

An extension of Quillen’s Theorem B

IEKE MOERDIJK

JOOST NUITEN

We prove a general version of Quillen’s Theorem B, for actions of simplicial categories, in an arbitrary left Bousfield localization of the homotopy theory of simplicial presheaves over a site. As special cases, we recover a version of the group completion theorem in this general context, as well a version of Puppe’s theorem on the stability of homotopy colimits in an ∞ -topos, due to Rezk.

18F20, 55U35; 18G30, 55U10

1 Introduction

Theorem B is one of the first results in Quillen’s influential paper “Higher K -theory, I” [17] and as such plays an important role in the foundations of algebraic K -theory. For a functor $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ between small categories, this theorem provides a way to identify the homotopy fibre of the induced map $B\mathbb{D} \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$ between classifying spaces: it is the classifying space of the over-category \mathbb{D}/x provided that, for each morphism $x \rightarrow y$ in \mathbb{C} , the functor $\mathbb{D}/x \rightarrow \mathbb{D}/y$ induces a weak equivalence between the associated classifying spaces. This condition can also be phrased by saying that the classifying spaces of these various \mathbb{D}/x form a diagram of spaces over \mathbb{C} , on which \mathbb{C} acts by weak equivalences. From this point of view, the theorem is very close to other results in the literature, such as Volker Puppe’s theorem [15] on homotopy colimits of homotopy cartesian diagrams. A version of this theorem also holds for actions by homology equivalences, and this version yields the group completion theorem — see McDuff and Segal [12], Quillen [16] and the first author [13] — and Bott periodicity; see Harris [6].

These results all predate the development of Quillen model categories and their left Bousfield localizations, the homotopy theory of simplicial presheaves and sheaves, and the theory of ∞ -categories and ∞ -toposes. The purpose of this paper is to reconsider Quillen’s Theorem B in the light of these developments. We will prove a very general version of Theorem B over an arbitrary site, for actions of a presheaf of simplicial categories on another simplicial presheaf. (See Theorem 5.1 below for a precise

formulation.) This general theorem states that if the action is by weak equivalences in some further left Bousfield localization of one of the standard model structures on simplicial presheaves, then the fibre and the homotopy fibre of the action become equivalent in this localization. We should emphasize that by a presheaf of simplicial categories, we mean an *internal* category object in the category of simplicial presheaves on the given site. In particular, the objects of this internal category form themselves a simplicial presheaf. This level of generality is relevant in several examples (see Example 6.2) and requires some caution in the definition of an action by weak equivalences (see eg Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 below). A version of Quillen's Theorem B for quasicategories takes a different form, and in fact the natural definition of an action by weak equivalences renders it somewhat tautologous, as we will explain in a brief appendix.

Theorem 5.1 mentioned above has the expected applications, such as a version of the group completion theorem for actions of presheaves of simplicial monoids (such as the classifying space of a coproduct $\coprod_n BGL_n(R)$ for a sheaf of rings R) (Examples 6.11 and 6.14 below). When R is a sheaf of commutative rings on a site, the theorem shows that the associated projective space \mathbb{P}^∞ is \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy equivalent to its group completion $\Omega B(\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ (Example 6.10). We expect our general version of Quillen's theorem to have further applications when applied to specific sites such as the Nisnevich topology for \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory; see Morel and Voevodsky [14]. As another special case of the theorem, we recover a version of Puppe's theorem for homotopy cartesian morphisms between diagrams of simplicial presheaves over a site (Example 6.2 below). In the particular case of simplicial sets, this result reduces to a variant of Puppe's theorem for Bousfield localizations; see Chachólski, Pitsch and Scherer [3]. When applied to a left exact localization of simplicial presheaves, it gives precisely what is sometimes referred to as (Rezk) descent for ∞ -toposes [18]. It is also possible to recast the theorem in terms of an equivalence of model categories. In doing so, we obtain a generalization of a result by Jardine [8].

The plan of this short paper is as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we review the homotopy theory of simplicial and bisimplicial presheaves and sheaves. This material is largely standard, and can be found in many sources, of which we will mention the main ones. In Section 4 we introduce the necessary notation and terminology for actions by categories on simplicial presheaves, so as to state and prove the main theorem in Section 5. Our proof closely follows the strategy of [13]. We provide some applications in Section 6. We conclude our paper with a brief appendix on a quasicategorical version of Quillen's Theorem B.

2 Simplicial presheaves and sheaves

In this section we review some basic definitions and facts about the homotopy theory of simplicial presheaves and sheaves. Almost everything in this section traces back to [2; 7; 9].

Let (\mathbb{S}, J) be a site, ie a small category \mathbb{S} equipped with a Grothendieck topology J . Let $\text{PSh}(\mathbb{S})$ and $\text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ be the categories of presheaves and sheaves, respectively, of sets on \mathbb{S} and let

$$(2.1) \quad i^*: \text{PSh}(\mathbb{S}) \rightleftarrows \text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J) : i_*$$

be the adjoint pair given by the full embedding i_* and the associated sheaf functor i^* . By adjointness, i_* preserves all limits and i^* preserves all colimits, while in addition i^* preserves finite limits.

A *point* of the topos $\text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ (or “of the site (\mathbb{S}, J) ”) is such an adjoint pair

$$p^*: \text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J) \rightleftarrows \text{Sets} : p_*$$

for which p^* preserves finite limits (ie the pair forms a geometric morphism $p: \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$). The topos $\text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ is said to have *enough points* if the collection of functors p^* for all points p of $\text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ is jointly conservative (ie detects isomorphisms). Equivalently, $\text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ has enough points if there exists a topological space X and a geometric morphism $f: \text{Sh}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ for which f^* is conservative (ie “ f is surjective”). Many sites occurring in nature have enough points [5; 11] and in some definitions and arguments we will assume that there are enough points, in order to help develop some intuition and to connect to the classical homotopy theory of simplicial sets. However, this assumption is never essential and can be circumvented by either working with a surjective “Boolean point” or by using the internal logic of $\text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$.

The adjoint pair (2.1) induces an adjoint pair

$$i^*: \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S}) \rightleftarrows \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) : i_*$$

between the categories of *simplicial presheaves* and *sheaves*. The category $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ can be endowed with the *projective model structure*, for which the fibrations and weak equivalences are defined levelwise: a map $Y \rightarrow X$ of simplicial presheaves on \mathbb{S} is a fibration or weak equivalence if for each object $S \in \mathbb{S}$, the map $Y(S) \rightarrow X(S)$ is a fibration or weak equivalence in the classical Kan–Quillen model structure on simplicial

sets. All model categorical notions for presheaves will refer to the projective model structure, unless stated otherwise.

