

AG  
T

*Algebraic & Geometric  
Topology*

Volume 25 (2025)

**On contact mapping classes of prequantizations**

SOUHEIB ALLOUT

MURAT SAĞLAM





# On contact mapping classes of prequantizations

SOUHEIB ALLOUT

MURAT SAĞLAM

We present examples of prequantizations over integral symplectic manifolds which admit infinitely many smoothly trivial contact mapping classes. These classes are given by the connected components of the strict contactomorphism group which project to the identity component of the symplectomorphism group of the base manifold. Along the way, we study the lifting problem of symplectomorphisms of the base manifold to strict contactomorphisms of the prequantization.

53D10, 53D12, 53D22

## 1 Introduction

One of the fundamental questions in contact topology is to understand the group  $\text{Cont}(V, \xi)$  of coorientation preserving contactomorphisms of a given cooriented contact structure  $\xi$  on a closed manifold  $V$ . Understanding  $\text{Cont}(V, \xi)$  requires studying its identity component  $\text{Cont}^0(V, \xi)$  and the contact mapping class group  $\pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$ . The aim of this paper is to study  $\pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$  for a particular class of contact manifolds called prequantizations. These are total spaces of principal circle bundles  $V \rightarrow M$ , with a connection 1-form  $\lambda$  which is a contact form whose Reeb vector field generates the circle action and, therefore, the curvature form of  $\lambda$  is an integral symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $M$ .

Concerning the contact mapping class group, it is interesting to study the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}: \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Diff}(V))$$

where  $\text{Diff}(V)$  is the group of diffeomorphisms of  $V$  which preserve the orientation determined by the coorientation of  $\xi$ . Our main results, which rely solely on algebraic topological methods, provide a large class of examples of prequantizations  $(V, \lambda)$  for which the kernel of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains an infinite subgroup of  $\pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$ . This subgroup is given as follows. Given a prequantization  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$ , we get a natural homomorphism

$$(1) \quad \pi_0(\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$$

where  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$  is the group of strict contactomorphisms, that is, contactomorphisms which preserve the contact form  $\lambda$ , and  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  is the group of symplectomorphisms of  $(M, \omega)$ . It turns out that

the kernel of the above homomorphism is isomorphic to  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_\omega$ , where  $\Gamma_\omega$  is the flux group of  $(M, \omega)$ ; see Theorem 2.10. Then we show that for a large class of integral symplectic manifolds for which  $\Gamma_\omega$  turns out to be trivial, the composition

$$\mathcal{J}: H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$$

is injective. More precisely, we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed integral symplectic manifold such that either  $[\omega]$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(M)$  or  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$  with a nonvanishing monotonicity constant. Suppose, in addition, that any abelian subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$  has rank at most one. If  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  is a prequantization, then the homomorphism  $\mathcal{J}$  is injective.*

The idea of the proof is as follows. For a given class  $\alpha \in H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , the associated strict contactomorphism  $L_\alpha$  acts on a loop  $\delta$  in the conformally symplectic frame bundle  $\text{CSp}(\xi)$ , up to free homotopy, by concatenation with an iterate of the *Reeb frame loop*, which is defined by picking a symplectic frame of a contact hyperplane and pushing it forward by the Reeb flow along a circle fiber. The amount of iteration of the Reeb frame loop is  $\langle [\alpha], [\bar{\delta}] \rangle$ , where  $\bar{\delta}$  is the projection of  $\delta$  to  $M$ . Then, we associate a Maslov-type index to the Reeb frame loop which we use to show that the resulting loop  $L_\alpha \circ \delta$  is not freely homotopic to  $\delta$  whenever  $\langle [\alpha], [\bar{\delta}] \rangle \neq 0$ . Using this Maslov-type index, we show the following statement:

**Proposition 1.2** *Let  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  be a prequantization. Suppose that either  $[\omega]$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(M)$  or  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$  with nonvanishing monotonicity constant. Then the Reeb frame loop is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi))$ . In particular, the Reeb flow, seen as a loop in  $\text{Cont}(V, \xi)$ , is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$ .*

We note that the Maslov index that we associate to the Reeb frame loop can be seen as a reformulation of the first Maslov index, which is a homomorphism from  $\pi_1(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , of Casals, Ginzburg and Presas [7], applied to the Reeb loop (see also [8; 9]). A similar result was also obtained by Albers, Shelukhin, and Zapolsky, as an application (among other applications) of some spectral invariants which they associate to contactomorphisms of prequantization bundles (see [1] for more details).

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $(\Sigma_g, \omega_0)$  be a surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  with an integral symplectic form and  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  be the Fubini–Study form on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , which is normalized so that the standard  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  has unit area. Consider a prequantization  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^n \times \Sigma_g, \omega_{\text{FS}} \oplus \omega_0)$ . Then the homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{J}: H_{dR}^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$$

*is injective. Moreover,  $\ker(\mathcal{J})$  contains the image of  $\mathcal{J}$  for  $n$  odd, and contains  $\mathcal{J}(2H_{dR}^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}))$  for  $n$  even.*

More generally, we describe a setting for which the composition  $\mathcal{J} \circ \mathcal{J}$  fails to be injective; see Proposition 3.12. In principle, this provides examples other than the ones given in the above theorem.

In what follows we give an account of previous results in the direction of this work. The first result we want to mention is given by Giroux [16], which says that  $\mathcal{F}$  is injective for  $V = \mathbb{T}^3$  and  $\xi$  the standard tight contact structure (see also [13, Proposition 2]). The first example, according to our knowledge, of a closed contact manifold for which the kernel of  $\mathcal{F}$  is nontrivial is attributed to Chekanov; see Eliashberg and Fraser [12] and Vogel [25]. The statement here is that for any overtwisted contact structure  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $\pi_0(\text{Cont}(\mathbb{S}^3, \xi))$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  depending on the Hopf invariant. Later in [11], Dymara introduced an invariant for overtwisted contact structures on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , which enabled her to show that a homomorphism from  $\pi_0(\text{Cont}(\mathbb{S}^3, \xi))$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  that she constructed is surjective for every overtwisted  $\xi$ .

The first example where the contact structure is tight and also the kernel of  $\mathcal{F}$  is infinite was given by Ding and Geiges in [10], following an observation made by Gompf in [18]. Here the contact manifold is  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  with the standard tight contact structure. Later in [17], Giroux and Massot showed that the kernel of  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_d$  for  $V$  the  $d$ -fold fiber cover of the unit cotangent bundle of a suitable surface of genus at least 2. In [21], Massot and Niederkrüger constructed hypertight contact manifolds in every odd dimension for which the kernel of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains nontrivial but finite-order elements. Lanzat and Zapolsky [20] then constructed an embedding of certain (braid) subgroups  $G$  of the symplectic mapping class group of the  $A_m$ -Milnor fiber, which is noncompact and exact, to the contact mapping class group of the associated contactization and showed that  $G$  is contained in the kernel of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The last two works we want to mention are due to Gironella. In [14] he constructed examples of overtwisted contact manifolds, in every odd dimension, admitting smoothly trivial finite order contact mapping classes. Lastly, in [15] Gironella generalized the constructions in [10] to provide examples of tight contact manifolds in all odd dimensions, which admit smoothly trivial contact mapping classes of infinite order.

## Questions and further discussion

Given a prequantization bundle  $(V, \lambda)$  over an integral symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , the kernel of the homomorphism (1) is naturally identified with  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_\omega$  — see Theorem 2.10 — and one obtains a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}: H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_\omega \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi)).$$

We do not know any example for which the homomorphism  $\mathcal{F}$  fails to be injective.

One may perhaps find situations where the image of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains elements which are isotopic to the identity in the group of formal contactomorphisms, namely the group of pairs  $(\varphi, \Phi)$ , where  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(V)$  and  $\Phi$  is a bundle map of  $TV$  covering  $\varphi$  and preserving  $\xi$  conformally symplectically. A candidate setting for this is when the Reeb frame loop is contractible, in other words, when  $M$  admits an element in  $\pi_2(M)$  of unit symplectic area on which  $c_1(TM)$  vanishes.

