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If $\Gamma$ is the fundamental group of a complete finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifold, Guilloux conjectured that the Borel function on the $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-character variety of $\Gamma$ should be rigid at infinity, that is it should stay bounded away from its maximum at ideal points.
We prove Guilloux's conjecture in the particular case of the reflection group associated to a regular ideal tetrahedron of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be the fundamental group of a finite volume complete hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$. In the attempt to explore the rigidity properties of $\Gamma$, many mathematicians studied the space of representations of $\Gamma$ inside a semisimple Lie group $G$. For instance, when $G=\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, Bucher, Burger and Iozzi [7] introduced the Borel function on the character variety $X(\Gamma, \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ using bounded cohomology techniques. The Borel function is continuous with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence and its absolute value is bounded by the volume of $M$ multiplied by a suitable constant depending on $n$. Additionally, the maximum is attained only by the conjugacy class of the representation $\pi_{n} \circ i$ (or by its complex conjugate), where $i: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the standard lattice embedding and $\pi_{n}: \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the irreducible representation. When $n=2$ the Borel function boils down to the volume function introduced for instance by Dunfield [11] or Francaviglia [12] and its rigid behavior can be translated in terms of the Mostow rigidity theorem [20].

Beyond their intrinsic interest, the previous results have several important consequences for the birationality properties of the character variety $X(\Gamma, \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$. For example, both Dunfield [11] and Klaff and Tillmann [18] used the properties of the volume

[^0]function to prove that the component of the variety $X(\Gamma, \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ containing the holonomy of $M$ is birational to its image through the peripheral holonomy map, which is obtained by restricting any representation to the fundamental groups of the cusps. A similar result has been obtained by Guilloux [17] for the geometric component of the $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-character variety, but the author needed to conjecture that outside of an analytic neighborhood of the class of the representation $\pi_{n} \circ i$ the Borel function is bounded away from its maximum value.
In this paper we focus our attention on the reflection group associated to a regular ideal tetrahedron and we prove a weak version of [17, Conjecture 1] for every $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\Gamma$ be the reflection group associated to the regular ideal tetrahedron $\left(0,1, e^{\pi i / 3}, \infty\right)$ and let $\Gamma_{0}<\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be a torsion-free finite index subgroup of $\Gamma$. Let $\rho_{k}: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a sequence of representations and assume that each $\rho_{k}$ admits an equivariant measurable $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{k}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(\rho_{k}\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$. Then there must exist a sequence $\left(g_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}$,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \rho_{k}(\gamma) g_{k}^{-1}=\left(\pi_{n} \circ i\right)(\gamma)
$$

where $i: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the standard lattice embedding and

$$
\pi_{n}: \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})
$$

is the irreducible representation.
This phenomenon, called rigidity at infinity, was proved by the author and Francaviglia [14, Theorem 1.1] for $n=2$ and any nonuniform lattice of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ - notice that the same phenomenon holds for all rank-one representations of any rank-one lattice [21]. However, since in that case our proof exploited the existence of natural maps for nonelementary representations - see for instance Besson, Courtois and Gallot [2; 3; 4] and Francaviglia [13] - we could not use the same argument here.
For our purposes, the existence of a boundary map $\varphi_{k}$ is crucial. Indeed, the possibility to express the Borel invariant $\beta_{n}\left(\rho_{k}\right)$ as the integral over a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of the pullback of the Borel cocycle along the boundary map $\varphi_{k}$ together with the maximality hypothesis allows us to prove the existence of a suitable sequence $\left(g_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that the sequence $\left(g_{k} \varphi_{k}(\gamma \underline{\xi})\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, where $\underline{\xi}=\left(0,1, e^{\pi i / 3}, \infty\right)$ and $\gamma$ is any element of $\Gamma_{0}$. The boundedness of the previous sequence implies the boundedness of $\left(g_{k} \rho_{k} g_{k}^{-1}(\gamma)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}$ and hence we reach our conclusion.

## Organization

Section 2 is dedicated to preliminary definitions. We start with the notion of bounded cohomology for a locally compact group, then we recall the definition of the Borel cocycle and the Borel class. We finally introduce the Borel invariant for a representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and we recall its rigidity property. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem.
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## 2 Preliminary definitions

### 2.1 Bounded cohomology of semisimple Lie groups

Given a locally compact group $G$ there exist several ways to introduce the notion of continuous bounded cohomology of $G$. The standard one relies on the complex of continuous bounded functions on tuples of $G$. Since we will deal only with semisimple Lie groups and their lattices, we are going to follow a different approach. Indeed, in this case, one can introduce the continuous bounded cohomology of $G$ via the complex of essentially bounded measurable functions on the Furstenberg boundary. This definition is equivalent to the standard one thanks to the work by Burger and Monod [9, Corollary 1.5 .3 ]. More generally, one can use any strong resolution of $\mathbb{R}$ via relatively injective $G$-modules to compute the continuous bounded cohomology of $G$. For a more detailed exposition about these notions, we refer the reader to Monod's book [19].

