

AG
T

*Algebraic & Geometric
Topology*

Volume 23 (2023)

Operads in unstable global homotopy theory

MIGUEL BARRERO



Operads in unstable global homotopy theory

MIGUEL BARRERO

We study operads in unstable global homotopy theory, which is the homotopy theory of spaces with compatible actions by all compact Lie groups. We show that the theory of these operads works remarkably well, as for example it is possible to give a model structure for the category of algebras over any such operad. We define global E_∞ -operads, a good generalization of E_∞ -operads to the global setting, and we give a rectification result for algebras over them.

55P91

1. Introduction	3293
2. Background	3299
3. G -orthogonal spaces	3307
4. Main results for operads in $(\mathcal{Spc}, \boxtimes)$	3320
5. Global E_∞ -operads	3334
Appendix. More about G -orthogonal spaces	3338
References	3354

1 Introduction

Operads were first introduced by May [17] to study infinite loop spaces. Since then they have found uses in many areas of mathematics, including algebra, higher category theory, geometry, and mathematical physics. In general, an operad codifies a collection of operations of varying arity in a symmetric monoidal category.

An algebra over an operad \mathcal{O} is a representation of the abstract operations that the operad encodes as actual operations in some object. For example, an algebra over the

commutative operad Comm is a commutative monoid in the given symmetric monoidal category. Another important example is that of an E_∞ -operad, which encodes a binary operation that is unital, associative and commutative but only up to all higher homotopies.

One area that has seen increased interest in the last decade is equivariant homotopy theory. It is dedicated to studying the homotopy theory of spaces with an action by a topological group G . One can construct operads in the category of G -spaces, and this yields a theory that is remarkably different to the nonequivariant case. Unlike in the nonequivariant case, where all E_∞ -operads are equivalent, there are multiple possible nonequivalent notions of what an E_∞ -operad in G -spaces could be, all of which are nonequivariantly E_∞ -operads. For example, there is the naive one, an E_∞ -operad in spaces given the trivial G -action. This is however not the best choice when one wants to study objects like equivariant infinite loop spaces or equivariant spectra with some multiplicative structure.

Instead the better choice is to look at both the G -action and the Σ_n -action on each \mathcal{O}_n at the same time. An E_∞ - G -operad is an operad in G -spaces where each \mathcal{O}_n is a universal space for the family of graph subgroups of $G \times \Sigma_n$. Algebras over an E_∞ - G -operad have more structure than algebras over a naive E_∞ -operad in G -spaces.

In this paper we look at operads in the setting of *unstable global equivariant homotopy theory*. This is the homotopy theory of spaces which have simultaneous and compatible actions by all compact Lie groups. There are important equivariant constructions, like equivariant K -theory spectra and equivariant Thom spectra, that can be understood as a single globally equivariant object. We work with the model for unstable global homotopy theory based on *orthogonal spaces*, introduced by Schwede [22]. An orthogonal space can be thought of as the unstable analog of an orthogonal spectrum. There are some similarities between the theories of operads in the global equivariant setting and the G -equivariant setting for a single group G , but operads in the global equivariant setting are technically better behaved.

An orthogonal space has an *underlying K -space* for each compact Lie group K . We study orthogonal spaces through these compatible K -actions for each K . A morphism of orthogonal spaces is said to be a *global equivalence* if it is an equivalence of underlying K -spaces for each compact Lie group K . There is a model structure in the category of orthogonal spaces with these global equivalences as the weak equivalences, called the *global model structure*.

A natural question to consider is whether one can construct a model structure on $\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})$ the category of algebras over a given operad \mathcal{O} in orthogonal spaces using the global model structure on the underlying category.

Question Does the forgetful functor create the weak equivalences and fibrations of some model structure on the category of algebras over a given operad \mathcal{O} ?

The first place where this question was examined for a general category was in Spitzweck's PhD thesis [25], which provided some conditions under which this is true. The main technical point there was a factorization for pushout diagrams in the category of algebras over an operad. A different approach was used by Berger and Moerdijk [3]. Pavlov and Scholbach [19] studied this question most extensively with full generality, and White and Yau [26] studied an analogous question for semimodel structures. We use a different factorization for pushout diagrams given by Sagave and Schlichtkrull [21], originally from Elmendorf and Mandell [7].

The first main result that we obtain is that the desired model structure exists for any operad in orthogonal spaces.

Theorem 4.11 *Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in (Spc, \boxtimes) the category of orthogonal spaces, with the positive global model structure and the symmetric monoidal structure given by the box product. Then there is a cofibrantly generated model category structure on $\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})$, the category of algebras over \mathcal{O} , where the forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})}$ creates the weak equivalences and fibrations, and sends cofibrations in $\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})$ to h -cofibrations in Spc .*

This result is surprising, in that it holds for all operads. Such a result generally holds for all operads if the category is nice enough, for example symmetric spectra based on simplicial sets; see the work of Harper [12]. One relevant property there is that all simplicial sets are cofibrant. Since not all orthogonal spaces are cofibrant, the approach of [12] does not apply to the present case.

Instead we use that the box product of orthogonal spaces is fully homotopical. By definition, this means that the box product of two global equivalences is a global equivalence, without any cofibrancy assumptions. This in turn removes any cofibrancy assumptions on the operad in Theorem 4.11.

Theorem 4.11 was proven by Schwede [22] for the specific case of the commutative operad $Comm$. Algebras over $Comm$ are the commutative monoids in orthogonal spaces with respect to the box product, which are usually called *ultracommutative*

monoids, and they have a very rich structure. We generalize the result in [22] to any operad. To accomplish this we need to use several different technical results and tools.

Some of these technical results deal with the Σ_n -objects in the category of orthogonal spaces, and so we study them in detail. We consider more generally orthogonal spaces which have an additional action by a fixed compact Lie group G , which we call G -orthogonal spaces. Thus, the underlying K -space of a G -orthogonal space is a $(K \times G)$ -space.

We define the notion of a G -global equivalence between G -orthogonal spaces, which takes into account both the G -action, and the action by each compact Lie group K . We also study various properties of these G -orthogonal spaces. In the appendix we give a model structure for G -orthogonal spaces which has the G -global equivalences as weak equivalences. Since G is any compact Lie group, the results of the appendix are new in this generality.

This notion of “globally equivariant objects” with an additional action by a fixed group G was studied extensively by Lenz in the context of algebraic K -theory [15]. There, various model structures were given for a discrete group G not necessarily finite. Orthogonal spaces and orthogonal spectra with a G -action for a compact Lie group G were also studied from the global point of view by Schwede [23]; however no model structure was defined there.

Our second main result is a characterization of morphisms of operads in orthogonal spaces that induce a Quillen equivalence between the respective categories of algebras.

Theorem 4.14 *Let $g: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a morphism of operads in $(\underline{Sp}, \boxtimes)$, the category of orthogonal spaces, with the positive global model structure and the symmetric monoidal structure given by the box product. Then the extension and restriction adjunction $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence between the respective categories of algebras if and only if for each $n \geq 0$ the morphism $g_n: \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence.*

As was the case with Theorem 4.11, this result applies in full generality, to any morphism between any two operads. For a morphism g between “nice” operads, it is enough to require that the morphisms g_n are weak equivalences in the underlying category to obtain a Quillen equivalence, as shown by Spitzweck [25]. However, for arbitrary operads in orthogonal spaces Theorem 4.14 does *not* hold if each g_n is merely a global equivalence, it additionally needs to be a Σ_n -global equivalence. In particular, if \mathcal{O} is a topological E_∞ -operad given the trivial global structure, $\underline{Alg}(\mathcal{O})$ is not Quillen

equivalent to the category of ultracommutative monoids. Thus, as in the G -equivariant setting mentioned at the beginning, this naive global E_∞ -operad is not the best one to consider.

Instead, [Theorem 4.14](#) suggest a good notion of what an E_∞ -operad in the global equivariant sense should be. We define a *global E_∞ -operad* to be an operad \mathcal{O} in $(\mathcal{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ such that each \mathcal{O}_n is Σ_n -globally equivalent to $*$, the one-point orthogonal space. Then the naive global E_∞ -operads of the previous paragraph are not actually global E_∞ -operads. For any global E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , [Theorem 4.14](#) implies that the category of algebras over \mathcal{O} is Quillen equivalent to the category of ultracommutative monoids. Thus, any algebra over a global E_∞ -operad can be rectified to an ultracommutative monoid, and so these algebras also encode the highest possible level of commutativity.

In this article we provide several examples of global E_∞ -operads. Some of these are global analogs of classical operads in (equivariant) homotopy theory. These include a global version of the little disks operad and the Steiner operad, which are constructed in a similar way to the little disks and Steiner G -operads associated to a G -universe for a compact Lie group G .

In the G -equivariant case, there is a whole hierarchy of nonequivalent operads between a naive E_∞ -operad in G -spaces and an E_∞ - G -operad. These in-between operads are called *N_∞ -operads*, and were introduced by Blumberg and Hill [\[4\]](#). They codify various levels of commutativity, by imposing the existence of certain additive transfers/multiplicative norms. In the global setting, there is also a hierarchy of operads between the naive global E_∞ -operads and the global E_∞ -operads. These operads in orthogonal spaces are the global analogs of N_∞ -operads. We provide a classification of them in [\[1\]](#).

Structure of this paper

In [Section 2](#) we begin by recalling the basic properties of operads as defined in any symmetric monoidal category. We then introduce unstable global homotopy theory, to put in context the questions that we examine. We also give plenty of examples of operads in orthogonal spaces, to build some intuition.

In [Section 3](#), we study G -orthogonal spaces. We begin by defining the G -global equivalences, and checking their basic properties. We then look at how G -global equivalences interact with taking G -orbits and with the box product. Lastly we introduce

the h -cofibrations of G -orthogonal spaces, which are used in the proofs of our main results, Theorems 4.11 and 4.14, presented in Section 4.

In Section 5 we introduce global E_∞ -operads, and check that several of the examples of global operads given in Section 2 are global E_∞ -operads.

There is a model structure on G -orthogonal spaces with the G -global equivalences as the weak equivalences. For completeness, we present the construction of this model structure in the appendix. We do not need this model structure to prove our main theorems.

Notation and conventions

We introduce here various mathematical and notational conventions that are used throughout this article.

Whenever we talk about a space we are referring to a compactly generated weak Hausdorff topological space. We use $\underline{\text{Top}}$ to denote the category of such spaces. In the rare cases where we refer to a general topological space, we do so explicitly. We underline the names used for specific categories, like $\underline{\text{Set}}$ or $\underline{\text{Top}}$, but not the variables like \mathcal{C} . In particular, \underline{G} denotes the one-object groupoid associated to a group G .

We often use i_l to refer to the boundary map $i_l: \partial D^l \rightarrow D^l$ in $\underline{\text{Top}}$ for each $l \geq 0$. Similarly we use j_l for the inclusion $j_l: D^l \cong D^l \times \{0\} \rightarrow D^l \times [0, 1]$ for $l \geq 0$.

We use \times for the categorical product, \boxtimes for the box product of orthogonal spaces introduced in Remark 2.6, and \otimes for the tensor product in a generic symmetric monoidal category.

In this article we only consider compact Lie groups, and closed subgroups of them. By default, an inner product space refers to a real inner product space, finite-dimensional unless stated otherwise, and for a compact Lie group G , a G -representation means an orthogonal G -representation in an inner product space, also finite-dimensional unless stated otherwise.

A *complete G -universe* is a countably infinite-dimensional orthogonal G -representation with nonzero fixed points, and such that for each finite-dimensional G -representation V , a countably infinite direct sum of copies of V embeds G -equivariantly into \mathcal{U}_G . We denote a complete G -universe by \mathcal{U}_G .

We write Σ_n for the symmetric group on n elements. By default, group actions are left group actions. Sometimes we turn a right action into a left action and vice versa by acting via the inverse, without saying so explicitly.

Let G be a compact Lie group. For any set \mathcal{F} of closed subgroups of G , we say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of G -spaces is an \mathcal{F} -equivalence (resp. an \mathcal{F} -fibration) if for any $H \in \mathcal{F}$ the restriction of f to the H -fixed points $f^H: X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak homotopy equivalence (resp. a Serre fibration). For each set \mathcal{F} of closed subgroups of G there is a cofibrantly generated model structure on \mathbf{GTop} the category of G -spaces, with the \mathcal{F} -equivalences as weak equivalences and the \mathcal{F} -fibrations as fibrations; see [22, Proposition B.7]. We refer to the cofibrations of this model structure as \mathcal{F} -cofibrations. If the set \mathcal{F} is the set of all closed subgroups of G , we instead use G -equivalences, G -fibrations, and G -cofibrations to refer to these classes of morphisms.

Given two compact Lie groups K and G we refer often to the set of *graph subgroups* of $K \times G$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ and defined in Definition 3.1. We generally use Γ to denote a graph subgroup. Whenever we also need to refer to the continuous homomorphism ϕ associated to the graph subgroup, we use Γ_ϕ to denote the graph subgroup.

Finally, when we talk about small objects in a category with respect to a class of morphisms, we follow the conventions of [13, Section 2.1.1]. We use the letters \mathbb{I} , \mathbb{J} and \mathbb{K} to denote sets of generating (acyclic) cofibrations of various model categories.

Acknowledgements

This article is a modified and expanded version of my master's thesis. I would like to thank Markus Hausmann, my master's thesis supervisor, for suggesting the topic and for his guidance. I would also like to thank Magdalena Kędziorek for her advice and many helpful comments, Stefan Schwede for suggesting various improvements and one of the examples of Section 5, Tommy Lundemo and Eva Höning for reading an earlier version of this paper, and the referee for helpful suggestions.

2 Background

2.1 Operads

Let \mathcal{C} be a cocomplete symmetric monoidal category, where the tensor product preserves all small colimits in both variables. We follow the exposition of [9] to define operads

in \mathcal{C} . Let $\Sigma_*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ denote the category of *symmetric sequences* in \mathcal{C} , these are sequences $\{X(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of objects of \mathcal{C} where each $X(n)$ has a right Σ_n -action. So explicitly, $\Sigma_*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is the functor category $\text{Fun}(\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_n, \mathcal{C})$.

One can define a *composition monoidal structure* on $\Sigma_*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$, denoted by \circ ; see [9, 2.2.1 and 2.2.2]. Then an *operad* in \mathcal{C} is just a monoid in $(\Sigma_*\text{-}\mathcal{C}, \circ)$. An operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C} gives a monad $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ on \mathcal{C} ; see [9, 2.1.1 and 2.2.1]. An *algebra* over this operad is defined as an algebra over the monad $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$. We use $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ to denote the category of algebras over \mathcal{O} , and write $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ and $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ for the adjoint free and forgetful functors between \mathcal{C} and $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$.

From now on, let \mathcal{C} additionally be a cofibrantly generated model category. Given an operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C} we want to lift the model structure of \mathcal{C} through the forgetful functor $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}: \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. That is, we want to consider the class of those morphisms which $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ sends to weak equivalences, and the class of those sent to fibrations, and ask the question of whether these two classes determine a model structure on $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$. If they do, we say that the operad \mathcal{O} is *admissible*.

The result [24, Lemma 2.3] gives conditions under which one can lift a model structure to the category of algebras over a monad. Let I and J denote sets of generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations of \mathcal{C} , respectively. Set $I_{\mathcal{O}} = F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(I)$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}} = F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(J)$, and let $I_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ denote the regular $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ -cofibrations and regular $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ -cofibrations in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$. Those are the transfinite compositions of cobase changes in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ of morphisms in $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}$. Applying [24, Lemma 2.3] to the monad $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ associated to an operad \mathcal{O} , and using that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ always preserves filtered colimits [9, Proposition 2.4.1], one obtains the following result.

Lemma 2.1 ([24, Lemma 2.3] applied to operads) *Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in \mathcal{C} . Assume that the sources of morphisms in $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ are small with respect to $I_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$, respectively, and that every morphism in $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} . Then $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ is a cofibrantly generated model category where $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ creates the weak equivalences and fibrations and $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ are generating sets of cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations.*

We have the following refinement of the result above, inspired by and similar to [9, Proposition 11.1.14].

Theorem 2.2 *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category which is also a cofibrantly generated model category with sets of generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations I*

and J , respectively, and such that the monoidal product preserves all small colimits in each variable. Let $\mathcal{H}cof$ be a class of morphisms in \mathcal{C} which satisfies the following:

- (a) $\mathcal{H}cof$ is closed under retracts and transfinite compositions.
- (b) The sources of morphisms in I and J are small with respect to $\mathcal{H}cof$.
- (c) A map which is a transfinite composition of morphisms that are both in $\mathcal{H}cof$ and are weak equivalences, is a weak equivalence.

Fix any operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C} , and assume that for each pushout in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(X) & \xrightarrow{F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(i)} & F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

the following hold:

- (1) If $i \in I$ then $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(f)$ is in $\mathcal{H}cof$.
- (2) If $i \in J$ then $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(f)$ is a weak equivalence.

Then the conditions of [Lemma 2.1](#) are satisfied, so $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ is a cofibrantly generated model category, where $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ creates the weak equivalences and fibrations, and $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ are generating sets of cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations of $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$. Furthermore, $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ sends cofibrations to morphisms in $\mathcal{H}cof$.

Note that in the conditions of [Theorem 2.2](#) the class of morphisms $\mathcal{H}cof$ is not required to contain all the cofibrations of \mathcal{C} . In our application of [Theorem 2.2](#) in [Section 4.1](#), we take $\mathcal{H}cof$ to be the class of h -cofibrations, the morphisms with the homotopy extension property, hence the notation. In most settings, including the model of unstable global homotopy theory we use, the class of h -cofibrations does contain all cofibrations, but as mentioned this is not necessary.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 We have to check that the sources of morphisms in $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ are small with respect to $I_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ and $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$, respectively, and that every morphism in $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} . Then by [Lemma 2.1](#) the claim follows.

By [[5](#), Proposition 4.3.2], $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ preserves filtered colimits. Morphisms in $I_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ are transfinite compositions of cobase changes of morphisms with the form $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(i)$ for $i \in I$, as in diagram (1). Therefore, by our assumptions, $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ sends morphisms in $I_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ to $\mathcal{H}cof$.

Let X be the source of a morphisms in \mathcal{I} . By (b), X is κ -small with respect to $\mathcal{H}cof$ for some cardinal κ . Let λ be a κ -filtered ordinal, and $V : \lambda \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ a λ -sequence which lands in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(X), V) &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})} \circ V) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda} U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})} \circ V) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda} V)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(X), \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda} V) \end{aligned}$$

and $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(X)$ is κ -small with respect to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$. In the second isomorphism we are using that $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ sends morphisms in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ to $\mathcal{H}cof$ and that X is κ -small with respect to $\mathcal{H}cof$.

Let T denote the class of morphisms with the right lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}$. By adjointness these are precisely those morphisms which $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ sends to acyclic fibrations. By adjointness again $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ has the left lifting property with respect to T , and then so does $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$.

Let f be a morphism of $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ which has the left lifting property with respect to T . Use the small object argument for $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}$ on f to obtain that f is a retract of $h \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$, and therefore $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(f)$ is a retract of $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(h) \in \mathcal{H}cof$. Therefore $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ sends $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ to $\mathcal{H}cof$, and so we can repeat the previous argument to obtain that sources of morphisms in $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ are small with respect to $J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$.

