

AG
T

*Algebraic & Geometric
Topology*

Volume 25 (2025)

Algebras for enriched ∞ -operads

RUNE HAUGSENG



Algebras for enriched ∞ -operads

RUNE HAUGSENG

Using the description of enriched ∞ -operads as associative algebras in symmetric sequences, we define algebras for enriched ∞ -operads as certain modules in symmetric sequences. For \mathbf{V} a symmetric monoidal model category and \mathbf{O} a Σ -cofibrant operad in \mathbf{V} for which the model structure on \mathbf{V} can be lifted to one on \mathbf{O} -algebras, we then prove that strict algebras in \mathbf{V} are equivalent to ∞ -categorical algebras in the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category associated to \mathbf{V} . We also show that for an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} enriched in a suitable closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} , we can equivalently describe \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{V} as morphisms of ∞ -operads from \mathcal{O} to a self-enrichment of \mathcal{V} .

18D50, 55U35

1 Introduction

If \mathbf{V} is a symmetric monoidal category whose tensor product is compatible with colimits, then (one-object) *operads* enriched in \mathbf{V} can be described as associative algebras in $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}^\simeq, \mathbf{V})$, the category of *symmetric sequences* in \mathbf{V} . (We focus on the one-object case for simplicity, but similar descriptions apply to (∞ -)operads with any fixed set (space) of objects.) Here \mathbb{F}^\simeq denotes the groupoid $\coprod_n B\Sigma_n$ of finite sets and bijections, and the monoidal structure on symmetric sequences is the *composition product*, which is a monoidal structure given by

$$(X \odot Y)(n) \cong \coprod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\coprod_{i_1+\dots+i_k=n} (Y(i_1) \otimes \dots \otimes Y(i_k)) \times_{\Sigma_{i_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{i_k}} \Sigma_n \right) \otimes_{\Sigma_k} X(k).$$

In [8] we proved that (one-object) ∞ -operads enriched in a suitable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} admit a similar description, as associative algebras in $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}^\simeq, \mathcal{V})$ using a monoidal structure given by the same formula.

Our goal in this short paper is to use this description of ∞ -operads to study *algebras* for enriched ∞ -operads. Classically, if \mathbf{O} is a (one-object) \mathbf{V} -operad, then an *\mathbf{O} -algebra* in \mathbf{V} consists of an object $A \in \mathbf{V}$ and Σ_n -equivariant morphisms

$$A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbf{O}(n) \rightarrow A$$

compatible with the composition and unit of \mathbf{O} . This data can be packaged in a convenient way using the composition product: an \mathbf{O} -algebra is the same thing as a right¹ \mathbf{O} -module M in $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}^{\simeq}, \mathbf{V})$ that is concentrated in degree zero, ie $M(n)$ is the initial object \emptyset for $n \neq 0$. Indeed, such a right \mathbf{O} -module is given by a morphism

$$M \odot \mathbf{O} \rightarrow M,$$

and expanding out the composition product we see that (since $M(n)$ vanishes for $n \neq 0$) this is precisely given by a map

$$\coprod_k M(0)^{\otimes k} \otimes_{\Sigma_k} \mathbf{O}(k) \rightarrow M(0).$$

Here we take the corresponding modules in the ∞ -categorical setting (and their analogues for many-object operads) as a *definition* of algebras for enriched ∞ -operads. For a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{V} (whose tensor product is compatible with colimits indexed by ∞ -groupoids) and a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , this results in an ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})$ with several pleasant properties, including the expected formula for free \mathcal{O} -algebras, as we will see in [Section 3](#) after reviewing the results of [\[8\]](#) in [Section 2](#).

We then prove two main results about this ∞ -categorical notion of \mathcal{O} -algebras. First, in [Section 4](#) we prove a rectification result for algebras over operads enriched in a symmetric monoidal model category:

Theorem 1.1 (see [Theorem 4.10](#)) *Let \mathbf{V} be a symmetric monoidal model category (with cofibrant unit) and \mathbf{O} an S -colored Σ -cofibrant \mathbf{V} -operad such that the category $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})$ admits a model structure whose weak equivalences and fibrations are detected by the forgetful functor to $\text{Fun}(S, \mathbf{V})$. Then this model category describes the ∞ -category of algebras for this operad in the ∞ -categorical localization of \mathbf{V} . That is, there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}),$$

where on the left $W_{\mathbf{O}}$ denotes the collection of weak equivalences between \mathbf{O} -algebras, and on the right $\mathcal{V} := \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ is the localization of \mathbf{V} at its weak equivalences and \mathcal{O} denotes \mathbf{O} viewed as an ∞ -operad enriched in \mathcal{V} via the localization functor.

The comparison applies, for instance, to *all* Σ -cofibrant operads in chain complexes over a field of characteristic zero or in simplicial sets.² We in fact prove a slightly more general result that avoids the assumption that the unit is cofibrant, which applies to all Σ -cofibrant operads in symmetric spectra. The proof boils down to a combination of model-categorical results of Pavlov and Scholbach and our formula for free algebras, using the same strategy as [\[12, Theorems 4.1.4.4; 14, Theorem 7.10\]](#) to prove that both sides are ∞ -categories of algebras for equivalent monads.

¹This is correct under our convention for the ordering of the composition product, chosen to be compatible with our construction of the ∞ -categorical version; in most references on ordinary operads the reverse ordering is used, so that \mathbf{O} -algebras are certain *left* \mathbf{O} -modules.

²For more general model categories, including chain complexes in positive characteristic, there is typically only a *semimodel* structure on algebras over a Σ -cofibrant operad; see [Remark 4.14](#) for more discussion of this case.

Another classical description of algebras over (one-object) \mathbf{V} -operads uses *endomorphism operads*: For v an object of \mathbf{V} there is an operad $\text{End}_{\mathbf{V}}(v)$ with n -ary operations given by the internal Hom $\text{HOM}_{\mathbf{V}}(v^{\otimes n}, v)$ (where the Σ_n -action permutes the factors in $v^{\otimes n}$). If \mathbf{O} is a (one-object) \mathbf{V} -operad then we can describe \mathbf{O} -algebras in \mathbf{V} with underlying object v as morphisms of one-object operads $\mathbf{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{V}}(v)$. More generally, we can consider an S -colored endomorphism operad $\text{End}_{\mathbf{V}}(f)$ for any map of sets $S \rightarrow \text{ob } \mathbf{V}$, where operations from (s_1, \dots, s_n) to s' are given by $\text{HOM}_{\mathbf{V}}(f(s_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f(s_n), f(s'))$; for an S -colored operad \mathbf{O} , we can then identify \mathbf{O} -algebras in \mathbf{V} given by f on objects with morphisms of S -colored operads from \mathbf{O} to $\text{End}_{\mathbf{V}}(f)$.

In [Section 5](#) we will use Lurie's construction of endomorphism algebras [[12](#), Section 4.7.1], following work of Hinich [[10](#)] in the case of enriched ∞ -categories, to construct endomorphism ∞ -operads $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(f)$ for any map of ∞ -groupoids $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\simeq}$, where \mathcal{V} is a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category compatible with colimits indexed by small ∞ -groupoids. Moreover, we show that these endomorphism ∞ -operads can be combined into a self-enrichment of \mathcal{V} , which gives our second main result:

Theorem 1.2 (see [Theorem 5.12](#)) *Let \mathcal{V} be a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category compatible with colimits indexed by small ∞ -groupoids. Then there exists a \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$, whose object of multimorphisms from (v_1, \dots, v_n) to w is the internal Hom $\text{MAP}_{\mathcal{V}}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n, w)$, such that for a \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} there is a natural equivalence of ∞ -groupoids*

$$\{\mathcal{O}\text{-algebras in } \mathcal{V}\} \simeq \{\text{morphisms of } \mathcal{V}\text{-}\infty\text{-operads } \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}\}.$$

Warning 1.3 Throughout this paper we use the term “ \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad” to refer to the *algebraic* notion of an ∞ -operad, given by objects of multimorphisms in \mathcal{V} with homotopy-coherently associative and unital composition operations. Thus we have a class of fully faithful and essentially surjective morphisms between enriched ∞ -operads that we would have to invert to get the “correct” ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operads. In terms of the description of \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operads we use, this means we are not requiring these to be “complete” (see [[4](#), Section 3]). In the terminology of [[1](#)], our enriched ∞ -operads can be thought of as being *flagged* enriched ∞ -operads, meaning a (complete) enriched ∞ -operad equipped with an essentially surjective morphism of ∞ -groupoids to its space of objects. As we will see in [Remark 3.10](#), the ∞ -categories of algebras we will study are in fact invariant under fully faithful and essentially surjective maps of enriched ∞ -operads, so it does not really make a difference whether we use complete objects or not.

