

Symmetric spectra model global homotopy theory of finite groups

MARKUS HAUSMANN

We show that the category of symmetric spectra can be used to model global equivariant homotopy theory of finite groups.

55P42, 55P43, 55P91

0. Introduction	1413
1. Symmetric spectra	1416
2. Global model structures	1421
3. Multiplicative properties	1431
4. Equivariant homotopy groups of symmetric spectra	1433
5. Comparison to orthogonal spectra	1442
6. Examples	1444
Appendix. Model structures with respect to families	1449
References	1451

0 Introduction

Equivariant stable homotopy theory deals with the study of equivariant spectra and the cohomology theories they represent. While some of these equivariant theories are specific to a fixed group, many of them are defined in a uniform way for all compact Lie groups simultaneously, for example equivariant K -theory, Borel cohomology, equivariant bordism or equivariant cohomotopy. The idea of global equivariant homotopy theory is to view such a compatible collection of equivariant spectra—ranging through all compact Lie groups—as one “global” object, in particular to capture its full algebraic structure of restrictions, transfer maps and power operations. There have been various approaches to formalizing this idea and to obtain a category of global equivariant spectra, for example in Lewis, May and Steinberger [11, Chapter 2], Greenlees and May [5, Section 5] and Bohmann [2]. Schwede [19; 18] introduced a new approach by

looking at the well-known category of orthogonal spectra of Mandell, May, Schwede and Shipley [13] from a different point of view: Every orthogonal spectrum X gives rise to a G –orthogonal spectrum X_G for any compact Lie group G by letting G act through its orthogonal representations. The fundamental observation used in [19] is that the G –homotopy type of X_G is not determined by the nonequivariant homotopy type of X , ie a stable equivalence of orthogonal spectra does not necessarily give rise to a G –stable equivalence on underlying G –orthogonal spectra. Taking these G –homotopy types for varying G into account gives rise to a much finer notion of weak equivalence called *global equivalence* and thereby to the global stable homotopy category, which splits each nonequivariant homotopy type into many global variants. A strength of Schwede’s approach is that it on the one hand allows many examples (all the theories mentioned above are represented by a single orthogonal spectrum in this sense) and on the other hand is technically easy to work with, since the underlying category is just that of orthogonal spectra.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the category of symmetric spectra introduced by Hovey, Shipley and Smith [9] can also be used to model global equivariant homotopy theory if one takes “global” to mean all *finite* groups instead of all compact Lie groups. Symmetric spectra have the advantage that they can also be based on simplicial sets and are generally more combinatorial, as it is sometimes easier to construct actions of symmetric groups than of orthogonal groups. A main example is Schwede’s construction of a model for global equivariant algebraic K –theory [16] (which we recall in Section 6.3), whose output is a symmetric spectrum and usually not an orthogonal spectrum.

Besides the fully global theory of orthogonal spectra, which takes into account all compact Lie groups, Schwede [19] also provides a variant where only a fixed family of groups is considered. In particular, there is a version for the family of finite groups Fin . Then the main result of this paper can be stated as:

Theorem (Theorems 2.17 and 5.3) *There exists a model structure on the category of symmetric spectra of topological spaces or simplicial sets — called the **global** model structure — which is Quillen equivalent to orthogonal spectra with the Fin –global model structure of [19].*

More precisely, the forgetful functor from orthogonal to symmetric spectra is the right adjoint of a Quillen equivalence. The central notion in the global model structure is that of a *global equivalence of symmetric spectra*. The basic idea is the same as

for orthogonal spectra: every symmetric spectrum X gives rise to a G -symmetric spectrum X_G for any finite group G by letting G act through its finite G -sets, ie the homomorphisms $G \rightarrow \Sigma_n$. In particular, one can define its equivariant homotopy groups. However, unlike for orthogonal spectra, equivariant homotopy groups cannot be used to describe global equivalences — a phenomenon already present for nonequivariant symmetric spectra and for G -symmetric spectra over a fixed finite group G . Instead we make use of the notion of G -stable equivalence introduced in Hausmann [6] and define a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra to be a global equivalence if for all finite groups G the map $f_G: X_G \rightarrow Y_G$ is a G -stable equivalence. The more complicated definition of G -stable equivalence and hence global equivalence is the main technical difference to orthogonal spectra. The work in this paper lies in assembling the model structures of [6] for varying G into a global one, for which Proposition 2.13 is central.

The cofibrations in our model structure are the same as in Shipley's flat (or \mathbb{S} -) model structure introduced in Shipley [21], which hence forms a left Bousfield localization of ours. This determines the model structure completely; the fibrant objects can be characterized as global equivariant versions of Ω -spectra (Definition 2.12), similarly as for orthogonal spectra. We further show that the global model structure (or a positive version) lifts to the categories of symmetric ring spectra and commutative symmetric ring spectra (called “ultracommutative” in [19]), and more generally to categories of modules, algebras and commutative algebras over a fixed (commutative) symmetric ring spectrum.

While equivariant homotopy groups of symmetric spectra cannot be used to characterize global equivalences, they nevertheless provide an important tool. We describe some of their properties and their functoriality as the group varies. This functoriality turns out to be more involved than for orthogonal spectra, as it interacts nontrivially with the theory of (global equivariant) semistability, ie the relationship between “naive” and derived equivariant homotopy groups of symmetric spectra. When X is globally semistable, its equivariant homotopy groups carry restriction maps along arbitrary group homomorphisms and transfer maps for subgroup inclusions, and the two are related via a double coset formula. This functoriality describes a global version of a Mackey functor that has previously been considered in an algebraic context, such as by Webb [22] (where it is called an “inflation functor”) and Lewis [10] (“global (\emptyset, ∞) -Mackey functor”).

Throughout, we focus on the class of all finite groups, but symmetric spectra can also be used to model global homotopy theory with respect to smaller families of groups,

such as abelian finite groups or p -groups for a fixed prime p . In the [appendix](#) we give a short treatment of the modifications needed to obtain such a relative theory.

The paper is organized as follows: In [Section 1](#) we recall the definition of symmetric spectra, explain how to evaluate them on finite G -sets ([Section 1.2](#)) and introduce global free spectra ([Section 1.3](#)). [Section 2](#) starts with the construction of the global level model structure ([Proposition 2.5](#)), introduces global equivalences ([Definition 2.9](#)) and global Ω -spectra ([Definition 2.12](#)), explains the connection between the two ([Proposition 2.13](#)) and, finally, contains a proof of the stable global model structure ([Theorem 2.17](#)). In [Section 3](#) we construct global model structures on module, algebra and commutative algebra categories. [Section 4](#) deals with equivariant homotopy groups of symmetric spectra. Their definition is given in [Section 4.1](#), their functoriality is explained in [Sections 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5](#) and the properties of globally semistable symmetric spectra are discussed in [Section 4.6](#). In [Section 5](#) we prove that our model structure is Quillen equivalent to Fin -global orthogonal spectra. [Section 6](#) discusses examples of symmetric spectra from the global point of view. Finally, the [appendix](#) deals with global homotopy theory of symmetric spectra with respect to a family of finite groups.

Acknowledgements I thank my advisor Stefan Schwede for suggesting this project and for many helpful discussions and comments. I further thank the anonymous referee for various suggestions for improvement. This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Graduiertenkolleg 1150 *Homotopy and cohomology*. Final revisions were made in Copenhagen under the support of the Danish National Research Foundation through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation (DNRF92).

1 Symmetric spectra

1.1 Definition

We begin by recalling the definition of a symmetric spectrum. For easier reading we do not treat the simplicial and topological cases in parallel, but for the definitions and the construction of the model structures concentrate on symmetric spectra of simplicial sets. The translation to symmetric spectra of topological spaces is straightforward; see also [Remark 2.18](#).

We let S^n denote the n -sphere, ie the n -fold smash product of $S^1 := \underline{\Delta}^1 / \partial \underline{\Delta}^1$.

Definition 1.1 (symmetric spectrum) A *symmetric spectrum* X of simplicial sets consists of

- a based Σ_n -simplicial set X_n , and
- a based *structure map* $\sigma_n: X_n \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X_{n+1}$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This data has to satisfy the condition that for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the *iterated structure map*

$$\sigma_n^m: X_n \wedge S^m \cong (X_n \wedge S^1) \wedge S^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n \wedge S^{m-1}} X_{n+1} \wedge S^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n+1} \wedge S^{m-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n+m-1}} X_{n+m}$$

is $(\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m)$ -equivariant, with Σ_m acting on S^m by permuting the coordinates.

A *morphism of symmetric spectra* $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a sequence of based Σ_n -equivariant maps $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ such that $f_{n+1} \circ \sigma_n^{(X)} = \sigma_{n+1}^{(Y)} \circ (f_n \wedge S^1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We denote the category of symmetric spectra by Sp^Σ .

Example 1.2 (suspension spectra) Every based simplicial set A gives rise to a suspension symmetric spectrum $\Sigma^\infty A$ whose n^{th} level is $A \wedge S^n$ with Σ_n -action through S^n and structure map the associativity isomorphism $(A \wedge S^n) \wedge S^1 \cong A \wedge S^{n+1}$. For $A = S^0$ this gives the sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} .

Remark 1.3 (G -symmetric spectra) Throughout this paper we will often make use of the theory of G -symmetric spectra for a fixed finite group G , by which we simply mean a symmetric spectrum with a G -action.

Definition 1.4 (underlying G -symmetric spectra) Given a symmetric spectrum X , we write X_G for the underlying G -symmetric spectrum obtained by giving X the trivial G -action.

The fact that G acts trivially on X_G means that all the G -equivariance is encoded in the symmetric group actions on the levels of X_G . The homotopical properties of X_G depend on the evaluations on finite G -sets introduced below, which will usually not carry trivial G -action. The “exterior action” of G being trivial corresponds to saying that G acts trivially on the evaluations of X_G on *trivial* G -sets.

1.2 Evaluations

Let G be a finite group and M a finite G -set of cardinality m . We denote by $\mathrm{Bij}(\underline{m}, M)$ the discrete simplicial set of bijections between the sets $\underline{m} = \{1, \dots, m\}$

and M . It possesses a right Σ_m -action by precomposition and a left G -action by postcomposition with the action on M .

Definition 1.5 (evaluation) The *evaluation* of a symmetric spectrum X on M is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} X(M) &:= X_m \wedge_{\Sigma_m} \operatorname{Bij}(\underline{m}, M)_+ \\ &:= X_m \wedge \operatorname{Bij}(\underline{m}, M)_+ / ((\sigma x, f) \sim (x, f\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_m), \end{aligned}$$

with G -action through M .

Remark 1.6 This is the special case of an evaluation of a G -symmetric spectrum Y on a finite G -set, in which case G acts diagonally on $Y(M) = Y_m \wedge_{\Sigma_m} \operatorname{Bij}(\underline{m}, M)_+$. If $Y = X_G$ for a symmetric spectrum X , ie if the exterior G -action on Y is trivial, the two evaluations $Y(M)$ and $X(M)$ agree as G -simplicial sets. Hence, $X(M)$ can be thought of as the evaluation of the underlying G -symmetric spectrum X_G on M .

The following are two examples of evaluations:

Example 1.7 Let A be a based simplicial set and M a finite G -set. We denote by S^M the smash product of M copies of S^1 with permutation G -action, generalizing the definition of the Σ_n -permutation sphere S^n . Then the map $(\Sigma^\infty A)(M) \rightarrow A \wedge S^M$ that sends a class $[(a \wedge x) \wedge f]$ to $a \wedge f_*(x)$ is a G -isomorphism.

Example 1.8 Let G be the symmetric group Σ_n and M be the natural Σ_n -set \underline{n} , with X a symmetric spectrum. Then $X(\underline{n})$ is canonically isomorphic to X_n with the Σ_n -action that is part of the data of the symmetric spectrum X . In contrast, evaluating at $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with *trivial* Σ_n -action yields X_n with trivial action.

