

# *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* Volume 24 (2024)

On the positivity of twisted  $L^2$ –torsion for 3–manifolds

JIANRU DUAN





## On the positivity of twisted  $L^2$ –torsion for 3–manifolds

JIANRU DUAN

For any compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold  $N$  with empty or incompressible toral boundary, the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion is a nonnegative function defined on the representation variety Hom $(\pi_1(N), SL(n, \mathbb{C}))$ . We show that if N has infinite fundamental group, then the  $L^2$ -torsion function is strictly positive. Moreover, this torsion function is continuous when restricted to the subvariety of upper triangular representations.

[57K31](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/mscdoc.html?code=57K31)

## 1 Introduction

Let  $N$  be a compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. The  $L^2$ -torsion of N is a numerical topological invariant of N that equals  $exp(Vol(N)/6\pi)$ , where Vol $(N)$ is the simplicial volume of  $N$ ; see [\[Lück 2002,](#page-23-0) Theorem 4.3]. The idea of twisting is to use a linear representation of  $\pi_1(N)$  to define more  $L^2$ -torsion invariants. The first attempt was made by Li and Zhang  $[2006a; 2006b]$  $[2006a; 2006b]$  $[2006a; 2006b]$  in which they defined the  $L^2$ –Alexander invariants for knot complements, making use of the one-dimensional representations of the knot group. Later Dubois, Friedl and Lück [\[Dubois](#page-23-3) [et al. 2015a\]](#page-23-3) introduced the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion for 3–manifolds which recovers the  $L^2$ –Alexander invariants. A recent breakthrough was made independently by Liu [\[2017\]](#page-23-4) and Lück [\[2018\]](#page-23-5) who proved that the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion is always positive. More interesting properties of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion are revealed in [\[Liu 2017;](#page-23-4) [Friedl and Lück 2019\]](#page-23-6); for example, we now know that the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion is continuous and its limiting behavior recovers the Thurston norm of N .

Generally, let  $\Re_n(\pi_1(N)) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$  be the representation variety. One wishes to define L<sup>2</sup>–torsion twisted by any representation  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_n(\pi_1(N))$ , and we have this *twisted* L<sup>2</sup>–torsion function abstractly defined on the representation variety of  $\pi_1(N)$ :

$$
\rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N,\rho) \in [0,+\infty), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{R}_n(\pi_1(N)).
$$

A technical obstruction to defining a reasonable  $L^2$ -torsion is that the corresponding  $L^2$ -chain complex must be weakly  $L^2$ -acyclic and of determinant class (see [Definition 2.3\)](#page-5-0). If either condition is not satisfied, we define the  $L^2$ -torsion to be 0 by convention.

It is natural to question the positivity and continuity of this function. The first result of this paper is the following:

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>©</sup> 2024 MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC BY\).](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via [Subscribe to Open.](https://msp.org/s2o/)

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $N$  be a compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose N has infinite fundamental group; then the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion  $\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho)$  is positive for any group homomorphism  $\rho: \pi_1(N) \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

When N is a graph manifold, the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion function is explicitly computed in [Theorem 4.1.](#page-11-0) Other cases are dealt with in [Theorem 4.5](#page-14-0) where we only need to consider fibered 3–manifolds thanks to the virtual fibering arguments. We carefully construct a CW structure for  $N$  as in [\[Dubois et al. 2015a\]](#page-23-3) and observe that the matrices in the corresponding twisted  $L^2$ –chain complex are in a special form so that we can apply Liu's result [\[2017,](#page-23-4) Theorem 5.1] to guarantee the positivity of the Fuglede–Kadison determinant.

We have the following partial result regarding continuity of the twisted  $L^2$ –torsion function, We say  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_n(\pi_1(N))$  is an upper triangular representation if  $\rho(g)$  is an upper triangular matrix for every  $g \in \pi_1(N)$ .

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.2** Let N be a compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose N has infinite fundamental group. Define  $\Re_n^{\text{t}}(\pi_1(N))$  to be the subvariety of  $\Re_n(\pi_1(N))$  consisting of upper triangular representations. Then the twisted  $L^2$ –torsion function

$$
\rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N,\rho)
$$

is continuous with respect to  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_n^{\dagger}(\pi_1(N))$ .

The continuity of the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion function in general is open. It is mainly because the Fuglede– Kadison determinant of an arbitrary matrix over  $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(N)]$  is very difficult to compute. However, the  $L^2$ -torsion twisted by upper triangular representations is simpler because we can reduce many problems to the one-dimensional case, which is well studied under the name of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion (see [Section 5\)](#page-16-0). We remark that the work of Bénard and Raimbault [\[2022\]](#page-22-0) based on the strong acyclicity property by Bergeron and Venkatesh [\[2013\]](#page-23-7) shows that the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion function is positive and real analytic near any holonomy representation  $\rho_0: \pi_1(N) \to SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  of a hyperbolic 3–manifold N.

The proof relies on the continuity of  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion with respect to the cohomology classes, which is conjectured by [\[Lück 2018,](#page-23-5) Chapter 10]. This is done by introducing the concept of Alexander multitwists (see [Section 5\)](#page-16-0). One can similarly define the "multivariable  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion" and our argument essentially shows that the multivariable function is multiplicatively convex (compare [Theorem 5.7\)](#page-20-0), generalizing [\[Liu 2017,](#page-23-4) Theorem 5.1]. This then applies to show the continuity as desired.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In [Section 2,](#page-3-0) we introduce the terminology of this paper and some algebraic facts. In [Section 3,](#page-6-0) we define the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion for CW complexes and state some basic properties. In [Section 4,](#page-11-1) we prove [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) in two steps: first for graph manifolds, then for hyperbolic or mixed manifolds. In [Section 5,](#page-16-0) we begin with the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion and then prove [Theorem 1.2.](#page-2-0)

Acknowledgement The author wishes to thank his advisor Yi Liu for guidance and many conversations.

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>2 Notation and some algebraic facts

In this section we define the twisting functor and introduce  $L^2$ -torsion theory. The reader can refer to [\[Lück 2018\]](#page-23-5) where discussions are taken on in a more general setting.

## 2.1 Twisting  $\mathbb{C}G$  –modules via  $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  representations

Let G be a finitely generated group and let  $\mathbb{C}G$  be its group ring. In this paper our main objects are finitely generated free left CG–modules with a preferred ordered basis. We will abbreviate it as *based*  $\mathbb{C}G$ –modules unless otherwise stated. A natural example of a based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module is  $\mathbb{C}G^m$  as a free left CG–module of rank m, with the natural ordered basis  $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m\}$  where  $\sigma_i$  is the unit element of the  $i<sup>th</sup>$  direct summand. Any based  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}G^m$  for some nonnegative integer m and this identification is used throughout.

We fix V to be an *n*-dimensional complex vector space with a fixed choice of basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $\rho: G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be a group homomorphism. Then V can be viewed as a left  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module via  $\rho$ ,

$$
\gamma \cdot e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(\gamma^{-1})_{i,j} \cdot e_j, \quad \gamma \in G,
$$

where  $\rho(\gamma^{-1}) \in SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is a square matrix. We extend this action C-linearly so that V is a left  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module. In other words, left action of  $\gamma$  corresponds to right multiplication to the row coordinate vector of the matrix  $\rho(\gamma^{-1})$ .

We are interested in twisting a based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module via  $\rho$ . In literature, there are two different ways to twist a based CG–module, namely the "diagonal twisting" and the "partial twisting" (compare [\[Lück](#page-23-5) [2018\]](#page-23-5)). They are naturally isomorphic. We only consider the diagonal twisting.

**Definition 2.1** Recall that  $\mathbb{C}G^m$  is a based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module with a natural basis  $\{\sigma_i\}$  for  $i = 1, ..., m$ . We define  $(\mathbb{C}G^m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V)$ <sub>d</sub> to be the  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module with diagonal  $\mathbb{C}G$ –action, ie

$$
(\mathbb{C}G^m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V)_d := \mathbb{C}G^m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V, \quad g \cdot (u \otimes v) = gu \otimes gv
$$

for any  $g \in G$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{C}G^m$  and  $v \in V$ , and then extend  $\mathbb{C}$ –linearly to define a  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module structure.

With the definition above, we can see that

$$
(\mathbb{C}G^m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V)_d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (\mathbb{C}G \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V)_d
$$

is a based CG–module with a basis

$$
\{\sigma_1 \otimes e_1, \sigma_1 \otimes e_2, \ldots, \sigma_1 \otimes e_n, \sigma_2 \otimes e_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \otimes e_n\}.
$$

Let  $A$  be the category whose objects are finitely generated free left  $\mathbb{C}G$ –modules with a preferred ordered basis and whose morphisms are CG–linear homomorphisms. We consider the following "diagonal

2310 *Jianru Duan*

twisting" functor

$$
\mathfrak{D}(\rho) : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}
$$

which sends any object M to the based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module  $(M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V)$ <sub>d</sub> and sends any morphism f to

$$
\mathfrak{D}(\rho)f := f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} id_V.
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>The following proposition describes how matrices behave under the twisting functor.

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $\rho: G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be any group homomorphism. Suppose that a homomorphism between based CG–modules

$$
f: \mathbb{C}G^r \to \mathbb{C}G^s
$$

is presented by a matrix  $(\Lambda_{i,j})$  over  $\mathbb{C}G$  of size  $r \times s$ ; ie if

$$
\{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_r\},\quad \{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_s\}
$$

are the natural bases of  $\mathbb{C}G^r$  and  $\mathbb{C}G^s$ , respectively, then

$$
f(\sigma_i) = \sum_{j=1}^s \Lambda_{i,j} \tau_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.
$$

We form a new matrix  $\Omega$  of size nr  $\times$  ns by replacing each entry  $\Lambda_{i,j}$  with an  $n \times n$  square matrix  $\Lambda_{i,j}$   $\cdot \rho(\Lambda_{i,j})$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a matrix presenting the diagonal twisting morphism  $\mathfrak{D}(\rho)f$ , under the natural bases

 $\{\sigma_1 \otimes e_1, \ldots, \sigma_1 \otimes e_n, \sigma_2 \otimes e_1, \ldots, \sigma_r \otimes e_n\},\$  $\{\tau_1 \otimes e_1, \ldots, \tau_1 \otimes e_n, \tau_2 \otimes e_1, \ldots, \tau_s \otimes e_n\}$ 

of the diagonal twisting based  $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules  $\mathfrak{D}(\rho)(\mathbb{C}G^r)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}(\rho)(\mathbb{C}G^s)$ , respectively.

