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#### Abstract

We explore the fourth-order Steklov problem of a compact embedded hypersurface $\Sigma^{n}$ with free boundary in a ( $n+1$ )-dimensional compact manifold $M^{n+1}$ which has nonnegative Ricci curvature and strictly convex boundary. If $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is minimal we establish a lower bound for the first eigenvalue of this problem. When $M=B^{n+1}$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, if $\Sigma$ has constant mean curvature $H^{\Sigma}$ we prove that the first eigenvalue satisfies $\sigma_{1} \leq n+\left|H^{\Sigma}\right|$. In the minimal case $\left(H^{\Sigma}=0\right)$, we prove that $\sigma_{1}=n$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary $\partial \Sigma \neq \varnothing$. Consider the fourth-order Steklov eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} \xi=0 & \text { in } \Sigma  \tag{1}\\ \xi=0 & \text { on } \partial \Sigma, \\ \Delta \xi=\sigma \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu \Sigma} & \text { on } \partial \Sigma,\end{cases}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a real number, $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator on $\Sigma$ and $\nu_{\Sigma}$ denotes the outward unit normal on $\partial \Sigma$. The first nonzero eigenvalue of the above problem will be denoted by $\sigma_{1}=\sigma(\Sigma)$. The first eigenvalue of (1) has the following variational characterization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}=\inf _{w_{\mid \partial \Sigma}=0} \frac{\int_{\Sigma}(\Delta w)^{2}}{\int_{\partial \Sigma}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}}\right)^{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wang and Xia [2009] proved that if $\Sigma$ has nonnegative Ricci curvature and the mean curvature of $\partial \Sigma$ is bounded below by a positive constant $c$ then $\sigma_{1} \geq c \cdot n$. Furthermore, equality occurs if and only if $\Sigma$ is isometric to an $n$-dimensional Euclidean ball of radius $\frac{1}{c}$.

[^0]Since their first appearance in [Stekloff 1902], elliptic problems with parameters in the boundary conditions are called Steklov problems. Kuttler [1972] and Payne [1970] studied the isoperimetric properties of the first eigenvalue $\sigma_{1}$ of the fourthorder Steklov problem (1). Moreover, as already noticed in [Kuttler 1972; 1979; Kuttler and Sigillito 1985], $\sigma_{1}$ is the sharp constant for $L^{2}$ a priori estimates for solutions of the (second-order) Laplace equation under nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. In [Ferrero et al. 2005] the authors studied the spectrum of the biharmonic Steklov problem (1) and obtained a characterization of it, and presented a physical interpretation of $\sigma_{1}$. For comprehensive references on such Steklov problems, see [Berchio et al. 2006; Bucur et al. 2009; Wang and Xia 2009].

It should be pointed out that the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} \xi=0 & \text { in } \Sigma,  \tag{3}\\ \xi=0 & \text { on } \partial \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial^{2} \xi}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}^{2}}=\lambda \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}} & \text { on } \partial \Sigma,\end{cases}
$$

is a natural Steklov problem and one can check that when the mean curvature of $\partial \Sigma$ is constant, it is equivalent to (1).

Let $M$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary $\partial M$ and $\Sigma \subset M$ a compact hypersurface (with boundary $\partial \Sigma$ ) properly embedded into $M$, that is, $\Sigma \cap \partial M=\partial \Sigma$. We say that $\Sigma$ is a minimal hypersurface with free boundary if $\Sigma$ is a minimal hypersurface and $\Sigma$ meets $\partial M$ orthogonally along $\partial \Sigma$. In this setting, Fraser and Li [2014] obtained a lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the second-order Steklov problem.

If $M=B^{n}$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, it is known [Fraser and Schoen 2013] that the coordinate functions are eigenfunctions of the second-order Steklov problem with eigenvalue 1. Taking that into consideration, Fraser and Li [2014] conjectured that the first eigenvalue of the second-order Steklov problem of a compact properly embedded minimal hypersurface in $B^{n}$ is 1 and proved that this is limited from below by $\frac{1}{2}$.

On the one hand, we did not find in the literature an extrinsic approach to the fourth-order Steklov eigenvalue problem. Motivated by the work of Fraser and Li, in this paper we consider the fourth-order Steklov problem of a compact properly embedded minimal hypersurface $\Sigma$ with free boundary in a compact manifold $M$.