The category $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ carries the *Joyal* or *injective* model structure, for which the cofibrations are the monomorphisms and the weak equivalences are the so-called *local weak equivalences*: a map $Y \rightarrow X$ of simplicial sheaves is called a local weak equivalence if and only if the map $p^*Y \rightarrow p^*X$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for every point p . There are rather few fibrations in this model structure, but there is a wider class of so-called *local fibrations*, viz the maps $Y \rightarrow X$ for which each $p^*Y \rightarrow p^*X$ is a Kan fibration. Equivalently, these are the maps for which the map

$$Y(\Delta[n]) \rightarrow X(\Delta[n]) \times_{X(\Lambda^k[n])} X(\Lambda^k[n])$$

is a surjection of sheaves of sets for each $n \geq 1$ and each $0 \leq k \leq n$. Here we use that each simplicial set K and simplicial sheaf Y determine a sheaf (of sets) $Y(K)$, determined by

$$Y(\Delta[n]) = Y_n, \quad Y(\text{colim } K_i) = \lim Y(K_i).$$

Alternatively, using that the Joyal model structure is a simplicial model structure, one can identify $Y(K)$ with the sheaf of vertices of Y^K . Similarly, a *local trivial fibration* is a map $Y \rightarrow X$ for which each $p^*Y \rightarrow p^*X$ is a trivial fibration of simplicial sets, or, equivalently, for which each map

$$Y(\Delta[n]) \rightarrow X(\Delta[n]) \times_{X(\partial\Delta[n])} Y(\partial\Delta[n]) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0$$

is a surjection of sheaves of sets.

One easily verifies that the adjoint pair

$$i^*: \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S}) \rightleftarrows \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) : i_*$$

is a Quillen pair. Among general Quillen pairs, it has some additional properties that are useful to keep in mind:

- (a) i^* preserves weak equivalences between arbitrary objects (not just cofibrant ones).
- (b) Let us say that a map of simplicial presheaves $Y \rightarrow X$ is a *local weak equivalence* (resp. a *local (trivial) fibration*) if its image under i^* is such. Since i^* preserves finite limits (as we already mentioned), it follows that any levelwise (trivial) fibration between simplicial presheaves is a local (trivial) fibration.

It follows from (a) and the fact that $i^*i_* \cong \text{id}$ that $\mathbb{L}i^*\mathbb{R}i_* \simeq \text{id}$, so that $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ is a *localization* of $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$. Since the weak equivalences in $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ form an accessibly

embedded accessible subcategory of the arrow category of $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$, it follows that there exists a left Bousfield localization $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$ of (the projective model structure on) $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ whose weak equivalences are the local weak equivalences. In this way one obtains a diagram of left Quillen functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) \\ \text{id} \downarrow & \nearrow j^* & \\ \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J & & \end{array}$$

As ordinary functors, j^* and its right adjoint j_* can be identified with i^* and i_* . The pair j^* and j_* forms a Quillen equivalence because $\mathbb{L}j^*\mathbb{R}j_* \simeq \text{id}$ and j^* preserves and detects weak equivalences.

We will use the following simple observations:

Lemma 2.2 *In $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$, as well as in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$, the pullback along a local fibration is a homotopy pullback.*

Proof The two cases are proved in the same way. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

be a pullback in $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ (or in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$) in which f is a local fibration. The image of this pullback square under a point of $\text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ is a homotopy pullback of simplicial sets, since the usual model structure on simplicial sets is right proper. In particular, pullbacks along local fibrations preserve local weak equivalences, so that $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ is right proper as well.

Now let $Z \xrightarrow{\simeq} Z' \rightarrow X$ be a factorization of g into a local weak equivalence followed by a fibration. Then the pullback $Z' \times_X Y$ computes the homotopy pullback of f and g and the map $W \rightarrow Z' \times_X Y$ is a local weak equivalence. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let $Y \rightarrow X \leftarrow Z$ be a diagram in $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$. Its homotopy pullback can be computed as $i^*(Q)$, where*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \longrightarrow & i_*(Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_*(Y) & \longrightarrow & i_*(X) \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$.

Proof Let $i_*(Y) \rightarrow P \rightarrow i_*(X)$ be a factorization into a weak equivalence followed by a fibration of simplicial presheaves. Then $Q = P \times_{i_*(X)} i_*(Z)$ is the homotopy pullback in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ since this model category is right proper. So $i^*(Q) \cong i^*(P) \times_X Z$ and $i^*(P)$ fits into a sequence

$$Y \cong i^*i_*(Y) \rightarrow i^*(P) \rightarrow i^*i_*(X)$$

of a local weak equivalence followed by a local fibration. The lemma now follows from Lemma 2.2. □

3 Bisimplicial presheaves and sheaves

We will write $\text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ and $\text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ for the categories of bisimplicial sheaves and presheaves on the site (\mathbb{S}, J) . These carry several model structures, but we will mostly be interested in the “diagonal” one, making the model categories Quillen equivalent to $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ and $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$, respectively. More precisely, write

$$i^*: \text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S}) \rightleftarrows \text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) : i_*$$

for the associated sheaf functor i_* and its fully faithful right adjoint, and let

$$\delta^*: \text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$$

be the diagonal functor. The functor δ^* has a left adjoint $\delta_!$ and a right adjoint δ^* . Using the same notation for sheaves, we obtain a diagram of adjoint pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S}) & \xrightleftharpoons{i^*} & \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) \\ \delta_! \downarrow \uparrow \delta^* & \begin{array}{c} i_* \\ \downarrow \uparrow \delta^* \end{array} & \delta_! \downarrow \uparrow \delta^* \\ \text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S}) & \xrightleftharpoons{i^*} & \text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) \\ & \begin{array}{c} i_* \\ \downarrow \uparrow \delta^* \end{array} & \end{array}$$

which are related by the natural isomorphisms

$$\delta^*i^* = i^*\delta^*, \quad \delta^*i_* = i_*\delta^*$$

and hence $\delta_!i^* = i^*\delta_!$.

Proposition 3.1 (see [13]) *The (projective, resp. Joyal) model structures can be transferred along the adjoint pair $(\delta_!, \delta^*)$ and give model structures and Quillen equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_!: \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S}) &\rightleftarrows \text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S}) : \delta^*, \\ \delta_!: \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J &\rightleftarrows \text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J : \delta^*, \\ \delta_!: \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) &\rightleftarrows \text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) : \delta^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof We prove the second case; the other two cases are similar. To show that the transferred model structure exists, it suffices to verify that $\delta^*\delta_!$ maps generating trivial cofibrations to local weak equivalences that are monic. Indeed, these maps are stable under pushout and transfinite composition while δ^* and $\delta_!$ both commute with colimits.

It is easy to check that $\delta^*\delta_!$ preserves monomorphisms. The fact that it preserves local weak equivalences follows immediately from the fact that the unit map $X \rightarrow \delta^*\delta_!(X)$ is a levelwise weak equivalence of simplicial presheaves. Indeed, this just follows from the analogous statement for simplicial *sets*: by a standard skeletal induction it suffices to verify that for every simplex $\Delta[n]$, the map $\Delta[n] \rightarrow \delta^*\delta_!(\Delta[n])$ is a weak equivalence. But this map can be identified with the diagonal map $\Delta[n] \rightarrow \Delta[n] \times \Delta[n]$.

Similarly, the fact that $X \rightarrow \delta^*\delta_!(X)$ is a levelwise weak equivalence shows that the Quillen pair is a Quillen equivalence (because δ^* preserves and detects weak equivalences). □

Remark 3.2 Since δ^* preserves monomorphisms and weak equivalences, the pair $\delta^*: \text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) \rightleftarrows \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) : \delta_*$ is a Quillen pair as well.

