bound of injective radius but on the first eigenvalue of the entropy, different from Zhang's result [2007]. More precisely:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(M, g(x, t), \phi(x, t))$ be a smooth solution of system (1-1) in $M \times [0, T]$ and $G(x, t; y, T)$ be a fundamental solution of the following backward conjugate heat equation (1-2); that is,*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_x G(x, t; y, T) + \partial_t G(x, t; y, T) - S(x, t)G(x, t; y, T) = 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < T; \\ G(x, t; y, T) = \delta(x, y) & \text{if } t = T. \end{cases}$$

Assume that $Sy \geq 0$ and the first eigenvalue λ_0 of $\inf_{\|v\|_2=1} \int_M (4|\nabla v|^2 + Sv^2) d\mu_{g_0}$ is positive. Then for each $t \in (0, T)$, and $x, y \in M$, we have the following estimates:

$$(1-4) \quad G(x, t; y, T) \leq \frac{c}{|B(y, \sqrt{T-t}, T)|_T} \exp \frac{-c_1 d^2(x, y, T)}{T-t},$$

where c_1 is a constant depending only on the dimension n , and c is a constant depending on n , λ_0 and the initial metric g_0 . Here $d(x, y, T)$ denotes the distance between x and y with respect to metric $g(T)$, $B(y, \sqrt{T-t}, T)$ denotes the geodesic ball centered at y with radius $\sqrt{T-t}$, and $|B(y, \sqrt{T-t}, T)|_T$ denotes the volume of the ball $B(y, \sqrt{T-t}, T)$ with respect to the metric $g(T)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We give the evolution equations of entropies under system (1-1) in Section 2. We prove Sobolev inequalities along Ricci-harmonic flow in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Entropies of Ricci-harmonic flow

In this section, we recall the definitions of entropies via corresponding conjugate heat equation, as Perelman's [2002] entropy in Ricci flow. Through direct computations, we obtain the monotonicity of the entropies. Although the monotonicity of the entropies were proven in [List 2008] via the entropies' invariance under diffeomorphism. But here for the completeness, we give a direct computation.

Let $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution to the conjugate heat equation (1-2):

$$H^*u = \Delta u - Su + \partial_t u = 0.$$

Note by (1-2) and equation (1-1) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M u(x, t) d\mu_{g(t)} = \int_M (\partial_t - S)u d\mu_{g(t)} = \int_M H^*u d\mu_{g(t)} = 0,$$

where we used the closure of M . Hereafter we always assume that $u(x, t)$ satisfies

$$(2-1) \quad \int_M u(x, t) d\mu_{g(t)} = 1$$

for each $t \in [0, T]$.

Via the positive solution u , the entropies are defined (see, e.g., [List 2008]) as follows.

Definition 2.1. F entropy is defined as the following integration:

$$(2-2) \quad F(t) = \int_M \left(Su + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \right) d\mu_{g(t)},$$

and W entropy is defined by

$$(2-3) \quad W(t) = \int_M \left[\tau \left(Su + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \right) - u \ln u - \frac{n}{2} \ln(4\pi \tau)u - nu \right] d\mu_{g(t)},$$

where $d\tau/dt = -1$.

In order to simplify computations, we introduce a potential function $f(x, t)$ via

$$u(x, t) = \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi \tau)^{n/2}},$$

i.e.,

$$(2-4) \quad f = -\ln u - \frac{n}{2}(\ln 4\pi \tau).$$

With the above preparations, we now give a direct calculation of the following monotonicity formulae.

Proposition 2.2 [List 2008, Theorem 6.1]. *Let (M, g, ϕ) be a solution of (1-1) and $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution of (1-2). Then both F entropy and W entropy are nondecreasing in t . Moreover, we have*

$$(2-5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F(t) = 2 \int_M (|Sy + \nabla^2 f|^2 + 2|\Delta \phi - d\phi(\nabla f)|^2)u d\mu_{g(t)} \geq 0,$$

and

$$(2-6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} W(t) = \int_M \left(2\tau \left| Sy + \nabla^2 f - \frac{g}{2\tau} \right|^2 + 4\tau |\Delta \phi - d\phi(\nabla f)|^2 \right) u d\mu_{g(t)} \geq 0.$$

Proof. To start, we have by direct calculations that

$$(2-7) \quad H^*(u \ln u) = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + Su,$$

and

$$(2-8) \quad H^*\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + Su\right) = \frac{2}{u}\left(\frac{u_i u_j}{u} - u_{ij}\right)^2 + \frac{2S_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} + \frac{2R_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} \\ + 4\langle \nabla u, \nabla S \rangle + 2u\Delta S + 2(|Sy|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi|^2)u.$$

Here we used the well-known equation (see [List 2008, Lemma 3.2])

$$\partial_t S = \Delta S + 2|Sy|^2 + 4|\Delta\phi|^2.$$

Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt}F = \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \left(S + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}\right) d\mu = \int_M (\partial_t - S) \left(Su + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}\right) d\mu = \int_M H^*\left(Su + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}\right) d\mu,$$

and substituting (2-8) into the above equality we have

$$(2-9) \quad \frac{d}{dt}F = \int_M \left[\frac{2}{u} \left(\frac{u_i u_j}{u} - u_{ij}\right)^2 + \frac{2S_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} + \frac{2R_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} \right. \\ \left. + 4\langle \nabla u, \nabla S \rangle + 2u\Delta S + 2(|Sy|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi|^2)u \right] d\mu.$$