1.1 Related work

Much of our work here is not particularly reliant on the specific construction of the composition product from [[8](#)]. An alternative construction, using the description of symmetric sequences in \mathcal{V} as the free presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category on \mathcal{V} and generalizing the approach to 1-categorical operads due to Trimble [[19](#)] and Carboni, has been worked out by Brantner [[3](#)]; however, this construction of ∞ -operads has not yet been compared to any of the other approaches. In the setting of dendroidal sets,

Heuts describes algebras valued in spaces and ∞ -categories in terms of dendroidal versions of left and cocartesian fibrations in [9].

Acknowledgments

I thank Stefan Schwede and Irakli Patchkoria for helpful discussions about model structures on spectra, and David White for help with model structures on operad algebras. Much of this paper was written while the author was employed by the IBS Center for Geometry and Physics in a position funded by grant IBS-R003-D1 of the Institute for Basic Science of the Republic of Korea.

2 ∞ -operads as algebras

In this section we will review the main results on enriched ∞ -operads from [8], where we showed that enriched ∞ -operads can be viewed as associative algebras in a double ∞ -category of symmetric collections. For this the relevant notion of enriched ∞ -operads is an enriched variant of Barwick's definition of ∞ -operads [2] as presheaves on a category $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ satisfying Segal (and completeness) conditions; this definition was first introduced in [4]. We will now briefly review this definition, as well as a slight generalization considered in [8] that we will use to define modules over enriched ∞ -operads; we start by recalling the definition of Barwick's category $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$:

Definition 2.1 Let \mathbb{F} denote a skeleton of the category of finite sets, with objects $\mathbf{k} := \{1, \dots, k\}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. We write $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ for the category whose objects are pairs $([n], f : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F})$, with a morphism $([n], f) \rightarrow ([m], g)$ given by a morphism $\phi : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ in Δ and a natural transformation $\eta : f \rightarrow g \circ \phi$ such that

- (i) the map $\eta_i : f(i) \rightarrow g(\phi(i))$ is injective for all $i = 0, \dots, m$,
- (ii) the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(i) & \xrightarrow{\eta_i} & g(\phi(i)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f(j) & \xrightarrow{\eta_j} & g(\phi(j)) \end{array}$$

is cartesian for all $0 \leq i \leq j \leq m$.

There is an obvious projection $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$; this is a double ∞ -category. There is also a functor $V : \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_*$ which takes $([n], f)$ to $(\coprod_{i=1}^n f(i))_+$; see [4, Definition 2.2.11] for a complete definition.

Remark 2.2 An object of $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a sequence of maps of finite sets

$$\mathbf{a}_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbf{a}_1 \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{f_n} \mathbf{a}_n,$$

which we can think of as a forest of $|\mathbf{a}_n|$ oriented trees with n levels: the edges are the elements of all the sets \mathbf{a}_i , and since each vertex has a unique outgoing edge we can also think of the elements of \mathbf{a}_i for $i > 0$ as the vertices; the function f_i assigns to each edge in level $i - 1$ the vertex of which it is an incoming edge. This means the functor V takes each forest to its set of vertices (with a disjoint base point). The morphisms in $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ are defined so that a vertex in the source is mapped to a subtree of the target with the same number of incoming edges.

Definition 2.3 For $X \in \mathcal{S}$, we write $\Delta_{\mathbb{F},X}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$ for the left fibration corresponding to the functor $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ obtained as the right Kan extension of the functor $* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ with value X along the inclusion $\{([0], \mathbf{1})\} \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$.

Remark 2.4 The fiber of $\Delta_{\mathbb{F},X}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$ at an object F is equivalent to a product of copies of X indexed by the number of edges in the forest F ; we can thus think of an object of $\Delta_{\mathbb{F},X}^{\text{op}}$ as a forest whose edges are labeled by points of X .

Notation 2.5 If \mathcal{O} is a nonsymmetric ∞ -operad³, we write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} := \mathcal{O} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F},X} := \mathcal{O} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \Delta_{\mathbb{F},X}^{\text{op}}$.

Definition 2.6 We say a morphism in $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$ is *operadic inert* if it lies over an inert morphism in Δ^{op} . We then call a morphism in $\Delta_{\mathbb{F},X}^{\text{op}}$ *operadic inert* if it is a (necessarily cocartesian) morphism over an operadic inert morphism in $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$. If \mathcal{O} is a nonsymmetric ∞ -operad, we similarly say a morphism in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F},X}$ is *operadic inert* if it maps to an inert morphism in \mathcal{O} and an operadic inert morphism in $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$. The functor $V : \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_*$ takes operadic inert morphisms to inert morphisms, hence if \mathcal{V} is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category we can define an *operadic algebra* for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F},X}$ in \mathcal{V} to be a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F},X} & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{V} & \mathbb{F}_* \end{array}$$

such that A takes operadic inert morphisms to inert morphisms in \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} . We write $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F},X}}^{\text{opd}}(\mathcal{V})$ for the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}_{/\mathbb{F}_*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F},X}, \mathcal{V}^{\otimes})$ spanned by the operadic algebras. We also write $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{opd}}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ for the cartesian fibration corresponding to the functor $X \mapsto \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F},X}}^{\text{opd}}(\mathcal{V})$ and refer to its objects as *operadic $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ -algebroids* in \mathcal{V} .

Remark 2.7 The condition for a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\mathbb{F},X}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{F}_* & \end{array}$$

³We do not review the definition here, as it will not really play a role in this paper: the only examples we will encounter are Δ^{op} and the nonsymmetric operad for right modules, which we describe explicitly in [Definition 3.1](#). We refer the reader to [\[8, Section 2.1\]](#) for a brief review, or [\[6, Section 2.2\]](#) for more motivation and discussion of the definition.

to be an operadic algebra is essentially that the value of F at a forest whose edges are labeled by points of X consists of a list of the values of F at the corollas (one-vertex subtrees) of the forest. The latter should be thought of as the objects of multimorphisms in a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -operad, whose homotopy-coherent composition is encoded by the rest of the data in F . Indeed, operadic algebras for $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbb{F}, X}^{\text{op}}$ gives one of the notions of enriched ∞ -operads introduced in [4], which justifies the following notation:

Notation 2.8 For a space X , we write

$$\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V}) := \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbb{F}, X}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{opd}}(\mathcal{V}).$$

We also write $\text{Opd}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{opd}}(\mathcal{V})$, so that we have a cartesian fibration $\text{Opd}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ whose fiber at X is $\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})$.

Notation 2.9 For $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$, we write $\mathbb{F}_{X, Y}^{\simeq}$ for the ∞ -groupoid $\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} X_{h\Sigma_n}^{\times n} \times Y$. For a functor $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_{X, Y}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ we will denote its value at $((x_1, \dots, x_n), y)$ by $\Phi^{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_y$. We also abbreviate $\mathbb{F}_X^{\simeq} := \mathbb{F}_{X, X}^{\simeq}$ and write $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}) := \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^{\simeq}, \mathcal{V})$; we refer to the objects of this ∞ -category as (symmetric) X -collections in \mathcal{V} .

To state the main result we will use from [8], we need to recall one further definition:

Definition 2.10 A double ∞ -category is a cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$ that corresponds to a functor $F: \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ that satisfies the Segal condition: for every n , the functor

$$F([n]) \rightarrow F([1]) \times_{F([0])} \cdots \times_{F([0])} F([1]),$$

induced by the value of F at the inert maps $[0], [1] \rightarrow [n]$ in $\mathbf{\Delta}$, is an equivalence. We say a double ∞ -category is framed if the functor $F([1]) \rightarrow F([0]) \times F([0])$, induced by the two face maps $[0] \rightarrow [1]$, is a cocartesian fibration. If \mathcal{O} is a nonsymmetric ∞ -operad, then an \mathcal{O} -algebra in \mathcal{F} is a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathcal{F} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}} & \end{array}$$

such that A preserves cocartesian morphisms over inert maps in $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$.