Let $G$ be a semisimple Lie group of noncompact type and let $B(G)$ be its Furstenberg boundary. The latter can be identified with $G / P$, where $P$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup of $G$. For instance, when $G=\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, its Furstenberg boundary is $B(G)=\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. Recall that $B(G)$ admits a canonical quasi-invariant measure obtained by the Haar measurable structure on the group $G$.

We define the space of bounded measurable functions on the Furstenberg boundary as

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right):=\left\{f: B(G)^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text { is measurable }\right\}
$$

By introducing the usual equivalence relation $f \sim g$, where $f$ and $g$ are equivalent if and only if they coincide up to a measure zero subset, we can define the space of essentially bounded measurable functions as

$$
L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right):=\mathcal{B}^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right) / \sim
$$

From now on, with an abuse of notation, we are going to write only $f$ when we refer to its equivalence class $[f]_{\sim}$.
The space $L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ admits a natural $G$-module structure given by

$$
(g f)\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right):=f\left(g^{-1} \xi_{0}, \ldots, g^{-1} \xi_{n}\right)
$$

for every element $g \in G$, every function $f \in L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and almost every $\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in B(G)$. Together with the standard homogeneous coboundary operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta^{n}: L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+2}, \mathbb{R}\right) \\
\delta^{n} f\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}(-1)^{i} f\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots \xi_{n+1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

we obtain a cochain complex $\left(L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{\bullet+1}, \mathbb{R}\right), \delta^{\bullet}\right)$.
If we define the space of $G$-invariant functions as

$$
L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{G}:=\left\{f \in L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right) \mid g f=f \text { for all } g \in G\right\}
$$

we can restrict the coboundary operators to that collection of spaces getting a subcomplex $\left(L\left(B(G)^{\bullet+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{G} ; \delta_{\rho}^{\bullet}\right)$.

Definition 2.1 The continuous bounded cohomology of $G$ is the cohomology of the subcomplex $\left(L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{\bullet+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{G} ; \delta_{\mid}^{\bullet}\right)$ and it is denoted by $H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{\bullet}(G, \mathbb{R})$. In a similar way, if $\Gamma<G$ is a lattice, its bounded cohomology groups are given by the cohomology of the subcomplex $\left(L^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{\bullet+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{\Gamma} ; \delta_{\mid}^{\bullet}\right)$ and they are denoted by $H_{b}^{\bullet}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$.

Notice that in the case of a lattice we omitted the subscript $c$, since the topology inherited by $\Gamma$ from $G$ is the discrete one and the continuity issue becomes trivial. For both the group $G$ and its lattices, from now on, we are going to omit the real coefficients when we refer to the continuous bounded cohomology groups.
Remarkably, one can consider the complex of bounded measurable functions

$$
\left(\mathcal{B}^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{\bullet+1}, \mathbb{R}\right), \delta^{\bullet}\right)
$$

to gain precious information about the continuous bounded cohomology of $G$. Here $\delta^{\bullet}$ still denotes the standard coboundary operator.

Proposition 2.2 [8, Proposition 2.1] If we add to the complex $\left(\mathcal{B}^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{\bullet+1}, \mathbb{R}\right), \delta^{\bullet}\right)$ the inclusion of coefficient $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\infty}(B(G), \mathbb{R})$, we get back a strong resolution of $\mathbb{R}$. Hence there exists a canonical map

$$
\mathfrak{c}^{\bullet}: H^{\bullet}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\infty}\left(B(G)^{\bullet+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{G}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{\bullet}(G)
$$

We conclude the section by observing that both Definition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 are still valid if we consider the subcomplex of alternating cochains. Recall that an essentially bounded function or a bounded measurable function $f: B(G)^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is alternating if for every permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$, it holds that

$$
f\left(x_{\sigma(0)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

where sgn is the sign of the permutation.

### 2.2 The Borel cocycle

A complete flag $F$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a sequence of nested subspaces

$$
F^{0} \subset F^{1} \subset \ldots F^{n-1} \subset F^{n}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} F^{i}=i$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the space parametrizing all the possible complete flags of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. This is a complex variety which can be thought of as a homogeneous space obtained as the quotient of $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ by any of its Borel subgroups. In this way $\mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the realization of the Furstenberg boundary associated to $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

An affine flag $(F, v)$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a complete flag $F$ together with a decoration

$$
v=\left(v^{1}, \ldots, v^{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)^{n}
$$

such that

$$
F^{i}=\mathbb{C} v^{i}+F^{i-1}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots n$. For any 4-tuple of affine flags $\boldsymbol{F}=\left(\left(F_{0}, v_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(F_{3}, v_{3}\right)\right)$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and given a multi-index $\boldsymbol{J} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}^{4}$, we set

$$
\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J}):=\left[\frac{\left\langle F_{0}^{j_{0}+1}, \ldots, F_{3}^{j_{3}+1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle F_{0}^{j_{0}}, \ldots, F_{3}^{j_{3}}\right\rangle} ;\left(v_{0}^{j_{0}+1}, \ldots, v_{3}^{j_{3}+1}\right)\right]
$$

In the notation above we denoted by $\left[V,\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)\right]$ the equivalence class of a complex $m$-dimensional vector space $V$ together with a $(k+1)$-tuple of spanning vectors $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in V^{k+1}$ modulo the diagonal action of $\operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$.

