

AG  
T

*Algebraic & Geometric  
Topology*

Volume 25 (2025)

**A note on knot Floer homology of satellite knots with  $(1, 1)$ -patterns**

WEIZHE SHEN





# A note on knot Floer homology of satellite knots with $(1, 1)$ -patterns

WEIZHE SHEN

We prove that if  $P$  is a  $(1, 1)$ -pattern, then the two inequalities  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(U))$  and  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K)$  hold for the unknot  $U \subset S^3$  and any companion  $K \subset S^3$ .

57K18

## 1 Introduction

Knot Floer homology, introduced independently by Ozsváth and Szabó [18] and J Rasmussen [20], is a powerful invariant of knots in the three-sphere. For example, it captures several geometric properties of knots such as genus (see Ozsváth and Szabó [17]) and fiberedness (see Ghiggini [4] and Ni [15]). The theory has several different variants; here we assume the reader is familiar with the hat version, which takes the form of a bigraded finitely generated vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\widehat{\text{HFK}}(K) = \bigoplus_{m, a \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\text{HFK}}_m(K, a).$$

Here  $K$  is a knot in  $S^3$ ,  $m$  is the *Maslov* (or *homological*) grading, and  $a$  is the *Alexander* grading.

To three-manifolds with parametrized boundary, Lipshitz, Ozsváth, and Thurston [14] associated bordered Heegaard Floer invariants. Moreover, their pairing theorems are well-adapted to the study of the result of gluing two manifolds with torus boundary. Recall that given a knot  $P$  (namely, a pattern) embedded in a standard solid torus  $S^1 \times D^2 =: V$  and a knot  $K$  (namely, a companion) in  $S^3$ , the satellite knot  $P(K)$  is obtained from  $P$  by gluing  $V$  to the complement  $X_K := \overline{S^3 - \nu(K)}$  — where  $\nu(K)$  is a tubular neighborhood of  $K$  — in such a way that the meridian of  $V$  is identified with the meridian of  $K$ , and the longitude of  $V$  is identified with the Seifert longitude of  $K$ . Therefore, satellite knots can be studied using bordered Heegaard Floer homology. Some early work in this approach includes that of Hom [10], Levine [13], and Petkova [19]. By definition, a pattern is called  $(1, 1)$  if it admits a genus-one doubly pointed bordered Heegaard diagram. This note concerns  $(1, 1)$ -patterns and satellite knots with such patterns (which hereinafter may be referred to as  $(1, 1)$ -satellites for short). Moreover, we do not consider patterns that lie in a three-ball in  $V$ ; that is, we assume that any pattern  $P \subset V$  is geometrically essential. We call a pattern *unknotted* if it is isotopic to the core of the solid torus containing it. We do not distinguish unknotted patterns and will denote such a pattern by  $\mathfrak{L}$ . We reserve  $U$  for the unknot in  $S^3$ .

For three-manifolds with a single toroidal boundary component, Hanselman, Rasmussen, and Watson [5; 6] interpreted the relevant bordered Heegaard Floer invariants geometrically as decorated immersed curves in the once-punctured torus. Later, a formula for the behavior of these immersed curves under cabling was given by Hanselman and Watson [7]. More recently, Chen [2] studied the computation of knot Floer chain complexes of  $(1, 1)$ -satellites by using immersed curves.

Does a nonzero-degree map give a rank inequality on Heegaard Floer homology? More specifically, Hanselman, Rasmussen, and Watson [6, Question 12] asked, if there is a degree-one map  $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  between closed connected orientable three-manifolds, is it the case that  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y_1) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y_2)$ ? For integer homology spheres, Karakurt and Lidman [12, Conjecture 9.4] proposed that if there is a nonzero-degree map  $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  between them, then  $\text{rank HF}_{\text{red}}(Y_1) \geq \text{rank HF}_{\text{red}}(Y_2)$  and  $\text{rank } \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y_1) \geq \text{rank } \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y_2)$ . Karakurt and Lidman [12, Theorem 1.9] also studied maps between Seifert homology spheres. It is natural to ask similar questions about knot complements in  $S^3$  and rank inequalities on knot Floer homology. Given a degree-one map  $\varphi: X_K \rightarrow X_U$  that preserves peripheral structure,<sup>1</sup> the induced map  $\tilde{\varphi}: X_{P(K)} \rightarrow X_{P(U)}$  is well-defined and further induces an epimorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}_*: \pi_1(X_{P(K)}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{P(U)})$  that also preserves peripheral structure. This is a special case of [11, Question 1.9], in which Juhász and Marengon asked, for knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  in  $S^3$  such that there is an epimorphism  $\pi_1(X_{K_1}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{K_2})$  preserving peripheral structure, is it true that  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K_1) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K_2)$ ? We state the version corresponding to the special case  $\pi_1(X_{P(K)}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{P(U)})$  in the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.1** *Given any pattern  $P$  in  $S^1 \times D^2$  and any companion  $K$  in  $S^3$ , there is an inequality  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(U))$ , where  $U$  denotes the unknot in  $S^3$ .*

Another closely related conjecture is the following:

**Conjecture 1.2** *Given any pattern  $P$  in  $S^1 \times D^2$  and any companion  $K$  in  $S^3$ , there is an inequality  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K)$ .*

Our purpose here is to prove Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 when  $P$  is a  $(1, 1)$ -pattern, by using (geometrically interpreted) bordered Heegaard Floer invariants.

