

AG
T

*Algebraic & Geometric
Topology*

Volume 25 (2025)

Computations on cobordism groups of projected immersions

ANDRÁS CSÉPAI



Computations on cobordism groups of projected immersions

ANDRÁS CSÉPAI

We use a classifying space construction by Szűcs and Terpai to extend Salomonsen’s exact sequence from cobordism groups of immersions to cobordism groups of hyperplane projections of immersions with prescribed singularities. We apply this to obtain results on these cobordism groups in small and large codimensional cases.

57R90; 57R42, 57R45

1 Introduction

A *projected immersion*, or shortly *prim map*, is defined as a smooth map $f: M \rightarrow P$ between manifolds such that there is an immersion $g: M \hookrightarrow P \times \mathbb{R}$ for which f is the composition $\text{pr}_P \circ g$, where pr_P denotes projection to P . Prim maps are maps with singularities, and their cobordism groups are natural generalisations of cobordism groups of immersions and fit into the larger theory of cobordisms of singular maps; see eg Ando [1], Kalmár [6], Sadykov [9], Szűcs [16] and Szűcs and Terpai [19] for different approaches.

Throughout this paper G will denote either of the groups O and SO (although everything goes through without change if G is any stable group in the sense of Wall [21, Section 8.2], ie a direct limit of linear groups with certain properties which imply that it makes sense to talk about cobordism groups with G -structure). We shall denote by γ_{k+1}^G the tautological vector bundle over $BG(k+1)$ and by ζ_r^G the pullback of γ_{k+1}^G by the projection of $S(r+1)\gamma_{k+1}^G$, that is, the sphere bundle of $\gamma_{k+1}^G \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma_{k+1}^G$ with $r+1$ summands. Note that here $r = \infty$ also makes sense: ζ_∞^G is simply the bundle γ_{k+1}^G . The cobordism groups of immersions and prim maps $M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ with normal bundle induced from a vector bundle ξ in the case of immersions, and with normal G -structure and at most Σ^{1r} -type singularities in the case of prim maps, will be denoted by $\text{Imm}^\xi(n, k)$ and $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$, respectively. Note that if $s < r$, there is a natural forgetful homomorphism $\varphi_s^r: \text{Prim}_s^G(n, k) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$.

Szűcs and Terpai [19] proved the isomorphism $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k) \cong \text{Imm}^{\zeta_r^G}(n, k+1)$ for all r . Using this, we will prove:

Theorem 1.1 *For all $0 \leq s < r \leq \infty$ the forgetful homomorphism φ_s^r fits into a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Prim}_s^G(n, k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_s^r} \text{Prim}_r^G(n, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{(s+2)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G}(n - (s+1)(k+1), (s+2)(k+1)) \\ \rightarrow \text{Prim}_s^G(n-1, k) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we recall the definitions of singularities and cobordism groups of immersions and prim maps with prescribed singularities; in Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 and make a few remarks on it; then in Section 4 we apply this theorem to complete the computation of the cobordism groups $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$ modulo small torsion groups where k is either at most 1 or at least $\frac{1}{2}(n-2)$.

2 Singularities and cobordism of prim maps

Definition 2.1 For a smooth map $f: M \rightarrow P$ and a number r , we define $\Sigma^r(f) \subset M$ to be the set of points $p \in M$ where the corank of the differential of f (ie $\dim \ker df_p$) is r . For generic maps f the subset $\Sigma^r(f) \subset M$ is a manifold; hence we can consider for any $s \leq r$ the subset $\Sigma^{r,s}(f) := \Sigma^s(f|_{\Sigma^r(f)})$, and iterating this process yields the definition of the subset $\Sigma^S(f)$ for any weakly decreasing sequence S of nonnegative integers. This classification of singular points defines the *Thom–Boardman singularity type* Σ^S .

Definition 2.2 A map $f: M \rightarrow P$ is said to be a *Morin map* if $\dim \ker df_p \leq 1$ for any point $p \in M$. In this case, each point $p \in M$ belongs to $\Sigma^{1r}(f)$ for some $r \geq 0$, where Σ^{1r} denotes $\Sigma^{1, \dots, 1, 0}$ with r ones. A Morin map f is called a Σ^{1r} -map if $\Sigma^{1r+1}(f)$ is empty.

