

AG
T

*Algebraic & Geometric
Topology*

Volume 25 (2025)

Loop homology of moment-angle complexes in the flag case

FEDOR VYLEGZHANIN



Loop homology of moment-angle complexes in the flag case

FEDOR VYLEGZHANIN

We develop a general homological approach to presentations of connected graded associative algebras, and apply it to the loop homology of moment-angle complexes Z_K that correspond to flag simplicial complexes K . For an arbitrary coefficient ring, we describe generators of the Pontryagin algebra $H_*(\Omega Z_K)$ and defining relations between them. We prove that such moment-angle complexes are coformal over \mathbb{Q} , give a necessary condition for rational formality, and compute their homotopy groups in terms of homotopy groups of spheres.

16E30, 16W50, 57S12; 55P35, 55Q52, 55U15, 57T05

1 Introduction

For a simply connected space X and a commutative ring k with unit, the *Pontryagin algebra* $H_*(\Omega X; k)$ is a connected graded associative k -algebra with respect to the Pontryagin product. We study the Pontryagin algebras of *moment-angle complexes* $X = Z_{\mathcal{K}} := (D^2, S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ that correspond to simplicial complexes \mathcal{K} . Moment-angle complexes play an important role in toric topology [15], and they have interesting homotopical properties and surprising connections to several topics in algebra and combinatorics [5]. If \mathcal{K} is a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$, there is an effective action of the m -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^m = (S^1)^{\times m}$ on $Z_{\mathcal{K}}$. The homotopy quotient $E\mathbb{T}^m \times_{\mathbb{T}^m} Z_{\mathcal{K}}$ (the Borel construction) is known as the *Davis–Januszkiewicz space* $DJ(\mathcal{K})$ and is homotopy equivalent to the polyhedral product $(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, *)^{\mathcal{K}}$; see [15, Theorem 4.3.2].

Panov and Ray [35] reduced the study of corresponding Pontryagin algebras to an algebraic problem. Applying the based loops functor to the homotopy fibration

$$(1) \quad Z_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow DJ(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{T}^m,$$

they obtained a split fibration of H-spaces $\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^m$ and thus an extension of cocommutative Hopf algebras

$$k \rightarrow H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; k) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); k) \rightarrow \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \rightarrow k$$

over a field k . For any \mathcal{K} , there is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras $H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); k) \cong \text{Ext}_{k[\mathcal{K}]}(k, k)$ [20; 35] (moreover, this is true for any principal ideal domain k such that $H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); k)$ is a free

\mathbf{k} -module). If \mathcal{K} is a *flag* simplicial complex, this Hopf algebra is known completely: it is isomorphic to the partially commutative algebra

$$\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]^! := T(u_1, \dots, u_m) / (u_i^2 = 0, i = 1, \dots, m; u_i u_j + u_j u_i = 0, \{i, j\} \in \mathcal{K}), \quad \deg u_i = 1.$$

Generators u_i are primitive and have degree $(-1, 2e_i)$ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -grading introduced in [43]. In this case Grbić, Panov, Theriault and Wu [23] found a minimal generating set for the algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$, and the author calculated the number of relations in any minimal presentation (by homogeneous generators and relations) of this algebra [43].

The last calculation relies on homological methods developed by Wall [44] and Lemaire [29] for connected graded associative algebras over a field. Namely, multiplicative generators of a connected \mathbf{k} -algebra A correspond to additive generators of the graded \mathbf{k} -module $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$, and relations correspond to generators of $\mathrm{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$. In order to study the integer Pontryagin algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Z})$, we generalise these results to the case of *arbitrary* commutative rings \mathbf{k} with unit, and construct explicit presentations of connected \mathbf{k} -algebras using cycles in the bar construction. These results are presented in Appendix A. We hope that they will be useful in other contexts.

Let us give a general description of our approach. Suppose that we are given a connected \mathbf{k} -algebra A which is a free left module over its subalgebra S , $A \simeq S \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V$. We wish to construct a presentation of S . Theorem A.6 does that, if we know a set of cycles in the bar construction $\overline{\mathbf{B}}(S)$, such that their images generate the \mathbf{k} -modules $H_i(\overline{\mathbf{B}}(S)) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$, $i = 1, 2$. The following algorithm computes such cycles:

- (i) Build a free resolution $(A \otimes M, d)$ of the left A -module \mathbf{k} .
- (ii) Interpret it as a free resolution $(S \otimes V \otimes M, \widehat{d})$ of the left S -module \mathbf{k} . Compute the functor $\mathrm{Tor}^S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ as the homology of the complex $(V \otimes M, \overline{d})$. Find cycles in $(V \otimes M, \overline{d})$ such that their images generate $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$, $i = 1, 2$.
- (iii) Construct a morphism $\varphi: (S \otimes V \otimes M, \widehat{d}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}(S), d_{\mathbf{B}})$ of free resolutions of the left S -module \mathbf{k} , using the contracting homotopy of the bar resolution (see Corollary 2.2). Obtain a morphism of chain complexes $\overline{\varphi}: (V \otimes M, \overline{d}) \rightarrow (\overline{\mathbf{B}}(S), d_{\overline{\mathbf{B}}})$ that induces an isomorphism on the homology.
- (iv) Applying $\overline{\varphi}$ to the cycles from (ii), obtain the required cycles in $\overline{\mathbf{B}}(S)$.

This situation takes place if $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow S \rightarrow A \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is an extension of connected Hopf algebras; see [2; 31, Proposition 4.9]. In that sense, our algorithm has similarities with the *Reidemeister–Schreier algorithm* that constructs a presentation of a subgroup, given a presentation of the whole group. See [30] for another approach to Hopf subalgebras in connected Hopf algebras. It is well known that extensions of Hopf algebras arise in the study of fibrations $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ that have a section after looping (see Appendix B for the proof). For such “ Ω -split” fibrations, the proposed method allows us to study presentations of $H_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k})$, if the algebras $H_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k})$ and $H_*(\Omega B; \mathbf{k})$ are known.

Fibrations of this kind are studied by Theriault [41]; see also [9, Proposition 6.1]. (However, these works deal with cases when the algebra $H_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k})$ is known better than $H_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k})$.) We consider the case $F = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$, $E = \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K})$, $B = (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^m$. The algorithm is also applicable to *partial quotients* of moment-angle complexes [15, Section 4.8] (we will consider their Pontryagin algebras in subsequent publications) and polyhedral products of the form $(PX, \Omega X)^{\mathcal{K}}$ (here we refer to the recent work [16] by Li Cai).

1.1 Main results

We give a presentation of the algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ for a flag simplicial complex \mathcal{K} and any ring \mathbf{k} . The presentation is explicit up to a rewriting process described in Algorithm 5.4. For $x \in H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ and a subset $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_k\} \subset [m]$, define

$$c(A, x) := [u_{a_1}, [u_{a_2}, \dots, [u_{a_k}, x], \dots]] \in H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}).$$

This element belongs to the subalgebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \subset H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$, if $x = u_i$ and $A \neq \emptyset$ (see Corollary 3.10). For every $J \subset [m]$, denote by $\Theta(J)$ the set of all vertices $i \in J$ such that

- vertices i and $\max(J)$ are in different path components of the complex \mathcal{K}_J ;
- i is the smallest vertex in its path component.

Denote by $\tilde{b}_i(X; \mathbf{k})$ the minimal number of elements that generate the \mathbf{k} -module $\tilde{H}_i(X; \mathbf{k})$. Clearly, $|\Theta(J)| = \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ for any principal ideal domain \mathbf{k} . Consider the $\tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ -element set

$$\{c(J \setminus \{i\}, u_i) : J \subset [m], i \in \Theta(J)\} \subset H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}).$$

We call its elements the *GPTW generators* (after Grbić, Panov, Theriault and Wu).