On the other hand, Ferrero, Gazzola and Weth [Ferrero et al. 2005] explored the fourth-order Steklov eigenvalue problem in a bounded domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and proved that the first eigenvalue of this problem is equal to $n$ when $\Omega=B^{n}$. It is known that the unit ball $B^{n}$ is a minimal hypersurface with free boundary in $B^{n+1}$. In this setting, we have established an upper estimate for the first eigenvalue of the fourth-order Steklov problem of a compact properly embedded CMC hypersurface in $B^{n+1}$ with free boundary on $\partial B^{n+1}$ :

Proposition 1. Let $\Sigma^{n}$ be a compact properly embedded hypersurface in the unit ball $B^{n+1}$, with free boundary on $\partial B^{n+1}=\mathbb{S}^{n}$. Assume that $\Sigma$ has constant normalized mean curvature $H^{\Sigma}$. Then

$$
\sigma_{1} \leq n+\left|H^{\Sigma}\right| .
$$

It follows from Proposition 1 that if $\Sigma$ is minimal $\left(H^{\Sigma}=0\right)$, then $\sigma_{1} \leq n$. This, together with the result of Ferrero, Gazzola and Weth [Ferrero et al. 2005], naturally led us to formulate and prove the main result of this paper:
Theorem 2. Let $\Sigma^{n}$ be a compact properly embedded minimal hypersurface in the unit ball $B^{n+1}$, with free boundary on $\partial B^{n+1}=\mathbb{S}^{n}$. Then the first eigenvalue of the fourth-order Steklov problem of $\Sigma$ is equal to $n$.

Wang and Xia [2009] proved that any compact connected Riemannian manifold $\Sigma$ with boundary $\partial \Sigma$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Sigma| \sigma_{1} \leq|\partial \Sigma|, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\partial \Sigma|$ and $|\Sigma|$ denote the area of $\partial \Sigma$ and the volume of $\Sigma$, respectively. If in addition the Ricci curvature of $\Sigma$ is nonnegative and the equality holds, then $\Sigma$ is isometric to an $n$-dimensional Euclidean ball. In our context, the equality always holds even for codimension greater than 1 (see Proposition 2.4 in [Li 2020]), i.e.,

$$
k|\Sigma|=|\partial \Sigma|
$$

for every $k$-dimensional immersed free boundary minimal submanifold $\Sigma^{k}$ in the unit ball $B^{n+1}$. As a consequence of this equality and from (4) we get that $\sigma_{1} \leq k$ for free boundary minimal submanifolds $\Sigma^{k} \subset B^{n+1}$.

Taking that into consideration, it is natural to consider the following question.
Problem 3. Under what additional assumption is it possible to ensure that a compact properly embedded minimal hypersurface in the unit ball $B^{n+1}$, with free boundary on $\partial B^{n+1}=\mathbb{S}^{n}$, such that $\sigma_{1}=n$ is the unit ball $B^{n}$ ?

In our next result, we prove a lower estimate for $\sigma_{1}$ when $\Sigma^{n}$ is a compact properly embedded minimal hypersurface with free boundary in a compact manifold which has nonnegative Ricci curvature and strictly convex boundary. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $M^{n+1}$ be an ( $n+1$ )-dimensional compact orientable Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and nonempty boundary $\partial M$. Assume the second fundamental form of $\partial M$ satisfies $A^{\partial M}(v, v) \geq k>0$, for any unit vector $v$ tangent to $\partial M$.

Let $\Sigma^{n}$ be a properly embedded minimal hypersurface in $M$ with free boundary on $\partial M$. Assume $\partial \Sigma$ has constant mean curvature $H^{\partial \Sigma}$. If
(i) $\Sigma$ is orientable, or
(ii) $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finite,
then we have the eigenvalue estimate $\sigma_{1} \geq H^{\partial \Sigma}+\frac{k}{2}$, where $\sigma_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the fourth-order Steklov problem on $\Sigma$.

This estimate for $\sigma_{1}$ is analogous to the estimates of Fraser and Li [2014] for the first nonzero Steklov eigenvalue of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on $\Sigma$.
Remark 5. If $M=B^{n+1}$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\Sigma=B^{n} \subset B^{n+1}$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ("equatorial disk"), then $H^{\partial \Sigma}=n-1$ and $k=1$, and we get that $\sigma_{1}=H^{\partial \Sigma}+k$. For this reason, we believe that $\sigma_{1} \geq H^{\partial \Sigma}+k$ is the sharp estimate. Consequently, the hypothesis in Theorem 4 that $\partial \Sigma$ has constant mean curvature becomes natural to assume.

Combining the inequality (4) with our Theorem 4 we deduce the following corollary.
Corollary 6. Let $M^{n+1}$ be an ( $n+1$ )-dimensional compact orientable Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and nonempty boundary $\partial M$. Assume the second fundamental form of $\partial M$ satisfies $A^{\partial M}(v, v) \geq k>0$, for any unit vector $v$ tangent to $\partial M$.