The proof of Proposition 3.1 applies equally well to further left Bousfield localizations of these model categories. More precisely, let λ be a set of maps (which one can always take to be cofibrations) in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ and let

$$i^*: \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{J,\lambda} \rightleftarrows \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)_\lambda : i_*$$

denote the associated Quillen equivalence between the left Bousfield localizations at λ and $i^*(\lambda)$, respectively. We will refer to the weak equivalences in these model structures as λ -*equivalences* (leaving the reference to J implicit when working with simplicial presheaves). The argument of Proposition 3.1 shows that these two model structures can be transferred to model structures on bisimplicial (pre)sheaves along $(\delta_!, \delta^*)$, yielding two Quillen equivalences

$$\delta_!: \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{J,\lambda} \rightleftarrows \text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{J,\lambda} : \delta^*, \quad \delta_!: \text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)_\lambda \rightleftarrows \text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)_\lambda : \delta^*.$$

In fact, the transferred model structure $\text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{J,\lambda}$ is simply the left Bousfield localization of $\text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$ at the set of maps $\delta_!(\lambda)$, and similarly for sheaves.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of bisimplicial (pre)sheaves over \mathbb{S} . If f induces a λ -equivalence $X_{n,-} \rightarrow Y_{n,-}$ of simplicial (pre)sheaves for each $n \geq 0$, then the diagonal $\delta^*X \rightarrow \delta^*Y$ is a λ -equivalence as well.*

Proof This follows from the fact that $\delta^*: \text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) \rightarrow \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)_\lambda$ is a left Quillen functor for the Reedy model structure on $\text{bisSh}(\mathbb{S}, J) = \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$. \square

4 Actions on simplicial presheaves and sheaves

We begin with some terminology and notation. Let \mathbb{C} be a *category object* in one of the (model) categories $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ or $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$. Thus \mathbb{C} is given by simplicial (pre)sheaves $\text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$ of objects and morphisms, together with structure maps for source and target

$$\text{mor}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow[\tau]{s} \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$$

and two more structure maps for units and composition, all satisfying the usual identities. For any such category object \mathbb{C} , its nerve $N\mathbb{C}$ is a bisimplicial (pre)sheaf whose diagonal we denote by

$$B\mathbb{C} = \delta^* N\mathbb{C}$$

and call the classifying (pre)sheaf or “space” of \mathbb{C} . Thus, $B\mathbb{C}$ is an object of $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ or $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$.

A *left action* of \mathbb{C} on a simplicial presheaf X is given by maps

$$\pi: X \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu: s^*(X) = \text{mor}(\mathbb{C}) \times_{\text{ob}(\mathbb{C})} X \rightarrow X$$

satisfying the usual identities (which express that for any $S \in \mathbb{S}$, the components π_S and μ_S determine a covariant simplicial functor $\mathbb{C}(S) \rightarrow \text{sSet}$, natural in S). The domain of the map μ is the pullback $s^*(X)$ of π along s . Such an action by \mathbb{C} on X defines a new category object $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ (or in $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$) with

$$\text{ob}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = X, \quad \text{mor}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = s^*(X),$$

while the new source and target maps $s^*(X) \rightrightarrows X$ are the projection and the action μ . For any object $S \in \mathbb{S}$ and any simplicial degree n , the category $X_{\mathbb{C}}(S)_n$ (in sets) can therefore be described as follows: the objects are n -simplices $x \in X(S)_n$ and a morphism $x \rightarrow y$ is a morphism $\phi: \pi(x) \rightarrow \pi(y)$ in the category $\mathbb{C}(S)_n$ such that $\mu(\phi, x) = y$. There is an obvious projection functor

$$\pi: X_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

which induces a map of classifying spaces

$$B\pi: BX_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}.$$

For any n -simplex $c \in \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})(S)_n$, ie a map $S \times \Delta[n] \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$ of simplicial presheaves, we write $X(c)$ for the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(c) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ S \times \Delta[n] & \xrightarrow{c} & \text{ob}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

A 0-simplex $c: S \times \Delta[0] \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$ determines a map $S \times \Delta[0] \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$ and $X(c)$ fits into a pullback of simplicial (pre)sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(c) & \longrightarrow & BX_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S \times \Delta[0] & \xrightarrow{c} & B\mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

The action μ defines a map $\bar{\mu} = (\pi_1, \mu)$ over $\text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} & t^*(X) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{mor}(\mathbb{C}) & \end{array}$$

If $\phi \in \text{mor}(\mathbb{C})(S)_n$ is a morphism from c to d , ie $\phi: S \times \Delta[n] \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$ with $s\phi = c$ and $t\phi = d$, then $\bar{\mu}$ restricts to a map of simplicial presheaves

$$\phi_*: X(c) \rightarrow X(d).$$

Given a set of maps λ in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$ or $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$, we can require these action maps to be weak equivalences in the resulting left Bousfield localization:

Definition 4.1 Let \mathbb{C} be a category acting on X in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$, as above. Then \mathbb{C} is said to *act by λ -equivalences* if for any object $S \in \mathbb{S}$ and any morphism $\phi: S \times \Delta[n] \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$ from $c = s\phi$ to $d = t\phi$, the map $\phi_*: X(c) \rightarrow X(d)$ is a λ -equivalence.

As $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is itself a simplicial presheaf rather than a presheaf of sets, this definition needs to be treated with some care, and it is helpful to give some equivalent formulations. To this end, let us call a map of simplicial presheaves over a simplicial presheaf B

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & B & \end{array}$$

a *stable λ -equivalence* if for any map $A \rightarrow B$, the pullback $A \times_B X \rightarrow A \times_B Y$ is a λ -equivalence.

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose that the map $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is a local fibration. Then \mathbb{C} acts by λ -equivalences if and only if the condition holds for $n = 0$ only, ie for every vertex in $\text{mor}(\mathbb{C})(S)$.*

Proof Let $\phi: c \rightarrow d$ be as in the definition and for any $i = 0, \dots, n$, consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X(c_i) & \xrightarrow{w_i} & X(c) & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow^{(\phi_i)_*} & \downarrow & \searrow^{\phi_*} & \\
 & X(d_i) & \xrightarrow{u_i} & X(d) & \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 S \times \Delta[0] & \xrightarrow{v_i} & S \times \Delta[n] & &
 \end{array}$$

where v_i is the inclusion of the i^{th} vertex and u_i and w_i are its pullbacks. Each of these three maps is a local weak equivalence by Lemma 2.2, so that ϕ_* is a λ -equivalence if and only if $(\phi_i)_*$ is. □

Lemma 4.3 *Let \mathbb{C} be a category acting on X in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})$, as above. Then \mathbb{C} acts on X by λ -equivalences if and only if $\bar{\mu}: s^*(X) \rightarrow t^*(X)$ is a stable λ -equivalence over $\text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof Since the maps ϕ_* are pullbacks of $\bar{\mu}$ over $\text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$, the condition of the lemma is clearly sufficient. For the converse, consider a map $A \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$ and let

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 s^*(X)_A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & t^*(X)_A \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & A &
 \end{array}$$

be the pullback of $\bar{\mu}$ along $A \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$. Consider the bisimplicial presheaf $\tilde{X}(s) \in \text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S})$ whose value on an object $S \in \mathbb{S}$ has as (p, q) -simplices diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta[p] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s^*(X)_A(S) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Delta[n_0] & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \Delta[n_q] \longrightarrow A(S)
 \end{array}$$

In the same way, let $\tilde{X}(t)$ be the bisimplicial presheaf obtained using $t^*(X)_A$ instead of $s^*(X)_A$.