Since the hyperbolic volume function $\mathrm{Vol}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be thought of as defined on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{4}$, we can actually extend it on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{4}$. Using such an extension, we define the cocycle $B_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}\left(\left(F_{0}, v_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(F_{3}, v_{3}\right)\right):=\sum_{\boldsymbol{J} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}^{4}} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we consider the volume function exactly when the dimension of the vector space appearing in $\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J})$ is equal to 2 , and we set the volume equal to zero otherwise.
In the particular case of generic flags (see Definition 2.7), the definition of the Borel cocycle is given by Goncharov [15]. Its extension to the whole space of 4-tuples of flags is due to Bucher, Burger and Iozzi, who proved the following.

Proposition 2.3 [7, Corollary 13, Theorem 14] The function $B_{n}$ does not depend on the decoration used to compute it and hence it descends naturally to a function

$$
B_{n}: \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

on 4-tuples of flags which is defined everywhere. Moreover, that function is a measurable $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-invariant alternating cocycle whose absolute value is bounded by $\binom{n+1}{3} \nu_{3}$, where $\nu_{3}$ is the volume of a positively oriented regular ideal tetrahedron in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, the function $B_{n}$ naturally determines a bounded cohomology class in $H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{3}(\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$, which we are going to denote by $\beta_{b}(n)$.

Definition 2.4 The cocycle $B_{n}$ is called a Borel cocycle and the class $\beta_{b}(n)$ is called a bounded Borel class.

Bucher, Burger and Iozzi [7, Theorem 2] proved that the cohomology group

$$
H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{3}(\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C}))
$$

is a one-dimensional real vector space generated by the bounded Borel class. This generalizes a previous result by Bloch [5] for $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.
We are going now to recall the main rigidity property of the Borel cocycle. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_{n}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$ the Veronese map. Recall that, if $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{i}(\xi)$ is the $i$-dimensional space of the flag $\mathcal{V}_{n}(\xi)$ and $\xi$ has homogeneous coordinates $[x: y]$, then we define $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{n-i}(\xi)$ as the $(n-i)$-dimensional subspace with basis

$$
\left(0, \ldots, 0, x^{i},\binom{i}{1} x^{i-1} y, \ldots,\binom{i}{j} x^{i-j} y^{j}, \ldots,\binom{i}{i-1} x y^{i-1}, y^{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}
$$

where the first are $k$ zeros and the last are $n-i-k-1$ zeros, for $k=0, \ldots, n-1-i$.

Definition 2.5 Let $\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right) \in \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})^{4}$ be a 4-tuple of flags. We say that the 4-tuple is maximal if

$$
\left|B_{n}\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right)\right|=\binom{n+1}{3} v_{3} .
$$

Maximal flags can be described in terms of the Veronese embedding. More precisely:
Theorem 2.6 [7, Theorem 19, Corollary 20] Let $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)$ be a maximal 4 -tuple of flags in $\mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then there must exist a unique element $g \in \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
g\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}(0), \mathcal{V}_{n}(1), \mathcal{V}_{n}\left( \pm e^{i \frac{i \pi}{3}}\right), \mathcal{V}_{n}(\infty)\right)
$$

where the sign $\pm$ reflects the sign of $B_{n}\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right)$. Additionally, if $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)$ and $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ are both maximal with the same sign, then $F_{3}=F_{3}^{\prime}$.

Now we discuss the continuity property of the Borel cocycle. The latter is measurable and not continuous since for instance one can consider a maximal 4-tuple of flags $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)$ and apply the sequence $\left(\pi_{n}(g)^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to it, where $g \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is loxodromic and $\pi_{n}: \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the irreducible representation. In this way we get a sequence of maximal 4-tuples which degenerates at the limit and for that sequence the Borel cocycle is not continuous.
Nevertheless one can say something relevant about continuity when a 4-tuple of flags $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)$ satisfies a particular condition called general position.

Definition 2.7 Let $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right) \in \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})^{4}$ be a 4-tuple of flags. We say that the flags are in general position if

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\langle F_{0}^{j_{0}}, \ldots F_{3}^{j_{3}}\right\rangle=j_{0}+\ldots+j_{3}
$$

whenever $j_{0}+\ldots+j_{3} \leq n$.
For a 4-tuple of flags in general position and a multi-index $\boldsymbol{J}$ such that

$$
j_{0}+\cdots+j_{3}=n-2
$$

the projection of the 4 -tuple $\left(v_{0}^{j_{0}+1}, \ldots, v_{3}^{j_{3}+1}\right)$ to the 2-dimensional vector space appearing in $\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J})$ gives us back a 4 -tuple of distinct points on a projective line. Since such a 4-tuple varies continuously and the volume function Vol is continuous on 4-tuples of distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$, we get that the Borel cocycle is continuous on $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-orbits of 4 -tuples of flags in general position.