Moreover, these evaluations are connected by so-called generalized structure maps: Let G be a finite group, M and N two finite G -sets of cardinalities m and n , respectively, and X a symmetric spectrum. We further choose a bijection $\psi: \underline{n} \xrightarrow{\cong} N$.

Definition 1.9 (generalized structure map) The map

$$\sigma_M^N: X(M) \wedge S^N \rightarrow X(M \sqcup N), \quad ([x \wedge f] \wedge s) \mapsto [\sigma_m^n(x \wedge \psi_*^{-1}(s)) \wedge (f \sqcup \psi)],$$

is called the *generalized structure map* of M and N .

It is straightforward to check that the generalized structure map does not depend on the choice of bijection $\psi: \underline{n} \xrightarrow{\cong} N$. Furthermore, it is G -equivariant for the diagonal

G -action on $X(M) \wedge S^N$. Again this is a special case of generalized structure maps for G -symmetric spectra.

1.3 Global free symmetric spectra

For every finite group G and every finite G -set M , the above construction yields functors

$$-(M): \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow G\mathcal{S}_*$$

from symmetric spectra to the category of based G -simplicial sets $G\mathcal{S}_*$. These functors have left adjoints F_M^G , which is a consequence of the existence of a left adjoint for the analogous evaluation functor from G -symmetric spectra to based G -simplicial sets.

Here we only give the necessary definitions to construct them; more details can be found in [6, Section 2.4]. Given a finite K -set N for another finite group K , we put

$$\Sigma(M, N) := \bigvee_{\alpha: M \hookrightarrow N \text{ injective}} S^{N-\alpha(M)}.$$

This based simplicial set carries a right G -action by precomposition on the indexing wedge and a commuting left K -action for which an element k sends a pair $(\alpha, x \in S^{N-\alpha(M)})$ to the pair $(k \circ \alpha, k \cdot x \in S^{N-k \cdot \alpha(M)})$. Given another finite K -set N' , there is a natural $(G^{\mathrm{op}} \times K)$ -equivariant map

$$\sigma_N^{N'}: \Sigma(M, N) \wedge S^{N'} \rightarrow \Sigma(M, N \sqcup N'), \quad (\alpha, x) \wedge y \mapsto (\alpha, x \wedge y).$$

Definition 1.10 Let A be a based G -simplicial set and M a finite G -set. Then the *global free symmetric spectrum on A in level M* is defined as $(F_M^G(A))_n := A \wedge_G \Sigma(M, \underline{n})$ with structure map

$$A \wedge_G \sigma_{\underline{n}}^1: (A \wedge_G \Sigma(M, \underline{n})) \wedge S^1 \rightarrow A \wedge_G \Sigma(M, \underline{n+1}).$$

More generally, if N is a finite K -set, the evaluation $(F_M^G(A))(N)$ is canonically isomorphic to $A \wedge_G \Sigma(M, N)$ with K -action through N . The generalized structure maps arise by smashing $\sigma_N^{N'}$ with $A \wedge_G -$. Then we have:

Proposition 1.11 Let M be a finite G -set, A a based G -simplicial set and X a symmetric spectrum. Then the assignment

$$\mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma}(F_M^G(A), X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{map}_G(A, X(M)),$$

$$(f: F_M^G(A) \rightarrow X) \mapsto \left(A \xrightarrow{[- \wedge \{\mathrm{id}_M\} +]} A \wedge_G \Sigma(M, M) \xrightarrow{f(M)} X(M) \right),$$

is a natural isomorphism.

Here, the expression $\mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma}(-, -)$ refers to the simplicial set of morphisms between two symmetric spectra, which is recalled in the following subsection.

Proof This follows from [6, Proposition 2.14], since by definition $F_M^G(A)$ is the G -quotient of the free G -symmetric spectrum $\mathcal{F}_M(A)$ and we are mapping into spectra with trivial G -action. \square

1.4 Mapping spaces and spectra, smash products and shifts

In this section we quickly recall various point-set constructions for symmetric spectra, which are all introduced in [9].

Example 1.12 ((co)tensoring over based spaces) Every based simplicial set A gives rise to a functor $A \wedge - \colon \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma$ by smashing each level and structure map with A . It is left adjoint to $\mathrm{map}(A, -) \colon \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma$, defined via $\mathrm{map}(A, X)_n = \mathrm{map}(A, X_n)$ with structure maps adjoint to $\mathrm{map}(A, X_n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}_n} \mathrm{map}(A, \Omega(X_{n+1})) \cong \Omega(\mathrm{map}(A, X_{n+1}))$.

Example 1.13 (geometric realization) Symmetric spectra of simplicial sets and topological spaces are related by the adjunction of geometric realization $|\cdot|$ and singular complex \mathcal{S} . Both functors are constructed by applying the space level version levelwise, making use of the fact that $|\cdot|$ commutes with $- \wedge S^1$ and \mathcal{S} commutes with $\Omega(-)$ to obtain structure maps (similarly to the previous example).

Example 1.14 (shifts) For every natural number n there is an endofunctor

$$\mathrm{sh}^n \colon \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma$$

defined by $\mathrm{sh}^n(X)_m := X_{n+m}$ with Σ_m -action through the last m coordinates and structure maps shifted by n . There is a natural transformation $\alpha_X^n \colon S^n \wedge X \rightarrow \mathrm{sh}^n(X)$ given in level m by the composite

$$S^n \wedge X_m \cong X_m \wedge S^n \xrightarrow{\sigma_n^m} X_{m+n} \xrightarrow{X(\tau_{m,n})} X_{n+m} = \mathrm{sh}^n(X)_m,$$

where $\tau_{m,n}$ denotes the permutation in Σ_{m+n} that moves the first m elements $\{1, \dots, m\}$ past the last n elements $\{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$ and preserves the order of both of these subsets.

In fact, via the same formula one can shift along arbitrary finite G -sets M , but the result $\mathrm{sh}^M(X)$ is in general a G -symmetric spectrum with nontrivial G -action.

Example 1.15 (mapping spaces) Given two symmetric spectra X and Y there is a mapping simplicial set $\mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma}(X, Y)$ whose n -simplices are given by the set of symmetric spectra morphisms from $\underline{\Delta}_+^n \wedge X$ to Y .

Example 1.16 (internal Hom) Combining this with the shifts above gives internal homomorphism spectra $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$ defined by $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)_n := \mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma}(X, \mathrm{sh}^n Y)$ with Σ_n -action through the first n coordinates in $\mathrm{sh}^n(Y)$ and structure map sending a pair $(f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{sh}^n(Y), x \in S^1)$ to the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{x \wedge f} S^1 \wedge \mathrm{sh}^n(Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathrm{sh}^n(Y)}^1} \mathrm{sh}^{n+1}(Y).$$

Example 1.17 (smash product) As shown in [9, Section 2], the category of symmetric spectra carries a symmetric monoidal smash product \wedge with unit \mathbb{S} , uniquely characterized up to natural isomorphism by the fact that $- \wedge X$ is left adjoint to $\mathrm{Hom}(X, -)$.

2 Global model structures

In this section we construct global model structures on the category of symmetric spectra, beginning with a level model structure which is, later, left Bousfield localized to obtain a stable version.

2.1 Level model structure

We recall the standard model structure on equivariant simplicial sets:

Definition 2.1 A map $f: A \rightarrow B$ of based G -simplicial sets is called a

- *G -weak equivalence* if the map $f^H: X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak equivalence for all subgroups H of G ;
- *G -fibration* if the map $f^H: X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a Kan fibration for all subgroups H of G ;
- *G -cofibration* if it is degreewise injective.

It is well known that the above classes assemble to a proper, cofibrantly generated and monoidal model structure on the category of based G -simplicial sets. We make use of it to construct a global level model structure on symmetric spectra:

Definition 2.2 A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra is called a

- *global level equivalence* if each level $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a Σ_n -weak equivalence;
- *global level fibration* if each level $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a Σ_n -fibration;
- *flat cofibration* if each latching map $v_n[f]: X_n \cup_{L_n(X)} L_n(Y) \rightarrow Y_n$ is a Σ_n -cofibration.

For the definition of latching spaces and maps we refer to [9, Definition 5.2.1] or [6, Section 2.5]. The following gives a different interpretation of global level equivalences and fibrations:

Lemma 2.3 A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra is a global level equivalence (resp. global level fibration) if and only if for all finite groups G and all finite G -sets M , the map $f(M)^G: X(M)^G \rightarrow Y(M)^G$ is a weak equivalence (resp. Kan fibration) on G -fixed points.

Proof Given a finite G -set M , any choice of bijection $\underline{m} \cong M$ defines a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow \Sigma_m$ and the G -fixed points $X(M)^G$ are naturally identified with $X_m^{\varphi(G)}$. This translates between the different formulations. \square

Remark 2.4 In [6], a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of G -symmetric spectra is a G -level equivalence if for all subgroups H of G and all finite H -sets M , the map

$$f(M)^H: X(M)^H \rightarrow Y(M)^H$$

is a weak equivalence. Hence, a morphism of symmetric spectra is a global level equivalence if and only if it induces a G -level equivalence on underlying G -symmetric spectra for all finite groups G . Furthermore, every flat cofibration of symmetric spectra induces a G -flat cofibration on underlying G -symmetric spectra.

Proposition 2.5 (level model structure) *The global level equivalences, global level fibrations and flat cofibrations define a proper, cofibrantly generated and monoidal model structure on the category of symmetric spectra, called the **global level model structure**.*

Proof The existence of the model structure and its properness follows from [6, Proposition 2.22] for G the trivial group, since the strong consistency condition [6, Definition 2.21] is satisfied. Monoidality is a consequence of [6, Corollary 2.30] for each finite group separately. \square

Since the suspension spectrum functor from based simplicial sets is a strong monoidal left Quillen functor, the monoidality of the global model structure in particular implies that it is simplicial. Let I and J denote sets of generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations, respectively, for the Quillen model structure on simplicial sets. Then sets of generating (acyclic) cofibrations for the global level model structure are given by

$$I_{\text{gl}}^{\text{lev}} = \{F_{\underline{n}}^H(i) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, H \leq \Sigma_n, i \in I\} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{lev}} = \{F_{\underline{n}}^H(j) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, H \leq \Sigma_n, j \in J\},$$

respectively, where in each case the maps i and j are thought of as maps of H -spaces with trivial action and H acts on \underline{n} via its embedding into Σ_n .

In order to obtain a global model structure on commutative symmetric ring spectra we will also need a positive version of the global level model structure. For this we call a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a *positive global level equivalence* (resp. *positive global level fibration*) if $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a Σ_n -weak equivalence (resp. Σ_n -fibration) for all $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, a *positive flat cofibration* is a flat cofibration which is an isomorphism in degree 0. Then we have:

Proposition 2.6 (positive level model structure) *The positive global level equivalences, positive global level fibrations and positive flat cofibrations define a proper and cofibrantly generated model structure on the category of symmetric spectra, called the positive global level model structure.*

Proof As above, this model structure can be obtained via [6, Proposition 2.22]. \square

The positive global level model structure satisfies the pushout product axiom but not the unit axiom, so it is not quite monoidal.

2.2 Global equivalences

In order to define the global (stable) equivalences we have to recall the notions of $G\Omega$ -spectrum and G -stable equivalence for a fixed finite group G . In comparing to [6], we always use the notions formed with respect to a complete G -set universe \mathcal{U}_G . These notions do not depend on a particular choice of such and so we omit it from the notation.

Definition 2.7 ($G\Omega$ -spectra) A G -symmetric spectrum X is called a $G\Omega$ -spectrum if for all subgroups H of G and all finite H -sets M and N , the composite

$$X(M) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_M^N} \Omega^N X(M \sqcup N) \rightarrow \Omega^N (X(M \sqcup N))^f$$

is an H -weak equivalence, where $X(M \sqcup N)^f$ is a fibrant replacement of $X(M \sqcup N)$ in the model structure on based H -simplicial sets.