For fixed S and p , the simplicial set $\tilde{X}(s)(S)_p$ is the nerve of a category whose objects are pairs consisting of a p -simplex of $s^*(X)_A$ and a factorization of $\Delta[p] \rightarrow s^*(X)_A(S) \rightarrow A(S)$ through a simplex $\Delta[n]$ (as in the above diagram, for $q = 0$). For a fixed p -simplex of $s^*(X)_A$, there is an initial such factorization, so that there is a (natural) weak equivalence

$$s^*(X)_{A,p} \rightarrow \tilde{X}(s)(S)_p$$

from a discrete simplicial set to the simplicial set $\tilde{X}(s)(S)_p$. Taking diagonals, it follows that there is a (projective) weak equivalence of simplicial presheaves

$$s^*(X)_A \rightarrow \delta^* \tilde{X}(s).$$

The same holds for $t^*(X)_A \rightarrow \delta^* \tilde{X}(t)$, of course.

On the other hand, in each fixed simplicial degree q , the map $\tilde{X}(s)_{-,q} \rightarrow \tilde{X}(t)_{-,q}$ is a coproduct of maps $\phi_*: X(c) \rightarrow X(d)$, indexed by the composite maps

$$\phi: \Delta[n_0] \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Delta[n_q] \rightarrow A(S) \rightarrow \text{mor}(\mathbb{C}).$$

These maps ϕ_* are λ -equivalences by assumption, so the map $\delta^* \tilde{X}(s) \rightarrow \delta^* \tilde{X}(t)$ is a λ -equivalence as well, by Lemma 3.3. The commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s^*(X)_A & \longrightarrow & t^*(X)_A \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \delta^* \tilde{X}(s) & \longrightarrow & \delta^* \tilde{X}(t) \end{array}$$

now shows that $s^*(X)_A \rightarrow t^*(X)_A$ is a λ -equivalence, which finishes the proof. \square

5 The main theorem

In this section we will state and prove the main theorem. Some examples and applications have already been mentioned in the introduction and will be elaborated on in the next section. As before, we work over a fixed site (\mathbb{S}, J) and consider the projective local model structure on $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$ and the injective one on $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$, as well as left Bousfield localizations of these at a set of maps λ .

Theorem 5.1 *Let \mathbb{C} be a category object acting on a simplicial presheaf X by λ -equivalences. Suppose $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is a local fibration. Then, for any object $S \in \mathbb{S}$*

and any $c \in \mathbb{C}(S)_0$, the map from the pullback $X(c)$ as in

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X(c) & \longrightarrow & BX_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S \times \Delta[0] & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

to the homotopy pullback is a λ -equivalence.

Remark 5.3 The theorem refers to the homotopy pullback in the projective model structure and *not* in the λ -localized model structure. Of course, the two notions coincide in the case where the localization is (homotopy) left exact. This is the case where the model category $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{\lambda}$ presents an ∞ -topos.

It will be clear that our proof for presheaves applies to sheaves as well, but in fact the case of sheaves is also just a direct consequence:

Corollary 5.4 Consider a left Bousfield localization $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)_{\lambda}$ of the Joyal model structure. If a category object \mathbb{C} acts on a simplicial sheaf X by λ -equivalences and the map $X \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is a local fibration, then the map

$$X(c) \rightarrow BX_{\mathbb{C}} \times_{B\mathbb{C}}^h (S \times \Delta[0])$$

is a λ -equivalence, where the homotopy pullback is computed in the Joyal model structure.

Proof Form the homotopy pullback of simplicial presheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \longrightarrow & i_*(BX_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_*(S \times \Delta[0]) & \longrightarrow & i_*(B\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

The left Bousfield localization $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)_{\lambda}$ is Quillen equivalent to the left Bousfield localization $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{J, \lambda}$ and, by the theorem, the map $i_* X(c) \rightarrow Q$ is a λ -equivalence of simplicial presheaves. It follows that $X(c) \rightarrow i^* Q$ is a λ -equivalence of simplicial sheaves, so that the result follows from Lemma 2.3. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1 We follow the strategy from [13]. The square (5.2) in the theorem is obtained by applying the diagonal functor δ^* to the pullback square of

bisimplicial presheaves

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X(c) & \longrightarrow & N(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S \times \Delta[0] & \longrightarrow & N(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Here $X(c)$ and $S \times \Delta[0]$ are considered as bisimplicial presheaves which are constant in one simplicial direction. It thus suffices to prove the theorem for the homotopy pullback of (5.5) in $\text{bisPSh}(\mathbb{S})$. This homotopy pullback can be formed by factoring the map $S \times \Delta[0] \rightarrow N(\mathbb{C})$ as a trivial cofibration followed by a fibration, and then taking the pullback of $N(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow N(\mathbb{C})$ along that fibration.

Such a factorization is obtained in the standard way from the small object argument, as a transfinite composition of pushouts of generating trivial cofibrations, ie maps $T \times \delta_! \Lambda^k[n] \rightarrow T \times \delta_! \Delta[n]$ for any object $T \in \mathbb{S}$. Since pulling back along a map commutes with colimits in bisimplicial presheaves, it thus suffices to show that for any pullback diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{\sigma i} & \hookrightarrow & X_{\sigma} & \longrightarrow & N(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T \times \delta_! \Lambda^k[n] & \xrightarrow{i} & T \times \delta_! \Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & N\mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

(where i denotes the inclusion), the map $X_{\sigma i} \rightarrow X_{\sigma}$ becomes a λ -equivalence after applying δ^* . Indeed, then the map $\delta^*(X_{\sigma i}) \rightarrow \delta^*(X_{\sigma})$ becomes a trivial cofibration in the λ -localization of the *injective* model structure, and a transfinite composition of pushouts of these remains a λ -equivalence.

Let us explicitly spell out the bisimplicial presheaves X_{σ} and $X_{\sigma i}$. The map

$$\sigma: T \times \delta_! \Delta[n] \rightarrow N\mathbb{C}$$

is a string of morphisms

$$\sigma = (c_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} c_1 \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} c_n)$$

in the category $\mathbb{C}(T)_n$. For any object R in the site \mathbb{S} , an element of the set $X_{\sigma}(R)_{p,q}$ is a quadruple

$$(f, \alpha, \beta, x),$$

where $f: R \rightarrow T$ is a map in \mathbb{S} ; α and β are maps in $\mathbf{\Delta}$,

$$\alpha: [p] \rightarrow [n], \quad \beta: [q] \rightarrow [n];$$

and $x \in X(R)_q$ is an element whose image under $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies

$$\pi(x) = \beta^*(c_{\alpha(0)} \cdot f).$$

An object of X_{σ_i} is a similar quadruple (f, α, β, x) satisfying the additional condition that there is some $l = 0, \dots, \hat{k}, \dots, n$ such that α and β both miss l .

