A family of transverse link homologies
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We define a homology $\mathcal{H}_N$ for closed braids by applying Khovanov and Rozansky’s matrix factorization construction with potential $ax^{N+1}$. Up to a grading shift, $\mathcal{H}_0$ is the HOMFLYPT homology defined by Khovanov and Rozansky. We demonstrate that for $N \geq 1$, $\mathcal{H}_N$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$-module that is invariant under transverse Markov moves, but not under negative stabilization/destabilization. Thus, for $N \geq 1$, this homology is an invariant for transverse links in the standard contact $S^3$, but not for smooth links. We also discuss the decategorification of $\mathcal{H}_N$ and the relation between $\mathcal{H}_N$ and the $sl(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology.

1 Introduction

1.1 Transverse links in the standard contact $S^3$

A contact structure $\xi$ on an oriented 3–manifold $M$ is an oriented tangent plane distribution such that there is a 1–form $\alpha$ on $M$ satisfying $\xi = \ker \alpha$, $d\alpha|_{\xi} > 0$ and $\alpha \wedge d\alpha > 0$. Such a 1–form is called a contact form for $\xi$. The standard contact structure $\xi_{st}$ on $S^3$ is given by the contact form $\alpha_{st} = dz - ydx + xdy = dz + r^2d\theta$.

We say that an oriented smooth link $L$ in $S^3$ is transverse if $\alpha_{st}|_{L} > 0$. Two transverse links are said to be transverse isotopic if there is an isotopy from one to the other through transverse links. In [2], Bennequin proved that every transverse link is transverse isotopic to a counterclockwise transverse closed braid around the $z$–axis. Clearly, any smooth counterclockwise closed braid around the $z$–axis can be smoothly isotoped into a transverse closed braid around the $z$–axis without changing the braid word. In the rest of this paper, all closed braids are counterclockwise and around the $z$–axis.

Recall that two closed braids represent the same smooth link if and only if one of them can be changed into the other by a finite sequence of Markov moves, which are:

- Braid group relations generated by
  - $\sigma_i \sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_i = \varnothing$,
  - $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ when $|i - j| > 1$,
  - $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$.
Conjugations: \( \mu \leftrightarrow \eta^{-1} \mu \eta \), where \( \mu, \eta \in B_m \).

Stabilizations and destabilizations:
- Positive: \( \mu (\in B_m) \leftrightarrow \mu \sigma_m (\in B_{m+1}) \).
- Negative: \( \mu (\in B_m) \leftrightarrow \mu \sigma_m^{-1} (\in B_{m+1}) \).

In the above, \( B_m \) is the braid group on \( m \) strands.

The following theorem by Orevkov and Shevchishin [15] and Wrinkle [18] describes when two transverse closed braids are transverse isotopic.

**Theorem 1.1** [15; 18] *Two transverse closed braids are transverse isotopic if and only if the braid word of one of them can be changed into that of the other by a finite sequence of braid group relations, conjugations and positive stabilizations and destabilizations.*

From now on, braid group relations, conjugations and positive stabilizations and destabilizations will be called transverse Markov moves. Theorem 1.1 tells us that there is a one-to-one correspondence:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \text{Transverse isotopy classes of transverse links} \} & \leftrightarrow \{ \text{Closed braids modulo transverse Markov moves} \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that \( \xi_{st} \) admits a nowhere-vanishing basis \( \{ \partial_x + y \partial_z, \partial_y - x \partial_z \} \). For each transverse link \( L \), this basis induces a trivialization of the normal bundle of \( L \) in \( S^3 \), that is, a framing of \( L \). We call this framing the contact framing of \( L \). With its contact framing, any transverse link is also a framed link. It is easy to see that if two transverse links are transverse isotopic, then they are isotopic as framed links. It is possible for two transverse links to be non-isotopic as transverse links, but still isotopic as framed links. If a smooth link type contains two transverse links that are isotopic as framed links but not as transverse links, then we call this smooth link type “transverse non-simple”. An invariant for transverse links is called classical if it depends only on the framed link type of the transverse link. Otherwise, it is called non-classical or effective (in the sense that it is effective in detecting transverse non-simplicity).

See, for example, Birman and Menasco [4], Etnyre [5], Etnyre and Honda [6], and Ng [14] for more about transverse links and their invariants.

### 1.2 The Khovanov–Rozansky homology

In [10], Khovanov and Rozansky introduced an approach to construct link homologies using matrix factorizations, which consists of the following steps:
(1) Choose a base ring $R$ and a potential polynomial $p(x) \in R[x]$.

(2) Define matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs using this potential $p(x)$.

(3) Define chain complexes of matrix factorizations associated to link diagrams using the crossing information.

This approach has been carried out for the following potential polynomials:

- $x^{N+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, which gives the $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology; see Khovanov and Rozansky [10].
- $a x \in \mathbb{Q}[a,x]$, which gives the HOMFLYPT homology; see Khovanov and Rozansky [11].
- $x^{N+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \lambda_l x^l \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, giving the deformed $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology; see Gornik [8] and Wu [20].
- $x^{N+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} a_l x^l \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, \ldots, a_N, x]$, which gives the equivariant $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology; see Krasner [12].

Among these link homologies, the HOMFLYPT homology appears somewhat different. All the other homologies are invariant under all Reidemeister moves. Therefore, these homologies of a given smooth link can be computed from any diagram of this link. But the HOMFLYPT homology is only invariant under braid-like Reidemeister moves. So the HOMFLYPT homology of a smooth link can only be computed from its braid diagrams.

In [11], Khovanov and Rozansky proposed studying the homology defined by the potential polynomial $\sum_{l=1}^{N+1} a_l x^l \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, \ldots, a_N, a_{N+1}, x]$, which generalizes the HOMFLYPT homology.

### 1.3 A family of transverse link homologies

By Theorem 1.1, one can construct an invariant for transverse links by constructing an invariant for closed braids that is invariant under transverse Markov moves. This is what we will do in the current paper.

More precisely, we will generalize the construction in [11] to a matrix factorization construction with the potential polynomial $p(x) = ax^{N+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[a,x]$. We will:

1. Work with the potential polynomial $ax^{N+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[a,x]$.
2. Define matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs in Definition 3.5 (see also equations (3-6) and (3-7)).
3. Define chain complexes of matrix factorizations associated to link diagrams in Definition 4.2.
For each $N \geq 0$, this construction gives a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$–graded homology $\mathcal{H}_N$. Of course, when $N = 0$, the homology we get is just the HOMFLYPT homology with a grading shift. It turns out that, when $N \geq 1$, $\mathcal{H}_N$ is only invariant under positive Reidemeister move I and braid-like Reidemeister moves II and III. So it is not a smooth link invariant. But, when we restrict to closed braids, this homology is invariant under transverse Markov moves. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, it is a transverse link invariant.

The definition of $\mathcal{H}_N$ and the proof of its invariance may look very similar to that of the $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology in [10]. But the graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–module structure of $\mathcal{H}_N$ displays interesting properties and may contain more topological information than the $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky and HOMFLYPT homologies. To control the length of the paper, we limit the goal of the current paper to defining the homology $\mathcal{H}_N$, proving its invariance and establishing some very basic properties of $\mathcal{H}_N$. We leave the more detailed study of properties of $\mathcal{H}_N$ to a follow-up paper [19].

The following is the main result of the current paper.

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose $N \geq 1$. Let $B$ be a closed braid and $(\mathcal{C}_N(B), a_m, d_x)$ the chain complex of matrix factorizations associated to $B$ defined in Definition 4.2. Then the homotopy type of $\mathcal{C}_N(B)$ does not change under transverse Markov moves. Moreover, the homotopy equivalences induced by transverse Markov moves preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$–grading of $\mathcal{C}_N(B)$, where the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading of the underlying matrix factorization and the three $\mathbb{Z}$–gradings are the homological, $a$–, and $x$–gradings of $\mathcal{C}_N(B)$.

Consequently, for the homology $\mathcal{H}_N(B) = H(\mathcal{C}_N(B), a_m, d_x)$ of $\mathcal{C}_N(B)$ defined in Definition 4.3, every transverse Markov move on $B$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_N(B)$ preserving the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$–grading of $\mathcal{H}_N(B)$ inherited from $\mathcal{C}_N(B)$.

Theorem 1.2 follows directly from Propositions 5.5, 6.1 and 7.5. The proofs of the invariance under braid-like Reidemeister moves II and III in Propositions 6.1 and 7.5 are fairly similar to those in [10; 11]. But the proof of the invariance under positive Reidemeister move I in Proposition 5.5 is quite different from that in [10; 11]. This is mainly because we need to handle matrix factorizations that are not homotopically finite.

**Question 1.3** Is $\mathcal{H}_N(B)$ a classical or a non-classical invariant for transverse links?

**1.4 Negative stabilization**

Next we describe how $\mathcal{H}_N$ changes under negative stabilizations and demonstrate by a simple example that $\mathcal{H}_N$ is not invariant under negative stabilizations. Theorem 1.5
and Corollary 1.6 in this subsection will be proved in Section 5.4. We will use the following notation in our statements.

**Definition 1.4** For a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$–graded space $H$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading, a homological grading, an $a$–grading and an $x$–grading, we denote by $H^{\varepsilon,i,j,k}$ the subspace of $H$ of homogeneous elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2$–degree $\varepsilon$, homological degree $i$, $a$–degree $j$ and $x$–degree $k$.

Replacing one of these indices by a “$\ast$” means direct summing over all possible values of this index. For example,

$$H^{\varepsilon,i,\ast,k} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{\varepsilon,i,j,k} \quad \text{and} \quad H^{\varepsilon,i,\ast,\ast} = \bigoplus_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}} H^{\varepsilon,i,j,k}.$$  

Moreover, we denote by $H\{q,r\}$ the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$–graded space obtained from $H$ by shifting the $a$–grading by $q$ and the $x$–grading by $r$. That is, $(H\{q,r\})^{\varepsilon,i,j,k} = H^{\varepsilon,i,j-q,k-r}$. We also use the notation $H^{\varepsilon,i,\ast,\ast}\{q,r\} := (H\{q,r\})^{\varepsilon,i,\ast,\ast}$.

To state Theorem 1.5, we need to introduce a homomorphism $\pi_0$. Note that for any $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorization $M$ of 0 over $\mathbb{Q}[a]$, $M/aM$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorization of 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Denote by $\pi_0 : M \to M/aM$ the standard quotient map, which induces a homomorphism $\pi_0 : H(M) \to H(M/aM)$ of homology of matrix factorizations. For a chain complex $(C,d)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorizations of 0 over $\mathbb{Q}[a]$, this further induces a homomorphism $\pi_0 : H(H(C,d_{mf}),d) \to H(H(C/aC,d_{mf}),d)$. Generally speaking, these induced homomorphisms are no longer quotient maps. To simplify the notation, we write $\mathcal{H}_N(L) := H(H(C_N(L)/aC_N(L),d_{mf}),d)$.

The following theorem is proved in Section 5.4.

**Theorem 1.5** Let $L$ be a transverse closed braid, and let $L_-$ be a transverse closed braid obtained from $L$ by a single negative stabilization. Then the chain complex $(H(C_N(L_-),d_{mf}),d)\}$ is isomorphic to the total chain complex of

$$0 \to \underbrace{(H(C_N(L),d_{mf}),d)\{2,0\}}_{\text{0}} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \underbrace{(H(C_N(L)/aC_N(L),d_{mf}),d)\{2,0\}}_{\text{1}} \to 0,$$

where the under-braces indicate shifts of the homological grading. This isomorphism preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$–grading. In particular, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{H}_N^{\varepsilon,i-1,\ast,\ast}(L)\{2,0\} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \mathcal{H}_N^{\varepsilon,i-1,\ast,\ast}(L_-)\{2,0\} \to \mathcal{H}_N^{\varepsilon,i,\ast,\ast}(L_-) \to \mathcal{H}_N^{\varepsilon,i,\ast,\ast}(L)\{2,0\} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \mathcal{H}_N^{\varepsilon,i,\ast,\ast}(L_-) \to \cdots$$

preserving the $a$– and $x$–gradings.
The following corollary shows that for $N \geq 1$, $\mathcal{H}_N$ is not invariant under negative stabilizations. This corollary is proved in Section 5.4 below.

**Corollary 1.6** Let $U$ be the transverse unknot represented by the 1–strand braid, and $U_-$ the transverse unknot obtained from $U$ by a single negative stabilization. For $N \geq 1$, $\mathcal{H}_N(U) \cong \mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(ax^N)$, where $a$ is a variable of bidegree $(2, 0)$ and $x$ is a variable of bidegree $(0, 2)$. As $\mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–modules,

$$\mathcal{H}^{e,i,*,*}_N(U) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{l=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{Q}[a] \{-1, -N+1+2l\} \\ \oplus \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a) \{-1, N+1+2m\} \end{cases} \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1 \text{ and } i = 0, \quad \text{otherwise},
$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{e,i,*,*}_N(U_-) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{l=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{Q}[a] \{-1, -N+1+2l\} \\ \oplus \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a) \{-2, 2m\} \end{cases} \text{ if } \varepsilon = 0 \text{ and } i = 1, \quad \text{otherwise}.\] More generally, the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 3$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–modules structures of $\mathcal{H}_N$ for all transverse unknots are computed in [19, Corollary 1.7].

### 1.5 Decategorification

**Definition 1.7** We define the decategorification $\mathcal{P}_N$ of $\mathcal{H}_N$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_N(B) := \sum_{(e,i,j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 3} (-1)^i \tau^e \alpha^j \xi^k \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}^{e,i,j,k}_N(B) \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \xi][\alpha^{-1}, \xi^{-1}, \tau]/(\tau^2 - 1)$$

for any closed braid $B$.\(^1\)

Next, we describe $\mathcal{P}_N$ by a skein definition that is very similar to the classical HOMFLYPT skein relation.

**Theorem 1.8** (1) $\mathcal{P}_N$ is invariant under transverse Markov moves.

(2) $\alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N} \mathcal{P}_N\left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \xi \end{array}\right) - \alpha \xi^N \mathcal{P}_N\left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \xi \end{array}\right) = \tau(\xi^{-1} - \xi) \mathcal{P}_N\left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \xi \end{array}\right)$.

\(^1\)From the definition of $\mathcal{C}_N(B)$, one can see that its homological grading is bounded and its $a$– and $x$–gradings are bounded below.
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(3) Denote by $U^{|\cup m|}$ the $m$–strand closed braid with no crossings. Then
\[ P_N(U^{|\cup 1|}) = \tau \alpha^{-1} \left( \left[ \frac{\bar{N}}{N} \right] \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{2i} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi^{N+1+2j} \right). \]
\[ P_N(U^{|\cup m|}) = \left( \tau \alpha^{-1} \left[ \frac{\bar{N}}{N} \right] \right)^m \left( \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} + \frac{\frac{\tau \alpha^{\xi^{-1} + \xi^{-N}}}{\tau \alpha^{\xi^{-N-1} + 1}}}{\xi^{-N-1} - \xi} \right) \]
for $m \geq 1$, where $\left[ \frac{\bar{N}}{N} \right] := (\bar{\xi}^{-N} - \bar{\xi}^N)/(\bar{\xi}^{-1} - \bar{\xi})$.

(4) Parts (1)–(3) above uniquely determine the value of $P_N$ on every closed braid.

Theorem 1.8 will be proved in Section 8 below. In a nutshell, parts (1)–(3) of this theorem follow from the definition of $H_N$ and Theorems 1.2 and 1.5, while part (4) follows from the “invariant computation tree” constructed by Franks and Williams in [7].

Remark 1.9 Unfortunately, $P_N$ turns out to be much less interesting than it appears. For example, in a forthcoming update of [19], we will include a proof that $P_N$ is a classical invariant of transverse links.

1.6 Relation to the $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology

Denote by $H_N$ the $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology defined in [10]. $H_N$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded link homology theory, where the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading of the underlying matrix factorization and the two $\mathbb{Z}$–gradings are the homological grading and the $x$–grading. We denote by $H_N^{\varepsilon, i, k}$ the homogeneous component of $H_N$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$–degree $\varepsilon$, homological grading $i$ and $x$–grading $k$.

The following theorem, which will be proved in Section 9, describes the relation between $H_N$ and $H_N$.

Theorem 1.10 Let $B$ be a closed braid, and $(\varepsilon, i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$. Then:

(1) $H_N^{\varepsilon, i, k}(B) \cong H_N^{\varepsilon, i, *, k}(B)/(a - 1)H_N^{\varepsilon, i, *, k}(B)$.

(2) As a $\mathbb{Z}$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–module,
\[ H_N^{\varepsilon, i, *, k}(B) \cong \left( \bigoplus_{p=1}^{m_{\varepsilon, i, k}} \mathbb{Q}[a][s_p] \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{q=1}^{n_{\varepsilon, i, k}} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a^l) \{t_q \} \right), \]

where

\footnote{For the structure of $H_N(U^{|\cup m|})$, see [19, Lemma 3.6].}
\begin{itemize}
\item \{s\} means shifting the $a$–grading by $s$,
\item $m_{\varepsilon,i,k} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{N}^{\varepsilon,i,k}(B) < \infty$,
\item $n_{\varepsilon,i,k}$ is a finite non-negative integer determined by $B$ and the triple $(\varepsilon, i, k)$,
\item \{\(s_1, \ldots, s_{m_{\varepsilon,i,k}}\) $\in \mathbb{Z}$ is a sequence determined up to permutation by $B$ and the triple $(\varepsilon, i, k)$,
\item \{(\(l_1, t_1\), \ldots, (\(l_{n_{\varepsilon,i,k}}, t_{n_{\varepsilon,i,k}}\)) $\in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$ is a sequence determined up to permutation by $B$ and the triple $(\varepsilon, i, k)$.
\end{itemize}

A more precise description of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–module structure of $\mathcal{H}_N$ can be found in [19, Theorem 1.4].

### 1.7 Organization of this paper

We review the definition and basic properties of matrix factorizations in Section 2. Then we define the matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs and chain complexes associated to link diagrams in Sections 3 and 4. The invariance is established in Sections 5–7. Finally, we discuss the decategorification and the relation to the $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology in Sections 8 and 9.

Although this paper is mostly self-contained, some prior experience with the Khovanov–Rozansky homology would certainly be helpful.
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### 2 Matrix factorizations

In this section, we review the definition and some basic properties of matrix factorizations over the bigraded polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[a, X_1, \ldots, X_k]$.

We write $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ and fix a non-negative integer $N$ throughout this section.

#### 2.1 $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded $R$–modules

**Definition 2.1** We define a $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading on $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ by letting $\deg a = (2, 0)$ and $\deg X_i = (0, 2n_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, where each $n_i$ is a positive integer. We call the first component of this $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading the $a$–grading and denote its degree function by $\deg_a$. We call the second component of this $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading the $x$–grading
and denote its degree function by \( \deg_x \). An element of \( R \) is said to be homogeneous if it is homogeneous with respect to both the \( a \)-grading and the \( x \)-grading.

A \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( R \)-module \( M \) is a \( R \)-module \( M \) equipped with a \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading such that, for any homogeneous element\(^3\) \( m \) of \( M \), \( \deg(am) = \deg m + (2, 0) \) and \( \deg(X_i m) = \deg m + (0, 2ni) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Again, we call the first component of this \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading of \( M \) the \( a \)-grading and denote its degree function by \( \deg_a \). We call the second component of this \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading of \( M \) the \( x \)-grading and denote its degree function by \( \deg_x \).

We say that the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading on \( M \) is bounded below if both the \( a \)-grading and the \( x \)-grading are bounded below.

For a \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( R \)-module \( M \), we denote by \( M\{j,k\} \) the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( R \)-module obtained by shifting the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading of \( M \) by \( (j,k) \). That is, for any homogeneous element \( m \) of \( M \), we have \( \deg_{M\{j,k\}} m = \deg_M m + (j,k) \).

A basis of a \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded free \( R \)-module \( M \) is called a homogeneous basis if all the elements of this basis are homogeneous.

In our construction of \( \mathcal{H}_N \), we need to use the fact that if the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading of a \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded free \( R \)-module \( M \) is bounded below, then \( M \) admits a homogeneous basis over \( R \). To prove this, we start with the following lemma, which is implicitly given in [16].

**Lemma 2.2** [21, Lemma 4.4] Suppose that \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded free \( \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_k] \)-module whose grading is bounded below. Then \( M \) admits a homogeneous basis over \( \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_k] \).

**Proof** See [21, Section 4.1]. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 2.3** Suppose that \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded free \( R \)-module and its \( a \)-grading and \( x \)-grading are both bounded below. Then \( M \) admits a homogeneous basis over \( R \).

In particular, if \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded finitely generated free \( R \)-module, then \( M \) admits a homogeneous basis over \( R \).

**Proof** Assume that \( M \) is a free \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( R \)-module and both the \( a \)-grading and the \( x \)-grading on \( M \) are bounded below. Denote by \( j_0 \) the lowest \( a \)-degree for any non-zero homogeneous element of \( M \). Since \( a \) is homogeneous, the \( R \)-module \( M/\alpha M \) inherits the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading of \( M \). Also, note that the multiplication by \( X_i \) does not affect

---

\(^3\)An element of \( M \) is said to be homogeneous if it is homogeneous with respect to both \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradings.
the $a$–grading. So, as a $\mathbb{Z}$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$–module, $M/aM = \bigoplus_{j=j_0}^\infty M^j$, where $M^j$ is the component of $M/aM$ of element homogeneous with respect to the $a$–grading of $a$–degree $j$. On each $M^j$, the $x$–grading is bounded below. So, by Lemma 2.2, each $M^j$ admits a homogeneous basis $\{\hat{v}_{j,p} \mid p \in I_j\}$ with respect to the $x$–grading, where $I_j$ is an index set. Thus, $\{\hat{v}_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$ is a homogeneous basis for the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$–module $M/aM$.

Denote by $\pi_M$ the standard quotient map $\pi_M : M \to M/aM$. For each $\hat{v}_{j,p}$, there exists a homogeneous element $v_{j,p}$ of $M$ such that $\pi_M(v_{j,p}) = \hat{v}_{j,p}$, $\deg_a v_{j,p} = \deg_a \hat{v}_{j,p} = j$ and $\deg_x v_{j,p} = \deg_x \hat{v}_{j,p}$. We claim that $\{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$ is a homogeneous basis for the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$–module $M/aM$.

First, we prove that $\{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$ is $R$–linearly independent. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^l f_{ji,p_i} v_{ji,p_i} = 0$ for some $\{v_{ji,p_i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, l\} \subset \{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$, where $f_{ji,p_i}$ is a non-zero element in $R$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, l$. After possibly dividing this sum by a power of $a$, assume without loss of generality that, for some $i$, $f_{ji,p_i}$ is not a multiple of $a$. Let $\pi$ be the standard quotient map $\pi : R \to R/aR \cong \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$. Then, in $M/aM$, we have $\pi_M(\sum_{i=1}^l f_{ji,p_i} v_{ji,p_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^l \pi(f_{ji,p_i}) \hat{v}_{ji,p_i} = 0$, where $\pi(f_{ji,p_i}) \neq 0$ for some $i$. This is a contradiction since $\{\hat{v}_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$ is a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded $R$–module $M$.

Now we prove by an induction on $\deg_a u$ that any homogeneous element $u$ of $M$ is in the span of $\{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$. Recall that $j_0$ is the lowest $a$–degree for any non-zero homogeneous element of $M$. So, if $\deg_a u < j_0$, then $u = 0$, which is in the span of $\{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$. Now assume that for some $j \geq j_0$, $u$ is in the span of $\{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$ whenever $\deg_a u < j$. Suppose $\deg_a u = j$. Then $\pi_M(u) \in M^j$ and therefore, $\pi_M(u) = \sum_{p \in I_j} c_p \hat{v}_{j,p}$, where $c_p \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$. Thus, $\pi_M(u - \sum_{p \in I_j} c_p v_{j,p}) = 0$ and $u - \sum_{p \in I_j} c_p v_{j,p} \in aM$. Then, there is an element $v$ in $M$ such that

- $av = u - \sum_{p \in I_j} c_p v_{j,p}$,
- $v$ is homogeneous with respect to the $a$–grading and $\deg_a v = j - 2$.

Note that each homogeneous part of $v$ is of $a$–degree $j - 2$. By the induction hypothesis, $v$ is in the span of $\{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$. Hence, $u$ is also in the span of $\{v_{j,p} \mid j \geq j_0, p \in I_j\}$.

This completes the induction and proves that $M$ admits a homogeneous basis over $R$ if the $a$–grading and $x$–grading on $M$ are both bounded below.

If $M$ is finitely generated, then $M$ is generated by a finite set of homogeneous elements. Then the lowest $a$–degree and $x$–degree of these elements are lower bounds for the $a$–grading and the $x$–grading of $M$. So the lemma applies to $M$. □
2.2 Matrix factorizations and morphisms of matrix factorizations

**Definition 2.4** Again, we define a \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading on \( R = \mathbb{Q}[a, X_1, \ldots, X_k] \) by letting \( \deg a = (2, 0) \) and \( \deg X_i = (0, 2n_i) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), where each \( n_i \) is a positive integer. Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R = \mathbb{Q}[a, X_1, \ldots, X_k] \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\). A \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization \( M \) of \( w \) over \( R \) is a collection of two \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded free \( R \)-modules \( M_0 \), \( M_1 \) and two homogeneous \( R \)-module maps \( d_0: M_0 \to M_1 \), \( d_1: M_1 \to M_0 \) of bidegree \((1, N + 1)\), called differential maps, such that

\[
d_1 \circ d_0 = w \cdot \text{id}_{M_0}, \quad d_0 \circ d_1 = w \cdot \text{id}_{M_1}.
\]

We usually write \( M \) as \( M_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0 \).

The \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading of \( M \) takes value \( \varepsilon \) on \( M_\varepsilon \). The \( a \)- and \( x \)-gradings of \( M \) are the \( a \)- and \( x \)-gradings of the underlying \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( R \)-module \( M_0 \oplus M_1 \).

