

# Errata to Relative Thom spectra via operadic Kan extensions

JONATHAN BEARDSLEY

In the paper “Relative Thom Spectra Via Operadic Kan Extensions” there were minor errors in Lemma 6, Proposition 8 and the proof of Theorem 1. The following Theorem, Lemma and Proposition serve to replace them.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose  $Y \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{q} B$  is a fiber sequence of reduced  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal Kan complexes for  $n > 1$  with  $i$  and  $q$  both maps of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -algebras. Let  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$  be a morphism of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal Kan complexes for  $n > 1$ . Then there is a morphism of  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -algebras  $B \rightarrow BGL_1(M(f \circ i))$  whose associated Thom spectrum is equivalent to  $Mf$ .*

**Proof** Note that  $M(f \circ i)$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -algebra, so  $BGL_1(M(f \circ i))$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -fold loop space, so we cannot hope for the desired map to be more structured than this. By Lemmas 5 and 2 the  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -monoidal left Kan extension of  $X \xrightarrow{f} BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$  along  $q: X \rightarrow B$  exists and takes the unique 0-simplex of  $B$  to the  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -algebra  $M(f \circ i)$ . By Proposition 3, this Kan extension factors as a morphism of  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -monoidal Kan complexes through  $BGL_1(M(f \circ i))$ . Taking the Thom spectrum of the induced morphism  $B \rightarrow BGL_1(M(f \circ i))$  produces  $M(f \circ i)/(\Omega B)$  as a Thom spectrum over  $M(f \circ i)$ . Moreover, taking the colimit of the functor  $B \rightarrow BGL_1(M(f \circ i)) \hookrightarrow LMod_{M(f \circ i)}$  is equivalent to taking the colimit of the underlying spectra, by Corollary 4.2.3.7 of [1]. However, taking the colimit in spectra is equivalent to forming the left operadic Kan extension of  $B \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  along the map  $B \rightarrow *$ . By Lemma 7 and Corollary 3.1.4.2 of [1] we have that the left operadic Kan extension along  $X \rightarrow B$  followed by the left operadic Kan extension along  $B \rightarrow *$  is equivalent to the left operadic Kan extension along  $X \rightarrow *$  (i.e. Kan extensions compose). In other words, the  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ - $M(f \circ i)$ -module  $M(f \circ i)/(\Omega B)$  has an underlying spectrum equivalent to the colimit of  $X \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$  which is of course  $Mf$ . Thus the iterated Kan extension which produces  $M(f \circ i) = \mathbb{S}/\Omega Y$  and then quotients it by the action of  $\Omega B$  is equivalent to the one-step Kan extension producing  $\mathbb{S}/\Omega X \simeq Mf$  with an “action” of the trivial  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -space. Hence  $Mf$  is produced as a Thom spectrum over  $M(f \circ i)$ .  $\square$

The following replaces Lemma 6 in the original paper. Therein we claimed to compute the colimit in  $LMod(M(f \circ i))$ , when we should have been computing the colimit in  $\mathcal{S}$ . This issue is corrected here.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $Y \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{q} B$  be a fiber sequence of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal Kan complexes. The  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal left Kan extension of an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal morphism  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$  along  $q: X \rightarrow B$  is computed by taking the colimit of the composition*

$$fib(X \rightarrow B) \simeq Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}.$$

**Proof** Following the notation given in Definition 3.1.2.2 and the construction in Remark 3.1.3.15 of [1], we have a correspondence of  $\infty$ -operads given by

$$\mathcal{M}^\otimes \simeq (X^\otimes \times \Delta^1) \coprod_{X^\otimes \times \{1\}} B^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{F}in_* \times \Delta^1.$$

In other words, there is a family of  $\infty$ -operads indexed by  $\Delta^1$  which looks like  $X^\otimes$  (the  $\infty$ -operad associated to  $X$  as an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal Kan complex) at one end and  $B^\otimes$  at the other end. Formula (\*) of Definition 3.1.2.2 of [1] states that the value of the desired Kan extension at a 0-simplex  $\sigma \in B$  is given by the colimit diagram:

$$((\mathcal{M}_{act}^\otimes)_{/\sigma} \times_{\mathcal{M}^\otimes} X^\otimes)^\triangleright \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}^\otimes)_{/\sigma}^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

where the morphism  $(\mathcal{M}^\otimes)_{/\sigma}^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$  takes the cone point to  $\sigma$ . In other words, the value of the Kan extension at  $\sigma$  is computed by taking the colimit over the diagram in  $\mathcal{M}^\otimes$  of objects (and active morphisms) living over  $\sigma$ . As the simplicial set  $\mathcal{M}^\otimes$  is nothing more than the mapping cylinder of the morphism of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal Kan complexes  $X^\otimes \rightarrow B^\otimes$ , we have the result.  $\square$

The following replaces Proposition 8 in the original paper. Similarly to the last error, the mistake in the original paper was to lift from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $LMod(M(f \circ i))$  prematurely. In what follows, we show that the map to  $\mathcal{S}$  factors through  $BGL_1(M(f \circ i))$  and hence through  $LMod(M(f \circ i))$ . This latter fact was incorrectly assumed in the original.

**Proposition 3** *Let  $Y \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{q} B$  be a fiber sequence of reduced, connected  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal Kan complexes. The left operadic Kan extension of an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -morphism  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  along the  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -morphism  $q: X \rightarrow B$  factors as a morphism of  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -monoidal Kan complexes through  $BGL_1(M(f \circ i))$ .*

**Proof** Note that the left operadic Kan extension along  $q$  takes the unique zero simplex of  $B$  to  $M(f \circ i)$  by Lemma 2. Since  $B$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal Kan complex it is also an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal quasicategory with monoidal unit  $1_B$  corresponding to the base point of  $B$ . Moreover all the morphisms of  $B$  are also  $1_B$ -module isomorphisms. In other words,  $LMod_{1_B} \simeq BGL_1(1_B) \simeq B$  as  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -monoidal quasicategories (also cf. Corollary 4.2.4.9 of [1]). Hence it must be that this Kan extension, being an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal functor, induces an  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -monoidal functor  $BGL_1(1_B) \simeq B \rightarrow BGL_1(M(f \circ i))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4** We can think of the identification  $B \simeq BGL_1(1_B)$  as a construction of the delooping of  $\Omega B$  by taking the base point component of  $Pic(LMod_{\Omega B})$ . In other words as a quasicategory  $B$  can be thought of as the maximal  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -monoidal Kan complex on the object  $\Omega B \in LMod_{\Omega B}$ .

*Department of Mathematics, University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195, United States*

[jbeards1@u.washington.edu](mailto:jbeards1@u.washington.edu)

<http://www.math.washington.edu/~jbeards1>