By integration by parts and the contracted second Bianchi identity, we see

$$(2-10) \quad \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla S \rangle d\mu = \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla (R - 2|d\phi|^2) \rangle d\mu \\ = \int_M (2u_i \nabla_j R_{ij} - 4u_i \phi_j \phi_{ij}) d\mu \\ = \int_M (-2u_{ij} R_{ij} + 4u_{ij} \phi_j \phi_i + 4u_i \phi_i \Delta\phi) d\mu \\ = \int_M (-2u_{ij} S_{ij} + 4u_i \phi_i \Delta\phi) d\mu;$$

Then substituting (2-10) into (2-9) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}F = \int_M \left[\frac{2}{u} \left(\frac{u_i u_j}{u} - u_{ij}\right)^2 + \frac{2S_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} + \frac{2R_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} \right. \\ \left. + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla S \rangle + 2(|Sy|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi|^2)u \right] d\mu \\ = \int_M \left[\frac{2}{u} \left(\frac{u_i u_j}{u} - u_{ij}\right)^2 + \frac{2S_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} + \frac{2R_{ij}u_i u_j}{u} \right. \\ \left. - 4u_{ij} S_{ij} + 8u_i \phi_i \Delta\phi + 2(|Sy|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi|^2)u \right] d\mu.$$

Replacing u with f in the above equality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F &= \int_M [|f_{ij}|^2 + 2S_{ij}f_{ij} + |S_{ij}|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi|^2 + 2|2d\phi(\nabla f)|^2 - 4\Delta\phi(d\phi(\nabla f))] d\mu \\ &= 2 \int_M (|Sy + \nabla^2 f|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi - d\phi(\nabla f)|^2) u d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

proving formula (2-5).

From the definition of W entropy, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} W(t) = \int_M H^* \left(\tau \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + Su \right) \right) - H^*(u \ln u) - \frac{n}{2} H^*(u \ln \tau) d\mu.$$

Substituting (2-7) and (2-8) to the above equation yields

$$(2-11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} W = \tau \frac{d}{dt} F - 2F + \frac{n}{2\tau} = \tau \frac{d}{dt} F - 2 \int_M (Su + |\nabla f|^2 u) d\mu(g(t)) + \frac{n}{2\tau}.$$

From the definition of f and integrations by parts, we deduce

$$\int_M \left(Su + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \right) d\mu(g(t)) = \int_M (Su - \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle) d\mu = \int_M (Su + \Delta f u) d\mu.$$

Substituting the above equality and equality (2-5) into (2-11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} W &= 2\tau \int_M (|Sy + \nabla^2 f|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi - d\phi(\nabla f)|^2) u d\mu - 2 \int_M (S + \Delta f) u d\mu + \frac{n}{2\tau} \\ &= \int_M 2\tau \left(\left| Sy + \text{Hess}(f) - \frac{g}{2\tau} \right|^2 + 2|\Delta\phi - d\phi(\nabla f)|^2 \right) u d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Similarly to the Ricci flow, one can define a family of generalized W entropy along the Ricci-harmonic flow by

$$\begin{aligned} (2-12) \quad W(a, t) &= \int_M \left(\frac{a^2 \tau}{2\pi} \left(Su + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \right) - u \ln u - \frac{n}{2} \ln(4\pi \tau) u - nu \right) d\mu_{g(t)} \\ &= \int_M \left(\frac{a^2 \tau}{2\pi} (S + |\nabla f|^2) + f - n \right) u d\mu_{g(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality is due to the relations between u and f given in (2-4). For applications of generalized entropy, we refer to the paper [Li 2007]. Using the calculations in [Kuang and Zhang 2008], one can easily show the following monotonicity formula of generalized W entropy along Ricci-harmonic flow.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (M, g, ϕ) be a solution of (1-1) and $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution of (1-2). Then the generalized entropy $W(a, t)$ is nondecreasing in t and*

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} W(a, t) \geq \frac{a^2 \tau}{\pi} \int_M \left(\left| S y + \text{Hess}(f) - \frac{g}{2\tau} \right|^2 + 2|\Delta \phi - d\phi(\nabla f)|^2 \right) u \, d\mu.$$

Since the proof is similar to that in Ricci flow (see for example [Kuang and Zhang 2008, Theorem 4.1]), we omit it.

3. Sobolev inequalities in Ricci-harmonic flow

In this section, we mainly use the monotonicity of W entropy to derive a uniform Sobolev inequality along system (1-1), which will be useful in Section 4.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma, giving the equivalence of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, the $W^{1,2}$ Sobolev inequality and the so-called ultracontractivity of the heat semigroup of the associated Schrödinger operator. The proof of this lemma is more or less standard.

Lemma 3.1 [Zhang 2011, Theorem 4.2.1]. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold ($n \geq 3$). Then the following inequalities are equivalent up to constants.*

(I) *Sobolev inequality: there exists positive constants A and B such that for $v \in W^{1,2}(M)$*

$$\left(\int_M v^{2n/(n-2)} \, d\mu \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq A \int_M |\nabla v|^2 \, d\mu + B \int_M v^2 \, d\mu;$$

(II) *Log-Sobolev inequality: for $v \in W^{1,2}(M)$ with $\|v\|_2 = 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\int_M v^2 \ln v^2 \, d\mu \leq \epsilon^2 \int_M |\nabla v|^2 \, d\mu - \frac{n}{2} \ln \epsilon^2 + B A^{-1} \epsilon^2 + \frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{nA}{2e};$$

(III) *Heat kernel upper bound: for $t > 0$,*

$$G(x, t; y) \leq \frac{(nA)^{n/2}}{t^{n/2}} e^{A^{-1} B t}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, to prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show some log-Sobolev inequalities or heat kernel estimates for each $t \in [0, T_0)$. By the monotonicity of W entropy, we obtain the following log-Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 3.2 (log-Sobolev inequality). *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for each $t \in [0, T_0)$, $v \in W^{1,2}(M, g(t))$ with $\int_M v^2 \, d\mu_{g(t)} = 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(3-1) \quad \int_M v^2 \ln v^2 \, d\mu_{g(t)} \leq \epsilon^2 \int_M (4|\nabla v|^2 + S v^2) \, d\mu_{g(t)} - n \ln \epsilon + (t + \epsilon^2) B_0 A_0^{-1} + \frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{nA_0}{2e}.$$

