

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**CONCENTRATION FOR
A BIHARMONIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION**

DONG WANG

Volume 289 No. 2

August 2017

CONCENTRATION FOR A BIHARMONIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

DONG WANG

We consider the fourth-order problem

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^4 \Delta^2 u + V(x)u = P(x)f(|u|)u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where V and P are spatial distributions of external potentials. We study the concentration phenomena of the solutions as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ using variational methods.

1. Introduction

This work is devoted to the analysis of solutions that solve the following nonlinear stationary biharmonic Schrödinger equation:

$$(1-1) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon^4 \Delta^2 u + V(x)u = P(x)f(|u|)u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where ϵ denotes Planck's constant, and V, P are spatial distributions of external potentials. To simplify the idea of this work, we are going to describe certain concentration phenomena of the solutions of (1-1) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, for physical purposes.

Problem (1-1) is the biharmonic version of the usual Schrödinger equation which has been extensively studied in literature [Floer and Weinstein 1986; Coti Zelati and Rabinowitz 1992; Rabinowitz 1992; Wang 1993; Willem 1996; del Pino and Felmer 1996; Gui 1996; Ambrosetti et al. 1997; Bartsch et al. 2001; Sirakov 2002; Byeon and Wang 2003; Ding and Tanaka 2003; Ni and Wei 2006; Ambrosetti and Malchiodi 2006; Byeon and Jeanjean 2007; Ding and Szulkin 2007; Ding and Wei 2007; Ding and Liu 2013] and references therein. In general, if we omit the exponent 2 of the first term in (1-1), we have an equation

$$(1-2) \quad (-i\epsilon\nabla + A(x))^2 w + V(x)w = f(x, w), \quad w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}),$$

MSC2010: 35J10, 35Q40, 47J30.

Keywords: nonlinear biharmonic Schrödinger equations, standing waves, critical point theory.

which arises when one seeks the standing wave solutions of the Schrödinger equation

$$(1-3) \quad i\hbar \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = (-i\hbar \nabla + A(x))^2 \varphi + W(x)\varphi - n(x, |\varphi|)\varphi.$$

Considerable effort has gone into the study of the nonlinear Schrödinger equations without the magnetic field (i.e., $A(x) = 0$) for studying the existence, multiplicity and qualitative properties of standing wave solutions. When the magnetic vector $A(x) \neq 0$, the first work seems to be involved in [Esteban and Lions 1989] in which the existence of solutions of (1-2) via a constrained minimization argument with $\epsilon = 1$ is studied. Later, under certain assumptions, the existence and multiplicity of solutions of (1-2) ($\epsilon = 1$) were obtained in [Arioli and Szulkin 2003; Pankov 2003; Wang 2008; Liang and Zhang 2011]. The existence and concentration phenomena of semiclassical solutions of (1-2) were studied in [Kurata 2000], where $f(x, w) = g(|w|^2)w$ is subcritical and $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0$ such that the Palais–Smale condition holds for any energy level and for any $\epsilon > 0$. For the case $A(x) = 0$, Floer and Weinstein [1986], proved in the one dimensional case and for $f(w) = w^3$ that a single spike solution concentrates around any given nondegenerate critical point of the linear potential $V(x)$. Oh [1988; 1990] extended this result in higher dimensions and for $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ ($1 < p < N + 2/N - 2$). Subsequently, variational methods were found suitable for such issues and the existence of spike layer solutions in the semiclassical limit were established under various conditions of $V(x)$. Particularly, initiated by Rabinowitz [1992], the existence of positive solutions of the Schrödinger equation for small $\epsilon > 0$ is proved whenever

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x).$$

These solutions concentrate around the global minimum points of V when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, as was shown by Wang [1993]. It should be pointed out that M. del Pino and P. Felmer [1996] first succeeded in proving a localized version of the concentration behavior of semiclassical solutions.

By using a combination of stability analysis and numerical simulations, the role of small fourth-order dispersion has been considered in a series of papers by Karpman and Shagalov ([2000] and the references therein), who studied the equation

$$i\psi_t(t, x) + \Delta \psi + |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi + \epsilon \Delta^2 \psi = 0,$$

in the case when $\epsilon < 0$. Later, in [Ben-Artzi et al. 2000], Ben-Artzi, Koch, and Saut obtained sharp dispersive estimates for the biharmonic Schrödinger operator in

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u + \epsilon \Delta u + f(|u|^2)u = 0,$$

namely for the linear group associated to $i\partial_t + \Delta^2 \pm \Delta$. Parallel to this, some specific nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equations have received deep consideration. Fibich, Ilan, and Papanicolaou, in [Fibich et al. 2002], analyzed self-focusing and singularity formation in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) with high-order dispersion $i\psi_t \pm \Delta^q \psi + |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi = 0$, in the isotropic mixed-dispersion NLS $i\psi_t + \Delta \psi + \epsilon \Delta^2 \psi + |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi = 0$, and in nonisotropic mixed-dispersion NLS equations which model propagation in fiber arrays. Almost at the same time, Guo and Wang [2002] studied the existence and scattering theory for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations $iu_t + (-\Delta)^m u + f(u) = 0$, with $u(0, x) = \phi(x)$, where $u(t, x)$ defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is a complex valued function, $m \geq 1$ is an integer and f is a scalar nonlinear function. Not much later, Hao, Hsiao and Wang, in [Hao et al. 2006; 2007], discussed the Cauchy problem in a high regularity setting. Subsequently, Segata [2006] proved scattering in the case the space dimension is one and considered the three-dimensional motion of an isolated vortex filament by using the method of Fourier restriction norm.