Let $\Sigma$ be a properly embedded minimal hypersurface in $M$ with free boundary on $\partial M$. Assume $\partial \Sigma$ has constant mean curvature $H^{\partial \Sigma}$. Then

$$
|\partial \Sigma| \geq\left(H^{\partial \Sigma}+\frac{k}{2}\right)|\Sigma| .
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we will collect some basic results that are essential to deduce Theorem 4. Let $M^{n+1}$ be a ( $n+1$ )-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary $\partial M$. Denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the metric on $M$ and $D$ the Riemannian connection on $M$. We define the second fundamental form of the boundary $\partial M$ with respect to the outward unit normal $\mu$ by $A^{\partial M}(u, v)=\left\langle D_{u} \mu, v\right\rangle$, where $u$, v are tangent to $\partial M$. The mean curvature $H^{\partial M}$ of $\partial M$ is then defined as the trace of $A^{\partial M}$, i.e.,

$$
H^{\partial M}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} A^{\partial M}\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right),
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ is any orthonormal basis for $T \partial M$.
The following, known as Reilly's formula, was settled in [Fraser and Li 2014, Lemma 2.6]; see also [Choi and Wang 1983].

Proposition 7 [Fraser and Li 2014]. Let $\Omega$ be a compact ( $n+1$ )-manifold with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial \Omega=\bigcup \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Sigma_{i}$. Suppose $f$ is a continuous function
on $\Omega$ where $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \backslash S), S=\bigcup \sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial \Sigma_{i}$, and there exists some $C>0$ such that $\|f\|_{C^{3}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C$ for all $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega \backslash S$. Then, Reilly's formula holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Ric}^{\Omega}(D f, D f)-\left(\Delta_{\Omega} f\right)^{2}+\left\|\operatorname{Hess}_{\Omega} f\right\|^{2}  \tag{5}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\Sigma_{i}}\left[\left(\Delta_{\Sigma_{i}} f+H^{\Sigma_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{i}}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{i}}-\left\langle\nabla^{\Sigma_{i}} f, \nabla^{\Sigma_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{i}}\right\rangle+h^{\Sigma_{i}}\left(\nabla^{\Sigma_{i}} f, \nabla^{\Sigma_{i}} f\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, Ric ${ }^{\Omega}$ is the Ricci tensor of $\Omega ; \Delta_{\Omega}, \operatorname{Hess}_{\Omega}$ and $\nabla_{\Omega}$ are the Laplacian, Hessian and gradient operators on $\Omega$, respectively; $\Delta_{\Sigma_{i}}$ and $\nabla^{\Sigma_{i}}$ are the Laplacian and gradient operators on each $\Sigma_{i}$, respectively; $\eta_{i}$ is the outward unit normal of $\Sigma_{i}$;, $H^{\Sigma_{i}}$ and $h^{\Sigma_{i}}$ are the mean curvature and second fundamental form of $\Sigma_{i}$ in $\Omega$ with respect to the outward unit normal, respectively.

To prove our main result we need a few considerations. Let $\varphi: \Sigma \rightarrow M$ be a properly embedded minimal hypersurface with free boundary in a compact orientable manifold $M$. Assume that $\partial M$ is strictly convex and $M$ has nonnegative Ricci curvature. Under these assumptions, $\partial M$ is connected [Fraser and Li 2014, Proposition 2.8], and any properly embedded minimal hypersurface in $M$ with free boundary is connected [Fraser and Li 2014, Lemma 2.5]. Furthermore, if both $\Sigma$ and $M$ are orientable then $M \backslash \varphi(\Sigma)$ consists of two components $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ (see [Fraser and Li 2014, Corollary 2.10]). Take $\Omega=\Omega_{1}$. Let $\partial \Omega=\Sigma \cup \Gamma$ where $\Gamma \subset \partial M$. Thus, $\partial \Sigma=\partial \Gamma$. Note that $\Gamma$ is not necessarily connected, but each component of $\Gamma$ must intersect $\Sigma$ along some component of $\partial \Sigma$. Otherwise, $\partial M$ would have more than one component, a contradiction.

Remark 8. From a result due to M. C. Li [2011, Theorem 1.1.8], any compact Riemannian 3-manifold $M$ with nonempty boundary $\partial M$ admits a nontrivial compact embedded minimal surface $\Sigma$ with free boundary. Some examples of free boundary submanifolds in the unit ball are given in [Fraser and Schoen 2013].