Remark 2.3 Morin [8] proved that for a Morin map $f: M \rightarrow P$, the germs of f at two points $p, q \in M$ coincide up to local coordinate change precisely if they both belong to $\Sigma^{1r}(f)$ for some r . This local singularity defined by Σ^{1r} is also commonly denoted by A_r .

Now if $f: M \rightarrow P$ is a prim map, then it is Morin. Moreover, the kernel line bundle $\ker df$ over $\Sigma^1(f)$ is trivial. Here we assume prim maps f to be equipped with a fixed trivialisation of $\ker df$ which corresponds to fixing the regular homotopy class of their immersion lifts $g: M \looparrowright P \times \mathbb{R}$ (the maps such that $f = \text{pr}_P \circ g$); we will consider projections of immersions with different trivialisations of the kernel bundle to be different prim maps.

Remark 2.4 It is not hard to see that the opposite of the above observation also holds, namely a Morin map with trivialised kernel line bundle is prim; see eg [13].

Definition 2.5 Fix a number $0 \leq r \leq \infty$. Two prim maps $f_0: M_0^n \rightarrow P^{n+k}$ and $f_1: M_1^n \rightarrow P^{n+k}$ with closed source manifolds and at most Σ^{1r} -type singularities are said to be *prim Σ^{1r} -cobordant* if

- (i) there is a manifold W^{n+1} with boundary $\partial W = M_0 \sqcup M_1$,
- (ii) there is a prim Σ^{1r} -map $F: W \rightarrow P \times [0, 1]$ such that for $i = 0, 1$ the restriction $F|_{M_i}$ is $f_i: M_i \rightarrow P \times \{i\}$.

If the stable normal bundles of f_0 , f_1 and F above are equipped with G -structures, then we call them *prim G - Σ^{1r} -cobordant*. This cobordism is an equivalence relation and we denote the cobordism class represented by a map f by $[f]$ (suppressing G and r in the notation).

Definition 2.6 For two prim Σ^{1r} -maps $f: M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ and $g: N^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ with normal G -structures, forming their disjoint union defines an abelian group operation $[f] + [g] := [f \sqcup g]$ on the set of prim G - Σ^{1r} -cobordism classes of maps of n -manifolds to \mathbb{R}^{n+k} ; this group will be denoted by $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$.

Definition 2.7 For $0 \leq s < r \leq \infty$, assigning to a prim G - Σ^{1s} -cobordism class $[f]$ the prim G - Σ^{1r} -cobordism class of f defines the *forgetful homomorphism* $\varphi_s^r: \text{Prim}_s^G(n, k) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$.

The analogue of the cobordism group $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$ for general (nonprim) Morin maps can be defined analogously to Definitions 2.5 and 2.6; we will denote this group by $\text{Mor}_r^G(n, k)$.

The special case $r = 0$ of Definition 2.5 yields the cobordism of immersions with stable normal G -structures whose cobordism group is denoted by $\text{Imm}^G(n, k)$. In this case not only the stable, but also the actual normal bundle can be specified; if $r = 0$ and the normal bundles of f_0 , f_1 and F in Definition 2.5 are induced from a vector bundle ξ , we get the definition of cobordism of immersions with normal ξ -structures, ie ξ -immersions. This cobordism group is denoted by $\text{Imm}^\xi(n, k)$. Note that we have $\text{Imm}^G(n, k) = \text{Imm}^{\gamma_k^G}(n, k)$ where γ_k^G is the tautological vector bundle over $BG(k)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall that for any vector bundle ξ , there is a Pontryagin–Thom type isomorphism (due to Wells [22]) $\text{Imm}^\xi(n, k) \cong \pi_{n+k}(\Gamma T\xi) \cong \pi_{n+k}^s(T\xi)$, where $T\xi$ denotes the Thom space of ξ and Γ is the functor $\Omega^\infty S^\infty$. In other words, the classifying space of cobordisms of ξ -immersions is $\Gamma T\xi$. Similarly, cobordisms of singular maps also have classifying spaces, ie spaces X such that the appropriate cobordism group of maps $M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{n+k}(X)$; see eg [9] or [16] for general constructions. In [19] Szűcs and Terpai identified the classifying space of the prim cobordism groups $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$ for $0 \leq r \leq \infty$ with $\Omega\Gamma T\zeta_r^G$, yielding

$$\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k) \cong \pi_{n+k+1}^s(T\zeta_r^G) \cong \text{Imm}^{\zeta_r^G}(n, k + 1).$$