Theorem 1.1 *Let \mathbf{k} be a commutative ring with unit and \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex without ghost vertices on vertex set $[m]$.*

(i) *For every $J \subset [m]$, choose a set of simplicial 1-cycles*

$$\sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} \lambda_{ij}^{(\alpha)} [\{i, j\}] \in C_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$$

that generate the \mathbf{k} -module $H_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$. Then the algebra $H_(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is generated by GPTW generators modulo the relations*

$$\sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} \lambda_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{J \setminus \{i, j\} = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i, \max(B) > j}} \pm [\hat{c}(A, u_i), \hat{c}(B, u_j)] = 0$$

that correspond to the chosen 1-cycles. (Here $\hat{c}(A, u_i)$, $\hat{c}(B, u_j)$ are the elements $c(A, u_i)$, $c(B, u_j)$ of $H_(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ that are arbitrarily expressed through the GPTW generators, and $[x, y]$ is defined as $x \cdot y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot x$.) In particular, $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ admits a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous presentation by $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ generators and $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{b}_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ relations.*

(ii) *If \mathbf{k} is a principal ideal domain, then this presentation is minimal: any $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous presentation of $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ contains at least $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ generators and at least $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{b}_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ relations.*

This theorem follows from Theorems 5.1 and 5.6, proven in Section 5. For field coefficients, these results were partially obtained by Grbić, Panov, Theriault, Wu (the minimal set of generators [23, Theorem 4.3]) and the author (number of relations and their degrees [43, Corollary 4.5]). Sometimes the number of relations can be reduced, if we do not require them to be $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous (see Theorem 5.7).

We also present new results on the homotopy of moment-angle complexes that correspond to flag complexes. Using a result of Huang [26], we prove in Corollary 6.7 that in the flag case $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is *coformal* over \mathbb{Q} in the sense of rational homotopy theory. Results of Berglund [10] then give a necessary condition for such moment-angle complexes to be rationally formal (Theorem 6.13). Finally, we improve a recent result of Stanton [39] about the homotopy type of $\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ by finding the explicit number of spheres in the product:

Theorem 1.2 *Let \mathcal{K} be a $(d-1)$ -dimensional flag simplicial complex on $[m]$ with no ghost vertices. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(2) \quad \Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \simeq \prod_{n \geq 3} (\Omega S^n)^{\times D_n},$$

where the numbers $D_n \geq 0$ are determined by

$$(3) \quad - \sum_{J \subset [m]} \bar{\chi}(\mathcal{K}_J) \cdot t^{|J|} = (1+t)^{m-d} h_{\mathcal{K}}(-t) = \prod_{n \geq 3} (1-t^{n-1})^{D_n},$$

where $\bar{\chi}(X) := \chi(X) - 1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim \tilde{H}_i(X)$ is the reduced Euler characteristic and $h_{\mathcal{K}}(t) := \sum_{i=0}^d h_i(\mathcal{K}) \cdot t^i$ is the h -polynomial [15, Definition 2.2.5] of \mathcal{K} . In particular, for every $N \geq 1$ we have an isomorphism

$$(4) \quad \pi_N(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{n=3}^N \pi_N(S^n)^{\oplus D_n}.$$

This theorem is proved in Section 6. Using (4), it is easy to describe the homotopy groups of corresponding Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces (using the fibration (1)) and partial quotients of moment-angle complexes, including quasitoric manifolds and smooth toric varieties (using similar fibrations; see [15, Proposition 7.3.13; 19, Section 4]).

1.2 Organisation of the paper

Section 2 consists of algebraic preliminaries. We highlight Corollary 2.2 that allows us to construct chain maps into the bar resolution. In Section 3 we recall notions from toric topology and discuss the properties of Pontryagin algebras $H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ and $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$. Main calculations are carried in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.1 and consider an example. Section 6 contains results about (co)formality and homotopy groups of moment-angle complexes in the flag case. In Appendix A we develop the homological tools for working with presentations of connected graded algebras over a commutative ring. In Appendix B we prove the following folklore fact: split fibrations of loop spaces correspond (by passing to homology) to extensions of Hopf algebras. Appendix C contains commutator identities that are used in Section 4.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks his advisor Taras Panov for guidance and attention to this work, Matthias Franz for pointing out [20, Proposition 6.5], Lewis Stanton for proving Lemma 6.1 which greatly simplifies the statement of Theorem 1.2, the anonymous referee for important suggestions and corrections, and G Chernykh, V Gorchakov, D Piontkovski, T Rahmatullaev, and A Saigak for useful comments and conversations.

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant no 23-11-00143, <http://rscf.ru/en/project/23-11-00143/>. The author is a laureate of the all-Russia mathematical August Möbius contest of graduate and undergraduate student papers and thanks the jury and the board for the high praise of his work.

2 Preliminaries: algebra

2.1 Connected graded algebras

Fix a commutative associative ring k with unit. We consider associative k -algebras with unit that are graded by a commutative monoid G (usually $G = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$, $k = 0, 1, 2$.) Left A -modules are also G -graded. Elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ are denoted by $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j e_j$, $\alpha_j \geq 0$. Subsets $J \subset [m]$ are identified with elements $\sum_{j \in J} e_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$. Define also

$$|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m, \quad \text{supp } \alpha := \{i \in [m] : \alpha_i > 0\}.$$

Every $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded algebra A is considered as \mathbb{Z} -graded with respect to the total grading

$$A_n := \bigoplus_{n=i_1+\dots+i_k+|\alpha|} A_{i_1, \dots, i_k, \alpha}.$$

The graded algebra A is *connected* if $A_{<0} = 0$ and $A_0 = k \cdot 1$. We have the canonical augmentation $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow A_0 = k$ and the augmentation ideal $I(A) := \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. Examples of connected k -algebras are

- the exterior algebra $\Lambda[m] := \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m]$, $\text{deg}(u_i) = (-1, 2e_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$, with the basis $\{u_I := u_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_k} : I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\}\}$;
- the polynomial algebra $k[m] := k[v_1, \dots, v_m]$, $\text{deg}(v_i) = (0, 2e_i)$, with the basis

$$\left\{ v^\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^m v_i^{\alpha_i} : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \right\};$$

- the tensor algebra $T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$, where x_i are homogeneous elements of arbitrary positive degrees.

For a homogeneous element a , define $\bar{a} := (-1)^{1+\text{deg}(a)} \cdot a$. Clearly, $\overline{a \cdot b} = -\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}$ and $\bar{\bar{a}} = a$.

Let A be a G -graded algebra. Complexes of A -modules (M, d) are considered as $\mathbb{Z} \times G$ -graded modules with a differential of degree $(-1, 0)$. We use the Koszul sign rule with respect to the total grading: $d(a \cdot m) = (-1)^{\text{deg}(a)} a \cdot d(m) = -\bar{a} \cdot d(m)$. Several formulas from [43] do not follow this rule and are corrected in this paper.