Following [10], we denote by \( M \langle 1 \rangle \) the matrix factorization \( M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_1 \) and write

\[
M \langle j \rangle = M \langle 1 \rangle \cdots \langle 1 \rangle.
\]

For any \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization \( M \) of \( w \) over \( R \) and \( j, k \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( M \{ j, k \} \) is naturally a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization of \( w \) over \( R \). For any two \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations \( M \) and \( M' \) of \( w \) over \( R \), \( M \oplus M' \) is naturally a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization of \( w \) over \( R \). Let \( w \) and \( w' \) be two homogeneous elements of \( R \) with bidegree \( (2, 2N + 2) \). For \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations \( M \) of \( w \) and \( M' \) of \( w' \) over \( R \), the tensor product \( M \otimes_R M' \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization of \( w + w' \) over \( R \) such that:

- \( (M \otimes M')_0 = (M_0 \otimes M'_0) \oplus (M_1 \otimes M'_1) \),
  \( (M \otimes M')_1 = (M_1 \otimes M'_0) \oplus (M_0 \otimes M'_1) \).

- The differential is given by the signed Leibniz rule. That is, \( d(m \otimes m') = (dm) \otimes m' + (-1)^{\varepsilon m} m \otimes (dm') \) for \( m \in M_\varepsilon \) and \( m' \in M' \).

**Definition 2.5** Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\), \( M, M' \) two \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( w \) over \( R \), and \( d_M, d_{M'} \) the differential maps of \( M \) and \( M' \).

1. A morphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations from \( M \) to \( M' \) is a homogeneous \( R \)-module homomorphism \( f: M \to M' \) preserving the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading and satisfying \( d_{M'} f = fd_M \). We denote by \( \text{Hom}_{\text{mf}}(M, M') \) the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-space of all morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations from \( M \) to \( M' \).
(2) Two morphisms \( f \) and \( g \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations from \( M \) to \( M' \) are called homotopic if there is an \( R \)-module homomorphism \( h: M \rightarrow M' \) shifting the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading by 1 such that \( f - g = d_{M'} h + h d_M \). In this case, we write \( f \simeq g \). We denote by \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(M, M') \) the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-space of all homotopy classes of morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations from \( M \) to \( M' \). That is, \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(M, M') = \text{Hom}_{\text{mf}}(M, M')/ \simeq \).

(3) Two morphisms \( f \) and \( g \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations from \( M \) to \( M' \) are called projectively homotopic if there is a \( c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( f \simeq cg \). In this case, we write \( f \approx g \).

Let \( M \) and \( M' \) be as in Definition 2.5. Consider the \( R \)-module \( \text{Hom}_R(M, M') \) of \( R \)-module homomorphisms from \( M \) to \( M' \). It admits a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading that takes value

- 0 on \( \text{Hom}_R^0(M, M') = \text{Hom}_R(M_0, M'_0) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M_1, M'_1) \).
- 1 on \( \text{Hom}_R^1(M, M') = \text{Hom}_R(M_1, M'_0) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M_0, M'_1) \).

Moreover, \( \text{Hom}_R(M, M') \) admits a differential map \( d \) given by \( d(f) = d_{M'} \circ f - (-1)^e f \circ d_M \) for \( f \in \text{Hom}_R^e(M, M') \), which makes \( \text{Hom}_R(M, M') \) into a chain complex with a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-homological grading.

**Lemma 2.6** Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\), and \( M, M' \) any two \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( w \) over \( R \). Then:

1. A homogeneous \( R \)-module homomorphism \( f: M \rightarrow M' \) preserving the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading is a morphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations if and only if \( df = 0 \).

2. Two morphisms \( f \) and \( g \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations from \( M \) to \( M' \) are homotopic if and only if \( f - g = dh \) for some \( h \in \text{Hom}_R^1(M, M') \).

3. If \( M \) is finitely generated over \( R \), then \( \text{Hom}_R(M, M') \) is naturally \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded, and:
   - \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(M, M') = (\ker d)^{0,0,0} \), where \((\ker d)^{e,j,k}\) is the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-subspace of \( \ker d \) of homogeneous elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((e, j, k)\).
   - \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(M, M') = H^{0,0,0}(\text{Hom}_R(M, M'), d) \), where we use the notation \( H^{e,j,k}(\text{Hom}_R(M, M'), d) \) for the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-subspace of \( H(\text{Hom}_R(M, M'), d) \) of homogeneous elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((e, j, k)\).

**Proof** The first two parts of the lemma are simple reformulations of definitions. For Part (3), note that, when \( M \) is finitely generated, the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-gradings of \( M \) and \( M' \) induce a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading on \( \text{Hom}_R(M, M') \). Since \( d \) is homogeneous under this grading, both \( \ker d \) and \( H(\text{Hom}_R(M, M'), d) \) inherit this \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading. The rest follows easily. \( \square \)
Definition 2.7 Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\), and \( M, M' \) any two \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2 \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( w \) over \( R \). Then:

1. An isomorphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2 \)-graded matrix factorizations from \( M \) to \( M' \) is a morphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2 \)-graded matrix factorizations that is also an isomorphism of the underlying \( R \)-modules. We say that \( M \) and \( M' \) are isomorphic, or \( M \cong M' \), if there is an isomorphism from \( M \) to \( M' \).

2. \( M \) and \( M' \) are called homotopic, or \( M \simeq M' \), if there are morphisms \( f: M \to M' \) and \( g: M' \to M \) such that \( g \circ f \simeq \text{id}_M \) and \( f \circ g \simeq \text{id}_{M'} \). The morphisms \( f \) and \( g \) are called homotopy equivalences between \( M \) and \( M' \).

2.3 Koszul matrix factorizations

In the definition of \( \mathcal{H}_N \), we will use matrix factorizations of a special form, called Koszul matrix factorizations. We now review the definition and basic properties of Koszul matrix factorizations.

Definition 2.8 If \( a_0, a_1 \in R \) are homogeneous elements with \( \deg a_0 + \deg a_1 = (2, 2N + 2) \), then denote by \((a_0, a_1)_R \) the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2 \)-graded matrix factorization

\[
R \xrightarrow{a_0} R[1 - \deg_x a_0, N + 1 - \deg_x a_0] \xrightarrow{a_1} R
\]

of \( a_0a_1 \) over \( R \). More generally, if \( a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{l,0}, a_{l,1} \in R \) are homogeneous with \( \deg a_{j,0} + \deg a_{j,1} = (2, 2N + 2) \), then denote by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}) \\
(a_{2,0}, a_{2,1}) \\
\vdots \\
(a_{l,0}, a_{l,1})
\end{pmatrix}_R
\]

the tensor product \((a_{1,0}, a_{1,1})_R \otimes_R (a_{2,0}, a_{2,1})_R \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R (a_{l,0}, a_{l,1})_R \). This is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2 \)-graded matrix factorization of \( \sum_{j=1}^l a_{j,0}a_{j,1} \) over \( R \), and is call the Koszul matrix factorization associated to the above matrix. We drop \( R \) from the notation when it is clear from the context.

Note that the above Koszul matrix factorization is finitely generated over \( R \).

Lemma 2.9 [10; 11] Let \( a_0, a_1 \) and \( a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{l,0}, a_{l,1} \) be as in Definition 2.8. Then

\[
(a_1, a_0)_R \cong (a_0, a_1)_R \{1 - \deg_x a_1, N + 1 - \deg_x a_1\}.
\]
and

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,1} & a_{1,0} \\
  a_{2,1} & a_{2,0} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  a_{l,1} & a_{l,0}
\end{pmatrix}_R \cong \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  a_{l,0} & a_{l,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R \langle l \rangle \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} (1 - \deg_a a_{j,1}), \sum_{j=1}^{l} (N + 1 - \deg_x a_{j,1}) \right\}.
\]

Lemma 2.10 [10; 11] Let \(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{l,0}, a_{l,1}\) be as in Definition 2.8. Then for any \(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}\)-graded matrix factorization \(M\) of \(\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j,0}a_{j,1}\) over \(R\),

\[
\text{Hom}_R\left(\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  a_{l,0} & a_{l,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R, M\right) \cong M \otimes_R \begin{pmatrix}
  -a_{1,1} & a_{1,0} \\
  -a_{2,1} & a_{2,0} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  -a_{l,1} & a_{l,0}
\end{pmatrix}_R
\]

\[
\cong M \otimes_R \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} & -a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} & -a_{2,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  a_{l,0} & -a_{l,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R \langle l \rangle \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} (1 - \deg_a a_{j,1}), \sum_{j=1}^{l} (N + 1 - \deg_x a_{j,1}) \right\}
\]

as \(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}\)-graded chain complexes.

Lemma 2.11 [10, Proposition 2] Let \(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{l,0}, a_{l,1}\) be as in Definition 2.8 and

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  a_{l,0} & a_{l,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R.
\]

If \(r\) is an element of the ideal \((a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{l,0}, a_{l,1})\) of \(R\), then the multiplication by \(r\), as an endomorphism of \(M\), is homotopic to 0.

Lemma 2.12 [17] Suppose that \(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{2,0}, a_{2,1}, k\) are homogeneous elements of \(R\) satisfying \(\deg a_{j,0} + \deg a_{j,1} = (2, 2N + 2)\) and \(\deg k = \deg a_{1,0} + \deg a_{2,0} - (2, 2N + 2)\). Then

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} & a_{2,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R \cong \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} + ka_{2,1} & a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} - ka_{1,1} & a_{2,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R.
\]

Lemma 2.13 [10; 17] Suppose \(a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{2,0}, a_{2,1}, c\) are homogeneous elements of \(R\) satisfying \(\deg a_{j,0} + \deg a_{j,1} = (2, 2N + 2)\) and \(\deg c = \deg a_{1,0} - \deg a_{2,0}\).
Then
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} & a_{2,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R \cong \begin{pmatrix}
  a_{1,0} + ca_{2,0} & a_{1,1} \\
  a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} - ca_{1,1}
\end{pmatrix}_R.
\]

**Definition 2.14** Let \(a_1, \ldots, a_k\) be elements of \(R\). The sequence \(\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}\) is called \(R\)-regular if \(a_1 \neq 0\) and \(a_j\) is not a zero divisor in \(R/(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1})\) for \(j = 2, \ldots, k\).

**Lemma 2.15** [9; 17] Suppose that \(\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}\) is an \(R\)-regular sequence of homogeneous elements of \(R\). Assume that \(f_1, \ldots, f_k, g_1, \ldots, g_k\) are homogeneous elements of \(R\) such that \(\deg f_j = \deg g_j = (2, 2N + 2) - \deg a_j\) and
\[
\sum_{j=1}^k f_j a_j = \sum_{j=1}^k g_j a_j.
\]
Then
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  f_1, & a_1 \\
  \vdots, & \vdots \\
  f_k, & a_k
\end{pmatrix}_R = \begin{pmatrix}
  g_1, & a_1 \\
  \vdots, & \vdots \\
  g_k, & a_k
\end{pmatrix}_R.
\]

The proofs of the above lemmas are omitted here since they are relatively easy and can be found, for example, in [10; 11; 9; 17; 20]. The following are two versions of [10, Proposition 9], which are very useful in computations.

**Proposition 2.16** (Strong version) Let \(X\) be a homogeneous indeterminate such that \(\deg X = (0, 2n)\) and \(n \leq N + 1\). Denote by \(P: R[X] \to R\) the evaluation map at \(X = 0\). That is, \(P(f(X)) = f(0)\) for all \(f(X) \in R[X]\).

Suppose that \(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_l\) are homogeneous elements of \(R[X]\) such that
- \(\deg a_j + \deg b_j = (2, 2N + 2), \forall j = 1, \ldots, l,\)
- \(\sum_{j=1}^l a_j b_j \in R,\)
- \(\exists i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}\) such that \(b_i = X\).

Then
\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
  a_1 & b_1 \\
  a_2 & b_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  a_k & b_k
\end{pmatrix}_{R[X]} \quad \text{and} \quad M' = \begin{pmatrix}
  P(a_1) & P(b_1) \\
  P(a_2) & P(b_2) \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  P(a_i-1) & P(b_{i-1}) \\
  P(a_{i+1}) & P(b_{i+1}) \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  P(a_k) & P(b_k)
\end{pmatrix}_R
\]

are homotopic as \(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}\)-graded matrix factorizations over \(R\).

**Proof** See [21, Proposition 3.19]. \(\square\)
Proposition 2.17 (Weak version) Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ generated by homogeneous elements. Assume $w, a_0$ and $a_1$ are homogeneous elements of $R$ such that $\deg w = \deg a_0 + \deg a_1 = (2, 2N + 2)$ and $w + a_0 a_1 \in I$. Then $w \in I + (a_0)$ and $w \in I + (a_1)$.

Let $M$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2$--graded matrix factorization of $w$ over $R$, and $\tilde{M} = M \otimes_R (a_0, a_1)_R$. Then $\tilde{M} / I \tilde{M}, M / (I + (a_0))M$ and $M / (I + (a_1))M$ are all $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2$--graded chain complexes of $R$--modules such that:

1. If $a_0$ is not a zero-divisor in $R / I$, then there is an $R$--linear quasi-isomorphism $f: \tilde{M} / I \tilde{M} \rightarrow (M / (I + (a_0))M)(1)\{1 - \deg_a a_0, N + 1 - \deg_x a_0\}$ that preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2$--grading.

2. If $a_1$ is not a zero-divisor in $R / I$, then there is an $R$--linear quasi-isomorphism $g: \tilde{M} / I \tilde{M} \rightarrow M / (I + (a_1))M$ that preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2$--grading.

**Proof** This proposition is [10, Proposition 9]. Since the quasi-isomorphism $g$ in (2) will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we sketch a proof for (2) here.

Write $M = M_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0, s_a = 1 - \deg_a a_0$ and $s_x = N + 1 - \deg_x a_0$. Recall that $(a_0, a_1)_R = R \xrightarrow{a_0} R\{s_a, s_x\} \xrightarrow{a_1} R$. Then $\tilde{M} = M \otimes_R (a_0, a_1)_R$ is the matrix factorization $\begin{array}{cccc} M_0 & \sim{\rightarrow} & M_1 & \sim{\rightarrow} & M_0 \\ \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus \\ M_1\{s_a, s_x\} & \rightarrow & M_0\{s_a, s_x\} & \rightarrow & M_1\{s_a, s_x\} \end{array}$

where $\tilde{d}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 \\ -a_0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{d}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & a_1 \\ -a_0 & d_0 \end{pmatrix}.$

For $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, denote by $P_\varepsilon: M_\varepsilon / IM_\varepsilon \rightarrow M_\varepsilon / (I + (a_1))M_\varepsilon$ the standard quotient map. We define $g: \tilde{M} / I \tilde{M} \rightarrow (M / (I + (a_1))M)$ by the mappings $\begin{array}{c} M_0 / IM_0 \oplus (M_1 / IM_1)\{s_a, s_x\} \\ \rightarrow (M_0 / (I + (a_1))M_0, \\ M_1 / IM_1 \oplus (M_0 / IM_0)\{s_a, s_x\} \\ \rightarrow (M_1 / (I + (a_1))M_1. \end{array}$

It is straightforward to check that

- $g$ is a surjective $R$--linear chain map that preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2$--grading,
- $\ker g$ is a homotopically trivial subcomplex of $\tilde{M} / I \tilde{M}$. 
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So the short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \ker g \hookrightarrow \tilde{M} / I \tilde{M} \overset{g}{\rightarrow} (M/(I + (a_1))M) \to 0 \]

induces an exact triangle

\[ H(\tilde{M} / I \tilde{M}) \overset{g}{\rightarrow} H(M/(I + (a_1))M), \]

which implies that \( g \) is a quasi-isomorphism.

\[ \square \]

### 2.4 Categories of matrix factorizations

**Definition 2.18** Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\).

Suppose \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–graded matrix factorization of \( w \) over \( R \). We say that \( M \) is homotopically finite if there exists a finitely generated graded matrix factorization \( \mathcal{M} \) over \( R \) with potential \( w \) such that \( M \simeq \mathcal{M} \).

We define categories \( \text{mf}^{\text{all}}_{R, w}, \text{mf}_{R, w}, \text{hmf}^{\text{all}}_{R, w} \) and \( \text{hmf}_{R, w} \) by the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Objects</th>
<th>Morphisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{mf}^{\text{all}}_{R, w} )</td>
<td>all ( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–graded matrix factorizations of ( w ) over ( R ) with the ( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–grading bounded below</td>
<td>( \text{Hom}_{\text{mf}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{mf}_{R, w} )</td>
<td>all homotopically finite ( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–graded matrix factorizations of ( w ) over ( R ) with the ( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–grading bounded below</td>
<td>( \text{Hom}_{\text{mf}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{hmf}^{\text{all}}_{R, w} )</td>
<td>all ( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–graded matrix factorizations of ( w ) over ( R ) with the ( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–grading bounded below</td>
<td>( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{hmf}_{R, w} )</td>
<td>all homotopically finite ( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–graded matrix factorizations of ( w ) over ( R ) with the ( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} )–grading bounded below</td>
<td>( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition 2.19** Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\). Denote by \( I \) the maximal homogeneous ideal \( (a, X_1, \ldots, X_k) \) of \( R \). Note that \( w \in I \) and, for a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–graded matrix factorization \( M \) of \( w \) over \( R \), \( M/I \mathcal{M} \) is a chain complex of \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–graded \( \mathbb{Q} \)–spaces with a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)–homological grading. We define \( H_R(M) \) to be the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–graded homology of \( M/I \mathcal{M} \).

Denote by \( H^{\epsilon, j, k}_R(M) \) the subspace of \( H_R(M) \) of homogeneous elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)–degree \( \epsilon \) and \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–degree \((j, k)\). If the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–grading of \( M \) is bounded below and
dim $H_{R}^\varepsilon,j,k (M) < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon, j, k$, then we define the graded dimension of $M$ over $R$ to be
\[
gdim_{R} (M) = \sum_{\varepsilon, j, k} \tau^\varepsilon \alpha^j \xi^k \dim_{Q} H_{R}^\varepsilon,j,k (M) \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \xi][\alpha^{-1}, \xi^{-1}, \tau]/(\tau^2 - 1).
\]

**Lemma 2.20** Let $M$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded free $R$–module whose $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading is bounded below. Define $V = M/\mathcal{J}M$. Then there is a homogeneous $R$–module isomorphism $F: V \otimes_{Q} R \to M$ preserving the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading. In particular, if $\{v_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a homogeneous $\mathbb{Q}$–basis for $V$, then $\{F(v_{\beta} \otimes 1) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a homogeneous $R$–basis for $M$.

**Proof** By Lemma 2.3, $M$ has a homogeneous basis $\{e_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$. Then, as $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded vector spaces, $V \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathbb{Q} \cdot e_{\alpha}$. So $M \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} R \cdot e_{\alpha} \cong V \otimes_{Q} R$ as graded $R$–modules. This proves the existence of $F$. The rest of the lemma follows easily. $\Box$

**Proposition 2.21** [10, Proposition 7] Let $w$ be a homogeneous element of $R$ with bidegree $(2, 2N + 2)$, and $M$ a $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorization of $w$ over $R$. Assume the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading of $M$ is bounded below. Then there exist $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorizations $M_{c}$ and $M_{es}$ of $w$ over $R$ such that

(i) $M \cong M_{c} \oplus M_{es}$,

(ii) $M_{c} \simeq 0$ and, therefore, $M \simeq M_{es}$,

(iii) $M_{es} \cong H_{R}(M) \otimes_{Q} R$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded $R$–modules, and $H_{R}(M) \cong M_{es}/\mathcal{J}M_{es}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded $\mathbb{Q}$–spaces.

**Proof** (Following [10].) Write $M$ as $M_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} M_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} M_{0}$. Then the chain complex $V := M/\mathcal{J}M$ is given by
\[
V_{0} \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_{0}} V_{1} \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_{1}} V_{0},
\]
where $V_{\varepsilon} = M_{\varepsilon}/\mathcal{J}M_{\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. By Lemma 2.3, $M_{\varepsilon}$ has a homogeneous $R$–basis $\{e_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in S_{\varepsilon}\}$, which induces a homogeneous $\mathbb{Q}$–basis $\{\hat{e}_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in S_{\varepsilon}\}$ for $V_{\varepsilon}$. Under the homogeneous basis $\{e_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in S_{\varepsilon}\}$, the entries of matrices of $d_{0}$ and $d_{1}$ are homogeneous elements of $R$. And the matrices of $\hat{d}_{0}$ and $\hat{d}_{1}$ are obtained by letting $a = X_{1} = \cdots = X_{m} = 0$ in the matrices of $d_{0}$ and $d_{1}$, which preserves scalar entries and kills entries with positive degrees.

We call $\{(\hat{u}_{\rho}, \hat{v}_{\rho}) \mid \rho \in \mathcal{P}\}$ a “good” set if

- $\{\hat{u}_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a set of linearly independent homogeneous elements in $V_{0}$,
- $\{\hat{v}_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a set of linearly independent homogeneous elements in $V_{1}$,
- $\hat{d}_{0}(\hat{u}_{\rho}) = \hat{v}_{\rho}$ and $\hat{d}_{1}(\hat{v}_{\rho}) = 0$.
Using Zorn’s lemma, we find a maximal “good” set \( G = \{ (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \} \). Using Zorn’s lemma again, we extend \( \{ \hat{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \} \) into a homogeneous basis \( \{ \hat{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_0 \} \) for \( V_0 \), and \( \{ \hat{\beta} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \} \) into a homogeneous basis \( \{ \hat{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \} \) for \( V_1 \). For each \( \beta \in \mathcal{B}_0 \), we can write \( \hat{d}_0 \hat{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_1} c_{\alpha \beta} \cdot \hat{\alpha} \), where \( c_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathbb{Q} \), and the right-hand side is a finite sum.

By Lemma 2.20, there is a homogeneous isomorphism \( F_\xi : V_\xi \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{R} \) preserving the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading. Let \( u_\alpha = F_0 (\hat{\alpha} \otimes 1) \) and \( v_\alpha = F_1 (\hat{\alpha} \otimes 1) \). Then \( \{ u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_0 \} \) and \( \{ v_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \} \) are homogeneous \( \mathcal{R} \)-bases for \( \mathcal{M}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_1 \). Recall that \( \hat{d}_0 (\hat{\alpha}) = \hat{\alpha} \) for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \). So we have that, for any \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \),

\[
du_\alpha = v_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_1, \beta \neq \alpha} f_{\beta \alpha} \cdot v_\beta,
\]

where \( f_{\beta \alpha} \in \mathcal{I} \) and the sum on the right-hand side is a finite sum. That is, for each \( \alpha \),

\[
(2-1) \quad f_{\beta \alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for all but finitely many } \beta.
\]

For two pairs of integers \( (i, j), (k, l) \) we say that

- \( (i, j) \leq (k, l) \) if \( i \leq k \) and \( j \leq l \),
- \( (i, j) < (k, l) \) if \( (i, j) \leq (k, l) \) and \( (i, j) \neq (k, l) \).

For each pair of \( (\alpha, \beta) \) with \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \) and \( \alpha \neq \beta \), the requirement that \( f_{\beta \alpha} \in \mathcal{I} \) implies

\[
(2-2) \quad f_{\beta \alpha} \neq 0 \quad \text{only if } \deg v_\beta < \deg v_\alpha.
\]

For \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( k > 0 \), let

\[
C^k_{\alpha} = \{ (\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_k) \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1} \mid \gamma_k = \alpha, \deg v_{\gamma_0} < \cdots < \deg v_{\gamma_k}, f_{\gamma_0 \gamma_1} \cdots f_{\gamma_{k-1} \gamma_k} \neq 0 \}.
\]

By Equation (2-1), \( C^k_{\alpha} \) is a finite set. For each \( \alpha \), \( C^k_{\alpha} = \emptyset \) for large \( k \) since the \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading of \( \mathcal{M} \) is bounded below. For \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( k > 0 \), let

\[
C^k_{\beta \alpha} = \{ (\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_k) \in C^k_{\alpha} \mid \gamma_0 = \beta \}.
\]

Then \( \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}} C^k_{\beta \alpha} = C^k_{\alpha} \). So each \( C^k_{\beta \alpha} \) is finite. And, for each \( k \), \( C^k_{\beta \alpha} \neq \emptyset \) for only finitely many \( \beta \). Also, by definition, it is easy to see that \( C^k_{\beta \alpha} \neq \emptyset \) only if \( \deg v_\beta < \deg v_\alpha \). Moreover, for each \( \alpha \), there is a \( k_0 > 0 \) such that \( C^k_{\beta \alpha} = \emptyset \) for any \( \beta \) whenever \( k > k_0 \).
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Now, for \( \alpha, \beta \in A \), define \( t_{\beta \alpha} \in R \) by

\[
t_{\beta \alpha} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \beta = \alpha, \\
\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \sum_{(\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_k) \in C^k_{\beta \alpha}} f_{\gamma_0 \gamma_1} \cdots f_{\gamma_{k-1} \gamma_k} & \text{if } \deg v_\beta < \deg v_\alpha, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

From the above discussion, we know that the sum on the right-hand side is always a finite sum. So \( t_{\beta \alpha} \) is well defined. Furthermore, given an \( \alpha \in A \), \( t_{\beta \alpha} = 0 \) for all but finitely many \( \beta \). So, for \( \alpha \in A \), \( u'_\alpha := \sum_{\beta \in A} t_{\beta \alpha} u_\beta \) is well-defined. It is straightforward to check that

- \( \{ u'_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \} \cup \{ u_\beta \mid \beta \in B_0 \} \) is also a homogeneous \( R \)-basis for \( M_0 \);
- for \( \alpha \in A \), \( du'_\alpha = v_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in B_1} f'_{\beta \alpha} v_\beta \), where the right-hand side is a finite sum, and \( f'_{\beta \alpha} \in J \).

