

A stable range description of the space of link maps

THOMAS G GOODWILLIE

BRIAN A MUNSON

We study the space $\text{Link}(P, Q; N)$ of link maps: maps from $P \sqcup Q$ to N such that the images of P and Q are disjoint. We identify the homotopy fiber of the inclusion $\text{Link}(P, Q; N) \rightarrow \text{Map}(P, N) \times \text{Map}(Q, N)$ in a stable range, showing that it has a $(2(n-p-q)-3)$ -connected map to the infinite loop space of a certain Thom spectrum.

57Q45; 58D15, 57R99

1 Introduction

Let N be a smooth manifold and let P and Q be smooth compact manifolds. A (smooth) *link map* of P and Q in N is a pair $(f: P \rightarrow N, g: Q \rightarrow N)$ of smooth maps such that $f(P)$ is disjoint from $g(Q)$. The set of link maps, denoted by $\text{Link}(P, Q; N)$, is an open subspace of $\text{Map}(P, N) \times \text{Map}(Q, N) = \text{Map}(P \sqcup Q, N)$.

For brevity we will write \mathcal{M} for $\text{Map}(P, N) \times \text{Map}(Q, N)$ and denote the complement of the set of link maps in \mathcal{M} by \mathcal{B} . We prove that a certain “linking number” map

$$\ell: \text{hofiber}_{(f_1, g_1)}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Omega Q_+^{TN - (TP \oplus TQ)} \text{holim}(P \xrightarrow{f_1} N \xleftarrow{g_1} Q)$$

is $(2(n-p-q)-3)$ -connected, where p , q and n are the dimensions of the manifolds. The map was defined by the second author in [5], although the version we reference below is of a more homotopy-theoretic flavor, and is given by Klein and Williams [3]. Its domain is the homotopy fiber of the inclusion $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with respect to any point $(f_1, g_1) \in \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B}$. Its codomain is the infinite loop space associated to the Thom spectrum of a virtual vector bundle. Both of these spaces are $(n-p-q-2)$ -connected. In the case when $p+q = n-1$ it was shown in [5] that the effect of the map ℓ on π_0 can be interpreted as a generalized linking number.

Functor calculus (the manifold version developed by Weiss [6] and the first author and Weiss [2]) offers one point of view on link maps. Consider the functor $(U, V) \mapsto \text{Link}(U, V; N)$ whose domain is the poset $\mathcal{O}(P \sqcup Q) = \mathcal{O}(P) \times \mathcal{O}(Q)$ of open subsets of $P \sqcup Q$. Its best linear approximation is $\text{Map}(U, N) \times \text{Map}(V, N)$. Our result can

be interpreted as a statement about a quadratic approximation to the same functor, but we will not pursue this here. This work overlaps the recent work of Klein and Williams; in particular, some of the material in Section 3 also appears in [3].

Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1 *The map*

$$\Lambda: \Sigma \operatorname{hofiber}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow Q_+^{TN-(TP \oplus TQ)} \operatorname{holim}(P \rightarrow N \leftarrow Q)$$

adjoint to ℓ is $(2(n-p-q)-1)$ -connected.

The fact that ℓ is $(2(n-p-q)-3)$ -connected then follows immediately by the Freudenthal Theorem, since the domain of ℓ is $(n-p-q-2)$ -connected. Note that the connectivity claimed for Λ is negative if $p+q \geq n$, so it is no loss to assume $p+q < n$.

1.1 Conventions

A space X is k -connected if for every j with $-1 \leq j \leq k$ every map $S^j \rightarrow X$ can be extended to a map $D^{j+1} \rightarrow X$. In other words, (-1) -connected means nonempty and if $k \geq 0$ then k -connected means that there is exactly one path-component and that the homotopy groups vanish through dimension k . A map is k -connected if each of its homotopy fibers is $(k-1)$ -connected. A (weak) *equivalence* is an ∞ -connected map.

We write $QX = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$ if X is a based space. If X is unbased, then X_+ means X with a disjoint basepoint added and Q_+X means $Q(X_+)$. For a vector bundle ξ over a space X , the unit disk bundle and the unit sphere bundle are $D(X; \xi)$ and $S(X; \xi)$. The Thom space X^ξ is the quotient $D(X; \xi)/S(X; \xi)$, or equivalently the homotopy cofiber of the projection $S(X; \xi) \rightarrow X$. If ξ and η are two vector bundles on X , then by choosing a vector bundle monomorphism $\eta \rightarrow \epsilon^i$ to a trivial bundle we can define $Q_+^{\xi-\eta} X = \Omega^i QX^{\xi \oplus \epsilon^i / \eta}$. This is essentially independent of the choice of $i \geq 0$ and vector bundle monomorphism, in the sense that for large i the weak homotopy type of this space is independent of those choices.