2.2 Bar resolution and bar construction

Let A be a connected k -algebra and $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow k$ be the augmentation. The resulting left A -module k has the *bar resolution*

$$\cdots \rightarrow B_2(A) \rightarrow B_1(A) \rightarrow B_0(A) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0,$$

where $B_n(A) := A \otimes I(A)^{\otimes n}$. An element of the form $a \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in B_n(A)$ has bidegree $(n, \deg(a) + \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(a_i))$ and is traditionally written as $a[a_1 | \dots | a_n]$. The differential d_B has bi-degree $(-1, 0)$ and is given by

$$-d_B(a[a_1 | \dots | a_n]) := \bar{a} \cdot a_1[a_2 | \dots | a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{a}[\bar{a}_1 | \dots | \bar{a}_{i-1} \bar{a}_i \cdot a_{i+1} | a_{i+2} | \dots | a_n].$$

Consider also the contracting homotopy $s_n: B_n(A) \rightarrow B_{n+1}(A)$,

$$(5) \quad s_n(a[a_1 | \dots | a_n]) := \begin{cases} 0, & a \in A_0 \simeq k; \\ [a|a_1 | \dots | a_n], & \deg(a) > 0; \end{cases} \quad s_{-1}: k \rightarrow B_0(A), \quad 1 \mapsto 1[\cdot].$$

It is easy to show that $s \circ d_B + d_B \circ s = \text{id}$, $d_B^2 = 0$. Hence $(B(A), d_B)$ is a free resolution of the left A -module k , assuming that A is a free k module. In this case, we obtain

$$\text{Tor}_n^A(k, k) \cong H_n[\bar{B}(A), d_{\bar{B}}],$$

where $\bar{B}(A) := k \otimes_A B(A)$ is the *bar construction* of A . We have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{B}_n(A) &= I(A)^{\otimes n}, \quad \deg([a_1 | \dots | a_n]) = (n, \deg(a_1) + \dots + \deg(a_n)), \quad \deg d_{\bar{B}} = (-1, 0), \\ d_{\bar{B}}([a_1 | \dots | a_n]) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\bar{a}_1 | \dots | \bar{a}_{i-1} \bar{a}_i \cdot a_{i+1} | a_{i+2} | \dots | a_n] \in \bar{B}_{n-1}(A). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $d_{\bar{B}}([x|y]) = [\bar{x} \cdot y]$ and $d_{\bar{B}}([x|y|z]) = [\bar{x} \cdot y|z] + [\bar{x}|\bar{y} \cdot z]$.

2.3 Chain maps into resolutions with a contracting homotopy

Any map of modules can be extended to a map of their free resolutions. Moreover, this extension can be described in terms of the contracting homotopy for the latter resolution. This recursive construction seems to be known to specialists: its generalisations and applications are discussed in [12]. The author thanks Georgy Chernykh for the reference.

Lemma 2.1 *Let A be an associative k -algebra. Suppose that the commutative diagram of left A -modules and their homomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_n & \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_n} & C_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_{n-1}} & C_{n-2} \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_{n-1} & & \downarrow \varphi_{n-2} \\ B_n & \xrightarrow{d_n} & B_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} & B_{n-2} \end{array}$$

satisfy the conditions

- (i) C_n is a free A -module with a basis $\{e_i\}$;
- (ii) $\widehat{d}_{n-1} \circ \widehat{d}_n = 0$;
- (iii) there are k -linear maps $s_{n-1}: B_{n-1} \rightarrow B_n$ and $s_{n-2}: B_{n-2} \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ such that

$$d_n \circ s_{n-1} + s_{n-2} \circ d_{n-1} = \text{id}_{B_{n-1}}.$$

Define an A -linear map $\varphi_n: C_n \rightarrow B_n$ on the basis by

$$\varphi_n(e_i) := s_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(\widehat{d}_n(e_i))) \in B_n.$$

Then $d_n \circ \varphi_n = \varphi_{n-1} \circ \widehat{d}_n$.

Proof Since $d_n \circ \varphi_n$ and $\varphi_{n-1} \circ \widehat{d}_n$ are maps of A -modules, it is sufficient to show that they agree on the basis of C_n . By definition,

$$d_n(\varphi_n(e_i)) = (d_n \circ s_{n-1} \circ \varphi_{n-1} \circ \widehat{d}_n)(e_i).$$

Condition (iii) gives $d \circ s \circ \varphi \circ \widehat{d} = \varphi \circ \widehat{d} - s \circ d \circ \varphi \circ \widehat{d}$. From the commutativity of the diagram and condition (ii) we obtain $s \circ d \circ \varphi \circ \widehat{d} = s \circ \varphi \circ \widehat{d} \circ \widehat{d} = 0$. Hence

$$d_n(\varphi_n(e_i)) = \varphi_{n-1}(\widehat{d}_n(e_i)) - 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.2 Let A be a connected k -algebra, $(A \otimes V_\bullet, \widehat{d}_\bullet)$ be a free resolution of the left A -module k . Let $\bar{\varphi}_0: V_0 \rightarrow k$ be a map of k -modules such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes V_1 & \xrightarrow{\widehat{d}_1} & A \otimes V_0 & \xrightarrow{\widehat{d}_0} & k \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \bar{\varphi}_0 & & \parallel \text{id} =: \varphi_{-1} \\ B_1(A) & \xrightarrow{d_{B,1}} & A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & k \end{array}$$

commutes. Choose bases $\{e_i^{(n)}\}$ of k -modules V_n , and define A -linear maps $\varphi_n: A \otimes V_n \rightarrow B_n(A)$ recursively as

$$\varphi_0 := \text{id}_A \otimes \bar{\varphi}_0, \quad \varphi_n(a \otimes e_i^{(n)}) := a \cdot s_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(\widehat{d}_n(e_i^{(n)}))),$$

where $s_{n-1}: B_{n-1}(A) \rightarrow B_n(A)$ is the contracting homotopy (5).

Then $\varphi_\bullet: (A \otimes V_\bullet, \widehat{d}_\bullet) \rightarrow (B_\bullet(A), d_B)$ is a chain map.

Proof Induction on n . For $n = 0$ the identity

$$d_{B,n} \circ \varphi_n = \varphi_{n-1} \circ \widehat{d}_n$$

holds, since the diagram commutes. The inductive step from $n - 1$ to n is supplied by Lemma 2.1. \square

2.4 Hopf algebra extensions and loop homology

If A is a Hopf algebra over k , we denote the comultiplication by $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ and the (co)unit maps by $\eta_A: k \rightarrow A$, $\varepsilon_A: A \rightarrow k$. A graded k -Hopf algebra A is *connected* if $A_{<0} = 0$, $A_0 = k \cdot 1$. The counit is then the standard augmentation $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow A_0 \simeq k$.

Definition 2.3 Let $\iota: A \rightarrow C$, $\pi: C \rightarrow B$ be morphisms of k -Hopf algebras. They form an *extension of Hopf algebras*, or a *short exact sequence of Hopf algebras*

$$k \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} C \xrightarrow{\pi} B \rightarrow k,$$

if

- (i) ι is injective;
- (ii) π is surjective;
- (iii) $\pi \circ \iota = \varepsilon$;
- (iv) $\text{Ker } \pi = I(A) \cdot C$;
- (v) $\text{Im } \iota = \{x \in C : ((\text{id}_C \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta)(x) = x \otimes 1\}$.

See [2, Definition 1.2.0, Proposition 1.2.3] for an equivalent and more “symmetrical” definition. Extensions of connected Hopf algebras were studied implicitly in [31, Section 4].

Proposition 2.4 (see [31, Proposition 4.9]) *Let $\iota: A \rightarrow C$, $\pi: C \rightarrow B$ be maps of connected k -Hopf algebras. Suppose that a map $\Phi: A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism of left A -modules and right C -comodules, and suppose that*

$$\iota = \Phi \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B), \quad \pi \circ \Phi = \varepsilon_A \otimes \text{id}_B.$$

Then $k \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} C \xrightarrow{\pi} B \rightarrow k$ is an extension of Hopf algebras. Conversely, for every Hopf algebra extension there is a map Φ with the described properties. □

Our main example of Hopf algebras are Pontryagin algebras (loop homology) of connected topological spaces. Let k be a commutative ring, Y be a topological space such that $H_*(Y; k)$ is a free k -module. Then $H_*(Y; k)$ is supplied with the cocommutative *cup coproduct* which is dual to the cup product on $H^*(Y; k)$: it is the composition

$$H_*(Y; k) \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} H_*(Y \times Y; k) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{AW}_*} H_*(C_*(Y; k) \otimes C_*(Y; k)) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\kappa} H_*(Y; k) \otimes H_*(Y; k),$$

where AW is the Alexander–Whitney map and κ is the Künneth isomorphism. If Y is also an H-space, the cup coproduct respects the Pontryagin product

$$m: H_*(Y; k) \otimes H_*(Y; k) \xrightarrow{\times} H_*(Y \times Y; k) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} H_*(Y; k)$$

and hence $H_*(Y; \mathbf{k})$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra. In particular, $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ is a connected cocommutative \mathbf{k} -Hopf algebra whenever X is a simply connected space such that $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ is free over \mathbf{k} [31, 8.9]. Otherwise κ fails to be an isomorphism, hence the coproduct is not defined and $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ is merely a connected associative \mathbf{k} -algebra with unit.