**Theorem 1.3** *Given a  $(1, 1)$ -pattern  $P \subset S^1 \times D^2$ , the inequality*

$$(1) \quad \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(U))$$

*holds for any companion  $K \subset S^3$ , where  $U$  denotes the unknot in  $S^3$ .*

**Theorem 1.4** *Given a  $(1, 1)$ -pattern  $P \subset S^1 \times D^2$ , the inequality*

$$(2) \quad \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K)$$

*holds for any companion  $K \subset S^3$ . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if the pattern  $P$  is unknotted in  $S^1 \times D^2$ , or both the companion  $K$  and the satellite  $P(U)$  are unknotted in  $S^3$ .*

<sup>1</sup>We refer the reader to Boileau, Boyer, Rolfsen, and Wang [1, Proposition 1] for a proof of the existence of such a map.

Two natural questions (which were originally pointed out by Tye Lidman) to ask are the following:

**Question 1.5** *Is it possible to characterize the equality condition for Theorem 1.3?*

**Question 1.6** *Does either of the above theorems have a refinement for Maslov gradings?*

Although these two questions are not fully resolved here, we discuss them in detail in Section 4. We will also see that there is no refinement for Alexander gradings.

We conclude this section by briefly explaining two major parts in proving the above theorems. To begin with, the main result of Chen [2] allows us to obtain the dimension of  $\widehat{\text{HF}}\text{K}(P(K))$  by counting the minimum intersections of the curves  $\beta(P)$  and  $\alpha(K)$  in its corresponding pairing diagram (which is defined in Section 2):

**Theorem 1.7** [2, Theorem 1.2] *Given a  $(1,1)$ -pattern  $P \subset S^1 \times D^2$  and a companion  $K \subset S^3$ , let  $\widehat{\text{HF}}(X_K) \subset \partial X_K \setminus \{w'\}$  be the immersed curves of the knot complement  $X_K$ , and let  $(\beta, \mu, \lambda, w, z) \subset \partial(S^1 \times D^2)$  be a five-tuple corresponding to a genus-one doubly pointed bordered Heegaard diagram for  $P$ . Let  $h: \partial X_K \rightarrow \partial(S^1 \times D^2)$  be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism such that*

- (i)  *$h$  identifies the meridian and Seifert longitude of  $K$  with  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively,*
- (ii)  *$h(w') = w$ ,*
- (iii) *there is a regular neighborhood  $U \subset \partial(S^1 \times D^2)$  of  $w$  such that  $z \in U$ ,  $U \cap (\lambda \cup \mu) = \emptyset$ , and  $U \cap h(\widehat{\text{HF}}(X_K)) = \emptyset$ .*

Let  $\alpha = h(\widehat{\text{HF}}(X_K))$ . Then there is a chain homotopy equivalence

$$\widehat{\text{CFK}}(\alpha, \beta, w, z) \cong \widehat{\text{CFK}}(S^3, P(K)).$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is connected, this chain homotopy equivalence preserves the Maslov grading and Alexander filtration.

Besides Chen's theorem, the proof of Hanselman, Rasmussen, and Watson [6, Theorem 52] is another inspiration for an essential part of our proof — applying a sequence of moves to curves without increasing intersection numbers. Moreover, Theorem 1.4 is close to [6, Theorem 11], which is a special case of [6, Theorem 52].

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor, Jennifer Hom, for suggesting this problem, and I cannot thank her enough for her continued support, guidance, and patience. I am grateful to Wenzhao Chen and Tye Lidman for constructive comments on an earlier draft and to Steven Sivek for informative email correspondence. I would also like to thank the referee and Matthew Hedden for their insightful suggestions.




Figure 1: The data contained in a genus-one doubly pointed bordered Heegaard diagram (on the left) of the Mazur pattern can be equivalently understood as a five-tuple (on the right). This convention comes from [2, Sections 1 and 5].

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we primarily recapitulate some conventions and results in [2; 3] and then set up several notations, in preparation for proving Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 in Section 3.

We begin with a more thorough discussion about Theorem 1.7. The five-tuple in Theorem 1.7 is obtained from a genus-one doubly pointed bordered Heegaard diagram,  $(\bar{\Sigma}, \{\alpha_1^a, \alpha_2^a\}, \beta, w, z)$ , of  $P$ . This is done by viewing  $\beta, w$ , and  $z$  as embedded in  $\partial(S^1 \times D^2)$  and identifying the pair of arcs  $\{\alpha_1^a, \alpha_2^a\}$  with the longitude–meridian pair of  $\partial(S^1 \times D^2)$ . See Figure 1 for an example of the Mazur pattern.

In practice, Theorem 1.7 shows that, after identifying the torus  $\partial(S^1 \times D^2)$  with the quotient space  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] / \sim$  in the standard way and dividing the unit square evenly into four quadrants, we can fit  $\alpha = \widehat{\text{HF}}(X_K)$  into the first quadrant  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , fit  $(\beta, w, z)$  into the third quadrant  $[0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , and extend them both horizontally and vertically to obtain a diagram that yields a chain complex isomorphic to  $\widehat{\text{CFK}}(P(K))$ . We call such a diagram a *pairing diagram* for  $P(K)$ , and we denote by  $\alpha(K)$  and  $\beta(P)$  the curves obtained from  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by extension, respectively. Figure 2 displays four examples, in which  $M$  denotes the Mazur pattern,  $T_{p,q}$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -torus knot, and  $K_{p,q}$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -cable of a knot  $K$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 needs some caution, as we will be moving  $\alpha$ -curves, which are immersed in general. The following lemma, which is widely known as the *Whitney–Graustein theorem*, allows us to get rid of self-intersections of immersed curves (after certain modifications, which will be explained in Section 3).