2 Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1 we will use the diagram (1) below and obtain the connectivity of Λ from the connectivities of all the other maps. For this we must introduce another closed set $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$. Recall that a point $(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}$ belongs to \mathcal{B} if the statement $f(x) = z = g(y)$ holds for some pair $(x, y) \in P \times Q$ and some point $z \in N$. The

closed set \mathcal{B} has codimension $n - p - q$ in \mathcal{M} in some sense. Inside this space \mathcal{B} of “bad” maps is a set \mathcal{V} of “very bad” maps, having codimension $2(n - p - q)$ in \mathcal{M} . A point (f, g) is in \mathcal{V} if either the statement $f(x) = z = g(y)$ holds for more than one choice of (x, y, z) or else it holds for one such choice in such a way that the associated map of tangent spaces $T_x P \oplus T_y Q \rightarrow T_z N$ is not injective. The set $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$ may be regarded as a submanifold of \mathcal{M} . It has maps to P , Q and N given by x , y and z . Pulling back tangent bundles via these maps, we obtain vector bundles on $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$, which we will denote simply by TP , TQ and TN . There is also a monomorphism $TP \oplus TQ \rightarrow TN$, and its cokernel $TN/(TP \oplus TQ)$ may be thought of as the normal bundle of $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$ in \mathcal{M} .

The next result immediately implies Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1 *In the homotopy commutative diagram below, the maps F and H are equivalences, the maps G, C and D are $(2(n - p - q) - 1)$ -connected, and the map E is $(3(n - p - q) - 2)$ -connected.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma \operatorname{hofiber}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & Q_+^{TN - (TP \oplus TQ)} \operatorname{holim}(P \rightarrow N \leftarrow Q) \\
 \uparrow G & & \uparrow D \\
 \Sigma \operatorname{hofiber}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) & & Q_+^{TN - (TP \oplus TQ)} \operatorname{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) \\
 \uparrow F & & \uparrow H \\
 \operatorname{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})^{TN/(TP \oplus TQ)} & \xrightarrow{E} & \operatorname{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M})^{TN/(TP \oplus TQ)} \\
 & & \uparrow C
 \end{array}
 \tag{1}$$

We now briefly define the maps in the diagram and explain about their connectivities. Steps that are sketchy here will be filled in the following sections. Let $c = n - p - q$.

The equivalence F is essentially an instance of the following general fact. If Y is a smooth submanifold of X and also a closed subset, then the suspension of the homotopy fiber of the inclusion $X - Y \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to the Thom space, over the homotopy fiber of $Y \rightarrow X$, of the normal bundle of Y in X . This general fact will be proved, and adapted to the present function-space setting, in Section 4.

The map G is an inclusion map. Since \mathcal{V} has codimension $2c$ in \mathcal{M} , the inclusion $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is $(2c - 1)$ -connected. (This will be worked out in detail in Section 3.) Therefore the map of homotopy fibers is $(2c - 2)$ -connected and the map G of suspensions is $(2c - 1)$ -connected.

The map E is a map of Thom spaces. For a k -connected map $Z \rightarrow W$ of spaces and a vector bundle ξ on W with fiber dimension d , the associated map $Z^\xi \rightarrow W^\xi$ is $(k+d)$ -connected. In our case $d = c$ and $k = 2c - 2$; the inclusion of $\text{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})$ into $\text{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M})$ is $(2c - 2)$ -connected, again because the inclusion of $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}$ into \mathcal{M} is $(2c - 1)$ -connected.

The map C is the canonical map $Z \rightarrow QZ$, where the space Z is $(c - 1)$ -connected, being the Thom space of a vector bundle of rank c . By the Freudenthal Theorem, the map is $(2c - 1)$ -connected.

The equivalence H is simply a matter of rewriting the Thom spectrum of a virtual vector bundle $\xi - \eta$ as the suspension spectrum of the Thom space of ξ/η when η is a subbundle of ξ .

