

McCool groups of toral relatively hyperbolic groups

VINCENT GUIARDEL
GILBERT LEVITT

The outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(G)$ of a group G acts on the set of conjugacy classes of elements of G . McCool proved that the stabilizer $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ of a finite set of conjugacy classes is finitely presented when G is free. More generally, we consider the group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ of outer automorphisms Φ of G acting trivially on a family of subgroups H_i , in the sense that Φ has representatives α_i that are equal to the identity on H_i .

When G is a toral relatively hyperbolic group, we show that these two definitions lead to the same subgroups of $\text{Out}(G)$, which we call “McCool groups” of G . We prove that such McCool groups are of type VF (some finite-index subgroup has a finite classifying space). Being of type VF also holds for the group of automorphisms of G preserving a splitting of G over abelian groups.

We show that McCool groups satisfy a uniform chain condition: there is a bound, depending only on G , for the length of a strictly decreasing sequence of McCool groups of G . Similarly, fixed subgroups of automorphisms of G satisfy a uniform chain condition.

20F28; 20F65, 20F67

1 Introduction

Mapping class groups of punctured surfaces may be viewed as subgroups of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ for some n (with F_n denoting the free group of rank n). Indeed, they consist of automorphisms of F_n fixing conjugacy classes corresponding to punctures. More generally, the group of automorphisms of F_n fixing a finite number of conjugacy classes was studied by McCool [30], who proved in particular that such groups are finitely presented. We therefore define:

Definition 1.1 Let G be a group. Let \mathcal{C} be a set of conjugacy classes $[c_i]$ of elements of G . We denote by $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ the subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ consisting of outer automorphisms fixing each $[c_i]$. If \mathcal{C} is finite, we say that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ is an *elementary McCool group* of G (or of $\text{Out}(G)$).

Work on automorphisms suggests a more general definition:

Definition 1.2 Let G be a group. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_i\}$ be an arbitrary family of subgroups of G . We say that $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and its image $\Phi \in \text{Out}(G)$ *act trivially on \mathcal{H}* if φ acts on each H_i as conjugation by some $g_i \in G$. Note that Φ acts trivially if and only if it has representatives $\varphi_i \in \text{Aut}(G)$ with φ_i equal to the identity on H_i .

We denote by $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\text{Mc}_G(\mathcal{H})$ the subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ consisting of all Φ acting trivially on \mathcal{H} .

If \mathcal{H} is a finite family of finitely generated subgroups, we say that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *McCool group* of G (or of $\text{Out}(G)$).

Elementary McCool groups correspond to McCool groups with \mathcal{H} a finite family of cyclic groups. $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ does not change if we replace the H_i by conjugate subgroups, so it is really associated to a family of conjugacy classes of subgroups.

For a topological analogy, one may think of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ as the group of automorphisms of $G = \pi_1(X)$ induced by homeomorphisms of X equal to the identity on subspaces Y_i with $\pi_1(Y_i) = H_i$.

McCool groups are relevant for automorphisms for the following reason (see Guirardel and Levitt [25]). Consider a splitting of a group \hat{G} as a graph of groups in which G is a vertex group and the H_i are the incident edge groups. Then any element of $\text{Mc}_G(\mathcal{H})$ extends “by the identity” to an automorphism of \hat{G} . Topologically, if X is a vertex space in a graph of spaces \hat{X} and edge spaces are attached to subspaces $Y_i \subset X$, then any homeomorphism of X equal to the identity on the Y_i extends to \hat{X} by the identity.

In this paper we will consider McCool groups when G is a *toral relatively hyperbolic group*: G is torsion-free and hyperbolic relative to a finite set of finitely generated abelian subgroups. This includes in particular torsion-free hyperbolic groups, limit groups and groups acting freely on \mathbb{R}^n -trees.

We will show (Corollary 1.6) that in this case any $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is an elementary McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$; in other words, it is equivalent for a subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ to be an elementary McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$, or to be a McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ with \mathcal{H} a finite family of finitely generated groups, or to be $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ with \mathcal{H} arbitrary. We will not always make the distinction in the statements given below.

It was proved by McCool [30] that (elementary) McCool groups of a free group are finitely presented. Culler and Vogtmann [9, Corollary 6.1.4] proved that they are of *type VF*: they have a finite-index subgroup with a finite classifying space (ie there exists a classifying space which is a finite complex). We proved in [25] that $\text{Out}(G)$

is of type VF if G is toral relatively hyperbolic (in particular, $\text{Out}(G)$ is virtually torsion-free). Our first main results extend this to certain naturally defined subgroups of $\text{Out}(G)$.

Theorem 1.3 *If G is a toral relatively hyperbolic group, then any McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Out}(G)$ is of type VF.*

Theorem 1.4 *If G is a toral relatively hyperbolic group and T is a simplicial tree on which G acts with abelian edge stabilizers, then the group of automorphisms $\text{Out}(T) \subset \text{Out}(G)$ leaving T invariant is of type VF.*

Our most general result in this direction (Corollary 6.3) combines these two theorems; it implies in particular that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \text{Out}(T)$ is of type VF if T is as above and \mathcal{H} is any family of subgroups each of which fixes a point in T .

Remark Some of these results may be extended to groups which are hyperbolic relative to virtually polycyclic subgroups, but with the weaker conclusion that the automorphism groups are of type F_∞ (see Guirardel and Levitt [17]). On the other hand, one can show that, if there exists a hyperbolic group which is not residually finite, then there exists a hyperbolic group with $\text{Out}(G)$ not virtually torsion-free (hence not VF).

Our second main result is the following:

Theorem 1.5 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. McCool groups of G satisfy a uniform chain condition: there exists $C = C(G)$ such that, if*

$$\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_0) \supsetneq \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_1) \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_p)$$

is a strictly decreasing chain of McCool groups in $\text{Out}(G)$, then $p \leq C$.

This is based, among other things, on the vertex finiteness we proved in [24]: if G is toral relatively hyperbolic, then all vertex groups occurring in splittings of G over abelian groups lie in finitely many isomorphism classes.

The chain condition, proved in Section 5 for McCool groups $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ with \mathcal{H} a finite family of finitely generated groups, implies:

Corollary 1.6 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. If \mathcal{H} is a (possibly infinite) family of (possibly infinitely generated) subgroups $H_i \subset G$, there exists a finite set of conjugacy classes \mathcal{C} such that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, any $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is a McCool group and any McCool group is an elementary McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$.*

The chain condition also implies that no McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Out}(G)$ is conjugate to a proper subgroup. Note, however, that McCool groups may fail to be co-Hopfian (they may be isomorphic to proper subgroups). To illustrate the variety of McCool groups, we show:

Proposition 1.7 *$\text{Out}(F_n)$ contains infinitely many non-isomorphic McCool groups if $n \geq 4$; it contains infinitely many non-conjugate McCool groups if $n \geq 3$.*

It may be shown that the bounds on n are sharp (see the appendix). We will also show in the appendix that, if G is a torsion-free, *one-ended* hyperbolic group, then $\text{Out}(G)$ only contains finitely many McCool groups up to conjugacy.

Say that $J \subset G$ is a *fixed subgroup* if there is a family of automorphisms $\alpha_i \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $J = \bigcap_i \text{Fix } \alpha_i$, with $\text{Fix } \alpha = \{g \in G \mid \alpha(g) = g\}$. The chain condition also implies:

Theorem 1.8 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. There is a constant $c = c(G)$ such that, if $J_0 \subsetneq J_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq J_p$ is a strictly ascending chain of fixed subgroups, then $p \leq c$.*

This was proved by Martino and Ventura [29] for G free, with $c(F_n) = 2n$. In [18], we will apply Theorems 1.3 and 1.8 to the study of stabilizers for the action of $\text{Out}(G)$ on spaces of \mathbb{R} -trees.

As explained above, one does not get new groups by allowing the set \mathcal{C} in Definition 1.1 to be infinite or by considering arbitrary subgroups as in Definition 1.2. The following definition provides a genuine generalization.

Definition 1.9 Let G be a group, and \mathcal{C} a finite set of conjugacy classes $[c_i]$. We write \mathcal{C}^{-1} for the set of classes $[c_i^{-1}]$. Let $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$ be the subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ consisting of automorphisms leaving $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{C}^{-1}$ globally invariant; it contains $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ as a normal subgroup of finite index. We say that $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$ is an *extended elementary McCool group* of G .

More generally, if \mathcal{H} is a finite family of subgroups, one can define finite extensions of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ by allowing the H_i to be permuted or the action on H_i to be only “almost” trivial.

Proposition 1.10 *Given a toral relatively hyperbolic group G , there exists a number C such that, if a subgroup $\widehat{M} \subset \text{Out}(G)$ contains a group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ with finite index, then the index $[\widehat{M} : \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})]$ is bounded by C .*

In particular, for \mathcal{C} finite, the index of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$ is bounded by a constant depending only on G .

It follows that extended elementary McCool groups satisfy a uniform chain condition as in Theorem 1.5 (see Corollary 6.4). We also have:

Corollary 1.11 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. Let A be any subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ and let \mathcal{C}_A be the (possibly infinite) set of conjugacy classes of G whose A -orbit is finite. The image of A in the group of permutations of \mathcal{C}_A is finite and its order is bounded by a constant depending only on G . In other words, there is a subgroup $A_0 \subset A$ of bounded finite index such that every conjugacy class in G is fixed by A_0 or has infinite orbit under A_0 .*

When G is free, one may take for A_0 the intersection of A with a fixed finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ (independent of A); see Handel and Mosher [26].

One may also consider subgroups of $\text{Aut}(G)$.

Definition 1.12 Let \mathcal{H} be a family of (conjugacy classes of) subgroups, and $H_0 < G$ another subgroup. Let $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ be the group of automorphisms acting trivially on \mathcal{H} (in the sense of Definition 1.2) and fixing the elements of H_0 .

Proposition 1.13 *If G is a non-abelian, toral relatively hyperbolic group, then the group $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0)$ is an extension*

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0) \longrightarrow \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}') \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}') \subset \text{Out}(G)$ is a McCool group and K is the centralizer of H_0 (isomorphic to G or to \mathbb{Z}^n for some $n \geq 0$).

Corollary 1.14 *Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 also hold in $\text{Aut}(G)$: groups of the form $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0)$ are of type VF and satisfy a uniform chain condition.*

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are proved in Section 3 and Theorem 1.5 is proved in Section 5. All other results are proved in Section 6.

Acknowledgements Guirardel acknowledges support from ANR-11-BS01-013, the Institut Universitaire de France and the Lebesgue Center of Mathematics. Levitt acknowledges support from ANR-10-BLAN-116-03.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, G will always denote a toral relatively hyperbolic group. Any non-trivial abelian subgroup A of G is contained in a unique maximal abelian subgroup. The maximal abelian subgroups are malnormal (G is CSA), finitely generated and there are finitely many non-cyclic ones up to conjugacy. Two subgroups of A which are conjugate in G are equal.

The center of a group H will be denoted by $Z(H)$. We write $N_K(H)$ for the normalizer of a group H in a group K , with $N(H) = N_G(H)$. Centralizers are called $Z_K(H)$.

We say that $\Phi \in \text{Out}(G)$ preserves a subgroup H , or leaves H invariant, if its representatives $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ map H to a conjugate. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ equals the identity on H , we say that it fixes H .

Definition 2.1 If \mathcal{H} is a family of subgroups, we let $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Out}(G)$ be the group of automorphisms preserving each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, and $\widehat{\text{Out}}(G; \mathcal{H})$ the group of automorphisms preserving \mathcal{H} globally (possibly permuting groups in \mathcal{H}).

We denote by

$$\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Out}(G)$$

the group of automorphisms acting trivially on groups in \mathcal{H} (as in Definition 1.2).

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}) &:= \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{K}), \\ \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) &:= \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)})$ and $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the same group. The notation $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)})$ is more flexible and will be convenient in Section 3.

We will often view a set of conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C} = \{[c_i]\}$ as a family of cyclic subgroups $\mathcal{H} = \{\langle c_i \rangle\}$ since $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H})$ is larger than $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ since c_i may be sent to a conjugate of c_i^{-1} .

For example, suppose that $H < G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the subgroup generated by the first k basis elements and $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$. Then $\text{Out}(G) = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, the group $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H})$ consists of block triangular matrices, and $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is the group of matrices fixing the first k basis vectors.

There are inclusions $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}) \subset \widehat{\text{Out}}(G; \mathcal{H})$. Note that $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H})$ and $\widehat{\text{Out}}(G; \mathcal{H})$ if \mathcal{H} is a finite family of cyclic groups.

Given a family \mathcal{H} and a subgroup J , we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{|J}$ the J -conjugacy classes of subgroups of J conjugate to a group of \mathcal{H} . We view $\mathcal{H}_{|J}$ as a family of subgroups of J , each defined up to conjugacy in J . In the next subsection we will define a closely related notion $\mathcal{H}_{\parallel J}$ when $J = G_v$ is a vertex stabilizer in a tree.

If \mathcal{C} is a set of conjugacy classes $[c_i]$, viewed as a set of cyclic subgroups, $\mathcal{C}_{|J}$ is the set of J -conjugacy classes of elements of J representing elements in \mathcal{C} .

Now suppose that subgroups of J which are conjugate in G are conjugate in J ; this holds for instance if J is malnormal (in particular if J is a free factor) and also if J is abelian. In this case we may view $\mathcal{H}_{|J}$ as a subset of \mathcal{H} ; it is finite if \mathcal{H} is.

2.1 Trees and splittings

A tree will be a simplicial tree T with an action of G without inversions. A tree T is *relative to \mathcal{H}* (resp. \mathcal{C}) if any group in \mathcal{H} (resp. any element representing a class in \mathcal{C}) fixes a point in T .

Two trees are considered to be the same if there is a G -equivariant isomorphism between them. In this paper, all trees will have abelian edge stabilizers.

Unless mentioned otherwise, we assume that the action is *minimal* (there is no proper invariant subtree). We usually assume that there is *no redundant vertex* (if $T \setminus \{x\}$ has two components, some $g \in G$ interchanges them). If a finitely generated subgroup $H \subset G$ acts on T with no global fixed point, there is a smallest H -invariant subtree, called the *minimal subtree* of H .

The tree T is *trivial* if there is a global fixed point (minimality then implies that T is a point). An element or a subgroup of G is *elliptic* if it fixes a point in T . Conjugates of elliptic subgroups are elliptic, so we also consider elliptic conjugacy classes.

An action of G on a tree T gives rise to a splitting of G , ie a decomposition of G as the fundamental group of the quotient graph of groups $\Gamma = T/G$. Conversely, T is the Bass–Serre tree of Γ . All definitions given here apply to both splittings and trees. In particular, a splitting is relative to \mathcal{H} if every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ has a conjugate contained in a vertex group.

Minimality implies that the graph Γ is finite. There is a one-to-one correspondence between vertices (resp. edges) of Γ and G -orbits of vertices (resp. edges) of T . We denote by V the set of vertices of Γ and by G_v the group carried by a vertex $v \in V$. We also view v as a vertex of T with stabilizer G_v . Similarly, we denote by e an edge of Γ or T , by G_e the corresponding group (always abelian in this paper) and by E the set of non-oriented edges of Γ .

Edge groups being abelian, hence relatively quasiconvex, every vertex group G_v is toral relatively hyperbolic (see for instance [25]).

The edge groups carried by edges of Γ incident to a given vertex v will be called the *incident edge groups* of G_v . We denote by Inc_v the family of incident edge groups (we view it as a finite family of subgroups of G_v , each well defined up to conjugacy).