**Proof** Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_{i,j})$  for  $i = 1, ..., r$  and  $j = 1, ..., s$  be a block matrix of size  $nr \times ns$ , with each entry  $\Phi_{i,j}$  an  $n \times n$  matrix, such that  $\Phi$  is the matrix presenting  $\mathcal{D}(\rho) f$  under the natural basis. We only need to verify that  $\Phi_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot \rho(\Lambda_{i,j})$ . The submatrix  $\Phi_{i,j}$  can be characterized as follows. Let  $\pi_j : \mathfrak{D}(\rho)(\mathbb{C}G^r) \to \mathfrak{D}(\rho)(\mathbb{C}G)$  be the projection to the j<sup>th</sup> direct component which is spanned by  $\{(\sigma_i \otimes e_1)_d, \ldots, (\sigma_i \otimes e_n)_d\}.$  Then

$$
\pi_j \circ \mathfrak{D}(\rho) f\begin{pmatrix} (\sigma_i \otimes e_1)_d \\ \vdots \\ (\sigma_i \otimes e_n)_d \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_{i,j} \begin{pmatrix} (\tau_j \otimes e_1)_d \\ \vdots \\ (\tau_j \otimes e_n)_d \end{pmatrix}.
$$

On the other hand, for any  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ ,

$$
\pi_j \circ \mathfrak{D}(\rho) f((\sigma_i \otimes e_k)_d) = \pi_j \bigg(\sum_{l=1}^s (\Lambda_{i,l} \tau_l \otimes e_k)_d\bigg) = \pi_j \bigg(\sum_{l=1}^s \Lambda_{i,l} \cdot (\tau_l \otimes \Lambda_{i,l}^{-1} e_k)_d\bigg)
$$

$$
= \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot (\tau_j \otimes \Lambda_{i,j}^{-1} e_k)_d = \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^n \rho(\Lambda_{i,j})_{k,l} (\tau_j \otimes e_l)_d.
$$

This shows that  $\Phi_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot \rho(\Lambda_{i,j})$ , and hence  $\Phi = \Omega$ .

*On the positivity of twisted* L<sup>2</sup>*–torsion for* 3*–manifolds* 2311

We now mention that the twisting functor can be naturally generalized to the category of *based* CG*–chain complexes*. More explicitly, let  $C_*$  be a based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –chain complex, ie

$$
C_* = (\cdots \to C_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{p+1}} C_p \xrightarrow{\partial_p} C_{p-1} \to \cdots)
$$

is a chain of based CG–modules with CG–linear connecting morphisms  $\{\partial_p\}$  such that  $\partial_{p-1} \circ \partial_p = 0$ . We can apply the functor  $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$  to obtain a new CG–chain complex

$$
\mathfrak{D}(\rho)C_* = (\cdots \to \mathfrak{D}(\rho)C_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}(\rho)\partial_{p+1}} \mathfrak{D}(\rho)C_p \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}(\rho)\partial_p} \mathfrak{D}(\rho)C_{p-1} \to \cdots)
$$

with connecting homomorphisms  $\{\mathfrak{D}(\rho)\partial_{p}\}\$ . If  $f_{*}$  is a chain map between based CG–chain complexes, the twisting chain map  $\mathfrak{D}(\rho) f_*$  is a CG–chain map between the corresponding twisted chain complexes. So  $\mathfrak{D}(\rho)$  generalizes to be a functor of the category of based CG–chain complexes.

## 2.2  $L^2$ -torsion theory

Let

$$
l^{2}(G) = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} c_{g} \cdot g \mid c_{g} \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{g \in G} |c_{g}|^{2} < \infty \right\}
$$

be the Hilbert space orthonormally spanned by all elements in G. Since G is finitely generated,  $l^2(G)$  is a separable Hilbert space with isometric left and right  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module structure. We denote by  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  the *group von Neumann algebra* of G which consists of all bounded Hilbert operators of  $l^2(G)$  that commute with the right  $\mathbb{C}G$ –action. We will treat  $l^2(G)$  as a left  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ –module and a right  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module. The  $l^2$ –completion of a based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –chain complex  $C_*$  is then a *Hilbert* N(G)–chain complex defined as

$$
l^2(G)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}G}C_*,
$$

and the l<sup>2</sup>-completions of the connecting homomorphism  $\partial$  and chain map f are id  $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} \partial$  and id  $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} f$ , respectively. Note that each chain module of  $l^2(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} C_*$  is simply a direct sum of  $l^2(G)$ ,

$$
l^{2}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} C_{p} = l^{2}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} \mathbb{C}G^{r_{p}} = l^{2}(G)^{r_{p}},
$$

where  $r_p$  is the rank of  $C_p$ .

The  $l^2$ -completion process converts a based  $\mathbb{C}G$ -chain complex into a finitely generated, free Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ –chain complex.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Definition 2.3** A finitely generated, free Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ -chain complex is called *weakly acyclic* if the  $l^2$ –Betti numbers are all trivial. A finitely generated, free Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ –chain complex is *of determinant class* if all the Fuglede–Kadison determinants of the connecting homomorphisms are positive real numbers.

**Definition 2.4** Let  $C_*$  be a finitely generated, free Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ -chain complex. Suppose  $C_*$  is of finite length, ie there exists an integer  $N > 0$  such that  $C_p = 0$  for  $|p| > N$ . Furthermore, if  $C_*$  is weakly

acyclic and of determinant class, we define the  $L^2$ –torsion of  $C_*$  to be the alternating product of the Fuglede–Kadison determinants of the connecting homomorphisms:

$$
\tau^{(2)}(C_*) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)} \partial_p)^{(-1)^p}.
$$

Otherwise, we artificially set  $\tau^{(2)}(C_*)=0$ .

We recommend [\[Lück 2002\]](#page-23-0) for the definition of the  $L^2$ –Betti number and the Fuglede–Kadison determinant. We remark that our notational convention follows [\[Dubois et al. 2015a;](#page-23-3) [2015b;](#page-23-8) [Liu 2017\]](#page-23-4), and the exponential of the torsion in [\[Lück 2002;](#page-23-0) [2018\]](#page-23-5) is the multiplicative inverse of our torsion.

Let A be a  $p \times p$  matrix over  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ . The *regular Fuglede–Kadison determinant* of A is defined to be

$$
\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}}(A) = \begin{cases} \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(A) & \text{if } A \text{ is full rank of determinant class,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We will need the following two lemmas in order to do explicit calculations; the proof can be found in [\[Dubois et al. 2015b,](#page-23-8) Lemmas 2.6 and 3.2] combining with the basic properties of the Fuglede–Kadison determinant (see [\[Lück 2002,](#page-23-0) Theorem 3.14]).

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma 2.5** Let  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  be a free abelian subgroup of G generated by  $z_1, \ldots, z_k$ . Let A be a  $p \times p$  matrix over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^k$ . Identify  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^k$  with the k-variable Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm}]$  $\frac{\pm}{1}, \ldots, z_k^{\pm}$  $\frac{1}{k}$ , and denote by  $p(z_1, \ldots, z_k)$  the ordinary determinant of A. Then

$$
\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}}(A) = \mathrm{Mah}(p(z_1, \ldots, z_k)),
$$

where Mah $(p(z_1, \ldots, z_k))$  is the Mahler measure of the polynomial  $p(z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ .

#### <span id="page-6-2"></span>Lemma 2.6 Let

$$
D_* = (0 \to \mathbb{C}G^j \xrightarrow{C} \mathbb{C}G^k \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{C}G^{k+l-j} \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{C}G^l \to 0)
$$

be a complex,  $L \subset \{1, ..., k + l - j\}$  be a subset of size l and  $J \subset \{1, ..., k\}$  a subset of size j. Define

- $\bullet$   $A(J)$  to be the rows in A corresponding to J;
- $\bullet$   $B(J, L)$  to be the result of deleting the columns of B corresponding to J and deleting the rows corresponding to  $L$ ;
- $C(L)$  to be the columns of C corresponding to L.

View A, B and C as matrices over  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ . If  $\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathcal{F}}(A(J)) \neq 0$  and  $\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathcal{F}}(C(L)) \neq 0$ , then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(l^2(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} D_*) = \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}}(B(J, L)) \cdot \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}}(A(J))^{-1} \cdot \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}}(C(L))^{-1}.
$$

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>3 Twisted  $L^2$ -torsion for CW complexes

Let X be a finite CW complex with fundamental group G. Denote by  $\hat{X}$  the universal cover of |X| with the natural CW complex structure coming from X. Choose a lifting  $\hat{\sigma}_i$  for each cell  $\sigma_i$  in the CW structure of X. The deck group G acts freely on the cellular chain complex of  $\hat{X}$  on the left, which makes the C–coefficient cellular chain complex  $C_*(\hat{X})$  a based CG–chain complex with basis  $\{\hat{\sigma}_i\}$ . Recall that  $\rho: G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is any group homomorphism.

For future convenience, we introduce the concept of *admissible triple* for higher-dimensional linear representations, generalizing the admissibility condition in [\[Dubois et al. 2015b\]](#page-23-8).