In Appendix B we describe a situation when a fibration $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ of simply connected spaces gives rise to an extension $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow H_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega B; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ of connected Hopf algebras.

3 Preliminaries: toric topology

3.1 Simplicial complexes and polyhedral products

A simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on the vertex set W is a nonempty family of subsets $I \subset W$ that is closed under taking subsets. Elements $I \in \mathcal{K}$ are called faces. We suppose that \mathcal{K} has no ghost vertices, ie $\{i\} \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $i \in W$. Usually $W \subset [m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$. Sometimes by properties of a complex \mathcal{K} we mean properties of its geometrical realisation, of the topological space $|\mathcal{K}| := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \Delta_I \subset \Delta_W$.

For every $J \subset W$, a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}_J := \{I \in \mathcal{K} : I \subset J\}$ on the vertex set J (a full subcomplex of \mathcal{K}) is defined.

Throughout the text, we write $I \setminus i := I \setminus \{i\}$ for $i \in I$ and $I \sqcup i := I \sqcup \{i\}$ for $i \in W \setminus I$. A subset $I \subset W$ is a missing face of \mathcal{K} if $I \notin \mathcal{K}$, but $I \setminus i \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $i \in I$. A simplicial complex \mathcal{K} is flag if all its missing faces consist of two elements.

For every complex \mathcal{K} on a vertex set $[m]$, the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded Stanley–Reisner ring

$$\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}] := \mathbf{k}[v_1, \dots, v_m] / \left(\prod_{i \in I} v_i = 0, I \notin \mathcal{K} \right), \quad \deg v_i := 2e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m,$$

is defined. It has a homogeneous basis $\{v^\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^m v_i^{\alpha_i} \mid \text{supp } \alpha \in \mathcal{K}\}$ as a \mathbf{k} -module. The dual \mathbf{k} -module $\mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle$ is called the Stanley–Reisner coalgebra. It has an additive basis $\{\chi_\alpha \mid \text{supp } \alpha \in \mathcal{K}\}$, $\deg \chi_\alpha = 2\alpha$, and commutative associative comultiplication $\Delta \chi_\alpha := \sum_{\alpha = \beta + \gamma} \chi_\beta \otimes \chi_\gamma$.

Now let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ and $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) := ((X_1, A_1), \dots, (X_m, A_m))$ be a sequence of pairs of topological spaces. Their polyhedral product $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\mathcal{K}$ is the union

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\mathcal{K} := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^I \subset X^m, \quad (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^I = Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_m, \quad Y_j := \begin{cases} X_j, & j \in I; \\ A_j, & j \notin I. \end{cases}$$

The addition of a ghost vertex v to \mathcal{K} replaces the space $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\mathcal{K}$ with $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\mathcal{K} \times A_v$. Hence in many cases it is sufficient to consider only complexes without ghost vertices.

Define $(X, A)^\mathcal{K} := (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\mathcal{K}$ if $X_i = X, A_i = A$ for all $i \in [m]$. We consider two special cases of this construction: moment-angle complexes $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{K} := (D^2, S^1)^\mathcal{K}$ and Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces

$DJ(\mathcal{K}) := (\mathbb{C}P^\infty, *)^{\mathcal{K}}$. It is well known that $H^*(DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]$ and $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \cong \text{Tor}_{\mathbf{k}[m]}(\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}], \mathbf{k})$ as graded rings. Moreover,

$$H^n(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) = \bigoplus_{n=-i+2|J|} H^{-i,2J}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}), \quad H^{-i,2J}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \cong \widetilde{H}^{|J|-i-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}),$$

and the product has a geometric description in terms of maps $\mathcal{K}_{I \sqcup J} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_I * \mathcal{K}_J$; see [15, Theorem 4.5.8].

3.2 Loop homology as Hopf algebras

Proposition 3.1 [15, Theorem 4.3.2, Section 8.4] *There is a homotopy fibration*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow DJ(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^m$$

of simply connected spaces, where i is the standard inclusion. The map Ωi admits a homotopy section $\sigma: \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow \Omega DJ(\mathcal{K})$ that corresponds to the choice of generators in $\pi_2(DJ(\mathcal{K})) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$ and gives rise to a homotopy equivalence $\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \times \mathbb{T}^m$. □

The following description of $H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ was first given in [35, (8.4)] for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, but the argument is easily generalised to the arbitrary coefficient ring. The main ingredients are integral formality of $DJ(\mathcal{K})$ [34], Adams’ cobar construction (see [18]) and a result of Fröberg [21].

Theorem 3.2 [43, Theorem 1.1] *For any simplicial complex \mathcal{K} with no ghost vertices and any commutative ring \mathbf{k} , we have an isomorphism $H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]}^i(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ of graded \mathbf{k} -algebras (with respect to the Pontryagin product and to the Yoneda product). More precisely,*

$$H_n(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \cong \bigoplus_{-i+2|\alpha|=n} \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]}^i(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_{2\alpha}.$$

This isomorphism defines the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -grading on $H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$. The “diagonal” subalgebra

$$D = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m} H_{-|\alpha|, 2\alpha}(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \subset H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$$

is isomorphic to the algebra

$$\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]^! := T(u_1, \dots, u_m) / (u_i^2 = 0, i = 1, \dots, m; u_i u_j + u_j u_i = 0, \{i, j\} \in \mathcal{K}), \quad \deg u_i = (-1, 2e_i).$$

For a flag \mathcal{K} , the algebra $H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ coincides with D , and we have $H_*(\Omega DJ(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]^!$. □

If $H_*(\Omega Y; \mathbf{k})$ is a free \mathbf{k} -module, the cup coproduct is compatible with the Pontryagin product, hence this associative algebra is a cocommutative \mathbf{k} -Hopf algebra. Similarly, if A is a commutative graded \mathbf{k} -algebra such that $\text{Ext}_A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ is a free \mathbf{k} -module, then the shuffle product on the bar construction (see [28, Theorem X.12.2]) induces a commutative coproduct on $\text{Ext}_A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ that is compatible with the Yoneda product. In our case, these coproduct coincide. This follows from a stronger formality result for Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces, the *hga formality* [20, Theorem 1.3].

Proposition 3.3 [20, Proposition 6.5] *Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex with no ghost vertices, and let k be a principal ideal domain such that $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k)$ is a free k -module. Then*

$$H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k) \cong \text{Ext}_{k[\mathcal{K}]}(k, k)$$

as Hopf algebras.

Outline of the proof Let A be a dga algebra. The *homotopy Gerstenhaber algebra* (hga) structure on A is a multiplication on its bar construction $\overline{B}(A)$ such that $\overline{B}(A)$ becomes a dga bialgebra [20, Section 4]. This structure arises naturally if A is commutative (then the multiplication is the shuffle product) or if $A = C^*(X; k)$ is the dga algebra of cochains of a 1-reduced simplicial set (then the multiplication was essentially constructed by Baues [8, Section 2]). Then $H^*(\Omega X; k) \cong H^*[\overline{B}(C^*(X; k))]$ as bialgebras. By a result of Franz [20, Theorem 1.3], hga algebras $C^*(\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k)$ and $k[\mathcal{K}]$ are quasi-isomorphic. The functor \overline{B} preserves quasi-isomorphisms, so $H^*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k) \cong H^*(\overline{B}(k[\mathcal{K}]); k) \cong \text{Tor}^{k[\mathcal{K}]}(k, k)$ as bialgebras. Since the Hopf algebra structure on a bialgebra is unique, it is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. The statement for $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k)$ follows by dualisation. \square

Remark 3.4 The algebra $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k)$ is not always a free k -module. For example, let \mathcal{K} be a minimal triangulation of $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a wedge of $\Sigma^7\mathbb{R}P^2$ and spheres [23, Example 3.3]. We have $\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \times \mathbb{T}^m$, hence $\Omega\Sigma^7\mathbb{R}P^2$ is a retract of $\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K})$. It follows that $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbb{Z})$ has 2-torsion.