If \mathcal{H} is a finite family of subgroups of G and v is a vertex stabilizer of T , we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$ the family of subgroups $H \subset G_v$ which are conjugate to a group of \mathcal{H} and fix no other point in T . Two such groups are conjugate in G_v if they are conjugate in G (see [25, Lemma 2.2], where the notation $\mathcal{H}_{|G_v}$ is used instead), so we may also view $\mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$ as a subset of \mathcal{H} (it contains some of the groups of \mathcal{H} having a conjugate in G_v), or as a finite family of subgroups of G_v , each well-defined up to conjugacy ($\mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$ may be smaller than $\mathcal{H}_{|G_v}$ because we do not include subgroups of edge groups).

Any splitting of G_v relative to Inc_v extends to a splitting of G . If T is relative to \mathcal{H} , any splitting of G_v relative to $\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$ is relative to $\mathcal{H}_{|G_v}$ and extends to a splitting of G relative to \mathcal{H} .

If \mathcal{C} is a set of conjugacy classes, we view $\mathcal{C}_{\parallel G_v}$ as the subset of \mathcal{C} consisting of classes having a representative that fixes v and no other vertex. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_{\parallel G_v}$ is finite if \mathcal{C} is.

A tree T' is a *collapse* of T if it is obtained from T by collapsing each edge in a certain G -invariant collection to a point; conversely, we say that T *refines* T' . In terms of graphs of groups, one passes from $\Gamma = T/G$ to $\Gamma' = T'/G$ by collapsing edges; for each vertex $v' \in \Gamma'$, the vertex group $G_{v'}$ is the fundamental group of the graph of groups $\Gamma_{v'}$ occurring as the preimage of v' in Γ .

All maps between trees will be G -equivariant. Given two trees T and T' , we say that T *dominates* T' if there is a map $f: T \rightarrow T'$ or, equivalently, if every subgroup which is elliptic in T is also elliptic in T' ; in particular, T dominates any collapse T' . We sometimes say that f is a *domination map*. Minimality implies that it is onto.

Two trees belong to the same *deformation space* if they dominate each other. In other words, a deformation space \mathcal{D} is the set of all trees having a given family of subgroups as their elliptic subgroups. We say that \mathcal{D} dominates \mathcal{D}' if trees in \mathcal{D} dominate those in \mathcal{D}' .

2.2 JSJ decompositions [21; 22]

Let \mathcal{H} be a family of subgroups of G . Recall that a tree T is *relative* to \mathcal{H} if all groups of \mathcal{H} are elliptic in T .

We denote by \mathcal{H}^{+ab} the family obtained by adding to \mathcal{H} all non-cyclic abelian subgroups of G .

The group G is *freely indecomposable* relative to \mathcal{H} if it does not split over the trivial group relative to \mathcal{H} ; equivalently, G cannot be written non-trivially as $A * B$ with every group of \mathcal{H} contained in a conjugate of A or B (if \mathcal{H} is trivial, we also require $G \neq \mathbb{Z}$, as we consider \mathbb{Z} as freely decomposable). Non-cyclic abelian groups being one-ended, being freely indecomposable relative to \mathcal{H} is the same as being so relative to \mathcal{H}^{+ab} .

Let \mathcal{A} be another family of subgroups (in this paper, \mathcal{A} consists of the trivial group or is the family of all abelian subgroups). Once \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{A} are fixed, we only consider trees relative to \mathcal{H} , with edge stabilizers in \mathcal{A} . We also assume that trees are minimal.

A tree T (with edge stabilizers in \mathcal{A} , relative to \mathcal{H}) is *universally elliptic* (with respect to \mathcal{H}) if its edge stabilizers are elliptic in every tree. It is a *JSJ tree* if, moreover, it dominates every universally elliptic tree. The set of JSJ trees is called the *JSJ deformation space* (over \mathcal{A} relative to \mathcal{H}). All JSJ trees have the same vertex stabilizers, provided one restricts to stabilizers not in \mathcal{A} .

When \mathcal{A} consists of the trivial group, the JSJ deformation space is called the *Grushko deformation space* (relative to \mathcal{H}). The group G has a relative Grushko decomposition $G = G_1 * \dots * G_n * F_p$, with F_p free, every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ contained in some G_i (up to conjugacy) and G_i freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H}_{|G_i}$. Vertex stabilizers of the relative Grushko deformation space \mathcal{D} are precisely conjugates of the G_i . The deformation space is trivial (it only contains the trivial tree) if and only if G is freely indecomposable relative to \mathcal{H} . Writing $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$, note that $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{G})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H})$, because automorphisms in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H})$ leave \mathcal{D} invariant and therefore permute the G_i (up to conjugacy).

Now suppose that \mathcal{A} consists of all abelian subgroups and G is freely indecomposable relative to a family \mathcal{H} . Then [22, Theorem 11.1] the JSJ deformation space relative to \mathcal{H}^{+ab} contains a preferred tree T_{can} ; this tree is invariant under $\widehat{\text{Out}}(G; \mathcal{H})$ (the group of automorphisms preserving \mathcal{H}).

It is obtained as a *tree of cylinders*. We describe this construction in the case that will be needed here (see [23, Proposition 6.3] for details). Let T be any tree with non-trivial abelian edge stabilizers, relative to all non-cyclic abelian subgroups. Say that two edges e and e' belong to the same cylinder if their stabilizers commute. Cylinders are subtrees intersecting in at most one point.

The tree of cylinders T_c is defined as follows. It is bipartite, with vertex set $\mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_1$. Vertices in \mathcal{V}_0 are vertices of T belonging to at least two cylinders. Vertices in \mathcal{V}_1 are cylinders of T . A vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$ is joined to a vertex $Y \in \mathcal{V}_1$ if v (viewed as a vertex

of T) belongs to Y (viewed as a subtree of T). Equivalently, one obtains T_c from T by replacing each cylinder Y by the cone on its boundary (points of Y belonging to at least one other cylinder).

The tree T_c only depends on the deformation space \mathcal{D} containing T and it belongs to \mathcal{D} . Like T , it has non-trivial abelian edge stabilizers and is relative to all non-cyclic abelian subgroups. It is minimal if T is minimal, but vertices in \mathcal{V}_1 may be redundant vertices.

The stabilizer of a vertex $v_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1$ is a maximal abelian subgroup. The stabilizer of a vertex in \mathcal{V}_0 is non-abelian and is the stabilizer of a vertex of T . The stabilizer of an edge v_0v_1 with $v_i \in \mathcal{V}_i$ is an infinite abelian subgroup; it is a maximal abelian subgroup of G_{v_0} (but it is not always maximal abelian in G_{v_1}).

The $\widehat{\text{Out}}(G; \mathcal{H})$ -invariant tree T_{can} mentioned above is the tree of cylinders of JSJ trees relative to $\mathcal{H}^{+\text{ab}}$. It is a JSJ tree and the tree of cylinders of T_{can} is T_{can} itself.

Let $\Gamma_{\text{can}} = T_{\text{can}}/G$ be the quotient graph of groups and let $v \in \mathcal{V}_0/G$ be a vertex with G_v non-abelian. If G_v does not split over an abelian group relative to incident edge groups and to $\mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$, it is universally elliptic (with respect to both \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}^{+\text{ab}}$) and we say that G_v (or v) is *rigid*; otherwise, it is *flexible*.

A key fact here is that every flexible vertex v of Γ_{can} is *quadratically hanging (QH)*. The group G_v is the fundamental group of a compact (possibly non-orientable) surface Σ , and incident edge groups are boundary subgroups of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ (ie fundamental groups of boundary components of Σ); in particular, incident edge groups are cyclic. At most one incident edge group is attached to a given boundary component (groups carried by distinct incident edges are non-conjugate in G_v). If H is conjugate to a group of \mathcal{H} , then $H \cap G_v$ is contained in a boundary subgroup. Conversely, every boundary subgroup is an incident edge group or has a finite-index subgroup which is conjugate to a group of \mathcal{H} .

As Szepietowski [34] does, we denote by $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of Σ mapping each boundary component to itself in an orientation-preserving way. We view $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ as a subgroup of $\text{Out}(\pi_1(\Sigma)) = \text{Out}(G_v)$; indeed, $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma) = \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(i)}, \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}^{(i)})$.

2.3 Automorphisms of trees

There is a natural action of $\text{Out}(G)$ on the set of trees, given by precomposing the action on T with an automorphism of G . We denote by $\text{Out}(T)$ the stabilizer of a tree T . We write $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{H})$ for $\text{Out}(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H})$, and so on.

If T is a point, $\text{Out}(T) = \text{Out}(G)$. If G is abelian and T is not a point, then T is a line on which G acts by integral translations and $\text{Out}(T)$ is the group of automorphisms of G preserving the kernel of the action.

We now study $\text{Out}(T)$ in the general case, following Levitt [27].

We always assume that edge stabilizers are abelian. This implies that all vertex or edge stabilizers H have the property that the normalizer $N(H)$ acts on H by inner automorphisms; indeed, $N(H)$ is abelian if H is abelian and is equal to H if H is not abelian.

One first considers the action of $\text{Out}(T)$ on the finite graph $\Gamma = T/G$. We always denote by $\text{Out}^0(T)$ the finite-index subgroup consisting of automorphisms acting trivially.

We study it through the natural map

$$\rho = \prod_{v \in V} \rho_v: \text{Out}^0(T) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v)$$

recording the action of automorphisms on vertex groups (see [27, Section 2]); recall that V is the vertex set of Γ . Since $N(G_v)$ acts on G_v by inner automorphisms, $\rho_v(\Phi)$ is simply defined as the class of $\alpha|_{G_v}$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ is any representative of $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(T)$ leaving G_v invariant.

The image of ρ is contained in $\prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v)$ (the family of incident edge groups at a given v is preserved). It contains the subgroup $\prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)})$ because automorphisms of G_v acting trivially on incident edge groups extend “by the identity” to automorphisms of G preserving T .

The kernel of ρ is the *group of twists* \mathcal{T} , a finitely generated abelian group when no edge group is trivial (bitwists as defined in [27] belong to \mathcal{T} because the normalizer of an abelian subgroup is its centralizer). We therefore have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \text{Out}^0(T) \xrightarrow{\rho} \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v).$$

Now suppose that T is relative to families \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} (ie each H_i and K_j fixes a point in T). A trivial but important remark is that $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}^{(t)})$. As pointed out in [25, Lemma 2.10], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) &\subset \rho(\text{Out}^0(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})) \\ &\subset \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v, \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) \end{aligned}$$

(see Section 2.1 for the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$; groups of $\mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$ that are conjugate in G are necessarily conjugate in G_v).

The fact noted above that the image of $\text{Out}^0(T)$ by ρ contains $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)})$ expresses that automorphisms $\Phi_v \in \text{Out}(G_v)$ acting trivially on incident edge groups may be combined into a global $\Phi \in \text{Out}(G)$. In Section 3.2.4 we will need a more general result, where we only assume that the Φ_v have compatible actions on edge groups.

Given an edge e of Γ , there is a natural map $\rho_e: \text{Out}^0(T) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_e)$, defined in the same way as ρ_v above. If v is an endpoint of e , the inclusion of G_e into G_v induces a homomorphism $\rho_{v,e}: \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_e)$ with $\rho_e = \rho_{v,e} \circ \rho_v$ (it is well-defined because the normalizer $N_{G_v}(G_e)$ acts on G_e by inner automorphisms).

Lemma 2.2 *Consider a family of automorphisms $\Phi_v \in \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v)$ such that, if $e = vw$ is any edge of Γ , then $\rho_{v,e}(\Phi_v) = \rho_{w,e}(\Phi_w)$. There exists $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(T)$ such that $\rho_v(\Phi) = \Phi_v$ for every v .*

We leave the proof to the reader. The lemma applies to any graph of groups such that, for every vertex or edge group H , the normalizer $N(H)$ acts on H by inner automorphisms. Φ is not unique: it may be composed with any element of \mathcal{T} .

In Section 3.2.4 we will have a family of automorphisms $\Phi_e \in \text{Out}(G_e)$ and we will want $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(T)$ such that $\rho_e(\Phi) = \Phi_e$ for every e . By the lemma, it suffices to find automorphisms $\Phi_v \in \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v)$ inducing the Φ_e .

2.4 Rigid vertices

We now specialize to the case when $T = T_{\text{can}}$ is the canonical JSJ decomposition relative to $\mathcal{H}^{+\text{ab}}$ discussed in Section 2.2.

If v is a QH vertex, the image of $\text{Out}^0(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)})$ in $\text{Out}(G_v)$ contains $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma) = \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}^{(t)})$ with finite index (see [25, Proposition 4.7]).

If v is a rigid vertex, then G_v does not split over an abelian group relative to $\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$. By the Bestvina–Paulin method and Rips theory, one deduces that the image of $\text{Out}^0(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)})$ in $\text{Out}(G_v)$ is finite if \mathcal{H} is a finite family of finitely generated subgroups (see [25, Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 4.7]).

Lemma 2.3 *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be finite families of finitely generated subgroups, with each group in \mathcal{K} abelian. Assume that G is one-ended relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ and let T_{can} be the canonical JSJ tree relative to $(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K})^{+\text{ab}}$.*

The image of

$$\text{Out}^0(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$$

by $\rho_v: \text{Out}^0(T) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_v)$ is finite if v is a rigid vertex of T_{can} . Its image by $\rho_e: \text{Out}^0(T) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_e)$ is finite if e is any edge.

Proof Define $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by removing all non-cyclic groups from \mathcal{K} . Being freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ is the same as being freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and a tree is relative to $(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K})^{+ab}$ if and only if it is relative to $(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}})^{+ab}$. We may therefore view T_{can} as the canonical JSJ tree relative to $(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}})^{+ab}$.

Let v be a rigid vertex. The group $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ is contained in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}})$, which contains $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(t)})$ with finite index. As explained above, the image of $\text{Out}^0(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(t)})$ in $\text{Out}(G_v)$ is finite [25, Proposition 4.7]. The first assertion of the lemma follows.

Since T_{can} is bipartite, every edge e is incident to a vertex v which is QH or rigid. In the first case G_e is cyclic, so there is nothing to prove. In the second case the map $\rho_e: \text{Out}^0(T) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_e)$ factors through $\text{Out}(G_v)$ and the second assertion follows from the first. □

3 Finite classifying space

In this section, we prove that McCool groups of a toral relatively hyperbolic group have type VF (Theorem 1.3) and that so does the stabilizer of a splitting (Theorem 1.4). In the course of the proof, we will describe the automorphisms of a given maximal abelian subgroup which are restrictions of an automorphism of G belonging to a given McCool group (Proposition 3.10).

We start by recalling some standard facts about groups of type VF.

A group has type F if it has a finite classifying space and type VF if some finite-index subgroup is of type F. A key tool for proving that groups have type F is the following statement:

Theorem 3.1 (See for instance Geoghegan [15, Theorem 7.3.4]) *Suppose that G acts simplicially and cocompactly on a contractible simplicial complex X . If all point stabilizers have type F, so does G . In particular, being of type F is stable under extensions.*

If G has a finite-index subgroup acting as in the theorem, then G has type VF. In particular:

Corollary 3.2 *Given an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, suppose that Q has type VF and G has a finite-index subgroup $G_0 < G$ such that $G_0 \cap N$ has type F. Then G has type VF.*

Remark 3.3 Suppose that G acts on X as in Theorem 3.1. If point stabilizers are only of type VF, one cannot claim that G has type VF, even if G is torsion-free. This subtlety was overlooked in [20, Theorem 5.2] (we will give a corrected statement in Corollary 3.8) and it introduces technical complications (which would not occur if we only wanted to prove that the groups under consideration have type F_∞). In particular, to study the stabilizer of a tree with non-cyclic edge stabilizers in Section 3.2.3, we have to prove more precise versions of certain results (such as the “moreover” in Theorem 3.4).