Now let

\[
v'_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
v_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in B_1} f'_{\beta \alpha} v_\beta & \text{if } \alpha \in A, \\
v_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in B_1.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( \{ v'_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \cup B_1 \} \) is a homogeneous \( R \)-basis for \( M_1 \). We have

\[
\begin{cases}
du'_\alpha = v'_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in A, \\
du_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in A} g_{\alpha \beta} v'_\alpha + \sum_{\gamma \in B_1} g_{\gamma \beta} v'_\gamma & \text{if } \beta \in B_0,
\end{cases}
\]

where the sums on the right-hand side are finite sums. For \( \beta \in B_0 \), we let \( u'_\beta = u_\beta - \sum_{\alpha \in A} g_{\alpha \beta} u'_\alpha \). Then \( \{ u'_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \cup B_0 \} \) is again a homogeneous \( R \)-basis for \( M_0 \), and

\[
\begin{cases}
du'_\alpha = v'_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in A, \\
du'_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in B_1} g_{\gamma \beta} v'_\gamma & \text{if } \beta \in B_0,
\end{cases}
\]

where the sum on the right-hand side is a finite sum. Using that \( d_1 d_0 = w \cdot \text{id}_{M_0} \) and \( d_0 d_1 = w \cdot \text{id}_{M_1} \), one can check that

\[
\begin{cases}
dv'_\alpha = w \cdot v'_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in A, \\
dv'_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in B_0} h_{\gamma \beta} u'_\gamma & \text{if } \beta \in B_1,
\end{cases}
\]

where the sum on the right-hand side is a finite sum.

Define \( M_{(1,w)} \) to be the submodule of \( M \) spanned by \( \{ u'_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \} \cup \{ v'_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \} \), and \( M' \) the submodule of \( M \) spanned by \( \{ u'_\beta \mid \beta \in B_0 \} \cup \{ v'_\beta \mid \beta \in B_1 \} \). Then \( M_{(1,w)} \) and \( M' \) are both \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( w \) over \( R \) and \( M = M_{(1,w)} \oplus M' \). Note that
(a) \( M_{(1,w)} \) is a direct sum of components of the form \((1, w)_R\{j, k\}\);

(b) under the standard projection \( M \to M/\mathcal{J}M \), we have, for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \), \( u'_\alpha \mapsto \hat{u}_\alpha \) and \( v'_{\alpha} \mapsto \hat{v}_\alpha \).

In particular, (b) above means that \( M' \) does not have direct sum components of the form \((1, w)_R\{j, k\}\). Otherwise, we can enlarge the “good” set \( G \), which contradicts the fact that \( G \) is maximal. We then apply a similar argument to \( M' \) and find a decomposition \( M' = M_{(w, 1)} \oplus M_{es} \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations such that

- \( M_{(w, 1)} \) is a direct sum of components of the form \((w, 1)_R\{j, k\}\);
- \( M_{es} \) has no direct sum component of the forms \((1, w)_R\{j, k\}\) or \((w, 1)_R\{j, k\}\).

Let \( M_c = M_{(1,w)} \oplus M_{(w,1)} \). Then \( M = M_c \oplus M_{es} \). We have \( M_c \simeq 0 \) since \((1, w)_R\{j, k\}\) and \((w, 1)_R\{j, k\}\) are both homotopic to 0. So \( M \simeq M_{es} \). It is clear that under any homogeneous basis for \( M_{es} \), all entries of the matrices representing the differential map of \( M_{es} \) must be in \( \mathcal{J} \). Otherwise, a simple change of basis would show that \( M_{es} \) has a direct sum component of the form \((1, w)_R\{j, k\}\) or \((w, 1)_R\{j, k\}\). Therefore, \( H_R(M) \cong H_R(M_{es}) \cong M_{es}/\mathcal{J}M_{es} \). So, by Lemma 2.20, \( M_{es} \cong H_R(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) as graded modules.

**Corollary 2.22** [10, Corollary 4] Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\), and \( M \) a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization of \( w \) over \( R \) whose \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-grading is bounded below. We have:

1. \( M \simeq 0 \) if and only if \( H_R(M) = 0 \) or, equivalently, \( \text{gdim}_R(M) = 0 \).

2. \( M \) is homotopically finite if and only if \( \text{dim}_\mathbb{Q} H_R(M) \) is finite.

**Proof** (Following [10]) For (1), note that, by Proposition 2.21, \( M \simeq 0 \) if and only if \( M_{es} \simeq 0 \) if and only if \( H_R(M) = 0 \).

Now consider (2). If \( M \) is homotopically finite, then there is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization \( \mathcal{M} \) of \( w \) over \( R \) such that \( M \simeq \mathcal{M} \). Note that \( \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} \) is finite-dimensional over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Thus, \( H_R(M) \cong H_R(\mathcal{M}) \) is finite-dimensional over \( \mathbb{Q} \). On the other hand, if \( H_R(M) \) is finite-dimensional over \( \mathbb{Q} \), then by Proposition 2.21, \( M_{es} \cong H_R(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is finitely generated over \( R \). But \( M \simeq M_{es} \). So \( M \) is homotopically finite. \( \square \)

**Definition 2.23** An additive category \( \mathcal{C} \) is said to be fully additive if every idempotent endomorphism in \( \mathcal{C} \) splits. That is, for every object \( C \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) and every endomorphism \( f \) of \( C \) satisfying \( f \circ f = f \), there exist objects \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) and an isomorphism

\[
\begin{align*}
C_0 & \oplus (J_0, J_1) \\
\oplus & \rightarrow C \\
& \downarrow \\
C_1 & 
\end{align*}
\]
such that \( f \circ J_0 = 0 \) and \( f \circ J_1 = J_1 \).

**Lemma 2.24** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a fully additive category. Assume that

- \( A \) and \( C \) are objects of \( \mathcal{C} \),
- \( A \xrightarrow{f} C \) and \( C \xrightarrow{g} A \) are morphisms of \( \mathcal{C} \) such that \( g \circ f = \text{id}_A \).

Then there exists an object \( C_0 \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) such that \( C \cong A \oplus C_0 \).

**Proof** Consider the morphism \( C \xrightarrow{f \circ g} C \). We have \((f \circ g) \circ (f \circ g) = f \circ \text{id}_A \circ g = f \circ g\). Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is fully additive, there exist objects \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) and an isomorphism

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_0 & \xrightarrow{(J_0, J_1)} & C \\
\oplus & & \downarrow \\
C_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & A
\end{array}
\]

such that \( f \circ g \circ J_0 = 0 \) and \( f \circ g \circ J_1 = J_1 \). Denote by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ P_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

the inverse of \((J_0, J_1)\). Note that \( P_1 \circ f \circ g \circ J_1 = P_1 \circ J_1 = \text{id}_{C_1} \). Moreover,

\[
\text{id}_A = g \circ f = g \circ \text{id}_C \circ f = g \circ (J_0 \circ P_0 + J_1 \circ P_1) \circ f.
\]

So

\[
\text{id}_A = \text{id}_A \circ \text{id}_A = g \circ f \circ g \circ (J_0 \circ P_0 + J_1 \circ P_1) \circ f
\]

\[= g \circ (f \circ g \circ J_0 \circ P_0 + f \circ g \circ J_1 \circ P_1) \circ f = g \circ J_1 \circ P_1 \circ f.
\]

Thus, the morphisms \( A \xrightarrow{P_1 \circ f} C_1 \) and \( C_1 \xrightarrow{g \circ J_1} A \) are isomorphisms. This shows that \( A \cong C_1 \) and, therefore, \( C \cong C_0 \oplus C_1 \cong A \oplus C_0 \).

**Proposition 2.25** [10, Proposition 24] Let \( w \) be a homogeneous element of \( R \) with bidegree \((2, 2N + 2)\). Then \( \text{mf}^\text{full}_{R,w} \), \( \text{mf}_{R,w} \) and \( \text{hmf}_{R,w} \) are all fully additive.

**Proof** (Following [10].) The category of \( \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2 \)-graded \( R \)-modules is of course fully additive. By the Quillen–Suslin theorem, we know that any projective \( R \)-module is a free \( R \)-module. Thus, the category of \( \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2 \)-graded free \( R \)-modules is also fully additive. From this it is easy to deduce that \( \text{mf}^\text{full}_{R,w} \) and \( \text{mf}_{R,w} \) are both fully additive.

Next, we prove that \( \text{hmf}_{R,w} \) is fully additive.

Let \( M \) be an object of \( \text{hmf}_{R,w} \) and \( f: M \to M \) a morphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2 \)-graded matrix factorization such that \( f \circ f \simeq f \). Denote by \( P: M \to M_{es} \) and \( J: M_{es} \to M \)
the homotopy equivalences from Part (ii) of Proposition 2.21. Then $f$ induces a morphism $f_{es} = P \circ f \circ J : M_{es} \to M_{es}$, which satisfies $f_{es} \circ f_{es} \simeq f_{es}$.

Let $\alpha : \text{Hom}_{mf}(M_{es}, M_{es}) \to \text{Hom}_{hmf}(M_{es}, M_{es})$ be the natural projection taking each morphism to its homotopy class, and

$$
\beta : \text{Hom}_{mf}(M_{es}, M_{es}) \to \text{Hom}_{Q}(H_{R}(M), H_{R}(M))
$$

the map taking each morphism to the induced map on the homology. Then ker $\alpha$ and ker $\beta$ are ideals of the ring $\text{Hom}_{mf}(M_{es}, M_{es})$, and ker $\alpha \subset$ ker $\beta$.

Note that $M$ is homotopically finite. So, by Proposition 2.21 and Corollary 2.22, $M_{es}$ is finitely generated. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a homogeneous basis for $M_{es}$. For any $h \in \text{ker} \beta$, denote by $H$ its matrix under this basis. By Proposition 2.21, $H_{R}(M) \cong M_{es}/\mathcal{I} M_{es}$. Since $\beta(h) = 0$, we know that all entries of $H$ are elements of $\mathcal{I}$. Thus, if $h \in (\text{ker} \beta)^l$, then all entries of $H$ are elements of $\mathcal{I}^l$. Recall that $\deg_a a = 2$, $\deg_x X_i \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{I} = (a, X_1, \ldots, X_k)$. A simple degree count shows that the matrix of a homogeneous endomorphism of $M_{es}$ preserving the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading cannot contain non-zero entries from $\mathcal{I}^K$, where

$$
K := \max\{\max\{\deg_a e_i - \deg_a e_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}, \max\{\deg_x e_i - \deg_x e_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}\}.
$$

Thus, $(\text{ker} \beta)^K = 0$ and, therefore, $(\text{ker} \alpha)^K = 0$. This shows that ker $\alpha$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\text{Hom}_{mf}(M_{es}, M_{es})$. By [3, Theorem 1.7.3], nilpotent ideals have the lifting idempotents property. Thus, there is an endomorphism $g_{es} \in \text{Hom}_{mf}(M_{es}, M_{es})$ satisfying $g_{es} \simeq f_{es}$ and $g_{es} \circ g_{es} = g_{es}$.

But $\text{mf}_{R, w}$ is fully additive. So $g_{es}$ splits $M_{es}$ into a direct sum of two finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorizations. This direct sum is a splitting of $M$ by $f$ in the category $\text{hmf}_{R, w}$. $\square$

## 3 Matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs

In this section, we define matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs, which are the building blocks of the chain complex $C_N$ used to define the homology $H_N$. Throughout this section, we fix a non-negative integer $N$ and let $a$ be a homogeneous indeterminate of bidegree $\deg a = (2, 0)$.

### 3.1 Symmetric polynomials

In this subsection, we recall some facts about symmetric polynomials, which will be used in our definition of $H_N$. 
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Definition 3.1  A finite collection of homogeneous indeterminates of bidegree \((0, 2)\) is called an alphabet. We denote by \(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{X})\) the ring of symmetric polynomials over \(\mathbb{Q}\) in the alphabet \(\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}\). More generally, given a collection \(\{\mathcal{X}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_l\}\) of pairwise disjoint alphabets, we denote by \(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_l)\) the ring of polynomials in \(\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_l\) over \(\mathbb{Q}\) that are symmetric in each \(\mathcal{X}_i\).\(^4\) That is, \(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_l) = \text{Sym}(\mathcal{X}_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(\mathcal{X}_l)\).

For an alphabet \(\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}\), we denote by \(X_k, h_k(\mathcal{X})\) and \(p_k(\mathcal{X})\) the elementary, complete and power sum symmetric polynomials in \(\mathcal{X}\). That is,

\[
X_k = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k} & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq m, \\
1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } k < 0 \text{ or } k > m,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
h_k(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq m} x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k} & \text{if } k \geq 1, \\
1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } k < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
p_k(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j^k \text{ if } k \geq 0, \\
0 \text{ if } k < 0.
\]

Recall that \(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]\). So there are unique \(m\)--variable polynomials \(h_{m,k}\) and \(p_{m,k}\) such that

\[
h_k(\mathcal{X}) = h_{m,k}(X_1, \ldots, X_m),
\]

\[
p_k(\mathcal{X}) = p_{m,k}(X_1, \ldots, X_m).
\]

Lemma 3.2  [21, Lemma 5.1]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} p_{m,k}(X_1, \ldots, X_m) = (-1)^{j+1}k h_{m,k-j}(X_1, \ldots, X_m).
\]

3.2 Matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs

We now recall the definition of MOY graphs and define \(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2\)--graded matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs. Although the definitions in this subsection are for general MOY graphs, we will only need \(1, 2, 3\)--colored MOY graphs in our construction of \(\mathcal{H}_N\).

\(^4\) Sym(\(\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_l\)) is bigger than Sym(\(\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_l\). In fact, Sym(\(\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_l\)) is a finitely generated free Sym(\(\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_l\)--module.
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**Definition 3.3** An abstract MOY graph is an oriented graph with every edge colored by a non-negative integer such that, for every vertex \( v \) with valence at least 2, the sum of the colors of the edges entering \( v \) is equal to the sum of the colors of the edges leaving \( v \).

A vertex of valence 1 in an abstract MOY graph is called an end point. A vertex of valence greater than 1 is called an internal vertex. An abstract MOY graph \( \Gamma \) is said to be closed if it has no end points. We say that an abstract MOY graph is trivalent if all of its internal vertices have valence 3.

A MOY graph is an embedding of an abstract MOY graph into \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) such that, through each internal vertex \( v \), there is a straight line \( L_v \) so that all the edges entering \( v \) enter through one side of \( L_v \) and all edges leaving \( v \) leave through the other side of \( L_v \).

A marking of a MOY graph \( \Gamma \) consists of the following:

1. A finite collection of marked points on \( \Gamma \) such that
   - every edge of \( \Gamma \) has at least one marked point;
   - all the end points (vertices of valence 1) are marked;
   - none of the internal vertices (vertices of valence at least 2) are marked.

2. An assignment of pairwise disjoint alphabets to the marked points such that the alphabet assigned to a marked point on an edge of color \( m \) has \( m \) independent indeterminates.

For an MOY graph \( \Gamma \) with a marking, cut it at its marked points. This gives a collection of marked MOY graphs, each of which is a star-shaped neighborhood of a vertex in \( \Gamma \) and is marked only at its endpoints. (If an edge of \( \Gamma \) has two or more marked points, then some of these pieces may be oriented arcs from one marked point to another. In this case, we consider such an arc as a neighborhood of an additional vertex of valence 2 in the middle of that arc.)
Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Gamma$ with coloring and marking around it given as in Figure 1. Set $m = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_l$. Define

$$
R = \mathbb{Q}[a] \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(X_1|X_2|\cdots|X_k|Y_1|\cdots|Y_l).
$$

Note that $R$ is the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$-graded polynomial ring generated by $a$ and the elementary symmetric polynomials of $X_1, \ldots, X_k, Y_1, \ldots, Y_l$, with bigrading given by $\deg a = (2, 0)$ and $\deg x = (0, 2)$ for all $x \in X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k \cup Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_l$.

Write $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$ and $Y = Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_l$, each of which is an alphabet of $m$ indeterminates. Denote by $X_j$ and $Y_j$ the $j^{th}$ elementary symmetric polynomials in $X$ and $Y$. For $j = 1, \ldots, m$, define

$$
(3-6) \quad U_j = \frac{p_{m,N+1}(Y_1, \ldots, Y_{j-1}, X_j, \ldots, X_m) - p_{m,N+1}(Y_1, \ldots, Y_j, X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_m)}{X_j - Y_j}.
$$

We associate to the vertex $v$ the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$-graded matrix factorization

$$
(3-7) \quad C_N(v) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
au_1 & X_1 - Y_1 \\
au_2 & X_2 - Y_2 \\
& \vdots \\
au_m & X_m - Y_m
\end{array} \right)
_{R} \begin{cases}
0, & - \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} i_s i_t
\end{cases},
$$

of $\sum_{j=1}^{m}(X_j - Y_j)U_j = ap_{N+1}(X) - ap_{N+1}(Y)$ over $R$, where $p_{N+1}(X)$ and $p_{N+1}(Y)$ are the $(N + 1)^{th}$ power sum symmetric polynomials in $X$ and $Y$.

**Remark 3.4** Since

$$
\text{Sym}(X|Y) = \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_m, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m] = \mathbb{Q}[X_1 - Y_1, \ldots, X_m - Y_m, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m],
$$

it is clear that $\{X_1 - Y_1, \ldots, X_m - Y_m\}$ is $\text{Sym}(X|Y)$-regular. (See Definition 2.14.) But $R$ is a free $\text{Sym}(X|Y)$-module. (See for example [13].) So $\{X_1 - Y_1, \ldots, X_m - Y_m\}$ is also $R$-regular. Thus, by Lemma 2.15, the isomorphism type of $C(v)$ does not depend on the particular choice of $U_1, \ldots, U_m$ as long as they are homogeneous with the right degrees and the potential of $C(v)$ remains $\sum_{j=1}^{m} a(X_j - Y_j)U_j = ap_{N+1}(X) - ap_{N+1}(Y)$. From now on, we will only specify our choice for $U_1, \ldots, U_m$ when it is actually used in the computation. Otherwise, we will simply denote the entries in the left column of $C_N(v)$ by $*$s.

**Definition 3.5** We define

$$
C_N(\Gamma) := \bigotimes_v C_N(v).
$$
where \( v \) runs through all the interior vertices of \( \Gamma \) (including those additional 2-valent vertices.) Here, the tensor product is done over the common end points. More precisely, for two sub-MOY graphs \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) of \( \Gamma \) intersecting only at (some of) their end points, let \( W_1, \ldots, W_n \) be the alphabets associated to these common end points. Then, in the above tensor product, \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \otimes C_N(\Gamma_2) \) is the tensor product \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \otimes_{Q[a] \otimes Q Sym(W_1, \ldots, W_n)} C_N(\Gamma_2) \).

Note that \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is a \( Z_2 \oplus Z^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization. We denote by \( C_N^{\epsilon, j, k}(\Gamma) \) the homogeneous component of \( C_N(\Gamma) \) of \( Z_2 \)-degree \( \epsilon \), \( a \)-degree \( j \) and \( x \)-degree \( k \).

If \( \Gamma \) is closed, that is, has no end points, then \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is considered a \( Z_2 \oplus Z^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization of \( 0 \) over \( Q[a] \).

Assume \( \Gamma \) has end points. Let \( E_1, \ldots, E_n \) be the alphabets assigned to all end points of \( \Gamma \), among which \( E_1, \ldots, E_k \) are assigned to exits and \( E_{k+1}, \ldots, E_n \) are assigned to entrances. Define the boundary ring of \( \Gamma \) to be \( R_\partial = Q[a] \otimes Q Sym(E_1, \ldots, E_n) \).

Then \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is viewed as a \( Z_2 \oplus Z^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorization over \( R_\partial \) of \( \sum_{i=1}^k a \rho_{N+1}(E_i) - \sum_{j=k+1}^n a \rho_{N+1}(E_j) \).

We allow the MOY graph to be empty. In this case, we define

\[
C_N(\emptyset) = Q[a] \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow Q[a].
\]

**Lemma 3.6** If \( \Gamma \) is a MOY graph, then the homotopy type of \( C_N(\Gamma) \) does not depend on the choice of the marking.

**Proof** We only need to show that adding or removing an extra marked point corresponds to a homotopy equivalence of \( Z_2 \oplus Z^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations. This follows easily from Proposition 2.16. \( \square \)

**Definition 3.7** Let \( \Gamma \) be a closed MOY graph with a marking. Then \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is a \( Z_2 \oplus Z^{\oplus 2} \)-graded chain complex of free \( Q[a] \)-modules. Define \( H_N(\Gamma) \) to be the homology of \( C_N(\Gamma) \).

Note that \( H_N(\Gamma) \) is a \( Z_2 \oplus Z^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( Q[a] \)-module. Denote by \( H_N^{\epsilon, j, k}(\Gamma) \) the homogeneous component of \( H_N(\Gamma) \) of \( Z_2 \)-degree \( \epsilon \), \( a \)-degree \( j \) and \( x \)-degree \( k \).

Define the \( Z_2 \oplus Z^{\oplus 2} \)-graded dimension of \( \Gamma \) to be

\[
gdim \Gamma = \sum_{\epsilon, j, k} \tau^\epsilon \alpha^j \xi^k \dim_Q H_N^{\epsilon, j, k}(\Gamma) \in Z[\alpha, \xi][\alpha^{-1}, \xi^{-1}, \tau]/(\tau^2 - 1).
\]

**Remark 3.8** In the language of Definition 2.19,

\[
gdim \Gamma = \text{gdim}_Q(C_N(\Gamma)) \neq \text{gdim}_{Q[a]}(C_N(\Gamma)).
\]
Here, $\text{gdim } \Gamma$ is well-defined because $C_N(\Gamma)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorization over a polynomial ring with finitely many indeterminates, which implies that

1. \( \dim _{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon,j,k}_{N}(\Gamma) < \infty \) for all $\epsilon, j, k$,
2. the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading of $\mathcal{H}_N(\Gamma)$ is bounded below.

### 3.3 Edge sliding

Consider the MOY graphs in Figure 2. We call the local changes $\Gamma_1 \supseteq \Gamma'_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \supseteq \Gamma'_2$ edge slidings. In this subsection, we demonstrate that an edge sliding induces, up to homotopy and scaling, a unique homotopy equivalence.

**Lemma 3.9** Suppose that $\Gamma_1$, $\Gamma'_1$, $\Gamma_2$, and $\Gamma'_2$ are the MOY graphs shown in Figure 2. Mark corresponding end points of $\Gamma_i$ and $\Gamma'_i$ with the same alphabet and denote by $R_\theta$ the common boundary ring. Then $C_N(\Gamma_1) \simeq C_N(\Gamma'_1)$ and $C_N(\Gamma_2) \simeq C_N(\Gamma'_2)$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorizations over $R_\theta$.

**Proof** Let $\Gamma$ be the MOY graph in Figure 3. We mark $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_1$ as in Figure 3, where $X_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $X_2 = \{x_4, x_5\}$. Write $R_\theta = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_6, x_7, x_8] \otimes \mathbb{Q}\text{Sym}(X_1)$ and $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_6, x_7, x_8] \otimes \mathbb{Q}\text{Sym}(X_1|X_2)$. From Proposition 2.16, we know that, as $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorizations over $R_\theta$,

\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) = \begin{pmatrix}
* & x_7 + x_8 - x_4 - x_5 \\
* & x_4 + x_5 + x_6 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \\
* & x_4x_5 + x_5x_6 + x_6x_4 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1 \\
* & x_4x_5x_6 - x_1x_2x_3 \\
* & x_7 + x_8 + x_6 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \\
* & x_7x_8 + x_8x_6 + x_6x_7 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1 \\
* & x_7x_8x_6 - x_1x_2x_3 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\simeq \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
-3 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-3 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\{0, -3\} = C_N(\Gamma).
\]
Similarly, \( C_N(\Gamma'_1) \simeq C_N(\Gamma) \). So \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \simeq C_N(\Gamma'_1) \), and \( C_N(\Gamma_2) \simeq C_N(\Gamma'_2) \).

Consider the homotopy equivalences

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma: & \quad x_6 \quad x_7 \quad x_8 \\
1 & \quad 1 & \quad 3 \\
X_1 & & \\
\Gamma_1: & \quad x_6 \quad x_7 \quad x_8 \\
2 & \quad 1 & \quad 3 \\
X_2 & & \\
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 3

**Lemma 3.10** Suppose that \( \Gamma_1, \, \Gamma'_1, \, \Gamma_2 \) and \( \Gamma'_2 \) are the MOY graphs shown in Figure 2. Then the homotopy equivalences

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hom} & \quad C_N(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_N(\Gamma'_1), & C_N(\Gamma'_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_N(\Gamma_1), \\
\text{Hom} & \quad C_N(\Gamma_2) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_N(\Gamma'_2), & C_N(\Gamma'_2) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_N(\Gamma_2)
\end{align*}
\]

of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-graded matrix factorizations from Lemma 3.9 are unique up to homotopy and scaling by non-zero scalars.

**Proof** We only prove the uniqueness of

\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_N(\Gamma'_1)
\]

here. The proofs of uniqueness of the other homotopy equivalences are similar and left to the reader. Let \( R_3 \) be as in the proof of Lemma 3.9. To prove the uniqueness of \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_N(\Gamma'_1) \), we only need to prove that in the category

\[
\text{Hom}_{R_3,a}(x_6^{N+1} + x_7^{N+1} + x_8^{N+1} - x_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1} - x_3^{N+1})
\]

we have \( \text{Hom}_{R_3}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma'_1)) \cong \mathbb{Q} \). Since \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \simeq C_N(\Gamma'_1) \simeq C_N(\Gamma) \), we just need to check that \( \text{Hom}_{R_3}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma)) \cong \mathbb{Q} \).

First, it is easy to see that \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is finitely generated over \( R_3 \) and \( \text{gdim}_{R_3}(C_N(\Gamma)) \neq 0 \). By Corollary 2.22, this means that \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is not homotopic to \( 0 \). Thus, the identity map of \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is not homotopic to \( 0 \). This implies that \( \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Hom}_{R_3}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma)) \geq 1 \).