Recall that an element x is called *primitive* if $\Delta x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, and a Hopf algebra is *primitively generated* if it is multiplicatively generated by its primitive elements.

Conjecture 3.5 *The Hopf algebra $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k)$ is primitively generated for every simplicial complex \mathcal{K} and every ring k such that $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k)$ is a free k -module.*

By deep results of André and Sjödin — see [4, Theorem 10.2.1(5)] — for every field k the Hopf algebra $\text{Ext}_A(k, k)$ is the universal enveloping of a Lie algebra (of a 2-restricted Lie algebra, if $\text{char } k = 2$). In particular, this Hopf algebra is primitively generated. (This also follows from results of Browder [11]; see [33, Theorem 10.4].) Hence Conjecture 3.5 holds if k is a field.

Remark 3.6 The Hopf algebra $H_*(\Omega X; k)$ is not always primitively generated, even if X is a suspension. For example, one can take $X = \Sigma\mathbb{C}P^2$, $k = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (see [13, Section 4.2]). On the other hand, [25, Theorem B] implies that $H_*(\Omega\Sigma\mathbb{C}P^d; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is primitively generated for $p > d$.

Now we describe the connection between the loop homology of Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces and of moment-angle complexes in the form of a Hopf algebra extension.

Proposition 3.7 *Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ and k be a commutative ring with unit, such that $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)$ is a free k -module. Then*

$$k \rightarrow H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k) \xrightarrow{\iota} H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); k) \xrightarrow{p} \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \rightarrow k$$

is an extension of connected $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded \mathbf{k} -Hopf algebras. The projection p maps u_i to u_i . Its \mathbf{k} -linear section $\sigma_* : \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \rightarrow H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ is given by

$$\sigma_*(u_I) = \hat{u}_I := u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_k}, \quad I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}.$$

Therefore, $\Phi(a \otimes u_I) := \iota(a) \cdot \hat{u}_I$ defines an isomorphism of left $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ -modules and right $\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m]$ -comodules $\Phi : H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \otimes \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \rightarrow H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$.

Proof By Theorem B.3, the fibration from Proposition 3.1 gives rise to the required Hopf algebra extension. The formula for p follows from functoriality, since the map $\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow \text{DJ}(\Delta_{[m]}) \cong (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^m$ is induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \Delta_{[m]}$. The formula for σ_* follows from the description of the homotopy section $\sigma : \mathbb{T}^m \simeq \Omega B\mathbb{T}^m = (\Omega \mathbb{C}P^\infty)^{\times m} \rightarrow \Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K})$ as a concatenation of loops, $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \mapsto \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_m$. The maps p and σ_* respect the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -grading, hence the multigrading on $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is well defined. \square

Since ι is injective, we identify elements of $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ with their images in $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$. Let us describe some of these elements. Recall that we define $[a, b] := ab + (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)+1}ba$ and $c(I, x) := [u_{i_1}, [u_{i_2}, \dots, [u_{i_k}, x], \dots]] \in H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ for $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}$ and $x \in H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$. In particular, $c(\emptyset, x) := x$ and $c(\{i\}, u_j) = [u_i, u_j] = u_i u_j + u_j u_i$.

Corollary 3.8 *Let $x \in H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ be a primitive element such that $p(x) = 0$. Then $x \in H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$.*

Proof This follows from Corollary B.4 applied to the Hopf algebra extension from Proposition 3.7. \square

Corollary 3.9 *Let $x \in H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ be a primitive element and $I \subset [m]$, $I \neq \emptyset$. Then $c(I, x)$ is an element of $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$.*

Proof Elements $u_1, \dots, u_m \in H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ are primitive for dimension reasons. Primitive elements form a Lie algebra, hence $c(I, x) \in H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ is primitive. We have $p(c(I, x)) = c(I, p(x)) = 0$, since it is a commutator in the commutative algebra $\Lambda[m]$. Then $c(I, x) \in H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ by Corollary 3.8. \square

Corollary 3.10 *Let $j \in [m]$ and $I \subset [m]$, $I \neq \emptyset$. Then $c(I, u_j)$ is an element of $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$.* \square

3.3 The flag case

Let \mathcal{K} be a flag complex with no ghost vertices. By Theorem 3.2, $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]^!$ is a free \mathbf{k} -module, hence the Hopf algebra structure on $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ is well defined. Moreover, the connected \mathbf{k} -algebra $\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]^!$ is generated by elements of degree 1. These conditions determine the Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]^!$ uniquely: the elements u_1, \dots, u_m are primitive. Therefore, in the flag case Conjecture 3.5 is true for any \mathbf{k} .

The following important result was recently obtained by Stanton.

Theorem 3.11 [39, Corollary 1.5] *Let \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex or a skeleton of a flag complex. Then $\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite-type product of spaces of the form S^1 , S^3 , S^7 and ΩS^n for $n \geq 2$, $n \neq 2, 4, 8$. \square*

This gives a short proof of the fact that $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is free over \mathbf{k} .

Proposition 3.12 [23, Corollary 5.2] *If \mathcal{K} is a flag simplicial complex, then $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is a free \mathbf{k} -module of finite type.*

Proof By the Künneth formula (more precisely, by the collapse of the Künneth spectral sequence — see [37, Theorem 10.90]), $H_*(X \times Y; \mathbf{k}) \simeq H_*(X; \mathbf{k}) \otimes H_*(Y; \mathbf{k})$ if $H_*(X; \mathbf{k})$ and $H_*(Y; \mathbf{k})$ are free over \mathbf{k} . Hence $H_*(X \times Y; \mathbf{k})$ is also a free \mathbf{k} -module.

Clearly, $H_*(S^n; \mathbf{k})$ and $H_*(\Omega S^n; \mathbf{k}) \simeq T(a_{n-1})$ are free \mathbf{k} -modules. By Theorem 3.11 and the arguments above, the same holds for $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$. \square

Hence in the flag case we have a Hopf algebra extension

$$\mathbf{k} \rightarrow H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \Lambda[m] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

from Proposition 3.7 for any \mathbf{k} .

4 Main calculations

In what follows, \mathcal{K} is a flag simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$ with no ghost vertices, and \mathbf{k} is a commutative ring with unit. We consider $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded \mathbf{k} -algebras that are connected with respect to the total grading $A_n := \bigoplus_{n=-i+|\alpha|} A_{-i,\alpha}$.

4.1 Resolutions and formulas for differentials

By [43, Proposition 4.1], the left $H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$ -module \mathbf{k} has a free resolution $(H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle, d)$, $\deg \chi_\alpha := (|\alpha|, -|\alpha|, 2\alpha)$, $\deg(d) = (-1, 0, 0)$, with the differential

$$d(1 \otimes \chi_\alpha) := \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} u_i \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i}.$$

The isomorphism of left $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ -modules

$$\Phi: H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \otimes \Lambda[m] \rightarrow H_*(\Omega\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}), \quad a \otimes u_I \mapsto a \cdot \hat{u}_I,$$

from Proposition 3.7 allows us to consider this resolution as a free resolution $(H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \otimes \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle, \hat{d})$ of the left $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ -module \mathbf{k} . We apply the functor $\mathbf{k} \otimes_{H_*(\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})} (-)$ and obtain a chain complex

$(\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle, \bar{d})$ whose homology is isomorphic to $\text{Tor}^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$. The differentials \hat{d} and \bar{d} are determined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{(n)} & \xrightarrow{d} & H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{(n-1)} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow \Phi \otimes \text{id} \simeq & & \uparrow \Phi \otimes \text{id} \simeq & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \otimes \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\hat{d}} & H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \otimes \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{(n-1)} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} & \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{(n-1)} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Here $\mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_{(n)}$ is a \mathbf{k} -submodule in $\mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle$ with the basis $\{\chi_\alpha : |\alpha| = n\}$. With different signs, this construction was considered by the author in [43, Section 4]. Now we describe the differential \hat{d} explicitly. For subsets $A, B \subset [m]$ define the Koszul sign $\theta(A, B) := |\{(a, b) \in A \times B : a > b\}|$.

