

AG
T

*Algebraic & Geometric
Topology*

Volume 23 (2023)

**Diffeomorphisms of odd-dimensional discs,
glued into a manifold**

JOHANNES EBERT



Diffeomorphisms of odd-dimensional discs, glued into a manifold

JOHANNES EBERT

Let $\mu_M : B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}(M)$, for a compact $(2n+1)$ -dimensional smooth manifold M , be the map defined by extending diffeomorphisms on an embedded disc by the identity. By a classical result of Farrell and Hsiang, the rational homotopy groups and the rational homology of $B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})$ are known in the concordance stable range. We prove two results on the behaviour of the map μ_M in the concordance stable range. Firstly, it is *injective* on rational homotopy groups, and secondly, it is *trivial* on rational homology if M contains sufficiently many embedded copies of $S^n \times S^{n+1} \setminus \text{int}(D^{2n+1})$. We also show that μ_M is generally not injective on homotopy groups outside the stable range.

The homotopical statement is probably not new and follows from the theory of smooth torsion invariants. The noninjectivity outside the stable range is based on recent work by Krannich and Randal-Williams. The homological statement relies on work by Botvinnik and Perlmutter on diffeomorphisms of odd-dimensional manifolds.

57S05

1 Introduction

For a smooth compact manifold M with boundary, we denote by $\text{Diff}(M)$ the topological group of diffeomorphisms of M , and by $\text{Diff}_\partial(M) \subset \text{Diff}(M)$ the subgroup of those diffeomorphisms which agree with the identity near ∂M . A celebrated classical result by Farrell and Hsiang [10] states that

$$(1.1) \quad \pi_k(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

in a range of degrees which was originally given by $k < \frac{1}{3}n$, but (1.1) holds more generally if $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$, where $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$ is the *rational concordance stable range* for D^{2n} , which we briefly recall.

For a compact smooth manifold M , let

$$C(M) := \text{Diff}(M \times [0, 1], M \times \{0\} \cup \partial M \times [0, 1])$$

be the concordance diffeomorphism group of M , and let $\sigma_+ : C(M) \rightarrow C(M \times [0, 1])$ be the (positive) suspension map defined in eg Igusa [16, Section 6.2]. Define $\phi(M)$ to be the largest integer k such that the maps $\sigma_+ : C(M \times [0, 1]^m) \rightarrow C(M \times [0, 1]^{m+1})$, for $m \geq 0$, are all k -connected. Similarly, define $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(M) \geq \phi(M)$ using rational connectivity instead of connectivity (this makes sense if $\dim(M) \geq 6$ as $\pi_0(C(M))$ is abelian in that case, by Hatcher and Wagoner [14, Lemma 1.1]).

Igusa’s stability theorem [15, page 6] states that

$$(1.2) \quad \phi(M^d) \geq \min\left(\frac{1}{2}(d - 7), \frac{1}{3}(d - 4)\right).$$

Recent work by Krannich and Randal-Williams [21] gives the optimal range in which (1.1) holds. Corollary B of [21] shows that

$$(1.3) \quad \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^d) = d - 4 \quad \text{if } d \geq 10,$$

and hence (1.1) holds if $k \leq 2n - 4$, provided that $n \geq 5$. Theorem A of [21] improves this to $k \leq 2n - 3$, again for $n \geq 5$. These results slightly exceed Krannich [20, Corollary B].

For an arbitrary smooth compact and nonempty manifold M of dimension $2n + 1$, choose an embedding $D^{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{int } M$. Extending diffeomorphisms by the identity gives a gluing map

$$\mu_M^\partial : \text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_\partial(M).$$

We may also consider the composition

$$\mu_M : \text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{\mu_M^\partial} \text{Diff}_\partial(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M).$$

The purpose of this note is to study the effect of the maps $B\mu_M$ and $B\mu_M^\partial$ on rational homotopy and homology. The precise choice of the embedding does not play a role for this question as long as M is connected. This is because the homotopy class of $B\mu_M$ only depends on the isotopy class of the embedding. If M is connected and not orientable there is only one isotopy class of embeddings, and if M is connected and orientable there are two such isotopy classes, which differ by the reflection automorphism of the group $\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})$.

Theorem 1.4 (homotopical theorem) *For every $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold M , the maps*

$$(\mu_M)_* : \pi_k(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_k(B\text{Diff}(M)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

and

$$(\mu_M^\partial)_* : \pi_k(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_k(B\text{Diff}_\partial(M)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

are injective when $k \neq 1$ and $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$.

Remark 1.5 Note that $\pi_1(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) = \pi_0(\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}))$ is always a finite group; this is trivial when $n = 0$ and follows from Cerf [7] for $n = 1$. For $n \geq 2$, Cerf [8, corollaire 2] and the h-cobordism theorem identify $\pi_0(\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}))$ with the group of homotopy $(2n+2)$ -spheres, which is finite by Kervaire and Milnor [19].

Remark 1.6 By [21, Corollary B], Theorem 1.4 holds for $k \leq 2n - 4$ if $n \geq 5$. Theorem 1.4 is also true for $k = 2n - 3$ and $n \geq 5$, since $\pi_{2n-3}(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for such n by [21, Theorem A].

Theorem 1.4 could have been proven with little effort in Badzioch, Dorabiała, Klein and Williams [1] at latest. It was in fact known by experts and we learnt the statement from Mauricio Bustamante. The proof is given here for the sake of completeness and to contrast it with our main result (Theorem 1.7 below), which seemingly goes the opposite direction.