Motivated by the previously mentioned works, we are mainly interested in (1-1) with the biharmonic operator

$$\Delta^2 u = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x_i^4} u + \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x_i^2 \partial x_j^2} u.$$

The biharmonic Schrödinger equation (1-1) appears when one considers the stationary solutions $w(t, x) = e^{i\lambda t} u(x)$ of the t -dependent equation of the form

$$(1-4) \quad i\epsilon \partial_t w - \epsilon^4 \Delta^2 w - M(x)w + P(x)f(|w|)w = 0,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Such stationary solutions, also called standing waves, are finite energy waveguide solutions of (1-1) after rearranging terms in (1-4). It is worth pointing out that although there are many works dealing with problems related to (1-2), so many problems appear when dealing with the fourth-order problem. The main reason for this difficulty is the lack of a general maximum principle to the biharmonic operator. This leads to a series of technical problems in trying to adapt some second-order classical arguments.

Precisely, we formulate the fundamental assumption on the potential functions V, P as

- (VP) $V, P \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are uniformly continuous such that $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0$ and $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} P(x) > 0$.

To obtain the concentration results, let us introduce the following restrictions on the nonlinear function f :

- (f₁) $f(0) = 0$, $f \in C^1(0, \infty)$, $f'(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$, and there is a $p \in (2, 2N/(N-4))$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s)/s^{p-2} < \infty$,
- (f₂) denoting $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)t dt$, there is $\theta > 2$ such that $0 < F(s) \leq (1/\theta)f(s)s^2$ for $s > 0$.

Now we are ready to describe our concentration results. First let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &:= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x), & \tau_\infty &:= \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x), & \tau_p &:= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{P}} V(x), \\ \gamma &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} P(x), & \gamma_\infty &:= \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} P(x), & \gamma_v &:= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{V}} P(x), \\ \mathcal{V} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) = \tau\}, & \mathcal{P} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : P(x) = \gamma\}, \end{aligned}$$

and suppose that

- (V) V leads the behavior, i.e., $\tau < \tau_\infty$ and there exists $R > 0$ such that $\gamma_v \geq P(x)$ for any $|x| \geq R$,
- (P) P leads the behavior, i.e., $\gamma > \gamma_\infty$ and there exists $R > 0$ such that $\tau_p \leq V(x)$ for any $|x| \geq R$.

Let us define for (V)

$$\mathcal{A}_v := \{x \in \mathcal{V} : P(x) = \gamma_v\} \cup \{x \notin \mathcal{V} : P(x) > \gamma_v\},$$

and for (P)

$$\mathcal{A}_p := \{x \in \mathcal{P} : V(x) = \tau_p\} \cup \{x \notin \mathcal{P} : V(x) < \tau_p\}.$$

Remark that, generally, $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$. And notice that, for example, $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$ implies $\tau = \tau_p$ and $\gamma = \gamma_v$, from which we deduce that

$$\mathcal{A}_v = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P}.$$

Under our assumptions (V) and (P), \mathcal{A}_v and \mathcal{A}_p are nonempty bounded sets in \mathbb{R}^N , and $\mathcal{A}_v = \mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$; see also [Ding and Liu 2013].

To give a better description of our results, let us set

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_v & \text{if (V) holds,} \\ \mathcal{A}_p & \text{if (P) holds.} \end{cases}$$

We have the following results:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (VP), (f₁) and (f₂) hold. Assume additionally that either (V) or (P) holds. Then (1-1) has (at least) one ground state solution for all small ϵ .*

And for the concentration of the solutions of (1-1) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (VP), (f₁) and (f₂) hold. Assume additionally that either (V) or (P) holds. Let u_ε be the solution of (1-1), given by Theorem 1.1. For any ε_n > 0 with lim_{n→∞} ε_n = 0, up to a subsequence, there exists x_{ε_n} ∈ ℝ^N which is a maximum point of |u_{ε_n}|, such that*

$$\text{dist}(\epsilon_n x_{\epsilon_n}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let w_{ε_n}(x) := u_{ε_n}(ε_n(x + x_{ε_n})). Then w_{ε_n} → w₀ in H²(ℝ^N), where w₀ is a ground state solution of

$$\Delta^2 w + V(x_0)w = P(x_0)f(|w|)w, \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Our arguments are variational with a mixture of the mountain pass technique and Nehari Manifolds. The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some notations and the variational framework for such problem. We prove the existence and concentration results for (1-1) in the remaining two sections.

2. Variational framework

Hereafter we use the following notation:

- $E := H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the usual Sobolev space endowed with the standard scalar product and norm

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Delta u \Delta v + uv) \, dx, \quad \|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta u|^2 + u^2) \, dx.$$

- $L^q(\Omega)$, $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$, denotes a Lebesgue space. The norm in $L^q(\Omega)$ is denoted by $|u|_{q,\Omega}$ when Ω is a proper subset of \mathbb{R}^N , by $|\cdot|_p$ when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$.
- For any $\rho > 0$ and for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $B_\rho(z)$ denotes the ball of radius ρ centered at z , $|B_\rho(z)|$ denotes its Lebesgue measure and $\partial B_\rho(z)$ denotes its boundary.
- For ease of notation, let us set $2^* = 2N/(N - 4)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $0 \in \mathcal{C}$.

By assumption (VP), the following energy functional I of (1-1) defined in E is well defined,

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(x)F(|u|) \, dx.$$

Moreover, the solutions of (1-1) are the critical points of I .

Equivalent problem. Making the change of variable $x \rightarrow \epsilon x$, (1-1) becomes

$$(2-1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 z + V_\epsilon(x)z = P_\epsilon(x)f(|z|)z, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ z \in E, \end{cases}$$

where $V_\epsilon(x) = V(\epsilon x)$, $P_\epsilon(x) = P(\epsilon x)$, and $z(x) = u(\epsilon x)$.