3.1 McCool groups are VF

In this subsection we prove the following strengthening of Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 3.4 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be two finite families of finitely generated subgroups, with each group in \mathcal{K} abelian. Then $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(0)}, \mathcal{K})$ is of type VF.*

Moreover, if groups in \mathcal{H} are also abelian, then there exists a finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ such that $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(0)}, \mathcal{K})$ is of type F.

Recall (Definition 2.1) that $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(0)}, \mathcal{K})$ consists of classes of automorphisms acting trivially on each group $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ (ie as conjugation by some $g_i \in G$) and leaving each $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$ invariant up to conjugacy.

It will follow from Corollary 1.6 that the main assertion of Theorem 3.4 holds if \mathcal{H} is an arbitrary family of subgroups (see Corollary 6.3), but finiteness is needed at this point in order to apply Lemma 2.3.

Convention 3.5 In this subsection, a superscript 1, as in $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, always indicates a subgroup of finite index. The superscript 0 refers to a trivial action on a quotient graph of groups (see Section 2.3).

3.1.1 The abelian case The following lemma deals with the case when $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Lemma 3.6 *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be finite families of subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^n . Consider the subgroup $A = \text{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathcal{H}^{(0)}, \mathcal{K})$ of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of matrices acting as the identity on groups $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ and leaving each $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$ invariant. Then A is of type VF. More precisely, every torsion-free subgroup of finite index $A' \subset A$ is of type F.*

Recall that $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is virtually torsion-free, so groups such as A' exist.

Proof The set of endomorphisms of \mathbb{Z}^n acting as the identity on H_i and preserving K_j is a linear subspace defined by linear equations with rational coefficients. It follows that the groups A and A' are arithmetic: they are commensurable with a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by \mathbb{Q} -linear equations. By Borel and Serre [7], every torsion-free arithmetic subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q})$ is of type F. \square

To deduce Theorem 3.4 when G is abelian, we simply define $Out^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ as any torsion-free, finite-index subgroup of $Out(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

If G is not abelian, we shall distinguish two cases.

3.1.2 The one-ended case We first assume that G is freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$: one cannot write $G = A * B$ with each group of $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ contained in a conjugate of A or B . We then consider the canonical tree T_{can} as in Section 2.2 (it is a JSJ tree relative to \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} and to non-cyclic abelian subgroups). It is invariant under $Out(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, so $Out(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \subset Out(T_{can})$.

We write $Out^0(T_{can})$ for the finite-index subgroup consisting of automorphisms acting trivially on the finite graph $\Gamma_{can} = T_{can}/G$ and

$$Out^0(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) = Out(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \cap Out^0(T_{can}),$$

which has finite index in $Out(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

Recall that non-abelian vertex stabilizers G_v of T_{can} (or vertex groups of Γ_{can}) are rigid or QH. Also recall from Section 2.3 that, for each vertex v , there is a map $\rho_v: Out^0(T_{can}) \rightarrow Out(G_v; Inc_v)$, with Inc_v the family of incident edge groups (see Section 2.1).

We define a subgroup $Out^f(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \subset Out(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ by restricting to automorphisms $\Phi \in Out^0(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and imposing conditions on the image of Φ by the maps ρ_v :

- If G_v is rigid, we ask that $\rho_v(\Phi)$ be trivial.
- If G_v is abelian, we fix a torsion-free subgroup of finite index $Out^1(G_v) \subset Out(G_v)$ and we ask that $\rho_v(\Phi)$ belong to $Out^1(G_v)$.
- If G_v is QH, it is the fundamental group of a compact surface Σ . Each boundary component is associated to an incident edge or a group in $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ (see Section 2.2), so $\rho_v(\Phi)$ preserves the peripheral structure of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and may therefore be represented by a homeomorphism of Σ . Since groups in $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$, and their conjugates, only intersect G_v

along boundary subgroups, the image of $\text{Out}^0(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ by ρ_v contains the mapping class group

$$\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma) = \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}^{(t)})$$

(see Section 2.2); the index is finite. We fix a finite-index subgroup $\mathcal{PM}^{+,1}(\Sigma)$ of type F and we require $\rho_v(\Phi) \in \mathcal{PM}^{+,1}(\Sigma)$. In particular, Φ acts trivially on all boundary subgroups of Σ .

Let $\text{Out}^r(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ consist of automorphisms $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ whose images $\rho_v(\Phi)$ satisfy the above conditions. These automorphisms act trivially on edge stabilizers.

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\text{Out}^r(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ always has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. If groups in \mathcal{H} are abelian, then $\text{Out}^r(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. It therefore suffices to prove that

$$O := \text{Out}^r(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$$

is of type F (this argument, based on Lemma 2.3, is the only place where we use the assumptions on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K}).

Every edge of T_{can} has an endpoint v with G_v rigid or QH, so elements of O act trivially on edge stabilizers of T_{can} . Consider an abelian vertex stabilizer G_v . Elements in $\rho_v(O)$ are the identity on incident edge groups and groups in $\mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$, and leave groups in $\mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}$ invariant. By Lemma 3.6 these conditions define a group $B_v \subset \text{Out}(G_v)$ which is of type VF and $C_v := B_v \cap \text{Out}^1(G_v)$ is a group of type F containing $\rho_v(O)$.

Recall from Section 2.3 the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \text{Out}^0(T_{\text{can}}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v).$$

We claim that the image of O by ρ is a direct product $\prod_{v \in V} C_v$, with C_v as above if G_v is abelian, $C_v = \mathcal{PM}^{+,1}(\Sigma)$ if v is QH, and C_v trivial if v is rigid. The image is contained in the product. Conversely, given a family $(\Phi_v)_{v \in V}$, with $\Phi_v \in C_v$, the automorphisms Φ_v act trivially on incident edge groups, so there is $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(T_{\text{can}})$ with $\rho_v(\Phi) = \Phi_v$. Since Φ_v acts trivially on $\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{H}_{\parallel G_v}$ and preserves $\mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}$, this automorphism is in O . This proves the claim.

It follows that $\rho(O)$ is of type F. The group of twists \mathcal{T} is contained in O , because twists act trivially on vertex groups and T is relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$, so we can conclude that O is of type F by Theorem 3.1 if we know that \mathcal{T} is of type F. The group \mathcal{T} is a finitely generated abelian group. It is torsion-free, hence of type F, as shown in [25, Section 4] (alternatively, one can replace $\text{Out}^r(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ by its intersection with a torsion-free, finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$, which exists by [25, Corollary 4.4]).

This proves Theorem 3.4 in the freely indecomposable case. To prove it in general, we need to study automorphisms of free products.

3.1.3 Automorphisms of free products In this subsection, G does not have to be relatively hyperbolic.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}$ be a family of subgroups of G . We have defined $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ as automorphisms leaving the conjugacy class of each G_i invariant and $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(t)})$ as automorphisms acting trivially on each G_i .

More generally, consider a group of automorphisms $\mathcal{Q}_i \subset \text{Out}(G_i)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathcal{Q}_i\}$. We would like to define $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ as the automorphisms Φ acting on each G_i as an element of \mathcal{Q}_i . To be precise, given $\Phi \in \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$, choose representatives φ_i of Φ in $\text{Aut}(G)$ with $\varphi_i(G_i) = G_i$. We say that Φ belongs to $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ if every φ_i represents an element of \mathcal{Q}_i . This is well-defined (independent of the chosen φ_i) if each G_i is a free factor (more generally, if the normalizer of G_i acts on G_i by inner automorphisms).

The goal of this subsection is to show:

Proposition 3.7 *Let $G = G_1 * \dots * G_n * F_p$, with F_p free of rank p , and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}$. Assume that all groups G_i and $G_i/Z(G_i)$ have type F.*

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathcal{Q}_i\}$ be a family of subgroups $\mathcal{Q}_i \subset \text{Out}(G_i)$. If every \mathcal{Q}_i is of type VF, then $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ has type VF.

More precisely, there exists a finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$, independent of \mathcal{Q} , such that, if every \mathcal{Q}_i is of type F, then $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ has type F.

The “more precise” assertion implies the first one, since $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q}')})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ if every \mathcal{Q}'_i is a finite-index subgroup of \mathcal{Q}_i .

Assume that G_i and $G_i/Z(G_i)$ have type F. The proposition says in particular that the Fouxé-Rabinovitch group $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(t)})$ is of type VF, and that $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ is of type VF if every $\text{Out}(G_i)$ is. If we consider the Grushko decomposition of G , then $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G)$ and we get:

Corollary 3.8 (Correcting [20, Theorem 5.2]) *Let $G = G_1 * \dots * G_n * F_p$, with F_p free and G_i non-trivial, not isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} and not a free product. If every G_i and $G_i/Z(G_i)$ has type F and every $\text{Out}(G_i)$ has type VF, then $\text{Out}(G)$ has type VF.*

Proof of Proposition 3.7 We prove the “more precise” assertion, so we assume that $Q_i \subset \text{Out}(G_i)$ has type F. We shall apply Theorem 3.1 to the action of $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ on the outer space defined in [20]. We let \mathcal{D} be the Grushko deformation space relative to \mathcal{G} , ie the JSJ deformation space of G over the trivial group relative to \mathcal{G} (see Section 2.2). Trees in \mathcal{D} have trivial edge stabilizers and non-trivial vertex stabilizers are conjugates of the G_i .

Like ordinary outer space [9], the projectivization $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ of \mathcal{D} is a complex consisting of simplices with missing faces and the spine of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ is a simplicial complex. It is contractible for the weak topology [19].

The group $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ acts on \mathcal{D} , hence on the spine, and the action of the Fouxé-Rabinovitch group $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(0)}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ is cocompact because there are finitely many possibilities for the quotient graph T/G for $T \in \mathcal{D}$. In order to apply Theorem 3.1, we just need to show that stabilizers are of type F.

$\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ also acts on the free group (isomorphic to F_p) obtained from G by killing all the G_i (it may be viewed as the topological fundamental group of $\Gamma = T/G$ for any $T \in \mathcal{D}$). In other words, there is a natural map $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Out}(F_p)$. We fix a torsion-free, finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^1(F_p) \subset \text{Out}(F_p)$ and we define $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ as the pullback of $\text{Out}^1(F_p)$.

Given $T \in \mathcal{D}$, we let S be its stabilizer for the action of $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G})$ and $S_{\mathcal{Q}}$ its stabilizer for the action of $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$. We complete the proof by showing that $S_{\mathcal{Q}}$ has type F.

We first claim that S equals $\text{Out}^0(T)$, the group of automorphisms of G leaving T invariant and acting trivially on $\Gamma = T/G$. Clearly $\text{Out}^0(T) \subset S$. Conversely, we have to show that any $\Phi \in S$ acts as the identity on Γ . First, Φ fixes all vertices of Γ carrying a non-trivial group G_v , because G_v is a G_i (up to conjugacy) and the G_i are not permuted. In particular, by minimality of T , all terminal vertices of Γ are fixed. Also, by our definition of $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G})$, the image of Φ in $\text{Out}(\pi_1(\Gamma))$ is trivial or has infinite order. The claim follows because any non-trivial symmetry of Γ fixing all terminal vertices maps to a non-trivial element of finite order in $\text{Out}(\pi_1(\Gamma))$ if Γ is not a circle.

The map ρ (see Section 2.3) maps S onto $\prod_i \text{Out}(G_i)$, and the image of $S_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is $\prod_i Q_i$, a group of type F. The kernel is the group of twists \mathcal{T} , which is contained in $S_{\mathcal{Q}}$, so it suffices to check that \mathcal{T} has type F. Since edge stabilizers are trivial, \mathcal{T} is a direct product $\prod_i K_i$, with $K_i = G_i^{n_i} / Z(G_i)$; here n_i is the valence of the vertex carrying G_i in Γ and the center $Z(G_i)$ is embedded diagonally (see [27]). There are exact sequences

$$1 \longrightarrow G_i^{n_i-1} \longrightarrow G_i^{n_i} / Z(G_i) \longrightarrow G_i / Z(G_i) \longrightarrow 1,$$

so the assumptions of the proposition ensure that \mathcal{T} is of type F. \square

3.1.4 The infinitely ended case We can now prove Theorem 3.4 in full generality. We let $G = G_1 * \cdots * G_n * F_p$ be the Grushko decomposition of G relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ (see Section 2.2) and $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}$. Each G_i is toral relatively hyperbolic, so has type F by Dahmani [10]. Its center is trivial if G_i is nonabelian, so $G_i/Z(G_i)$ also has type F. This will allow us to use Proposition 3.7.

Lemma 3.9 *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i\}$ with $Q_i = \text{Out}(G_i; \mathcal{H}_{|G_i}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{|G_i})$ and let $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i\}$ with $R_i = \text{Out}(G_i; \mathcal{H}_{|G_i}, \mathcal{K}_{|G_i})$. Then*

$$\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})}) = \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}),$$

$$\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{R})}) = \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}).$$

Moreover, $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{R})})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

Proof If Φ belongs to $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$, it belongs to $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$, because every group in $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ has a conjugate contained in some G_i . Conversely, automorphisms in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ preserve the Grushko deformation space relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ and therefore permute the G_i , so $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ leaves G_i invariant and maps a non-trivial $H \subset G_i$ to a conjugate gHg^{-1} , then $g \in G_i$ because G_i is a free factor. This shows

$$\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})}),$$

completing the proof for $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$. The proof for $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{R})})$ is similar. \square

The first assertion of Theorem 3.4 now follows immediately from the one-ended case together with Proposition 3.7, since $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ contains $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ with finite index. There remains to prove the “moreover”.

Each G_i is freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H}_{|G_i} \cup \mathcal{K}_{|G_i}$, so we may apply the “moreover” of Theorem 3.4 to G_i . We get a finite-index subgroup $\mathcal{R}_i^1 \subset \mathcal{R}_i$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_i^1 := \mathcal{R}_i^1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_i$ has type F. Let $\mathcal{R}^1 = \{\mathcal{R}_i^1\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}^1 = \{\mathcal{Q}_i^1\}$.

By Proposition 3.7, there is a finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ such that $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q}^1)})$ has type F. Now write

$$\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q}^1)}) = \text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{R}^1)}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})}).$$

By Lemma 3.9, we may replace the last term $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{Q})})$ by $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. Defining

$$\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) := \text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{R}^1)}),$$

we have shown that $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ has type F. There remains to check that $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

Since $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{G})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G})$ and \mathcal{R}_i^1 is a finite-index subgroup of \mathcal{R}_i , the group $\text{Out}^1(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{R})})$, which equals $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{G}^{(\mathcal{R})})$ and has finite index in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ by Lemma 3.9.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.

3.1.5 The action on abelian groups We study the action of $\text{Out}(G)$ on abelian subgroups. The result of this subsection (Proposition 3.10) will be needed in Section 3.2.4.

A toral relatively hyperbolic group has finitely many conjugacy classes of non-cyclic maximal abelian subgroups. Fix a representative A_j in each class. Automorphisms of G preserve the set of A_j (up to conjugacy), so some finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ maps to $\prod_j \text{Out}(A_j)$. We shall show in particular that the image of a suitable finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}'(G) \subset \text{Out}(G)$ is a product of McCool groups $\prod_j \text{Out}(A_j; \{F_j\}^{(t)}) \subset \prod_j \text{Out}(A_j)$.

This product structure expresses the fact that automorphisms of non-conjugate maximal non-cyclic abelian subgroups do not interact. Indeed, consider a family of elements $\Phi_j \in \text{Out}(A_j)$ and suppose that each Φ_j , taken individually, extends to an automorphism $\hat{\Phi}_j \in \text{Out}'(G)$; then there is $\Phi \in \text{Out}'(G)$ inducing all Φ_j simultaneously.

