

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**WELL-POSEDNESS OF SECOND-ORDER DEGENERATE
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH FINITE DELAY
IN VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTION SPACES**

SHANGQUAN BU AND GANG CAI

WELL-POSEDNESS OF SECOND-ORDER DEGENERATE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH FINITE DELAY IN VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTION SPACES

SHANGQUAN BU AND GANG CAI

We give necessary and sufficient conditions of the L^p -well-posedness (respectively, $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness) for the second-order degenerate differential equation with finite delay: $(Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t + f(t)$, ($t \in [0, 2\pi]$) with periodic boundary conditions $u(0) = u(2\pi)$, $(Mu')(0) = (Mu')(2\pi)$, where A and M are closed linear operators on a Banach space X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$, and F and G are bounded linear operators from $L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X)$ (respectively, $B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]; X)$) into X .

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the well-posedness of the following second-order degenerate differential equations with finite delays:

$$(P_2) \quad \begin{cases} (Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t + f(t) & (t \in \mathbb{T}) \\ u(0) = u(2\pi), \quad (Mu')(0) = (Mu')(2\pi), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{T} := [0, 2\pi]$, A and M are closed linear operators on a Banach space X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is fixed, F and G are bounded linear operators from $L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X)$ (resp. $B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]; X)$) into X , u_t and u'_t are defined on $[-2\pi, 0]$ by $u_t(s) = u(t+s)$, $u'_t(s) = u'(t+s)$ when $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say that (P_2) is L^p -well-posed, if for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, there exists a unique $u \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X) \cap L^p(\mathbb{T}; D(A))$, such that $u' \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; D(M))$, $Mu' \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X)$, and (P_2) is satisfied a.e. on \mathbb{T} . Here $D(A)$ and $D(M)$ are equipped with their graph norms so that they become Banach spaces, and $W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ is the X -valued periodic Sobolev space of order 1. Our main result in this paper gives a necessary and sufficient condition for (P_2) to be L^p -well-posed. Precisely,

This work was supported by the NSF of China (No.11401063, 11571194), the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing(cstc2014jcyjA00016) and Science and Technology Project of Chongqing Education Committee (Grant No. KJ1500314, KJ1500313, KJ1703041). Cai is the corresponding author.

MSC2010: 34G10, 34K30, 43A15, 47D06.

Keywords: Degenerate differential equations, delay equations, well-posedness, Lebesgue–Bochner spaces, Besov spaces, Fourier multipliers.

we show that when the underlying Banach space X is a UMD Banach space and $1 < p < \infty$, if the set $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is Rademacher bounded, then (P_2) is L^p -well-posed if and only if $\rho_p(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$, and the sets $\{k^2 M N_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k N_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are Rademacher bounded, where

$$(1-1) \quad N_k = (k^2 M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$F_k, G_k \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ are defined by $F_k x = F(e_k x)$, $G_k x = G(e_k x)$ with $e_k(t) = e^{ikt}$ (see [Theorem 2.4](#)). We also study the well-posedness of (P_2) in periodic Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$, and a necessary and sufficient condition for (P_2) to be $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed is also given (see [Theorem 3.3](#)).

The main tools we will use are operator-valued Fourier multipliers on $L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ and $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. Indeed, we will transform the well-posedness of (P_2) to an operator-valued Fourier multiplier problem in the corresponding vector-valued function spaces. Thus the operator-valued Fourier multipliers theorems obtained by Arendt and Bu [\[2002; 2004\]](#) on $L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ and $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ are fundamental for us.

The results obtained in this paper recover the known results presented in Bu and Fang [\[2010\]](#) in the nondegenerate case when $M = I_X$ and $\alpha = 0$. Thus our results may be also regarded as generalizations of the previous known results when $M = I_X$ and $F = G = 0$ in the L^p -well-posedness and the $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness obtained in [\[Arendt and Bu 2002; 2004\]](#). Our results also generalize the previous known results obtained by Bu [\[2013\]](#) in the simpler case when $F = G = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$.

A large number of partial differential equations arising in physics and applied sciences, such as in the flow of fluid through fissured rocks, thermodynamics and shear in second-order fluids or in the theory of control of dynamical systems, can be expressed by the model in the form of (P_2) . See [\[Lizama 2006; Bu and Fang 2009; 2010; Lizama and Ponce 2011; 2013; Poblete and Pozo 2013; 2014\]](#) for the study of vector-valued degenerate equations with delays. See the monographs by Favini and Yagi [\[1999\]](#) and by Sviridyuk and Fedorov [\[2003\]](#) for detailed studies of abstract degenerate type differential equations.

At the end of this paper, we give concrete examples to which our abstract results may be applied. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$ and m be a nonnegative bounded measurable function defined on Ω ; let $X = H^{-1}(\Omega)$, $F, G : L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ be bounded linear operators. If M is the multiplication operator by m on $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ with domain of definition $D(M)$ and $A = \Delta$ is the Laplacian on X with Dirichlet boundary condition and we assume that $D(A) \subset D(M)$, then under suitable assumptions on F and G we obtain the L^p -well-posedness for the corresponding second-order degenerate differential equations with finite delays (see [Example 4.1](#)). Our abstract results can also be applied in the following situation: let H be a complex Hilbert space, $1 < p < \infty$ and $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(L^p([-2\pi, 0]; H), H)$ be delay operators, P be a densely

defined positive selfadjoint operator on H with $P \geq \delta > 0$. If $M = P - \epsilon$ with $\epsilon < \delta$, and $A = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i P^i$ with $a_i \geq 0$, $a_k > 0$. If we assume that $0 \in \rho(M)$, then we obtain the L^p -well-posedness of the corresponding second-order degenerate differential equations with finite delays under suitable assumptions on F and G (see [Example 4.2](#)).

This work is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we study the well-posedness of (P_2) in $L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$. In [Section 3](#), we consider the well-posedness of (P_2) in periodic Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. In [Section 4](#), we give examples of degenerate differential equations with finite delays to which our abstract results may be applied.