On the other hand, the noninjectivity of  $\mathcal{F}$  has the potential to provide examples of strict contactomorphisms that are contact isotopic to the identity but without translated points in the sense of Sandon [23]. The

setting of such an example could be that there is some  $\alpha \in \ker \mathcal{F}$  represented by a nonsingular closed 1-form on  $M$  such that the time-one-map of its symplectic gradient flow is without fixed points. Recently, Cant [6] provided examples of contactomorphisms on standard contact spheres that are contact isotopic to identity yet without translated points. However there is no known example of a strict contactomorphism with these properties.

## Outline of the paper

In Section 2, we discuss generalities about prequantizations, and study the homomorphism (1). In Section 3, we give our main results, namely Theorem 3.11, Proposition 3.12 and Corollary 3.13.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Felix Schlenk for initiating this work, and for many useful discussions and comments on this manuscript. We thank Alberto Abbondandolo, Stefan Nemirovski and Stefan Suhr for very helpful discussions and comments on this manuscript and also for providing encouragement. We also thank Hansjörg Geiges for his comments during the presentation of this work in a series of lectures in the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Symplektische Topologie at University of Cologne. Lastly, we thank the referee for the comments on this paper.

Allout is fully and Sağlam is partially supported by the SFB/TRR 191 “Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics”, funded by the DFG (Projektnummer 281071066 - TRR 191).

## 2 Generalities on strict contactomorphisms of prequantizations

In this section we fix our setting, recall some generalities on prequantizations and determine the kernel of the homomorphism (1). Most of the results presented in this section can be found in the classical books of Banyaga [2] and Souriau [24]. We try to keep our presentation minimal and we refer to [2; 24] for further details.

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional closed integral symplectic manifold, that is, the value of  $[\omega]$  over any integral homology 2-cycle is an integer. Then a classical fact due to Kobayashi provides our main object of study. We fix  $\mathbb{S}^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  for the rest of this manuscript.

**Theorem 2.1** [4; 19] *Let  $\pi : V \rightarrow M$  be a principal  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -bundle whose Euler class is a lift of  $-\omega$ . Then there is a contact form  $\lambda$  on the total space  $V$  such that*

- (p1) *the vector field that generates the  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -action is the Reeb vector field of  $\lambda$ ;*
- (p2)  *$\pi^*\omega = d\lambda$ .*

We call such a bundle  $(V, \lambda)$ , together with a contact form as above, a *prequantization* over  $(M, \omega)$ .

Given a prequantization  $(V, \lambda)$  over  $(M, \omega)$  we want to understand the induced homomorphism

$$(2) \quad \text{SCont}(V, \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Symp}(M, \omega), \quad \varphi \mapsto \bar{\varphi},$$

where  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$  is the group of strict contactomorphisms of  $(V, \lambda)$ , that is, diffeomorphisms of  $V$  that preserve  $\lambda$ , and  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  is the group of symplectomorphisms of  $(M, \omega)$ . Notice that any strict contactomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $(V, \lambda)$  is in particular an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant diffeomorphism of  $V$  and therefore descends to a diffeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $M$ , which preserves  $\omega$  due to (p2).

Our main objective is to characterize the image and the kernel of the map (2) and of the induced map

$$(3) \quad \pi_0(\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$$

on mapping class groups. The surjectivity of the map (2), and of the map (3), relies on the answer to the question whether a given  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  can be lifted (maybe after an isotopy) to a map  $\varphi \in \text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$ .

In order to study this lifting problem, we fix the  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -bundle  $\pi: V \rightarrow M$  as in Theorem 2.1 and consider the space  $\mathcal{P}$  of all contact forms on  $V$  that satisfy (p1) and (p2). Notice that  $\mathcal{P}$  is an affine space given by

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{P} = \{\lambda + \beta \mid \beta \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^1(V) \text{ and } \beta(X) = 0\} = \{\lambda + \pi^* \alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^1(M)\}$$

for any fixed  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\Omega_{\text{cl}}^*$  denotes the space of closed forms. Let  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}(V)$  be the group of  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant diffeomorphisms of  $V$ . We consider the action of the subgroups

$$(5) \quad \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V) = \{\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}(V) \mid \bar{\varphi}^* \omega = \omega\}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{Id}}(V) = \{\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}(V) \mid \bar{\varphi} = \text{Id}\}.$$

on  $\mathcal{P}$  by the pull-back. We note that  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  if and only if  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}(V)$  and  $\varphi^*(d\lambda) = d\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$  and the stabilizer of any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$  in  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  is precisely  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$ . On the other hand we have the identification

$$(7) \quad \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{Id}}(V) \cong C^\infty(M, \mathbb{S}^1)$$

since any  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{Id}}(V)$  is given by

$$(8) \quad \varphi(p) = \phi_{f(\pi(p))}^X(p)$$

for a unique smooth map  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ , where  $\phi_t^X$  denotes the flow of the vector field  $X$  that generates the  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -action.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $(V, \lambda)$  be a prequantization over  $(M, \omega)$  and assume that  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. Then the homomorphism (3) is surjective.*

**Proof** We first show that the identity component of  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{P}$ . In fact, given the line segment  $\lambda_t = \lambda + t\beta$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , joining  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda + \beta$ , we define the vector field  $Y$  on  $V$  by the

equations  $\lambda(Y) = 0$  and  $\beta = -\iota_Y d\lambda$ . Note that  $\dot{\lambda}_t = \beta$ ,  $d\lambda_t = d\lambda$  and  $\lambda_t(Y) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Now let  $\phi_t$  be the flow of  $Y$ . We get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi_t^*\lambda_t = \phi_t^*(\dot{\lambda}_t + \mathcal{L}_Y\lambda_t) = \phi_t^*(\beta + \iota_Y d\lambda_t + d(\lambda_t(Y))) = \phi_t^*(\beta + \iota_Y d\lambda) = 0.$$

Hence  $\phi_t^*(\lambda + t\beta) = \lambda$  and  $\phi_t \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In fact  $[X, Y] = 0$  since

$$0 = \lambda([X, Y]) = X(\lambda(Y)) - Y(\lambda(X)) - d\lambda(X, Y)$$

and

$$0 = \iota_{[X, Y]}d\lambda = \mathcal{L}_X\iota_Y d\lambda - \iota_Y\mathcal{L}_X d\lambda = -\mathcal{L}_X\beta = 0.$$

Note that any  $\varphi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  lifts to some  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  since  $\varphi$  pulls back the circle bundle  $V \rightarrow M$  to a circle bundle on  $M$ , which has the same Euler class since  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. The resulting bundle equivalence provides  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . Then there is some  $\psi$  in the identity component of  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  such that  $\psi^*(\tilde{\varphi}^*\lambda) = \lambda$ . So  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \psi \in \text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$  and  $\overline{\tilde{\varphi} \circ \psi}$  is isotopic to  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\varphi \in \text{SCont}(M, \lambda)$  be such that  $\overline{\varphi} = \text{Id}$ . Then  $\varphi = \phi_t^X$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ .*

**Proof** Given  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{dd}}(V)$  as in (8), an easy computation shows that

$$(9) \quad \varphi^*\lambda = \lambda + \pi^*df$$

where  $df$  is the differential of  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  viewed as the 1-form  $f^*dt$ . Now if  $\varphi$  preserves  $\lambda$ , then  $f$  is a constant map and therefore  $\varphi = \phi_t^X$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $(V, \lambda)$  be a prequantization over  $(M, \omega)$  and assume that  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The action of  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{dd}}(V)$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is transitive.*
- (2) *The first cohomology group  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  is trivial.*
- (3) *The homomorphism (2) is surjective. In other words, the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{SCont}(V, \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Symp}(M, \omega) \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact.*

**Proof** We recall that every class in  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is represented by the differential of a circle valued function. Combining this fact with (9) gives the noncanonical identification

$$\mathcal{P} / \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{dd}}(V) \simeq H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R}) / H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which shows the equivalence of (1) and (2).

Now assume that  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{dd}}(V)$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{P}$  and let  $\varphi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ . Then  $\varphi$  lifts to some  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. We have some  $\psi \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{dd}}(V)$  such that  $\psi^*(\tilde{\varphi}^*\lambda) = \lambda$ . Hence  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \psi$  is in  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$  and lifts  $\varphi$ . Together with Lemma 2.3 we get the exact sequence above. For the converse statement, we take  $\lambda' \in \mathcal{P}$  and by the proof of Theorem 2.2, there is some  $\varphi$  in the

identity component of  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^\omega(V)$  such that  $\varphi^*\lambda' = \lambda$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ . By assumption there is a lift  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$  of  $\bar{\varphi}$ . Then we get  $\varphi \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{Id}}(V)$  and  $(\varphi \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1})^*\lambda' = \lambda$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5** The assumption that  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free is essential for Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. It is possible to construct an integral symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  with nontrivial torsion in  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  and a symplectomorphism that does not preserve a class  $e \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  that lifts  $-\omega$ . Such a symplectomorphism cannot be lifted even to an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant map of the circle bundle with Euler class  $e$ .