**Lemma 2.1** ([21, Theorem 1]; see also [3, Theorem 1]) *Regular homotopy classes of regular closed curves  $\bar{\gamma}: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the integers, the correspondence being given by*

$$[\bar{\gamma}] \mapsto \text{rot}(\bar{\gamma}),$$

where  $\text{rot}(\bar{\gamma})$  is the degree<sup>2</sup> of the map  $S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}, s \mapsto \bar{\gamma}'(s)$ .

<sup>2</sup>By [3], the integer  $\text{rot}(\bar{\gamma})$  is called the *rotation number* of  $\bar{\gamma}$ , and it is a signed count of the number of complete turns of the velocity vector  $\bar{\gamma}'$  as we traverse  $\bar{\gamma}$  in a prefixed orientation.




Figure 2: Examples of paring diagrams. The curves  $\beta(P)$  and  $\alpha(K)$  are drawn in blue and red, respectively.

The last thing we recall here is how to obtain  $\alpha$ -curves from immersed curves in a (punctured) infinite cylinder, and vice versa. Given immersed curves in  $(\mathbb{R}/(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})) \times \mathbb{R}$ , we place a grid system consisting of two vertical columns of unit squares, with the middle vertices identified with the punctured points of the cylinder. Then we follow the curve and replicate its segment in a square every time we meet an edge of a grid square. In this way, we build its corresponding  $\alpha$ -curves. Likewise, if we start with a torus with  $\alpha$ -curves, we can trace the curves and recover its immersed curves in an infinite cylinder, as illustrated in Figure 3.




Figure 3: The immersed curve for  $-T_{2,3}$  in an infinite cylinder (left) and the  $\alpha$ -curves for  $-T_{2,3}$  in a torus (right), where the circled numbers can be used to follow the construction.

### 3 Proof of theorems

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** Given a  $(1, 1)$ -pattern  $P \subset S^1 \times D^2$  and a companion knot  $K \subset S^3$ , let  $(T^2, \alpha(K), \beta(P), w, z)$  be a pairing diagram for  $P(K)$ , where  $T^2 \cong S^1 \times S^1$ . Lift the diagram to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by the covering map  $\pi := p \times p: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T^2$ , where  $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  is given by  $x \mapsto (\cos(2\pi x), \sin(2\pi x))$ . Let  $\beta_0$  be a lift of  $\pi^{-1}(\beta(P))$ . By the construction of  $\beta(P)$ , the lift  $\beta_0$  is connected. (See Figure 4 for an example of the Mazur pattern, where the lifts of extended  $\alpha$ -curves are omitted.) Let  $\alpha_0$  be a lift of  $\alpha(K)$ . Notice that  $\alpha_0$  may not be connected, for the immersed curves  $\alpha$  may consist of multiple components. (See Figure 5 for an example of the right-handed trefoil, where the lifts of extended  $\beta$ -curves are omitted.)

By [7, page 3], any immersed multicurve in an infinite cylinder has a unique component wrapping around the cylinder. Then the construction at the end of Section 2 implies that there is at least one horizontal line segment in the second quadrant of  $(T^2, \alpha(K), \beta(P), w, z)$ . Thus, the lift  $\alpha_0$  contains horizontal line segments.

Ignore any closed component that  $\alpha_0$  may contain. Then  $\alpha_0$  becomes a connected piece going to the left- and right-infinity on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . (Note that we may lose some data by doing so; nevertheless, we shall see by the end of the proof that (1) still holds.) Without loss of generality, give  $\alpha_0$  an overall rightward orientation. Then there exist rightward-oriented horizontal line segments in  $\alpha_0$ ; indeed, otherwise,  $\alpha_0$  would not extend to the right infinity. Tracing  $\alpha_0$  from left to right, denote by  $\mu_1$  the first such segment




Figure 4: A choice of  $\beta_0$  (highlighted in cyan) that corresponds to the Mazur pattern. (With a slight abuse of notation, we use the same symbols,  $w$  and  $z$ , for the lifts of the two basepoints, respectively.)




Figure 5: A choice of  $\alpha_0$  (highlighted in magenta) that corresponds to  $T_{2,3}$ .

that intersects  $\beta_0$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\mu_1 \subset [0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Consider the set  $\mathcal{M} := \pi^{-1}(\pi(\mu_1)) \cap ([1, \infty) \times [0, 1])$ , which consists of all lifts of  $\pi(\mu_1)$  that lie in  $[1, \infty) \times [0, 1]$ . For each element in  $\mathcal{M}$ , denote it by  $\mu_j$  if it lies in  $[j - 1, j] \times [0, 1]$ . Let  $k := \max\{j \mid \mu_j \cap \beta_0 \neq \emptyset\}$ , and let  $\alpha_0^*$  denote the connected portion of  $\alpha_0$  between  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_{k+1}$ , with  $\mu_1$  included and  $\mu_{k+1}$  excluded. (See Figure 6 for an illustration, where the segments  $\mu_j$  are highlighted in green.)

Notice that  $\alpha_0$  is periodic, exhibiting horizontal translational symmetry, so  $\alpha_0^*$  is periodic as well. Moreover,  $\alpha_0^*$  consists of  $k$  periods, with the  $j^{\text{th}}$  period of  $\alpha_0^*$  starting from  $\mu_j$  and terminating at the left endpoint of  $\mu_{j+1}$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , if we traverse  $\alpha_0^*$  from left to right.