Recall that ζ_r^G is defined as the pullback $p_{r+1}^* \gamma_{k+1}^G$, where $p_{r+1}: S(r+1)\gamma_{k+1}^G \rightarrow BG(k+1)$ is the projection of the sphere bundle. For $r = \infty$ this bundle has contractible fibre, and hence in this case p_{r+1} is a homotopy equivalence. The geometric interpretation of ζ_r^G -immersions $g: M^n \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1}$ is that they are immersions with normal G -structure, endowed with $r + 1$ sections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r+1}$ of ν_g which have no common zeros. The identification of the cobordism group $\text{Imm}^{\zeta_r^G}(n, k + 1)$ with $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$ on the level of representatives of cobordism classes assigns to the tuple $(g, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r+1})$ a prim map $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ such that for each i the set $\Sigma^{1i}(f)$ is $\sigma_1^{-1}(0) \cap \dots \cap \sigma_i^{-1}(0)$; hence $\Sigma^{1r+1}(f)$ is empty.

Lemma 3.1 For any $0 \leq s < r \leq \infty$ there is a cofibration

$$T\zeta_s^G \hookrightarrow T\zeta_r^G \rightarrow T(s+2)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G.$$

Proof Consider the disk bundle $D\zeta_r^G$ of ζ_r^G which is the pullback $p_{r+1}^* D\gamma_{k+1}^G$. Now $S(r+1)\gamma_{k+1}^G$ is the union of the disk bundles of the pullbacks of $(s+1)\gamma_{k+1}^G$ and $(r-s)\gamma_{k+1}^G$ over $S(r-s)\gamma_{k+1}^G$ and $S(s+1)\gamma_{k+1}^G$, respectively. That is,

$$S(r+1)\gamma_{k+1}^G = D(s+1)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G \cup D(r-s)\zeta_s^G$$

glued along their common sphere bundles. Thus $D\zeta_r^G$ is the union of $D(s+2)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G$ and $D(r-s+1)\zeta_s^G$. We obtain the Thom space $T\zeta_r^G$ by factoring $D\zeta_r^G$ by $S\zeta_r^G$, and if we also factor this Thom space by the subspace $D(r-s+1)\zeta_s^G/S\zeta_r^G|_{D(r-s)\zeta_s^G}$ we get $T(s+2)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G$. But $D(r-s+1)\zeta_s^G$ deformation retracts to $D\zeta_s^G$, and this retraction takes the subspace $S\zeta_r^G|_{D(r-s)\zeta_s^G}$ to $S\zeta_s^G$; hence $T(s+2)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G$ is the factor of $T\zeta_r^G$ by $T\zeta_s^G$. \square

The long exact sequence of the cofibration in Lemma 3.1 in stable homotopy groups is

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{\zeta_s^G}(n, k+1) &\rightarrow \text{Imm}^{\zeta_r^G}(n, k+1) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Imm}^{(s+2)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G}(n-(s+1)(k+1), (s+2)(k+1)) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{\zeta_s^G}(n-1, k+1) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Here the homomorphism $\text{Imm}^{\zeta_s^G}(n, k+1) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{\zeta_r^G}(n, k+1)$ is induced by the natural inclusion $T\zeta_s^G \subset T\zeta_r^G$, and hence it assigns to the cobordism class of an immersion equipped with $s+1$ sections without common zeros $(g, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{s+1})$ the cobordism class of $(g, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{s+1}, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{r-s})$ for some sections $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{r-s}$. This, when considered as a map $\text{Prim}_s^G(n, k) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$, is clearly the forgetful homomorphism φ_s^r and so Theorem 1.1 is proved.

Remark 3.2 From the proof of Lemma 3.1 it is also apparent that the homomorphism $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{(s+2)\zeta_{r-s-1}^G}(n-(s+1)(k+1), (s+2)(k+1))$ in Theorem 1.1 assigns to the cobordism class of a prim Σ^{1r} -map $f: M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ with immersion lift g the cobordism class of $g|_{\overline{\Sigma}^{1, s+1}(f)}$ with its natural normal structure. Geometrically this means that the only obstruction to removing the singularities more complicated than Σ^{1s} of a prim Σ^{1r} -map by a cobordism is the cobordism class of its restriction to the closure of the Σ^{1s+1} -locus.