Proof. For $t_0 \in [0, T_0)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we set

$$\tau(t) = \epsilon^2 + t_0 - t.$$

Recall that W entropy is defined by

$$W(g, f, t) = \int_M (\tau(S + |\nabla f|^2) + f - n)u \, d\mu_{g(t)}.$$

Then from the monotonicity of W entropy in [Proposition 2.2](#), we deduce

$$(3-2) \quad \inf_{\int_M u \, d\mu_{g(t_0)}=1} W(g(t_0), f, \epsilon^2) \geq \inf_{\int_M u_0 \, d\mu_{g(0)}=1} W(g(0), f_0, t_0 + \epsilon^2).$$

One can find a more detailed proof of this property in Section 3 of [\[Perelman 2002\]](#). Here f_0 and f are given via the formulae

$$u_0 = \frac{e^{-f_0}}{(4\pi(t_0 + \epsilon^2))^{n/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad u = \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\epsilon^2)^{n/2}}.$$

Using this notation we rewrite (3-2) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\int u \, d\mu_{g(t_0)}=1} \int_M \left(\epsilon^2(S + |\nabla \ln u|^2) - \ln u - \frac{n}{2} \ln 4\pi\epsilon^2 \right) u \, d\mu_{g(t_0)} \\ & \geq \inf_{\int u_0 \, d\mu_{g(0)}=1} \int_M \left((\epsilon^2 + t_0)(S + |\nabla \ln u_0|^2) - \ln u_0 - \frac{n}{2} \ln 4\pi(t_0 + \epsilon^2) \right) u_0 \, d\mu_{g(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v = \sqrt{u}$ and $v_0 = \sqrt{u_0}$, and the above inequality gives

$$(3-3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \inf_{\int v^2 \, d\mu_{g(t_0)}=1} \int_M \left[\epsilon^2(Sv^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2) - v^2 \ln v^2 \right] d\mu_{g(t_0)} - \frac{n}{2} \ln \epsilon^2 \\ & \geq \inf_{\int v_0^2 \, d\mu_{g(0)}=1} \int_M \left((\epsilon^2 + t_0)(Sv_0^2 + 4|\nabla v_0|^2) - v_0^2 \ln v_0^2 \right) d\mu_{g(0)} - \frac{n}{2} \ln(t_0 + \epsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ln x$ is a concave function and $\int_M v_0^2 \, d\mu_{g(0)} = 1$, then applying Jensen's inequality we derive

$$\int_M v_0^2 \ln v_0^{q-2} \, d\mu_{g(0)} \leq \ln \int v_0^{q-2} v_0^2 \, d\mu_{g(0)},$$

i.e.,

$$\int_M v_0^2 \ln v_0^2 \, d\mu_{g(0)} \leq \frac{1}{2}n \ln \|v_0\|_q^2,$$

where $q = 2n/(n - 2)$. By the assumption that the Sobolev inequality holds for the initial time $t = 0$, we have

$$\int_M v_0^2 \ln v_0^2 \, d\mu_{g(0)} \leq \frac{1}{2}n \ln \left(A_0 \int_M (4|\nabla v_0|^2 + Sv_0^2) \, d\mu_{g(0)} + B_0 \right).$$

From the elementary inequality

$$\ln z \leq yz - \ln y - 1,$$

we deduce that for any $y, z > 0$

$$\int_M v_0^2 \ln v_0^2 d\mu_{g(0)} \leq \frac{n}{2}y \left(A_0 \int_M (4|\nabla v_0|^2 + S v_0^2) d\mu_{g(0)} + B_0 \right) - \frac{n}{2} \ln y - \frac{n}{2}.$$

Letting $y = 2(t_0 + \epsilon^2)/(nA_0)$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M v_0^2 \ln v_0^2 d\mu_{g(0)} &\leq (t_0 + \epsilon^2) \int_M (4|\nabla v_0|^2 + S v_0^2) d\mu_{g(0)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(t_0 + \epsilon^2)B_0}{A_0} - \frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{2(t_0 + \epsilon^2)}{nA_0} - \frac{n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequality to the right-hand side of (3-3), we arrive at

$$\int_M v^2 \ln v^2 d\mu_{g(t_0)} \leq \epsilon^2 \int_M (4|\nabla v|^2 + S v^2) d\mu_{g(t_0)} - n \ln \epsilon + (t_0 + \epsilon^2)B_0 A_0^{-1} + \frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{nA_0}{2e}.$$

Thus the log-Sobolev inequality (3-1) holds. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As the right-hand side of inequality (1-3) has an extra term S , we can not use Lemma 3.1 directly. Instead, we use Zhang’s [2007] trick to obtain the estimates of the fundamental solutions of the heat equation, and then use Lemma 3.1 to derive the Sobolev inequality. More precisely, we consider the following heat equation:

$$\Delta_{g(t_0)} u(x, t) - \frac{1}{4} S(x, t_0) u(x, t) - S_0^- u(x, t) - u_t(x, t) = 0,$$

where $S_0^- = \sup_{x \in M} S^-(x, 0)$ and the metric is fixed at t_0 . Then following the same process as in [Zhang 2007]; we see the fundamental solution $p(x, T; y)$ is contractive and satisfies the estimates

$$p(x, T; y) \leq \frac{C_1}{t^{n/2}} \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

where C_1 is a constant depending on $n, A_0, (1+t_0)B_0$ and S_0^- . Then from Lemma 3.1, we conclude that the Sobolev inequality (1-3) at $t = t_0$ holds with constants $A(t_0)$ and $B(t_0)$ (depending only on $n, A_0, (1+t_0)B_0$ and S_0^-). Thus the theorem is true by the arbitrariness of t_0 . □

