

# Algebraic & Geometric Topology

Volume 23 (2023)

Pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of punctured nonorientable surfaces with small stretch factor

> SAYANTAN KHAN Caleb Partin Rebecca R Winarski





# Pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of punctured nonorientable surfaces with small stretch factor

SAYANTAN KHAN Caleb Partin Rebecca R Winarski

We prove that in the nonorientable setting, the minimal stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a surface of genus g with a fixed number of punctures is asymptotically on the order of 1/g. Our result adapts the work of Yazdi to nonorientable surfaces. We include the details of Thurston's theory of fibered faces for nonorientable 3-manifolds.

37E30

# **1** Introduction

Let  $S_{g,n}$  be a surface of genus g with n punctures. The mapping class group of  $S_{g,n}$  consists of homotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of  $S_{g,n}$ . The Nielsen–Thurston classification of mapping classes (elements of the mapping class group) says that each mapping class is periodic, preserves some multicurve, or has a representative that is pseudo-Anosov. For each pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism  $\varphi: S_{g,n} \to S_{g,n}$ , the stretch factor  $\lambda(\varphi)$  is an algebraic integer that describes the amount by which  $\varphi$  changes the length of curves. Arnoux and Yoccoz [4] and Ivanov [15] prove that the set

 $\text{Spec}(S_{g,n}) = \{\log(\lambda(\varphi)) \mid \varphi \text{ is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of } S_{g,n}\}$ 

is a closed discrete subset of  $(0, \infty)$ . The minimum of Spec $(S_{g,n})$ ,

 $\ell_{g,n} = \min\{\log(\lambda(\varphi)) \mid \varphi \text{ is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of } S_{g,n}\},\$ 

quantitatively describes both the dynamics of the mapping class group of  $S_{g,n}$  and the geometry of the moduli space of  $S_{g,n}$ .

<sup>© 2023</sup> MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

Penner [26] showed that for orientable surfaces,

$$\ell_{g,0} \asymp \frac{1}{g}.$$

Penner conjectured that  $\ell_{g,n}$  will have the same asymptotic behavior for  $n \ge 0$  punctures. Following Penner, substantial attention has been given to finding bounds for  $\ell_{g,n}$  see Aaber and Dunfield [1], Bauer [5], Hironaka, Hirose, Kin and Takasawa [12; 13; 14; 17], Loving [21], and Minakawa [24]—calculating  $\ell_{g,n}$  for specific values of (g, n)—see Cho, Ham and Song [7; 11], Lanneau and Thiffeault [18], and Song, Ko and Los [27]—and finding asymptotic behavior of  $\ell_{g,n}$  for *orientable* surfaces with  $n \ge 0$ —see Kin and Takasawa [17], Tsai [30], Valdivia [31], and Yazdi [33]. We adapt a result of Yazdi [33] to nonorientable surfaces.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$  be a nonorientable surface of genus g with n punctures, and let  $\ell'_{g,n}$  be the logarithm of the minimum stretch factor of the pseudo-Anosov mapping classes acting on  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$ . Then, for any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are positive constants  $B'_1 = B'_1(n)$  and  $B'_2 = B'_2(n)$  such that, for any  $g \ge 3$ , the quantity  $\ell'_{g,n}$  satisfies

$$\frac{B_1'}{g} \le \ell_{g,n}' \le \frac{B_2'}{g}.$$

**Pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms** Let *S* be a (possibly nonorientable) surface of finite type. A homeomorphism  $\varphi: S \to S$  is said to be *pseudo-Anosov* if there exist a pair of transverse measured singular foliations  $\mathcal{F}_s$  and  $\mathcal{F}_u$  and a real number  $\lambda$  such that

$$\varphi(\mathcal{F}_s) = \lambda^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{F}_s$$
 and  $\varphi(\mathcal{F}_u) = \lambda \cdot \mathcal{F}_u$ .

The *stretch factor* of  $\varphi$  is the algebraic integer  $\lambda = \lambda(\varphi)$ .

Endow *S* with a Riemannian metric. The stretch factor  $\lambda(\varphi)$  measures the growth rate of the length of geodesic representatives of a simple closed curve *S* under iteration of  $\varphi$ ; see Fathi, Laudenbach and Poénaru [8, Proposition 9.21]. Moreover,  $\log(\lambda(\varphi))$  is the minimal topological entropy of any homeomorphism of *S* that is isotopic to  $\varphi$  [8, Exposé 10].

**Geometry of moduli space** Let  $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$  denote the Teichmüller space of  $S_{g,n}$ ; that is, the space of isotopy classes of hyperbolic metrics on  $S_{g,n}$ . When endowed with the Teichmüller metric, the mapping class group of  $S_{g,n}$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$  by isometries. The quotient of this action is the *moduli space* of  $S_{g,n}$ . The set  $\text{Spec}(S_{g,n})$  is the length spectrum of geodesics in the moduli space of  $S_{g,n}$ . Therefore the quantity  $\ell_{g,n}$  is the length of the shortest geodesic in the moduli space of  $S_{g,n}$ .

2824

**Explicit bounds** In his foundational work, Penner found  $(\log 2)/(12g - 12 + 4n)$  to be a lower bound for  $\ell_{g,n}$  for orientable surfaces [26]. He also determined  $(\log 11)/g$  to be an upper bound for  $\ell_{g,0}$ . Penner's work proves that  $\ell_{g,0} \approx 1/g$ . McMullen [23] later asked:

**Question 1.2** (McMullen) Does  $\lim_{g\to\infty} g \cdot \ell_{g,0}$  exist, and, if so, what does it converge to?

To this end, Bauer [5] strengthened the upper bound for  $\lim_{g\to\infty} g \cdot \ell_{g,0}$  to log 6, and Minakawa [24] and Hironaka and Kin [13] further sharpened the upper bounds for  $\lim_{g\to\infty} g \cdot \ell_{g,0}$  and  $\lim_{g\to\infty} g \cdot \ell_{0,2g+1}$  to  $\log(2+\sqrt{3})$ . Later, Aaber and Dunfield [1], Hironaka [12] and Kin and Takasawa [16] determined that  $\log((3+\sqrt{5})/2)$  is an upper bound for  $\lim_{g\to\infty} g \cdot \ell_{g,0}$  and conjectured it is the supremum of  $\lim_{g\to\infty} g \cdot \ell_{g,0}$ .

Asymptotic behavior of punctured surfaces Tsai initiated the study of asymptotic behavior of  $\ell_{g,n}$  along lines in the (g, n)-plane [30]. In particular, Tsai determined that for orientable surfaces of fixed genus  $g \ge 2$ , the asymptotic behavior in n is

$$\ell_{g,n} \asymp \frac{\log n}{n}.$$

Further, she showed that  $\ell_{0,n} \approx 1/n$ . Later, Yazdi [33] determined that for an orientable surface with a fixed number of punctures  $n \ge 0$ , the asymptotic behavior in g is

$$\ell_{g,n} \asymp \frac{1}{g},$$

confirming the conjecture of Penner.

**Nonorientable surfaces** Let  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$  be a nonorientable surface of genus g with n punctures. As above, let  $\ell'_{g,n}$  denote the minimum stretch factor of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$ . For any  $n \ge 0$  and  $g \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_{g-1,2n}$  is a lower bound for  $\ell'_{g,n}$ , which can be seen by passing to the orientation double cover of  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$  (note that the definition of genus is different for orientable and nonorientable surfaces). Because the upper bounds for  $\ell_{g,n}$  are constructed by example, upper bounds for  $\ell'_{g,n}$  do not follow immediately from passing to the orientation double cover. Recently, Liechti and Strenner determined  $\ell'_{g,0}$  for  $g \in \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20\}$  [20]. Our work captures the asymptotic behavior for the punctured case.

**Techniques** To prove Theorem 1.1, we adapt the strategy of Yazdi [33] to nonorientable surfaces with punctures. The lower bound of  $\ell'_{g,n}$  is found by lifting to the orientation double cover of  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$ . The upper bound (as in all prior work) is constructive. Fix  $n \ge 0$ : the desired number of punctures. Yazdi's construction is as follows. For a sequence of

genera  $g_{n,k}$ , where k goes from 3 to  $\infty$  and  $g_{n,k} = (14k - 2)n + 2$ , use the Penner construction [25] to obtain a homeomorphism  $f_{n,k}$  of  $S_{g_{n,k},n}$  that is pseudo-Anosov and has low stretch factor. In order to find pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of  $S_{g,n}$  with small stretch factor for all g (not just those in the sequence above), construct a mapping torus for each  $f_{n,k}$ . To do this, Yazdi's appeals to a technique involving the use of Thurston's theory of fibered faces.

**Thurston norm for nonorientable 3–manifolds** In Thurston's development of what is now called the Thurston norm for 3–manifolds [28], his definitions and theorems required that all surfaces were orientable. Thurston said that the theorems should still be true for nonorientable surfaces, but there are some subtleties that have not been addressed elsewhere in the literature. In this paper, we write the details of Thurston's theory of fibered faces to nonorientable 3–manifolds. In particular, for orientable 3–manifolds, the Thurston norm is a norm on the second homology of a 3–manifold that measures the minimum complexity of an embedded (orientable) surface; it will need to be adjusted in nonorientable 3–manifolds. Specifically, the Thurston norm does not recognize embedded nonorientable surfaces in the second homology of a nonorientable 3–manifold. To address this limitation, we instead calculate the Thurston norm on the first cohomology of a nonorientable manifold. We develop a (weak) version of Poincaré duality in Theorem 2.7 that suffices to define a Thurston norm on  $H^1(M; R)$  for a nonorientable 3–manifold M.

**Fibered faces** A special case of Thurston's hyperbolization theorem says that the monodromy of any fibration of a hyperbolic 3–manifold over  $S^1$  is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. Therefore by finding other fibrations of the same 3–manifold, one obtains additional pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. Work of Fried [9; 10], Matsumoto [22], and Agol, Leininger and Margalit [2] can be used to bound the stretch factors of certain pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms obtained in this way.

**Outline** In Section 2 we state Thurston's theory of fibered faces and adapt it to the nonorientable setting. In Section 3 we show how Thurston's theory of fibered faces can be used to construct pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of low stretch factor for nonorientable surfaces. Specifically, we state and prove the Nielsen–Thurston classification for nonorientable surfaces. Then we adapt the results of Fried [9; 10], Matsumoto [22], and Agol, Leininger and Margalit [2] used to construct pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms with low stretch factor of orientable surfaces to the nonorientable setting. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1, following the strategy of Yazdi.

Acknowledgements This work is the result of an REU at the University of Michigan in summer 2020. We are grateful to Alex Wright for organizing the REU and to Livio Liechti for suggesting the project. We are thankful to Eriko Hironaka, Dan Margalit, and the referees for their comments. We are also grateful to the other co-organizers, mentors and participants in the REU: Paul Apisa, Chaya Norton, Christopher Zhang, Bradley Zykoski, Anne Larsen and Rafael Saavedra. The REU was partially funded by NSF grant DMS 185615. Winarski acknowledges support of the NSF through grant 2002951.