For the second condition, let $f \in J_{\mathcal{O}}\text{-reg}$ be the transfinite composition of morphisms f_{α} , such that each f_{α} is a cobase change (in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$) of $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(j_{\alpha})$ for $j_{\alpha} \in J$. The morphism $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(j_{\alpha})$ has the left lifting property with respect to T , and then so does each f_{α} . Then by the previous discussion $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(f_{\alpha})$ is in $\mathcal{H}cof$, and it is a weak equivalence by the hypothesis of the theorem. Since $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ preserves transfinite compositions, $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(f)$ is a transfinite composition of morphisms that are both in $\mathcal{H}cof$ and are weak equivalences, and so $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(f)$ is a weak equivalence. \square

Remark 2.3 Usually in a category with both a model structure and a monoidal structure, two compatibility conditions are required; see for example [24, Definition 3.1]. These are the pushout product axiom, and the requirement that the unit is cofibrant. These are not necessary to prove Theorem 2.2, but something similar to the pushout product axiom is usually needed to actually check that condition (2) of Theorem 2.2 holds in practice.

Remark 2.4 The question of under which conditions on a category and on an operad one can lift the model structure to the category of algebras over said operad has been studied in a few different places and with diverse methods. As far as we can tell, the first place where this was examined in generality was in Spitzweck's PhD thesis [25]. It was proven there that a model structure can be lifted assuming that the category \mathcal{C} satisfies the monoid axiom and that the operad is cofibrant [25, Theorem 4].

The condition that an operad is cofibrant in the model structure on operads constructed in [25] is quite restrictive. It is stronger than asking that each \mathcal{O}_n is cofibrant, or even Σ_n -cofibrant. In addition in the setting of global homotopy theory, it is not enough to look at the category of algebras over a cofibrant replacement of an operad (in the usual sense), as this gives the wrong homotopy theory, which we show in Remark 4.16.

2.2 Unstable global homotopy theory

Unstable global homotopy theory is the homotopy theory of spaces which have simultaneous and compatible actions by all compact Lie groups. A model for this is the category of orthogonal spaces, studied in detail in [22, Chapter 1].

Definition 2.5 [22, Definition 1.1.1] Let \underline{L} be the $\underline{\text{Top}}$ -enriched category where the objects are finite-dimensional real inner product spaces, and the morphisms are the linear isometric embeddings between them.

An *orthogonal space* is a $\underline{\text{Top}}$ -enriched functor $\underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Top}}$. We use \underline{Spc} to denote the $\underline{\text{Top}}$ -enriched category of orthogonal spaces. Note the similarity of this definition to the definition of orthogonal spectra as enriched functors.

If we have a compact Lie group K , and V is a K -representation, then $X(V)$ inherits a K -action, where $k \in K$ acts via $X(k)$. In this sense, orthogonal spaces have actions by all compact Lie groups. For each compact Lie group K we fix a complete K -universe \mathcal{U}_K for the rest of this article. Let $s(\mathcal{U}_K)$ denote the poset of finite-dimensional subrepresentations of \mathcal{U}_K . Then we can associate to any orthogonal space the K -space

$$X(\mathcal{U}_K) = \operatorname{colim}_{V \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} X(V),$$

which we call the *underlying K -space*. This yields a functor

$$(-)(\mathcal{U}_K): \underline{Spc} \rightarrow \mathbf{KTop}.$$

A *global equivalence* of orthogonal spaces is, roughly speaking, a morphism which for each compact Lie group K , induces K -equivalences on suitable homotopy colimits of

finite-dimensional K -representations and equivariant embeddings between them. The precise definition can be found in [22, Definition 1.1.2]. It is also a special case of the definition of a G -global equivalence that we give in Definition 3.2 of this article, with $G = e$.

An orthogonal space X is said to be *closed* if for each linear isometric embedding ψ , the map $X(\psi)$ is a closed embedding. A morphism between closed orthogonal spaces is a global equivalence if and only if for each compact Lie group K the induced map on the underlying K -spaces is a K -equivalence; see [22, Proposition 1.1.17], or Proposition 3.5 below for the analogous result for G -global equivalences.

Remark 2.6 There are two symmetric monoidal structures on \underline{Spc} which are relevant for us. The first one is the categorical product, denoted by \times , which is computed levelwise. The second is the box product, denoted by \boxtimes . It is constructed as a Day convolution product in [22, Section 1.3]. The unit of both is the terminal one-point constant orthogonal space $*$.

The box product can also be defined via a universal property. For each $X, Y \in \underline{Spc}$, consider the orthogonal spaces Z with a bimorphism $(X, Y) \rightarrow Z$ from X and Y . Then the box product of X and Y is an orthogonal space $X \boxtimes Y$ and a bimorphism $i: (X, Y) \rightarrow X \boxtimes Y$ of orthogonal spaces which is initial among such bimorphisms with source (X, Y) .

Remark 2.7 On \underline{Spc} there are two cofibrantly generated model structures whose weak equivalences are precisely the global equivalences: the global model structure [22, Theorem 1.2.21] and the positive global model structure [22, Theorem 1.2.23]. In the rest of this article we only consider the positive global model structure.

2.3 Examples of operads in unstable global homotopy theory

In this paper, we study operads in orthogonal spaces with respect to the box product. This subsection is mostly devoted to showcasing several examples, which we study in more detail in Section 5.

Remark 2.8 For orthogonal spaces $X, Y \in \underline{Spc}$, we can construct a bimorphism $(X, Y) \rightarrow X \times Y$ via

$$(2) \quad X(V) \times Y(W) \xrightarrow{X(\iota_1) \times Y(\iota_2)} X(V \oplus W) \times Y(V \oplus W) = (X \times Y)(V \oplus W).$$

This bimorphism yields a morphism of orthogonal spaces

$$\rho_{X,Y}: X \boxtimes Y \rightarrow X \times Y$$

which is natural in X and Y . This means that the identity functor is a lax symmetric monoidal functor from $(\underline{Spc}, \times)$ to $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, or equivalently an oplax symmetric monoidal functor from $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ to $(\underline{Spc}, \times)$.

Therefore given an operad \mathcal{O} in $(\underline{Spc}, \times)$, the natural transformation ρ gives an operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, with the same \mathcal{O}_n for all $n \geq 0$. We denote this resulting operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ by \mathcal{O}^{\boxtimes} .

Example 2.9 (constant operads in \underline{Spc} obtained from topological operads) For any $X \in \underline{\text{Top}}$, we can consider the constant orthogonal space \bar{X} , that is the constant functor $\underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Top}}$ with value X . This means that for any group K the underlying K -space of \bar{X} is just X with the trivial K -action.

Any operad in spaces \mathcal{O} induces a constant operad $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ in \underline{Spc} , such that $(\bar{\mathcal{O}})_n = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_n$.

Construction 2.10 (operad from a functor to topological operads) Given a continuous functor F from \underline{L} to the category of operads in spaces, $\mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{\text{Top}}$, we can obtain from it an operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \times)$ by permuting the functoriality on \underline{L} with the functoriality on $\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \underline{\Sigma}_n$. Thus we obtain objects

$$\mathcal{O}_{F,n} = (-)_n \circ F \in \underline{\Sigma}_n \underline{Spc}$$

The operadic structure on each $F(V)$ gives rise to an operadic structure on these $\mathcal{O}_{F,n}$ with respect to the categorical product of orthogonal spaces. Then the natural morphism ρ from \boxtimes to \times turns \mathcal{O}_F into an operad with respect to the box product, $\mathcal{O}_F^{\boxtimes}$.

If the functor F is constant, then \mathcal{O}_F is just the constant operad of [Example 2.9](#). However this process becomes interesting when the actions of the linear isometric embeddings are nontrivial, as we discuss below.

Example 2.11 (little disks) For each inner product space V consider the topological operad $\mathcal{LD}(V)$ of little disks in V . These assemble into a continuous functor

$$\mathcal{LD}: \underline{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{\text{Top}}.$$

We understand an element of $\mathcal{LD}(V)_n$ as a set of n center points $v_i \in D(V)$ in the open unit disk of V and n radii r_i that parametrize a rectilinear embedding of n copies of $D(V)$ into itself. Then for a linear isometric embedding $\psi: V \rightarrow W$, the map $\mathcal{LD}(\psi): \mathcal{LD}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{LD}(W)$ acts by sending each v_i to $\psi(v_i)$.

By [Construction 2.10](#) we obtain an operad \mathcal{LD}^\times in $(\underline{Spc}, \times)$, and \mathcal{LD}^\boxtimes , shortened to \mathcal{LD} , in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$. The operad given by the underlying spaces of each \mathcal{LD}_n is precisely the E_∞ -operad of spaces obtained as the colimit of the little disks operads for \mathbb{R}^m . Similarly, for a compact Lie group K , the underlying K -space of \mathcal{LD}_n is exactly $\mathcal{LD}(\mathcal{U}_K)_n$, the n^{th} space of the K -equivariant little disks operad for the complete K -universe \mathcal{U}_K , described for example in [\[4, Definition 3.11\(ii\)\]](#).

Analogously, there is a global version of the Steiner operad.

Example 2.12 (Steiner operad) For each inner product space V let $R(V)$ be the space of distance reducing topological embeddings $f: V \rightarrow V$, where distance reducing means that $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|$. This is a continuous functor $\underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Top}}$, where for each linear isometric embedding $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ and $f \in R(V)$, the embedding $R(\psi)(f): W \rightarrow W$ is given by

$$(\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}) \oplus \text{Im}(\psi)^\perp: \text{Im}(\psi) \oplus \text{Im}(\psi)^\perp \rightarrow \text{Im}(\psi) \oplus \text{Im}(\psi)^\perp.$$

A Steiner path for V is a map $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow R(V)$ such that $h(1) = \text{id}_V$. Let $\mathcal{K}(V)_n$ be the space of tuples (h_1, \dots, h_n) of n Steiner paths such that the images of the $h_i(0)$ are disjoint. These form $\mathcal{K}(V)$, the Steiner operad for V , and these assemble into a continuous functor $F: \underline{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{\text{Top}}$, which gives the Steiner operad \mathcal{K} in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$. As in the case of the little disks, for a compact Lie group K the underlying K -space of \mathcal{K}_n is exactly $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}_K)_n$, the n^{th} space of the K -equivariant Steiner operad for the complete K -universe \mathcal{U}_K , described for example in [\[4, Definition 3.11\(iv\)\]](#). The underlying nonequivariant operad of \mathcal{K} is an E_∞ -operad in spaces.

Example 2.13 (endomorphism operads) The symmetric monoidal category $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ is closed; see [\[22, Remark C.12\]](#). Let Hom denote the internal Hom functor of \underline{Spc} . For each $X \in \underline{Spc}$ we can consider the endomorphism operad $\text{End}(X)$ in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, where $\text{End}(X)_n = \text{Hom}(X^{\boxtimes n}, X)$.

Example 2.14 For each compact Lie group K the underlying K -space functor

$$(-)(\mathcal{U}_K): \underline{Spc} \rightarrow \underline{\text{KTop}}$$

has a right adjoint R_K , constructed in [\[22, Construction 1.2.25\]](#). Being a right adjoint, R_K is strong monoidal with respect to the categorical products in $\underline{\text{KTop}}$ and \underline{Spc} . Therefore if \mathcal{O} is any operad in $(\underline{\text{KTop}}, \times)$ then $R_K(\mathcal{O})$ is an operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \times)$, and by [Remark 2.8](#) we also obtain an operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$.

3 G -orthogonal spaces

In order to talk about operads in \underline{Spc} , we need to know more about the structure of orthogonal spaces which have an action by the symmetric group Σ_n . In this section we study orthogonal spaces with an additional action by a general compact Lie group G . We denote by \underline{GSpc} the category of continuous functors from \underline{G} to \underline{Spc} , which we call G -orthogonal spaces.

Definition 3.1 Let K and G be compact Lie groups. A closed subgroup $\Gamma \leq K \times G$ is a *graph subgroup* if $\Gamma \cap (\{e_K\} \times G) = \{e_{K \times G}\}$. We denote the set of graph subgroups of $K \times G$ by $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$. They are called graph subgroups because for any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$ there is a closed subgroup $H \leq K$ and a continuous homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow G$ such that Γ is precisely the graph of ϕ .

Let i_l denote the boundary map $i_l: \partial D^l \rightarrow D^l$ in \mathbf{Top} , for each $l \geq 0$. We use this notation throughout the paper. Given a G -orthogonal space X , a compact Lie group K , and a K -representation V , $X(V)$ has a $(K \times G)$ -action.

Definition 3.2 (G -global equivalence) For a compact Lie group G , a morphism f of \underline{GSpc} is a G -global equivalence if for each compact Lie group K , each graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, each K -representation V and $l \geq 0$, the following statement holds. For any lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial D^l & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X(V)^\Gamma \\ \downarrow i_l & & \downarrow f(V)^\Gamma \\ D^l & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y(V)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

there is a K -equivariant linear isometric embedding $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ into a K -representation W such that there exists a morphism $\lambda: D^l \rightarrow X(W)^\Gamma$ which satisfies that in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial D^l & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X(V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{X(\psi)^\Gamma} & X(W)^\Gamma \\ \downarrow i_l & & \searrow \lambda & & \downarrow f(W)^\Gamma \\ D^l & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y(V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{Y(\psi)^\Gamma} & Y(W)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

the upper left triangle commutes, and the lower right triangle commutes up to homotopy relative to ∂D^l .

Note that for $G = e$ this is just the definition of global equivalence from [22, Definition 1.1.2] mentioned in Section 2.2. As it was the case for global equivalences, this

definition is meant to capture that for each compact Lie group K taking some suitable homotopy colimit of K -representations yields an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence. We can make this more explicit with the following proposition, analogous to [22, Proposition 1.1.7].

Definition 3.3 For a compact Lie group K , we say that a nested sequence $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of K -representations

$$V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_i \subset \dots$$

is *exhaustive* if for each K -representation V there is an equivariant linear isometric embedding of V into some V_i .

Proposition 3.4 A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \underline{GSpc} is a G -global equivalence if and only if for each compact Lie group K and each exhaustive sequence of K -representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the map

$$\text{tel}_i f(V_i): \text{tel}_i X(V_i) \rightarrow \text{tel}_i Y(V_i)$$

induced on the mapping telescopes of the sequences $X(V_i)$ and $Y(V_i)$ of $(K \times G)$ -spaces and $(K \times G)$ -equivariant maps is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence.

Proof First we assume that for each compact Lie group K and each exhaustive sequence of orthogonal K -representations, $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence of $(K \times G)$ -spaces. Any compact Lie group K has an exhaustive sequence of representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, so for any K -representation V , any graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$ and any lifting problem (α, β) for $f(V)^\Gamma$, since $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is exhaustive, we can embed V into some V_n , and so we assume that $V = V_n$.

Now we fix some notation. Let

$$c_{X,n}: X(V_n) \rightarrow \text{tel}_i X(V_i)$$

be the canonical $(K \times G)$ -equivariant map. Let $\text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i)$ denote the truncated mapping telescope. Let

$$\pi_{X,n}: \text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i) \rightarrow X(V_n)$$

be the $(K \times G)$ -equivariant canonical projection. Slightly abusing notation we also use $c_{X,n}$ for the canonical map

$$c_{X,n}: X(V_n) \rightarrow \text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i).$$

For $n \leq m$, let

$$c_{X,n,m}: \text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i) \rightarrow \text{tel}_{[0,m]} X(V_i)$$

denote the inclusion of truncated mapping telescopes, and

$$c_{X,n,\infty} : \text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i) \rightarrow \text{tel}_i X(V_i)$$

the canonical map.

Taking fixed points commutes with the construction of the mapping telescopes by [22, Proposition B.1], so $(\text{tel}_i X(V_i))^\Gamma \cong \text{tel}_i X(V_i)^\Gamma$ for each graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$. Since we assumed that $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, by [18, Lemma 9.6] there is a map λ associated to the lifting problem $(c_{X,n}^\Gamma \circ \alpha, c_{Y,n}^\Gamma \circ \beta)$ such that the upper-left triangle commutes and the lower-right one commutes up to homotopy relative ∂D^l , witnessed by a homotopy H :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial D^l & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X(V_n)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{c_{X,n}^\Gamma} & \text{tel}_i X(V_i)^\Gamma \\ \downarrow i_l & & \searrow \lambda & & \downarrow \text{tel}_i f(V_i)^\Gamma \\ D^l & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y(V_n)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{c_{Y,n}^\Gamma} & \text{tel}_i Y(V_i)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

Both λ and H have compact domains, and since the Γ -fixed points of the mapping telescopes are colimits along the closed embeddings $c_{X,n,m}^\Gamma$, both λ and H factor through some stage $m \geq n$ with $\psi : V_n \rightarrow V_m$, giving

$$\lambda' : D^l \rightarrow \text{tel}_{[0,m]} X(V_i)^\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad H' : D^l \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{tel}_{[0,m]} Y(V_i)^\Gamma.$$

Then $\pi_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ \lambda'$ and $\pi_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ H'$ satisfy the requirements for the lifting problem

$$(X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \alpha, X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \beta),$$

so f is a G -global equivalence.

Now assume that f is a G -global equivalence. Fix a compact Lie group K , a graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, and an exhaustive sequence of K -representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. We have to check that $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

For a lifting problem (α, β) for $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)^\Gamma$, since ∂D^l and D^l are compact, α and β factor through some stage n , as

$$\alpha' : \partial D^l \rightarrow \text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i)^\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \beta' : D^l \rightarrow \text{tel}_{[0,n]} Y(V_i)^\Gamma.$$

For each n , there is a homotopy from the identity on $\text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i)$ to $c_{X,n} \circ \pi_{X,n}$, which is $(K \times G)$ -equivariant and natural in X , given by retracting the truncated mapping telescope. By [22, Lemma 1.1.5] this means that if there is a solution of the lifting problem

$$(c_{X,n}^\Gamma \circ \pi_{X,n}^\Gamma \circ \alpha', c_{Y,n}^\Gamma \circ \pi_{Y,n}^\Gamma \circ \beta')$$

then there is a solution of the lifting problem (α', β') . In this proof we use the terminology *solution of a lifting problem*¹ in the sense of [22, Lemma 1.1.5] and Definition 3.2, ie a map that makes the upper-left triangle commute and the lower-right triangle commute up to homotopy relative ∂D^l :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \partial D^l & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X,n}^\Gamma} & X(V_n)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{c_{X,n}^\Gamma} & \text{tel}_{[0,n]} X(V_i)^\Gamma \\ \downarrow i_l & & \downarrow \text{tel}_{[0,n]} f(V_i)^\Gamma & & \downarrow f(V_n)^\Gamma & & \downarrow \text{tel}_{[0,n]} f(V_i)^\Gamma \\ D^l & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & \text{tel}_{[0,n]} Y(V_i)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y,n}^\Gamma} & Y(V_n)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{c_{Y,n}^\Gamma} & \text{tel}_{[0,n]} Y(V_i)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

The new lifting problem $(\pi_{X,n}^\Gamma \circ \alpha', \pi_{Y,n}^\Gamma \circ \beta')$ has as solution λ after evaluating at some larger $m \geq n$ with embedding $\psi: V_n \rightarrow V_m$, because f is a G -global equivalence and $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an exhaustive sequence of K -representations. Then $c_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ \lambda$ is a solution of the lifting problem

$$(c_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \pi_{X,n}^\Gamma \circ \alpha', c_{Y,m}^\Gamma \circ Y(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \pi_{Y,n}^\Gamma \circ \beta'),$$

and since $\pi_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ c_{X,n,m}^\Gamma = X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \pi_{X,n}^\Gamma$, the map $c_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ \lambda$ is also a solution of

$$(c_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ \pi_{X,m}^\Gamma \circ c_{X,n,m}^\Gamma \circ \alpha', c_{Y,m}^\Gamma \circ \pi_{Y,m}^\Gamma \circ c_{Y,n,m}^\Gamma \circ \beta').$$

By [22, Lemma 1.1.5] and the previously mentioned homotopy from the identity on $\text{tel}_{[0,m]} X(V_i)$ to $c_{X,m} \circ \pi_{X,m}$, the lifting problem $(c_{X,n,m}^\Gamma \circ \alpha', c_{Y,n,m}^\Gamma \circ \beta')$ has a solution λ' . Note that we did not obtain a solution of (α', β') , but since

$$c_{X,m,\infty}^\Gamma \circ c_{X,n,m}^\Gamma = c_{X,n,\infty}^\Gamma,$$

the map $c_{X,m,\infty}^\Gamma \circ \lambda'$ is a solution of the original lifting problem

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (c_{X,m,\infty}^\Gamma \circ c_{X,n,m}^\Gamma \circ \alpha', c_{Y,m,\infty}^\Gamma \circ c_{Y,n,m}^\Gamma \circ \beta').$$

Since any lifting problem for $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)^\Gamma$ has a solution, by [18, Lemma 9.6] the map $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

Recall that an orthogonal space X is said to be *closed* if for each linear isometric embedding ψ the map $X(\psi)$ is a closed embedding. We similarly define a *closed G -orthogonal space* to be a G -orthogonal space X such that $X(\psi)$ is a closed embedding

¹To avoid confusion with the more common meaning of the terminology *solution of a lifting problem*, we do not use it outside of this proof.

for each linear isometric embedding ψ . We have fixed a complete K -universe \mathcal{U}_K for each compact Lie group K . The *underlying $(K \times G)$ -space* of a G -orthogonal space X is the underlying K -space of X as an orthogonal space with the induced G -action. This is precisely the colimit $X(\mathcal{U}_K) = \operatorname{colim}_{V \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} X(V)$ over the finite-dimensional subrepresentations of \mathcal{U}_K . Therefore analogously to [22, Proposition 1.1.17] we have the following simpler characterization of G -global equivalences.

