

AG
T

*Algebraic & Geometric
Topology*

Volume 25 (2025)

Rigidity of elliptic genera for nonspin manifolds

MICHAEL WIEMELER



Rigidity of elliptic genera for nonspin manifolds

MICHAEL WIEMELER

We discuss the rigidity of elliptic genera for nonspin manifolds M with S^1 -action. We show that if the universal covering of M is spin, then the universal elliptic genus of M is rigid. Moreover, we show that there is no condition which only depends on $\pi_2(M)$ that guarantees the rigidity in the case that the universal covering of M is nonspin.

53C27, 57R15, 57R91, 57S15, 58J26

1 Introduction

A Λ -genus is a ring homomorphism $\varphi: \Omega_*^{\text{SO}} \rightarrow \Lambda$, where Λ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra and Ω_*^{SO} is the oriented bordism ring. For such a homomorphism one denotes by

$$g(u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\varphi[\mathbb{C}P^{2i}]}{2i+1} u^{2i+1} \in \Lambda[[u]]$$

the logarithm of φ .

A Λ -genus φ is called *elliptic* if there are $\delta, \epsilon \in \Lambda$ such that its logarithm is given by

$$g(u) = \int_0^u \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1 - 2\delta z^2 + \epsilon z^4}}.$$

Examples of elliptic genera are the signature and the \hat{A} -genus. For background material on elliptic genera see the books by Hirzebruch, Berger and Jung [10] and by Landweber et al. [12].

There is a universal elliptic genus $\phi: \Omega_*^{\text{SO}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ which actually takes values in $\mathbb{Q}[[q]]$. For an oriented even-dimensional manifold M the coefficients of $\phi[M]$ are indices of the signature operator on M twisted with some vector bundles (for a precise definition see Bott and Taubes [4, Section 2]). In the following we are mainly concerned with this genus (and its equivariant refinement). Therefore we call ϕ *the* elliptic genus.

If the oriented closed even-dimensional manifold M is acted on by the circle group S^1 , then $\phi[M]$ can be refined to the equivariant elliptic genus $\phi_{S^1}[M] \in R(S^1)[[q]] \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of M , where $R(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$ is the complex representation ring of S^1 . Note that $\phi_{S^1}[M]$ is an equivariant bordism invariant of M .

So $\phi_{S^1}[M]$ is of the form

$$\phi_{S^1}[M] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{nk} \lambda^k q^n$$

with $a_{nk} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that for fixed $n \geq 0$ there are at most finitely many $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a_{nk} \neq 0$.

We call $\phi_{S^1}[M]$ rigid if $a_{nk} = 0$ whenever $k \neq 0$. It has been conjectured by Witten [17] that equivariant elliptic genera of closed, spin manifolds with S^1 -action are rigid. Here an oriented manifold is called spin if its second Stiefel–Whitney class vanishes.

Witten’s conjecture was proved by Bott and Taubes [4]. Alternative proofs have been given by Dessai [6], Liu [14], Taubes [15] and Wiemeler [16]. Herrera and Herrera [9] proposed a proof of the rigidity of elliptic genera of π_2 -finite, oriented manifolds. However, a mistake in the proof was found by Amann and Dessai [1]. They suggested that assuming π_2 - and π_4 -finiteness would be enough to come around this problem and to prove the rigidity (see the correction to [9]).

The aim of this paper is to clarify Lemma 1 of [9]. We find a counterexample to the original statement and obtain the same conclusion under additional hypothesis. We note that Amann and Dessai clarified the proof of Lemma 2 of [9].

Lemma 1 of [9] claims that if M is an even-dimensional orientable manifold acted on by S^1 , then the H -fixed point components in M which contain S^1 -fixed points are orientable for every subgroup $H \subset S^1$. We prove this claim under the extra assumption that the universal covering of M is a spin manifold. This then implies the rigidity of the elliptic genera of closed, oriented S^1 -manifolds M with spin universal cover.

We note that if the oriented, closed, connected manifold M has a finite cover \tilde{M} which is spin then the rigidity of $\phi_{S^1}[M]$ can be deduced directly from the Bott–Taubes theorem. Indeed, by the Lefschetz fixed point formula we can assume that there are S^1 -fixed points in M . This implies that the action of S^1 on M lifts to an action on \tilde{M} . Moreover, since $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is an equivariant covering map with $k < \infty$ sheets the equivariant elliptic genera of \tilde{M} and M are related as follows:

$$k\phi_{S^1}[M] = \phi_{S^1}[\tilde{M}].$$

Therefore the rigidity for M follows from the rigidity for \tilde{M} .

However, we also note that there are manifolds with spin universal cover which do not admit any finite spin cover (see Ebert’s explanation [7]). Therefore we think it is worth stating and proving the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let M be a closed, oriented, even-dimensional S^1 -manifold whose universal covering is spin. Then the equivariant elliptic genus of M is rigid.*

Using an argument which goes back to Hirzebruch and Slodowy [11] one sees that this theorem implies the following generalization of a result of Atiyah and Hirzebruch [2].

Corollary 1.2 *Let M be a closed, connected, oriented manifold whose universal covering is spin such that S^1 acts nontrivially on M . Then the \hat{A} -genus of M vanishes.*

In [1] (see also the addendum) examples of oriented, closed, simply connected, effective S^1 -manifolds M with $\pi_2(M) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\hat{A}(M) \neq 0$ were given. In view of the original claim in [9] and Corollary 1.2 one might ask if there is any condition on π_2 of a closed, connected, oriented, effective S^1 -manifold M

with nonspin universal covering which guarantees the vanishing of $\hat{A}(M)$. Using equivariant surgery, we give the following negative answer to this question.