The map D arises from a $(c - 1)$ -connected map from $\text{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M})$ to $\text{holim}(P \rightarrow N \leftarrow Q)$. To explain further, we need the space $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of all $((f, g), x, y, z) \in \mathcal{M} \times P \times Q \times N$ such that $f(x) = z = g(y)$. Projection to \mathcal{M} gives a map from $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ onto \mathcal{B} . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be the preimage of \mathcal{V} . The projection $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} - \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$ is an isomorphism. The inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} - \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is $(c - 1)$ -connected for reasons of codimension (again, the details are in Section 3), and therefore the induced map $\text{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{hofiber}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{holim}(P \rightarrow N \leftarrow Q)$ is also $(c - 1)$ -connected. There are vector bundles TP, TQ and TN on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ pulling back to their namesakes on $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$. (The monomorphism $df \oplus dg: TP \oplus TQ \rightarrow TN$ is not available on the $\text{holim}(P \rightarrow N \leftarrow Q)$ side, which is why we switched from Thom spaces to Thom spectra).

We end this section with a brief account of the commutativity of diagram (1). First we need to define the map Λ . As mentioned in Section 1, Λ is adjoint to a map $\ell: \text{hofiber}_{(f_1, g_1)}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Omega Q_+^{TN - (TP \oplus TQ)} \text{holim}(P \rightarrow N \leftarrow Q)$, which is a composite described below (also see Klein and Williams [3, Section 9]). Let $(f_t, g_t) \in \text{hofiber}_{(f_1, g_1)}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M})$. The map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Map}(P \times Q, N \times N)$ given by $(f, g) \mapsto f \times g$ induces a map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hofiber}_{(f_1, g_1)}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) &\rightarrow \text{hofiber}_{f_1 \times g_1}(\text{Map}(P \times Q, N \times N - \Delta_N) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Map}(P \times Q, N \times N)). \end{aligned}$$

We can identify the latter homotopy fiber as a space of sections as follows.

Let
$$E = \text{holim}(P \times Q \xrightarrow{f_1 \times g_1} N \times N \leftarrow N \times N - \Delta_N).$$

The projection map $E \rightarrow P \times Q$ is a fibration with fiber over (p, q) the space $\Phi_2(N) = \text{hofiber}_{(f_1(p), g_1(q))}(N \times N - \Delta_N \rightarrow N \times N)$. Let $\Gamma(P \times Q, E)$ be its space of sections. This space of sections has a preferred basepoint given by (f_1, g_1) . It is equivalent

to $\text{hofiber}_{f_1 \times g_1}(\text{Map}(P \times Q, N \times N - \Delta_N) \rightarrow \text{Map}(P \times Q, N \times N))$ by inspection. Let $Q_f S_f E \rightarrow P \times Q$ be the fibration whose fibers are $QS\Phi_2(N)$, where S stands for the unreduced suspension. The canonical map $\Gamma(P \times Q, E) \rightarrow \Omega\Gamma(P \times Q, Q_f S_f E)$ is easily shown to be $(2n-p-q-1)$ -connected, and there is an equivalence

$$\Omega\Gamma(P \times Q, Q_f S_f E) \simeq \Omega Q_+^{TN-(TP \oplus TQ)} \text{holim}(P \times Q \xrightarrow{f_1 \times g_1} N \times N \leftarrow \Delta_N)$$

which is the identity on the loop coordinate. Moreover, there is a homeomorphism

$$\text{holim}(P \times Q \xrightarrow{f_1 \times g_1} N \times N \leftarrow \Delta_N) \cong \text{holim}(P \xrightarrow{f_1} N \xleftarrow{g_1} Q).$$

The composite map

$$\text{hofiber}_{(f_1, g_1)}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Omega Q_+^{TN-(TP \oplus TQ)} \text{holim}(P \xrightarrow{f_1} N \xleftarrow{g_1} Q)$$

is the map ℓ , and Λ is its adjoint.