Proposition 4.1 *The differential \hat{d} is given by*

$$(7) \quad \hat{d}(1 \otimes u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha) = \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} (-1)^{|I|} \cdot 1 \otimes (u_I \wedge u_i) \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i} + \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{I = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |A|} c(A, u_i) \otimes u_B \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i}.$$

The differential \bar{d} is given by

$$(8) \quad \bar{d}(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha) = (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} (u_I \wedge u_i) \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i}.$$

Remark 4.2 We define $\max(\emptyset) := -\infty$, hence A cannot be empty.

Proof of the proposition Recall that $u_j^2 = 0 \in H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k})$. Therefore, by Proposition C.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{u}_I \cdot u_i &= 1 \cdot \begin{cases} (-1)^{|I| > i} \hat{u}_{I \sqcup i}, & i \notin I \\ 0, & i \in I \end{cases} + \sum_{\substack{I = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} c(A, u_i) \cdot \hat{u}_B \\
 &= \Phi \left(1 \otimes (u_I \wedge u_i) + \sum_{\substack{I = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} c(A, u_i) \otimes u_B \right) \in H_*(\Omega \text{DJ}(\mathcal{K}); \mathbf{k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

(Here $c(A, u_i) \in H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ by Corollary 3.10.) Define $\Phi_0 = \Phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Phi_0(\hat{d}(1 \otimes u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha)) \\
 &= d(\Phi_0(1 \otimes u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha)) = d(\hat{u}_I \otimes \chi_\alpha) = (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \hat{u}_I u_i \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i} \\
 &= (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \Phi_0 \left(1 \otimes (u_I \wedge u_i) \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i} + \sum_{\substack{I = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} c(A, u_i) \otimes u_B \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Φ_0^{-1} , we obtain precisely (7). After the homomorphism $\varepsilon \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}$ it turns into (8), since $\varepsilon(1) = 1$ and $\varepsilon(c(A, u_i)) = 0$ for $A \neq \emptyset$. □

4.2 Computation of Tor-modules

By [43, Theorem 1.2], for a flag \mathcal{K} we have a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded isomorphism of \mathbf{k} -modules

$$(9) \quad \text{Tor}^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \cong \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}_*(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}), \quad \text{Tor}_n^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_{-|J|, 2J} \cong \widetilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}).$$

The homology of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ admits a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -grading, and for any \mathcal{K} we have a similar additive isomorphism dual to [15, Theorem 4.5.8]:

$$H_*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \cong \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}_*(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}), \quad H_{n-|J|, 2J}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}).$$

Hence $\text{Tor}^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \cong H_*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ for flag \mathcal{K} . Moreover, both modules are computed as the homology of $(\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{K}), d)$.

Remark 4.3 In general, if X is simply connected and $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ is free over \mathbf{k} , there is *Milnor–Moore spectral sequence* $E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^{H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_q \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(X; \mathbf{k})$. We see that it collapses at E^2 for $X = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ if \mathcal{K} is a flag complex. For $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, the collapse is explained by the *coformality* of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$; see Corollary 6.7 and the discussion after.

Now we construct a chain map g that induces the isomorphism (9). For any chain complex (C_\bullet, d) of free \mathbf{k} -modules, we have the *dual complex*

$$(C^\bullet, d_{\text{dual}}), \quad C^n := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(C_n, \mathbf{k}), \quad d_{\text{dual}}(f) : c \mapsto f(d(c)).$$

Dualisation preserves isomorphisms and chain homotopies. For a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} , the augmented complex of simplicial chains $\widetilde{C}_*(\mathcal{K}; \mathbf{k})$ has the basis $\{[I] : I \in \mathcal{K}\}$, $\text{deg}[I] := |I| + 1$ and the differential

$$d([I]) := \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{|I| - i} [I \setminus \{i\}].$$

The dual complex is the augmented complex of simplicial cochains $(\widetilde{C}^*(\mathcal{K}; \mathbf{k}), d_{\text{dual}})$, which has the basis $\{[I]^* : I \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and the differential

$$d_{\text{dual}}([I]^*) = \sum_{\substack{i \notin I \\ I \sqcup i \in \mathcal{K}}} (-1)^{|I| - i} [I \sqcup \{i\}]^*.$$

Proposition 4.4 For every $J \subset [m]$, consider the map

$$g_J : \widetilde{C}_{*-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow (\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{K}))_{*, -|J|, 2J}, \quad [L] \mapsto \epsilon(L, J) \cdot u_{J \setminus L} \otimes \chi_L,$$

where $\epsilon(L, J) := (-1)^{\sum_{\ell \in L} |J \setminus \ell|}$. Then g_J are chain maps, and the direct sum

$$g : \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \widetilde{C}_*(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow (\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{K}), \bar{d})$$

induces an isomorphism on homology. Therefore,

$$H_{n, -|J|, 2J}(\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{K}), \bar{d}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}), \quad J \subset [m], n \geq 0,$$

all the other graded components of $H_*(\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{K}), \bar{d})$ being zero.

Since $\text{Tor}^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \cong H(\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k} \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle, \bar{d})$, this proposition implies (9). The proof is the dualisation of arguments from [15, Section 3.2].

Proof of Proposition 4.4 Consider the dga algebra $(\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}], d)$ with the differential that is defined on generators by $d(u_i) = v_i$, $d(v_i) = 0$ and with the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -grading

$$\deg u_i := (0, -1, 2e_i), \quad \deg v_i := (1, -1, 2e_i), \quad \deg d := (1, 0, 0).$$

This complex has the basis $\{u_I v^\alpha : I \subset [m], \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m, \text{supp}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and the differentials

$$d(u_I v^\alpha) = \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{|I_{<i}|} u_{I_{<i}} v_i u_{I_{>i}} v^\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{|I_{<i}|} u_{I \setminus i} v_i v^\alpha.$$

Then the dual complex $(\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}])^*$ has the basis $\{(u_I v^\alpha)^* : I \subset [m], \text{supp}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and the differential

$$d_{\text{dual}}((u_I v^\alpha)^*) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha) \\ i \notin I}} (-1)^{|I_{<i}|} (u_{I \sqcup i} v^{\alpha - e_i})^*.$$

This formula is similar to (8). We obtain an isomorphism of chain complexes

$$\psi : (\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k} \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle, \bar{d}) \rightarrow ((\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}])^*, d_{\text{dual}}), \quad u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha \mapsto (u_I v^\alpha)^*.$$

Consider the dga algebra $R^*(\mathcal{K}) := (\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]) / (u_i v_i = v_i^2 = 0, i = 1, \dots, m)$. It is well defined, since the ideal $(u_i v_i, v_i^2) \subset \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}]$ is d -invariant. The following facts are obtained in the proof of [15, Theorem 3.2.9].