**Definition 3.1** (admissible triple) Let  $\gamma$ :  $G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism to a countable group H. We say that  $(G, \rho; \gamma)$  forms an *admissible triple* if  $\rho: G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  factors through  $\gamma$ , ie for some homomorphism  $\psi: H \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , the following diagram commutes:



**Definition 3.2** Let  $(G, \rho; \gamma)$  be an admissible triple. Consider  $l^2(H)$  as a left Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(H)$ –module, and a right  $\mathbb{C}G$ –module induced by  $\gamma$ . Define the  $L^2$ –chain complex of X twisted by  $(G, \rho; \gamma)$  to be the Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(H)$ –chain complex

$$
C^{(2)}_*(X,\rho;\gamma) := l^2(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} \mathfrak{D}(\rho) C_*(\widehat{X}).
$$

We define the  $L^2$ -torsion of X twisted by  $(G, \rho; \gamma)$  as

$$
\tau^{(2)}(X,\rho;\gamma) := \tau^{(2)}(C_*^{(2)}(X,\rho;\gamma)).
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Proposition 3.3** The definition of  $\tau^{(2)}(X,\rho;\gamma)$  with respect to any admissible triple  $(G,\rho;\gamma)$  does not depend on the order or orientation of the basis  $\{\sigma_i\}$ , nor the choice of lifting  $\{\hat{\sigma}_i\}$ . Moreover, let  $\rho' : G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be conjugate to  $\rho$ , ie there exists a matrix  $T \in SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , such that  $\rho' = T \cdot \rho \cdot T^{-1}$ . Then  $(G, \rho'; \gamma)$  is also an admissible triple and  $\tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) = \tau^{(2)}(X, \rho'; \gamma)$ .

**Proof** The property of being weakly  $L^2$ –acyclic does not depend on the choices in the statement. We only need to analyze how these choices change the Fuglede–Kadison determinant of the connecting morphisms.

Abbreviate by  $C_*(\hat{X}, \rho) := \mathfrak{D}(\rho)C_*(\hat{X}; \mathbb{C})$  the diagonal twisting chain complex. Suppose the based cellular chain complex of  $\hat{X}$  has the form

$$
C_*(\widehat{X})=(\cdots\to\mathbb{C}G^{r_{i+1}}\xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}}\mathbb{C}G^{r_i}\xrightarrow{\partial_i}\mathbb{C}G^{r_{i-1}}\to\cdots),
$$

where  $\partial_i$  is an  $r_i \times r_{i-1}$  matrix over CG for all i. Then the diagonal twisting chain complex  $C_*(\hat{X}, \rho)$ has the form

$$
C_*(\widehat{X}, \rho) = (\cdots \to \mathbb{C}G^{nr_{i+1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}^{\rho}} \mathbb{C}G^{nr_i} \xrightarrow{\partial_i^{\rho}} \mathbb{C}G^{nr_{i-1}} \to \cdots),
$$

where  $\partial_i^{\rho} = \mathcal{D}(\rho)\partial_i$  is an  $nr_i \times nr_{i-1}$  matrix over CG for all i. An explicit formula for  $\partial_i^{\rho}$  $i$  is presented in [Proposition 2.2.](#page-4-0) Then the  $L^2$ -chain complex of X twisted by  $(G, \rho; \gamma)$  has the form

$$
C^{(2)}_*(X,\rho;\gamma)=(\cdots\rightarrow l^2(H)^{nr_{i+1}}\xrightarrow{\gamma(\partial_{i+1}^\rho)}l^2(H)^{nr_i}\xrightarrow{\gamma(\partial_i^\rho)}l^2(H)^{nr_{i-1}}\rightarrow\cdots),
$$

where  $\gamma(\partial_i^{\rho})$  means applying the group homomorphism  $\gamma$  to each monomial of any entry of the matrix  $\partial_i^{\rho}$  $_i^{\nu},$ resulting in a matrix over  $\mathbb{C}H \subset \mathcal{N}(H)$ .

We now analyze how the choices affect the value of  $\tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma)$ . If the basis of  $C_i(X)$  is permuted, and the orientations are changed, then  $\gamma(\partial_i^{\rho})$  and  $\gamma(\partial_{i+1}^{\rho})$  change by multiplying a permutation matrix, with entries  $\pm 1$ .

If one choose another lifting  $g\hat{\sigma}$  instead of  $\hat{\sigma}$  for some  $g \in G$ , then  $\gamma(\partial_i^{\rho})$  and  $\gamma(\partial_{i+1}^{\rho})$  change by multiplying a block matrix of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}I^{n \times n} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \rho(g)^{\pm 1} \cdot I^{n \times n} & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & I^{n \times n}\end{pmatrix}.
$$

If one replaces  $\rho$  by  $\rho' = T \cdot \rho \cdot T^{-1}$  for a matrix  $T \in SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , the corresponding connecting homomorphism is of the form

$$
\gamma(\partial_i^{\rho'}) = \begin{pmatrix} T & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & T \end{pmatrix} \gamma(\partial_i^{\rho}) \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

In all cases, the regular Fuglede–Kadison determinant of  $\gamma(\partial_i^{\rho})$  and  $\gamma(\partial_{i+1}^{\rho})$  are unchanged by basic properties of Fuglede–Kadison determinant; see [\[Lück 2002,](#page-23-0) Theorem 3.14].  $\Box$ 

Note that the "moreover" part of the previous lemma tells us that we don't need to worry about the different choices of the base point when identifying the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  with G.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Lemma 3.4** Let  $T$  be a two-dimensional torus. For any admissible triple

$$
(T, \rho: \pi_1(T) \to SL(n, \mathbb{C}); \gamma: \pi_1(T) \to H),
$$

if im  $\nu$  is infinite, then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(T,\rho;\gamma)=1.
$$

**Proof** We consider the standard CW structure for T constructed by identifying pairs of sides of a square. Let P be the 0–cell, let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be the 1–cells, and let

$$
e_1 = [E_1] \in \pi_1(T), \quad e_2 = [E_2] \in \pi_1(T).
$$

Then  $\pi_1(T)$  is the free abelian group generated by  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . There is a 2–cell  $\sigma$  whose boundary is the loop  $E_1 E_2 E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1}$ . Let  $\hat{T}$  be the universal covering of T with the induced CW structure. It is easy to see that the  $L^2$ -chain complex of T twisted by  $(\pi_1(T), \rho; \gamma)$  is

$$
C^{(2)}_{*}(T,\rho;\gamma) = (0 \to l^2(H)\langle \sigma \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \xrightarrow{\gamma(\partial_2^{\rho})} l^2(H)\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \xrightarrow{\gamma(\partial_1^{\rho})} l^2(H)\langle P \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \to 0)
$$

in which

$$
\gamma(\partial_2^{\rho}) = (I^{n \times n} - \gamma(e_2)\rho(e_2) - I^{n \times n} + \gamma(e_1)\rho(e_1)), \quad \gamma(\partial_1^{\rho}) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(e_1)\rho(e_1) - I^{n \times n} \\ \gamma(e_2)\rho(e_2) - I^{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We assume without loss of generality that  $\gamma(e_1)$  has infinite order. Set  $p(z) := \det(z \rho(e_1) - I^{n \times n})$  as a polynomial of indeterminant z. Then by [Lemma 2.5,](#page-6-1)

$$
\det_{\mathcal{N}(H)}^{\mathbf{r}}(\gamma(e_1)\rho(e_1) - I^{n \times n}) = \mathrm{Mah}(p(z)) \neq 0.
$$

The conclusion follows from [\[Dubois et al. 2015b,](#page-23-8) Lemma 3.1] which is a formula analogous to [Lemma 2.6](#page-6-2) but applies to shorter chain complexes.  $\Box$ 

There is another way to define twisted  $L^2$ –torsion, following Lück [\[2018\]](#page-23-5). Let H be a finitely generated group. Recall that  $\tilde{X}$  is called a *finite free H–CW complex* if  $\tilde{X}$  is a regular covering space of a finite CW complex X, with deck transformation group H acting on  $\tilde{X}$  on the left. Choose an H–equivariant CW structure for  $\tilde{X}$ , and choose one representative cell for each H–orbit. Then the cellular chain complex  $C_*(\widetilde{X})$  becomes a based CH–chain complex. For any group homomorphism  $\phi: H \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , we form the diagonal twisting chain complex  $\mathfrak{D}(\phi)C_{*}(\tilde{X})$  (recall the definition of the twisting functor  $\mathfrak{D}$  in [Section 2\)](#page-3-0). The  $\phi$ -twisted  $L^2$ -torsion of the H–CW complex  $\tilde{X}$  is defined to be

$$
\rho_H^{(2)}(\widetilde{X}, \phi) := \log \tau^{(2)}(l^2(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}H} \mathfrak{D}(\phi) C_*(\widetilde{X})).
$$

Note that  $\phi$  is a unimodular representation in our setting; this torsion does not depend on a specific  $\mathbb{C}H$ –basis for  $C_*(\widetilde{X})$  (compare [Proposition 3.3\)](#page-7-0). We point out in the following proposition that both definitions of twisted  $L^2$ –torsion are essentially the same.