Now let $(f_t, g_t, v) \in \text{hofiber}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})^{TN/TP \oplus TQ}$. Here v is a vector of length $0 \leq |v| \leq 1$, and (f_t, g_t, v) is identified to a point when $|v| = 1$. After applying the maps E, C, H and D in diagram (1), it is clear that (f_t, g_t, v) is sent to $((x_0, \beta, y_0), v) \in Q_+^{TN-(TP \oplus TQ)} \text{holim}(P \rightarrow N \leftarrow Q)$, where $(x_0, y_0) \in P \times Q$ is the unique pair such that $f_0(x_0) = g_0(y_0)$ and $\beta: I \rightarrow N$ is the path defined by $\beta(s) = f_{1-2s}(x_0)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1/2$ and $\beta(s) = g_{2s-1}(y_0)$ for $1/2 \leq s \leq 1$.

Now we must apply F, G and Λ to (f_t, g_t, v) . A careful examination of the material in Section 4 reveals that F sends (f_t, g_t, v) to the point $s \wedge (\tilde{f}_t, \tilde{g}_t)$, where $s = 1 - |v|$ and $(\tilde{f}_t, \tilde{g}_t) \in \text{hofiber}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M})$ is defined as follows. For $s \leq t \leq 1$, we have $(\tilde{f}_t, \tilde{g}_t) = (f_{(t-s)/(1-s)}, g_{(t-s)/(1-s)})$. For $0 \leq t \leq s$, $(\tilde{f}_t, \tilde{g}_t)$ has the following properties: $(\tilde{f}_t, \tilde{g}_t) \in \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B}$ for $t < s$, $(\tilde{f}_s, \tilde{g}_s) = (f_0, g_0)$ has a unique pair $(x_0, y_0) \in P \times Q$ such that $f_0(x_0) = g_0(y_0) = z_0 \in N$ and such that $f'_0(x_0) - g'_0(y_0) \in T_{z_0}N$, when projected to $T_{z_0}/T_{x_0}P \oplus T_{y_0}Q$, is equal to v (here f'_0 and g'_0 are the derivatives with respect to t). From this description of F and the description of Λ above, the diagram commutes.

3 Codimension and connectivity

The proof outlined above uses that the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})$ is $(2n-2p-2q-1)$ -connected and that the pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}} - \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ is $(n-p-q-1)$ -connected. We now justify these statements more carefully.

For the first, it suffices if for every smooth manifold W of dimension $k < 2n-2p-2q$, and for every map of pairs $\phi: (W, \partial W) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})$, there is a homotopy of pairs to a map that is disjoint from \mathcal{V} .

Consider the adjoint map $\Phi: W \times (P \sqcup Q) \rightarrow N$. By a preliminary homotopy we can assume that Φ is smooth, and we can make the homotopy small enough in the C^0 sense so that it corresponds to a homotopy of pairs. If we can show that the condition $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \emptyset$ holds for a dense set of all such smooth maps Φ , then another small homotopy will complete the job. For the density statement we will use the multijet transversality theorem of Mather [4, Proposition 3.3] (which appears in [1] as Theorem 4.13).

Recall the setup: Two smooth maps $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow Y$ have the same m -jet at $x \in X$ if $\Phi(x) = \Psi(x)$ and Φ and Ψ have the same derivatives through order m . Let $X^{(r)} \subset X^r$ be the space of configurations of r distinct points in X . The maps Φ and Ψ have the same m -multijet at $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in X^{(r)}$ if for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ they have the same m -jet at x_i . The manifold $J_m^{(r)}(X, Y)$ of multijets has a point for each r -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_r) and each equivalence class of maps as above. A smooth map $\Phi: X \rightarrow Y$ determines a smooth map $j_m^{(r)}(\Phi): X^{(r)} \rightarrow J_m^{(r)}(X, Y)$. The multijet transversality theorem asserts that, for every submanifold Z of $J_m^{(r)}(X, Y)$, the set of all Φ such that $j_m^{(r)}(\Phi)$ is transverse to Z is a countable intersection of dense open sets in the function space $\text{Map}(X, Y)$. It follows that such a set, or even the intersection of countably many such sets, is dense.

We now introduce various submanifolds Z of $J_m^{(r)}(W \times (P \sqcup Q), N)$, for various values of r and m . The point is that the condition $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \emptyset$ will hold if and only if for each of these the set $j_m^{(r)}(\Phi)$ is disjoint from Z . The codimension of Z will always be big enough so that in order for $j_m^{(r)}(\Phi)$ to be transverse to Z it must be disjoint. Therefore the theorem will guarantee that there are maps $W \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ arbitrarily close to a given map $\Phi: W \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Let k be the dimension of W . We consider the various ways in which ϕ could hit \mathcal{V} .