Lemma 4.5 [15, Lemma 3.2.6] *The natural projection $\pi : \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}] \rightarrow R^*(\mathcal{K})$ is a chain homotopy equivalence.* □

Lemma 4.6 *We have well-defined chain maps $f_J : \tilde{C}^*(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow R^*(\mathcal{K})$,*

$$f_J : \tilde{C}^{n-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{n, -n, 2J}(\mathcal{K}), \quad [L]^* \mapsto \epsilon(L, J) \cdot u_{J \setminus L} v^L, \quad \epsilon(L, J) := (-1)^{\sum_{\ell \in L} |J_{<\ell}|}.$$

The direct sum $f : \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{C}^(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow R^*(\mathcal{K})$ is an isomorphism of chain complexes.* □

After dualisation, we obtain a chain homotopy equivalence π^* and an isomorphism f^* of chain complexes. It remains to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{C}^*(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) & \xrightarrow{g} & (\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k} \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle, \bar{d}) \\ f^* \uparrow \simeq & & \psi \uparrow \simeq \\ (R^*(\mathcal{K}))^* & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\pi^*} & ((\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathcal{K}])^*, d_{\text{dual}}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Indeed, $f^*((u_{J \setminus L} v^L)^*) = \epsilon(L, J) \cdot [L]$, hence

$$g(f^*((u_{J \setminus L} v^L)^*)) = \epsilon(L, J) \cdot \epsilon(L, J) u_{J \setminus L} \otimes \chi_L = \psi(\pi^*((u_{J \setminus L} v^L)^*)). \quad \square$$

Remark 4.7 In our notation, $\epsilon(L, J) = (-1)^n$, $n = \theta(J \setminus L, L) + |L|(|L| - 1)/2$ for $L \subset J$.

4.3 A chain map to the bar resolution

Theorem 4.8 *The identity map of the left $H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ -module \mathbf{k} can be extended to the map of free resolutions $\varphi_\bullet : (H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) \otimes \Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{K}), \hat{d}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_*(H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})), d_B)$, given by*

$$\varphi_n(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha) = (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=e_{i_1}+\dots+e_{i_n} \\ I=A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n \\ \max(A_t) > i_t, \forall t \in [n]}} (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq n} \theta(A_{t_1}, A_{t_2})} [c(A_1, u_{i_1}) | \dots | c(A_n, u_{i_n})].$$

Proof We apply Corollary 2.2 for $\bar{\varphi}_0(u_I) = \varepsilon(u_I)$. It is sufficient to show that

$$\varphi_{n+1}(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha) = s(\varphi_n(\hat{d}(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha)))$$

for $|\alpha| = n + 1, n \geq 0$. By (7) and by the $H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ -linearity of φ_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(\hat{d}(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha)) &= \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} (-1)^{|I|} \varphi_n((u_I \wedge u_i) \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{I=A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |A|} c(A, u_i) \varphi_n(u_B \otimes \chi_{\alpha - e_i}). \end{aligned}$$

The map s is trivial on summands of the first sum, since they belong to

$$\bar{\mathbf{B}}(H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})) \subset \text{Ker } s \subset \mathbf{B}(H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(\varphi_n(\hat{d}(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha))) &= 0 + \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{I=A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha - e_i = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_n} \\ B=A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n \\ \max(A_t) > i_t, \forall t \in [n]}} (-1)^\zeta s(c(A, u_i) [c(A_1, u_{i_1}) | \dots | c(A_n, u_{i_n})]) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{I=A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha - e_i = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_n} \\ B=A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n \\ \max(A_t) > i_t, \forall t \in [n]}} (-1)^\zeta [c(A, u_i) | c(A_1, u_{i_1}) | \dots | c(A_n, u_{i_n})], \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta = |B| + \theta(A, B) + |A| + \sum_{1 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq n} \theta(A_{t_1}, A_{t_2})$. Defining $i = i_0, A = A_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s(\varphi_n(\hat{d}(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha))) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha=e_{i_0}+\dots+e_{i_n} \\ I=A_0 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n \\ \max(A_t) > i_t, 0 \leq t \leq n}} (-1)^{\sum_{t=1}^n \theta(A_0, A_t) + |I| + \sum_{1 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq n} \theta(A_{t_1}, A_{t_2})} [c(A_0, u_{i_0}) | \dots | c(A_n, u_{i_n})]. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side equals $\varphi_{n+1}(u_I \otimes \chi_\alpha)$ up to a shift of indices. □

Theorem 4.9 *Let $J \subset [m]$. Let a class $\alpha \in \text{Tor}_n^{H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_{-|J|, 2J} \cong \tilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ be represented by a cycle*

$$\kappa = \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{K}_J \\ |I|=n}} \lambda_I \cdot [I] \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}).$$

Then the same class is represented by the cycle $\kappa' \in \bar{B}_n(H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}))_{-|J|, 2J}$ in the bar construction,

$$\kappa' := \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{K}_J \\ |I|=n}} \epsilon(I, J) \lambda_I \sum_{\substack{I = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \\ J \setminus I = J_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup J_n \\ \max(J_t) > i_t, \forall t \in [n]}} (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq n} \theta(J_{i_1}, J_{i_2})} [c(J_1, u_{i_1}) | \dots | c(J_n, u_{i_n})].$$

Proof The map $\tilde{H}_*(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_*^{H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ is induced by the composition

$$\bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{C}_*(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Lambda[m] \otimes \mathbf{k} \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle, \bar{d}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{B}(H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}})), d_{\bar{B}}),$$

of chain maps, where g is defined in Proposition 4.4 and $\bar{\varphi}$ is induced by the chain map φ from Theorem 4.8. We have $\kappa' = \bar{\varphi}(g(\kappa))$ by construction. □

The formulas become simpler for $n = 1, 2$.

Corollary 4.10 *Let $J \subset [m]$. Let a class*

$$\alpha \in \text{Tor}_2^{H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_{-|J|, 2J} \cong \tilde{H}_1(\mathcal{K}_J)$$

be represented by a cycle

$$\kappa = \sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} \lambda_{ij} [\{i, j\}] \in \tilde{C}_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}).$$

Then the same class is represented by the following cycle in the bar construction:

$$\kappa' = \sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} (-1)^{|J_{<i}| + |J_{<j}|} \lambda_{ij} \sum_{\substack{J \setminus \{i, j\} = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i \\ \max(B) > j}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B)} [c(A, u_i) | c(B, u_j)] + (-1)^{\theta(B, A)} [c(B, u_j) | c(A, u_i)]. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.11 *Let $J \subset [m]$, and let the simplicial complex \mathcal{K}_J have $t + 1$ path components. Let vertices $i_1, \dots, i_t, \max(J)$ be representatives of these components. Then a basis of the \mathbf{k} -module $\text{Tor}_1^{H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_{-|J|, 2J} \cong \tilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathbf{k}^t$ is represented by cycles*

$$[c(J \setminus i_s, u_{i_s})] \in \bar{B}_1(H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}))_{-|J|, 2J}, \quad s = 1, \dots, t.$$

Proof Define $j := \max(J)$. The cycles $\kappa_s = [\{j\}] - [\{i_s\}] \in \tilde{C}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$, $1 \leq s \leq t - 1$, represent a basis in $\tilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$. By Theorem 4.9, the basis in $\text{Tor}_1^{H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_{-|J|, 2J}$ is represented by cycles

$$\kappa'_s = 0 \pm [c(J \setminus i_s, u_{i_s})], \quad s = 1, \dots, t.$$

(The summand $[\{j\}]$ in κ_s does not contribute to κ'_s , since the subset $J_1 := J \setminus \{j\}$ does not satisfy the condition $\max(J_1) > j$.) □

5 Generators and relations in the flag case

5.1 Minimal sets of generators

Let M be a finitely generated k -module. Denote the smallest number of generators by $\text{gen}(M)$, and define $\tilde{b}_i(X; \mathbf{k}) := \text{gen}(\tilde{H}_i(X; \mathbf{k}))$. Since $\tilde{H}_0(X; \mathbf{k})$ is a free module, this number does not depend on k if k is a principal ideal domain, so we write $\tilde{b}_0(X; \mathbf{k}) = \tilde{b}_0(X)$. (In fact, $\tilde{b}_0(X) + 1$ is the number of path components in X).