In fact, we will work with two (possibly empty) finite families \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} of abelian subgroups and we will restrict to $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. We shall therefore define a finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$.

First assume that G is freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$. As in Section 3.1.2, we consider the canonical JSJ tree T_{can} , we restrict to automorphisms $\Phi \in \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ acting trivially on $\Gamma_{\text{can}} = T_{\text{can}}/G$ and we define $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ by imposing conditions on the action on non-abelian vertex groups G_v : if G_v is QH, the action should be trivial on all boundary subgroups of Σ (ie $\rho_v(\Phi) \in \mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$); if G_v is rigid, then $\rho_v(\Phi)$ should be trivial. We have explained in Section 3.1.2 why this defines a subgroup of finite index $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ in $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. Note that $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ acts trivially on edge groups of T_{can} .

If G is not freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$, let $G = G_1 * \cdots * G_n * F_p$ be the relative Grushko decomposition. To define $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$, we require that Φ maps G_i to G_i (up to conjugacy) and the induced automorphism belongs to $\text{Out}'(G_i; \mathcal{H}_{|G_i}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{|G_i})$ as defined above.

Elements of $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ leave every A_j invariant (up to conjugacy) and we denote by

$$\theta: \text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \prod_j \text{Out}(A_j)$$

the natural map.

We can now state:

Proposition 3.10 *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be two finite families of abelian subgroups and let $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ be the finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ defined above.*

There are subgroups $F_j \subset A_j$ such that the image of $\theta: \text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \prod_j \text{Out}(A_j)$ equals $\prod_j \text{Out}(A_j; \{F_j\}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}|_{A_j})$.

Recall that the A_j are representatives of conjugacy classes of non-cyclic maximal abelian subgroups.

Proof The A_j are contained (up to conjugacy) in factors G_i of the Grushko decomposition relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ and the G_i are invariant under $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. Since any family of automorphisms $\Phi_i \in \text{Out}'(G_i; \mathcal{H}|_{G_i}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}|_{G_i})$ extends to an automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$, we may assume that G is freely indecomposable relative to $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$.

Let T_{can} be as above. If A_j is contained in a rigid vertex stabilizer, then $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ acts trivially on A_j and we define $F_j = A_j$. If not, A_j is a vertex stabilizer G_v . Vertex stabilizers adjacent to v are rigid or QH and, because of the way we defined it, $\text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ leaves A_j invariant and acts trivially on incident edge groups. It also acts trivially on the groups belonging to $\mathcal{H}|_{A_j}$.

Defining F_j as the subgroup of A_j generated by incident edge groups and groups in $\mathcal{H}|_{A_j}$, we have proved that the image of θ is contained in $\prod_j \text{Out}(A_j; \{F_j\}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}|_{A_j})$. Conversely, choose a family $\Phi_j \in \text{Out}(A_j; \{F_j\}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}|_{A_j})$. As explained in Section 2.3, there exists $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(T_{\text{can}})$ acting trivially on cyclic, rigid and QH vertex stabilizers and inducing Φ_j on A_j . We check that Φ acts trivially on any $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Such a group H fixes a vertex $v \in T_{\text{can}}$. If G_v is cyclic, rigid or QH, the action of Φ on H is trivial. If not, G_v is (conjugate to) an A_j and the action is trivial because $H \subset F_j$. A similar argument shows that Φ preserves \mathcal{K} up to conjugacy, so $\Phi \in \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. Since Φ acts trivially on rigid and QH vertex stabilizers, $\Phi \in \text{Out}'(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. \square

3.2 Automorphisms preserving a tree

We now study the stabilizer of a tree. The following theorem clearly implies Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 3.11 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. Let T be a simplicial tree on which G acts with abelian edge stabilizers. Let \mathcal{K} be a finite family of abelian subgroups of G , each of which fixes a point in T . Then $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K}) = \text{Out}(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{K})$ is of type VF.*

The group $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K})$ is the subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$ consisting of automorphisms leaving T invariant and mapping each group of \mathcal{K} to a conjugate (in an arbitrary way). The tree T is assumed to be minimal, but it may be a point, it may have trivial edge stabilizers, and non-cyclic abelian subgroups need not be elliptic.

Theorem 3.4 proves Theorem 3.11 when T is a point. Also note that, if G is abelian and T is not a point, then T is a line on which G acts by integral translations and $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K})$ is of type VF because it equals $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{K} \cup \{N\})$, with N the kernel of the action of G on T .

Thus, we assume from now on that G is not abelian. We will prove Theorem 3.11 when T has cyclic edge stabilizers before treating the general case. This special case is much easier because $\text{Out}(G_e)$ is finite for every edge stabilizer G_e and we may apply [27, Proposition 2.3].

3.2.1 Cyclic edge stabilizers In this subsection we prove Theorem 3.11 when all edge stabilizers G_e of T are cyclic (possibly trivial); this happens in particular if G is hyperbolic.

As in Section 2.3, we consider the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \text{Out}^0(T) \xrightarrow{\rho} \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v).$$

The image of ρ contains $\prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)})$ and the index is finite because all groups $\text{Out}(G_e)$ are finite (see [27], where $\text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)})$ is denoted by $\text{PMCG}(G_v)$). The preimage of $\prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)})$ is thus a finite index subgroup $\text{Out}^1(T) \subset \text{Out}(T)$.

We want to prove that $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K})$ is of type VF, so we restrict the preceding discussion to $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K})$. Let

$$\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K}) = \text{Out}^1(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{K}),$$

a finite-index subgroup. We show that $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ is of type VF (this will not use the assumption that edge stabilizers are cyclic).

The image of $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ by ρ is contained in $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$, with $\mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}$ as in Section 2.1 and, arguing as in Section 2.3, one sees that equality holds. On the other hand, $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ contains \mathcal{T} because twists act trivially on vertex stabilizers, hence on \mathcal{K} since groups of \mathcal{K} are elliptic in T . We therefore have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Vertex stabilizers are toral relatively hyperbolic, so the product is of type VF by Theorem 3.4 applied to the G_v . We conclude the proof by showing that \mathcal{T} is of type F. This will imply that $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$, and hence $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K})$, is VF.

We claim that \mathcal{T} is isomorphic to the direct product of a finitely generated abelian group and a finite number of copies of non-abelian vertex groups G_v . We use the presentation of \mathcal{T} given in [27, Proposition 3.1]. It says that \mathcal{T} can be written as a quotient

$$\mathcal{T} = \prod_{e,v} Z_{G_v}(G_e) / \langle \mathcal{R}_V, \mathcal{R}_E \rangle,$$

the product being taken over all pairs (e, v) where e is an edge incident to v ; here $\mathcal{R}_E = \prod_e Z(G_e)$ is the group of edge relations and $\mathcal{R}_V = \prod_v Z(G_v)$ is the group of vertex relations, both embedded naturally in $\prod_{e,v} Z_{G_v}(G_e)$. Every group $Z_{G_v}(G_e)$ is abelian, unless G_e is trivial and G_v is non-abelian. In this case $Z_{G_v}(G_e) = G_v$ and it is not affected by the edge and vertex relations since both $Z(G_v)$ and $Z(G_e)$ are trivial. Our claim follows.

It follows that \mathcal{T} is of type F provided that it is torsion-free. One may show that this is always the case, but it is simpler to replace $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ by its intersection with a torsion-free, finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$.

3.2.2 Changing T We shall now prove Theorem 3.11 in the general case.

The first step, carried out in this subsection, is to replace T by a better tree \widehat{T} (satisfying the second assertion of the lemma below). When all edge stabilizers are non-trivial, \widehat{T} may be viewed as the smallest common refinement (called lcm in [22]) of T and its tree of cylinders (see Section 2.2). Here is the construction of \widehat{T} .

Consider edges of T with non-trivial stabilizer. We say that two such edges belong to the same cylinder if their stabilizers commute. Cylinders are subtrees and meet in at most one point. A vertex v with all incident edge groups trivial belongs to no cylinder. Otherwise v belongs to one cylinder if G_v is abelian and to infinitely many cylinders if G_v is not abelian. To define \widehat{T} , we shall refine T at vertices x belonging to infinitely many cylinders.

Given such an x , let S_x be the set of cylinders Y such that $x \in Y$. We replace x by the cone T_x on S_x : there is a central vertex, again denoted by x , and vertices (x, s_Y) for $Y \in S_x$, with an edge between x and (x, s_Y) . Edges e of T incident to x are attached to T_x as follows: if the stabilizer of e is trivial, we attach it to the central vertex x ; if not, e is contained in a cylinder Y and we attach e to the vertex (x, s_Y) , noting that G_e leaves Y invariant.

Performing this operation at each x belonging to infinitely many cylinders yields a tree \widehat{T} . The construction being canonical, there is a natural action of G on \widehat{T} , and $\text{Out}(T) \subset \text{Out}(\widehat{T})$.

Lemma 3.12 (1) *Edge stabilizers of \widehat{T} are abelian, \widehat{T} is dominated by T , and $\text{Out}(\widehat{T}) = \text{Out}(T)$.*

(2) *Let G_v be a non-abelian vertex stabilizer of \widehat{T} . Non-trivial incident edge stabilizers G_e are maximal abelian subgroups of G_v . If e_1 and e_2 are edges of \widehat{T} incident to v with G_{e_1} and G_{e_2} equal and non-trivial, then $e_1 = e_2$.*

Proof Let Y be a cylinder in S_x (viewed as a subtree of T). The setwise stabilizer G_Y of Y is the maximal abelian subgroup of G containing stabilizers of edges of Y . The stabilizer of the vertex (x, s_Y) of \widehat{T} , and also of the edge between (x, s_Y) and x , is $G_x \cap G_Y$; it is non-trivial (it contains the stabilizer of edges of Y incident to x) and is a maximal abelian subgroup of G_x . This proves that edge stabilizers of \widehat{T} are abelian, since the other edges have the same stabilizer as in T .

Every vertex stabilizer of T is also a vertex stabilizer of \widehat{T} , so T dominates \widehat{T} . Edges of \widehat{T} which are not edges of T (those between (x, s_Y) and x) are characterized as those having non-trivial stabilizer and having an endpoint v with G_v non-abelian. One recovers T from \widehat{T} by collapsing these edges, so $\text{Out}(\widehat{T}) \subset \text{Out}(T)$.

Consider two edges e_1 and e_2 incident to v in \widehat{T} , with the same non-trivial stabilizer. They join v to vertices (v, s_{Y_i}) and we have seen that $G_{e_1} = G_{e_2}$ is maximal abelian in G_v . The groups G_{Y_1} and G_{Y_2} are equal because they both contain $G_{e_1} = G_{e_2}$. Edges of Y_i have stabilizers contained in G_{Y_i} , so have commuting stabilizers. Thus $Y_1 = Y_2$, so $e_1 = e_2$. \square

Remark 3.13 If G_{e_1} and G_{e_2} are conjugate in G_v , rather than equal, we conclude that e_1 and e_2 belong to the same G_v -orbit. On the other hand, edges belonging to different G_v -orbits may have stabilizers which are conjugate in G (but not in G_v).

3.2.3 The action on edge groups In Section 3.2.1 we could neglect the action of $\text{Out}^0(T)$ on edge groups because all groups $\text{Out}(G_e)$ were finite. We now allow edge stabilizers of arbitrary rank, so we must take these actions into account. We write $\text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K}) = \text{Out}^0(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{K})$.

Recall that, for each edge e of $\Gamma = T/G$, there is a natural map $\rho_e: \text{Out}^0(T) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_e)$ (see Section 2.3). The collection of all these maps defines a map

$$\psi: \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \prod_{e \in E} \text{Out}(G_e),$$

the product being over all non-oriented edges of Γ . We denote by Q the image of $\text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ under ψ , so that we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \ker \psi \longrightarrow \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1.$$

Lemma 3.14 *If T satisfies the second assertion of Lemma 3.12, then the group Q is of type VF.*

This lemma will be proved in the next subsection. We first explain how to deduce Theorem 3.11 from it. The first assertion of Lemma 3.12 implies that the theorem holds for T if it holds for \widehat{T} , so we may assume that T satisfies the second assertion of Lemma 3.12.

The kernel of ψ is the group discussed in Section 3.2.1 under the name $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$, but now (contrary to Convention 3.5) $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ may be of infinite index in $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K})$; indeed, $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K})$ is virtually an extension of $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ by Q . To avoid confusion, we use the notation $\ker \psi$ rather than $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$.

We proved in Section 3.2.1 that $\ker \psi$ is of type VF and, by the lemma, Q is of type VF, but this is not quite sufficient (see Remark 3.3). We shall now construct a finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^2(T, \mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ such that $\ker \psi \cap \text{Out}^2(T, \mathcal{K})$ has type F. Applying Corollary 3.2 to $\text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ then completes the proof of Theorem 3.11.

We argue as in Section 3.2.1. Recall from Section 2.3 the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$$

whose restriction to $\ker \psi$ is the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \ker \psi \xrightarrow{\rho} \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Using the “more precise” statement of Theorem 3.4 we get, for each $v \in V$, a finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^1(G_v; \text{Inc}_v, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) \subset \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$ such that

$$\text{Out}^1(G_v; \text{Inc}_v, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) \cap \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$$

is of type F. Define the finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^2(T, \mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ as the preimage of $\prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}^1(G_v; \text{Inc}_v, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$ under ρ intersected with a torsion-free, finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G)$.

Restricting the exact sequence above, we get an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \ker \psi \cap \text{Out}^2(T, \mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\rho} L \longrightarrow 1,$$

where L has finite index in the product of the groups

$$\text{Out}^1(G_v; \text{Inc}_v, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) \cap \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}),$$

hence has type F. The group \mathcal{T}' is a torsion-free, finite-index subgroup of \mathcal{T} , so has type F as in Section 3.2.1. We conclude that $\ker \psi \cap \text{Out}^2(T, \mathcal{K})$ has type F. As explained above, this completes the proof of Theorem 3.11 (assuming Lemma 3.14).

3.2.4 Proof of Lemma 3.14 There remains to prove Lemma 3.14. We let E_j be representatives of conjugacy classes of maximal abelian subgroups containing a non-trivial edge stabilizer. Note that E_j is allowed to be cyclic and maximal abelian subgroups of G containing no non-trivial G_e are not included.

Inside each E_j we let B_j be the smallest direct factor containing all edge groups included in E_j (it equals E_j if E_j is cyclic). It is elliptic in T , because it is an abelian group generated (virtually) by elliptic subgroups.

Each automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ induces an automorphism of E_j , which preserves B_j and all the edge groups it contains. This defines a map

$$\psi': \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \prod_j \text{Out}(B_j)$$

having the same kernel as the map $\psi: \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \prod_{e \in E} \text{Out}(G_e)$ defined in Section 3.2.3. Thus, it suffices to prove that the image of $\text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ by ψ' is of type VF. We do so by finding a finite-index subgroup $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ (not the same as in Section 3.2.1) whose image is a product $\prod_j Q_j$ with each Q_j of type VF.

Consider a non-abelian vertex group G_v . Define $\text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}} \subset \text{Inc}_v$ by keeping only the incident edge groups which are infinite cyclic, and denote by $E_{\text{nc}}(v)$ the set of edges e of Γ with origin v and G_e non-cyclic (if e is a loop, we subdivide it so that it

counts twice in $E_{nc}(v)$). By Lemma 3.12 and Remark 3.13, the edge groups G_e for $e \in E_{nc}(v)$ are non-conjugate maximal abelian subgroups of G_v .