Remark 3.3 The extreme cases $s = r - 1$ and $r = \infty$ of Theorem 1.1 are worthwhile to spell out; in fact these are the only cases we will need in the next section and they were (explicitly or implicitly) already obtained in [19]. Firstly, if $s = r - 1$, we get the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Prim}_{r-1}^G(n, k) &\rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^G(n, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{(r+1)(\gamma_k^G \oplus \varepsilon^1)}(n-r(k+1), (r+1)(k+1)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Prim}_{r-1}^G(n-1, k) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where ε^1 is the trivial line bundle and $\text{Imm}^{(r+1)(\gamma_k^G \oplus \varepsilon^1)}(n-r(k+1), (r+1)(k+1))$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Imm}^{(r+1)\gamma_k^G}(n-r(k+1), (r+1)k)$. This is the sequence coming from the analogue

of the key fibration (see [16]) for prim cobordisms which was used in [19] to prove its main theorem. Secondly, if $r = \infty$, we get the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Prim}_s^G(n, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^G(n, k + 1) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{(s+2)\gamma_{k+1}^G}(n - (s + 1)(k + 1), (s + 2)(k + 1)) \\ \rightarrow \text{Prim}_s^G(n - 1, k) \rightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

which was shown to be rationally split in the case $G = \text{SO}$ in [19] and was used to determine the ranks of the cobordism groups $\text{Prim}_s^{\text{SO}}(n, k)$.

Remark 3.4 For $s = 0, r = \infty$ and $k > 0$ the exact sequence in Theorem 1.1 is an exact sequence of Salomonsen [10]: the cobordism groups in this case are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prim}_0^G(n, k) = \text{Imm}^G(n, k), \quad \text{Prim}_\infty^G(n, k) = \text{Imm}^G(n, k + 1), \\ \text{Imm}^{2s_\infty^G}(n - k - 1, 2k + 2) = \text{Imm}^{2\gamma_{k+1}^G}(n - k - 1, 2k + 2), \end{aligned}$$

and the forgetful homomorphism φ_0^∞ is the map induced by the embedding of a hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^{n+k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1}$. Salomonsen’s proof of his sequence is a special case of our proof here.

4 Computations

In the following, for any prime p , we denote by \mathcal{C}_p the Serre class of abelian groups of order a power of p , and, for any number m , by $\mathcal{C}_{\leq m}$ the class of groups of order some combination of primes at most m . The symbol $\cong_{\mathcal{C}}$ for a Serre class \mathcal{C} will denote isomorphism modulo \mathcal{C} .

In [18] the classifying spaces of cobordisms of codimension-0 oriented and unoriented and codimension-1 oriented prim maps were identified with familiar spaces, which yields the correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prim}_r^O(n, 0) &\cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} \pi_{n+1}^s(\mathbb{R}P^{r+1}) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is even or } \infty, \\ \pi^s(n - r) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\ \text{Prim}_r^{\text{SO}}(n, 0) &\cong \pi^s(n) \oplus \pi^s(n - r), \\ \text{Prim}_r^{\text{SO}}(n, 1) &\cong_{\mathcal{C}_{\leq r+1}} \pi_{n+1}^s(\mathbb{C}P^{r+1}) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_{\leq r+1}} \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \pi^s(n - 2i). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the groups $\text{Mor}_r^G(n, 1)$ for $G = O, \text{SO}$ were also computed modulo small torsion groups in [12] and [17], respectively:

$$\text{Mor}_r^O(n, 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Mor}_{2r+1}^{\text{SO}}(n, 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} \text{Mor}_{2r}^{\text{SO}}(n, 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_{\leq 2r+1}} \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \pi^s(n - 4i).$$

We can now get an analogous description of codimension-1 unoriented prim cobordism groups:

Proposition 4.1 For all n and r we have

$$\text{Prim}_{2r}^O(n, 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} \text{Prim}_{2r-1}^O(n, 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_{\leq 2r}} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^s(n - 2 - 4i).$$

Proof The exact sequence of Theorem 1.1 in the case $G = O$, $k = 1$ and $s = r - 1$ is of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Prim}_{r-1}^O(n, 1) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^O(n, 1) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{(r+1)\gamma_1^O}(n - 2r, r + 1) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_{r-1}^O(n - 1, 1) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

and since the Thom space $T(r + 1)\gamma_1^O$ is $\mathbb{R}P^\infty/\mathbb{R}P^r$, we have

$$\text{Imm}^{(r+1)\gamma_1^O}(n - 2r, r + 1) \cong \pi_{n-r+1}^s(\mathbb{R}P^\infty/\mathbb{R}P^r).$$

Observe that if r is even, then the homology of $\mathbb{R}P^\infty/\mathbb{R}P^r$ with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients vanishes for all odd primes p ; hence by Serre’s extension of the Whitehead theorem (see [11]), $\pi_{n-r+1}^s(\mathbb{R}P^\infty/\mathbb{R}P^r) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} 0$. This implies the isomorphism $\text{Prim}_r^O(n, 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} \text{Prim}_{r-1}^O(n, 1)$ for all even r .