Theorem 1.3 *Let V be a group such that there is a closed, connected, oriented manifold P of dimension $4n \geq 8$ with nonspin universal covering and $\pi_2(P) \cong V$. Then there is a closed, connected, oriented, effective S^1 -manifold M of dimension $4n$ with $\hat{A}(M) \neq 0$ and $\pi_2(M) \cong V$.*

To explain the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have to recall the strategy of proof of Bott and Taubes [4].

Let M be a closed, spin, even-dimensional S^1 -manifold. Then the proof of the rigidity of $\phi_{S^1}[M]$ in [4] has two geometric inputs:

- (1) For every subgroup $H \subset S^1$, the fixed set M^H is orientable.
- (2) Orientations on M^H can be chosen in a specific way.

The first property is established in Lemma 10.1 of [4] and also follows from a theorem of Edmonds [8]. How to choose the orientations for M^H is explained on pages 157–158 of [4]. That the choice of orientations discussed there is possible is guaranteed by Lemma 8.1 of [4], which is a special case of Lemma 9.3 of [4]. These lemmas also give relations between the weights of the isotropy representations at the various S^1 -fixed points in M .

From these two properties Bott and Taubes deduce the rigidity of elliptic genera using the Lefschetz fixed point formula and complex analysis. If M is not spin such that the S^1 -action has these two properties, then one can also prove the rigidity for this S^1 -action along the same lines. As noted by Herrera and Herrera [9], to do so one only needs the orientability of those components of M^H which contain S^1 -fixed points.

Our proof is completed by showing that this holds if the universal covering of M is spin.

This paper is structured as follows. We give a counterexample to Lemma 1 of [9] in Section 2. In Section 3 we then give a proof of this lemma under the extra assumption that the universal covering is spin. With this result we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we then prove a refined version of Theorem 1.3. Then in Section 5 we give another example which shows that there is also a gap in the proof of Lemma 2 in [9]. This gap is different from the mistake found by Amann and Dessai.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Manuel Amann and Anand Dessai for discussions on the subject of this paper. I would also like to thank Matthias Wink for comments on an earlier version of this paper. I thank the referee for comments which helped to improve the readability of this paper.

This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044-390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure and within CRC 1442 Geometry: Deformations and Rigidity.

2 An example of an orientable S^1 -manifold with nonorientable singular strata

Lemma 1 of [9] claims that if M is an orientable S^1 -manifold of even dimension and $F \subset M^H$ is a H -fixed point component for some $H \subset S^1$ with $F^{S^1} \neq \emptyset$ then F is orientable. Here we give an example that shows that this claim is actually false.

For $i = 1, 2$ let V_i be the irreducible S^1 -representation of weight i . Let

$$M_1 = S(V_1^{k_1} \oplus V_2^{k_2} \oplus \mathbb{R})$$

be the unit sphere in the S^1 -representation $V_1^{k_1} \oplus V_2^{k_2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$, where S^1 acts trivially on \mathbb{R} and $k_1 \geq 1, k_2 \geq 2$.

Then $F_1 := M_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = S(V_2^{k_2} \oplus \mathbb{R})$ is connected and contains both S^1 -fixed points. Moreover, by the slice theorem, there is an orbit $O_1 \subset F_1$ which has an invariant neighborhood in M_1 of the form

$$U_1 = S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D(\mathbb{R}_-^{2k_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2k_2-1}),$$

where \mathbb{R}_- is the nontrivial irreducible \mathbb{Z}_2 -representation and \mathbb{R} is the trivial one-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -representation. Here $D(V)$ denotes the unit disc in the representation V .

Moreover, let

$$M_2 = S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (P(\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}_-) \times S(\mathbb{R}_-^{2k_1-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2k_2-2})).$$

Here $P(V)$ denotes the projectivization of the representation V . Note that M_2 is an orientable S^1 -manifold.

Moreover, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -fixed point component $F_2 := (S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2) \times P(\mathbb{R}^3) \times S(\mathbb{R}^{2k_2-2})$ in M_2 is nonorientable and contains an orbit O_2 with an invariant neighborhood in M_2 of the form

$$U_2 = S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} D(\mathbb{R}_-^{2k_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2k_2-1}).$$

Hence we can glue $M_1 \setminus \dot{U}_1$ and $M_2 \setminus \dot{U}_2$ along $\partial U_1 = \partial U_2$ to get an orientable S^1 -manifold M . The manifold M contains a \mathbb{Z}_2 -fixed point component F which can be constructed from F_1 and F_2 by gluing $F_1 \setminus \dot{U}_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $F_2 \setminus \dot{U}_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ along $\partial U_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \partial U_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Since

$$U_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = U_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = (S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2) \times D(\mathbb{R}^{2k_2-1}),$$

F is the equivariant connected sum of F_1 and F_2 at the principal orbits O_1 and O_2 . So F is nonorientable and contains all S^1 -fixed points.

The problem in the proof of Lemma 1 of [9] seems to be a false application of Lemma 9.1 of [4]. This lemma gives a formula for the first Chern class $c_1(E) \in H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ of an equivariant complex vector bundle E over S^2 acted on effectively by S^1 by rotation. It says that

$$(2-1) \quad c_1(E)[S^2] = m_N - m_S,$$

where m_N and m_S are the sums of weights of the S^1 -representations on the fibers of E over the two fixed points $N, S \in S^2$, respectively.

In their proof, Herrera and Herrera construct spheres S^2 with S^1 -action and equivariant maps $f: S^2 \rightarrow M$ and apply the above formula to $E = f^*TM$. This bundle has a compatible complex structure by Lemma 9.2 of [4]. However, the circle actions on these spheres S^2 are in general not effective. They have principal isotropy group some $\mathbb{Z}_k \subset S^1$, $k \geq 1$. In this situation the formula (2-1) has to be corrected by multiplying the left hand side with k .