In the sequel, we will in fact focus on finding the critical points of the energy functional associated to (2-1) which is defined by

$$\phi_\epsilon(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta z|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\epsilon(x)|z|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x)F(|z|) dx.$$

Remark. Denote $\|z\|_\epsilon^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\Delta z|^2 + V_\epsilon(x)|z|^2] dx$. Recall that $\tau = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x)$. Here norm $\|\cdot\|_\epsilon$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. Indeed, by assumption (VP),

$$\|z\|_\epsilon^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\Delta z|^2 + \tau|z|^2] dx \geq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta z|^2 + |z|^2) dx = \delta \|z\|^2,$$

where

$$\delta = \min\{1, \tau\} > 0$$

and also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_\epsilon^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta z|^2 + |V|_\infty \cdot |z|^2) dx \\ &\leq (1 + |V|_\infty) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta z|^2 + |z|^2) dx = (1 + |V|_\infty) \|z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For notational convenience, let us write $\phi_\epsilon(z) = \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_\epsilon^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x)F(|z|) dx$. We observe that ϕ_ϵ satisfies the so-called mountain pass structure. For details, recall that by $(f_1), (f_2)$, we have

$$\hat{F}(s) := \frac{1}{2} f(s)s^2 - F(s) \geq \frac{\theta-2}{2\theta} f(s)s^2 \quad \text{for any } s>0.$$

Moreover there exists δ_1 small enough and $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(s) \leq \delta_1 + c_1 s^{p-2}.$$

Hence

$$F(s) \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2} s^2 + c'_1 s^p,$$

which implies

$$\phi_\epsilon(z) = \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_\epsilon^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x)F(|z|) dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \|z\|_\epsilon^2 - c'_1 \|z\|_\epsilon^p,$$

where we have used the Sobolev embedding theorems, $E \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|z|_p \leq C \cdot \|z\|_\epsilon$ for some positive constant C . Notice that when $p > 2$, then by direct computation, we have $\phi_\epsilon(z) \geq \alpha > 0$, where $z \in \partial B_\rho(0) = \{z \in E : \|z\| = \rho\}$ for some $\rho > 0$.

By (f_2) we have $F(s) \geq cs^\theta - s^2$ for some $c > 0$. Thus, for any $z \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and for any positive real number t , we have

$$\phi_\epsilon(tz) \leq c_2 t^2 \|z\|_\epsilon^2 - c_3 t^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z|^\theta dx.$$

Since $p > 2$, we know $\phi_\epsilon(tz) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Also, $\phi_\epsilon(0) = 0$. Thus there exists a sufficiently large positive real number t_z , such that $\|t_z z\| > \rho$ and $\max\{\phi_\epsilon(0), \phi_\epsilon(t_z z)\} \leq 0 < \alpha$.

Based on the above discussion, by the classical mountain pass theorem, there exists a sequence $\{z_{n,\epsilon} : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq E \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(2-2) \quad \phi_\epsilon(z_{n,\epsilon}) \rightarrow c_\epsilon \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_\epsilon(z_{n,\epsilon}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$c_\epsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \phi_\epsilon(\gamma(t))$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \phi_\epsilon(\gamma(1)) < \alpha\}.$$

Moreover, by (2-2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} o_n(1)\|z_{n,\epsilon}\| &= \phi'_\epsilon(z_{n,\epsilon})z_{n,\epsilon} = \|z_{n,\epsilon}\|_\epsilon^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) f(|z_{n,\epsilon}|) z_{n,\epsilon}^2 dx, \\ c_\epsilon + o_n(1)\|z_{n,\epsilon}\| &= \phi_\epsilon(z_{n,\epsilon}) - \frac{1}{2}\phi'_\epsilon(z_{n,\epsilon})z_{n,\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) \hat{F}(|z_{n,\epsilon}|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|z_{n,\epsilon}\|_\epsilon^2 \leq M + o_n(1)\|z_{n,\epsilon}\|$$

for some $M > 0$. Thus $\{z_{n,\epsilon} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a bounded sequence and $\|z_{n,\epsilon}\| \geq D$ for some positive constant $D, n = 1, 2, \dots$

The limiting equation. Now we consider the special form of the equation (2-1)

$$(2-3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 z + \mu z = \lambda f(|z|)z, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ z \in E, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ are both constants.

Then the energy functional of (2-3) is

$$\phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta z|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|z|) dx.$$

Denote $\|z\|_\mu^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta z|^2 + \mu|z|^2) dx$. Then similarly, norm $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ is also equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$.

Rewrite $\phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_\mu^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|z|) dx$. Similarly, $\phi_{\mu,\lambda}$ also satisfies the conditions of the mountain pass theorem, i.e.,

- there exists $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $\phi_{\mu,\lambda}|_{\partial B_\rho(0)} \geq \alpha$,
- there exists $e \in E, \|e\| > \rho$ such that $\max\{\phi_{\mu,\lambda}(0), \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(e)\} < \alpha$.

By the mountain pass lemma, there exists a sequence $\{z_{n,\mu,\lambda} : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq E \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(2-4) \quad \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{n,\mu,\lambda}) \rightarrow c_{\mu,\lambda} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{n,\mu,\lambda}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$c_{\mu,\lambda} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(\gamma(t))$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}.$$

Similarly, $\{z_{n,\mu,\lambda} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is also a bounded sequence, and $\|z_{n,\mu,\lambda}\| \geq D_{\mu,\lambda}$ for some positive constant $D_{\mu,\lambda}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

A standard concentration compactness argument shows that there exists $R > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\{x_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$\int_{B_R(x_n)} |z_{n,\mu,\lambda}|^2 dx \geq \alpha.$$

Let be $\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda}(x) = z_{n,\mu,\lambda}(x + x_n)$. Then $\{\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is also a bounded sequence and $\|\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda}\| \geq D_{\mu,\lambda}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, up to a subsequence, we have $\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda} \rightharpoonup z_{\mu,\lambda} \in E$. Particularly, $z_{\mu,\lambda} \neq 0$. Indeed, by Sobolev embedding theorems, $\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda} \rightharpoonup z_{\mu,\lambda}$ in E implies $\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda} \rightarrow z_{\mu,\lambda}$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Together with

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda}|^2 dx = \int_{B_R(x_n)} |z_{n,\mu,\lambda}|^2 dx \geq \alpha,$$

we have

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |z_{\mu,\lambda}|^2 dx \geq \alpha,$$

i.e., $z_{\mu,\lambda} \neq 0$.