Since (M, g_0) is a closed Riemannian manifold, the Sobolev inequality holds as described in Section 4.1 in [Zhang 2011]. That is, for any $v \in W^{1,2}(M)$, there exist positive constants A_0 and B_0 depending only on n and the initial metric g_0 such that

$$(3-4) \quad \left(\int_M v^{2n/(n-2)} d\mu_{g_0} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq A_0 \int_M |\nabla v|^2 d\mu_{g_0} + B_0 \int_M v^2 d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Recall that λ_0 is the first eigenvalue of F entropy as characterized in (2-2), that is,

$$(3-5) \quad \lambda_0 = \inf_{\|v\|_2=1} \int_M (4|\nabla v|^2 + Sv^2) d\mu_{g_0}.$$

This eigenvalue has been studied widely and is a very powerful tool for understanding Riemannian manifolds [Li 2007].

Note that

$$\int_M |\nabla v|^2 d\mu_{g_0} \leq \int_M \left(|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{S}{4}v^2 \right) d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{S_0^-}{4} \int_M v^2 d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Then if $\lambda_0 > 0$, we conclude from the inequality (3-4) that the assumption of Sobolev inequality in Theorem 1.1 holds initially as follows:

$$\left(\int_M v^{2n/(n-2)} d\mu_{g_0} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq \left[A_0 + \left(\frac{S_0^-}{4} + B_0 \right) \frac{4}{\lambda_0} \right] \int_M (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4}Sv^2) d\mu_{g_0}.$$

That is, the log-Sobolev inequality (3-1) in Lemma 3.2 holds with constant $B_0 = 0$. Therefore we conclude

Corollary 3.3. *Let (M, g, ϕ) be a solution of the system (1-1). Assume further that $\lambda_0 > 0$. Then for all $v \in W^{1,2}(M, g(t))$, $t \in [0, T_0)$, it holds that*

$$\left(\int_M v^{2n/(n-2)} d\mu_{g(t)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq \tilde{A}_0 \int_M (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4}Sv^2) d\mu_{g(t)},$$

where \tilde{A}_0 depends on initial Sobolev constants A_0 and B_0 , and λ_0 and S_0^- are independent of t .

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove a Gaussian-type upper bound for fundamental solutions of the conjugate heat equation. The Gaussian upper bound in Ricci flow was proven in [Zhang 2006] with the assumption on the lower bound of injectivity, via Sobolev inequality by Heybey [1996]. Here using the uniform Sobolev inequality in Corollary 3.3, we derive a similar Gaussian upper bound without the assumption on the lower bound of injectivity. To prove the theorem, we need the following interpolation theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M, g, ϕ) be a solution of (1-1) and $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution to heat equation*

$$(4-1) \quad \Delta u - \partial_t u = 0$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Then it holds that

$$(4-2) \quad \frac{|\nabla u(x, t)|}{u(x, t)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} \sqrt{\ln \frac{A}{u(x, t)}}$$

for $(x, t) \in M \times [0, T]$. Here $A = \sup_{M \times [0, T]} u$.

Moreover, for each $\delta > 0$, $x, y \in M$ and $0 < t < T$, the following interpolation inequality holds:

$$(4-3) \quad u(y, t) \leq A^{\delta/(1+\delta)} u^{1/(1+\delta)}(x, t) \exp\left(\frac{d^2(x, y, t)}{4t\delta}\right).$$

Proof. The proof is based on maximum principles, see also [Li and Yau 1986; Ni 2006; Zhu 2013]. Using (4-1), we compute

$$(4-4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta - \partial_t)\left(u \ln \frac{A}{u}\right) &= \Delta u \ln \frac{A}{u} + u \Delta\left(\ln \frac{A}{u}\right) + 2\nabla u \nabla \ln \frac{A}{u} \\ &\quad - \partial_t u \ln \frac{A}{u} - u \partial_t\left(\ln \frac{A}{u}\right) \\ &= \Delta u \ln \frac{A}{u} + u\left(-\frac{\Delta u}{u} + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2}\right) - 2\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} - \Delta u \ln \frac{A}{u} + \partial_t u \\ &= -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4-5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}\right) &= \frac{\Delta|\nabla u|^2}{u} + \Delta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)|\nabla u|^2 + 2\nabla|\nabla u|^2 \nabla\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) \\ &= \frac{\Delta|\nabla u|^2}{u} + \left(\frac{2|\nabla u|^2}{u^3} - \frac{\Delta u}{u^2}\right)|\nabla u|^2 - 4\frac{u_i u_j u_{ij}}{u^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4-6) \quad \partial_t\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}\right) = \frac{\partial_t|\nabla u|^2}{u} - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \partial_t u = \frac{2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle + 2S_{ij} u_i u_j}{u} - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \Delta u.$$