So if k is even the parity of the right hand side does not tell anything about the parity of the first Chern class $c_1(E)$. But that is needed for the proof.

3 Manifolds with universal covering that is spin

In this section we argue, that the proof of the rigidity of elliptic genera can be carried out under the assumption that the universal cover of the S^1 -manifold is spin. This gives the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let M be a closed, oriented, even-dimensional S^1 -manifold such that its universal cover admits a spin structure. Then the equivariant elliptic genus of M is rigid.*

As explained in the introduction, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let M be an oriented, even-dimensional S^1 -manifold whose universal covering is spin. Moreover, let $F \subset M^H$ be a fixed point component of a subgroup $H \subset S^1$ which contains S^1 -fixed points. Then the following holds:*

- F is orientable.
- An orientation for F can be chosen as specified in [4, pages 157–158].

The basic observation which makes the proof of this lemma possible is the following:

Lemma 3.3 *An orientable manifold M has spin universal covering if and only if for every map $f: S^2 \rightarrow M$ we have $f^*w_2(TM) = 0$.*

Here $w_2(E) \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denotes the second Stiefel–Whitney class of the vector bundle $E \rightarrow B$. This lemma is well known (see [13, pages 88–89]). But for the sake of completeness we also give a proof here.

Proof We may assume that M is connected. Let $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of M . Then by the Hurewicz theorem there is an isomorphism $\pi_2(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, by the universal coefficient theorem there is an isomorphism

$$H^2(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \text{hom}(H_2(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \text{hom}(\pi_2(\tilde{M}), \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Therefore \tilde{M} is spin if and only if for every map $f: S^2 \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ we have

$$0 = f^* w_2(T\tilde{M}) = f^* p^* w_2(TM) = (p \circ f)^* w_2(TM).$$

Since p is a covering we also have that $p_*: \pi_2(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \pi_2(M)$ is an isomorphism. Hence, the claim follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2 Using Lemma 3.3 one can modify the proof of Lemma 9.3 of [4] slightly to see that this lemma holds for the tangent bundle of M . Therefore the second claim in Lemma 3.2 follows once the first claim is shown. So we concentrate on the proof of the first claim.

Let M be an oriented, even-dimensional S^1 -manifold whose universal covering is spin. Let $F \subset M^H$ be a fixed point component of $H = \mathbb{Z}_k \subset S^1$ which contains an S^1 -fixed point. We can assume without loss of generality that H is the principal isotropy group of the induced S^1 -action on F . The irreducible real H -representations are given by

$$V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{[k/2]},$$

where V_0 is the trivial one-dimensional representation, for $0 < l < k/2$ we have $V_l = \mathbb{C}$ on which an element $z \in H \subset S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ acts by complex multiplication with z^l . If, moreover, k is even then $V_{k/2} = \mathbb{R}$ on which a generator of H acts by multiplication with -1 .

Accordingly there is an equivariant splitting

$$TM|_F = E_0 \oplus E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_{[k/2]}$$

into S^1 -invariant subbundles, such that for each $x \in F$, $E_i|_x \cong V_i^{l_i}$ as H -representations for some $l_i \geq 0$ and $i = 0, \dots, [k/2]$. Note that $E_0 = TF$. Moreover, each E_i , $0 < i < k/2$, has an S^1 -invariant complex structure.

So if k is odd, orientability of F follows from the orientability of M . Therefore assume that k is even. As in the proof of Lemma 1 of [9], we construct an S^1 -equivariant map

$$f: S^2 \rightarrow F,$$

such that

- (1) S^1 acts on S^2 by rotation with principal isotropy group H and fixed points N, S ,
- (2) $f(N) = f(S) \in F^{S^1}$,
- (3) $f^* w_2(E_0 \oplus E_{k/2}) \neq 0$ if F is not orientable.

To construct f we start with an equivariant embedding

$$g: S^1 \times (S^1/H) \hookrightarrow F,$$

here S^1 acts on the second factor of $S^1 \times (S^1/H)$ by multiplication. By moving the orbit $g(\{1\} \times (S^1/H))$ into an S^1 -fixed point, we can homotope g to a equivariant map

$$g_1: S^1 \times (S^1/H) \rightarrow F,$$

which is an embedding on $(S^1 \times (S^1/H)) \setminus (\{1\} \times (S^1/H))$ and collapses $\{1\} \times (S^1/H)$ to an S^1 -fixed point. Therefore there is a map $f: S^2 \rightarrow F$ with the properties (1) and (2) and such that $\text{im } f = \text{im } g_1 =: A$. Using Mayer–Vietoris sequences one sees that

$$g_1^*: H^2(A; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(S^1 \times (S^1/H); \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad f^*: H^2(A; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

are isomorphisms. Hence it suffices to show that we can choose g such that $g^*w_2(E_0 \oplus E_{k/2}) = g_1^*w_2(E_0 \oplus E_{k/2}) \neq 0$ if F is nonorientable.

Note that, since the S^1 -action on M is orientation preserving, the codimension of all H' -fixed point components for $H' \subset S^1$ is even. Therefore the inclusion $F_0 \hookrightarrow F$ is one-connected. Here F_0 denotes the union of all principal orbits in F . Note that F_0 is an open and dense subset of F . Hence, if F is nonorientable, then F_0 is also nonorientable. Note that $\pi: F_0 \rightarrow F_0/S^1$ is a principal (S^1/H) -bundle.

