Lipschitz minimality of the multiplication maps of unit complex, quaternion and octonion numbers
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We prove that the multiplication maps $S^n \times S^n \to S^n$ ($n = 1, 3, 7$) for unit complex, quaternion and octonion numbers are, up to isometries of domain and range, the unique Lipschitz constant minimizers in their homotopy classes. Other geometrically natural maps, such as projections of Hopf fibrations, have already been shown to be, up to isometries, the unique Lipschitz constant minimizers in their homotopy classes, and it is suspected that this may hold true for all Riemannian submersions of compact homogeneous spaces. Using a counterexample, we also show that being a Riemannian submersion alone without further assumptions (like homogeneity) does not guarantee the map to be the unique Lipschitz constant minimizer in its homotopy class up to isometries, even when the receiving space is just a circle.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background

A map $f : M \to N$ between two metric spaces $(M, d_M)$ and $(N, d_N)$ is a Lipschitz map if there is $C > 0$ such that $d_N(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq C \cdot d_M(x_1, x_2)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in M$. The smallest such constant $C$ is called the Lipschitz constant of $f$ and denoted by $L_f(M)$. If a Lipschitz map has the smallest Lipschitz constant in its homotopy class, then it is called a Lipschitz constant minimizer. Note that there always exists a Lipschitz constant minimizer (by Arzelà–Ascoli) in the homotopy class of any Lipschitz map from $M$ to $N$ when $M$ and $N$ are compact.

Sometimes it is possible to recognize certain special maps in terms of Lipschitz constant and homotopy class. Previously, there have been results in this direction using other invariants like volume or energy, but even some of the simplest maps can not be characterized by just using these two invariants. For example, the inclusion map $\Delta S^3 \to S^3 \times S^3$ is neither volume minimizing (since $S^3 \vee S^3$ has smaller volume) nor energy minimizing (see White [6]) in its homotopy class. However, it is shown by DeTurck, Gluck and Storm [1] that this map is the Lipschitz constant minimizer in its
homotopy class, unique up to isometries on the domain and range. See [1] for more examples of this type including Hopf fibrations.

1.2 Main result

The authors of [1] suspected that many more maps, such as Riemannian submersions of compact homogeneous spaces, are Lipschitz constant minimizers in their homotopy classes, unique up to isometries on the domain and range. (It is necessary to assume certain homogeneity, otherwise there will be counterexamples as shown in Section 3.) Then it is natural to consider group multiplication maps on compact groups which provide an easy class of Riemannian submersions of compact homogeneous spaces. The simplest case is $S^1 \times S^1 \to S^1$, which is more or less trivial. The first interesting compact group to look at is $S^3$ and we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** The Lipschitz constant of any map $f: S^n \times S^n \to S^n \ (n = 1, 3, 7)$ homotopic to the multiplication map $m$ of unit complex, quaternion or octonion numbers is greater than or equal to $\sqrt{2}$, with equality if and only if $f$ is isometric to $m$.

We say that $f_1: M \to N$ and $f_2: M \to N$ are isometric, if there are isometries $g_M: M \to M$ and $g_N: N \to N$ such that $g_N \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ g_M$.

**Remark** The multiplication map of $S^1$ is an energy minimizer in its homotopy class, but the multiplication map of $S^3$ is not. In fact, by a result in [6], the energy of maps homotopic to the identity map on $S^3$ can be arbitrarily small. If we construct a map $f: S^3 \to S^3$ as in [6] which is homotopic to the identity map and which is of very small energy, then a direct computation will show that the composition of $f$ and the multiplication map is also of very small energy.
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2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, \( m: \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{S}^n \) (\( n = 1, 3, 7 \)) will denote the multiplication map of unit complex, quaternion or octonion numbers and \( f \) will be a map homotopic to \( m \). On any Riemannian manifold, \( d \) will denote the distance function generated by the underlying Riemannian metric.

2.1 Lipschitz minimality

The Lipschitz minimality follows from the following theorem from Gromov [3], which was first proved by Olivier in [5] when \( d \) is even. There is also a proof of this theorem in Gromov [4].