Our result concerns the effect of μ_M in rational homology. Since $B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})$ is a connected E_{2n+1} -space (and hence a homotopy commutative H-space), we have that $H_*(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}); \mathbb{Q})$ (with the Pontryagin product) is the free graded-commutative algebra generated by $\pi_*(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, in the concordance stable range, $H_*(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}); \mathbb{Q})$ is a polynomial algebra with one generator in each dimension divisible by 4.

Let

$$U_g^n := \#^g(S^n \times S^{n+1})$$

be the connected sum of g copies of $S^n \times S^{n+1}$, and let

$$U_{g,1}^n := U_g^n \setminus \text{int } D^{2n+1}$$

be U_g^n with the interior of a disc removed.

Theorem 1.7 (homological theorem) *Let M be a connected manifold of dimension $2n + 1 \geq 9$ and suppose that M contains an embedded copy of $U_{g,1}^n$. Then the maps*

$$(\mu_M)_* : \tilde{H}_k(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(B\text{Diff}(M); \mathbb{Q})$$

and

$$(\mu_M^\partial)_* : \tilde{H}_k(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(B\text{Diff}_\partial(M); \mathbb{Q})$$

are trivial if $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n}) + 1$ and $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 4)$.

Finally, using the recent work [21], we can show that the range for the validity of Theorem 1.4 given in Remark 1.6 is optimal.

Theorem 1.8 *For even $n \geq 6$, there is a closed $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional smooth manifold M such that the kernel of*

$$(\mu_M)_* : \pi_{2n-2}(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_{2n-2}(B\text{Diff}(M)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is nonzero.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Mauricio Bustamante for stating Theorem 1.4 as a fact to me, to Markus Land and Oscar Randal-Williams for some remarks, in particular concerning the proof of Corollary 3.4, and to Manuel Krannich for his detailed feedback on the entire paper.

I am particularly indebted to the referee for an exceptionally speedy and thorough report, and in particular the suggestion to improve the main results by using Krannich and Randal-Williams [21], and Theorem 1.8 together with its proof.

I was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) Project ID 427320536, SFB 1442, as well as Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 – 390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure.

2 Proof of the homotopical theorem

The proof of Theorem 1.4 relies on higher torsion invariants as axiomatized by Igusa [18], and we review some background beforehand. Let $K(\mathbb{Z})$ be the algebraic K -theory spectrum of \mathbb{Z} and let

$$u : Q(S^0) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z})$$

be the unit map on infinite loop spaces.

Let M be a finite CW complex of dimension d , and let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration with fibres homotopy equivalent to M . Let

$$(2.1) \quad \rho_k(\pi) : B \rightarrow BGL(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}))$$

be the map induced by the monodromy action of the fundamental group on the homology of the fibre. The \mathbb{Z} -module $H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, and hence there is a canonical map

$$\iota : BGL(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Such a map exists even if the homology groups are not free, essentially because \mathbb{Z} is a regular ring (each finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module has a finite length resolution by projective finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -modules). See the discussion leading up to [9, Proposition 6.7] for details.

The map ι hits the component of $[H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \pi_0(\Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The algebraic K -theory Euler characteristic of the fibration π is the alternating sum

$$\chi(\pi) := \sum_{k=0}^d (-1)^k \iota \circ \rho_k(\pi): B \rightarrow \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $d = \dim(M)$ and we have used the H -space structure on $\Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z})$ to form the sum. Of course, $\chi(\pi)$ hits the component indexed by $\chi(M) \in \mathbb{Z} = K_0(\mathbb{Z})$.

The fibration π has an associated transfer map [3]

$$\text{trf}_\pi: \Sigma^\infty B_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty E_+$$

on the level of suspension spectra; we mostly consider its adjoint, also written

$$\text{trf}_\pi: B \rightarrow Q(E_+).$$

The Dwyer–Weiss–Williams index theorem [9, Corollary 8.12] implies that if π is a *smooth* fibre bundle, the diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\text{trf}_\pi} & Q(E_+) \\ & \searrow \chi(\pi) & \downarrow u \circ c \\ & & \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

commutes, up to a preferred homotopy (here $u \circ c$ is the composition of the unit map with the collapse map $c: Q(E_+) \rightarrow Q(S^0)$).

Remark 2.3 Actually, Theorem 8.5 of [9] proves a stronger version involving the algebraic K -theory $A(E)$ of the space E and a fibrewise version thereof. Raptis and Steimle gave a substantially simpler proof of the homotopy-commutativity of (2.2) in [24]; they also showed [9, Theorem 8.5] for smooth bundles in [25].

The diagram (2.2) can be used to define secondary invariants under additional hypotheses on the bundle π ; we follow the approach of [2] here, with some modifications. The extra hypothesis to be made is that the monodromy action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is nilpotent for all k (in [2] the authors consider homology with coefficients in a field,

but the construction generalizes to regular rings such as \mathbb{Z} ; see [2, Remark 6.11]). Moreover, we assume as in [2] that the base space B is a compact manifold, possibly with boundary.