Proposition 3.5 *A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \underline{GSpC} between closed G -orthogonal spaces is a G -global equivalence if and only if for each compact Lie group K the map induced on the underlying $(K \times G)$ -spaces*

$$f(\mathcal{U}_K): X(\mathcal{U}_K) \rightarrow Y(\mathcal{U}_K)$$

is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence of $(K \times G)$ -spaces.

Proof The colimits that define $X(\mathcal{U}_K)$ and $Y(\mathcal{U}_K)$ can be written as sequential colimits

$$\operatorname{colim}_{V \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} X(V) \cong \operatorname{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X(V_i),$$

for a nested sequence of finite-dimensional subrepresentations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{U}_K which cover all of \mathcal{U}_K . These are colimits of $(K \times G)$ -spaces along closed embeddings because X and Y are closed. Then for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, taking Γ -fixed points commutes with this colimit along closed embeddings; see [22, Proposition B.1(ii)]. Since additionally ∂D^l and D^l are compact, a lifting problem for $(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f(V_i))^\Gamma$ factors through some stage n of the sequential colimit. By [18, Lemma 9.6] we obtain that if f is a G -global equivalence the map $(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f(V_i))^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

For the other implication, assume that $f(\mathcal{U}_K)^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for each compact Lie group K and each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$. Let V be a K -representation. Then V embeds into \mathcal{U}_K , so we may fix an embedding $V \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_K$ and call it ψ . Given any lifting problem

$$(\alpha: \partial D^l \rightarrow X(V)^\Gamma, \beta: D^l \rightarrow Y(V)^\Gamma)$$

for $f(V)^\Gamma$, consider the lifting problem

$$(X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \alpha, Y(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \beta)$$

for $f(\mathcal{U}_K)^\Gamma$. By [18, Lemma 9.6], since $f(\mathcal{U}_K)^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence there exists some $\lambda: D^l \rightarrow X(\mathcal{U}_K)^\Gamma$ such that $\lambda \circ i_l = X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \alpha$ and $f(\mathcal{U}_K)^\Gamma \circ \lambda$ is homotopic relative ∂D^l to $Y(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \beta$, and we denote this homotopy by H .

Both λ and H factor through some stage of the colimits as

$$\lambda': D^I \rightarrow X(V_j)^\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad H': D^I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y(V_j)^\Gamma.$$

We can choose j so that V_j contains the image of ψ since $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ covers \mathcal{U}_K . Then λ' and the homotopy H' witness that f is a G -global equivalence. □

Remark 3.6 When defining G -global equivalences, we could have decided to look at all subgroups instead of only the graph subgroups of $K \times G$. This would give a strictly smaller class of G -global equivalences.

We consider only the graph subgroups because between G -free orthogonal spaces, the graph subgroups tell the whole story, since the fixed points of any nongraph subgroup are empty. This means that looking at this bigger class of G -global equivalences is enough for the proof of [Theorem 4.11](#). It also leads to [Theorem 4.14](#), which states that a map f of operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ gives a Quillen equivalence if and only if each f_n is a Σ_n -global equivalence in the sense of the graph subgroups, even if the operads themselves are not Σ_n -free.

The G -global equivalences are in fact a part of a model structure on \underline{GSpc} , which we call the G -global model structure. We include in this section the basic facts about G -global equivalences, as well as the results about G -global equivalences that are most relevant to the proofs of [Section 4](#). We relegate the construction of this G -global model structure to the [appendix](#).

The characterization of G -global equivalences given by [Proposition 3.4](#) makes it simple to check the following general properties of G -global equivalences.

Lemma 3.7 *For compact Lie groups G and H we have the following properties:*

- (i) **2-out-of-6** *Consider three composable morphisms of G -orthogonal spaces f , g and h . If $g \circ f$ and $h \circ g$ are G -global equivalences, then f , g , h and $h \circ g \circ f$ are G -global equivalences.*
- (ii) *A retract of a G -global equivalence is a G -global equivalence.*
- (iii) *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -global equivalence and g is homotopic to f through morphisms of G -orthogonal spaces, then g is a G -global equivalence.*
- (iv) *For a G -orthogonal space X , and an H -global equivalence $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ between H -orthogonal spaces, the morphism $X \times f$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence.*
- (v) *For a G -global equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and an H -global equivalence $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$, the morphism $f \times f'$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence.*

- (vi) For a G -global equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a continuous homomorphism $\eta: H \rightarrow G$ the restriction $\eta^* f$ is an H -global equivalence.

Proof Let K be a compact Lie group and $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ an exhaustive sequence of K -representations.

- (i) By Proposition 3.4 the maps

$$\text{tel}_i(g \circ f)(V_i) = \text{tel}_i g(V_i) \circ \text{tel}_i f(V_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tel}_i(h \circ g)(V_i) = \text{tel}_i h(V_i) \circ \text{tel}_i g(V_i)$$

are $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalences. Since the class of $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalences satisfies the 2-out-of-6 property, by Proposition 3.4 again we obtain that f, g, h and $h \circ g \circ f$ are G -global equivalences.

- (ii) As before, if g is a retract of f then $\text{tel}_i g(V_i)$ is a retract of $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)$, and $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalences are closed under retracts.

- (iii) If $H: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy through morphisms of G -orthogonal spaces then it induces a homotopy through $(K \times G)$ -equivariant maps on mapping telescopes. Since a map $(K \times G)$ -homotopic to an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence, we see that g is also a G -global equivalence.

- (iv) The canonical map

$$\text{tel}_i(X \times Y)(V_i) \rightarrow \text{tel}_i X(V_i) \times \text{tel}_i Y(V_i)$$

is a $(K \times G \times H)$ -equivalence. Consider a graph subgroup $\Gamma_\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ associated to a homomorphism ϕ . Let $\pi_G: G \times H \rightarrow G$ and $\pi_H: G \times H \rightarrow H$ denote the respective projections. Then

$$(\text{tel}_i X(V_i) \times \text{tel}_i f(V_i))^{\Gamma_\phi} \cong \text{tel}_i X(V_i)^{\Gamma_{\pi_G \circ \phi}} \times \text{tel}_i f(V_i)^{\Gamma_{\pi_H \circ \phi}}$$

where $\Gamma_{\pi_H \circ \phi}$ is the graph subgroup associated to $\pi_H \circ \phi$. Since $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, H)$ -equivalence, $\text{tel}_i X(V_i) \times \text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ -equivalence and by the 2-out-of-6 property so is $\text{tel}_i(X \times f)(V_i)$.

- (v) We have $f \times f' = (Y \times f') \circ (f \times X')$ and each of these is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence by (iv).

- (vi) Consider a graph subgroup $\Gamma_\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K, H)$ associated to a homomorphism ϕ . Then

$$(\text{tel}_i \eta^* f(V_i))^{\Gamma_\phi} = (\eta^*(\text{tel}_i f(V_i)))^{\Gamma_\phi} = (\text{tel}_i f(V_i))^{\Gamma_{\eta \circ \phi}}$$

which is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

We now turn to the box product of G -orthogonal spaces, to check that it preserves G -global equivalences. The box product of orthogonal spaces is fully homotopical with respect to the global equivalences; that is, the box product of two global equivalences is a global equivalence, and this does not require any cofibrancy assumptions on the morphisms or the orthogonal spaces involved. Our goal is to check that the box product is also fully homotopical with respect to the G -global equivalences.

Given compact Lie groups G and H , a G -orthogonal space X and an H -orthogonal space Y , $X \boxtimes Y$ has a canonical action by $G \times H$, and so does $X \times Y$. The natural morphism $\rho_{X,Y}$ of Remark 2.8 is $(G \times H)$ -equivariant. By [22, Theorem 1.3.2(i)] this $\rho_{X,Y}$ is a global equivalence of underlying orthogonal spaces. We now adapt that proof to show that it is additionally a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence. We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.8 *Given $F: \underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{L}$ a continuous endofunctor, a natural transformation $\eta: \text{Id} \Rightarrow F$, and a G -orthogonal space X , the morphism $X \circ \eta: X \rightarrow X \circ F$ is a G -global equivalence.*

Proof We use the fact that for each compact Lie group K and each K -representation V , the two embeddings

$$F(\eta_V), \eta_{F(V)}: F(V) \rightarrow F(F(V))$$

are homotopic relative to $\eta_V: V \rightarrow F(V)$ through K -equivariant linear isometric embeddings. This is shown in the proof of the equivalent result where X is just an orthogonal space in [22, Theorem 1.1.10].

Given a compact Lie group K , a K -representation V , a graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$ and a lifting problem (α, β) as in the following diagram, the linear isometric embedding η_V and the map β together witness that $X \circ \eta$ is a G -global equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial D^l & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X(V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{X(\eta_V)^\Gamma} & X(F(V))^\Gamma \\ \downarrow i_l & & X(\eta_V)^\Gamma \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow X(\eta_{F(V)})^\Gamma \\ D^l & \xrightarrow{\beta} & X(F(V))^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{X(F(\eta_V))^\Gamma} & X(F(F(V)))^\Gamma \end{array}$$

The upper left trapezoid commutes by construction. For the lower right triangle, $F(\eta_V)$ and $\eta_{F(V)}$ are homotopic through K -equivariant linear isometric embeddings, therefore $X(F(\eta_V))$ and $X(\eta_{F(V)})$ are homotopic through $(K \times G)$ -equivariant maps, and $X(F(\eta_V))^\Gamma$ and $X(\eta_{F(V)})^\Gamma$ are homotopic. Since the original homotopy was

relative to η_V , and $\beta \circ i_l = X(\eta_V)^\Gamma \circ \alpha$, the obtained homotopy between $X(F(\eta_V))^\Gamma \circ \beta$ and $X(\eta_{F(V)})^\Gamma \circ \beta$ is relative i_l . Thus $X \circ \eta$ is a G -global equivalence. \square

Proposition 3.9 *Given a G -orthogonal space X and an H -orthogonal space Y , the morphism of $(G \times H)$ -orthogonal spaces $\rho_{X,Y}$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence.*

Proof Consider the endofunctor $\text{sh}: \underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{L}$ that sends V to $V \oplus V$. We have two natural transformations, $\iota_1, \iota_2: \text{Id} \Rightarrow \text{sh}$, given by the embeddings into the first and second factor respectively. We also denote by sh the functor of orthogonal spaces given by precomposing with sh , $\text{sh}(X) = X \circ \text{sh}$.

The universal bimorphism i that exhibits $X \boxtimes Y$ as the box product of X and Y gives a morphism of orthogonal spaces $\lambda: X \times Y \rightarrow \text{sh}(X \boxtimes Y)$ through the maps

$$i_{V,V}: X(V) \times Y(V) \rightarrow (X \boxtimes Y)(V \oplus V) = (\text{sh}(X \boxtimes Y))(V).$$

We need to check that $\lambda \circ \rho_{X,Y}$ and $\text{sh}(\rho_{X,Y}) \circ \lambda$ in the diagram

$$X \boxtimes Y \xrightarrow{\rho_{X,Y}} X \times Y \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{sh}(X \boxtimes Y) \xrightarrow{\text{sh}(\rho_{X,Y})} \text{sh}(X \times Y)$$

are $(G \times H)$ -global equivalences, and then we can use Lemma 3.7(i), the 2-out-of-6 property, to obtain that $\rho_{X,Y}$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence.

We have that $\text{sh}(\rho_{X,Y}) \circ \lambda$ evaluated at V is the same as the map associated to $\rho_{X,Y}$ at level (V, V) given in (2) of Remark 2.8, by the constructions of λ and $\rho_{X,Y}$. This means that

$$\text{sh}(\rho_{X,Y}) \circ \lambda = X(\iota_1) \times Y(\iota_2),$$

where each morphism on the right is a G -global equivalence or an H -global equivalence respectively by Lemma 3.8, and so their product is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence by Lemma 3.7(v).

Next we use that $\lambda \circ \rho_{X,Y}$ is homotopic through $(G \times H)$ -equivariant morphisms to $(X \boxtimes Y)(\iota_1)$, since the homotopy between them given in the proof of [22, Theorem 1.3.2(i)] is through $(G \times H)$ -equivariant morphisms. Additionally $(X \boxtimes Y)(\iota_1)$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence by Lemma 3.8, so by Lemma 3.7(iii) $\lambda \circ \rho_{X,Y}$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence. \square

Corollary 3.10 *For a G -global equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and an H -global equivalence $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$, the morphism $f \boxtimes f'$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence. If $H = G$ then $f \boxtimes f'$ is a G -global equivalence. Therefore for any $X \in \underline{GSp}c$, the functor $X \boxtimes -$ preserves G -global equivalences.*

Proof First,

$$\rho_{Y,Y'} \circ (f \boxtimes f') = (f \times f') \circ \rho_{X,X'},$$

and $\rho_{Y,Y'}$ and $\rho_{X,X'}$ are $(G \times H)$ -global equivalences by Proposition 3.9. Since $f \times f'$ is also a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence by Lemma 3.7(v), by the 2-out-of-6 property so is $f \boxtimes f'$.

If $H = G$, by restricting along the diagonal homomorphism $\Delta: G \rightarrow G \times G$ and using Lemma 3.7(vi), we obtain that $f \boxtimes f'$ is a G -global equivalence and therefore $X \boxtimes -$ preserves G -global equivalences. □

Now we proceed with a technical lemma which we use to prove the two subsequent propositions. The first one discusses what happens to G -global equivalences between G -free orthogonal spaces when taking orbits, if G is finite. The second one shows that G -global equivalences are preserved by inducing from a finite subgroup.

Lemma 3.11 *Let H be a finite group and K and G compact Lie groups. Assume that we have equivariant maps of $(K \times G \times H)$ -spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that Z is Hausdorff and H -free. Then the map on orbits $f/H: X/H \rightarrow Y/H$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence if and only if f is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ -equivalence.*

Proof First note that since Z is H -free, so are X and Y . For any graph subgroup $\Gamma_\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$ given by a continuous homomorphism $\phi: L \rightarrow G$, [22, Proposition B.17] gives a natural homeomorphism for X, Y and Z ,

$$\coprod_{[\psi]} X^{\Gamma_\psi} / C(\psi) \rightarrow (X/H)^{\Gamma_\phi}.$$

The disjoint union on the left is indexed by the conjugacy classes of continuous homomorphisms $\psi: \Gamma_\phi \rightarrow H$. Here $C(\psi)$ denotes the centralizer of the image of ψ in H .

Fix a graph subgroup $\Gamma_\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$. A homomorphism $\psi: \Gamma_\phi \rightarrow H$, as a subgroup of $K \times G \times H$, has elements $(k, \phi(k), \psi(k, \phi(k)))$ for $k \in L$, so $\Gamma_\psi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$. Conversely, for a graph subgroup $\Gamma_\psi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$, let ϕ be the homomorphism $\pi_G \circ \psi: L \rightarrow G$ where $\pi_G: G \times H \rightarrow G$ is the projection. Then Γ_ψ is a graph subgroup of $\Gamma_\phi \times H$, so that ψ can be seen as a homomorphism $\Gamma_\phi \rightarrow H$.

Therefore the map on orbits f/H is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence if and only if for each $\Gamma_\psi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ the map $f^{\Gamma_\psi} / C(\psi)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

For each $\Gamma_\psi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$, the centralizer of the image of ψ , $C(\psi) \leq H$, is finite. Additionally, Z^{Γ_ψ} is $C(\psi)$ -free and a subspace of Z , so Hausdorff. Therefore the $C(\psi)$ -action on Z^{Γ_ψ} is properly discontinuous, and since f^{Γ_ψ} and g^{Γ_ψ} are $C(\psi)$ -equivariant, the $C(\psi)$ -actions on X^{Γ_ψ} and Y^{Γ_ψ} are also properly discontinuous.

This means that

$$X^{\Gamma_\psi} \rightarrow X^{\Gamma_\psi}/C(\psi) \quad \text{and} \quad Y^{\Gamma_\psi} \rightarrow Y^{\Gamma_\psi}/C(\psi)$$

are covering maps, and since f^{Γ_ψ} is $C(\psi)$ -equivariant, it induces a map of coverings. Then we consider the long exact sequence of homotopy groups for these covering maps. We obtain that $f^{\Gamma_\psi}/C(\psi)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence if and only if f^{Γ_ψ} is a weak homotopy equivalence. For π_n for $n \geq 2$ this can be seen by using the five lemma and for π_0 and π_1 it can be checked explicitly.

Thus we finally obtain that f/H is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence if and only if f is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ -equivalence. □

This next proposition is similar to [21, Lemma 8.1].

Proposition 3.12 *Let H be a finite group and G a compact Lie group. Consider two morphisms of $(G \times H)$ -orthogonal spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$, where for Z we know that for each inner product space V the space $Z(V)$ is Hausdorff and H -free. Then $f/H: X/H \rightarrow Y/H$ is a G -global equivalence if and only if f is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence.*

Proof By Proposition 3.4 we know that $f/H: X/H \rightarrow Y/H$ is a G -global equivalence if and only if for each compact Lie group K and exhaustive sequence of K -representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the map

$$\text{tel}_i f/H(V_i): \text{tel}_i X/H(V_i) \rightarrow \text{tel}_i Y/H(V_i)$$

is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence.