Given a prequantization  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$ , we now want to understand the kernel of the map (3). To this end we define the subgroups

$$\mathcal{L} := \{\varphi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega) \mid \varphi \text{ lifts to } \text{SCont}(V, \lambda)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{L} \cap \text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$$

where  $\text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$  is the identity component.

By Lemma 2.3, the map (2) now fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{SCont}(V, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

which defines a Serre fibration. In fact, it is easy to see that once restricted to the identity components, the above sequence reads

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{SCont}^0(V, \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Ham}(M, \omega) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$  is the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Then the homotopy lifting property for 0-cells follows from the nontrivial fact that any path of Hamiltonian diffeomorphism starting at Id is a Hamiltonian isotopy. For the details, we refer to [3].

An immediate consequence of the above lemma is the following exact sequence of mapping class groups:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand if  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Symp}(M, \omega)) \rightarrow 0.$$

It turns out that the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  are characterized by their effect on holonomy and this effect is explicitly determined for the elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  be a piecewise smooth curve and  $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$ . Then there exists a unique curve  $\hat{\gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\hat{\gamma}(0) = p, \quad \pi \circ \hat{\gamma} = \gamma, \quad \lambda(\dot{\hat{\gamma}}) = 0.$$

We call  $\hat{\gamma}$ , suppressing its initial condition, *the horizontal lift* of  $\gamma$ . If  $\gamma$  is a loop, that is  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$ , then the *holonomy*  $\text{Hol}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{S}^1$  of  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$\phi_{\text{Hol}(\gamma)}^X(\hat{\gamma}(1)) = \hat{\gamma}(0).$$

We note that the holonomy does not depend on  $\tilde{\gamma}(0)$  and it is invariant under positive reparameterizations of  $\gamma$ . Moreover,  $\text{Hol}(\gamma^{-1}) = -\text{Hol}(\gamma)$  where  $\gamma^{-1}$  is some (hence any) negative reparametrization of  $\gamma$ . Now given a diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $M$  one considers its effect on holonomy, namely the map

$$(10) \quad E_\varphi : \text{Loop}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad \gamma \mapsto \text{Hol}(\varphi \circ \gamma) - \text{Hol}(\gamma),$$

where  $\text{Loop}(M)$  is the space of piecewise smooth maps from  $\mathbb{S}^1$  to  $M$ . The following fact, which we spell out in accordance with our purposes, is due to Kostant and says that the holonomy effect determines whether a symplectomorphism  $\varphi$  lifts to  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$ .

**Theorem 2.6** (Kostant [22, Proposition 3.3]) *A symplectomorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  admits a lift to  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$  if and only if  $E_\varphi = 0$ .*

**Proof** We fix a point  $x \in M$  and put  $y = \varphi(x)$ . After trivializing the circle bundle near  $x$  and  $y$ , we fix a map  $\eta: \pi^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(y)$ , which reads as a rotation with respect to any other choice of such trivializations. Now given  $p \in V$  we take a path  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \pi(p)$  and  $\gamma(1) = x$  and we define  $\tilde{\varphi}(p)$  by the equation

$$\widehat{\varphi \circ \gamma}(1) = \eta(\hat{\gamma}(1))$$

where  $\widehat{\varphi \circ \gamma}$  is the horizontal lift of  $\varphi \circ \gamma$  with  $\widehat{\varphi \circ \gamma}(0) = \tilde{\varphi}(p)$  and  $\hat{\gamma}$  is the horizontal lift of  $\gamma$  with  $\hat{\gamma}(0) = p$ .

Note that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is well defined if and only if  $E_\varphi = 0$ . Moreover  $\tilde{\varphi}$ , whenever is well defined, is an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant lift of  $\varphi$ , which maps horizontal lifts of curves in  $M$  to horizontal lifts of their images under  $\varphi$ . It is not difficult to show that this property together with the fact that  $\varphi$  is symplectic implies that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is in  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$ . □

Given  $\varphi \in \text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$ , it is easy to understand its effect on holonomy. We begin with the following observation.

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M$  be a family of smooth loops. Suppose there is an oriented surface  $\Sigma$  with boundary  $\partial\Sigma = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_k$  consisting of  $k$  circles and a smooth map  $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  extending each  $\gamma_j$ , that is, for each  $j$ , once  $S_j$  is oriented as the boundary of  $\Sigma$  and identified with  $\mathbb{S}^1$ ,  $\sigma|_{S_j} = \gamma_j$ . Then we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \text{Hol}(\gamma_j) = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^* \omega \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

**Proof** We first note that for any smooth  $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M$ ,

$$\text{Hol}(\gamma) = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \lambda \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is any loop that lifts  $\gamma$ . In fact, given a lift  $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow V$ , we consider the horizontal lift  $\hat{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  with  $\hat{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{\gamma}(0)$  and define a smooth map  $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  such that

$$\phi_{\tau(s)}^X(\hat{\gamma}(s)) = \tilde{\gamma}(s).$$

Note that  $\tau(1) = \text{Hol}(\gamma)$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We lift  $\tau$  to a map into  $\mathbb{R}$ , still denoted by  $\tau$ , such that  $\tau(0) = 0$  and consider the smooth map

$$\eta: \Delta \rightarrow V, \quad (s, t) \mapsto \phi_t^X(\hat{\gamma}(s))$$

on the domain  $\Delta := \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq t \leq \tau(s), s \in [0, 1]\}$ . Note that  $\eta^* d\lambda = 0$ . By Stokes' theorem,

$$0 = \int_{\Delta} \eta^* d\lambda = \int_{\hat{\gamma}} \lambda + \tau(1) - \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \lambda$$

and the claim follows.

Now let  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow M$  be as in the statement. Since  $\Sigma$  has the homotopy type of a graph, there exists a lift  $\tilde{\sigma}: \Sigma \rightarrow V$  of  $\sigma$ . By the above observation we get

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sigma^* \omega = \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{\sigma}^* d\lambda = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \tilde{\sigma}^* \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Hol}(\gamma_j) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \quad \square$$

An immediate consequence of this lemma is the following.

**Proposition 2.8** *For any  $\varphi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ , the map (10) descends to a homomorphism*

$$E_{\varphi}: H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad [\gamma] \mapsto E_{\varphi}(\gamma).$$

Moreover the map

$$(11) \quad \text{Symp}^0(M, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{S}^1), \quad \varphi \mapsto E_{\varphi}$$

is a homomorphism.

**Proof** Given smooth loops  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  representing the same class in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , there is an oriented surface  $\Sigma$  with two oriented boundary components  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  and a smooth map  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow M$  such that  $\sigma|_{S_1} = \gamma_1$  and  $\sigma|_{S_2} = \gamma_2^{-1}$ . We get

$$\text{Hol}(\gamma_1) + \text{Hol}(\gamma_2^{-1}) = \text{Hol}(\gamma_1) - \text{Hol}(\gamma_2) = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^* \omega$$

and therefore

$$E_{\varphi}(\gamma_1) - E_{\varphi}(\gamma_2) = \int_{\Sigma} (\varphi \circ \sigma)^* \omega - \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^* \omega = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^*(\varphi^* \omega) - \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^* \omega = 0.$$

Now given  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$  and  $[\gamma] \in H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have  $[\varphi_2 \circ \gamma] = [\gamma]$  in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  and

$$E_{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2}(\gamma) = E_{\varphi_1}(\varphi_2 \circ \gamma) + E_{\varphi_2}(\gamma) = E_{\varphi_1}(\gamma) + E_{\varphi_2}(\gamma). \quad \square$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{K}$  is precisely the kernel of the homomorphism (11). Our next objective is to relate the homomorphism (11) to the flux homomorphism

$$(12) \quad \text{Flux}: \text{Symp}^0(M, \omega) \rightarrow H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R}) / \Gamma_{\omega}.$$

Recall that if  $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  is a symplectic isotopy of a closed symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , not necessarily integral, generated by the time dependent symplectic vector field  $X_t$ , the flux of  $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  is defined by

$$\text{Flux}(\varphi_t) = \int_0^1 [i_{X_t} \omega] dt \in H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