2. Well-posedness in Lebesgue–Bochner spaces

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . If $X = Y$, we will denote it simply by $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We denote by $L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ the space of all X -valued measurable functions f defined on \mathbb{T} satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^p} := \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \|f(t)\|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}; X)$, we define

$$\hat{f}(k) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e_{-k}(t) f(t) dt,$$

the k -th Fourier coefficient of f , where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e_k(t) := e^{ikt}$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Definition. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. A set $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is said to be Rademacher bounded (R -bounded, in short), if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j T_j x_j \right\| \leq C \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j x_j \right\|$$

for all $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathbf{T}$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear from the definition that if $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ are R -bounded, then $\mathbf{ST} := \{ST : S \in \mathbf{S}, T \in \mathbf{T}\}$ and $\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T} := \{S + T : S \in \mathbf{S}, T \in \mathbf{T}\}$ are still R -bounded. It is also clear that each R -bounded set is norm bounded. It is known that each norm bounded subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is R -bounded if and only if X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space [[Arendt and Bu 2002](#), Proposition 1.13]. The main tool in the study of L^p -well-posedness of (P_2) is the operator-valued L^p -Fourier multipliers.

Definition. Let X, Y be Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is an L^p -Fourier multiplier, if for each $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, there exists a unique $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; Y)$ such that $\hat{u}(k) = M_k \hat{f}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It follows easily from the closed graph theorem that when $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is an L^p -Fourier multiplier, then there exists a unique $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{T}; X), L^p(\mathbb{T}; Y))$, such that $\widehat{Tf}(k) = M_k \widehat{f}(k)$ when $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following results were established in [Arendt and Bu 2002]:

Proposition 2.1. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces and assume that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is an L^p -Fourier multiplier. Then the set $\{M_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is R -bounded.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let X, Y be UMD spaces and $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. If the sets $\{M_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{k(M_{k+1} - M_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded, then $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defines an L^p -Fourier multiplier whenever $1 < p < \infty$.*

In this section, we study the following second-order degenerate differential equation with finite delays:

$$(P_2) \quad \begin{cases} (Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t + f(t), & (t \in \mathbb{T}) \\ u(0) = u(2\pi), & (Mu')(0) = (Mu')(2\pi), \end{cases}$$

where A, M are closed linear operators on a Banach space X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is fixed, and $F, G : L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ are fixed bounded linear operators. Moreover, for fixed $t \in \mathbb{T}$, u_t and u'_t are elements of $L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X)$ defined by $u_t(s) = u(t+s)$, $u'_t(s) = u'(t+s)$ for $-2\pi \leq s \leq 0$. Here we identify a function u on \mathbb{T} with its natural 2π -periodic extension on \mathbb{R} .

To give the definition of the solution space for (P_2) , we need to introduce vector-valued periodic Sobolev space of order 1. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define the periodic ‘‘Sobolev’’ space of order 1 [Arendt and Bu 2002] by:

$$W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X) := \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X) : \text{there exists } v \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X) \text{ such that } \widehat{v}(k) = ik\widehat{u}(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$. Then $u \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ if and only if u is differentiable a.e. on \mathbb{T} and $u' \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$; in this case, u is actually continuous and $u(0) = u(2\pi)$ [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 2.1].

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We define the solution space of the L^p -well-posedness for (P_2) by

$$S_p(A, M) := \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; D(A)) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X) : u' \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; D(M)), Mu' \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X)\},$$

here we consider $D(A)$ and $D(M)$ as Banach spaces equipped with their graph norms. When $u \in S_p(A, M)$, then $Fu_\bullet, Gu'_\bullet \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ as $\|Fu_t\| \leq \|F\| \|u\|_p$ and $\|Fu'_t\| \leq \|F\| \|u'\|_p$ when $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Thus all terms appearing in (P_2) belong to $L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$. Moreover $S_p(A, M)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{S_p(A, M)} := \|u\|_{L^p} + \|u'\|_{L^p} + \|Au\|_{L^p} + \|Mu'\|_{L^p} + \|(Mu')'\|_{L^p}.$$

By [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 2.1], if $u \in S_p(A, M)$, then u and Mu' are X -valued continuous on \mathbb{T} , and $u(0) = u(2\pi)$, $(Mu')(0) = (Mu')(2\pi)$.

Definition. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$; $u \in S_p(A, M)$ is called a strong L^p -solution of (P_2) if (P_2) is satisfied a.e. on \mathbb{T} . We say that (P_2) is L^p -well-posed, if for each $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, there exists a unique strong L^p -solution of (P_2) .

If (P_2) is L^p -well-posed, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, if $u \in S_p(A, M)$ is the unique strong L^p -solution of (P_2) , then

$$(2-1) \quad \|u\|_{S_p(A, M)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

This is an easy consequence of the closed graph theorem by the closedness of A and M .

Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(-2\pi, 0); X), X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the linear operators F_k, G_k on X by

$$(2-2) \quad F_k x := F(e_k x) \quad \text{and} \quad G_k x := G(e_k x), \quad (x \in X).$$

It is clear that $F_k, G_k \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $\|F_k\| \leq \|F\|$ and $\|G_k\| \leq \|G\|$ as $\|e_k\|_p = 1$. Moreover when $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$,

$$(2-3) \quad \widehat{Fu}_\bullet(k) = F_k \hat{u}(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{Gu}_\bullet(k) = G_k \hat{u}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

This implies that $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers as

$$\|Fu_t\| \leq \|F\| \|u_\bullet\|_p = \|F\| \|u\|_p, \quad (t \in \mathbb{T})$$

and thus $Fu_\bullet, Gu_\bullet \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$. We define the resolvent set of (P_2) in the L^p -well-posedness setting by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_p(P_2) := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k^2 M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A \quad \text{is invertible from } D(A) \text{ onto } X \\ \text{and } (k^2 M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $k \in \rho_p(P_2)$, then $M(k^2 M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$ and $A(k^2 M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$ make sense as $D(A) \subset D(M)$ by assumption, and they belong to $\mathcal{L}(X)$ by the closed graph theorem. We need the following preparation.