By using the weak sequential continuity of $\phi'_{\mu,\lambda} : E \rightarrow E^*$, we know that $\phi'_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda})z_{\mu,\lambda} = 0$, i.e., $z_{\mu,\lambda}$ is a critical point of the functional $\phi_{\mu,\lambda}$ which is a weak solution of (2-3).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Initially, we would like to collect some useful results concerning the limiting equation. A first observation is that if $\gamma_{\mu,\lambda}$ denotes the ground state energy of $\phi_{\mu,\lambda}$, we have

$$c_{\mu,\lambda} = \gamma_{\mu,\lambda} = \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda}).$$

Indeed, since $\phi'_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda})z_{\mu,\lambda} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda}) &= \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda}) - \frac{1}{2}\phi'_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda})z_{\mu,\lambda} \\ &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \hat{F}(|z_{\mu,\lambda}|) dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \hat{F}(|\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda}|) dx \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\phi_{\mu,\lambda}(\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda}) - \frac{1}{2}\phi'_{\mu,\lambda}(\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda})\tilde{z}_{n,\mu,\lambda}] \\ &= c_{\mu,\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

the above inequality follows from the Fatou's lemma. Now we consider the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu,\lambda} := \{z \in E \setminus \{0\} : \phi'_{\mu,\lambda}(z)z = 0\}.$$

By a direct observation, for any $z \in E \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $t_z > 0$, such that $t_z z \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu,\lambda}$. Notice that

$$\max_{t>0} \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(tz) = \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(t_z z).$$

Indeed, denote $f(t) = \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(tz)$. Then it is easy to check that $f(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $f(0) = 0$ and there is small t such that $f(t) > 0$. Since $f'(t_z) = \phi'_{\mu,\lambda}(t_z z)z = 0$ and $f''(t) < 0$, we know that t_z is the unique critical point of $f(t)$. Therefore $\max_{t>0} \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(tz) = \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(t_z z)$. Let

$$\bar{c}_{\mu,\lambda} = \inf_{z \in E \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(tz).$$

Then a standard argument shows that

$$\phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda}) \leq c_{\mu,\lambda} \leq \bar{c}_{\mu,\lambda} = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu,\lambda}} \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z) \leq \phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda}).$$

Thus $\phi_{\mu,\lambda}(z_{\mu,\lambda}) = c_{\mu,\lambda}$.

The following lemma is a direct application of the above observation:

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1$ and $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$, then $c_{\mu_2,\lambda_2} \geq c_{\mu_1,\lambda_1}$. Particularly, if*

$$\max\{\mu_2 - \mu_1, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2\} > 0,$$

then $c_{\mu_2,\lambda_2} > c_{\mu_1,\lambda_1}$.

Proof. Let $z_{\mu_2,\lambda_2} \in E \setminus \{0\}$ be the critical point such that

$$\phi_{\mu_2,\lambda_2}(z_{\mu_2,\lambda_2}) = c_{\mu_2,\lambda_2}.$$

A standard argument implies that

$$c_{\mu_2,\lambda_2} = \max_{t>0} \phi_{\mu_2,\lambda_2}(tz_{\mu_2,\lambda_2}).$$

Observe that if $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1$ and $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$, then

$$\phi_{\mu_2, \lambda_2}(z) \geq \phi_{\mu_1, \lambda_1}(z), \quad \text{for all } z \in E \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus

$$c_{\mu_2, \lambda_2} = \max_{t>0} \phi_{\mu_2, \lambda_2}(tz_{\mu_2, \lambda_2}) \geq \max_{t>0} \phi_{\mu_1, \lambda_1}(tz_{\mu_2, \lambda_2}) \geq \inf_{z \in E \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} \phi_{\mu_1, \lambda_1}(tz) = c_{\mu_1, \lambda_1}.$$

Particularly, if $\max\{\mu_2 - \mu_1, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2\} > 0$, then $c_{\mu_2, \lambda_2} > c_{\mu_1, \lambda_1}$ follows from the following equality:

$$\phi_{\mu_2, \lambda_2}(z) = \phi_{\mu_1, \lambda_1}(z) + \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{2} |z|_2^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|z|) dx. \quad \square$$

By assumption (VP), we have

$$(3-1) \quad V_\epsilon(x) = V(\epsilon x) \rightarrow V(0) \quad \text{and} \quad P_\epsilon(x) = P(\epsilon x) \rightarrow P(0) \quad \text{in } L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let be $\mu_0 = V(0)$ and $\lambda_0 = P(0)$. The following lemma is the key to the concentration behavior:

Lemma 3.2. $\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\epsilon \leq c_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}.$

Proof. It is equivalent to prove that

$$c_\epsilon \leq c_{\mu_0, \lambda_0} + o_\epsilon(1).$$

Let z_0 be the critical point such that $\phi_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}(z_0) = c_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}$. A standard argument implies that there exists $t_0 > 0$, such that $\phi_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}(t_0 z_0) < -1$. For any $t \in [0, t_0]$, let

$$f_0(t) = \phi_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}(t z_0) \quad \text{and} \quad f_\epsilon(t) = \phi_\epsilon(t z_0).$$

Claim: For each $z \in E$ fixed,

$$(3-2) \quad \phi_\epsilon(z) \rightarrow \phi_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}(z).$$

First observe that, in view of the Sobolev embedding theorems, $z \in E$ implies that $z \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [2, 2^*]$. Thus, for any $\eta > 0$, we have for large ρ

$$(3-3) \quad |z|_{2, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho(0)}^2 < \eta \quad \text{and} \quad |z|_{p, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho(0)}^p < \eta.$$

Furthermore, considering (3-1), we can assert that for any $x \in B_\rho(0)$, the relations

$$(3-4) \quad |V_\epsilon(x) - \mu_0| < \eta \quad \text{and} \quad |P_\epsilon(x) - \lambda_0| < \eta$$

hold for small ϵ .