Now consider the bisimplicial presheaves X_{σ}^0 and $X_{\sigma_i}^0$ whose (p, q) -simplices at R are quadruples (f, α, β, x) exactly as before, except that we require

$$\pi(x) = \beta^*(c_0 \cdot f) \in \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})(R)_q$$

(so c_0 instead of $c_{\alpha(0)}$). These bisimplicial presheaves fit into a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\sigma_i}^0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\sigma}^0 \\ \bar{\sigma}_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma}_* \\ X_{\sigma_i} & \longrightarrow & X_{\sigma} \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps $\bar{\sigma}_* = (\sigma_{\alpha(0)} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_1)_*$ are induced by the action of \mathbb{C} on X . The top inclusion $X_{\sigma_i}^0 \rightarrow X_{\sigma}^0$ fits into a pullback diagram of bisimplicial presheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{\sigma_i}^0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\sigma}^0 & \longrightarrow & X(c_0) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T \times \delta_!(\Lambda^k[n]) & \longrightarrow & T \times \delta_!\Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & T \times \Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \text{ob}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

where all objects in the rightmost square are constant in one simplicial direction (the p -direction, in the above notation). Since the diagonal functor δ^* preserves limits, it follows that $\delta^*(X_{\sigma_i}^0) \rightarrow \delta^*(X_{\sigma}^0)$ is the pullback of a (local) weak equivalence along the (local) fibration $X \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbb{C})$. Lemma 2.2 then implies that $\delta^*(X_{\sigma_i}^0) \rightarrow \delta^*(X_{\sigma}^0)$ is a (local) weak equivalence as well.

To finish the proof, it remains to verify that the two vertical maps $\bar{\sigma}_*$ induce λ -equivalences on the diagonals. But, for a fixed p , the action map $\bar{\sigma}_*: X_{\sigma}^0 \rightarrow X_{\sigma}$ is a coproduct over $\alpha: [p] \rightarrow [n]$ of maps of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(c_0) & \longrightarrow & X(c_{\alpha(0)}) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & T \times \Delta[n] & \end{array}$$

These maps are all λ -equivalences of simplicial presheaves by assumption, so the induced map on diagonals is a λ -equivalence by Lemma 3.3. □

6 Examples

Example 6.1 (Quillen's Theorem B) Let $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a functor between categories. Let $X_c = N(f/c)$ be the nerve of the comma category f/c for $c \in \mathbb{C}$. These X_c form a covariant diagram of simplicial sets indexed by \mathbb{C} . The category \mathbb{C} acts by weak equivalences on this diagram if for each $\alpha: c \rightarrow c'$ in \mathbb{C} , the functor $f/c \rightarrow f/c'$ induces a weak equivalence on nerves.

As a very special case of Theorem 5.1, we find that if this is the case, then X_c is the homotopy fibre of

$$\text{hocolim } X \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}.$$

The space $\text{hocolim } X$ is the nerve of the category f/\mathbb{C} and the spaces X_c are the nerves of the fibres of the functor $f/\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ [19].

There is an inclusion $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow f/\mathbb{C}$ sending d to $(d, f(d) \xrightarrow{=} f(d))$, which is left adjoint to the obvious projection $f/\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. This functor induces a homotopy equivalence on nerves, so that the map $\text{hocolim } X \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$ is homotopy equivalent to the map $B\mathbb{D} \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$. We therefore obtain Quillen's original Theorem B, identifying the homotopy fibre of $B\mathbb{D} \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$ over $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with the nerve of f/c .

Theorem 5.1 gives an extension to localizations (eg to the case where each $X_c \rightarrow X_{c'}$ is a homology isomorphism), as well as to functors $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ between (pre)sheaves of categories on a site (\mathbb{S}, J) .

Example 6.2 (homotopy colimits and Puppe's theorem) Let \mathcal{I} be a small category and let X and Y be two \mathcal{I} -indexed diagrams of simplicial sets. A natural transformation $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is called *homotopy cartesian* if, for any morphism $\alpha: i \rightarrow j$ in \mathcal{I} , the naturality square

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & Y_j \\ f_i \downarrow & & \downarrow f_j \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & X_j \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback. Puppe's theorem [15] states that for any homotopy cartesian transformation f and any $i_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, the square

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y_{i_0} & \longrightarrow & \text{hocolim } Y_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{i_0} & \longrightarrow & \text{hocolim } X_i \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback. This theorem is in fact a special case of Theorem 5.1 (for the trivial site, so for simplicial *sets* rather than simplicial (pre)sheaves). Indeed, let \mathbb{C} be the simplicial category $X_{\mathcal{I}}$ with space of objects $\tilde{X} = \coprod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_i$ and space of morphisms

$$\text{mor}(X_{\mathcal{I}}) = \coprod_{i \rightarrow j} X_i.$$

The natural transformation f defines an action of $X_{\mathcal{I}}$ on $\tilde{Y} = \coprod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Y_i$. Notice that, as \tilde{X} is not discrete, $X_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an *internal* category in simplicial sets, rather than a category *enriched* over simplicial sets.

After replacing $Y \rightarrow X$ by a fibration in the projective model structure on $\text{sSet}^{\mathcal{I}}$, the hypothesis on the squares (6.3) means precisely that $X_{\mathcal{I}}$ acts by weak homotopy equivalences. The space $BX_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a model for $\text{hocolim}_i X_i$, and Theorem 5.1 gives for this special case that (6.4) is a homotopy pullback.

Still working on the trivial site, Theorem 5.1 gives variations of Puppe’s theorem for left Bousfield localizations. For example, suppose that all the squares (6.3) are “homology cartesian”, in the sense that, for each vertex $x \in X_i$, the map from the homotopy fibre of f_i over x to the one of f_j over $\alpha_*(x)$ is a homology equivalence. Then the map from Y_{i_0} to the homotopy pullback inscribed in (6.4) is also a homology equivalence. Alternatively, such variants for Bousfield localizations can be deduced from Puppe’s theorem itself using model categorical techniques [3, Theorem 8.3].

For a left Bousfield localization λ of the model category $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$ or $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$, we obtain a similar result for a map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ between \mathcal{I} –diagrams of simplicial (pre)sheaves: Theorem 5.1 states that the map

$$Y_{i_0} \rightarrow X_{i_0} \times_{\text{hocolim } X_i}^h \text{hocolim } Y_i$$

(homotopy pullback in the nonlocalized model structure) is a λ –weak equivalence whenever the map between homotopy fibres

$$\text{hofib}(Y_i)_x \rightarrow \text{hofib}(Y_j)_{\alpha_*(x)}$$

is a λ –weak equivalence for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and each vertex $x \in X_i(S)$. If the localization λ is left exact, then Theorem 5.1 translates into the statement that if each square (6.3) is homotopy cartesian in the λ –localized model structure, then so is each pullback square (6.4). This is a version of Puppe’s theorem for ∞ –toposes, which is also referred to as *descent*; see [18] or [10, Chapter 6.1.3].

Example 6.5 For a corollary of Theorem 5.1 at the level of model categories, let $\mathcal{E} = \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S})_J$, endowed with the J -localization of the injective model structure. (For what follows, the reader could also take $\mathcal{E} = \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)$ if he or she so wishes.) Suppose that \mathbb{C} is a category object in \mathcal{E} such that $s: \text{Ar}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is a local fibration. Let $\mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]$ be the category of internal diagrams on \mathbb{C} in \mathcal{E} . An object in $\mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]$ is given by a map of simplicial sheaves $X \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$, endowed with a (left) action $s^*(X) \rightarrow t^*(X)$.