**Proposition 2.1** [3; 5] Suppose \( g: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{S}^n \) is of degree \( d \). When \( |d| \geq 2 \), then 
\[
L_g \geq 2.
\]

We shall use this result with \( n = 1, 3, 7 \) and \( d = 2 \) to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2** The Lipschitz constant of \( f \) is at least \( \sqrt{2} \), ie, 
\[
L_f(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n) \geq \sqrt{2}.
\]

**Proof** Consider the restriction of \( f \) on \( \Delta \mathbb{S}^n = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{S}^n\} \), the diagonal sphere. Since \( m|_{\Delta \mathbb{S}^n} \) is of degree 2 \( (m(x, x) = x^2) \) and since \( f \) is homotopic to \( m \), \( f|_{\Delta \mathbb{S}^n} \) is a degree 2 map from \( \Delta \mathbb{S}^n \) (isometric to \( \sqrt{2} \mathbb{S}^n \)) to \( \mathbb{S}^n \). By Proposition 2.1, 
\[
L_f(\Delta \mathbb{S}^n) \geq \sqrt{2},
\]
and hence 
\[
L_f(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n) \geq \sqrt{2}.
\]

**Proposition 2.3** \( L_m(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n) = \sqrt{2} \), that is, the Lipschitz constant of \( m \) is \( \sqrt{2} \).

**Proof** For any \( (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n \), we have an orthogonal decomposition 
\[
T_{(x, y)}(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n) = T_x(\mathbb{S}^n \times \{y\}) \oplus T_y(\{x\} \times \mathbb{S}^n).
\]

Since \( m|_{\mathbb{S}^n \times \{y\}} \) is an isometry, then \( dm|_{T_x(\mathbb{S}^n \times \{y\})} \) is also an isometry. Similarly, \( dm|_{T_y(\{x\} \times \mathbb{S}^n)} \) is an isometry.

For any \( X \in T_x(\mathbb{S}^n \times \{y\}) \) and \( Y \in T_y(\{x\} \times \mathbb{S}^n) \),
\[
|dm(X + Y)| \leq |dm(X)| + |dm(Y)| = |X| + |Y| \\
\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{|X|^2 + |Y|^2} = \sqrt{2}|X + Y|.
\]

Hence \( L_m(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n) \leq \sqrt{2} \). By Proposition 2.2, we also have \( L_m(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n) \geq \sqrt{2} \), and hence 
\[
L_m(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n) = \sqrt{2}.
\]
2.2 Uniqueness: Plan of the proof

Now \( m \) is a Lipschitz constant minimizer in its homotopy class, and it remains to show the uniqueness.

In this section, suppose that \( f \) is also of Lipschitz constant \( \sqrt{2} \), then we need to prove that \( f \) and \( m \) are isometric.

Our plan is as follows.

1. Show that the fibers of \( f \) are parallel spheres isometric to \( \sqrt{2} S^n \).
2. Use this result to prove that \( f \) is isometric to \( m \).

2.3 Basic tools

The main tool in the second step is the theory on isoclinic planes and Clifford algebra, and the main tool in the first step will be the following inequalities.

**Proposition 2.4** Suppose \( f : S^n \times S^n \to S^n \) is homotopic to \( m \) and of Lipschitz constant \( \sqrt{2} \) and suppose \( p \in S^n \), then for any \( (x_1, y_1) \) and \( (x_2, y_2) \in f^{-1}(p) \), we have

1. \[(2\pi - d(x_1, x_2))^2 + (d(y_1, y_2))^2 \geq 2\pi^2,\]
2. \[(d(x_1, x_2))^2 + (2\pi - d(y_1, y_2))^2 \geq 2\pi^2.\]

**Remark** We can see Proposition 2.4 in Figure 1. The shaded region in Figure 1 is the set of points \((d(x_1, x_2), d(y_1, y_2))\) satisfying (1), (2), \(0 \leq d(x_1, x_2) \leq \pi\) and \(0 \leq d(y_1, y_2) \leq \pi\). In other words, \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\) are in a same fiber of \( f \) only if \((d(x_1, x_2), d(y_1, y_2))\) is in the shaded region.

If \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) are antipodal points, that is, if \(d(x_1, x_2) = \pi\), then we can see instantly from the graph that \(y_1\) and \(y_2\) are also antipodal points, that is, \(d(y_1, y_2) = \pi\). Moreover, we have \((\pi - d(x_1, x_2))/(\pi - d(y_1, y_2))\) is close to 1 when \(d(x_1, x_2)\) is close to \(\pi\), which will allow us to prove that \(d(x_1, x_2) = d(y_1, y_2)\) with a little bit more effort.