Under these assumptions, the map $\chi(\pi)$ comes with a preferred homotopy to the constant map to the point

$$\chi(M) := \sum_{k=0}^d (-1)^k [H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})] \in \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z});$$

see [2, Theorem 6.7]. Combining this homotopy with the preferred homotopy from (2.2) yields a map

$$(2.4) \quad T(\pi): B \rightarrow \text{hofib}_{\chi(M)}(u) \simeq \text{hofib}_0(u),$$

where we use the infinite loop space structures to identify the homotopy fibres. Using Borel’s computation [5] of $\pi_*(\Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z})) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, we define characteristic classes of unipotent smooth bundles as follows. Note that

$$(2.5) \quad H^*(\text{hofib}_0(u); \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[a_4, a_8, \dots]$$

for certain generators a_{4k} of degree $4k$ (the transgression of a_k is the Borel class in $H^{4k+1}(\Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{R})$). Following [2, Section 7] (but using the notation of [1]), define

$$(2.6) \quad t_{4k}^s(\pi) := T(\pi)^* a_{4k} \in H^{4k}(B; \mathbb{R}).$$

It is convenient for us to replace the coefficient field by \mathbb{Q} , which can be done as follows. First, the Borel class comes from a spectrum cohomology class $b_k \in H^{4k+1}(K(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{R})$. Second, Borel showed that $H^{4k+1}(K(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{R})$ is 1–dimensional, and so there is $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ such that $\alpha_k b_k$ lies in $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q})$. We now define

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{t}_{4k}^s(\pi) = \bar{t}_{4k}^s(E) := \alpha_k t_{4k}^s(\pi) \in H^{4k}(B; \mathbb{Q}).$$

The construction of (2.4) is given in [2] only for compact manifold bases; the definition of (2.7) can be generalized to arbitrary base spaces as follows. For an arbitrary unipotent bundle $E \rightarrow B$, we define $\bar{t}_{4k}^s(E) \in H^{4k}(B; \mathbb{Q})$ as the class corresponding to the homomorphism

$$(2.8) \quad \Omega_{4k}^{\text{fr}}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}; [X, f] \mapsto \langle \bar{t}_{4k}^s, f^* E \rangle; [X]$$

from the framed bordism group of B under the isomorphism

$$H^{4k}(B; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Hom}(\Omega_{4k}^{\text{fr}}(B); \mathbb{Q})$$

(we need [2, Proposition 7.3] to show that (2.8) is well defined).

Now let $\text{Torr}(M) \subset \text{Diff}(M)$ be the *Torelli diffeomorphism group*, ie the subgroup of those diffeomorphisms which act as the identity on $H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z})$. The universal M -bundle over $B\text{Torr}(M)$ is clearly unipotent, and combining the classes \bar{i}_{4k}^s , we obtain a map

$$(2.9) \quad \tau_M : B\text{Torr}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 1} K(\mathbb{Q}, 4k).$$

Observing that $\text{Torr}(D^{2n+1}) = \text{Diff}^+(D^{2n+1})$ is the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms, we obtain in particular

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_{D^{2n+1}} : B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) &\rightarrow B\text{Diff}^+(D^{2n+1}) \\ &= B\text{Torr}(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 1} K(\mathbb{Q}, 4k). \end{aligned}$$

Farrell and Hsiang’s theorem may be restated as follows.

Theorem 2.11 *The map $\tau_{D^{2n+1}}$ induces an isomorphism on rational homotopy groups in degrees at most $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$.*

Proof The map $\tau_{D^{2n+1}}$ factors through

$$B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow BC(D^{2n}) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}^+(D^{2n+1}).$$

Consider the diagram

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} BC(D^{2n}) & \longrightarrow & B\text{Diff}^+(D^{2n+1}) \\ \downarrow \sigma_+^m & & \downarrow \times \text{id}_{D^m} \\ BC(D^{2n+m}) & \longrightarrow & B\text{Diff}^+(D^{2n+m+1}) \end{array}$$

The left vertical map is a composition of the suspension map and the right vertical map is given by taking products with D^m and the identification $D^{2n+m+1} = D^{2n+1} \times D^m$. The square commutes up to homotopy by the definition of the suspension map σ_+ . A special case of [1, Theorem 7.1] states that $\bar{i}_{4k}^s(E \times D^m) = \bar{i}_{4k}^s(E)$ for each unipotent bundle $E \rightarrow B$. It follows that $\tau_{D^{2n+1}} : B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 1} K(\mathbb{Q}, 4k)$ factors as

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) &\rightarrow BC(D^{2n}) \rightarrow BC(D^{2n}) \\ &:= \text{hocolim}_m BC(D^{2n+m}) \rightarrow \prod_{m \geq 1} K(\mathbb{Q}, 4k). \end{aligned}$$

All three maps in (2.13) induce isomorphisms on rational homotopy up to degree $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$. This is true for the second map by definition.

The third map is a rational equivalence. Since the Whitehead group of $\pi_1(D^{2n})$ is trivial, $BC(D^{2n})$ is the stable h -cobordism space $\mathcal{H}(D^{2n})$. The stable h -cobordism theorem [27] states an equivalence $\mathcal{H}(D^{2n}) \simeq \text{hofib}(Q(S^0) \rightarrow A(*))$, and the linearization map from $A(*)$ to $\Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z})$ induces a rational equivalence

$$\text{hofib}(Q(S^0) \rightarrow A(*)) \rightarrow \text{hofib}(Q(S^0) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z})).$$

Together with [5], this shows that the rational homotopy groups of $BC(D^{2n})$ and of $\prod_{m \geq 1} K(\mathbb{Q}, 4k)$ are abstractly isomorphic and at most 1-dimensional.