As we mentioned in Section 2, the curve  $\alpha_0^*$  may contain self-intersections; we claim that all of them can be resolved by regular homotopies (which are allowed to cross any lift of basepoints). Indeed, we can complete  $\alpha_0^*$  into an immersed closed curve by attaching the top endpoint of a left semicircle of radius  $R$  to the left endpoint of  $\mu_1$ , attaching the top endpoint of a right semicircle of radius  $R$  to the left endpoint of  $\mu_{k+1}$ , and then connecting the two bottom endpoints of these two semicircles by a line segment, where  $R$  is sufficiently large so that the newly added three segments do not intersect  $\alpha_0^*$ . By the  $180^\circ$  symmetry of immersed curves for knot complements (up to regular homotopy), the turning number induced from each self-intersection will be canceled by that of its symmetric counterpart, so overall, the rotation number of the closed curve we just created is  $\pm 1$ , depending on its orientation. By Lemma 2.1, this rotation number is preserved under regular homotopies, so this closed curve is in the class of circles. Therefore, we can resolve all possible self-intersections of  $\alpha_0^*$ .




Figure 6: An example of  $M(T_{2,3})$ .

For each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , consider (the closure of) the complement of  $\mu_j$  in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  period of  $\alpha_0^*$ . Allowing passing lifts of basepoints, regularly homotope this complement with its two endpoints fixed until the curve is within  $[j - \frac{1}{2}, j] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . By the claim above, we may assume all self-intersections have been resolved, so we can further regularly homotope  $\alpha_0^*$  until it becomes a horizontal line segment in  $[0, k] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . We call the resulting curve  $\alpha_0^{*'}$ .

Since  $\alpha(U)$  is a horizontal line segment, the  $\alpha_0$ -curve that corresponds to the unknot  $U$ , denoted by  $\alpha_0(U)$ , is a horizontal line in  $\mathbb{R} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Moreover,  $\alpha_0(U)$  first intersects  $\beta_0$  in the square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and lastly in  $[k - 1, k] \times [0, 1]$ , by the definitions of  $\mu_1$  and  $k$ . Therefore, to get the dimension of  $\widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(U))$ , it suffices to consider  $\beta_0$  and the portion of  $\alpha_0(U)$  lying in  $[0, k] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . We denote this portion by  $\alpha_0^*(U)$ , which is exactly the  $\alpha_0^*$ -curve that corresponds to  $U$ , and moreover, it can be identified with  $\alpha_0^{*'}$ .

Consider the set  $\alpha_0^{*'} \cap \beta_0$  of intersection points. For each pair  $x, y \in \alpha_0^{*'} \cap \beta_0$  that form the two vertices of an empty bigon (ie a bigon that has no basepoint inside) between  $\alpha_0^{*'}$  and  $\beta_0$ , we denote the bigon by  $B_{x,y}$  and take a sufficiently small open neighborhood  $U_{x,y} \supset B_{x,y}$  such that

- (i) no basepoint is inside, and
- (ii) after we regularly homotope  $\beta_0$  inside  $U_{x,y}$  to eliminate the empty bigon, no new intersection point is generated.




Figure 7: The genus-one bordered Heegaard diagram of  $S^1 \times D^2$ , up to isotopy.

Condition (ii) can be achieved since we are considering finitely many segments in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If multiple bigons are nested, then we start with the innermost one, and in this order, (ii) can still be achieved. By the definition of  $U_{x,y}$ , each move of  $\beta_0$  does not cross basepoints. Therefore, after all such empty bigons are eliminated, we obtain a minimum intersection diagram between  $\alpha_0^{*'}$  and  $\beta_0$ , and the final intersection number equals  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(U))$ .

Observe that the sequence of moves described above eliminates all empty bigons generated by  $\alpha_0^*$  and  $\beta_0$  and does not increase the number of intersections with  $\beta_0$ , the number of intersections between  $\alpha_0^*$  and  $\beta_0$  is at most the number of intersections between the original  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$ , and the minimum intersection number (obtained by eliminating empty bigons via regular homotopies without passing basepoints) between the original  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  gives  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K))$ . These observations, together with the result in the above paragraph, imply that  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(U))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1** In the proof above, we applied regular homotopies in the covering space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of  $T^2$ . Recall that the covering map  $\pi$  is defined as  $p \times p$ , where  $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  is given by  $x \mapsto (\cos(2\pi x), \sin(2\pi x))$ . Composing those regular homotopies with  $\pi$ , we shall get regular homotopies in the base space  $T^2$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.4** Here we continue with the curves  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  that have been set up in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Recall that the five-tuple  $(\beta, \mu, \lambda, w, z) \subset \partial(S^1 \times D^2)$  is constructed from a genus-one doubly pointed bordered Heegaard diagram, say  $(\bar{\Sigma}, \{\alpha_1^a, \alpha_2^a\}, \beta, w, z)$ , for  $(S^1 \times D^2, P)$ . Forgetting the  $z$ -basepoint, we obtain a genus-one bordered Heegaard diagram for the solid torus  $S^1 \times D^2$  with the standard parametrization of  $\partial(S^1 \times D^2)$ . Therefore, up to isotopy (not passing the  $w$ -basepoint), the diagram  $(\bar{\Sigma}, \{\alpha_1^a, \alpha_2^a\}, \beta, w)$  is in the form shown in Figure 7.

It then follows from the construction of the curve  $\beta_0$  that, if we forget the basepoint  $z$ , we can isotope  $\beta_0$  without passing any lift of the basepoint  $w$  until it becomes a vertical straight line; we denote the line by  $\beta'_0$ . See Figure 8 for an illustration.

