Pacific Journal of Mathematics

BACH-FLAT *h*-ALMOST GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

GABJIN YUN, JINSEOK CO AND SEUNGSU HWANG

Volume 288 No. 2

June 2017

BACH-FLAT *h*-ALMOST GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

GABJIN YUN, JINSEOK CO AND SEUNGSU HWANG

On an *n*-dimensional complete manifold M, consider an *h*-almost gradient Ricci soliton, which is a generalization of a gradient Ricci soliton. We prove that if the manifold is Bach-flat and dh/du > 0, then the manifold M is either Einstein or rigid. In particular, such a manifold has harmonic Weyl curvature. Moreover, if the dimension of M is four, the metric g is locally conformally flat.

1. Introduction

The notion of an *h*-almost Ricci soliton was introduced by Gomes, Wang, and Xia [Gomes et al. 2015]. Such a soliton is a generalization of an almost Ricci soliton presented in [Barros and Ribeiro 2012; Pigola et al. 2011]. An *h*-almost Ricci soliton is a complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) with a vector field X on M, a soliton function $\lambda : M \to \mathbb{R}$ and a signal function $h : M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the equation

$$r_g + \frac{1}{2}h\mathcal{L}_X g = \lambda g,$$

where r_g is the Ricci curvature of g. A function is called signal if it has only one sign; in other words, it is either positive or negative on M. Let (M, g, X, h, λ) denote an h-almost Ricci soliton. In particular, $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ for some smooth function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is called an h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. In this case, we have

(1-1)
$$r_g + h D_g du = \lambda g.$$

Here, $D_g du$ denotes the Hessian of u. Note that if we take $u = e^{-f/m}$ and h = -m/u, then (1-1) becomes

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{m} = r_{g} + D_{g} df - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = \lambda g.$$

Yun was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2011-0007465). Hwang (corresponding author) was supported by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2015R1D1A1A01057661).

MSC2010: 53C25, 58E11.

Keywords: h-almost gradient Ricci soliton, Bach-flat, Einstein metric.

In other words, the $(\lambda, n + m)$ -Einstein equation is a special case of (1-1). Here, $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{m}$ is called the *m*-Bakry–Emery tensor. For further details about *h*-almost Ricci solitons, see [Gomes et al. 2015].

In this paper we consider Bach-flat *h*-almost gradient Ricci solitons. The Bach tensor was introduced by R. Bach, and this notion plays an important role in conformal relativity. On any *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g), $n \ge 4$, the Bach tensor is defined by

$$B = \frac{1}{n-3} \delta^D \delta \mathcal{W} + \frac{1}{n-2} \mathring{\mathcal{W}} z,$$

where W is the Weyl tensor, z is the traceless Ricci tensor, and \mathring{W}_z is defined by

$$\mathring{W}z(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z(\mathcal{W}(X, E_i)Y, E_i)$$

for some orthonormal basis $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$. It is easy to see that if (M, g) is either locally conformally flat or Einstein, then it is Bach-flat: B = 0. When n = 4, it is well known that Bach-flat metrics on a compact manifold M are critical points of the functional

$$g\mapsto \int_M |\mathcal{W}|^2 dv_g.$$

It is clear that when h = 1 and λ is a positive constant, an *h*-almost gradient Ricci soliton reduces to a gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. Cao and Chen [2013] proved that a complete Bach-flat gradient shrinking Ricci soliton is either Einstein or rigid. On the other hand, Qing and Yuan [2013] classified Bach-flat static spaces.

Our main result is as follows, which can be considered as a generalization of [Cao and Chen 2013].

Theorem 1.1. Let $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ be an n-dimensional Bach-flat h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. Assume that each level set of u is compact and h is a function of u only. Then $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ is either

- (1) Einstein with constant functions u and h, or
- (2) locally isometric to a warped product with (n-1)-dimensional Einstein fibers if dh/du > 0 on M.

For example, when m > 0, h = -m/u < 0 satisfies the condition of Theorem 1.1, since

$$\frac{dh}{du} = \frac{m}{u^2} > 0.$$

This recovers the result of [Chen and He 2013]. It will be interesting if one can weaken the condition of Theorem 1.1.

In the case of (2) in Theorem 1.1, a warped product metric has vanishing Cotton tensor (see (2-4) below) since its fiber is Einstein. Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have the following.