It turns out that  $\text{Flux}(\varphi_t)$  stays invariant under any homotopy of the path  $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  in  $\text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$ , which fixes the end points  $\text{Id}$  and  $\varphi_1$  and consequently defines a homomorphism

$$\text{Flux}: \widetilde{\text{Symp}}^0(M, \omega) \rightarrow H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$$

where  $\widetilde{\text{Symp}}^0(M, \omega)$  is the universal cover of  $\text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$ , seen as the space of homotopy classes, in the above sense, of paths in  $\text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$  starting from the identity. Viewing  $\pi_1(\text{Symp}^0(M, \omega), \text{Id})$  as a subgroup of  $\widetilde{\text{Symp}}^0(M, \omega)$ , one defines the *flux group*

$$\Gamma_\omega := \text{Flux}(\pi_1(\text{Symp}^0(M, \omega), \text{Id})) \subseteq H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$$

and obtains the homomorphism (12). It turns out that  $\text{Flux}(\varphi_t)$  vanishes if and only if  $(\varphi_t)$  is homotopic to a Hamiltonian isotopy. Hence the kernel of (12) is precisely the group  $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$  of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

The following observation relates Flux to the homomorphism (11) if  $\omega$  is integral.

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $(\varphi_t)$  be a symplectic isotopy starting at  $\text{Id}$ . Then for any smooth loop  $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow M$ , we have*

$$\int_\gamma \text{Flux}(\varphi_t) = E_{\varphi_1}(\gamma) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

**Proof** Given  $\gamma$  and  $\varphi_t$  as above, one defines the smooth map  $\sigma: [0, 1] \times S^1 \rightarrow M$  by  $\sigma(s, t) = \varphi_t(\gamma(s))$ . An easy computation shows that

$$(13) \quad \int_{[\gamma]} \text{Flux}(\varphi_t) = \int_{[0,1] \times S^1} \sigma^* \omega$$

and from Lemma 2.7 it follows that

$$\int_{[0,1] \times S^1} \sigma^* \omega = \text{Hol}(\gamma^{-1}) + \text{Hol}(\varphi_1 \circ \gamma) = E_{\varphi_1}(\gamma) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \quad \square$$

We have the following characterization of the mapping class group  $\pi_0(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 2.10** *We have the following canonical isomorphisms of groups:*

$$(14) \quad \pi_0(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H} / \text{Ham}(M, \omega) \cong H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_\omega.$$

**Proof** The statement follows immediately from Lemma 2.9 and the fact that  $\Gamma_\omega$  is contained in  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  as  $\omega$  is integral. □

### 3 $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ in $\pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$ and in $\pi_0(\text{Diff}(V))$

The aim of this section is to provide a class of examples of prequantizations  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  such that  $\pi_0(\mathcal{H})$  is an infinite subgroup of  $\pi_0(\text{SCont}(V, \lambda))$  and the homomorphism

$$(15) \quad \pi_0(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$$

is injective, while the homomorphism

$$(16) \quad \pi_0(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Diff}(V))$$

is trivial. Here  $\xi$  stands for the kernel of  $\lambda$ ,  $\text{Cont}(V, \xi)$  is the group of coorientation (given by  $\lambda$ ) preserving contactomorphisms of  $(V, \xi)$  and  $\text{Diff}(V)$  is the group of orientation (determined by  $\lambda$ ) preserving diffeomorphisms of  $V$ .

We begin with an explicit description of the inverse of the isomorphism (14). Let  $\alpha$  be a closed integral 1-form on  $M$  given by  $\alpha = df$  for some  $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{S}^1)$ . We define the symplectic gradient  $X_\alpha$  of  $\alpha$  via  $-\alpha = \iota_{X_\alpha} \omega$  and we let  $(\bar{\varphi}_t)$  denote its flow. Then the time-one-map  $\bar{\varphi}_1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$  with a lift

$$(17) \quad L_\alpha: V \rightarrow V, \quad L_\alpha = \phi_{f \circ \pi}^X \circ \varphi_1$$

in  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$ , where  $\varphi_1$  is the time-one-map of the flow  $(\varphi_t)$  of the horizontal lift  $X_h$  of  $X_\alpha$  and the map  $\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X$  is given by (8). Indeed as we saw in the proof of Theorem 2.2,

$$(18) \quad (\varphi_t)^* \lambda = \lambda - t \pi^* \alpha.$$

Together with (9) we see that  $L_\alpha$  preserves  $\lambda$ . We also note that the maps  $\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X$  and  $\varphi_t$  commute for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the vector fields  $X$  and  $X_h$  commute and the map  $f \circ \pi$  is invariant under the flow of  $X_h$ . We have the following observation on the action of  $L_\alpha$  on loops in  $V$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\delta: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow V$  be a loop. Then  $L_\alpha \circ \delta$  is freely homotopic to the loop  $\delta * \gamma_V^k$  where  $\gamma_V: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow V$  denotes the parameterized Reeb orbit starting at the point  $\delta(0)$  and  $k = \int_\delta \pi^* \alpha$ .*

**Proof** Note that the loop  $L_\alpha \circ \delta$  is freely homotopic to the loop  $\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X \circ \delta$  via the isotopy  $(\varphi_t)$  so it is enough to show that  $\delta * \gamma_V^k$  is freely homotopic to the loop  $\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X \circ \delta$ . To this end we consider the pull-back bundle  $\bar{\delta}^* V \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ , where  $\bar{\delta} = \pi \circ \delta$  and trivialize it via the section  $\delta$ . After identifying the total space with  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , where the bundle projection  $\pi$  corresponds to the projection to the first circle, the loop  $\delta * \gamma_V^k$  reads  $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}) * (\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1)^k$  and the loop  $\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X \circ \delta$  reads  $t \mapsto (t, f(t))$ , where  $f: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  is of degree  $k$ . It is clear that these loops are homotopic in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . □

As a consequence of the lemma we get the following class of prequantizations where the map (15) is injective due to topological reasons; more precisely, due to the fact that (16) is injective.

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed integral symplectic manifold such that*

- (1)  $[\omega]$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(M)$ ;
- (2) any abelian subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$  has rank at most one.

Let  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  be a prequantization. Then for any closed integral 1-form  $\alpha$  on  $M$ ,  $L_\alpha$  is isotopic to  $\text{Id}$  in  $\text{Diff}(V)$  if and only if  $[\alpha]$  is trivial in  $H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $H$  be an abelian group of rank at most one. Then every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -central extension of  $H$  is trivial. More precisely, if  $G$  is a group with a central subgroup  $C$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $G/C$  is isomorphic to  $H$  then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H \times \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular,  $G$  is abelian.*

**Proof** The commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$  is contained in  $C = \mathbb{Z}$ . The map  $G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  that sends a couple  $(a, b)$  to the commutator  $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  factors to a skew-symmetric bilinear map  $B: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $H$  has rank at most one, it follows that  $B$  is identically zero.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 3.2** The homotopy exact sequence associated to the bundle  $V \rightarrow M$  reads

$$(19) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(V) \rightarrow \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow 0$$

where the connecting homomorphism is given by integrating  $\omega$  over spheres in  $M$ . By the first assumption, the fiber  $\gamma_V$  is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(V)$ . We note also that the image of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is always central in  $\pi_1(V)$ , that is  $\gamma_V$  commutes with every element of  $\pi_1(V)$ . In fact, given any loop  $\eta$  in  $V$  (having the same base point with  $\gamma_V$ ) and its projection  $\bar{\eta}$  in  $M$ ,  $\gamma_V$  and  $\eta$  can be seen as loops in the total space of the pull-back bundle  $\bar{\eta}^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ , which is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^2$  and therefore has abelian fundamental group.