Proposition 2.3. *Let A and M be closed linear operators defined on a UMD space X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X), X)$. Assume that $\rho_p(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ and that the sets $\{k^2 M N_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k N_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded, where $N_k = (k^2 M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$, F_k and G_k are defined by (2-2) when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(k^2 M N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(k N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(k M N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers.*

Proof. Let $M_k = k^2 M N_k$, $S_k = k N_k$ and $T_k = k M N_k$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sets $\{G_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{F_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded by [Lizama 2006, Proposition 3.2]. It follows from

the R -boundedness of the set $\{I_X/k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ that $\{N_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is R -bounded, as the product of R -bounded sets is still R -bounded. Moreover, by the definition of N_k ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-4) \quad N_{k+1} - N_k &= N_{k+1}(N_k^{-1} - N_{k+1}^{-1})N_k \\
 &= N_{k+1}[-(2k+1)M + i\alpha + ikG_k - i(k+1)G_{k+1} + F_k - F_{k+1}]N_k \\
 &= -(2k+1)N_{k+1}MN_k + i\alpha N_{k+1}N_k - ikN_{k+1}(G_{k+1} - G_k)N_k \\
 &\quad - iN_{k+1}G_{k+1}N_k - N_{k+1}(F_{k+1} - F_k)N_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-5) \quad M_{k+1} - M_k &= (k+1)^2MN_{k+1} - k^2MN_k \\
 &= k^2M(N_{k+1} - N_k) + (2k+1)MN_{k+1} \\
 &= -k^2(2k+1)MN_{k+1}MN_k + i\alpha k^2MN_{k+1}N_k \\
 &\quad - ik^3MN_{k+1}(G_{k+1} - G_k)N_k - ik^2MN_{k+1}G_{k+1}N_k \\
 &\quad - k^2MN_{k+1}(F_{k+1} - F_k)N_k + (2k+1)MN_{k+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-6) \quad S_{k+1} - S_k &= k(N_{k+1} - N_k) + N_{k+1} \\
 &= -k(2k+1)N_{k+1}MN_k + i\alpha kN_{k+1}N_k - ik^2N_{k+1}(G_{k+1} - G_k)N_k \\
 &\quad - ikN_{k+1}G_{k+1}N_k - kN_{k+1}(F_{k+1} - F_k)N_k + N_{k+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-7) \quad T_{k+1} - T_k &= M(S_{k+1} - S_k) \\
 &= -k(2k+1)MN_{k+1}MN_k + i\alpha kMN_{k+1}N_k - ik^2MN_{k+1}(G_{k+1} - G_k)N_k \\
 &\quad - ikMN_{k+1}G_{k+1}N_k - kMN_{k+1}(F_{k+1} - F_k)N_k + MN_{k+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the sets $\{k(N_{k+1} - N_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k(M_{k+1} - M_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k(S_{k+1} - S_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{k(T_{k+1} - T_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded by the R -boundedness of the sets $\{k^2MN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{kN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{F_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{G_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It follows that $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers by [Theorem 2.2](#). This completes the proof. \square

Our next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the L^p -well-posedness of (P_2) when X is a UMD space and $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a UMD space, $1 < p < \infty$ and let A, M be closed linear operators on X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X), X)$ be such that the set $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is R -bounded. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) (P_2) is L^p -well-posed.

(ii) $\rho_p(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the sets $\{k^2MN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{kN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded, where $N_k = (k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that (P_2) is L^p -well-posed. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in X$. Define $f(t) = e^{ikt}y$ ($t \in \mathbb{T}$). Then $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, $\hat{f}(k) = y$ and $\hat{f}(n) = 0$ for $n \neq k$. Since (P_2) is L^p -well-posed, there exists $u \in S_p(A, M)$ such that

$$(2-8) \quad (Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t + f(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

We have $\hat{u}(n) \in D(A)$ when $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 3.1] as $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; D(A))$. Taking Fourier transforms on both sides of (2-8), we obtain

$$(2-9) \quad -(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)\hat{u}(k) = y,$$

and $-(n^2M - i\alpha n + inG_n + F_n + A)\hat{u}(n) = 0$ when $n \neq k$. This implies in particular that $k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A$ is surjective. We are going to show that it is also injective. Let $x \in D(A)$ be such that

$$(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)x = 0,$$

and let $u(t) = e^{ikt}x$ when $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $u \in S_p(A, M)$ and (P_2) holds a.e. on \mathbb{T} when taking $f = 0$. Consequently u is a strong L^p -solution of (P_2) when $f = 0$. We obtain $u = 0$ by the uniqueness assumption and thus $x = 0$. We have shown that $k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A$ is also injective. Therefore $k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A$ is a bijection from $D(A)$ onto X .

Now we show the boundedness of $(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$. For $f(t) = e^{ikt}y$, we let $u \in S_p(A, M)$ be the strong L^p -solution of (P_2) . Then

$$\hat{u}(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq k, \\ -(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}y, & n = k, \end{cases}$$

by (2-9). This means that $u(t) = -e^{ikt}(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}y$. By (2-1), there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent from y and k satisfying

$$\|u\|_{L^p} + \|u'\|_{L^p} + \|Au\|_{L^p} + \|Mu'\|_{L^p} + \|(Mu')'\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

In particular $\|u\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}$. This implies that $\|(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}y\| \leq C\|y\|$ for all $y \in X$. Thus

$$\|(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}\| \leq C.$$

We have shown that $k \in \rho_p(P_2)$. Hence $\rho_p(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $M_k = k^2M(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$ and $S_k = ik(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We are going to show that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ be fixed. Then there exists $u \in S_p(A, M)$

strong L^p -solution of (P_2) by assumption. Taking Fourier transforms on both sides of (P_2) , we get that $\hat{u}(k) \in D(A)$ by [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 3.1] and

$$-(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)\hat{u}(k) = \hat{f}(k)$$

when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A$ is invertible, we have

$$\hat{u}(k) = -(k^2M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}\hat{f}(k)$$

when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have $\widehat{u'}(k) = ik\hat{u}(k)$ and $\widehat{(Mu')}(k) = -k^2M\hat{u}(k)$ by [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 3.1]. Consequently

$$\widehat{u'}(k) = -S_k\hat{f}(k), \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{(Mu')}(k) = -M_k\hat{f}(k)$$

when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We conclude that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers as u' , $(Mu')' \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ by assumption. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that the sets $\{M_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{S_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that $\rho_p(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the sets $\{k^2MN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{kN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded. Define $M_k = k^2MN_k$, $S_k = ikN_k$ and $T_k = ikMN_k$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers. Then for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, there exists $u, v, w, g \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$ satisfying