Remark 2.11 If $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$ is a double ∞ -category, then we think of this as an ∞ -categorical version of a double category where

- the objects are the objects of $\mathcal{F}_{[0]}$,
- the vertical morphisms are the morphisms in $\mathcal{F}_{[0]}$,
- the horizontal morphisms are the objects of $\mathcal{F}_{[1]}$,
- the squares are the morphisms in $\mathcal{F}_{[1]}$.

Theorem 2.12 (see [8, Corollary 4.2.8]) *Suppose \mathcal{V} is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category compatible with colimits indexed by small ∞ -groupoids. Then there exists a framed double ∞ -category $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ such that:*

- (i) $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})_0 \simeq \mathcal{S}$, ie the objects of $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ are small ∞ -groupoids and the vertical morphisms are morphisms thereof.
- (ii) A horizontal morphism from X to Y is a functor $\mathbb{F}_{X,Y}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.
- (iii) If Φ is a horizontal morphism from X to Y and Ψ is one from Y to Z then their composite $\Phi \odot_Y \Psi$ is given by


$$\Phi \odot_Y \Psi \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ z \end{matrix} \right) \simeq \text{colim}_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \text{colim}_{(y_i) \in Y^{\times m}} \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbf{m}} \Phi \left(\begin{matrix} x_k : k \in \mathbf{n}_i \\ y_i \end{matrix} \right) \otimes \Psi \left(\begin{matrix} y_1, \dots, y_k \\ z \end{matrix} \right).$$

- (iv) If \mathcal{O} is any nonsymmetric ∞ -operad then there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{opd}}(\mathcal{V}).$$

- (v) If $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor that preserves colimits indexed by small ∞ -groupoids, then composition with F induces a morphism of double ∞ -categories $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{COLL}(\mathcal{W})$.

Remark 2.13 In (iii), the outer colimit is more precisely over the groupoid $\text{Fact}(\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{1})$ of factorizations $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, with morphisms given by diagrams



Remark 2.14 In particular, associative algebras in $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ are equivalent to ∞ -operads enriched in \mathcal{V} :

$$\text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\text{op}}}(\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{opd}}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \text{Opd}(\mathcal{V}).$$

For $X \in \mathcal{V}$, the ∞ -category

$$\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})(X, X) \simeq \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^{\simeq}, \mathcal{V})$$

of horizontal endomorphisms of X has a monoidal structure given by composition. Moreover, by [8, Proposition 3.4.8] a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces a natural lax monoidal functor $f^* : \text{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$, given by composition with the induced map $\mathbb{F}_X^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_Y^{\simeq}$. By [8, Corollary 3.4.10] we also have:

Corollary 2.15 *Let \mathcal{O} be a weakly contractible nonsymmetric ∞ -operad. Then the functor*

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{COLL}(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

given by evaluation at $ \in \mathcal{O}_0$ is a cartesian fibration corresponding to the functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$ that takes X to $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}))$ and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to the functor given by composition with the lax monoidal functor $f^* : \mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$.*

Remark 2.16 This corollary applies in particular to the weakly contractible nonsymmetric ∞ -operad $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$, so that by Remark 2.14, enriched ∞ -operads with X as space of objects are given by associative algebras in $\mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$, ie

$$\mathrm{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})).$$

Remark 2.17 For $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the lax monoidal functor $f^* : \mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ is given by composition with a morphism of ∞ -groupoids $f_{\mathbb{F}\simeq} : \mathbb{F}_X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{F}_Y$. Since \mathcal{V} has colimits indexed by ∞ -groupoids, this functor has a left adjoint $f_!$, given by left Kan extension along $f_{\mathbb{F}\simeq}$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ detects limits and sifted colimits for any nonsymmetric ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , the functor $f^* : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}))$ preserves limits and sifted colimits, since this is true for $f^* : \mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$. If \mathcal{V} is presentably symmetric monoidal, then the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}))$ is presentable, since it is equivalent to $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}, X}}^{\mathrm{opd}}(\mathcal{V})$, which in turn is equivalent to the ∞ -category of algebras in \mathcal{V} for some symmetric ∞ -operad. It then follows from the adjoint functor theorem that $f^* : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}))$ has a left adjoint. This implies:

Corollary 2.18 *Let \mathcal{O} be a weakly contractible nonsymmetric ∞ -operad and \mathcal{V} a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Then the functor*

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{COLL}(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

given by evaluation at $ \in \mathcal{O}_0$ is also a cocartesian fibration. □*

In general the cocartesian morphisms over f are not easily described in terms of the left Kan extension along the map $f_{\mathbb{F}\simeq} : \mathbb{F}_X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{F}_Y$. However, we can derive a simple description in the case of monomorphisms of ∞ -groupoids:

Proposition 2.19 *Suppose $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a monomorphism of ∞ -groupoids. Then the left adjoint $i_! : \mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V})$ has a canonical monoidal structure, such that composition with $i_!$ and i^* gives for any ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} an adjunction*

$$i_! : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V})) : i^*.$$

Proof We will prove this by applying [12, Corollary 7.3.2.12], which requires us to show that for $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathrm{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$, the canonical map

$$i_!(\Phi \odot_X \Psi) \rightarrow i_!\Phi \odot_Y i_!\Psi$$

is an equivalence.

We first describe $i_! \Phi$ more explicitly: For (y_1, \dots, y_n) in $Y_{h\Sigma_n}^n$, we can identify the fiber of $X_{h\Sigma_n}^n$ over this point as $X_{y_1} \times \dots \times X_{y_n}$ using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_{y_1} \times \dots \times X_{y_n} & \longrightarrow & X^n & \longrightarrow & X_{h\Sigma_n}^n \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \{(y_1, \dots, y_n)\} & \longrightarrow & Y^n & \longrightarrow & Y_{h\Sigma_n}^n \\
 & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 & & * & \longrightarrow & B\Sigma_n
 \end{array}$$

where all three squares are cartesian. Hence the fiber of $\mathbb{F}_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{F}_Y$ at (y_1, \dots, y_n) is equivalent to $\prod_i X_{y_i} \times X_y$, giving

$$i_! \Phi \left(\begin{matrix} y_1, \dots, y_n \\ y \end{matrix} \right) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x) \in \prod_i X_{y_i} \times X_y} \Phi \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ x \end{matrix} \right).$$

We can then rewrite the formula for $i_!(\Phi \odot_X \Psi)^{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_!(\Phi \odot_X \Psi) \left(\begin{matrix} y_1, \dots, y_n \\ y \end{matrix} \right) &\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x) \in \prod_i X_{y_i} \times X_y} (\Phi \odot_X \Psi) \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ x \end{matrix} \right) \\
 &\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x) \in \prod_i X_{y_i} \times X_y} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \operatorname{colim}_{(x'_j) \in X^{\mathbf{m}}} \bigotimes_j \Phi \left(\begin{matrix} x_i : i \in \mathbf{n}_j \\ x'_j \end{matrix} \right) \otimes \Psi \left(\begin{matrix} x'_1, \dots, x'_m \\ x \end{matrix} \right) \\
 &\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \operatorname{colim}_{(y'_j) \in Y^{\mathbf{m}}} \operatorname{colim}_{(x_i) \in \prod_i X_{y_i}} \operatorname{colim}_{(x'_j) \in \prod_j X_{y'_j}} \operatorname{colim}_{x \in X_y} \bigotimes_j \Phi \left(\begin{matrix} x_i : i \in \mathbf{n}_j \\ x'_j \end{matrix} \right) \otimes \Psi \left(\begin{matrix} x'_1, \dots, x'_m \\ x \end{matrix} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $(i_! \Phi \odot_Y i_! \Psi)^{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}$ is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \operatorname{colim}_{(y'_j) \in Y^{\mathbf{m}}} \operatorname{colim}_{(x_i) \in \prod_i X_{y_i}} \operatorname{colim}_{(x'_j) \in \prod_j X_{y'_j}} \operatorname{colim}_{(x''_j) \in \prod_j X_{y'_j}} \operatorname{colim}_{x \in X_y} \bigotimes_j \Phi \left(\begin{matrix} x_i : i \in \mathbf{n}_j \\ x'_j \end{matrix} \right) \otimes \Psi \left(\begin{matrix} x''_1, \dots, x''_m \\ x \end{matrix} \right),$$

and the canonical map corresponds under these equivalences to the map of colimits arising from the diagonal map $\prod_j X_{y'_j} \rightarrow \prod_j X_{y'_j} \times \prod_j X_{y'_j}$. Since these are ∞ -groupoids, this map is cofinal if and only if it is an equivalence, which holds if and only if the spaces X_y for $y \in Y$ are either contractible or empty, ie if and only if i is a monomorphism. □