## 2 Thurston norm for nonorientable 3–manifolds

Thurston defined a norm on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$  where M is an orientable 3-manifold [28], and this norm is now called the Thurston norm. In his manuscript, Thurston wrote: "Most of this paper works also for nonorientable manifolds but for simplicity we only deal with the orientable case". However, the details are not explained in Thurston's work or in subsequent literature. Therefore the goal of this section is to write the details of the Thurston norm for nonorientable 3-manifolds. We recall the Thurston norm for orientable manifolds in Section 2.1. In Section 2.2 we describe the challenge of defining the Thurston norm on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$  if M is nonorientable and present the solution of defining the Thurston norm instead on  $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ . However, Poincaré duality does not hold for nonorientable manifolds. We therefore define a condition *relative orientability* — on a pair consisting of a manifold and an embedded surface. A surface that is relatively orientable in a nonorientable 3-manifold M will have a corresponding cohomology class in  $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , giving a version of Poincaré duality for nonorientable 3-manifolds as stated in Theorem 2.7. Finally, in Section 2.4, we define the oriented sum for relatively oriented embedded surfaces in nonorientable manifolds.

#### 2.1 Thurston norm and mapping tori

In this section we recall the Thurston norm for orientable surfaces and how it detects when a 3-manifold fibers over a circle.

**Mapping tori** Let S be a surface and  $\varphi: S \to S$  be a homeomorphism. A *mapping* torus of S by  $\varphi$  is the 3-manifold  $M_{\varphi}$  given by the identification

$$M_{\varphi} := \frac{S \times [0, 1]}{(x, 1) \sim (\varphi(x), 0)}$$

A mapping torus is a *fibration over*  $S^1$ , denoted by  $S \to M_{\varphi} \to S^1$ . A fibration defines a flow on M, called the *suspension flow*, where, for any  $x_0 \in S$  and  $t_0 \in S^1$ , the pair

 $(x_0, t_0)$  is sent to  $(x_0, t_0 + t)$ . The fiber of a fibration is the preimage of any point  $\theta \in S^1$  under the projection map  $M_{\varphi} \to S^1$ . If we do not specify  $\theta$ , the fiber as a subset of  $M_{\varphi}$  is only well defined up to isotopy. The homology class of the fiber in  $H_2(M_{\varphi}; \mathbb{R})$  is well defined.

A natural inverse question is to determine when a 3–manifold fibers over a circle, and the possible fibers. To this end, Thurston established a correspondence between second homology of 3–manifolds and surfaces embedded in 3–manifolds.

**Complexity of an embedded surface** Let M be a compact orientable closed 3-manifold. Let S be a connected surface embedded in M. The complexity of S is  $\chi_{-}(S) = \max\{-\chi(S), 0\}$ . If the surface S has multiple components  $S_1, \ldots, S_m$  then  $\chi_{-}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{-}(S_i)$ . The elements in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  can be represented by embedded surfaces inside of M [28, Lemma 1].

**Thurston norm** Let *a* be a homology class in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . Define the integer valued norm  $x: H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$  as

 $x(a) = \min\{\chi_{-}(S) \mid [S] = a \text{ and } S \text{ is compact, properly embedded and oriented}\}.$ 

We then linearly extend x to  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . The *Thurston norm* is the unique continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued function that is an extension of x to  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$ . The unit ball for the Thurston norm is a convex polyhedron in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$ .

The following remarkable theorem of Thurston [28] determines all possible fibrations of an oriented 3–manifold over the circle. We use the restatement of Yazdi [33].

**Theorem 2.1** (Thurston) Let M be an orientable 3–manifold. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of homology classes in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$  that are representable by fibers of fibrations of M over the circle.

- (i) Elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with (nonzero) lattice points inside some union of cones over open faces of the unit ball in the Thurston norm.
- (ii) If a surface *F* is transverse to the suspension flow associated to some fibration of *M* → *S*<sup>1</sup> then [*F*] lies in the closure of the corresponding cone in *H*<sub>2</sub>(*M*; ℝ).

The class [F] has orientation such that the positive flow direction is pointing outwards relative to the surface. An open face of the unit ball is said to be a *fibered face* if the cone over the face contains the fibers of a fibration.

The goal for the rest of this section is to prove a version of Theorem 2.1 for compact nonorientable 3–manifolds. Most of the work in the proof will involve reducing the

version for nonorientable 3–manifolds to the orientable version by passing to the double cover.

#### 2.2 Thurston norm on cohomology of nonorientable mapping tori

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a compact nonorientable surface. A naïve first attempt at defining the Thurston norm would be to define it on the  $H_2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{R})$ , like in the orientable case. However, if the norm is defined on  $H_2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{R})$ , the nonorientable version of Theorem 2.1 will not be true. Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$  be a homeomorphism and let  $N_{\varphi}$  be associated mapping torus. Clearly,  $N_{\varphi}$  fibers over  $S^1$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the fiber of this fibration. However, the homology class associated to  $\mathcal{N}$  is the zero homology class, since the top-dimensional homology of nonorientable compact surfaces is 0–dimensional.

Our workaround for this problem will be to define a norm on the first cohomology  $H^1(N_{\varphi})$  rather than the second homology  $H_2(N_{\varphi})$ . By Poincaré duality they are isomorphic for orientable 3–manifolds, but that is not true for nonorientable 3–manifolds.

**Poincaré duality** To see why Poincaré duality fails for nonorientable 3-manifolds, we will work through the construction of the isomorphism between first cohomology and second homology for orientable 3-manifolds. Let M be a 3-manifold. To define the Poincaré dual of  $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , we first define a homotopy class of maps  $M \to S^1$ . Then we construct an element of  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a 1-form on M and  $[\alpha]$  its class in  $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . Fix a basepoint  $y_0 \in M$ . The associated map  $f_{\alpha}: M \to S^1$  is given by

(1) 
$$f_{\alpha}(y) := \int_{y_0}^{y} \alpha \mod \mathbb{Z}.$$

The choice of basepoint does not affect the homotopy class of  $f_{\alpha}$  (see [6, Section 5.1] for the details).

Now let  $\theta \in S^1$  be a regular value so that  $S = f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)$  is a surface. To construct the associated element of  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , we choose an orientation on S by assigning positive values of  $\alpha$  to the outward-pointing normal vectors on S. Then S inherits an orientation from the orientation on M, and we have defined a fundamental class  $[S] \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . We claim that [S] is the Poincaré dual to  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  be two regular values of the function  $f_{\alpha}$  and let  $S = f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)$ and  $S' = f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta')$ . Then, for any closed 2-form  $\omega$  on M,

- (i)  $\int_{S} \omega = \int_{S'} \omega$ , and
- (ii)  $\int_{S} \omega = \int_{M} \alpha \wedge \omega$ .

In particular, the homology class of S is Poincaré dual to  $\alpha$ .

**Proof** To see (i), observe that S and S' are homologous, ie  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}([\theta, \theta'])$  is a singular 3-chain that has S and S' as boundaries. By Stokes' theorem,

$$\int_{S-S'} \omega = \int_{f_{\alpha}^{-1}([\theta, \theta'])} d\omega = 0$$

To prove (ii), observe that because  $\alpha$  is the pullback of  $d\xi$  along the map  $f_{\alpha}$  we can write the right-hand side as

$$\int_M \alpha \wedge \omega = \int_{S^1} \left( \int_{f_\alpha^{-1}(\xi)} \omega \right) d\xi.$$

By Sard's theorem, almost every  $\xi \in [0, 1]$  is a regular value. Therefore the right-hand side is well defined. By (i), the inner integral is a constant function, as we vary over the  $\xi$  which are regular values of  $f_{\alpha}$ . Then the integral of  $d\xi$  over  $S^1$  is 1, giving us the identity

$$\int_M \alpha \wedge \omega = \int_S \omega.$$

What we have here is an explicit formula for the Poincaré duality map from  $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$  to  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$ . For orientable 3–manifolds, this is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.3** (Poincaré duality for orientable 3–manifolds) Let M be an orientable 3–manifold, and let S be an orientable embedded surface. Then there exists a 1–form  $\alpha$  and a regular value  $\theta \in S^1$  such that S and  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)$  are homologous surfaces.

Let N be a nonorientable 3-manifold. The map above from  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  to  $H_2(N; \mathbb{R})$  is still well defined. However the map from  $H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$  to  $H_2(N; \mathbb{Z})$  has a nontrivial kernel. For example, when  $N_{\varphi}$  is the mapping torus of a nonorientable surface  $\mathcal{N}$ , as above, the fiber is trivial in  $H_2(N; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Nonorientable manifolds** Let N be a nonorientable 3-manifold. Let  $\tilde{N}$  and the covering map  $p: \tilde{N} \to N$  be the orientation double covering space of N. Let  $\iota$  be the orientation-reversing deck transformation of  $\tilde{N}$ . Let  $N = N_{\varphi}$  be the mapping torus of the nonorientable surface  $\mathcal{N}$  by a homeomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\tilde{N}$  is the mapping torus of  $(\mathcal{S}, \tilde{\varphi})$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the orientation double cover of  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the orientation-preserving lift of  $\varphi$ .

**Defining the Thurston norm on cohomology** In order to define the Thurston norm on  $H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ , we first need to relate  $H^1(N;\mathbb{R})$  and  $H^1(\tilde{N};\mathbb{R})$ . We do so by pulling back  $H^1(N;\mathbb{R})$  to  $H^1(\tilde{N};\mathbb{R})$  via p. We also state the following lemma without proof (the proof is elementary).

**Lemma 2.4** The pullback  $p^*: H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \to H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$  maps  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  bijectively to the  $\iota^*$ -invariant subspace of  $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ .

Next we use Lemma 2.4 to define the Thurston norm on  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Thurston norm for nonorientable 3–manifolds** Let  $\alpha \in H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  and let  $\tilde{x}$  be the Thurston norm on  $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R}) \cong H_2(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ . The *Thurston norm on*  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$ , is the norm  $x: H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$x(\alpha) := \tilde{x}(p^*\alpha).$$

Note that defining the Thurston norm on  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  rather than  $H_2(N; \mathbb{R})$  is not quite satisfactory. In particular, fibers of fibrations are embedded surfaces in N. In the orientable case, the embedded surfaces define the Thurston norm. In Section 2.3, we develop a (weak) version of Poincaré duality for nonorientable 3–manifolds.

#### 2.3 Weak inverse to the Poincaré duality map

We state and prove a weak version of Poincaré duality for *relatively oriented* (nonorientable) surfaces embedded in 3–manifolds as Theorem 2.7.

**Relative oriented surfaces** Let M be a 3-manifold, and S an embedded surface in M. The surface S is said to be *relatively oriented with respect to* M if there is a nowhere-vanishing vector field on S that is transverse to the tangent plane of S. Two such vector fields are said to induce the same orientation if they induce the same local orientation after choosing a local frame for S. A surface S is *relatively oriented* in Mif both S and the choice of positive normal vector field are specified.

If S and M are orientable, then S is relatively oriented with respect to M. But even if M is nonorientable, a nonorientable embedded surface S may be relatively oriented in M. In particular, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the fiber of a fibration  $f: \mathcal{N} \to S^1$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is relatively oriented in  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Proof** Consider a nonzero tangent vector v pointing in the positive direction at a point  $\theta \in S^1$ . One can pull back the tangent vector v to a nowhere-vanishing vector field over  $f^{-1}(\theta) = \mathcal{N}$  because f is a fibration, ie a submersion. The pulled-back vector field defines a relative orientation for  $\mathcal{N}$  in N.