$$(2-10) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{u}(k) &= -M_k\hat{f}(k), & \hat{v}(k) &= S_k\hat{f}(k), \\ \hat{w}(k) &= N_k\hat{f}(k), & \hat{g}(k) &= T_k\hat{f}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\hat{v}(k) = ik\hat{w}(k)$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $w \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 2.1] and $w' = v$. We note that $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers by (2-3). Thus $(ikG_kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(F_kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers as the product of L^p -Fourier multipliers is still an L^p -Fourier multiplier. We have

$$AN_k = I_X - M_k + i\alpha kN_k - ikG_kN_k - F_kN_k, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It follows that $(AN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is also an L^p -Fourier multiplier as the sum of L^p -Fourier multipliers is still an L^p -Fourier multiplier. This together with the fact that $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defines an L^p -Fourier multiplier implies that $N_k \in \mathcal{L}(X, D(A))$. Here we consider $D(A)$ as a Banach space equipped with its graph norm. We have shown that $w \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; D(A))$.

Noticing the facts that $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are L^p -Fourier multipliers, we have that $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(X, D(M))$. Since $\hat{v}(k) = S_k\hat{f}(k)$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (2-10), we deduce that $v = w' \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; D(M))$. Again by (2-10),

$$\hat{u}(k) = -k^2MN_k\hat{f}(k) = ik\widehat{Mw'}(k)$$

when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have $Mw' \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ by [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 2.1]. We have shown that $w \in S_p(A, M)$.

By (2-10), we have

$$(\widehat{Mw'})'(k) + i\alpha k \widehat{w}(k) = A\widehat{w}(k) + ikG_k \widehat{w}(k) + F_k \widehat{w}(k) + \widehat{f}(k)$$

when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This together with the facts $\widehat{Fw}_\bullet(k) = F_k \widehat{w}(k)$ and $\widehat{Gw}'_\bullet(k) = ikG_k \widehat{w}(k)$ implies that

$$(Mw')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Aw(t) + Gw'_t + Fw_t + f(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T}$$

by the uniqueness theorem [Arendt and Bu 2002, page 314]. Thus w is a strong L^p -solution of (P_2) . This shows the existence.

To show the uniqueness, we let $u \in S_p(A, M)$ satisfying

$$(Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

Taking the Fourier transforms on both sides, we have

$$(k^2 M - i\alpha k + ikG_k + F_k + A)\widehat{u}(k) = 0, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $\rho_p(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$, this implies that $\widehat{u}(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus $u = 0$. So (P_2) is L^p -well-posed. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4 recovers the known results presented in Bu and Fang [2010] in the nondegenerate case when $M = I_X$ and $\alpha = 0$. Thus it may be also regarded as generalizations of the previous known results when $M = I_X$, $\alpha = 0$ and $F = G = 0$ in the L^p -well-posedness obtained in [Arendt and Bu 2002]. Our results also generalize the previous known results obtained by Bu [2013] in the simpler case when $F = G = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$.

3. Well-posedness in periodic Besov spaces

In this section we study the $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness of (P_2) . Firstly, we briefly recall the definition of periodic Besov spaces in the vector-valued case introduced in [Arendt and Bu 2004]. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Schwartz space of all rapidly decreasing smooth functions on \mathbb{R} . Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{T})$ be the space of all infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{T} equipped with the locally convex topology given by the seminorms $\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}, X) := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{T}), X)$ be the space of all continuous linear operators from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{T})$ to X . In order to define periodic Besov spaces, we consider the dyadic-like subsets of \mathbb{R} :

$$I_0 = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| \leq 2\}, I_k = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{k-1} < |t| \leq 2^{k+1}\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\phi(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all systems $\phi = (\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\text{supp}(\phi_k) \subset \bar{I}_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \phi_k(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{k\alpha} |\phi_k^{(\alpha)}(x)| < \infty.$$

Let $\phi = (\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \phi(\mathbb{R})$ be fixed. For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the X -valued periodic Besov space is defined by

$$B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}, X) : \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} := \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{sjq} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e_k \otimes \phi_j(k) \hat{f}(k) \right\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}$$

with the usual modification if $q = \infty$. The space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ is independent from the choice of ϕ and different choices of ϕ lead to equivalent norms $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p,q}^s}$ on $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. Equipping $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p,q}^s}$ gives a Banach space. See [Arendt and Bu 2004, Section 2] for more information about the space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. We know that if $s_2 \leq s_1$, then $B_{p,q}^{s_1}(\mathbb{T}; X) \subset B_{p,q}^{s_2}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ and the embedding is continuous [Arendt and Bu 2004]. When $s > 0$, it is shown in the same work that $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X) \subset L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$, $f \in B_{p,q}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ if and only if f is differentiable a.e. on \mathbb{T} and $f' \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. This implies that if $u \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ is such that there exists $v \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ satisfying $\hat{v}(k) = ik\hat{u}(k)$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $u \in B_{p,q}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ and $u' = v$ [Arendt and Bu 2004, Lemma 2.1].

The main tool in the study of $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness of (P_2) is the operator-valued $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multiplier theory established in [Arendt and Bu 2004].

Definition. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. We say $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multiplier, if for each $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$, there exists a unique $u \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; Y)$, such that $\hat{u}(k) = M_k \hat{f}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following result, obtained in [Arendt and Bu 2004], gives a sufficient condition for an operator-valued sequence to be a $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multiplier.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. We assume*

$$(3-1) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\|M_k\| + \|k(M_{k+1} - M_k)\|) < \infty,$$

$$(3-2) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(M_{k+2} - 2M_{k+1} + M_k)\| < \infty.$$

Then for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multiplier. If X is B -convex, then condition (3-1) is already sufficient for $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be a $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multiplier.

Recall that a Banach space X is B -convex if it does not contain l_1^n uniformly. This is equivalent to saying that X has Fourier type $1 < p \leq 2$, i.e., the Fourier transform is a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ to $l^q(\mathbb{Z}; X)$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. It is well known that when $1 < p < \infty$, then $L^p(\mu)$ has Fourier type $\min\{p, p/(p-1)\}$.