The following lemma shows that the inverse images of a pair of antipodal points cannot be too close, which can be used to prove Proposition 2.4.
Figure 1: $(x_1, y_1)$ and $(x_2, y_2)$ are in the same fiber of $f$ only if $(d(x_1, x_2), d(y_1, y_2))$ is in the shaded region.

**Lemma 2.5** Suppose $p, p' \in S^n$ are antipodal points and suppose the Lipschitz constant of $f: S^n \times S^n \to S^n$ is $\sqrt{2}$, then

\[
N\left( f^{-1}(p), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \cap f^{-1}(p') = \emptyset,
\]

where

\[
N\left( f^{-1}(p), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \left\{ (x, y) \in S^n \times S^n : d((x, y), f^{-1}(p)) < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right\}
\]
is the $(\pi/\sqrt{2})$–neighborhood of $f^{-1}(p)$.

**Proof** For any $(x_1, y_1) \in f^{-1}(p)$ and $(x'_1, y'_1) \in f^{-1}(p')$, since

\[
d(f(x_1, y_1), f(x'_1, y'_1)) \leq \sqrt{2}d((x_1, y_1), (x'_1, y'_1)),
\]

\[
d((x_1, y_1), (x'_1, y'_1)) \geq \frac{d(f(x_1, y_1), f(x'_1, y'_1))}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}},
\]

thus completing the proof. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Proposition 2.4** Lemma 2.5 implies the complement of $N(f^{-1}(p), (\pi/\sqrt{2}))$ contains $f^{-1}(p')$, which intersects cycles in the homology class of $\{x\} \times S^n$. If $(x_1, y_1)$ and $(x_2, y_2)$ are in $f^{-1}(p)$ but they do not satisfy (1) or (2), then we can construct a cycle.
(1) within the same homology class as \( \{x\} \times S^n \) (and hence intersecting \( f^{-1}(p') \)),

(2) lying in \( N(f^{-1}(p), (\pi/\sqrt{2})) \).

This will contradict Lemma 2.5.

The cycle in \( S^n \times S^n \) which we need to construct will be a topological sphere which contains \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\). Its projection to the first \( S^n \) will be a shortest geodesic from \( x_1 \) to \( x_2 \), and its projection to the second \( S^n \) will be the full \( S^n \).

The first step in the construction: Break \( S^n \) down to a family of curves from \( y_1 \) to \( y_2 \)  The curves will be parametrized by the unit tangent vectors \( U_{y_1} S^n \). For each unit tangent vector \( X \in U_{y_1} S^n \), there is a unique 2–plane spanned by \( y_1, y_2, \) and \( X \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). The intersection of this 2–plane and \( S^n \) will be a circle containing \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \), thus two simple curves \( \alpha_X \) and \( \alpha_{-X} \) from \( y_1 \) to \( y_2 \), where the direction of \( \alpha_X \) is the same as \( X \), and where the direction of \( \alpha_{-X} \) is opposite to \( X \); see Figure 2. We can further specify that \( \alpha_X : [0, 1] \to S^n \) is of constant speed.

Figure 2: \( \alpha_X : [0, 1] \to S^3 \) is an arc from \( y_1 \) to \( y_2 \) which is tangent to \( X \).

The second step in the construction  Let \( \beta : [0, 1] \to S^n \) be a shortest geodesic from \( x_1 \) to \( x_2 \), and let \( \gamma_X(t) = (\beta(t), \alpha_X(t)) \). Then the cycle we need is

\[
S = \{ \gamma_X(t) : X \in U_{y_1} S^n, t \in [0, 1] \}.
\]

Now we can verify \( S \) has the desired homology, which will imply \( f^{-1}(p') \cap S \neq \emptyset \). When \( x_1 = x_2 \) and when \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are antipodal points, \( S \) is exactly \( \{x_1\} \times S^n \). If we move \( x_2 \) or \( y_2 \) continuously, \( S \) is also deformed continuously. Hence for any \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\), \( S \) and \( \{x_1\} \times S^n \) are in the same homology class. Since \( f \) and \( m \) are
homotopic, \( f^{-1}(p') \) and \( m^{-1}(p') \) have the same homology class. As \( m^{-1}(p') \) and \( \{x_1\} \times S^n \) have exactly one intersection point \( (x_1, x_1^{-1} p') \),

\[
f^{-1}(p') \cap S \neq \emptyset.
\]