**Orientable manifolds** Now let M be an orientable 3-manifold, and let S be an orientable embedded surface. If S is relatively oriented with respect to M, then a

choice of orientation on S determines an orientation on M and vice versa. We also need to define the notion of *incompressible surfaces* to state our version of Poincaré duality.

**Incompressible surfaces** Let S be a surface with positive genus embedded in a 3-manifold M. The surface S is said to be *incompressible* if there does not exist an embedded disc D in M such that D intersects S transversely and  $D \cap S = \partial D$ . The following result of Thurston demonstrates the link between incompressible surfaces and fibers of fibrations.

**Theorem 2.6** [28, Theorem 4] Let M be an oriented 3–manifold that fibers over  $S^1$ . Let S be an incompressible surface embedded in M. If S is homologous to a fiber, then S is isotopic to the fiber.

In the remainder of the section, we will be working with a nonorientable 3-manifold N and an embedded nonorientable surface  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\tilde{N}$  and the covering map  $p: \tilde{N} \to N$  be the orientation double covering space of N. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  be the preimage of  $\mathcal{N}$  under p. Let  $\iota: \tilde{N} \to \tilde{N}$  be the orientation-reversing deck transformation of p. We will initiate N and  $\mathcal{N}$  in each result below, but we suppress the initiation of the orientation double cover.

**Theorem 2.7** (Poincaré duality for nonorientable 3–manifolds) Let N be a compact nonorientable 3–manifold, and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a relatively oriented incompressible surface embedded in N. Then there exists  $[\alpha] \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$  such that the pullback of  $[\alpha]$  to  $\tilde{N}$ is the Poincaré dual of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  in  $\tilde{N}$ . If  $[\alpha]$  has a 1–form representative  $\alpha$  that vanishes nowhere on N, then  $\mathcal{N}$  is homeomorphic to  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in S^1$ .

We will refer to the 1–form  $\alpha$  given in Theorem 2.7 as the *Poincaré dual* of the nonorientable surface  $\mathcal{N}$ . Before proving Theorem 2.7, we need three lemmas.

**Lemma 2.8** Let N be a nonorientable 3–manifold. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a relatively oriented embedded surface in N, and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = p^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$  in  $\tilde{N}$ . Then the Poincaré dual to  $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}]$  is  $\iota^*$ –invariant.

**Proof** A positive vector field on  $\mathcal{N}$  that is transverse to its tangent plane in N lifts to a relative orientation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  are orientable, the relative orientation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  defines an orientation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , and thus the homology class  $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}]$  in  $H_2(\tilde{\mathcal{N}};\mathbb{R})$  is well defined.

Next we show that  $\iota$  reverses the orientation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . To do so, we first observe that because  $\mathcal{N}$  is relatively oriented in N, the outward-pointing transverse vector field

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 23 (2023)

2832

on  $\mathcal{N}$  must lift to an outward-pointing transverse vector field on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . In particular, for any outward-pointing vector  $\tilde{v}$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ , the vector  $\iota(\tilde{v})$  is also outward-pointing.

Lift an outward-pointing transverse vector field on  $\mathcal{N}$  to an outward-pointing transverse vector field  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Let  $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  be a local frame for some point in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  such that  $v_3$  is in  $\tilde{V}$ . Since  $\iota$  reverses the orientation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  but preserves the direction of  $v_3$ ,  $\iota$  must reverse the orientation of the pair  $(v_1, v_2)$ . In particular, that means  $\iota$  reverses the orientation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ .

Therefore  $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}]$  is in the (-1)–eigenspace of the  $\iota_*$  action on  $H_2(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}; \mathbb{R})$ . Let the cohomology class  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$  be the Poincaré dual to  $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}]$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be a representative 1–form  $\tilde{\alpha}$ of  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$  (that need not be  $\iota^*$ –invariant). We use the fact that  $\iota^2 = \text{id}$  in the first and third equalities:

$$\int_{\iota_*\widetilde{N}} \omega = \int_{\widetilde{N}} \iota^* \omega \qquad \text{(by a change of variables)}$$
$$= \int_{\widetilde{N}} \widetilde{\alpha} \wedge \iota^* \omega \qquad \text{(Poincaré duality)}$$
$$= \int_{\widetilde{N}} \iota^* (\iota^* \widetilde{\alpha} \wedge \omega)$$
$$= \int_{\widetilde{N}} -(\iota^* \widetilde{\alpha} \wedge \omega) \qquad (\iota \text{ is orientation-reversing)}.$$

Because  $\iota_*[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}] = -[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}],$ 

$$\int_{\iota_*\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \omega = -\int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \omega = -\int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \widetilde{\alpha} \wedge \omega.$$
$$\int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \widetilde{\alpha} \wedge \omega = \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \iota^*\widetilde{\alpha} \wedge \omega$$

Since

for all  $\omega$ , it follows that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\iota^* \tilde{\alpha}$  differ by an exact form, and therefore the cohomology class  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$  is  $\iota^*$ -invariant.

As above, we will denote the Poincaré dual to  $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}]$  by  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$ . The class  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$  is an  $\iota^*$ invariant element of  $H^1(\tilde{\mathcal{N}};\mathbb{Z})$ , but it is not clear that  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$  is the pullback of an element
of  $H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$  under p. In the next lemma, we show that is indeed the case.

**Lemma 2.9** Let N be a nonorientable 3–manifold. Let  $[\tilde{\alpha}] \in H^1(\tilde{N}, Z)$  and let  $\tilde{S}$  be the Poincaré dual of  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$  in  $\tilde{N}$ . There exists  $[\alpha] \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\tilde{\alpha} = p^* \alpha$ .

**Proof** It will suffice to show that for any simple closed curve  $\gamma$  in N, the integral of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  along any path lift of  $\gamma$  is an integer. Let  $x_0 \in N$  be a basepoint of  $\gamma$ . Note that  $\gamma$  has

two (path) lifts,  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$  under p in  $\tilde{N}$ , one based at each element of  $p^{-1}(x_0)$ . Either  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$  are both simple closed curves based at each of the two preimages  $p^{-1}(x_0)$ , or  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$  are both arcs between the two points of  $p^{-1}(x_0)$ . If each lift,  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ , of  $\gamma$  is a closed curve in  $\tilde{N}$ , the integral  $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_i} \tilde{\alpha}$  will be an integer since  $[\tilde{\alpha}] \in H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{Z})$  for i = 1, 2.

If each lift,  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ , of  $\gamma$  is an arc between the two preimages of  $p^{-1}(x_0)$ , we consider the simple closed curve  $\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cup \tilde{\gamma}_2$ . We note that  $\iota(\tilde{\gamma}) = \tilde{\gamma}$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is  $\iota^*$ -invariant. Therefore we have that  $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \tilde{\alpha} = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_2} \tilde{\alpha}$ , so

$$\int_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \widetilde{\alpha} = 2 \int_{\widetilde{\gamma}_1} \widetilde{\alpha}.$$

It will suffice to show that  $\int_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \widetilde{\alpha}$  is an even integer. Without loss of generality, we can assume all intersections of the simple closed curve  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  with the surface  $\widetilde{S}$  are transverse. Since  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  is a representative of the Poincaré dual to  $[\widetilde{S}]$ , the integral of  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  along  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  is the signed intersection number of  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  with  $\widetilde{S}$ . The intersection number must be even, for if  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  and  $\widetilde{S}$  intersect at a point y, then they also intersect at  $\iota(y)$ .

The last lemma we need is that lifts of incompressible surfaces are incompressible.

**Lemma 2.10** Let N be a nonorientable 3–manifold. If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a relatively oriented incompressible surface in N, then  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = p^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$  is incompressible in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Proof** Because  $\mathcal{N}$  is incompressible in N, the map on fundamental groups induced by inclusion  $\mathcal{N} \to N$  is injective. Since  $p_*: \pi_1(\tilde{N}) \to \pi_1(N)$  is injective, the induced map  $\pi_1(\tilde{N}) \to \pi_1(\tilde{N})$  must also be injective. An injective induced map on fundamental groups is equivalent to the orientable surface  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  being incompressible.  $\Box$ 

We now have everything we need to finish proving Theorem 2.7.

**Proof of Theorem 2.7** Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = p^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ . The relative orientation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  determines a homology class  $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}] \in H_2(N; \mathbb{Z})$ . Let the 1-form  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be the Poincaré dual to  $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}]$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . By Lemma 2.9, there exists a 1-form  $\alpha \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\tilde{\alpha} = p^* \alpha$ .

We define the map  $f_{\alpha}: N \to S^1$  according to (1). Because  $\alpha$  is nonvanishing,  $f_{\alpha}$  has full rank everywhere. Therefore  $f_{\alpha}$  is a fibration. The map  $f_{\alpha} \circ p$  is a lift of  $f_{\alpha}$  to  $\tilde{N}$ under p, and is therefore also a fibration. By Lemma 2.10,  $\tilde{N}$  is incompressible. It follows from the orientable version of Poincaré duality that  $\tilde{N}$  and  $p^{-1}(f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta))$  are homologous surfaces in  $\tilde{N}$ . Theorem 2.6 then tells us  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  must be isotopic to a fiber of  $f_{\alpha} \circ p$ . The restriction of p to the homeomorphic surfaces  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $p^{-1}(f_{\alpha}^{-1})(\theta)$ determines two equivalent 2-fold covering maps  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{N}$  and  $p^{-1}(f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)) \to f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)$ . Therefore the image surfaces  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)$  must also be homeomorphic.  $\Box$ 

Note that the above proof does not tell us that  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta)$  are isotopic. Isotopy of the fibers of N requires the isotopy between  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $p^{-1}(f_{\alpha}^{-1}(\theta))$  to be  $\iota^*$ -equivariant. However, the theorem is sufficient for our application.

We conclude the section with a nonorientable version of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.11** Let N be a compact nonorientable 3–manifold, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the elements of  $H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$  corresponding to fibrations of N over  $S^1$ .

- (i) Elements of *F* are in one-to-one correspondence with (nonzero) lattice points ie points of H<sup>1</sup>(N; ℤ) inside some union of cones over open faces of the unit ball in the Thurston norm.
- (ii) Let N be relatively oriented surface in N that transverse to the suspension flow associated to some fibration f: N → S<sup>1</sup>. Let [α] be the Poincaré dual [α] to N. Then [α] lies in the closure of the cone in H<sup>1</sup>(N; ℝ) containing the 1-form corresponding to f.

**Proof** For (i), we observe that by Theorem 2.1 the fibrations of  $\tilde{N}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with lattice points inside a union of cones over open faces of the unit ball in  $H_2(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$  be the union of cones in  $H_2(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ . By Poincaré duality,  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$  is in one-to-one correspondence to a union of cones in  $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ , which we will call  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$ .