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, s > 0$ be fixed. We consider the following second-order degenerate differential equation with finite delays:

$$(P_2) \quad \begin{cases} (Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t + f(t), & (t \in \mathbb{T}) \\ u(0) = u(2\pi), & (Mu')(0) = (Mu')(2\pi), \end{cases}$$

where A, M are closed linear operators on a Banach space X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is fixed, and $F, G : B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ are bounded linear operators. Moreover, for fixed $t \in \mathbb{T}$, u_t and u'_t are elements of $B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]; X)$ defined by $u_t(s) = u(t+s)$, $u'_t(s) = u'(t+s)$ for $-2\pi \leq s \leq 0$. Here we identify a function u on \mathbb{T} with its natural 2π -periodic extension on \mathbb{R} .

Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(B_{p,q}^s(-2\pi, 0); X, X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the linear operators $F_k, G_k \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ by $F_k x := F(e_k \otimes x)$, $G_k x := G(e_k \otimes x)$ for all $x \in X$. It is clear that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|e_k \otimes x\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \leq C\|x\|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$(3-3) \quad \|F_k\| \leq C\|F\|, \quad \text{and} \quad \|G_k\| \leq C\|G\|, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is easy to verify that when $u \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$, then

$$\widehat{Fu}_\bullet(k) = F_k \hat{u}(k), \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{Gu}_\bullet(k) = G_k \hat{u}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We define the resolvent set of (P_2) in the $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness setting by

$$\rho_{p,q,s}(P_2) := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A \text{ is a bijection from } D(A) \text{ onto } X, \\ \text{and } (k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)\}.$$

If $k \in \rho_{p,q,s}(P_2)$, then $M(k^2 M + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$, $A(k^2 M + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$ make sense as $D(A) \subset D(M)$ by assumption, and they are in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ by the closed graph theorem.

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, s > 0$. We notice that the functions Fu_\bullet and Gu'_\bullet are uniformly bounded on \mathbb{T} , but they are not necessarily in $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. We define the solution space of the $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness for (P_2) by

$$S_{p,q,s}(A, M) := \{u \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; D(A)) \cap B_{p,q}^{1+s}(\mathbb{T}; X) : u' \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; D(M)), \\ Mu' \in B_{p,q}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}; X) \text{ and } Fu_\bullet, Gu'_\bullet \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)\}.$$

Here again we consider $D(A)$ and $D(M)$ as Banach spaces equipped with their graph norms. $S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{S_{p,q,s}(A, M)} := \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^{1+s}} + \|Au\|_{B_{p,q}^s} + \|u'\|_{B_{p,q}^s} + \|Mu'\|_{B_{p,q}^{1+s}} + \|Fu_\bullet\|_{B_{p,q}^s} + \|Gu'_\bullet\|_{B_{p,q}^s}.$$

From [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 2.1], if $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$, then u and Mu' are X -valued continuous on \mathbb{T} , and $u(0) = u(2\pi)$, $(Mu')(0) = (Mu')(2\pi)$.

Definition. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$ and $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ is called a strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (P_2) , if (P_2) is satisfied a.e. on \mathbb{T} . We say that (P_2) is $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed, if for each $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$, there exists a unique strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (P_2) .

If (P_2) is $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$, if $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ is the unique strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (P_2) , then

$$(3-4) \quad \|u\|_{S_{p,q,s}(A,M)} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}.$$

This can be easily obtained by the closedness of the operators A and M and the closed graph theorem. We need the following preparation:

Proposition 3.2. *Let A and M be closed linear operators defined on a Banach space X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$ and let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]; X), X)$. Assume that $\rho_{p,q,s}(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the sets $\{k(F_{k+2} - 2F_{k+1} + F_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k^2(G_{k+2} - 2G_{k+1} + G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k^2MN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{kN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are norm bounded, where $N_k = (k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(k^2MN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(kMN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(F_kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(kG_kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multipliers whenever $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Define $M_k = k^2MN_k$, $S_k = kN_k$, $T_k = kMN_k$, $P_k = F_kN_k$ and $Q_k = kG_kN_k$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We know $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are norm bounded by (3-3). This implies that the sequences $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(Q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are norm bounded by assumption. Using the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(M_{k+1} - M_k)\| &< \infty, & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| &< \infty, \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(S_{k+1} - S_k)\| &< \infty & \text{and} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(T_{k+1} - T_k)\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that one has the stronger estimations

$$(3-5) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| < \infty,$$

$$(3-6) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^3M(N_{k+1} - N_k)\| < \infty,$$

by using the norm boundedness of $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For P_k and Q_k , when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(3-7) \quad P_{k+1} - P_k = F_{k+1}(N_{k+1} - N_k) + (F_{k+1} - F_k)N_k,$$

$$(3-8) \quad Q_{k+1} - Q_k = G_{k+1}N_{k+1} + k(G_{k+1} - G_k)N_k + kG_k(N_{k+1} - N_k).$$

We deduce that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(P_{k+1} - P_k)\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(Q_{k+1} - Q_k)\| < \infty$$

by (3-5) and the boundedness of $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(k(G_{k+1} - G_k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

By (2-3) we have

$$N_{k+1} - N_k = I_k^{(1)} + I_k^{(2)} + I_k^{(3)} + I_k^{(4)} + I_k^{(5)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_k^{(1)} &:= -(2k+1)N_{k+1}MN_k, \\ I_k^{(2)} &:= i\alpha N_{k+1}N_k, \\ I_k^{(3)} &:= -ikN_{k+1}(G_{k+1} - G_k)N_k, \\ I_k^{(4)} &:= -iN_{k+1}G_{k+1}N_k, \\ I_k^{(5)} &:= -N_{k+1}(F_{k+1} - F_k)N_k. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (3-9) \quad I_{k+1}^{(1)} - I_k^{(1)} &= -(2k+3)N_{k+2}MN_{k+1} + (2k+1)N_{k+1}MN_k \\ &= -2N_{k+2}MN_{k+1} - (2k+1)(N_{k+2} - N_{k+1})MN_{k+1} \\ &\quad - (2k+1)N_{k+1}M(N_{k+1} - N_k). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^3(I_{k+1}^{(1)} - I_k^{(1)})\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^4M(I_{k+1}^{(1)} - I_k^{(1)})\| < \infty$$

using (3-5) and (3-6). A similar argument shows that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^3(I_{k+1}^{(i)} - I_k^{(i)})\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^4M(I_{k+1}^{(i)} - I_k^{(i)})\| < \infty$$

when $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ using inequalities (3-5), (3-6) and the norm boundedness of $\{k(F_{k+2} - 2F_{k+1} + F_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{k^2(G_{k+2} - 2G_{k+1} + G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We have shown that

$$(3-10) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^3(N_{k+2} - 2N_{k+1} + N_k)\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^4M(N_{k+2} - 2N_{k+1} + N_k)\| < \infty.$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(N_{k+2} - 2N_{k+1} + N_k)\| < \infty.$$