Hence, by using (3-3) and (3-4), we deduce, for small ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\phi_\epsilon(z) - \phi_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}(z)| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\epsilon(x) - \mu_0] |z|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [P_\epsilon(x) - \lambda_0] F(|z|) dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V_\epsilon(x) - \mu_0| \cdot |z|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |P_\epsilon(x) - \lambda_0| F(|z|) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\rho(0)} |V_\epsilon(x) - \mu_0| \cdot |z|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho(0)} |V_\epsilon(x) - \mu_0| \cdot |z|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\rho(0)} |P_\epsilon(x) - \lambda_0| F(|z|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho(0)} |P_\epsilon(x) - \lambda_0| F(|z|) dx \\ &\leq c \cdot \eta, \end{aligned}$$

proving (3-2).

Now together with $\phi_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}(t_0 z_0) < -1$, we have for small ϵ , $\phi_\epsilon(t_0 z_0) < -\frac{1}{2}$. This implies that f_ϵ admits a maximum in $(0, t_0)$. Observe that $\{f_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ and $\{f'_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ are both uniformly bounded.

By a simple application of Arzela–Ascoli theorem, we have that $\{f_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0} \subset C([0, t_0])$ is compact. Our claim implies that f_ϵ converges pointwise to f_0 . The compactness of $\{f_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ tells us $|f_\epsilon - f_0|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Together with $t_0 > 1$ and $\phi_\epsilon(t_0 z_0) < -\frac{1}{2}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\epsilon &= \inf_{z \in E \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t > 0} \phi_\epsilon(tz) \leq \max_{t \in [0, t_0]} \phi_\epsilon(tz_0) \\ &= \max_{t \in [0, t_0]} f_\epsilon(t) \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, t_0]} f_0(t) + o_\epsilon(1) \\ &= \max_{t \in [0, t_0]} \phi_{\mu_0, \lambda_0}(tz_0) + o_\epsilon(1) = c_{\mu_0, \lambda_0} + o_\epsilon(1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is our main result, which shows that (2-1) has (at least) one ground state solution for all small ϵ .

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, then c_ϵ is attained.*

Proof. Ekeland’s variational principle implies that there exists

$$\{z_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\epsilon,$$

such that

$$(3-5) \quad \phi_\epsilon(z_n) \rightarrow c_\epsilon \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_\epsilon(z_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We also know that $\{z_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is bounded. Thus $z_n \rightharpoonup z_\epsilon$ for some $z_\epsilon \in E$.

Notice that if $z_\epsilon \neq 0$, we are done. Indeed, since $\phi'_\epsilon(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any $v \in E$, we have

$$\langle z_n, v \rangle_\epsilon - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) f(|z_n|) z_n v \, dx = \phi'_\epsilon(z_n) v \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By using of $z_n \rightharpoonup z_\epsilon$ and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_\epsilon(z_\epsilon) v &= \langle z_\epsilon, v \rangle_\epsilon - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) f(|z_\epsilon|) z_\epsilon v \, dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\langle z_n, v \rangle_\epsilon - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) f(|z_n|) z_n v \, dx \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $v \in E$, which implies that $z_\epsilon \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$. Furthermore, in view of Fatou's lemma, (3-5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\epsilon(z_\epsilon) &\geq \inf_{z \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon} \phi_\epsilon(z) = c_\epsilon = \phi_\epsilon(z_n) + o_n(1) \\ &= \phi_\epsilon(z_n) - \frac{1}{2} \phi'_\epsilon(z_n) z_n + o_n(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) \hat{F}(|z_n|) \, dx + o_n(1) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) \hat{F}(|z_\epsilon|) \, dx = \phi_\epsilon(z_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $c_\epsilon = \phi_\epsilon(z_\epsilon)$.

Now assume for contradiction that $z_\epsilon = 0$ for all small ϵ . Here we assume that V leads the behavior. Similarly, we can deal with the case where P leads the behavior.

Take $\kappa \in (\tau, \tau_\infty)$ and let be $\eta := \gamma_v$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} V^\kappa(x) &= \max\{\kappa, V(x)\}, & V_\epsilon^\kappa(x) &= V^\kappa(\epsilon x). \\ P^\eta(x) &= \min\{\eta, P(x)\}, & P_\epsilon^\eta(x) &= P^\eta(\epsilon x). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\Delta z|^2 + V_\epsilon^\kappa(x) |z|^2] \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon^\eta(x) F(|z|) \, dx,$$

and $c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta} = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}} \phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}(z)$, where $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}$ is the Nehari manifold corresponding to $\phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}$. Then

$$\phi_\epsilon(z) = \phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\epsilon(x) - V_\epsilon^\kappa(x)] |z|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [P_\epsilon(x) - P_\epsilon^\eta(x)] F(|z|) \, dx.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \leq \kappa\}, & \mathcal{O}_\epsilon &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \epsilon x \in \mathcal{O}\}. \\ \mathcal{O}' &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : P(x) > \eta\}, & \mathcal{O}'_\epsilon &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \epsilon x \in \mathcal{O}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that \mathcal{O}_ϵ and \mathcal{O}'_ϵ are both bounded for given ϵ , and for any z_n , there exists a positive real number t_n , such that $t_n z_n \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}$. Since $\{z_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is bounded and the distance between 0 and $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}$ is strictly positive, we know that the sequence of positive numbers $\{t_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is also bounded. Without loss of generality, assume that $t_n \leq D$ for some constant $D, n = 1, 2, \dots$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have a compact embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $q \in [2, 2^*)$, thus $z_n \rightarrow z_\epsilon = 0$ implies that $z_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since V and P are both L^∞ -functions, we have by Hölder's inequalities

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon} [V_\epsilon(x) - V_\epsilon^\kappa(x)] |t_n z_n|^2 dx \right| \leq D^2 |V|_\infty \int_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon} |z_n|^2 dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon} [V_\epsilon(x) - V_\epsilon^\kappa(x)] |t_n z_n|^2 dx = o_n(1).$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{O}'_\epsilon} [P_\epsilon(x) - P_\epsilon^\eta(x)] F(|t_n z_n|) dx \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now taking all the above into one package, together with $\phi_\epsilon(t_n z_n) \leq \phi_\epsilon(z_n)$ and (3-5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta} &= \inf_{z \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}} \phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}(z) \leq \phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}(t_n z_n) \\ &= \phi_\epsilon(t_n z_n) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\epsilon(x) - V_\epsilon^\kappa(x)] |t_n z_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [P_\epsilon(x) - P_\epsilon^\eta(x)] F(|t_n z_n|) dx \\ &\leq \phi_\epsilon(z_n) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon} [V_\epsilon(x) - V_\epsilon^\kappa(x)] |t_n z_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{O}'_\epsilon} [P_\epsilon(x) - P_\epsilon^\eta(x)] F(|t_n z_n|) dx \\ &= c_\epsilon + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta} \leq c_\epsilon$.