- (1) There might exist distinct x_1 and x_2 in P and distinct y_1 and y_2 in Q such that for some $w \in W$ we have $\Phi(w, x_1) = \Phi(w, y_1)$ and $\Phi(w, x_2) = \Phi(w, y_2)$. Then the point

$$((w, x_1), (w, x_2), (w, y_1), (w, y_2)) \in (W \times (P \sqcup Q))^{(4)}$$

maps into a certain submanifold of $J_0^{(4)}(W \times (P \sqcup Q), N)$ whose codimension is $3k + 2n$. (That is $3k$ to make four points of W equal to each other and $2n$ for two coincidences in N .) This codimension is greater than the dimension $4k + 2p + 2q$ of (the relevant open and closed part of) $(W \times (P \sqcup Q))^{(4)}$, so that transverse means disjoint.

- (2) There might exist distinct x_1 and x_2 in P and y in Q such that $\Phi(w, x_1) = \Phi(w, y) = \Phi(w, x_2)$. This leads to a submanifold of $J_0^{(3)}(W \times (P \sqcup Q), N)$

whose codimension is $2k + 2n$, greater than the dimension $3k + 2p + q$ of (part of) $(W \times (P \sqcup Q))^{(3)}$.

- (3) There might exist x in P and distinct y_1 and y_2 in Q such that $\Phi(w, x) = \Phi(w, y_1) = \Phi(w, y_2)$. The relevant manifold has codimension $2k + 2n$ in $J_0^{(3)}(W \times (P \sqcup Q), N)$, greater than $3k + p + 2q$.
- (4) There might exist $x \in P$ and $y \in Q$ such that $\Phi(w, x) = z = \Phi(w, y)$ and such that the linear map $T_x P \oplus T_y Q \rightarrow T_z N$ given by differentiation of $\phi(w)$ at x and y has rank less than $p + q$. For each fixed rank $r < p + q$ this leads to a submanifold of $J_1^{(2)}(W \times (P \sqcup Q), N)$ whose codimension $k + (n - r)(p + q - r)$ is greater than $2k + p + q$.

This completes the proof that the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})$ is $(2n - 2p - 2q - 1)$ -connected.

To prove that the pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}} - \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ is $(n - p - q - 1)$ -connected, essentially the same kind of standard dimension-counting will succeed, but a simple reference as before to the multijet transversality theorem will not suffice because $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is not simply the space of maps from one manifold to another.

First observe that both the projection $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow P \times Q \times N$ and its restriction $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} - \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow P \times Q \times N$ are fibrations. It therefore suffices if, for a point $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in P \times Q \times N$, the pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 - \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0)$ of fibers is $(n - p - q - 1)$ -connected. Here $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$ is the set of all ϕ such that $\phi(x_0) = z_0 = \phi(y_0)$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$ is the set of all ϕ such that in addition at least one of the following is true:

- (1) $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$ for some $x \in P - x_0$ and some $y \in Q - y_0$.
- (2) $\phi(x) = z_0$ for some $x \in P - x_0$.
- (3) $\phi(y) = z_0$ for some $y \in Q - y_0$.
- (4) The linear map $T_{x_0} P \oplus T_{y_0} Q \rightarrow T_{z_0} N$ has rank less than $p + q$.

To deal first with (4), note that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$ is fibered over the space \mathcal{L} of all linear maps $T_{x_0} P \oplus T_{y_0} Q \rightarrow T_{z_0} N$. Let $\mathcal{L}^{\max} \subset \mathcal{L}$ be the open set of maps of rank $p + q$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0^{\max} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$ be its preimage. The pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0^{\max})$ is $(n - p - q)$ -connected (one better than needed), because the pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^{\max})$ is $(n - p - q)$ -connected, because the closed set $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^{\max}$ is the union of finitely many submanifolds having codimension at least $n - p - q + 1$.

It remains to show that the pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0^{\max}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 - \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0)$ is $(n - p - q - 1)$ -connected. Both $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0^{\max}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 - \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0$ fiber over \mathcal{L}^{\max} , so we can replace the two spaces by their fibers, say $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_L$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_L - \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_L$, over a given $L \in \mathcal{L}$.