Here, the map $\Omega^N X(M \sqcup N) \rightarrow \Omega^N(X(M \sqcup N)^f)$ is used to replace $\Omega^N X(M \sqcup N)$ by the derived loop space to make the property homotopically meaningful. When X is G –level fibrant, the above condition is equivalent to the adjoint structure map $\tilde{\alpha}_M^N$ itself being an H –weak equivalence.

As recalled in [Remark 2.4](#), a map $f\colon X \rightarrow Y$ of G –symmetric spectra is a *G –level equivalence* if for all subgroups $H \leq G$ and all finite H –sets M the evaluation $f(M)^H\colon X(M)^H \rightarrow Y(M)^H$ is a weak equivalence. We denote the localization of G –symmetric spectra at the G –level equivalences by $\gamma_G\colon G\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow G\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma[G\text{–level eq.}^{-1}]$.

Definition 2.8 (*G –stable equivalence*) A morphism $f\colon X \rightarrow Y$ of G –symmetric spectra is a *G –stable equivalence* if for all $G\Omega$ –spectra Z the map

$$G\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma[G\text{–level eq.}^{-1}](Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma_G(f)^*} G\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma[G\text{–level eq.}^{-1}](X, Z)$$

is a bijection.

Now we can define:

Definition 2.9 (*global equivalence*) A morphism $f\colon X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra is a *global equivalence* if the induced morphism on underlying G –symmetric spectra $f_G\colon X_G \rightarrow Y_G$ is a G –stable equivalence for all finite groups G .

Example 2.10 Every global level equivalence is a global equivalence, since it induces a G –level equivalence on underlying G –symmetric spectra for all finite groups G . In fact, every “eventual level equivalence” $f\colon X \rightarrow Y$ — in the sense that for every finite group G there exists a finite G –set M such that $f(M \sqcup N)^G\colon X(M \sqcup N)^G \rightarrow Y(M \sqcup N)^G$ is a weak equivalence for all finite G –sets N — is a global equivalence. This is easiest to see via [Proposition 4.5](#), since every eventual level equivalence induces an isomorphism on equivariant homotopy groups, which are discussed in [Section 4](#).

We make the definition of a global equivalence more concrete and consider the (underlying G –symmetric spectrum/ G –fixed points) adjunction

$$(-)_G\colon \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightleftarrows G\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma : (-)^G.$$

By definition, a map f of symmetric spectra is a global equivalence if and only if f_G is a G –stable equivalence for all G . Using the global level model structure on Sp^Σ and the G –flat level model structure on $G\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma$, the adjunction forms a Quillen pair (since

the underlying G -spectrum functor preserves all cofibrations and weak equivalences) and so it can be derived to an adjunction between the homotopy categories

$$\mathbb{L}(-)_G: \mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma}[\text{global level eq.}^{-1}] \rightleftarrows G\mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma}[G\text{-level eq.}^{-1}] : (-)^{\mathbb{R}G},$$

where the functor $(-)_G$ does not really need to be derived as it is homotopical. Using this adjunction and the definition of a G -stable equivalence we see:

Corollary 2.11 *A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra is a global equivalence if and only if for all finite groups G and all $G\Omega$ -spectra Z the map*

$$\mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma}[\text{global level eq.}^{-1}](Y, Z^{\mathbb{R}G}) \xrightarrow{\gamma(f)^*} \mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma}[\text{global level eq.}^{-1}](X, Z^{\mathbb{R}G})$$

is a bijection.

Here, $\gamma: \mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma}[\text{global level eq.}^{-1}]$ denotes the localization functor. This may still be unsatisfactory, because the definition is not intrinsic to symmetric spectra as it is not clear which symmetric spectra arise as the derived fixed points of $G\Omega$ -spectra. It turns out that these fixed points are again equivariant Ω -spectra, in the following global sense:

Definition 2.12 (global Ω -spectra) A symmetric spectrum X is called a *global Ω -spectrum* if for all finite groups G and all finite G -sets M and N of which M is faithful, the adjoint generalized structure map

$$X(M) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}_M^N} \Omega^N(X(M \sqcup N)) \rightarrow \Omega^N(X(M \sqcup N)^f)$$

is a G -weak equivalence.

Again, the fibrant replacement is there to guarantee that the loop space is derived. We note that every global Ω -spectrum is in particular a nonequivariant Ω -spectrum. In general, a global Ω -spectrum X is not quite a $G\Omega$ -spectrum on underlying G -symmetric spectra for nontrivial finite groups G , as there is no faithfulness condition in [Definition 2.7](#). However, every faithful finite G -set N gives rise to a $G\Omega$ -replacement $X_G \rightarrow \Omega^N(\mathrm{sh}^N(X_G))$ of X_G (up to eventual G -level equivalence), but $\Omega^N(\mathrm{sh}^N(X_G))$ has nontrivial exterior G -action and thus does not underlie a symmetric spectrum. It is usually not possible to replace a symmetric spectrum by a globally equivalent symmetric spectrum whose underlying G -symmetric spectra are $G\Omega$ -spectra for all finite groups G at once (the most prominent exception being the Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum $H\mathbb{Z}$ for the constant global functor \mathbb{Z} discussed in [\[19, Construction 5.3.8\]](#)).

As promised, we have:

Proposition 2.13 *The derived fixed points $Z^{\mathbb{R}G}$ of a $G\Omega$ –spectrum Z form a global Ω –spectrum.*

Proof As remarked above, we can use a G –flat fibrant replacement Z^f of Z to compute its right derived fixed points. We now recall from [6, Section 2.6] what it means for a G –symmetric spectrum to be G –flat fibrant. Given two groups G and K we let $\mathcal{F}^{G,K}$ denote the family of subgroups of $G \times K$ whose intersection with $\{e\} \times K$ is trivial. Every such subgroup is of the form $\{(h, \varphi(h)) \mid h \in H\}$ for a unique subgroup H of G and group homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow K$. Then the fact that Z^f is G –flat fibrant means that each level Z_n^f is $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ –fibrant and in addition cofree with respect to the family $\mathcal{F}^{G, \Sigma_n}$, ie the map $Z_n^f \rightarrow \text{map}(E\mathcal{F}_+^{G, \Sigma_n}, Z_n^f)$ is a $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ –weak equivalence, where $E\mathcal{F}^{G, \Sigma_n}$ is a universal space for $\mathcal{F}^{G, \Sigma_n}$ (see [6, Section 1.3 and Definition 2.18]).

We now show that $(Z^f)^G$ forms a global Ω –spectrum. Let K be a finite group and M and N be finite K –sets of which M faithful (and of cardinality m). We consider the evaluation $Z^f(M) = Z_m^f \wedge_{\Sigma_m} (\text{Bij}(\underline{m}, M)_+)$ and give it a $(G \times K)$ –action by letting G act through Z_m^f and K through M . Likewise, we obtain a $(G \times K)$ –action on $Z^f(M \sqcup N)$ and hence also on $\Omega^N(Z^f(M \sqcup N))$.

We claim the following:

- (i) The map $\tilde{\sigma}_M^N: Z^f(M) \rightarrow \Omega^N(Z^f(M \sqcup N))$ is an $\mathcal{F}^{G,K}$ –weak equivalence, ie it induces a weak equivalence on all fixed points for subgroups in the family $\mathcal{F}^{G,K}$.
- (ii) Both $Z^f(M)$ and $\Omega^N(Z^f(M \sqcup N))$ are $\mathcal{F}^{G,K}$ –cofree.

Together these imply that $\tilde{\sigma}_M^N: Z^f(M) \rightarrow \Omega^N(Z^f(M \sqcup N))$ is a $(G \times K)$ –weak equivalence, as every $\mathcal{F}^{G,K}$ –weak equivalence between $\mathcal{F}^{G,K}$ –cofree $(G \times K)$ –simplicial sets is a $(G \times K)$ –weak equivalence. In particular, the induced map on G –fixed points $(\tilde{\sigma}_M^N)^G: (Z^f)^G(M) \rightarrow \Omega^N((Z^f)^G(M \sqcup N))$ is a K –weak equivalence, which proves the proposition.

Hence, it remains to show the claims; we begin with the first one. We let H be a subgroup of G and $\varphi: H \rightarrow K$ a group homomorphism. Then the composite $H \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Sigma_M$ defines an H –action on M (and likewise on N), which we denote by $\varphi^*(M)$. Pulling back $Z^f(M)$ and $Z^f(M \sqcup N)$ along the graph of φ yields the H –simplicial sets $Z^f(\varphi^*(M))$ and $Z^f(\varphi^*(M \sqcup N))$. In other words, we have to check

whether the adjoint structure map $\tilde{\sigma}_m^n\colon Z^f(\varphi^*(M)) \rightarrow \Omega^{\varphi^*(N)}(Z^f(\varphi^*(M \sqcup N)))$ induces a weak equivalence on H –fixed points, but this is the case since Z^f is a $G\Omega$ –spectrum.

The second claim follows from the observation that when restricting $E\mathcal{F}^{G,\Sigma_m}$ along $\text{id} \times \psi$ for an injective group homomorphism $\psi\colon K \rightarrow \Sigma_m$ one obtains a model for $E\mathcal{F}^{G,K}$. This finishes the proof. □

It will be a consequence of [Theorem 2.17](#) that global Ω –spectra are precisely the local objects with respect to the class of global equivalences. In other words, one could alternatively characterize global equivalences as those morphisms that induce bijections on all morphism sets into global Ω –spectra in the global level homotopy category.

2.3 Stable model structure

In this section we introduce the global stable model structure on symmetric spectra. We begin by constructing a global Ω –spectrum replacement functor up to natural global equivalence.

For this we let G be a finite group, M and N two finite G –sets and define

$$\lambda_M^N\colon F_{M\sqcup N}^G(S^N) \rightarrow F_M^G(S^0)$$

to be adjoint to the embedding $S^N \hookrightarrow \Sigma(M, M \sqcup N)/G = (F_M^G(S^0))(M \sqcup N)$ associated to the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow M \sqcup N$ (see [Section 1.3](#) for the definition of $\Sigma(-, -)$ and global free symmetric spectra). Under the adjunction isomorphism, λ_M^N represents the adjoint generalized structure map on G –fixed points,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(F_M^G(S^0), X) &\cong X(M)^G \\ &\xrightarrow{(\tilde{\sigma}_M^N)^G} (\Omega^N X(M \sqcup N))^G \cong \text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(F_{M\sqcup N}^G(S^N), X). \end{aligned}$$

The morphisms λ_M^N are usually not cofibrations, so we factor them as

$$F_{M\sqcup N}^G(S^N) \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}_M^N} \text{Cyl}(\lambda_M^N) \xrightarrow{r_M^N} F_M^G(S^0)$$

via the levelwise mapping cylinder $\text{Cyl}(-)$. It is a formal consequence, as explained in the proof of [\[9, Lemma 3.4.10\]](#), that $\bar{\lambda}_M^N$ is a flat cofibration, since the global level model structure is simplicial. Finally, we define

$$J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}} = \{i \sqcap \bar{\lambda}_M^N \mid i \in I, G \text{ finite, } M \text{ and } N \text{ finite } G\text{–sets with } M \text{ faithful}\} \cup J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{lev}},$$

where I is a set of generating cofibrations of the Quillen model structure on based simplicial sets. The notation $f \sqcap g$ stands for the pushout product $(A \wedge Y) \cup_{A \wedge X} (B \wedge X) \rightarrow (B \wedge Y)$ of a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ of based simplicial sets with a morphism $g: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra. More precisely, we only include $i \sqcap \bar{\lambda}_M^N$ for a chosen system of representatives of isomorphism classes of triples (G, M, N) to ensure that $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$ is a set. Then we have:

Proposition 2.14 *For a symmetric spectrum X the following are equivalent:*

- X is a level fibrant global Ω –spectrum.
- X has the right lifting property with respect to the set $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$.