Corollary 1.2. Let $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ be an n-dimensional Bach-flat h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. Assume that each level set of u is compact and h is a function of u only. If dh/du > 0 on M, then (M, g) has harmonic Weyl curvature.

In particular, when n = 4, the Einstein fibers in Theorem 1.1 have constant curvature. A computation shows that such a metric is locally conformally flat, which proves the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Let $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ be a 4-dimensional Bach-flat h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. Assume each level set of u is compact and h is a function of u only with dh/du > 0. Then (M, g) is locally conformally flat.

As in [Chen and He 2013], Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2, and Theorem 1.3 can be extended to the case in which M has a nonempty boundary.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we derive several useful identities containing various curvatures and the Cotton tensor.

We start with basic definitions of differential operators acting on tensors. Let us denote by $C^{\infty}(S^2M)$ the space of sections of symmetric 2-tensors on a Riemannian manifold M. Let D be the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g). Then the differential operator d^D from $C^{\infty}(S^2M)$ into $C^{\infty}(\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^*M)$ is defined as

$$d^{D}\omega(X, Y, Z) = (D_{X}\omega)(Y, Z) - (D_{Y}\omega)(X, Z)$$

for $\omega \in C^{\infty}(S^2M)$ and vectors X, Y, and Z. Let us denote by δ^D the formal adjoint operator of d^D .

For a function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\omega \in C^{\infty}(S^2M)$, $df \wedge \omega$ is defined as

$$(df \wedge \omega)(X, Y, Z) = df(X)\omega(Y, Z) - df(Y)\omega(X, Z).$$

Here, df denotes the usual total differential of f. We also denote by δ the negative divergence operator such that $\Delta f = -\delta df$.

Taking the trace of (1-1) gives

$$s_g + h \Delta u = n \lambda.$$

Thus,

$$ds_g + \Delta u \, dh + h \, d\Delta u = n \, d\lambda.$$

By taking the divergence of (1-1), we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}ds_g - D_g du(\nabla h, \cdot) - hr_g(\nabla u, \cdot) - h d\Delta u = -d\lambda.$$

By adding the previous two equations, we have

(2-1)
$$\frac{1}{2} ds_g - D_g du(\nabla h, \cdot) - hr_g(\nabla u, \cdot) + \Delta u dh = (n-1) d\lambda.$$

Note that

(2-2)
$$\delta(hr_g(\nabla u, \cdot)) = -r_g(\nabla u, \nabla h) - \frac{1}{2}h\langle \nabla s_g, \nabla u \rangle + |r_g|^2 - \lambda s_g$$

Therefore, we have the following equality.

Proposition 2.1. On M we have

$$(n-1)\Delta\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\Delta s_g + |r_g|^2 - \lambda s_g - \frac{1}{2}h\langle \nabla s_g, \nabla u \rangle + \left(\Delta u - \frac{\lambda}{h}\right)\Delta h + \frac{1}{h}\langle r_g, D_g dh \rangle - 2r_g(\nabla u, \nabla h).$$

On the other hand, by applying d^D to (1-1), we have

(2-3)
$$d^{D}r_{g} - \frac{1}{h}dh \wedge r_{g} + h\tilde{\imath}_{\nabla u}R = d\lambda \wedge g - \frac{\lambda}{h}dh \wedge g.$$

Here, an interior product \tilde{i} of the final factor is defined by

$$\tilde{\iota}_{\xi}R(X, Y, Z) = R(X, Y, Z, \xi),$$

and we used the identity

$$d^D D du = \tilde{\iota}_{\nabla u} R.$$

Hereafter, we denote s_g , r_g , and $D_g du$ by s, r, and D du, respectively. From the curvature decomposition, we can compute that

$$\tilde{\iota}_{\nabla u}R = \tilde{\iota}_{\nabla u}\mathcal{W} - \frac{1}{n-2}i_{\nabla u}r \wedge g + \frac{s}{(n-1)(n-2)}du \wedge g - \frac{1}{n-2}du \wedge r,$$

where $i_{\nabla u}r$ denotes the interior product defined by

$$i_{\nabla u}r(X) = r(\nabla u, X).$$

The Cotton tensor C is defined by

(2-4)
$$C = d^D r - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds \wedge g.$$