**Proposition 3.5** Following the notation above, let G be the fundamental group of  $X = H\setminus \tilde{X}$ . There is a natural quotient map  $\gamma: G \to H$  by covering space theory, and it is obvious that  $(G, \phi \circ \gamma; \gamma)$  is an admissible triple. Then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(X, \phi \circ \gamma; \gamma) = \exp \rho_H^{(2)}(\widetilde{X}, \phi).
$$

**Proof** Let  $\hat{X}$  be the universal covering space of X, with the natural CW structure coming from X. Choose a lifting for each cell in X and then  $C_*(\hat{X})$  becomes a based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –chain complex. It is a pure algebraic fact that the two based  $\mathbb{C}H$ –chain complexes are  $\mathbb{C}H$ –isomorphic:

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(\*) 
$$
\mathfrak{D}(\phi)C_*(\widetilde{X}) \cong \mathbb{C}H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} \mathfrak{D}(\phi \circ \gamma)C_*(\widehat{X}).
$$

Indeed, the CH–chain complex  $\mathbb{C}H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} \mathfrak{D}(\phi \circ \gamma) C_*(\hat{X})$  is obtained from

$$
C_*(\widehat{X})=(\cdots\to\mathbb{C}G^{r_{i+1}}\xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}}\mathbb{C}G^{r_i}\xrightarrow{\partial_i}\mathbb{C}G^{r_{i-1}}\to\cdots)
$$

by the following two operations:

- (1) The diagonal twist First, replace every direct summand  $\mathbb{C}G$  by its  $n^{\text{th}}$  power  $\mathbb{C}G^n$ , and replace any entry  $\Lambda_{i,j}$  of the matrix  $\partial_*$  by a block matrix  $\Lambda_{i,j} \phi \circ \gamma(\Lambda_{i,j})$ , as in [Proposition 2.2,](#page-4-0) resulting in a new matrix  $\partial_{*}^{\phi \circ \gamma}$ .
- (2) **Tensoring with CH** Then replace every direct summand CG of the chain module by CH, and apply  $\gamma$  to every entry of  $\partial_{*}^{\phi \circ \gamma}$ , resulting in a block matrix whose *i*, *j*-submatrix is  $\gamma(\Lambda_{i,j})\phi \circ \gamma(\Lambda_{i,j})$ .

The resulting chain complex is exactly the chain complex  $\mathfrak{D}(\phi)(\mathbb{C}H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} C_*(\hat{X}))$  (this can be seen by doing the above operations in the reversed order, thanks to the admissible condition). Combined with the well-known  $\mathbb{C}H$ –isomorphism  $C_*(\widetilde{X}) \cong \mathbb{C}H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} C_*(\widehat{X})$ , the isomorphism (\*) follows.

Finally, we tensor  $l^2(H)$  on the left of both CH–chain complexes and the conclusion follows from taking  $L^2$ –torsion of each.  $\Box$ 

The following useful properties are obtained by translating the statements of [\[Lück 2018,](#page-23-5) Theorem 6.7] into our terminology.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Lemma 3.6** Some basic properties of twisted  $L^2$ –torsions:

(1)  $G$ -homotopy equivalence Let X and Y be two finite CW complexes with fundamental group  $G$ . For any admissible triple  $(G, \rho; \gamma)$ , suppose there is a simple homotopy equivalence  $f: X \to Y$ such that the induced homomorphism  $f_*: G \to G$  preserves ker  $\gamma$ . Then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(X,\rho;\gamma) = \tau^{(2)}(Y,\rho;\gamma).
$$

(2) **Restriction** Let X be a finite CW complex with fundamental group G. Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a finite regular cover of X with the induced CW structure. Suppose  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{G} \lhd G$  is a normal subgroup of index d. Let  $\tilde{\rho}$ :  $\tilde{G} \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be the restriction of  $\rho$ :  $G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\rho}) = \tau^{(2)}(X, \rho)^d.
$$

(3) **Sum formula** Let X be a finite CW complex with fundamental group G and  $\rho: G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be a homomorphism. Let

$$
i_1: X_1 \hookrightarrow X, \quad i_2: X_2 \hookrightarrow X, \quad i_0: X_1 \cap X_2 \hookrightarrow X
$$

be subcomplex of X with  $X_1 \cup X_2 = X$ . Let

$$
\rho_1 = \rho|_{\pi_1(X_1)}, \quad \rho_2 = \rho|_{\pi_1(X_2)}, \quad \rho_0 = \rho|_{\pi_1(X_1 \cap X_2)}
$$

be the restriction of  $\rho$ . If  $\tau^{(2)}(X_1 \cap X_2, \rho_0; i_{0*}) \neq 0$ , then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(X,\rho) = \tau^{(2)}(X_1,\rho_1;i_{1*}) \cdot \tau^{(2)}(X_2,\rho_2;i_{2*})/\tau^{(2)}(X_1 \cap X_2,\rho_0;i_{0*}).
$$

## <span id="page-11-1"></span>4 Twisted  $L^2$ -torsion for 3-manifolds

For the remainder of this paper, we will assume that  $N$  is a compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. We denote by  $G$  the fundamental group of  $N$  and assume G is infinite. It is well known that G is finitely generated and residually finite [\[Hempel 1987\]](#page-23-9). For any group homomorphism  $\rho: G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\gamma: G \to H$ , we say  $(N, \rho; \gamma)$  is an admissible triple if  $(G, \rho; \gamma)$  is. In this case, we define the *twisted*  $L^2$ –torsion of  $(N, \rho; \gamma)$  by

$$
\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho;\gamma) := \tau^{(2)}(X,\rho;\gamma),
$$

where X is any CW structure for  $N$ . This definition does not depend on the choice of  $X$ , thanks to [Lemma 3.6.](#page-10-0) Indeed, if X and Y are two CW structures for N, and  $f: X \to Y$  is the corresponding homeomorphism, then f is a simple homotopy equivalence by Chapman [\[1974,](#page-23-10) Theorem 1] and certainly preserves ker  $\gamma$ . So  $\tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) = \tau^{(2)}(Y, \rho; \gamma)$ .

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of [Theorem 1.1.](#page-1-0)

## 4.1 Twisted  $L^2$ -torsion for graph manifolds

<span id="page-11-0"></span>We prove [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) for a graph manifold  $N$  with infinite fundamental group  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Suppose M is a Seifert-fibered piece of the graph manifold N. Let  $h \in \pi_1(M)$  be represented by the regular fiber of M. Consider the product of all eigenvalues (with multiplicity) of  $\rho(h)$ whose modulus is not greater than 1, and denote by  $\Lambda$  the modulus of this product. Suppose the orbit space  $M/S<sup>1</sup>$  has orbifold Euler characteristic  $\chi_{\rm orb}$ . Then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho) = \prod_{M \subset N \text{ is a Seifert piece}} \Lambda^{\chi_{\text{orb}}}.
$$

The proof is a direct generalization of [\[Bénard and Raimbault 2022,](#page-22-0) Proposition 4.3], though the technique in both proofs essentially goes back to T Kitano [\[1994\]](#page-23-11), where he computed the  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -twisted Reidemeister torsion of graph manifolds.

**Proof** Fix any Seifert-fibered piece M of the JSJ decomposition of N. Then  $\pi_1(M)$  is infinite as well. Suppose that  $M$  is isomorphic to a model

$$
M(g, b; q_1/p_1, ..., q_k/p_k), \quad k \ge 1, p_1, ..., p_k > 0,
$$

following Hatcher [\[2007\]](#page-23-12). More explicitly, take a surface of genus  $g$  with  $b$  boundary components, namely  $E_1, \ldots, E_b$ , then drill out k–disjoint disks from it to form a new surface  $\Sigma$  with k additional boundary circles  $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ . These k boundary circles correspond to k boundary tori of  $\Sigma \times S^1$ , namely  $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ . Then M is obtained by a Dehn filling of slope  $(q_1/p_1, \ldots, q_k/p_k)$  along  $(T_1, \ldots, T_k)$ , respectively. So

$$
M=(\Sigma\times S^1)\cup_{T_1}D_1\cup_{T_2}\cdots\cup_{T_k}D_k,
$$

in which  $D_i$  is a solid torus whose meridian  $(0, 1)$ -curve is attached to the  $(q_i, p_i)$ -curve of  $T_i$ . The orbit space can be viewed as a 2–dimensional orbifold, whose underlying topological space is a surface  $\Sigma_{g,b}$  with k singularities of indices  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ , respectively. The orbifold Euler characteristic is

$$
\chi_{\text{orb}} = 2 - 2g - b - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right).
$$

More details can be found in [\[Scott 1983\]](#page-23-13).

Retract  $\Sigma$  along the boundary circle  $F_k$  to an 1–dimensional complex X; it is a bunch of circles with one common vertex  $P$ , and edges

$$
A_1, B_1, \ldots, A_g, B_g, E_1, \ldots, E_b, F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1}
$$

where  $A_1, B_1, \ldots, A_g, B_g$  come from the standard polygon representation of a closed surface  $\Sigma_g$ . Suppose that  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  represent  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $e_i$  and  $f_i$ , respectively, in  $\pi_1(M)$ . Let H be the 1-cell of  $S^1$  representing  $h \in \pi_1(M)$ . Then  $\Sigma \times S^1$  is given the product CW structure, with the cells in each dimension being

$$
\{A_1 \times H, B_1 \times H, \dots, A_g \times H, B_g \times H, E_1 \times H, \dots, E_b \times H, F_1 \times H, \dots, F_{k-1} \times H\},\
$$
  

$$
\{A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g, E_1, \dots, E_b, F_1, \dots, F_{k-1}, H\}, \{P\}.
$$

We have  $f_i^{pi}$  $\int_i^{p_i} h^{q_i} = 1$  for  $i = 1, ..., k - 1$  by the Dehn filling.