The case of r odd is strongly inspired by [17]. Note that if r is odd, then the embedding $S^{r+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^\infty/\mathbb{R}P^r$ as a “fibre” of this Thom space is an isomorphism in homology with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients for all odd primes p . Hence, again by Serre’s theorem, it is also an isomorphism in stable homotopy groups modulo \mathcal{C}_2 . Thus the long exact sequence above takes the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Prim}_{r-1}^O(n, 1) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^O(n, 1) \rightarrow \pi^s(n - 2(r + 1)) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_{r-1}^O(n - 1, 1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

modulo \mathcal{C}_2 . We will prove that this sequence has an m -splitting $\pi^s(n - 2(r + 1)) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^O(n, 1)$, ie a homomorphism whose composition with the map $\text{Prim}_r^O(n, 1) \rightarrow \pi^s(n - 2(r + 1))$ in the sequence is the multiplication by m in $\pi^s(n - 2(r + 1))$, such that m has no prime divisors larger than $r + 1$. If such an m -splitting exists, then the long exact sequence splits modulo $\mathcal{C}_{\leq r+1}$, and hence an induction on r implies our statement.

Now the following steps will conclude the proof:

(i) For any r , if there is an immersion $M^{2r} \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^{2r+2}$, where M is oriented, such that the algebraic number of $(r + 1)$ -tuple points (the points counted with signs) is m , then there exists an m -splitting $\sigma : \pi^s(n - 2(r + 1)) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_r^O(n, 1)$ of the above sequence.

(ii) For any r , the order $m(r)$ of the cokernel of the stable Hurewicz homomorphism

$$h_{2r-2} : \pi_{2r-2}^s(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \rightarrow H_{2r-2}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$$

is such that there is an immersion $M^{2r} \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^{2r+2}$ where M is oriented and whose algebraic number of $(r + 1)$ -tuple points is $m(r)$.

(iii) For any r , the number $m(r)$ defined above has no prime divisors greater than $r + 1$.

All of these statements were essentially proved in [17, Parts 2 and 3], so we only spell out what is different in our case. For the proof of (i) the only thing missing in [17] is that the map constructed as a representative of the image $\sigma(x)$ for an element $x \in \pi^s(n - 2(r + 1))$ is actually a prim map; this is not spelled out there, but it is apparent from its construction and Remark 2.4. Part (ii) is only stated in [17] in the case where r is even; however, its proof goes through for any r by just changing the normal Pontryagin number $\langle p_1(v_f)^{r/2}, [M] \rangle$ (for an immersion $f : M^{2r} \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^{2r+2}$) to the normal Euler number $\langle e(v_f)^r, [M] \rangle$. Finally, the proof of (iii) in [17] goes through without change. □

Remark 4.2 The above proposition implies that the cobordism group $\text{Mor}_r^{\text{SO}}(n, 1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Prim}_{r+1}^O(n + 2, 1)$ modulo $\mathcal{C}_{\leq r+1}$, and the proof suggests an even stronger connection between them. It would be interesting to know if there is a geometric explanation for this.

This completes the computation of cobordism groups $\text{Prim}_r^G(n, k)$ (modulo small torsion) when the codimension k is at most 1. Next we will consider the cases where $r = 1$ and the codimension is large, more precisely when n is either $2k + 1$ or $2k + 2$. Note that for $n \leq 2k$ we have $\text{Prim}_1^G(n, k) \cong \text{Imm}^G(n, k + 1)$ since in this case a generic hyperplane projection of an immersion $M^n \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1}$ only has $\Sigma^{1,0}$ -type singularities, and this also holds for cobordisms $W^{n+1} \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1} \times [0, 1]$ between them.