To conclude that the third map in (2.13) is a rational isomorphism, it is therefore enough to prove that the induced map on rational homotopy groups is nontrivial whenever its target is nonzero, and this amounts to proving that for each $k \geq 1$, there is an m and an element in $\pi_{4k}(BC(D^{2n+m}))$ such that $\bar{t}_{D^{2n+m+1}}^s$ is nontrivial on that element. This was done by Igusa in [16, Theorem 6.4.2], but with the *higher Franz–Reidemeister torsion* classes $t_{4k}^{\text{FR}} \in H^{4k}(BC(D^{2n+m}); \mathbb{R})$ in place of \bar{t}_{4k}^s . These were constructed using ideas from Morse theory in [16, Section 5.7.2] (for bundles with structure group $\text{Torr}(M)$) and in [17, Section 2.11] for unipotent bundles. The main theorem of [1] shows that there is a universal constant $\lambda_{4k} \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ such that $\bar{t}_{4k}^s(\pi) = \lambda_{4k} t_{4k}^{\text{IK}}(\pi)$ for all unipotent bundles over compact manifold bases, and so the third map in (2.13) is a rational equivalence.

It is shown in [16, Section 6.5] that the first map in (2.13) induces an isomorphism on rational homotopy groups up to degree $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$. In loc. cit., the result is stated in terms of (1.2), so we give a few more details here. Consider the fibre sequence

$$\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow C(D^{2n}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n}).$$

The two maps are compatible with the following involutions on the spaces: the group inversion on $\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n})$, an involution defined at the beginning of [16, Section 6.5] on $C(D^{2n})$, and the involution

(2.14)
$$I : \text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})$$

given by conjugation with the reflection map

$$r(x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) := (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}, -x_{2n+1}).$$

The rational homotopy sequence of the fibration splits into negative and positive eigenspaces of these involutions. An Eckmann–Hilton argument proves that

$$\pi_*^+(\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_*^+(C(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism in all degrees. On the other hand, $I_* = \text{id}$ on $\pi_k(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$; Corollary 6.5.3 of [16] states this when k is in the range given by (1.2), but the proof clearly works for $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$. Hence, for $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n}) + 1$,

$$\pi_k(B\text{Diff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \pi_k^+(B\text{Diff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \pi_k^+(BC(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Finally, $\pi_k^-(BC(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$. To see this, observe that the stabilization map $BC(D^d) \rightarrow BC(D^{d+1})$ switches the eigenspaces of the involutions by [16, Lemma 6.5.1]. Hence it is enough to check that $\pi_k^-(BC(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for very large n , and this follows from Theorem 6.4.2 and Lemma 6.5.4 of [16], using that the third map in (2.13) is a rational equivalence. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4 for closed M We first consider the case where M is closed. The cohomology classes \bar{t}_{4k}^s have the following additivity property: for unipotent bundles $\pi_j: E_j \rightarrow B$ with common (vertical) boundary bundle $E_{01} = \partial E_0 = \partial E_1$, the boundary bundle $\pi_{01}: E_{01} \rightarrow B$ and the glued bundle $\pi: E = E_0 \cup_{\partial E_j} E_1 \rightarrow B$ are also unipotent, and

$$(2.15) \quad \bar{t}_{4k}^s(\pi) + \bar{t}_{4k}^s(\pi_{01}) = \bar{t}_{4k}^s(\pi_1) + \bar{t}_{4k}^s(\pi_2) \in H^{4k}(B; \mathbb{Q}).$$

This is proven for B a compact manifold in [1, Corollary 5.2], the case of a general base follows by using framed bordism as in the construction of \bar{t}_{4k}^s for general base spaces.

Next, $\mu_M: B\text{Diff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}(M)$ lifts to $\tilde{\mu}_M: B\text{Diff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow B\text{Torr}(M)$, and (2.15) shows that

$$(2.16) \quad \tau_M \circ \tilde{\mu}_M \sim \tau_{D^{2n+1}}.$$

By Theorem 2.11, it follows that $\tilde{\mu}_M$ is injective on $\pi_k(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ when $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$. Because $\text{Torr}(M) \subset \text{Diff}(M)$ is a union of path components,

$$p_*: \pi_k(B\text{Torr}(M)) \rightarrow \pi_k(B\text{Diff}(M))$$

is injective when $k = 1$ and an isomorphism when $k \geq 2$, and $\mu_M = p \circ \tilde{\mu}_M$ is injective on rational homotopy groups up to degree $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n})$. \square

We have used that M is closed in order to apply (2.15), which in the quoted source is only covered for closed M . The case of a general M reduces to the closed case by “doubling”. Let M be a manifold with boundary, let $A \subset \partial M$ be a compact codimension-0 submanifold, and form $M \cup_{\partial M - \text{int } A} M$. This is a manifold with

boundary $A \cup_{\partial A} A$. Let $\text{Diff}_A(M)$ be the group of diffeomorphisms which fix A pointwise. There is a doubling map

$$d_A: \text{BDiff}_A(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_{\partial}(M \cup_{\partial M - \text{int } A} M)$$

given by extending a diffeomorphism with its reflection. The diagram

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{BDiff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_M} & \text{BDiff}_A(M) \\ \downarrow d_{D^{2n}} & & \downarrow d_A \\ \text{BDiff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1} \cup_{D^{2n}} D^{2n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{M \cup_{\partial M - \text{int } A} M}} & \text{BDiff}_{\partial}(M \cup_{\partial M - \text{int } A} M) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy, where $D^{2n} \subset \partial D^{2n+1}$ denotes a half disc in the boundary.