Observe that

$$\phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\Delta z|^2 + \kappa |z|^2) dx - \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|z|) dx = \phi_{\kappa,\eta}(z).$$

From this, we deduce that

$$c_{\kappa,\eta} = \inf_{z \in E \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} \phi_{\kappa,\eta}(tz) \leq \inf_{z \in E \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} \phi_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}(tz) = c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta}.$$

This holds for any small ϵ , which implies that

$$c_{\kappa,\eta} \leq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta} \leq \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta} \leq c_{\kappa,\eta},$$

the last inequality followed by our key lemma (Lemma 3.2) since

$$V^\kappa(0) = \max\{\kappa, V(0)\} = \max\{\kappa, \tau\} = \kappa$$

and

$$P^\eta(0) = \min\{\eta, P(0)\} = \min\{\eta, \gamma_v\} = \eta.$$

Thus $c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta} \rightarrow c_{\kappa,\eta}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We have already seen that $c_\epsilon^{\kappa,\eta} \leq c_\epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$c_{\kappa,\eta} \leq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\epsilon \leq \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\epsilon \leq c_{\tau,\eta},$$

the last inequality is also followed by Lemma 3.2. The above inequality contradicts our Lemma 3.1 since $\kappa > \tau$. Thus $z_\epsilon \neq 0$, which is a ground state solution. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This theorem is another description of Lemma 3.3; that is, (2-1) has (at least) one ground state solution for small ϵ . \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Now, using the same notation as in the previous section, we are now ready to show the concentration of the ground state solution given in Theorem 1.1.

Here we recall the description of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (VP), (f_1) and (f_2) hold. Assume additionally that either (V) or (P) holds. Let z_ϵ be the solution of (2-1), given by Lemma 3.3. For any $\epsilon_{n_n} > 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$, up to a subsequence, there exists $x_{\epsilon_n} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ which is a maximum point of $|z_{\epsilon_n}|$, such that*

$$\text{dist}(\epsilon_n x_{\epsilon_n}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $w_{\epsilon_n}(x) := z_{\epsilon_n}(x + x_{\epsilon_n})$. Then $w_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow w_0$ in E , where w_0 is a ground state solution of

$$\Delta^2 z + V(x_0)z = P(x_0)f(|z|)z, \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume (V) holds. Clearly, $\{z_\epsilon\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ is bounded.

Claim 1: $\{z_\epsilon\}$ is nonvanishing.

Suppose for contradiction $\{z_\epsilon\}$ is vanishing. This means $z_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

$$\|z_\epsilon\|_\epsilon^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) f(|z_\epsilon|) z_\epsilon^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that 0 is bounded away from \mathcal{N}_ϵ . Hence, the sequence $\{z_\epsilon\}$ is nonvanishing. Thus we know there exist $R > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\{x_\epsilon\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(x_\epsilon)} |z_\epsilon|^2 dx \geq \alpha.$$

Claim 2: Let $\{x_\epsilon\}$ be the nonvanishing points found in Claim 1. Then $\{x_\epsilon\}$ is bounded.

Suppose for contradiction that $|\epsilon x_\epsilon| \rightarrow \infty$. Up to a subsequence, we have

$$V_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) \rightarrow V_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad P_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) \rightarrow P_\infty,$$

for some positive numbers V_∞ and P_∞ . Let

$$w_\epsilon(x) := z_\epsilon(x + x_\epsilon), \quad \tilde{V}_\epsilon(x) := V_\epsilon(x + x_\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{P}_\epsilon(x) := P_\epsilon(x + x_\epsilon).$$

Notice that the boundedness of z_ϵ implies that of $\{w_\epsilon\}$, thus, up to a subsequence, we have $w_\epsilon \rightharpoonup w_0 \in E$. Particularly, $w_0 \neq 0$. Indeed, by Sobolev embedding theorems, $w_\epsilon \rightharpoonup w_0$ in E implies $w_\epsilon \rightarrow w_0$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Together with

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |w_\epsilon|^2 dx = \int_{B_R(x_\epsilon)} |z_\epsilon|^2 dx \geq \alpha,$$

we have $\int_{B_R(0)} |w_0|^2 dx \geq \alpha$, i.e., $w_0 \neq 0$.

Observe that w_ϵ is a ground state solution to the following equation:

$$\Delta^2 w + \tilde{V}_\epsilon(x)w = \tilde{P}_\epsilon(x)f(|w|)w.$$

Now for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by using the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Delta^2 w_\epsilon + \tilde{V}_\epsilon(x)w_\epsilon - \tilde{P}_\epsilon(x)f(|w_\epsilon|)w_\epsilon] \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Delta^2 w_0 + V_\infty w_0 - P_\infty f(|w_0|)w_0) \varphi dx \\ &= \phi'_{V_\infty, P_\infty}(w_0) \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\epsilon &= \phi_\epsilon(z_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\Delta z_\epsilon|^2 + V_\epsilon(x)|z_\epsilon|^2] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x)F(|z_\epsilon|) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\Delta w_\epsilon|^2 + \tilde{V}_\epsilon(x)|w_\epsilon|^2] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_\epsilon(x)F(|w_\epsilon|) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_\epsilon(x)\hat{F}(|w_\epsilon|) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\infty \hat{F}(|w_0|) dx = \phi_{V_\infty, P_\infty}(w_0) \geq c_{V_\infty, P_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with $c_\epsilon \leq c_{\tau,\eta} + o_\epsilon(1)$ and $\max\{V_\infty - \tau, \eta - P_\infty\} > 0$, we have a contradiction with Lemma 3.1. Thus $\{\epsilon x_\epsilon\}$ is bounded.