Corollary 2.20 *Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be a monomorphism of ∞ -groupoids and \mathcal{O} a weakly contractible nonsymmetric ∞ -operad.*

- (i) *For every $A \in \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}))$, the unit morphism $A \rightarrow i^* i_! A$ is an equivalence.*
- (ii) *The functor*

$$i_! : \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\operatorname{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}))$$

is fully faithful. □

Remark 2.21 We will also need a more general version of [Theorem 2.12](#), which follows by using part (iii) of [\[8, Proposition 3.5.6\]](#) instead of (vi): If $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor then composition with F induces a morphism of generalized nonsymmetric ∞ -operads $F_* : \text{COLL}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{COLL}(\mathcal{W})$, which restricts to lax monoidal functors $F_* : \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{W})$. These are compatible with the lax monoidal functors f^* coming from maps of spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$: A priori the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{F_*} & \text{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{W}) \\ \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow f^* \\ \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{F_*} & \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{W}) \end{array}$$

only commutes up to a natural transformation, but this is clearly a natural equivalence since both functors are given by composition.

3 Algebras for ∞ -operads as modules

In this section we define algebras for an enriched ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} as certain right \mathcal{O} -modules in $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$. We first recall the definition of the nonsymmetric ∞ -operad for right modules, and prove that this is weakly contractible, allowing us to apply [Corollary 2.15](#):

Definition 3.1 Let \mathbf{rm} denote the nonsymmetric operad for right modules. This has two objects, a and m , and there is a unique multimorphism $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow y$ if $x_1 = \dots = x_n = y = a$ ($n = 0$ allowed) or $x_1 = y = m$ and $x_2 = \dots = x_n = a$, and no multimorphisms otherwise. We write $\mathbf{RM} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$ for the corresponding nonsymmetric ∞ -operad, or in other words the category of operators of \mathbf{rm} . This has as objects sequences (x_1, \dots, x_n) with each x_i being either a or m , and a morphism $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is given by a map $\phi : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in $\mathbf{\Delta}$ and multimorphisms $(x_{\phi(i-1)+1}, \dots, x_{\phi(i)}) \rightarrow y_i$ in \mathbf{rm} .

Proposition 3.2 *The category \mathbf{RM} is weakly contractible.*

Proof In this proof it is convenient to use the notation $(i_0, \dots, i_n)_{\mathbf{RM}}$ for the object of \mathbf{RM} given by the sequence $(a, \dots, a, m, \dots, m, a, \dots, a)$ where there are n copies of m and i_t copies of a between the t^{th} and $(t+1)^{\text{st}}$ copy of m (and i_0 before the first and i_n after the last). Define a functor $\mu : \mathbf{\Delta}_{\text{int}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RM}$ over $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$ by taking $[n]$ to the unique object of the form $(0, \dots, 0)_{\mathbf{RM}} = (m, \dots, m)$ over $[n]$, and determined on morphisms by the inert morphisms between these objects. We claim that μ is coinital, and so in particular a weak homotopy equivalence. To see this, it suffices by [\[11, Theorem 4.1.3.1\]](#) to show that for every object $X \in \mathbf{RM}$ the category $(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\text{int}}^{\text{op}})_{/X}$ is weakly contractible. But this category has a terminal object: if $X = (i_0, \dots, i_n)_{\mathbf{RM}}$ then any morphism $(0, \dots, 0)_{\mathbf{RM}} \rightarrow X$ factors as an inert morphism followed by the (unique) degeneracy $\mu([n]) \rightarrow X$. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\text{int}}^{\text{op}}$ is weakly contractible (for example, because the inclusion $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\text{int}}^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$ is cofinal and $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$ has an initial object), this implies that \mathbf{RM} is also weakly contractible. \square

Corollary 3.3 *The functor*

$$\text{Alg}_{\text{RM}\mathbb{F}}^{\text{opd}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\text{RM}}(\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathcal{S},$$

given by evaluation at $() \in \text{RM}_0$, is a cartesian fibration corresponding to the functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ that takes X to $\text{Alg}_{\text{RM}}(\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}))$ and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to the functor given by composition with the lax monoidal functor $f^* : \text{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$. □

To define algebras we want to restrict to those modules that are concentrated in degree 0, which will be justified by the next proposition.

Definition 3.4 We say that $\Phi \in \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ is *concentrated in degree 0* if

$$\Phi \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ y \end{matrix} \right) \simeq \emptyset$$

whenever $n > 0$, where \emptyset denotes the initial object in \mathcal{V} .

Proposition 3.5 *Let \mathcal{V} be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category compatible with colimits indexed by small ∞ -groupoids.*

- (i) *The functor $Z : \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ given by composition with $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_X^\simeq$ has a fully faithful left adjoint, which identifies $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ with the collections that are concentrated in degree 0.*
- (ii) *If $M : \mathbb{F}_X^\simeq \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is concentrated in degree 0, then so is $M \odot_X N$ for any $N \in \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$.*
- (iii) *The composition product induces a right $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ -module structure on the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$.*
- (iv) *For $f : X \rightarrow Y$, composition with f and the induced functor $\mathbb{F}_X^\simeq \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_Y^\simeq$ gives a lax RM-monoidal functor*

$$f^* : (\text{Fun}(Y, \mathcal{V}), \text{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow (\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}), \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}))$$

- (v) *Composition with a symmetric monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ gives a lax RM-monoidal functor*

$$F_* : (\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}), \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow (\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{W}), \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{W})).$$

If F preserves colimits indexed by small ∞ -groupoids, then F_ is an RM-monoidal functor.*

Proof Part (i) is obvious from the description of \mathbb{F}_X^\simeq as $\coprod_n X_{h\Sigma_n}^{\times n} \times X$ and the formula for pointwise left Kan extensions, while part (ii) follows immediately from the description of composition of horizontal morphisms in $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ in [Theorem 2.12](#). Part (iii) then holds by combining parts (i) and (ii), and parts (iv) and (v) follow by restricting the lax monoidal functors discussed in [Section 2](#). □

Definition 3.6 Let \mathcal{O} be a \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad with space of objects X , viewed as an associative algebra in $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$. An \mathcal{O} -algebra in \mathcal{V} is a right \mathcal{O} -module in $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$. We write $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})$ for the ∞ -category $\text{RMod}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}))$ of these right modules.

Remark 3.7 By Proposition 3.5(iv) we see that for $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Opd}_Y(\mathcal{V})$, composition with $f : X \rightarrow Y$ gives a functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{f^*\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})$, while composition with a symmetric monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ gives a functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{F^*\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{W})$.

Since there is always a formula for free modules, with this definition we immediately get a formula for free algebras over enriched ∞ -operads:

Proposition 3.8 *The forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{O}} : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ has a left adjoint $F_{\mathcal{O}}$, and the endofunctor $U_{\mathcal{O}}F_{\mathcal{O}}$ satisfies*

$$U_{\mathcal{O}}F_{\mathcal{O}}M(x) \simeq \coprod_n \text{colim}_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_{h\Sigma_n}^n} M(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes M(x_n) \otimes \mathcal{O} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ x \end{matrix} \right).$$

Moreover, $U_{\mathcal{O}}$ preserves sifted colimits and the adjunction is monadic.

Proof By [12, Corollary 4.2.4.8] the left adjoint $F_{\mathcal{O}}$ exists, and $U_{\mathcal{O}}F_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is given by the composition product $M \odot \mathcal{O}$ (with M viewed as a symmetric sequence concentrated in degree 0). Expanding out this composition product now gives the formula.