The object inclusion $u: \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induces an adjoint pair

$$u_! : \mathcal{E}/\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}] : u^*$$

Here u^* is the forgetful functor, which admits a further right adjoint and therefore preserves colimits. If $A \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is an object of $\mathcal{E}/\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$, then $u_!(A) = A/\mathbb{C}$, whose underlying object in $\mathcal{E}/\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is the pullback $s^*(A)$. In particular, $u^*u_!$ preserves injective cofibrations and weak equivalences in $\mathcal{E}/\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ because s is a local fibration; see Lemma 2.2. It follows that $\mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]$ admits a (proper) model structure whose fibrations and weak equivalences are transferred from the J -local injective model structure on \mathcal{E} , and whose cofibrations are (in particular) monomorphisms.

For a diagram X over \mathbb{C} , let us write

$$h_!(X) := BX_{\mathbb{C}} = \delta^*N(X_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

The functor $h_!$ preserves colimits, monomorphisms and, by Lemma 3.3, these transferred weak equivalences. Consequently, it is the left adjoint of a Quillen pair

$$(6.6) \quad h_! : \mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}] \rightleftarrows \mathcal{E}/B\mathbb{C} : h^*$$

For $p: A \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$, the obvious functor $A \rightarrow A/\mathbb{C}$ induces a natural weak equivalence

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\sim} & h_!u_!(A) = B(A/\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

This weak equivalence can be viewed as an injective weak equivalence in $\mathcal{E}/B\mathbb{C}$. Consequently, it induces for every $Y \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$ a natural map

$$(6.8) \quad u^*h^*(Y) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \times_{B\mathbb{C}} Y$$

by adjunction. When $Y \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$ is a fibration, this map is a weak equivalence. Now suppose that \mathbb{C} acts on X by weak equivalences. Then the derived unit map of (6.6),

$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}h^*h_1(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \times_{B\mathbb{C}}^h BX_{\mathbb{C}},$$

is a weak equivalence by Theorem 5.1.

Consider the left Bousfield localization $\mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]_w$ of the transferred model structure at the set of maps $t(\alpha)/\mathbb{C} \rightarrow s(\alpha)/\mathbb{C}$ for all maps $\alpha: S \times \Delta[n] \rightarrow \text{Ar}(\mathbb{C})$ from a representable. An object $X \in \mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]$ is fibrant in this localization if and only if \mathbb{C} acts on X by weak equivalences and $X \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ is a fibration in \mathcal{E} . Because of the weak equivalence (6.7), (h_1, h^*) descends to a Quillen pair

$$h_1: \mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]_w \rightleftarrows \mathcal{E}/B\mathbb{C} : h^*.$$

This Quillen pair is a Quillen equivalence. Indeed, the derived unit map is a weak equivalence for all local objects in $\mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]$, as just observed. Furthermore, $\mathbb{R}h^*$ detects weak equivalences, since it is equivalent to taking the homotopy pullback along $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}$, by (6.8).

There are variations on this result in the case of a further localization at a set of maps λ . For instance, (h_1, h^*) descends to a Quillen equivalence between the left Bousfield localizations of $\mathcal{E}[\mathbb{C}]_w$ and $\mathcal{E}/B\mathbb{C}$ with respect to the *stable λ -equivalences* over $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ and over $B\mathbb{C}$: this follows immediately from the fact that $\mathbb{R}h^*$ detects stable λ -equivalences, by the proof of Lemma 4.3. For a *right proper* left Bousfield localization \mathcal{E}_λ of \mathcal{E} , the same argument as above provides a Quillen equivalence (see Remark 5.3 and Corollary 5.4)

$$h_1: \mathcal{E}_\lambda[\mathbb{C}]_w \rightleftarrows \mathcal{E}_\lambda/B\mathbb{C} : h^*.$$

When \mathbb{C} has a presheaf of objects, instead of a simplicial presheaf, this recovers a result of Jardine [8, Theorem 17]. A closely related result where \mathbb{C} is a small category (in sets) occurs in [4].

Example 6.9 (grouplike monoids) Let (\mathbb{S}, J) be a site and M a presheaf of simplicial monoids on \mathbb{S} . Then M acts on itself by left multiplication and we obtain a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & B(M_M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & B(M) \end{array}$$

The simplicial presheaf $B(M_M)$ is contractible, since the unit element is an initial object of the simplicial category M_M . For $S \in \mathbb{S}$ and $m \in M_0(S)$, left multiplication determines a map $m_*: M/S \rightarrow M/S$, where $M/S = S \times M$. If each such m_* is a λ -equivalence, then it follows from Theorem 5.1 (and Lemma 4.2) that

$$M \rightarrow \Omega BM$$

is a λ -equivalence as well.

There is often a more familiar criterion for the above condition, in terms of the sheaf $\pi_0^\lambda(M)$ associated to the presheaf

$$\mathbb{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}, \quad S \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}(\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{J,\lambda})}(S, M).$$

To state this criterion, let us assume that for any λ -equivalence $X \rightarrow X'$ between simplicial presheaves and any $S \in \mathbb{S}$, the map $X \times S \rightarrow X' \times S$ is a λ -equivalence. This holds in various cases, eg for ∞ -toposes (see Example 6.2) and for \mathbb{A}^1 -model structures [14] (see Example 6.10). It follows that $f \times g: X \times Y \rightarrow X' \times Y'$ is a λ -equivalence if f and g are. In particular, if $M \rightarrow M'$ is an (injectively) fibrant replacement of M in $\text{sPSh}(\mathbb{S})_{J,\lambda}$, then M' inherits a multiplication μ' via

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ M' \times M' & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & M' \end{array}$$

This is unital and associative up to homotopy, so that homotopy classes of maps into M' form a monoid and $\pi_0^\lambda(M)$ is a sheaf of monoids. *The map $M \rightarrow \Omega BM$ is a λ -equivalence whenever $\pi_0^\lambda(M)$ is a sheaf of groups.*

To see this, take $S \in \mathbb{S}$ and $m \in M_0(S)$, with image m' in $M'_0(S)$. To see that $m_*: M/S \rightarrow M/S$ is a λ -equivalence, it suffices to verify that $m'_*: M'/S \rightarrow M'/S$ is a λ -equivalence. Because $\pi_0^\lambda(M)$ is a sheaf of groups, there is a cover $\alpha_i: S_i \rightarrow S$ such that each $m'_i = \alpha_i^*(m')$ admits a homotopy inverse $n_i \in M'_0(S_i)$. It follows that each

$$(m'_i)_*: M'/S_i \rightarrow M'/S_i$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Similarly, the restriction of m' to an iterated pullback $S_{i_0 \dots i_n}$ admits a homotopy inverse and $(m'_{i_0 \dots i_n})_*$ is a homotopy equivalence as well. These weak equivalences assemble into a natural weak equivalence of bisimplicial

presheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \coprod_{i_0 \dots i_n} M'_{/S_{i_0 \dots i_n}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \coprod_{i_0 \dots i_n} M'_{/S_{i_0 \dots i_n}} \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & \coprod_{i_0 \dots i_n} S_{i_0 \dots i_n} &
 \end{array}$$

The realization of this natural weak equivalence is weakly equivalent to the map $m'_* : M'_{/S} \rightarrow M'_{/S}$ over S (for instance by Puppe’s theorem; see Example 6.2), so that m'_* and m_* are λ -equivalences.