Denote by

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\kappa: \Sigma \times S^1 \hookrightarrow N, \quad \iota_i: T_i \hookrightarrow N, \quad \zeta_i: D_i \hookrightarrow N, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,
$$

the inclusion maps to the ambient manifold  $N$ . Our strategy is as follows: cut  $N$  along all JSJ tori and all tori  $\{T_1, \ldots, T_k\}$  that appear in each Seifert piece of the JSJ decomposition of N as above. By [Lemma 3.4,](#page-8-0) the JSJ tori do not contribute to the  $L^2$ -torsion. Then, by the sum formula of [Lemma 3.6,](#page-10-0)

(1) 
$$
\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho) = \prod_{M \subset N \text{ is a Seifert piece}} \frac{\tau^{(2)}(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa_*; \kappa_*) \prod_{i=1}^k \tau^{(2)}(D_i, \rho \circ \zeta_{i*}; \zeta_{i*})}{\prod_{i=1}^k \tau^{(2)}(T_i, \rho \circ \iota_{i*}; \iota_{i*})}.
$$

It remains to calculate the terms appearing in [\(1\).](#page-12-0)

First, the easiest part. Since  $\iota_{i*}(\pi_1(T_i))$  has infinite order in G, the twisted  $L^2$ –torsion of the admissible triple  $(T_i, \rho \circ \iota_{i*}; \iota_{i*})$  is trivially 1 by [Lemma 3.4.](#page-8-0)

We now compute  $\tau^{(2)}(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa_*; \kappa_*)$ . Set  $\pi := \pi_1(\Sigma \times S^1)$ . The CW chain complex of the universal cover  $\widehat{\Sigma} \times \widehat{S^1}$  is

$$
C_*(\widehat{\Sigma \times S^1}) = (0 \to \mathbb{C}\pi^{2g+b+k-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{C}\pi^{2g+b+k} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{C}\pi \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0)
$$

in which

$$
\partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1-h & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1-h & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1-h & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ \vdots \\ * \\ 1-h \end{pmatrix}.
$$

*On the positivity of twisted*  $L^2$ –torsion for 3–manifolds 2319

Then the  $L^2$ -chain complex of  $\Sigma \times S^1$  twisted by  $(\pi, \rho \circ \kappa_*, \kappa_*)$  is

$$
C^{(2)}_*(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa_*; \kappa_*) = (0 \to l^2(G)^{2g+b+k-1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{\rho}_2} l^2(G)^{2g+b+k} \xrightarrow{\partial^{\rho}_1} l^2(G) \to 0)
$$

in which

$$
\partial_2^{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ 0 & I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h) & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h) & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_1^{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & \ddots & * \\ I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h) & * \end{pmatrix}
$$

We have identified h with its image under  $\kappa_*$  in  $\pi_1(N) = G$  for notational convenience. If the modulus of all eigenvalues of  $\rho(h)$  are  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , by properties of the regular Fuglede–Kadison determinant and Lemmas [2.5](#page-6-1) and [2.6,](#page-6-2) we know that

$$
\tau^{(2)}(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa_*; \kappa_*) = \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}} (I^{n \times n} - h\rho(h))^{2g + b + k - 2}
$$
  
= 
$$
\mathrm{Mah}\left(\prod_{r=1}^n (1 - z\lambda_r)\right)^{2g + b + k - 2} = \Lambda^{-(2g + b + k - 2)}.
$$

Then we compute  $\tau^{(2)}(D_i, \rho \circ \zeta_{i*}; \zeta_{i*})$ . It is easy to see that the generator of  $\pi_1(D_i)$  is represented by  $h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_i}$ , where  $(m_i, n_i)$  is a pair of integers such that  $m_i p_i - n_i q_i = 1$ . Then

$$
\tau^{(2)}(D_i, \rho \circ \zeta_{i*}; \zeta_{i*}) = \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}} (I^{n \times n} - h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i} \cdot \rho(h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i}))^{-1},
$$

where h and  $f_i$  are again viewed as elements in G. Since h and  $f_i$  commute and are simultaneously upper triangularizable, the modulus of all eigenvalues of  $\rho(h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i})$  $\lambda_i^{n_i}$ ) are  $\lambda_1^{1/p_i}$  $\lambda_1^{1/p_i}, \ldots, \lambda_n^{1/p_i}$ . Note that  $h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i}$  $i^{n_i}$  is an infinite order element. By [Lemma 2.5,](#page-6-1)

$$
\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}}(I^{n \times n} - h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i} \cdot \rho(h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i})) = \text{Mah}\bigg(\prod_{r=1}^n (1 - z \lambda_r^{1/p_i})\bigg) = \Lambda^{-1/p_i},
$$

and then  $\tau^{(2)}(D_i, \rho \circ \zeta_{i*}; \zeta_{i*}) = \Lambda^{1/p_i}$ .

Finally, combining the calculations above,

$$
\frac{\tau^{(2)}(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa_*, \kappa_*) \prod_{i=1}^k \tau^{(2)}(D_i, \rho \circ \zeta_{i*}; \zeta_{i*})}{\prod_{i=1}^k \tau^{(2)}(T_i, \rho \circ \iota_{i*}; \iota_{i*})} = \Lambda^{-(2g+b+k-2)+\sum_{i=1}^k 1/p_i}
$$

$$
= \Lambda^{2-2g-b-\sum_{i=1}^k (1-1/p_i)} = \Lambda^{\chi_{orb}},
$$

and the conclusion follows from [\(1\).](#page-12-0)

## 4.2 Twisted  $L^2$ -torsion for hyperbolic or mixed manifolds

In this part, we assume that  $N$  is not a graph manifold, or equivalently,  $N$  contains at least one hyperbolic piece in its geometrization decomposition. Then  $N$  is either hyperbolic or so-called mixed. By Agol's

Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, Volume 24 (2024)*

:

 $\Box$ 

RFRS criterion [\[2008\]](#page-22-1) for virtual fibering and the virtual specialness of 3–manifolds having at least one hyperbolic piece [\[Agol 2013;](#page-22-2) [Przytycki and Wise 2018\]](#page-23-14), we can assume that N has a regular finite cover that fibers over the circle.

For future convenience, we introduce the following notions.

**Definition 4.2** Let G be a finitely generated, residually finite group. For any cohomology class  $\psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ , and any real number  $t > 0$ , there is an 1-dimensional representation

$$
\psi_t \colon G \to \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad g \mapsto t^{\psi(g)}.
$$

This representation can be used to twist  $\mathbb{C}G$ , determining a  $\mathbb{C}G$ –homomorphism

$$
\kappa(\psi, t) \colon \mathbb{C}G \to \mathbb{C}G, \qquad g \mapsto t^{\psi(g)}g, \quad g \in G,
$$

and extend  $\mathbb{C}-$ linearly. The  $\mathbb{C}G$ -homomorphism  $\kappa(\psi, t)$  is called the *Alexander twist of*  $\mathbb{C}G$  *associated to*  $(\psi, t)$ .

**Definition 4.3** A positive function  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is multiplicatively convex if the function

$$
F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \log f(e^t),
$$

is a convex function. In particular, a multiplicatively convex function is continuous and everywhere positive.

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Our main technical tool is the following theorem due to Liu [\[2017,](#page-23-4) Theorem 5.1].

**Theorem 4.4** Let G be a finitely generated, residually finite group. For any square matrix A over  $\mathbb{C}G$ and any 1–cohomology class  $\psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ , the function

$$
t \mapsto \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathfrak{r}}(\kappa(\psi, t)A), \quad t > 0,
$$

is either constantly zero or multiplicatively convex (and in particular everywhere positive).

<span id="page-14-0"></span>With the above preparations, we are now ready to prove [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) for hyperbolic or mixed 3–manifolds.

**Theorem 4.5** Suppose N is a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Assume that N is hyperbolic or mixed. Then  $\tau^{(2)}(N, \rho) > 0$ .

**Proof** Since twisted  $L^2$ -torsion behaves multiplicatively with respect to finite covers by [Lemma 3.6,](#page-10-0) we may assume without loss of generality that  $N$  itself fibers over the circle.

The following procedure is analogous to [\[Dubois et al. 2015b,](#page-23-8) Theorem 8.5]. Denote by  $\Sigma$  a fiber of N, and  $f: \Sigma \to \Sigma$  the monodromy such that N is homeomorphic to the mapping torus

$$
T_f(N) = \sum x [-1, 1]/(x, -1) \sim (f(x), 1).
$$

We can assume by isotopy that f has a fixed point P. Construct a CW structure X modeled on  $\Sigma$ with a single 0–cell P, k 1–cells  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ , and a 2–cell  $\sigma$ . By CW approximation, there is a cellular map  $g: \Sigma \to \Sigma$  homotopic to f. Then the mapping torus  $T_g(\Sigma)$  is homotopy equivalent to N, which is a simple homotopy equivalence since the Whitehead group of a fibered 3–manifold is trivial; see [\[Waldhausen 1978,](#page-23-15) Theorems 19.4 and 19.5]. Hence, by [Lemma 3.6,](#page-10-0)

$$
\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho) = \tau^{(2)}(T_g(\Sigma),\rho).
$$

We proceed to describe a CW complex for the mapping torus  $T_g(\Sigma)$ . Suppose  $\pi_1(N) = \pi_1(T_g(\Sigma)) =: G$ . The cells in each dimensions are

$$
\{\sigma \times I\}, \quad \{\sigma, E_1 \times I, \ldots, E_k \times I\}, \quad \{E_1, \ldots, E_k, P \times I\}, \quad \{P\},\
$$

where  $I = [-1, 1]$ . Let  $e_i := [E_i] \in G$  and  $h := [P \times I] \in G$  be the fundamental group elements represented by the corresponding loops. Denote by  $\psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$  the 1–cohomology class dual to the fiber  $\Sigma$ . Then

$$
\psi(h) = 1, \quad \psi(e_1) = \cdots = \psi(e_k) = 0.
$$

The CW chain complex of  $\widehat{T_g(\Sigma)}$  has the form<br> $C_*(\widehat{T_g(\Sigma)}) = (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G \stackrel{\partial_3}{\rightarrow}$ 

$$
C_*(\widehat{T_g(\Sigma)}) = (0 \to \mathbb{C}G \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathbb{C}G^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{C}G^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{C}G \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0)
$$

in which

$$
\partial_3 = (1-h,*,\ldots,*), \quad \partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ I^{k \times k} - h \cdot A & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ 1-h \end{pmatrix}
$$

where "\*" stands for matrices of appropriate size, and A is a matrix over  $\mathbb{C}[\ker \psi]$  of size  $k \times k$ . Denote by  $A_{\rho}$  the matrix A twisted by  $\rho$ , as in [Proposition 2.2.](#page-4-0) Then the  $L^2$ -chain complex of  $T_g(\Sigma)$  twisted by  $(G, \rho; \mathrm{id}_G)$  is

$$
C^{(2)}_{*}(T_g(\Sigma), \rho) = (0 \to l^2(G)^n \xrightarrow{\partial_3^{\rho}} l^2(G)^{n(k+1)} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^{\rho}} l^2(G)^{n(k+1)} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^{\rho}} l^2(G)^n \to 0)
$$

in which

$$
\partial_3^{\rho} = (I^{n \times n} - h\rho(h), *, \dots, *), \quad \partial_2^{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ I^{nk \times nk} - h \cdot \rho(h) A_{\rho} * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_1^{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ I^{n \times n} - h\rho(h) \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Consider the matrices

$$
S := I^{n \times n} - h\rho(h), \quad T := I^{nk \times nk} - h\rho(h)A_{\rho},
$$

and the matrices under the Alexander twist associated to  $(\psi, t)$ ,

$$
S(t) := \kappa(\psi, t)S = I^{n \times n} - t \cdot h\rho(h), \quad T(t) := \kappa(\psi, t)T = I^{nk \times nk} - t \cdot h\rho(h)A\rho.
$$