By (2-4), (2-5), (3-7), (3-8) and (3-10), and using similar argument used in the proof of (3-10), we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(M_{k+2} - 2M_{k+1} + M_k)\| &< \infty, & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(S_{k+2} - 2S_{k+1} + S_k)\| &< \infty, \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(T_{k+2} - 2T_{k+1} + T_k)\| &< \infty, & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(P_{k+2} - 2P_{k+1} + P_k)\| &< \infty, \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(Q_{k+2} - 2Q_{k+1} + Q_k)\| &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(Q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multipliers by [Theorem 3.1](#). \square

Now we give a necessary and sufficient condition for (P_2) to be $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$ and let A and M be closed linear operators on X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]; X), X)$. We assume that the sets $\{k(F_{k+2} - 2F_{k+1} + F_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{k^2(G_{k+2} - 2G_{k+1} + G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are norm bounded. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) (P_2) is $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed.
- (ii) $\rho_{p,q,s}(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the sets $\{k^2 M N_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{k N_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are norm bounded, where $N_k = (k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that (P_2) is $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in X$ be fixed, we define $f(t) = e^{ikt}y$ when $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$, $\hat{f}(k) = y$ and $\hat{f}(n) = 0$ for $n \neq k$. Since (P_2) is $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed, there exists a unique $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ satisfying

$$(Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t + f(t), \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

We have $\hat{u}(n) \in D(A)$ when $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by [\[Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 3.1\]](#) as $u \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; D(A))$. Taking Fourier transforms on both sides, we obtain

$$(3-11) \quad -(k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)\hat{u}(k) = y$$

and $-(k^2 M + ikG_k + F_k + A)\hat{u}(n) = 0$ when $n \neq k$. This implies that the operator $k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A$ is surjective as the vector $y \in X$ is arbitrary. To show that $k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A$ is also injective, we let $x \in D(A)$ satisfying

$$(k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)x = 0.$$

Let $u(t) = e^{ikt}x$ when $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ and (P_2) holds a.e. on \mathbb{T} when $f = 0$. Thus u is a strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (P_2) when $f = 0$. We obtain $x = 0$ by the uniqueness assumption. We have shown that $k^2 M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A$ is injective. Thus it is bijective from $D(A)$ onto X .

Next we show that $(k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. For $y \in X$ and $f(t) = e^{ikt}y$, we let $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ be the unique strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (P_2) . Then taking Fourier coefficients on both sides of (P_2) , we obtain by (3-11)

$$\hat{u}(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq k, \\ -(k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}y, & n = k. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, $u(t) = -e^{ikt}(k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}y$ when $t \in \mathbb{T}$. By (3-4) there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent from y and k , such that

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^{1+s}} + \|Au\|_{B_{p,q}^s} + \|u'\|_{B_{p,q}^s} + \|Mu'\|_{B_{p,q}^{1+s}} + \|Fu\|_{B_{p,q}^s} + \|Gu'\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \leq C\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}.$$

The estimation

$$\|u'\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \leq C\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}$$

implies that $\|k(k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}y\| \leq C\|y\|$ for all $y \in X$. Therefore

$$\|k(k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}\| \leq C.$$

We have shown that $k \in \rho_{p,q,s}(P_2)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\rho_{p,q,s}(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Next we show that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are norm bounded, where $M_k = k^2MN_k$ and $N_k = (k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For this it will suffice to show that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ define $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multipliers by [Arendt and Bu 2004]. Let $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$. Then there exists $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ which is a strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (P_2) by assumption. Taking Fourier coefficients on both sides of (P_2) , we get that $\hat{u}(k) \in D(A)$ and

$$-(k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)\hat{u}(k) = \hat{f}(k),$$

or equivalently,

$$\hat{u}(k) = -(k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}\hat{f}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It follows from $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ that $\widehat{(Mu')}(k) = -k^2M\hat{u}(k)$ and $\widehat{u'}(k) = ik\hat{u}(k)$. We obtain

$$\widehat{(Mu')}(k) = -k^2M\hat{u}(k) = -M_k\hat{f}(k), \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{u'}(k) = -ikN_k\hat{f}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We conclude that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(kN_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ define $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multipliers as $(Mu')'$, $u' \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\rho_{p,q,s}(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the sets $\{k^2MN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{kN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be norm bounded, where $N_k = (k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$. Define $M_k = k^2MN_k$, $S_k = ikN_k$, $T_k = kMN_k$, $P_k = F_kN_k$ and $Q_k = ikG_kN_k$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(Q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$

are $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multipliers. Then for all $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$, there exists $u, v, w \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ satisfying

$$(3-12) \quad \hat{u}(k) = -k^2 M N_k \hat{f}(k), \quad \hat{v}(k) = i k N_k \hat{f}(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{w}(k) = N_k \hat{f}(k),$$

when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We deduce from the facts that $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(Q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multipliers that $Fw_\bullet, Gw'_\bullet \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ as

$$\widehat{Fw_\bullet}(k) = F_k \hat{w}(k) = F_k N_k \hat{f}(k) = P_k \hat{f}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\widehat{Gw'_\bullet}(k) = G_k \hat{w}'(k) = i k G_k \hat{w}(k) = i k G_k N_k \hat{f}(k) = Q_k \hat{f}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

On the other hand, $\hat{v}(k) = i k \hat{w}(k)$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (3-12). Therefore w is differentiable a.e. on \mathbb{T} and $w' = v$. This implies that $w \in B_{p,q}^{1+s}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ as $v \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 2.1].