## 3. Proof of the results

### 3.1. Proof of Proposition 1.

Proof. Let $\xi: B^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\xi(x)=1-\|x\|^{2}$. As can be easily seen

$$
\xi_{\mid \partial \Sigma}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta_{\Sigma} \xi(x)=-2\left(n+H^{\Sigma}\langle x, N(x)\rangle\right),
$$

where $N$ is a unit vector field normal to $\Sigma^{n}$ in $B^{n+1}$. Thus,

$$
\left(\Delta_{\Sigma} \xi\right)^{2} \leq 4 n^{2}\left(1+\frac{\left|H^{\Sigma}\right|}{n}\right)^{2}
$$

On the other hand, if $\nu_{\Sigma}$ is the outward unit conormal along $\partial \Sigma$ and $x_{i}$ are the coordinate functions, the condition

$$
\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial v_{\Sigma}}=x_{i}
$$

is equivalent to $\nu_{\Sigma}=x$, which is equivalent to the condition that $\Sigma$ meets $\partial B^{n}$ orthogonally. Then, $\Sigma$ meets $\partial B^{n}$ orthogonally if and only if

$$
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}}=-2 .
$$

Now, using the variational characterization of $\sigma_{1}$ we get

$$
\sigma_{1} \cdot|\partial \Sigma| \leq n^{2}\left(1+\frac{\left|H^{\Sigma}\right|}{n}\right)^{2} \cdot|\Sigma|,
$$

and applying inequality (4) we conclude that

$$
\sigma_{1} \leq n+\left|H^{\Sigma}\right| .
$$

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Again let us consider the function $\xi: B^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\xi(x)=1-\|x\|^{2}$. Since $\Sigma$ is minimal, it follows from the proof of Proposition 1 that $\Delta_{\Sigma} \xi=-2 n$. Thus

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{\Sigma}^{2} \xi=0 & \text { in } \Sigma \\ \xi=0 & \text { on } \partial \Sigma \\ \Delta_{\Sigma} \xi=n \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}} & \text { on } \partial \Sigma\end{cases}
$$

which implies that $n$ is an eigenvalue. Now we will show that $\sigma_{1}=n$.
It is known (see Theorem 1 in [Berchio et al. 2006]) that the infimum in (2) is achieved and that, up to a multiplicative constant, the minimizer is unique, smooth up to the boundary, positive in $\Sigma$, and the normal derivative relative to the outward unit normal is negative on $\partial \Sigma$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [Ferrero et al. 2005] we conclude that $\sigma_{1}=n$.

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem 4.

Proof. Firstly suppose that $\Sigma$ is orientable. Since $M$ is orientable we have $\Sigma$ is connected and $M \backslash \varphi(\Sigma)$ consists of two components $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ (see [Fraser and Li 2014, Corollaries 2.5 and 2.10]). Let $\Omega=\Omega_{1}$ and $\partial \Omega=\Sigma \cup \Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subset \partial M$, so that $\partial \Sigma=\partial \Gamma$.

Let $\xi \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\sigma_{1}$ of the fourth-order Steklov problem, that is,

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{\Sigma}^{2} \xi=0 & \text { in } \Sigma,  \tag{6}\\ \xi=0 & \text { on } \partial \Sigma, \\ \Delta_{\Sigma} \xi=\sigma_{1} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}} & \text { on } \partial \Sigma,\end{cases}
$$

where $\nu_{\Sigma}$ is the outward conormal vector of $\partial \Sigma$ with respect to $\Sigma$. Next, we consider the Dirichlet-Neumann boundary value problem on the compact ( $n+1$ )-manifold $\Omega$ with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial \Omega=\Sigma \cup \Gamma$

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{\Omega} f=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{7}\\ f=\Delta_{\Sigma} \xi & \text { on } \Sigma \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}=\left(\sigma_{1}-H^{\partial \Sigma}\right) f & \text { on } \Gamma\end{cases}
$$

Analyzing the relationship between the first eigenvalues of problems (1) and (3) it is possible to conclude that $\sigma_{1}>H^{\partial \Sigma}$. To ensure the existence of a solution for problem (7), we will consider the homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{\Omega} f=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{8}\\ f=0 & \text { on } \Sigma \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}=\mu f & \text { on } \Gamma\end{cases}
$$