Proof We already know that X is global level fibrant if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$. By adjunction, X has the right lifting property with respect to $\{i \sqcap \bar{\lambda}_M^N\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if

$$\text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(\bar{\lambda}_M^N, X): \text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(\text{Cyl}(\lambda_M^N), X) \rightarrow \text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(F_{M \sqcup N}^G(S^N), X)$$

has the right lifting property with respect to the set I . Since the global level model structure is simplicial, this map is always a Kan fibration. Hence, it has the right lifting property with respect to I if and only if it is a weak homotopy equivalence. Since r_M^N is a homotopy equivalence of symmetric spectra, this in turn is equivalent to

$$\text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(F_M^G(S^0), X) \xrightarrow{\text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(\lambda_M^N, X)} \text{map}_{\text{Sp}^\Sigma}(F_{M \sqcup N}^G(S^N), X)$$

being a weak homotopy equivalence. As remarked above, this map can be identified with the G –fixed points of the adjoint generalized structure map $\tilde{\sigma}_M^N$ of X , which finishes the proof. □

Corollary 2.15 *If M is faithful, then λ_M^N is a global equivalence.*

Proof This follows from Propositions 2.13 and 2.14 and the fact that $F_{M \sqcup N}^G(S^N)$ and $F_M^G(S^0)$ are flat. □

Since the global level model structure is simplicial, it follows that every morphism in $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$ is a flat cofibration. Furthermore, all domains and codomains of morphisms in $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$ are small with respect to countably infinite sequences of flat cofibrations. So we can apply the small object argument (see [4, Section 7.12]) to obtain a functor $Q: \text{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Sp}^\Sigma$ with image in global Ω –spectra and a natural relative $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$ –cell complex $q: \text{id} \rightarrow Q$. Since every morphism in $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$ is a flat cofibration and global equivalence, it follows

from [6, Proposition 4.2] applied to each finite group separately that every relative $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$ -cell complex is a global equivalence. In particular, the morphisms $q_X: X \rightarrow QX$ are always global equivalences. This also implies that Q preserves global equivalences by 2-out-of-3. Before we use these properties to construct the global stable model structure we need one more lemma:

Lemma 2.16 *Every global equivalence between global Ω -spectra is a global level equivalence.*

Proof Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a global equivalence of global Ω -spectra. We have to show that each f_n is a Σ_n -weak equivalence. For this we again denote by \underline{n} the tautological Σ_n -set and consider the commutative diagram of Σ_n -symmetric spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\Sigma_n} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X_{\Sigma_n}}^{\underline{n}}} & \Omega^{\underline{n}}(\text{sh}^{\underline{n}} X_{\Sigma_n}) \\ f_{\Sigma_n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega^{\underline{n}}(\text{sh}^{\underline{n}} f_{\Sigma_n}) \\ Y_{\Sigma_n} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{Y_{\Sigma_n}}^{\underline{n}}} & \Omega^{\underline{n}}(\text{sh}^{\underline{n}} Y_{\Sigma_n}) \end{array}$$

Since X and Y are global Ω -spectra the horizontal arrows $\alpha_{X_{\Sigma_n}}^{\underline{n}}$ and $\alpha_{Y_{\Sigma_n}}^{\underline{n}}$ induce Σ_n -weak equivalences on all evaluations at faithful Σ_n -sets. In particular, using Example 2.10 we see that they are both Σ_n -stable equivalences and so $\Omega^{\underline{n}}(\text{sh}^{\underline{n}} f_{\Sigma_n})$ is also a Σ_n -stable equivalence. Furthermore, since \underline{n} is a faithful Σ_n -set, the Σ_n -symmetric spectra $\Omega^{\underline{n}}(\text{sh}^{\underline{n}} X_{\Sigma_n})$ and $\Omega^{\underline{n}}(\text{sh}^{\underline{n}} Y_{\Sigma_n})$ are $\Sigma_n \Omega$ -spectra. This implies that $\Omega^{\underline{n}}(\text{sh}^{\underline{n}} f_{\Sigma_n})$ is even a Σ_n -level equivalence by the Yoneda lemma. In particular, it induces a Σ_n -weak equivalence when evaluated on \underline{n} and hence so do f_{Σ_n} and f (again using that the horizontal arrows induce Σ_n -weak equivalences on all faithful evaluations). This finishes the proof. \square

Finally, a morphism of symmetric spectra is called a (positive) global fibration if it has the right lifting property with respect to all morphisms that are (positive) flat cofibrations and global equivalences. Then we have:

Theorem 2.17 (global model structures) *The global equivalences, (positive) global fibrations and (positive) flat cofibrations define a proper, cofibrantly generated and monoidal model structure on the category of symmetric spectra, called the (positive) global stable model structure.*

Moreover, the fibrant objects of the (positive) global stable model structure are precisely the (positive) global Ω -spectra.

Here, a symmetric spectrum is called a *positive global Ω -spectrum* if it satisfies the condition of [Definition 2.12](#) in all cases except possibly for $G = \{e\}$ and $M = \emptyset$.

Proof Both model structures are obtained via left Bousfield localization at the respective global level model structures. We apply [\[3, Theorem 9.3\]](#) with respect to the global Ω -spectrum replacement functor Q and the natural global equivalence $q: \text{id} \rightarrow Q$ just constructed. By [Lemma 2.16](#), a morphism between global Ω -spectra is a global equivalence if and only if it is a (positive) global level equivalence, so the global equivalences agree with the Q -equivalences in the sense of Bousfield's theorem.

It remains to check axioms (A1)–(A3) of [\[3, Section 9.2\]](#). Axiom (A1) requires that every (positive) global level equivalence be a global equivalence, which is [Example 2.10](#). For a symmetric spectrum X , the morphisms $q_{QX}, Qq_X: QX \rightarrow QQX$ are global equivalences between global Ω -spectra, and hence global level equivalences by [Lemma 2.16](#), implying axiom (A2). For (A3) we are given a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{k} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ W & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

where f is a (positive) global level fibration, h is a global equivalence and X and Y are (positive) global Ω -spectra. We have to show that g is also a global equivalence. This is even true without any hypothesis on X and Y , as follows by applying the dual version of [\[6, Proposition 4.2\]](#) for every finite group G .

Monoidality of the model structures is again implied by the respective monoidality of the G -flat model structures [\[6, Proposition 6.1\]](#). Finally, the statement about the fibrant objects is a consequence of the characterization of the fibrations in the localized model structure given in [\[3, Theorem 9.3\]](#) and the fact that X is a (positive) global Ω -spectrum if and only if the map $q_X: X \rightarrow QX$ is a (positive) global level equivalence. \square

The generating cofibrations are the same as for the respective level model structures. In the nonpositive case, the generating acyclic cofibrations are given by $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$; for the positive version one has to take out those maps that are not positive flat cofibrations (ie those involving a spectrum of the form $F_{\emptyset}^{\{e\}}(-)$). Finally, we note:

Remark 2.18 As written at the beginning of [Section 1](#), analogs of all results of this section also hold for symmetric spectra of topological spaces: There is a global

level model structure where the weak equivalences (fibrations) are the morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f_n^H: X_n^H \rightarrow Y_n^H$ is a weak homotopy-equivalence (resp. Serre fibration) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all subgroups H of Σ_n . The global stable model structure is obtained by left Bousfield localization at the global equivalences, which can be defined as in [Definition 2.9](#) or alternatively be characterized as those morphisms which become global equivalences after applying the singular complex functor. The geometric realization/singular complex adjunction gives a Quillen equivalence between the topological and the simplicial version of the model structures.

3 Multiplicative properties

We have seen in [Theorem 2.17](#) that the global model structure is monoidal, ie that it satisfies the pushout product and unit axioms. In this section we construct global model structures on categories of modules, algebras and commutative algebras by further checking that the monoid and strong commutative monoid axioms hold. In all cases, the properties follow directly from the respective ones for G -symmetric spectra, since the functor $(-)_G$ is strong symmetric monoidal and commutes with all limits and colimits.

3.1 Model structure on module and algebra categories

Given a model structure on symmetric spectra, a map of modules or algebras is called a weak equivalence or fibration if its underlying morphism of symmetric spectra is so. We say that the given model structure lifts to the category of modules or algebras if these two classes define a model structure.

Theorem 3.1 *For every symmetric ring spectrum R the positive and nonpositive global stable model structures lift to the category of R -modules. If R is commutative, these model structures are again monoidal.*

Theorem 3.2 *For every commutative symmetric ring spectrum R the positive and nonpositive global stable model structures lift to the category of R -algebras. Moreover, every cofibration of R -algebras whose source is cofibrant as an R -module is a cofibration of R -modules.*

Both theorems are obtained via the results of [\[20\]](#), which show that it suffices to prove that the monoid axiom (stated below) holds. The main ingredient is the following:

- Proposition 3.3** (flatness) (i) *Smashing with a flat symmetric spectrum preserves global equivalences.*
- (ii) *Smashing with an arbitrary symmetric spectrum preserves global equivalences between flat symmetric spectra.*

Proof This is a direct consequence of [6, Proposition 6.2]. □

For any symmetric spectrum Y we denote by $\{J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}} \wedge Y\}_{\text{cell}}$ the class of morphisms obtained via (transfinite) compositions and pushouts from morphisms of the form $j \wedge Y$, where j lies in $J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}}$.

Corollary 3.4 (monoid axiom) *Every morphism in $\{J_{\text{gl}}^{\text{st}} \wedge Y\}_{\text{cell}}$ is a global equivalence.*

Proof Again, this follows directly from the monoid axiom for the G –flat stable model structure on G –symmetric spectra [6, Proposition 6.4]. □

By [20, Theorem 4.1], this implies Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

3.2 Model structure on commutative algebra categories

The positive global model structure also lifts to the category of commutative symmetric ring spectra (or, more generally, commutative algebras over a commutative symmetric ring spectrum). We note that this is a very strong form of equivariant commutativity, which induces norm maps and power operations on equivariant homotopy groups. For this reason commutative symmetric (or orthogonal) ring spectra are called “ultra-commutative” in [19] when they are considered from the point of view of global homotopy.

Theorem 3.5 *For every commutative symmetric ring spectrum R the positive global model structure lifts to the category of commutative R –algebras.*

Moreover, the underlying R –module map of a positive flat cofibration of commutative R –algebras $X \rightarrow Y$ is a positive flat cofibration of R –modules if X is (not necessarily positive) flat as an R –module. In particular, the symmetric spectrum underlying a positive flat commutative symmetric ring spectrum is flat.

The part about positive flat cofibrations is merely a restating of Shipley’s result [21, Proposition 4.1], since the cofibrations in the positive flat nonequivariant and the positive global model structure on commutative algebras are the same.

In order to prove [Theorem 3.5](#) we make use of results of [\[23\]](#). For this we recall that given a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra, the n -fold pushout product $f^{\square n}$ is defined inductively via $f^{\square n} := f \square f^{\square(n-1)}$.

Proposition 3.6 (strong commutative monoid axiom) *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of symmetric spectra. Then:*

- (i) *If f is a (positive) flat cofibration, then $f^{\square n}/\Sigma_n$ is again a (positive) flat cofibration.*
- (ii) *If f is a positive flat cofibration and global equivalence, then so is $f^{\square n}/\Sigma_n$.*

Proof This follows immediately from [\[6, Proposition 6.22\]](#). □

Applying [\[23, Theorem 3.2\]](#) (and [\[21, Proposition 4.1\]](#) for the part on cofibrations), we obtain [Theorem 3.5](#).

4 Equivariant homotopy groups of symmetric spectra

In this section we study equivariant homotopy groups of symmetric spectra. We say that a countable G -set for a finite group G is a *complete G -set universe* if it allows an embedding of every finite G -set. Then for every symmetric spectrum X , every finite group G , every complete G -set universe \mathcal{U}_G and every integer n , we define an abelian group $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$. Any two complete G -set universes are isomorphic, which will imply that $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ only depends on the choice of \mathcal{U}_G up to natural isomorphism. However, unlike for orthogonal spectra this isomorphism of homotopy groups is not canonical: it is affected by the choice of isomorphism of G -set universes. Hence, for arbitrary symmetric spectra X it is misleading to simply write $\pi_n^G(X)$. This phenomenon also affects the functoriality of $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ in group homomorphisms, which we discuss in [Section 4.3](#).