Claim 3: $\text{dist}(\epsilon x_\epsilon, \mathcal{A}_v) \rightarrow 0$.

Suppose for contradiction, up to a subsequence, $\epsilon x_\epsilon \rightarrow x_0 \notin \mathcal{A}_v$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ since $\{\epsilon x_\epsilon\}$ is bounded.

Denote

$$V_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) \rightarrow V(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad P_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) \rightarrow P(x_0),$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then similarly to the proof of Claim 2, we have $c_\epsilon \geq c_{V(x_0), P(x_0)}$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{A}_v := \{x \in \mathcal{V} : P(x) = \gamma_v\} \cup \{x \notin \mathcal{V} : P(x) > \gamma_v\}.$$

It is easy to check that $x_0 \notin \mathcal{A}_v$ implies that $\max\{V(x_0) - \tau, \eta - P(x_0)\} > 0$. Together with $c_\epsilon \leq c_{\tau,\eta} + o_\epsilon(1)$, we have a contradiction with Lemma 3.1. Thus $\text{dist}(\epsilon x_\epsilon, \mathcal{A}_v) \rightarrow 0$. At this point, as was argued in Claim 2, the transformed solution $w_\epsilon(x) := z_\epsilon(x + x_\epsilon)$ will converge weakly (up to a subsequence) to w_0 which is a ground state solution of

$$\Delta^2 z + V(x_0)z = P(x_0)f(|z|)z, \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_v.$$

Claim 4: For any $\epsilon_n > 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$, up to a subsequence, we have $w_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow w_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $E \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $y_n := w_{\epsilon_n} - w_0$, then $y_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 w_{\epsilon_n} + \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x)w_{\epsilon_n} &= \tilde{P}_{\epsilon_n}(x)f(|w_{\epsilon_n}|)w_{\epsilon_n}, \\ \Delta^2 w_0 + V(x_0)w_0 &= P(x_0)f(|w_0|)w_0 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Delta w_{\epsilon_n} \Delta y_n + \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x)w_{\epsilon_n}y_n] dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\epsilon_n}(x)f(|w_{\epsilon_n}|)w_{\epsilon_n}y_n dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Delta w_0 \Delta y_n + V(x_0)w_0y_n] dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(x_0)f(|w_0|)w_0y_n dx \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V(x_0)w_0, y_n \rangle_2 &= \langle w_0, V(x_0)y_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle w_0, \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x)y_n + [V(x_0) - \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x)]y_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle w_0, \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x)y_n \rangle_2 + \langle w_0, [V(x_0) - \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x)]y_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x)w_0, y_n \rangle_2 + o_{\epsilon_n}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Delta w_{\epsilon_n} \Delta y_n + \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x) w_{\epsilon_n} y_n] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\epsilon_n}(x) f(|w_{\epsilon_n}|) w_{\epsilon_n} y_n dx,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Delta w_0 \Delta y_n + \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x) w_0 y_n] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(x_0) f(|w_0|) w_0 y_n dx + o_{\epsilon_n}(1)$$

Then, by (f_1) , (f_2) , it is easy to check

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Delta y_n \Delta y_n + \tilde{V}_{\epsilon_n}(x) y_n^2] dx = o_{\epsilon_n}(1),$$

which implies $\|y_n\|_{\epsilon_n} = o_{\epsilon_n}(1)$, ending the proof. □

Final remark

Our approach can be described in a more abstract way to deal with some general variational problems. Indeed, if we rewrite (2-1) as

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta^2 + \alpha)z + (V_\epsilon(x) - \alpha)z = P_\epsilon(x) f(|z|)z, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ z(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x)$, then we are led to the abstract equation of the form

$$(4-1) \quad Lz + M_\epsilon(x)z = P_\epsilon(x) \nabla G(z),$$

in which L is a positive defined differential operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $M(x)$, $P(x)$ satisfy the condition (VP). Let $E := \mathcal{D}(L^{1/2})$ be equipped with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle L^{1/2}u, L^{1/2}v \rangle_2$$

and the induced norm $\|u\| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$. Then the associated energy functional of (4-1) is of the form

$$\phi_\epsilon(z) = \frac{1}{2} \|z\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_\epsilon(x) |z|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\epsilon(x) G(z) dx,$$

and our arguments are in general feasible to such problems under some suitable assumptions on the nonlinear function G .

In fact, (4-1) is related to several equations appearing in quantum physics, including the Schrödinger equations and the fractional Schrödinger equations, etc. Therefore, our approach covers the semiclassical behavior of different equations under a general class of subcritical nonlinearities.

References

[Ambrosetti and Malchiodi 2006] A. Ambrosetti and A. Malchiodi, *Perturbation methods and semilinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^n* , Progress in Mathematics **240**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006. MR Zbl