Because  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ , we can construct a union of cones in  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  that map to the intersection of  $p^*(H^1(N; \mathbb{R}))$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$ . Indeed, every lattice point in  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$  corresponds to a fibration  $f: N \to S^1$ , since the pullback of f to  $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{Z})$  corresponds to a fibration of  $\tilde{N}$ . Conversely, every fibration of  $f: N \to S^1$  must correspond to an element of  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$ , since the composition  $f \circ p$  is a fibration of  $\tilde{N} \to S^1$ .

For (ii), assume that the surface  $\mathcal{N}$  is transverse to the suspension flow of a fibration  $f: N \to S^1$ . Then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is transverse to the suspension flow  $p \circ f: \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \to S^1$ . Let  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  be the pullback of  $\alpha$  under p. Then  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  is the Poincaré dual of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . By Theorem 2.1, the 1-form  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  lies in the closure of a component of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$  that contains the 1-form corresponding to  $f \circ p$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$  be this component. Let  $K \subset H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  be the preimage of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$  under  $p^*$ . The cone K contains both  $\alpha$  and the 1-form corresponding to f, as desired.  $\Box$ 



Figure 1: Cross section of intersection of S and S'.

#### 2.4 Oriented sums

The next step in studying embedded nonorientable surfaces will be to describe *oriented* sums. Let M be a 3-manifold. The oriented sum of two embedded surfaces in M is additive in both the Euler characteristic and  $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ . This operation is well known in the case of orientable 3-manifolds (along with orientable embedded surfaces), but we will sketch the relevant details. We then extend the construction to relatively oriented embedded surfaces.

**Oriented sum for oriented manifolds** Let M be an orientable manifold. Let S and S' be orientable embedded surfaces in M. Assume that S and S' intersect transversally. Thus  $S \cap S'$  is a disjoint union of copies of  $S^1$ . For each component of  $S \cap S'$ , take a tubular neighborhood that has cross section as in Figure 1.

We then perform a surgery on the leaves of S and S' so that the outward-pointing normal vector fields match as in Figure 2.

By performing this surgery at all the intersections, we get a new submanifold S'' of M (which may have multiple components). This new submanifold S'' is called the *oriented sum* of S and S'. The operation of taking oriented sums is additive on Euler



Figure 2: On the left, the normal vectors on S and S' are consistent. On the right, they are not.



Figure 3: Neighborhoods of  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ , with the outward-pointing normal vector field.

characteristic, as well as the homology classes (and thus the cohomology classes of their Poincaré duals),

$$\chi(S'') = \chi(S) + \chi(S'), \quad [S''] = [S] + [S'].$$

**Oriented sum for nonorientable manifolds** Let N be a nonorientable 3-manifold and let  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}'$  be embedded surfaces in N that are relatively oriented. We define the oriented sum on  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}'$  as follows. As above, let  $p: \widetilde{N} \to N$  be the orientation double cover and let  $\iota$  be the orientation-reversing deck transformation of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = p^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}' = p^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$ , which are embedded oriented surfaces in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . The oriented sum of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}'$  is the image under p of the oriented sum of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'$ .

To see that the operation is well defined, we recall that  $\iota$  preserves the relative orientation of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'$ . Therefore  $\iota$  leaves the outward normal vector fields on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'$  invariant (see the proof of Lemma 2.8). Thus a leaf L of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is surgered with a leaf of L' of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'$ if and only if  $\iota(L)$  and  $\iota(L')$  are surgered. Therefore surgery factors through p and  $[\mathcal{N}] + [\mathcal{N}']$  is well defined for nonorientable surfaces.

**Example 2.12** Let  $\gamma$  be a component of  $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{N}'$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$  be the path lifts of  $\gamma$ . One possible orientation of  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{S}'$  is given in Figure 3. The outward-pointing normal vectors to  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'$  determine which leaves are glued together along  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ .

To preserve the normal vector field, glue the left  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  leaf to the bottom  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'$  leaf near  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ . Since  $\iota(\tilde{\gamma}_1) = \tilde{\gamma}_2$ , the outward-pointing normal vector fields point the same (relative) directions.

Additivity By the consistency of the oriented sum in N and  $\tilde{N}$ , it easily follows that the oriented sum is additive in Euler characteristic, as well as in terms of Poincaré dual, since the Poincaré dual was also defined by passing to the orientation double cover.

# 3 Mapping classes with small stretch factors

In this section, we provide a strategy to compute pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms with small stretch factors.

## 3.1 Mapping class groups of nonorientable surfaces

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a nonorientable surface and let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and the covering map  $p: \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{N}$  be its orientation double covering space. Every homeomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ , has a unique orientation-preserving lift  $\widetilde{\varphi}: \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ .

A consequence is that lifting homeomorphisms induces a monomorphism between homeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{N}$  and orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Every homotopy of  $\mathcal{N}$  lifts to a homotopy of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Therefore there is an inclusion from the mapping class group of  $\mathcal{N}$  to the (orientation-preserving) mapping class group of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . This inclusion also respects the Nielsen–Thurston classification of mapping classes, both qualitatively, and quantitatively, as the following proposition shows.

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$  be a homeomorphism and let  $\tilde{\varphi} \colon \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  be the orientation-preserving lift of  $\varphi$ . Then

- (i)  $\varphi$  is periodic if and only if  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is periodic,
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is reducible if and only if  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is reducible, and
- (iii)  $\varphi$  is pseudo-Anosov if and only if  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is pseudo-Anosov. Moreover, if  $\varphi$  has stretch factor  $\lambda$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi}$  also has stretch factor  $\lambda$ .

**Proof** The fact that the map from  $Mod(\mathcal{N})$  to  $Mod(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})$  is type-preserving follows from Aramayona, Leininger and Souto [3, Lemma 10] (while the statement of the lemma is for orientable surfaces, the argument, which we will skip, is identical for nonorientable surfaces).

Suppose now that  $\varphi: \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$  is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism with stretch factor  $\lambda$  and stable and unstable foliations  $\mathcal{F}_s$  and  $\mathcal{F}_u$  respectively. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_u$  denote the lifts of the stable and unstable foliations to the orientation double cover. Let  $\gamma$  be a simple closed curve in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . We need to show that the following identities hold for all  $\gamma$ 

(see [8, Exposé 5] for the definition of intersection number with measured foliations; the fact that these identities suffice follows from [8, Lemma 9.15]):

(2) 
$$i(\gamma, \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{F}_u)) = \lambda \cdot i(\gamma, \widetilde{F}_u)$$

(3) 
$$i(\gamma, \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_s)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot i(\gamma, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_s).$$

To see that (2) holds, we partition  $\gamma$  into short arcs  $\{\gamma_i\}$  such that the restriction of the covering map p to a neighborhood of each arc is a homeomorphism. Then

(4) 
$$i(\gamma_i, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_u) = i(p(\gamma_i), \mathcal{F}_u),$$

(5) 
$$i(\gamma_i, \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_u)) = i(p(\gamma_i), \varphi(\mathcal{F}_u)).$$

Since we know that  $\mathcal{F}_u$  is the unstable foliation for  $\varphi$  with stretch factor  $\lambda$ , we can compute the ratio of the right-hand side of (4) and (5),

(6) 
$$i(p(\gamma_i), \varphi(\mathcal{F}_u)) = \lambda \cdot i(p(\gamma_i), \mathcal{F}_u)$$

Combining (4), (5) and (6) and summing over all  $\gamma_i$  gives us that (2) holds. A similar argument also proves that (3) holds.

#### 3.2 Constructing pseudo-Anosov maps using oriented sums

The goal of this section is to prove that the stretch factor of any pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism provides an asymptotic upper bound for the minimum stretch factor. We do this in Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $\mathcal{N}_g$  be a nonorientable surface of genus g and let  $\varphi : \mathcal{N}_g \to \mathcal{N}_g$  be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism with stretch factor  $\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi}$  be the mapping torus of  $\mathcal{N}_g$  by  $\varphi$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_{g'}$  be a genus 3 nonorientable relatively orientable surface embedded in  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi}$  that is transverse to the suspension flow associated to  $\varphi$ . Then, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of the oriented sum  $\mathcal{N}_g + k\mathcal{N}_{g'}$  with stretch factor at most  $\lambda$ .

Our strategy for proving Proposition 3.2 is to find fibrations of  $N_{\varphi}$  over  $S^1$  that have fiber  $\mathcal{N}_g + k\mathcal{N}_{g'}$ . We then apply a special case of Thurston's hyperbolization theorem, which says that the mapping torus of an orientable surface S by a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  is hyperbolic if and only if  $\varphi$  is pseudo-Anosov [29, Theorem 0.1]. In particular, Thurston's theorem implies that if  $M = M_{\varphi}$  fibers over  $S^1$  in two ways, either both monodromies are pseudo-Anosov or neither monodromy is pseudo-Anosov. Finally, we adapt theorems of Fried and Matsumoto (Theorem 3.4) and Agol, Leininger and Margalit (Theorem 3.5) to work for mapping tori with nonorientable fibers. We will use the following two facts for orientable surfaces and hyperbolic 3–manifolds that fiber over  $S^1$ :

- (1) [6, Lemma 5.7] A Thurston norm-minimizing surface S is incompressible.
- (2) [28, Corollary 2] The fiber of any fibration over  $S^1$  minimizes the Thurston norm in its homology class.

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $\mathcal{N}'$  be a genus 3 nonorientable relatively orientable surface embedded in N that is transverse to the suspension flow associated to  $\varphi$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the Poincaré dual of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\alpha'$  the Poincaré dual of  $\mathcal{N}'$ . If the oriented sum of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}'$  is connected, then  $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}'$  is homeomorphic to the fiber of the fibration given by  $\alpha + \alpha'$ .

**Proof** We first need to show that  $\mathcal{N}'$  is incompressible to consider its Poincaré dual. This follows from the fact that the preimage  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'$  in the orientation double cover is a genus 2 surface, and minimizes the Thurston norm in its homology class. If it did not minimize the Thurston norm in the homology class, then the norm minimizing surface in its homology class would have to be a torus or a sphere, but that would contradict the fact that the 3-manifold is the mapping torus of a pseudo-Anosov map. By Calegari [6, Lemma 5.7], we have that  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'$  incompressible, and therefore  $\mathcal{N}'$  is incompressible.

Let  $p: \tilde{N} \to N$  be the orientation double cover of N. The surface  $\mathcal{N}$  minimizes the Thurston norm because it is a fiber of f. Similarly,  $p^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$  also minimizes the Thurston norm. Thus the Thurston norm of  $\alpha$  is  $2\chi_{-}(\mathcal{N})$ . Likewise, the Thurston norm of  $\alpha'$  is  $2\chi_{-}(\mathcal{N}')$ .

By Theorem 2.11(ii),  $\alpha'$  lies in the same cone in  $H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$  as  $\alpha$ . The Thurston norm x on  $H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$  is a linear function on that cone. Since the Thurston norm is also linear on oriented sums of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}'$ ,

$$x(\alpha + \alpha') = x(\alpha) + x(\alpha') = 2\chi_{-}(\mathcal{N}) + 2\chi_{-}(\mathcal{N}') = 2\chi_{-}(\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}').$$

Because  $2\chi_{-}(\mathcal{N}+\mathcal{N}')$  achieves the Thurston norm of  $\alpha + \alpha'$ , the preimage  $p^{-1}(\mathcal{N}+\mathcal{N}')$  achieves the Thurston norm of the pullback of  $\alpha + \alpha'$  under p. Therefore  $p^{-1}(\mathcal{N}+\mathcal{N}')$  is incompressible. Thus  $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}'$  is also incompressible.