All this is tied to the fact that equivariant homotopy groups of symmetric spectra are not homotopical, ie global equivalences generally do not induce isomorphisms on them. If one works with the derived versions (ie replacing $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ by $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(QX)$) these problems disappear and one obtains the same properties as for homotopy groups of orthogonal spectra. In [Section 4.6](#) we discuss criteria to detect for which symmetric spectra the “naive” equivariant homotopy groups are already derived.

4.1 Definition and global π_* -isomorphisms

Given a finite group G and a complete G -set universe \mathcal{U}_G , we denote by $s_G(\mathcal{U}_G)$ the poset of finite G -subsets of \mathcal{U}_G , partially ordered by inclusion.

Definition 4.1 Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer. Then the n^{th} G -equivariant homotopy group $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ of a symmetric spectrum of spaces X (with respect to \mathcal{U}_G) is defined as

$$\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) := \operatorname{colim}_{M \in s_G(\mathcal{U})} [S^{n \sqcup M}, X(M)]^G.$$

The connecting maps in the colimit system are given by the composites

$$\begin{aligned} [S^{n \sqcup M}, X(M)]^G &\xrightarrow{(-) \wedge S^{N-M}} [S^{n \sqcup M \sqcup (N-M)}, X(M) \wedge S^{N-M}]^G \\ &\xrightarrow{(\sigma_M^{N-M})_*} [S^{n \sqcup N}, X(N)]^G \end{aligned}$$

for every inclusion $M \subseteq N$. The last step implicitly uses the homeomorphism $X(M \sqcup (N-M)) \cong X(N)$ induced from the canonical isomorphism $M \sqcup (N-M) \cong N$.

To clarify what this exactly means for negative n we choose an isometric G -embedding $i: \mathbb{R}^\infty \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{U}_G})^G$ and only index the colimit system over those G -sets M in $s_G(\mathcal{U})$ for which \mathbb{R}^M contains $i(\mathbb{R}^{-n})$. In this case the corresponding term is given by $[S^{M-i(\mathbb{R}^{-n})}, X(M)]^G$, the expression $M-i(\mathbb{R}^{-n})$ denoting the orthogonal complement of $i(\mathbb{R}^{-n})$ in \mathbb{R}^M . Since the space of embeddings $\mathbb{R}^\infty \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{U}_G})^G$ is contractible, the definition only depends on this choice up to *canonical* isomorphism and so we leave it out of the notation. As long as $S^{n \sqcup M}$ has at least two trivial coordinates, the set $[S^{n \sqcup M}, X(M)]^G$ carries a natural abelian group structure and hence so does $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$.

For a symmetric spectrum of simplicial sets we put $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) := \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(|X|)$.

Definition 4.2 A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra is called a *global π_* -isomorphism* if for all finite groups G , all integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every complete G -set universe \mathcal{U}_G , the induced map $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(f): \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \rightarrow \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(Y)$ is an isomorphism.

In fact it suffices to require an isomorphism for a single choice of complete G -set universe \mathcal{U}_G for each finite group G , since any two are noncanonically isomorphic.

Remark 4.3 The definition of $\pi_*^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ agrees with that of $\pi_*^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X_G)$ in Section 3 of [6]. Hence, a morphism of symmetric spectra is a global π_* -isomorphism if and only if it is a $\pi_*^{\mathcal{U}_G}$ -isomorphism on underlying G -symmetric spectra for every finite group G .

The following is immediate from the definition:

Example 4.4 Every global level equivalence is a global π_* -isomorphism.

Every global level equivalence is also a global equivalence, as we remarked in [Example 2.10](#). It is not obvious from the definition that this is true for arbitrary global π_* -isomorphisms, but it follows by applying [\[6, Theorem 3.36\]](#) for each finite group G :

Proposition 4.5 Every global π_* -isomorphism is a global equivalence.

4.2 Properties

We now collect some properties of equivariant homotopy groups and global π_* -isomorphisms, all implied by their respective versions for G -symmetric spectra. For this we let $C(f)$ denote the levelwise mapping cone of a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra, $i(f): Y \rightarrow C(f)$ the inclusion into the cone and $q(f): C(f) \rightarrow S^1 \wedge X$ its cofiber. Dually, we let $H(f)$ stand for the levelwise homotopy fiber, $p(f): H(f) \rightarrow X$ the projection and $j(f): \Omega(Y) \rightarrow H(f)$ its fiber.

Proposition 4.6 Let G be a finite group and \mathcal{U}_G a complete G -set universe. Then the following hold:

- (i) For every symmetric spectrum of spaces X the unit $X \rightarrow \Omega(S^1 \wedge X)$ and the counit $S^1 \wedge (\Omega X) \rightarrow X$ are global π_* -isomorphisms. In particular, there are natural isomorphisms

$$\pi_{n+1}^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(S^1 \wedge X) \cong \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \cong \pi_{n-1}^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(\Omega X).$$

- (ii) For every morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra of spaces the sequences
- $$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(Y) \xrightarrow{i(f)_*} \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(C(f)) \xrightarrow{q(f)_*} \pi_{n-1}^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \rightarrow \cdots$$
- and

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(Y) \xrightarrow{j(f)_*} \pi_{n+1}^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(H(f)) \xrightarrow{p(f)_*} \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(Y) \rightarrow \cdots$$

are exact. Furthermore, the natural morphism $S^1 \wedge H(f) \rightarrow C(f)$ is a global π_* -isomorphism.

- (iii) For every family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ of symmetric spectra, the canonical map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} (\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X_i)) \rightarrow \pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(\bigvee_{i \in I} X_i)$$

is an isomorphism of abelian groups. If I is finite, the natural morphism $\bigvee_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphism.

(iv) Smashing with a flat symmetric spectrum preserves global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphisms.

In the second item we have implicitly used the isomorphisms of item (i) to obtain the boundary maps.

Proof These are Propositions 3.6 and 6.2 in [6]. □

This proposition also has a simplicial analog, for which in item (i) and the second long exact sequence in (ii) the constructions Ω and $H(-)$ need to be replaced by their derived versions.

4.3 Functoriality

An important feature of global homotopy theory of orthogonal spectra is that their equivariant homotopy groups enjoy a rich functoriality in the group, they form a so-called *global functor*. In short, every group homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow K$ induces a restriction map $\varphi^*: \pi_*^K(X) \rightarrow \pi_*^G(X)$ (depending only on its conjugacy class) and for every subgroup $H \leq G$, there is a transfer homomorphism $\mathrm{tr}_H^G: \pi_*^H(X) \rightarrow \pi_*^G(X)$. Moreover, restrictions and transfers are related by a double coset formula.

While the transfer homomorphism works similarly for symmetric spectra, a complication arises when one tries to construct restriction maps. To explain this, we let X be a symmetric spectrum, $\varphi: G \rightarrow K$ a homomorphism of finite groups and $x \in \pi_0^{K, \mathcal{U}_K}(X)$ an element represented by a K -map $f: S^M \rightarrow X(M)$ for a finite K -subset M of \mathcal{U}_K . Restricting all the actions along φ and making use of the equalities $\varphi^*(S^M) = S^{\varphi^*(M)}$ and $\varphi^*(X(M)) = X(\varphi^*(M))$, we can think of f as a G -map $S^{\varphi^*(M)} \rightarrow X(\varphi^*(M))$. In order for this to represent an element $\varphi^*(x)$ in $\pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ we have to choose an embedding of $\varphi^*(M)$ into \mathcal{U}_G , but such an embedding is not canonical and—unlike for orthogonal spectra—the outcome is in general affected by the choice one makes. One might try to get around this by using the restricted universe $\varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K)$ instead of \mathcal{U}_G , but this only works if φ is injective because otherwise $\varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_G)$ is not complete.

This issue can be resolved by carrying an embedding $\varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$ around as an additional datum with respect to which one forms the restriction, as we now explain.

4.4 Restriction maps

Let $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$ denote the category of pairs (G, \mathcal{U}_G) of a finite group G together with a complete G -set universe \mathcal{U}_G , in which a morphism (φ, α) from (G, \mathcal{U}_G) to (K, \mathcal{U}_K) is a group homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow K$ and a G -equivariant embedding $\alpha: \varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$.

Now we let X be a symmetric spectrum and $(\varphi: G \rightarrow K, \alpha: \varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G)$ a morphism in $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$. Further, let x be an element of $\pi_0^{K, \mathcal{U}_K}(X)$ represented by a K -map $f: S^M \rightarrow X(M)$ with $M \subseteq \mathcal{U}_K$. Then we define $(\varphi, \alpha)^*(x) \in \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ as the class of the composite

$$S^{\alpha(M)} \xrightarrow{S^{(\alpha|_M)^{-1}}} S^M \xrightarrow{f} X(M) \xrightarrow{X(\alpha|_M)} X(\alpha(M)).$$

This class does not depend on the chosen representative f and hence we obtain a restriction map

$$(\varphi, \alpha)^*: \pi_0^{K, \mathcal{U}_K}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X).$$

The following is straightforward:

Proposition 4.7 *For every symmetric spectrum X the assignment*

$$(G, \mathcal{U}_G) \mapsto \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X),$$

$$(G \xrightarrow{\varphi} K, \varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_G) \mapsto ((\varphi, \alpha)^*: \pi_0^{K, \mathcal{U}_K}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)),$$

defines a contravariant functor $\underline{\pi}_0(X)$ from $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$ to abelian groups.

Using the suspension isomorphisms $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \cong \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(\Omega^n(X))$ for $n \geq 0$ as well as $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \cong \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(S^{-n} \wedge X)$ for $n < 0$, we obtain natural $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{op}}$ -functors $\underline{\pi}_n(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We note the following special cases of operations obtained this way:

(i) Every subgroup inclusion $i_H^G: H \leq G$ gives rise to a restriction homomorphism

$$(i_H^G)^*: \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{H, (i_H^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)}(X).$$

by applying the above construction to the morphism $(i_H^G, \text{id}): (H, (i_H^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)) \rightarrow (G, \mathcal{U}_G)$ in $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$.

(ii) Every surjective group homomorphism $\varphi: G \twoheadrightarrow K$ gives rise to a restriction homomorphism

$$(\varphi, (- \circ \varphi))^*: \pi_0^{K, \mathbb{N}^K}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{G, \mathbb{N}^G}(X),$$

where \mathbb{N}^G denotes the complete G –set universe of functions from G to the natural numbers (and likewise for K) and $(- \circ \varphi)$ denotes the induced injective map by precomposing with φ .

(iii) Every pair of a subgroup $i_H^G: H \leq G$ and an element $g \in G$ induces a conjugation homomorphism

$$c_g^*: \pi_0^{H, (i_H^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{gHg^{-1}, (i_{gHg^{-1}}^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)}(X)$$

by applying the above construction to the morphism

$$(g^{-1}(-)g, g \cdot -): (gHg^{-1}, (i_{gHg^{-1}}^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)) \rightarrow (H, (i_H^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)).$$

(iv) Every injective G –equivariant self-map $\alpha: \mathcal{U}_G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$ gives rise to an endomorphism

$$\alpha \cdot -: \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$$

via $(\text{id}, \alpha)^*$. This defines an additive natural left action of the monoid $\text{Inj}_G(\mathcal{U}_G, \mathcal{U}_G)$ on $\pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$.

Any morphism in $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$ can be written as a composite of those of type (i), (ii) and (iv). The first three should be seen as genuine global equivariant operations which survive to the global homotopy category, whereas nontriviality of the $\text{Inj}_G(\mathcal{U}_G, \mathcal{U}_G)$ –action implies that the morphism $X \rightarrow QX$ is not a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ –isomorphism and hence the $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ are not derived (see [Proposition 4.13](#)). In the nonequivariant case $(\{e\}, \mathbb{N})$, this action was examined in [\[17\]](#), the equivariant version (G, \mathcal{U}_G) in [\[6\]](#).