Then, by (2-1) and (2-3) as well as the fact that

$$s + h \Delta u = n \lambda$$
,

we have

(2-5)
$$C + h\tilde{i}_{\nabla u}W = hD + \frac{h}{n-1}i_{\nabla u}r \wedge g + d\lambda \wedge g - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}ds \wedge g + \frac{1}{h}dh \wedge r - \frac{\lambda}{h}dh \wedge g = hD + H,$$

where D is defined (as usual) by

(2-6)
$$(n-2)D = du \wedge r + \frac{1}{n-1}i_{\nabla u}r \wedge g - \frac{s}{n-1}du \wedge g,$$

and H is defined by

$$H = -\frac{1}{n-1}i_{\nabla h}D\,du \wedge g + dh \wedge \left(\frac{1}{h}r + \frac{\Delta u}{n-1}g - \frac{\lambda}{h}g\right)$$
$$= db \wedge r + \frac{1}{n-1}i_{\nabla b}r \wedge g - \frac{s}{n-1}db \wedge g.$$

Here, $b = \log |h|$ with $\nabla b = \nabla h/h$. In particular, $g^{ik}H_{ijk} = -g^{ik}H_{jik} = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ be an h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. Then

$$C + h\tilde{\iota}_{\nabla u}\mathcal{W} = hD + H.$$

In particular, if h is constant or dh/du = 0, then $H \equiv 0$.

3. Bach-flat metrics

In this section, we assume that g is Bach-flat. Note that

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = -\frac{n-3}{n-2}C.$$

Recall that the Bach tensor is given by

$$B = \frac{1}{n-3}\delta^D \delta \mathcal{W} + \frac{1}{n-2}\mathcal{W}z = \frac{1}{n-2}(-\delta C + \mathcal{W}z).$$

Since

$$\delta(h\tilde{\imath}_{\nabla u}\mathcal{W})(X, Y)$$

= $-\mathcal{W}(\nabla h, X, Y, \nabla u) + h\delta\mathcal{W}(X, Y, \nabla u) + h\mathcal{W}(X, E_i, Y, D_{E_i} du)$
= $l\mathcal{W}(X, \nabla h, Y, \nabla u) - \frac{n-3}{n-2}hC(Y, \nabla u, X) - \mathring{W}z,$

by taking the divergence of (2-5) we have

$$-(n-2)B(X,Y) = -\mathcal{W}(X,\nabla h,Y,\nabla u) + \frac{n-3}{n-2}hC(Y,\nabla u,X) - i_{\nabla h}D(X,Y) + h\delta D(X,Y) + \delta H(X,Y).$$

Hence,

$$-(n-2)B(\nabla u, \nabla u) = -D(\nabla h, \nabla u, \nabla u) + h\delta D(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \delta H(\nabla u, \nabla u)$$

As a result, from the assumption that B = 0 and h is a function of u only,

$$0 = \frac{1}{h}D(\nabla h, \nabla u, \nabla u) = \delta D(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{h}\delta H(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a normal geodesic frame. Note that, since

$$hD(E_i, D_{E_i} du, \nabla u) = -D(E_i, E_k, \nabla u)r_{ik} = 0,$$

we have

$$\operatorname{div}(D(\cdot, \nabla u, \nabla u)) = -\delta D(\nabla u, \nabla u) + D(E_i, \nabla u, D_{E_i} du).$$

Furthermore,

$$|D|^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} (du(E_{i})r(E_{j}, E_{k}) - du(E_{j})r(E_{i}, E_{k})) D_{ijk}$$

= $-\frac{2}{n-2} D(E_{i}, \nabla u, E_{k})r_{ik}$
= $\frac{2h}{n-2} D(E_{i}, \nabla u, D_{E_{i}} du).$

Similarly, since

$$hH(E_i, D_{E_i} du, \nabla u) = -H(E_i, E_k, \nabla u)r_{ik} = 0$$

and h is a function of u only, we have

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{1}{h}H(\cdot,\nabla u,\nabla u)\right) = -\frac{1}{h}\delta H(\nabla u,\nabla u) + \frac{1}{h}H(E_i,\nabla u,D_{E_i}\,du).$$

Moreover,

$$|H|^{2} = -\frac{2}{h}H(E_{i}, \nabla h, E_{k})r_{ik}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{h}\frac{dh}{du}H(E_{i}, \nabla u, E_{k})r_{ik}$$
$$= 2\frac{dh}{du}H(E_{i}, \nabla u, D_{E_{i}}du).$$