Therefore we have

$$(3-1) \quad E_0|_{F_0} = TF_0 = \pi^*(T(F_0/S^1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, it follows that F_0/S^1 is a nonorientable manifold. Choose an embedding $g': S^1 \hookrightarrow F_0/S^1$ such that $g'^*w_1(T(F_0/S^1)) = a$ is a generator of $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Then the principal (S^1/H) -bundle $F_0|_{g'(S^1)}$ is trivial. Therefore g' lifts to an S^1 -equivariant embedding

$$g: S^1 \times (S^1/H) \hookrightarrow F_0.$$

It follows from (3-1) that

$$g^*E_0 = g^*TF_0 = g^*\pi^*(T(F_0/S^1)) \oplus \mathbb{R} = \pi^*g'^*(T(F_0/S^1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence we have

$$g^*w_1(E_0) = a \in H^*(S^1 \times (S^1/H); \mathbb{Z}_2) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(a, b), \quad g^*w_2(E_0) = 0.$$

Moreover, since S^1 acts transitively on S^1/H , we have $g^*E_{k/2} = E \otimes \gamma$, where E is a vector bundle over the first factor S^1 and $\gamma = S^1 \times_H V_{k/2}$. Note that $E_{k/2}$ and E have even rank since F has even codimension in M . Hence, we have $w_1(E) = g^*w_1(E_{k/2})$ and $g^*w_2(E_{k/2}) = w_1(E)w_1(\gamma) = w_1(E)b$.

Since $E_0 \oplus E_{k/2}$ is orientable, we have $w_1(E) = g^*w_1(E_{k/2}) = g^*w_1(E_0) = a$ and $g^*w_2(E_{k/2}) = ab$. Therefore we get

$$g^*w_2(E_0 \oplus E_{k/2}) = g^*w_2(E_{k/2}) + a^2 = g^*w_2(E_{k/2}) = ab \neq 0.$$

This completes the construction of f .

Since $E' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k/2-1} E_i$ has an S^1 -equivariant complex structure, we deduce from (2) and Lemma 9.1 of [4] that $f^*c_1(E') = 0$ and hence $f^*w_2(E') = 0$.

By Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$0 = f^*w_2(TM) = f^*w_2(E') + f^*w_2(E_0 \oplus E_{k/2}) = f^*w_2(E_0 \oplus E_{k/2}).$$

Hence, by (3), F is orientable and the claim is proved. \square

Remark 3.4 The examples of the manifolds M and M_2 in Section 2 show that the first claim in Lemma 3.2 becomes false when one removes one of the assumptions that the universal covering of M is spin or that F contains a fixed point.

Using the arguments of [11, Section 1.5] or [9, Section 1.4] we get:

Corollary 3.5 *Let M be a closed, connected, oriented manifold whose universal covering is spin such that S^1 acts nontrivially on M . Then the \hat{A} -genus of M vanishes.*

For a manifold M , denote by $h_{M_2}: \pi_2(M) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ the Hurewicz map which sends a homotopy class of maps $f: S^2 \rightarrow M$ to the homology class $f_*[S^2]$, where $[S^2]$ denotes the fundamental class of S^2 . Note that Lemma 3.3 can be used to prove the following sufficient conditions for the universal covering being spin.

Lemma 3.6 *A connected, orientable manifold M has spin universal covering if one of the following three conditions holds:*

- (1) $\text{im } h_{M_2}$ is finite and of odd order.
- (2) $\text{im } h_{M_2}$ is finite and M is spin^c .
- (3) M is spin^c and $c_1(M)$ is torsion.

Here a oriented manifold M is called spin^c if $w_2(TM)$ is contained in the image of the natural map $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Moreover, if this is the case then for every preimage $b \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ of $w_2(TM)$ there is a spin^c structure on M with $c_1(M) = b$ (see [13, Appendix D]).

Proof of Lemma 3.6 Let $f: S^2 \rightarrow M$ be a map. By Lemma 3.3, we have to show that under any of the three conditions $f^*w_2(TM) = 0$.

First assume that (3) holds. Then since $H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, we have $0 = f^*c_1(M) \equiv f^*w_2(TM) \pmod{2}$.

Next assume that $\text{im } h_{M_2}$ is finite. Let $p: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be a map of degree $|\text{im } h_{M_2}|$. Then $f \circ p$ is null homologous. Hence we have

$$0 = p^* f^* w_2(TM) = |\text{im } h_{M_2}| f^* w_2(TM).$$

So, if $|\text{im } h_{M_2}|$ is odd, the claim follows.

If M is spin^c , then we can do the above calculation with $c_1(M)$ instead of $w_2(TM)$ and conclude that $0 = f^* c_1(M) \equiv f^* w_2(TM) \pmod{2}$. \square

Lemma 3.6 together with Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.5 gives the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7 *Let M be a closed, connected, oriented, even-dimensional S^1 -manifold such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) $\text{im } h_{M_2}$ is finite and of odd order.
- (2) $\text{im } h_{M_2}$ is finite and M is spin^c .
- (3) M is spin^c and $c_1(M)$ is torsion.

Then the equivariant elliptic genus of M is rigid. Moreover, the \hat{A} -genus of M vanishes if the action is nontrivial.

Conditions (1) and (2) in this corollary are quite close to the original condition of Herrera and Herrera for the rigidity of elliptic genera. However, the example of Amann and Dessai [1] and Corollary 4.3 below show that the conditions after the “and” cannot be removed.

4 Equivariant surgery

In this section we prove a refined version of Theorem 1.3 from the introduction. To do so we have to set up some notation.

Let M be a connected manifold with universal covering $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. Then from the Hurewicz theorem we get an isomorphism

$$\pi_2(M) \cong \pi_2(\tilde{M}) \cong H_2(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $\pi_1(M)$ is acting on \tilde{M} , we get a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]$ -module structure on $\pi_2(M)$. Here $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]$ is the group ring of $\pi_1(M)$. In the rest of this section this $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]$ -module structure on $\pi_2(M)$ is assumed.