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.10,

\[
\text{Hom}_{R_3}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma))
\]

defines a homomorphism

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\text{a}\text{U}_1 \\
\text{a}\text{U}_2 \\
\text{a}\text{U}_3 \\
\text{a}\text{U}_1' \\
\text{a}\text{U}_2' \\
\text{a}\text{U}_3'
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which is in the image of

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
x_7 + x_8 + x_6 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \\
x_7x_8 + x_8x_6 + x_6x_7 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1 \\
x_7x_8x_6 - x_1x_2x_3 \\
-(x_7 + x_8 + x_6 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3) \\
-(x_7x_8 + x_8x_6 + x_6x_7 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1) \\
-(x_7x_8x_6 - x_1x_2x_3)
\end{pmatrix}_{R_3}
\]

\[\{3, 3N - 9\}\]
where $U_1$, $U_2$ and $U_3$ are given by Equation (3-6). Let $R' = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_6, x_7, x_8]$. By Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 3.2, we have that, as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorizations of 0 over $R'$,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    aU_1 & x_7 + x_8 + x_6 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \\
    aU_2 & x_7x_8 + x_8x_6 + x_6x_7 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1 \\
    aU_3 & x_7x_8x_6 - x_1x_2x_3 \\
    aU_1 & -(x_7 + x_8 + x_6 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3) \\
    aU_2 & -(x_7x_8 + x_8x_6 + x_6x_7 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1) \\
    aU_3 & -(x_7x_8x_6 - x_1x_2x_3)
\end{pmatrix}_{R_0} 
\simeq \begin{pmatrix}
    ah_N(\{x_6, x_7, x_8\}) & 0 \\
    ah_{N-1}(\{x_6, x_7, x_8\}) & 0 \\
    ah_{N-2}(\{x_6, x_7, x_8\}) & 0
\end{pmatrix}_{R'}
\]

Thus, as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded matrix factorizations of 0 over $R'$,

\[
\text{Hom}_{R_0}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma)) \simeq M := \begin{pmatrix}
    ah_N(\{x_6, x_7, x_8\}) & 0 \\
    ah_{N-1}(\{x_6, x_7, x_8\}) & 0 \\
    ah_{N-2}(\{x_6, x_7, x_8\}) & 0
\end{pmatrix}_{R'} \{3, 3N - 9\}.
\]

As a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–graded $R'$–module,

\[
M \cong (R' \oplus R' \{1\} \{1, N-1\}) \otimes_{R'} (R' \oplus R' \{1\} \{1, N-3\}) \otimes_{R'} (R' \oplus R' \{1\} \{1, N-5\}).
\]

From this, it is easy to see that the homogeneous component of $M$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–degree $(0, 0, 0)$ is 1–dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$. This implies that

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^{0,0,0}(\text{Hom}_{R_0}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma))) \leq 1.
\]

But, by Lemma 2.6,

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma)) \cong H^{0,0,0}(\text{Hom}_{R_0}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma))).
\]

Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma)) \leq 1$.

Putting the above together, we get $\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma)) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

### 3.4 Edge splitting and merging

Let $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_1$ be the MOY graphs in Figure 4. We call the change $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ an edge splitting and the change $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ an edge merging. In this subsection, we define the morphisms induced by edge splitting and merging.
Lemma 3.11 [10, Proposition 30] Let \( R_\beta = \mathbb{Q}[a] \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(\{x_1, x_2\}|\{x_3, x_4\}) \). Then

\[
C_N(\Gamma) \simeq C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0, -1\} \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0, 1\}
\]
as \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( a(x_1^{N+1} + x_2^{N+1} - x_3^{N+1} - x_4^{N+1}) \) over \( R_\beta \).

**Proof** (Following [10]) Set

\[
R = \mathbb{Q}[a] \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(\{x_1, x_2\}|\{x_3, x_4\}|\{x_5, x_6\}),
\]

\[
\bar{R} = \mathbb{Q}[a] \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(\{x_1, x_2\}|\{x_3, x_4\}) \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}[x_5, x_6].
\]

Then \( R \) is a subring of \( \bar{R} \) and, as \( \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( R \)-modules, \( \bar{R} = R \cdot 1 \oplus R \cdot x_5 \cong R \oplus R\{0, 2\} \).

By definition,

\[
C_N(\Gamma) = \begin{pmatrix}
* & x_1 + x_2 - x_5 - x_6 \\
* & x_1x_2 - x_5x_6 \\
* & x_5 + x_6 - x_3 - x_4 \\
* & x_5x_6 - x_3x_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}_{\bar{R}} \{0, -1\}.
\]

We add an extra marked point to \( \Gamma_1 \) as in Figure 5. Then, by Lemma 3.6, we have

\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) \simeq \begin{pmatrix}
* & x_1 + x_2 - x_5 - x_6 \\
* & x_1x_2 - x_5x_6 \\
* & x_5 + x_6 - x_3 - x_4 \\
* & x_5x_6 - x_3x_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}_R.
\]

Combining the above, we get that \( C_N(\Gamma) \simeq C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0, -1\} \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0, 1\} \) as \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( a(x_1^{N+1} + x_2^{N+1} - x_3^{N+1} - x_4^{N+1}) \) over \( R \).

Since \( R_\beta \) is a subring of \( R \), this proves the lemma.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.12** Let \( \Gamma, \Gamma_1 \) and \( R_\beta \) be as in Lemma 3.11. Then, in the category \( \text{hmf}_{R,\beta}(x_1^{N+1} + x_2^{N+1} - x_3^{N+1} - x_4^{N+1}) \), we have that, for \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0, k\}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma)\{0, k\}) \cong \begin{cases}
\mathbb{Q} & \text{if } k = 1, \\
0 & \text{if } k > 1.
\end{cases}
\]
By Lemma 3.11, we have $C_N(\Gamma) \simeq C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0,-1\} \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0,1\}$. So

\begin{equation}
\text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0,k\}) \\
\cong \text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma)\{0,k\}) \\
\cong \text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0,k-1\}) \\
\quad \oplus \text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)\{0,k+1\}) \\
\cong H^{0,0,-1-k}(\text{Hom}_{R_0}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1))) \\
\quad \oplus H^{0,0,-1-k}(\text{Hom}_{R_0}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1))).
\end{equation}

By Lemma 2.10, we have that

\[
\text{Hom}_{R_0}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \left(\begin{array}{c}
a U_1 x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4 \\
a U_2 x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4 \\
a U_1 x_3 + x_4 - x_1 - x_2 \\
a U_2 x_3 x_4 - x_1 x_2 \\
\end{array}\right)_{R_0} \{2, 2N - 4\},
\]

where $U_1$ and $U_2$ are given by Equation (3-6). By Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 3.2, as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\otimes 2}$--graded matrix factorizations over $\hat{R} := \mathbb{Q}[a] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Sym}(\{x_1, x_2\})$,

\[
\left(\begin{array}{c}
a U_1 x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4 \\
a U_2 x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4 \\
a U_1 x_3 + x_4 - x_1 - x_2 \\
a U_2 x_3 x_4 - x_1 x_2 \\
\end{array}\right)_{R_0} \cong \left(\begin{array}{c}
ah_N(x_1, x_2) \\
ah_{N-1}(x_1, x_2) \\
\end{array}\right)_{\hat{R}}.
\]

So, as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\otimes 2}$--graded chain complexes over $\hat{R}$,

\[
\text{Hom}_{R_0}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \left(\begin{array}{c}
ah_N(x_1, x_2) \\
ah_{N-1}(x_1, x_2) \\
\end{array}\right)_{\hat{R}} \{2, 2N - 4\}.
\]

But, as a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\otimes 2}$--graded $\hat{R}$--module,

\[
\left(\begin{array}{c}
ah_N(x_1, x_2) \\
ah_{N-1}(x_1, x_2) \\
\end{array}\right)_{\hat{R}} \{2, 2N - 4\} \cong (\hat{R}\{1, N-1\} \oplus \hat{R}(1)) \otimes_{\hat{R}} (\hat{R}\{1, N-3\} \oplus \hat{R}(1)).
\]

---
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This implies that
\[
(3-11) \quad \dim Q H^{0,0,-l}(\text{Hom}_{R_\theta}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1))) \begin{cases} 
\leq 1 & \text{if } l = 0, \\
= 0 & \text{if } l < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

It is easy to check that \( H_{R_\theta}(C_N(\Gamma_1)) \neq 0 \). This means that \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \) is not homotopic to 0 and, therefore, \( \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_1)} \) is not homotopic to 0. Thus,
\[
(3-12) \quad \dim Q H^{0,0,0}(\text{Hom}_{R_\theta}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1))) = 1.
\]

Now the lemma follows from equations (3-10), (3-11) and (3-12).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.13** Let \( \Gamma, \Gamma_1 \) and \( R_\theta \) be as in Lemma 3.11. Then, up to homotopy and scaling, there exist unique homotopically non-trivial \( R_\theta \)-linear homogeneous morphisms of matrix factorizations
\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\phi} C_N(\Gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad C_N(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} C_N(\Gamma_1)
\]
of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((0,0,-1)\). For these two morphisms, we have
\[
\bar{\phi} \circ \phi \simeq 0,
\]
\[
\bar{\phi} \circ m(x_5) \circ \phi = -\bar{\phi} \circ m(x_6) \circ \phi \simeq \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_1)},
\]
where \( m(x_5) \) is the endomorphism of \( C_N(\Gamma) \) given by the multiplication by \( x_5 \).

**Proof** The existence and uniqueness of \( \phi \) and \( \bar{\phi} \) follow from Lemma 3.12. It remains to show that \( \phi \) and \( \bar{\phi} \) satisfy the equations in the lemma.

Denote by \( \text{Sym}(x_5,x_6) \hookrightarrow Q[x_5,x_6] \) the standard inclusion that maps every element of \( \text{Sym}(x_5,x_6) \) to itself in \( Q[x_5,x_6] \). Set \( R = R_\theta \otimes Q \text{Sym}(x_5,x_6) \) as in the proof of Lemma 3.11. Using expressions (3-8) and (3-9) for \( C_N(\Gamma) \) and \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \), one can see that \( j \) induces an \( R \)-linear homogeneous morphism of matrix factorizations \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} C_N(\Gamma) \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((0,0,-1)\). Denote by \( Q[x_5,x_6] \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Sym}(x_5,x_6) \) the divided difference
\[
\pi(f(x_5,x_6)) = \frac{f(x_5,x_6) - f(x_6,x_5)}{x_5 - x_6} \in \text{Sym}(x_5,x_6).
\]

Note that \( \pi \) is \( \text{Sym}(x_5,x_6) \)-linear. Using expressions (3-8) and (3-9) again, one can see that \( \pi \) induces an \( R \)-linear homogeneous morphism of matrix factorizations \( C_N(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} C_N(\Gamma_1) \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((0,0,-1)\). It is easy to check that \( \pi \circ j = 0 \) and \( \pi \circ m(x_5) \circ j = -\pi \circ m(x_6) \circ j = \text{id}_{\text{Sym}(x_5,x_6)} \). It follows that \( \bar{\varphi} \circ \varphi \simeq 0 \) and \( \bar{\varphi} \circ m(x_5) \circ \varphi = -\bar{\varphi} \circ m(x_6) \circ \varphi \simeq \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_1)} \). In particular, the last equation implies that \( \varphi \) and \( \bar{\varphi} \) are homotopically non-trivial. Thus, by the uniqueness of \( \phi \) and \( \bar{\phi} \), we have \( \phi \simeq \varphi \) and \( \bar{\phi} \simeq \bar{\varphi} \). This completes the proof.  \(\square\)
3.5 $\chi$–morphisms

In this subsection, we construct two pairs of $\chi$–morphisms induced by the changes in Figures 6 and 7. The morphisms $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ are direct generalizations of those defined in [10; 11] and will be used in the definition of the chain complexes associated to closed braids. The morphisms $\tilde{\chi}^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}^1$ will be used in the proof of the invariance of $\mathcal{H}_N$ under Reidemeister move III. The constructions of these morphisms are given in more general settings in [21, Section 8].

As in [11], our construction of the $\chi$–morphisms are based on the following simple observation.

**Lemma 3.14** [11] Let $r, s, t$ be homogeneous elements of $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ with $\deg r + \deg s + \deg t = (2, 2N + 2)$. Then there exist homogeneous morphisms of matrix factorizations

$$f: (r, st)_R \to (rs, t)_R, \quad g: (rs, t)_R \to (r, st)_R,$$

such that

(i) $f$ and $g$ preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading,

(ii) $\deg f = (0, 0)$ and $\deg g = \deg s$,

(iii) $g \circ f = s \cdot \text{id}_{(rs, t)_R}$ and $f \circ g = s \cdot \text{id}_{(r, st)_R}$.

![Figure 6](image)

**Lemma 3.15** [10; 11] Let $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_1$ be the MOY graphs in Figure 6. Then there exist homogeneous morphisms of matrix factorizations

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0) \xrightarrow{\chi^0} \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\chi^1} \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)$$

such that

(1) $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ are homotopically non-trivial,

(2) the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2$–degrees of $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ are both $(0, 0, 1)$,

(3) $\chi^1 \circ \chi^0 \simeq (x_2 - x_1) \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)}$ and $\chi^0 \circ \chi^1 \simeq (x_2 - x_1) \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_1)}$. 
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Moreover, up to homotopy and scaling,

- \( \chi^0 \) is the unique homotopically non-trivial homogeneous morphisms from \( C_N(\Gamma_0) \) to \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((0,0,1)\),
- \( \chi^1 \) is the unique homotopically non-trivial homogeneous morphisms from \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \) to \( C_N(\Gamma_0) \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((0,0,1)\).

**Proof** (Following [11].) We prove the existence of \( \chi^0 \) and \( \chi^1 \) first. Let \( R_{\partial} = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2] \). By Proposition 2.16,

\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c}
u \;
\begin{pmatrix}
U_1 & x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
U_2 & y_1 - x_2 y_2
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}\right)
\]

where \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are given by Equation (3-6). By Lemma 2.13,

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
u \;
\begin{pmatrix}
U_1 & x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
U_2 & y_1 - x_2 y_2
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}\right) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c}
u \;
\begin{pmatrix}
(U_1 + x_1 U_2) & x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
U_2 & (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_2)
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}\right).
\]

Thus, we have a pair of homotopy equivalences

\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\varphi \rho} M := \left( \begin{array}{c}
u \;
\begin{pmatrix}
(U_1 + x_1 U_2) & x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
U_2 & (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_2)
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}\right) \]

that are homotopy inverses of each other. On the other hand, by Lemmas 2.13 and 2.15, we know that

\[
C_N(\Gamma_0) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c}
u \;
\begin{pmatrix}
(U_1 + x_1 U_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\
(U_1 + x_2 U_2) & y_1 - y_2
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}\right) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c}
u \;
\begin{pmatrix}
(U_1 + x_1 U_2) & x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
(U_2 + x_1 U_2) & x_1 - y_2
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}\right).
\]

This gives a pair of isomorphisms

\[
C_N(\Gamma_0) \xrightarrow{\eta \eta^{-1}} M' := \left( \begin{array}{c}
u \;
\begin{pmatrix}
(U_1 + x_1 U_2) & x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
(U_2 + x_1 U_2) & x_1 - y_2
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}\right).
\]

By Lemma 3.14, there are homogeneous morphisms \( M \xrightarrow{f \ g} M' \) such that

- the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degrees of \( f \) and \( g \) are both \((0,0,1)\),
- \( f \circ g \simeq (x_2 - x_1)\text{id}_M \) and \( g \circ f \simeq (x_2 - x_1)\text{id}_M \).

Moreover, it is straightforward to check that \( H_{R_{\partial}}(M) \overset{f}{\to} H_{R_{\partial}}(M') \) and \( H_{R_{\partial}}(M') \overset{g}{\to} H_{R_{\partial}}(M) \) are both non-zero. So \( f \) and \( g \) are homotopically non-trivial. Define \( \chi^0 = \varphi \circ g \circ \eta \) and \( \chi^0 = \eta^{-1} \circ f \circ \rho \). It is easy to verify that these homogeneous morphisms satisfy conditions (1)–(3) in the lemma.
It remains to prove the uniqueness of $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$. This comes down to showing that in the category $\text{hmf}_{R_0, a(x_1^{N+1} + y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1} - y_2^{N+1})}$ we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_1)(0, -1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_0)(0, -1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}.$$ 

Next, we prove that $\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_1)(0, -1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. We leave the similar proof that $\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_0)(0, -1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ to the reader.

Since $\chi^0$ is not homotopic to 0, we have that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^{0,0,1}(\text{Hom}_{R_0}(M', M)) \geq 1$. Note that by Lemma 2.6,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_1)(0, -1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(M', M(0, -1)) \cong H^{0,0,1}(\text{Hom}_{R_0}(M', M)).$$ 

By Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 2.16, we have that, as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\otimes 2}$–graded matrix factorizations over $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, y_1]$,

$$\text{Hom}_{R_0}(M', M) \cong \begin{pmatrix} a(U_1 + x_1 U_2) & x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\ aU_2 & (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_2) \\ a(U_1 + x_1 U_2) & -(x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2) \\ a(x_2 - x_1) U_2 & -(x_1 - y_2) \end{pmatrix}_{R_0} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 2, 2N - 3 \\ 2, 2N - 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $V_i = U_i|_{y_2 = x_1, x_2 = y_1} \in R$. But, as a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\otimes 2}$–graded $R$–module,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(V_1 + x_1 V_2) & 0 \\ aV_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{R_0} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 2, 2N - 3 \end{pmatrix} \cong (\mathbb{R}[1, N - 1] \oplus \mathbb{R}[1]) \otimes_{R} (\mathbb{R}[1, N - 3] \oplus \mathbb{R}[1])\{0, 1\}.$$

This implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^{0,0,1}(\text{Hom}_{R_0}(M', M)) \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_1)(0, -1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}.$$

**Lemma 3.16** Let $\overline{\Gamma}_0$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ be the MOY graphs in Figure 7. Then there exist homogeneous morphisms of matrix factorizations

$$C_N(\overline{\Gamma}_0) \xrightarrow{\overline{\chi}^0} C_N(\overline{\Gamma}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad C_N(\overline{\Gamma}_1) \xrightarrow{\overline{\chi}^1} C_N(\overline{\Gamma}_0)$$

such that

1. $\overline{\chi}^0$ and $\overline{\chi}^1$ are homotopically non-trivial,
2. the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\otimes 2}$–degrees of $\overline{\chi}^0$ and $\overline{\chi}^1$ are both $(0, 0, 1),$
3. $\overline{\chi}^1 \circ \overline{\chi}^0 \simeq (x_2 - x_1)\text{id}_{C_N(\overline{\Gamma}_0)}$ and $\overline{\chi}^0 \circ \overline{\chi}^1 \simeq (x_2 - x_1)\text{id}_{C_N(\overline{\Gamma}_1)}.$
Moreover, up to homotopy and scaling,

- $\tilde{\chi}^0$ is the unique homotopically non-trivial homogeneous morphisms from $C_N(\tilde{\Gamma}_0)$ to $C_N(\tilde{\Gamma}_1)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2$–degree $(0, 0, 1)$,
- $\tilde{\chi}^1$ is the unique homotopically non-trivial homogeneous morphisms from $C_N(\tilde{\Gamma}_1)$ to $C_N(\tilde{\Gamma}_0)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2$–degree $(0, 0, 1)$.

\[\begin{aligned}
&\begin{array}{c}
x_1 \downarrow 1 \\
\downarrow z \downarrow 2 \\
\{y_3, y_4\} \\
\downarrow 1 \downarrow x_2
\end{array} \\
\xrightarrow{\tilde{\chi}^0} \\
\begin{array}{c}
x_1 \downarrow 1 \\
\downarrow 2 \downarrow \{y_1, y_2\} \\
\{y_3, y_4\} \\
\downarrow 1 \downarrow x_2
\end{array}
\end{aligned}\]

\[\begin{aligned}
&\begin{array}{c}
x_1 \downarrow 1 \\
\downarrow 2 \downarrow \{y_1, y_2\} \\
\downarrow 3 \downarrow 1 \\
\downarrow x_2
\end{array} \\
\xleftarrow{\tilde{\chi}^1} \\
\begin{array}{c}
x_1 \downarrow 1 \\
\downarrow 2 \downarrow \{y_1, y_2\} \\
\{y_3, y_4\} \\
\downarrow 1 \downarrow x_2
\end{array}
\end{aligned}\]

Figure 7

**Proof** We follow the approach used in the proof of Lemma 3.15. The only difference is that the computations are now more complex. To simplify the exposition, we introduce the notation $*_j, k$ to mean a homogeneous element of $\mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2$–degree $(j, k)$. From Lemma 2.15, we know that the isomorphism types of all the Koszul matrix factorizations appearing in this proof are independent of the choice of $*_j, k$ as long as these matrix factorizations remain $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2$–graded matrix factorizations of

\[a(x_1^{N+1} + y_1^{N+1} + y_2^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1} - y_3^{N+1} - y_4^{N+1}).\]

Let $R_\delta = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2] \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(\{y_1, y_2\}/\{y_3, y_4\})$. By Proposition 2.16, we have

\[C_N(\tilde{\Gamma}_1) \simeq \begin{pmatrix}
*_2, 2N \\
*_2, 2N-2 \\
*_2, 2N-4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4 \\
x_1y_1 + x_1y_2 + y_1y_2 - x_2y_3 - x_2y_4 - y_3y_4 \\
x_1y_1y_2 - x_2y_3y_4
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\delta} \{0, -2\}.
\]

Note that

\[(x_1y_1y_2 - x_2y_3y_4) - x_1(x_1y_1 + x_1y_2 + y_1y_2 - x_2y_3 - x_2y_4 - y_3y_4) + x_1^2(x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4) = -(x_2 - x_1)(x_1^2 - x_1(y_3 + y_4) + y_3y_4)\]

and

\[(x_1y_1 + x_1y_2 + y_1y_2 - x_2y_3 - x_2y_4 - y_3y_4) - x_1(x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4) = y_1y_2 + (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_3 - y_4) - y_3y_4.\]
So, by Lemma 2.12,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & x_1y_1 + x_1y_2 + y_1y_2 - x_2y_3 - x_2y_4 - y_3y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-4} & x_1y_1y_2 - x_2y_3y_4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[\cong \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1y_2 + (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_3 - y_4) - y_3y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-4} & -(x_2 - x_1)(x_1^2 - x_1(y_3 + y_4) + y_3y_4)
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial}.
\]

Thus, we have a pair of homotopy equivalences

\[\mathcal{C}_N(\widetilde{\Gamma}_1) \xrightarrow{\rho} M := \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1y_2 + (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_3 - y_4) - y_3y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-4} & -(x_2 - x_1)(x_1^2 - x_1(y_3 + y_4) + y_3y_4)
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial} \{0, -2\}
\]

that are homotopy inverses of each other. By definition,

\[\mathcal{C}_N(\widetilde{\Gamma}_0) = \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + z - y_3 - y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1z - y_3y_4 \\
*_{2,2N} & y_1y_2 - x_2z \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1y_2 - x_2z
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial[z]} \{0, -1\}.
\]

We remove the indeterminate \(z\) by applying Proposition 2.16 to the first row of this Koszul matrix factorization. This gives

\[\mathcal{C}_N(\widetilde{\Gamma}_0) \cong \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N-2} & x_1(y_3 + y_4 - x_1) - y_3y_4 \\
*_{2,2N} & y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - (y_3 + y_4 - x_1) \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1y_2 - x_2(y_3 + y_4 - x_1)
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial} \{0, -1\}
\]

\[\cong \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - (y_3 + y_4 - x_1) \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1y_2 - x_2(y_3 + y_4 - x_1) \\
*_{2,2N-2} & x_1(y_3 + y_4 - x_1) - y_3y_4
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial} \{0, -1\}
\]

\[\cong \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1y_2 + (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_3 - y_4) - y_3y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & -(x_2 - x_1)(x_1^2 - x_1(y_3 + y_4) + y_3y_4)
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial} \{0, -1\},
\]

where, in the last step, we applied Lemma 2.12 to the second and third rows. Thus, we have a pair of homotopy equivalences

\[\mathcal{C}_N(\widetilde{\Gamma}_0) \xrightarrow{\eta} M' := \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - x_2 - y_3 - y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & y_1y_2 + (x_2 - x_1)(x_1 - y_3 - y_4) - y_3y_4 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & -(x_2^2 - x_1(y_3 + y_4) + y_3y_4)
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial} \{0, -1\}\]
that are homotopy inverses of each other. 

By Lemma 3.14, there are homogeneous morphisms

\[ M \xrightarrow{f} M' \xleftarrow{g} M \]

such that

- the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \)–degrees of \( f \) and \( g \) are both \((0, 0, 1)\),
- \( f \circ g \simeq (x_2 - x_1)\text{id}_{M'} \) and \( g \circ f \simeq (x_2 - x_1)\text{id}_M \).

Moreover, it is straightforward to check that \( H_{R^0}(M) \xrightarrow{f} H_{R^0}(M') \) and \( H_{R^0}(M') \xrightarrow{g} H_{R^0}(M) \) are both non-zero. So \( f \) and \( g \) are homotopically non-trivial. Define \( \tilde{\chi}^0 = \tilde{\rho} \circ g \circ \tilde{\eta} \) and \( \tilde{\chi}^1 = \tilde{\eta} \circ f \circ \tilde{\rho} \). It is easy to see that these are homogeneous morphisms satisfying conditions (1)–(3) in the lemma.

This proves the existence of \( \tilde{\chi}^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}^1 \). The uniqueness of \( \tilde{\chi}^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}^1 \) follows from the fact that in the category \( \text{hmf}_{R^0, a} \), we have

\[ \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_{N}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0), C_{N}(\tilde{\Gamma}_1)\{0, -1\}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_{N}(\tilde{\Gamma}_1), C_{N}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0)\{0, -1\}) \cong \mathbb{Q} \].

The computations of these Hom_{hmf} spaces are very similar to the corresponding computation in the proof of Lemma 3.15. We leave details to the reader. \( \square \)

## 4 Definition of \( \mathcal{H}_N \)

We define in this section a chain complex \( C_N(B) \) of matrix factorizations for every closed braid \( B \). Then \( \mathcal{H}_N(B) \) is defined to be the homology of \( C_N(B) \). Up to a grading shift, \( C_0(B) \) is the chain complex defined in [11] and \( \mathcal{H}_0(B) \) is the HOMFLYPT homology. We will prove in Sections 5–7 that for \( N \geq 1 \), \( \mathcal{H}_N \) is an invariant for transverse links but not for smooth links.