Putting (4-5) and (4-6) together, we get

$$(4-7) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta - \partial_t)\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}\right) &= \frac{\Delta|\nabla u|^2}{u} + \frac{2|\nabla u|^4}{u^3} - 4\frac{u_i u_j u_{ij}}{u^2} - \frac{2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle + 2S_{ij} u_i u_j}{u} \\ &= \frac{2u_{ij}^2 + 4u_i u_j \phi_i \phi_j}{u} + \frac{2|\nabla u|^4}{u^3} - 4\frac{u_i u_j u_{ij}}{u^2} \\ &= \frac{4}{u} |d\phi(\nabla u)|^2 + \frac{2}{u} \left|u_{ij} - \frac{u_i u_j}{u}\right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4-4) and (4-7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-8) \quad (\Delta - \partial_t) \left(\frac{t|\nabla u|^2}{u} - u \ln \frac{A}{u} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + \frac{4}{u} |d\phi(\nabla u)|^2 + \frac{2}{u} \left| u_{ij} - \frac{u_i u_j}{u} \right|^2 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} \\
 &= \frac{4}{u} |d\phi(\nabla u)|^2 + \frac{2}{u} \left| u_{ij} - \frac{u_i u_j}{u} \right|^2 \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

By $A = \sup_{M \times [0, T]} u$, we know at $t = 0$

$$\frac{t|\nabla u|^2}{u} - u \ln \frac{A}{u} = -u \ln \frac{A}{u} \leq 0.$$

Then from (4-8), the maximum principle implies that

$$\frac{t|\nabla u|^2}{u} - u \ln \frac{A}{u} \leq 0,$$

giving (4-2).

Set $\ell(x, t) = \ln(A/u(x, t))$. Then inequality (4-2) yields

$$|\nabla \sqrt{\ell(x, t)}| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u\sqrt{\ell}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4t}}.$$

For each $x, y \in M$, integrating the above inequality along a minimizing geodesic joining x and y yields

$$\sqrt{\ln \frac{A}{u(x, t)}} \leq \sqrt{\ln \frac{A}{u(y, t)}} + \frac{d(x, y, t)}{\sqrt{4t}}.$$

Then for any $\delta > 0$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln \frac{A}{u(x, t)} &\leq \ln \frac{A}{u(y, t)} + \frac{d^2(x, y, t)}{4t} + \sqrt{\ln \frac{A}{u(y, t)}} \frac{d(x, y, t)}{\sqrt{t}} \\
 &\leq \ln \frac{A}{u(y, t)} + \frac{d^2(x, y, t)}{4t} + \delta \ln \frac{A}{u(y, t)} + \frac{d^2(x, y, t)}{4t\delta},
 \end{aligned}$$

proving (4-3). □

Now we turn to proving [Theorem 1.2](#). With the uniform Sobolev inequality in [Corollary 3.3](#) and the interpolation theorem, we establish a mean value inequality via Moser iteration, and a weighted estimate in the spirit of Davies [1989], and then give the full proof of [Theorem 1.2](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. Using Morse iteration, we prove a mean value inequality for the positive solution u of the conjugate equation (1-2).

For $p \geq 1$, it follows that

$$(4-9) \quad \Delta u^p - pSu^p + \partial_t u^p \geq 0.$$

Define

$$Q_{\sigma r} := \{(y, s) \mid y \in M, t \leq s \leq t + (\sigma r)^2, d(x, y, s) \leq \sigma r\},$$

with $r > 0, 1 < \sigma \leq 2$. Let $\varphi(\rho) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function satisfying:

$$|\varphi'| \leq \frac{2}{(\sigma - 1)r},$$

$\varphi' \leq 0, \varphi \geq 0, \varphi(\rho) = 1$ when $0 \leq \rho \leq r$, and $\varphi(\rho) = 0$ when $\rho \geq \sigma r$. Let $\eta(s) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function satisfying:

$$|\eta'| \leq \frac{2}{(\sigma - 1)^2 r^2},$$

$\eta' \leq 0, \eta \geq 0, \eta(s) = 1$ when $s \leq t + r^2$, and $\eta(s) = 0$ when $t + (\sigma r)^2 \leq s \leq T$. Define a cutoff function $\psi(y, s)$ by

$$\psi(y, s) = \varphi(d(y, x, s))\eta(s).$$

Writing $\omega = u^p$, multiplying $\omega\psi^2$ to (4-9) and integrating by parts yield

$$(4-10) \quad \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} \nabla(\omega\psi^2)\nabla\omega dg(y, s) ds + p \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} S\omega^2\psi^2 dg(y, s) ds \leq \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} (\partial_s\omega)\omega\psi^2 dg(y, s) ds.$$

Integrating by parts, the right-hand side of (4-10) gives

$$\int_{Q_{\sigma r}} (\partial_s\omega)\omega\psi^2 dg(y, s) ds = - \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} \omega^2\psi\partial_s\psi dg(y, s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} (\psi\omega)^2 S dg(y, s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\sigma r}(t)} (\psi\omega)^2 dg(y, t).$$

By the nonnegativity of Sy and the identity (see [Chow et al. 2006; List 2008])

$$\partial_s d(x, y, s) = - \int_0^{d(x,y,s)} Sy(\gamma'(\tau), \gamma'(\tau)) d\tau \leq 0,$$

we have

$$\partial_s \psi = \eta(s)\varphi'(d(y, x, s))\partial_s d(x, y, s) + \varphi(d(y, x, s))\eta'(s) \geq \varphi(d(y, x, s))\eta'(s).$$

Hence

$$(4-11) \quad \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} (\partial_s \omega) \omega \psi^2 dg(y, s) ds \leq \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} \omega^2 \psi \varphi(d(y, x, s)) |\eta'(s)| dg(y, s) ds \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} (\psi \omega)^2 S dg(y, s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\sigma r}(t)} (\psi \omega)^2 dg(y, t).$$

Also, note that

$$(4-12) \quad \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} \nabla(\omega \psi^2) \nabla \omega dg(y, s) ds \\ = \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} |\nabla(\omega \psi)|^2 dg(y, s) ds - \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} |\nabla \psi|^2 \omega^2 dg(y, s) ds.$$