Since the  $\beta$ -curve associated with the unknotted pattern  $\mathcal{U}$  is a vertical line segment, the corresponding  $\beta_0$ -curve, denoted by  $\beta_0(\mathcal{U})$ , is a vertical line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which can be identified with  $\beta'_0$ . The number




Figure 8: The  $\alpha_0$ -,  $\beta_0$ -, and  $\beta'_0$ -curves for  $M(T_{2,3})$ , in which a  $\beta_0$ -period is highlighted in cyan for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

of intersections between  $\beta_0(\mathcal{U})$  and  $\alpha_0$  gives  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U}(K))$ ; therefore, the number of intersections between  $\beta'_0$  and  $\alpha_0$  is precisely  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(K)$ .

Now we compare the pair of curves  $(\alpha_0, \beta'_0)$  with the original  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ . Observe that the isotopies described above eliminate all empty bigons generated by  $\beta_0$  and  $\alpha_0$  and do not increase the number of intersections with  $\alpha_0$ , and the minimum intersection number (obtained by eliminating empty bigons via isotopies without passing basepoints) between  $\beta_0$  and  $\alpha_0$  gives  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(P(K))$ . These observations, together with the result in the above paragraph, imply that  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(P(K)) \geq \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(K)$ .

In the following, we justify the equality conditions. One direction is clear: If the pattern  $P$  is unknotted (ie  $P = \mathcal{U}$ ), then  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(P(K)) = \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U}(K)) = \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(K)$  for any companion  $K \subset S^3$ . If both the companion  $K$  and the satellite  $P(U)$  are unknotted in  $S^3$  (ie  $K = U = P(U)$ ), then  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(P(K)) = \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(P(U)) = \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(U) = \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(K)$ .

Next we prove the opposite direction. Assuming that  $P \neq \mathcal{U}$ , and that  $K \neq U$  or  $P(U) \neq U$ , we show that we actually have a strict inequality in Theorem 1.4:

$$(3) \quad \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(P(K)) > \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(K).$$

If  $K = U$ , then by the assumption we just made  $P(U) \neq U$ ; since knot Floer homology detects the unknot [17, Theorem 1.2], it follows that  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(P(U)) > \dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\mathbb{K}(U)$ . We may now assume that  $K \neq U$  and  $P \neq \mathcal{U}$ . Then in terms of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -curves in the universal cover  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\alpha_0$  is not a horizontal line and  $\beta_0$  is not a vertical line. In particular,  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{top}} - y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{bottom}} > 0$ , where  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{top}}$  and  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{bottom}}$  are defined as  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{top}} = \max\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, y) \in \alpha_0\}$  and  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{bottom}} = \min\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, y) \in \alpha_0\}$ , respectively, assuming that

a Cartesian coordinate system has been fixed for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  beforehand. As for the  $\beta_0$ -curve, we first need to discuss more of its properties in the following paragraph.

We use the notation  $\beta_0 + (x, y)$ , where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , to denote the translation of  $\beta_0$  that is obtained from first sliding it  $|x|$  units to the right if  $x \geq 0$  and to the left if  $x < 0$ , and then sliding it  $|y|$  units upward if  $y \geq 0$  and downward if  $y < 0$ . The fact that the  $\beta(P)$ -curve is embedded implies that  $\beta_0 = \beta_0 + (0, 1)$  and  $\beta_0 \cap [\beta_0 + (\pm 1, 0)] = \emptyset$ . In words, it means that the  $\beta_0$ -curve is invariant under vertical translations of integral units, and if we consider all the lifts of  $\beta(P)$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , any two of them (in particular, adjacent pairs) are disjoint. Give  $\beta_0$  an overall downward orientation. Recall that we can isotope  $\beta_0$ , allowing it to pass through the lifts of the  $z$ -basepoint but not those of the  $w$ -basepoint, to obtain the vertical line  $\beta'_0$ . We may assume that during that process, a vertical line segment  $\{x_0\} \times [j + \frac{1}{2}, j + 1]$ , where  $x_0 \in (i, i + \frac{1}{2})$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is fixed for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and as a result, the vertical line  $\beta'_0$  is  $\{x_0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we call the connected part of  $\beta_0$  that connects the two points  $(x_0, j + 1)$  and  $(x_0, j)$  the  $j^{\text{th}}$  period of  $\beta_0$  and denote it by  $\beta_0^{(j)}$ . By our assumption, the vertical line segment  $\{x_0\} \times [j + \frac{1}{2}, j + 1]$  is part of  $\beta_0^{(j)}$ , for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Notice also that these  $\beta_0$ -periods satisfy<sup>3</sup> that  $\beta_0^{(j+1)} = \beta_0^{(j)} + (0, 1)$ , for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Figure 8, left, gives an illustration, in which one  $\beta_0$ -period is highlighted in cyan for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the points  $(x_0, j)$ ,  $(x_0, j + \frac{1}{2})$ , and  $(x_0, j + 1)$  are in violet.