Thus,

$$0 = \int_{t_1 \le u \le t_2} \delta D(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{h} \delta H(\nabla u, \nabla u)$$
$$= \frac{n-2}{2} \int_{t_1 \le u \le t_2} \frac{|D|^2}{h} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1 \le u \le t_2} \frac{|H|^2}{h \frac{dh}{du}}.$$

Since *h* is signal, *h* is either positive or negative. For each case, we derive D = H = 0 when dh/du > 0. Therefore we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ be a Bach-flat h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. Assume that each level set of u is compact and h is a function of u only. If dh/du > 0 on M, then on M we have

$$D = H = 0.$$

Now, since D = H = 0, by (2-4) and (2-5)

$$(3-1) C = -h\tilde{\iota}_{\nabla u}\mathcal{W}.$$

By taking the divergence of (3-1), we have

$$\mathcal{W}(X, \nabla h, Y, \nabla u) = \frac{n-3}{n-2} h C(Y, \nabla u, X).$$

By combining these equations,

$$\frac{n-3}{n-2}h^2C(Y,\nabla u,X) = -C(X,\nabla h,Y),$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}(X,\nabla h, Y, \nabla u) = -\frac{n-3}{n-2}h^2\mathcal{W}(X,\nabla u, Y, \nabla u).$$

Therefore, we have the following.

Corollary 3.2. When D = H = 0, we have

(3-2)
$$\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \nabla u, \cdot, \nabla u) = C(\cdot, \nabla u, \cdot) = 0,$$

unless

$$\frac{dh}{du} = -\left(\frac{n-3}{n-2}\right)h^2.$$

For example, when h = -m/u, (3-2) holds if $m \neq 0$ or -(n-2)/(n-3). Note that (3-2) also holds if h is constant.

Moreover, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that dh/du > 0. Then, for X orthogonal to ∇u ,

$$r(X, \nabla u) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$i_{\nabla u}r=\alpha\,du,$$

where $\alpha = r(N, N)$ with $N = \nabla u / |\nabla u|$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, D = H = 0. From (2-3), if X is orthogonal to ∇u ,

$$d^{D}r(X, Y, \nabla u) = -\frac{1}{h} dh(Y)r(X, \nabla u) + d\lambda(X) du(Y).$$

Since $C(X, Y, \nabla u) = -h\mathcal{W}(X, Y, \nabla u, \nabla u) = 0$ by (3-1), by (2-4) we have

$$d^{D}r(X, Y, \nabla u) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds(X) du(Y).$$

Thus, by (2-1)

$$\frac{1}{h}\frac{dh}{du}r(X,\nabla u) = d\lambda(X) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}ds(X)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(n-1)h}\left(\frac{dh}{du} - h^2\right)r(X,\nabla u),$$

which implies that

$$\left((n-2)\frac{dh}{du} + h^2\right)r(X, \nabla u) = 0.$$

Note that Lemma 3.3 holds with the assumptions that D = H = 0 and

(3-4)
$$\frac{dh}{du} \neq -\frac{1}{n-2}h^2$$

without dh/du > 0. For example, in the case of the *m*-Bakry–Emery tensor, h = -m/u satisfies (3-4) if $m \neq 2 - n$.

4. Level sets of *u*

In this section, we investigate the structure of regular level sets of the potential function u. For a regular value c, we denote the level set $u^{-1}(u)$ by L_c . On L_c , let $\{E_i\}, 1 \le i \le n$, be an orthonormal frame with $E_n = N = \nabla u / |\nabla u|$.

Furthermore, throughout the section we assume that D = H = 0 with

$$\frac{dh}{du} \neq -\left(\frac{n-3}{n-2}\right)h^2$$
 and $\frac{dh}{du} \neq -\frac{1}{n-2}h^2$.

Then, by Corollary 3.2, (3-2) and (3-3) hold. Furthermore, for *X* orthogonal to ∇u , by the proof of Lemma 3.3,

$$d\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \, ds(X).$$

Thus, $s + 2(1 - n)\lambda$ is constant on each level set of *u*. Furthermore,

$$\frac{1}{2}X(|\nabla u|^2) = \langle D_X \, du, \, \nabla u \rangle = \frac{1}{h} \left(\lambda \, du(X) - r(X, \, \nabla u) \right) = 0,$$

which implies that $|\nabla u|^2$ is constant on each level set of *u*. Therefore, we have the following.