Now if  $L_\alpha$  is isotopic to  $\text{Id}$  and  $\delta$  is a loop in  $V$ , then by Lemma 3.1,  $\delta$  is freely homotopic to  $\delta * \gamma_V^k$ . Then there is a loop  $\beta$  in  $V$  such that  $\beta * \delta * \beta^{-1} = \delta * \gamma_V^k$  in  $\pi_1(V)$ . We write  $\bar{\delta} = \pi \circ \delta$  and  $\bar{\beta} = \pi \circ \beta$ . Then  $\bar{\delta}$  commutes with  $\bar{\beta}$  in  $\pi_1(M)$  and by assumption  $\bar{\delta}$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  are contained in a subgroup  $H$  of rank at most one. Then, by Lemma 3.3, the subgroup  $\pi_*^{-1}(H)$  is abelian. As  $\delta$  and  $\beta$  are contained in  $\pi_*^{-1}(H)$ , we conclude that  $\gamma_V^k$  is contractible and therefore  $k = \int_{\bar{\delta}} \alpha = 0$ . Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary we get  $[\alpha] = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4** The above statement shows that the flux group  $\Gamma_\omega$  is trivial in this case and  $\pi_0(\mathcal{H})$ , being isomorphic to  $H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , injects into  $\pi_0(\text{Diff}(V))$ .

**Example 3.5** A typical example for the above proposition is an oriented surface of genus at least two.

Our next objective is to use Proposition 3.2 as a springboard to describe prequantizations for which the homomorphism (15) is injective for contact topological reasons. We want to replace the role of the Reeb orbit with the loop induced by the Reeb flow in the bundle  $\text{CSp}(\xi) \rightarrow V$  of conformally symplectic frames of  $\xi$ .

The bundle  $\text{CSp}(\xi) \rightarrow V$  is a principal  $\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle, where  $\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  denotes the group of conformally symplectic matrices. As the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  is a deformation retract of  $\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ , it is natural to consider the isomorphism, called the *Maslov index*, given by

$$\text{Index: } \pi_1(\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) = \pi_1(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{U}(n)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

where the first arrow is given by the polar decomposition and the second arrow is induced by the determinant map. We have the homotopy exact sequence

$$(20) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(V) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(\xi)) \rightarrow \pi_1(V) \rightarrow 0$$

and it is easy to see that the connecting homomorphism is given by integrating the first Chern class  $c_1(\xi)$  over spheres in  $V$ . Here we view  $\xi$  as a complex vector bundle of rank  $n$ , by choosing a complex structure on  $\xi$  compatible with  $d\lambda$ . We note that the space of such complex structures is nonempty and contractible. In the same vein,  $c_1(TM)$  is well defined and we have  $c_1(\xi) = \pi^*c_1(TM)$ . Combining this with (20), we conclude that the homomorphism

$$(21) \quad \pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(\xi))$$

is injective if and only if  $c_1(TM)$  vanishes on every sphere of vanishing symplectic area in  $M$ . Note that this is equivalent to assuming that  $(M, \omega)$  is *monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$* , that is, there is some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  (in fact  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}$ ), which we call the *monotonicity constant*, such that  $c_1(TM) = \kappa\omega$  on  $\pi_2(M)$ . We also remark that the image of  $\pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$  in  $\pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(\xi))$  is always central. This follows from the argument given in the proof of Proposition 3.2 for the bundle  $V \rightarrow M$  and the fact that  $\pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$  is abelian.

Our aim now is to study the loop in  $\mathrm{CSp}(\xi)$  that is associated to the Reeb flow and to determine sufficient conditions such that it is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(\xi))$ . Let  $p \in V$  and  $\mathcal{F}_p$  be a symplectic frame of  $\xi_p$ . We define the *Reeb frame loop* in the frame bundle by

$$(22) \quad \Gamma_V: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{CSp}(\xi), \quad t \mapsto (\phi_t^X)_* \mathcal{F}_p,$$

which defines an element in  $\pi_1(\mathrm{CSp}(\xi), \mathcal{F}_p)$ . Next we assume that the Reeb orbit  $\gamma_V$  is contractible. Let  $j: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$  be a capping disc, that is  $j = \gamma_V$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a symplectic framing of  $j^*\xi$ . Writing  $\Gamma_V$  with respect to the framing  $\mathcal{F}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ , we get the loop

$$\Gamma_V^j: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$$

to which we can associate its Maslov index.

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $\bar{j}: S^2 := \mathbb{D}/\partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$  be the sphere given by  $\bar{j} = \pi \circ j$ . Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Index}(\Gamma_V^j) = -\langle c_1(\bar{j}^*TM), S^2 \rangle = -\langle c_1(TM), \bar{j}(S^2) \rangle.$$

*In particular,  $\mathrm{Index}(\Gamma_V^j)$  does not depend on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover,  $\mathrm{Index}(\Gamma_V^j)$  is independent of the capping disc if and only if  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $x \in S^2$  denote the image of  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  in the quotient. We take a collar neighborhood  $U$  of  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $\bar{U}$  be the corresponding disc neighborhood of  $x \in S^2$ . We pick a symplectic framing  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$  of  $\bar{j}^*TM|_{\bar{U}}$ , say extending  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}_p$ , and lift it to a Reeb invariant framing  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $j^*\xi|_U$  extending  $\mathcal{F}_p$ . Note that this is possible since  $\bar{U}$  is contractible. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{F}$  descends to a symplectic framing  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$  of

$\bar{j}^*TM|_{S^2 \setminus \{x\}}$ . Viewing  $c_1(\bar{j}^*TM)$  as the homotopy class of the clutching function that is used to glue the trivial bundles  $(\bar{j}^*TM|_{S^2 \setminus \bar{U}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}})$  and  $(\bar{j}^*TM|_{\bar{U}}, \bar{\mathcal{G}})$  along  $\partial\bar{U}$ , we get

$$\langle c_1(\bar{j}^*TM), S^2 \rangle = \text{Index}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}|_{\partial\bar{U}}) = -\text{Index}(\bar{\mathcal{G}}|_{\partial\bar{U}})$$

where we view  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}|_{\partial\bar{U}}$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}|_{\partial\bar{U}}$ ) as a loop in  $\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  using the framing  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}|_{\partial\bar{U}}$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}|_{\partial\bar{U}}$ ). Using the symplectic isomorphism  $\pi_*$ , we get

$$\langle c_1(\bar{j}^*TM), S^2 \rangle = -\text{Index}(\mathcal{G}|_\eta)$$

where  $\eta$  denotes the preimage of  $\partial\bar{U}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  and the loop  $\mathcal{G}|_\eta$  is obtained via the framing  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}|_\eta$  is homotopic to the loop  $\mathcal{G}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ , which is defined via the framing  $\mathcal{F}$ , and since  $\Gamma_V^j$  reads as the constant loop with respect to the framing  $\mathcal{G}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ , we get

$$\langle c_1(\bar{j}^*TM), S^2 \rangle = -\text{Index}(\mathcal{G}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}) = -\text{Index}(\Gamma_V^j),$$

where the left hand side is clearly independent of the framing  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Recall that  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$  if and only if  $c_1(\xi)$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(V)$ . Now given two capping discs  $j_1, j_2: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$ , we glue them together, say after reversing the orientation of the second, to get a sphere  $j: S^2 \rightarrow V$ . We have

$$\text{Index}(\Gamma_V^{j_1}) - \text{Index}(\Gamma_V^{j_2}) = \langle c_1(j^*\xi), S^2 \rangle.$$

Hence  $\text{Index}(\Gamma_V^{j_1}) = \text{Index}(\Gamma_V^{j_2})$  if  $c_1(\xi)$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(V)$ . On the other hand, given a sphere  $S$  in  $V$ , one can choose some capping disc  $j_1: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$  with  $j_1(0) \in S$  and define the capping disc  $j_2$  to be the concatenation of  $j_1$  and  $S$ . Repeating the above construction for these two capping discs leads to a sphere  $j$  in the homotopy class of  $S$ . Then the above formula says that  $c_1(\xi)$  vanishes on  $S$  if the index is independent of the capping discs. □

**Remark 3.7** The above construction of the Maslov index can also be carried out in the case where  $\gamma_V$  is null homologous. In this case the capping disc is replaced by a capping surface  $j: \Sigma \rightarrow V$  and the relative index is given by evaluating  $c_1(TM)$  over the closed surface  $\bar{j}: \bar{\Sigma} := \Sigma/\partial\Sigma \rightarrow M$ .

An immediate consequence of the above lemma is the following.

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  be a prequantization. Suppose that either  $[\omega]$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(M)$  or  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$  with nonvanishing monotonicity constant. Then the Reeb frame loop  $\Gamma_V$  is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi))$ .*

**Proof** Notice that if  $[\omega]$  is trivial on  $\pi_2(M)$  then the Reeb orbit is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(V)$ . Since  $\Gamma_V$  projects to  $\gamma_V$  the statement follows.