We will complete the proof by dividing the possible  $\beta_0$ -curves into three cases and then proving the result in each case, but before introducing them, we need to justify the following claim: For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denoting the infinite horizontal region  $\mathbb{R} \times [j, j + 1]$  by  $\mathcal{H}_j$ , if  $\beta_0^{(j)} \not\subset \mathcal{H}_j$ , then either  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j+1}) = \emptyset$  or  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1}) = \emptyset$ , up to isotopy respecting all basepoints. (Roughly speaking, if a  $\beta_0$ -period  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  is not contained in the horizontal region  $\mathcal{H}_j$ , then it either goes up or down, but not in both directions.) We prove the claim by showing that, if  $\beta_0^{(j)} \not\subset \mathcal{H}_j$  and  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1}) = \emptyset$ ; the other case is similar. Indeed, if  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1}) \neq \emptyset$ , then since  $\beta_0$  can be isotoped to  $\beta'_0$  without passing through  $w$  points, there must be some  $z$  point (denoted by  $z_{j-p}$ ) in some  $\mathcal{H}_{j-p}$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \geq 1$ , such that  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  winds around  $z_{j-p}$  (or in other words, the point  $z_{j-p}$  blocks  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  from isotopies respecting all basepoints). For example, the two orange  $z$  points in Figure 8, left, block  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{j+1}$ . Likewise, since  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \mathcal{H}_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$ , there is some  $z$  point (denoted by  $z_{j+q}$ ) in some  $\mathcal{H}_{j+q}$ , where  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \geq 1$ , such that  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  winds around  $z_{j+q}$ . Consider the  $(j+q+p)^{\text{th}}$   $\beta_0$ -period. We can deduce from the translational properties of  $\beta_0$  (which have been discussed in the above paragraph) that some horizontal translation of  $\beta_0^{(j+q+p)}$  by an integral unit (which might be 0) winds around the point  $z_{j+q}$  as well as  $\beta_0^{(j)}$ . This, however, results in intersections between that curve and  $\beta_0^{(j)}$ , contradicting the properties that the lifts of  $\beta(P)$  are embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and any two of them are disjoint.

Thanks to the claim above, we can divide the  $\beta_0$ -curves into three cases:  $\beta_0^{(j)} \subset \mathcal{H}_j$ ,  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1}) \neq \emptyset$ , for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We show in the following that in each case the strict inequality (3) holds:

<sup>3</sup>Here we extend the application of the translation notation that was originally defined for  $\beta_0$ .




Figure 9: The two cases of a  $\beta_0$ -period when it is contained in a single  $\mathcal{H}_j$  region.

(I) When  $\beta_0^{(j)} \subset \mathcal{H}_j$ , each period  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  is as depicted in one of the two diagrams in Figure 9, up to isotopy respecting all basepoints. Indeed, if  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  appears on both sides of  $\beta'_0 = \{x_0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ , then there exist two integers  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n_1 + 1 < x_0 < n_2$  such that  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  winds around the left side of the  $z$ -basepoint in the square  $[n_1, n_1 + 1] \times [j, j + 1]$  and winds around the right side of the  $z$ -basepoint in the square  $[n_2, n_2 + 1] \times [j, j + 1]$ . Consider the translation  $\beta_0^{(j)} + (n_1 - n_2, 0)$ : it winds around the right side of the  $z$ -basepoint in the square  $[n_1, n_1 + 1] \times [j, j + 1]$ , and therefore it intersects with  $\beta_0^{(j)}$ , which is a contradiction. Comparing Figure 9 with [10, Figure 6], it is not hard to see that the patterns in this case are precisely the  $(p, 1)$ -cabling patterns, where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|p| \geq 2$ . By the formula for the behavior of immersed curves under cabling from [7], if we denote the lift of  $\alpha(K)$  to the punctured infinite cylinder  $(\mathbb{R}/(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})) \times \mathbb{R}$  by  $\hat{\alpha}(K)$ , then  $p$  copies of  $\hat{\alpha}(K)$  will be introduced and eventually aligned along the line of punctures. Thus, the number of intersections between the immersed multicurve and the line connecting the punctures strictly increases, which gives us the strict inequality as desired.

(II) If  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1}) = \emptyset$ . Let  $k$  be the ceiling of  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{bottom}}$ , ie  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{bottom}} < k < y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{bottom}} + 1$ . The isotopy that takes  $\beta_0$  to  $\beta'_0$  can be considered as the composition of isotopies, each of which takes one  $\beta_0$ -period into a vertical line segment lying in  $\beta'_0$ . Now in this case, we only partially isotope  $\beta_0$ : we do such isotopies for all the  $\beta_0^{(j)}$  where  $j \geq k$  but leave the remaining  $\beta_0$ -periods as they are. See Figure 10 for an illustration. Note that, by construction, the ray  $\beta'_0 \cap (\{x_0\} \times [k - \frac{1}{2}, \infty))$  is still part of the  $\beta_0$ -curve after isotopies, and the intersection number between it and  $\alpha_0$  gives us  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\widehat{\text{K}}(K)$ . Now further isotope  $(\bigcup_{j \leq k-1} \beta_0^{(j)}) - \{x_0\} \times [k - \frac{1}{2}, k]$  to eliminate all empty bigons. Since  $\beta_0^{(k-1)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_k) \neq \emptyset$ , it is blocked by at least one  $z$ -point above  $\mathcal{H}_{k-1}$ . For  $\beta_0$  to reach such a  $z$ -point, it is impossible for it to avoid entering the second and fourth quadrants of all unit squares with integral-coordinates vertices. Thus, there must be additional intersections between  $\beta_0$  and  $\alpha_0$ , so the intersection number must exceed  $\dim \widehat{\text{HF}}\widehat{\text{K}}(K)$ . Hence, the inequality (3) holds.

(III) If  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\beta_0^{(j)} \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{H}_{j+1}) = \emptyset$ . The proof of this case is similar to (II).  $\square$




Figure 10: An illustration of how the  $\beta_0$  curve is partially isotoped as needed in case (II) of the proof of the strict inequality (3). Here  $k$  is the ceiling of  $y_{\alpha_0}^{\text{bottom}}$ .

### 4 Further remarks

In this section, we make several remarks, further discussing the two inequalities we have proved. In particular, we give more details about the two questions, which were suggested by Jennifer Hom and Lidman, from Section 1.