The Borel cocycle can be used to understand when 4 flags are in general position.

Lemma 2.8 Let $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right) \in \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})^{4}$ be a 4-tuple of flags. If

$$
\left|B_{n}\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)-\binom{n+1}{3} \nu_{3}\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, then the flags are in general position.
Proof We are going to denote by $C_{k}(n)$ the number of all the possible partitions of $n$ by $k$ integers.

Our proof will follow the line of [7, Lemma 15]. We will argue by induction on $n$. Suppose $n=2$. The flags boil down to lines in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and those lines are in general position only if they are distinct. Since the Borel invariant is equal to zero when evaluated at two lines that coincide, the claim follows.

Assume now that the statement is true for $n-1$. Given a flag $F \in \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$ we are going to denote by $\bar{F} \in \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} /\left\langle F_{0}^{1}\right\rangle\right)$ the complete flag of the quotient $\mathbb{C}^{n} /\left\langle F_{0}^{1}\right\rangle$ obtained by projecting $F$. Take the minimal value $j$ such that $F_{0}^{1} \subset F_{1}^{j}$.

We define the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{1} & :=\left\{\boldsymbol{J} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}^{4} \mid j_{0}=j_{1}=0,0 \leq j_{2}, j_{3} \leq n-2\right\}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{2} & :=\left\{\boldsymbol{J} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}^{4} \mid j_{0}=0,0<j_{1} \leq n-2,0 \leq j_{2}, j_{3} \leq n-2\right\}, \\
\mathcal{J}_{3} & :=\left\{\boldsymbol{J} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}^{4} \mid 0<j_{0} \leq n-2,0 \leq j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3} \leq n-2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By following the same computation of Bucher, Burger and Iozzi [7, Equation 8, Lemma 17], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon> & \binom{n+1}{3} \nu_{3}-B_{n}\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right)  \tag{2}\\
= & C_{4}(n-2) \nu_{3}-\sum_{\boldsymbol{J} \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}^{4}} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J}) \\
= & \left(C_{4}(n-3) \nu_{3}-\sum_{\mathcal{J}_{3}} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J})\right)+\left(C_{3}(n-3) \nu_{3}-\sum_{\mathcal{J}_{2}} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J})\right) \\
& +\left(C_{2}(n-2) \nu_{3}-\sum_{\mathcal{J}_{1}} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J})\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the fact that $C_{4}(n-2)=\binom{n+1}{3}$ and the recursive relation

$$
C_{k}(n)=C_{k-1}(n)+C_{k}(n-1) .
$$

Notice that in the last line of the equation we removed the vanishing terms whose multi-index $\boldsymbol{J}$ does not lie in any $\mathcal{J}_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$.

It follows that if the Borel invariant is $\varepsilon$-near to its maximal value, then the sums over the sets $\mathcal{J}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{3}$ are $\varepsilon$-near to their maximal values. By the symmetry in the roles played by the indices appearing in $\boldsymbol{J}$, we must have

$$
\sum_{\substack{j_{0}=j_{2}=0 \\ 0 \leq j_{1}, j_{3} \leq n-2}} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J})>C_{2}(n-2) \nu_{3}-\varepsilon
$$

Using the particular choice of $j$ and following the same argument of [7, Lemma 15], we get that

$$
(j-1) \nu_{3} \geq C_{2}(n-2) \nu_{3}-\varepsilon=(n-1) \nu_{3}-\varepsilon
$$

and since $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small and $j$ is an integer, $j$ must be equal to $n$. This implies that $F_{0}^{1} \subset F_{1}^{n} \backslash F_{1}^{n-1}$. A similar condition holds also for $F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$. In this way we get that

$$
\overline{F_{k}^{i}}=\bar{F}_{k}^{i},
$$

for $k=1,2,3$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, whereas

$$
\overline{F_{0}^{i}}=\bar{F}_{0}^{i-1}
$$

for $i \geq 1$.
Consider now $0 \leq j_{0}, j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3} \leq n$ such that $j_{0}+j_{1}+j_{2}+j_{3} \leq n$. The case $j_{0}=\ldots=j_{3}=0$ is trivial, so we will assume $j_{0} \geq 1$. By (2) we know that the sum over $\mathcal{J}_{3}$ is $\varepsilon$-near to its maximal value $C_{4}(n-3) \nu_{3}$. Thanks to [7, Equation 9], we can write

$$
B_{n-1}\left(\bar{F}_{0}, \ldots, \bar{F}_{3}\right)=\sum_{\mathcal{J}_{3}} \operatorname{Vol} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{J}) \geq C_{4}(n-3) \nu_{3}-\varepsilon
$$

Hence $\bar{F}_{0}, \ldots, \bar{F}_{3}$ are in general position by the inductive hypothesis. In this way we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\langle F_{0}^{j_{0}}, \ldots, F_{3}^{j_{3}}\right\rangle & =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\langle\overline{F_{0}^{j_{0}}}, \ldots, \overline{F_{3}^{j_{3}}}\right\rangle+1 \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\langle\bar{F}_{0}^{j_{0}-1}, \bar{F}_{1}^{j_{1}}, \ldots, \bar{F}_{3}^{j_{3}}\right\rangle+1 \\
& =\left(j_{0}-1\right)+j_{1}+j_{2}+j_{3}+1 \\
& =j_{0}+j_{1}+j_{2}+j_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and this finishes the proof of the lemma.