We also included the conjugation maps above because they allow a cleaner description of the double coset formula in [Proposition 4.10](#). They have the following property:

Lemma 4.8 *All inner conjugations c_g^* act as the identity on $\pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$.*

Proof Let $x \in \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ be an arbitrary element, represented by a G –map $f: S^M \rightarrow X(M)$ for some finite $M \subseteq \mathcal{U}_G$. Then, by definition, $c_g^*(x)$ is the class represented by the composite

$$S^{\varphi^*(M)} \xrightarrow{g^{-1} \cdot -} S^M \xrightarrow{f} X(M) \xrightarrow{X(g \cdot -)} X(M).$$

The map $X(g \cdot -): X(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ is equal to multiplication by g . So, since f is G –equivariant, this composite equals f and hence $c_g^*(x) = c_g^*([f]) = [f] = x$, which proves the claim. □

Remark 4.9 The category $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$ comes with a forgetful functor to the category Fin of finite groups. The functor is surjective on objects and morphisms, but it does *not* have a section. In fact, for any nontrivial finite group G , there do not exist two lifts of the homomorphisms $i: \{e\} \rightarrow G$ and $p: G \rightarrow \{e\}$ such that their composite is the identity. This is because the second component of any preimage $(p: G \rightarrow \{e\}, p^*(\mathcal{U}_{\{e\}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G)$ is never surjective, since the G –set universe $p^*(\mathcal{U}_{\{e\}})$ is trivial. Hence, the second component of the composite is also not surjective, in particular not the identity. There are symmetric spectra X for which $(\text{id}_{\{e\}}, \alpha: \mathcal{U}_{\{e\}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\{e\}})$ does not act surjectively on $\pi_0^{\{e\}, \mathcal{U}_{\{e\}}}(X)$ for every α which is not surjective (this is the case in [Section 4.7](#)); hence, this shows that there is in general no way to turn the $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{op}}$ –functor $\underline{\pi}_0(X)$ into a Fin^{op} –functor.

4.5 Transfer maps

The assignment $(G, \mathcal{U}_G) \mapsto \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ has more structure than that of a $\text{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{op}}$ –functor: it also allows *transfer maps* of the form

$$\text{tr}_H^G: \pi_0^{H, (i_H^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$$

for a subgroup H of G and the restricted (complete) H –set universe $(i_H^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)$. The construction and properties of these transfer maps are similar to those for orthogonal spectra, so we will be brief (see [\[19, Constructions 3.2.7 and 3.2.22\]](#)).

Transfer maps are based on the following construction: Let $M \subseteq \mathcal{U}_G$ be a G –subset which contains a copy of G/H . By thickening up the embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ we obtain another G –embedding $G \ltimes_H D(\mathbb{R}^M) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$, where $D(-)$ denotes the closed unit disc. Collapsing everything outside the image of the interior of $G \ltimes_H D(\mathbb{R}^M)$ to a point yields a map $p_H^G: S^M \rightarrow G \ltimes_H S^M$, the “Thom–Pontryagin collapse map”.

Now let X be a symmetric spectrum of spaces and $x \in \pi_0^{H, i^*(\mathcal{U}_G)}(X)$ an element represented by an H –map $f: S^M \rightarrow X(M)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that M is in fact a G –subset of \mathcal{U}_G and allows a G –embedding of G/H . Then the transfer $\text{tr}_H^G(x) \in \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ is defined as the class of the composite

$$S^M \xrightarrow{p_H^G} G \ltimes_H S^M \xrightarrow{G \ltimes_H f} G \ltimes_H X(M) \xrightarrow{\mu} X(M),$$

where μ is the action map (which uses that $X(M)$ is a G –space).

Proposition 4.10 *The transfer maps tr_H^G do not depend on the choice of embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$. They are additive and functorial in subgroup inclusions. Furthermore, they are related to the restriction maps by the following formulas:*

- (i) *For every morphism $(\varphi: G \twoheadrightarrow K, \alpha: \varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G)$ in $\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$ with surjective φ and every subgroup $i: L \leq K$, the relation*

$$(\varphi, \alpha)^* \circ \mathrm{tr}_L^K = \mathrm{tr}_{\varphi^{-1}(L)}^G \circ (\varphi|_{\varphi^{-1}(L)}: \varphi^{-1}(L) \rightarrow L, \alpha)^*$$

holds as maps $\pi_0^{L, (i_L^K)^(\mathcal{U}_K)}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$.*

- (ii) *For every pair of subgroups $H, J \leq G$ the double coset formula*

$$(i_J^G)^* \circ \mathrm{tr}_H^G = \sum_{[g] \in J \backslash G / H} \mathrm{tr}_{J \cap g H g^{-1}}^J \circ c_g^* \circ (i_{g^{-1} J g \cap H}^H)^*$$

holds.

Proof See [19, Proposition 3.2.32, Theorem 3.4.9 and Example 3.4.11] for orthogonal spectra. □

Since every morphism (φ, α) in $\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$ can be written as the composite of a morphism of type (i) and a subgroup inclusion as in (ii), these two can be combined to give a general formula describing the interaction between restrictions and transfers. Again, the definition of the transfer maps is extended to $\underline{\pi}_n(X)$ via the suspension isomorphisms.

4.6 Semistability

In these terms, a *Fin*–*global functor* in the sense of [19] (or, equivalently, an *inflation functor* in the sense of [22]) can be described as a $\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{op}}$ –functor with transfers satisfying the relations of Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.10 and for which the $\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{op}}$ –part factors through $\mathrm{Fin}^{\mathrm{op}}$, ie for which the action of an element (φ, α) does not depend on the α (see [19, Theorem 4.2.6ff]). This leads to the following definition:

Definition 4.11 (global semistability) A symmetric spectrum X is called *globally semistable* if the $\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{op}}$ –functor $\underline{\pi}_n(X)$ factors through a $\mathrm{Fin}^{\mathrm{op}}$ –functor for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then the previous discussion implies:

Proposition 4.12 *If X is globally semistable, the homotopy groups $\pi_*^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ only depend on \mathcal{U}_G up to canonical isomorphism (hence they can be denoted by $\pi_*^G(X)$) and the collection $\underline{\pi}_*(X) = \{\pi_*^G(X)\}_{G \text{ finite}}$ naturally forms a *Fin*–*global functor*.*

The class of globally semistable symmetric spectra includes a lot of examples and is closed under many operations, as the following proposition shows. For (i) we recall from [6, Definition 3.22] (and the remark preceding it) that a G -symmetric spectrum X is called G -semistable if the $\text{Inj}_H(\mathcal{U}_H, \mathcal{U}_H)$ -action on $\pi_n^{H, \mathcal{U}_H}(X)$ is trivial for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all subgroups $H \leq G$.

Proposition 4.13 *The following hold:*

- (i) *A symmetric spectrum is globally semistable if and only if the underlying G -symmetric spectrum is G -semistable for every finite group G .*
- (ii) *Global Ω -spectra are globally semistable.*
- (iii) *Every symmetric spectrum underlying an orthogonal spectrum is globally semistable.*
- (iv) *Every symmetric spectrum X for which every homotopy group $\pi_n^{G, \mathcal{U}_G}(X)$ is a finitely generated abelian group is globally semistable.*
- (v) *A symmetric spectrum is globally semistable if and only if the morphism $q_X: X \rightarrow QX$ is a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphism, in other words if and only if the map from the naive to the derived equivariant homotopy groups is an isomorphism.*
- (vi) *A morphism between globally semistable symmetric spectra is a global equivalence if and only if it is a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphism.*

Proof For (i), the “only if” part is clear. The other direction follows from the fact that given a group homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow K$ and G -embeddings $\alpha_1, \alpha_2: \varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$, there exist $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \text{Inj}_G(\mathcal{U}_G, \mathcal{U}_G)$ such that $\beta_1 \circ \alpha_1 = \beta_2 \circ \alpha_2$.

Using (i), items (iv) and (vi) follow from [6, Corollaries 3.24 and 3.37]. Moreover, every global Ω -spectrum can be replaced by a $G\Omega$ -spectrum up to eventual level equivalence (as explained after Definition 2.12), in particular up to $\underline{\pi}_*^{\mathcal{U}_G}$ -isomorphism. Hence, Lemma 3.23 of [6] implies (ii). If $q_X: X \rightarrow QX$ is a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphism, then X is globally semistable, since we just argued that QX is globally semistable. If in turn X is assumed to be globally semistable, we know that the global equivalence $q_X: X \rightarrow QX$ must be a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphism by (vi). This gives (v) and also (iii), since every orthogonal spectrum allows a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphism to a global Ω -spectrum (see [19]), so we are done. \square

4.7 Example

We close this section with an example of a symmetric spectrum which is not globally semistable, the free symmetric spectrum $F_1^{\{e\}}S^1$. There is a natural G –isomorphism $(F_1^{\{e\}}S^1)(M) \cong M_+ \wedge S^M$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_0^{G,\mathcal{U}_G}(F_1^{\{e\}}S^1) &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{M \subseteq \mathcal{U}_G} [S^M, M_+ \wedge S^M]^G \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{M \subseteq \mathcal{U}_G} [S^M, (\mathcal{U}_G)_+ \wedge S^M]^G \\ &\cong \pi_0^{G,\mathcal{U}_G}(\Sigma_+^\infty(\mathcal{U}_G)),\end{aligned}$$

with G acting on \mathcal{U}_G . The tom Dieck splitting shows that this is a free abelian group with basis $\{\operatorname{tr}_H^G(x)\}$, where (H, x) runs through representatives of G –conjugacy classes of pairs of a subgroup H of G and an H –fixed point x of $(i_H^G)^*(\mathcal{U}_G)$.

Focusing on those basis elements that are not a transfer from a proper subgroup, we see:

Corollary 4.14 *The $\operatorname{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\operatorname{op}}$ –functor $\pi_0(F_1^{\{e\}}(S^1))$ contains the subfunctor*

$$\begin{aligned}(G, \mathcal{U}_G) &\mapsto \mathbb{Z}[(\mathcal{U}_G)^G], \\ (\varphi\colon G \rightarrow K, \alpha\colon \varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G) &\longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}[(\mathcal{U}_K)^K] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[(\varphi^*(\mathcal{U}_K))^G] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]} \mathbb{Z}[(\mathcal{U}_G)^G]).\end{aligned}$$

This determines the whole $\operatorname{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\operatorname{op}}$ –functor structure on $\pi_0(F_1^{\{e\}}S^1)$ via [Proposition 4.10](#). The action of a morphism (φ, α) in $\operatorname{Fin}_{\mathcal{U}}$ very much depends on the α and hence $F_1^{\{e\}}(S^1)$ is not globally semistable.

5 Comparison to orthogonal spectra

In this section we show that global homotopy theory of symmetric spectra is equivalent to Fin –global homotopy theory of orthogonal spectra in the sense of [\[19\]](#). For this we quickly recall the relevant definitions in the orthogonal context.

Definition 5.1 (orthogonal spectra) An orthogonal spectrum is a collection of based $O(n)$ –spaces $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with structure maps $X_n \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ whose iterates $X_n \wedge S^m \rightarrow X_{n+m}$ are $(O(n) \times O(m))$ –equivariant.

An orthogonal spectrum X can be evaluated on G –representations V via the formula $X_n \wedge_{O(\dim(V))} \operatorname{L}(\mathbb{R}^{\dim(V)}, V)_+$, with G –acting through V (where $\operatorname{L}(\mathbb{R}^{\dim(V)}, V)$ denotes the space of linear isometries). Again, these are connected by G –equivariant generalized structure maps of the form $X(V) \wedge S^W \rightarrow X(V \oplus W)$.