Lemma 2.18 *The doubling map induces an isomorphism*

$$(d_{D^{2n}})_*: \pi_k(\text{BDiff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_k(\text{BDiff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1} \cup_{D^{2n}} D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

when $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n}) + 1$.

Proof By the Eckmann–Hilton argument, the effect of d on rational homotopy groups is the map

$$1 + (BI)_*: \pi_*(\text{BDiff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_*(\text{BDiff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

(here I is the involution (2.14), and we identify $D^{2n+1} \cup_{D^{2n}} D^{2n+1} = D^{2n+1}$). The lemma now follows from the fact that $BI_* = \text{id}$ on $\pi_k(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for $k \leq \phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n}) + 1$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.11 above for more details). \square

Remark 2.19 The bound given in Lemma 2.18 is optimal: [21, Corollary 8.4] shows that the involution acts nontrivially on $\pi_{2n-2}(\text{BDiff}_{\partial}(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ when $n \geq 5$, while $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n}) + 1 = 2n - 3$ for such n .

Proof of Theorem 1.4 for general M To prove the statement for μ_M , use (2.17) with $A = \emptyset$ and apply Lemma 2.18. The statement for μ_M^{∂} follows from that for μ_M in view of the definition of μ_M . \square

Remark 2.20 From the proof of Theorem 1.4 given above, one can also deduce a statement about $H_*(\text{BTorr}(M); \mathbb{Q})$, namely that $\tilde{\mu}_M$ is injective on rational homology in the concordance stable range, at least when M is closed or orientable.

In the case where M is closed, this follows from (2.16) and Theorem 2.11. For manifolds with nonempty boundary, a bit more care is needed to check that doubling really gives a map $\text{Torr}(M) \rightarrow \text{Torr}(M \cup_{\partial M} M)$. For oriented M , the argument goes as follows.

Mapping both halves of $M \cup_{\partial M} M$ to M and excision in homology gives a $\text{Diff}(M)$ -equivariant isomorphism $H_*(M \cup_{\partial M} M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z})$, from which it follows that the double of f also induces the identity on homology.

We leave it to the reader to figure out statements in cohomology or a variant for nonorientable M .

3 Proof of the homological theorem

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.7, which relies on work by Botvinnik and Perlmutter [6; 23]. To state their results, let

$$V_g^n := \natural^g(S^n \times D^{n+1})$$

be the boundary connected sum of g copies of $S^n \times D^{n+1}$, and let $D = D^{2n} \subset \partial V_g^n$ be a disk in the boundary of V_g^n . Note that $V_0^n = D^{2n+1}$. There is a stabilization map

$$(3.1) \quad B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_D(V_{g+1}^n),$$

given by taking the boundary connected sum with $S^n \times D^{n+1}$ at D and extending diffeomorphisms by the identity. Perlmutter [23, Theorem 1.1] proved that the map (3.1) induces an isomorphism in homology in degrees $*$ $\leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 4)$ provided that $n \geq 4$. Botvinnik and Perlmutter [6] computed the homology of $B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n)$ in the stable range. Let

$$\theta_n : BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle \rightarrow BO(2n + 1)$$

be the n -connected cover of $BO(2n + 1)$. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ be a bundle with fibre V_g^n and structure group $\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n)$. The vertical tangent bundle $T_v E$ admits a θ_n -structure, ie a bundle map $\ell : T_v E \rightarrow \theta_n^* \gamma_{2n+1}$ to the pullback of the universal bundle over $BO(2n + 1)$. This θ_n -structure is unique up to contractible choice once the following condition is imposed. Inside E , there is a trivial D -subbundle $B \times D$. The restriction of the vertical tangent bundle $T_v E$ to $B \times D$ has a canonical trivialization, and one requires that ℓ is compatible with that trivialization (see [6, Proposition 6.16] for all this).

Let $\underline{\ell}: E \rightarrow BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle$ be the map of spaces underlying ℓ . Let

$$\alpha_\pi: B \xrightarrow{\text{trf}_\pi} Q(E_+) \xrightarrow{Q(\underline{\ell})} Q(BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle_+)$$

be the composition of the transfer with the map induced by $\underline{\ell}$. In particular, we can apply this construction to the universal bundle over $B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n)$ and obtain a map

(3.2)
$$\alpha_g: B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n) \rightarrow Q_{1+(-1)^n g}(BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle_+).$$

The target is the path component indexed by

$$\chi(V_g^n) = 1 + (-1)^n g \in \mathbb{Z} = \pi_0(Q(BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle_+)).$$

The following is essentially [6, Corollary B].

Theorem 3.3 (Botvinnik and Perlmutter) *Let $n \geq 4$. Then the map (3.2) induces an isomorphism in integral homology in degrees $*$ $\leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 4)$.*

Theorem 3.3 as stated above differs from the formulation given in [6] in so far as loc. cit. does not mention the transfer at all, so some remarks have to be made here. For a fibration $\theta: X \rightarrow BO(d)$, Genauer [13] introduced the cobordism category $\text{Cob}_\theta^\partial$ of $(d - 1)$ -dimensional θ -manifolds with boundaries and their cobordisms (which have corners). He proved that there is a weak equivalence $B\text{Cob}_\theta^\partial \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1} \Sigma^\infty X_+$, and the equivalence is given by a parametrized Pontryagin–Thom construction (this result is parallel to the well-known result [12] for the usual cobordism category). Given any bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ of smooth compact d -manifolds with boundary equipped with a θ -structure ℓ on the vertical tangent bundle, one obtains a tautological map $B \rightarrow \Omega B\text{Cob}_\theta^\partial$, and from the description of the transfer for smooth bundles, one sees that the composition of this tautological map with Genauer’s equivalence agrees with the composition $B \xrightarrow{\text{trf}_\pi} Q(E_+) \xrightarrow{Q(\underline{\ell}_+)} Q(X_+)$. Using this observation, one derives Theorem 3.3 from the results of [6].