If $\dim M \geq 4$ and M' is constructed from M by taking the connected sum of M with a simply connected manifold N in a point $x \in M$, then the universal covering \tilde{M}' of M' is constructed from \tilde{M} by taking the connected sum with copies of N in every point in $p^{-1}(x)$. In particular,

$$\pi_1(M') \cong \pi_1(M), \quad \pi_2(M') \cong \pi_2(M) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M')] \otimes \pi_2(N).$$

Similarly, if $\dim M \geq 6$ and M' is constructed from M by surgery on an embedded sphere $S^2 \subset M$, then $p^{-1}(S^2)$ is the disjoint union of embedded spheres in \tilde{M} and \tilde{M}' is constructed from \tilde{M} by surgery on all of these spheres. In particular,

$$\pi_1(M') \cong \pi_1(M), \quad \pi_2(M') \cong \pi_2(M)/\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M')][\phi],$$

where $\phi: S^2 \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion of the embedded sphere on which we do surgery.

Now we can state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1 *Let G be a finitely presented group and V a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module such that there is a closed, connected, oriented manifold P of dimension $4n \geq 8$ with nonspin universal covering and*

$$(4-1) \quad \pi_1(P) \cong G, \quad \pi_2(P) \cong V.$$

Then there exists a closed, connected, oriented, effective S^1 -manifold M of dimension $4n$ such that:

- $\pi_1(M) \cong G, \pi_2(M) \cong V.$
- *The universal covering of M is nonspin.*
- *M is equivariantly bordant to a linear S^1 -action on $\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$. In particular, $\hat{A}(M) = \hat{A}(\mathbb{C}P^{2n}) \neq 0.$*

Proof Recall first that if M is a manifold and $c \in H^2(M; R)$ for some ring R then c induces a homomorphism

$$\pi_2(M) \rightarrow R, \quad [f] \mapsto f^*c[S^2].$$

We denote this homomorphism also by c . Note that the homomorphism c is invariant under the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on $\pi_2(M)$.

Next we recall some properties of S^1 -manifolds and their orbit spaces.

Let N be a connected effective S^1 -manifold of dimension $m \geq 7$ such that:

- (1) For each nontrivial $H \subset S^1$, $\text{codim } M^H \geq 4.$
- (2) There are S^1 -fixed points in $N.$

We denote by N_0 the union of principal orbits in N . Then N_0 is an open dense subset of N and by (1) the inclusion $\iota: N_0 \hookrightarrow N$ is 3-connected. Moreover, by (2), the map

$$\mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_0) \cong \pi_1(N)$$

induced by the inclusion of an orbit is trivial.

By the exact homotopy sequence for the fibration $p: N_0 \rightarrow N_0/S^1$ we see that there is a exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_2(N_0) \xrightarrow{p^*} \pi_2(N_0/S^1) \xrightarrow{c_1(N_0)} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here we have identified the connecting homomorphism $\pi_2(N_0/S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1)$ in the exact sequence with the homomorphism induced by the first Chern class $c_1(N_0) \in H^2(N_0/S^1; \mathbb{Z})$ of the S^1 -bundle $N_0 \rightarrow N_0/S^1$. Moreover, we see that $p_*: \pi_1(N_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_0/S^1)$ is an isomorphism.

Since $p^*T(N_0/S^1)$ is stably isomorphic to TN_0 we see that for $a \in \pi_2(N_0)$ we have

$$w_2(TN)(t_*a) = w_2(TN_0)(a) = w_2(T(N_0/S^1))(p_*a).$$

Now let $\phi: S^2 \times D^{m-3} \hookrightarrow N_0/S^1$, such that $c_1(N_0)([\phi|_{S^2 \times \{0\}}]) = 0$. Then there is an equivariant embedding

$$\tilde{\phi}: S^1 \times S^2 \times D^{m-3} \hookrightarrow N_0 \hookrightarrow N,$$

where S^1 acts on the first factor by multiplication, covering ϕ .

In this situation we can do equivariant surgery on $\tilde{\phi}$, ie construct an S^1 -manifold

$$N' = (N \setminus \tilde{\phi}(S^1 \times S^2 \times \dot{D}^{m-3})) \cup_{S^1 \times S^2 \times S^{m-4}} S^1 \times D^3 \times S^{m-4}$$

by equivariant gluing. On the level of orbit spaces N'_0/S^1 is constructed from N_0/S^1 by surgery on ϕ . Moreover, N' is equivariantly bordant to N and satisfies (1) and (2) from above.

In particular we have $\pi_1(N'_0/S^1) \cong \pi_1(N_0/S^1)$ and

$$\pi_2(N'_0/S^1) \cong \pi_2(N_0/S^1)/\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(N_0/S^1)][\phi|_{S^2 \times \{0\}}].$$

Moreover, $c_1(N'_0)$ and $w_2(T(N'_0/S^1))$ are the homomorphisms induced from $c_1(N_0)$ and $w_2(T(N_0/S^1))$ on this quotient, respectively.

After these general remarks we describe the construction of M . Let $N = \mathbb{C}P^{2n}$ equipped with a linear effective S^1 -action such that (1) and (2) hold. Moreover, let P' be the $(4n-1)$ -manifold from Lemma 4.4 below. Then (4-1) holds for P' and the universal covering of P' is nonspin.