Taking H -orbits commutes with colimits and product with $[0, 1]$, so it commutes with taking mapping telescopes. Therefore $\text{tel}_i f/H(V_i) \cong \text{tel}_i f(V_i)/H$. Now f and g induce $(K \times G \times H)$ -equivariant maps on mapping telescopes

$$\text{tel}_i X(V_i) \xrightarrow{\text{tel}_i f(V_i)} \text{tel}_i Y(V_i) \xrightarrow{\text{tel}_i g(V_i)} \text{tel}_i Z(V_i).$$

Since each $Z(V)$ is Hausdorff and H -free, so is $\text{tel}_i Z(V_i)$. By Proposition 3.4 again f is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence if and only if $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ -equivalence

for each K and $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. By Lemma 3.11 $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)/H$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence if and only if $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ -equivalence, which yields the result. \square

Proposition 3.13 *For a compact Lie group G , a finite subgroup $H \leq G$, and an H -global equivalence $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the morphism $G \times_H f$ is a G -global equivalence.*

Proof We first need to check that $G \times f$ is a $(G \times H)$ -global equivalence, for the action where G acts on the left on the G factor, and H acts both on the right on the G factor and on the left on the f factor.

Consider a compact Lie group K and an exhaustive sequence of K -representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. The functor $G \times -$ commutes with colimits and the functor $- \times [0, 1]$, so it commutes with taking mapping telescopes. Therefore it suffices to check that $G \times \text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$ -equivalence.

For any graph subgroup $\Gamma_\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K, G \times H)$, the image of Γ_ϕ under the projection

$$\pi_{K \times H} : K \times G \times H \rightarrow K \times H$$

is the graph subgroup $\Gamma_{\pi_H \circ \phi}$. Therefore

$$(\text{tel}_i f(V_i))^{\Gamma_\phi} = (\text{tel}_i f(V_i))^{\Gamma_{\pi_H \circ \phi}},$$

and the latter is a weak homotopy equivalence since $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, H)$ -equivalence. Then

$$(G \times \text{tel}_i f(V_i))^{\Gamma_\phi} = G^{\Gamma_\phi} \times \text{tel}_i f(V_i)^{\Gamma_\phi}$$

is also a weak homotopy equivalence.

Lastly, the projection $G \times Y \rightarrow G$ is a $(G \times H)$ -equivariant map, where again G acts on G on the left and H acts on the right on G and on the left on Y . With this action G is H -free and Hausdorff, so by Proposition 3.12, $G \times_H f$ is a G -global equivalence. \square

In the appendix we further explore some more technical aspects of G -orthogonal spaces. In particular, we construct the G -global model structure on $\underline{G}Spc$. The G -flat cofibrations are the cofibrations of this model structure. However for our admissibility results on operads in \underline{Spc} we need to work with a bigger class of morphisms than that of the G -flat cofibrations. This is why we now introduce the class of G - h -cofibrations of $\underline{G}Spc$. In the appendix we also study the compatibility of G -global equivalences and G - h -cofibrations.

The category $\underline{G}Spc$ is tensored over \underline{Top} . Thus we can define what a homotopy of morphisms of G -orthogonal spaces is in the usual way using the interval. We can also similarly define what a G -homotopy equivalence of G -orthogonal spaces is.

Definition 3.14 (*G-h-cofibration*) A morphism in $\underline{G}Spc$ is an *h-cofibration* if it has the homotopy extension property. A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ has the homotopy extension property if and only if there is a retraction in $\underline{G}Spc$ for the induced morphism

$$X \times [0, 1] \cup_X Y \rightarrow Y \times [0, 1].$$

We call these morphisms the *G-h-cofibrations*.

Lemma 3.15 *The class of G-h-cofibrations is closed under coproducts, transfinite compositions, cobase changes and retracts. Additionally each G-flat cofibration is a G-h-cofibration.*

Proof On a category tensored and cotensored over \mathbf{Top} the *h-cofibrations* can be equivalently defined as those morphisms that have the left lifting property with respect to $ev_0: X^{[0,1]} \rightarrow X$ for all objects X ; see [22, Definition A.28]. This shows the first part.

The *G-level model structure* for $\underline{G}Spc$ that we construct in Theorem A.2 is topological, and all objects are fibrant so by [22, Corollary A.30(iii)] each *G-flat cofibration* is a *G-h-cofibration*. □

Lemma 3.16 *Let G be a compact Lie group.*

- (i) *Consider a closed normal subgroup $H \leq G$. For a G-h-cofibration of G-orthogonal spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the morphism on orbits $f/H: X/H \rightarrow Y/H$ is a (G/H)-h-cofibration.*
- (ii) *Consider a continuous homomorphism $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ between compact Lie groups. For a G-h-cofibration of G-orthogonal spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the morphism*

$$\alpha^* f: \alpha^*(X) \rightarrow \alpha^*(Y)$$

is an H-h-cofibration.

- (iii) *Consider a compact Lie group H and an H-orthogonal space Z. For a G-h-cofibration of G-orthogonal spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the morphisms $Z \boxtimes f$ and $Z \times f$ are (H x G)-h-cofibrations.*
- (iv) *Consider a closed subgroup $H \leq G$. For an H-h-cofibration of H-orthogonal spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the morphism $G \times_H f: G \times_H X \rightarrow G \times_H Y$ is a G-h-cofibration.*

Proof (i) Suppose that we have a retraction in $\underline{G}Spc$

$$r: Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X \times [0, 1] \cup_X Y.$$

Taking orbits commutes with pushouts and the product with $[0, 1]$.

Thus the morphism

$$r/H: Y/H \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (X \times [0, 1] \cup_X Y)/H \cong X/H \times [0, 1] \cup_{X/H} Y/H$$

is the retraction that witnesses that f/H is a G/H - h -cofibration.

(ii) As before, the functor α^* commutes with pushouts and the product with $[0, 1]$, and the morphism α^*r is the retraction that witnesses that α^*f is an H - h -cofibration.

(iii) The functors $Z \boxtimes -$ and $Z \times -$ commute with pushouts and the product with $[0, 1]$. The $(H \times G)$ -equivariant morphisms $Z \boxtimes r$ and $Z \times r$ witness that $Z \boxtimes f$ and $Z \times f$ are $(H \times G)$ - h -cofibrations respectively.

(iv) This follows from (i), (ii) and (iii). □

4 Main results for operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$

4.1 Lifting the positive global model structure to $\underline{Alg}(\mathcal{O})$

In this subsection, our goal is to prove [Theorem 4.11](#), that states that any operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ is admissible. By this we mean that for any operad \mathcal{O} in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, the positive global model structure on \underline{Spc} lifts through $U_{\underline{Alg}(\mathcal{O})}: \underline{Alg}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \underline{Spc}$ to give a model structure on $\underline{Alg}(\mathcal{O})$.

The condition that we need to check to obtain that any operad is admissible is the following.

Condition 4.1 For any $Z \in \Sigma_n \underline{Spc}$ and any generating cofibration i of \underline{Spc} , the morphism $Z \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} i^{\square n}$ is an h -cofibration. For any $Z \in \Sigma_n \underline{Spc}$ and any generating acyclic cofibration j of \underline{Spc} , the morphism $Z \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} j^{\square n}$ is an h -cofibration and a global equivalence.

Note that the fact that we consider any possible Z here is crucial in removing any cofibrancy assumptions on the operad. The symbol \square denotes the pushout product of two morphisms and $i^{\square n}$ denotes the n^{th} iterated pushout product of i with itself.

Remark 4.2 [Condition 4.1](#) is strongly related to the property named *symmetric h -monoidality* defined in [\[20, Definition 4.2.4\]](#). Note that there are two different definitions of *h -cofibrations* in the literature. The one used in [\[20\]](#) and [\[19\]](#) was first given in [\[2, Definition 1.1\]](#), and it is weaker than the definition we used for \underline{Spc} and \underline{GSpc} .

The property of \underline{Spc} being symmetric h -monoidal is not directly related to [Condition 4.1](#); however the spirit of it is the same. In [\[19, Theorem 5.11\]](#) it is proven that in a category

which satisfies certain technical assumptions and is symmetric h -monoidal each operad is admissible. Using [Condition 4.1](#) instead of symmetric h -monoidality simplifies some arguments in the case of orthogonal spaces. Most of this subsection is dedicated to checking [Condition 4.1](#).

First of all, in order to check [Condition 4.1](#) we should give an explicit description of the generating (acyclic) cofibrations of the positive global model structure on \underline{SpC} . They can also be obtained from the generating (acyclic) cofibrations of the G -global model structure described in [Theorem A.2](#) and [Construction A.14](#) by setting $G = e$ and adding everywhere the requirement that $V \neq 0$ (this $V \neq 0$ requirement is the difference between the *positive* global model structure and the global model structure).

Remark 4.3 (generating (acyclic) cofibrations of the positive global model structure) In \underline{SpC} we have a semifree orthogonal space for each compact Lie group G and each G -representation V , given by $L_{G,V} = \underline{L}(V, -)/G$. This semifree orthogonal space is the representing object for the functor $(-)(V)^G$ given by evaluating at V and then taking G -fixed points.

Recall that i_l denotes the boundary map $i_l: \partial D^l \rightarrow D^l$ in \underline{Top} , for each $l \geq 0$. Similarly, let j_l denote the inclusion $j_l: D^l \cong D^l \times \{0\} \rightarrow D^l \times [0, 1]$ for $l \geq 0$. We use this notation throughout the paper.

The morphisms in \mathbb{I} , the generating cofibrations of the positive global model structure, are of the form $L_{G,V} \times i_l$ for a compact Lie group G , a faithful G -representation $V \neq 0$, and $l \geq 0$.

The generating acyclic cofibrations are $\mathbb{J} \cup \mathbb{K}$, where morphisms in \mathbb{J} are of the form $L_{G,V} \times j_l$ for a compact Lie group G , a faithful G -representation $V \neq 0$, and $l \geq 0$. Morphisms in \mathbb{K} are of the form $\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}} \square i_l$ for a compact Lie group G , a faithful G -representation $V \neq 0$, a G -representation W , and $l \geq 0$. The morphism $\rho_{G,V,W}: L_{G,V \oplus W} \rightarrow L_{G,V}$ is given by restriction to V , and $\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}$ is the mapping cylinder inclusion of $\rho_{G,V,W}$.

The generating acyclic cofibrations in the set \mathbb{K} are more complex. Before checking [Condition 4.1](#) for them, we need to prove several auxiliary lemmas. We first deal with the case of the morphisms in \mathbb{I} and \mathbb{J} .

Proposition 4.4 *Let K be a compact Lie group, $n \geq 1$, and let Z be a $(K \times \Sigma_n)$ -orthogonal space. For a generating cofibration $i \in \mathbb{I}$, the morphism $Z \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} i^{\square n}$ is a*

By Corollary 3.10 and the induction hypothesis, $X^{\boxtimes n-i} \boxtimes f^{\square i}$ is a $(\Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i)$ -global equivalence. Then by Proposition 3.13,

$$\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i} X^{\boxtimes n-i} \boxtimes f^{\square i}$$

is a Σ_n -global equivalence. Additionally by Lemma 3.16 it is a Σ_n - h -cofibration.

By Corollary A.9 this means that $Q_{i-1}^n(f) \rightarrow Q_i^n(f)$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence for each $1 \leq i < n$. Since so is $f^{\boxtimes n}$, by the 2-out-of-6 property for Σ_n -global equivalences $f^{\square n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence. \square

Lemma 4.7 For $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a homotopy equivalence between orthogonal spaces and $n \geq 1$, the morphism $f^{\boxtimes n}$ is a Σ_n -homotopy equivalence of orthogonal spaces, and therefore a Σ_n -global equivalence.

Proof Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy inverse to f and H a homotopy between $f \circ g$ and Id_X . Then for each $n \geq 1$,

$$H^{\boxtimes n} \circ (X^{\boxtimes n} \times \Delta): X^{\boxtimes n} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y^{\boxtimes n}$$

is a Σ_n -equivariant homotopy between $(f \circ g)^{\boxtimes n}$ and $\text{Id}_X^{\boxtimes n}$, where $\Delta: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]^n$ is the diagonal. The same can be done for $g \circ f$.

Then we obtain that $f^{\boxtimes n}$ is a Σ_n -homotopy equivalence. Therefore it is a Σ_n -level equivalence, and thus a Σ_n -global equivalence. \square

Proposition 4.8 For each generating acyclic cofibration $k \in \mathcal{K}$, the morphism $k^{\square n}$ is a Σ_n - h -cofibration. Concretely, let G be a compact Lie group, consider a faithful G -representation $V \neq 0$, a G -representation W , $n \geq 1$ and $l \geq 0$. Let $\iota_{\rho_G, V, W}$ be the morphism given in Remark 4.3. Then $k^{\square n} = (\iota_{\rho_G, V, W} \square i_l)^{\square n}$ is a Σ_n - h -cofibration. In particular, since $\iota_{\rho_G, V, W} \square i_0 = \iota_{\rho_G, V, W}$, we get that $\iota_{\rho_G, V, W}^{\square n}$ is a Σ_n - h -cofibration.

Proof Consider the decomposition of $\iota_{\rho_G, V, W}$ given by $g \circ i_{L_G, V \oplus W}$ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_{G, V \oplus W} & \xrightarrow{i_{L_G, V \oplus W}} & L_{G, V \oplus W} \amalg L_{G, V} & \xrightarrow{g} & M_{\rho_G, V, W} \\ & & \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow \\ & & L_{G, V \oplus W} \amalg L_{G, V \oplus W} & \xrightarrow{L_{G, V \oplus W} \times i_1} & L_{G, V \oplus W} \times [0, 1] \end{array}$$

We use results from [10] that deal with the interaction between the pushout product and operations on morphisms like composition and cobase change. The structure of this proof is convoluted because in general we cannot prove that the pushout product of two Σ_n - h -cofibrations is a Σ_n - h -cofibration. This forces us to carry the $-\square i_l^{\square n}$

term around. We also have to decompose $(\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}} \square i_l)^{\square n}$ into simpler morphisms which we can prove to be Σ_n - h -cofibrations, using cobase changes and compositions, because these operations preserve the class of Σ_n - h -cofibrations.

By [10, Lemma 15] we can decompose $\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}^{\square n}$ into $f_0 \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_n$. Here for each $0 \leq j \leq n$, the morphism f_j is a Σ_n -equivariant cobase change of

$$\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_{n-j} \times \Sigma_j} g^{\square n-j} \square i_{L_{G,V \oplus W}}^{\square j}.$$

By [10, Lemma 17] we can write $\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}^{\square n} \square i_l^{\square n}$ as $f'_0 \circ f'_1 \circ \dots \circ f'_n$, where each f'_j is a cobase change of $f_j \square i_l^{\square n}$.

We also have [10, Lemma 13], which states that for any h_0 if a morphism h_1 is a cobase change of h_2 , then $h_1 \square h_0$ is a cobase change of $h_2 \square h_0$. By iterating this result, and using the associativity of the pushout product, we obtain that $g^{\square n-j}$ is a cobase change of $(L_{G,V \oplus W} \times i_1)^{\square n-j}$. Similarly $i_{L_{G,V \oplus W}}^{\square j}$ is a cobase change of $\emptyset \rightarrow L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j}$. Furthermore these cobase changes can be checked to be through equivariant maps.

Note that $i_1^{\square n-j} \cong i_{n-j}$. For each $0 \leq j \leq n$ we can apply [10, Lemma 13] again to obtain that $g^{\square n-j} \square i_{L_{G,V \oplus W}}^{\square j}$ is a $(\Sigma_{n-j} \times \Sigma_j)$ -equivariant cobase change of

$$(L_{G,V \oplus W}^{\boxtimes n-j} \times i_1^{\square n-j}) \square (\emptyset \rightarrow L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j}) \cong L_{G,V \oplus W}^{\boxtimes n-j} \boxtimes L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j} \times i_{n-j}.$$

We want to check that for each $0 \leq j \leq n$,

$$(3) \quad (\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_{n-j} \times \Sigma_j} (L_{G,V \oplus W}^{\boxtimes n-j} \boxtimes L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j} \times i_{n-j})) \square i_l^{\square n}$$

is a Σ_n - h -cofibration. As a morphism of $(\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n)$ -orthogonal spaces, this is isomorphic to

$$\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_{n-j} \times \Sigma_j} ((L_{G,V \oplus W}^{\boxtimes n-j} \boxtimes L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j} \times i_{n-j}) \square i_l^{\square n}).$$

The map $i_{n-j} \square i_l^{\square n} \cong i_{n-j+l_n}$ is a $(\Sigma_{n-j} \times \Sigma_n)$ - h -cofibration of spaces. Therefore by Lemma 3.16,

$$L_{G,V \oplus W}^{\boxtimes n-j} \boxtimes L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j} \times (i_{n-j} \square i_l^{\square n})$$

is a $(\Sigma_{n-j} \times \Sigma_j \times \Sigma_n)$ - h -cofibration and the morphism (3) is a Σ_n - h -cofibration.

Recall that for each $0 \leq j \leq n$ the morphism $g^{\square n-j} \square i_{L_{G,V \oplus W}}^{\square j}$ is a cobase change of

$$L_{G,V \oplus W}^{\boxtimes n-j} \boxtimes L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j} \times i_{n-j}.$$

Thus applying [10, Lemma 13] again we obtain that $f_j \square i_l^{\square n}$ is a cobase change of (3) so it is also a Σ_n - h -cofibration. We are using the fact that the induction functor $\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_{n-j} \times \Sigma_j} -$ preserves pushouts.

Finally, each f'_j was a cobase change of $f_j \square i_l^{\square n}$, so it is also a Σ_n - h -cofibration. Thus their composition

$$k^{\square n} = (\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}} \square i_l)^{\square n} = \iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}^{\square n} \square i_l^{\square n}$$

is a Σ_n - h -cofibration. □

Proposition 4.9 *For each generating acyclic cofibration $k \in \mathbb{K}$, the morphism $k^{\square n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence.*

Proof The generating acyclic cofibration k is of the form $\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}} \square i_l$ for a compact Lie group G , a faithful G -representation $V \neq 0$, a G -representation W , and $l \geq 0$.

We first check that

$$\rho_{G,V,W}^{\boxtimes n} : L_{G,V \oplus W}^{\boxtimes n} \rightarrow L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes n}$$

is a Σ_n -global equivalence. By [22, Example 1.3.3] the orthogonal space $L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes n}$ is isomorphic to L_{G^n, V^n} and thus closed. The $(\Sigma_n \wr G)$ -representation V^n is faithful, so for each compact Lie group K by [22, Proposition 1.1.26(ii)] the restriction map

$$\rho_{V^n, W^n}(\mathcal{U}_K) : \underline{L}(V^n \oplus W^n, \mathcal{U}_K) \rightarrow \underline{L}(V^n, \mathcal{U}_K)$$

is a $(K \times (\Sigma_n \wr G))$ -homotopy equivalence. Using that

$$\underline{L}(V^n, \mathcal{U}_K) \cong \operatorname{colim}_{V' \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} \underline{L}(V^n, V')$$

and the fact that $-/G^n$ preserves colimits, we can obtain that

$$\rho_{G,V,W}^{\boxtimes n}(\mathcal{U}_K) \cong \rho_{V^n, W^n}(\mathcal{U}_K) / G^n$$

is a $(K \times \Sigma_n)$ -homotopy equivalence. Therefore $\rho_{G,V,W}^{\boxtimes n}(\mathcal{U}_K)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$ -equivalence, and so $\rho_{G,V,W}^{\boxtimes n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence.