The cobordism groups $\text{Mor}_1^G(n, k)$ were computed for $n = 2k + 1, 2k + 2$ in [4] and [20], respectively (see also [2, Remark 10.11]), in terms of \mathfrak{N}_n for $G = O$ and Ω_n for $G = \text{SO}$. In our computation of their prim analogues we will use the group $\text{Imm}^G(n, k + 1)$ instead, which was computed modulo small torsion in [13] and [14] for $G = O, \text{SO}$, respectively,

$$\text{Imm}^O(n, k + 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_2} \begin{cases} \Omega_{n-k-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad \text{Imm}^{\text{SO}}(n, k + 1) \cong_{\mathcal{C}_{\leq 3}} \begin{cases} P_{n,k+1} \oplus \Omega_{n-k-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ P_{n,k+1} & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

whenever $n < 3k + 3$, where $P_{n,k+1}$ is the subgroup of Ω_n consisting of cobordism classes $[M]$ whose each Pontryagin number $p_I[M]$ such that p_I is divisible by a normal Pontryagin class \bar{p}_i with $2i > k + 1$ is zero.

Lemma 4.3 For all k there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Prim}_1^G(2k + 2, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^G(2k + 2, k + 1) \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prim}_1^G(2k + 1, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^G(2k + 1, k + 1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $A \cong \mathbb{Z}$ if $G = \text{SO}$, $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $G = O$ and the arrow $\text{Imm}^G(2k + 2, k + 1) \rightarrow A$ is the homomorphism $\# \Sigma^{1,2}$ which assigns to the cobordism class of an immersion the algebraic number of $\Sigma^{1,2}$ -points of its generic hyperplane projection (the number of points with their natural signs if $A \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and modulo 2 if $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$).

Proof The exact sequence of Theorem 1.1 with $r = \infty$ and $s = 1$ contains the portion

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{3\gamma_{k+1}^G}(1, 3k + 3) \rightarrow \text{Prim}_1^G(2k + 2, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^G(2k + 2, k + 1) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^{3\gamma_{k+1}^G}(0, 3k + 3) \\ \rightarrow \text{Prim}_1^G(2k + 1, k) \rightarrow \text{Imm}^G(2k + 1, k + 1) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we can use [20, Lemmas 1 and 2] to determine the cobordism group $\text{Imm}^{3\gamma_{k+1}^G}(i, 3k + 3) \cong \pi_{3k+3+i}^s(T3\gamma_{k+1}^G)$ for $i = 0, 1$; this yields that for $i = 0$ it is the group A defined above and for $i = 1$ it is trivial. The fact that $\text{Imm}^G(2k + 2, k + 1) \rightarrow A$ is $\# \Sigma^{1,2}$ follows immediately from Remark 3.2. \square

Proposition 4.4 For all k we have

$$\text{Prim}_1^O(2k + 1, k) \cong \text{Imm}^O(2k + 1, k + 1), \quad \text{Prim}_1^O(2k + 2, k) < \text{Imm}^O(2k + 2, k + 1) \text{ is of index 2.}$$

Proof We use Lemma 4.3 with $G = O$, by which it is sufficient to prove that the homomorphism $\#\Sigma^{12}: \text{Imm}^O(2k+2, k+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is nontrivial. In other words, we want to show that there is an immersion $f: M^{2k+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3k+3}$ such that its hyperplane projection has an odd number of Σ^{12} -points. But by [15] this number is (modulo 2) the same as the number of triple points of f , and by [5] for any k there is an immersion f as above with an odd number of triple points. \square

In the following $\ell(n)$ will denote the largest number l such that 3^l divides $\binom{3n}{n}$. For two groups A and B we will use $A ? B$ to denote an extension of A by B , ie a group for which there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A ? B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 4.5 *For all k we have*

$$\text{Prim}_1^{\text{SO}}(2k+1, k) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Imm}^{\text{SO}}(2k+1, k+1) ? \mathbb{Z}_6 & \text{if } k = 1, 3, \\ \text{Imm}^{\text{SO}}(2k+1, k+1) ? \mathbb{Z}_{3^{\ell((k+1)/2)}} & \text{if } k > 3 \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{Imm}^{\text{SO}}(2k+1, k+1) ? \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Prim}_1^{\text{SO}}(2k+2, k) \cong \text{Imm}^{\text{SO}}(2k+2, k+1).$$

Proof We now use Lemma 4.3 with $G = \text{SO}$; in this case we want to determine the homomorphism $\#\Sigma^{12}: \text{Imm}^{\text{SO}}(2k+2, k+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