The last step is to estimate \( \ell(\gamma_X) \), the length of \( \gamma_X \). If the inequality (2) is violated, then the estimate will imply that \( S \subset N(f^{-1}(p), (\pi/\sqrt{2})) \). Since we have \( \ell(\gamma_X) = \sqrt{(\ell(\alpha_X))^2 + (\ell(\beta))^2} \), we need to first estimate \( \ell(\alpha_X) \) and \( \ell(\beta) \). By our construction, for any \( X \in U \), \( \ell(\alpha_X) \leq 2\pi - d(y_1, y_2) \) and \( \ell(\beta) = d(x_1, x_2) \). Hence

\[
\ell(\gamma_X) \leq \sqrt{(2\pi - d(y_1, y_2))^2 + (d(x_1, x_2))^2}.
\]

If (2) is violated, then (5) implies \( \ell(\gamma_X) < \sqrt{2\pi} \), and hence

\[
\gamma_X \subset N \left( \{ (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \}, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \subset N \left( f^{-1}(p), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right).
\]

As (6) is true for any \( \gamma_X \), we have

\[
S \subset N \left( f^{-1}(p), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right).
\]

Now (4) and (7) imply

\[
N \left( f^{-1}(p), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \cap f^{-1}(p') \neq \emptyset,
\]

which contradicts (3). Therefore (2) holds. The proof for (1) is similar. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.4.

\[\square\]

2.4 Fibers of \( f \) are spheres

Here are some observations of Figure 1, and we shall solidify these ideas to prove that the fibers of \( f \) are the graphs of isometries of \( S^n \). As can be seen from Figure 1, \( y_2 \) is the antipodal point of \( y_1 \) if \( x_2 \) is the antipodal point of \( x_1 \). In particular, there is only one \( y_2 \in S^n \) such that \( (x_2, y_2) \in f^{-1}(p) \). Moreover, \( \pi - d(x_1, x_2) \) and \( \pi - d(y_1, y_2) \) are roughly equal when \( d(x_1, x_2) \) is close to \( \pi \). These observations leads to the proof of the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6** We have that \( f^{-1}(p) \) is the graph of an isometry \( h_{p} : S^n \to S^n \), where \( h_{p}(x) = y \), if and only if \( (x, y) \in f^{-1}(p) \).

**Proof** Note that \( h_{p} \) is well defined if

1. for any \( x \in S^n \) there is \( y \in S^n \) such that \( f(x, y) = p \),
2. \( f(x, y) = f(x, y'') = p \) implies \( y = y'' \).

\[\square\]
For any \( x_1 \in S^n \), since \( m|_{\{x_1\} \times S^n} \) is an isometry, \( f|_{\{x_1\} \times S^n} \) is also surjective. Hence there is a \( y_1 \in S^n \) such that \( f(x_1, y_1) = p \).

Now let \( x'_1 \in S^n \) be the antipodal point of \( x_1 \), then there is a \( y'_1 \in S^n \) such that \((x'_1, y'_1) \in f^{-1}(p)\). Since \( d(x_1, x'_1) = \pi \), (1) implies \( d(y_1, y'_1) \geq \pi \), that is, \( y'_1 \) is the antipodal point of \( y_1 \).

Suppose that \((x_1, y''_1) \in f^{-1}(p)\). Since we also have \((x'_1, y'_1) \in f^{-1}(p)\), then that \( d(x_1, x'_1) = \pi \) and (1) imply \( d(y''_1, y'_1) \geq \pi \), that is, \( y''_1 \) is the antipodal point of \( y'_1 \) and hence \( y''_1 = y_1 \). Therefore \( h_p \) is well defined.

Similarly, we can define \( k_p : S^n \to S^n \) as \( k_p(y_1) = x_1 \) if and only if we have that \((x_1, y_1) \in f^{-1}(p)\). Then \( h_p \circ k_p \) and \( k_p \circ h_p \) are identity maps, and hence \( h_p \) is a bijection.