Now we use the mapping cylinder to decompose $\rho_{G,V,W}$ as $\pi_{\rho_{G,V,W}} \circ \iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}$. Since $\pi_{\rho_{G,V,W}}$ is a homotopy equivalence, by Lemma 4.7 $\pi_{\rho_{G,V,W}}^{\boxtimes n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence, and then so is $\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}^{\boxtimes n}$.

We use Propositions 4.6 and 4.8 to obtain that for each $n \geq 1$ the morphism $\iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}^{\square n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence. Finally, by Corollary A.10 and Proposition 4.8 again, we get that $k^{\square n} = \iota_{\rho_{G,V,W}}^{\square n} \square i_l^{\square n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence. □

Proposition 4.10 *Let $n \geq 1$ and let Z be a Σ_n -orthogonal space. For each generating acyclic cofibration $k \in \mathbb{K}$, the morphism $Z \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} k^{\square n}$ is an h -cofibration and a global equivalence.*

Proof First,

$$Z \boxtimes k^{\square n} = Z \boxtimes (\iota_{\rho_G, V, W} \square i_l)^{\square n}$$

is a Σ_n - h -cofibration by [Proposition 4.8](#), and a Σ_n -global equivalence by [Proposition 4.9](#) and [Corollary 3.10](#).

Consider the Σ_n -orthogonal space $L_{G, V}^{\boxtimes n} \cong L_{G^n, V^n}$. For each inner product space U the group G^n acts freely (since V is faithful), smoothly and properly (since G^n is compact) on $\underline{L}(V^n, U)$, as long as $|U| \geq |V|^n$. Therefore

$$L_{G^n, V^n}(U) = \underline{L}(V^n, U) / G^n$$

is Hausdorff; and since V^n is a faithful Σ_n -representation, $L_{G, V}^{\boxtimes n}(U) \cong L_{G^n, V^n}(U)$ is also Σ_n -free.

If $|U| < |V|^n$ then $\underline{L}(V^n, U)$ is empty, so in particular $L_{G^n, V^n}(U)$ is still Hausdorff and Σ_n -free.

The morphism $\pi_{\rho_G, V, W}$ induces a Σ_n -equivariant map of orthogonal spaces from the target of $Z \boxtimes k^{\square n}$ to $* \boxtimes L_{G, V}^{\boxtimes n} \times *$, and so by [Proposition 3.12](#) $Z \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} k^{\square n}$ is a global equivalence. It is an h -cofibration by [Lemma 3.16\(i\)](#). □

Note that the fact that $L_{G, V}^{\boxtimes n}(U)$ is Σ_n -free in this last proof is important. It lets us avoid the assumption that the components \mathcal{O}_n of the operad \mathcal{O} are Σ_n -free in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11 *Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ the category of orthogonal spaces, with the positive global model structure and the symmetric monoidal structure given by the box product. Then there is a cofibrantly generated model category structure on $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$, the category of algebras over \mathcal{O} , where the forgetful functor $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ creates the weak equivalences and fibrations, and sends cofibrations in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ to h -cofibrations in \underline{Spc} .*

Proof Let $\mathcal{H}cof$ be the class of h -cofibrations in \underline{Spc} . It satisfies conditions (a), (b) and (c) of [Theorem 2.2](#) by [Lemma 3.15](#), [Lemma A.16](#), and [Corollary A.12](#) respectively, with $G = e$ in all of them.

Consider a morphism $i : X \rightarrow Y$ in \underline{Spc} and a pushout in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(X) & \xrightarrow{F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(i)} & F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

We use the filtration of [21, Proposition A.16], originally introduced in the proof of [7, Theorem 12.4], with $k = 0$, where $U_0^\mathcal{O} = U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$. We obtain a decomposition of $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(f)$ as the infinite composition of morphisms

$$f_n : P_{n-1}U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(B) \rightarrow P_nU_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(B)$$

for $n \geq 1$, with $P_0U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(B) = U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(A)$. For each $n \geq 1$, [21, Proposition A.16] gives the following pushout in $\underline{\mathcal{S}pc}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_n^\mathcal{O}(A) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} Q_{n-1}^n(i) & \xrightarrow{U_n^\mathcal{O}(A) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} i^{\square n}} & U_n^\mathcal{O}(A) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} (Y)^{\boxtimes n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_{n-1}U_0^\mathcal{O}(B) & \xrightarrow{f_n} & P_nU_0^\mathcal{O}(B) \end{array} \quad \lrcorner$$

Both the class $\mathcal{H}cof$, and the class of morphisms in $\underline{\mathcal{S}pc}$ which are both h -cofibrations and global equivalences, are closed under infinite composition and cobase change (see the results of the [appendix](#)). Propositions 4.4 and 4.10 imply that if i is a generating cofibration $U_n^\mathcal{O}(A) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} i^{\square n}$ is an h -cofibration, and if i is a generating acyclic cofibration then $U_n^\mathcal{O}(A) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} i^{\square n}$ is an h -cofibration and global equivalence. Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold, and $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ is a cofibrantly generated model category where $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ creates the weak equivalences and fibrations. Furthermore $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ sends cofibrations in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ to h -cofibrations in $\underline{\mathcal{S}pc}$. \square

4.2 Characterizing which morphisms of operads induce Quillen equivalences

We study now morphisms of operads and the associated functors between their respective categories of algebras, with the goal of classifying which morphisms of operads in orthogonal spaces induce Quillen equivalences between the respective categories of algebras.

Consider for now a general symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, *)$, where the tensor product preserves all colimits in both variables. Let $g : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a morphism of operads, understood as a morphism of monoids in $(\Sigma_*\text{-}\mathcal{C}, \circ)$. The morphism g induces an adjoint pair of functors

$$g! : \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O}) \rightleftarrows \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{P}) : g^*$$

called the extension functor and the restriction functor respectively. The specific details can be found in [9, Section 3.3.5] for example.

We use $\theta: \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$ to denote the natural transformation induced by g between the monads $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$, associated to the operads \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{P} respectively. For X an algebra over \mathcal{P} , we use $\zeta_X: \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})(X) \rightarrow X$ to denote its structure map. Then $g^*(X)$ is just X with structure map $\zeta_X \circ \theta_X$. Additionally, since $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})} \circ g^* = U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{P})}$, we have that $g! \circ F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})}$ is left adjoint to $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{P})}$, so it is naturally isomorphic to $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{P})}$. This is the only information about the extension functor that we need.

For the proof of [Theorem 4.14](#) we need to consider again the functors $U_k^\mathcal{O}$ for $k \geq 0$ from [\[21, Proposition 10.1\]](#), originally introduced in the proof of [\[7, Theorem 12.4\]](#). The functor $U_k^\mathcal{O}$ goes from $\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})$ to $\underline{\Sigma}_k\mathcal{C}$, the category of Σ_k -objects in \mathcal{C} , and $U_0^\mathcal{O} = U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})}$.

Construction 4.12 Let \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{P} be two operads, and let g be a morphism of operads $g: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. For a general \mathcal{O} -algebra X , a \mathcal{P} -algebra Y , and a map of \mathcal{O} -algebras $\gamma: X \rightarrow g^*(Y)$, we construct certain maps

$$g_{k,\gamma}: U_k^\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow U_k^\mathcal{P}(Y)$$

in $\underline{\Sigma}_k\mathcal{C}$ for each $k \geq 0$, in a way that is natural in γ and preserves filtered colimits. It is important to note that the morphism $g_{k,\gamma}$ is not $U_k^\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ unless $k = 0$. In fact, $U_k^\mathcal{O} \circ g^*$ is not $U_k^\mathcal{P}$ for $k \neq 0$, so $g_{k,\gamma}$ and $U_k^\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ do not have the same target for $k \neq 0$.

Consider the functors

$$\mathcal{O}(-, k): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\Sigma}_k\mathcal{C}$$

constructed in [\[21, Section A.9\]](#), which for an operad \mathcal{O} and $k \geq 0$ are given by

$$\mathcal{O}(X, k) = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}(n+k) \otimes_{\Sigma_n} X^{\otimes n}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}(-, 0) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$. The construction of the functors $U_k^\mathcal{O}$ in [\[21, Definition A.10\]](#) is given by the coequalizer

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(X, 0), k) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \mathcal{O}(X, k) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} U_k^\mathcal{O}(X).$$

The morphism of operads g and the map of \mathcal{O} -algebras γ together induce a Σ_k -equivariant morphism of coequalizer diagrams. The induced morphism between the coequalizers $U_k^\mathcal{O}(X)$ and $U_k^\mathcal{P}(Y)$ is our desired $g_{k,\gamma}$.

This construction preserves filtered colimits because tensor powers preserve them, and thus so do the functors $\mathcal{O}(-, k)$.

Now we restrict ourselves to the case of operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, where we have the model structures on $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ obtained in [Theorem 4.11](#).

Proposition 4.13 *For any morphism $g : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, the restriction functor g^* preserves and reflects fibrations and weak equivalences. Thus the pair $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen adjunction.*

Proof The functors $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}$ and $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{P})}$ preserve and reflect fibrations and weak equivalences, and $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})} \circ g^* = U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{P})}$. □

Theorem 4.14 *Let $g : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a morphism of operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, the category of orthogonal spaces, with the positive global model structure and the symmetric monoidal structure given by the box product. Then the induced adjunction $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence between the respective categories of algebras if and only if for each $n \geq 0$ the morphism $g_n : \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence.*

Proof The right adjoint g^* preserves and reflects weak equivalences. Therefore the pair $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence if and only if for each cofibrant $A \in \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow g^*(g_!(A))$ is a weak equivalence in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$, that is, a global equivalence of underlying orthogonal spaces (see for example [\[8, Lemma 3.3\]](#) for a proof).

We first assume that each g_n is a Σ_n -global equivalence, and check that for each cofibrant $A \in \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ the unit $\eta_A : A \rightarrow g^*(g_!(A))$ is a global equivalence.

First assume that the cofibrant algebra A is the colimit of a λ -sequence of morphisms $\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \in \lambda}$ beginning at $A_0 = \mathcal{O}_0$, for a limit ordinal λ . Note that the initial object of $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ is \mathcal{O}_0 , since it is $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(\emptyset)$. Assume that each f_β is a cobase change of a morphism of the form $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(i_\beta)$, for $i_\beta \in I$ $i_\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow Y_\beta$ a generating positive flat cofibration of orthogonal spaces. We want to check that $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(\eta_A)$ is a global equivalence.

By evaluating the unit of the adjunction η on the λ -sequence that gives rise to A , we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A_0 = \mathcal{O}_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_\beta & \xrightarrow{f_\beta} & \cdots \\
 \downarrow \eta_{A_0} & & \downarrow \eta_{A_1} & & & & \downarrow \eta_{A_\beta} & & \\
 g^*(g_!(A_0)) & \xrightarrow{g^*(g_!(f_0))} & g^*(g_!(A_1)) & \xrightarrow{g^*(g_!(f_1))} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & g^*(g_!(A_\beta)) & \xrightarrow{g^*(g_!(f_\beta))} & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

We apply $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}$ to the whole diagram. By [Theorem 4.11](#), $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}$ sends cofibrations to h -cofibrations, so $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(f_\beta)$ is an h -cofibration. The morphism $g!(f_\beta)$ is a cofibration, so

$$U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(g^*(g!(f_\beta))) = U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{P})}(g!(f_\beta))$$

is also an h -cofibration. Since $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}$ preserves filtered colimits, $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(\eta_A)$ is

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(\eta_{A_\beta}).$$

We have to check that each $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(\eta_{A_\beta})$ is a global equivalence, and for this we follow the proof of the similar statement in [\[21, Lemma 9.13\]](#). We prove this by induction, but we in fact need to work with a stronger property. For each β and each $k \geq 0$, let $g_{k,\beta}$ be the morphism $g_{k,\eta_{A_\beta}}$ given in [Construction 4.12](#). We check by transfinite induction on β that for each $k \geq 0$ the morphism

$$g_{k,\beta}: U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(A_\beta) \rightarrow U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_\beta))$$

is a Σ_k -global equivalence. For $k = 0$ this reduces to our desired result.

The base case concerns $A_0 = \mathcal{O}_0 = F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(\emptyset)$. By [\[21, Lemma A.13\]](#) the Σ_k -orthogonal space $U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(\emptyset))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(\emptyset, k)$, and $\mathcal{O}(\emptyset, k)$ equals \mathcal{O}_k . Similarly $g!(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{O})}(\emptyset))$ is isomorphic to $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{P})}(\emptyset)$, and then

$$U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}g(\mathcal{P})}(\emptyset)) \cong \mathcal{P}(\emptyset, k) = \mathcal{P}_k,$$

and under these identifications, the morphism $g_{k,0}$ corresponds to g_k , which is a Σ_k -global equivalence by the condition of the theorem. Remarkably, this is the only part of the proof where this condition is used.

Then we check the induction step for a successor ordinal $\beta + 1$. For this we use the filtration of [\[21, Proposition A.16\]](#), originally introduced in the proof of [\[7, Theorem 12.4\]](#), in the same way that it is used in the proof of [\[21, Lemma 9.13\]](#):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(A_\beta) = F_0 U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta+1}) & \longrightarrow & F_1 U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta+1}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \\
 (4) & \downarrow g_{k,\beta} & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_\beta)) = F_0 U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta+1})) & \longrightarrow & F_1 U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta+1})) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \\
 & & & \cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta+1}) = U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta+1}) & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow g_{k,\beta+1} & & & \\
 & & & \cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta+1})) = U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta+1})) & & &
 \end{array}$$

Assume that for each $k \geq 0$ the morphism $g_{k,\beta}$ is a Σ_k -global equivalence. Each horizontal map is a cobase change of

$$U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta}) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} i_{\beta}^{\square j} \quad \text{or} \quad U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta})) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} i_{\beta}^{\square j},$$

which are Σ_k - h -cofibrations by [Proposition 4.4](#).

Each vertical map is obtained from the previous by the following morphism of pushout diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{j-1}U_k^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta+1}) & \longrightarrow & F_{j-1}U_k^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta+1})) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta}) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} Q_{j-1}^j(i_{\beta}) & \xrightarrow{g_{j+k,\beta} \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} Q_{j-1}^j(i_{\beta})} & U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta})) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} Q_{j-1}^j(i_{\beta}) \\ U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta}) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} i_{\beta}^{\square j} \downarrow & & \downarrow U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta})) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} i_{\beta}^{\square j} \\ U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta}) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} (Y_{\beta})^{\boxtimes j} & \xrightarrow{g_{j+k,\beta} \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} (Y_{\beta})^{\boxtimes j}} & U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta})) \boxtimes_{\Sigma_j} (Y_{\beta})^{\boxtimes j} \end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the morphism

$$g_{j+k,\beta}: U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{O}}(A_{\beta}) \rightarrow U_{j+k}^{\mathcal{P}}(g!(A_{\beta}))$$

is a Σ_{j+k} -global equivalence. Here $Y_{\beta} = L_{G,V} \times i_l$, so we can project to $L_{G,V}^{\boxtimes j}$ and use [Corollary 3.10](#) and [Proposition 3.12](#) as in the proof of [Proposition 4.10](#) to check that the two rightmost vertical maps are Σ_k -global equivalences.

Then we can use induction on j and the gluing lemma, [Lemma A.8](#), to obtain that each vertical map of (4) is also a Σ_k -global equivalence. Finally, by [Lemma A.11](#), $g_{k,\beta+1}$ is a Σ_k -global equivalence.

If β is a limit ordinal, we just need to use [Lemma A.11](#), and the fact that the construction of $g_{k,\beta}$ preserves filtered colimits.

We have proven that $g_{k,\beta}$ is a Σ_k -global equivalence for each k and β . Setting $k = 0$ we have our original intended result that $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})}(\eta_{A_{\beta}})$ is a global equivalence for each β . By [Lemma A.11](#) with $G = e$ the morphism $U_{\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})}(\eta_A)$ is a global equivalence.

If $A \in \underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})$ is cofibrant, then it is a retract of an algebra A' of the kind we were considering at the beginning of this proof, and the unit η_A is a retract of $\eta_{A'}$. Since retracts preserve weak equivalences, η_A is a weak equivalence in $\underline{\mathcal{A}g}(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence.

We prove the other implication now. Assume that $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence. We want to prove that for each $n \geq 0$ the morphism g_n is a Σ_n -global equivalence.

Consider the free orthogonal space $L_{\mathbb{R}} = \underline{L}(\mathbb{R}, -)$, which is positively flat. Then $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(L_{\mathbb{R}})$ is cofibrant in $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$. Since $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence the unit

$$\eta_{F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(L_{\mathbb{R}})} : F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(L_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow g^*(g_!(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(L_{\mathbb{R}})))$$

is a weak equivalence, so its underlying morphism of orthogonal spaces is a global equivalence.

The \mathcal{P} -algebra $g_!(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(L_{\mathbb{R}}))$ is naturally isomorphic to $F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{P})}(L_{\mathbb{R}})$. After post-composing $\eta_{F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(L_{\mathbb{R}})}$ with g^* of this isomorphism, we obtain a morphism

$$F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})}(L_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow g^*(F_{\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{P})}(L_{\mathbb{R}})),$$

whose underlying morphism of orthogonal spaces is precisely

$$\theta_{L_{\mathbb{R}}} = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\boxtimes n}.$$

Since $\theta_{L_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is a global equivalence, each $g_n \boxtimes_{\Sigma_n} L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\boxtimes n}$ is a global equivalence. If $n = 0$, we obtain that g_0 is a global equivalence. For each $n \geq 1$, $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\boxtimes n} \cong L_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, and the orthogonal space $L_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is Σ_n -free and Hausdorff at each inner product space V . Thus by Proposition 3.12 the morphism $g_n \boxtimes L_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence for each $n \geq 1$.

The morphisms $\rho_{\mathcal{O}_n, L_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}_n, L_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$ are Σ_n -global equivalences by Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 3.7(vi). By the 2-out-of-6 property of Σ_n -global equivalences we obtain that $g_n \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_n \boxtimes L_{\mathbb{R}^n} & \xrightarrow{g_n \boxtimes L_{\mathbb{R}^n}} & \mathcal{P}_n \boxtimes L_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ \rho_{\mathcal{O}_n, L_{\mathbb{R}^n}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathcal{P}_n, L_{\mathbb{R}^n}} \\ \mathcal{O}_n \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n} & \xrightarrow{g_n \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n}} & \mathcal{P}_n \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n} \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.4, for each compact Lie group K and each exhaustive sequence of K -representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the map

$$\text{tel}_i(g(V_i) \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n}(V_i)) : \text{tel}_i(\mathcal{O}_n(V_i) \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n}(V_i)) \rightarrow \text{tel}_i(\mathcal{P}_n(V_i) \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n}(V_i))$$

is an $\mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$ -equivalence. The canonical map

$$\text{tel}_i(\mathcal{O}_n(V_i) \times L_{\mathbb{R}^n}(V_i)) \rightarrow (\text{tel}_i \mathcal{O}_n(V_i)) \times (\text{tel}_j L_{\mathbb{R}^n}(V_j))$$

is also an $\mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$ -equivalence, and the same holds for \mathcal{P}_n . Therefore

$$(\text{tel}_i g_n(V_i)) \times (\text{tel}_j L_{\mathbb{R}^n}(V_j))$$

is an $\mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$ -equivalence.