By Theorem 2.11(i),  $\alpha + \alpha'$  corresponds to some other fibration  $f'': N \to S^1$ . By Theorem 2.7, the fiber of f'' must be homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}'$ .

In the proof of Proposition 3.2, we will use Proposition 3.3 along with a theorem of Thurston to obtain a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism  $\varphi_k$  of the surface of genus g + kg'. We then use Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 to obtain a upper bound on the stretch factor of  $\varphi_k$ .

**Theorem 3.4** (Fried [9; 10] and Matsumoto [22]) Let M be an orientable hyperbolic 3–manifold and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the union of cones in  $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$  whose lattice points correspond to fibrations over  $S^1$ . There exists a strictly convex function  $h: \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $h(cu) = \frac{1}{c}h(u)$  for all c > 0 and  $u \in \mathcal{K}$ .
- (ii) For every primitive lattice point  $u \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $h(u) = \log(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the stretch factor of the pseudo-Anosov map associated to this lattice point.
- (iii) h(u) goes to  $\infty$  as u approaches  $\partial \mathcal{K}$ .

**Theorem 3.5** (Agol, Leininger and Margalit) Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a fibered cone for a mapping torus M and let  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  be its closure in  $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $v \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ , then h(u+v) < h(u).

Proof of Proposition 3.2 The oriented sum

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{N}_g + k \mathcal{N}_{g'}$$

constructed in Proposition 3.3 is a surface of genus g + kg', and S is homeomorphic to a fiber of  $N_{\varphi}$  given by  $\alpha + k\alpha'$ . Let  $\varphi_k : S \to S$  be the monodromy of  $N_{\varphi}$  over S. By Thurston's theorem,  $\varphi_k$  is pseudo-Anosov. We claim that  $\varphi_k$  has stretch factor at most  $\lambda$ .

Let  $p: \tilde{N} \to N_{\varphi}$  be the orientation double cover of  $N_{\varphi}$ . Let  $h|_N$  be the restriction of *h* to the pullback  $p^*(H^1(N_{\varphi}; \mathbb{R}))$  in  $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ . The restriction  $h|_N$  satisfies all the properties of Theorems 3.4 and 3.5.

Let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be the orientation-preserving lift of  $\varphi$  to  $p^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ . Since  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the pullback of  $\alpha$ , the map  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism associated to  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . By Proposition 3.1, the stretch factor of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the cone in  $H^1(N_{\varphi}; \mathbb{R})$  that contains  $\alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_{g'}$  is transverse to the suspension flow in the direction of  $\varphi$ , we have that  $\alpha'$  is in the closure of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be the pullback of  $\alpha$  under p and let  $\tilde{\alpha}'$  be the pullback of  $\alpha'$  under p. Then  $h|_N(\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\alpha}') < h|_N(\tilde{\alpha})$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $h(\tilde{\alpha})$  is equal to the stretch factor of the pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism associated to  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Therefore  $h|_N(\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\alpha}') < \log(\lambda)$ . It follows that the stretch factor of  $\varphi_k$  is less than  $\lambda$ .

# 4 Minimal stretch factors for nonorientable surfaces with marked points

In this section we will use Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 3.2 to adapt the methods of Yazdi [33] to nonorientable surfaces. We recall the statement of the main theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$  be a nonorientable surface of genus g with n punctures, and let  $\ell'_{g,n}$  be the logarithm of the minimum stretch factor of the pseudo-Anosov mapping classes acting on  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$ . Then, for any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are positive constants  $B'_1 = B'_1(n)$  and  $B'_2 = B'_2(n)$  such that, for any  $g \ge 3$ , the quantity  $\ell'_{g,n}$  satisfies

$$\frac{B_1'}{g} \le \ell_{g,n}' \le \frac{B_2'}{g}.$$

Observe that the lower bound for the nonorientable case follows easily from the lower bound for the orientable case. Indeed, let  $\varphi$  be a pseudo-Anosov map with the minimal stretch factor on  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$ . The orientation double cover of  $\mathcal{N}_{g,n}$  is  $\mathcal{S}_{G,2n}$ , where G = g - 1. Note that in the nonorientable case we measure genus as the number of copies of the projective plane attached to  $S^2$  via a connect sum and in the orientable case we measure genus as the number of copies of the torus attached to  $S^2$  via a connected sum. Let  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathcal{S}_{G,2n} \to \mathcal{S}_{G,2n}$  be the orientation-preserving lift of  $\varphi$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  has the same stretch factor as  $\varphi$ . The logarithm of the former is bounded below by  $B_1/G$ , where  $B_1$  is given by Yazdi [33], and thus the stretch factor of  $\varphi$  is bounded below as well. The more challenging part of the proof is showing that the upper bound holds.

We will closely follow Yazdi's construction, which proceeds in five steps, though we will reorder them for clarity. In Steps 1 and 2, we construct a family of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{N}_{g_i,n}$ , where  $\{g_i\}$  is an unbounded increasing sequence. However the sequence  $\{g_i\}$  does not contain all natural numbers. In Step 3 we give an upper bound to the stretch factor of the previously constructed homeomorphisms. In Steps 4 and 5, we construct pseudo-Anosov maps on surfaces of genera that do not belong to the sequence  $\{g_i\}$ . It is in Steps 4 and 5 that we use Thurston's fibered face theory. We have adapted each of Yazdi's five steps to work for nonorientable surfaces.

#### **Step 1: constructing the surfaces**

We begin by defining a family of surfaces  $P_{n,k}$ . Let *S* be an orientable surface of genus 5 with three boundary components. Call the boundary components *c*, *d* and *e*. Choose an orientation of *S* and let *c*, *d* and *e* inherit the induced orientations. Let *p* and *q* be marked points in the boundary component *e*. In Step 5 we will remove *p* and all its copies. Let *r* and *s* be the components of  $e \setminus \{p, q\}$ . We obtain a nonorientable surface *T* from *S* by adding two cross caps to *S* (retaining the orientation of the boundary components of *S*). The resulting surface *T* is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: The surface T, which will be the building block of the construction.

Let  $T_{i,j}$  be copies of the surface T, where  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $c_{i,j}, d_{i,j}$  and  $e_{i,j}$  be the (oriented) boundary components of  $T_{i,j}$ , and let  $r_{i,j}$  and  $s_{i,j}$  be the copies of the arcs r and s in  $T_{i,j}$ . Define a connected infinite surface  $T_{\infty}$  as the quotient

$$T_{\infty} := \left(\bigcup_{i,j} T_{i,j}\right) / \gamma$$

for all integers i and j. The gluing  $\sim$  is given by orientation-reversing identifications

(7) 
$$c_{i,j} \sim d_{i+1,j}, \quad r_{i,j} \sim s_{i,j+1}.$$

We have two natural shift maps,  $\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2: T_{\infty} \to T_{\infty}$ ,

$$\bar{\rho}_1: T_{i,j} \mapsto T_{i+1,j}, \quad \bar{\rho}_2: T_{i,j} \mapsto T_{i,j+1}.$$

Note that  $\bar{\rho}_1$  and  $\bar{\rho}_2$  commute. Define the surface  $P_{n,k}$  as the quotient of the surface  $T_{\infty}$  by the covering action of the group generated by  $(\bar{\rho}_1)^n$  and  $(\bar{\rho}_2)^k$ . Then  $\bar{\rho}_1$  and  $\bar{\rho}_2$  are equivariant with respect to the covering map. We denote the induced homeomorphisms of the quotient  $P_{n,k}$  by  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ . Note that later we will require that  $k \ge 3$  and n is the number of punctures, given in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1 Let

 $g_{n,k} = (14k - 2)n + 2$ 

for  $n \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 1$ . The genus of  $P_{n,k}$  is  $g_{n,k}$ .

**Proof** Let  $U \subset P_{n,k}$  be the subsurface

$$U = \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{0,j}\right) \Big/ {\sim'}$$

where  $\sim'$  is given by (7) and by identifying  $r_{i,k-1}$  and  $s_{i,0}$ . Then U is a compact, nonorientable surface of genus 12k with 2k boundary components. The surface  $P_{n,k}$  consists of n copies of U identified along the 2k boundary components. Therefore the Euler characteristic of  $P_{n,k}$  is

$$\chi(P_{n,k}) = n \cdot \chi(U) = n \cdot (2 - 12k - 2k) = -n(14k - 2).$$

Since  $P_{n,k}$  is a nonorientable surface with empty boundary,

$$g_{n,k} = n(14k-2) + 2.$$

#### **Step 2: constructing the maps**

In what is now a classical paper, Penner gives a construction of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms on both orientable and nonorientable surfaces [25]. Below we outline the Penner construction for nonorientable surfaces following the details of Liechti and Strenner [20, Section 2].

**Inconsistent markings** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a nonorientable surface and let c be a two-sided curve in  $\mathcal{N}$ . There exists a neighborhood of c that is homeomorphic to an annulus. Let  $\mathcal{A}_c$  be an annulus and let  $\zeta_c : \mathcal{A}_c \to \mathcal{N}$  be the homeomorphism that maps to a neighborhood of c. The homeomorphism  $\zeta_c$  is called a *marking* of c. A pair consisting of a curve c and  $\zeta_c$  is called a *marked curve*. If we fix an orientation of  $\mathcal{A}_c$ , then we can pushforward this orientation to  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $(c, \zeta_c)$  and  $(d, \zeta_d)$  be two marked curves that intersect at one point p. We say that  $(c, \zeta_c)$  and  $(d, \zeta_d)$  are *marked inconsistently* if the pushforward of the orientation of  $\mathcal{A}_c$  disagrees with the pushforward of the orientation of p. We emphasize that we can also say that two disjoint curves are inconsistently marked.

**Dehn twists** We define the Dehn twist  $\phi_{c,\zeta_c}(x)$  around a marked curve  $(c,\zeta_c)$  as

$$\phi_{c,\zeta_c}(x) = \begin{cases} \zeta_c \circ \tau_c \circ \zeta_c^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in \zeta_c(\mathcal{A}_c), \\ x & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{N} - \zeta_c(\mathcal{A}_c). \end{cases}$$

Here  $\tau_c$  is the left-handed Dehn twist on  $\mathcal{A}_c$ , ie  $\tau_c(\theta, t) = (\theta + 2\pi t, t)$ .

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 23 (2023)

2844

**The Penner construction for nonorientable surfaces** Let C be a set of marked essential simple closed curves in N such that no two curves in C are homotopic. A Penner construction on N is a composition of Dehn twists about the marked curves in C such that

- (1) the complement of curves in C in N consists of disks with at most one puncture or marked point,
- (2) the marked curves  $(c_i, \zeta_i), (c_j, \zeta_j) \in C$  with  $i \neq j$  are marked inconsistently,
- (3) a Dehn twist about each marked curve in C is included in the composition, and
- (4) all powers of Dehn twists are positive (alternatively, all powers are negative).