Let $\phi_1: D^{4n-1} \hookrightarrow N_0/S^1$ and $\phi_2: D^{4n-1} \hookrightarrow P'$ be embeddings. Then form the equivariant connected sum

$$N' = (N \setminus p^{-1}(\phi_1(\dot{D}^{4n-1}))) \cup_{S^{4n-2} \times S^1} (P' \setminus \phi_2(\dot{D}^{4n-1})) \times S^1.$$

Then N' satisfies (1) and (2) and is equivariantly bordant to N since $P' \times S^1$ is an equivariant boundary. On the level of orbit spaces N'_0/S^1 is the connected sum of N_0/S^1 and P' . Therefore we have $\pi_1(N'_0/S^1) = \pi_1(P') = G$ and, moreover

$$\pi_2(N'_0/S^1) \cong \pi_2(P') \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes \pi_2(N_0/S^1) = \pi_2(P') \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]a \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]b,$$

where a, b is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\pi_2(N_0/S^1)$ such that a is a generator of the image of $\pi_2(N_0) \rightarrow \pi_2(N_0/S^1)$ and $c_1(N_0)(b) = 1$. Note that $c_1(N'_0)|_{\pi_2(P')} = 0$ since the restriction of the S^1 -bundle $N'_0 \rightarrow N'_0/S^1$ to $P' \setminus \phi_2(\dot{D}^{4n-1})$ is trivial.

Let g_1, \dots, g_k be generators of G . Then the $(1 - g_i)b$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, are in the kernels of both $c_1(N'_0)$ and $w_2(T(N'_0/S^1))$. So we can represent these homotopy classes by disjointly embedded spheres with trivial normal bundle. We do equivariant surgery on these spheres to get a S^1 -manifold N'' such that (1) and (2) hold for N'' and $\pi_1(N''_0/S^1) \cong G$ and

$$\pi_2(N''_0/S^1) \cong V \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]a \oplus \mathbb{Z}b.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we can find $c \in \pi_2(P') \cong V$ such that $w_2(TP')(c) = w_2(T(N_0/S^1))(a)$. Then $c_1(N''_0)$ and $w_2(T(N''_0/S^1))$ are trivial on $c + a \in \pi_2(N''_0/S^1)$. So we can represent $c + a$ by an embedding of a sphere with trivial normal bundle and do equivariant surgery on this sphere to get an S^1 -manifold M . For this M , (1) and (2) hold. Moreover, $\pi_1(M_0/S^1) \cong G$, $\pi_2(M_0/S^1) \cong V \oplus \mathbb{Z}b$. Since $c_1(M_0)(b) = 1$, and $c_1(M_0)|_V = 0$ we see from the exact homotopy sequence for the fibration $M_0 \rightarrow M_0/S^1$ that $\pi_1(M_0) = G$ and $\pi_2(M_0) = V$. Since $M_0 \rightarrow M$ is 3-connected the claim follows. \square

From Theorem 4.1 we immediately get the following corollary which is Theorem 1.3 from the introduction.

Corollary 4.2 *Let V be a group such that there is a closed, connected, oriented manifold P of dimension $4n \geq 8$ with nonspin universal covering and $\pi_2(P) \cong V$. Then there is a closed, connected, oriented S^1 -manifold M of dimension $4n$ with $\hat{A}(M) \neq 0$ and $\pi_2(M) \cong V$.*

The following corollary shows that the condition (1) of Corollary 3.7 gives the optimal condition which only depends on $\text{im } h_{M_2}$ and guarantees the vanishing of the \hat{A} -genus of a closed, oriented S^1 -manifold M .

Corollary 4.3 *Let V be a finitely generated, abelian group. Assume that V is either infinite or finite and of even order. Then there is a simply connected, closed, oriented manifold M of dimension $4n \geq 8$ such that:*

- $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_2(M) = V$.
- S^1 acts effectively on M .
- M is equivariantly bordant to a linear S^1 -action on $\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$. In particular, $\hat{A}(M) = \hat{A}(\mathbb{C}P^{2n}) \neq 0$.

Proof By Theorem 4.1 and the Hurewicz theorem it suffices to show that there is a closed oriented $4n$ -manifold P which is simply connected and nonspin such that $H_2(P; \mathbb{Z}) = V$.

To do so first note that by our assumption on V there is a nontrivial homomorphism $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, the group V fits into an exact sequence

$$(4-2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{k_1} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Z}^{k_2} \xrightarrow{\phi} V \rightarrow 0$$

with some $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 < \infty$. Let a_1, \dots, a_{k_1} be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^{k_1} .

Denote by W the total space of the nontrivial S^{4n-2} -bundle with structure group $\text{SO}(4n - 1)$ over S^2 . Then by taking the connected sum of several copies of W and $S^2 \times S^{4n-2}$, we construct a simply

connected manifold P_1 with $H_2(P_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{k_2}$ and such that $w_2(TP_1) \in H^2(P_1; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ corresponds to $\psi \circ \phi$ under the isomorphism $H^2(P_1; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \text{hom}(H_2(P_1; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Since (4-2) is exact we can represent the homology classes corresponding to the $\iota(a_i)$ by disjointly embedded spheres in P_1 with trivial normal bundles. By doing surgery on these spheres we get a manifold P as required. □

The following lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.4 *Let P be a closed, connected, oriented manifold of dimension $n \geq 7$. Then there exists a closed, connected, oriented manifold P' such that*

- $\dim P' = n - 1$,
- $\pi_1(P') \cong \pi_1(P)$, $\pi_2(P') \cong \pi_2(P)$,
- *the universal covering of P' is spin if and only if the universal covering of P is spin.*

Proof Let $f: P \rightarrow]-1, n + 1[$ be a Morse-function on P such that $f(x) \in](4i - 1)/4, (4i + 1)/4[$ for all critical points x of f with index $i = 0, \dots, n$. Let $W = f^{-1}(]-1, \frac{7}{2}[)$ and $P' = f^{-1}(\frac{7}{2}) = \partial W$. Then P can be constructed from W by attaching handles of dimension at least 4. Similarly W can be constructed from $f^{-1}([\frac{13}{4}, \frac{7}{2}]) \cong P' \times [\frac{13}{4}, \frac{7}{2}]$ by attaching handles of dimension at least $n - 3$. Therefore the inclusion $W \hookrightarrow P$ is 3-connected. Moreover the inclusion $P' \hookrightarrow W$ is $(n - 4)$ -connected. Since $n \geq 7$, it follows that $P' \hookrightarrow P$ is 3-connected. So the first two claims of the lemma follow. The last claim follows from Lemma 3.3 because $TP' \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong TP|_{P'}$. □

5 Another example

In this section we give an example which shows that there is also a gap in the proof of Lemma 2 in [9] which persists even after the correction of Amann and Dessai.