Now given a map $\phi: W \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_L$, we want to perturb it slightly so as to eliminate behaviors (1), (2) and (3). None of these can occur for x near x_0 or y near y_0 anyway, given the choice of L , so we look for perturbations that are fixed near x_0 and y_0 . In other words, we look for a small compactly supported change in the map $\Phi: W \times ((P - x_0) \sqcup (Q - y_0)) \rightarrow N$. This goes as before: case (1) leads to a submanifold of $J_0^{(2)}(W \times ((P - x_0) \sqcup (Q - y_0)), N)$ with codimension $k + n$, greater than $2k + p + q$; case (2) leads to a submanifold of $J_0^{(1)}(W \times ((P - x_0) \sqcup (Q - y_0)), N)$ with codimension n , greater than $k + p$; and case (3) leads to a submanifold of $J_0^{(1)}(W \times ((P - x_0) \sqcup (Q - y_0)), N)$ with codimension n , greater than $k + q$.

4 Normal bundles and homotopy cofibers

Suppose that X is a smooth manifold, and that the closed subset $Y \subset X$ is a smooth submanifold with normal bundle ν .

Of course, the Thom space Y^ν is equivalent to the homotopy cofiber of the inclusion map $X - Y \rightarrow X$. This follows from the fact that there is a homotopy pushout square

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S(Y; \nu) & \longrightarrow & D(Y; \nu) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X - Y & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

The homotopy fibers over X of the four spaces above form another homotopy pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hofiber}(S(Y; \nu) \rightarrow X) & \longrightarrow & \text{hofiber}(D(Y; \nu) \rightarrow X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hofiber}(X - Y \rightarrow X) & \longrightarrow & \text{hofiber}(X \rightarrow X) \simeq * . \end{array}$$

Comparing homotopy cofibers of the rows in this square, we obtain an equivalence

$$\text{hofiber}(Y \rightarrow X)^\nu \rightarrow \Sigma \text{hofiber}(X - Y \rightarrow X).$$

Here we have written ν for the pullback of ν to $\text{hofiber}(Y \rightarrow X)$.

We need statements like those above in which the manifolds X and Y are replaced by the function spaces $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$ and the role of the normal bundle is played by the vector bundle $TN/(TP \oplus TQ)$ on $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$. The only little difficulty is that the square (2) depended on having a tubular neighborhood. We will write down a substitute for (2) that avoids this dependence.

Let $P(Y, X)$ be the space of all smooth paths $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(Y) = 0$ and $\gamma'(0)$ is not tangent to Y . We have the homotopy-commutative square

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X - Y & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

in which the top and left maps are evaluation at 0 and at 1 respectively.

There are equivalences

$$(4) \quad \text{hocofiber}(P(Y, X) \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \text{hocofiber}(S(Y; \nu) \rightarrow Y) = Y^\nu,$$

$$(5) \quad \text{hocofiber}(P(Y, X) \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \text{hocofiber}(X - Y \rightarrow X).$$

The logic is as follows:

For (4) we use the map $P(Y, X) \rightarrow S(Y; \nu)$ that sends γ to the projection of $\gamma'(0)$ in the direction perpendicular to Y , normalized to have unit length. It is a map over Y between two spaces fibered over Y , and it is an equivalence because for each point in Y the map of fibers is an equivalence.

For (5) we need to see that the homotopy-commutative square (3) is a homotopy pushout, in the sense that the associated map from the homotopy colimit of

$$X - Y \leftarrow P(Y, X) \rightarrow Y$$

to X is an equivalence. After choosing a tubular neighborhood of Y in X , one can map $S(Y; \nu)$ to $P(Y, X)$ by using radial paths perpendicular to Y . This map is an equivalence because it is a one-sided inverse to an equivalence. It follows that in showing that the square is a homotopy pushout we may consider instead the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S(Y; \nu) & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X - Y & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

But this comes down to considering the same strictly commutative square (2) that we began with.

Note that although a tubular neighborhood was used in proving (5) to be an equivalence, the definitions of (4) and (5) did not use it. This is the point of introducing $P(Y, X)$.