In this section, we again fix a non-negative integer \( N \) and let \( a \) be a homogeneous indeterminate of bidegree \( \text{deg} \, a = (2, 0) \).
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4.1 The chain complex associated to a marked tangle diagram

In this subsection, we define the chain complex associated to a marked oriented tangle. Although this chain complex can be defined for any oriented tangle, we can only establish its homotopy invariance under transverse Markov moves. So, in the end, this definition will only be applied to closed braids to define a transverse link invariant via transverse braids.

**Definition 4.1** Let $T$ be an oriented tangle diagram. We call a segment of $T$ between two adjacent crossings/end points an arc. We color all arcs of $T$ with 1. A marking of $T$ consists of:

1. A collection of marked points on $T$ such that
   - none of the crossings of $T$ are marked,
   - all end points are marked,
   - every arc of $T$ contains at least one marked point.

2. An assignment of pairwise distinct homogeneous indeterminates of bidegree $(0, 2)$ to the marked points such that every marked point is assigned a unique indeterminate.

Let $T$ be an oriented tangle with a marking. Cut $T$ at all of its marked points. This cuts $T$ into a collection $\{T_1, \ldots, T_l\}$ of simple tangles, each of which is of one of the three types in Figure 8 and is marked only at its end points.

![Figure 8](image)

Note that $A$ is itself a MOY graph. We define the chain complex associated to $A$ to be

$$(4-1) \quad \mathcal{C}_N(A) = 0 \to \mathcal{C}_N(A) \to 0,$$

where the $\mathcal{C}_N(A)$ on the right-hand side is the matrix factorization associated to the MOY graph $A$, and the under-brace indicates the homological grading. In other words, the chain complex $\mathcal{C}_N(A)$ is given by a single copy of the matrix factorization $\mathcal{C}_N(A)$ at homological degree 0, and zero at all other homological degrees.
To define the chain complexes $C_{\pm}(C_{\pm})$, consider the resolutions of $C_{\pm}$ in Figure 9. We call the resolution $C_{\pm} \rightsquigarrow \Gamma_0$ a 0-resolution and the resolution $C_{\pm} \rightsquigarrow \Gamma_1$ a $\pm 1$–resolution. We define

\begin{align*}
(4-2) \quad C_{\pm}(C_+) &= 0 \to C_{\pm}(\Gamma_1) \{1\} \{1, N\} \xrightarrow{\chi^0} C_{\pm}(\Gamma_0) \{1\} \{1, N-1\} \to 0, \\
(4-3) \quad C_{\pm}(C_-) &= 0 \to C_{\pm}(\Gamma_0) \{1\} \{-1,-N+1\} \xrightarrow{\chi^0} C_{\pm}(\Gamma_1) \{1\} \{-1,-N\} \to 0,
\end{align*}

where the morphisms $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ are defined in Lemma 3.15 and, again, the under-braces indicate the homological gradings.

Note that the differential maps of $C_{\pm}(A)$, $C_{\pm}(C_+)$ and $C_{\pm}(C_-)$ are homogeneous morphisms of matrix factorizations that preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–grading. Of course, these differential maps raise the homological grading by 1.

**Definition 4.2** We define the chain complex $C_{\pm}(T)$ associated to $T$ to be $C_{\pm}(T) := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l} C_{\pm}(T_i)$, where the tensor product is over the common end points. That is, if $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ are the indeterminates assigned to the common end points of $T_i$ and $T_j$, then $T_i \otimes T_j := T_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a,x_1,\ldots,x_m]} T_j$.

Suppose that

- the indeterminates assigned to end points of $T$ are $y_1, \ldots, y_{2n}$.
• $T$ points outward at end points assigned with $y_1, \ldots, y_n$,
• $T$ points inward at end points assigned with $y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_{2n}$.

Define $R_\beta = \mathbb{Q}[a, y_1, \ldots, y_{2n}]$. We view $C_N(T)$ as a chain complex over the category 
\[ \mathrm{hmf}^{\text{all}}_{R_\beta, a(N+1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y_{i+1}^N)} \] 
In particular, for a link diagram $L$, we view $C_N(L)$ as a chain complex over the category $\mathrm{hmf}_{\mathbb{Q}[a], 0}$.

We denote by $d_\chi$ the differential map of $C_N(L)$ induced by the differential maps of the chain complexes (4-1), (4-2) and (4-3) via the tensor product. $d_\chi$ is a homogeneous morphism of matrix factorizations preserving the $\mathbb{Z}_2$, $a$– and $x$–gradings, and raising the homological grading by 1.

The underlying matrix factorization of $C_N(T)$ endows it with a homogeneous differential $d_{mf}$, which preserves homological grading and shifts the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading by 1, the $a$–grading by 1 and the $x$–grading by $N + 1$.

The differential $d_\chi$ commutes with the differential $d_{mf}$ since, at each homological grading, $d_\chi$ is a morphism of matrix factorizations.

**Definition 4.3** Suppose that $B$ is a closed braid with a marking. Then $C_N(B)$ is a chain complex over the category $\mathrm{hmf}^{\text{all}}_{\mathbb{Q}[a], 0}$. Set $\mathcal{H}_N(B) := H(C_N(B), d_{mf}, d_\chi)$. Note that both $d_\chi$ and $d_{mf}$ are homogeneous homomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–modules. So $\mathcal{H}_N(B)$ inherits the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3$–graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–module structure of $C_N(B)$, where the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–grading of matrix factorizations and the three $\mathbb{Z}$–gradings are the homological, the $a$– and the $x$–gradings.

**Remark 4.4** Comparing Definitions 4.2 and 4.3 to the corresponding definitions in [11], it is easy to see that up to a grading shift, $\mathcal{H}_0$ is the HOMFLYPT homology defined in [11], which is a smooth link invariant. In the current paper, we focus on the case $N \geq 1$ and show that, if $N \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{H}_N$ is an invariant for transverse links but not for smooth links.

### 4.2 Markings do not matter

**Lemma 4.5** Suppose that $T$ is an oriented tangle diagram with a marking and $T'$ is the same oriented tangle diagram with a different marking. Assume that

- each pair of corresponding end points of $T$ and $T'$ are marked by the same indeterminate,
the indeterminates assigned to end points of $T$ are $y_1, \ldots, y_{2n}$.

- $T$ points outward at end points assigned with $y_1, \ldots, y_n$.
- $T$ points inward at end points assigned with $y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_{2n}$.

Then $C_N(T) \cong C_N(T')$ as chain complexes over the category

$$\text{hmfc}^{\text{all}}_{R_\beta, a}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{N+1} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} y_j^{N+1})$$

where $R_\beta = \mathbb{Q}[a, y_1, \ldots, y_{2n}]$.

**Proof** To prove this lemma, we only need to show that adding and removing an extra marked point near a crossing does not change the isomorphism type of the chain complex associated to that crossing. There are four arcs near a crossing. So, in principle, one needs to discuss where the extra marked point is added/removed. Here we prove only that $C_N(C_{\pm}) \cong C_N(C'_{\pm})$ as chain complexes over the category

$$\text{hmfc}^{\text{all}}_{R, a}(x_1^{N+1} + y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1} - y_2^{N+1})$$

where $C_{\pm}$ and $C'_{\pm}$ are depicted in Figure 10, and $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$. The proofs for the other cases are very similar and left to the reader.

Consider the marked MOY graphs in Figure 11. Cutting $\Gamma_0'$ at the pointed marked by $y_3$, we get two marked MOY graphs, $\Gamma_0''$ and $A$, where $A$ is the arc from $y_3$ to $y_1$, and $\Gamma_0''$ is the remainder of $\Gamma_0'$. Then $C_N(\Gamma_0') \cong C_N(\Gamma_0'') \otimes \mathbb{Q}[a, y_3] C_N(A)$. Similarly, cutting $\Gamma_1'$ at the pointed marked by $y_3$, we get $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1'' \cup A$ and $C_N(\Gamma_1') \cong C_N(\Gamma_1'') \otimes \mathbb{Q}[a, y_3] C_N(A)$.

By Proposition 2.16 we know:
there are a pair of homotopy equivalences
\[ C_N(\Gamma_0'') \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a,y_3]} C_N(A) \xrightarrow{f} C_N(\Gamma_0) \]
of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations that are homotopy inverses of each other,

there are a pair of homotopy equivalences
\[ C_N(\Gamma_1'') \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a,y_3]} C_N(A) \xrightarrow{g} C_N(\Gamma_1) \]
of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations that are homotopy inverses of each other.

Let
\[ C_N(\Gamma_0'') \xrightarrow{(\chi^0)''} C_N(\Gamma_1'') \]
be the \( \chi \)-morphisms associated to \( \Gamma_0'' \) and \( \Gamma_1'' \) defined in Lemma 3.15. Using the definitions of \( (\chi^0)'' \), \( (\chi^1)'' \) and Proposition 2.17, one can check that
\[ H_R(C_N(\Gamma_0'') \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a,y_3]} C_N(A)) \xrightarrow{(\chi^0)'' \otimes \text{id}} H_R(C_N(\Gamma_1'') \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a,y_3]} C_N(A)) \]
are non-zero homomorphisms. Thus, \( (\chi^0)'' \otimes \text{id} \) and \( (\chi^1)'' \otimes \text{id} \) are homotopically non-trivial. This implies that morphisms
\[ C_N(\Gamma_0') \xrightarrow{\bar{g} \circ ((\chi^0)'' \otimes \text{id}) \circ f} C_N(\Gamma_1') \]
are homotopically non-trivial. Note that these are homogeneous morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((0,0,1)\). Let
be the \( \chi \)-morphisms associated to \( \Gamma_0 \) and \( \Gamma_1 \) defined in Lemma 3.15. By Lemma 3.15, we know that up to homotopy and scaling, \( \chi^0 \) and \( \chi^1 \) are the unique homotopically non-trivial homogeneous morphisms between \( C_N(\Gamma_0) \) and \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \) with \( \Z_2 \oplus \Z^2 \)-degree \((0, 0, 1)\). Thus, there exist non-zero scalars \( c_0, c_1 \in \Q \) such that \( \bar{g} \circ ((\chi^0)' \otimes \id) \circ f \simeq c_0 \chi^0 \) and \( \bar{f} \circ ((\chi^1)' \otimes \id) \circ g \simeq c_1 \chi^1 \).

The above shows that over the category \( \hmf \) we have commutative diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\downarrow \quad c_1 \bar{g} \\
C_N(\Gamma_1) \{1\} \{1, N\} \\
\downarrow \chi^1 \\
C_N(\Gamma_0) \{1\} \{1, N - 1\} \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{f} 
\begin{array}{c}
C_N(\Gamma_1') \{1\} \{1, N\} \\
\downarrow \chi^1 \\
C_N(\Gamma_0') \{1\} \{1, N - 1\} \\
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\downarrow \quad c_0 \bar{f} \\
C_N(\Gamma_1') \{1\} \{-1, -N + 1\} \\
\downarrow \chi^0 \\
C_N(\Gamma_0') \{1\} \{-1, -N\} \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{g} 
\begin{array}{c}
C_N(\Gamma_1) \{1\} \{-1, -N\} \\
\downarrow \chi^0 \\
C_N(\Gamma_0) \{1\} \{-1, -N + 1\} \\
\end{array}
\]

Since \( \bar{f} \) and \( \bar{g} \) are homotopy equivalences of \( \Z_2 \oplus \Z^2 \)-graded matrix factorizations, the above diagrams give isomorphisms \( C_N(C_{\pm}) \cong C_N(C'_{\pm}) \) for chain complexes over the category \( \hmf \).

5 Reidemeister move I

In this section, we prove the invariance of \( \mathcal{H}_N \) under positive stabilizations and destabilizations and establish the long exact sequence induced by a negative stabilization.

5.1 Algebraic lemmas

First, we recall the Gaussian elimination lemma of [1], which will be used frequently in the proof of the invariance of \( \mathcal{H}_N \).
Lemma 5.1 [1, Lemma 4.2] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category, and

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{pmatrix} A \xrightarrow{\phi \, \delta} B \xrightarrow{\mu \, \nu} C \xrightarrow{D} E \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F \xrightarrow{\gamma} \cdots
$$

a chain complex over $\mathcal{C}$. Assume that $A \xrightarrow{\phi} B$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$ with inverse $\phi^{-1}$. Then $I$ is homotopic to

$$
II = \cdots \xrightarrow{D} B \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1} \delta} E \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F \xrightarrow{\gamma} \cdots.
$$

We call $-\gamma \phi^{-1} \delta$ the correction term in the differential. If $\delta$ or $\gamma$ is 0, then the correction term is 0 and $I$ is homotopic to

$$
II = \cdots \xrightarrow{D} B \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1} \delta} E \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F \xrightarrow{\gamma} \cdots.
$$

Next, we give a lemma about commutativity of certain morphisms between Koszul matrix factorizations that will allow us to simplify morphisms $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ and prove the invariance of $\mathcal{H}_N$ under positive stabilization.

Lemma 5.2 Let $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg a = (2, 0)$ and $\deg X_i = (0, 2n_i)$, where $n_i$ is a positive integer. Suppose that $u, v, x, y, z, p$ are homogeneous elements of $R$ such that

- $\deg u + \deg v = (2, 2N + 2),$
- $\deg x + \deg y + \deg z = (2, 2N + 2),$
- $\deg p = \deg x - \deg v = \deg u - \deg y - \deg z.$

Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$-graded matrix factorizations

$$
M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ xy & z \end{pmatrix}_R, \quad M'_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u + pyz & v \\ xy - ypv & z \end{pmatrix}_R,
$$

$$
M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & yz \end{pmatrix}_R, \quad M'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u + pyz & v \\ x - pv & yz \end{pmatrix}_R.
$$

Denote by

$$
M_0 \xrightarrow{g} M_1 \quad \text{and} \quad M'_0 \xrightarrow{g'} M'_1
$$

the morphisms obtained by applying Lemma 3.14 to the second rows of these Koszul matrix factorizations. Let

$$
M_0 \xrightarrow{\psi_0} M'_0 \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} M'_1.
$$
be the isomorphisms from Lemma 2.12. Then the following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & M'_0 \\
g & \downarrow & g' \\
M_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & M'_1 \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
M_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & M'_1 \\
f & \downarrow & f' \\
M_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & M'_0 \\
\end{array}
\]

**Proof** This lemma becomes fairly obvious once we explicitly write down these matrix factorizations and morphisms. For simplicity, we omit the grading shifts in this proof.

Note that

\[
M_0 = \bigoplus_{\delta} \begin{pmatrix}
R_{00} & u - z \\
x y & v \\
-xy & u \\
\end{pmatrix} \quad M'_0 = \bigoplus_{\delta} \begin{pmatrix}
R_{00} & u + p y z - z \\
x y - y p v & v \\
-xy + y p v & u + p y z \\
\end{pmatrix} \\
M_1 = \bigoplus_{\delta} \begin{pmatrix}
R_{00} & u - y z \\
x & v \\
-x & u \\
\end{pmatrix} \quad M'_1 = \bigoplus_{\delta} \begin{pmatrix}
R_{00} & u + p y z - y z \\
x - p v & v \\
-x + p v & u + p y z \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where each \( R_{\delta} \) is a copy of \( R \) and the lower indices are just for keeping track of the position of each component. Using these explicit matrix factorizations, we have the following:

- The morphisms \( M_0 \xrightarrow{g} M_1 \) are given by

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{00} & \xrightarrow{\quad G_0 \quad} & R_{00} \\
R_{11} & \xrightarrow{\quad F_0 \quad} & R_{11} \\
\end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
R_{10} & \xrightarrow{\quad G_1 \quad} & R_{10} \\
R_{01} & \xrightarrow{\quad F_1 \quad} & R_{01} \\
\end{array}
\]

where, as matrices over \( R \),

\[
G_0 = G_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
y & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad F_0 = F_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & y \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
• The morphisms \( M'_0 \xrightarrow{g'} M'_1 \) are given by
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{00} & \oplus & R_{00} \\
\oplus & G'_0 & \oplus \\
R_{11} & \oplus & R_{11}
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{10} & \oplus & R_{10} \\
\oplus & G'_1 & \oplus \\
R_{01} & \oplus & R_{01}
\end{array},
\]
where, as matrices over \( R \),
\[
G'_0 = G'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F'_0 = F'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.
\]
• The isomorphism \( M_0 \xrightarrow{\psi_0} M'_0 \) is given by
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{00} & \oplus & R_{00} \\
\oplus & \psi_{00} & \oplus \\
R_{11} & \oplus & R_{11}
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{10} & \oplus & R_{10} \\
\oplus & \psi_{01} & \oplus \\
R_{01} & \oplus & R_{01}
\end{array},
\]
where, as matrices over \( R \),
\[
\psi_{00} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{01} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
• The isomorphism \( M_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} M'_1 \) is given by
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{00} & \oplus & R_{00} \\
\oplus & \psi_{10} & \oplus \\
R_{11} & \oplus & R_{11}
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{10} & \oplus & R_{10} \\
\oplus & \psi_{11} & \oplus \\
R_{01} & \oplus & R_{01}
\end{array},
\]
where, as matrices over \( R \),
\[
\psi_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
It is easy to verify that \( \psi_{1\varepsilon} G_{\varepsilon} = G'_{\varepsilon} \psi_{0\varepsilon} \) and \( \psi_{0\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon} = F'_{\varepsilon} \psi_{1\varepsilon} \) for \( \varepsilon = 0, 1 \). This proves the lemma.

\( \square \)

5.2 A closer look at the \( \chi \)-morphisms

Let us recall the definitions of \( \chi^0 \) and \( \chi^1 \). From the proof of Lemma 3.15, we know that, for the MOY graphs \( \Gamma_0 \) and \( \Gamma_1 \) in Figure 6,
\[
C_N(\Gamma_0) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c}
a(U_1 + x_1 U_2) x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
a(x_2 - x_1) U_2 x_1 - y_2 
\end{array} \right) R_\beta,
\]
\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) \{0, 1\} \cong \left( \begin{array}{c}
a(U_1 + x_1 U_2) x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2 \\
a U_2 (x_2 - x_1) (x_1 - y_2) 
\end{array} \right) R_\beta,
\]
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where $R_\theta = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$, and
\[
U_1 = \frac{p_{2,N+1}(x_1 + y_1, x_1 y_1) - p_{2,N+1}(x_2 + y_2, x_1 y_1)}{x_1 + y_1 - x_2 - y_2},
\]
\[
U_2 = \frac{p_{2,N+1}(x_2 + y_2, x_1 y_1) - p_{2,N+1}(x_2 + y_2, x_2 y_2)}{x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2},
\]
with the polynomial $p_{2,N+1}$ given by Equation (3-5). Under the above homotopy equivalences, the morphisms $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ are defined by applying Lemma 3.14 to the second rows in the Koszul matrix factorizations on the right-hand side:

![Figure 12](image)

Now consider the MOY graphs $\hat{\Gamma}_0$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ in Figure 12. From the above discussion, we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3** We have
\[
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0) \cong \left( \begin{array}{cc} a(V_1 + x_1 V_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\ a(V_2 - x_1 V_2) & 0 \end{array} \right)_R,
\]
\[
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1)\{0, 1\} \cong \left( \begin{array}{cc} a(V_1 + x_1 V_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\ a V_2 & 0 \end{array} \right)_R,
\]
where $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, y_1]$ and $V_1 = U_1|_{y_2 = x_1}, V_2 = U_2|_{y_2 = x_1}$. Moreover, the morphisms
\[
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0) \xrightarrow{\chi^0} C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1) \xleftarrow{\chi^1} C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0)
\]
are obtained by applying Lemma 3.14 to the second rows in the Koszul matrix factorizations on the right-hand side.

Note that $C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0)$ and $C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1)$ are matrix factorizations of $a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1})$. So
\[
V_1 + x_1 V_2 = h_N(y_1, x_2) := \frac{y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1}}{y_1 - x_2}.
\]
Also,
\[
V_2 = U_2|_{y_2 = x_1} = U_2|_{y_2 = x_1, y_1 = x_2} + (y_1 - x_2) p
\]
for some homogeneous element \( p \) of \( R \) of bidegree \((0, 2N - 4)\). By Lemma 3.2, we have that
\[
U_2|_{y_2 = x_1, y_1 = x_2} = h_{N-1}(x_1, x_2) := \frac{x_1^N - x_2^N}{x_1 - x_2}.
\]
Thus, we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4** We have
\[
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0) \cong M_0 := \begin{pmatrix} ah_N(y_1, x_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\ a(x_2 - x_1)h_{N-1}(x_1, x_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}_R,
\]
\[
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1)\{0, 1\} \cong M_1 := \begin{pmatrix} ah_N(y_1, x_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\ ah_{N-1}(x_1, x_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}_R,
\]
where \( R = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, y_1] \). Denote by
\[
M_0 \xlongleftarrow{g} \xrightarrow{f} M_1
\]
the morphisms obtained by applying Lemma 3.14 to the second rows of these matrix factorizations. Then there are commutative diagrams:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0) & \cong & M_0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1)\{0, 1\} & \cong & M_1
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1)\{0, 1\} & \cong & M_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0) & \cong & M_0
\end{array}
\]

**Proof** This lemma follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3. \( \square \)

### 5.3 Positive stabilization

We prove in this subsection the invariance of \( \mathcal{H}_N \) under positive stabilizations/de-stabilizations. The main result of this subsection is Proposition 5.5.

**Proposition 5.5** Let \( T \) and \( T_+ \) be the tangles in Figure 13. Then, for \( N \geq 1 \),
\[
C_N(T) \cong C_N(T_+) \text{ as chain complexes over the category } \text{hmf}_{\mathbb{Q}[a, y_1, x_2], a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1})}.
\]
Proof  Let \( \hat{\Gamma}_0 \) and \( \hat{\Gamma}_1 \) be the MOY graphs in Figure 12. Set \( R = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, y_1] \) and \( R_\partial = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_2, y_1] \). From Lemma 5.4, we know that

\[
\begin{align*}
C_N(T_+) &= 0 \to C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1)(1)\{1, N\} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0)(1)\{1, N - 1\} \to 0 \\
&\cong 0 \to M_1(1)\{1, N - 1\} \xrightarrow{f} M_0(1)\{1, N - 1\} \to 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
M_0 &= \begin{pmatrix}
ah_N(y_1, x_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\
(a(\chi_2 - \chi_1)h_{N-1}(\chi_1, \chi_2) & 0
\end{pmatrix}_R, \\
M_1 &= \begin{pmatrix}
ah_N(y_1, x_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\
ah_{N-1}(\chi_1, \chi_2) & 0
\end{pmatrix}_R,
\end{align*}
\]

and \( f \) is the morphism obtained by applying Lemma 3.14 to the second rows of \( M_0 \) and \( M_1 \).

We prove the proposition by simplifying the chain complex

\[
0 \to M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_0 \to 0.
\]

Note that

\[
\begin{align*}
M_0 &= \bigoplus_{R_11\{-2, 2 - 2N\}} R_00 \xrightarrow{D_0} R_{10}\{-1, 1 - N\} \xrightarrow{D_1} R_00 \\
&\bigoplus_{R_11\{-2, 2 - 2N\}} R_00 \xrightarrow{D_0} R_{10}\{-1, 1 - N\} \xrightarrow{D_1} R_00,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
D_0 &= \begin{pmatrix}
ah_N(y_1, x_2) & 0 \\
ar(\chi_2 - \chi_1)h_{N-1}(\chi_1, \chi_2) & y_1 - x_2
\end{pmatrix}, \\
D_1 &= \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 - x_2 & 0 \\
-a(\chi_2 - \chi_1)h_{N-1}(\chi_1, \chi_2) & ah_N(y_1, x_2)
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
M_1 &= \bigoplus_{R_11\{-2, 4 - 2N\}} R_00 \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} R_{10}\{-1, 1 - N\} \xrightarrow{\Delta_1} R_00 \\
&\bigoplus_{R_11\{-2, 4 - 2N\}} R_00 \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} R_{10}\{-1, 1 - N\} \xrightarrow{\Delta_1} R_00,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_0 &= \begin{pmatrix}
ah_N(y_1, x_2) & 0 \\
ah_{N-1}(\chi_1, \chi_2) & y_1 - x_2
\end{pmatrix}, \\
\Delta_1 &= \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 - x_2 & 0 \\
-ah_{N-1}(\chi_1, \chi_2) & ah_N(y_1, x_2)
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]
Moreover, the morphism \( f: M_1 \to M_0 \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{00} & \oplus R_{11}\{-2,4-2N\} \\
\oplus R_{10}\{-1,1-N\} & \oplus R_{01}\{-1,3-N\}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & x_2-x_1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{00} & \oplus R_{11}\{-2,2-2N\} \\
\oplus R_{10}\{-1,1-N\} & \oplus R_{01}\{-1,1-N\}
\end{align*}
\]

In the above explicit forms, each \( R_{\epsilon \delta} \) is a copy of \( R \). The lower indices are just for keeping track of the position of each component.