The previous result is crucial in the proof of the following:

Lemma 2.9 $\operatorname{Let}\left(F_{0}^{(k)}, \ldots, F_{3}^{(k)}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of 4-tuples of flags such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}\left(F_{0}^{(k)}, \ldots, F_{3}^{(k)}\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} v_{3}
$$

Given a positively oriented regular ideal tetrahedron $\underline{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right)$, there exists a sequence $\left(g_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements $g_{k} \in \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} F_{i}^{(k)}=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\xi_{i}\right)
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, 3$.

Proof By hypothesis we know that for $k$ large enough,

$$
\left|B_{n}\left(F_{0}^{(k)}, \ldots, F_{3}^{(k)}\right)-\binom{n+1}{3} v_{3}\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ fixed. By Lemma 2.8, up to discarding the first terms of the sequence, we can suppose that $F_{0}^{(k)}, F_{1}^{(k)}, F_{2}^{(k)}, F_{3}^{(k)}$ are in general position. If $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ are flags and $L$ is a line, using the transitivity of $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on triples $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, L\right)$ in general position [7, Lemma 23], we can find a unique element $g_{k} \in \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
g_{k} F_{0}^{(k)}=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\xi_{0}\right), \quad g_{k} F_{1}^{(k)}=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \quad g_{k}\left(F_{2}^{(k)}\right)^{1}=\mathcal{V}_{n}^{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)
$$

On the subset of 4-tuples of flags $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)$ in general position such that $F_{0}=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\xi_{0}\right), F_{1}=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $F_{2}^{1}=\mathcal{V}_{n}^{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ the Borel cocycle is continuous (since we fixed a set of representatives in the $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-orbits) and thus we argue that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k}\left(F_{3}^{(k)}\right)^{1}=\mathcal{V}_{n}^{1}\left(\xi_{3}\right)
$$

Imitating the inductive argument in the proof of [7, Theorem 19] one can show that the same holds for the other subspaces of the flags $F_{2}^{(k)}$ and $F_{3}^{(k)}$.

### 2.3 The Borel invariant for representations into $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$

Let $\Gamma$ be a nonuniform lattice of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ without torsion and let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation. Define $M:=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}$. It is well known that we can decompose the manifold $M$ as $M=N \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{h} C_{i}$, where $N$ is a compact core of $M$ and for every $i=1, \ldots, h$ the component $C_{i}$ is a cuspidal neighborhood diffeomorphic to $T_{i} \times(0, \infty)$, where $T_{i}$ is a torus. Since the fundamental group of the boundary $\partial N$ is abelian, the maps $i_{b}^{*}: H_{b}^{k}(M, M \backslash N) \rightarrow H_{b}^{k}(M)$ induced at the level of bounded cohomology groups are isometric isomorphisms for $k \geq 2$; see [6]. Moreover, it holds that $H_{b}^{k}(M, M \backslash N) \cong H_{b}^{k}(N, \partial N)$ by homotopy invariance of bounded cohomology.

If we denote by $c: H_{b}^{k}(N, \partial N) \rightarrow H^{k}(N, \partial N)$ the comparison map, we can consider the composition

$$
H_{b}^{3}(\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\rho_{b}^{*}} H_{b}^{3}(\Gamma) \cong H_{b}^{3}(M) \xrightarrow{\left(i_{b}^{*}\right)^{-1}} H_{b}^{3}(N, \partial N) \xrightarrow{c} H^{3}(N, \partial N)
$$

where the isomorphism that appears in this composition holds by Gromov's mapping theorem [16].

Definition 2.10 The Borel invariant associated to a representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$
\beta_{n}(\rho):=\left\langle\left(c \circ\left(i_{b}^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\rho_{b}^{*}\right)\right) \beta_{b}(n),[N, \partial N]\right\rangle
$$

where the bracket $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ indicates the Kronecker pairing and $[N, \partial N] \in H_{3}(N, \partial N)$ is a fixed fundamental class.

The definition of the Borel invariant $\beta_{n}(\rho)$ is due to Bucher, Burger and Iozzi [7]. One can check that $\beta_{n}(\rho)$ does not depend on the choice of the compact core $N$ and it can be suitably extended also to lattices with torsion. We want to remark that there exist other different approaches to the Borel invariant, for instance the one given by Dimofte, Gabella and Goncharov [10]. However, since they are all equivalent, we will consider [7] as our main reference.