We note that

$$A N_k = M_k + \alpha S_k - P_k - Q_k + I_X, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It follows that $(A N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is also a $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multiplier. Therefore there exists $g \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ satisfying

$$(3-13) \quad \hat{g}(k) = A N_k \hat{f}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus $\hat{g}(k) = A \hat{w}(k)$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies $w \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; D(A))$ by [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 3.1].

Since $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a $B_{p,q}^s$ -Fourier multiplier, there exists $h \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; X)$ such that

$$\hat{h}(k) = i k M N_k \hat{f}(k) = M \widehat{w'}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus $w' \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}; D(M))$ by [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 3.1]. In view of (3-12), we obtain

$$\hat{u}(k) = -k^2 M N_k \hat{f}(k) = -k^2 M \hat{w}(k) = i k \widehat{Mw'}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

which implies that $Mw' \in B_{p,q}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}; X)$ by [Arendt and Bu 2002, Lemma 2.1]. We have shown that $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$.

By (3-12), we have

$$\widehat{(Mw')'}(k) + \alpha \widehat{w'}(k) = A \hat{w}(k) + i k G_k \hat{w}(k) + F_k \hat{w}(k) + \hat{f}(k), \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It follows that $(Mw')'(t) + \alpha w'(t) = Aw(t) + Gw'_t + Fw_t + f(t)$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} by the uniqueness theorem [Arendt and Bu 2002, page 314]. Thus w is a strong $B_{p,q}^s$ -solution of (P_2) . This shows the existence.

To show the uniqueness, we let $u \in S_{p,q,s}(A, M)$ satisfy

$$(Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t$$

a.e. on \mathbb{T} . Taking the Fourier coefficients on both sides, we have

$$-(k^2M - \alpha S_k + ikG_k + F_k + A)\hat{u}(k) = 0$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\rho_{p,q,s}(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$, this implies that $\hat{u}(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus $u = 0$. So (P_2) is $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed. This finishes the proof. \square

By the proof of [Theorem 2.4](#) and using [Theorem 3.1](#), one can obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a B -convex Banach space, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$ and let A, M be closed linear operators on X satisfying $D(A) \subset D(M)$. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]; X), X)$. We assume that $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is norm bounded. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) (P_2) is $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posed.
- (ii) $\rho_{p,q,s}(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the sets $\{k^2MN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{kN_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are norm bounded, where $N_k = (k^2M - ik\alpha + ikG_k + F_k + A)^{-1}$.

4. Applications

In the last section, we give some examples to which our abstract results ([Theorem 2.4](#) and [Theorem 3.3](#)) may be applied.

Example 4.1. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and m be a nonnegative bounded measurable function defined on Ω . Let f be a given function on $[0, 2\pi] \times \Omega$ and $X = H^{-1}(\Omega)$. We consider the following periodic degenerate differential equations with finite delay:

$$(P) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(m(x)u(t,x)) + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) + \Delta u = Fu_t + Gu'_t + f(t,x), & (t,x) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \Omega, \\ u(t,x) = 0, & (t,x) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0,x) = u(2\pi,x), & x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t}|_{t=2\pi}, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is fixed, $u_t(s, x) := u(t + s, x)$, $u'_t(s, x) := u'(t + s, x)$ when $s \in [-2\pi, 0]$ and $x \in \Omega$, the delay operators $F, G : L^p([-2\pi, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ are bounded linear operators for some fixed $1 < p < \infty$.

Let M be the multiplication operator by m on $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ with domain $D(M)$. Then it follows from [\[Favini and Yagi 1999, Section 3.7\]](#) that if we consider the Laplacian operator Δ on X with Dirichlet boundary condition, then there exists a

constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|M(zM - \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{1+|z|},$$

when $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq -\beta(1 + |\operatorname{Im}(z)|)$ for some positive constant β depending only on m , which implies that

$$(4-1) \quad \|(k^2M - \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{1+|k|^2}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

If we assume that m^{-1} is regular enough so that the multiplication operator by the function m^{-1} is bounded on $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, then there exists a constant C_1 such that

$$(4-2) \quad \|(k^2M - \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C_1}{1+|k|^2}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Assume that $D(\Delta) \subset D(M)$, that the set $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is norm bounded, and that $\rho_p(P) = \mathbb{Z}$, so that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the operator $-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k$ is a bijection from $D(\Delta)$ onto X , and $(-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. We observe that

$$-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k = (I - (F_k + ikG_k - i\alpha k)(-k^2M + \Delta)^{-1})(-k^2M + \Delta)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. From (4-2) we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(F_k + ikG_k - i\alpha k)(-k^2M + \Delta)^{-1}\| = 0$ using the norm boundedness of $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. This implies that the operator $I - (-k^2M + \Delta)^{-1}(F_k + ikG_k - i\alpha k)$ is invertible when $|k|$ is big enough. For such k we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k)^{-1} \\ &= (-k^2M + \Delta)^{-1}(I - (F_k + ikG_k - i\alpha k)(-k^2M + \Delta)^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4-1) and (4-2) that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k(-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k)^{-1}\| < \infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2M(-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k)^{-1}\| < \infty.$$

As a consequence, the sets $\{k(-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k)^{-1} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{k^2M(-k^2M + i\alpha k + \Delta - F_k - ikG_k)^{-1} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are R -bounded. Here we used the fact that when the underlying Banach space X is a Hilbert space, then each norm bounded subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is R -bounded [Arendt and Bu 2002, Proposition 1.13]. We deduce from Theorem 2.4 that (P) is L^p -well-posed when $X = H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

If we consider $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(B_{p,q}^s([-2\pi, 0]); X, X)$, we may also apply Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 to obtain the $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness of (P) under suitable assumptions on F and G .