We apply Proposition 3.10, describing the action on non-cyclic maximal abelian subgroups, to $\text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$. We get a subgroup $\text{Out}'(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$ of finite index and a subgroup $F_e^v \subset G_e$ for each edge $e \in E_{nc}(v)$ such that the image of $\text{Out}'(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$ in $\prod_{e \in E_{nc}(v)} \text{Out}(G_e)$ is $\prod_{e \in E_{nc}(v)} \text{Out}(G_e; \{F_e^v\}^{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_e})$.

We let $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ be the subgroup consisting of automorphisms acting trivially on cyclic edge stabilizers and acting on non-abelian vertex stabilizers as an element of $\text{Out}'(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$. It has finite index because

$$\text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}) \subset \rho_v(\text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})) \subset \text{Out}(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}),$$

with all indices finite.

We now define $Q_j \subset \text{Out}(B_j)$ as consisting of automorphisms Φ_j such that

- (1) if G_e is a cyclic edge stabilizer contained in B_j , then Φ_j acts trivially on G_e ;
- (2) if B_j contains a non-cyclic G_e and v is an endpoint of e with G_v non-abelian, then Φ_j acts trivially on F_e^v ;
- (3) non-cyclic edge stabilizers and abelian vertex stabilizers contained in B_j are Φ_j -invariant;
- (4) Φ_j extends to an automorphism of E_j leaving $\mathcal{K}_{\parallel E_j}$ invariant; in particular, subgroups of B_j conjugate to a group of \mathcal{K} are Φ_j -invariant.

This definition was designed so that the image of $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ by ψ' is contained in $\prod_j Q_j$. We claim that equality holds:

Lemma 3.15 *The image of $\text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$ by ψ' equals $\prod_j Q_j$.*

Proof We fix automorphisms $\Phi_j \in Q_j \subset \text{Out}(B_j)$ and we have to construct an automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$. By (1) and (3) above, the Φ_j induce automorphisms Φ_e of edge stabilizers (each non-trivial edge group G_e lies in a unique E_j , so there is no ambiguity in the definition of Φ_e). As explained after Lemma 2.2, it suffices to find automorphisms Φ_v of vertex groups inducing the Φ_e . We distinguish several cases.

If G_v is contained in some B_j (up to conjugacy), it is Φ_j -invariant by (3), so we let Φ_v be the restriction.

If G_v is abelian but not contained in any B_j , we may assume that some incident G_e is non-cyclic (otherwise we let Φ_v be the identity). This G_e is contained in some B_j ,

and $G_v \subset E_j$. In fact, $G_v = E_j$: since G_v is not contained in B_j , it fixes only v , and E_j fixes v because it commutes with G_v . We may thus extend Φ_j to G_v using (4).

If G_v is not abelian, we construct Φ_v in $\text{Out}'(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$ as follows. If $e \in E_{\text{nc}}(v)$, the automorphism Φ_e acts trivially on F_e^v by (2), and preserves $\mathcal{K}_{|G_e}$ by (4). Thus, the collection of automorphisms Φ_e lies in $\prod_{e \in E_{\text{nc}}(v)} \text{Out}(G_e; \{F_e^v\}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{|G_e})$. Proposition 3.10 guarantees that $\text{Out}'(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$ contains an automorphism Φ_v inducing Φ_e for all $e \in E_{\text{nc}}(v)$ (and acting trivially on all cyclic incident edge groups).

We have now constructed automorphisms $\Phi_v \in \text{Out}(G_v)$ inducing the Φ_e , so Lemma 2.2 provides an automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Out}^0(T)$ whose image in $\prod_j \text{Out}(B_j)$ is the product of the Φ_j because B_j is virtually generated by edge stabilizers. We show $\Phi \in \text{Out}^1(T, \mathcal{K})$. By construction it acts trivially on cyclic edge groups and acts on non-abelian vertex stabilizers as an element of $\text{Out}'(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$. We just have to check that Φ leaves any $K \in \mathcal{K}$ invariant.

The group K is contained in some G_v . If K is contained in some B_j , it is Φ -invariant by (4). Otherwise, K fixes no edge. If G_v is abelian, we have seen that either all incident edge groups are cyclic (and Φ_v is the identity) or G_v equals some E_j and our choice of Φ_v using (4) guarantees that K is invariant. If G_v is not abelian, then K belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v}$ because it fixes no edge. It is invariant because we chose $\Phi_v \in \text{Out}'(G_v; \text{Inc}_{v, \mathbb{Z}}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}_{\parallel G_v})$. □

We have seen that the group Q of Lemma 3.14 is isomorphic to the image of $\text{Out}^0(T, \mathcal{K})$ under ψ' , hence contains $\prod_j Q_j$ with finite index. To show that Q is of type VF, there remains to show that each Q_j is of type VF.

We defined Q_j inside $\text{Out}(B_j)$ by four conditions. As in Lemma 3.6, the first three define an arithmetic group. To deal with the fourth one, we consider the group \tilde{Q}_j consisting of automorphisms of E_j that leave B_j and $\mathcal{K}_{|E_j}$ invariant with the restriction to B_j satisfying the first three conditions. This is an arithmetic group. It consists of block-triangular matrices and one obtains Q_j by considering the upper-left blocks of matrices in \tilde{Q}_j . It follows that K_j is arithmetic, as the image of an arithmetic group by a rational homomorphism [6, Theorem 6], hence of type VF by Lemma 3.6.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.14, and hence of Theorem 3.11.

4 A finiteness result for trees

The goal of this subsection is Proposition 4.8, which gives a uniform bound for the size of certain sets of relative JSJ decompositions of G . This an essential ingredient in the

proof of the chain condition for McCool groups. We will have to restrict to root-closed (RC) trees, which are introduced in Definitions 4.3 and 4.7 (they are closely related to the primary splittings of Dahmani and Groves [11]).

Definition 4.1 Let H be a subgroup of a group G . Its *root closure* $e(H, G)$, or simply $e(H)$, is the set of elements of G having a power in H . If $e(H) = H$, we say that H is *root-closed*.

If G is toral relatively hyperbolic and H is abelian, $e(H)$ is a direct factor of the maximal abelian subgroup containing H , and H has finite index in $e(H)$. Also note that, given $h \in G$ and $n \geq 2$, there exists at most one element g such that $g^n = h$.

The following fact is completely general:

Lemma 4.2 *Let T be a tree with an action of an arbitrary group. The following are equivalent:*

- *Vertex stabilizers of T are root-closed.*
- *Edge stabilizers of T are root-closed.*

Proof If g^n fixes an edge $e = vw$, it fixes v and w . If vertex stabilizers are root-closed, g fixes v and w , hence fixes e , so edge stabilizers are root-closed.

Conversely, if g^n fixes a vertex v , then g is elliptic, hence fixes a vertex w . Edges between v and w (if any) are fixed by g^n , hence by g if edge stabilizers are root-closed. Thus g fixes v . □

We now go back to a toral relatively hyperbolic group G .

Definition 4.3 A tree T is an *RC tree* if

- all non-cyclic abelian subgroups fix a point in T ;
- edge stabilizers of T are abelian and root-closed.

When G is hyperbolic, RC trees are the \mathcal{Z}_{\max} -trees of Dahmani and Guirardel [12]: non-trivial edge stabilizers are maximal cyclic subgroups.

Lemma 4.4 (1) *Let T be an RC tree with all edge stabilizers non-trivial. Its tree of cylinders T_c (see Section 2.2) is an RC tree belonging to the same deformation space as T .*

- (2) If T_1 and T_2 are RC trees relative to some family \mathcal{H} and edge stabilizers of T_1 are elliptic in T_2 , there is an RC tree \widehat{T}_1 relative to \mathcal{H} which refines T_1 and dominates T_2 . Moreover, the stabilizer of any edge of \widehat{T}_1 fixes an edge in T_1 or in T_2 .

Proof Non-triviality of edge stabilizers ensures that T_c is defined. The vertex stabilizers of T_c are vertex stabilizers of T or maximal abelian subgroups, so are root-closed. The deformation space does not change because T is relative to non-cyclic abelian subgroups (see [23, Proposition 6.3]). This proves (1).

We define a refinement \widehat{T}_1 of T_1 dominating T_2 as in [21, Lemma 3.2], by blowing up each vertex v of T_1 into a G_v -invariant subtree of T_2 . We just have to check that its edge stabilizers are root-closed. As in the proof of [12, Lemma 4.9], an edge stabilizer of \widehat{T}_1 is an edge stabilizer of T_1 or is the intersection of a vertex stabilizer of T_1 with an edge stabilizer of T_2 , so is root-closed. \square

Proposition 4.5 *Let G be toral relatively hyperbolic. In each of the following two cases, there is a bound for the number of orbits of edges of a minimal tree T with abelian edge stabilizers:*

- (1) T is bipartite: each edge has exactly one endpoint with abelian stabilizer (redundant vertices are allowed).
- (2) T is an RC tree with no redundant vertex.

Here and below, the bound has to depend only on G (it is independent of the trees under consideration).

Case 1 applies in particular to trees of cylinders.

Proof We cannot apply Bestvina and Feighn's accessibility theorem [3] directly because T does not have to be reduced in the sense of [3]: $\Gamma = T/G$ may have a vertex v of valence 2 such that an incident edge carries the same group as v . We say that such a v is a non-reduced vertex. The assumptions rule out the possibility that Γ contains long segments consisting of non-reduced vertices (as in the example at the top of [3, page 450]).

If T is bipartite, consider all non-reduced vertices of Γ and collapse exactly one of the incident edges. This yields a reduced graph of groups, and at most half of the edges of Γ are collapsed, so [3] gives a bound.

If T is an RC tree with no redundant vertex, every non-reduced vertex v of $\Gamma = T/G$ has exactly two adjacent edges e_v and f_v , whose groups satisfy $G_{e_v} \not\subseteq G_v = G_{f_v}$. Among all edges incident to a non-reduced vertex, consider the set E_m consisting of those with G_e of minimal rank. No two edges of E_m are adjacent at a non-reduced vertex, because T is an RC tree. Now collapse the edges in E_m .

If $I = e_1 \cup e_2 \cup \dots \cup e_k$ is a maximal segment in the complement of the set of vertices of Γ having degree 3 or carrying a non-abelian group, we never collapse adjacent edges e_i and e_{i+1} (and we do not collapse e_1 if $k = 1$; we may collapse e_1 and e_3 if $k = 3$). It follows that at least one third of the edges of Γ remain after the collapse.

Repeat the process. Denote by M the maximal rank of abelian subgroups of G . After at most M steps one obtains a graph of groups which is reduced in the sense of [3], hence has at most N edges for some fixed N . The number of edges of Γ is bounded by $3^M N$. □

Proposition 4.6 *Given a toral relatively hyperbolic group G , there exists a number M such that, if $T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T_p$ is a sequence of maps between RC trees belonging to distinct deformation spaces, then $p \leq M$.*

Proof There are two steps:

- The first step is to reduce to the case when no edge stabilizer is trivial. Consider the tree \bar{T}_i (possibly a point) obtained from T_i by collapsing all edges with non-trivial stabilizer. A map $T_i \rightarrow T_{i+1}$ cannot send an arc with non-trivial stabilizer to the interior of an edge with trivial stabilizer, so \bar{T}_i dominates \bar{T}_{i+1} . Vertex stabilizers of \bar{T}_i are free factors; there are finitely many possibilities for their isomorphism type.

Using Scott’s complexity, it is shown in [16, Section 2.2] that the number of times that the deformation space \mathcal{D}_i of \bar{T}_i differs from that of \bar{T}_{i+1} is uniformly bounded. We may therefore assume that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_i$ is independent of i .

Let H_1, \dots, H_k be representatives of conjugacy classes of non-trivial vertex stabilizers of trees in \mathcal{D} . They are free factors of G , hence toral relatively hyperbolic, and k is bounded.

Consider the action of H_j on its minimal subtree $T_i^j \subset T_i$ (we let T_i^j be any fixed point if the action is trivial). It is an RC tree and no edge stabilizer is trivial. The deformation space of T_i is completely determined by \mathcal{D} and the deformation spaces \mathcal{D}_i^j of the trees T_i^j (viewed as trees with an action of H_j). It therefore suffices to bound (by a constant depending only on H_j) the number of times that \mathcal{D}_i^j changes in a sequence $T_1^j \rightarrow T_2^j \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T_p^j$, so we may continue the proof under the additional assumption that the T_i have non-trivial edge stabilizers.

• Now that edge stabilizers are non-trivial, the tree of cylinders of T_i is defined. By the first assertion of Lemma 4.4, we may assume that it equals T_i .

Since all trees are trees of cylinders, we may assume, by [23, Proposition 4.11], that all domination maps $T_i \rightarrow T_{i+1}$ send vertex to vertex and map an edge to either a point or an edge. Such a map may collapse an edge to a point, or identify edges belonging to different orbits, or identify edges in the same orbit. The first two phenomena are easy to control, since they decrease the number of orbits of edges; controlling the third one requires more care (and restricting to RC trees).

We associate a complexity $(n, -s)$ to each T_i , with n the number of edges of T_i/G and s the sum of the ranks of its edge groups; complexities are ordered lexicographically. We claim that the complexity of T_{i+1} is strictly smaller than that of T_i . This gives the required uniform bound on p , since n (hence also s) is bounded by the first case of Proposition 4.5.

Let $f_i: T_i \rightarrow T_{i+1}$ be a domination map as above. Complexity clearly cannot increase when passing from T_i to T_{i+1} . If n does not decrease, no edge of T_i is collapsed in T_{i+1} . Since T_i and T_{i+1} belong to distinct deformation spaces, there exist distinct edges e and e' identified by f_i . They have to belong to the same orbit (otherwise n decreases), so $e' = ge$ for some $g \in G$. The group $\langle g, G_e \rangle$ fixes the edge $f_i(e) = f_i(e')$ of T_{i+1} , so is abelian. It has rank bigger than the rank of G_e because G_e is root-closed and $g \notin G_e$. Thus s increases, and the complexity decreases. \square

Let \mathcal{A} be the family of all abelian subgroups. Let \mathcal{H} be a family of subgroups of G . A JSJ tree (over \mathcal{A}) relative to \mathcal{H} may be defined as a tree T such that T is relative to \mathcal{H} , edge stabilizers of T are elliptic in every tree which is relative to \mathcal{H} , and T dominates every tree satisfying the previous conditions (all trees are assumed to have abelian edge stabilizers). This motivates the following definition, where we require that T be an RC tree (compare [12, Section 4.4]). Recall that $\mathcal{H}^{+\text{ab}}$ is obtained by adding all non-cyclic abelian subgroups to \mathcal{H} .

Definition 4.7 Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group and \mathcal{H} a family of subgroups. A tree T is an RC JSJ tree relative to $\mathcal{H}^{+\text{ab}}$ if

- (1) T is relative to $\mathcal{H}^{+\text{ab}}$ and is an RC tree;
- (2) edge stabilizers of T are elliptic in every (not necessarily RC) tree with abelian edge stabilizers which is relative to $\mathcal{H}^{+\text{ab}}$;
- (3) T dominates every tree satisfying (1) and (2).

We will construct RC JSJ trees in Section 5. Note that non-cyclic edge stabilizers always satisfy (2).

Proposition 4.8 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{H}_i \subset \dots$ be an increasing sequence (finite or infinite) of families of subgroups with G freely indecomposable relative to \mathcal{H}_1 . For each i , let U_i be an RC JSJ tree relative to \mathcal{H}_i^{+ab} . There exists a number q , depending only on G , such that the trees U_i belong to at most q distinct deformation spaces.*

Proof Let U_i be as in the proposition. Note that U_i satisfies condition (1) of Definition 4.7 with respect to \mathcal{H}_j^{+ab} if $j \leq i$ and condition (2) with respect to \mathcal{H}_j^{+ab} if $j \geq i$. But cyclic edge stabilizers of U_i do not necessarily satisfy (2) with respect to \mathcal{H}_j^{+ab} if $j < i$.