The Borel invariant $\beta_{n}(\rho)$ remains unchanged on the $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-conjugacy class of a representation $\rho$; hence it naturally defines a function on the character variety $X(\Gamma, \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ which is continuous with respect to the topology of the pointwise convergence (this is a consequence of Proposition 2.12, for instance). This function, called the Borel function, satisfies a strong rigidity property.

Theorem 2.11 [7, Theorem 1] Given any representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$,

$$
\left|\beta_{n}(\rho)\right| \leq\binom{ n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}(M)
$$

and equality holds if and only if $\rho$ is conjugate to $\pi_{n} \circ i$ or its complex conjugate $\overline{\pi_{n} \circ i}$, where $i: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the standard lattice embedding and

$$
\pi_{n}: \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})
$$

is the irreducible representation.

We want to conclude this section by expressing the Borel invariant in terms of boundary maps between Furstenberg boundaries. We first recall the definition of the transfer map $\operatorname{trans}_{\Gamma}: H_{b}^{3}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{3}(\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$. We can define the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\operatorname{trans}{ }_{\Gamma}: L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{\Gamma} \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})},}_{\operatorname{trans}_{\Gamma}(c)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=\int_{\Gamma \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})} c\left(\bar{g} x_{0}, \ldots, \bar{g} x_{n}\right) d \mu(\bar{g}),}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{g}$ stands for the equivalence class of $g$ in $\Gamma \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mu$ is any invariant probability measure on $\Gamma \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Since trans $\Gamma$ is a cochain map, we get a welldefined map

$$
\operatorname{trans}_{\Gamma}: H_{b}^{\bullet}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{\bullet}(\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}))
$$

Given a representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ we can consider the composition

$$
H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{3}(\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\rho_{b}^{*}} H_{b}^{3}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{trans}_{\Gamma}} H_{\mathrm{cb}}^{3}(\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})) .
$$

We have the following:
Proposition 2.12 [7, Propositions 26 and 28] Considering the composition of the map $\rho_{b}^{*}$ with the transfer map trans ${ }_{\Gamma}$,

$$
\left(\operatorname{trans}_{\Gamma} \circ \rho_{b}^{*}\right)\left(\beta_{b}(n)\right)=\frac{\beta_{n}(\rho)}{\operatorname{Vol}(M)} \beta_{b}(2)
$$

Given a measurable $\rho$-equivariant map $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$, we can rewrite the above equation in terms of cochains as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})} B_{n}\left(\varphi\left(g \xi_{0}\right), \ldots \varphi\left(g \xi_{3}\right)\right) d \mu(g)=\frac{\beta_{n}(\rho)}{\operatorname{Vol}(M)} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{4}$.

## 3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section we prove our main theorem. The proof will follow the strategy adopted by Bucher, Burger and Iozzi for proving [7, Theorem 29].

Let $\Gamma$ be the reflection group associated to the regular ideal tetrahedron of vertices $\left(0,1, e^{\pi i / 3}, \infty\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{4}$ and let $\Gamma_{0}<\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be a torsion-free subgroup of $\Gamma$ of finite index. From now until the end of the paper, with an abuse of notation, we are going to denote by $g$ both a general element in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and its equivalence class in $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\Lambda$ be a torsion-free lattice of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose $\rho_{k}: \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a sequence of representations which satisfy $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(\rho_{k}\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$. Assume there exists a measurable map $\varphi_{k}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$ which is $\rho_{k}$-equivariant. Then, up to passing to a subsequence, for almost every $g \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}\left(\varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{0}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{3}\right)\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(g \xi_{0}, \ldots, g \xi_{3}\right)
$$

where $\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{4}$ are the vertices of a regular ideal tetrahedron.

Proof Let $\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{4}$ be the vertices of a regular ideal tetrahedron. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right)=v_{3}$. By Proposition 2.12 we know that (3) holds everywhere and hence we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})} B_{n}\left(\varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{0}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{3}\right)\right) d \mu_{\Lambda \backslash G}(g)=\frac{\beta_{n}\left(\rho_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}\right)} \nu_{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mu_{\Lambda \backslash G}$ is the measure induced by the Haar measure and renormalized to be a probability measure. Since by hypothesis

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(\rho_{k}\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}\right)
$$

by taking the limit on both sides of (4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})} B_{n}\left(\varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{0}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{3}\right)\right) d \mu_{\Lambda \backslash G}(g)=\binom{n+1}{3} \nu_{3} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by Proposition 2.3 the Borel cocycle satisfies $\left|B\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right)\right| \leq\binom{ n+1}{3} \nu_{3}$,

$$
\binom{n+1}{3} \nu_{3}-B_{n}\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right)=\left|\binom{n+1}{3} v_{3}-B_{n}\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right)\right|
$$

for every $\left(F_{0}, \ldots, F_{3}\right) \in \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})^{4}$. If we denote by

$$
\varphi_{k}^{4}: \Lambda \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})^{4}, \quad \varphi_{k}^{4}(g):=\left(\varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{0}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{k}\left(g \xi_{3}\right)\right),
$$

then (5) implies

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|B_{n} \circ \varphi_{k}^{4}-\binom{n+1}{3} \nu_{3}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\Lambda \backslash \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \mu_{\Lambda \backslash G}\right)}=0 .
$$