This mixed Steklov-Dirichlet problem has a discrete spectrum $\left\{\mu_{i}\right\}$ (see [Guo and Xia 2019, Section 2]) where

$$
0<\mu_{1} \leq \mu_{2} \leq \cdots \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Next, we will establish a lower bound for $\mu_{1}$. Consider $f_{1}$ an eigenfunction associated with $\mu_{1}$ and assume without loss of generality that $\int_{\Sigma} h^{\Sigma}\left(\nabla^{\Sigma} f_{1}, \nabla^{\Sigma} f_{1}\right) \geq 0$ (otherwise, we choose $\Omega=\Omega_{2}$ instead). We get by Reilly's formula (5) applied to $f_{1}$

$$
0 \geq n k \int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right)^{2}+\int_{\Gamma} \Delta_{\Gamma} f \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}-\int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Gamma} f_{1}, \nabla^{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right\rangle+k \int_{\Gamma}\left|\nabla^{\Gamma} f_{1}\right|^{2},
$$

where $\eta_{\Sigma}$ and $\eta_{\Gamma}$ are the outward unit normals of $\Sigma$ and $\Gamma$, respectively, with respect to $\Omega$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \Delta_{\Gamma} f_{1} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}=-\int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Gamma} f_{1}, \nabla^{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right\rangle+\int_{\partial \Gamma} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \nu_{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}},
$$

where $\nu_{\Sigma}$ and $\nu_{\Gamma}$ are the outward conormal vectors of $\partial \Sigma=\partial \Gamma$ with respect to $\Sigma$ and $\Gamma$, respectively. Since $\Sigma$ meets $\Gamma$ orthogonally along $\partial \Sigma=\partial \Gamma$, we have $\nu_{\Sigma}=\eta_{\Gamma}$ and $\eta_{\Sigma}=v_{\Gamma}$ along the common boundary $\partial \Sigma$. Thereby

$$
0=\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{\Sigma}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}}=\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{\Sigma}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{\Gamma}}=\int_{\partial \Gamma} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}
$$

which implies

$$
2 \int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Gamma} f_{1}, \nabla^{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right\rangle \geq n k \int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right)^{2}+k \int_{\Gamma}\left|\nabla^{\Gamma} f_{1}\right|^{2}
$$

We conclude that $\mu_{1} \geq \frac{k}{2}$. Having proved this fact, we will make an analysis divided into two cases. Namely, if there is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_{1}-H^{\partial \Sigma}=\mu_{i} \geq \mu_{1}$ we
get $\sigma_{1} \geq H^{\partial \Sigma}+\frac{k}{2}$. Otherwise, $\sigma_{1}-H^{\partial \Sigma} \neq \mu_{i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. So, the homogeneous problem (8) has only the trivial solution, and it follows from standard elliptic PDE theory, more specifically from the Fredholm alternative, that the problem (7) has a unique solution $f$. Note that $\Delta_{\Sigma}\left(\left.f\right|_{\Sigma}\right)=\Delta_{\Sigma}^{2} \xi=0$ in $\Sigma$, and assuming without loss of generality that $\int_{\Sigma} h^{\Sigma}\left(\nabla^{\Sigma} f, \nabla^{\Sigma} f\right) \geq 0$, by substituting this function $f$ in formula (5) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq-\int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Sigma} f, \nabla^{\Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}}\right\rangle+n k \int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right)^{2} & +\int_{\Gamma} \Delta_{\Gamma} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}} \\
& -\int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Gamma} f, \nabla^{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right\rangle+k \int_{\Gamma}\left|\nabla^{\Gamma} f\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using that

$$
\int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Sigma} f, \nabla^{\Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}}\right\rangle=-\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}} \Delta_{\Sigma} f+\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{\Sigma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}}=\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{\Sigma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \Delta_{\Gamma} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}=-\int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Gamma} f, \nabla^{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right\rangle+\int_{\partial \Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \geq-\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{\Sigma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}}+\int_{\partial \Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu_{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}-2 \int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Gamma} f, \nabla^{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right\rangle \\
&+n k \int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right)^{2}+k \int_{\Gamma}\left|\nabla^{\Gamma} f\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we saw previously,

$$
\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Sigma}}=\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu_{\Sigma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu_{\Gamma}}=\int_{\partial \Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu_{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
2 \int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\nabla^{\Gamma} f, \nabla^{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right\rangle \geq n k \int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_{\Gamma}}\right)^{2}+k \int_{\Gamma}\left|\nabla^{\Gamma} f\right|^{2} .
$$

Now, using the last equality in (7) we get

$$
2\left(\sigma_{1}-H^{\partial \Sigma}\right) \geq k \Rightarrow \sigma_{1} \geq H^{\partial \Sigma}+\frac{k}{2}
$$

This proves the theorem when $\Sigma$ is orientable. In the case when $\Sigma$ nonorientable and $\pi_{1}(M)$ finite, we can argue as in [Fraser and Li 2014, Theorem 3.1].

### 3.4. Proof of Corollary 6.

Proof. The proof of Corollary 6 follows directly from (4) and Theorem 4.
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