For any real number  $t > 0$  sufficiently small, the two matrices  $S(t)$  and  $T(t)$  are both invertible with regular Fuglede–Kadison determinant equal to 1; see [\[Dubois et al. 2015b,](#page-23-8) Proposition 8.8]. Then Liu's [Theorem 4.4](#page-14-1) applies to show that these two Fuglede–Kadison determinants are positive when  $t = 1$ . It follows from [Lemma 2.6](#page-6-2) that  $\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho) = \det_{N(G)}^{\mathsf{r}} T(1) \cdot \det_{N(G)}^{\mathsf{r}} S(1)^{-2}$  is positive.  $\Box$ 

[Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) then follows from Theorems [4.1](#page-11-0) and [4.5.](#page-14-0)

## <span id="page-16-0"></span>5 Continuity of twisted  $L^2$ –torsion on representation varieties

Let  $N$  be any compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary, and set  $G := \pi_1(N)$ . Suppose that G is infinite, and denote by  $\Re_n(G) := \text{Hom}(G, SL(n, \mathbb{C}))$  the representation variety. Then [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) implies that the twisted  $L^2$ –torsion can be viewed as a positive function

$$
\rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{R}_n(G).
$$

The continuity of this torsion function is an interesting but rather hard question. Work of Liu [\[2017,](#page-23-4) Theorem 1.2] has shown that the torsion function is continuous in Hom $(G, \mathbb{R})$  along the Alexander twists. We remark that in his article the twist is not unimodular, and an equivalence class for torsion functions is introduced to guarantee well-definedness. If N is hyperbolic,  $\rho_0: G \to \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$  is a holonomy representation associated to the hyperbolic structure, and  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_2(G)$  is a lifting of  $\rho_0$  (such lifting always exists, see [\[Culler 1986,](#page-23-16) Corollary 2.2]), then Bénard and Raimbault [\[2022\]](#page-22-0) proved that the torsion function is analytic near  $\rho$ . The continuity of the torsion function in general is wide open. In this section we present a partial result on the continuity of the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion function, namely [Theorem 1.2.](#page-2-0) We start with a brief discussion of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsions since it is closely related to the proof of [Theorem 1.2.](#page-2-0)

## 5.1  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion

The  $L^2$ –torsion twisted by 1–dimensional representations is called  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion. To be precise, for any 1–cohomology class  $\psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$  and any real number  $t > 0$ , the  $L^2$ –*Alexander torsion* of N associated to  $(\psi, t)$  is defined to be

$$
A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) := \tau^{(2)}(C_*^{(2)}(N, \psi_t)).
$$

Recall  $\psi_t: G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$  that maps  $g \in G$  to  $t^{\psi(g)}$  is the representation associated to  $(\psi, t)$ . Since  $\psi_t$  is not a unimodular representation, the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion depends on the based  $\mathbb{C}G$ –chain complex  $C_*(\hat{N})$ . Indeed, altering the CG-basis of  $C_*(\hat{N})$ , the base change matrix for  $C_*^{(2)}(N, \psi_t)$  will be a permutation matrix with entries  $\pm t^{\pm \psi(g_i)} g_i$  (compare [Proposition 3.3\)](#page-7-0), whose regular Fuglede–Kadison determinant is  $t^{\sum_i \pm \psi(g_i)}$ . Since  $g_i \in G$  are independent of  $\psi$  and t, the continuity of  $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$  as a function of  $(\psi, t) \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$  is independent of the choice of cellular basis; here  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  is given the usual real vector space topology.

In [\[Dubois et al. 2015a;](#page-23-3) [2015b\]](#page-23-8), one considers  $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$  as a function of t, and introduces an equivalence relation between functions. Namely, two functions  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [0, +\infty)$  are equivalent if and only if there exists a real number  $r$  such that

$$
f_1(t) = t^r \cdot f_2(t)
$$

holds for all  $t > 0$ . In this case we denote by  $f_1 \doteq f_2$ . So the equivalence class of  $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$  as a function of  $t$  does not depend on the choice of cellular basis.

Another way to cure the ambiguity is to modify  $\psi_t$  to be a unimodular 2–dimensional representation. Set

$$
\psi_t \oplus \psi_{t-1} : G \to \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t^{\psi(g)} & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-\psi(g)} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then it is easy to observe that  $C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t-1}) = C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_t) \oplus C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_{t-1})$ , and hence by Lück [\[2002,](#page-23-0) Theorem 3.35],

$$
A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) \cdot A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t^{-1}) = \tau^{(2)}(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}}),
$$

which does not depend on the choice of cellular basis. This fact motivates the following definition.

**Definition 5.1** For any  $\psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$  and  $t > 0$ , we define the *symmetric*  $L^2$ –*Alexander torsion of* N *associated to*  $(\psi, t)$  to be

$$
A_{sym}^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) := \tau^{(2)}(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t-1})^{1/2}.
$$

It is shown in [\[Dubois et al. 2015a,](#page-23-3) Chapter 6] that the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion satisfies

$$
A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = t^{-\psi(c_1(e))} \cdot A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t^{-1})
$$

where  $c_1(e) \in H_1(N; \mathbb{Z})$  is independent of  $(\psi, t)$ . This shows that

$$
A_{sym}^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = t^r \cdot A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)
$$

for some real number r. We remark that, as a function of  $(\psi, t)$ , the continuity of  $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$  defined by any CW structure is equivalent to the continuity of  $A_{sym}^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$ .

As an illustration of the various definitions, we rediscover the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion  $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$  for a graph manifold N using [Theorem 4.1.](#page-11-0) The calculation is first carried out by Herrmann [\[2017\]](#page-23-17) for Seifert fibering space and by [\[Dubois et al. 2015a\]](#page-23-3) for graph manifolds.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Theorem 5.2** Let N be a graph manifold with infinite fundamental group. Suppose that  $N \neq S^1 \times D^2$ and  $N \neq S^1 \times S^2$ . Then a representative of the  $L^2$ -torsion twisted by  $(\psi, t)$  is

$$
A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = \max\{1, t^{x_N(\psi)}\},
$$

where  $x_N$  is the Thurston norm for  $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof** For  $t \ge 1$ , set  $\rho := \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t-1}$ . Then, by [Theorem 4.1,](#page-11-0)

$$
A_{\text{sym}}^{(2)}(N,\psi,t)^2 = \tau^{(2)}(N,\psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}}) = \prod_{M \subset N \text{ is a Seifert piece}} t^{-|\psi(h)| \cdot \chi_{\text{orb}}},
$$

where  $h \in H^1(M;\mathbb{R})$  is represented by the regular fiber of M and  $\chi_{orb}$  is the orbifold Euler characteristic of  $M/S^1$ . By our assumption on N, we know that  $\chi_{orb} \le 0$ , so  $-|\psi(h)| \cdot \chi_{orb} = x_M(\psi)$  by [\[Herrmann](#page-23-17)

[2017,](#page-23-17) Lemma A], where  $x_M$  is the Thurston norm for  $H^1(M;\mathbb{R})$ . Then by [\[Eisenbud and Neumann](#page-23-18) [1985,](#page-23-18) Proposition 3.5],

$$
\sum_{M \subset N \text{ is a Seifert piece}} x_M(\psi) = x_N(\psi)
$$

and so

$$
A_{sym}^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)^2 = t^{x_N(\psi)}, \quad t \ge 1.
$$

Since the symmetric  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion is by definition symmetric,

$$
A_{sym}^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = \max\{t^{\frac{1}{2}x_N(\psi)}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}x_N(\psi)}\} \doteq \max\{1, t^{x_N(\psi)}\}.
$$

It follows that the L<sup>2</sup>–Alexander torsion of graph manifolds is continuous in  $(\psi, t) \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . For a general 3–manifold N, the continuity of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion is a hard question. Liu [\[2017\]](#page-23-4) and Lück [\[2018\]](#page-23-5) independently proved that the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion function is always positive. Moreover Liu proved in the same article that  $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$  is continuous with respect to t. Lück [\[2018,](#page-23-5) Chapter 10] conjectured that this function is continuous with respect to  $(\psi, t) \in H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . We will see that this statement is true.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Theorem 5.3** Let N be a compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose  $\pi_1(N) = G$  is infinite. Then any representative of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion function  $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$  is continuous with respect to  $(\psi, t) \in H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ .

[Theorem 1.2](#page-2-0) is now a corollary of [Theorem 5.3,](#page-18-0) as we restate here.

**Theorem 5.4** Let  $N$  be a compact orientable irreducible 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose  $\pi_1(N) = G$  is infinite. Define  $\mathcal{R}_n^{\dagger}(G)$  to be the subvariety of  $\mathcal{R}_n(G)$  consisting of upper triangular representations. Then the twisted  $L^2$ -torsion function

$$
\rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N,\rho)
$$

is continuous with respect to  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathsf{t}}_n(G)$ .