Since $L^{1}$-convergence implies the convergence almost everywhere of a suitable subsequence [1, Section 7], we can extract a subsequence $\left(\varphi_{k_{\ell}}\right)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}\left(\varphi_{k_{\ell}}\left(g \xi_{0}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{k_{\ell}}\left(g \xi_{3}\right)\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} v_{3}
$$

 equality above holds for $\mu_{G}$-almost every $g \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.
If $\sigma$ is a reflection along any face of $\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right)$, the same argument can be adapted to a tetrahedron $\left(\sigma \xi_{0}, \ldots, \sigma \xi_{3}\right)$ with negative maximal volume $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\sigma \xi_{0}, \ldots, \sigma \xi_{3}\right)=-v_{3}$. Hence the statement follows.

We can apply the previous theorem for a sequence of representations $\rho_{k}: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with boundary maps $\varphi_{k}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(\rho_{k}\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}\right)
$$

With an abuse of notation we are going to denote by $\left(\varphi_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the subsequence that we get from Lemma 3.1.
Our goal now is to show that, up to translating each boundary map $\varphi_{k}$ by an element $g_{k} \in \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, the sequence $g_{k} \varphi_{k}$ tends to the Veronese embedding on the vertices of the tiling of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ by an ideal regular simplex. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\text {reg }} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})^{4}$ the subset of 4-tuples which are the vertices of regular ideal tetrahedra. For every element $\underline{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right)$ we denote by $\Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$ generated by the reflections along the faces of $\underline{\xi}$.
We start with the following:
Lemma 3.2 Let $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}^{\infty}$ be a regular tetrahedron. Consider a sequence of measurable maps $\varphi_{k}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}^{\infty}:=\left\{\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text {reg }} \left\lvert\, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}\left(\varphi_{k}(\underline{\xi})\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}(\underline{\xi})\right.\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{k}(\underline{\xi}):=\left(\varphi_{k}\left(\xi_{0}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{k}\left(\xi_{3}\right)\right)$ for every regular tetrahedron $\underline{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{\text {reg }}$. Suppose that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\xi}$ we have that $\gamma \underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}^{\infty}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(g_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, where each $g_{k}$ is an element of $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}(\alpha)=\mathcal{V}_{n}(\alpha)
$$

for every $\alpha \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{3} \Gamma_{\underline{\xi}} \xi_{i}$.
Proof Since by hypothesis the tetrahedron $\xi$ is an element of $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}$, by Lemma 2.9 we can find a sequence $\left(g_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\xi_{i}\right)
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, 3$.

We want now to verify that the sequence $\left(g_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the one we were looking for. In order to do this we need to verify that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(\gamma \xi_{i}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\gamma \xi_{i}\right)
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, 3$ and for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}$. If $\gamma$ is an arbitrary element of $\Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}$ we can write it as $\gamma=r_{N} \cdot r_{N-1} \cdots r_{1}$, where each $r_{i}$ is a reflection along a face of the tetrahedron $r_{i-1} \cdots r_{1} \underline{\xi}$. We are going to prove the statement by induction on $N$. If $N=0$ there is nothing to prove. Assume the statement holds for $\gamma^{\prime}=r_{N-1} \cdots r_{1}$. Denote by $\underline{\eta}=\gamma^{\prime} \underline{\xi}$. We know that for the vertices of $\underline{\eta}$ we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\eta_{i}\right)
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, 3$. We want to prove that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \eta_{i}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(r_{N} \eta_{i}\right)
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, 3$. Assume $r_{N}$ is the reflection along the face of $\eta$ whose vertices are $\eta_{1}$, $\eta_{2}$ and $\eta_{3}$. In particular we have that $r_{N} \eta_{i}=\eta_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$, so for these vertices the statement holds. We are left to prove that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)
$$

The sequence $\left(g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of points in $\mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$, which is compact. Hence we can extract a subsequence which converges to a point $\alpha_{0} \in \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$. By Lemma 2.8 we know that the 4 -tuple $g_{k} \varphi_{k}(\underline{\eta})$ is eventually in general position. By the continuity of the Borel cocycle on the set of 4-tuples in general position we get

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}\left(g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right), g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\right)=B_{n}\left(\alpha_{0}, \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\right)
$$

At the same time, by hypothesis it follows that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}\left(g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \underline{\eta}\right)\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(r_{N} \underline{\eta}\right)=-\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}(\underline{\eta})
$$

On the other hand,

$$
B_{n}\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(r_{N} \underline{\eta}\right)\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(r_{N} \underline{\eta}\right)=-\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}(\underline{\eta})
$$

Hence, by a simple comparison argument, we get

$$
B_{n}\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right), \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\right)=B_{n}\left(\alpha_{0}, \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\right)= \pm\binom{ n+1}{3} v_{3}
$$