Next, we decompose the matrix factorizations \( M_0 \) and \( M_1 \) over \( R_\partial \). First, for \( M_0 \), denote by \( u^{[k]}_{\epsilon \delta} \) the homogeneous element \((x_2-x_1)^k\) in \( R_{\epsilon \delta} \) and denote by \( v^{[k]}_{\epsilon \delta} \) the homogeneous element \((x_2-x_1)^k h_{N-1}(x_1,x_2)\) in \( R_{\epsilon \delta} \). Let

\[
\begin{align*}
B_{00} &= \{u^{[k]}_{00} \mid k \geq 0\}, \\
B_{10} &= \{u^{[k]}_{10} \mid k \geq 0\}, \\
B_{01} &= \{u^{[k]}_{01} \mid 0 \leq k \leq N-1\} \cup \{v^{[k+1]}_{01} \mid k \geq 0\}, \\
B_{11} &= \{u^{[k]}_{11} \mid 0 \leq k \leq N-1\} \cup \{v^{[k+1]}_{11} \mid k \geq 0\}.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to check that \( B_{\epsilon \delta} \) is a homogeneous \( R_\partial \)–basis for \( R_{\epsilon \delta} \). So

\[
B := B_{00} \cup B_{10} \cup B_{01} \cup B_{11}
\]

is a homogeneous \( R_\partial \)–basis for \( M_0 \). Define

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta_k &= \text{span}_{R_\partial} \{u^{[k]}_{00}, u^{[k]}_{10}, v^{[k+1]}_{01}, v^{[k+1]}_{11}\} & \text{for } k \geq 0, \\
\Omega_k &= \text{span}_{R_\partial} \{u^{[k]}_{01}, u^{[k]}_{11}\} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq N-1.
\end{align*}
\]

It is straightforward to check that the differential map of \( M_0 \) preserves \( \Theta_k \) and \( \Omega_k \). So \( \Theta_k \) and \( \Omega_k \) are \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \)–graded matrix factorizations of \( a(y_1^{N+1}-x_2^{N+1}) \) over \( R_\partial \). Since \( B \) is a homogeneous \( R_\partial \)–basis for \( M_0 \), we have that, as \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \)–graded matrix factorizations of \( a(y_1^{N+1}-x_2^{N+1}) \) over \( R_\partial \),

\[
M_0 = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N-1} \Theta_k \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_k.
\]
Thus, by Lemma 5.1 and decompositions (5-9), (5-13), we know that

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{B}_0 &= \{\tilde{u}_{00}^k | k \geq 0\}, \\
\tilde{B}_1 &= \{\tilde{u}_{10}^k | k \geq 0\}, \\
\tilde{B}_2 &= \{\tilde{v}_{01}^k | 0 \leq k \leq N - 2\} \cup \{\tilde{v}_{11}^k | k \geq 0\}, \\
\tilde{B}_3 &= \{\tilde{u}_{11}^k | 0 \leq k \leq N - 2\} \cup \{\tilde{v}_{11}^k | k \geq 0\}.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to see that \( \tilde{B}_{\delta\delta} \) is a homogeneous \( R_{\delta} \)-basis for \( R_{\delta\delta} \). So

\[
(5-10) \quad \tilde{B} := \tilde{B}_0 \cup \tilde{B}_1 \cup \tilde{B}_2 \cup \tilde{B}_3
\]

is a homogeneous \( R_{\delta} \)-basis for \( M_1 \). Define

\[
(5-11) \quad \tilde{\Theta}_k = \text{span}_{R_{\delta}} \{\tilde{u}_{00}^k, \tilde{u}_{10}^k, \tilde{v}_{01}^k, \tilde{v}_{11}^k\} \quad \text{for } k \geq 0,
\]

\[
(5-12) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_k = \text{span}_{R_{\delta}} \{\tilde{u}_{01}^k, \tilde{u}_{11}^k\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq N - 2.
\]

It is straightforward to check that the differential map of \( M_1 \) preserves \( \tilde{\Theta}_k \) and \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \). So \( \tilde{\Theta}_k \) and \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \) are \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1}) \) over \( R_{\delta} \). Since \( \tilde{B} \) is a homogeneous \( R_{\delta} \)-basis for \( M_1 \), we have that, as \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded matrix factorizations of \( a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1}) \) over \( R_{\delta} \),

\[
(5-13) \quad M_1 = \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N-2} \tilde{\Omega}_k \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Theta}_k \right).
\]

From the matrix form of \( f \) given above, one can see that

- \( f(\tilde{u}_{00}^k) = u_{00}^k \), \( f(\tilde{u}_{10}^k) = u_{10}^k \) for \( k \geq 0 \),
- \( f(\tilde{v}_{01}^k) = v_{01}^{k+1} \), \( f(\tilde{v}_{11}^k) = v_{11}^{k+1} \) for \( k \geq 0 \),
- \( f(\tilde{u}_{01}^k) = u_{01}^{k+1} \), \( f(\tilde{u}_{11}^k) = u_{11}^{k+1} \) for \( k = 0, \ldots, N - 2 \).

So

- \( f \) maps \( \tilde{\Theta}_k \) isomorphically onto \( \Theta_k \) for \( k \geq 0 \),
- \( f \) maps \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \) isomorphically onto \( \Omega_{k+1} \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq N - 2 \).

Thus, by Lemma 5.1 and decompositions (5-9), (5-13), we know that

\[
(5-14) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Omega_0 \rightarrow 0,
\]
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as chain complexes over the category \( \text{hmf}^{\text{all}}_{R_\theta, a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1})} \). Note that

\[
\begin{align*}
(5-15) & \quad \Omega_0 \cong R_\theta \{-2, 2-2N\} \xrightarrow{y_1-x_2} R_\theta \{-1, 1-N\} \xrightarrow{ah_N(y_1,x_2)} R_\theta \{-2, 2-2N\} \\
& \quad = (ah_N(y_1,x_2), y_1-x_2)R_\theta \{1\}\{-1, 1-N\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Combining (5-1), (5-14) and (5-15), we get that

\[
C_N(T^+) \cong 0 \to (ah_N(y_1,x_2), y_1-x_2)R_\theta \to 0 \cong C_N(T)
\]

as chain complexes over the category \( \text{hmf}^{\text{all}}_{R_\theta, a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1})} \).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure14}
\caption{Figure 14}
\end{figure}

The following is a simple corollary of the proof of Proposition 5.5.

**Corollary 5.6** Let \( \widehat{\Gamma}_0, \widehat{\Gamma}_1 \) and \( \widehat{\Gamma} \) be the MOY graphs in Figure 14. Then, as objects of the category \( \text{hmf}^{\text{all}}_{Q[a,y_1,x_2], a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1})} \),

\[
C_N(\widehat{\Gamma}_0) \cong C_N(\widehat{\Gamma}) \{1\}\{-1, 1-N\} \oplus C_N(\widehat{\Gamma}_1)\{0, 1\}.
\]

**Proof** This corollary follows from Lemma 5.4, decompositions (5-9), (5-13), isomorphism (5-15) and the fact that \( f \) maps \( \widehat{\Theta}_k \) isomorphically onto \( \Theta_k \) and maps \( \widehat{\Omega}_k \) isomorphically onto \( \Omega_{k+1} \).

\subsection*{5.4 Negative stabilization}

The main result of this subsection is Proposition 5.8, which implies Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6. The proof of Proposition 5.8 is parallel to that of Proposition 5.5.

Before stating Proposition 5.8, we define a morphism of matrix factorizations. Note that the tangle \( T \) can also be viewed as a MOY graph. Its matrix factorization is

\[
C_N(T) = (ah_N(y_1,x_2), y_1-x_2)R_\theta \cong R_\theta \xrightarrow{ah_N(y_1,x_2)} R_\theta \{-1, -N+1\} \xrightarrow{y_1-x_2} R_\theta.
\]
where \( R_\delta = \mathbb{Q}[a, y_1, x_2] \). Moreover,

\[
C_N(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a]} (0, a)\mathbb{Q}[a] = C_N(T) \otimes_{R_\delta} (0, a)R_\delta \\
= \begin{pmatrix}
ah_N(y_1, x_2) & y_1 - x_2 \\
0 & a
\end{pmatrix}_{R_\delta}
\]

\[
\cong \begin{array}{c}
R_\delta \\
\oplus
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\Delta'_0} \begin{array}{c}
R_\delta\{0, -2N\} \\
\oplus
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\Delta'_1} \begin{array}{c}
R_\delta\{1, -N - 1\} \\
\oplus
\end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c}
R_\delta\{0, -2N\}
\end{array},
\]

where

\[
\Delta'_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
ah_N(y_1, x_2) & -a \\
0 & y_1 - x_2
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta'_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 - x_2 & a \\
0 & ah_N(y_1, x_2)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Definition 5.7** Using the above explicit forms of \( C_N(T) \) and \( C_N(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a]} (0, a)\mathbb{Q}[a] \), we define an \( R_\delta \)-module map \( \tilde{j}: C_N(T) \to C_N(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a]} (0, a)\mathbb{Q}[a] \) by

\[
R_\delta \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \oplus \\
R_\delta\{0, -2N\} \end{array}, \quad R_\delta\{1, -N - 1\} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \oplus \\
R_\delta\{0, -2N\}
\end{array}.
\]

It is easy to check that \( \tilde{j} \) is a homogeneous morphism of matrix factorizations of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{S}^2} \)-degree \((0, 0, 0)\).

**Proposition 5.8** Let \( T \) and \( T_- \) be the tangles in Figure 15, \( R_\delta = \mathbb{Q}[a, y_1, x_2] \) and \( w = a(y_1^{N+1} - x_2^{N+1}) \). Denote by \( j: C_N(T) \to C_N(T) \otimes_{R_\delta} (0, a)R_\delta \) the morphism given in Definition 5.7. Then, for \( N \geq 1 \),

\[
C_N(T-) \cong 0 \to C_N(T)\{2, 0\} \xrightarrow{j} C_N(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[a]} (0, a)\mathbb{Q}[a]\{-2, 0\} \to 0
\]

as chain complexes over the category \( \text{hmf}^\text{all}_{R_\delta, w} \).
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Proof Let $\hat{\Gamma}_0$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ be the MOY graphs in Figure 12. Set $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, y_1]$. From Lemma 5.4 we know that

\begin{equation}
C_N(T-) = 0 \to C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_0)(1)[-1, -N + 1] \xrightarrow{x^0} C_N(\hat{\Gamma}_1)(1)[-1, -N] \to 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\cong 0 \to M_0(1)[-1, -N + 1] \xrightarrow{g} M_1(1)[-1, -N - 1] \to 0,
\end{equation}

where $M_0, M_1$ are the matrix factorizations defined in (5-2) and (5-3), and $g$ is the morphism obtained by applying Lemma 3.14 to the second rows of $M_0$ and $M_1$.

We prove the proposition by simplifying the chain complex

\begin{equation}
0 \to \underbrace{M_0}_{0} \xrightarrow{g} \underbrace{M_1}_{1}[0, -2] \to 0.
\end{equation}

Again, we use the explicit forms (5-4) and (5-5) of $M_0$ and $M_1$. Under these explicit forms, the morphism $g : M_0 \to M_1$ is given by

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{00} \oplus R_{11}\{-2, 2 - 2N\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_2 - x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & R_{00} \oplus R_{11}\{-2, 4 - 2N\}, \\
R_{10}\{-1, 1 - N\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_2 - x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & R_{10}\{-1, 1 - N\} \\
R_{01}\{-1, 1 - N\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_2 - x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & R_{01}\{-1, 3 - N\}.
\end{array}
\]

Let $B$ and $\tilde{B}$ be the $R_0$-bases for $M_0$ and $M_1$ given in (5-6) and (5-10). We have

- $g(u_0^k) = \tilde{u}_0^{k+1}, g(u_{10}^k) = \tilde{u}_{10}^{k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$,
- $g(v_{01}^k) = \tilde{v}_{01}^k, g(v_{11}^k) = \tilde{v}_{11}^k$ for $k \geq 0$,
- $g(u_{01}^k) = \tilde{u}_{01}^k, g(u_{11}^k) = \tilde{u}_{11}^k$ for $k = 0, \ldots, N - 2$.

So

- $g$ maps $\Theta_k$ isomorphically onto $\tilde{\Theta}_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$,
- $g$ maps $\Omega_k$ isomorphically onto $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ for $0 \leq k \leq N - 2$,

where the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$-graded matrix factorizations $\Theta_k, \Omega_k, \tilde{\Theta}_k$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ are defined in (5-7), (5-8), (5-11) and (5-12).
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Moreover, note that there are unique polynomials \( p_0(x_2), p_1(x_2), \ldots, p_{N-2}(x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_2] \) such that
\[
(x_2 - x_1)^{N-1} = (-1)^{N-1} h_{N-1}(x_1, x_2) + \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} p_l(x_2)(x_2 - x_1)^l.
\]
Therefore,
\[
(5-17) \quad g(u_{01}^{[N-1]}) = (-1)^{N-1} \bar{v}_{01}^{[0]} + \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} p_l(x_2)\bar{u}_{01}^{[l]}.
\]
\[
(5-18) \quad g(u_{11}^{[N-1]}) = (-1)^{N-1} \bar{v}_{11}^{[0]} + \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} p_l(x_2)\bar{u}_{11}^{[l]}.
\]
By the Gaussian elimination lemma (Lemma 5.1), these properties of \( g \) imply that
\[
(5-19) \quad 0 \to M_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} M_1 \{0, -2\} \to 0 \cong 0 \to \Omega_{N-1} \xrightarrow{(\sigma)(\Delta)_{N-1}} \tilde{\Omega}_0 \{0, -2\} \to 0,
\]
where \( J(u_{01}^{[N-1]}) = \bar{v}_{01}^{[0]} \) and \( J(u_{11}^{[N-1]}) = \bar{v}_{11}^{[0]} \).

From (5-4), one can see that as \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \otimes^\mathbb{Z} \)--graded matrix factorizations over \( R_\theta \),
\[
\Omega_{N-1} = R_\theta \cdot u_{11}^{[N-1]} \{-2, 2 - 2N\} \xrightarrow{y_1 - x_2} R_\theta \cdot u_{01}^{[N-1]} \{-1, 1 - N\} \xrightarrow{ah_N(y_1, x_2)} R_\theta \cdot u_{11}^{[N-1]} \{-2, 2 - 2N\}
\]
\[
\cong R_\theta \{-2, 0\} \xrightarrow{y_1 - x_2} R_\theta \{-1, N - 1\} \xrightarrow{ah_N(y_1, x_2)} R_\theta \{-2, 0\}
\]
Thus,
\[
(5-20) \quad \Omega_{N-1} \{1\} \{-1, -N + 1\} \xrightarrow{ah_N(y_1, x_2)R_\theta \{-2, 0\}} R_\theta \{-3, -N + 1\} \xrightarrow{y_1 - x_2} R_\theta \{-2, 0\}
\]
\[
= (ah_N(y_1, x_2), y_1 - x_2)R_\theta \{-2, 0\} = C_N(T) \{-2, 0\}.
\]

Similarly, from (5-5), we have
\[
\tilde{\Omega}_0 = \begin{cases}
R_\theta \cdot u_{00}^{[0]} \oplus R_\theta \cdot v_{01}^{[0]} \{-2, 4 - 2N\} & \rightarrow \Delta_0 \rightarrow R_\theta \cdot u_{10}^{[0]} \{-1, 1 - N\} \oplus R_\theta \cdot v_{11}^{[0]} \{-2, 4 - 2N\} \\
R_\theta \cdot v_{11}^{[0]} \{-2, 4 - 2N\} & \rightarrow \Delta_1 \rightarrow R_\theta \cdot u_{11}^{[0]} \{-1, 1 - N\} \oplus R_\theta \cdot v_{11}^{[0]} \{-2, 4 - 2N\}
\end{cases}
\]
\[
\cong \begin{cases}
R_\theta \{-2, 2\} \rightarrow \Delta_0 \rightarrow R_\theta \{-1, 1 - N\} \oplus R_\theta \{-2, 2\} \\
R_\theta \{-1, N + 1\} \rightarrow \Delta_1 \rightarrow R_\theta \{-2, 2\}
\end{cases}
\]
where
\[\vec{\Delta}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} ah_N(y_1, x_2) & 0 \\ a & y_1 - x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Delta}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - x_2 & 0 \\ -a & ah_N(y_1, x_2) \end{pmatrix}.\]

Thus,
\[
\Theta_0 \{1\} \{1, -N - 1\} \quad (5-21)
\]
\[
\oplus R_\delta \{2, -2N\} \xrightarrow{\Delta_1} R_\delta \{2, -2N\} \oplus R_\delta \{2, 0\} \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} R_\delta \{2, 0\}
\]
\[
\oplus R_\delta \{2, -2N\} \xrightarrow{\Delta_1'} R_\delta \{2, -2N\} \oplus R_\delta \{2, -N - 1\} \xrightarrow{\Delta_0'} R_\delta \{2, -N - 1\} \oplus R_\delta \{2, -2N\}
\]
\[
\oplus \left( ah_N(y_1, x_2) y_1 - x_2 \right) \{2, 0\}
\]
\[
= C_N(T) \otimes Q[a] \{0, a\} Q[a] \{2, 0\},
\]

where
\[
\Delta_0' = \begin{pmatrix} ah_N(y_1, x_2) & -a \\ 0 & y_1 - x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_1' = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - x_2 & a \\ 0 & ah_N(y_1, x_2) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that under isomorphisms (5-20) and (5-21), the morphism \(J: \Omega_{N-1} \rightarrow \Theta_0 \{0, -2\}\) is identified with the morphism \(J: C_N(T) \{2, 0\} \rightarrow C_N(T) \otimes Q[a] \{2, 0\}\) defined in Definition 5.7. Thus, by (5-16) and (5-19), we have
\[
C_N(T+) \simeq 0 \rightarrow C_N(T) \{2, 0\} \xrightarrow{J} C_N(T) \otimes Q[a] \{2, 0\} \rightarrow 0.
\]

Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 follow easily from Propositions 5.8 and 2.17.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5**  By Proposition 5.8,
\[
C_N(L-) \simeq 0 \rightarrow C_N(L) \{2, 0\} \xrightarrow{J} C_N(L) \otimes Q[a] \{2, 0\} \rightarrow 0.
\]

So the chain complex \((H(C_N(L-), d_{mf}), d_X)\) is isomorphic to the total chain complex of
\[
0 \rightarrow H(C_N(L), d_{mf}) \{2, 0\} \xrightarrow{J} H(C_N(L) \otimes Q[a], d_{mf}) \{2, 0\} \rightarrow 0.
\]
By Part (2) of Proposition 2.17, there is a quasi-isomorphism

\[ \alpha: (C_N(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[a])_0 \to C_N(L) \]

that preserves the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3 \) grading. From the definitions of \( j \), \( \alpha \) and \( \pi_0 \), one can check that \( \pi_0 = \alpha \circ j \). Thus, the chain complex \( (H(C_N(L_-), d_{mf}), d_X) \) is isomorphic to the total chain complex of

\[
0 \to H(C_N(L), d_{mf})^{(-2, 0)} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} H(C_N(L)/aC_N(L), d_{mf})^{(-2, 0)} \to 0.
\]

The above total chain complex is of course the mapping cone of \( \pi_0 \). The long exact sequence in Theorem 1.5 is the long exact sequence of this mapping cone. \( \square \)

**Proof of Corollary 1.6** We put a single marking \( x \) on \( U \). Then

\[
C_N(U) = ((N + 1)ax^N, 0)_{\mathbb{Q}[a, x]} \cong (ax^N, 0)_{\mathbb{Q}[a, x]}
\]

\[
= \mathbb{Q}[a, x] \xrightarrow{ax^N} \mathbb{Q}[a, x]^{(-1, -N + 1)} 0 \to \mathbb{Q}[a, x].
\]

From this, one can see that

\[
\mathcal{H}^{1,0,*,*}_N(U) \cong \mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(ax^N)^{(-1, -N + 1)}
\]

\[
\cong \left( \bigoplus_{l=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{Q}[a]^{(-1, -N + 1 + 2l)} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a)^{(-1, N + 1 + 2m)} \right)
\]

and \( \mathcal{H}^{i,i,*,*}_N(U) \cong 0 \) for any other pair of \( (\epsilon, i) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \). This completes the computation of \( \mathcal{H}_N(U) \).

Next, we compute \( \mathcal{H}_N(U) := H(H(C_N(U)/aC_N(U), d_{mf}), d_X) \). Since \( U \) has no crossings, \( d_X \) is 0 for \( U \). So

\[
\mathcal{H}_N(U) := H(H(C_N(U)/aC_N(U), d_{mf}))
\]

\[
= H(\mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(a) 0 \to \mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(a)^{(-1, -N + 1)} 0 \to \mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(a)),
\]

and therefore,

\[
\mathcal{H}^{\epsilon,i,*,*}_N(U) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(a) & \text{if } \epsilon = 0 \text{ and } i = 0, \\
\mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(a)^{(-1, -N + 1)} & \text{if } \epsilon = 1 \text{ and } i = 0, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

For \( \epsilon = 1 \), the homomorphism \( \pi_0: \mathcal{H}^{1,*,*,*}_N(U) \to \mathcal{H}^{1,*,*,*}_N(U) \) is the standard quotient map

\[
\mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(ax^N) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(ax^N, a) = \mathbb{Q}[a, x]/(a).
\]
So the long exact sequence in Theorem 1.5 reduces to
\[
0 \to \mathcal{H}_N^{1,0,\ast}(U^-) \to \mathcal{H}_N^{1,0,\ast}(U)(-2,0) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \mathcal{H}_N^{1,0,\ast}(U)(-2,0) \to 0.
\]
Thus,
\[
\mathcal{H}_N^{1,i,\ast}(U^-) \cong \begin{cases} 
\ker \pi_0 = a \cdot \mathbb{Q}[a,x]/(ax^N)\{-3,-N+1\} \\
\bigoplus_{l=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{-1,-N+1+2l\} & \text{if } i = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } i \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

For $\varepsilon = 0$, the homomorphism $\pi_0: \mathcal{H}_N^{0,\ast,\ast}(U) \to \mathcal{H}_N^{0,\ast,\ast}(U)$ is the zero map $0 \to \mathbb{Q}[a,x]/(a)$. So the long exact sequence in Theorem 1.5 reduces to
\[
0 \to \mathcal{H}_N^{0,0,\ast}(U)(-2,0) \to \mathcal{H}_N^{1,0,\ast}(U^-) \to 0.
\]
Thus,
\[
\mathcal{H}_N^{0,i,\ast}(U^-) \cong \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Q}[a,x]/(a)\{-2,0\} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a)\{-2,2m\} & \text{if } i = 1, \\
0 & \text{if } i \neq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

This completes the computation of $\mathcal{H}_N(U^-)$.

\section{Reidemeister move II}

In this section, we prove the invariance of $\mathcal{H}_N$ under braid-like Reidemeister II moves.

The main result of this section is Proposition 6.1. Our proof here is a straightforward adaptation of the proofs in [10; 11].

\textbf{Proposition 6.1} Let $T_0$ and $T_1$ be the tangles in Figure 16. Then, for $N \geq 0$, $\mathcal{C}_N(T_0) \simeq \mathcal{C}_N(T_1)$ as chain complexes over the category
\[
\text{hmf}_{\mathbb{Q}[a,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4],a(x_1^{N+1}+x_2^{N+1}-x_3^{N+1}-x_4^{N+1})}.
\]
In the rest of this section, we let \( R_\beta = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \) and \( w = a(x_1^{N+1} + x_2^{N+1} - x_3^{N+1} - x_4^{N+1}) \). The resolutions of \( T_1 \) are listed in Figure 17. To prove Proposition 6.1, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.2** Let \( \Gamma_{0,1} \) and \( \Gamma_{-1,0} \) be the MOY graphs in Figure 17. In the category \( \text{hmf}_{R_\beta, w} \), we have that

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}), C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}), C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0})) \cong \mathbb{Q}.
\]

Both of these spaces are spanned by the identity morphisms.

![Figure 17](image)

**Proof** By Lemma 3.6, \( C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \cong C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}) \). So \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}), C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}), C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0})) \). Thus, we only need to compute

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}), C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})).
\]

By Proposition 2.16, we have

\[
C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c}
 aU_1 & x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4 \\
 aU_2 & x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 \\
 \end{array} \right)_{R_\beta} \{0, -1\},
\]

where \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are given by Equation (3-6). From this, it is easy to check that \( \text{gdim}_{R_\beta} C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \neq 0 \). So \( C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \) is not homotopic to 0 and therefore, \( \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})} \) is not homotopic to 0. Thus,

\[
\text{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}), C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})) \geq 1.
\]
By Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 2.17, we have that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}), C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})) \cong H^{0,0,0}(aU_1 x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4, aU_2 x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4, aU_1 - x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4, aU_2 - x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4)_{R_{\partial}},$$

where $R = R_{\partial}/(x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4, x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4)$, and $V_1, V_2$ are the images of $U_1, U_2$ in $R$. As a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2$–graded $R$–module,

$$\begin{pmatrix} aV_1 \\ aV_2 \end{pmatrix}_R \cong R(1, N - 1) \oplus R(1) \oplus (R(1, N - 3) \oplus R(1)).$$

Note that the homogeneous component of the right-hand side of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2$–degree $(0, 0, 0)$ is 1–dimensional over $Q$. Hence $\dim_Q \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}), C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})) \leq 1$. Thus, $\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}), C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})) \cong Q$.

We are now ready to prove Proposition 6.1.

**Proof of Proposition 6.1** It is easy to check that $C_N(\Gamma_{0,0})$ and $C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \simeq C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0})$ are all homotopically finite over $R_{\partial}$. So

$$C_N(T_0) = 0 \rightarrow C_N(\Gamma_{0,0}) \rightarrow 0$$

is a chain complex over $\text{hmf}_{R_{\partial}, w}$. By Lemma 3.11, we have

$$C_N(\Gamma_{-1,1}) \simeq C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \{0, 1\} \oplus C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}) \{0, -1\}. \tag{6-1}$$

So $C_N(\Gamma_{-1,1})$ is also homotopically finite over $R_{\partial}$. Denote by $\chi_u^1, \chi_u^0$ the $\chi$–morphisms associated to the upper 2–colored edge in $\Gamma_{-1,1}$ and by $\chi_l^1, \chi_l^0$ the $\chi$–morphisms associated to the lower 2–colored edge in $\Gamma_{-1,1}$. Then,

$$C_N(T_1) = 0 \rightarrow C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \{0, 1\} \rightarrow C_N(\Gamma_{0,0}) \oplus C_N(\Gamma_{-1,1}) \rightarrow C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}) \{0, -1\} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a chain complex over $\text{hmf}_{R_{\partial}, w}$.

To prove Proposition 6.1, we need to use the morphisms involved in decomposition (6-1). By Lemma 3.13,
the projection \( C_N(\Gamma_{-1,1}) \to C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \{0,1\} \) in decomposition (6-1) is the morphism \( \phi \) induced by edge merging,

- the inclusion \( C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}) \{0,-1\} \to C_N(\Gamma_{-1,1}) \) in decomposition (6-1) is the morphism \( \phi \) induced by edge splitting.