For each $\Gamma_\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$, we can pull the Σ_n -action on \mathbb{R}_n through $\phi: H \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ to get an H -action. Then the H -representation \mathbb{R}^n embeds into some K -representation (see [6, Theorem III.4.5]), which in turn embeds into some V_i , so $(\text{tel}_j L_{\mathbb{R}^n}(V_j))^{\Gamma_\phi}$ is nonempty. Thus $\text{tel}_i g_n(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$ -equivalence, and g_n is a Σ_n -global equivalence for each $n \geq 0$. \square

Remark 4.15 The previous theorem generalizes, in the setting of algebras over operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, the classical result that a morphism g between cofibrant operads induces a Quillen equivalence if the underlying morphism of each g_n is a weak equivalence (see [9, 12.5.A] for example). For orthogonal spaces, and a morphism g between operads which are *not necessarily cofibrant*, by the previous theorem we require the stronger condition that each g_n is not just a global equivalence, but also a Σ_n -global equivalence.

The question of which morphisms between more general operads induce Quillen equivalences was also answered in [19, Theorem 7.5]. The key property there is whether the morphisms g_n are *symmetric flat* weak equivalences as defined in [19, Definition 2.1(vii)]. However, Σ_n -global equivalences are not necessarily symmetric flat.

Remark 4.16 Given \mathcal{O} , an operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, we could take a cofibrant replacement of it in the J -semimodel category $\mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{Spc}$ of operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, constructed in [25, Theorem 3]. This would be a cofibrant operad \mathcal{O}' and a morphism of operads $g: \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ such that each g_n is a global equivalence. But as we just saw in Theorem 4.14, this g does not induce a Quillen equivalence between the categories of algebras of \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' unless each g_n is additionally a Σ_n -global equivalence. This means that simply taking a cofibrant replacement \mathcal{O}' in $\mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{Spc}$ of an operad \mathcal{O} , and looking at the model structure on $\mathcal{Alg}(\mathcal{O}')$ does not give the correct homotopy theory of the algebras over \mathcal{O} .

Additionally, we cannot have a functor $F^c: \mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{Spc} \rightarrow \mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{Spc}$, with a natural transformation $\eta: F^c \Rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{OP}\text{-}\underline{Spc}}$ such that each $\eta(\mathcal{O})_n$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence, and $F^c(\mathcal{O})$ is cofibrant in the J -semimodel structure of [25, Theorem 3]. Assume that we had such a functor F^c , then consider a morphism of operads $g: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$ which satisfies that each g_n is a global equivalence, but does not satisfy that each g_n is a Σ_n -global equivalence. An example of such a morphism is given by the unique morphism from one of the naive global E_∞ -operads of Remark 5.9 to the terminal operad Comm .

In that case each $F^c(g)_n$ would be a global equivalence by the 2-out-of-6 property, so $F^c(g)$ induces a Quillen equivalence between $\mathcal{Alg}(F^c(\mathcal{O}))$ and $\mathcal{Alg}(F^c(\mathcal{O}'))$ because

$F^c(\mathcal{O})$ and $F^c(\mathcal{O}')$ are cofibrant operads. The morphisms of operads $\eta(\mathcal{O})$ and $\eta(\mathcal{O}')$ would also induce Quillen equivalences by [Theorem 4.14](#). But this would imply that g induces a Quillen equivalence between the categories of algebras, which contradicts the only if part of [Theorem 4.14](#).

This means that in order to study the genuine homotopy theory of algebras over operads in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, we cannot restrict ourselves to looking only at cofibrant operads.

5 Global E_∞ -operads

Let Comm be the terminal operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, where each Comm_n is the constant one-point orthogonal space. Algebras over Comm are precisely the commutative monoids in \underline{Spc} with respect to the box product, which are called commutative orthogonal monoid spaces or ultracommutative monoids in [\[22, Definition 1.4.14\]](#). The unit and multiplication maps imply that a commutative monoid in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ is precisely a lax symmetric monoidal functor $(\underline{L}, \oplus) \rightarrow (\underline{\text{Top}}, \times)$.

Definition 5.1 A global E_∞ -operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ is an operad \mathcal{O} in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ such that each \mathcal{O}_n is Σ_n -globally equivalent to $*$ with the trivial Σ_n -action.

Remark 5.2 By [Theorem 4.14](#), if \mathcal{O} is a global E_∞ -operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ and g is the unique morphism of operads $g: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$, then the induced Quillen adjunction $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence between $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\text{Comm})$, the category of ultracommutative monoids. This justifies why we gave the previous definition of a global E_∞ -operad.

Furthermore, the algebras over a global E_∞ -operad are endowed with plenty of additional structure, just like ultracommutative monoids. It is also relatively simple to characterize when a given operad in \underline{Spc} (like the ones constructed in [Section 2.3](#)) is a global E_∞ -operad.

Proposition 5.3 Let \mathcal{O} be a global E_∞ -operad in $(\underline{Spc}, \boxtimes)$, and let $g: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Comm}$ be the unique morphism of operads. There is a homotopical functor

$$R: \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\text{Comm})$$

and a zigzag of natural weak equivalences between $g^* \circ R$ and the identity on $\underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$.

For $A \in \underline{\mathcal{A}lg}(\mathcal{O})$, $R(A)$ is an ultracommutative monoid, thus R is a functor that rectifies algebras over global E_∞ -operads into ultracommutative monoids.

Proof Let $C : \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})$ be a cofibrant replacement functor in $\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})$ constructed via the small object argument, and let $\alpha : C \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})}$ be the associated natural weak equivalence. Then $U_{\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})}(\alpha_A)$ is a global equivalence for each $A \in \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{O})$. Furthermore, the adjunction unit for $C(A)$, the morphism $\eta_{C(A)} : C(A) \rightarrow g^*(g!(C(A)))$, is a global equivalence in $\mathcal{S}pc$ because the right adjoint g^* preserves and reflects weak equivalences; see [8, Lemma 3.3]. Then $R = g_! \circ C$ is the desired functor, and α and η form the desired zigzag of natural weak equivalences. \square

Lemma 5.4 *The operads \mathcal{LD} and \mathcal{K} constructed in Examples 2.11 and 2.12 respectively are reduced ($\mathcal{LD}_0 = \mathcal{K}_0 = *$). For each $n \geq 0$, the orthogonal spaces \mathcal{LD}_n and \mathcal{K}_n are closed, and for each $V \in \underline{L}$, the Σ_n -spaces $\mathcal{LD}_n(V)$ and $\mathcal{K}_n(V)$ are Σ_n -free and Hausdorff.*

Proof This follows from the properties of the little disks operads $\mathcal{LD}(V)$ and Steiner operads $\mathcal{K}(V)$ for an inner product space V . By construction they are reduced, and for each $n \geq 0$ they are Σ_n -free and Hausdorff, so the same is true for \mathcal{LD} and \mathcal{K} . For a linear isometric embedding $\psi : V \rightarrow W$, the maps $\mathcal{LD}_n(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_n(\psi)$ are closed embeddings, so the operads \mathcal{LD} and \mathcal{K} are closed. \square

We now give several examples of global E_∞ -operads. To check that \mathcal{LD} and \mathcal{K} are global E_∞ -operads we first need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5.5 *Let K be a compact Lie group, \mathcal{U}_K a K -universe (not necessarily complete), $L \leq K$, and T an L -set. Let $\text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K)$ denote the space of L -equivariant T -configurations in \mathcal{U}_K , that is, L -equivariant embeddings of T in \mathcal{U}_K . Then $\text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K)$ is either empty or contractible.*

Proof Decompose \mathcal{U}_K as

$$\mathcal{U}_K \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n,$$

where Λ is a set of finite-dimensional irreducible K -representations.

Let P be the linear isometric embedding

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n, \quad (u_0, u_1, \dots) \mapsto (0, u_0, u_1, \dots).$$

Then P is a K -equivariant nonsurjective linear isometric embedding.

We give a homotopy H between the identity and

$$P \circ - : \text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K),$$

the map given by postcomposition with P . For each $s \in [0, 1]$, $f \in \text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K)$ and $t \in T$ this homotopy H is given by

$$H_s(f)(t) = (1 - s)(f(t)) + s(P(f(t))).$$

It is easy to see that each $H_s(f)$ is L -equivariant. If $H_s(f)(t) = H_s(f)(t')$, arguing coordinatewise we see that $t = t'$.

Now assume that $\text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K)$ is nonempty, so that $f_0 \in \text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K)$. There is an $m \geq 0$ such that the image of f_0 is contained in $\bigoplus_{n \leq m} U_n$. Then

$$H'_s(f)(t) = s(P^{om+1}(f(t))) + (1 - s)(f_0(t))$$

gives a homotopy between the constant map with value f_0 and the map

$$P^{om+1} \circ - : \text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K).$$

We can easily see that $H'_s(f)$ is L -equivariant, and we can check that it is an embedding by looking at the projection to $\bigoplus_{n \leq m} U_n$ and to its orthogonal complement separately.

With H we can obtain a homotopy from the identity to $P^{om+1} \circ -$, and combining that homotopy with H' we obtain that $\text{Conf}_T^L(\mathcal{U}_K)$ is contractible. □

Proposition 5.6 *The operads \mathcal{LD} and \mathcal{K} in $(\mathcal{Spc}, \boxtimes)$ are global E_∞ -operads.*

Proof Both operads \mathcal{LD} and \mathcal{K} are closed by Lemma 5.4. For each compact Lie group K and each K -representation V , the $(K \times \Sigma_n)$ -spaces $\mathcal{LD}(V)_n$ and $\mathcal{K}(V)_n$ are $(K \times \Sigma_n)$ -homotopy equivalent to $\text{Conf}_n(V)$, the configuration space of n points in V , where K acts on V and Σ_n acts by permuting the points. This is [11, Lemma 1.2] and [11, Proposition 1.5] respectively.²

We have that

$$\text{Conf}_n(\mathcal{U}_K) \cong \text{colim}_{V \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} \text{Conf}_n(V).$$

Consider any graph subgroup $\Gamma_\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$, with $\phi: H \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ and $H \leq K$. Let T_ϕ be the set with n elements and an H -action given by ϕ . Since taking fixed points

²In the proof of [11, Lemma 1.2], one has to add a small condition to ensure that the little disks are contained in the unit disk and that the constructed maps are well defined.

commutes with filtered colimits along closed embeddings, and the poset $s(\mathcal{U}_K)$ has a cofinal subsequence, $\mathcal{LD}_n(\mathcal{U}_K)^{\Gamma_\phi}$ and $\mathcal{X}_n(\mathcal{U}_K)^{\Gamma_\phi}$ are weakly homotopy equivalent to

$$\text{Conf}_n(\mathcal{U}_K)^{\Gamma_\phi} \cong \text{Conf}_{T_\phi}^H(\mathcal{U}_K),$$

where $\text{Conf}_{T_\phi}^H(\mathcal{U}_K)$ is the space of H -equivariant T_ϕ -configurations in \mathcal{U}_K , that is, H -equivariant embeddings of T_ϕ in \mathcal{U}_K . Since \mathcal{U}_K is a complete universe, $\text{Conf}_{T_\phi}^H(\mathcal{U}_K)$ is nonempty, so by Lemma 5.5 it is contractible. Thus \mathcal{LD}_n and \mathcal{X}_n are Σ_n -globally contractible, and \mathcal{LD} and \mathcal{X} are global E_∞ -operads. \square

Recall that $L_V = \underline{L}(V, -)$ is the orthogonal space represented by V .

Proposition 5.7 *For any $V \in \underline{L}$ with $V \neq 0$, the endomorphism operad $\text{End}(L_V)$ in (Spc, \boxtimes) is a global E_∞ -operad.*

Proof We have to check that $\text{Hom}(L_V^{\boxtimes n}, L_V) \rightarrow *$ is a Σ_n -global equivalence.

Let \mathcal{U}_K be a complete K -universe for K a compact Lie group. Then the underlying K -space of $\text{End}(L_V)_n$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(L_V^{\boxtimes n}, L_V)(\mathcal{U}_K) &= \text{colim}_{W \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} \text{Hom}(L_V^{\boxtimes n}, L_V)(W) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{W \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} \text{Spc}(L_W, \text{Hom}(L_V^{\boxtimes n}, L_V)) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{W \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} \text{Spc}(L_W \boxtimes L_V^n, L_V) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{W \in s(\mathcal{U}_K)} \underline{L}(V, W \oplus V^n) \\ &\cong \underline{L}(V, \mathcal{U}_K \oplus V^n). \end{aligned}$$

The first three isomorphisms are induced by a chain of isomorphisms for each W , and we have to check that they are natural in W . For the second isomorphism this holds by the naturality of the \boxtimes -Hom adjunction, and for the first and third because of the naturality of the enriched Yoneda lemma. By the same reason they are $(K \times \Sigma_n)$ -equivariant.

For any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$ we consider the Γ -fixed points

$$\text{Hom}(L_V^{\boxtimes n}, L_V)(\mathcal{U}_K)^\Gamma \cong \underline{L}(V, \mathcal{U}_K \oplus V^n)^\Gamma \cong \underline{L}(V, (\mathcal{U}_K \oplus V^n)^\Gamma).$$

Since \mathcal{U}_K is a complete K -universe, $(\mathcal{U}_K \oplus V^n)^{K \times \Sigma_n}$ is infinite-dimensional, and thus so is $(\mathcal{U}_K \oplus V^n)^\Gamma$, so

$$\underline{L}(V, (\mathcal{U}_K \oplus V^n)^\Gamma) \cong \underline{L}(V, \mathbb{R}^\infty) \simeq *. \quad \square$$

Remark 5.8 For any $V \in \underline{L}$ with $V \neq 0$, the orthogonal space L_V is an algebra over the global E_∞ -operad $\text{End}(L_V)$. However, L_V cannot be given the structure of a commutative monoid over the box product (ultracommutative monoid). In particular, $L_V(0) = \underline{L}(V, 0) = \emptyset$, so there are no morphisms of orthogonal spaces from $*$ to L_V , and thus we cannot give it a unit.

Remark 5.9 Let \mathcal{O} be an E_∞ -operad in $\underline{\text{Top}}$. This is an operad such that \mathcal{O}_n is Σ_n -free and weakly contractible for each $n \geq 0$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ be the constant operad in orthogonal spaces associated to \mathcal{O} , which is closed. The space $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_n(\mathcal{U}_K)$ is just \mathcal{O}_n with the trivial K -action, which means that for $n \geq 2$ and a graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, \Sigma_n)$ not contained in $K \times \{e\}$, we have that $(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_n(\mathcal{U}_K))^\Gamma = \emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_n$ is not Σ_n -globally equivalent to $*$ for $n \geq 2$, and so the constant operad $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is not a global E_∞ -operad.

A similar situation occurs in the classical world of equivariant operads. A nonequivariant E_∞ -operad given the trivial G -action is not a good example of an E_∞ -operad in G -spaces. Instead one wants to look at E_∞ - G -operads, the ones for which \mathcal{O}_n is $\mathcal{F}(G, \Sigma_n)$ -equivalent to a point; first defined in [16, Definition VII.1.2].

Remark 5.10 In the G -equivariant setting, there is a hierarchy of nonequivalent operads between a nonequivariant operad given the trivial G -action and an E_∞ - G -operad. These in-between operads are called N_∞ -operads, and were introduced in [4]. They codify various levels of commutativity, by imposing the existence of certain additive transfers/multiplicative norms, which exist for commutative monoids in G -spaces and commutative G -ring spectra respectively.

In the global setting, there is also a hierarchy of operads between the naive global E_∞ -operads of Remark 5.9 and the global E_∞ -operads. These operads in orthogonal spaces are the global analogs of N_∞ -operads. A classification of them will appear in a separate article [1].

Appendix More about G -orthogonal spaces

We now construct the G -global model structure on the category of G -orthogonal spaces, for G a compact Lie group. The process is the same as the one used in [22, Section 1.2] to construct the global model structure on \underline{Spc} , and most of the proofs are almost identical. We first construct a level model structure on \underline{GSpc} applying the results from [22, Appendix C], and then we consider the left Bousfield localization with the

G -global equivalences as the weak equivalences. We include here several technical results needed to construct this G -global model structure, or used in the main part of this paper.

In this appendix we assume the definitions and results of [Section 3](#).

A.1 G -level model structure

For each compact Lie group G there is an isomorphism of Top-enriched categories

$$\text{Fun}(\underline{L} \times \underline{G}, \text{Top}) \cong \text{Fun}(\underline{G}, \text{Fun}(\underline{L}, \text{Top})) = \underline{G}\text{-Spc}.$$

We have that $\mathcal{D} = \underline{L} \times \underline{G}$ is a skeletally small Top-enriched category. On \mathcal{D} we have a dimension function on the objects $|-|$ given by the dimension of the inner product space of \underline{L} . This function satisfies that if $|V| > |W|$ then $\mathcal{D}(V, W) = \emptyset$ and if $|V| = |W|$ then V and W are isomorphic in \mathcal{D} . We need to choose for each $m \geq 0$ an object of \mathcal{D} of dimension m , and we pick \mathbb{R}^m .

As input to obtain the G -level model structure, we have to consider for each $m \geq 0$ a model structure on the category of spaces with an action by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m) = O(m) \times G$. We take the model structure given by the graph subgroups, the $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -projective model structure, where a morphism f of $(O(m) \times G)\text{Top}$ is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) if and only if f^Γ is a weak homotopy equivalence (resp. a Serre fibration) for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ is the set of graph subgroups of $O(m) \times G$, those $\Gamma \in O(m) \times G$ such that $\Gamma \cap (\{e_{O(m)}\} \times G) = \{e_{O(m) \times G}\}$

This $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -projective model structure is proper, cofibrantly generated, and topological. See for example [\[22, Proposition B.7\]](#) for the construction. We call the weak equivalences of this model structure the $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -equivalences, and we do the same for the fibrations, acyclic fibrations, cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations. We can use the results from [\[22, Appendix C\]](#) to construct a level model structure on $\underline{G}\text{Spc}$ based on the graph subgroups. For this, the following consistency condition, described in [\[22, Definition C.22\]](#), has to be satisfied.

Lemma A.1 (consistency condition) *For each $m, n \geq 0$ and each $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -acyclic cofibration i , the morphism*

$$(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) \times_{O(m) \times G} i$$

is an $\mathcal{F}(O(m+n), G)$ -acyclic cofibration.

Proof The functor

$$(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) \times_{O(m) \times G} -$$

is a left adjoint to the functor given by

$$\text{Map}(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G, -)^{O(m+n) \times G}.$$

Therefore we only need to check that it sends the generating acyclic cofibrations to acyclic cofibrations.

The generating acyclic cofibrations of the $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -projective model structure are of the form $((O(m) \times G) / \Gamma) \times j_l$, for $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ and $l \geq 0$. Then the functor mentioned at the beginning takes this generating acyclic cofibration to

$$((\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) / \Gamma) \times j_l.$$

The left G -action on G is free, and because Γ is a graph subgroup and the $O(m)$ -action on $\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ is free, the left G -action on $(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) / \Gamma$ is also free. We consider now $\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G$ as an $(O(m+n) \times G \times O(m) \times G)$ -space, where the component $O(m+n) \times G$ acts on the left, and $O(m) \times G$ originally acts on the right so we precompose with $(-)^{-1}$ to obtain a left action. The space $\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G$ is a smooth $(O(m+n) \times G \times O(m) \times G)$ -manifold. Illman's theorem [14, 7.2] provides an $(O(m+n) \times G \times O(m) \times G)$ -equivariant triangulation, so $\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G$ is cofibrant in the projective model structure with respect to all subgroups of $O(m+n) \times G \times O(m) \times G$.