**Proof** Fix a CW structure for N and fix a choice of cell-lifting to  $\hat{N}$ , so we can talk about the  $L^2$ – Alexander torsion unambiguously. For any  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_n^{\dagger}(G)$ , we can assume that

$$
\rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(g) & \cdots & * \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \chi_n(g) \end{pmatrix},
$$

where  $\chi_k: G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$  are characters. The modulus of those characters can be written as

$$
|\chi_k| = e^{\phi_k}, \quad g \mapsto e^{\phi_k(g)},
$$

for some real 1–cohomology classes  $\phi_k \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ . The classes  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$  are continuous with respect to  $\rho \in \mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{n}(G)$ .

Let  $V_n$  be the G-invariant subspace of V corresponding to  $\chi_n$ , and let  $V' := V/V_n$ , then there is an exact sequence of G–representations

$$
0 \to V_n \to V \to V' \to 0,
$$

where the  $G$ -actions are given by

$$
\rho_n(g) = \chi_n(g), \quad \rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(g) & \cdots & * \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \chi_n(g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho'(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(g) & \cdots & * \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \chi_{n-1}(g) \end{pmatrix},
$$

respectively. Then, by Lück [\[2018,](#page-23-5) Lemma 3.3],

$$
\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho) = \tau^{(2)}(N,\rho_n)\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho').
$$

Since unitary twists have no effect on  $L^2$ –torsions by Lück [\[2018,](#page-23-5) Theorem 4.1], we have

$$
\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho_n) = \tau^{(2)}(N,e^{\phi_n}) = A^{(2)}(N,\phi_n,e).
$$

The above process can then be applied to  $\rho'$  and finally we have the formula

$$
\tau^{(2)}(N,\rho) = A^{(2)}(N,\phi_1,e)\cdots A^{(2)}(N,\phi_n,e).
$$

Since the cohomology classes  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$  vary continuously with respect to  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}_n(G)$ , the conclusion follows from [Theorem 5.3.](#page-18-0)  $\Box$ 

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of [Theorem 5.3.](#page-18-0) We will need the notion of Alexander multitwists.

#### 5.2 Alexander multitwists of matrices

Recall that  $G$  is any finitely generated, residually finite group. For any collection of 1–cohomology classes  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$  and any collection of positive real numbers  $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , we define a CG–homomorphism

$$
\kappa(\Phi, T): \mathbb{C}G \to \mathbb{C}G, \quad g \to t_1^{\phi_1(g)} \cdots t_n^{\phi_n(g)} \cdot g, \quad g \in G.
$$

This is called the *Alexander multitwist of*  $\mathbb{C}G$  *associated to*  $(\Phi, T)$ .

**Proposition 5.5** Basic properties of the Alexander multitwist:

(1) Associativity Suppose  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$  and  $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ . Then

$$
\kappa(\Phi, T) = \kappa(\phi_1, t_1) \circ \cdots \circ \kappa(\phi_n, t_n).
$$

- (2) **Commutativity**  $\kappa(\phi_1, t_1) \circ \kappa(\phi_2, t_2) = \kappa(\phi_2, t_2) \circ \kappa(\phi_1, t_1).$
- (3) Change of coordinate Let  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ; then

$$
\kappa(r_1\phi_1 + r_2\phi_2, t) = \kappa(\phi_1, t^{r_1}) \circ \kappa(\phi_2, t^{r_2}),
$$
  

$$
\kappa(\phi, t_1^{r_1}t_2^{r_2}) = \kappa(r_1\phi, t_1) \circ \kappa(r_2\phi, t_2).
$$

The Alexander multitwist extends to an endomorphism of the matrix algebra with entries in  $\mathbb{C}G$ .

In the following part of this section, we shall fix a square matrix  $\Omega$  over  $\mathbb{C}G$ , and suppose that  $det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\Gamma}(\Omega)$ is not zero. For any collection of 1–cohomology classes  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$  and positive real numbers  $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ , we introduce the notation

$$
V_{\Phi}(T) := \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathfrak{r}}(\kappa(\Phi, T)\Omega).
$$

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Proposition 5.6** For any fixed choice of  $\Phi$ , the multivariable function  $V_{\Phi}(T)$  is everywhere positive and is multiplicatively convex in each coordinate with respect to  $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ .

Proof By associativity and commutativity of the Alexander multitwist,

$$
\kappa(\Phi, T)\Omega = \kappa(\phi_i, t_i) \circ \kappa(\Phi', T')\Omega
$$

where  $(\Phi', T')$  are variables other than  $(\phi_i, t_i)$ . The conclusion then follows from applying [Theorem 4.4](#page-14-1) to each i.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Theorem 5.7** For any fixed choice of  $\Phi$ , the multivariable real function  $V_{\Phi}(T)$  is multiplicatively convex with respect to  $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ .

**Proof** We will prove that for any fixed choice of  $\Phi$  and every positive integer  $k \le n$ , the function  $V_{\Phi}(T)$ is multiplicatively convex with respect to the first  $k$  coordinates.

The case  $k = 1$  is proved by [Proposition 5.6.](#page-20-1) Assume the claim holds for  $(k - 1)$  and consider

$$
V_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_k}(t_1,\ldots,t_k)=V_{\Phi}(T)
$$

as a function of the first k variables of  $\Phi$  and T. It suffices to prove that for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and any collection of positive numbers  $r_1, \ldots, r_k > 0$  and  $s_1, \ldots, s_k > 0$ ,

$$
(V_{\phi_1,...,\phi_k}(r_1,...,r_k))^{\theta} \cdot (V_{\phi_1,...,\phi_k}(s_1,...,s_k))^{1-\theta} \geq V_{\phi_1,...,\phi_k}(r_1^{\theta} s_1^{1-\theta},...,r_k^{\theta} s_k^{1-\theta}).
$$

We can assume that  $r_1 \neq s_1$ , otherwise this inequality degenerates to the  $(k - 1)$  case after permuting the coordinates. Consider  $\psi_1 = \phi_1 + \lambda \phi_k$  for a real number  $\lambda$  which will be determined later. We have the identity that for all  $t_1, \ldots, t_k > 0$ ,

$$
V_{\psi_1,\phi_2,...,\phi_k}(t_1,...,t_{k-1},t_k)=V_{\phi_1,\phi_2,...,\phi_k}(t_1,...,t_{k-1},t_1^{\lambda}t_k).
$$

By the induction hypothesis, for all  $r > 0$ ,

$$
\left(V_{\psi_1,\phi_2,\dots,\phi_k}(r_1,\dots,r_{k-1},r)\right)^{\theta} \cdot \left(V_{\psi_1,\phi_2,\dots,\phi_k}(s_1,\dots,s_{k-1},r)\right)^{1-\theta} \geq V_{\psi_1,\phi_2,\dots,\phi_k}(r_1^{\theta}s_1^{1-\theta},\dots,r_{k-1}^{\theta}s_{k-1}^{1-\theta},r),
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{split} \left(V_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_k}(r_1,\ldots,r_{k-1},r_1^{\lambda} r)\right)^{\theta} \cdot \left(V_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_k}(s_1,\ldots,s_{k-1},s_1^{\lambda} r)\right)^{1-\theta} \\ &\geq V_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_k}(r_1^{\theta}s_1^{1-\theta},\ldots,r_{k-1}^{\theta}s_{k-1}^{1-\theta},(r_1^{\lambda} r)^{\theta} \cdot (s_1^{\lambda} r)^{1-\theta}). \end{split}
$$

Since  $r_1 \neq s_1$ , we can prescribe  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r > 0$  by solving the equations

$$
r_1^{\lambda}r = r_k, \quad s_2^{\lambda}r = s_k.
$$

This finishes the induction.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>**Corollary 5.8** For any fixed 
$$
(\Phi, T) \in \prod_{i=1}^n H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n
$$
, the function  $W_{\Phi, T}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
W_{\Phi,T}(s_1,\ldots,s_n):=\log(V_{s_1\phi_1,\ldots,s_n\phi_s}(T)),
$$

is convex. In particular, it is continuous.

**Proof** This follows from the identity

$$
W_{\Phi,T}(s_1,\ldots,s_n):=\log(V_{s_1\phi_1,\ldots,s_n\phi_s}(T))=\log(V_{\Phi}(t_1^{s_1},\ldots,t_n^{s_n}))
$$

and the multiplicative convexity of  $V_{\Phi}(T)$ .

<span id="page-21-1"></span>**Theorem 5.9** The regular Fuglede–Kadison determinant map  $det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(\kappa(\phi, t)\Omega)$  is continuous with respect to  $(\phi, t) \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Proof** Let  $\Psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k)$  be a basis for the real vector space  $H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ . Suppose

$$
\phi = \sum_{i=1}^k c_j \psi_j, \quad 1 \le i \le n,
$$

where the coefficients  $c_j$  are continuous with respect to  $\phi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ . Then

 $\mathbf{r}$  . The state of the state  $\mathbf{r}$  is the state of the st

$$
\kappa(\phi, t)\Omega = \kappa(c_1\psi_1, t) \circ \cdots \circ \kappa(c_k\psi_k, t)\Omega
$$
  
=  $\kappa(c_1 \log t \cdot \psi_1, e) \circ \cdots \circ \kappa(c_k \log t \cdot \psi_k, e)\Omega$   
=  $\kappa((c_1 \log t \cdot \psi_1, \ldots, c_k \log t \cdot \psi_k), (e, \ldots, e))\Omega.$ 

By definition,

$$
\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}^{\mathbf{r}}(\kappa(\phi, t)\Omega) = \exp W_{\Psi,(e,\ldots,e)}(c_1 \log t, \ldots, c_k \log t).
$$

The continuity follows from [Corollary 5.8.](#page-21-0)