In addition, let

- \( C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \{0,1\} \xrightarrow{J} C_N(\Gamma_{-1,1}) \) denote the corresponding inclusion in decomposition (6-1),
- \( C_N(\Gamma_{-1,1}) \xrightarrow{P} C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}) \{0,-1\} \) denote the corresponding projection in decomposition (6-1).

Then, as a chain complex over \( \text{hmf}_{R_0,w} \),

\[
C_N(T_1) \equiv 0 \to C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \{0,1\} \xrightarrow{-1} C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \{0,1\} \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \{0,1\} \xrightarrow{-1} C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0}) \{0,-1\} \to 0,
\]

where

\[
\Phi_1 = \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_i^1 \\ \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \\ P \circ \chi_u^0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Phi_2 = \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_i^0 \\ -\chi_i^1 \circ J \\ -\chi_i^1 \circ \phi \end{array} \right).
\]

Consider the morphism \( \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \). First, note that it is a homogeneous morphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2 \)–degree \((0,0,0)\). Moreover, by Lemmas 3.13 and 3.15, we have

\[
\delta \circ \chi_u^0 \circ \chi_i^1 \circ \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \simeq \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \circ \chi_i^1 \circ \delta \circ m(x_6 - x_1) \circ \delta \simeq \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \circ \chi_i^1 \circ \delta \circ m(x_6) \circ \phi - m(x_1) \delta \circ \phi \simeq id_{C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})},
\]

which implies that \( \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \) is not homotopic to 0. By Lemma 6.2, this means that

(6-2) \( \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \simeq id_{C_N(\Gamma_{0,1})} \).

Similarly,

(6-3) \( \chi_i^1 \circ \phi \simeq id_{C_N(\Gamma_{-1,0})} \).

Thus, \( \delta \circ \chi_u^0 \) and \( \chi_i^1 \circ \phi \) are both homotopy equivalences. Now, applying the Gaussian elimination lemma (Lemma 5.1) to these two homotopy equivalences in the above chain complex, we get that

\[
C_N(T_1) \simeq 0 \to C_N(\Gamma_{0,1}) \to 0 = C_N(T_0).
\]
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7 Reidemeister move III

In this section, we prove the invariance of $\mathcal{H}_N$ under braid-like Reidemeister III moves. The main result of this section is Proposition 7.5. We follow the ideas in [10; 11]. However, we include graphical descriptions of all morphisms used in our proof, which makes our proof somewhat more explicit than those in [10; 11].

7.1 A decomposition

Now we establish for $C_N$ a version of “Direct Sum Decomposition IV” from [10]. The main result of this subsection is Proposition 7.1.

![Diagram](image_url)

**Figure 18**

**Proposition 7.1** Assume that

- $\Gamma, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1$ are the MOY graphs in Figure 18,
- $R_\partial = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_3, x_6] \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(\{x_1, x_2\}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{Sym}(\{x_4, x_5\})$,
- $w = a(x_1^{N+1} + x_2^{N+1} + x_3^{N+1} - x_4^{N+1} - x_5^{N+1} - x_6^{N+1})$.

Then, for $N \geq 0$, $C_N(\Gamma) \cong C_N(\Gamma_0) \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1)$ as objects of $\text{hmf}_{R_\partial, w}$.

**Lemma 7.2** $C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma_0)$ and $C_N(\Gamma_1)$ are objects of $\text{hmf}_{R_\partial, w}$. Moreover,

$$\text{gdim}_{R_\partial} C_N(\Gamma) = \text{gdim}_{R_\partial} C_N(\Gamma_0) + \text{gdim}_{R_\partial} C_N(\Gamma_1).$$

**Proof** It is easy to see that the $\mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2$-gradings of $C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma_0)$ and $C_N(\Gamma_1)$ are bounded below. By Definition 3.5 and Proposition 2.16, we know that

$$C_N(\Gamma_0) = \begin{pmatrix} *_{2,2N} & x_1 + x_2 - x_4 - x_5 \\ *_{2,2N-2} & x_1 x_2 - x_4 x_5 \\ *_{2,2N} & x_3 - x_6 \end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial},$$

$$C_N(\Gamma_1) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} *_{2,2N} & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - x_6 \\ *_{2,2N-2} & x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1 - x_4 x_5 - x_5 x_6 - x_6 x_4 \\ *_{2,2N-4} & x_1 x_2 x_3 - x_4 x_5 x_6 \end{pmatrix}_{R_\partial} \{0, -2\},$$
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where \(*_{j,k}\) stands for a homogeneous element of the base ring of \(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}\)–degree \((j,k)\). So \(C_N(\Gamma_0)\) and \(C_N(\Gamma_1)\) are homotopically finite over \(R_\beta\) and, therefore, objects of \(\text{hmf}_{R_\beta \otimes \mathbb{Q}} \cdot \omega\). Note that the maximal homogeneous ideal of \(R_\beta\) is

\[
\mathcal{J} = (a, x_1 + x_2, x_1x_2, x_4 + x_5, x_4x_5, x_3, x_6).
\]

So

\[
C_N(\Gamma_0) / \mathcal{J} \cdot C_N(\Gamma_0) \cong \begin{pmatrix}
0_{2,2N} & 0 \\
0_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
0_{2,2N} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
C_N(\Gamma_1) / \mathcal{J} \cdot C_N(\Gamma_1) \cong \begin{pmatrix}
0_{2,2N} & 0 \\
0_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
0_{2,2N-4} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(0_{j,k}\) is “the zero element with \(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}\)–degree \((j,k)\)” (This is only used to keep track of grading shifts.) Note that the differential maps of these chain complexes are 0. Thus,

\[
gdim_{R_\beta} C_N(\Gamma_0) = (1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+1})(1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+3}),
\]

(7-3) 

\[
gdim_{R_\beta} C_N(\Gamma_1) = \xi^{-2}(1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+1})(1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+3})
\]

(7-4) 

\[
(1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+5}).
\]

Next we consider \(C_N(\Gamma)\). By Corollary 2.22, to show that \(C_N(\Gamma)\) is homotopically finite over \(R_\beta\), we only need to show that \(\dim_\mathbb{Q} H_{R_\beta}(C_N(\Gamma))\) is finite. By Definition 3.5 and Proposition 2.16,

\[
C_N(\Gamma) \cong \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + x_2 - x_7 - x_8 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & x_1 x_2 - x_7 x_8 \\
*_{2,2N} & x_7 + x_9 - x_4 - x_5 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & x_7 x_9 - x_4 x_5 \\
*_{2,2N} & x_8 + x_3 - x_9 - x_6 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & x_8 x_3 - x_9 x_6 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbb{Q}[x_7, x_8, x_9]
\]

where \(R = R_\beta \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cdot [x_7, x_8, x_9]\). So

\[
C_N(\Gamma) / \mathcal{J} \cdot C_N(\Gamma) \cong \begin{pmatrix}
0_{2,2N} & -x_7 - x_8 \\
0_{2,2N-2} & -x_7 x_8 \\
0_{2,2N} & x_7 + x_9 \\
0_{2,2N-2} & x_7 x_9 \\
0_{2,2N} & x_8 - x_9 \\
0_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbb{Q}[x_7, x_8, x_9]
\]

\[
\{0, -2\}.
\]
Applying Proposition 2.17 successively to the matrix factorization on the right-hand side, we get that

\[ H_{R^0}(C_N(\Gamma)) \cong H \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2N} & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2,2N-2} \\ 0 & 0_{2,2N-2} \end{pmatrix} \right) \{0, -2\} \]

\[ \cong H \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2N} & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2,2N-2} \end{pmatrix} \right) \{0, -2\} \oplus H \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2N} & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2,2N-2} \end{pmatrix} \right). \]

From this, we get

\[ (7-5) \quad \text{gdim}_{R^0} C_N(\Gamma) = (1 + \xi^{-2})(1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+1})(1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+3})^2. \]

Equation (7-5) implies that \( \dim_Q H_{R^0}(C_N(\Gamma)) = 16 \). So \( C_N(\Gamma) \) is homotopically finite over \( R^0 \). Moreover, comparing Equations (7-3) and (7-4) to Equation (7-5), we have \( \text{gdim}_{R^0} C_N(\Gamma) = \text{gdim}_{R^0} C_N(\Gamma_0) + \text{gdim}_{R^0} C_N(\Gamma_1) \).

**Lemma 7.3** In the category \( \text{hmf}_{R^0,w} \), we have

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_0)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \mathbb{Q},
\]

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_0)) \cong 0.
\]

**Proof** We prove \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_0)) \cong 0 \) here. The proofs of the other two isomorphisms are very similar and left to the reader.

First, we compute \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \). Since \( \text{gdim}_{R^0} C_N(\Gamma_1) \neq 0 \), we know that \( C_N(\Gamma_1) \) is not homotopic to 0. So \( \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_1)} \) is not homotopic to 0. This implies that \( \dim_Q \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \geq 1 \). By Equation (7-2) and Lemma 2.10, we have

\[
\text{Hom}_{R^0}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \begin{pmatrix} *_{2,2N} \\ *_{2,2N-2} \\ *_{2,2N-4} \\ *_{2,2N} \\ *_{2,2N-2} \\ *_{2,2N-4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - x_6 \\ x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1 + x_4 x_5 + x_5 x_6 - x_6 x_4 \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 - x_4 x_5 x_6 \\ -(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - x_6) \\ -(x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1 - x_4 x_5 - x_5 x_6 - x_6 x_4) \\ -(x_1 x_2 x_3 - x_4 x_5 x_6) \end{pmatrix}_{R^0} \end{pmatrix} \{3\} \{3, 3N - 9\}.\]
Applying Proposition 2.17 to the top three rows of the right-hand side, we get

\[
\text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong H^{0,0,0} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
*_{2,2N} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-4} & 0 \\
\end{array} \right)_{R'} \langle 3 \rangle \langle 3, 3N - 9 \rangle,
\]

where \( R' = \mathbb{R}_{\partial}/(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - x_6, x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_1 - x_4x_5 - x_5x_6 - x_6x_4, x_1x_2x_3 - x_4x_5x_6) \). As a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-graded \( R' \)-module,

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
*_{2,2N} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-4} & 0 \\
\end{array} \right)_{R'} \langle 3 \rangle \langle 3, 3N - 9 \rangle \cong (R' \langle 1 \rangle \{1, N - 1\} \oplus R') \otimes_{R'} (R' \langle 1 \rangle \{1, N - 3\} \oplus R') \otimes_{R'} (R' \langle 1 \rangle \{1, N - 5\} \oplus R'),
\]

whose homogeneous component of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-degree \((0, 0, 0)\) is \(1\)-dimensional over \( \mathbb{Q} \). This implies that \( \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \leq 1 \). Thus,

\[
\text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}.
\]

Similarly, by Equations (7-1), (7-2), Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 2.16, we have

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_{\partial}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_0))
\]

\[
\cong \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + x_2 - x_4 - x_5 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & x_1x_2 - x_4x_5 \\
*_{2,2N} & x_3 - x_6 \\
*_{2,2N} & -(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - x_6) \\
*_{2,2N-2} & -(x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_1 - x_4x_5 - x_5x_6 - x_6x_4) \\
*_{2,2N-4} & -(x_1x_2x_3 - x_4x_5x_6) \\
\end{array} \right)_{\mathbb{R}_{\partial}} \langle 3 \rangle \langle 3, 3N - 7 \rangle,
\]

where \( \hat{R} = \mathbb{R}_{\partial}/(x_1 + x_2 - x_4 - x_5, x_1x_2 - x_4x_5, x_3 - x_6) \cong \mathbb{Q}[a, x_3] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Sym}(\{x_1, x_2\}) \).

As a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-graded \( \hat{R} \)-module,

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
*_{2,2N} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-4} & 0 \\
\end{array} \right)_{\hat{R}} \langle 3 \rangle \langle 3, 3N - 7 \rangle \cong \left( \hat{R} \langle 1 \rangle \{1, N - 1\} \oplus \hat{R} \right) \otimes_{\hat{R}} \left( \hat{R} \langle 1 \rangle \{1, N - 3\} \oplus \hat{R} \right) \otimes_{\hat{R}} \left( \hat{R} \langle 1 \rangle \{1, N - 5\} \oplus \hat{R} \{0, 2\} \right),
\]
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whose homogeneous component of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–degree $(0, 0, 0)$ vanishes. This implies

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_1), \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)) \cong H^{0,0,0}(\begin{pmatrix} \ast_{2,2N} & 0 \\ \ast_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\ \ast_{2,2N-4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \{3,3N-7\}) \cong 0. \quad \square$$

We are now ready to prove Proposition 7.1.

**Proof of Proposition 7.1** Define morphisms $\bar{f}: \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0) \to \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma)$ and $\bar{f}: \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)$ by Figure 19. That is, $\bar{f} = \chi^0 \circ \phi$ and $\bar{f} = \phi \circ \chi^1$, where

- $\phi$ and $\bar{\phi}$ are the morphisms associated to the edge splitting/merging in the left side of $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_2$ defined in Lemma 3.13,
- $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ are the $\chi$–morphisms associated to the right side of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_2$ defined in Lemma 3.15.

Note that

- $\phi$ and $\bar{\phi}$ are homogeneous morphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–degree $(0, 0, -1)$,
- $\chi^0$ and $\chi^1$ are homogeneous morphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–degree $(0, 0, 1)$.

So $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{f}$ are homogeneous morphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$–degree $(0, 0, 0)$. Using Lemmas 3.13 and 3.15 again, we get

$$(7-6) \quad \bar{f} \circ f = \bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1 \circ \chi^0 \circ \phi \simeq \bar{\phi} \circ m(x_6 - x_8) \circ \phi$$

$$= -\phi \circ m(x_8) \circ \phi + m(x_6) \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \phi \approx \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)}.$$
Next, define \( g \colon C_N(\Gamma_1) \to C_N(\Gamma) \) and \( \tilde{g} \colon C_N(\Gamma) \to C_N(\Gamma_1) \) by Figure 20. That is, \( g = \tilde{\chi}^1 \circ h \circ \varphi \) and \( \tilde{g} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\chi}^0 \), where

- \( \varphi \) and \( \tilde{\varphi} \) are the morphisms associated to the splitting/merging of the upper-left 2–colored edge in \( \Gamma_1 \) defined in Lemma 3.13,
- \( h \) and \( \tilde{h} \) are the homotopy equivalences induced by the edge sliding given in Lemmas 3.9, 3.10 and are homotopy inverses of each other,
- \( \tilde{\chi}^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}^1 \) are the \( \tilde{\chi} \)–morphisms associated to the lower half of \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \) defined in Lemma 3.16.

\[
\begin{align*}
\{x_1, x_2\} & \quad \{x_1, x_2\} \\
\{x_4, x_5\} & \quad \{x_4, x_5\} \\
& \quad \{x_4, x_5\}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
x_3 & \quad x_3 \\
x_7 & \quad x_7 \\
x_6 & \quad x_6 \\
& \quad x_6
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_1 \\
\varphi \\
\tilde{\varphi} \\
\Gamma_3
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma \\
\tilde{\chi}^1 \\
\tilde{\chi}^0 \\
\Gamma_3'
\end{array}
\]

Note that

- \( \varphi \) and \( \tilde{\varphi} \) are homogeneous morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2 \)–degree \((0, 0, -1)\),
- \( h \) and \( \tilde{h} \) are homogeneous morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2 \)–degree \((0, 0, 0)\),
- \( \tilde{\chi}^0 \) and \( \tilde{\chi}^1 \) are homogeneous morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2 \)–degree \((0, 0, 1)\).

So \( g \) and \( \tilde{g} \) are homogeneous morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus_2 \)–degree \((0, 0, 0)\). Using Lemmas 3.13 and 3.16 again, we get

\[
(7-7) \quad \tilde{g} \circ g = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\chi}^0 \circ \tilde{\chi}^1 \circ h \circ \varphi \simeq \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{h} \circ m(x_6 - x_7) \circ h \circ \varphi
\]
\[
= \tilde{\varphi} \circ m(x_6 - x_7) \circ \tilde{h} \circ h \circ \varphi
\]
\[
\simeq \tilde{\varphi} \circ m(x_6 - x_7) \circ \varphi
\]
\[
= m(x_6) \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ \varphi - \tilde{\varphi} \circ m(x_7) \circ \varphi \approx \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_1)}.
\]
By Lemma 7.3, we know \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_0)) \cong 0 \). So

\[
(7-8) \quad \overline{f} \circ g \simeq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{g} \circ f \simeq 0.
\]

Now consider the morphisms

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_N(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{(\overline{f} \ , \overline{g})} & C_N(\Gamma_0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \oplus \\
(f, \ g) & \xrightarrow{(f, \ g)} & C_N(\Gamma_1)
\end{array}
\]

From homotopies (7-6), (7-7) and (7-8), we know that, after possibly scaling \( f \) and \( g \),

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \overline{f} \\ \overline{g} \end{pmatrix} \circ (f, \ g) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_1)} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Recall that, by Proposition 2.25, \( \text{hmf}_{R_0, w} \) is fully additive. So, by Lemma 2.24, there exists an object \( M \) of \( \text{hmf}_{R_0, w} \) such that

\[
C_N(\Gamma) \cong C_N(\Gamma_0) \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1) \oplus M.
\]

From Lemma 7.2, we know that

\[
\text{gdim}_{R_0} M = \text{gdim}_{R_0} C_N(\Gamma) - \text{gdim}_{R_0} C_N(\Gamma_0) - \text{gdim}_{R_0} C_N(\Gamma_1) = 0.
\]

By Corollary 2.22, this implies that \( M \simeq 0 \). Thus, \( C_N(\Gamma) \cong C_N(\Gamma_0) \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1) \). \qed

\[\text{Figure 21}\]
Corollary 7.4  Let \( g : C_N(\Gamma_1) \to C_N(\Gamma) \) and \( \overline{g} : C_N(\Gamma) \to C_N(\Gamma_1) \) be the morphisms defined by Figure 21. That is, \( g = \overline{\chi}_u \circ \overline{h} \circ \psi \) and \( \overline{g} = \overline{\psi} \circ \overline{h} \circ \overline{\chi}_u^0 \), where

- \( \psi \) and \( \overline{\psi} \) are the morphisms associated to the splitting/merging of the lower-left 2–colored edge in \( \Gamma_1 \) defined in Lemma 3.13,
- \( h \) and \( \overline{h} \) are the homotopy equivalences induced by the edge sliding given in Lemmas 3.9, 3.10 and are homotopy inverses of each other,
- \( \overline{\chi}_u^0 \) and \( \overline{\chi}_u^1 \) are the \( \overline{\chi} \)–morphisms associated to the upper half of \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \) defined in Lemma 3.16.

Then \( g \approx g \) and \( \overline{g} \approx \overline{g} \), where \( g \) and \( \overline{g} \) are the morphisms defined by Figure 20.

Proof  Similar to the proof of Proposition 7.1, one can check that \( g \) and \( \overline{g} \) are homogeneous morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–degree \((0, 0, 0)\) satisfying \( \overline{g} \circ g \approx \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_1)} \). Thus, \( g, \overline{g}, g \) and \( \overline{g} \) are all homotopically non-trivial homogeneous morphisms of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)–degree \((0, 0, 0)\). By Proposition 7.1 and Lemma 7.3, we have that

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_0), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}.
\]

This implies that \( \overline{g} \approx \overline{g} \). Similarly, we have \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma)) \cong \mathbb{Q} \), which implies that \( g \approx g \). \( \square \)

7.2 Invariance under braid-like Reidemeister III moves

Proposition 7.5  Let \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) be the tangle diagrams in Figure 22. Then, for \( N \geq 0 \), \( C_N(T_1) \simeq C_N(T_2) \) as chain complexes over the category \( \text{hmf}_{R^3, w} \), where

\[
R^3 = \mathbb{Q}[a, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6],
\]

\[
w = a(x_1^{N+1} + x_2^{N+1} + x_3^{N+1} - x_4^{N+1} - x_5^{N+1} - x_6^{N+1}).
\]

Let us consider \( T_1 \). Its resolutions are listed in Figure 23. We call the three crossings in \( T_1 \) the upper crossing, the lower crossing, the right crossing and denote by

- \( \chi_u^0 \) and \( \chi_u^1 \) the \( \chi \)–morphisms associated to the upper crossing,
- \( \chi_l^0 \) and \( \chi_l^1 \) the \( \chi \)–morphisms associated to the lower crossing,
- \( \chi_r^0 \) and \( \chi_r^1 \) the \( \chi \)–morphisms associated to the right crossing.
Then

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{C_N(T_1) = 0 \ar[r] & C_N(\Gamma_{111}) \ar[r]^{d_{-3}} & C_N(\Gamma_{101}) \ar[r] & C_N(\Gamma_{011}) \ar[r]^{d_{-2}} & C_N(\Gamma_{001}) \ar[r]^{d_{-1}} & C_N(\Gamma_{000}) \ar[r] & 0,}
\end{array}
\]

where

\[
d_{-3} = \begin{pmatrix}
\chi_r^1 \\
\chi_r^1 \\
\chi_u^1 \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad d_{-2} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\chi_r^1 & -\chi_r^1 & 0 \\
\chi_u^1 & 0 & -\chi_r^1 \\
0 & \chi_u^1 & \chi_r^1 \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad d_{-1} = (\chi_u^1, \chi_l^1, \chi_r^1).
\]

From Lemma 7.2, we know that \(C_N(\Gamma_{111})\) is an object of \(\text{hmf}_{R_{\delta, w}}\). It is straightforward to verify that \(C_N(\Gamma_{e_{\mu\nu}})\) is also an object of \(\text{hmf}_{R_{\delta, w}}\) for any other resolution \(\Gamma_{e_{\mu\nu}}\) of \(T_1\). Thus, \(C_N(T_1)\) is a chain complex over \(\text{hmf}_{R_{\delta, w}}\).

Next, we consider the MOY graphs in Figure 24. It is obvious that

\[
\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_{100} = \Gamma_{010}.
\]

By Lemma 3.11, we know that

\[
C_N(\Gamma_{110}) \simeq C_N(\Gamma_0) \{0, 1\} \oplus C_N(\Gamma_0) \{0, -1\}.
\]

From the proof of Proposition 6.1, we know that homotopy equivalence (7-11) is given by a pair of morphisms of the form

\[
\xymatrix{1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \\
1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \ar[r] & 1 \\
T_1 \ar[r] & T_2
\}
\]
where $\phi$ and $\overline{\phi}$ are the morphisms associated to the splitting and merging of the 2–colored edge in $\Gamma_0$. By Proposition 7.1 and the proof of Lemma 3.9, we know that

$$C_N(\Gamma_{111}) \simeq C_N(\Gamma_0) \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1).$$

\[ (7-12) \]
From the proof of Proposition 7.1, we know that homotopy equivalence (7-13) is given by the morphisms

$$\begin{align*} C_N(\Gamma_{111}) & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} C_N(\Gamma_0) \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1), \\
\end{align*}$$

(7-14)

where $f$, $\bar{f}$ and $g$, $\bar{g}$ are the morphisms given by Figures 19 and 20.

Substituting (7-10)–(7-14) into $C_N(T_1)$, we get that, as a chain complex over $\text{hmf}_{R_0,w}$,

$$\begin{align*} C_N(T_1) & \cong 0 \to \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
C_N(\Gamma_0)\{3, 3N\} \\
\oplus \\
C_N(\Gamma_1)\{3, 3N\} \\
\oplus \\
C_N(\Gamma_{101})\{3, 3N - 1\} \\
\oplus \\
C_N(\Gamma_{011})\{3, 3N - 1\}
\end{array} \\
& \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_{-3}} \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
C_N(\Gamma_0)\{3, 3N - 2\} \\
\oplus \\
C_N(\Gamma_{001})\{3, 3N - 2\}
\end{array} \\
& \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_{-2}} \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
\cdots \\
C_N(\Gamma_0)\{3, 3N - 2\} \\
\oplus \\
C_N(\Gamma_{001})\{3, 3N - 2\}
\end{array} \\
& \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_{-1}} \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
\cdots \\
C_N(\Gamma_0)\{3, 3N - 2\} \\
\oplus \\
C_N(\Gamma_{001})\{3, 3N - 2\}
\end{array} \\
& \to 0,
\end{align*}$$

(7-15)

where

$$\tilde{d}_{-3} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1_r \circ f & \bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1_l \circ g \\
P \circ \chi^1_r \circ f & P \circ \chi^1_l \circ g \\
-\chi^1_l \circ f & -\chi^1_l \circ g \\
\chi^3_u \circ f & \chi^3_u \circ g
\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{d}_{-2} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\chi^1_l \circ J & -\chi^1_l \circ \phi & -\chi^1_r & 0 \\
\chi^3_u \circ J & \chi^3_u \circ \phi & 0 & -\chi^1_r
\end{array}\right),$$

$$\tilde{d}_{-1} = (\chi^1, \chi^1, \chi^1),$$

and the morphism $\chi^1$ in $\tilde{d}_{-1}$ is the $\chi^1$–morphism associated to the 2–colored edge in $\Gamma_0$.