Every orthogonal spectrum X has an underlying symmetric spectrum of spaces $U(X)$ by restricting the $O(n)$ -action on X_n to a Σ_n -action along the embedding as permutation matrices. The resulting restriction functor $U: \mathrm{Sp}^O \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma$ has a left adjoint L , formally obtained via a left Kan extension (see [13, Sections I.3 and III.23] for details). Note that, since the “underlying G -spectrum” functors $(-)_G$ both for symmetric and orthogonal spectra are given on the point-set level by equipping a spectrum with trivial action, it follows that they commute with U and L .

Example 5.2 For a finite G -set M there is a natural G -homeomorphism

$$U(X)(M) \cong X(\mathbb{R}^M)$$

induced by linearizing a bijection $m \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ to a linear isometry $\mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}^M$.

The linearization $\mathbb{R}^{(\mathcal{U}_G)}$ of a complete G -set universe \mathcal{U}_G is a complete G -representation universe. Moreover, the poset of G -subrepresentations of the form \mathbb{R}^M is cofinal inside the poset of all finite-dimensional G -subrepresentations of $\mathbb{R}^{(\mathcal{U}_G)}$. As a consequence, the equivariant homotopy groups of an orthogonal spectrum as defined in [19, Section 3.1] are isomorphic to those of the underlying symmetric spectrum defined in Section 4. Combining this with Proposition 4.13 we see that for a morphism of orthogonal spectra $f: X \rightarrow Y$ the following are equivalent:

- f is a Fin -equivalence in the sense of [19, Definition 4.3.14].
- $U(f)$ is a global $\underline{\pi}_*$ -isomorphism of symmetric spectra.
- $U(f)$ is a global equivalence of symmetric spectra.

Around this notion of equivalence, Schwede defines the Fin -global model structure on orthogonal spectra [19, Theorem 4.3.17]. We have:

Theorem 5.3 *The adjunction*

$$L: \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sp}^O : U$$

is a Quillen equivalence for the global model structure on symmetric spectra of spaces and the Fin -global model structure on orthogonal spectra.

Proof The Fin -cofibrations of orthogonal spectra are given by those morphisms which have the left lifting property with respect to all morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(V)^G: X(V)^G \rightarrow Y(V)^G$ is an acyclic Serre fibration for all inner product spaces V and finite subgroups G of $O(V)$. Using the G -homeomorphism on evaluations of Example 5.2, we see that the underlying morphism of symmetric spectra of any such f

is an acyclic fibration in the global level model structure of [Section 2](#). Hence, by adjunction, L takes flat cofibrations to Fin -cofibrations. Applying [\[6, Theorem 7.5\]](#) for every finite group G we see that L furthermore sends flat cofibrations which are also global equivalences to Fin -equivalences; hence, L becomes a left Quillen functor for the stable model structures and thus (L, U) a Quillen pair.

Hence, it remains to show that the adjunction induces an equivalence between the homotopy categories. Since U preserves and reflects weak equivalences, it suffices to show that for every flat symmetric spectrum X the morphism $X \rightarrow U(L(X))$ is a global equivalence. But, since the underlying G -symmetric spectrum X_G of a flat symmetric spectrum X is G -flat, this follows from [\[6, Theorem 7.5\]](#). \square

6 Examples

Every orthogonal spectrum can be restricted to a symmetric spectrum, so all examples in [\[19\]](#) also give examples for symmetric spectra and their global behavior. In this section we list some constructions of symmetric spectra (from the point of view of global homotopy theory) that do not arise from orthogonal spectra.

6.1 Suspension spectra of I -spaces

There is an unstable analog of symmetric spectra, called I -spaces. Again, these were previously considered as a model for unstable nonequivariant homotopy theory (see for example [\[14; 15; 12\]](#)). They come with a Day convolution product, the commutative monoids over which model E_∞ -spaces.

The category of I -spaces can also be used as a model for unstable global homotopy theory. We quickly describe this point of view without giving proofs. The resulting homotopy theory is equivalent to the category of orthogonal spaces with the Fin -global model structure of [\[19, Theorem 1.4.8\]](#).

Let I denote the category of finite sets and injective maps.

Definition 6.1 An I -space is a functor from I to the category of simplicial sets.

Let A be an I -space. By functoriality, if a finite set M comes equipped with an action of a finite group G , the evaluation $A(M)$ becomes a G -space. Every injection of G -sets $M \hookrightarrow N$ induces a G -equivariant map $A(M) \rightarrow A(N)$. Analogously to the stable case, one can show that there is a level model structure on I -spaces,

where the weak equivalences and fibrations are those morphisms that become G -weak equivalences and G -fibrations on $-(M)$ for all finite groups G and finite G -sets M , respectively.

An I -space A is called *static* if for every injection $M \hookrightarrow N$ of faithful finite G -sets the induced map $A(M)^G \rightarrow A(N)^G$ is a weak equivalence. A morphism of I -spaces is a *global equivalence* if it induces bijections on all hom-sets into static I -spaces in the level homotopy category. Together with the level cofibrations, these form the global model structure for I -spaces.

For a static I -space A , the evaluation $A(M)$ at a faithful finite G -set M should be thought of as the G -space underlying A . By the definition of static, its G -homotopy type does not depend on the choice of M . The G -space underlying an arbitrary I -space A is not as easy to describe directly, but it can be defined by first replacing by a globally equivalent static I -space QA and then taking the underlying G -space of QA . In this sense a global equivalence can be interpreted as a morphism that induces equivalences on all underlying G -spaces.

Every I -space A gives rise to a suspension symmetric spectrum of spaces $\Sigma_+^\infty A$. Its n^{th} level is given by $A(\underline{n})_+ \wedge S^n$ with diagonal Σ_n -action, the structure map

$$(A(\underline{n})_+ \wedge S^n) \wedge S^1 \rightarrow A(\underline{n+1})_+ \wedge S^{n+1}$$

is the smash product of the induced map $A(\underline{n} \hookrightarrow \underline{n+1})$ with the associativity isomorphism $S^n \wedge S^1 \cong S^{n+1}$. This construction is left adjoint to Ω^∞ : $\text{Sp}_I^\Sigma \rightarrow I$ -spaces defined by $(\Omega^\infty(X))(M) := \Omega^M X(M)$. Since Ω^∞ turns level fibrant global Ω -spectra into static I -spaces, it is not hard to see that the adjunction $(\Sigma_+^\infty, \Omega^\infty)$ becomes a Quillen pair for the respective global model structures.

Let A be a cofibrant static I -space. One can show that the G -homotopy type of the underlying G -symmetric spectrum $(\Sigma_+^\infty A)_G$ is that of the suspension spectrum of the underlying G -space of A in the sense described above. Hence, suspension spectra of I -spaces assemble various equivariant suspension spectra into one global object.

Remark 6.2 In all of the above one can alternatively consider functors from I to the category of topological spaces. Then the analogous statements hold.

Example 6.3 (global classifying spaces) Let G be a finite group and M a finite G -set. This data gives rise to an I -space $I(M, -)/G$ whose evaluation on a finite set N is the set of injective maps from M to N , modulo the G -action by precomposition.

Giving a morphism from $I(M, -)/G$ to an I –space A is equivalent to picking a G –fixed point in the evaluation $A(M)$. So — by definition of the notion of global equivalence — the global homotopy type of $I(M, -)/G$ is the same for all *faithful* G –sets M . The I –spaces $I(M, -)/G$ for faithful M are called *global classifying spaces of G* . Given another finite group K , the K –space underlying $I(M, -)/G$ is a classifying space for principal G –bundles in K –spaces; see [19, Proposition 1.1.26].

Ranging through all finite groups G , the suspension spectra of global classifying spaces of finite groups (which are isomorphic to global free spectra of the form $F_M^G S^M$) form a set of compact generators of the triangulated \mathbf{Fin} –global stable homotopy category.

6.2 Ultracommutative localizations

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ be a subring, $M(A, 1)$ a Moore space for A in degree 1 and $i: S^1 \rightarrow M(A, 1)$ a map inducing the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow A$ on first homology. We define a symmetric spectrum MA via $MA_n = M(A, 1)^{\wedge n}$ with permutation Σ_n –action and structure map

$$M(A, 1)^{\wedge n} \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge i} M(A, 1)^{\wedge (n+1)}.$$

The associativity homeomorphisms $M(A, 1)^{\wedge n} \wedge M(A, 1)^{\wedge m} \cong M(A, 1)^{\wedge (n+m)}$ together with the equality $S^0 = M(A, 1)^{\wedge 0}$ give MA the structure of an ultracommutative symmetric ring spectrum.

To determine the global homotopy type of MA we note that the map $M(A, 1) \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge i} M(A, 1)^{\wedge 2}$ is a weak equivalence of spaces, since $A \otimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is an isomorphism. So, given a subgroup $H \leq \Sigma_n$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} M(A, 1) \wedge (S^n)^H &\cong M(A, 1) \wedge S^{\wedge (n/H)} \\ &\xrightarrow{(\text{id} \wedge i^{\wedge (n/H)})} M(A, 1) \wedge M(A, 1)^{\wedge (n/H)} \cong M(A, 1) \wedge (M(A, 1)^{\wedge n})^H \end{aligned}$$

is also a weak equivalence. In other words, the morphism $\Sigma^\infty(M(A, 1)) \rightarrow \text{sh } MA$ adjoint to the identity of $M(A, 1)$ is a global level equivalence. The same argument also shows that $\alpha_{MA}: S^1 \wedge MA \rightarrow \text{sh } MA$ is a positive global level equivalence. So we find that MA is globally equivalent to a desuspension of the suspension spectrum of $M(A, 1)$ and hence its global homotopy type is that of the homotopy colimit of the sequence

$$\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\cdot n_1} \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\cdot n_2} \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\cdot n_3} \dots,$$

where the n_i range through the elements of \mathbb{Z} that become inverted in A . Thus, the (derived) smash product $-\wedge MA$ computes the A -localization in the global homotopy category. On equivariant homotopy groups it has the effect of tensoring with A .

In particular, the ultracommutative structure on MA can be used to see that arithmetic localizations of ultracommutative symmetric ring spectra are again ultracommutative symmetric ring spectra, which is not a priori clear and does not hold in general for equivariant localizations (see [8], in particular Section 4.1).

Remark 6.4 The construction of MA above works more generally for any based space X together with a based map $S^1 \rightarrow X$. This gives a functor from the category of based spaces under S^1 to ultracommutative ring spectra, which is left adjoint to sending an ultracommutative ring spectrum Z to the unit map $S^1 \rightarrow Z_1$. The latter is a right Quillen functor for the positive global model structure and the usual Quillen model structure on spaces under S^1 , turning the adjunction into a Quillen pair. In fact, the adjunction is already a Quillen pair if one uses the nonequivariant positive projective model structure on commutative symmetric ring spectra (as constructed in [13, Theorem 15.1]). This implies that the ultracommutative ring spectra that arise through this construction are multiplicatively left-induced from nonequivariant commutative ring spectra in the sense of [appendix](#).

6.3 Global algebraic K -theory

In [16] Schwede introduces a symmetric spectrum model for global (projective or free) algebraic K -theory of a ring R . Below we summarize the free version. In fact we give a slight variation of that of [16], as we explain in [Remark 6.5](#).

Let R be a discrete ring. Each level is the realization of a bisimplicial set $kR(M)_{n,m}$, which we now explain. A $(0, m)$ -simplex of $kR(M)$ is represented by a finite unordered labeled configuration $(W_1, \dots, W_k; x_1, \dots, x_k)$ of the following kind:

- The x_i are m -simplices of S^M .
- The W_i are finitely generated free submodules of the polynomial ring $R[M]$ with variable set M such that their sum is direct and the inclusion $W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k \hookrightarrow R[M]$ allows an R -linear splitting.

These configurations are considered up to the equivalence relation that a labeled point (W_i, x_i) can be left out if either W_i is zero or x_i the basepoint, and that if two x_i are equal, they can be replaced by a single one with label the sum of the previous labels. The Σ_M -action is the diagonal one through its actions on S^M and $R[M]$.