As a consequence we must have $\alpha_{0}=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)$, but this is equivalent to saying that the sequence $\left(g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)
$$

for any convergent subsequence of $\left(g_{k} \varphi_{k}\left(r_{N} \eta_{0}\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. The statement follows.
We are now ready to prove the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 1.1 Define the set

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}^{\infty}:=\left\{\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}^{\infty} \mid \gamma \underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}^{\infty} \text { for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}\right\} .
$$

We claim that this set is a set of full measure in $\mathcal{T}_{\text {reg }}$. By Lemma 3.1, we already know that $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}$ defined by (6) is a set of full measure. For any $\underline{\eta} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text {reg }}$ we define the evaluation map

$$
\mathrm{ev}_{\underline{\eta}}: \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text {reg }}, \quad \mathrm{ev}_{\underline{\eta}}(g):=g \underline{\eta} .
$$

Set $G^{\infty}:=\operatorname{ev}_{\underline{\eta}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\infty}\right)$ and $G_{\Gamma}^{\infty}:=\operatorname{ev}_{\underline{\eta}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}^{\infty}\right)$. Let $\underline{\xi}=g \underline{\eta}$. Then $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}^{\infty}$ if and only if for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}^{-}$we have that $\gamma \underline{\xi}=\gamma g \underline{\eta} \in \mathcal{T}^{\infty}$. Since $\Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}=\Gamma_{g \underline{\eta}}=g \Gamma_{\underline{\eta}} g^{-1}$, any element $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}$ can be written as $\gamma=\bar{g} \gamma_{0} g^{-\overline{1}}$, where $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma_{\underline{\eta}}$. Thus, by a simple substitution, we get that $\underline{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}^{\infty}$ if and only if for every $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma_{\underline{\eta}}$ we have that $g \gamma_{0} \underline{\eta} \in \mathcal{T}^{\infty}$. This argument implies that we can write

$$
G_{\Gamma}^{\infty}=\bigcap_{\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma_{\underline{\eta}}} G^{\infty} \gamma_{0}^{-1}
$$

All the sets $G^{\infty} \gamma_{0}^{-1}$ are sets of full measure, since they are right-translates of the set of full measure $G^{\infty}$ by the element $\gamma_{0}^{-1}$. Being a countable intersection of full measure sets, $G_{\Gamma}^{\infty}$ also has full measure. Hence also $\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}^{\infty}$ has full measure, as claimed.
Since all regular ideal tetrahedra are in a unique $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$-orbit, up to conjugating each representation $\rho_{k}$, we can assume that $\xi=\left(0,1, e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}, \infty\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}^{\infty}$. With this assumption we have that $\Gamma_{\underline{\xi}}=\Gamma$, the reflection lattice we started with. By applying Lemma 3.2, there must exist a sequence $\left(g_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements $g_{k} \in \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k} \varphi_{k}(\gamma \underline{\xi})=\mathcal{V}_{n}(\gamma \underline{\xi})=\pi_{n}(\gamma) \mathcal{V}_{n}(\underline{\xi})
$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and hence for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}$, where $\pi_{n}: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the irreducible representation and $\mathcal{V}_{n}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the Veronese embedding. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\tilde{\varphi}_{k}:=g_{k} \varphi_{k}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{k}:=g_{k} \rho_{k} g_{k}^{-1}$. We get that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\rho}_{k}(\gamma) \tilde{\varphi}_{k}(\underline{\xi})=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\varphi}_{k}(\gamma \underline{\xi})=\mathcal{V}_{n}(\gamma \underline{\xi})=\pi_{n}(\gamma) \mathcal{V}_{n}(\underline{\xi})
$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}$. In particular notice that both sequences $\left(\varphi_{k}(\underline{\xi})\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(\varphi_{k}(\gamma \underline{\xi})\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge. The element $\gamma$ acts as $\pi_{n}(\gamma)$ at the limit, so the sequence $\left(\tilde{\rho}_{k}(\gamma)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ cannot diverge and it remains bounded in $\operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Hence the sequence of representations $\left(\tilde{\rho}_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has to be bounded in the character variety $X\left(\Gamma_{0}, \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})\right)$ and there must exists a subsequence of $\left(\tilde{\rho}_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to a suitable representation $\rho_{\infty}$.

By the continuity of the Borel function on the character variety $X\left(\Gamma_{0}, \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})\right)$ with respect to the pointwise topology, it follows that

$$
\beta_{n}\left(\rho_{\infty}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{k}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(\rho_{k}\right)=\binom{n+1}{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}\right)
$$

By [7, Theorem 1] the representation $\rho_{\infty}$ must be conjugate to the representation $\left(\pi_{n} \circ i\right)$, where $i: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the standard lattice embedding and

$$
\pi_{n}: \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(n, \mathbb{C})
$$

is the irreducible representation. Since the argument above holds for every convergent subsequence of $\left(\tilde{\rho}_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, the theorem follows.

We conclude by noticing that in the proof we exploited crucially the combinatorial structure of the reflection group $\Gamma$. For this reason it seems unlikely the proof will adapt to more general lattices.
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