This lemma is the analog of Lemma 9.3 in [4]. Its purpose is to guarantee that the second claim of Lemma 3.2 holds for π_2 - and π_4 -finite closed oriented S^1 -manifolds M . In the proof of that lemma the finiteness assumption on the homotopy groups is only used to show that the parity of the sum of weights of the isotropy representation at any S^1 -fixed point in M is independent of the fixed point. Then the lemma is concluded from this condition on the weights.

However, the condition on the weights is not good enough to conclude the lemma as the following example shows. Let $\mathbb{C}P_{\pm}^2$ be $\mathbb{C}P^2$ equipped with the following S^1 -action

$$S^1 \times \mathbb{C}P_{\pm}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P_{\pm}^2, \quad (g, [z_0 : z_1 : z_2]) \mapsto [z_0 : g^1 z_1 : g^{\pm 1} z_2].$$

Then one can form the equivariant connected sum $\mathbb{C}P^2_- \# \mathbb{C}P^2_+$ at the fixed points $[1 : 0 : 0] \in \mathbb{C}P^2_{\pm}$. The resulting S^1 -manifold M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$. Moreover, the sum of weights at each S^1 -fixed point in M is odd and all H -fixed point sets M^H for $H \subset S^1$ are orientable. However, Lemma 2 of [9] does not hold for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -fixed point component on which S^1 acts nontrivially. And, indeed, $\hat{A}(M) = -\frac{1}{4} \neq 0$.

We think the problem in the proof of Lemma 2 of [9] is the last equation on page 350. In this equation the constant c is not integral. It is just a rational number with denominator equal to the order of the principal isotropy group of the S^1 -action on the fixed point component in question. Therefore one cannot say anything about the parity of the left hand side of the equation from the parity of the sum on the right hand side unless one only has odd order finite isotropy groups.

Under the assumption that all finite isotropy groups of points in M have odd order, the proofs of both Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 of [9] work so that one can correct the main result of that paper as follows:

Theorem 5.1 *Let M be a connected, oriented, π_2 - and π_4 -finite closed manifold of even dimension such that S^1 acts on M without finite isotropy groups of even order. Then the equivariant elliptic genus of M is rigid. Moreover, the \hat{A} -genus of M vanishes if the action is nontrivial.*

Under the assumption that the S^1 -action on M is semifree, ie all orbits are either free orbits or S^1 -fixed points, we get the following stronger result.

Theorem 5.2 *Let M be a connected, oriented, closed manifold of even dimension such that S^1 acts semifreely on M . Assume that one of the following two conditions holds:*

- M is π_2 - and π_4 -finite.
- The universal covering of M is spin.

Then a multiple of M is equivariantly bordant to a spin manifold with semifree S^1 -action.

Proof By [5, Theorem VI], π_2 - and π_4 -finiteness imply that the S^1 -action is either even or odd, ie the codimensions of all fixed point components are either congruent to zero modulo four or to two modulo four, respectively. By the ($k=1$)-case of the proof of Lemma 9.3 of [4] and Lemma 3.3, the same conclusion holds if the universal covering of M is spin.

By cutting out an open tubular neighborhood of M^{S^1} from M , one sees that the normal unit sphere bundle to M^{S^1} bounds an oriented free S^1 -manifold. Since the action on M is of even or odd type, this means that the disjoint union of the normal unit sphere bundles to the fixed point components of codimension divisible by four (not divisible by four, respectively) bounds an oriented free S^1 -manifold. So the claim follows from Theorem 2.4 of [3]. \square

We conjecture that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 or 5.1, a multiple of M is also equivariantly bordant to a spin manifold with circle action. We note in this context that the vanishing of the \hat{A} -genus implies that a multiple of M is nonequivariantly bordant to a spin manifold with nontrivial circle action (see [2, Section 2.3]).