**Construction of**  $f_{n,k}$  We now construct homeomorphisms  $f_{n,k}: P_{n,k} \to P_{n,k}$  that are defined as a composition of specific Dehn twists followed by a finite order mapping class. The key insight is that a power of this map will be a composition of Dehn twists that satisfy the criteria to be a Penner construction. Therefore  $f_{n,k}$  is pseudo-Anosov. Here we are using the rotational symmetry of the  $P_{n,k}$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8\}$  be the multicurve in  $T_{0,0}$  as shown in Figure 5. Let  $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_7\}$  be the multicurve in  $T_{0,0} \cup T_{0,1} \cup T_{1,0}$  shown in Figure 5.

For any  $\alpha_i$ , we choose a marking  $\zeta_{\alpha_i}$  to be orientation-preserving. For any  $\beta_j$ , let  $\zeta_{\beta_j}$  be orientation-reversing. From here forward, we will think of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$  as (inconsistently) marked curves but we will suppress the marking maps. These choices give an inconsistent marking of  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8\} \cup \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_7\}$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=2}^{8} \alpha_i.$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a marked multicurve that is disjoint from  $\gamma$ . Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \cup \rho_1(\mathcal{R}) \cup \cdots \cup \rho_1^{n-1}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Let  $\Phi_r$  be the composition of Dehn twists about the marked curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ . Because the curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$  are disjoint, the Dehn twists about the curves commute.

Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{j=2}^{7} \beta_j$$

in  $T_{0,0} \cup T_{0,1} \cup T_{1,0}$ . As above,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a marked multicurve that is disjoint from  $\gamma$ . Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B} \cup \rho_1(\mathcal{B}) \cup \cdots \cup \rho_1^{n-1}(\mathcal{B}).$$



Figure 5: Part of surface  $P_{n,k}$  that includes the subsurface  $T_{0,0}$  and the curves  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_j$  and  $\gamma$ .

Let  $\Phi_b$  as the composition of Dehn twists about all of the marked curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ . As with  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ , the Dehn twists about curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  commute.

Let  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \subset T_{0,0}$  be the (marked) curves in Figure 5. Let  $\Phi$  be the composition of Dehn twists along all the curves  $\alpha_1, \rho_1(\alpha_1), \ldots, \rho_1^{n-1}(\alpha_1)$  followed by Dehn twists along all the curves  $\beta_1, \rho_1(\beta_1), \ldots, \rho_1^{n-1}(\beta_1)$ . Define the map  $f_{n,k}$  as

$$f_{n,k} := \rho_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r.$$

Since the curves about which we twist to construct  $f_{n,k}$  satisfy the conditions of Penner's construction,  $f_{n,k}$  is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism.

#### **Step 3: bounding the stretch factor**

Following Yazdi, our next goal is to find an upper bound for the stretch factor of the pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms  $f_{n,k}$ .

**Train tracks** Let *S* be a surface. A *train track* in *S* is graph embedded in *S* with that property that for every vertex *v* of valence three or greater, all edges adjacent to *v* have the same tangent vector at *v*. Let  $\varphi : S \to S$  be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. The map  $\varphi$  is equipped with a train track whose image under  $\varphi$  is homotopic to itself. Such a train track is an *invariant train track* associated to  $\varphi$ . Invariant train tracks have an associated matrix whose Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue is the stretch factor of  $\varphi$ .

Yazdi uses Lemma 4.2 to bound the spectral radius of the associated matrices.

**Lemma 4.2** [33, Lemma 2.3] Let *A* be a nonnegative integral matrix,  $\Gamma$  be the adjacency graph of *A*, and  $V(\Gamma)$  the set of vertices of  $\Gamma$ . For each  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , define  $v^+$  to be the set of vertices  $u \in V(\Gamma)$  such that there is an oriented edge from v to u. Let *D* and *k* be fixed natural numbers. Assume the following conditions hold for  $\Gamma$ :

- (i) For each  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , we have deg<sub>out</sub> $(v) \leq D$ .
- (ii) There is a partition  $V(\Gamma) = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_\ell$  such that, for each  $v \in V_i$ , we have  $v^+ \subset V_{i+1}$  for any  $1 \le i \le \ell$  except possibly when i = 1 or 3 (indices are mod  $\ell$ ).
- (iii) For each  $v \in V_1$ , we have  $v^+ \subset V_2 \cup V_3$ .
- (iv) For each  $v \in V_3$  we have  $v^+ \subset V_3 \cup V_4$ , and for  $u \in v^+ \cap V_3$  we have  $u^+ \subset V_4$ .
- (v) For all  $3 < j \le k$  and each  $v \in V_j$ , the set  $v^+$  consists of a single element.

Then the spectral radius of  $A^{\ell-1}$  is at most  $4D^4$ .

With this result in hand, we can find an upper bound for the stretch factor of  $f_{n,k}$ .

**Lemma 4.3** Let  $\lambda_{n,k}$  be the stretch factor of  $f_{n,k}$ . Then there exists a universal positive constant C' such that, for every  $n \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 3$ , we have the upper bound

$$\log(\lambda_{n,k}) \le C' \frac{n}{g_{n,k}}.$$

**Proof** We deliberately constructed our curves so that all intersections of the multicurves  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8\}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_7\}$  occur in the subsurface  $T_{0,0}$ . The curve  $\beta_3$  intersects  $\rho_2(\alpha_3)$  at one point in  $T_{0,1}$  and  $\beta_7$  intersects  $\rho_1(\alpha_8)$  at one point in  $T_{1,0}$ .

We define the unions of marked curves

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{R} \cup \{\alpha_1, \beta_1\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{7} \beta_j,$$
$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} \cup \rho_1(\mathcal{A}) \cup \dots \cup \rho_1^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}),$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} := \bar{\mathcal{A}} \cup \rho_2(\bar{\mathcal{A}}) \cup \dots \cup \rho_2^{k-1}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Because  $f_{n,k}$  is pseudo-Anosov, it has a corresponding invariant train track  $\tau$ . Let  $V_{\tau}$  be the space of all measured foliations that can be obtained by varying the weights on the tracks of  $\tau$ . This forms a finite dimensional cone of measures, all of which can be carried by the combinatorial train track  $\tau$ . Furthermore,  $f_{n,k}$  acts linearly on this cone, and leaves the cone invariant, since  $\tau$  is an invariant track for  $f_{n,k}$ . Consider now the transverse measure  $\mu_{\delta}$  for any curve  $\delta$  in  $\hat{A}$ . This transverse measure is carried by  $\tau$ , and thus  $\mu_{\delta}$  belongs in the cone of measures  $V_{\tau}$ . Let H be the subspace spanned by  $\{\mu_{\delta} \mid \delta \subset \hat{A}\}$ . This linear subspace is also left invariant by  $f_{n,k}$ . Let M be the matrix representing the linear action of  $f_{n,k}$  on H with respect to the basis  $\{\mu_{\delta} \mid \delta \subset \hat{A}\}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the adjacency graph for M. Work of Penner [25] tells us that the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of M is the stretch factor of  $f_{n,k}$ .

To bound the spectral radius of M, we need to show that  $\Gamma$  satisfies the criteria of Lemma 4.2.

(i) There exists a constant D', independent of n and k, such that, for every curve  $\delta \in \widehat{A}$ , the geometric intersection number between  $\delta$  and every curve in  $\overline{A}$  is at most D'. Recall that  $f_{n,k} = \rho_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r$ . Let  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  and  $M_4$  be the matrices describing the linear action of  $\Phi_r, \Phi_b, \Phi$  and  $\rho_2$  on H, respectively. The matrix M can then be written as the product

$$M = M_4 M_3 M_2 M_1.$$

For a curve  $\delta \in \hat{A}$ , the  $L^1$ -norm of  $M_i(\mu_{\delta})$  is bounded above by the geometric intersection of  $f_{n,k}(\delta)$  with the curves in  $\bar{A}$ . Thus each of  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  will change the norm by a factor of at most 1 + D'. Since  $\rho_2$  will not change intersection numbers,  $M_4$  will preserve the  $L^1$ -norm. If we let  $D = (1 + D')^3$ , then the outward degree of each vertex in  $\Gamma$  is at most D.

For the remaining conditions, we partition the vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Observe

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \rho_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cup \overline{\mathcal{A}} \cup \bigcup_{i=3}^k \rho_2^{i-2}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Then define  $V_1$  as the vertices of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $\rho_2^{-1}(\bar{A})$ , the set  $V_2$  as the vertices of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $\bar{A}$ , and  $V_i$  for  $3 \le i \le k$  as the vertices of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to elements in  $\rho_2^{i-2}(\bar{A})$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $v \in V_i$ , for  $i \neq 1, 3$ , is a vertex that corresponds to  $\mu_{\delta}$  for a curve  $\delta \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then  $\delta$  is disjoint from all curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . The action of  $\Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  will preserve the set  $\rho_2^{(i-2) \mod k}(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$  for each  $i \neq 1, 3$ . In particular,  $\{\mu_{\delta} \mid \delta \subset \widehat{\mathcal{A}}\}$  will

also be in  $\rho_2^{(i-2) \mod k}(\overline{A})$ . Then  $\rho_2$  will rotate the curve  $\Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r(\delta)$  into the set  $\rho_2^{(i-1) \mod k}(\overline{A})$ . That is,  $f_{n,k} = \rho_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r$  maps  $\mu_{\delta} \in H$  to

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}\in\mathcal{Z}}\mu_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}},$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a subset of  $\rho_2^{(i-1) \mod k}(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ . Therefore  $f_{n,k}$  maps v to a subset of  $V_{i+1}$ .

(iii) To verify the third condition, we first look at the vertices  $v \in V_1$  such that  $v^+ \not\subset V_2$ . Such vertices will correspond to the curves in  $\rho_2^{-1}(\overline{A})$  that  $\Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r$  maps to curves that are not in  $\rho_2(\overline{A})$ . Because  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  commute, we can write the curves of  $\rho_2^{-1}(\overline{A})$  as

$$\rho_2^{-1}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}) = \rho_2^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \rho_1(\rho_2^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \cup \dots \cup \rho_1^{n-1}(\rho_2^{-1}(\mathcal{A})).$$

The elements of  $v^+$  that are not in  $V_2$  correspond to the images of curves in  $\rho_2^{-1}(\overline{A})$ under  $f_{n,k}$  that are not in  $\overline{A}$ . As in Yazdi, the only curves in  $\rho_2^{-1}(\overline{A})$  that intersect curves in  $\overline{A}$  are those in the set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ \rho_1^i(\rho_2^{-1}(\beta_7)) \mid 0 \le i \le n-1 \}.$$

Therefore  $\Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r$  maps curves in  $\mathcal{X}$  to curves in  $\rho_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cup \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then

$$f_{n,k} = \rho_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_h$$

maps curves in  $\mathcal{X}$  to curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cup \rho_2(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ . For any curve in  $\mathcal{X}$ , the corresponding vertex  $v \in V_1$  will have  $v^+ \subset V_2 \cup V_3$ . Moreover,  $f_{n,k}$  maps the curves  $\rho_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \setminus \mathcal{X}$  to curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Thus, for any vertex  $v \in V_1$  that does not correspond to an element of  $\mathcal{X}$ , the set  $v^+$  is contained in  $V_2$ .