Example 6.10 (infinite projective space) Consider a site (S, J) endowed with a sheaf of commutative rings R and let us use \mathbb{A}^1 to denote the sheaf of sets underlying R . Let $\text{sPSh}(S)_{J, \mathbb{A}^1}$ be the left Bousfield localization at all projection maps

$$X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X.$$

This model category describes “ \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory over R ”. In particular, two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ describe the same map in the homotopy category of $\text{sSh}(S, J)_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ if there exists an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy

$$H : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$$

such that $H|_{X \times \{0\}} = f$ and $H|_{X \times \{1\}} = g$.

Let $\mathbb{G}_m \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1$ be the subsheaf of invertible elements and let \mathbb{A}_*^{n+1} be the union

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathbb{A}^i \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^{n-i} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1}.$$

The presheaf \mathbb{G}_m is a presheaf of groups under multiplication, which acts on \mathbb{A}_*^{n+1} via

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}_*^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_*^{n+1}, \quad z \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_n) = (zx_0, \dots, zx_n).$$

This action is free, with quotient $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{A}_*^{n+1} / \mathbb{G}_m$ given by the n^{th} projective space. The projective spaces fit into a sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto (x, 0)} & \mathbb{A}_*^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto (x, 0)} & \mathbb{A}_*^{n+2} & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto (x, 0)} & \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_*^\infty \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{[x] \mapsto [x:0]} & \mathbb{P}^n & \xrightarrow{[x] \mapsto [x:0]} & \mathbb{P}^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{[x] \mapsto [x:0]} & \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\infty
 \end{array}$$

whose colimit \mathbb{P}^∞ is the quotient of the colimit \mathbb{A}_*^∞ by the (free) action of \mathbb{G}_m given by $z \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots) = (zx_0, \dots, zx_n, 0, \dots)$.

The presheaf \mathbb{A}_*^∞ can be identified with the presheaf of polynomials with coefficients in R , with at least one invertible coefficient. Multiplication of polynomials then endows \mathbb{A}_*^∞ and its quotient \mathbb{P}^∞ with the structure of a commutative monoid. Let us use the criterion of Example 6.9 to verify that

$$\mathbb{P}^\infty \rightarrow \Omega B(\mathbb{P}^\infty)$$

is an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence. In fact, $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ is the terminal sheaf: for any $S \in \mathbb{S}$ and any point $[x] = [x_0 : \dots : x_n : 0 : \dots] \in \mathbb{P}^\infty(S)$, there are \mathbb{A}^1 -paths

$$\begin{aligned} [x_t] &= [x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n : t : 0 : \dots], \\ [y_t] &= [tx_0 : tx_1 : \dots : tx_n : 1 : 0 : \dots], \\ [z_t] &= [t : 0 : \dots : 0 : 1 : 0 : \dots], \\ [w_t] &= [1 : 0 : \dots : 0 : t : 0 : \dots] \end{aligned}$$

connecting the point $[x]$ to the unit element $[1] = [1 : 0 : 0 : \dots]$ of \mathbb{P}^∞ . Identifying \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopic elements in $\pi_0(\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ therefore yields the terminal sheaf, which implies that $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ is terminal as well (by [14, Corollary 3.22]).

Examples 6.11 and 6.14 and Variant 6.15 generalize the classical argument of the group completion theorem (see [12; 16]) to Bousfield localizations of simplicial (pre)sheaves. We only describe the case of simplicial sheaves, the case of simplicial presheaves being completely analogous.

Example 6.11 (group completion) Let (\mathbb{S}, J) be a site and consider the functor

$$(6.12) \quad h_*: \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}; \text{Ab}_{\text{gr}})$$

sending each simplicial sheaf X to its homology sheaves, ie the associated sheaves of the presheaves $H_*(X(-); \mathbb{Z})$. This functor has the following properties:

- (1) It sends local weak equivalences to isomorphisms of sheaves of graded abelian groups.
- (2) If $X: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S})$ is a filtered diagram of simplicial sheaves, then the natural map

$$\text{colim } h_*(X_i) \rightarrow h_*(\text{hocolim } X_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (3) Let X and Y be two \mathcal{I} -indexed diagrams of simplicial sheaves and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a natural transformation between them. If each $h_*(X_i) \rightarrow h_*(Y_i)$ is an isomorphism, then the map $h_*(\text{hocolim } X) \rightarrow h_*(\text{hocolim } Y)$ is an isomorphism.
- (4) It is lax symmetric monoidal, ie there are natural maps

$$h_*(X) \otimes h_*(Y) \rightarrow h_*(X \times Y), \quad \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow h_*(*)$$

where \otimes denotes the usual tensor product of sheaves of graded abelian groups. In particular, h_* sends simplicial monoids to graded rings.

- (5) h_* is part of an indexed functor in the following sense: For every sheaf (of sets) S , its category of elements \mathbb{S}/S inherits a natural Grothendieck topology from (\mathbb{S}, J) . As in (6.12), there is a functor $(h_*)_{/S}$ taking the homology of simplicial sheaves over \mathbb{S}/S , which satisfies conditions (1)–(4). For any map of sheaves $f: S \rightarrow T$, these functors fit into a square, which commutes up to natural isomorphism,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}/T) & \xrightarrow{(h_*)_{/T}} & \text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}/T; \text{Ab}_{\text{gr}}) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ \text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}/S) & \xrightarrow{(h_*)_{/S}} & \text{Sh}(\mathbb{S}/S; \text{Ab}_{\text{gr}}) \end{array}$$

Here f^* restricts a (simplicial) sheaf along the functor $\mathbb{S}/S \rightarrow \mathbb{S}/T$.

Conditions (1)–(3) imply that there exists a left Bousfield localization $\text{sSh}(\mathbb{S}, J)_{h_*}$ of the Joyal model structure whose weak equivalences are the h_* -isomorphisms (see the appendix of [1]). Condition (5) expresses the local nature of the functor h_* ; for example, it implies that there is a natural map of sheaves $\pi_0(X) \rightarrow h_0(X)$.

Let M be a sheaf of simplicial monoids on \mathbb{S} and suppose that M admits a countable set of global sections $m_i: * \rightarrow M$ such that the map

$$(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow M$$

induces a surjection on π_0 -sheaves. In this case, the *group completion theorem* asserts that the map

$$h_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}] \rightarrow h_*(\Omega BM)$$

is an isomorphism if the sheaf $\pi_0(M)$ is contained in the centre of $h_*(M)$.

To see this, let M_s denote the simplicial sheaf obtained as the (homotopy) colimit of the sequence of right multiplication maps

$$(6.13) \quad M \xrightarrow{(-)\cdot m_{i_1}} M \xrightarrow{(-)\cdot m_{i_2}} \dots,$$

where each m_i occurs infinitely many times. It follows that

$$h_*(M_s) \cong \operatorname{colim}(h_*(M) \xrightarrow{m_{i_1}} h_*(M) \xrightarrow{m_{i_2}} h_*(M) \rightarrow \dots).$$

Because $\pi_0(M)$ is contained in the centre of $h_*(M)$, this colimit has the structure of an associative algebra. Since every local section of $\pi_0(M)$ agrees with the restriction of some global section m_i , we have that

$$h_*(M_s) \cong h_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}].$$

It therefore suffices to provide an h_* -isomorphism $M_s \rightarrow \Omega BM$. To do this, note that left multiplication turns (6.13) into a sequence of left M -modules, so that M_s is a left M -module as well. We obtain a pullback square of simplicial sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_s & \longrightarrow & B((M_s)_M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & BM \end{array}$$

The simplicial sheaf $B((M_s)_M)$ is weakly contractible, being a filtered colimit of simplicial sheaves $B(M_M)$ (see Example 6.9). By Theorem 5.1, the map $M_s \rightarrow \Omega BM$ is an h_* -isomorphism if M acts on M_s by h_* -isomorphisms.