Similar to the proof of Lemma 7.3, one can check that $\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(C_N(\Gamma_1), C_N(\Gamma_0)) \cong 0$ in $\text{hmf}_{R_0,w}$. This implies that the entry $\bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1_l \circ g$ of $\tilde{d}_{-3}$ is homotopic to 0. Recall that by Figure 19, $f$ is defined to be the composition

$$\begin{align*} C_N(\Gamma_0) & \xrightarrow{\phi} C_N(\Gamma_{110}) \\
& \xrightarrow{\chi^0_r} C_N(\Gamma_{111}).
\end{align*}$$
So we have that, by Lemmas 3.13 and 3.15,
\[ \bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1_r \circ f = \bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1_r \circ \chi^0_r \circ \phi \cong \bar{\phi} \circ m(x_6-x_8) \circ \phi = m(x_6) \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \phi - \bar{\phi} \circ m(x_8) \circ \phi \cong \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_0)}. \]

Now let us apply the Gaussian elimination of Lemma 5.1 to the homotopy equivalence \( \bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1_r \circ f \) in \( \tilde{d}_{-3} \). Note that since \( \bar{\phi} \circ \chi^1_r \circ g \cong 0 \), the correction term from Lemma 5.1 is 0 in this case. Thus, as a chain complex over \( \text{hmf}_{R_0,w} \),

\[
\begin{align*}
C_N(\Gamma_0)\{3, 3N-2\} & \oplus C_N(\Gamma_1)\{3, 3N\} \\
\oplus C_N(\Gamma_{11})\{3, 3N-1\} & \oplus C_N(\Gamma_{011})\{3, 3N-1\} \\
\oplus C_N(\Gamma_{001})\{3, 3N-2\} & \oplus C_N(\Gamma_{000})\{3, 3N-3\} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
\hat{d}_{-3} = \begin{pmatrix} P \circ \chi^1_r \circ g \\ -\chi^1_l \circ g \\ \chi^1_u \circ g \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{d}_{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\chi^1_l \circ \phi & -\chi^1_r \\ \chi^1_u \circ \phi & 0 & -\chi^1_r \\ 0 & \chi^1_u & \chi^1_l \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{d}_{-1} = (\chi^1, \chi^1, \chi^1).
\]

and the morphism \( \chi^1 \) in \( \hat{d}_{-1} \) is again the \( \chi^1 \)-morphism associated to the 2-colored edge in \( \Gamma_0 \).

Consider the entries \(-\chi^1_l \circ \phi \) and \( \chi^1_u \circ \phi \) in \( \hat{d}_{-2} \). Applying the argument used to establish homotopies (6-2) and (6-3), we get that \(-\chi^1_l \circ \phi \cong \chi^1_u \circ \phi \cong \text{id}_{C_N(\Gamma_0)} \). Applying Gaussian elimination (Lemma 5.1) to the homotopy equivalence \(-\chi^1_l \circ \phi \), we get that...
as a chain complex over \( \text{hmf}_{R, w} \),

\[
C_N(T_1) \cong 0 \rightarrow C_N(\{3, 3N\}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{-3}} C_N(\{3, 3N - 1\}) \oplus C_N(\{3, 3N - 1\}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{-2}} C_N(\{3, 3N - 2\}) \oplus C_N(\{3, 3N - 2\}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}} C_N(\{3, 3N - 3\}) \rightarrow 0
\]

with

\[
\partial_{-3} = \left( -\chi^1_l \circ g, \chi^1_u \circ g \right), \quad \partial_{-2} = \left( c \cdot \chi^1_r, -\chi^1_r \right), \quad \partial_{-1} = \left( \chi^1_l, \chi^1_r \right),
\]

where \( c \) is a non-zero scalar,\(^5\) and the morphism \( \chi^1 \) in \( \partial_{-1} \) is once more the \( \chi^1 \)–morphism associated to the \( 2 \)–colored edge in \( \Gamma_0 \).

Schematically, we represent chain complex (7-17) by the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_{101}} & \Gamma_0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_{011} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{001}} & \Gamma_{001}
\end{array}
\]

in which each arrow represents the corresponding entry in the above matrix presentation of the differential map \( \partial \). The following two lemmas are straightforward adaptations of [10, Lemmas 26 and 27].

**Lemma 7.6** [10, Lemma 27] The composition of any pair of consecutive arrows in diagram (7-18) is homotopically non-trivial.

**Proof** Let \( R = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6] \) and \( w_1 = x_1^{N+1} + x_2^{N+1} + x_3^{N+1} - x_4^{N+1} - x_5^{N+1} - x_6^{N+1} \). The standard quotient map \( \pi_1: R_\delta \rightarrow R_\delta / (a - 1) \cong R \) induces a functor \( \pi_1: \text{hmf}_{R, w} \rightarrow \text{hmf}_{R, w_1} \) that takes an object \( M \) of \( \text{hmf}_{R, w} \) to the object \( M / (a - 1)M \) of \( \text{hmf}_{R, w_1} \). Comparing the definitions in the current paper to those in [10], one can see that

---

\(^5\)The entry \( c \cdot \chi^1_r \) in \( \partial_{-2} \) comes from the correction term in Lemma 5.1.
for any MOY graph $\Gamma$ in this subsection, $\varpi_1(C_N(\Gamma))$ is the matrix factorization associated to $\Gamma$ in [10].

for any morphism in this subsection, $\varpi_1$ maps it to the corresponding morphism in [10].

As a functor from $hmf_{R_0,w}$ to $hmf_{R,w_1}$, $\varpi_1$ maps homotopic morphisms to homotopic morphisms. From [10, Lemma 27], we know that the image under $\varpi_1$ of the composition of any pair of consecutive arrows in diagram (7-18) is homotopically non-trivial. This implies that the composition of any pair of consecutive arrows in diagram (7-18) is homotopically non-trivial.

Lemma 7.7 [10, Lemma 26] Assume that $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'$ are two MOY graphs in diagram (7-18) and that there is an arrow pointing from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma'$ in diagram (7-18). Then, in the category $hmf_{R_0,w}$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma')\{0, -1\}) \cong \mathbb{Q}.$$ 

In particular, this space is spanned over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the corresponding arrow in diagram (7-18).

Proof The arrow pointing from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma'$ in diagram (7-18) is, by Lemma 7.6, a homotopically non-trivial element of $\text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma')\{0, -1\})$. It follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma')\{0, -1\}) \geq 1$. To complete the proof we now show that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Hom}_{hmf}(C_N(\Gamma), C_N(\Gamma')\{0, -1\}) \leq 1$. We only check this for the pair $\Gamma_{101}$ and $\Gamma_0$. The proofs for the other pairs are similar and left to the reader.

By Definition 3.5 and Proposition 2.16,

$$C_N(\Gamma_{101}) \cong \begin{pmatrix} *_{2,2N} x_1 + x_2 - x_4 - x_8 \\ *_{2,2N-2} x_1 x_2 - x_4 x_8 \\ *_{2,2N} x_8 + x_3 - x_5 - x_6 \\ *_{2,2N-2} x_8 x_3 - x_5 x_6 \end{pmatrix}_{R_0[x_8]} \{0, -2\}$$

$$\cong \begin{pmatrix} *_{2,2N} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - x_6 \\ *_{2,2N-2} x_1 x_2 - x_4 (x_5 + x_6 - x_3) \\ *_{2,2N-2} (x_5 + x_6 - x_3) x_3 - x_5 x_6 \end{pmatrix}_{R_0} \{0, -2\},$$

$$C_N(\Gamma_0) \cong \begin{pmatrix} *_{2,2N} x_1 + x_2 - x_4 - x_5 \\ *_{2,2N-2} x_1 x_2 - x_4 x_5 \\ *_{2,2N} x_3 - x_6 \end{pmatrix}_{R_0} \{0, -1\}.$$
So, by Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 2.17, 

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_{101}), \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)\{0,-1\}) 
\cong H^{0,0,0} \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & x_1 + x_2 - x_4 - x_5 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & x_1 x_2 - x_4 x_5 \\
*_{2,2N} & x_3 - x_6 \\
*_{2,2N} & -(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - x_6) \\
*_{2,2N-2} & -(x_1 x_2 - x_4 (x_5 + x_6 - x_3)) \\
*_{2,2N-2} & -((x_5 + x_6 - x_3) x_3 - x_5 x_6)
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
3 \{3, 3N - 7\}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\cong H^{0,0,0} \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}_{R'} \begin{pmatrix}
3 \{3, 3N - 7\}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( R' = R_{\beta} / (x_1 + x_2 - x_4 - x_5, x_1 x_2 - x_4 x_5, x_3 - x_6) \). As a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-graded \( R' \)-module,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}_{R'} \begin{pmatrix}
3 \{3, 3N - 7\}
\end{pmatrix} \cong (R'(1)\{1, N-1\} \oplus R') \otimes_{R'} (R'(1)\{1, N-3\} \oplus R') \otimes_{R'} (R'(1)\{1, N-3\} \oplus R'),
\]

whose homogeneous component of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \)-degree \((0, 0, 0)\) is 1–dimensional. This implies that

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_{101}), \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)\{0,-1\}) \cong H^{0,0,0} \begin{pmatrix}
*_{2,2N} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0 \\
*_{2,2N-2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}_{R'} \begin{pmatrix}
3 \{3, 3N - 7\}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is at most 1–dimensional. Thus, \( \text{Hom}_{\text{hmf}}(\mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_{101}), \mathcal{C}_N(\Gamma_0)\{0,-1\}) \cong \mathbb{Q} \). \( \square \)

Proposition 7.5 now follows easily.

**Proof of Proposition 7.5** Note that diagram (7-18) is invariant under horizontal reflection of the MOY graphs. So, using a similar argument, we can show that \( \mathcal{C}_N(T_2) \) is also homotopic as a chain complex over hmf \( R_{\beta,w} \) to a chain complex of the schematic form (7-18) such that arrows in this new chain complex also satisfy Lemma 7.6. By Lemma 7.7, corresponding arrows in the two schematic forms (7-18) for \( \mathcal{C}_N(T_1) \) and \( \mathcal{C}_N(T_2) \) are scalar multiples of each other. Using Lemma 7.6, it is easy to verify that these two chain complexes of schematic form (7-18) are isomorphic to each other as chain complexes over hmf \( R_{\beta,w} \). This proves that \( \mathcal{C}_N(T_1) \cong \mathcal{C}_N(T_2) \) as chain complexes over hmf \( R_{\beta,w} \). \( \square \)
8 The decategorification of $\mathcal{H}_N$

In this section, we establish the skein description of the decategorification $\mathcal{P}_N$ of $\mathcal{H}_N$ in Theorem 1.8. We start by recalling the “invariant computation tree” constructed in [7].

**Definition 8.1** [7, Definitions 1.1 and 1.3] For an $m$–strand closed braid $B_\pm = \beta \sigma_i^\pm \gamma$, the Conway split of $B_\pm$ at the crossing $\sigma_i^\pm$ produces two $m$–strand braids $B_0 = \beta \gamma$ and $B_\mp = \beta \sigma_i^\mp \gamma$.

An invariant computation tree is a connected rooted oriented binary tree with each node labeled by a closed braid such that:

1. If a node is labeled by a braid $B$ and its two children are labeled by $B'$ and $B''$, then $B'$ and $B''$ are obtained from $B$ by first applying a sequence of transverse Markov moves\(^6\) and then doing a Conway split.

2. Every terminal node is labeled with a closed braid with no crossings.

**Theorem 8.2** [7, Theorem 1.7] For any closed braid $B$, there exists an invariant computation tree whose root is labeled by $B$.

Before proving Theorem 1.8, we establish the following lemma, which will be used to prove part (3) of Theorem 1.8.

**Lemma 8.3** Denote by $U^{\lim}$ the $m$–strand closed braid with no crossings. Define

$$\mathcal{H}_N(U^{\lim}) := H(H(C_N(U^{\lim})/aC_N(U^{\lim}), d_{mf}), d_{\chi}),$$

which inherits the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3$–grading of $C_N(U^{\lim})$. Its graded Euler characteristic is

$$\mathcal{P}_N(U^{\lim}) := \sum_{(e,i,j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3} (-1)^i \tau^e \alpha^j \xi^k \dim_Q \mathcal{H}_N^{e,i,j,k}(U^{\lim}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \xi][\alpha^{-1}, \xi^{-1}, \tau]/(\tau^2 - 1).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{P}_N(U^{\lim}) = \left( \frac{1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+1}}{1 - \xi^2} \right)^m.$$  

\(^6\)Transverse Markov moves are call “invariant Markov moves” in [7].
**Proof**  Put one marked point on each strand of \( U^{-1} \). Note \( U^{-1} \) has no crossings. It is straightforward to check that

\[
C_N(U^{-1})/aC_N(U^{-1}) \cong 0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0,2N & 0 \\ 0,2N & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0,2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \rightarrow 0,
\]

where the Koszul matrix factorization has \( m \) rows and \( 0,2N \) is a “homogeneous 0 of \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \)–degree \( (2, 2N) \)”. Note that both \( d_mf \) and \( d_\chi \) in \( C_N(U^{-1})/aC_N(U^{-1}) \) are 0. So

\[
\mathcal{P}_N(U^{-1}) = \text{gdim}_Q \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0,2N & 0 \\ 0,2N & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0,2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \right) = \left( \frac{1+\tau\alpha^{-1}\xi^{-N+1}}{1-\xi^2} \right)^m. \quad \Box
\]

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.8.

**Proof of Theorem 1.8**  Part (1) is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Now we consider part (2). From local chain complexes (4-2) and (4-3), one can see that

\[
\mathcal{P}_N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0,2N & 0 \\ 0,2N & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0,2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \right) = \left( \frac{1+\tau\alpha^{-1}\xi^{-N+1}}{1-\xi^2} \right)^m. \quad \Box
\]

It follows easily from these equations that

\[
\alpha^{-1}\xi^{-N}\mathcal{P}_N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0,2N & 0 \\ 0,2N & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0,2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \right) - \alpha\xi^N\mathcal{P}_N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0,2N & 0 \\ 0,2N & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0,2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \right) = \tau(\xi^{-1} - \xi)\mathcal{P}_N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0,2N & 0 \\ 0,2N & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0,2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \right) \cdot \mathcal{P}_N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0,2N & 0 \\ 0,2N & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0,2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \right).
\]

This proves part (2).

Next, we prove part (3). For \( m \geq 2 \), denote by \( \sigma_{m-1}^{\pm} \) the closed \( m \)–braid with a single \( \pm \) crossing between the \((m-1)^{\text{th}}\) and the \(m^{\text{th}}\) strands. By part (2), we have

\[
\mathcal{P}_N(U^{-1}) = \frac{\tau}{\xi^{-1} - \xi} \cdot (\alpha^{-1}\xi^{-N}\mathcal{P}_N(\sigma_{m-1}^{\pm}) - \alpha\xi^N\mathcal{P}_N(\sigma_{m-1}^{\pm})).
\]

From part (1), we know that

\[
\mathcal{P}_N(\sigma_{m-1}) = \mathcal{P}_N(U^{-1}).
\]
By Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 8.3, we have

\[(8-3) \quad \mathcal{P}_N(\sigma_{m-1}) = \alpha^{-2}(\mathcal{P}_N(U_{m-1}) - \mathcal{P}_N(U_{m-1})) = \alpha^{-2}\left(\mathcal{P}_N(U_{m-1}) - \left(\frac{1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+1}}{1 - \xi^2}\right)^{m-1}\right)\].

Plugging (8-2) and (8-3) into (8-1), we get that, for \(m \geq 2\),

\[(8-4) \quad \mathcal{P}_N(U_{m}) = \tau \alpha^{-1}\left([N]\mathcal{P}_N(U_{m-1}) + \frac{\xi^N}{\xi^{-1} - \xi}\left(\frac{1 + \tau \alpha^{-1} \xi^{-N+1}}{1 - \xi^2}\right)^{m-1}\right)\),

where \([N] := (\xi^{-N} - \xi^N)/(\xi^{-1} - \xi)\). From Corollary 1.6, we have

\[(8-5) \quad \mathcal{P}_N(U_{1}) = \mathcal{P}_N(U) = \tau \alpha^{-1}\left([N]\frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} + \frac{\xi^N}{\xi^{-1} - \xi}\right)\).

Let

\[f_m = \frac{\mathcal{P}_N(U_{m})}{(\tau \alpha^{-1}[N])^m}\].

Then, by (8-4) and (8-5), the sequence \(\{f_m\}\) satisfies the recursive relation

\[(8-6) \quad \begin{cases} f_m = f_{m-1} + \frac{\xi^N}{(\xi^{-N} - \xi^N)}\left(\frac{\tau \alpha \xi^{-1} + \xi^{-N}}{\xi^{-N} - \xi^N}\right)^{m-1} & \text{for } m \geq 2, \\ f_1 = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} + \frac{\xi^N}{\xi^{-N} - \xi^N}. \end{cases}\]

Therefore,

\[f_m = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} + \frac{\xi^N}{(\xi^{-N} - \xi^N)} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\tau \alpha \xi^{-1} + \xi^{-N}}{\xi^{-N} - \xi^N}\right)^l = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} + \frac{\xi^N}{(\xi^{-N} - \xi^N)} \cdot \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\tau \alpha \xi^{-1} + \xi^{-N}}{\xi^{-N} - \xi^N}\right)^m}{1 - \frac{\tau \alpha \xi^{-1} + \xi^{-N}}{\xi^{-N} - \xi^N}}
\]

\[= \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} + \frac{\left(\frac{\tau \alpha \xi^{-1} + \xi^{-N}}{\xi^{-N} - \xi^N}\right)^m - 1}{\tau \alpha \xi^{-N} + 1}.\]
Thus,
\[
(8-7) \quad \mathcal{P}_N(U^m) = (\tau\alpha^{-1}[N])^m f_m
\]
\[
= (\tau\alpha^{-1}[N])^m \left( \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} + \frac{\left( \frac{\tau\alpha^{-1} + \xi^{-N}}{\xi^{-N} - \xi^{N-1}} \right)^m - 1}{\tau\alpha^{N-1} + 1} \right).
\]

This proves part (3).

Finally, from Theorem 8.2, it is easy to see that parts (1)–(3) uniquely determine \( P_N \).

So part (4) is true.

\[ \square \]

9 Relation to the \( \mathfrak{sl}(N) \) Khovanov–Rozansky homology

We prove Theorem 1.10 in this section. Let us start with two algebraic lemmas.

Lemma 9.1 Let \( \mathbb{Q}[a] \) be the graded polynomial ring with grading given by \( \deg_a a = 2 \). Suppose that \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded \( \mathbb{Q}[a] \)-module whose grading is bounded below and that \( f(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j a^j \in \mathbb{Q}[a] \) satisfies \( c_0 \neq 0 \). Then the endomorphism

\[
M \xrightarrow{f(a)} M
\]

is injective.

**Proof** Assume the multiplication by \( f(a) \) is not injective on \( M \). Then there is an element \( u \) of \( M \) such that \( u \neq 0 \) and \( f(a)u = 0 \). Write \( u = \sum_j u_j \), where \( u_j \) is the homogeneous part of \( u \) of degree \( j \). Since the grading of \( M \) is bounded below, there exists a \( j_0 \) such that \( u_{j_0} \neq 0 \) and \( u_j = 0 \) if \( j < j_0 \). Note that \( c_0 u_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i a^i u_{j-2i} \) is the homogeneous part of \( f(a)u \) of degree \( j \) and \( \sum_j (c_0 u_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i a^i u_{j-2i}) = f(a)u = 0 \). So \( c_0 u_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i a^i u_{j-2i} = 0 \) for all \( j \). When \( j = j_0 \), this implies \( u_{j_0} = 0 \), which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

The following is a graded version of the well-known structure theorem of a finitely generated module over a principal ideal domain. With minor modifications, the proof of the structure theorem still applies in this case.

Lemma 9.2 Suppose that \( M \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded \( \mathbb{Q}[a] \)-module. Then, as a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded \( \mathbb{Q}[a] \)-module, \( M \cong \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{Q}[a]/\langle s_j \rangle \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q}[a]/\langle a^k \rangle/\langle l_k \rangle \right) \), where the sequences \( \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \{(l_1, t_1), \ldots, (l_n, t_n)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2} \) are uniquely determined by \( M \) up to permutation.
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Let $G$ be a finite homogeneous generating set of $M$. Denote by $\pi$ the $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–module map $\pi: \bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{\deg u\} \to M$ which maps the 1 in $\mathbb{Q}[a]\{\deg u\}$ to $u$. Since $\pi$ is homogeneous, ker $\pi$ inherits the grading of $\bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{\deg u\}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}[a]$ is a principal ideal domain, ker $\pi$ is also a free $\mathbb{Q}[a]$–module. Note that the gradings on $\bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{\deg u\}$ and ker $\pi$ are both bounded below. So, by Lemma 2.2, both of these admit homogeneous bases over $\mathbb{Q}[a]$. From this, it is easy to verify that there is a decomposition of chain complexes of graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$ modules

$$0 \to \text{ker } \pi \xrightarrow{-1} \bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{\deg u\} \to 0$$

$$\cong \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} 0 \to \mathbb{Q}[a]\{s_j\} \to 0 \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} 0 \to \mathbb{Q}[a]\{2l_k + t_k\} \to 0 \right).$$

(See, for example, [22, Lemma 4.13].) This implies that

$$M \cong \left( \bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{\deg u\} \right)/\text{ker } \pi \cong \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{s_j\} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a^{l_k})\{t_k\} \right).$$

The uniqueness part of the lemma is a slight refinement of the usual uniqueness theorem of the standard decompositions of finitely generated modules over a principal ideal domain. Suppose that

$$M \cong \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m'} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{s_j'\} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n'} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a^{l_k})\{t_k'\} \right).$$

Define $M_a = \{ r \in M \mid a^k r = 0 \text{ for some } k \geq 0 \}$. Then $M_a$ is a submodule of $M$ and

$$M_a \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a^{l_k})\{t_k\} \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n'} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a^{l_k})\{t_k'\}.$$ 

By [22, Lemma 4.14], the sequences \{(l_1, t_1), \ldots, (l_n, t_n)\} and \{(l_1', t_1'), \ldots, (l_n', t_n')\} are therefore permutations of each other. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{M} := M/M_a \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{s_j\} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m'} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{s_j'\}.$$
Thus, as a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded \( \mathbb{Q} \)-space, the graded dimension of \( \mathcal{M}/a\mathcal{M} \) is

\[
gdim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{M}/a\mathcal{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{s_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \alpha^{s'_j}.
\]

This implies that \( \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} \) and \( \{s'_1, \ldots, s'_{m'}\} \) are permutations of each other. \( \Box \)

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10  We prove part (1) first. Fix a marking of \( B \). Assume the \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \) are all the variables appearing in this marking. Let \( R = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \). Recall that the matrix factorization of each resolution of \( B \) is a Koszul matrix factorization over \( R[a] \). So each of these matrix factorizations comes with a standard homogeneous \( R[a] \)-module basis. Therefore, \( C_N(B) \) comes with a standard homogeneous \( R[a] \)-module basis. And the differentials \( d_{mf} \) and \( d_x \) of \( C_N(B) \) are represented by matrices whose entries are homogeneous elements of \( R[a] \). Let \( f \) be the standard quotient homomorphism \( f: R[a] \to R (\cong R[a]/(a-1)) \) given by \( f(a) = 1 \). Under this identification of the base ring, \( (C_N(B)/(a-1)C_N(B), d_{mf}, d_x) \) is a chain complex of matrix factorization over \( R \). The standard \( R[a] \)-basis of \( C_N(B) \) corresponds to the standard \( R \)-basis of \( C_N(B)/(a-1)C_N(B) \). Matrices of \( d_{mf} \) and \( d_x \) of \( C_N(B)/(a-1)C_N(B) \) under the standard basis are obtained from those of \( d_{mf} \) and \( d_x \) of \( C_N(B) \) by applying \( f \) to each entry. Now compare \( (C_N(B)/(a-1)C_N(B), d_{mf}, d_x) \) to the chain complex \( (C(B), d_{mf}, d_x) \) constructed in [10] to define the \( \mathfrak{sl}(N) \) link homology \( H_N(B) \). It is easy to see that these two chain complexes are isomorphic as chain complexes of matrix factorization over \( R \). Moreover, this isomorphism preserves the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-, homological and \( x \)-gradings.

Recall that \( C_N(B) \) is a free \( \mathbb{Q}[a] \)-module. So we have a short exact sequence

\[
0 \to C_N(B) \xrightarrow{a-1} C_N(B) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} C(B) \to 0
\]

preserving the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-, homological and \( x \)-gradings, where \( \pi_1 \) is the standard quotient map

\[
C_N(B) \to C_N(B)/(a-1)C_N(B) \cong C(B).
\]

This short exact sequence induces a long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to H^{e,i,*}(C_N(B), d_{mf}) \xrightarrow{a-1} H^{e,i,*}(C_N(B), d_{mf}) \to H^{e,i,*}(C(B), d_{mf}) \to H^{e+1,i,*}(C_N(B), d_{mf}){-1,-N-1} \xrightarrow{a-1} \cdots
\]
preserving the \(x\)-grading. By Lemma 9.1, the multiplication by \(a - 1\) is an injective homomorphism. So this long exact sequence gives a short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow (H^\epsilon,*,*,*(C_N(B), d_{mf}), d_\chi) 
\overset{a-1}{\longrightarrow} (H^\epsilon,*,*,*(C_N(B), d_{mf}), d_\chi) 
\longrightarrow (H^\epsilon,*,*,*(C(B), d_{mf}), d_\chi) \longrightarrow 0
\]

preserving the homological and \(x\)-gradings, which, in turn, induces a long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \overset{a-1}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \longrightarrow H^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i+1,k_N(B) \overset{a-1}{\longrightarrow} \cdots .
\]

Again, by Lemma 9.1, the multiplication by \(a - 1\) is an injective homomorphism. So we get a short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \overset{a-1}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \longrightarrow H^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \longrightarrow 0,
\]

which implies that \(H^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \cong \mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B)/(a - 1) \mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B)\). This proves part (1) of the theorem.

Now we prove part (2). Recall that \(C^\epsilon,i,k_N(B)\) is finitely generated over \(\mathbb{Q}[a]\) for each triple \((\epsilon, i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\oplus 2\). Since \(\mathbb{Q}[a]\) is a Noetherian ring, this implies that \(\mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B)\) is a finitely generated \(\mathbb{Z}\)-graded \(\mathbb{Q}[a]\)-module. Thus, by Lemma 9.2, there is a decomposition

\[
\mathcal{H}^\epsilon,i,k_N(B) \cong \bigoplus_{p=1}^{m_{\epsilon,i,k}} \mathbb{Q}[a][s_p] \oplus \bigoplus_{q=1}^{n_{\epsilon,i,k}} \mathbb{Q}[a]/(a^l_q)\{t_q\},
\]

which is unique up to permutation of direct sum components. The only thing remaining is that \(m_{\epsilon,i,k} = \dim \mathbb{Q}[a]H^\epsilon,i,k_N(B)\), which follows from part (1) and the simple fact that

\[
\mathbb{Q}[a]/(a - 1) \cong \mathbb{Q}, \quad (\mathbb{Q}[a]/(a^l))/ (a - 1) \cong 0.
\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)
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