Then from (4-10), (4-11) and (4-12), we deduce

$$(4-13) \quad \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} |\nabla(\omega \psi)|^2 dg(y, s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} S(\omega \psi)^2 dg(y, s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\sigma r}(t)} (\psi \omega)^2 dg(y, t) \\ \leq \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} \omega^2 \psi \varphi(d(y, x, s)) |\eta'(s)| dg(y, s) ds + \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} |\nabla \psi|^2 \omega^2 dg(y, s) ds \\ \leq \frac{c}{(\sigma-1)^2 r^2} \int_{Q_{\sigma r}} \omega^2 dg(y, t).$$

Using Hölder's inequality one finds

$$(4-14) \quad \int (\psi \omega)^{2(1+2/n)} dg \leq \left(\int (\psi \omega)^{2n/(n-2)} dg \right)^{(n-2)/n} \left(\int (\psi \omega)^2 dg \right)^{2/n},$$

and using Corollary 3.3, we see that for each $t \in (0, T)$

$$(4-15) \quad \left(\int (\psi \omega)^{2n/(n-2)} dg(s) \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq A_0 \int (|\nabla(\psi \omega)|^2 + S(\psi \omega)^2) dg(s),$$

where A_0 depends only on the dimension n , λ_0 and the initial metric g_0 .

By (4-14) and (4-15), we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\sigma r}(s)} (\psi \omega)^{2(1+2/n)} dg(s) \leq A_0 \left(\int_{B_{\sigma r}(s)} (|\nabla(\psi \omega)|^2 + S(\psi \omega)^2) dg \right) \left(\int_{B_{\sigma r}(s)} (\psi \omega)^2 dg \right)^{2/n}.$$

Setting $\theta = 1 + 2/n$, integrating the above inequality with respect to s on $[t, t + (\sigma r)^2]$ and using (4-13), we reach

$$\int_{Q_{\sigma r}} (\psi \omega)^{2\theta} dg(y, s) ds \leq A_0 \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^2 r^2} \int_{Q_{\sigma r}(x, t)} \omega^2 dg(y, s) ds \right)^\theta,$$

which implies

$$(4-16) \quad \int_{Q_r} \omega^{2\theta} dg(y, s) ds \leq A_0 \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^2 r^2} \int_{Q_{\sigma r}(x, t)} \omega^2 dg(y, s) ds \right)^\theta.$$

Now we choose the sequences of σ_i and p_i as $\sigma_0 = 2, \sigma_i = 2 - \sum_{j=1}^i 2^{-j}, p_i = \theta^i$. Then inequality (4-16) gives that

$$\|u^2\|_{L^{\theta^{i+1}}(\sigma_{i+1}r)} \leq A_0^{1/\theta^{i+1}} \left(\frac{\sigma_{i+1}^2}{(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1})^2 r^2} \right)^{1/\theta^i} \|u^2\|_{L^{\theta^i}(\sigma_i r)},$$

which gives an L^2 mean value inequality

$$(4-17) \quad \sup_{Q_{r/2}(x,t)} u^2 \leq \frac{c}{r^{2+n}} \int_{Q_r(x,t)} u^2 dg(y, s) ds,$$

where c depends on the dimension n, λ_0 and the initial metric g_0 . Then by a generic trick of Li and Schoen (see [Li 2012, Section 32]) we arrive at an L^1 mean value inequality: for $r > 0$,

$$(4-18) \quad \sup_{Q_{r/2}(x,t)} u \leq \frac{c}{r^{2+n}} \int_{Q_r(x,t)} u dg(y, s) ds.$$

For $y \in M$ and $s > t$, applying (4-18) on $u = G(\cdot, \cdot : y, T)$ with $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(T-t)}$ and from the fact $\int_M u(z, \tau) dg(z, \tau) d\tau = 1$, we conclude

$$(4-19) \quad G(x, t; y, T) \leq \frac{c}{(T-t)^{n/2}}.$$

Step 2. Using methods of the exponential weight due to Davies [1989], we prove the bound with the exponential term.

It is clear that we only have to deal with the case $d(x_0, y_0, T) \geq 2\sqrt{T-t}$. Otherwise, by (4-19) the Gaussian-type upper bound (1-4) holds obviously. Pick a point $x_0 \in M$, a number $\lambda < 0$ which is determined later and a function $f \in L^2(M, g(T))$. Consider the functions $u(x, t)$ and $H(x, t)$ defined by

$$u(x, t) = \int_M G(x, t; y, T) e^{-\lambda d(y, x_0, T)} f(y) dg(y, T),$$

$$H(x, t) = e^{\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u(x, t).$$

It is clear that u is a solution of (1-2) with initial data

$$u(x, T) = e^{-\lambda d(x, x_0, T)} f(x).$$

Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \int_M H^2(x, t) dg(x, t) &= \partial_t \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u^2(x, t) dg(x, t) \\
 &= 2\lambda \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} \partial_t d(x, x_0, t) u^2(x, t) dg(x, t) \\
 &\quad - \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u^2(x, t) S(x, t) dg(x, t) \\
 &\quad - 2 \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u(x, t) (\Delta u - Su) dg(x, t) \\
 &\geq -2 \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u(x, t) \Delta u dg(x, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds due to $Sy \geq 0$, $\lambda < 0$, and $\partial_t d(x, x_0, t) \leq 0$.

By integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \int_M H^2(x, t) dg(x, t) &\geq 4\lambda \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u(x, t) \langle \nabla u, \nabla d(x, x_0, t) \rangle dg(x, t) \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} |\nabla u|^2 dg(x, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_M |\nabla H(x, t)|^2 dg(x, t) \\
 &= \int_M |\nabla (u(x, t) e^{\lambda d(x, x_0, t)})|^2 dg(x, t) \\
 &= \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} |\nabla u|^2 dg(x, t) + 2\lambda \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u(x, t) \langle \nabla u, \nabla d(x, x_0, t) \rangle dg(x, t) \\
 &\quad + \lambda^2 \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} |\nabla d|^2 u^2 dg(x, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two expressions, we conclude

$$\partial_t \int_M H^2(x, t) dg(x, t) \geq 2 \int_M |\nabla H(x, t)|^2 dg(x, t) - 2\lambda^2 \int_M e^{2\lambda d(x, x_0, t)} u^2 dg(x, t),$$

which implies

$$\partial_t \int_M H^2(x, t) dg(x, t) \geq -2\lambda^2 \int_M H^2(x, t) dg(x, t).$$

Integrating on $[t, T]$, we arrive at the L^2 estimate

$$(4-20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M H^2(x, t) dg(x, t) &\leq e^{2\lambda^2(T-t)} \int_M H^2(x, T) dg(x, T) \\ &= e^{2\lambda^2(T-t)} \int_M f^2(x) dg(x, T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the mean value inequality (4-17) with $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(T-t)}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} u^2(x, t) &\leq \frac{c}{(T-t)^{1+n/2}} \int_t^{(T+t)/2} \int_{B(x, \sqrt{(T-t)/2}, \tau)} u^2(z, \tau) dg(z, \tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{c}{(T-t)^{1+n/2}} \int_t^{(T+t)/2} \int_{B(x, \sqrt{(T-t)/2}, \tau)} e^{-2\lambda d(z, x_0, \tau)} H^2(z, \tau) dg(z, \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Particularly, at $x = x_0$, we get

$$u^2(x_0, t) \leq \frac{ce^{-2\lambda\sqrt{(T-t)/2}}}{(T-t)^{1+n/2}} \int_t^{(T+t)/2} \int_{B(x_0, \sqrt{(T-t)/2}, \tau)} H^2(z, \tau) dg(z, \tau) d\tau.$$

From (4-20), it follows that

$$u^2(x_0, t) \leq \frac{ce^{2\lambda^2(T-t)-2\lambda\sqrt{(T-t)/2}}}{(T-t)^{n/2}} \int_M f^2(y) dg(y, T),$$

i.e.,

$$(4-21) \quad \left(\int_M G(x_0, t; z, T) e^{-\lambda d(z, x_0, T)} f(z) dg(z, T) \right)^2 \leq \frac{ce^{2\lambda^2(T-t)-2\lambda\sqrt{(T-t)/2}}}{(T-t)^{n/2}} \int_M f^2(y) dg(y, T).$$

Now we fix y_0 such that $d(y_0, x_0, T) \geq 4(T-t)$. Then it follows from the triangle inequality that

$$-\lambda d(z, x_0, T) \geq -\frac{1}{2}\lambda d(x_0, y_0, T),$$

provided by $d(z, y_0, T) \leq \sqrt{T-t}$. Then (4-21) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)} G(x_0, t; z, T) f(z) dg(T) \right)^2 &\leq \frac{ce^{\lambda d(x_0, y_0, T)+2\lambda^2(T-t)-2\lambda\sqrt{(T-t)/2}}}{(T-t)^{n/2}} \int_M f^2(y) dg(T). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality

$$2\lambda^2(T-t) - 2\lambda\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(T-t)} \leq 3\lambda^2(T-t) + \frac{1}{2},$$

and letting $\lambda = -d(x_0, y_0, T)/(b(T - t))$, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)} G(x_0, t; z, T) f(z) dg(z, T) \right)^2 \leq \frac{ce^{-\frac{c_1 d^2(x_0, y_0, T)}{T-t}}}{(T-t)^{n/2}} \int_M f^2(y) dg(y, T)$$

with $b > 0$ sufficiently large, and c_1 is an absolute constant. Then by the arbitrariness of f , we derive

$$\int_{B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)} G^2(x_0, t; z, T) dg(z, T) \leq \frac{ce^{-c_1 \frac{d^2(x_0, y_0, T)}{T-t}}}{(T-t)^{n/2}}.$$

Hence, there exists $z_0 \in B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)$ such that

$$(4-22) \quad G^2(x_0, t; z_0, T) \leq \frac{ce^{-c_1 \frac{d^2(x_0, y_0, T)}{T-t}}}{(T-t)^{n/2} |B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)|_T}.$$

Let us recall that in [Guenther 2002] the adjoint property of the $G(x_0, t : \cdot, \cdot)$ is obtained, thus

$$\Delta_z G(x, t; z, \tau) - \partial_\tau G(x, t; z, \tau) = 0$$

along Ricci-harmonic flow (1-1).

Choosing $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 4.1 and $z_0 \in B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)$, it then follows that

$$(4-23) \quad G(x_0, t; y_0, T) \leq \sqrt{G(x_0, t; z_0, T)} \sqrt{A} e^{d^2(y_0, z_0, T)/4(T-t)} \leq e^{1/4} \sqrt{G(x_0, t; z_0, T)} \sqrt{A},$$

where $A = \sup_{M \times [(t+T)/2, T]} G(x_0, t; \cdot, \cdot)$.