We begin with an easy observation. A major property of knot Floer homology is that it categorifies the Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$  of knots  $K \subset S^3$  [18]:

$$\Delta_K(t) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}_m(K, a) \right] t^a.$$

Also, there is a symmetry [18, Proposition 3.10]:

$$\widehat{\text{HFK}}_m(K, a) \cong \widehat{\text{HFK}}_{m-2a}(K, -a).$$

Since one of the characterizing conditions for Alexander polynomials is that

$$\Delta_K(1) = 1,$$

it follows that the parity of  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K)$  is odd.

**Remark 4.1** Ozsváth and Szabó [17] proved that if  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K) = 1$  then  $K$  is the unknot. Hedden and Watson [9, Corollary 8] showed that if  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K) = 3$  then  $K$  is a (left- or right-handed) trefoil; see also [4, Corollary 1.5]. From these two knot-detecting results, the example depicted in Figure 11 (which shows that there exists a  $(1, 1)$ -satellite  $K$  with  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K) = 5$ ), and the above parity result, we deduce that if  $\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K) < 5$  then  $K$  is not a  $(1, 1)$ -satellite.

Next, we discuss some special cases when we have a strict inequality:

**Remark 4.2** In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we mentioned that any immersed multicurve in an infinite cylinder has a unique component wrapping around the cylinder. When the set of immersed curves corresponds to a knot complement, this component is an invariant of the knot, and furthermore, an invariant of the concordance class of the knot [7, Proposition 2]. It follows that all slice knots have a trivial such component as the unknot does; in terms of the notation we used in the proof above, with all closed components removed,  $\alpha_0$  is a straight horizontal line. Moreover, for nontrivial slice knots, there are some additional closed components. Therefore, for a nontrivial slice companion knot  $K$ , inequality (2) in Theorem 1.4 is strict:

$$\dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(P(K)) > \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}(K).$$

In addition to that case, Petkova [19, Lemma 7] showed that the complex  $\text{CFK}^-(K)$  for Floer homologically thin knots  $K$  splits into exactly one staircase summand and possibly multiple square summands. Geometrically, a square summand is represented by a closed component in  $\alpha_0$ , so for Floer homologically thin knots  $K$  containing a square summand in  $\text{CFK}^-(K)$ , the above strict inequality holds as well.

Our last remark is related to gradings:

**Remark 4.3** In the proof of Theorem 1.4, we managed to isotope the curve  $\beta_0$  in a desired way, passing only the  $z$ -lifts. Then by Theorem 1.7, if  $\alpha$  is connected, and if we only consider Maslov gradings, what we have proved is also true. That is, if  $\alpha$  is connected, the inequality

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}_m(P(K), a) \geq \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}_m(K, a)$$

holds for any Maslov grading  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

On the other hand, the regular homotopies in the proof of Theorem 1.3 cannot achieve that property in general; for example, Figure 6 shows that we cannot tighten the curve  $\alpha_0$  corresponding to  $T_{2,3}$  to a horizontal line without passing any  $w$ -lift.

If we just consider Alexander gradings, we will not arrive at rank inequalities that work for all  $(1, 1)$ -satellites. Indeed, consider the example of  $(T_{2,3})_{2,3}$ , the  $(2, 3)$ -cable of the right-handed trefoil. Since  $T_{2,3}$  is alternating, its knot Floer homology is determined by the Alexander polynomial and the knot signature [16], and it is depicted in Figure 11, left. By Hedden's work [8], the knot Floer homology




Figure 11: Knot Floer homologies of  $T_{2,3}$  and  $(T_{2,3})_{2,3}$ , plotted on an  $(a, m)$ -coordinate system (where the horizontal axis is for  $a$ ).

of  $(T_{2,3})_{2,3}$  can also be determined from its symmetrized Alexander polynomial, and it is depicted in Figure 11, right. This example was originally constructed by Hom; see also [11, Example 1.8]. Now we can make the following observations:

$a$	$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}_m(T_{2,3}, a)$	$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \widehat{\text{HFK}}_m((T_{2,3})_{2,3}, a)$	observations
-2	0	1	$0 < 1$
-1	1	0	$1 > 0$
0	1	1	$1 = 1$

The last column in the above table shows that Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 do not have refinements for Alexander gradings.

## References

- [1] **M Boileau, S Boyer, D Rolfsen, S C Wang**, *One-domination of knots*, Illinois J. Math. 60 (2016) 117–139 MR Zbl
- [2] **W Chen**, *Knot Floer homology of satellite knots with  $(1, 1)$  patterns*, Selecta Math. 29 (2023) art. id. 53 MR Zbl
- [3] **H Geiges**, *A contact geometric proof of the Whitney–Graustein theorem*, Enseign. Math. 55 (2009) 93–102 MR Zbl
- [4] **P Ghiggini**, *Knot Floer homology detects genus-one fibred knots*, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008) 1151–1169 MR Zbl
- [5] **J Hanselman, J Rasmussen, L Watson**, *Heegaard Floer homology for manifolds with torus boundary: properties and examples*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 125 (2022) 879–967 MR Zbl
- [6] **J Hanselman, J Rasmussen, L Watson**, *Bordered Floer homology for manifolds with torus boundary via immersed curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (2024) 391–498 MR Zbl
- [7] **J Hanselman, L Watson**, *Cabling in terms of immersed curves*, Geom. Topol. 27 (2023) 925–952 MR Zbl