Next, we shall prove that \( L_{h_p}(S^n) \leq 1 \). Since \((S^n, d)\) is a length space, it suffices to show that the local Lipschitz constant of \( h_p \) is less than or equal to 1 [4], ie,

\[
\limsup_{x_2 \to x_1} \frac{d(h(x_1), h(x_2))}{d(x_1, x_2)} \leq 1. 
\]

For any \((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in f^{-1}(p)\), (1) implies

\[
(2\pi - d(x'_1, x_2))^2 + (d(y'_1, y_2))^2 \geq 2\pi^2,
\]

where \( x'_1 \) and \( x'_2 \) are the antipodal points of \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), respectively. Since we have \( d(x'_1, x_2) = \pi - d(x_1, x_2) \) and since \( d(y'_1, y_2) = \pi - d(y_1, y_2) \),

\[
(\pi + d(x_1, x_2))^2 + (\pi - d(y_1, y_2))^2 \geq 2\pi^2.
\]

Hence

\[
d(y_1, y_2) \leq \pi - \sqrt{2\pi^2 - (\pi + d(x_1, x_2))^2} = \pi - \sqrt{\pi^2 - 2\pi d(x_1, x_2) + o(d(x_1, x_2))} = d(x_1, x_2) + o(d(x_1, x_2)),
\]

which implies (9).

Similarly, we have that \( h_p^{-1} = k_p \) is also of Lipschitz constant at most 1, and hence \( h_p \) is an isometry.
2.5 Fibers of $f$ are parallel

By now, we know that the fibers of $f$ are graphs of isometries. As stated before, these fibers are also parallel.

**Proposition 2.7** Fibers of $f$ are parallel. In other words, for any $p_1, p_2 \in S^n$ and $(x_1, y_1) \in f^{-1}(p_1),$

\[(10) \quad d((x_1, y_1), f^{-1}(p_2)) = d(f^{-1}(p_1), f^{-1}(p_2)) = \frac{d(p_1, p_2)}{\sqrt{2}}.\]

**Proof** By symmetry, we will assume $p_2 = 1$ without loss of generality. (Here we view points in $S^n$ as unit quaternions.)

Moreover, $f^{-1}(1)$ is the graph of an isometry $h_1: S^n \to S^n$, by Proposition 2.6. Define an isometry $H_1: S^n \times S^n \to S^n \times S^n$ as $H_1(x, y) = (x^{-1}, h_1^{-1}(y))$, then $H_1(f^{-1}(1)) = m^{-1}(1)$. So we will assume $f^{-1}(1) = m^{-1}(1)$ without loss of generality.

When $f = m$, (10) becomes

\[(11) \quad d((x_1, y_1), m^{-1}(1)) = \frac{d(p_1, 1)}{\sqrt{2}}.\]

Since $m$ extends to the (scaled) Hopf fibrations $\tilde{m}: \sqrt{2}S^{2n+1} \to S^{n+1}$ defined as

\[(12) \quad \tilde{m}(z_1, z_2) = \left(z_1z_2, \frac{|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2}{2}\right),\]

(11) follows easily from Gluck, Warner and Ziller [2].

Next, we shall use this special case to prove this proposition. Equation (11) implies that

$$N\left(m^{-1}(1), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = m^{-1}(N(\{1\}, \pi)) = m^{-1}(S^n \setminus \{-1\}),$$

and thus

$$N\left(f^{-1}(1), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = m^{-1}(S^n \setminus \{-1\}).$$

Taking $p = 1$ in Lemma 2.5, we have $f^{-1}(-1) \cap N(f^{-1}(1), \frac{\pi}{2}) = \emptyset$, and hence

$$f^{-1}(-1) \subset S^3 \times S^3 \setminus N\left(f^{-1}(1), \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = S^3 \times S^3 \setminus m^{-1}(S^3 \setminus \{-1\}) = m^{-1}(-1).$$


Recall that $f^{-1}$ is the graph of an isometry, so it can only be $m^{-1}(-1)$. This proves (10) with $p_1 = -1$ and $p_2 = 1$, and it remains to verify that other fibers are also parallel to $f^{-1}(1)$.