(iv) Similarly, we look for the  $v \in V_3$  such that  $v^+ \not\subset V_4$ . Such vertices will correspond to the curves in  $\rho_2(\overline{A})$  that  $\Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r$  maps to curves that are not in  $\rho_2^2(\overline{A})$ . As above,

$$\rho_2(\overline{\mathcal{A}}) = \rho_2(\mathcal{A}) \cup \rho_1(\rho_2(\mathcal{A})) \cup \cdots \cup \rho_1^{n-1}(\rho_2(\mathcal{A})).$$

The elements of  $v^+$  that are not in  $V_4$  correspond to the images of  $\rho_2(\overline{A})$  that intersect the curves in  $\overline{A}$ . The only vertices of  $V_4$  that correspond to such curves are those in the set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{ \rho_1^l(\rho_2(\alpha_8)) \mid 0 \le i \le n-1 \}.$$

For any element  $v \in V_3$  corresponding to a curve in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and any  $u \in v^+ \cap V_3$ , the vertex u does not correspond to an element of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Therefore  $u^+ \subset V_4$ .

(v) All the curves corresponding to an element of  $V_j$ , for  $3 < j \le k$ , are disjoint from all the curves in  $\overline{A}$ . Thus,  $f_{n,k}$  just acts by rotation.

Let  $\lambda = \lambda_{n,k}$  be the stretch factor of  $f_{n,k}$ . By Lemma 4.2,

$$\lambda^{k-1} = \rho(M)^{k-1} = \rho(M^{k-1}) \le 4D^4.$$

Then the logarithm of  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$\log(\lambda^{k-1}) = (k-1) \cdot \log(\lambda) \le \log(4D^4).$$

Then, for  $k \ge 2$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}k\log(\lambda) \le (k-1)\log(\lambda) \le \log(4D^4).$$

On the other hand, we know  $g_{n,k} = (14k-2)n + 2 \le 14kn$  by Lemma 4.1. Therefore,

$$\log(\lambda) \le 2\log(4D^4) \cdot \frac{1}{k} \le 2\log(4D^4) \cdot \frac{14n}{g_{n,k}}.$$

Let  $C' := 28 \log(4D^4)$  to complete the result.

#### Step 4: the mapping torus

We have now constructed an infinite family of nonorientable surfaces  $P_{n,k}$  and pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms  $f_{n,k}: P_{n,k} \to P_{n,k}$ , but this is not enough. In Lemma 4.1, we show that  $\{P_{n,k}\}$  does not include surfaces of infinitely many genera. We use the strategy of McMullen [23] and our extension of the Thurston's fibered face theory to fill in the gaps.

Next we follow the strategy of Leininger and Margalit [19] to find a surface embedded in the mapping torus of minimal genus. In our situation, this means that we will construct an embedded surface homeomorphic to  $N_3$ .

**Proposition 4.4** Let  $M_{n,k}$  be the mapping torus of  $f_{n,k}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_{n,k}$  denote the fibered cone of  $H^1(M_{n,k}; \mathbb{R})$  corresponding to the map  $f_{n,k}$ . There is a relatively orientable incompressible surface  $F_{n,k}$  embedded in  $M_{n,k}$  that is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_3$ . Moreover,  $F_{n,k}$  is transverse to the suspension flow direction given by  $f_{n,k}$  and the Poincaré dual of  $F_{n,k}$  is in the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{n,k}$ .

**Proof** Let  $\gamma \subset T_{0,0}$  be the curve shown in Figure 6. Note that  $\gamma$  and  $\Phi(\gamma)$  bound a nonorientable surface  $\hat{F}$  of genus 1 with boundary. For convenience, we will denote  $\Phi(\gamma)$  by  $\hat{\gamma}$ . We are going to follow the image of  $\gamma$  under powers of  $f_{n,k}$ . Then we attach annuli to the boundary of  $\hat{F}$  to obtain  $\mathcal{N}_3$ . Since  $\gamma$  is disjoint from all curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  (as seen in Figure 5), the maps  $\Phi_r$  and  $\Phi_b$  act trivially on  $\gamma$ . Recalling that  $f_{n,k} = \rho_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r$ , we have

$$f_{n,k}(\gamma) = \rho_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_b \circ \Phi_r(\gamma) = \rho_2 \circ \Phi(\gamma) = \rho_2(\hat{\gamma}).$$

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 23 (2023)

2850



Figure 6: The curves  $\gamma$  and  $\hat{\gamma}$  bound an a nonorientable surface of genus 1.

It follows that for all  $1 \le i \le k$ , the curve  $f_{n,k}^i(\gamma)$  is  $\rho_2^i(\hat{\gamma})$ . For  $1 \le i \le k$ , let  $A_i$  be an annulus in  $M_{n,k}$  that connects  $f_{n,k}^{i-1}(\gamma)$  to  $f_{n,k}^i(\gamma)$  obtained by following the suspension flow of  $f_{n,k}$  around  $M_{n,k}$ . Let A be the union of all of the  $A_i$ , which is also an annulus. We can now construct the embedded surface  $F_{n,k}$  by taking the union of A and  $\hat{F}$ . The union of  $\hat{F}$  with A has empty boundary and Euler characteristic 0, so  $F_{n,k}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_3$ .

We now need to show that  $F_{n,k}$  is relatively orientable. We construct a outward-pointing normal vector field by combining the outward-pointing vector fields on  $\hat{F}$  and A given by following  $\gamma$  along the suspension flow. Let  $v_1$  be a vector field on  $\hat{F}$  pointing in the flow direction. Define  $v_2$  to be a vector field on A as follows: on  $\gamma$  define  $v_2$  to be the vector field pointing in to  $\hat{F}$ , and flow the vector field along the suspension flow so  $v_2$ is pointing away from  $\hat{F}$  on  $\hat{\gamma}$ .

Let U be a neighborhood of  $\gamma$  in  $F_{n,k} = \hat{F} \cup A$ . Define two bump functions,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , supported in U. Let  $c_1$  be 1 on  $\partial U \cap A$  and 0 on  $\hat{F}$ . Let  $c_2$  be 1 on  $\partial U \cap \hat{F}$  and 0 on A. We add the vector fields  $v_1$  on  $\hat{F}$  and  $v_2$  on A using these bump functions; the resulting vector field is  $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$ . Observe that since  $v_1$  points in the flow direction, and  $v_2$  points into the surface, the new vector field  $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$  is transverse to  $F_{n,k}$ in the neighborhood of  $\gamma$  (see Figure 7 for a picture of the resulting transverse vector field).



Figure 7: Left: the vector fields  $v_1$  on  $\hat{F}$  and  $v_2$  on A. The upper picture is a neighborhood of  $\gamma$  and the lower picture is a neighborhood of  $\hat{\gamma}$ . Right: the vector fields  $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$  on neighborhoods of  $\gamma$  and  $\hat{\gamma}$ .

We perform a similar construction in a small neighborhood of  $\hat{\gamma}$ : in this case, the fact that the vector field on  $\hat{F}$  points in the flow direction, and the vector field on A points away from the surface  $\hat{F}$  ensures that the new vector field is transverse, in a neighborhood of  $\hat{\gamma}$ , to the surface  $F_{n,k}$ .

A key fact we use in this construction is that the vector field along A that starts pointing into  $\hat{F}$  at  $\gamma$  comes back pointing away from the surface at  $\hat{\gamma}$ . This is because the homeomorphism  $f_{n,kj}$  maps the inner tubular neighborhood of  $\gamma$  to the outer tubular neighborhood of  $\hat{\gamma}$ , where inner and outer tubular neighborhoods are the half tubular neighborhoods contained in  $\hat{F}$  and the complement, respectively. This fact about  $f_{n,k}$ follows from its definition, ie following the four homeomorphisms whose composition is  $f_{n,k}$ .

The proof that  $F_{n,k}$  can be isotoped to be transverse to the suspension flow is the same as the proof Yazdi uses [33], which is a restatement of that of Leininger and Margalit [19]. We include it here for completeness.

Let  $N(\gamma)$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $\gamma$  in  $\hat{F}$ . Let  $\eta: \hat{F} \to [0, 1]$  be a smooth function supported on  $N(\gamma)$  with

- $\eta^{-1}(1) = \gamma$ , and
- the derivative of  $\eta$  vanishes on  $\gamma$ .

Let  $\pi: M_{n,k} \to S^1$  be the projection map and let  $t_0$  be such that  $\hat{F} \subset \pi^{-1}(t_0)$ . Let  $g: \hat{F} \to M_{n,k}$  be the suspension flow of  $f_{n,k}$  defined as  $g(x) = (x, t_0 + k \cdot \eta(x))$ .

Then the restriction of g to the interior of  $\hat{F}$  is an embedding into  $M_{n,k}$  and  $g(\gamma) = \hat{\gamma}$ . Therefore the image of  $\hat{F}$  under g is an embedded nonorientable surface of genus 3. Moreover,  $g(\hat{F})$  is isotopic to the natural embedding of  $F_{n,k}$  in  $M_{n,k}$ , and is transverse to the suspension flow. Therefore, the Poincaré dual of  $F_{n,k}$  is in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{n,k}$  by Theorem 2.11.

Finally,  $F_{n,k}$  is incompressible in  $M_{n,k}$  because  $M_{n,k}$  is hyperbolic, and  $F_{n,k}$  is genus 3, the lowest possible genus for a hyperbolic nonorientable surface.

#### Step 5: filling in the gaps

Recall that the family of surfaces  $P_{n,k}$  that we have constructed have genera in the set  $\{(14k-2)n+2\}$ . We now want to construct surfaces of genera not in the set  $\{(14k-2)n+2\}$  and pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of those surfaces that have small stretch factors. To do this we use the mapping torus  $M_{n,k}$  of  $P_{n,k}$  by  $f_{n,k}: P_{n,k} \rightarrow P_{n,k}$ . By Proposition 4.4, there exists a relatively incompressible surface  $F_{n,k}$  in  $M_{n,k}$  that is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_3$ . Let  $P_{n,k}^r$  be the oriented sum of  $P_{n,k}$  and  $rF_{n,k}$ , as defined in Proposition 3.3. The surfaces  $P_{n,k}^r$  will be surfaces of the remaining genera.

**Lemma 4.5** The surface  $P_{n,k}^r$  is of genus  $g_{n,k}^r = g_{n,k} + r$ . In particular, as r varies between 0 and 14n, the genera of  $\{P_{n,k}^r\}$  span the range between  $g_{n,k}$  and  $g_{n,k+1}$ . Moreover,  $P_{n,k}^r$  is isotopic to a fiber of a fibration of  $M_{n,k}$  with pseudo-Anosov monodromy that fixes 2n of the singularities of its invariant foliation.