In general, there is no domination map $U_i \rightarrow U_{i+1}$, so we cannot apply Proposition 4.6 directly. The easy case is when, for each i , every cyclic edge stabilizer of U_{i+1} is contained in an edge stabilizer of U_i . Indeed, this implies that U_{i+1} satisfies condition (2) with respect to \mathcal{H}_i^{+ab} (not just to \mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{+ab}). By condition (3), U_i dominates U_{i+1} , so Proposition 4.6 applies.

Next, assume that there is an RC tree T relative to \mathcal{H}_1 such that, for all i , there is a domination map $T \rightarrow U_i$ that collapses no edge. Each cyclic edge stabilizer G_e of U_{i+1} contains an edge stabilizer $G_{e'}$ of T (take for e' any edge whose image contains a subarc of e). Since G is freely indecomposable relative to \mathcal{H}_1 and T is relative to \mathcal{H}_1 , one has $G_{e'} \neq 1$, and $G_{e'} = G_e$ because $G_{e'}$ is root-closed. Since the map $T \rightarrow U_i$ collapses no edge, G_e fixes an edge in U_i and we conclude as above.

We now construct such a tree T . By condition (2) of Definition 4.7, edge stabilizers of U_1 are elliptic in U_2 , so by Lemma 4.4 there is an RC tree T_1 relative to \mathcal{H}_1 which refines U_1 and dominates U_2 ; we remove redundant vertices of T_1 if needed. Edge stabilizers of T_1 fix an edge in U_1 or U_2 , so are elliptic in U_3 and one may iterate. One obtains RC trees T_i relative to \mathcal{H}_1 such that T_i refines T_{i-1} and dominates U_{i+1} . By Proposition 4.5, all trees T_i for i large enough are equal to a fixed RC tree T . We have no control over how large i has to be, but we have a uniform bound for the number of orbits of edges of T .

By construction, there are domination maps $f_i: T \rightarrow U_i$, but f_i may collapse some G -invariant set of edges. There are only a bounded number of possibilities for the set E_i of edges of T that are collapsed by f_i , so we may assume that $E = E_i$ is independent of i . Collapsing all edges of E then gives a tree T as wanted. \square

5 The chain condition

We prove Theorem 1.5. In this section we only consider groups of the form $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)})$, so we use the simpler notation $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$. Since we do not yet know that every $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is

a McCool group, we assume that every \mathcal{H}_i is a finite set of finitely generated subgroups (this is needed to apply Lemma 2.3).

Since $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}') = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}')$ if $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \supset \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}')$, we may assume $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. We will use the following procedure several times. We associate an invariant to each family \mathcal{H}_i and we show that, as i varies, the number of distinct values of the invariant is bounded (by which we mean that there is a bound depending only on G). We then continue the proof under the additional assumption that the value of the invariant is independent of i .

- The first invariant is the Grushko deformation space \mathcal{D}_i relative to \mathcal{H}_i (see Section 2.2). The assumption $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ implies that \mathcal{D}_i dominates \mathcal{D}_{i+1} . As in the proof of Proposition 4.6, it follows from [16] that the number of times that \mathcal{D}_i changes is bounded. We may therefore assume that \mathcal{D}_i is constant.

Let G_1, \dots, G_n be the free factors in a Grushko decomposition $G = G_1 * \dots * G_n * F_p$ relative to \mathcal{H}_i (they do not depend on i up to conjugation since \mathcal{D}_i is constant). The subgroup of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ consisting of automorphisms sending each factor G_j to a conjugate has bounded index and it is determined by the McCool groups $\text{Mc}_{G_j}(\mathcal{H}_i|_{G_j})$, so we are reduced to the case when G is freely indecomposable relative to \mathcal{H}_i .

- We then consider the canonical JSJ tree T_i (over abelian subgroups) relative to $\mathcal{H}_i^{+\text{ab}}$, ie to \mathcal{H}_i and all non-cyclic abelian subgroups (see Section 2.2); it is $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ -invariant. We cannot use Proposition 4.8 to say that the number of distinct T_i is bounded, because they are not RC trees, so we shall now replace T_i by an RC JSJ tree U_i .

Any edge e of T_i joins a vertex v_1 whose stabilizer is a maximal abelian subgroup to a vertex v_0 with non-abelian stabilizer. The group G_e is a maximal abelian subgroup of G_{v_0} , but not necessarily of G_{v_1} . Let \bar{G}_e be the root-closure of G_e in G_{v_1} (hence also in G). As in [12, Section 4.3], we can fold all edges in the \bar{G}_e -orbit of e together. Doing this for all edges of T_i yields an RC tree U_i which is $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ -invariant.

This construction may also be described in terms of graphs of groups, as follows. We now view $e = v_0v_1$ as an edge of T_i/G . Subdivide it by adding a midpoint u carrying \bar{G}_e . This creates two edges v_0u and uv_1 , carrying G_e and \bar{G}_e , respectively. Do this for every edge e of T_i/G . Collapsing all edges uv_1 yields T_i/G , whereas collapsing all edges v_0u yields U_i/G .

The quotient graph U_i/G is the same as T_i/G , but labels are different. Edge groups are replaced by their root-closure and non-abelian vertex groups have gotten bigger (roots have been adjoined: each fold replaces some G_{v_0} by $G_{v_0} *_{G_e} \bar{G}_e$). Just like T_i , the tree U_i is equal to its tree of cylinders because folding only occurs within cylinders; in particular, U_i is determined by its deformation space.

Note that U_i may have redundant vertices and is not necessarily minimal (this happens if T_i/G has a terminal vertex carrying an abelian group, and the incident edge group has finite index). In this case we replace U_i by its minimal subtree.

We claim that U_i is an RC JSJ tree relative to \mathcal{H}_i^{+ab} , in the sense of Definition 4.7. It satisfies conditions (1) and (2) since its edge stabilizers are finite extensions of edge stabilizers of T_i . Any tree satisfying these two conditions is dominated by T_i because T_i is a JSJ tree. But any RC tree dominated by T_i is also dominated by U_i (with notations as above, e and ge must have the same image if $g \in \bar{G}_e$).

- Proposition 4.8 lets us assume that U_i is a fixed tree U . It is invariant under every $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_i)$. We let $\text{Out}^0(U)$ be the finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(U)$ consisting of automorphisms preserving U and acting trivially on $\Gamma = U/G$. The number of edges of Γ is uniformly bounded, by Proposition 4.5, so the index of $\text{Out}^0(U)$ in $\text{Out}(U)$ is bounded and it is enough to prove the chain condition for $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i) := \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}_i) \cap \text{Out}^0(U)$.

Let V be the set of vertices of Γ . As recalled in Section 2.3, there are maps $\rho_v: \text{Out}^0(U) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_v)$ and a product map $\rho: \text{Out}^0(U) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}(G_v)$. Since U is relative to \mathcal{H}_i , the group of twists $\mathcal{T} = \ker \rho$ is contained in $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$.

Lemma 5.1 *There exist subgroups $\text{Out}^1(G_v) \subset \text{Out}(G_v)$, independent of i , such that*

- (1) $\prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}^1(G_v)$ is contained in $\rho(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ for every i ;
- (2) the index of $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ in $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ is uniformly bounded.

This lemma implies Theorem 1.5 because $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$ contains $\rho^{-1}(\prod_{v \in V} \text{Out}^1(G_v))$ with bounded index.

Proof of Lemma 5.1 Let $\mathcal{H}_{i,v} := (\mathcal{H}_i)_{\parallel G_v}$ be the set of (conjugacy classes of) subgroups of G_v which are conjugate to an element of \mathcal{H}_i and which fix no other point in T (see Section 2.1). Since two such subgroups are conjugate in G_v if and only if they are conjugate in G , we may view $\mathcal{H}_{i,v}$ as a subset of \mathcal{H}_i .

Since, as explained in Section 2.3, $\rho(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ contains $\prod_{v \in V} \text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{H}_{i,v})$, it suffices to fix $v \in V$ and to construct $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ with $\text{Out}^1(G_v) \subset \text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{H}_{i,v})$ and the index of $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ in $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ uniformly bounded. We distinguish several cases:

- First suppose that $G_v \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$ is abelian, so $\text{Out}(G_v) = \text{Aut}(G_v) = \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z})$. Let A_i be the root-closure of the subgroup of G_v generated by incident edge groups and subgroups in $\mathcal{H}_{i,v}$. It is a direct factor and increases with i , so we may assume that

it is independent of i . We define $\text{Out}^1(G_v) \subset \text{Out}(G_v)$ as the subgroup consisting of automorphisms equal to the identity on A_i . It is equal to $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{H}_{i,v})$ and contained in $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$. We must show that the index is bounded.

The group A_i is invariant under $\rho(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ and we have to bound the order of the image of $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$ in $\text{Out}(A_i)$. Any incident edge group \bar{G}_e of G_v contains an edge stabilizer G_e of T_i with finite index, and the image of the map $\rho_e: \text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_e)$ is finite by Lemma 2.3. Since A_i is generated by incident edge groups and elements which are fixed by $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$, this implies that the image of $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$ in $\text{Out}(A_i)$ is finite. Its cardinality is uniformly bounded because there is a bound for the order of finite subgroups of $\text{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z})$, so the index of $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ in $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ is bounded.

- We now consider a non-abelian vertex stabilizer G_v . It follows from the way U_i was constructed that G_v is, for each i , the fundamental group of a graph of groups $\Lambda_{i,v}$. This graph is a tree. It has a central vertex v_i , which may be viewed as a vertex of T_i/G with G_{v_i} non-abelian. All edges e join v_i to a vertex u_e carrying a root-closed abelian group, and the index of G_e in G_{u_e} is finite. The graph of groups $\Lambda_{i,v}$ is invariant under the action of $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$ on G_v .

We say that G_v (or v) is *rigid with sockets* or *QH with sockets*, depending on the type of v_i as a vertex of T_i (since the number of vertices of T_i/G is bounded, we may assume that this type is independent of i).

- If G_v is rigid with sockets, we define $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ as the trivial group and we have to explain why $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ is a finite group of bounded order. Assume first that $U = T_i$ (ie U is also a regular JSJ tree). Lemma 2.3 then implies that $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ is a finite subgroup of G_v , but we need to bound its order only in terms of G (independently of the sequence \mathcal{H}_i). To get this uniform bound, we note that there are only finitely many possibilities for G_v up to isomorphism by [24]. Moreover, $\text{Out}(G_v)$ is virtually torsion-free by [25, Corollary 4.5], so there is a bound for the order of its finite subgroups.

In general (ie without assuming $U = T_i$), we study $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ through its action on the graph of groups $\Lambda_{i,v}$ as in Section 2.3 (note that edges are not permuted). The group of twists is trivial because edge groups are maximal abelian in G_{v_i} and terminal vertex groups are abelian (see [27, Proposition 3.1]), so we only have to control the action of $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$ on vertex groups of $\Lambda_{i,v}$.

Applying Lemma 2.3 to the JSJ decomposition T_i , we get finiteness of the image of $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i)$ in $\text{Out}(G_{v_i})$ and in $\text{Out}(G_e)$ for every edge e of T_i , and hence of $\Lambda_{i,v}$. The action of an automorphism on the edge groups of $\Lambda_{i,v}$ determines the action on the abelian vertex groups because they contain the incident edge group with finite index. This proves that $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ is finite, and boundedness follows as above.

- There remains the case when G_v is QH with sockets. The group G_{v_i} is then isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact surface Σ_i and incident edge groups are boundary subgroups. The topology of Σ_i may vary with i , but the number of boundary components of Σ_i is bounded (by a simple accessibility argument, or because the rank of G_{v_i} as a free group is bounded, by [24]).

If J is a subgroup of G , denote by $\mathcal{U}_i(J)$ the set of elements of J that are \mathcal{H}_i^{+ab} -universally elliptic (ie elliptic in every G -tree with abelian edge stabilizers which is relative to \mathcal{H}_i and to non-cyclic abelian subgroups). We view it as a union of J -conjugacy classes. Since $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$, we have $\mathcal{U}_i(J) \subset \mathcal{U}_{i+1}(J)$. We shall show that the sequence $\mathcal{U}_i(G_v)$ stabilizes.

We first study $\mathcal{U}_i(G_{v_i})$: we claim that $\mathcal{U}_i(G_{v_i})$ is the union of the conjugacy classes of boundary subgroups of $G_{v_i} = \pi_1(\Sigma_i)$. Indeed, any boundary subgroup is an incident edge group of v_i (up to conjugacy) or has a finite-index subgroup conjugate to a group in \mathcal{H}_i (otherwise, G would be freely decomposable relative to \mathcal{H}_i ; see [21, Proposition 7.5]). It follows that $\mathcal{U}_i(G_{v_i})$ contains all boundary subgroups (incident edge groups are \mathcal{H}_i^{+ab} -universally elliptic because T_i is a JSJ tree relative to \mathcal{H}_i^{+ab}). Conversely, by [21, Proposition 7.6], any $g \in \mathcal{U}_i(G_{v_i})$ is contained in a boundary subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma_i)$. This proves our claim and shows, in particular, that $\mathcal{U}_i(G_{v_i})$ is the union of a bounded number of conjugacy classes of maximal cyclic subgroups $L_j(i)$ of G_{v_i} .

We now consider $\mathcal{U}_i(G_v)$. The \mathcal{H}_i^{+ab} -universally elliptic elements of G_v are contained (up to conjugacy) in G_{v_i} or in one of the terminal vertex groups of $\Lambda_{i,v}$, so $\mathcal{U}_i(G_v)$ is the union of the conjugates of the root-closures (in G_v) of the groups $L_j(i)$. Since $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$, we have $\mathcal{U}_i(G_v) \subset \mathcal{U}_{i+1}(G_v)$. As $\mathcal{U}_i(G_v)$ is the union of the conjugates of a bounded number of cyclic subgroups, we may assume that $\mathcal{U}_i(G_v) = \mathcal{U}(G_v)$ does not depend on i .

Elements of $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$ send each cyclic group in $\mathcal{U}(G_v)$ to a conjugate (conjugacy classes are not permuted because the action on T_i/G is trivial). They act trivially on groups in $\mathcal{H}_{i,v}$, but they may map an element g belonging to a terminal vertex group of $\Lambda_{v,i}$ to g^{-1} (geometrically, they correspond to homeomorphisms of Σ_i which may reverse orientation on boundary components).

We define $\text{Out}^1(G_v) \subset \text{Out}(G_v)$ as the group of automorphisms acting trivially on each cyclic group in $\mathcal{U}(G_v)$ (geometrically, we restrict to homeomorphisms of Σ_i equal to the identity on the boundary). It is contained in $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{H}_{i,v})$, because $\mathcal{U}_i(G_v)$ contains the incident edge groups of G_v in U , hence contained in $\rho_v(\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H}_i))$, and the index is bounded in terms of the number of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups in $\mathcal{U}(G_v)$. □

Remark 5.2 Groups of the form $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H})$, with \mathcal{H} a finite family of abelian groups, do not satisfy the descending chain condition: consider $G = \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ and $\mathcal{H}_i = \{\langle x, y^{2^i} \rangle\}$.

6 Proof of the other results

We first note the following consequence of the chain condition:

Proposition 6.1 *If \mathcal{C} is an infinite family of conjugacy classes, there exists a finite subfamily $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}')$.*

Recall that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ is the group of outer automorphisms fixing all conjugacy classes belonging to \mathcal{C} .