Now assume that there is sphere in  $M$  with unit symplectic area. Then  $\gamma_V$  is contractible. Given a capping disc  $j: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$  with  $p = j(1)$ , with a framing  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $j^*\xi$  extending  $\mathcal{F}_p$ , the loop  $\Gamma_V$  based at

$\mathcal{F}_p$  is homotopic to a loop in  $\text{CSp}(\xi_p)$  based at  $\mathcal{F}_p$ , whose homotopy class is given by  $\text{Index}(\Gamma_V^j)$  after  $(\text{CSp}(\xi_p), \mathcal{F}_p)$  is identified by  $(\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \text{Id})$ . By monotonicity, the homomorphism (21) is injective. Hence  $\Gamma_V$  is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi), \mathcal{F}_p)$  if and only if  $\text{Index}(\Gamma_V^j)$  is nonzero.

On the other hand,

$$1 = \int_{\gamma_V} \lambda = \int_{\mathbb{D}} j^* d\lambda = \int_{S^2} \bar{j}^* \omega.$$

Since the monotonicity constant is assumed to be nonzero,  $\text{Index}(\Gamma_V^j)$  does not vanish.

Finally, if  $\gamma_V$  is torsion, say of order  $d$ , in  $\pi_1(V)$ , then we consider the loop  $\Gamma_V^d$  in  $\pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi))$  and apply the Maslov index construction to  $\Gamma_V^d$ . The argument above applies word by word to  $\Gamma_V^d$  and we conclude that  $\Gamma_V^d$  and consequently  $\Gamma_V$  is of infinite order.  $\square$

Given  $p \in V$  and a symplectic frame  $\mathcal{F}_p$  of  $\xi_p$ , we define a map

$$\text{Cont}(V, \xi) \rightarrow \text{CSp}(\xi), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi_* \mathcal{F}_p$$

and get the induced homomorphisms  $\pi_1(\text{Cont}(V, \xi), \text{Id}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi), \mathcal{F}_p)$  which maps the homotopy class of the Reeb loop  $(\phi_t^X)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^1}$  to the homotopy class of  $\Gamma_V$ . Hence the Reeb loop is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{Cont}(V, \xi), \text{Id})$  if  $\Gamma_V$  is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi), \mathcal{F}_p)$ . Hence we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9** *Let  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  be a prequantization. Suppose that either  $[\omega]$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(M)$  or  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$  with nonvanishing monotonicity constant. Then the Reeb loop  $(\phi_t^X)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^1}$  is of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$ .*

After establishing the setting in which  $\Gamma_V$  is suitable to take the role of  $\gamma_V$  in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we are ready to prove the lemma that is analogous to Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.10** *Let  $\hat{\delta}: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{CSp}(\xi)$  be a loop with its projection  $\delta: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow V$ . Then  $(L_\alpha)_* \circ \hat{\delta}$  is freely homotopic to the loop  $\hat{\delta} * \Gamma_V^k$  where  $\Gamma_V: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{CSp}(\xi)$  is the Reeb frame loop based at  $\hat{\delta}(0)$  and  $k = \int_{\delta} \pi^* \alpha$ .*

**Proof** Recall that  $L_\alpha = \varphi_1 \circ \phi_{f \circ \pi}^X$  where  $\alpha = df$  for some  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $\varphi_1$  is the time-one-map of the flow  $(\varphi_t)$  of the horizontal vector field  $X_h$ . From (18) it follows that

$$(\varphi_t)_*: (\xi_0, d\lambda) \rightarrow (\xi_t, d\lambda), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

is a smooth path of isomorphisms of rotating contact structures

$$\xi_t := \ker(\lambda + (t - 1)\pi^* \alpha), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

seen as symplectic vector bundles. Note that  $\xi_1 = \ker \lambda = \xi$  and by (9) we have that

$$(\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X)_*: (\xi_1, d\lambda) \rightarrow (\xi_0, d\lambda)$$

is also an isomorphism. Notice that the vector field  $X$  is the Reeb vector field for every contact form  $\lambda + (t - 1)\pi^*\alpha$  and by projecting along  $X$ , we get a smooth path

$$P_t : (\xi_t, d\lambda) \rightarrow (\xi_1, d\lambda)$$

of isomorphisms of symplectic vector bundles. Observe that  $P_1$  is the identity map. Now we define a path of loops

$$\sigma : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{CSp}(\xi), \quad (s, t) \mapsto P_t \circ (\varphi_t)_* \circ (\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X)_* \circ \hat{\delta}(s),$$

which defines a free homotopy between  $\sigma(\cdot, 0) = P_0 \circ (\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X)_* \circ \hat{\delta}$  and  $\sigma(\cdot, 1) = (L_\alpha)_* \circ \hat{\delta}$ .

We claim that  $P_0 \circ (\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X)_* \circ \hat{\delta}$  is freely homotopic to  $\hat{\delta} * \Gamma_V^k$  in  $\text{CSp}(\xi)$ . To see this, we write  $\bar{\delta} := \pi \circ \delta$  and consider the pull-back bundle  $\bar{\delta}^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ . Let  $\Delta : \bar{\delta}^*V \rightarrow V$  be the bundle map covering  $\bar{\delta}$ . Next we take a symplectic trivialization of  $\bar{\delta}^*TM$  and lift it to an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant trivialization of the pull-back bundle  $\Delta^*\xi \rightarrow \bar{\delta}^*V$ . Pairing this trivialization with the trivialization of  $\bar{\delta}^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  described in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we identify  $\text{CSp}(\Delta^*\xi)$  with  $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  in such a way that  $\hat{\delta}$  reads as  $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\})$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  paired with a loop  $\eta$  in  $\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  based at  $\text{Id}$ , and  $\Gamma_V^k$  reads as  $(\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1)^k$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  paired with the constant loop  $\text{Id}$  in  $\text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  since  $\Delta^*\xi$  is trivialized in an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant fashion. Hence  $\hat{\delta} * \Gamma_V^k$  reads as  $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}) * (\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1)^k$  paired with  $\eta$ . On the other hand since  $P_0$  is given by the projection along  $X$ , for any  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $u \in \hat{\delta}(s)$ , we have

$$P_0 \circ (\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X)_* u = P_0(d(\phi_{f(\bar{\delta}(s))}^X)_{\delta(s)}[u] + \alpha_{\bar{\delta}(s)}(\pi_* u)X) = d(\phi_{f(\bar{\delta}(s))}^X)_{\delta(s)}[u].$$

Again since  $\Delta^*\xi$  is trivialized in an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant fashion,  $P_0 \circ (\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X)_* \circ \hat{\delta}$  reads

$$s \mapsto ((s, f(s)), \eta(s)) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  is of degree  $k$ . Therefore,  $P_0 \circ (\phi_{f \circ \pi}^X)_* \circ \hat{\delta}$  is freely homotopic to  $\hat{\delta} * \Gamma_V^k$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \text{CSp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . □

Now we are ready to state our first main result.

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed integral symplectic manifold such that either  $[\omega]$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(M)$  or  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$  with a nonvanishing monotonicity constant. Suppose that any abelian subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$  has rank at most one. If  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  is a prequantization, then for any closed integral 1-form  $\alpha$  on  $M$ ,  $L_\alpha$  is isotopic to  $\text{Id}$  in  $\text{Cont}(V, \xi)$  if and only if  $[\alpha]$  is trivial in  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

**Proof** If  $[\omega]$  vanishes on  $\pi_2(M)$  then this follows from Proposition 3.2. Now, suppose  $[\omega]$  does not vanish on  $\pi_2(M)$  and  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone on  $\pi_2(M)$  with a nonvanishing monotonicity constant. If  $L_\alpha$  is isotopic to  $\text{Id}$  in  $\text{Cont}(V, \xi)$  and  $\hat{\delta}$  is a loop in  $\text{CSp}(\xi)$ , then by Lemma 3.10  $\hat{\delta}$  is freely homotopic to  $\hat{\delta} * \Gamma_V^k$ . So there is a loop  $\hat{\beta}$  in  $\text{CSp}(\xi)$  such that  $\hat{\beta} * \hat{\delta} * \hat{\beta}^{-1} = \hat{\delta} * \Gamma_V^k$  in  $\pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi))$ . Let  $\delta$  and  $\beta$  be the projections of  $\hat{\delta}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  to  $V$  respectively. Then  $\delta^{-1}\beta\delta\beta^{-1} = \gamma_V^k$  where  $\gamma_V^k$  is the projection of  $\Gamma_V^k$ . But, we can assume  $\gamma_V^k$  is contractible by iterating  $L_\alpha$  (or equivalently, by considering a suitable multiple