It follows from [12, Proposition 4.2.3.1] that $U_{\mathcal{O}}$ detects equivalences and from [12, Corollary 4.2.3.5] that $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})$ has sifted colimits and $U_{\mathcal{O}}$ preserves these, since the composition product preserves sifted colimits in each variable. The adjunction is therefore monadic by the monadicity theorem for ∞ -categories, [12, Theorem 4.7.3.5]. □

Applying [6, Proposition A.5.9], we get:

Corollary 3.9 *If \mathcal{V} is a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and \mathcal{O} is a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -operad, then the ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})$ is presentable.* □

Remark 3.10 Let $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$ be a morphism of \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operads given on spaces of objects by $f : X \rightarrow Y$, and suppose f is surjective on π_0 and F is fully faithful in the sense that all the maps

$$\mathcal{O} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ y \end{matrix} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}' \left(\begin{matrix} f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n) \\ f(y) \end{matrix} \right)$$

are equivalences in \mathcal{V} . Then we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}'}(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{F^*} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(Y, \mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}) \end{array}$$

where the surjectivity of f implies that the composite functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}'}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ is a monadic right adjoint. Using the formula from Proposition 3.8 it is easy to see that F^* gives an equivalence of monads on

$\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ and so gives an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}'}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})$ by [12, Corollary 4.7.3.16]. This applies in particular if \mathcal{O}' is the completion of \mathcal{O} , so by a two-out-of-three argument it follows that any fully faithful and essentially surjective morphism of \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operads $F: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{V})$$

on ∞ -categories of algebras in \mathcal{V} .

We end this section by showing that the nullary operations of a \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} give a canonical \mathcal{O} -algebra, using the next observation:

Proposition 3.11 $Z: \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ is a functor of $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ -modules.

Proof By definition of the $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ -module structure on $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$, the inclusion $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ is a functor of $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ -modules. Using [12, Corollary 7.3.2.7], this implies that its right adjoint Z is a lax RM-monoidal functor. Thus for $M, N \in \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ there are natural maps

$$Z(M) \odot_X N \rightarrow Z(M \odot_X N);$$

by the formula for \odot_X these maps are equivalences, and so Z is an RM-monoidal functor. \square

Corollary 3.12 If \mathcal{O} is an associative algebra in $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ and $M \in \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ is a right \mathcal{O} -module, then the restriction $Z(M) \in \text{Fun}(Y, \mathcal{V})$ is also a right \mathcal{O} -module. \square

Since an algebra is canonically a right module over itself, this specializes to:

Corollary 3.13 Suppose \mathcal{O} is an algebra in $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$, ie a \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad with X as space of objects. Then the functor $Z(\mathcal{O}): X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ picking out the nullary operations is canonically a right \mathcal{O} -module. \square

4 Comparison with model categories of operad algebras

Let \mathbf{V} be a symmetric monoidal model category (with cofibrant unit). Then by [12, Proposition 4.1.7.4] the localization $\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ (with W the class of weak equivalences) is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, and the localization functor $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ is symmetric monoidal when restricted to the cofibrant objects. If \mathbf{O} is a (levelwise cofibrant) operad in \mathbf{V} then this means we can also view \mathbf{O} as an operad in $\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$. Moreover, in good cases there is a model structure on the category $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})$ of \mathbf{O} -algebras in \mathbf{V} . In this section we will give conditions under which the corresponding ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}]$ (with $W_{\mathbf{O}}$ the class of weak equivalences of \mathbf{O} -algebras) is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}])$, defined as in the previous section. In order to do the comparison in sufficient generality to cover examples such as symmetric spectra, we do not want to assume that the unit of the monoidal structure is cofibrant. Instead we consider model categories with a subcategory of *flat* objects in the following sense:

Definition 4.1 Let \mathbf{V} be a symmetric monoidal model category.⁴ A *subcategory of flat objects* is a full subcategory \mathbf{V}^b that satisfies the following conditions:

- \mathbf{V}^b is a symmetric monoidal subcategory, ie the unit is flat and the tensor product of two flat objects is flat.
- If X is flat and $Y \rightarrow Y'$ is a weak equivalence between flat objects, then $X \otimes Y \rightarrow X \otimes Y'$ is again a weak equivalence.
- All cofibrant objects are flat.

Example 4.2 If the unit of \mathbf{V} is cofibrant, then the subcategory \mathbf{V}^c of cofibrant objects is a subcategory of flat objects.

Proposition 4.3 Let \mathbf{V} be a symmetric monoidal model category and \mathbf{V}^b a subcategory of flat objects. Then the inclusions $\mathbf{V}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{V}^b \hookrightarrow \mathbf{V}$ induce equivalences of localizations

$$\mathbf{V}^c[W^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{V}^b[W^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}],$$

where we denote the collections of weak equivalences in the subcategories by W in all cases.

Proof Let $Q: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ be a cofibrant replacement functor, with a natural weak equivalence $\eta: Q \rightarrow \text{id}$. If i denotes the inclusion $\mathbf{V}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{V}$ then we may view Q as a functor $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^c$ and η as a natural transformation $iQ \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{V}}$. If X is cofibrant, then $\eta_X: QX \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in \mathbf{V}^c , so we may view $\eta i: iQi \rightarrow i$ as a natural transformation $\eta^c: Qi \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{V}^c}$. The functor Q preserves weak equivalences, and both η and η^c are natural weak equivalences. It follows that Q induces a functor $\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^c[W^{-1}]$ and the transformations η and η^c induce transformations that exhibit this as an inverse of the functor $\mathbf{V}^c[W^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ induced by i . The same argument applies to Q restricted to the full subcategory \mathbf{V}^b ; the functor $\mathbf{V}^b[W^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ is therefore an equivalence by the two-out-of-three property of equivalences. \square

Corollary 4.4 Let \mathbf{V} be a symmetric monoidal model category and \mathbf{V}^b a subcategory of flat objects. Then the ∞ -category $\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure such that the functor $\mathbf{V}^b \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ is symmetric monoidal.

Proof By assumption, in \mathbf{V}^b the tensor product is compatible with weak equivalences, and so the ∞ -category $\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}] \simeq \mathbf{V}^b[W^{-1}]$ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure with this property by [12, Proposition 4.1.7.4]. \square

Using Remark 3.7, composition with the symmetric monoidal functor $\mathbf{V}^b \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]$ gives a natural functor

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}^b) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]),$$

if \mathbf{O} is a levelwise flat \mathbf{V} -operad. Here we can interpret $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}^b)$ as the classical ordinary category of \mathbf{O} -algebras in \mathbf{V}^b .

⁴We assume that model categories have functorial factorizations.

Definition 4.5 An S -colored operad \mathbf{O} in a symmetric monoidal model category \mathbf{V} is called *admissible* if there exists a model structure on $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})$ where a morphism is a weak equivalence or a fibration precisely if its underlying morphism in $\text{Fun}(S, \mathbf{V})$ is one (ie it is a weak equivalence or fibration in \mathbf{V} for each element of S).

Definition 4.6 An S -colored \mathbf{V} -operad \mathbf{O} is called Σ -cofibrant if the unit map $\mathbb{1}_S \rightarrow U(\mathbf{O})$ is a cofibration in the projective model structure on $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_S^{\cong}, \mathbf{V})$, where U denotes the forgetful functor from operads to collections and $\mathbb{1}_S$ is the monoidal unit for the composition product, given by

$$\mathbb{1}_S \left(\begin{matrix} s_1, \dots, s_n \\ s' \end{matrix} \right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{1}, & n = 1, s_1 = s', \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the monoidal unit in \mathbf{V} .

Example 4.7 A one-colored \mathbf{V} -operad \mathbf{O} is Σ -cofibrant precisely if $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(1)$ is a cofibration, and the object $\mathbf{O}(n)$ is projectively cofibrant in $\text{Fun}(B\Sigma_n, \mathbf{V})$ for all $n \neq 1$.

Definition 4.8 Let \mathbf{V} be a symmetric monoidal model category and \mathbf{V}^b a subcategory of flat objects. We will say that a \mathbf{V} -operad \mathbf{O} is *flat* if it is enriched in the full subcategory \mathbf{V}^b .

Remark 4.9 Since cofibrant objects are flat, if \mathbf{O} is Σ -cofibrant then it is flat precisely if in addition the objects of (unary) endomorphisms $\mathbf{O}(x, x) \in \mathbf{V}$ are all flat.