Example 4.2. Let H be a complex Hilbert space, let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(L^p([-2\pi, 0]; H), H)$ be delay operators. Let P be a densely defined positive self-adjoint operator on H with $P \geq \delta > 0$. Let $M = P - \epsilon$ with $\epsilon < \delta$, and let $A = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i P^i$ with $a_i \geq 0$, $a_k > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\|M(zM + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{1+|z|}$$

whenever $\operatorname{Re} z \geq -\beta(1 + |\operatorname{Im} z|)$ for some positive constant β depending only on A and M by [Favini and Yagi 1999, page 73]. This implies in particular that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2 M(k^2 M + A)^{-1}\| < \infty.$$

If we assume $0 \in \rho(M)$, then

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|k^2(k^2 M + A)^{-1}\| < \infty.$$

Furthermore we assume that the set $\{k(G_{k+1} - G_k : k \in \mathbb{Z})\}$ is norm bounded. Then the argument used in the example on page 43 our first example shows that the degenerate differential equations with finite delay

$$(P') \quad \begin{cases} (Mu')'(t) + \alpha u'(t) = Au(t) + Gu'_t + Fu_t + f(t), & (t \in \mathbb{T}) \\ u(0) = u(2\pi), & (Mu')(0) = (Mu')(2\pi) \end{cases}$$

is L^p -well-posed when $\rho_p(P') = \mathbb{Z}$. Under suitable assumptions on F, G , we may also apply Theorem 3.3 to (P') to obtain the $B_{p,q}^s$ -well-posedness of (P') for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, s > 0$.

We can also give a concrete example of (P') . We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left(1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) u(t, x) + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} u(t, x) + Fu_t(\cdot, x) + G\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)_t(\cdot, x) + f(t, x), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, 0) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, 1) = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u(2\pi, x), \quad \left(1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) u(0, x) = \left(1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) u(2\pi, x), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) u(0, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) u(2\pi, x), \end{cases}$$

where $x \in \Omega, t \in (0, 2\pi)$ in the first equation, and $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ in the second equation. Here, $\Omega = (0, 1), F, G \in \mathcal{L}(L^p([-2\pi, 0]; L^2(\Omega)), L^2(\Omega))$ and $u_t(s, x) := u(t+s, x)$ when $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $s \in [-2\pi, 0]$. Let $X = L^2(\Omega)$ and let $P = -\partial^2/\partial x^2$ with domain $D(P) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, i.e., P is the Laplacian on $L^2(\Omega)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then P is positive self adjoint on X . Let $M = P + I_X$ and $A = P^2$. It is clear that $-P$ generates an contraction semigroup on $L^2(\Omega)$ [Arendt et al. 2001, Example 3.4.7], hence $1 \in \rho(-P)$, or equivalently $M = I_X + P$ has a bounded inverse, i.e., $0 \in \rho(M)$. Then the abstract results obtained above for the problem (P') may be applied.

References

- [Arendt and Bu 2002] W. Arendt and S. Bu, “The operator-valued Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem and maximal regularity”, *Math. Z.* **240**:2 (2002), 311–343. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Arendt and Bu 2004] W. Arendt and S. Bu, “Operator-valued Fourier multipliers on periodic Besov spaces and applications”, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **47**:1 (2004), 15–33. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Arendt et al. 2001] W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems*, Monographs in Mathematics **96**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bu 2013] S. Bu, “Well-posedness of second order degenerate differential equations in vector-valued function spaces”, *Studia Math.* **214**:1 (2013), 1–16. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bu and Fang 2009] S. Bu and Y. Fang, “Periodic solutions of delay equations in Besov spaces and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces”, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **13**:3 (2009), 1063–1076. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bu and Fang 2010] S. Bu and Y. Fang, “Maximal regularity of second order delay equations in Banach spaces”, *Sci. China Math.* **53**:1 (2010), 51–62. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Favini and Yagi 1999] A. Favini and A. Yagi, *Degenerate differential equations in Banach spaces*, Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. **215**, Dekker, New York, 1999. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lizama 2006] C. Lizama, “Fourier multipliers and periodic solutions of delay equations in Banach spaces”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **324**:2 (2006), 921–933. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lizama and Ponce 2011] C. Lizama and R. Ponce, “Periodic solutions of degenerate differential equations in vector-valued function spaces”, *Studia Math.* **202**:1 (2011), 49–63. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lizama and Ponce 2013] C. Lizama and R. Ponce, “Maximal regularity for degenerate differential equations with infinite delay in periodic vector-valued function spaces”, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **56**:3 (2013), 853–871. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Poblete and Pozo 2013] V. Poblete and J. C. Pozo, “Periodic solutions of an abstract third-order differential equation”, *Studia Math.* **215**:3 (2013), 195–219. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Poblete and Pozo 2014] V. Poblete and J. C. Pozo, “Periodic solutions of a fractional neutral equation with finite delay”, *J. Evol. Equ.* **14**:2 (2014), 417–444. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sviridyuk and Fedorov 2003] G. A. Sviridyuk and V. E. Fedorov, *Linear Sobolev type equations and degenerate semigroups of operators*, VSP, Utrecht, 2003. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received April 12, 2016.

SHANGQUAN BU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY
100084 BEIJING
CHINA

sbu@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

GANG CAI
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
CHONGQING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
401331 CHONGQING
CHINA

caigang-aaaa@163.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$450/year for the electronic version, and \$625/year for print and electronic.

Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 288 No. 1 May 2017

<i>C^1-umbilics with arbitrarily high indices</i>	1
NAOYA ANDO, TOSHIFUMI FUJIYAMA and MASAACKI UMEHARA	
<i>Well-posedness of second-order degenerate differential equations with finite delay in vector-valued function spaces</i>	27
SHANGQUAN BU and GANG CAI	
<i>On cusp solutions to a prescribed mean curvature equation</i>	47
ALEXANDRA K. ECHART and KIRK E. LANCASTER	
<i>Radial limits of capillary surfaces at corners</i>	55
MOZHGAN (NORA) ENTEKHABI and KIRK E. LANCASTER	
<i>A new bicommutant theorem</i>	69
ILIJAS FARAH	
<i>Noncompact manifolds that are inward tame</i>	87
CRAIG R. GUILBAULT and FREDERICK C. TINSLEY	
<i>p-adic variation of unit root L-functions</i>	129
C. DOUGLAS HAESSIG and STEVEN SPERBER	
<i>Bavard's duality theorem on conjugation-invariant norms</i>	157
MORIMICHI KAWASAKI	
<i>Parabolic minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$</i>	171
VANDERSON LIMA	
<i>Regularity conditions for suitable weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes system from its rotation form</i>	189
CHANGXING MIAO and YANQING WANG	
<i>Geometric properties of level curves of harmonic functions and minimal graphs in 2-dimensional space forms</i>	217
JINJU XU and WEI ZHANG	
<i>Eigenvalue resolution of self-adjoint matrices</i>	241
XUWEN ZHU	