By [22, B.14(i), (iii)], $(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) / \Gamma$ is cofibrant in the projective model structure with respect to all subgroups of $O(m+n) \times G$. This in particular means that it is a retract of a generalized $(O(m+n) \times G)$ -CW-complex. Each cell $(O(m+n) \times G) / \Delta \times D^{l'}$ for a subgroup $\Delta \leq O(m+n) \times G$ and $l' \geq 0$ that appears in this equivariant CW-structure induces a $(O(m+n) \times G)$ -equivariant map

$$f: (O(m+n) \times G) / \Delta \times D^{l'} \rightarrow (\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) / \Gamma.$$

Since the target of f is G -free, so is the source; thus Δ is a graph subgroup. As only graph subgroups can appear in this CW-structure, $(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) / \Gamma$ is also cofibrant in the $\mathcal{F}(O(m+n), G)$ -projective model structure. Recall that the $\mathcal{F}(O(m+n), G)$ -projective model structure is topological. Therefore, the morphism $((\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \times G) / \Gamma) \times j_l$ is the product of a cofibrant object with an acyclic cofibration of $\underline{\text{Top}}$, so it is an acyclic cofibration. □

Theorem A.2 (*G*–level model structure) *There is a topological cofibrantly generated model structure on the category $\underline{G}Spc$ of *G*–orthogonal spaces, which we call the *G*–level model structure. The weak equivalences (resp. the fibrations) are those morphisms f such that for each $m \geq 0$ and each graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$, the map $f(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence (resp. a Serre fibration). We call these respectively the ***G*–level equivalences** and the ***G*–level fibrations**.*

A set of generating cofibrations is

$$I_G = \{((\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, -) \times G) / \Gamma) \times i_l \mid m, l \geq 0, \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)\}.$$

A set of generating acyclic cofibrations is

$$J_G = \{((\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, -) \times G) / \Gamma) \times j_l \mid m, l \geq 0, \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)\}.$$

We call the cofibrations of this model structure the ***G*–flat cofibrations**.

Proof Such a model structure exists by [22, Proposition C.23(i)]. It is cofibrantly generated by [22, Proposition C.23(iii)] because each of the chosen model structures on $(O(m) \times G)\text{Top}$ is cofibrantly generated.

The functor

$$(-)(\mathbb{R}^m): \underline{G}Spc \rightarrow (O(m) \times G)\text{Top}$$

given by evaluation at \mathbb{R}^m has a left adjoint, which we denote by F_m , and it is given by

$$F_m(A) = (\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, -) \times G) \times_{O(m) \times G} A.$$

The generating cofibrations obtained from [22, Proposition C.23(iii)] are those of the form $F_m(i)$ where i is a generating cofibration of $(O(m) \times G)\text{Top}$, which are of the form $((O(m) \times G) / \Gamma) \times i_l$ for $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ and $l \geq 0$. Similarly the generating acyclic cofibrations are of the form $F_m(j)$ for j a generating acyclic cofibration of $(O(m) \times G)\text{Top}$.

Each *G*–orthogonal space of the form $(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, -) \times G) / \Gamma$ is cofibrant in this *G*–level model structure, because $F_m(((O(m) \times G) / \Gamma) \times i_0)$ is a generating cofibration. Using [22, Proposition B.5] we obtain that this model structure is topological, taking \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{Z} in the statement of that proposition to be

$$\mathcal{G} = \{(\underline{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, -) \times G) / \Gamma \mid m \geq 0, \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z} = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Note that we should call this model structure on $\underline{G}Spc$ the “*G*–graph level model structure” to distinguish it from other possible model structures on $\underline{G}Spc$. In particular,

there is at least the level model structure that we would obtain by considering all subgroups of $O(m) \times G$, and not just the graph subgroups. There is also a projective model structure on $\underline{\text{Fun}}(\underline{G}, \underline{Spc})$. However since we never talk about these two other model structures on \underline{GSpc} , we omit the adjective “graph” everywhere.

Lemma A.3 *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -level equivalence then for any compact Lie group K and any faithful K -representation V , the map $f(V)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence. In particular, f is also a G -global equivalence.*

Proof As a finite-dimensional inner product space, V is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^l for some $l \geq 0$. Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$ be a graph subgroup. Then the image of Γ under the homomorphism $K \times G \rightarrow O(\mathbb{R}^l) \times G$ induced by said isomorphism is a graph subgroup Γ' . Then $X(V)^\Gamma$ is naturally (on X) homeomorphic to $X(\mathbb{R}^l)^{\Gamma'}$. Since $f(\mathbb{R}^l)^{\Gamma'}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, so is $f(V)^\Gamma$. \square

Remark A.4 For an inner product space V and a closed subgroup $H \leq O(V) \times G$, the G -orthogonal space

$$\mathcal{D}(V, -)/H = (\underline{L}(V, -) \times G)/H,$$

which we denote by $L_{H,V;G}$, is special. It has a certain freeness condition, namely it is the representing object for the functor $(-)(V)^H$ given by evaluating at V and then taking H -fixed points. We refer to these as the *semifree G -orthogonal spaces*, since they have the same property as the semifree orthogonal spaces $L_{H,V}$.

Explicitly the natural isomorphism between the functors

$$\underline{GSpc}(L_{H,V;G}, -), (-)(V)^H : \underline{GSpc} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Top}}$$

is given by $f \mapsto f(V)([\text{id}_V, e])$. The inverse isomorphism is given on $Y \in \underline{GSpc}$ by sending a point $y_0 \in Y(V)^H$ to the morphism of G -orthogonal spaces f given by

$$(\underline{L}(V, W) \times G)/H \rightarrow Y(W), \quad [\psi, g] \mapsto Y(\psi)(gy_0).$$

Analogously to the case of the semifree orthogonal spaces, the box product of a semifree G -orthogonal space and a semifree K -orthogonal space has a nice structure. As a $(G \times K)$ -orthogonal space it is isomorphic to a semifree $(G \times K)$ -orthogonal space, and this can be deduced from the result for orthogonal spaces. Note however that the box product of two semifree G -orthogonal spaces with the G -action given by restriction along the diagonal is not a semifree G -orthogonal space in general.

Lemma A.5 For compact Lie groups G and K , inner product spaces V and V' , and closed subgroups $H \leq O(V) \times G$ and $H' \leq O(V') \times K$, $L_{H,V;G} \boxtimes L_{H',V';K}$ is isomorphic as a $(G \times K)$ -orthogonal space to $L_{H \times H', V \oplus V'; G \times K}$.

Proof Since the box product preserves colimits in both variables, we have that $(\underline{L}(V, -) \times G) / H \boxtimes (\underline{L}(V', -) \times K) / H' \cong ((\underline{L}(V, -) \times G) \boxtimes (\underline{L}(V', -) \times K)) / (H \times H') \cong (\underline{L}(V \oplus V', -) \times G \times K) / (H \times H')$.

Here we also used the isomorphism $\underline{L}(V, -) \boxtimes \underline{L}(V', -) \cong \underline{L}(V \oplus V', -)$ from [22, Example 1.3.3] and its naturality on V and V' . □

Lemma A.6 The pushout product of a G -flat cofibration (recall that these are the cofibrations of the G -level model structure) and a K -flat cofibration is a $(G \times K)$ -flat cofibration.

Proof Given a generating G -flat cofibration $f = L_{\Gamma, \mathbb{R}^m; G} \times i_l$ and a generating K -flat cofibration $g = L_{\Gamma', \mathbb{R}^n; K} \times i_k$, their pushout product is by Lemma A.5 isomorphic to

$$L_{\Gamma \times \Gamma', \mathbb{R}^{m+n}; G \times K} \times (i_l \square i_k)$$

as a morphism of $(G \times K)$ -orthogonal spaces. The subgroup

$$\Gamma \times \Gamma' \leq O(m) \times O(n) \times G \times K \leq O(m+n) \times G \times K$$

is a graph subgroup because both Γ and Γ' are graph subgroups. Additionally $i_l \square i_k$ is homeomorphic to i_{l+k} , and so $f \square g$ is a generating $(G \times K)$ -flat cofibration.

Since the box product of orthogonal spaces is closed, [13, Lemma 4.2.4] implies that the pushout product of a G -flat cofibration and a K -flat cofibration is a $(G \times K)$ -flat cofibration. □

Lemma A.7 The G -level model structure is proper.

Proof First we check right properness. Consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

where f is a G -level fibration and h is a G -level equivalence. Let $m \leq 0$. Evaluating at \mathbb{R}^m yields a diagram of $(O(m) \times G)$ -spaces, which is a pullback diagram because limits of G -orthogonal spaces and $(O(m) \times G)$ -spaces are computed in Top. Then

$f(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -fibration and $h(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -equivalence, and since the $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -projective model structure is right proper by [22, B.7], $g(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is also an $\mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ -equivalence. Thus g is a G -level equivalence.

To check left properness one can use the dual argument. We additionally need to use that if a morphism f of G -orthogonal spaces is a G -flat cofibration, then it is a G - h -cofibration (see Lemma 3.15), which means that each $f(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is an h -cofibration of $(O(m) \times G)$ -spaces, and then we need to use the gluing lemma [22, B.6]. \square

A.2 G - h -cofibrations and G -global equivalences

We now check that G -global equivalences are preserved by various constructions along G - h -cofibrations. We use these results to finish the construction of the G -global model structure, and in the main part of this paper.

Lemma A.8 (gluing lemma) *Given a commutative diagram of G -orthogonal spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xleftarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \gamma \\ Y' & \xleftarrow{f'} & X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Z' \end{array}$$

where α, β and γ are G -global equivalences, and f and f' are G - h -cofibrations, the morphism induced on the pushouts $Y \cup_X Z \rightarrow Y' \cup_{X'} Z'$ is a G -global equivalence.

Proof Consider a compact Lie group K and an exhaustive sequence of K -representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. We have the following diagram of equivariant morphisms of $(K \times G)$ -spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{tel}_i Y(V_i) & \xleftarrow{\text{tel}_i f(V_i)} & \text{tel}_i X(V_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{tel}_i g(V_i)} & \text{tel}_i Z(V_i) \\ \downarrow \text{tel}_i \beta(V_i) & & \downarrow \text{tel}_i \alpha(V_i) & & \downarrow \text{tel}_i \gamma(V_i) \\ \text{tel}_i Y'(V_i) & \xleftarrow{\text{tel}_i f'(V_i)} & \text{tel}_i X'(V_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{tel}_i g'(V_i)} & \text{tel}_i Z'(V_i) \end{array}$$

Here by Proposition 3.4 $\text{tel}_i \alpha(V_i), \text{tel}_i \beta(V_i)$ and $\text{tel}_i \gamma(V_i)$ are $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalences, and the formation of mapping telescopes commutes with pushouts, retracts and $-\times[0, 1]$, so $\text{tel}_i f(V_i)$ and $\text{tel}_i f'(V_i)$ are h -cofibrations of $(K \times G)$ -spaces. Therefore by the gluing lemma for $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalences (see for example [22, Proposition B.6]) the induced map on the pushouts of the mapping telescopes is also an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence. Since again taking mapping telescopes commutes with pushouts, this means that $Y \cup_X Z \rightarrow Y' \cup_{X'} Z'$ is a G -global equivalence. \square

Corollary A.9 For a pushout diagram of G -orthogonal spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}$$

where f is a G -global equivalence and either f or g is a G - h -cofibration, f' is a G -global equivalence.

Proof Apply the previous lemma to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X & \xrightarrow{g} & X' \\ \downarrow f & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ Y & \xleftarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{g} & X' \end{array}$$

□

Corollary A.10 For morphisms of G -orthogonal spaces $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $g : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that f is a G -global equivalence and either f or g is a G - h -cofibration, their pushout product $f \square g$ is a G -global equivalence.

Similarly, assume instead that $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is a morphism of G -orthogonal spaces and $g : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a map of G -spaces. If either f is a G -global equivalence or g is a G -equivalence, and either f or g is a G - h -cofibration, their pushout product $f \square g$ is a G -global equivalence.

Proof By Lemma 3.7(iv) $f \boxtimes X_2$ and $f \boxtimes Y_2$ are G -global equivalences. Depending on the hypothesis, either $f \boxtimes X_2$ or $X_1 \boxtimes g$ is a G - h -cofibration, so by Corollary A.9 the morphism α is a G -global equivalence, and by the 2-out-of-6 property so is $f \square g$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \boxtimes X_2 & \xrightarrow{X_1 \boxtimes g} & X_1 \boxtimes Y_2 \\ f \boxtimes X_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ Y_1 \boxtimes X_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \searrow f \boxtimes Y_2 \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \searrow f \square g \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \searrow Y_1 \boxtimes g \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \searrow Y_1 \boxtimes Y_2 \end{array}$$

The same is true if g is a map of G -spaces, since the product of an orthogonal space with a space is the same as the box product with the associated constant orthogonal space. A G -equivalence between constant orthogonal spaces is a G -global equivalence, and similarly a G - h -cofibration of spaces is a G - h -cofibration between constant orthogonal spaces. □

Lemma A.11 For a limit ordinal λ , consider two λ -sequences in $\underline{GSp\mathcal{C}}$, which are colimit preserving functors $X : \lambda \rightarrow \underline{GSp\mathcal{C}}$ and $Y : \lambda \rightarrow \underline{GSp\mathcal{C}}$, and a natural transformation f between them. If for each $\beta \in \lambda$ the morphisms $g_\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ and $h_\beta : Y_\beta \rightarrow Y_{\beta+1}$ are G - h -cofibrations and the morphism $f_\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow Y_\beta$ is a G -global equivalence, the morphism induced on the colimits,

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} f_\beta : \operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} X_\beta \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} Y_\beta,$$

is a G -global equivalence.

Proof By Proposition 3.4 it is enough to check that for each compact Lie group K and exhaustive sequence of K -representations $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$, the map $\operatorname{tel}_i(\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} f_\beta)(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence. The construction of the mapping telescopes commutes with taking colimits, so this map is isomorphic to $\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda}(\operatorname{tel}_i f_\beta(V_i))$.

For each $\beta \in \lambda$ the map $\operatorname{tel}_i f_\beta(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence, and the maps $\operatorname{tel}_i g_\beta(V_i)$ and $\operatorname{tel}_i h_\beta(V_i)$ are h -cofibrations of $(K \times G)$ -spaces, and so in particular h -cofibrations of underlying compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces, and therefore closed embeddings.

For each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$ taking Γ -fixed points commutes with filtered colimits along closed embeddings; see [22, Proposition B.1(ii)]. Colimits with the shape of a filtered poset and built out of closed embeddings of compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces can be computed in the category of all topological spaces; see [22, Proposition A.14(ii)]. Weak Hausdorff spaces are T_1 , so by [13, Proposition 2.4.2] maps from compact spaces (∂D^l and D^l in this case) into the colimit of a λ -sequence of closed embeddings (for λ a limit ordinal) factor through some stage $\beta \in \lambda$. Therefore compact spaces are finite in $\underline{\mathbf{Top}}$ relative closed embeddings.

This implies that, for the λ -sequences given by $(\operatorname{tel}_i g_\beta(V_i))^\Gamma$ and $(\operatorname{tel}_i h_\beta(V_i))^\Gamma$, which consist of closed embeddings, and the natural transformation between them given by the maps $(\operatorname{tel}_i f_\beta(V_i))^\Gamma$ which are weak homotopy equivalences, the map induced on the colimits

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda}(\operatorname{tel}_i f_\beta(V_i))^\Gamma \cong \left(\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda}(\operatorname{tel}_i f_\beta(V_i))\right)^\Gamma$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Therefore $\operatorname{tel}_i(\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} f_\beta)(V_i)$ is an $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ -equivalence. □

Corollary A.12 A transfinite composition of morphisms in $\underline{GSp\mathcal{C}}$ that are G - h -cofibrations and G -global equivalences is a G -global equivalence.

Proof We check this via transfinite induction on the ordinal λ . Let $Y: \lambda \rightarrow \underline{GSpC}$ be a λ -sequence such that for each $\beta \in \lambda$ the morphism $h_\beta: Y_\beta \rightarrow Y_{\beta+1}$ is a G - h -cofibration and a G -global equivalence. The base case and the case where λ is a successor ordinal hold because composition of two G -global equivalences is a G -global equivalence.

If λ is a limit ordinal, set $X: \lambda \rightarrow \underline{GSpC}$ as the constant functor $X_\beta = Y_0$. Define a natural transformation $f: X \Rightarrow Y$ by letting f_β be the morphism $Y_0 \rightarrow Y_\beta$. This is the transfinite composition of Y restricted to $\beta + 1$. Then by the induction hypothesis f_β is a G -global equivalence for each $\beta \in \lambda$. Then we use [Lemma A.11](#) to obtain that $\text{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} f_\beta$ is a G -global equivalence, but this morphism is precisely the transfinite composition of Y . □

A.3 G -global model structure

We now go back to constructing the G -global model structure, starting with the fibrations.

Definition A.13 (G -global fibration) A morphism of G -orthogonal spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -global fibration if it is a G -level fibration, and for each compact Lie group K , every graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, and every linear isometric embedding of K -representations $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ with V faithful, the induced map

$$X(V)^\Gamma \rightarrow Y(V)^\Gamma \times_{Y(W)^\Gamma} X(W)^\Gamma$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Since f is a G -level fibration, and so $f(V)^\Gamma$ and $f(W)^\Gamma$ are Serre fibrations, this is equivalent to the following square being homotopy cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{X(\psi)^\Gamma} & X(W)^\Gamma \\ f(V)^\Gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow f(W)^\Gamma \\ Y(V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{Y(\psi)^\Gamma} & Y(W)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

Construction A.14 Fix a compact Lie group G . We now construct the set K_G , where $J_G \cup K_G$ is a set of generating acyclic cofibrations for the G -global model structure of [Theorem A.20](#). Recall that J_G is the set of generating acyclic cofibrations of the G -level model structure given in [Theorem A.2](#). Let K be a compact Lie group, let V be a faithful K -representation, let W be a K -representation and let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$ be a graph subgroup. We consider the restriction morphism of G -orthogonal spaces,

$$\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}: L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G} = (\underline{L}(V \oplus W, -) \times G) / \Gamma \rightarrow (\underline{L}(V, -) \times G) / \Gamma = L_{\Gamma, V; G}.$$

The morphism $\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}$ is a G -global equivalence because the semifree G -orthogonal spaces are closed and given a compact Lie group L , the map

$$\rho_{V, W} : \underline{L}(V \oplus W, \mathcal{U}_L) \rightarrow \underline{L}(V, \mathcal{U}_L)$$

is a $(K \times L)$ -homotopy equivalence by [22, 1.1.26(ii)] (recall that \mathcal{U}_L here is a complete L -universe).

Now let κ be a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of triples (K, Γ, V, W) consisting of a compact Lie group K , a faithful K -representation V , a K -representation W , and a graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$. Let K_G be the set

$$K_G = \bigcup_{(K, \Gamma, V, W) \in \kappa} \{\iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \square i_l \mid l \geq 0\}.$$

Recall that $\iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}}$ denotes the inclusion of the mapping cylinder

$$L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G} \rightarrow M_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}}.$$

Note that here we allow V to be 0. For the generating acyclic cofibrations of the positive global model structure on \underline{SpC} , we do require that $V \neq 0$. If we did that here, in Definition A.13, and in Theorem A.2, we would obtain the *positive G -global model structure*.