By [14, Proposition 6.2], if \mathbf{O} is an admissible Σ -cofibrant \mathbf{V} -operad, then cofibrant \mathbf{O} -algebras have cofibrant underlying objects in \mathbf{V} . Since cofibrant objects are in particular flat, if \mathbf{O} is flat, admissible and Σ -cofibrant we have a functor

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})^c \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}^b) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]).$$

This takes weak equivalences in $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})^c$ to equivalences in $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}])$, since the weak equivalences are lifted from the weak equivalences in \mathbf{V} , and so induces a functor of ∞ -categories

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})^c [W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]),$$

where $W_{\mathbf{O}}$ denotes the collection of weak equivalences between \mathbf{O} -algebras.

Theorem 4.10 Let \mathbf{V} be a symmetric monoidal model category equipped with a subcategory \mathbf{V}^b of flat objects. If \mathbf{O} is a flat admissible Σ -cofibrant \mathbf{V} -operad, then the functor

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})^c [W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}])$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Proof We follow the proof of [14, Theorem 7.10], which in turn is a variant of those of [12, Theorems 4.1.4.4 and 4.5.4.7]. Let S be the set of objects of \mathbf{O} . The right Quillen functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(S, \mathbf{V})$ induces a functor of ∞ -categories $U : \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})^c[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Fun}(S, \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}])$, which is a right adjoint by [13, Theorem 2.1]. As \mathbf{O} is Σ -cofibrant, the forgetful functor preserves sifted homotopy colimits by [14, Proposition 7.8]. Since it also detects weak equivalences, it follows by [12, Theorem 4.7.3.5] (the monadicity theorem for ∞ -categories) that U is a monadic right adjoint. The same holds for the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}]) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(S, \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}])$ by Proposition 3.8, so using [12, Corollary 4.7.3.16] we see that to show that the functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})^c[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V}[W^{-1}])$ is an equivalence it suffices to show that the two associated monads on $\text{Fun}(S, \mathbf{V}[W^{-1}])$ have equivalent underlying endofunctors. This follows from the formula in Proposition 3.8, since the Σ_n -orbits that appear in the formula for free strict \mathbf{O} -algebras are homotopy orbits when \mathbf{O} is Σ -cofibrant. \square

The cases to which this result applies are primarily those where *all* operads are admissible, as more generally we only have semimodel structure on algebras over Σ -cofibrant operads. This includes the following examples, as discussed in [15, Section 7]:

- (i) the category Set_{Δ} of simplicial sets, equipped with the Kan–Quillen model structure,
- (ii) the category Top of compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces, equipped with the usual model structure,
- (iii) the category Ch_k of chain complexes of k -vector spaces, where k is a field of characteristic 0 (or more generally a ring containing \mathbb{Q}), equipped with the projective model structure,
- (iv) the category Sp^{Σ} of symmetric spectra, equipped with the positive stable model structure,

In the first three examples the unit is cofibrant, and in the positive stable model structure on symmetric spectra a suitable subcategory of flat objects is supplied by the *S-cofibrant* objects of [17] (see also [16, Chapter 5], where these are called *flat* objects). A Σ -cofibrant operad in symmetric spectra is necessarily flat, since the flat objects are the cofibrant objects in a model structure whose cofibrations include the usual cofibrations.

Specializing to these cases, we have:

Corollary 4.11 (i) *Let \mathbf{O} be a Σ -cofibrant simplicial operad. Then*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\text{Set}_{\Delta})[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathcal{S}).$$

(ii) *Let \mathbf{O} be a Σ -cofibrant topological operad. Then*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\text{Top})[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathcal{S}).$$

(iii) *Let \mathbf{O} be a Σ -cofibrant dg-operad over a field k of characteristic zero. Then*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\text{Ch}_k)[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathcal{D}(k)),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is the derived ∞ -category of k -modules.

(iv) Let \mathbf{O} be a Σ -cofibrant operad in symmetric spectra. Then

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma})[W_{\mathbf{O}}^{-1}] \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathrm{Sp}),$$

where Sp is the ∞ -category of spectra.

Remark 4.12 The case of simplicial operads was already proved as [14, Theorem 7.10].

Remark 4.13 According to Spitzweck's thesis [18, Theorem 4], a \mathbf{V} -operad with a single object that is cofibrant in the semimodel structure on one-object operads in \mathbf{V} is admissible without further assumptions on \mathbf{V} . A version for colored operads does not yet seem to appear in the literature, but if this is correct then the comparison of Theorem 4.10 would apply in general for such cofibrant operads.

Remark 4.14 If \mathbf{O} is a Σ -cofibrant operad in a symmetric monoidal model category \mathbf{V} , then under much weaker assumptions on \mathbf{V} there exists a *semimodel* structure on the category $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})$, by a result of Spitzweck [18, Theorem 5] in the one-object case and White–Yau for colored operads [20, Theorem 6.3.1]. Using results of Cisinski [5], White and Yau have recently extended the results relating structures in model categories to their analogues in ∞ -categories needed to carry out the proof of Theorem 4.10 in the setting of semimodel categories, and thereby extended the comparison with ∞ -operad algebras to the case where there is only a semimodel structure on algebras over a Σ -cofibrant operad; see [21, Section 7.3].

5 Endomorphism ∞ -operads

The first goal of this subsection is to prove that for any morphism of ∞ -groupoids $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\simeq}$ there exists a corresponding *endomorphism ∞ -operad* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(f)$, where \mathcal{V} denotes a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small ∞ -groupoid-indexed colimits. Our strategy for obtaining these objects is taken from [10, Section 6.3] and uses the construction of endomorphism algebras from [12, Section 4.7.1], which we first briefly recall:⁵

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a monoidal ∞ -category and \mathcal{M} is right-tensored over \mathcal{A} . An *endomorphism algebra* for an object $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is an associative algebra $\mathrm{End}(M)$ in \mathcal{A} and a right $\mathrm{End}(M)$ -module structure on M with the universal property that for any associative algebra A in \mathcal{A} , right A -module structures on M are naturally equivalent to morphisms of associative algebras $A \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(M)$.

By [12, Proposition 4.7.1.30 and Theorem 4.7.1.34] there exists a monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}[M]$ whose objects are pairs $(X \in \mathcal{A}, M \otimes X \rightarrow M \text{ in } \mathcal{M})$, with the property that an associative algebra in $\mathcal{A}[M]$ corresponds to an associative algebra $A \in \mathcal{A}$ together with a right A -module structure on M . An endomorphism algebra for M is thus precisely a terminal object in $\mathrm{Alg}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathcal{A}[M])$. Since the terminal object of $\mathcal{A}[M]$ has a unique algebra structure if it exists, we have:

⁵We restate it for right instead of left modules.

Proposition 5.1 [12, Corollary 4.7.1.40] *If $\mathcal{A}[M]$ has a terminal object $(A, M \otimes A \rightarrow M)$ then A is the underlying object of an endomorphism algebra for M .* □

By construction the forgetful functor $\mathcal{A}[M] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a right fibration, corresponding to the functor

$$A \mapsto \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(M \otimes A, M).$$

In the case of $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ and its right module $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ we can explicitly identify this functor:

Proposition 5.2 *For $M \in \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ and $S \in \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ there is a natural equivalence*

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})}(M \odot S, M) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})}(S, \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)),$$

where $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M): \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is the functor given by

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)\left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ x \end{matrix}\right) \simeq \text{MAP}_{\mathcal{V}}(M(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes M(x_n), M(x)),$$

with $\text{MAP}_{\mathcal{V}}$ denoting the internal Hom in \mathcal{V} .