Suppose any $p_1 \in S^n$ and $(x_1, y_1) \subset f^{-1}(p_1)$. Since $f$ is of Lipschitz constant $\sqrt{2}$, (13) and (14) hold.

On the other hand, since $(x_1, y_1) \in M^{-1}(x_1 y_1)$ and $f^{-1}(1) = m^{-1}(1)$, (11) implies (15) and similarly (16).

By (13), (14), (15) and (16),

$$\frac{d((-1,1), f^{-1}(1))}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{d(x_1 y_1, 1)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{d(x_1 y_1, -1)}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= d((x_1, y_1), f^{-1}(1)) + d((x_1, y_1), f^{-1}(-1)) 
\geq \frac{d(p_1, 1)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{d(p_1, -1)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{d(-1,1)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$  

Thus all the inequalities in the above equations should be equalities, so

$$d((x_1, y_1), f^{-1}(1)) = \frac{d(p_1, 1)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$  

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.7. \qed

2.6 Proof of the uniqueness

We can embed $S^n \times S^n$ into $\sqrt{2}S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by embedding each $S^n$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Proposition 2.6 implies that every fiber of $f$ lies in a $(n+1)$–plane in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, so these fibers are great $n$–spheres in $\sqrt{2}S^{2n+1}$. Also, Proposition 2.7 implies that these fibers (which are $n$–spheres) are parallel in $\sqrt{2}S^{2n+1}$. Now the following result from Wolf [7] and Wong [8] shows that $f$ and $m$ extend to isometric fibrations on $\sqrt{2}S^{2n+1}$.  
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Proposition 2.8 [7; 8] Any fibration \( f \) of \( S^n \times S^n \) \((n = 1, 3, 7)\) by parallel great \( n \)–spheres extends to a parallel fibration \( \tilde{f} \) of all of \( \sqrt{2} S^{2n+1} \) by parallel great \( n \)–spheres such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^n \times S^n & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \sqrt{2} S^{2n+1} \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow g_1 \\
S^n & \xrightarrow{e} & S^{n+1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow g_2 \\
& \xrightarrow{\tilde{m}} & S^{n+1},
\end{array}
\]

where \( e \) is a map, \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) are isometries and \( \tilde{m} \) is the Hopf fibration defined in (12).

**Proof** Notice that parallel great \( n \)–spheres in \( S^{2n+1} \) span isoclinic \((n + 1)\)–planes in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \).

[7, Theorem 7] states that any \( n \)–dimensional \((n = 1, 3, 7)\) family of isoclinic \((n + 1)\)–planes in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \) can be extend to an \((n + 1)\)–dimensional maximal family of isoclinic \((n + 1)\)–planes in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \). Also, all \((n + 1)\)–dimensional maximal families of isoclinic \((n + 1)\)–planes in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \) are isometric to each other by the same theorem. Thus there is always a map \( \tilde{f} : \sqrt{2} S^{2n+1} \to S^{n+1} \) isometric to \( \tilde{m} \) such that any fiber of \( f \) is also a fiber of \( \tilde{f} \).

Finally, define the map \( e \) as \( e(f(x)) = \tilde{f}(x) \). To check that the map is well-defined, let \( f(x) = f(y) \), then \( x \) and \( y \) are in a same fiber of \( \tilde{f} \) and thus in a same fiber of \( \tilde{f} \), which implies that \( \tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(y) \). So \( e \) is well-defined.

Now we can finish the proof using an argument due to Herman Gluck.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** We need to prove that any fibration \( f \) of \( S^n \times S^n \) by parallel great \( n \)–spheres is isometric to \( m \).

Let \( i : S^n \to S^{n+1} \) be the inclusion map defined as \( i(x) = (x, 0) \). Then \( i \circ m \) extends to the Hopf fibration \( \tilde{m} : \sqrt{2} S^{2n+1} \to S^{n+1} \).

Extend \( f \) to \( \tilde{f} \) and obtain \( e, g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) as in Proposition 2.8. For any \( x, y \in S^n \),

\[
d(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d(f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y)) = d(\tilde{f}(f^{-1}(x)), \tilde{f}(f^{-1}(y))) = d(e(x), e(y)),
\]

so \( e \) is actually an isometric embedding.