$n\alpha$  of  $\alpha$ ). In this case,  $\delta$  commutes with  $\beta$  in  $\pi_1(V)$ . It follows that  $\delta$  and  $\beta$  are contained in an abelian subgroup  $H$  of rank at most one since the  $S^1$ -fiber of  $V$  is torsion in  $\pi_1(V)$  (by the assumption that  $[\omega]$  does not vanish on  $\pi_2(M)$ ) and every abelian subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$  has rank at most one. It follows, by Lemma 3.3, that the subgroup  $\pi_*^{-1}(H)$  is an abelian subgroup of  $\pi_1(\text{CSp}(\xi))$  since it is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -central extension of  $H$  (the Reeb frame loop is of infinite order by Proposition 3.8). Hence  $\hat{\delta}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  are contained in the abelian subgroup  $\pi_*^{-1}(H)$  and therefore  $\Gamma_V^k$  is contractible. But by Proposition 3.8 this is possible only if  $k = \int_{\hat{\beta}} \alpha = 0$ . Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary we get  $\alpha = 0$  in  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

Now let  $(W, \omega_W)$  be a simply connected integral symplectic manifold. Consider the product symplectic manifold  $M := W \times \Sigma_g$ ,  $\omega := \omega_W \oplus \omega_0$ , where  $\omega_0$  is any integral symplectic form on the surface  $\Sigma_g$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  and let  $\pi : (V, \lambda) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  be a prequantization. Then  $V$  restricted to  $W \times \{\text{pt}\}$ , denoted by  $V|_W$ , defines a prequantization of  $(W, \omega_W)$ . Note that  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  and  $\Gamma_\omega$  is trivial (see, for example, [5, Theorem 3]). Then by Theorem 2.10, the kernel of the homomorphism (which is surjective in this case)

$$\pi_0(\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$$

is given by  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = H_{dR}^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . So we get the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{J} : H_{dR}^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi))$$

and we have the natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{J} : \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Diff}(V))$ .

**Proposition 3.12** *Suppose that the  $S^1$ -action on  $V|_W$ , given by the Reeb flow, defines a torsion loop in  $\pi_1(\text{Diff}_0(V|_W))$  of order  $k$ . Then every mapping class in the subgroup  $kH_{dR}^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \pi_0(\text{SCont}(V, \lambda))$  is smoothly trivial.*

**Proof** Let  $\sigma : S^1 \times [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \Sigma_g$  be a symplectic embedding of a small cylinder and let  $f : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be a smooth function that vanishes near 0 and  $\varepsilon$ . Now,  $f$  induces a function  $\tilde{f} : \Sigma_g \rightarrow S^1$  as follows: extend  $f$  to  $S^1 \times [0, \varepsilon]$  in the obvious way, so it induces a function on the image of  $\sigma$  which can be extended to be identically zero outside. The differential form  $d\tilde{f}$  represents an integral cohomology class and, conversely, every integral cohomology is represented by such a function (with a suitable choice of  $\sigma$  and the degree of  $f$ ). Therefore, every element of  $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  can be represented as the differential of a function  $\tilde{f} \circ p$  where  $p : M \rightarrow \Sigma_g$  is the projection and  $\tilde{f}$  is as above.

Recall that by (17), the lift of  $d(\tilde{f} \circ p)$  to  $\text{SCont}(V, \lambda)$  is given by  $\phi_{(\tilde{f} \circ p) \circ \pi}^X \circ \varphi_1$  where  $\varphi_1$  is the time-one-map of the flow  $(\varphi_t)$  of the horizontal lift of the symplectic gradient of  $d(\tilde{f} \circ p)$ . Since  $\varphi_1$  is isotopic to the identity, one needs only to show that if the degree of  $f$  is  $k$  (where  $f : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow S^1$  is seen as a loop) then  $\phi_{(\tilde{f} \circ p) \circ \pi}^X$  is isotopic to the identity.

Let  $\sigma : S^1 \times [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \Sigma_g$  be as above and  $f : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow S^1$  be such a map of degree  $k$ . Denote by  $C$  the image of  $\sigma$ . Let  $V|_C$  denote the restriction of the  $S^1$ -bundle  $V$  to  $W \times C$  and  $Z = V|_W$  denote the restriction of  $V$  to  $W \times \{\text{pt}\}$ . Then the map  $p \circ \pi : V|_C \rightarrow C$  defines a fiber bundle with fiber  $Z$  and the

$\mathbb{S}^1$ -action preserves each fiber. Our claim is that  $p \circ \pi: V|_C \rightarrow C$  is equivalent, in an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant way, to  $Z \times C \rightarrow C$  where  $Z$  is seen as the prequantization of  $(W, \omega_W)$ .

We first show that the bundle  $p \circ \pi: V|_C \rightarrow C$  is trivial, in an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant way, when restricted to  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\} \subset C$ , where  $C$  is identified with  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, \varepsilon]$ . Fix  $y_0 \in W$  and suppose, without loss of generality, that the loop  $S_{y_0} := \{y_0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$  has zero holonomy. Then for every  $y \in W$ , the loop  $S_y := \{y\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$  also has zero holonomy since for some  $\varphi \in \text{Ham}(W, \omega_W)$  with  $\varphi(y_0) = y$ , the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\varphi \times \text{Id}$  of  $M$  maps  $S_{y_0}$  to  $S_y$ . Now, horizontal lifts of the loops  $S_y$  for  $y \in W$  produce an  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant identification of all the fibers  $(p \circ \pi)^{-1}(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ . Now we can argue in the same fashion and identify all the fibers  $(p \circ \pi)^{-1}(z)$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, \varepsilon]$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariantly just by considering the foliation of  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, \varepsilon]$  by intervals  $\{s\} \times [0, \varepsilon]$  and their associated horizontal lifts.

Now, the map  $\phi_{(\tilde{f} \circ p) \circ \pi}^X$  reads

$$\phi_{(\tilde{f} \circ p) \circ \pi}^X : Z \times C \rightarrow Z \times C, \quad (q, (x, y)) \mapsto (f(y) \cdot q, (x, y))$$

where  $f(y) \cdot q$  means the action of  $f(y) \in \mathbb{S}^1$  on  $q$ . So,  $\phi_{(\tilde{f} \circ p) \circ \pi}^X$  has the form

$$(q, (x, y)) \mapsto (\phi_y(q), (x, y))$$

where  $\phi: [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \text{Diff}^0(Z)$  is a loop based at  $\text{Id}$ . In our case,  $\phi$  is contractible if  $f$  has degree  $k$  (since, by assumption, the Reeb flow defines a torsion loop of order  $k$  in  $\pi_1(\text{Diff}^0(Z))$ ). This shows that  $\phi_{(\tilde{f} \circ p) \circ \pi}^X$  is isotopic to the identity. □

**Corollary 3.13** *Let  $(\Sigma_g, \omega_0)$  be a surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  with an integral symplectic form and  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  be the Fubini–Study form on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , which is normalized so that the standard  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  has unit area. Consider a prequantization  $(V, \lambda) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^n \times \Sigma_g, \omega_{\text{FS}} \oplus \omega_0)$ . Then the homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{J}: H_{dR}^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Cont}(V, \xi)),$$

*is injective. Moreover,  $\ker(\mathcal{J})$  contains the image of  $\mathcal{J}$  for  $n$  odd, and contains  $\mathcal{J}(2H_{dR}^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}))$  for  $n$  even.*

**Proof** The injectivity of  $\mathcal{J}$  follows from Theorem 3.11. Note that the prequantization  $V|_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$  is the Hopf bundle  $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  and the Reeb loop is given by the loop  $t \mapsto \text{diag}(e^{2\pi it}, \dots, e^{2\pi it})$  in  $U(n+1)$ . This defines a loop in  $\text{SO}(2n+2)$  which is contractible if  $n$  is odd and of order 2 if  $n$  is even. The rest is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.12. □

## References

- [1] **P Albers, E Shelukhin, F Zapolsky**, *Spectral invariants for contactomorphisms of prequantization bundles and applications*, lecture video, IAS Symplectic Geometry Seminar (2016) Available at <https://www.ias.edu/video/puias/2016/0218-Zapolsky>