Lemma 4.1. $|\nabla u|^2$ and $s + 2(1-n)\lambda$ are constant on each regular level set of u.

For further investigation, we need the following key lemma.

Lemma 4.2.

$$0 = \frac{ns - (n-1)^2 \lambda - \alpha}{(n-1)h} r - D_{\nabla u}r - \frac{r \circ r}{h} + \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} du \otimes ds$$
$$+ \frac{1}{n-1} \left(ds(u) - \langle \nabla u, \nabla \alpha \rangle \right) g + \frac{s + (1-n)\lambda}{(n-1)h} (\alpha - s)g + \frac{1}{n-1} du \otimes d\alpha.$$

Proof. To find δD , by (2-6), we first compute

$$\delta(du\wedge r) = \frac{s-(n-1)\lambda}{h}r - D_{\nabla u}r - \frac{r\circ r}{h} + \frac{1}{2}du\otimes ds.$$

By Lemma 3.3, $i_{\nabla u}r = \alpha \, du$. Thus,

$$\delta(i_{\nabla u}r \wedge g) = -\langle \nabla u, \nabla \alpha \rangle g + \frac{s + (1 - n)\lambda}{h} \alpha g + du \otimes d\alpha - \frac{\alpha}{h} r.$$

Similarly,

$$-\delta(s\,du\wedge g) = ds(u)g - \frac{s^2 + (1-n)s\lambda}{h}g - du\otimes ds + \frac{s}{h}r$$

Hence, by (2-6) together with (3-3), we have

$$(n-2)\delta D = \frac{ns - (n-1)^2 \lambda - \alpha}{(n-1)h} r - D_{\nabla u}r - \frac{r \circ r}{h} + \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} du \otimes ds + \frac{1}{n-1} du \otimes d\alpha + \frac{1}{n-1} \left(ds(u) - \langle \nabla u, \nabla \alpha \rangle + \frac{s + (1-n)\lambda}{h} (\alpha - s) \right) g.$$

Since $D = \delta D = 0$, the proof follows.

Thus, we have the following.

Corollary 4.3. $(n-3)s + 2\alpha$ is constant on each regular level set of u.

Proof. Let *X* be a vector orthogonal to ∇u . By putting $(X, \nabla u)$ in the equation in Lemma 4.2,

$$(4-1) D_{\nabla u} r(X, \nabla u) = 0.$$

 \square

Now, by putting $(\nabla u, X)$ in the equation in Lemma 4.2 again, we have

$$0 = \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} |\nabla u|^2 ds(X) + \frac{1}{n-1} |\nabla u|^2 d\alpha(X),$$

since $r(X, \nabla u) = 0$ and

$$D_{\nabla u}r(\nabla u, X) = D_{\nabla u}r(X, \nabla u).$$

Lemma 4.4. $s_g + 2(1 - n)\alpha$ is constant on each regular level set of u. *Proof.* For X orthogonal to ∇u , by (3-2) and (4-1)

$$0 = C(X, \nabla u, \nabla u)$$

= $D_X r(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds(X) |\nabla u|^2.$

Thus,

$$X(\alpha) = \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} X(r(\nabla u, \nabla u))$$

= $\frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} (D_X r(\nabla u, \nabla u) + 2r(D_X du, \nabla u))$
= $\frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds(X),$

since

$$r(D_X du, \nabla u) = \frac{1}{h} (\lambda r(X, \nabla u) - r \circ r(X, \nabla u)) = 0.$$

By combining Lemma 4.1, Corollary 4.3, and Lemma 4.4, we have the following.

Theorem 4.5. Let $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ be a Bach-flat h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. Assume that each level set of u is compact and h is a function of u only with dh/du > 0. Then s_g , α , and λ are constant on each regular level set of u. In particular, if h is constant, the condition on dh/du is not necessary.

When D = 0, the Ricci tensor has the following characterization.

Lemma 4.6. Suppose that D = 0. Then the Ricci curvature tensor has at most two eigenvalues.