Now for the function spaces: Again we will obtain equivalences

$$\text{hocofiber}(P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V})^\nu$$

(where ν now means the bundle $TN/(TP \oplus TQ)$ on $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$) and

$$\text{hocofiber}(P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{hocofiber}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}).$$

We define the space $P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})$. A point in it is a map $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ meeting the following conditions. Write $\gamma(t) = (f_t, g_t)$. The conditions are:

- (1) γ is smooth in the sense that the adjoint maps $(t, x) \mapsto f_t(x)$ and $(t, x) \mapsto g_t(x)$ from $[0, 1] \times P$ and $[0, 1] \times Q$ to N are smooth.
- (2) For every $t > 0$, γ_t is in $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B}$, that is, $f_t(P) \cap g_t(Q) = \emptyset$.
- (3) $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$, that is, (a) there is exactly one point $(x_0, z_0, y_0) \in P \times N \times Q$ such that $f_0(x) = z_0 = g_0(y)$ and (b) $df_0 \oplus dg_0: T_{x_0}P \oplus T_{y_0}Q \rightarrow T_{z_0}N$ is injective.
- (4) $\gamma'(0)$ is not tangent to $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$, that is, the vector $f'_0(x_0) - g'_0(y_0) \in T_{z_0}(N)$ does not belong to the subspace $(D_{x_0}f_0)(T_{x_0}P) \oplus (D_{y_0}g_0)(T_{y_0}Q)$. Here f' and g' are derivatives with respect to t .

Consider the homotopy-commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}, \end{array}$$

where the upper map and the left map take $\gamma = (f, g)$ to (f_0, g_0) and (f_1, g_1) respectively. We argue much as in the finite-dimensional case.

First, there is an equivalence $P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}; \nu)$ that respects the projection to $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$, namely the map that takes $\gamma = (f, g)$ to the unit vector in $T_{z_0}N/(T_{x_0}P \oplus T_{y_0}Q)$ determined by the element $f'_0(x_0) - g'_0(y_0)$ of $T_{x_0}P \oplus T_{y_0}Q$. It is an equivalence because it is a map between spaces fibered over $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$ and it induces equivalences fiber by fiber.

Second, the square is a homotopy pushout. For this step, instead of trying to come up with a tubular neighborhood we reduce to the finite-dimensional case.

To show that the map from the homotopy colimit of

$$\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \leftarrow P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$$

to $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}$ is surjective on homotopy groups, let $X = S^k$ and take any map $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}$, with adjoint $\Phi = (F, G)$, $F: X \times P \rightarrow N$, $G: X \times Q \rightarrow N$. Deforming by a homotopy that stays within $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}$, make Φ “transverse to $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$ ” in the sense that F and G together give a map $X \times P \times Q \rightarrow N \times N$ which is transverse to the diagonal. The preimage of the diagonal in $X \times P \times Q$ is a submanifold, and it is embedded in X by the projection. Call its image Y . The normal bundle of Y in X is the pullback of $TN/(TP \oplus TQ)$ by ϕ .

Now inverting the equivalence

$$\mathrm{hocolim}(X - Y \leftarrow P(Y, X) \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow X$$

and composing with the obvious map

$$\mathrm{hocolim}(X - Y \leftarrow P(Y, X) \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \leftarrow P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})$$

we get

$$X \rightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{B} \leftarrow P(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}),$$

a lifting (up to homotopy) of ϕ . Essentially the same argument serves to lift a homotopy and prove the injectivity.

Taking homotopy fibers over $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V}$ all around, we obtain the needed equivalence F .

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Harvard University for their hospitality.

References

- [1] **M Golubitsky, V Guillemin**, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Graduate Texts in Math. 14, Springer, New York (1973) MR0341518
- [2] **T G Goodwillie, M Weiss**, *Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory. II*, *Geom. Topol.* 3 (1999) 103–118 MR1694808
- [3] **J R Klein, E B Williams**, *Homotopical intersection theory. I*, *Geom. Topol.* 11 (2007) 939–977 MR2326939
- [4] **J N Mather**, *Stability of C^∞ mappings. V. Transversality*, *Advances in Math.* 4 (1970) 301–336 (1970) MR0275461
- [5] **B A Munson**, *A manifold calculus approach to link maps and the linking number*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 8 (2008) 2323–2353 MR2465743
- [6] **M Weiss**, *Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory. I*, *Geom. Topol.* 3 (1999) 67–101 MR1694812

*Department of Mathematics, Brown University
Box 1917, Providence RI 02912-0001*

*Department of Mathematics, Wellesley College
106 Central Street, Wellesley MA 02481*

tong@math.brown.edu, bmunson@wellesley.edu

<http://palmer.wellesley.edu/~munson>

Received: 26 October 2009 Revised: 20 February 2010