Pick an isometry \( g_3 : S^{n+1} \to S^{n+1} \) homotopic to the identity map such that \( g_3 \circ g_2 \circ e(S^n) = i(S^n) \).
By the homotopy lifting property of the fibration \( \tilde{m} \), we have that there is an isometry \( g_4: \sqrt{2}S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \sqrt{2}S^{2n+1} \) such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqrt{2}S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_4} & \sqrt{2}S^{2n+1} \\
\tilde{m} \downarrow & & \tilde{m} \downarrow \\
S^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_3} & S^{n+1},
\end{array}
\]

(One can lift any curve in \( S^n \) to a horizontal curve in \( \sqrt{2}S^{2n+1} \), thus \( g_3 \), being homotopic to the identity map, can be lifted to \( g_4 \).)

Since

\[
g_4 \circ g_1(S^n \times S^n) = \tilde{m}^{-1}(g_3 \circ g_2 \circ e(S^n)) = \tilde{m}^{-1}(i(S^n)) = S^n \times S^n,
\]

we can define an isometry \( g_5: S^n \times S^n \rightarrow S^n \times S^n \) as \( g_5 = g_4 \circ g_1 \), and an isometry \( g_6: S^n \rightarrow S^n \) as \( g_6 = g_3 \circ g_2 \circ e \). Then \( m \circ g_5 = g_6 \circ f \), that is, \( m \) is isometric to \( f \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \( \square \)

### 3 An interesting counterexample

The authors of [1] suspected that any Riemannian submersion between compact homogeneous spaces is a Lipschitz constant minimizer in its homotopy class, unique up to isometries. The following example shows that this is not necessarily true if we drop the assumption on homogeneity, even in the case where the receiving space is a circle.

Let \( r(x) = 2 - \cos(4\pi x) \). Define a Riemannian metric \( g \) on the two-torus \( T = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2 \) by \( g_{11} = 1 \), \( g_{12} = g_{21} = 0 \) and \( g_{22}(x, y) = (r(x))^2 \). In other words, this torus is the quotient of a surface of revolution. For any \( a \in [0, 1) \), define a family of closed curves \( \gamma_a(t) = (x(t), y(t)) \) in the two-torus by

\[
\begin{cases}
\gamma_a(0) = (0, a), \\
\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{r(x(t))}, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{1}{r(x(t))} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{[r(x(t))]^2}} & \text{if } 0 \leq x(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{1}{r(x(t))} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{[r(x(t))]^2}} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x(t) \leq 1,
\end{cases}
\]

and define \( f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \) as \( f(\gamma_a(t)) = a \), where \( \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \) is a circle of length 1 with the standard metric; see Figure 3. We shall prove that \( f \) is a Riemannian submersion in the next paragraph. However, the map \( g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \) defined as \( g(x, y) = y \) is homotopic
to $f$ and is also of Lipschitz constant 1, but it is not a Riemannian submersion; in other words, $f$ is not the unique Lipschitz constant minimizer even up to isometries.

![Figure 3: The torus in the example is depicted here, with left and right circle identified. The red curves are the level sets $\gamma_a$ of $f$. Each is a simple closed curve which goes around the torus the “long way.” The blue curves are their orthogonal trajectories, and thus are the integral curves of $\nabla f$. Two of them are simple closed curves which go around the torus the “short way”. The remaining blue curves are geodesics winding around the torus infinitely often the short way, and limit on the two closed ones.](image)

We can verify that $f$ is a Riemannian submersion as following. Without loss of generality, assume $0 \leq x(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\gamma_a'(t) = \frac{1}{r(x(t))} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r(x(t))} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{[r(x(t))]^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{r(x(t))} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r(x(t))} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{[r(x(t))]^2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{17}$$

as $\gamma_a'(t)$ is tangent to fibers. By symmetry, $f$ maps the circle $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ uniformly, and hence

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1. \tag{18}$$

Equations (17) and (18) imply that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{(r(x))^2}}. \tag{19}$$
Now (18) and (19) imply that

\[
(20) \quad \left( -\frac{1}{(r(x))^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{(r(x))^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) f = 1,
\]

where \(-\frac{1}{1/(r(x))^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{(r(x))^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\) is a unit normal vector of a fiber. Therefore \(f\) is a Riemannian submersion.
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