**Proof** The Euler characteristic of an oriented sum is the sum of the Euler characteristics of the summands,

$$\chi(P_{n,k}^r) = \chi(P_{n,k}) + r\chi(F_{n,k}) = (-g_{n,k} + 2) - r = -(g_{n,k} + r) + 2.$$

Since  $P_{n,k}^r$  has no boundary or punctures, we have that the genus of  $P_{n,k}^r$  is  $g_{n,k} + r$ . By Proposition 4.4 we know that  $F_{n,k}$  is incompressible and transverse to the suspension flow given by  $f_{n,k}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.2, there is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism  $f_{n,k}^r$  of  $P_{n,k}^r = P_{n,k} + rF_{n,k}$ .

As in Yazdi [32, Lemma 3.5],  $f_{n,k}$  fixes the 2n singularities of the stable foliation that are the intersection points of the axis of  $\rho_1$  with  $P_{n,k}$ . By Proposition 4.4, the surface  $F_{n,k}$  can be isotoped to be transverse to the suspension flow and disjoint from the orbit of the 2n singularities of  $f_{n,k}$ . Hence the monodromy  $f_{n,k}^r$  still fixes the corresponding 2n singularities on  $P_{n,k}^r$ .

We now prove the nonorientable version of the final piece of Yazdi's proof [33, Lemma 3.6].

**Lemma 4.6** Let  $\lambda_{n,k}^r$  be the stretch factor of  $f_{n,k}^r : P_{n,k}^r \to P_{n,k}^r$ . Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that, for every  $n \ge 1$ ,  $k \ge 3$  and  $0 \le r \le 14n$ , we have the upper bound

$$\log(\lambda_{n,k}^r) \le C \frac{n}{g_{n,k}^r}.$$

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{n,k}$  be the fibered cone in  $H^1(M_{n,k}; \mathbb{R})$  corresponding to  $f_{n,k}$  and  $h: \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R}$  the function described in Theorem 3.4. Note that  $g_{n,k} \ge 42$ , so

$$g_{n,k}^r = g_{n,k} + r \le g_{n,k} + 14n < 2g_{n,k}.$$

Let  $\omega$  be the Poincaré dual of  $P_{n,k}^r$  and  $\alpha$  the Poincaré dual of  $P_{n,k}$ . Then

$$h([\omega]) < h([\alpha]) \qquad (\text{convexity of } h)$$
  

$$\leq C' \frac{n}{g_{n,k}} \qquad (\text{Lemma 4.3})$$
  

$$\leq 2C' \frac{n}{g_{n,k}^r} \qquad (\text{upper bound for } g_{n,k}^r). \qquad \Box$$

In the initial construction of  $P_{n,k}$ , there were 2n marked points, which were singularities of the map  $f_{n,k}$ . By the construction of  $P_{n,k}^r$ , these marked points are also singularities of  $f_{n,k}^r$ . Now we puncture  $P_{n,k}^r$  at *n* of these marked points. We could think of this as removing all copies of the point *p* in the construction of  $P_{n,k}$  in Step 1.

We can now give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** As above, the lower bound follows easily from the lower bound in the orientable setting.

To find the upper bound, let  $C' = \frac{1}{2}C$  be the value given in Lemma 4.6. Let

$$B'_{2}(n) = \max\{2C'n, \ell'_{1,n}, 2\ell'_{2,n}, \dots, (40n+1)\ell'_{40n+1,n}\}.$$

By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 4.6,  $B'_2(n)$  is an upper bound for  $g \cdot \ell'_{g,n}$ .

## References

- J W Aaber, N Dunfield, Closed surface bundles of least volume, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 10 (2010) 2315–2342 MR Zbl
- [2] I Agol, C J Leininger, D Margalit, Pseudo-Anosov stretch factors and homology of mapping tori, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 93 (2016) 664–682 MR Zbl

- [3] J Aramayona, C J Leininger, J Souto, Injections of mapping class groups, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009) 2523–2541 MR Zbl
- [4] P Arnoux, J-C Yoccoz, Construction de difféomorphismes pseudo-Anosov, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 292 (1981) 75–78 MR Zbl
- [5] M Bauer, An upper bound for the least dilatation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 330 (1992) 361–370 MR Zbl
- [6] D Calegari, Foliations and the geometry of 3-manifolds, Oxford Univ. Press (2007) MR Zbl
- J-H Cho, J-Y Ham, The minimal dilatation of a genus-two surface, Experiment. Math. 17 (2008) 257–267 MR Zbl
- [8] A Fathi, F Laudenbach, V Poénaru, *Thurston's work on surfaces*, Mathematical Notes 48, Princeton Univ. Press (2012) MR Zbl
- [9] **D Fried**, *Flow equivalence, hyperbolic systems and a new zeta function for flows*, Comment. Math. Helv. 57 (1982) 237–259 MR Zbl
- [10] D Fried, Transitive Anosov flows and pseudo-Anosov maps, Topology 22 (1983) 299– 303 MR Zbl
- J-Y Ham, W T Song, The minimum dilatation of pseudo-Anosov 5-braids, Experiment. Math. 16 (2007) 167–179 MR Zbl
- [12] E Hironaka, Small dilatation mapping classes coming from the simplest hyperbolic braid, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 10 (2010) 2041–2060 MR Zbl
- E Hironaka, E Kin, A family of pseudo-Anosov braids with small dilatation, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 6 (2006) 699–738 MR Zbl
- [14] S Hirose, E Kin, A construction of pseudo-Anosov braids with small normalized entropies, New York J. Math. 26 (2020) 562–597 MR Zbl
- [15] NV Ivanov, Coefficients of expansion of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. 167 (1988) 111–116 MR Zbl In Russian; translated in J. Soviet Math. 52 (1990) 2819–2822
- [16] E Kin, M Takasawa, Pseudo-Anosovs on closed surfaces having small entropy and the Whitehead sister link exterior, J. Math. Soc. Japan 65 (2013) 411–446 MR Zbl
- [17] E Kin, M Takasawa, The boundary of a fibered face of the magic 3-manifold and the asymptotic behavior of minimal pseudo-Anosov dilatations, Hiroshima Math. J. 46 (2016) 271–287 MR Zbl
- [18] E Lanneau, J-L Thiffeault, On the minimum dilatation of pseudo-Anosov homeromorphisms on surfaces of small genus, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 61 (2011) 105–144 MR Zbl
- [19] C J Leininger, D Margalit, On the number and location of short geodesics in moduli space, J. Topol. 6 (2013) 30–48 MR Zbl

- [20] L Liechti, B Strenner, Minimal pseudo-Anosov stretch factors on nonoriented surfaces, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 20 (2020) 451–485 MR Zbl
- [21] M Loving, Least dilatation of pure surface braids, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 19 (2019) 941–964 MR Zbl
- [22] S Matsumoto, Topological entropy and Thurston's norm of atoroidal surface bundles over the circle, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 34 (1987) 763–778 MR Zbl
- [23] CT McMullen, Polynomial invariants for fibered 3-manifolds and Teichmüller geodesics for foliations, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 33 (2000) 519–560 MR Zbl
- [24] H Minakawa, Examples of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms with small dilatations, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 13 (2006) 95–111 MR Zbl
- [25] R C Penner, A construction of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310 (1988) 179–197 MR Zbl
- [26] R C Penner, Bounds on least dilatations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1991) 443–450 MR Zbl
- [27] WT Song, KH Ko, JE Los, Entropies of braids, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 11 (2002) 647–666 MR Zbl
- [28] **W P Thurston**, *A norm for the homology of 3–manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 339, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1986) MR Zbl
- [29] WP Thurston, Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds, II: Surface groups and 3manifolds which fiber over the circle, preprint (1998) arXiv math/9801045
- [30] C-Y Tsai, The asymptotic behavior of least pseudo-Anosov dilatations, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009) 2253–2278 MR Zbl
- [31] A D Valdivia, Sequences of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes and their asymptotic behavior, New York J. Math. 18 (2012) 609–620 MR Zbl
- [32] M Yazdi, Lower bound for dilatations, J. Topol. 11 (2018) 602-614 MR Zbl
- [33] M Yazdi, Pseudo-Anosov maps with small stretch factors on punctured surfaces, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 20 (2020) 2095–2128 MR Zbl

msp

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI, United States School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA, United States Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, College of the Holy Cross Worcester, MA, United States saykhan@umich.edu, ctpartin@gmail.com, rwinarsk@holycross.edu https://www-personal.umich.edu/~saykhan/, https://sites.google.com/site/rebeccawinarski/

Received: 20 July 2021 Revised: 25 October 2021

#### ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

#### msp.org/agt

#### EDITORS

#### PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre etnyre@math.gatech.edu Georgia Institute of Technology Kathryn Hess kathryn.hess@epfl.ch École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

#### BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Robert Lipshitz	University of Oregon lipshitz@uoregon.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Norihiko Minami	Nagoya Institute of Technology nori@nitech.ac.jp
Tara E. Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Université Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Thomas Nikolaus	University of Münster nikolaus@uni-muenster.de
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Robert Oliver	Université Paris 13 bobol@math.univ-paris13.fr
Corneli Druţu	University of Oxford cornelia.drutu@maths.ox.ac.uk	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
David Futer	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Ulrike Tillmann	Oxford University tillmann@maths.ox.ac.uk
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Ian Hambleton	McMaster University ian@math.mcmaster.ca	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Chris Wendl	Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin wendl@math.hu-berlin.de
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu	Daniel T. Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr		

See inside back cover or msp.org/agt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$650/year for the electronic version, and \$940/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow<sup>®</sup> from MSP.



# **ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY**

Volume 23 Issue 6 (pages 2415–2924) 2023	
An algorithmic definition of Gabai width	2415
RICKY LEE	2440
Lowarthan Shaon	2449
JUNATHAN SIMONE	2510
A minemonic for the Lipsiniz–Ozsvan–Thurston correspondence	2319
Aktem Kotelskiii, Liam watson alu Claudius Zibkowius	25.45
PAMIN NAIMI ANDREI DAVELESCU and ELENIA DAVELESCU	2343
KAMIN NAIMI, ANDREI FAVELESCU and ELENA FAVELESCU	2561
ANDREW MCCULLOUCH	2301
ANDREW MCCULLOUGH	2502
Honology of configuration spaces of nard squares in a rectangle HANNAH ALPERT, ULRICH BAUER, MATTHEW KAHLE, ROBERT MACPHERSON and KELLY SPENDLOVE	2393
Nonorientable link cobordisms and torsion order in Floer homologies	2627
SHERRY GONG and MARCO MARENGON	
A uniqueness theorem for transitive Anosov flows obtained by gluing hyperbolic plugs	2673
FRANÇOIS BÉGUIN and BIN YU	
Ribbon 2-knot groups of Coxeter type	2715
JENS HARLANDER and STEPHAN ROSEBROCK	
Weave-realizability for $D$ -type	2735
JAMES HUGHES	
Mapping class groups of surfaces with noncompact boundary components	2777
Ryan Dickmann	
Pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of punctured nonorientable surfaces with small stretch factor	2823
SAYANTAN KHAN, CALEB PARTIN and REBECCA R WINARSKI	
Infinitely many arithmetic alternating links	2857
MARK D BAKER and ALAN W REID	
Unchaining surgery, branched covers, and pencils on elliptic surfaces	2867
Terry Fuller	
Bifiltrations and persistence paths for 2–Morse functions	2895
RYAN BUDNEY and TOMASZ KACZYNSKI	