- [Ambrosetti et al. 1997] A. Ambrosetti, M. Badiale, and S. Cingolani, “Semiclassical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **140**:3 (1997), 285–300. MR Zbl
- [Arioli and Szulkin 2003] G. Arioli and A. Szulkin, “A semilinear Schrödinger equation in the presence of a magnetic field”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **170**:4 (2003), 277–295. MR Zbl
- [Bartsch et al. 2001] T. Bartsch, A. Pankov, and Z.-Q. Wang, “Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with steep potential well”, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **3**:4 (2001), 549–569. MR Zbl
- [Ben-Artzi et al. 2000] M. Ben-Artzi, H. Koch, and J.-C. Saut, “Dispersion estimates for fourth order Schrödinger equations”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **330**:2 (2000), 87–92. MR Zbl
- [Byeon and Jeanjean 2007] J. Byeon and L. Jeanjean, “Standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a general nonlinearity”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **185**:2 (2007), 185–200. MR Zbl
- [Byeon and Wang 2003] J. Byeon and Z.-Q. Wang, “Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, II”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **18**:2 (2003), 207–219. MR Zbl
- [Coti Zelati and Rabinowitz 1992] V. Coti Zelati and P. H. Rabinowitz, “Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on \mathbb{R}^n ”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45**:10 (1992), 1217–1269. MR Zbl
- [Ding and Liu 2013] Y. Ding and X. Liu, “Semiclassical solutions of Schrödinger equations with magnetic fields and critical nonlinearities”, *Manuscripta Math.* **140**:1-2 (2013), 51–82. MR Zbl
- [Ding and Szulkin 2007] Y. Ding and A. Szulkin, “Bound states for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **29**:3 (2007), 397–419. MR Zbl
- [Ding and Tanaka 2003] Y. Ding and K. Tanaka, “Multiplicity of positive solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation”, *Manuscripta Math.* **112**:1 (2003), 109–135. MR Zbl
- [Ding and Wei 2007] Y. Ding and J. Wei, “Semiclassical states for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potentials”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **251**:2 (2007), 546–572. MR Zbl
- [Esteban and Lions 1989] M. J. Esteban and P.-L. Lions, “Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field”, pp. 401–449 in *Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations*, vol. I, edited by F. Colombini et al., Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **1**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1989. MR Zbl
- [Fibich et al. 2002] G. Fibich, B. Ilan, and G. Papanicolaou, “Self-focusing with fourth-order dispersion”, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **62**:4 (2002), 1437–1462. MR Zbl
- [Floer and Weinstein 1986] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, “Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **69**:3 (1986), 397–408. MR Zbl
- [Gui 1996] C. Gui, “Existence of multi-bump solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations via variational method”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **21**:5-6 (1996), 787–820. MR Zbl
- [Guo and Wang 2002] B. Guo and B. Wang, “The global Cauchy problem and scattering of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in H^s ”, *Differential Integral Equations* **15**:9 (2002), 1073–1083. MR Zbl
- [Hao et al. 2006] C. Hao, L. Hsiao, and B. Wang, “Wellposedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **320**:1 (2006), 246–265. MR Zbl
- [Hao et al. 2007] C. Hao, L. Hsiao, and B. Wang, “Well-posedness of Cauchy problem for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations in multi-dimensional spaces”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **328**:1 (2007), 58–83. MR Zbl
- [Karpman and Shagalov 2000] V. I. Karpman and A. G. Shagalov, “Stability of solitons described by nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations with higher-order dispersion”, *Phys. D* **144**:1-2 (2000), 194–210. MR Zbl

- [Kurata 2000] K. Kurata, “Existence and semi-classical limit of the least energy solution to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with electromagnetic fields”, *Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A* **41**:5-6 (2000), 763–778. MR Zbl
- [Liang and Zhang 2011] S. Liang and J. Zhang, “Solutions of perturbed Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields and critical nonlinearity”, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **54**:1 (2011), 131–147. MR Zbl
- [Ni and Wei 2006] W.-M. Ni and J. Wei, “On positive solutions concentrating on spheres for the Gierer–Meinhardt system”, *J. Differential Equations* **221**:1 (2006), 158–189. MR Zbl
- [Oh 1988] Y.-G. Oh, “Existence of semiclassical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials of the class $(V)_a$ ”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **13**:12 (1988), 1499–1519. MR Zbl
- [Oh 1990] Y.-G. Oh, “On positive multi-lump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations under multiple well potential”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **131**:2 (1990), 223–253. MR Zbl
- [Pankov 2003] A. A. Pankov, “On nontrivial solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a magnetic field”, *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **37**:1 (2003), 88–91. In Russian; translated in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **37**:1 (2003), 75–77. MR Zbl
- [del Pino and Felmer 1996] M. del Pino and P. L. Felmer, “Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **4**:2 (1996), 121–137. MR Zbl
- [Rabinowitz 1992] P. H. Rabinowitz, “On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **43**:2 (1992), 270–291. MR Zbl
- [Segata 2006] J.-i. Segata, “Modified wave operators for the fourth-order non-linear Schrödinger-type equation with cubic non-linearity”, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **29**:15 (2006), 1785–1800. MR
- [Sirakov 2002] B. Sirakov, “Standing wave solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N ”, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **181**:1 (2002), 73–83. MR Zbl
- [Wang 1993] X. Wang, “On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **153**:2 (1993), 229–244. MR Zbl
- [Wang 2008] F. Wang, “On an electromagnetic Schrödinger equation with critical growth”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**:11 (2008), 4088–4098. MR Zbl
- [Willem 1996] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications **24**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996. MR Zbl

Received July 31, 2015. Revised December 20, 2016.

DONG WANG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
CHANGZHOU UNIVERSITY
213164 JIANGSU
CHINA
wdflight@126.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$450/year for the electronic version, and \$625/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 289 No. 2 August 2017

Regular representations of completely bounded maps	257
B. V. RAJARAMA BHAT, NIRUPAMA MALLICK and K. SUMESH	
Ball convex bodies in Minkowski spaces	287
THOMAS JAHN, HORST MARTINI and CHRISTIAN RICHTER	
Local constancy of dimension of slope subspaces of automorphic forms	317
JOACHIM MAHNKOPF	
Weakening idempotency in K -theory	381
VLADIMIR MANUILOV	
On Langlands quotients of the generalized principal series isomorphic to their Aubert duals	395
IVAN MATIĆ	
Exact Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian $(2, n)$ torus links	417
YU PAN	
Elementary calculation of the cohomology rings of real Grassmann manifolds	443
RUSTAM SADYKOV	
Cluster tilting modules and noncommutative projective schemes	449
KENTA UEYAMA	
Concentration for a biharmonic Schrödinger equation	469
DONG WANG	
Global existence of smooth solutions to exponential wave maps in FLRW spacetimes	489
CHANG-HUA WEI and NING-AN LAI	



0030-8730(201708)289:2;1-O