To see that M acts on M_s by h_* -isomorphisms, we can use (5) to work locally. Given an element $m: S \times \Delta[0] \rightarrow M$, we may therefore assume that m is homotopic to one of the global elements $m_i: * \rightarrow M$, restricted to S . Then m acts by h_* -isomorphisms as soon as m_i acts by h_* -isomorphisms on M_∞ . The map

$$h_*(M_s) \cong h_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}] \xrightarrow{m_i \cdot (-)} h_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}] \cong h_*(M_s)$$

arises from left multiplication by m_i in $h_*(M)$, which becomes an isomorphism on $h_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}]$ by construction.

Example 6.14 Suppose that R is a sheaf of commutative rings on \mathbb{S} . For each n , let $\operatorname{GL}_n(R) \subseteq R^{n \times n}$ be the subsheaf of matrices with invertible determinant. Consider the monoid $M = \coprod_n B\operatorname{GL}_n(R)$ whose multiplication is induced by the block sum of

matrices $GL_n(R) \times GL_m(R) \rightarrow GL_{n+m}(R)$. There is an isomorphism of simplicial sheaves

$$M_S \cong \mathbb{Z} \times BGL_\infty(R) := \mathbb{Z} \times \operatorname{colim}(BGL_1(R) \xrightarrow{(-)\oplus 1} BGL_2(R) \xrightarrow{(-)\oplus 1} \dots)$$

because $\pi_0(M) \cong \mathbb{N}$ is generated by a single element 1. The group completion theorem of Example 6.11 now asserts that the map

$$\mathbb{Z} \times BGL_\infty(R) \rightarrow \Omega B(M)$$

induces an isomorphism on homology sheaves.

Variante 6.15 The same argument applies when the (integral) homology functor h_* is replaced by any other functor

$$E_*: \operatorname{sSh}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sh}(S; \operatorname{Mod}_{E_*(*)}^{\operatorname{gr}})$$

which takes values in sheaves of graded modules over a sheaf of graded-commutative rings $E_*(*)$ and satisfies conditions (1)–(5) above.

Appendix

In this appendix we briefly outline how Quillen’s Theorem B arises in the setting of ∞ -categories, ie quasicategories. If C is a quasicategory, (left) actions by C on spaces can be modelled by left fibrations $E \rightarrow C$. There are various ways to express that $E \rightarrow C$ encodes an action of C by weak equivalences. For instance, let $C \rightarrow C[C^{-1}]$ denote a fibrant replacement of C in the Kan–Quillen model structure on simplicial sets. We can factor the composite $E \rightarrow C \rightarrow C[C^{-1}]$ into a left anodyne map, followed by a left (hence Kan) fibration over $C[C^{-1}]$, as in the right square of the diagram

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E \times_C \{c\} & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E[C^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{c\} & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & C[C^{-1}] \end{array}$$

We might say that C acts by weak equivalences if the map to the (homotopy) pullback $E \rightarrow E[C^{-1}] \times_{C[C^{-1}]} C$ is a covariant weak equivalence. Informally, this means that the action of C descends to an action of $C[C^{-1}]$.

Now recall that the Kan–Quillen model structure is the left Bousfield localization of the covariant model structure over C at the inclusions $\{1\} \rightarrow \Delta[1]$ for all $\phi: \Delta[1] \rightarrow C$.

Because $E[C^{-1}] \times_{C[C^{-1}]} C \rightarrow C$ is a Kan fibration, it follows that C acts by weak equivalences if and only if $E \rightarrow C$ is itself a Kan fibration. Equivalently, this means that $\phi^* E \rightarrow \Delta[1]$ is a Kan fibration for every morphism ϕ in C . In this case, the left pullback square in (A.1) is certainly a homotopy pullback square for every object $c \in C$. This square is the analogue of the square (5.2), where E plays the role of X_C . In other words, in the setting of quasicategories one can give several (equivalent) plausible definitions of “acting by weak equivalences” which render Quillen's Theorem B tautologous.

References

- [1] **A K Bousfield**, *The localization of spaces with respect to homology*, *Topology* 14 (1975) 133–150 MR
- [2] **K S Brown**, *Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 186 (1973) 419–458 MR
- [3] **W Chachólski, W Pitsch, J Scherer**, *Homotopy pull-back squares up to localization*, from “An alpine anthology of homotopy theory” (D Arlettaz, K Hess, editors), *Contemp. Math.* 399, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006) 55–72 MR
- [4] **D-C Cisinski**, *Locally constant functors*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 147 (2009) 593–614 MR
- [5] **P Deligne**, *Critère d'existence de points* Appendix to A Grothendieck, J L Verdier, *Conditions de finitude: topos et sites fibres—applications et questions de passage a la limite*, from “Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 2 (SGA 4₂)”, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 270, Springer (1972) Exposé VI MR
- [6] **B Harris**, *Bott periodicity via simplicial spaces*, *J. Algebra* 62 (1980) 450–454 MR
- [7] **J F Jardine**, *Simplicial presheaves*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 47 (1987) 35–87 MR
- [8] **J F Jardine**, *Diagrams and torsors*, *K–Theory* 37 (2006) 291–309 MR
- [9] **A Joyal**, *Lettre d'André Joyal à Alexandre Grothendieck* (1984) Available at <http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~georges.maltsiniotis/ps/lettreJoyal.ps>
- [10] **J Lurie**, *Higher topos theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* 170, Princeton Univ. Press (2009) MR
- [11] **M Makkai, G E Reyes**, *First order categorical logic: model-theoretical methods in the theory of topoi and related categories*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 611, Springer (1977) MR
- [12] **D McDuff, G Segal**, *Homology fibrations and the “group-completion” theorem*, *Invent. Math.* 31 (1976) 279–284 MR

- [13] **I Moerdijk**, *Bisimplicial sets and the group-completion theorem*, from “Algebraic K -theory: connections with geometry and topology” (J F Jardine, V P Snaith, editors), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 279, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1989) 225–240 MR
- [14] **F Morel, V Voevodsky**, \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 90 (1999) 45–143 MR
- [15] **V Puppe**, *A remark on “homotopy fibrations”*, Manuscripta Math. 12 (1974) 113–120 MR
- [16] **D Quillen**, *On the group completion of a simplicial monoid* Appendix Q to E M Friedlander, B Mazur, *Filtrations on the homology of algebraic varieties*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 529, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1994) MR
- [17] **D Quillen**, *Higher algebraic K -theory, I*, from “Algebraic K -theory, I: Higher K -theories” (H Bass, editor), Lecture Notes in Math. 341, Springer (1973) 85–147 MR
- [18] **C Rezk**, *Fibrations and homotopy colimits of simplicial sheaves*, preprint (1998) arXiv
- [19] **R W Thomason**, *Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 85 (1979) 91–109 MR

*Mathematical Institute, Utrecht University
Utrecht, Netherlands*

*Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck, Université de Montpellier
Montpellier, France*

`i.moerdijk@uu.nl`, `joost-jakob.nuiten@umontpellier.fr`

Received: 21 August 2018 Revised: 22 July 2019