- [8] **M Hedden**, *On knot Floer homology and cabling*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 5 (2005) 1197–1222 MR Zbl
- [9] **M Hedden, L Watson**, *On the geography and botany of knot Floer homology*, *Selecta Math.* 24 (2018) 997–1037 MR Zbl
- [10] **J Hom**, *Bordered Heegaard Floer homology and the tau-invariant of cable knots*, *J. Topol.* 7 (2014) 287–326 MR Zbl
- [11] **A Juhász, M Marengon**, *Concordance maps in knot Floer homology*, *Geom. Topol.* 20 (2016) 3623–3673 MR Zbl
- [12] **Ç Karakurt, T Lidman**, *Rank inequalities for the Heegaard Floer homology of Seifert homology spheres*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015) 7291–7322 MR Zbl
- [13] **A S Levine**, *Knot doubling operators and bordered Heegaard Floer homology*, *J. Topol.* 5 (2012) 651–712 MR Zbl
- [14] **R Lipshitz, P S Ozsváth, D P Thurston**, *Bordered Heegaard Floer homology*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1216, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2018) MR Zbl
- [15] **Y Ni**, *Knot Floer homology detects fibred knots*, *Invent. Math.* 170 (2007) 577–608 MR Zbl
- [16] **P Ozsváth, Z Szabó**, *Heegaard Floer homology and alternating knots*, *Geom. Topol.* 7 (2003) 225–254 MR Zbl
- [17] **P Ozsváth, Z Szabó**, *Holomorphic disks and genus bounds*, *Geom. Topol.* 8 (2004) 311–334 MR Zbl
- [18] **P Ozsváth, Z Szabó**, *Holomorphic disks and knot invariants*, *Adv. Math.* 186 (2004) 58–116 MR Zbl
- [19] **I Petkova**, *Cables of thin knots and bordered Heegaard Floer homology*, *Quantum Topol.* 4 (2013) 377–409 MR Zbl
- [20] **J A Rasmussen**, *Floer homology and knot complements*, PhD thesis, Harvard University (2003) MR arXiv math/0306378
- [21] **H Whitney**, *On regular closed curves in the plane*, *Compositio Math.* 4 (1937) 276–284 MR Zbl

*School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology*  
*Atlanta, GA, United States*

wshen41@gatech.edu

Received: 22 September 2022      Revised: 29 October 2023

# ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

[msp.org/agt](http://msp.org/agt)

## EDITORS

### PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre  
etnyre@math.gatech.edu  
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess  
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch  
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Thomas Koberda	University of Virginia thomas.koberda@virginia.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Markus Land	LMU München markus.land@math.lmu.de
Tara E Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Univ. Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Norihiko Minami	Yamato University minami.norihiko@yamato-u.ac.jp
Octav Cornea	Université de Montréal cornea@dms.umontreal.ca	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Robert Oliver	Université Paris 13 bobol@math.univ-paris13.fr
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Jessica S Purcell	Monash University jessica.purcell@monash.edu
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
David Futер	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Vesna Stojanoska	Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign vesna@illinois.edu
Matthew Hedden	Michigan State University mhedden@math.msu.edu	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
Kristen Hendricks	Rutgers University kristen.hendricks@rutgers.edu	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Daniel T Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu	Lior Yanovski	Hebrew University of Jerusalem lior.yanovski@gmail.com

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/agt](http://msp.org/agt) for submission instructions.


The subscription price for 2025 is US \$760/year for the electronic version, and \$1110/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

---

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<https://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 25 Issue 6 (pages 3145–3787) 2025

---

Holomorphic polygons and the bordered Heegaard Floer homology of link complements	3145
THOMAS HOCKENHULL	
Exact Lagrangian tori in symplectic Milnor fibers constructed with fillings	3225
ORSOLA CAPOVILLA-SEARLE	
A note on embeddings of 3-manifolds in symplectic 4-manifolds	3251
ANUBHAV MUKHERJEE	
A note on knot Floer homology of satellite knots with $(1, 1)$ -patterns	3271
WEIZHE SHEN	
A $K$ -theory spectrum for cobordism cut and paste groups	3287
RENEE S HOEKZEMA, CARMEN ROVI and JULIA SEMIKINA	
The Curtis–Wellington spectral sequence through cohomology	3315
DANA HUNTER	
The slices of quaternionic Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectra	3341
BERTRAND J GUILLOU and CARISSA SLONE	
Cocycles of the space of long embeddings and BCR graphs with more than one loop	3385
LEO YOSHIOKA	
Asymptotic cones of snowflake groups and the strong shortcut property	3429
CHRISTOPHER H CASHEN, NIMA HODA and DANIEL J WOODHOUSE	
Whitney tower concordance and knots in homology spheres	3503
CHRISTOPHER W DAVIS	
The asymptotic behaviors of the colored Jones polynomials of the figure-eight knot, and an affine representation	3523
HITOSHI MURAKAMI	
The Goldman bracket characterizes homeomorphisms between noncompact surfaces	3585
SUMANTA DAS, SIDDHARTHA GADGIL and AJAY KUMAR NAIR	
A geometric computation of cohomotopy groups in codegree one	3603
MICHAEL JUNG and THOMAS O ROT	
Calabi–Yau structure on the Chekanov–Eliashberg algebra of a Legendrian sphere	3627
NOÉMIE LEGOUT	
On the resolution of kinks of curves on punctured surfaces	3679
CHRISTOF GEISS and DANIEL LABARDINI-FRAGOSO	
Weinstein presentations for high-dimensional antisurgery	3707
IPSITA DATTA, OLEG LAZAREV, CHINDU MOHANAKUMAR and ANGELA WU	
Singular Legendrian unknot links and relative Ginzburg algebras	3737
JOHAN ASPLUND	
An RBG construction of integral surgery homeomorphisms	3755
QIANHE QIN	
Powell’s conjecture on the Goeritz group of $S^3$ is stably true	3775
MARTIN SCHARLEMANN	