Corollary 3.4 *If $n \geq 4$, the iterated stabilization map*

$$B\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n)$$

induces the zero map on integral reduced homology in degrees $$ $\leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 4)$.*

Proof The transfer has an additivity property [4] which implies that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) & \longrightarrow & B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n) \\ \downarrow \alpha_0 & & \downarrow \alpha_g \\ Q_1(BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle_+) & \longrightarrow & Q_{1+(-1)^n g}(BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle_+) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. The lower map takes the sum with a fixed point in $Q_{(-1)^n g}(BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle_+)$ and is a weak equivalence. We shall show that the left vertical map is trivial in reduced homology (in all degrees); this will imply the claim by Theorem 3.3.

The map α_0 factors as

$$B\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) \xrightarrow{\text{trf}} Q_1((E\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) \times_{\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n)} V_0^n)_+) \xrightarrow{Q(l)} Q_1(BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle_+).$$

The map $Q(l)$ is induced from the vertical tangent bundle of the universal bundle $E\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) \times_{\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n)} V_0^n \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n)$, which is trivial by the following argument: The choice of a point in $D \subset \partial V_0^n$ determines a section s of the bundle, which is a homotopy equivalence as V_0^n is a disc, and the pullback of the vertical tangent bundle along s is trivial. Hence $Q(l)$ factors through $Q_1(S^0)$, which is rationally acyclic. Therefore α_0 induces the zero map on rational homology. This finishes the proof for rational homology, which is all we need for the proof of Theorem 1.7.

For the integral version, we use that the transfer is defined more generally for fibrations with finite CW fibres. It follows that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) & \xrightarrow{\text{trf}} & Q_1((E\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) \times_{\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n)} V_0^n)_+) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B\text{Homeo}_D(V_0^n) & \xrightarrow{\text{trf}} & Q_1((E\text{Homeo}_D(V_0^n) \times_{\text{Homeo}_D(V_0^n)} V_0^n)_+) \end{array}$$

Because the map

$$\underline{\ell}: E\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n) \times_{\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n)} V_0^n \rightarrow BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle$$

is nullhomotopic as we just argued, it extends to a map

$$\underline{\ell}': E\text{Homeo}_D(V_0^n) \times_{\text{Homeo}_D(V_0^n)} V_0^n \rightarrow BO(2n + 1)\langle n \rangle.$$

Therefore α_0 factors up to homotopy through $B\text{Homeo}_D(V_0^n)$, which is contractible by the Alexander trick. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7 Since both μ_M and μ_M^∂ factor through

$$\mu_{U_{g,1}^n}^\partial : B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_\partial(U_{g,1}^n),$$

it suffices to show that this map induces the trivial map in rational homology in the indicated range of degrees. Note that

$$V_g^n \cup_{\partial V_g^n \setminus \text{int } D} V_g^n = U_{g,1}^n.$$

Pick an embedding $f: D^{2n+1} \rightarrow V_0^n \subset V_g^n$ disjoint from the disc $D \subset V_0^n$ such that $f^{-1}(\partial V_0^n) = D^{2n}$ is a disc in ∂D^{2n+1} . This gives $\mu: B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_D(V_0^n)$, and by Corollary 3.4 composition with the stabilization map to $B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n)$ is trivial in integral homology in degrees $* \leq \frac{1}{2}(g-4)$.

Diagram (2.17) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) & \longrightarrow & B\text{Diff}_D(V_g^n) \\ \downarrow d & & \downarrow d \\ B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1} \cup_{D^{2n}} D^{2n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B\text{Diff}_\partial(U_{g,1}^n) \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.18 shows that the left vertical in (3.5) induces an isomorphism in rational homotopy in degrees at most $\phi^{\mathbb{Q}}(D^{2n}) + 1$. The same is true in rational homology since both spaces are connected H -spaces and their rational homology is the free graded commutative algebra on the rational homotopy, and so the proof is complete. \square

4 Optimality of the range in the homotopical theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.8 The composition

$$B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{\mu_M} B\text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow B\text{Homeo}(M)$$

factors through $B\text{Homeo}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \simeq *$. Hence μ_M factors through the space

$$\text{hofib}(B\text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow B\text{Homeo}(M)).$$

By [21, Theorem A], $\pi_{2n-2}(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ if $n \geq 6$. Therefore, it is enough to find a closed $(2n+1)$ -manifold M such that

$$\pi_{2n-2}(\text{hofib}(B\text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow B\text{Homeo}(M))) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0.$$

Now, by smoothing theory [26], $\text{hofib}(B\text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow B\text{Homeo}(M))$ is homotopy equivalent to a union of path components of the section space

$$\Gamma\left(M; \text{Fr}(M) \times_{O(2n+1)} \frac{\text{Top}(2n+1)}{O(2n+1)}\right).$$