### 5.3 Applications to 3–manifolds

**Proof of [Theorem 5.3](#page-18-0)** If N is a graph manifold, then [Theorem 5.2](#page-17-0) offers an explicit formula for the L<sup>2</sup>-Alexander torsion; the theorem holds since the Thurston norm is continuous in  $H^1(N;\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $N$  is a compact connected orientable irreducible 3–manifold which is hyperbolic or mixed, then as in the proof of [Theorem 4.5,](#page-14-0) we can find a regular finite covering  $p:\widetilde{N} \to N$  of degree d such that  $\widetilde{N}$ fibers over the circle. Since by [Lemma 3.6](#page-10-0) we have

$$
\tau^{(2)}(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}})^d = \tau^{(2)}(\tilde{N}, p^* \psi_t \oplus p^* \psi_{t^{-1}}),
$$

Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, Volume 24 (2024)*

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

it follows that  $A_{sym}^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)^d = A_{sym}^{(2)}(\tilde{N}, p^*\psi, t)$ . Note that the pullback map  $p^*: H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \to H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R})$ is a continuous embedding, so we only need to prove the theorem for  $\tilde{N}$ . We can assume without loss of generality that our manifold  $N$  fibers over circle. From the proof of [Theorem 4.5,](#page-14-0) we see that

$$
A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = \det_{N(G)}^{r} (\kappa(\psi, t)T) \cdot \det_{N(G)}^{r} (\kappa(\psi, t)S)^{-2},
$$

where  $T = I^{k \times k} - hA_{\rho}$  and  $S = 1 - h$  are square matrices over CG with positive regular Fuglede–Kadison determinant. The conclusion follows immediately from [Theorem 5.9.](#page-21-1)  $\Box$ 

The continuity result can be used to improve previous calculations of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion associated to fibered classes. In [\[Dubois et al. 2015b,](#page-23-8) Theorem 8.2], the calculation is carried out for rational homology classes only. Liu's result [\[2017,](#page-23-4) Theorem 1.2] shows that the asymptotic degree of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion associated to any class equals its Thurston norm, but does not offer an explicit formula.

**Theorem 5.10** Let N be any compact, connected, irreducible, orientable 3–manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose  $\pi_1(N)$  is infinite,  $N \neq S^1 \times D^2$  and  $N \neq S^1 \times S^2$ . Let  $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$  be in the interior of a fibered cone. Then there exists a representative of the  $L^2$ –Alexander torsion associated to  $(\phi, t)$  such that

$$
A^{(2)}(N, \phi, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < 1/h(\phi), \\ t^{x_N(\phi)} & \text{if } t > h(\phi), \end{cases}
$$

where  $h(\phi)$  is the entropy function defined on the fibered cone of  $H^1(N;\mathbb{R})$  (compare [\[Dubois et al.](#page-23-8) [2015b,](#page-23-8) Section 8]).

**Proof** Let  $\phi_n \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Q})$  be a sequence in the fibered cone that converge to  $\phi$ . By [\[Dubois et al. 2015b,](#page-23-8) Theorem 8.5], for any  $n$ ,

$$
A^{(2)}(N,\phi_n,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < 1/h(\phi_n), \\ t^{x_N(\phi_n)} & \text{if } t > h(\phi_n). \end{cases}
$$

By [Theorem 5.3,](#page-18-0)

$$
A^{(2)}(N, \phi_n, t) \to A^{(2)}(N, \phi, t), \quad n \to \infty,
$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since the entropy and the Thurston norm are continuous functions of  $H^1(N;\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$
h(\phi_n) \to h(\phi), \quad x_N(\phi_n) \to x_N(\phi), \quad n \to \infty.
$$

## References

<span id="page-22-1"></span>[Agol 2008] I Agol, *[Criteria for virtual fibering](https://doi.org/10.1112/jtopol/jtn003)*, J. Topol. 1 (2008) 269–284 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/2399130) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1148.57023)

<span id="page-22-2"></span>[Agol 2013] I Agol, *[The virtual Haken conjecture](https://doi.org/10.4171/dm/421)*, Doc. Math. 18 (2013) 1045–1087 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3104553) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1286.57019)

<span id="page-22-0"></span>[Bénard and Raimbault 2022] L Bénard, J Raimbault, *Twisted* L<sup>2</sup>*[–torsion on the character variety](https://doi.org/10.5565/publmat6622211)*, Publ. Mat. 66 (2022) 857–881 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/4443756) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/07556784)

- <span id="page-23-7"></span>[Bergeron and Venkatesh 2013] N Bergeron, A Venkatesh, *[The asymptotic growth of torsion homology for](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748012000667) [arithmetic groups](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748012000667)*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 12 (2013) 391–447 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3028790) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1266.22013)
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>[Chapman 1974] T A Chapman, *[Topological invariance of Whitehead torsion](https://doi.org/10.2307/2373556)*, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974) 488–497 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/391109) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/0358.57004)
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>[Culler 1986] M Culler, *[Lifting representations to covering groups](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(86)90037-X)*, Adv. Math. 59 (1986) 64–70 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/825087) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/0582.57001)
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[Dubois et al. 2015a] J Dubois, S Friedl, W Lück, *The* L<sup>2</sup>*[–Alexander torsion is symmetric](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2015.15.3599)*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 15 (2015) 3599–3612 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3450772) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1337.57035)
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[Dubois et al. 2015b] J Dubois, S Friedl, W Lück, *The* L<sup>2</sup>*[–Alexander torsions of](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2014.10.012)* 3*–manifolds*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 353 (2015) 69–73 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3285150) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1307.57011)
- <span id="page-23-18"></span>[Eisenbud and Neumann 1985] D Eisenbud, W Neumann, *[Three-dimensional link theory and invariants of plane](https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1bgzb9w) [curve singularities](https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1bgzb9w)*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 110, Princeton Univ. Press (1985) [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/817982) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/0628.57002)
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>[Friedl and Lück 2019] S Friedl, W Lück, *The* L<sup>2</sup>*[–torsion function and the Thurston norm of](https://doi.org/10.4171/CMH/453)* 3*–manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. 94 (2019) 21–52 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3941465) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1426.57007)
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>[Hatcher 2007] A Hatcher, *Notes on basic* 3*–manifold topology*, preprint (2007) Available at [https://](https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/3M/3Mdownloads.html) pi.math.cornell.edu/~[hatcher/3M/3Mdownloads.html](https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/3M/3Mdownloads.html)
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>[Hempel 1987] J Hempel, *[Residual finiteness for](https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882083-018)* 3*–manifolds*, from "Combinatorial group theory and topology" (S M Gersten, J R Stallings, editors), Ann. of Math. Stud. 111, Princeton Univ. Press (1987) 379–396 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/895623) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/0772.57002)
- <span id="page-23-17"></span>[Herrmann 2017] G Herrmann, *The* L<sup>2</sup>*[–Alexander torsion for Seifert fiber spaces](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-017-1062-z)*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 109 (2017) 273–283 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3687871) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1397.57025)
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>[Kitano 1994] **T Kitano**, *[Reidemeister torsion of Seifert fibered spaces for](https://doi.org/10.3836/tjm/1270128187)* SL(2;  $\mathbb{C}$ *)–representations*, Tokyo J. Math. 17 (1994) 59-75 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/1279569) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/0846.55010)
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>[Li and Zhang 2006a] W Li, W Zhang, *An L<sup>2</sup>[–Alexander–Conway invariant for knots and the volume conjecture](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812772527_0025)*, from "Differential geometry and physics" (M-L Ge, W Zhang, editors), Nankai Tracts Math. 10, World Sci., Hackensack, NJ (2006) 303–312 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/2327174) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1131.57015)
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[Li and Zhang 2006b] W Li, W Zhang, *An L<sup>2</sup>[–Alexander invariant for knots](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199706002088)*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 8 (2006) 167–187 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/2219611) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1104.57008)
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[Liu 2017] Y Liu, *Degree of* L<sup>2</sup>*[–Alexander torsion for](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-016-0680-6)* 3*–manifolds*, Invent. Math. 207 (2017) 981–1030 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3608287) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1383.57019)
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[Lück 2002] W Lück, L<sup>2</sup>*[–invariants: theory and applications to geometry and](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-04687-6)* K*–theory*, Ergebnisse der Math. 44, Springer (2002) [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/1926649) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1045.57020)
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[Lück 2018] W Lück, *Twisting* L<sup>2</sup>*[–invariants with finite-dimensional representations](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793525318500279)*, J. Topol. Anal. 10 (2018) 723–816 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3881040) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1411.57037)
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>[Przytycki and Wise 2018] P Przytycki, D T Wise, *Mixed* 3*[–manifolds are virtually special](https://doi.org/10.1090/jams/886)*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (2018) 319–347 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/3758147) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/1511.57025)
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>[Scott 1983] P Scott, *[The geometries of](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/15.5.401)* 3*–manifolds*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 15 (1983) 401–487 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/705527) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/0561.57001)
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>[Waldhausen 1978] F Waldhausen, *Algebraic* K*[–theory of generalized free products, II](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971166)*, Ann. of Math. 108 (1978) 205–256 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/498808) [Zbl](http://msp.org/idx/zbl/0397.18012)

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University Beijing, China

[duanjr@stu.pku.edu.cn](mailto:duanjr@stu.pku.edu.cn)

Received: 27 October 2022 Revised: 3 January 2023



#### ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

#### [msp.org/agt](http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/agt)

#### EDITORS

#### PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre [etnyre@math.gatech.edu](mailto:etnyre@math.gatech.edu) Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess [kathryn.hess@epfl.ch](mailto:kathryn.hess@epfl.ch) École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

BOARD OF EDITORS



See inside back cover or [msp.org/agt](http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/agt) for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$705/year for the electronic version, and \$1040/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews,](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet) [Zentralblatt MATH,](http://www.emis.de/ZMATH/) [Current Mathematical Publications](http://www.ams.org/bookstore-getitem/item=cmp) and the [Science Citation Index.](http://www.isinet.com/products/citation/wos/)

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.



© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

## ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 24 Issue 4 (pages 1809–2387) 2024