If k is odd, then for any oriented immersion $f: M^{2k+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3k+3}$ the triple points of f (in the source M) have natural signs, and by [15] their algebraic number is the same as $\#\Sigma^{12}[f]$. The minimal positive number of these triple points was determined by Eccles and Mitchell [3] and Li [7]. Using their notation it is $3\lambda_{(k+1)/2}$, where λ_m is 2 for $m = 1, 2$ and $3^{\ell(m)-1}$ for $m > 2$ (here we need its triple since we are counting triple points in the source and not in the target). Thus the image of $\#\Sigma^{12}$ is $3\lambda_{(k+1)/2}\mathbb{Z}$ and our claim for k odd follows.

The case of k even is simpler, since again by [15], if $f: M^{2k+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3k+3}$ is an oriented immersion where k is even, then the oriented cobordism class of its Σ^{12} -points is of order 2, ie it is trivial. Hence in this case the homomorphism $\#\Sigma^{12}$ is zero and the claim again follows. \square

References

- [1] **Y Ando**, *Cobordisms of maps with singularities of given class*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 8 (2008) 1989–2029 MR Zbl
- [2] **A Csépai**, *Analogues of the Atiyah–Wall exact sequences for cobordism groups of singular maps*, *J. Singul.* 26 (2023) 128–169 MR Zbl
- [3] **P J Eccles, W P R Mitchell**, *Triple points of immersed orientable $2n$ -manifolds in $3n$ -space*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 39 (1989) 335–346 MR Zbl
- [4] **T Ekholm, A Szűcs, T Terpai**, *Cobordisms of fold maps and maps with a prescribed number of cusps*, *Kyushu J. Math.* 61 (2007) 395–414 MR Zbl

- [5] **J F Hughes**, *Triple points of immersed $2n$ -manifolds in $3n$ -space*, Q. J. Math. 34 (1983) 427–431 MR Zbl
- [6] **B Kalmár**, *Fold maps and immersions from the viewpoint of cobordism*, Pacific J. Math. 239 (2009) 317–342 MR Zbl
- [7] **G-S Li**, *Triple points of immersed orientable $4n$ -manifolds in $6n$ -space*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 28 (1996) 413–416 MR Zbl
- [8] **B Morin**, *Formes canoniques des singularités d'une application différentiable*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260 (1965) 5662–5665, 6503–6506 MR Zbl
- [9] **R Sadykov**, *Bordism groups of solutions to differential relations*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 9 (2009) 2311–2347 MR Zbl
- [10] **H A Salomonsen**, *On the homotopy groups of Thom-complexes and unstable bordism*, from “Proceedings of the Advanced Study Institute on Algebraic Topology, III”, Various Publ. Series 13, Aarhus Univ. (1970) 476–494 MR Zbl
- [11] **J-P Serre**, *Groupes d'homotopie et classes de groupes abéliens*, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953) 258–294 MR Zbl
- [12] **E Szabó, A Szűcs, T Terpai**, *On bordism and cobordism groups of Morin maps*, J. Singul. 1 (2010) 134–145 MR Zbl
- [13] **A Szűcs**, *On the cobordism groups of immersions and embeddings*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 109 (1991) 343–349 MR Zbl
- [14] **A Szűcs**, *Cobordism groups of immersions of oriented manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. 64 (1994) 191–230 MR Zbl
- [15] **A Szűcs**, *On the singularities of hyperplane projections of immersions*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 32 (2000) 364–374 MR Zbl
- [16] **A Szűcs**, *Cobordism of singular maps*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008) 2379–2452 MR Zbl
- [17] **A Szűcs**, *On the cobordism groups of cooriented, codimension one Morin maps*, J. Singul. 4 (2012) 196–205 MR Zbl
- [18] **A Szűcs, T Terpai**, *Singularities and stable homotopy groups of spheres, II*, J. Singul. 17 (2018) 28–57 MR Zbl
- [19] **A Szűcs, T Terpai**, *Classifying spaces for projections of immersions with controlled singularities*, Acta Math. Hungar. 160 (2020) 273–291 MR Zbl
- [20] **T Terpai**, *Cobordisms of fold maps of $2k+2$ -manifolds into \mathbb{R}^{3k+2}* , from “Geometry and topology of caustics: CAUSTICS '06”, Banach Center Publ. 82, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw (2008) 209–213 MR Zbl
- [21] **C T C Wall**, *Differential topology*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 156, Cambridge Univ. Press (2016) MR Zbl
- [22] **R Wells**, *Cobordism groups of immersions*, Topology 5 (1966) 281–294 MR Zbl

Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös Loránd University
Budapest, Hungary

csepai.andras112358@gmail.com

Received: 30 October 2023

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

msp.org/agt

EDITORS

PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre
etnyre@math.gatech.edu
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Thomas Koberda	University of Virginia thomas.koberda@virginia.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Markus Land	LMU München markus.land@math.lmu.de
Tara E Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Univ. Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Norihiko Minami	Yamato University minami.norihiko@yamato-u.ac.jp
Octav Cornea	Université de Montréal cornea@dms.umontreal.ca	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Robert Oliver	Université Paris 13 bobol@math.univ-paris13.fr
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Jessica S Purcell	Monash University jessica.purcell@monash.edu
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
David Futер	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Vesna Stojanoska	Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign vesna@illinois.edu
Matthew Hedden	Michigan State University mhedden@math.msu.edu	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
Kristen Hendricks	Rutgers University kristen.hendricks@rutgers.edu	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Daniel T Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu	Lior Yanovski	Hebrew University of Jerusalem lior.yanovski@gmail.com


See inside back cover or msp.org/agt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$760/year for the electronic version, and \$1110/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<https://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 25 Issue 4 (pages 1917–2526) 2025

The zero stability for the one-row colored \mathfrak{sl}_3 -Jones polynomial	1917
WATARU YUASA	
Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras with application to mod p homology of labeled configuration spaces	1945
ADELA YIYU ZHANG	
Coarse Alexander duality for pairs and applications	1999
G CHRISTOPHER HRUSKA, EMILY STARK and HÙNG CÔNG TRẦN	
K-cwaist on complete foliated manifolds	2037
GUANGXIANG SU and XIANGSHENG WANG	
Line bundle twists for unitary bordism are ghosts	2053
THORSTEN HERTL	
The generalized Kauffman–Harary conjecture is true	2067
RHEA PALAK BAKSHI, HUIZHENG GUO, GABRIEL MONTOYA-VEGA, SUJOY MUKHERJEE and JÓZEF H PRZYTYCKI	
Rigidity of elliptic genera for nonspin manifolds	2083
MICHAEL WIEMELER	
Shrinking without doing much at all	2099
MICHAEL FREEDMAN and MICHAEL STARBIRD	
Action of the Mazur pattern up to topological concordance	2115
ALEX MANCHESTER	
Kauffman bracket intertwiners and the volume conjecture	2143
ZHIHAO WANG	
Horizontal decompositions, II	2179
PAOLO LISCA and ANDREA PARMA	
On the nonorientable four-ball genus of torus knots	2209
FRASER BINNS, SUNGKYUNG KANG, JONATHAN SIMONE and PAULA TRUÛL	
Generalised Baumslag–Solitar groups and hierarchically hyperbolic groups	2253
JACK O BUTTON	
Geometric and arithmetic properties of Löbell polyhedra	2281
NIKOLAY BOGACHEV and SAMI DOUBA	
Formality of sphere bundles	2297
JIAWEI ZHOU	
A Quillen stability criterion for bounded cohomology	2317
CARLOS DE LA CRUZ MENGUAL and TOBIAS HARTNICK	
T -equivariant motives of flag varieties	2343
CAN YAYLALI	
Small Heegaard genus and $SU(2)$	2369
JOHN A BALDWIN and STEVEN SIVEK	
Harmonic measures and rigidity for surface group actions on the circle	2391
MASANORI ADACHI, YOSHIFUMI MATSUDA and HIRAKU NOZAWA	
Finite groups of untwisted outer automorphisms of RAAGs	2413
COREY BREGMAN, RUTH CHARNEY and KAREN VOGTMANN	
Computations on cobordism groups of projected immersions	2441
ANDRÁS CSÉPAI	
Rank-preserving additions for topological vector bundles, after a construction of Horrocks	2451
MORGAN P OPIE	
Power sum elements in the G_2 skein algebra	2477
BODIE BEAUMONT-GOULD, ERIK BRODSKY, VIJAY HIGGINS, ALAINA HOGAN, JOSEPH M MELBY and JOSHUA PIAZZA	
On contact mapping classes of prequantizations	2507
SARAH ALBERT, MARIANNE BERGERON and DANIEL GABAI	