Lemma A.15 *Any morphism in $J_G \cup K_G$ is a G -global equivalence and a G -flat cofibration. Any morphism obtained from $J_G \cup K_G$ by transfinite composition and cobase changes is also a G -global equivalence and a G -flat cofibration.*

Proof Any morphism $f \in J_G$ is an acyclic cofibration in the G -level model structure, so it is a G -flat cofibration and by Lemma A.3 a G -global equivalence.

Fix a compact Lie group K , a faithful K -representation V , a K -representation W , a graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, and $l \geq 0$. Consider $f = \iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \square i_l$ in K_G . We saw in Construction A.14 that $\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}$ is a G -global equivalence. The projection $M_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \rightarrow L_{\Gamma, V; G}$ from the mapping cylinder of $\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}$ to its target is a homotopy equivalence in \underline{GSpC} . Therefore it is a G -level equivalence, and thus a G -global equivalence. By the 2-out-of-6 property $\iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}}$ is also a G -global equivalence.

The G -orthogonal spaces $L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G}$ and $L_{\Gamma, V; G}$ are G -flat orthogonal spaces because they are isomorphic to $L_{\Gamma', \mathbb{R}^{n+m}; G}$ and $L_{\Gamma'', \mathbb{R}^n; G}$ respectively, for some $n, m \geq 0$, $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(O(n+m), G)$ and $\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{F}(O(n), G)$. Then we obtain that

$$L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G} \rightarrow L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G} \amalg L_{\Gamma, V; G}$$

is a G -flat cofibration. Also since the G -level model structure of [Theorem A.2](#) is topological, $L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G} \times i_1$ is a G -flat cofibration. Putting this together we obtain that $\iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}}$ is a G -flat cofibration, and again because the G -level model structure is topological so is f . By [Corollary A.10](#), $f = \iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \square i_l$ is a G -global equivalence. Using the closure properties of [Corollaries A.9](#) and [A.12](#), we obtain the second part of the lemma. \square

Lemma A.16 *The sources of all morphisms in \mathbb{I}_G , \mathbb{J}_G and \mathbb{K}_G are finite (and thus small) with respect to the class of maps that are levelwise closed embeddings. Since G - h -cofibrations are levelwise closed embeddings, they are also finite with respect to the class of G - h -cofibrations.*

Proof We first check that for any compact Lie group K , faithful K -representation V , graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, and compact space A , the G -orthogonal space $L_{\Gamma, V; G} \times A$ is finite with respect to morphisms which are levelwise closed embeddings.

We recalled in the proof of [Lemma A.11](#) that compact spaces are finite in [Top](#) relative closed embeddings. Taking Γ -fixed points commutes with filtered colimits along closed embeddings. Consider a limit ordinal λ , and a λ -sequence $X: \lambda \rightarrow \underline{GSpc}$ of levelwise closed embeddings. By the semifreeness property of $L_{\Gamma, V; G} \times A$, and since colimits in \underline{GSpc} are computed levelwise, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{GSpc}(L_{\Gamma, V; G} \times A, \operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} X_\beta) &\cong \operatorname{Top}(A, (\operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} X_\beta)(V)^\Gamma) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Top}(A, \operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} (X_\beta(V)^\Gamma)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} \operatorname{Top}(A, (X_\beta(V)^\Gamma)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in \lambda} \underline{GSpc}(L_{\Gamma, V; G} \times A, X_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

So for a generating cofibration $i \in \mathbb{I}_G$, its source is of the form $L_{\Gamma, \mathbb{R}^m; G} \times \partial D^l$, so it is finite relative levelwise closed embeddings. Similarly the source of a generating acyclic cofibration $j \in \mathbb{J}_G$ is $L_{\Gamma, \mathbb{R}^m; G} \times D^l$, so it is also finite relative levelwise closed embeddings.

For a generating acyclic cofibration $k = \iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \square i_l$ in \mathbb{K}_G , its source is

$$L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G} \times D^l \cup_{L_{\Gamma, V \oplus W; G} \times \partial D^l} M_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \times \partial D^l.$$

The G -orthogonal space $M_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \times \partial D^l$ is a finite colimit of objects of the form $L_{\Gamma, V; G} \times A$. Therefore it is also finite relative levelwise closed embeddings, because

in Set finite limits commute with filtered colimits. By the same argument, the source of k is also finite relative levelwise closed embeddings.

G - h -cofibrations are levelwise h -cofibrations of spaces, which are closed embeddings in the category of compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces. Therefore G - h -cofibrations are levelwise closed embeddings. □

Lemma A.17 *A morphism in \underline{GSpc} is a G -global fibration if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to $J_G \cup K_G$.*

Proof Every linear isometric embedding of K -representations is isomorphic to an embedding of the form $i_V : V \rightarrow V \oplus W$. Thus [Definition A.13](#) can be altered slightly to say that a morphism f is a G -global fibration if and only if it is a G -level fibration and for each compact Lie group K , graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, and K -representations V and W , the square

$$\begin{CD} X(V)^\Gamma @>X(i_V)^\Gamma>> X(V \oplus W)^\Gamma \\ @Vf(V)^\Gamma VV @VVf(V \oplus W)^\Gamma V \\ Y(V)^\Gamma @>Y(i_V)^\Gamma>> Y(V \oplus W)^\Gamma \end{CD}$$

is homotopy cartesian. By [Remark A.4](#), the morphism $\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}$ represents the natural transformation

$$(-)(i_V)^\Gamma : (-)(V)^\Gamma \Rightarrow (-)(V \oplus W)^\Gamma.$$

By applying [\[22, Proposition 1.2.16\]](#) to the G -level model structure we obtain that the previous square is homotopy cartesian if and only if f has the right lifting property with respect to $\iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \square i_l$ for all $l \geq 0$. The set J_G is a set of generating acyclic cofibrations of the G -level model structure, so a morphism is a G -level fibration if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to J_G . Therefore a morphism in \underline{GSpc} is a G -global fibration if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to $J_G \cup K_G$. □

Lemma A.18 *A pullback of a G -global equivalence along a G -level fibration is also a G -global equivalence.*

Proof Consider the pullback square

$$(5) \quad \begin{CD} P @>k>> X \\ @VgVV @VVfV \\ Z @>h>> Y \end{CD}$$

where f is a G -global equivalence and h is a G -level fibration. Consider a compact Lie group K , a K -representation V , a graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(K, G)$, and a lifting problem given by $\alpha: \partial D^l \rightarrow P(V)^\Gamma$ and $\beta: D^l \rightarrow Z(V)^\Gamma$ with $g(V)^\Gamma \circ \alpha = \beta \circ i_l$. Since f is a G -global equivalence, there is a K -representation W , a K -equivariant linear isometric embedding $\psi: V \rightarrow W$, and a morphism $\lambda: D^l \rightarrow X(W)^\Gamma$ such that

$$\lambda \circ i_l = X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ k(V)^\Gamma \circ \alpha$$

and there is a ∂D^l -relative homotopy H from $Y(\psi)^\Gamma \circ h(V)^\Gamma \circ \beta$ to $f(W)^\Gamma \circ \lambda$ relative ∂D^l . Since $h(W)^\Gamma$ is a Serre fibration, there is a lift H' in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^l \times \{0\} \cup \partial D^l \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{(Z(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \beta) \cup ((g(W)^\Gamma \circ P(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \alpha) \times [0, 1])} & Z(W)^\Gamma \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow^{H'} & \downarrow h(W)^\Gamma \\ D^l \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{H} & Y(W)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

Since the square (5) is a pullback there is a unique $\lambda': D^l \rightarrow P(W)^\Gamma$ such that $g(W)^\Gamma \circ \lambda' = H'(-, 1)$ and $k(W)^\Gamma \circ \lambda' = \lambda$. Also by the universal property of the pullback (5) we obtain that $\lambda' \circ i_l = P(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \alpha$. Since H' is a homotopy relative ∂D^l between $g(W)^\Gamma \circ \lambda'$ and $Z(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \beta$, we obtain that g is a G -global equivalence. \square

Lemma A.19 *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -global equivalence and a G -global fibration then it is also a G -level equivalence.*

Proof Consider $m \geq 0$, a graph subgroup $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)$ given by a homomorphism $H \rightarrow G$ with $H \leq O(m)$, and a lifting problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial D^l & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma \\ \downarrow i_l & & \downarrow f(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma \\ D^l & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

Since f is a G -global equivalence, there is an embedding of H -representations $\psi: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow V$ and a map $\lambda: D^l \rightarrow X(V)^\Gamma$ such that $\lambda \circ i_l = X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \alpha$ and $f(V)^\Gamma \circ \lambda$ is homotopic relative ∂D^l to $Y(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \beta$.

Since f is a G -level fibration, $f(V)^\Gamma$ is a Serre fibration. By lifting against

$$D^l \times \{0\} \cup \partial D^l \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D^l \times [0, 1],$$

which is a cofibration of spaces, we can replace λ with a λ' such that $\lambda' \circ i_l = X(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \alpha$ and $f(V)^\Gamma \circ \lambda' = Y(\psi)^\Gamma \circ \beta$.

Since f is a G -global fibration,

$$(f(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma, X(\psi)^\Gamma): X(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma \times_{Y(V)^\Gamma} X(V)^\Gamma$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. This means that by [18, Lemma 9.6] there is a map λ'' in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial D^l & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma \\ \downarrow i_l & \nearrow \lambda'' & \downarrow (f(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma, X(\psi)^\Gamma) \\ D^l & \xrightarrow{(\beta, \lambda')} & Y(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma \times_{Y(V)^\Gamma} X(V)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

such that the upper-left triangle commutes and the lower-right triangle commutes up to homotopy relative ∂D^l . Thus by [18, Lemma 9.6] again $f(\mathbb{R}^m)^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, and so f is a G -level equivalence. □

Theorem A.20 (G -global model structure) *There is a proper topological cofibrantly generated model structure on the category $\underline{GSp}c$ of G -orthogonal spaces, with the G -global equivalences as the weak equivalences, the G -global fibrations as the fibrations, and the G -flat cofibrations of the G -level model structure as the cofibrations. We call this model structure the G -global model structure.*

\mathcal{I}_G is a set of generating cofibrations of this model structure. The set $\mathcal{J}_G \cup \mathcal{K}_G$ is a set of generating acyclic cofibrations. Recall that \mathcal{I}_G , \mathcal{J}_G and \mathcal{K}_G were given in [Theorem A.2](#) and [Construction A.14](#).

Proof $\underline{GSp}c$ is complete and cocomplete. The G -global equivalences satisfy the 2-out-of-6 property and are closed under retracts by [Lemma 3.7\(i\)](#) and [\(ii\)](#) respectively. The G -global fibrations and G -flat cofibrations are closed under retracts because they can be defined via lifting properties, see [Lemma A.17](#) and [Theorem A.2](#) respectively. Now we have to check the lifting and factorization axioms.

Given a morphism in $\underline{GSp}c$, we can use the G -level model structure of [Theorem A.2](#) to decompose it into $f \circ i$ where i is a G -flat cofibration and f is a G -level fibration and a G -level equivalence, so it is also a G -global equivalence by [Lemma A.3](#). Given $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ a linear isometric embedding of faithful K -representations, in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{X(\psi)^\Gamma} & X(W)^\Gamma \\ f(V)^\Gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow f(W)^\Gamma \\ Y(V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{Y(\psi)^\Gamma} & Y(W)^\Gamma \end{array}$$

the two vertical morphisms are weak equivalences by Lemma A.3. Therefore this square is homotopy cartesian and f is a G -global fibration. This gives one of the factorization axioms.

For the second factorization axiom, we apply Quillen’s small object argument to the set $J_G \cup K_G$, which we can do by Lemma A.16. This factors any morphism into $f \circ j$, where by Lemma A.15 we know that j is a G -flat cofibration and a G -global equivalence, and f has the right lifting property with respect to $J_G \cup K_G$, so by Lemma A.17 it is a G -global fibration. This gives the second factorization axiom. Note for later that this j by construction has the left lifting property with respect to G -global fibrations.

One of the lifting axioms can be obtained from the G -level model structure. By Lemma A.19, a morphism which is both a G -global fibration and a G -global equivalence is a G -level equivalence, so it has the right lifting property with respect to the G -flat cofibrations.

Lastly, consider a morphism g which is both a G -flat cofibration and a G -global equivalence. We can use Quillen’s small object argument on the set $J_G \cup K_G$ again to decompose g into $f \circ j$, where f is a G -global fibration and j is a G -global equivalence which has the left lifting property with respect to G -global fibrations. By the 2-out-of-6 property f is also a G -global equivalence. Then by the previously proven lifting axiom g is a retract of j , so it also has the left lifting property with respect to G -global fibrations.

This model structure is right proper by Lemma A.18 (G -global fibrations are G -level fibrations) and left proper by Corollary A.9. Using [22, Proposition B.5] we obtain that this model structure is topological, taking \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{Z} in that statement to be

$$\mathcal{G} = \{L_{\Gamma, \mathbb{R}^m; G} \mid m \geq 0, \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(O(m), G)\}, \quad \mathcal{Z} = \{\iota_{\rho_{\Gamma, V, W; G}} \mid (K, \Gamma, V, W) \in \kappa\}. \quad \square$$

Remark A.21 As mentioned in Remark 3.6, we can define a different class of G -global equivalences by checking the condition from Definition 3.2 on all subgroups of $K \times G$ instead of only on the graph subgroups. We can do the same for all the results of this appendix, replacing $\mathcal{F}(K, G)$ everywhere by the set of all closed subgroups of $K \times G$. We can take the G -level model structure given by all subgroups briefly mentioned right after Theorem A.2, and localize it at this smaller class of G -global equivalences. This gives us a model structure with this smaller class of G -global equivalences as the weak equivalences, as well as fibrations and cofibrations that are similarly defined by looking at all subgroups instead of just the graph subgroups.

However, as shown by the various results of this article, the G -global model structure constructed in this appendix is more relevant when looking at operads in \mathcal{Spc} .

References

- [1] **M Barrero**, *Global N_∞ -operads*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 55 (2023) 1275–1301 [MR](#)
- [2] **M A Batanin, C Berger**, *Homotopy theory for algebras over polynomial monads*, Theory Appl. Categ. 32 (2017) 148–253 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] **C Berger, I Moerdijk**, *Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads*, Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003) 805–831 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [4] **A J Blumberg, M A Hill**, *Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers*, Adv. Math. 285 (2015) 658–708 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [5] **F Borceux**, *Handbook of categorical algebra, II: Categories and structures*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 51, Cambridge Univ. Press (1994) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [6] **T Bröcker, T tom Dieck**, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Math. 98, Springer (1985) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [7] **A D Elmendorf, M A Mandell**, *Rings, modules, and algebras in infinite loop space theory*, Adv. Math. 205 (2006) 163–228 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [8] **M A Erdal, A Güçlükan İlhan**, *A model structure via orbit spaces for equivariant homotopy*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 14 (2019) 1131–1141 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [9] **B Fresse**, *Modules over operads and functors*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1967, Springer (2009) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [10] **S Gorchinskiy, V Guletskiĭ**, *Symmetric powers in abstract homotopy categories*, Adv. Math. 292 (2016) 707–754 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [11] **B J Guillou, J P May**, *Equivariant iterated loop space theory and permutative G -categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 17 (2017) 3259–3339 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [12] **J E Harper**, *Homotopy theory of modules over operads in symmetric spectra*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 9 (2009) 1637–1680 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [13] **M Hovey**, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 63, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1999) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [14] **S Illman**, *The equivariant triangulation theorem for actions of compact Lie groups*, Math. Ann. 262 (1983) 487–501 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [15] **T Lenz**, *G -global homotopy theory and algebraic K -theory*, preprint (2020) [arXiv 2012.12676](#) To appear under Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [16] **L G Lewis, Jr, J P May, M Steinberger, J E McClure**, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1213, Springer (1986) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [17] **J P May**, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 271, Springer (1972) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [18] **J P May**, *A concise course in algebraic topology*, Univ. Chicago Press (1999) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [19] **D Pavlov, J Scholbach**, *Admissibility and rectification of colored symmetric operads*, J. Topol. 11 (2018) 559–601 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [20] **D Pavlov, J Scholbach**, *Homotopy theory of symmetric powers*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 20 (2018) 359–397 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [21] **S Sagave, C Schlichtkrull**, *Diagram spaces and symmetric spectra*, Adv. Math. 231 (2012) 2116–2193 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [22] **S Schwede**, *Global homotopy theory*, New Mathematical Monographs 34, Cambridge Univ. Press (2018) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [23] **S Schwede**, *Global stable splittings of Stiefel manifolds*, Doc. Math. 27 (2022) 789–845 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [24] **S Schwede, B E Shipley**, *Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 80 (2000) 491–511 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [25] **M Spitzweck**, *Operads, algebras and modules in general model categories*, part of PhD thesis, Universität Bonn (2001) [arXiv math/0101102](#)
- [26] **D White, D Yau**, *Bousfield localization and algebras over colored operads*, Appl. Categ. Structures 26 (2018) 153–203 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen, Netherlands

m.barrero@math.ru.nl

Received: 4 October 2021

Revised: 24 January 2022

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

msp.org/agt

EDITORS

PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre
etnyre@math.gatech.edu
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Robert Lipshitz	University of Oregon lipshitz@uoregon.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Norihiko Minami	Nagoya Institute of Technology nori@nitech.ac.jp
Tara E Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Univ. Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Thomas Nikolaus	University of Münster nikolaus@uni-muenster.de
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Robert Oliver	Université Paris 13 bobol@math.univ-paris13.fr
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Jessica S Purcell	Monash University jessica.purcell@monash.edu
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
David Futer	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Vesna Stojanoska	Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign vesna@illinois.edu
Ian Hambleton	McMaster University ian@math.mcmaster.ca	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
Matthew Hedden	Michigan State University mhedden@math.msu.edu	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu	Chris Wendl	Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin wendl@math.hu-berlin.de
Thomas Koberda	University of Virginia thomas.koberda@virginia.edu	Daniel T Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr		


See inside back cover or msp.org/agt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$650/year for the electronic version, and \$940/year (+ \$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 23

Issue 7 (pages 2925–3415)

2023

- Differential geometric invariants for time-reversal symmetric Bloch bundles, II: The low-dimensional “quaternionic” case 2925
GIUSEPPE DE NITTIS and KIYONORI GOMI
- Detecting isomorphisms in the homotopy category 2975
KEVIN ARLIN and J DANIEL CHRISTENSEN
- Mod 2 power operations revisited 2993
DYLAN WILSON
- The Devinatz–Hopkins theorem via algebraic geometry 3015
ROK GREGORIC
- Neighboring mapping points theorem 3043
ANDREI V MALYUTIN and OLEG R MUSIN
- Stable cohomology of the universal degree d hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n 3071
ISHAN BANERJEE
- On the wheeled PROP of stable cohomology of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ with bivariant coefficients 3089
NARIYA KAWAZUMI and CHRISTINE VESPA
- Anchored foams and annular homology 3129
ROSTISLAV AKHMECHET and MIKHAIL KHOVANOV
- On a problem of Hopf for circle bundles over aspherical manifolds with hyperbolic fundamental groups 3205
CHRISTOFOROS NEOFYTIDIS
- The mod 2 cohomology of the infinite families of Coxeter groups of type B and D as almost-Hopf rings 3221
LORENZO GUERRA
- Operads in unstable global homotopy theory 3293
MIGUEL BARRERO
- On some p -differential graded link homologies, II 3357
YOU QI and JOSHUA SUSSAN
- Leighton’s theorem and regular cube complexes 3395
DANIEL J WOODHOUSE