Proof Since X is an ∞ -groupoid, the twisted arrow ∞ -category $\text{Tw}(X)$ is equivalent to X , and so [7, Proposition 5.1] yields a natural equivalence

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})}(M \odot S, M) \simeq \lim_{x \in X} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}}((M \odot S)(x), M(x)).$$

Now the description of $M \odot S$ from Proposition 3.8 shows that this is naturally equivalent to

$$\lim_{x \in X} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}}\left(\coprod_n \text{colim}_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_{h\Sigma_n}^n} M(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes M(x_n) \otimes S\left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ x \end{matrix}\right), M(x)\right).$$

Taking the limit out and applying the universal property of MAP, this becomes

$$\lim_{x \in X} \left(\prod_n \lim_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_{h\Sigma_n}^n} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}}\left(S\left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ x \end{matrix}\right), \text{End}(M)\left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ x \end{matrix}\right)\right) \right).$$

We can now combine the limits to get a limit over $\coprod_n X \times X_{h\Sigma_n}^n \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{X}}$, ie

$$\lim_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{X}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}}(S(\xi), \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)(\xi)).$$

Applying [7, Proposition 5.1] once more now identifies this limit (since $\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{X}}$ is again an ∞ -groupoid) with $\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{X}}, \mathcal{V})}(S, \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M))$, as required. □

Corollary 5.3 *For any $M: X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, the ∞ -category $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})[M]$ has a terminal object.*

Proof By Proposition 5.2, the functor $\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ corresponding to the right fibration

$$\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})[M] \rightarrow \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$$

is represented by the object $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$. This implies that we have an equivalence

$$\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})[M] \simeq \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})_{/\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)}.$$

Since the right-hand side clearly has a terminal object, this completes the proof. □

Applying Proposition 5.1, we get:

Corollary 5.4 For any $M \in \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ there exists an endomorphism ∞ -operad $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ in $\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}(\text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V}))$ whose underlying X -collection is

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ y \end{matrix} \right) \simeq \text{MAP}(M(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes M(x_n), M(y)).$$

This has the universal property that, for any $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})$, morphisms $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ in $\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})$ correspond to \mathcal{O} -algebra structures on M , ie there is natural equivalence

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{O}, \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})_{\widetilde{M}},$$

where the right-hand side denotes the underlying ∞ -groupoid of the fiber of $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$ at M .

Remark 5.5 For $X \simeq *$, so that the functor $* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ picks out an object v of \mathcal{V} , we get an ∞ -categorical analogue of the classical endomorphism operad: $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(v)$ is a one-object \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad with underlying symmetric sequence

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(v)(n) \simeq \text{MAP}_{\mathcal{V}}(v^{\otimes n}, v).$$

If \mathcal{O} is a one-object \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad, the universal property says that an \mathcal{O} -algebra structure on v is equivalent to a morphism of one-object ∞ -operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(v)$.

Example 5.6 By Corollary 3.13, if \mathcal{O} is any \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad with space of objects X , then the functor $Z(\mathcal{O}): X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ picking out the nullary operations is canonically a right \mathcal{O} -module. This corresponds to a canonical morphism of \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}(Z(\mathcal{O}))$, given by maps

$$\mathcal{O} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ y \end{matrix} \right) \rightarrow \text{MAP}_{\mathcal{V}}(Z(\mathcal{O})(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes Z(\mathcal{O})(x_n), Z(\mathcal{O})(y)),$$

adjoint to the composition maps

$$\mathcal{O} \left(\begin{matrix} \\ x_1 \end{matrix} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O} \left(\begin{matrix} \\ x_n \end{matrix} \right) \otimes \mathcal{O} \left(\begin{matrix} x_1, \dots, x_n \\ y \end{matrix} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \left(\begin{matrix} \\ y \end{matrix} \right)$$

for \mathcal{O} .

We now observe that the endomorphism algebras are compatible with the lax monoidal functors $f^*: \text{Coll}_Y(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Coll}_X(\mathcal{V})$ induced by morphisms of ∞ -groupoids $f: X \rightarrow Y$:

Proposition 5.7 For $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism in \mathcal{S} and $M: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, there is a natural equivalence of RM-algebras

$$f^*(M, \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (f^*M, \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(f^*M)).$$

Proof If \mathcal{O} is a \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad with Y as space of objects, the lax monoidal functor f^* induces a natural functor

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{f^*\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}),$$

given on the underlying functors to \mathcal{V} by composition with f . Applying this to the \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ and the canonical $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ -algebra structure on M , we obtain an $f^*\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ -algebra structure on $f^*M = M \circ f$. By the universal property of endomorphism ∞ -operads this corresponds to a morphism of ∞ -operads $f^*\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(f^*M)$. Using the explicit description of the underlying collection of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ in terms of internal Homs we see that this is an equivalence. \square

There exists a universal functor from an ∞ -groupoid to \mathcal{V} , namely the inclusion $\mathcal{V}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ of the underlying ∞ -groupoid of \mathcal{V} . Our construction does not apply directly to this, since the ∞ -groupoid \mathcal{V}^{\simeq} is not small. However, by passing to a larger universe we can define a universal endomorphism ∞ -operad for \mathcal{V} :

Definition 5.8 Let \mathcal{V} be a large closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category compatible with colimits indexed by small ∞ -groupoids. By [12, Proposition 4.8.1.10] there is a very large presentable ∞ -category $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ compatible with large colimits, with a fully faithful symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ that preserves colimits over small ∞ -groupoids. Let $\text{Opd}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})$ be the ∞ -category of $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ -enriched ∞ -operads with potentially large spaces of objects.

Remark 5.9 Since \mathcal{V} is a symmetric monoidal full subcategory of $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$, we can regard $\text{Opd}(\mathcal{V})$ as a full subcategory of $\text{Opd}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})$, containing precisely those $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ - ∞ -operads whose spaces of objects are small and whose objects of multimorphisms all lie in the full subcategory \mathcal{V} . Similarly, for any $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})$ we have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V}) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Fun}(X, \widehat{\mathcal{V}}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are fully faithful.

Definition 5.10 Let $i: \mathcal{V}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ denote the inclusion of the space of objects in the full subcategory \mathcal{V} . Applying Corollary 5.4 in the enlarged universe to i , we get an endomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ - ∞ -operad

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}} := \text{End}_{\widehat{\mathcal{V}}}(i).$$

The formula for its multimorphism objects implies that we can regard $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ as a (large) \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad (ie an object in the ∞ -category $\text{Opd}_{\mathcal{V}^{\simeq}}(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \text{Opd}_{\mathcal{V}^{\simeq}}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})$, which makes sense also when the ∞ -groupoid of objects is large). Moreover, for any map of ∞ -groupoids $M: X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\simeq}$ where X is small, we can regard

$$\text{End}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}(i \circ M) \simeq M^*\overline{\mathcal{V}}$$

as an object of $\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})$.

Lemma 5.11 For any map $M: X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\simeq}$ where X is a small ∞ -groupoid, we have a canonical equivalence

$$M^*\overline{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M).$$

Proof For $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})$ we have a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})_M \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})_{iM}.$$

Using the universal property of the endomorphism objects this corresponds to a natural equivalence

$$\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}, M^*\overline{\mathcal{V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}(\mathcal{O}, \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)),$$

and so an equivalence $M^*\overline{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$, as required. \square

Let U denote the canonical $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ -algebra structure on i , which we can regard as an object of $\text{Alg}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathcal{V})$. For every map $M: X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\simeq}$ with X small, the pullback M^*U is then the canonical $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ -algebra structure on M , which leads to the following:

Theorem 5.12 For any small \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , the morphism of ∞ -groupoids

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Opd}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})}(\mathcal{O}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})^{\simeq},$$

which takes $\phi: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\phi^*U \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})^{\simeq}$, is an equivalence.

Proof Let X be the space of objects of \mathcal{O} . Then we have a commutative triangle of ∞ -groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\text{Opd}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})}(\mathcal{O}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})^{\simeq} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{V}) & \end{array}$$

It suffices to show that we have an equivalence on the fibers over each map $M: X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. But we have an equivalence between $\text{Map}_{\text{Opd}(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})}(\mathcal{O}, \overline{\mathcal{V}})_M$ and

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{O}, M^*\overline{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{O}, \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)),$$

under which the map to $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})^{\simeq}_M$ is equivalent to that taking $\phi: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ to ϕ^* applied to the canonical $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ -algebra structure on M . This is an equivalence by the universal property of the endomorphism algebra. \square

Remark 5.13 In [4] we constructed a natural tensoring of \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operads over ∞ -categories. This induces an enrichment in ∞ -categories, given by

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Cat}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P})) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Opd}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}).$$

For $\mathcal{P} = \overline{\mathcal{V}}$, we can use [Theorem 5.12](#) to identify the ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})$ with the Segal space $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{V})^{\simeq}$. We expect that this should in fact be equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{V})$, but to prove it we need a better understanding of the tensoring of \mathcal{V} - ∞ -operads and ∞ -categories. As this was defined rather inexplicitly in [4], we suspect that this requires setting up a new definition of enriched ∞ -operads where the tensoring can be described more concretely.