We now prove that

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_{2n-2}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^{2n-1}; \text{Fr}(\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^{2n-1}) \times_{O(2n+1)} \frac{\text{Top}(2n+1)}{O(2n+1)}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$$

when n is even. Homotopy groups of section spaces can be computed by means of the Federer spectral sequence [11]; see [22, Section 5.2] for the variant we shall be using. Let $E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration over a finite-dimensional CW-complex with simply connected fibre F and a fixed section s . Then there is a spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H^{-p}(B; \pi_q(F)) \Rightarrow \pi_{p+q}(\Gamma(B; E), s)$$

(the coefficient systems in the E_2 -term are twisted). Hence $\pi_m(\Gamma(B; E), s)$ admits a finite filtration whose filtration quotients are subquotients of $H^{-p}(B; \pi_q(F))$ with $p + q = m$, and so in order to prove that $\pi_m(\Gamma(B; E), s) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, it suffices to show that $H^{-p}(B; \pi_q(F)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ if $p + q = m$.

Because $\text{Top}(2n + 1)/O(2n + 1)$ is simply connected by [26, 5.0(4)–(5)], we can apply the Federer spectral in our case. Furthermore, by loc. cit., $\text{Top}(2n + 1)/O(2n + 1)$ is rationally $(2n + 2)$ -connected. So the only entries in the E_2 -page which could potentially be rationally nonzero and contribute to (4.1) are

$$H^{2n+1-i} \left(\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^{2n-1}; \pi_{4n-1-i} \left(\frac{\text{Top}(2n + 1)}{O(2n + 1)} \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \right)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. It remains to be shown that

$$(4.2) \quad H^{2-i} \left(\mathbb{R}P^2; \pi_{4n-1-i} \left(\frac{\text{Top}(2n + 1)}{O(2n + 1)} \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \right) = 0$$

when $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

The fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2) = C_2$ acts on $\pi_{4n-1-i}(\text{Top}(2n + 1)/O(2n + 1))$ by conjugation with an isometry of determinant -1 . There is an isomorphism

$$\pi_{4n-1-i} \left(\frac{\text{Top}(2n + 1)}{O(2n + 1)} \right) = \pi_{2n-2-i}(B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}))$$

coming from Morlet’s theorem, [26, Section 3.4] which states that

$$B\text{Diff}_\partial(D^{2n+1}) \simeq \Omega_0^{2n+1} \left(\frac{\text{Top}(2n + 1)}{O(2n + 1)} \right).$$

By the discussion in [21, Section 8.2], we have that the action of the generator of C_2 on $\pi_{4n-1-i}(\text{Top}(2n + 1)/O(2n + 1))$ corresponds under this isomorphism to *minus* the involution $(BI)_*$ considered in the proof of Lemma 2.18 above. By [21, Corollary 8.4],

we therefore have, for *even* n , that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{4n-1}\left(\frac{\text{Top}(2n+1)}{O(2n+1)}\right)\otimes\mathbb{Q}&= \mathbb{Q}^+, \\ \pi_{4n-2}\left(\frac{\text{Top}(2n+1)}{O(2n+1)}\right)\otimes\mathbb{Q}&= 0, \\ \pi_{4n-3}\left(\frac{\text{Top}(2n+1)}{O(2n+1)}\right)\otimes\mathbb{Q}&= \mathbb{Q}^-\end{aligned}$$

as C_2 -modules. Since $H^2(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Q}^+) = H^0(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Q}^-) = 0$, we obtain (4.2), which concludes the proof. \square

References

- [1] **B Badzioch, W Dorabiała, J R Klein, B Williams**, *Equivalence of higher torsion invariants*, Adv. Math. 226 (2011) 2192–2232 MR Zbl
- [2] **B Badzioch, W Dorabiała, B Williams**, *Smooth parametrized torsion: a manifold approach*, Adv. Math. 221 (2009) 660–680 MR Zbl
- [3] **J C Becker, D H Gottlieb**, *Transfer maps for fibrations and duality*, Compositio Math. 33 (1976) 107–133 MR Zbl
- [4] **J C Becker, R E Schultz**, *Axioms for bundle transfers and traces*, Math. Z. 227 (1998) 583–605 MR Zbl
- [5] **A Borel**, *Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 7 (1974) 235–272 MR Zbl
- [6] **B Botvinnik, N Perlmutter**, *Stable moduli spaces of high-dimensional handlebodies*, J. Topol. 10 (2017) 101–163 MR Zbl
- [7] **J Cerf**, *Sur les difféomorphismes de la sphère de dimension trois* ($\Gamma_4 = 0$), Lecture Notes in Math. 53, Springer (1968) MR Zbl
- [8] **J Cerf**, *La stratification naturelle des espaces de fonctions différentiables réelles et le théorème de la pseudo-isotopie*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 39 (1970) 5–173 MR Zbl
- [9] **W Dwyer, M Weiss, B Williams**, *A parametrized index theorem for the algebraic K -theory Euler class*, Acta Math. 190 (2003) 1–104 MR Zbl
- [10] **F T Farrell, W C Hsiang**, *On the rational homotopy groups of the diffeomorphism groups of discs, spheres and aspherical manifolds*, from “Algebraic and geometric topology, I” (R J Milgram, editor), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1978) 325–337 MR Zbl
- [11] **H Federer**, *A study of function spaces by spectral sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1956) 340–361 MR Zbl