Since (4-19) implies

$$A \leq \frac{c}{(T-t)^{n/2}},$$

then combining with (4-22) and (4-23) we have

$$G(x_0, t; y_0, T)^2 \leq \frac{c}{(T-t)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{(T-t)^{n/4} \sqrt{|B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)|_T}} e^{-\frac{c_1 d^2(x_0, y_0, T)}{T-t}}.$$

Therefore by the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_0, t; y_0, T) &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{(T-t)^{n/2}} + \frac{1}{|B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)|_T} \right) e^{-\frac{c_1 d^2(x_0, y_0, T)}{T-t}} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{|B(y_0, \sqrt{T-t}, T)|_T} e^{-\frac{c_1 d^2(x_0, y_0, T)}{T-t}}, \end{aligned}$$

where c depends on the dimension n , λ_0 and the initial metric g_0 , and c_1 depends only on dimension n . In the last inequality, we used the volume comparison theorem with the nonnegative Ricci curvature. By the arbitrariness of x_0 and y_0 , we complete the proof. □

Acknowledgements

Liu's research is partially supported by NSFC 11131005. Wang's research is partially supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation grant 2016M591900, Ph.D. Programs Foundation (China) grant 20133201110001, Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province grant BK20160301, and Natural Science Foundation of Education Committee of Jiangsu Province grant 16KJB110018. The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for the careful reading and the valuable suggestions.

References

- [Carrillo and Ni 2009] J. A. Carrillo and L. Ni, “Sharp logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on gradient solitons and applications”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **17**:4 (2009), 721–753. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chau et al. 2011] A. Chau, L.-F. Tam, and C. Yu, “Pseudolocality for the Ricci flow and applications”, *Canad. J. Math.* **63**:1 (2011), 55–85. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chow et al. 2006] B. Chow, P. Lu, and L. Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **77**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Davies 1989] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **92**, Cambridge University Press, 1989. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Guenther 2002] C. M. Guenther, “The fundamental solution on manifolds with time-dependent metrics”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **12**:3 (2002), 425–436. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hamilton 1982] R. S. Hamilton, “Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature”, *J. Differential Geom.* **17**:2 (1982), 255–306. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hamilton 1986] R. S. Hamilton, “Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator”, *J. Differential Geom.* **24**:2 (1986), 153–179. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hamilton 1993] R. S. Hamilton, “The Harnack estimate for the Ricci flow”, *J. Differential Geom.* **37**:1 (1993), 225–243. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hebey 1996] E. Hebey, “Optimal Sobolev inequalities on complete Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below and positive injectivity radius”, *Amer. J. Math.* **118**:2 (1996), 291–300. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kuang and Zhang 2008] S. Kuang and Q. S. Zhang, “A gradient estimate for all positive solutions of the conjugate heat equation under Ricci flow”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**:4 (2008), 1008–1023. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Li 2007] J.-F. Li, “Eigenvalues and energy functionals with monotonicity formulae under Ricci flow”, *Math. Ann.* **338**:4 (2007), 927–946. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Li 2012] P. Li, *Geometric analysis*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **134**, Cambridge University Press, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Li and Yau 1986] P. Li and S.-T. Yau, “On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator”, *Acta Math.* **156**:3-4 (1986), 153–201. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [List 2008] B. List, “Evolution of an extended Ricci flow system”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **16**:5 (2008), 1007–1048. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Müller 2012] R. Müller, “Ricci flow coupled with harmonic map flow”, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **45**:1 (2012), 101–142. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ni 2004] L. Ni, “The entropy formula for linear heat equation”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **14**:1 (2004), 87–100. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Ni 2006] L. Ni, “A note on Perelman’s LYH-type inequality”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **14**:5 (2006), 883–905. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Perelman 2002] G. Perelman, “The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications”, preprint, 2002. [Zbl](#) [arXiv](#)
- [Saloff-Coste 2002] L. Saloff-Coste, *Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **289**, Cambridge University Press, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zhang 2006] Q. S. Zhang, “Some gradient estimates for the heat equation on domains and for an equation by Perelman”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2006**:15 (2006), art. id. 92314. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zhang 2007] Q. S. Zhang, “A uniform Sobolev inequality under Ricci flow”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2007**:17 (2007), art. id. rnm056. Corrected in art. id. rnm096 (2007). [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zhang 2011] Q. S. Zhang, *Sobolev inequalities, heat kernels under Ricci flow, and the Poincaré conjecture*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2011. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zhu 2013] A. Zhu, “Differential Harnack inequalities for the backward heat equation with potential under the harmonic-Ricci flow”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **406**:2 (2013), 502–510. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received August 21, 2015. Revised June 8, 2016.

XIAN-GAO LIU
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
FUDAN UNIVERSITY
200433 SHANGHAI
CHINA

xgliu@fudan.edu.cn

KUI WANG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY
215006 SUZHOU
CHINA

kuiwang@suda.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jlhu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$450/year for the electronic version, and \$625/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 287 No. 2 April 2017

Maximal operators for the p -Laplacian family	257
PABLO BLANC, JUAN P. PINASCO and JULIO D. ROSSI	
Van Est isomorphism for homogeneous cochains	297
ALEJANDRO CABRERA and THIAGO DRUMMOND	
The Ricci–Bourguignon flow	337
GIOVANNI CATINO, LAURA CREMASCHI, ZINDINE DJADLI, CARLO MANTEGAZZA and LORENZO MAZZIERI	
The normal form theorem around Poisson transversals	371
PEDRO FREJLICH and IOAN MĂRCUȚ	
Some closure results for \mathcal{C} -approximable groups	393
DEREK F. HOLT and SARAH REES	
Coman conjecture for the bidisc	411
ŁUKASZ KOSIŃSKI, PASCAL J. THOMAS and WŁODZIMIERZ ZWONEK	
Endotrivial modules: a reduction to p' -central extensions	423
CAROLINE LASSUEUR and JACQUES THÉVENAZ	
Infinitely many positive solutions for the fractional Schrödinger–Poisson system	439
WEIMING LIU	
A Gaussian upper bound of the conjugate heat equation along Ricci-harmonic flow	465
XIAN-GAO LIU and KUI WANG	
Approximation to an extremal number, its square and its cube	485
JOHANNES SCHLEISCHITZ	