General (n, m) –simplices are given by similar equivalence classes of configurations, where instead of a single free submodule W_i , each m –simplex x_i carries an n –chain of R –module isomorphisms $(W_{i_0} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{i_1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \cdots \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{i_n})$ such that for every $0 \leq j \leq n$ the tuple $(W_{1_j}, \dots, W_{k_j})$ satisfies the conditions above. The simplicial structure maps in the first direction are the usual ones from the nerve; the ones in the second direction are induced by S^M . The spectrum structure maps $kR(M) \wedge S^N \rightarrow kR(M \sqcup N)$ are given by smashing the configurations with an element of S^N and leaving the labels unchanged.

In [16] Schwede shows the following:

- The symmetric spectrum kR is globally semistable.
- Its G –fixed point spectrum represents the direct sum K –theory of $R[G]$ –lattices, ie $R[G]$ –modules that are finitely generated free as R –modules. In particular, the equivariant homotopy groups $\pi_*^G(kR)$ are the K –groups of $R[G]$ –lattices.
- If R is commutative, the smash product of modules gives kR the structure of an ultracommutative symmetric ring spectrum.

If R satisfies dimension invariance, the spectrum kR comes with a natural filtration: Let $kR^n(M)$ be the subspace of $kR(M)$ of those configurations $(W_1, \dots, W_k; x_1, \dots, x_k)$ where the sum of the R –ranks of the W_i is at most n , and similarly for higher simplices. These subspaces are closed under the simplicial and spectrum structure and thus define a symmetric subspectrum kR^n . This gives a filtration

$$* = kR^0 \rightarrow kR^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow kR = \operatorname{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} kR^n.$$

The underlying nonequivariant filtration is studied by Arone and Lesh in [1], where they call it the *modified stable rank filtration* of algebraic K –theory. In joint work with Dominik Ostermayr [7], we extend some of their results to the global context to show that the subquotients kR^n/kR^{n-1} are globally equivalent to suspension spectra of certain I –spaces associated to the lattice of nontrivial direct sum decompositions of R^n . This can be used to give an algebraic description of the Fin–global functors $\pi_0^G(kR^n)$.

Remark 6.5 The version of kR we described here differs slightly from that in [16]. There the tuple (W_1, \dots, W_k) has to satisfy the additional property that for every monomial $t = \prod_{m \in M} m^{i_m} \in R[M]$ there is at most one i such that W_i contains an element whose t –component is nontrivial (which in that setup in particular guarantees that the sum of the W_i is direct). The inclusion from the kR in [16] to the one above is a global level equivalence.

Appendix Model structures with respect to families

In this appendix we explain how to construct model structures with respect to global families of finite groups. For every such family we define two model structures, a projective and a flat one, both useful for constructing derived adjunctions. In the case of the family of trivial groups (where the homotopy category is the nonequivariant stable homotopy category) the projective model structure equals the one in [9, Section 5.1] and the flat model structure is the one introduced in [21]. For the global family of all finite groups the two model structures coincide.

Definition A.1 (global family) A *global family* is a nonempty class of finite groups which is closed under subgroups, quotients and isomorphism.

Let \mathcal{F} be a global family.

Definition A.2 A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric spectra is called

- an \mathcal{F} -level equivalence if $f_n^H: X_n^H \rightarrow Y_n^H$ is a weak equivalence for all subgroups $H \leq \Sigma_n$ which lie in \mathcal{F} ;
- a projective \mathcal{F} -level fibration if $f_n^H: X_n^H \rightarrow Y_n^H$ is a Kan fibration for all subgroups $H \leq \Sigma_n$ which lie in \mathcal{F} ;
- a projective \mathcal{F} -cofibration if each latching map $\nu_n[f]: X_n \cup_{L_n(X)} L_n(Y) \rightarrow Y_n$ is a Σ_n -cofibration with relative isotropy in \mathcal{F} ;
- a flat \mathcal{F} -level fibration if it has the right lifting property with respect to all flat cofibrations (as defined in Definition 2.2) that are also \mathcal{F} -level equivalences.

Then the following two propositions can again be obtained via [6, Proposition 2.22]:

Proposition A.3 The classes of \mathcal{F} -level equivalences, projective \mathcal{F} -level fibrations and projective \mathcal{F} -cofibrations define a cofibrantly generated, proper and monoidal model structure on the category of symmetric spectra.

Proposition A.4 The classes of \mathcal{F} -level equivalences, flat \mathcal{F} -level fibrations and flat cofibrations define a cofibrantly generated, proper and monoidal model structure on the category of symmetric spectra.

From the point of view of \mathcal{F} -global homotopy theory we have to remember the G -homotopy type of a symmetric spectrum for all groups G in \mathcal{F} , which leads to the following definition of stable equivalence:

Definition A.5 (\mathcal{F} –global equivalences) A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called an \mathcal{F} –global equivalence if it is a G –stable equivalence (in the sense of [Definition 2.8](#)) for all groups $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

A morphism of symmetric spectra is called a *projective (flat) \mathcal{F} –fibration* if it has the left lifting property with respect to all morphisms that are projective \mathcal{F} –cofibrations (respectively flat cofibrations) and \mathcal{F} –equivalences. Then we have:

Proposition A.6 *The classes of \mathcal{F} –global equivalences, projective \mathcal{F} –fibrations and projective \mathcal{F} –cofibrations determine a cofibrantly generated, proper and monoidal model structure on the category of symmetric spectra, called the **projective \mathcal{F} –global stable model structure**.*

Proposition A.7 *The classes of \mathcal{F} –global equivalences, flat \mathcal{F} –fibrations and flat cofibrations determine a cofibrantly generated, proper and monoidal model structure on the category of symmetric spectra, called the **flat \mathcal{F} –global stable model structure**.*

Each of these model structures can be obtained via a left Bousfield localization of the respective level model structure. For example, this can be done by applying the small object argument to the subset of those maps $i \square \bar{\lambda}_M^N$ used in [Section 2.3](#) that are associated to a finite group $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and finite G –sets M and N (of which M is faithful). It follows that a symmetric spectrum is fibrant in either of the \mathcal{F} –global model structures if and only if it is fibrant in the respective level model structure and in addition an \mathcal{F} –global Ω –spectrum, ie if it satisfies the condition in [Definition 2.12](#) for all $G \in \mathcal{F}$ (instead of for all finite G). The flat \mathcal{F} –global model structure can also be obtained by left Bousfield localizing the full global model structure.

Since every projective \mathcal{F} –cofibration is a flat cofibration, the \mathcal{F} –global model structure and the flat \mathcal{F} –global model structure are Quillen equivalent via the identity adjunction. Furthermore, the same proof as that of [Theorem 5.3](#) applies to show that the projective \mathcal{F} –model structure is Quillen equivalent to the \mathcal{F} –global model structure on orthogonal spectra as introduced in [\[19, Theorem 4.3.17\]](#).

Let $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be an inclusion of global families of finite groups. Then, by definition, every \mathcal{F} –global equivalence is an \mathcal{F}' –global equivalence and hence the localization $\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma[\mathcal{F}\text{–global eq.}^{-1}]$ factors uniquely through a functor

$$\mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma[\mathcal{F}\text{–global eq.}^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^\Sigma[\mathcal{F}'\text{–global eq.}^{-1}].$$

This functor has both a left and a right adjoint (both fully faithful) obtained by deriving the identity adjunction with respect to the projective and flat model structures, respectively. In particular, this defines two functors from the nonequivariant stable homotopy category to the global stable homotopy category. It can be shown [19, Example 4.5.19 and Proposition 4.5.8] that the right adjoint gives rise to Borel theories, whereas the image of the left adjoint is given by symmetric spectra with constant geometric fixed points.

Finally, both the projective \mathcal{F} -global stable model structure and the flat \mathcal{F} -global stable model structure lift to categories of modules over a symmetric ring spectrum and algebras over a commutative symmetric ring spectrum. There exist positive versions of both model structures which lift to the category of commutative algebras over a commutative symmetric ring spectrum. These allow the construction of “multiplicative” change-of-family functors, but there is a caveat: a positive projective \mathcal{F} -cofibrant commutative symmetric ring spectrum is in general not projective \mathcal{F} -cofibrant as a symmetric spectrum if \mathcal{F} is not the family of all finite groups. As a consequence, the underlying symmetric spectrum of a left-induced ultracommutative symmetric ring spectrum is in general not left-induced.

References

- [1] **G Z Arone, K Lesh**, *Augmented Γ -spaces, the stable rank filtration, and a analogue of the Whitehead conjecture*, *Fund. Math.* 207 (2010) 29–70 [MR](#)
- [2] **A M Bohmann**, *Global orthogonal spectra*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 16 (2014) 313–332 [MR](#)
- [3] **A K Bousfield**, *On the telescopic homotopy theory of spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2001) 2391–2426 [MR](#)
- [4] **W G Dwyer, J Spaliński**, *Homotopy theories and model categories*, from “Handbook of algebraic topology” (I M James, editor), North-Holland, Amsterdam (1995) 73–126 [MR](#)
- [5] **J P C Greenlees, J P May**, *Localization and completion theorems for MU-module spectra*, *Ann. of Math.* 146 (1997) 509–544 [MR](#)
- [6] **M Hausmann**, *G-symmetric spectra, semistability and the multiplicative norm*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 221 (2017) 2582–2632 [MR](#)
- [7] **M Hausmann, D Ostermayr**, *Filtrations of global equivariant K-theory*, preprint (2015) [arXiv](#)
- [8] **M A Hill, M J Hopkins**, *Equivariant multiplicative closure*, from “Algebraic topology: applications and new directions” (U Tillmann, S Galatius, D Sinha, editors), *Contemp. Math.* 620, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2014) 183–199 [MR](#)

- [9] **M Hovey, B Shipley, J Smith**, *Symmetric spectra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000) 149–208 [MR](#)
- [10] **L G Lewis, Jr**, *When projective does not imply flat, and other homological anomalies*, Theory Appl. Categ. 5 (1999) 202–250 [MR](#)
- [11] **L G Lewis, Jr, J P May, M Steinberger, J E McClure**, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1213, Springer (1986) [MR](#)
- [12] **J A Lind**, *Diagram spaces, diagram spectra and spectra of units*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013) 1857–1935 [MR](#)
- [13] **M A Mandell, J P May, S Schwede, B Shipley**, *Model categories of diagram spectra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 82 (2001) 441–512 [MR](#)
- [14] **S Sagave, C Schlichtkrull**, *Diagram spaces and symmetric spectra*, Adv. Math. 231 (2012) 2116–2193 [MR](#)
- [15] **S Sagave, C Schlichtkrull**, *Group completion and units in \mathcal{J} -spaces*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013) 625–686 [MR](#)
- [16] **S Schwede**, *Global algebraic K -theory*, in preparation
- [17] **S Schwede**, *On the homotopy groups of symmetric spectra*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008) 1313–1344 [MR](#)
- [18] **S Schwede**, *Equivariant properties of symmetric products*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 (2017) 673–711 [MR](#)
- [19] **S Schwede**, *Global homotopy theory*, New Mathematical Monographs 34, Cambridge Univ. Press (2018) [MR](#)
- [20] **S Schwede, B E Shipley**, *Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 80 (2000) 491–511 [MR](#)
- [21] **B Shipley**, *A convenient model category for commutative ring spectra*, from “Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K -theory” (P Goerss, S Priddy, editors), Contemp. Math. 346, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2004) 473–483 [MR](#)
- [22] **P Webb**, *Two classifications of simple Mackey functors with applications to group cohomology and the decomposition of classifying spaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 88 (1993) 265–304 [MR](#)
- [23] **D White**, *Model structures on commutative monoids in general model categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 221 (2017) 3124–3168 [MR](#)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen
 København, Denmark

hausmann@math.ku.dk

Received: 22 March 2018 Revised: 17 September 2018