- [12] **S r Galatius, U Tillmann, I Madsen, M Weiss**, *The homotopy type of the cobordism category*, Acta Math. 202 (2009) 195–239 MR Zbl
- [13] **J Genauer**, *Cobordism categories of manifolds with corners*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012) 519–550 MR Zbl
- [14] **A Hatcher, J Wagoner**, *Pseudo-isotopies of compact manifolds*, Astérisque 6, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1973) MR Zbl
- [15] **K Igusa**, *The stability theorem for smooth pseudoisotopies*, *K–Theory* 2 (1988) 1–355 MR Zbl
- [16] **K Igusa**, *Higher Franz–Reidemeister torsion*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Math. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2002) MR Zbl
- [17] **K Igusa**, *Higher complex torsion and the framing principle*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 835, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2005) MR Zbl
- [18] **K Igusa**, *Axioms for higher torsion invariants of smooth bundles*, J. Topol. 1 (2008) 159–186 MR Zbl
- [19] **MA Kervaire, J W Milnor**, *Groups of homotopy spheres, I*, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963) 504–537 MR Zbl
- [20] **M Krannich**, *A homological approach to pseudoisotopy theory, I*, Invent. Math. 227 (2022) 1093–1167 MR Zbl
- [21] **M Krannich, O Randal-Williams**, *Diffeomorphisms of discs and the second Weiss derivative of $B\text{Top}(-)$* , preprint (2021) arXiv 2109.03500
- [22] **A Kupers, O Randal-Williams**, *The cohomology of Torelli groups is algebraic*, Forum Math. Sigma 8 (2020) art. id. e64 MR Zbl
- [23] **N Perlmutter**, *Homological stability for diffeomorphism groups of high-dimensional handlebodies*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 18 (2018) 2769–2820 MR Zbl
- [24] **G Raptis, W Steimle**, *On the map of Bökstedt–Madsen from the cobordism category to A -theory*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 14 (2014) 299–347 MR Zbl
- [25] **G Raptis, W Steimle**, *Parametrized cobordism categories and the Dwyer–Weiss–Williams index theorem*, J. Topol. 10 (2017) 700–719 MR Zbl
- [26] **L C Siebenmann**, *Classification of sliced families of manifold structures*, from “Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations”, Ann. of Math. Studies 88, Princeton Univ. Press (1977) Essay V, 215–290 MR Zbl
- [27] **F Waldhausen, B r Jahren, J Rognes**, *Spaces of PL manifolds and categories of simple maps*, Ann. of Math. Studies 186, Princeton Univ. Press (2013) MR Zbl

Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster
Münster, Germany

johannes.ebert@uni-muenster.de

Received: 19 August 2021 Revised: 14 December 2021

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

msp.org/agt

EDITORS

PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre
etnyre@math.gatech.edu
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Robert Lipshitz	University of Oregon lipshitz@uoregon.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Norihiko Minami	Nagoya Institute of Technology nori@nitech.ac.jp
Tara E. Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Université Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Thomas Nikolaus	University of Münster nikolaus@uni-muenster.de
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Robert Oliver	Université Paris 13 bobol@math.univ-paris13.fr
Corneli Druţu	University of Oxford cornelia.drutu@maths.ox.ac.uk	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
David Futер	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Ulrike Tillmann	Oxford University tillmann@maths.ox.ac.uk
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Ian Hambleton	McMaster University ian@math.mcmaster.ca	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Chris Wendl	Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin wendl@math.hu-berlin.de
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu	Daniel T. Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr		


See inside back cover or msp.org/agt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$650/year for the electronic version, and \$940/year (+ \$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 23 Issue 5 (pages 1935–2414) 2023

Splitting Madsen–Tillmann spectra, II: The Steinberg idempotents and Whitehead conjecture	1935
TAKUJI KASHIWABARA and HADI ZARE	
Free and based path groupoids	1959
ANDRÉS ÁNGEL and HELLEN COLMAN	
Discrete real specializations of sesquilinear representations of the braid groups	2009
NANCY SCHERICH	
A model for configuration spaces of points	2029
RICARDO CAMPOS and THOMAS WILLWACHER	
The Hurewicz theorem in homotopy type theory	2107
J DANIEL CHRISTENSEN and LUIS SCOCCOLA	
A concave holomorphic filling of an overtwisted contact 3–sphere	2141
NAOHIKO KASUYA and DANIELE ZUDDAS	
Modifications preserving hyperbolicity of link complements	2157
COLIN ADAMS, WILLIAM H MEEKS III and ÁLVARO K RAMOS	
Golod and tight 3–manifolds	2191
KOUYEMON IRIYE and DAISUKE KISHIMOTO	
A remark on the finiteness of purely cosmetic surgeries	2213
TETSUYA ITO	
Geodesic complexity of homogeneous Riemannian manifolds	2221
STEPHAN MESCHER and MAXIMILIAN STEGEMEYER	
Adequate links in thickened surfaces and the generalized Tait conjectures	2271
HANS U BODEN, HOMAYUN KARIMI and ADAM S SIKORA	
Homotopy types of gauge groups over Riemann surfaces	2309
MASAKI KAMEKO, DAISUKE KISHIMOTO and MASAHIRO TAKEDA	
Diffeomorphisms of odd-dimensional discs, glued into a manifold	2329
JOHANNES EBERT	
Intrinsic symmetry groups of links	2347
CHARLES LIVINGSTON	
Loop homotopy of 6–manifolds over 4–manifolds	2369
RUIZHI HUANG	
Infinite families of higher torsion in the homotopy groups of Moore spaces	2389
STEVEN AMELOTTE, FREDERICK R COHEN and YUXIN LUO	