References

- [1] **M Amann, A Dessai**, *The \hat{A} -genus of S^1 -manifolds with finite second homotopy group*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 348 (2010) 283–285 MR Zbl Addendum at arXiv:2302.00051 (2023)
- [2] **M Atiyah, F Hirzebruch**, *Spin-manifolds and group actions*, from “Essays on topology and related topics”, Springer (1970) 18–28 MR Zbl
- [3] **L D Borsari**, *Bordism of semifree circle actions on Spin manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 301 (1987) 479–487 MR Zbl
- [4] **R Bott, C Taubes**, *On the rigidity theorems of Witten*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989) 137–186 MR Zbl
- [5] **G E Bredon**, *Representations at fixed points of smooth actions of compact groups*, Ann. of Math. 89 (1969) 515–532 MR Zbl
- [6] **A Dessai**, *Rigidity theorems for Spin^C -manifolds*, Topology 39 (2000) 239–258 MR Zbl
- [7] **J Ebert**, *If the universal cover of a manifold is spin, must it admit a finite cover which is spin?*, MathOverflow answer (2020) Available at <https://mathoverflow.net/a/371214>
- [8] **A L Edmonds**, *Orientability of fixed point sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1981) 120–124 MR Zbl
- [9] **H Herrera, R Herrera**, *\hat{A} -genus on non-spin manifolds with S^1 actions and the classification of positive quaternion-Kähler 12-manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002) 341–364 MR Zbl Correction in 90 (2012) 521
- [10] **F Hirzebruch, T Berger, R Jung**, *Manifolds and modular forms*, Aspects of Math. E20, Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, Germany (1992) MR Zbl
- [11] **F Hirzebruch, P Slodowy**, *Elliptic genera, involutions, and homogeneous spin manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata 35 (1990) 309–343 MR Zbl
- [12] **P S Landweber** (editor), *Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1326, Springer (1988) MR Zbl
- [13] **H B Lawson, Jr, M-L Michelsohn**, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Math. Ser. 38, Princeton Univ. Press (1989) MR Zbl
- [14] **K Liu**, *On modular invariance and rigidity theorems*, J. Differential Geom. 41 (1995) 343–396 MR Zbl
- [15] **C H Taubes**, *S^1 actions and elliptic genera*, Comm. Math. Phys. 122 (1989) 455–526 MR Zbl
- [16] **M Wiemeler**, *S^1 -equivariant bordism, invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature, and rigidity of elliptic genera*, J. Topol. Anal. 12 (2020) 1103–1156 MR Zbl
- [17] **E Witten**, *Elliptic genera and quantum field theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 109 (1987) 525–536 MR Zbl

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Münster
Münster, Germany

wiemeler@uni-muenster.de

Received: 20 January 2023 Revised: 18 January 2024

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

msp.org/agt

EDITORS

PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre
etnyre@math.gatech.edu
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Thomas Koberda	University of Virginia thomas.koberda@virginia.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Markus Land	LMU München markus.land@math.lmu.de
Tara E Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Univ. Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Norihiko Minami	Yamato University minami.norihiko@yamato-u.ac.jp
Octav Cornea	Université' de Montreal cornea@dms.umontreal.ca	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Robert Oliver	Université Paris 13 bobol@math.univ-paris13.fr
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Jessica S Purcell	Monash University jessica.purcell@monash.edu
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
David Futер	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Vesna Stojanoska	Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign vesna@illinois.edu
Matthew Hedden	Michigan State University mhedden@math.msu.edu	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
Kristen Hendricks	Rutgers University kristen.hendricks@rutgers.edu	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Daniel T Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu	Lior Yanovski	Hebrew University of Jerusalem lior.yanovski@gmail.com


See inside back cover or msp.org/agt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$760/year for the electronic version, and \$1110/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<https://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 25 Issue 4 (pages 1917–2526) 2025

The zero stability for the one-row colored \mathfrak{sl}_3 -Jones polynomial	1917
WATARU YUASA	
Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras with application to mod p homology of labeled configuration spaces	1945
ADELA YIYU ZHANG	
Coarse Alexander duality for pairs and applications	1999
G CHRISTOPHER HRUSKA, EMILY STARK and HÙNG CÔNG TRẦN	
K-cwaist on complete foliated manifolds	2037
GUANGXIANG SU and XIANGSHENG WANG	
Line bundle twists for unitary bordism are ghosts	2053
THORSTEN HERTL	
The generalized Kauffman–Harary conjecture is true	2067
RHEA PALAK BAKSHI, HUIZHENG GUO, GABRIEL MONTOYA-VEGA, SUJOY MUKHERJEE and JÓZEF H PRZYTYCKI	
Rigidity of elliptic genera for nonspin manifolds	2083
MICHAEL WIEMELER	
Shrinking without doing much at all	2099
MICHAEL FREEDMAN and MICHAEL STARBIRD	
Action of the Mazur pattern up to topological concordance	2115
ALEX MANCHESTER	
Kauffman bracket intertwiners and the volume conjecture	2143
ZHIHAO WANG	
Horizontal decompositions, II	2179
PAOLO LISCA and ANDREA PARMA	
On the nonorientable four-ball genus of torus knots	2209
FRASER BINNS, SUNGKYUNG KANG, JONATHAN SIMONE and PAULA TRUÛL	
Generalised Baumslag–Solitar groups and hierarchically hyperbolic groups	2253
JACK O BUTTON	
Geometric and arithmetic properties of Löbell polyhedra	2281
NIKOLAY BOGACHEV and SAMI DOUBA	
Formality of sphere bundles	2297
JIAWEI ZHOU	
A Quillen stability criterion for bounded cohomology	2317
CARLOS DE LA CRUZ MENGUAL and TOBIAS HARTNICK	
T -equivariant motives of flag varieties	2343
CAN YAYLALI	
Small Heegaard genus and $SU(2)$	2369
JOHN A BALDWIN and STEVEN SIVEK	
Harmonic measures and rigidity for surface group actions on the circle	2391
MASANORI ADACHI, YOSHIFUMI MATSUDA and HIRAKU NOZAWA	
Finite groups of untwisted outer automorphisms of RAAGs	2413
COREY BREGMAN, RUTH CHARNEY and KAREN VOGTMANN	
Computations on cobordism groups of projected immersions	2441
ANDRÁS CSÉPAI	
Rank-preserving additions for topological vector bundles, after a construction of Horrocks	2451
MORGAN P OPIE	
Power sum elements in the G_2 skein algebra	2477
BODIE BEAUMONT-GOULD, ERIK BRODSKY, VIJAY HIGGINS, ALAINA HOGAN, JOSEPH M MELBY and JOSHUA PIAZZA	
On contact mapping classes of prequantizations	2507
SARAH ALBERT, MARIUS GHERGHEA and DANIEL HERNANDEZ	