- [2] **A Banyaga**, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, Math. Appl. 400, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1997) MR Zbl
- [3] **S Bates, A Weinstein**, *Lectures on the geometry of quantization*, Berkeley Math. Lect. Notes 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997) MR Zbl
- [4] **W M Boothby, H C Wang**, *On contact manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958) 721–734 MR Zbl
- [5] **C Campos-Apanco, A Pedroza**, *Bounded symplectic diffeomorphisms and split flux groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012) 2883–2892 MR Zbl
- [6] **D Cant**, *Contactomorphisms of the sphere without translated points*, J. Symplectic Geom. 22 (2024) 1–10
- [7] **R Casals, V Ginzburg, F Presas**, *Higher Maslov indices*, J. Geom. Phys. 115 (2017) 167–177 MR Zbl
- [8] **R Casals, F Presas**, *A remark on the Reeb flow for spheres*, J. Symplectic Geom. 12 (2014) 657–671 MR Zbl
- [9] **R Casals, O Spáčil**, *Chern–Weil theory and the group of strict contactomorphisms*, J. Topol. Anal. 8 (2016) 59–87 MR Zbl
- [10] **F Ding, H Geiges**, *The diffeotopy group of  $S^1 \times S^2$  via contact topology*, Compos. Math. 146 (2010) 1096–1112 MR Zbl
- [11] **K Dymara**, *Legendrian knots in overtwisted contact structures on  $S^3$* , Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 19 (2001) 293–305 MR Zbl
- [12] **Y Eliashberg, M Fraser**, *Topologically trivial Legendrian knots*, J. Symplectic Geom. 7 (2009) 77–127 MR Zbl
- [13] **H Geiges, M Klukas**, *The fundamental group of the space of contact structures on the 3-torus*, Math. Res. Lett. 21 (2014) 1257–1262 MR Zbl
- [14] **F Gironella**, *Examples of nontrivial contact mapping classes for overtwisted contact manifolds in all dimensions*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 19 (2019) 1207–1227 MR Zbl
- [15] **F Gironella**, *Examples of contact mapping classes of infinite order in all dimensions*, Math. Res. Lett. 28 (2021) 707–727 MR Zbl
- [16] **E Giroux**, *Sur les transformations de contact au-dessus des surfaces*, from “Essays on geometry and related topics, II”, Monogr. Enseign. Math. 38, Enseignement Math., Geneva (2001) 329–350 MR Zbl
- [17] **E Giroux, P Massot**, *On the contact mapping class group of Legendrian circle bundles*, Compos. Math. 153 (2017) 294–312 MR Zbl
- [18] **R E Gompf**, *Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces*, Ann. of Math. 148 (1998) 619–693 MR Zbl
- [19] **S Kobayashi**, *Principal fibre bundles with the 1-dimensional toroidal group*, Tohoku Math. J. 8 (1956) 29–45 MR Zbl
- [20] **S Lanzat, F Zapolsky**, *On the contact mapping class group of the contactization of the  $A_m$ -Milnor fiber*, Ann. Math. Qué. 42 (2018) 79–94 MR Zbl
- [21] **P Massot, K Niederkrüger**, *Examples of non-trivial contact mapping classes in all dimensions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2016 (2016) 4784–4806 MR Zbl
- [22] **T S Ratiu, R Schmid**, *The differentiable structure of three remarkable diffeomorphism groups*, Math. Z. 177 (1981) 81–100 MR Zbl

- [23] **S Sandon**, *A Morse estimate for translated points of contactomorphisms of spheres and projective spaces*, Geom. Dedicata 165 (2013) 95–110 MR Zbl
- [24] **J-M Souriau**, *Structure of dynamical systems: a symplectic view of physics*, Progr. Math. 149, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (1997) MR Zbl
- [25] **T Vogel**, *Non-loose unknots, overtwisted discs, and the contact mapping class group of  $S^3$* , Geom. Funct. Anal. 28 (2018) 228–288 MR Zbl

*Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum  
Bochum, Germany*

*Department Mathematik/Informatik, Abteilung Mathematik, Universität zu Köln  
Köln, Germany*

souheib.allout@rub.de, msaglam@math.uni-koeln.de

Received: 7 March 2024      Revised: 7 May 2024

# ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

msp.org/agt

## EDITORS

### PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre  
etnyre@math.gatech.edu  
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess  
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch  
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Thomas Koberda	University of Virginia thomas.koberda@virginia.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Markus Land	LMU München markus.land@math.lmu.de
Tara E Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Univ. Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Norihiko Minami	Yamato University minami.norihiko@yamato-u.ac.jp
Octav Cornea	Université' de Montreal cornea@dms.umontreal.ca	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Robert Oliver	Université Paris 13 bobol@math.univ-paris13.fr
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Jessica S Purcell	Monash University jessica.purcell@monash.edu
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
David Futер	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Vesna Stojanoska	Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign vesna@illinois.edu
Matthew Hedden	Michigan State University mhedden@math.msu.edu	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
Kristen Hendricks	Rutgers University kristen.hendricks@rutgers.edu	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Daniel T Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu	Lior Yanovski	Hebrew University of Jerusalem lior.yanovski@gmail.com

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/agt](http://msp.org/agt) for submission instructions.


The subscription price for 2025 is US \$760/year for the electronic version, and \$1110/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

---

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<https://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 25 Issue 4 (pages 1917–2526) 2025

---

The zero stability for the one-row colored $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -Jones polynomial	1917
WATARU YUASA	
Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras with application to mod $p$ homology of labeled configuration spaces	1945
ADELA YIYU ZHANG	
Coarse Alexander duality for pairs and applications	1999
G CHRISTOPHER HRUSKA, EMILY STARK and HÙNG CÔNG TRẦN	
K-cwaist on complete foliated manifolds	2037
GUANGXIANG SU and XIANGSHENG WANG	
Line bundle twists for unitary bordism are ghosts	2053
THORSTEN HERTL	
The generalized Kauffman–Harary conjecture is true	2067
RHEA PALAK BAKSHI, HUIZHENG GUO, GABRIEL MONTOYA-VEGA, SUJOY MUKHERJEE and JÓZEF H PRZYTYCKI	
Rigidity of elliptic genera for nonspin manifolds	2083
MICHAEL WIEMELER	
Shrinking without doing much at all	2099
MICHAEL FREEDMAN and MICHAEL STARBIRD	
Action of the Mazur pattern up to topological concordance	2115
ALEX MANCHESTER	
Kauffman bracket intertwiners and the volume conjecture	2143
ZHIHAO WANG	
Horizontal decompositions, II	2179
PAOLO LISCA and ANDREA PARMA	
On the nonorientable four-ball genus of torus knots	2209
FRASER BINNS, SUNGKYUNG KANG, JONATHAN SIMONE and PAULA TRUÛL	
Generalised Baumslag–Solitar groups and hierarchically hyperbolic groups	2253
JACK O BUTTON	
Geometric and arithmetic properties of Löbell polyhedra	2281
NIKOLAY BOGACHEV and SAMI DOUBA	
Formality of sphere bundles	2297
JIAWEI ZHOU	
A Quillen stability criterion for bounded cohomology	2317
CARLOS DE LA CRUZ MENGUAL and TOBIAS HARTNICK	
$T$ -equivariant motives of flag varieties	2343
CAN YAYLALI	
Small Heegaard genus and $SU(2)$	2369
JOHN A BALDWIN and STEVEN SIVEK	
Harmonic measures and rigidity for surface group actions on the circle	2391
MASANORI ADACHI, YOSHIFUMI MATSUDA and HIRAKU NOZAWA	
Finite groups of untwisted outer automorphisms of RAAGs	2413
COREY BREGMAN, RUTH CHARNEY and KAREN VOGTMANN	
Computations on cobordism groups of projected immersions	2441
ANDRÁS CSÉPAI	
Rank-preserving additions for topological vector bundles, after a construction of Horrocks	2451
MORGAN P OPIE	
Power sum elements in the $G_2$ skein algebra	2477
BODIE BEAUMONT-GOULD, ERIK BRODSKY, VIJAY HIGGINS, ALAINA HOGAN, JOSEPH M MELBY and JOSHUA PIAZZA	
On contact mapping classes of prequantizations	2507
SARAH ALBERT, MARIANNE BERGERON and JONATHAN HALL	