Proof. Let $\{E_i\}$, $1 \le i \le n$, be an orthonormal frame with $E_n = N = \nabla u / |\nabla u|$. Then

(4-2)
$$II_{ij} = \frac{1}{h |\nabla u|} (\lambda g_{ij} - r_{ij}).$$

and

$$m = \operatorname{tr} II = \frac{n-1}{h|\nabla u|} \left(\lambda + \frac{\alpha - s}{n-1}\right).$$

Thus, m is constant on each level set of u, and

$$\left| II - \frac{m}{n-1} g \right|^2 = |II|^2 - \frac{m^2}{n-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{h^2 |\nabla u|^2} \left(|r|^2 - \alpha^2 - \frac{(s-\alpha)^2}{n-1} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{h^2 |\nabla u|^2} \left(|r|^2 - \frac{n}{n-1} \alpha^2 + \frac{2s\alpha}{n-1} - \frac{s^2}{n-1} \right).$$

Since $r \circ r(\nabla u, \nabla u) = \alpha^2 |\nabla u|^2$, from the identity

$$\frac{n-2}{2}|D|^2 = |r|^2 |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{n}{n-1}r \circ r(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \frac{2s}{n-1}r(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{s^2}{n-1}|\nabla u|^2,$$

we have

$$|D|^{2} = \frac{2}{n-2}h^{2}|\nabla u|^{4}\left|II - \frac{m}{n-1}g\right|^{2}$$

Since D = 0, we have

(4-3)
$$II_{ij} = \frac{m}{n-1}g_{ij},$$

which implies that

(4-4)
$$r_{ij} = \frac{s - \alpha}{n - 1} g_{ij}$$

for i = 1, ..., n - 1 by (4-2).

As an immediate consequence, on an open set $\{x \in M \mid \nabla u(x) \neq 0\}$, the Ricci tensor may be written as

$$r_g = \beta \, du \otimes du + \left(\frac{s-\alpha}{n-1}\right)g,$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{n\alpha - s}{(n-1)|\nabla u|^2}.$$

Thus, by (1-1) we have

$$D_g du = \frac{1}{h} \left(\lambda + \frac{\alpha - s}{n - 1} \right) g - \frac{\beta}{h} du \otimes du.$$

Now, we are ready to prove Corollary 1.2, which shows the relationship between Bach-flat metrics and harmonic Weyl metrics.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Note that, by (3-1) and (3-2)

$$C(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla u) = C(\cdot, \nabla u, \cdot) = 0.$$

 \square

On the other hand, by the Codazzi equation,

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, N \rangle = D_Y II(X, Z) - D_X II(Y, Z).$$

Thus, for $1 \le i, j, k \le n - 1$, by (4-3)

$$\langle R(E_i, E_j)E_k, N \rangle = E_j(II(E_i, E_k)) - II(D_{E_j}E_i, E_k) - II(E_i, D_{E_j}E_k) - E_i(II(E_j, E_k)) + II(D_{E_i}E_j, E_k) + II(E_j, D_{E_i}E_k) = 0.$$

Therefore, by (2-3)

$$d^D r(E_i, E_j, E_k) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$C(E_i, E_j, E_k) = d^D r(E_i, E_j, E_k) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds \wedge g(E_i, E_j, E_k) = 0.$$

Hence, *C* is identically zero, and so is δW .

The following is a restatement of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.7. Let $(M, g, \nabla u, h, \lambda)$ be a Bach-flat h-almost gradient Ricci soliton with potential function u. Assume that each level set of u is compact with dh/du > 0 on M. Then, either g is Einstein with constant function u or the metric can be written as

$$g = dt^2 + \psi^2(t)\,\hat{g}_E$$

where \hat{g}_E is the Einstein metric on the level set $E = L_{c_0}$ for some c_0 .

Proof. Assume that *u* is not constant. By Lemma 3.1, D = H = 0. Since $|\nabla u|^2$ depends only on *u* by Lemma 4.6, as shown in the proof of Theorem 7.9 of [He et al. 2012] with Remark 3.2 of [Cao and Chen 2013], the metric can be locally written as

$$g = dt^2 + \hat{g}_c.$$

Here, \hat{g}_c denotes the induced metric on the level set $L_c = u^{-1}(c)$ for each regular value *c*. Furthermore, (L_c, \hat{g}_c) is necessarily Einstein; by the Gauss equation

$$\hat{R}_{ijij} = R_{ijij} + II_{ii}II_{jj} - II_{ij}^2 = R_{ijij} + \frac{m^2}{(n-1)^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\hat{r}_{ii} = r_{ii} - R(N, E_i, N, E_i) + \frac{m^2}{n-1}$$

By (3-2) and (4-4), we have

$$R(E_i, N, E_i, N) = \frac{1}{n-2}(r_{ii} + \alpha) - \frac{s}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \frac{\alpha}{n-1}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\hat{r}_{ii} = r_{ii} + \frac{m^2 - \alpha}{n - 1} = \frac{1}{n - 1}(s - 2\alpha + m^2) = \hat{\lambda}_0.$$

Since s, α , and m are constant along L_c , this proves that (L_c, \hat{g}_c) has constant Ricci curvature. As a result, by a suitable change of variable, the metric g can be written as in the statement of Theorem 4.7.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for several valuable comments.