Proof Write \mathcal{C} as an increasing union of finite families \mathcal{C}_i and note that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ is the intersection of the descending chain $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$. \square

To prove Corollary 1.6, saying in particular that every McCool group is an elementary McCool group, we need the following fact:

Lemma 6.2 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. Let H be a subgroup and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. If $\alpha(h)$ and h are conjugate in G for every $h \in H$, then α acts on H as conjugation by some $g \in G$.*

Proof We may assume that there is a non-trivial $h \in H$ such that $\alpha(h) = h$. If H is abelian, malnormality of maximal abelian subgroups implies that α is the identity on H . If not, the result follows from [31, Lemma 5.2] (which is valid for any homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$, not just automorphisms of H); see also [2, Corollary 7.4]. \square

Corollary 1.6 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. If \mathcal{H} is any family of subgroups of G , there exists a finite set of conjugacy classes such that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Recall that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is also denoted by $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(0)})$. We favor the notation $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ in this subsection.

Proof Given an arbitrary family \mathcal{H} , let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the set of all conjugacy classes having a representative belonging to some H_i . By Lemma 6.2, $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}})$. We apply Proposition 6.1 to get $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ with \mathcal{C} finite. \square

Together with Theorem 3.11, this implies our most general finiteness result.

Corollary 6.3 *Let G be a toral relatively hyperbolic group. Let \mathcal{H} be an arbitrary collection of subgroups of G . Let \mathcal{K} be a finite collection of abelian subgroups of G . Let T be a simplicial tree on which G acts with abelian edge stabilizers, with each group in $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}$ fixing a point.*

Then the group $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K}) = \text{Out}(T) \cap \text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ of automorphisms leaving T invariant, acting trivially on each group of \mathcal{H} and sending each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ to a conjugate (in an arbitrary way) is of type VF.

Proof By Corollary 1.6, we may write $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{H}^{(t)}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ for some finite family of conjugacy classes $[c_i]$, with each c_i belonging to a group of \mathcal{H} and hence elliptic in T . Defining $\mathcal{L} = \{\langle c_i \rangle\}$, we see that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(G; \mathcal{L})$, so $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$ is a finite-index subgroup of $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{L})$. By Theorem 3.11, this group has type VF and therefore so does $\text{Out}(T, \mathcal{H}^{(t)}, \mathcal{K})$. □

Proposition 1.7 and Theorem 1.8 will be proved at the end of the section.

Proposition 1.10 *Given a toral relatively hyperbolic group G , there exists a number C such that, if a subgroup $\widehat{M} \subset \text{Out}(G)$ contains a group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ with finite index, then the index $[\widehat{M} : \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})]$ is bounded by C .*

Proof By Corollary 1.6, we may write $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}')$ for some finite set \mathcal{C}' . Let \mathcal{C} be the orbit of \mathcal{C}' under \widehat{M} . Since $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}')$ fixes \mathcal{C}' , this is a finite \widehat{M} -invariant collection of conjugacy classes. We thus have

$$\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}') \subset \widehat{M} \subset \widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$$

and it suffices to bound the index $[\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C}) : \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})]$.

As in the beginning of Section 5, let $G = G_1 * \dots * G_n * F_r$ be a Grushko decomposition of G relative to \mathcal{C} and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$. The group $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$ permutes the conjugacy classes of the groups in \mathcal{G} . Since the cardinality of \mathcal{G} is bounded and G has finitely many free factors up to isomorphism, we may assume that G is one-ended relative to \mathcal{C} .

We now consider the JSJ decomposition T_{can} over abelian groups relative to \mathcal{C} and non-cyclic abelian groups. It is invariant under $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$, so we may study $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$ through its action on T_{can} (see Section 2.3).

The number of edges of $\Gamma_{\text{can}} = T_{\text{can}}/G$ being bounded by the first case of Proposition 4.5, we may replace $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C})$ by their subgroups $\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{C})$ acting trivially on Γ . The group of twists \mathcal{T} is contained in $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{C})$, so as in the proof of

Lemma 5.1 it suffices to construct $\text{Out}^1(G_v) \subset \text{Mc}_{G_v}(\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{C}_{\parallel G_v})$ with the index of $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ in $\rho_v(\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C}))$ uniformly bounded. We distinguish the same cases as in the proof of Lemma 5.1.

If G_v is abelian, isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k with $k \geq 2$, let $H < G_v$ be the set of elements whose orbit under $\rho_v(\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C}))$ is finite. This is a subgroup of G_v , isomorphic to some \mathbb{Z}^p , which is invariant under $\rho_v(\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C}))$ and contains the incident edge groups by Lemma 2.3. We define $\text{Out}^1(G_v) = \text{Mc}_{G_v}(\{H\})$. It is contained in $\text{Mc}_{G_v}(\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{C}_{\parallel G_v})$. The image of $\rho_v(\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C}))$ in $\text{Aut}(H) = \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite, and its order bounds the index of $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ in $\rho_v(\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C}))$. This concludes the proof in this case, since there is a bound for the order of finite subgroups of $\text{GL}(p, \mathbb{Z})$.

If G_v is rigid, we let $\text{Out}^1(G_v)$ be trivial. The image of $\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C})$ in $\text{Out}(G_v)$ is finite by Lemma 2.3, and bounded by [24] as in the proof of Lemma 5.1.

If $G_v = \pi_1(\Sigma)$ is QH, we define $\text{Out}^1(G_v) = \mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma) = \text{Mc}_{G_v}(\text{Inc}_v \cup \mathcal{C}_{\parallel G_v})$. Elements of $\rho_v(\widehat{\text{Mc}}^0(\mathcal{C}))$ may reverse orientation, or permute boundary components of Σ . □

Corollary 6.4 *Extended elementary McCool groups $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$ of G satisfy a uniform chain condition.*

Proof Given a descending chain $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C}_i)$, define $\mathcal{C}'_i = \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_i$ and note that

$$\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}'_i) = \bigcap_{j \leq i} \text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_j) \subset \widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C}_i) = \bigcap_{j \leq i} \widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C}_j) \subset \widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C}'_i).$$

The corollary follows from Theorem 1.5, since by Proposition 1.10 the index of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}'_i)$ in $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C}'_i)$ is bounded. □

We now prove Corollary 1.11, stating that, for any $A < \text{Out}(G)$, there is a subgroup $A_0 < A$ of bounded finite index such that, for the action of A_0 on the set of conjugacy classes of G , every orbit is a singleton or is infinite.

Proof of Corollary 1.11 Let \mathcal{C}_A be the (possibly infinite) set of conjugacy classes of G whose A -orbit is finite. Partition \mathcal{C}_A into A -orbits and let \mathcal{C}_p be the union of the first p orbits. The image of A in the group of permutations of \mathcal{C}_p is contained in that of $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C}_p)$, so by Proposition 1.10 its order is bounded by some fixed C . This C also bounds the order of the image of A in the group of permutations of \mathcal{C}_A . □

Recall that $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ is the group of automorphisms acting trivially on \mathcal{H} (in the sense of Definition 1.2, ie by conjugation) and fixing the elements of H_0 . Proposition 1.13 states that, if G is non-abelian, then $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0)$ is an extension

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0) \longrightarrow \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}') \longrightarrow 1$$

with $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}') \subset \text{Out}(G)$ a McCool group and K the centralizer of H_0 . Corollary 1.14 states that the groups $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0)$ are of type VF and satisfy a uniform chain condition.

Proof of Proposition 1.13 Let $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} \cup \{H_0\}$. Map $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0) \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ to $\text{Out}(G)$. The image is $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}')$. The kernel K is the set of inner automorphisms equal to the identity on H_0 . Since G has trivial center, it is isomorphic to the centralizer of H_0 . \square

Proof of Corollary 1.14 The group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}')$ has type VF by Theorem 1.3. The group K is abelian or equal to G , so has type F because G does [10]. Proposition 1.13 and Corollary 3.2 imply that $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0)$ has type VF. Moreover, a chain of centralizers has length at most 2 since the centralizer of H_0 is trivial, G or a maximal abelian subgroup. The uniform chain condition for McCool groups (Theorem 1.5) then implies the uniform chain condition for groups of the form $\text{Ac}(\mathcal{H}, H_0)$. \square

We now deduce the bounded chain condition for fixed subgroups.

Proof of Theorem 1.8 Let $J_0 \subsetneq J_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq J_p$ be a strictly ascending chain of fixed subgroups. Let $\text{Ac}(\emptyset, J_i)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ consisting of automorphisms equal to the identity on J_i . Since J_i is a fixed subgroup, $\text{Ac}(\emptyset, J_i) \supsetneq \text{Ac}(\emptyset, J_{i+1})$. Corollary 1.14 then gives a bound on the length of the chain. \square

Remark One can adapt the arguments of Section 5 to prove Theorem 1.8 directly (without passing through McCool groups).

We now prove Proposition 1.7, saying that $\text{Out}(F_n)$ contains infinitely many non-isomorphic McCool groups for $n \geq 4$ and infinitely many non-conjugate McCool groups for $n \geq 3$.

Proof of Proposition 1.7 Let H be the free group on three generators a, b, c . Given a non-trivial element $w \in \langle a, b \rangle$, let P_w be the cyclic HNN extension $P_w = \langle a, b, c, t \mid tct^{-1} = w \rangle$. It is free of rank 3, with basis a, b, t . Let φ_w be the automorphism of P_w fixing a and b and mapping t to wt (it equals the identity on H since it fixes $c = t^{-1}wt$). The image Φ_w of φ_w in $\text{Out}(P_w)$ preserves the Bass–Serre tree T of the HNN extension (it belongs to its group of twists \mathcal{T}).

We apply this construction with $w = a^k b^k$ for k a positive integer. As k varies, the cyclic subgroups $\langle \Phi_w \rangle$ are pairwise non-conjugate in $\text{Out}(P_w) \simeq \text{Out}(F_3)$, as seen by considering the action on the abelianization.

We shall now prove the second assertion of the proposition for $n = 3$, by showing that $\langle \Phi_w \rangle$ is a McCool group of P_w , namely $\langle \Phi_w \rangle = \text{Mc}_{P_w}(\{H\}) \subset \text{Out}(F_3)$. The extension to $n > 3$ is straightforward, by adding generators to H .

Consider splittings of P_w over abelian (ie cyclic) subgroups relative to H . The tree T is a JSJ tree because its vertex stabilizers are universally elliptic [21, Lemma 4.7]; in particular, P_w is freely indecomposable relative to H . Moreover, T equals its tree of cylinders (up to adding redundant vertices) because w is not a proper power, so T is the canonical JSJ tree T_{can} . The McCool group $\text{Mc}_{P_w}(\{H\})$ therefore leaves T invariant and it is easily checked using [27] that $\text{Mc}_{P_w}(\{H\}) = \mathcal{T} = \langle \Phi_w \rangle$.

To prove the first assertion of the proposition, consider $R_w = P_w * \langle d \rangle \simeq F_4$, the family $\mathcal{H} = \{H, \langle d \rangle\}$ and the McCool group $\text{Mc}_{R_w}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Out}(F_4)$. The decomposition $R_w = P_w * \langle d \rangle$ is a Grushko decomposition of R_w relative to \mathcal{H} because P_w is freely indecomposable relative to H . This decomposition is invariant under $\text{Mc}_{R_w}(\mathcal{H})$ because it is a one-edge splitting (see [14, Corollary 1.3]).

The stabilizer $\text{Out}(T)$ of the Bass–Serre tree T in $\text{Out}(R_w)$ is naturally isomorphic to

$$\text{Aut}(P_w) \times \text{Aut}(\langle d \rangle) \simeq \text{Aut}(P_w) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

(see [27]); the natural map $\text{Out}(T) \rightarrow \text{Out}(P_w)$ kills the factor $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and coincides with the quotient map $\text{Aut}(P_w) \rightarrow \text{Out}(P_w)$ on the other factor. The McCool group $\text{Mc}_{R_w}(\mathcal{H})$ is isomorphic to the preimage of $\text{Mc}_{P_w}(\{H\}) = \langle \Phi_w \rangle$ in $\text{Aut}(P_w)$, hence to the mapping torus

$$Q_w = \langle a, b, t, u \mid ua = au, ub = bu, utu^{-1} = a^k b^k t \rangle.$$

The abelianization of Q_w is $\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, so the isomorphism type of Q_w changes when k varies. This proves the first assertion of the proposition for $n = 4$. The extension to larger n is again straightforward. \square

Appendix: Groups with finitely many McCool groups

In this appendix we describe cases when $\text{Out}(G)$ only contains finitely many McCool subgroups. In particular, we show that the values of n given in Proposition 1.7 are optimal.

Proposition A.1 *If G is a torsion-free, one-ended hyperbolic group, then $\text{Out}(G)$ only contains finitely many McCool groups up to conjugacy.*

Proposition A.2 *$\text{Out}(F_2)$ only contains finitely many McCool groups up to conjugacy.*

Proposition A.3 *$\text{Out}(F_3)$ only contains finitely many McCool groups up to isomorphism.*

The proof of Proposition A.1 requires the fact that $\text{Out}(G)$, and, more generally, extended McCool groups $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\mathcal{C})$, only contain finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups. This will appear in [17].

Proof of Proposition A.1 We assume that $\text{Out}(G)$ contains infinitely many non-conjugate elementary McCool groups $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ and we derive a contradiction (this implies the proposition, by Corollary 1.6).

It is proved in [33, Corollary 4.9] that there are only finitely many minimal actions of G on trees with cyclic edge stabilizers, up to the action of $\text{Out}(G)$, so we may assume that the canonical cyclic JSJ tree relative to \mathcal{C}_i (the tree T_{can} of Section 2.2) is a given tree T . This tree is invariant under all groups $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$, so $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i) \subset \text{Out}(T)$. In this proof, we cannot restrict to $\text{Out}^0(T)$.

Given a vertex v of T , we define $\mathcal{C}_{i,v}$ as the restriction $\mathcal{C}_i|_{G_v}$ if G_v is cyclic and as $\mathcal{C}_i|_{\parallel G_v}$ if G_v is not cyclic (recall from Section 2.1 that conjugacy classes represented by elements fixing an edge of T do not belong to $\mathcal{C}_i|_{\parallel G_v}$). The tree being bipartite, \mathcal{C}_i is the disjoint union of the $\mathcal{C}_{i,v}$.

We say that v is *used* if $\mathcal{C}_{i,v}$ is non-empty. Since there are finitely many G -orbits of vertices, we may assume that usedness is independent of i ; we let V_u be a set of representatives of orbits of used vertices. We may also assume that the type of vertices with non-cyclic stabilizer (rigid or QH) is independent of i (QH vertices with Σ a pair of pants are rigid; we do not consider them as QH).

We claim that QH vertices G_v of T are not used. Indeed, any boundary subgroup of G_v is an incident edge stabilizer of T : otherwise, G_v would split as a free product relative to Inc_v , contradicting one-endedness of G . Elements in \mathcal{C}_i are universally elliptic (relative to \mathcal{C}_i) and the only universally elliptic subgroups of G_v are contained in boundary subgroups of G_v because G_v is flexible (see [21, Proposition 7.6]), so $\mathcal{C}_i|_{\parallel G_v}$ is empty.

For $v \in V_u$, define $\text{Out}_i(G_v) \subset \text{Out}(G_v)$ as the set of automorphisms which fix each conjugacy class in $\mathcal{C}_{i,v}$ and leave the set of incident edge stabilizers globally invariant. Any automorphism in $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ is an automorphism of T which leaves G_v invariant (up to conjugacy) and induces an automorphism belonging to $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$. Conversely, any automorphism of T satisfying these properties for every $v \in V_u$ lies in $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$. This means that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ is completely determined by the knowledge of the groups $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$ for $v \in V_u$.

We complete the proof by showing that there are only finitely many possibilities for each $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$. This is clear if G_v is cyclic, and QH vertices are not used, so there remains to consider the case where G_v is rigid.