In the case where \mathcal{V} is the ∞ -category \mathcal{S} of spaces, we can identify $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ explicitly:

Proposition 5.14 *Let \mathcal{S}^\times denote the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category given by the cartesian product in \mathcal{S} , viewed as an \mathcal{S} -enriched ∞ -operad. There is an equivalence $\mathcal{S}^\times \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bar{\mathcal{S}}$.*

Proof For $X \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $Z(\mathcal{S}^\times)(X) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(*, X) \simeq X$, and the functor $Z(\mathcal{S}^\times): \mathcal{S}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the inclusion of the underlying ∞ -groupoid. Hence, thinking of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ as an endomorphism object for ∞ -operads enriched in large spaces, by [Example 5.6](#) there is a canonical morphism $\mathcal{S}^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}$. This is given by equivalences

$$\mathcal{S}^\times \left(\begin{array}{c} X_1, \dots, X_n \\ Y \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n, Y),$$

and so it is an equivalence of \mathcal{S} - ∞ -operads. □

Remark 5.15 It follows that for \mathcal{O} an \mathcal{S} - ∞ -operad, the ∞ -groupoid $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S})^{\simeq}$ in our sense is equivalent to $\text{Map}_{\text{Opd}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}})}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S}^\times)$. This is the underlying ∞ -groupoid of the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{S} defined in [\[12\]](#), so for \mathcal{S} -enriched ∞ -operads our notion of \mathcal{O} -algebras agrees with that of [\[12\]](#), at least on the level of ∞ -groupoids.

References

- [1] **D Ayala, J Francis**, *Flagged higher categories*, from “Topology and quantum theory in interaction”, *Contemp. Math.* 718, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2018) 137–173 [MR](#)
- [2] **C Barwick**, *From operator categories to higher operads*, *Geom. Topol.* 22 (2018) 1893–1959 [MR](#)
- [3] **D L B Brantner**, *The Lubin–Tate theory of spectral Lie algebras*, PhD thesis, Harvard University (2017) Available at <https://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/brantner/brantnerthesis.pdf>
- [4] **H Chu, R Haugseng**, *Enriched ∞ -operads*, *Adv. Math.* 361 (2020) art. id. 106913 [MR](#)
- [5] **D-C Cisinski**, *Higher categories and homotopical algebra*, *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.* 180, Cambridge Univ. Press (2019) [MR](#)
- [6] **D Gepner, R Haugseng**, *Enriched ∞ -categories via non-symmetric ∞ -operads*, *Adv. Math.* 279 (2015) 575–716 [MR](#)
- [7] **D Gepner, R Haugseng, T Nikolaus**, *Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories*, *Doc. Math.* 22 (2017) 1225–1266 [MR](#)
- [8] **R Haugseng**, *∞ -operads via symmetric sequences*, *Math. Z.* 301 (2022) 115–171 [MR](#)
- [9] **G Heuts**, *Algebras over ∞ -operads*, preprint (2011) [arXiv 1110.1776](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1776)
- [10] **V Hinich**, *Yoneda lemma for enriched ∞ -categories*, *Adv. Math.* 367 (2020) art. id. 107129 [MR](#)
- [11] **J Lurie**, *Higher topos theory*, *Ann. of Math. Stud.* 170, Princeton Univ. Press (2009) [MR](#)
- [12] **J Lurie**, *Higher algebra*, preprint (2017) Available at <https://url.msp.org/Lurie-HA>
- [13] **A Mazel-Gee**, *Quillen adjunctions induce adjunctions of quasicategories*, *New York J. Math.* 22 (2016) 57–93 [MR](#)

- [14] **D Pavlov, J Scholbach**, *Admissibility and rectification of colored symmetric operads*, J. Topol. 11 (2018) 559–601 [MR](#)
- [15] **D Pavlov, J Scholbach**, *Homotopy theory of symmetric powers*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 20 (2018) 359–397 [MR](#)
- [16] **S Schwede**, An untitled book project about symmetric spectra (2007) Available at <https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/~schwede/SymSpec.pdf>
- [17] **B Shipley**, *A convenient model category for commutative ring spectra*, from “Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K -theory”, Contemp. Math. 346, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2004) 473–483 [MR](#)
- [18] **M Spitzweck**, *Operads, algebras and modules in general model categories*, preprint (2001) [arXiv math/0101102](#)
- [19] **T H Trimble**, *Notes on the Lie operad*, preprint (2019) Available at https://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/trimble/trimble_lie_operad.pdf
- [20] **D White, D Yau**, *Bousfield localization and algebras over colored operads*, Appl. Categ. Structures 26 (2018) 153–203 [MR](#)
- [21] **D White, D Yau**, *Smith ideals of operadic algebras in monoidal model categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 24 (2024) 341–392 [MR](#)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology
Trondheim, Norway

rune.haug seng@ntnu.no

Received: 14 November 2019 Revised: 10 September 2024

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

msp.org/agt

EDITORS

PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre
etnyre@math.gatech.edu
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Thomas Koberda	University of Virginia thomas.koberda@virginia.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Markus Land	LMU München markus.land@math.lmu.de
Tara E Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Univ. Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Norihiko Minami	Yamato University minami.norihiko@yamato-u.ac.jp
Octav Cornea	Université de Montréal cornea@dms.umontreal.ca	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Jessica S Purcell	Monash University jessica.purcell@monash.edu
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
David Futер	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Vesna Stojanoska	Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign vesna@illinois.edu
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
Matthew Hedden	Michigan State University mhedden@math.msu.edu	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Kristen Hendricks	Rutgers University kristen.hendricks@rutgers.edu	Daniel T Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Lior Yanovski	Hebrew University of Jerusalem lior.yanovski@gmail.com
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu		


See inside back cover or msp.org/agt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$760/year for the electronic version, and \$1110/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<https://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 25 Issue 7 (pages 3789–4436) 2025

Algebras for enriched ∞ -operads	3789
RUNE HAUGSENG	
An overtwisted convex hypersurface in higher dimensions	3813
RIVER CHIANG and KLAUS NIEDERKRÜGER-EID	
Presheaves of groupoids as models for homotopy types	3833
LÉONARD GUETTA	
Product and coproduct on fixed point Floer homology of positive Dehn twists	3875
YUAN YAO and ZIWEN ZHAO	
Multitwists in big mapping class groups	3921
GEORGE DOMAT, FEDERICA FANONI and SEBASTIAN HENSEL	
Cofibrantly generated model structures for functor calculus	3931
LAUREN BANDKLAYDER, JULIA E BERGNER, RHIANNON GRIFFITHS, BRENDA JOHNSON and REKHA SANTHANAM	
Endomorphisms of Artin groups of type D	3975
FABRICE CASTEL and LUIS PARIS	
Linear bounds of the crosscap number of knots	4009
ROB MCCONKEY	
Atiyah–Segal completion for the Hermitian K -theory of symplectic groups	4037
JENS HORNBOSTEL, HERMAN ROHRBACH and MARCUS ZIBROWIUS	
A minimality property for knots without Khovanov 2-torsion	4073
ONKAR SINGH GUJRAL and JOSHUA WANG	
Local indicability of groups with homology circle presentations	4077
AGUSTÍN NICOLÁS BARRETO and ELÍAS GABRIEL MINIAN	
Cubulating drilled bundles over graphs	4095
MAHAN MJ and BISWAJIT NAG	
Infinitely many homeomorphic hyperbolic plugs with the same basic sets	4147
FANGFANG CHEN	
One-point compactifications of configuration spaces and the self duality of the little disks operad	4163
CONNOR MALIN	
Braided multitwists	4185
RODRIGO DE POOL	
Positive intermediate Ricci curvature on connected sums	4209
PHILIPP REISER and DAVID J WRAITH	
The \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Euler characteristic of the moduli space of graphs	4229
MICHAEL BORINSKY and JOS VERMASEREN	
Classification of metric fibrations	4257
YASUHIKO ASAO	
Spaces over BO are thickened manifolds	4287
HIRO LEE TANAKA	
Representation-graded Bredon homology of elementary abelian 2-groups	4321
MARKUS HAUSMANN and STEFAN SCHWEDE	
The \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant cohomology of the genus-zero Deligne–Mumford space with $1+p$ marked points	4341
DAIN KIM and NICHOLAS WILKINS	
Geometry of free extensions of free groups via automorphisms with fixed points on the complex of free factors	4357
PRITAM GHOSH and FUNDA GÜLTEPE	
Coarse cohomology of configuration space and coarse embedding	4391
ANKA BANERJEE	