References

- [Barros and Ribeiro 2012] A. Barros and E. Ribeiro, Jr., "Some characterizations for compact almost Ricci solitons", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140**:3 (2012), 1033–1040. MR Zbl
- [Cao and Chen 2013] H.-D. Cao and Q. Chen, "On Bach-flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons", *Duke Math. J.* **162**:6 (2013), 1149–1169. MR Zbl
- [Chen and He 2013] Q. Chen and C. He, "On Bach flat warped product Einstein manifolds", *Pacific J. Math.* **265**:2 (2013), 313–326. MR Zbl
- [Gomes et al. 2015] J. N. Gomes, Q. Wang, and C. Xia, "On the *h*-almost Ricci soliton", preprint, 2015. arXiv
- [He et al. 2012] C. He, P. Petersen, and W. Wylie, "On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics", *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **20**:2 (2012), 271–311. MR Zbl
- [Pigola et al. 2011] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M. Rimoldi, and A. G. Setti, "Ricci almost solitons", *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5) **10**:4 (2011), 757–799. MR Zbl
- [Qing and Yuan 2013] J. Qing and W. Yuan, "A note on static spaces and related problems", J. Geom. Phys. 74 (2013), 18–27. MR Zbl

Received April 14, 2016. Revised September 29, 2016.

GABJIN YUN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MYONG JI UNIVERSITY SAN 38-2 NAMDONG YONGIN, GYEONGGI 449-728 SOUTH KOREA

gabjin@mju.ac.kr

JINSEOK CO DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHUNG-ANG UNIVERSITY 84 HEUKSEOK-RO, DONGJAK-GU SEOUL 06969 SOUTH KOREA co1010@hanmail.net SEUNGSU HWANG DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHUNG-ANG UNIVERSITY 84 HEUKSUK-RO, DONGJAK-GU SEOUL 06969 SOUTH KOREA

seungsu@cau.ac.kr

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV.

Paul Balmer

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn

Department of Mathematics

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305-2125

finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

popa@math.ucla.edu

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA Daryl Cooper Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$450/year for the electronic version, and \$625/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.



nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 288 No. 2 June 2017

Order on the homology groups of Smale spaces	257
MASSOUD AMINI, IAN F. PUTNAM and SARAH SAEIDI GHOLIKANDI	
Characterizations of immersed gradient almost Ricci solitons Cícero P. Aquino, Henrique F. de Lima and José N. V. Gomes	289
Weighted Sobolev regularity of the Bergman projection on the Hartogs triangle LIWEI CHEN	307
Knots of tunnel number one and meridional tori MARIO EUDAVE-MUÑOZ and GRISSEL SANTIAGO-GONZÁLEZ	319
On bisectional nonpositively curved compact Kähler–Einstein surfaces DANIEL GUAN	343
Effective lower bounds for $L(1, \chi)$ via Eisenstein series PETER HUMPHRIES	355
Asymptotic order-of-vanishing functions on the pseudoeffective cone SHIN-YAO JOW	377
Augmentations and rulings of Legendrian links in $\#^k(S^1 \times S^2)$ CAITLIN LEVERSON	381
The Faber–Krahn inequality for the first eigenvalue of the fractional Dirichlet <i>p</i> -Laplacian for triangles and quadrilaterals FRANCO OLIVARES CONTADOR	425
Topological invariance of quantum quaternion spheres BIPUL SAURABH	435
Gap theorems for complete λ-hypersurfaces HUIJUAN WANG, HONGWEI XU and ENTAO ZHAO	453
Bach-flat <i>h</i> -almost gradient Ricci solitons GABJIN YUN, JINSEOK CO and SEUNGSU HWANG	475
A sharp height estimate for the spacelike constant mean curvature graph in the Lorentz–Minkowski space JINGYONG ZHU	489