In this case, $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$ is finite by Lemma 2.3 (otherwise G_v would have a cyclic splitting relative to Inc_v and $\mathcal{C}_{i,v}$, contradicting rigidity). Since G_v is hyperbolic, $\text{Out}(G_v)$ has finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups [17]. We deduce that there are finitely many possibilities for $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$, up to conjugacy in $\text{Out}(G_v)$. Unfortunately, this is not enough to get finiteness for $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ up to conjugacy in $\text{Out}(G)$, because the conjugator may fail to extend to an automorphism of G .

To remedy this, we consider $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v)$ and $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\text{Inc}_v)$, with Inc_v the family of incident edge groups as in Section 2.1 and $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\text{Inc}_v) = \widehat{\text{Out}}(G_v; \text{Inc}_v)$ the set of outer automorphisms of G_v preserving Inc_v (see Definition 2.1; edge groups may be permuted and the generator of an edge group may be mapped to its inverse).

The group $\text{Out}_i(G_v) \subset \text{Out}(G_v)$ is finite and contained in $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\text{Inc}_v)$ (but not necessarily in $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v)$). By [17], $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\text{Inc}_v)$ has only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups. It follows that there are only finitely many possibilities for $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$ up to conjugation by an element of $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\text{Inc}_v)$, hence also up to conjugation by an element of $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v)$ since $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v)$ has finite index in $\widehat{\text{Mc}}(\text{Inc}_v)$.

We may therefore assume that $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$ is independent of i if G_v is cyclic and $v \in V_u$, and that all groups $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$ are conjugate by elements of $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v)$ if $v \in V_u$ is rigid. Any element of $\text{Mc}(\text{Inc}_v)$ extends “by the identity” to an automorphism of G which leaves T invariant and acts trivially (as conjugation by an element of G) on G_w if w is not in the orbit of v . Since $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ is determined by the groups $\text{Out}_i(G_v)$ for $v \in V_u$, we conclude that all groups $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ are conjugate in $\text{Out}(G)$. \square

Proof of Proposition A.2 We view $\text{Out}(F_2) \simeq \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as the mapping class group of a punctured torus Σ (with orientation-reversing maps allowed). Let c be a peripheral conjugacy class (representing the commutator of basis elements of F_2).

We consider a McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$. We may assume that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is infinite. By the classification of elements of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ or by the Bestvina–Paulin

method and Rips theory, F_2 then splits over a cyclic group relative to \mathcal{H} and c (see for instance [25, Theorem 3.9]). Such a splitting is dual to a non-peripheral simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Sigma$.

If there are two different splittings, they are dual to curves γ and γ' whose union fills Σ , so \mathcal{H} only contains peripheral subgroups. It follows that $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is either $\text{Out}(F_2) \simeq \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ or $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. If the splitting is unique, $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ fixes γ (viewed as an unoriented curve up to isotopy). Since the splitting dual to γ is relative to \mathcal{H} , the Dehn twist T_γ around γ is contained in $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$. The stabilizer $\text{Stab}(\gamma)$ of γ in the mapping class group contains $\langle T_\gamma \rangle$ with finite index (the index is 4 because a homeomorphism may reverse the orientation of Σ and/or of γ). We thus have $\langle T_\gamma \rangle \subset \text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Stab}(\gamma)$, with both indices finite. Finiteness of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ up to conjugacy follows, since γ is unique up to the action of the mapping class group. \square

The remainder of this appendix is devoted to the proof of Proposition A.3. We first record a few useful facts.

Lemma A.4 *Fix n . Up to isomorphism, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ only contains finitely many virtually solvable subgroups.*

Proof Virtually solvable subgroups are virtually abelian [1; 5]. More precisely, they contain \mathbb{Z}^k with $k \leq 2n - 3$ as a subgroup of bounded index (see [5, Proof of Theorem 1.1, page 94]). This implies finiteness, for instance by [32, Theorem 8.6]. \square

Lemma A.5 *Let A be virtually cyclic and B be virtually F_n for some n . Up to isomorphism, there are only finitely many groups which are extensions of A by B .*

Proof This follows from standard extension theory [8, Sections III.10 and IV.6], noting that $\text{Out}(A)$ is finite and B has a finite-index subgroup with trivial H^2 . \square

Proof of Proposition A.3 Now consider a McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Out}(F_3)$. The first step is to reduce to the case where F_3 is freely indecomposable relative to \mathcal{H} . If this does not hold, let Γ be a Grushko decomposition relative to \mathcal{H} (see Section 2.2). It is not unique; we choose one with as few edges as possible.

If all vertex groups are cyclic, groups in \mathcal{H} are generated (up to conjugacy) by powers of elements belonging to some fixed basis of F_3 , and finiteness holds. Otherwise, there is a vertex group $G_v \simeq F_2$. Our choice of Γ implies that Γ has a single edge (it is an HNN extension, or an amalgam $F_2 * \mathbb{Z}$ with a finite-index subgroup of \mathbb{Z} belonging to \mathcal{H}). It follows that Γ is $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ -invariant [14; 28] and $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is determined by its image in $\text{Out}(F_2)$. This image is the McCool group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H}|_{F_2})$, so finiteness follows from Proposition A.2.

We continue the proof under the assumption that F_3 is freely indecomposable relative to \mathcal{H} . Let Γ_{can} be the canonical $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ -invariant cyclic JSJ decomposition relative to \mathcal{H} (see Section 2.2). Vertex groups G_v are cyclic, rigid or QH.

One easily checks the formula $\sum_v (\text{rk } G_v - 1) = 2$. In particular, $\text{rk } G_v \leq 3$ for all v and, if some G_v is isomorphic to F_3 , then all other vertex groups are cyclic.

If $G_v \simeq \pi_1(\Sigma)$ is a QH vertex group, it is isomorphic to F_2 or F_3 , so there are 9 possibilities for the compact surface Σ :

- (1) Pair of pants.
- (2) Sphere with 4 boundary components.
- (3) Projective plane with 2 boundary components.
- (4) Projective plane with 3 boundary components.
- (5) Torus with 1 boundary component.
- (6) Torus with 2 boundary components.
- (7) Klein bottle with 1 boundary component.
- (8) Klein bottle with 2 boundary components.
- (9) Non-orientable surface of genus 3 with 1 boundary component.

Each incident edge group G_e is (up to conjugacy) a boundary subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Conversely, there are two possibilities for a boundary subgroup C . If it is an incident edge group, it equals G_e for a unique incident edge. If not, we say that the corresponding boundary component of Σ is *free*; in this case, some finite-index subgroup of C belongs to \mathcal{H} .

As in Section 2.3, the finite-index subgroup $\text{Mc}^0(\mathcal{H})$ of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ acting trivially on Γ_{can} maps to $\prod_v \text{Out}(G_v)$ with kernel the group of twists \mathcal{T} . The image in $\text{Out}(G_v)$ is finite if G_v is cyclic or rigid, and virtually the mapping class group of Σ if G_v is QH, and \mathcal{T} is isomorphic to some \mathbb{Z}^k (see [25, Section 4.3]).

By mapping class group, we mean the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of a compact surface Σ mapping each boundary component to itself in an orientation-preserving way. We denote it by $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ as in Section 2.2.

By Lemma A.4, we may assume that there is a QH vertex v with $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ non-solvable. As explained above, there are 9 possibilities for Σ . Cases 1, 3 and 7 are ruled out because $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ is virtually cyclic (see [34], or argue as in the proof of Proposition A.2, noting that a finite-index subgroup of $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ fixes a conjugacy class of F_2 which is not a power of the commutator).

If Γ_{can} is trivial (ie if the QH subgroup G_v is the whole group), $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is the mapping class group of Σ . We therefore assume that Γ_{can} is non-trivial.

Lemma A.6 *If G_v has rank 3, then Σ has a free boundary component.*

Proof This follows from [4, Lemma 4.1], a generalization of the standard fact that a cyclic amalgam $A *_c B$ of free groups is free only if c belongs to a basis in A or B . □

This lemma rules out case 9.

Now suppose that all vertices of Γ_{can} other than v are terminal vertices carrying \mathbb{Z} (by Lemma A.6, this holds in cases 6 and 8). In this case the group of twists \mathcal{T} is trivial (see [27, Proposition 3.1]). The group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ contains $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ with finite index and there are finitely many possibilities: they depend on whether edges of Γ_{can} may be permuted and whether elements in edge groups may be mapped to their inverse.

We must now deal with cases 2, 4 and 5. We start with 4. The only possibility left is that Γ_{can} has two vertices v and w joined by 2 edges, with G_w cyclic. Every automorphism leaving Γ_{can} invariant maps G_v to itself (up to conjugacy), and we consider the natural map from $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\text{Out}(G_v)$. As above, the image contains $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ with finite index and there are finitely many possibilities. The kernel is the group of twists \mathcal{T} , which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . Since $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to F_3 by [34, Theorem 7.5], we conclude by Lemma A.5.

The argument in case 2 is similar. Besides v and w , there may be another vertex w' , with $G_{w'}$ cyclic and a single edge between v and w' . The group $\mathcal{PM}^+(\Sigma)$ is again free; it is isomorphic to F_2 (see for instance [13, Section 4.2.4]).

In case 5 (a once-punctured torus), there is a single edge incident to v . Collapsing all other edges yields a $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ -invariant decomposition as an amalgam $F_3 = G_v *_a G_w$ with $G_w \simeq F_2$. By the standard fact recalled above, a belongs to a basis of G_w (and is equal to a commutator in G_v). The group $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ acts trivially on the graph underlying this amalgam and the map ρ (see Section 2.3) maps $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\text{Out}(G_v) \times \text{Out}(G_w)$, with kernel the group of twists \mathcal{T} , isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . The image in $\text{Out}(G_v)$ is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ or $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

We now consider the image L of $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ in $\text{Out}(G_w)$. It preserves the conjugacy class of $\langle a \rangle$. If L is finite (necessarily of order at most 6), then $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ maps onto $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ or $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with virtually cyclic kernel K ; there are finitely many possibilities for K up to isomorphism (it maps to L with cyclic kernel), and we conclude by Lemma A.5. As explained in the proof of Proposition A.2, if L is infinite, it is virtually cyclic, contains

a “Dehn twist” T_a and has index at most 4 in the stabilizer of the conjugacy class of $\langle a \rangle$ in $\text{Out}(G_w)$. Since $\text{Mc}(\mathcal{H})$ is determined by its image in $\text{Out}(G_v) \times \text{Out}(G_w)$ and this image contains $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times \langle T_a \rangle$, this leaves only finitely many possibilities. \square

References

- [1] **E Alibegović**, *Translation lengths in $\text{Out}(F_n)$* , *Geom. Dedicata* 92 (2002) 87–93 MR1934012
- [2] **Y Antolin, A Minasyan, A Sisto**, *Commensurating endomorphisms of acylindrically hyperbolic groups and applications*, preprint (2013) arXiv:1310.8605
- [3] **M Bestvina, M Feighn**, *Bounding the complexity of simplicial group actions on trees*, *Invent. Math.* 103 (1991) 449–469 MR1091614
- [4] **M Bestvina, M Feighn**, *Outer limits*, preprint (1992) Available at <http://andromeda.rutgers.edu/~feighn/papers/outer.pdf>
- [5] **M Bestvina, M Feighn, M Handel**, *Solvable subgroups of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ are virtually abelian*, *Geom. Dedicata* 104 (2004) 71–96 MR2043955
- [6] **A Borel**, *Density and maximality of arithmetic subgroups*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 224 (1966) 78–89 MR0205999
- [7] **A Borel, J-P Serre**, *Corners and arithmetic groups*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 48 (1973) 436–491 MR0387495
- [8] **K S Brown**, *Cohomology of groups*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 87, Springer, New York (1982) MR672956
- [9] **M Culler, K Vogtmann**, *Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups*, *Invent. Math.* 84 (1986) 91–119 MR830040
- [10] **F Dahmani**, *Classifying spaces and boundaries for relatively hyperbolic groups*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 86 (2003) 666–684 MR1974394
- [11] **F Dahmani, D Groves**, *The isomorphism problem for toral relatively hyperbolic groups*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* (2008) 211–290 MR2434694
- [12] **F Dahmani, V Guirardel**, *The isomorphism problem for all hyperbolic groups*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 21 (2011) 223–300 MR2795509
- [13] **B Farb, D Margalit**, *A primer on mapping class groups*, *Princeton Mathematical Series* 49, Princeton Univ. Press (2012) MR2850125
- [14] **M Forester**, *Deformation and rigidity of simplicial group actions on trees*, *Geom. Topol.* 6 (2002) 219–267 MR1914569
- [15] **R Geoghegan**, *Topological methods in group theory*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 243, Springer, New York (2008) MR2365352

- [16] **V Guirardel**, *Actions of finitely generated groups on \mathbb{R} -trees*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008) 159–211 MR2401220
- [17] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *Extension finiteness for relatively hyperbolic groups*, in preparation
- [18] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *Strata and canonical subtrees of \mathbb{R} -trees*, in preparation
- [19] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *Deformation spaces of trees*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 1 (2007) 135–181 MR2319455
- [20] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *The outer space of a free product*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 94 (2007) 695–714 MR2325317
- [21] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *JSJ decompositions: definitions, existence, uniqueness, I: The JSJ deformation space*, preprint (2009) arXiv:0911.3173
- [22] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *JSJ decompositions: definitions, existence, uniqueness, II: Compatibility and acylindricity*, preprint (2010) arXiv:1002.4564
- [23] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *Trees of cylinders and canonical splittings*, Geom. Topol. 15 (2011) 977–1012 MR2821568
- [24] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *Vertex finiteness for splittings of relatively hyperbolic groups*, preprint (2013) arXiv:1311.2835 to appear in Israel J. Math.
- [25] **V Guirardel, G Levitt**, *Splittings and automorphisms of relatively hyperbolic groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 9 (2015) 599–663 MR3356977
- [26] **M Handel, L Mosher**, *Subgroup decomposition in $\text{Out}(F_n)$: introduction and research announcement*, preprint (2013) arXiv:1302.2681
- [27] **G Levitt**, *Automorphisms of hyperbolic groups and graphs of groups*, Geom. Dedicata 114 (2005) 49–70 MR2174093
- [28] **G Levitt**, *Characterizing rigid simplicial actions on trees*, from: “Geometric methods in group theory”, (J Burillo, S Cleary, M Elder, J Taback, E Ventura, editors), Contemp. Math. 372, Amer. Math. Soc. (2005) 27–33 MR2139674
- [29] **A Martino, E Ventura**, *Fixed subgroups are compressed in free groups*, Comm. Algebra 32 (2004) 3921–3935 MR2097438
- [30] **J McCool**, *Some finitely presented subgroups of the automorphism group of a free group*, J. Algebra 35 (1975) 205–213 MR0396764
- [31] **A Minasyan, D Osin**, *Normal automorphisms of relatively hyperbolic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010) 6079–6103 MR2661509
- [32] **D Segal**, *Polycyclic groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 82, Cambridge Univ. Press (1983) MR713786
- [33] **Z Sela**, *Acylindrical accessibility for groups*, Invent. Math. 129 (1997) 527–565 MR1465334

- [34] **B Szepietowski**, *A presentation for the mapping class group of a non-orientable surface from the action on the complex of curves*, *Osaka J. Math.* 45 (2008) 283–326
MR2441942

Institut de Recherche Mathématique de Rennes, Université de Rennes 1 et CNRS (UMR 6625)
263 avenue du Général Leclerc, CS 74205, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, Université de Caen et CNRS (UMR 6139)
BP 5186, 14032 Caen Cedex 5, France

vincent.guirardel@univ-rennes1.fr, levitt@unicaen.fr

Received: 15 October 2014