Theorem 5.1 *Let \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex on a vertex set $[m]$ and k be a commutative ring with unit. For every $J \subset [m]$, choose a $\tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ -element subset $\Theta(J) \subset J \setminus \{\max(J)\}$ such that $\Theta(J) \sqcup \{\max(J)\}$ contains exactly one vertex from each path component of \mathcal{K}_J . Then $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is multiplicatively generated by the following set of $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ elements:*

$$\{c(J \setminus i, u_i) : i \in \Theta(J), J \subset [m]\}, \quad c(J \setminus i, u_i) \in H_{-|J|, 2J}(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}).$$

If k is a principal ideal domain, this set is minimal: any $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous presentation of $H_(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ contains at least $\tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ generators of degree $(-|J|, 2J)$; any \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous presentation contains at least $\sum_{|J|=n} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ generators of degree n .*

Proof By Corollary 4.11, images of cycles $\{[c(J \setminus i, u_i)] : J \subset [m], i \in \Theta(J)\} \subset \bar{B}_1(H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}))$ additively generate the k -module $\text{Tor}_1^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$. Hence, by Theorem A.6(i), the algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is multiplicatively generated by the elements in question. The lower bounds on the number of generators follow from (9) and from Theorem A.10(ii). \square

Definition 5.2 Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$, and let $J \subset [m]$. Choose $\Theta(J)$ as the set of the smallest vertices in corresponding path components. More precisely, define $\Theta(J)$ as the set of all vertices $i \in J$ such that

- (i) i and $\max(J)$ belong to different path components of the complex \mathcal{K}_J ;
- (ii) i is the smallest vertex (has the smallest number) in its path component.

The corresponding set of generators $\{c(J \setminus i, u_i) : i \in \Theta(J), J \subset [m]\}$ will be called the *GPTW generators*.

Grbić, Panov, Theriault and Wu proved [23, Theorem 4.3] that GPTW generators minimally generate the algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ if k is a field. The minimality was proved using topological methods. Our Theorem 5.1 gives a purely algebraic proof for any ring k .

5.2 Rewriting of nested commutators

Thus the GPTW generators are indeed multiplicative generators of the algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ for any ring k and any flag complex \mathcal{K} .

Definition 5.3 Let $i \in J \subset [m]$. Express the element $c(J \setminus i, u_i) \in H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ as a noncommutative polynomial in GPTW generators (this expression may be nonunique). Any such expression will be denoted by $\hat{c}(J \setminus i, u_i)$.

These noncommutative polynomials can be computed recursively, following the proof of [23, Theorem 4.3]. We describe an explicit rewriting process.

Algorithm 5.4 Suppose that expressions $\hat{c}(A \setminus t, u_t)$, $|A| < |J|$, are already computed, and we compute $\hat{c}(J \setminus i, u_i)$. Three cases are possible:

(i) **$i = \max(J)$** Define $j = \max(J \setminus i)$. Then

$$c(J \setminus i, u_i) = c(J \setminus ij, [u_j, u_i]) = c(J \setminus j, u_j).$$

The task is reduced to the case $i \neq \max(J)$.

(ii) **i and $\max(J)$ belong to the same path component of \mathcal{K}_J** The length of the shortest path from i to $\max(J)$ along the edges of \mathcal{K}_J will be called the *rank* of a vertex i . We proceed by induction on the rank. The case of rank zero is discussed above. If rank equals 1, we have $[u_{\max(J)}, u_i] = 0$, so

$$c(J \setminus i, u_i) = c(J \setminus \{i, \max(J)\}, [u_{\max(J)}, u_i]) = 0.$$

Suppose that rank is greater than one, and let $\{i, j\}$ be the first edge in (any) shortest path from i to $\max(J)$. Since $[u_i, u_j] = 0 \in H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$, the identity (16) expresses $c(J \setminus i, u_i)$ in terms of $c(J \setminus j, u_j)$ (this element has smaller rank) and commutators of smaller degree (expressions for which are already computed).

(iii) **i and $\max(J)$ are in different path components** Let i_0 be the smallest vertex of the component that contains i . The length of the shortest path from i to i_0 will be called the *rank* of a vertex i . If the rank is zero, then $i \in \Theta(J)$, so we can set $\hat{c}(J \setminus i, u_i) := c(J \setminus i, u_i)$. Otherwise we decrease the rank using (16), as in case (ii).

Remark 5.5 A similar argument works more generally: suppose that we have a set $\{x_{J,i} : i \in J \subset [m]\}$ such that, for any $\{i, j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J$, the linear combination $x_{J,i} \pm x_{J,j}$ is a noncommutative polynomial on elements of smaller degree. Then we can express each element $x_{A,t}$ through the ‘‘GPTW elements’’ $\{x_{J,i} : i \in \Theta(J), J \subset [m]\}$ by a similar rewriting process. In our case $x_{J,i} = c(J \setminus i, u_i)$, and the polynomial is given by the last summand in (16).

5.3 Minimal sets of relations

Theorem 5.6 Let \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex on a vertex set $[m]$, and let \mathbf{k} be a commutative ring. For each $J \subset [m]$, choose a collection of simplicial 1-cycles

$$\sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} \lambda_{ij}^{(\alpha)} [\{i, j\}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$$

that generate the \mathbf{k} -module $H_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$. Then the algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is presented by GPTW generators $\{c(J \setminus i, u_i) : i \in \Theta(J), J \subset [m]\}$ (see Definition 5.2) modulo the relations

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} (-1)^{|J_{<i}| + |J_{<j}|} \lambda_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{J \setminus \{i, j\} = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i \\ \max(B) > j}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |A|} [\widehat{c}(A, u_i), \widehat{c}(B, u_j)] = 0.$$

In particular, $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ admits a presentation by $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \widetilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ generators modulo $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \widetilde{b}_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ relations: one should take the 1-cycles that correspond to minimal sets of generators.

If \mathbf{k} is a principal ideal domain, this presentation is minimal: any $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous presentation contains at least $\widetilde{b}_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbf{k})$ relations of degree $(-|J|, 2J)$ for every $J \subset [m]$.

Proof By Corollary 4.10, our 1-cycles correspond to the elements

$$\sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} (-1)^{|J_{<i}| + |J_{<j}|} \lambda_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i \\ \max(B) > j}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B)} [c(A, u_i)|c(B, u_j)] + (-1)^{\theta(B, A)} [c(B, u_j)|c(A, u_i)]$$

in bar construction, and their images additively generate $\text{Tor}_2^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$. We apply Theorem A.6(ii) to this situation. (In the notation of this theorem, we take GPTW generators as a_1, \dots, a_N . Their images freely generate $\text{Tor}_1^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$, so we can take $R = 0$. We take $\widehat{c}(A, u_i)$ and $\widehat{c}(B, u_j)$ as polynomials $P_{j, \alpha}$ and $Q_{j, \alpha}$.) It follows that $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is generated by GPTW generators and presented by the relations

$$\sum_{\{i < j\} \in \mathcal{K}_J} (-1)^{|J_{<i}| + |J_{<j}|} \lambda_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i \\ \max(B) > j}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B)} \overline{\widehat{c}(A, u_i)} \widehat{c}(B, u_j) + (-1)^{\theta(B, A)} \overline{\widehat{c}(B, u_j)} \widehat{c}(A, u_i) = 0.$$

Define $x = \widehat{c}(A, u_i)$, $y = \widehat{c}(B, u_j)$. Since $\theta(A, B) + \theta(B, A) \equiv |A| \cdot |B|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\theta(A, B)} \overline{x} y + (-1)^{\theta(B, A)} \overline{y} x &= (-1)^{\theta(A, B)} ((-1)^{|A|} x y + (-1)^{|B| + |A| \cdot |B|} y x) \\ &= (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |A|} (x y - (-1)^{(|A|+1)(|B|+1)} y x) = (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |A|} [x, y]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the obtained relations coincide with (10). Finally, the lower bound on the number of relations follows from (9) and Theorem A.10(ii). □

Sometimes we can reduce the number of relations if the presentation is not required to be $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous. For example, suppose that for some $I, J \subset [m]$ we have $|I| = |J| = n$, $H_1(\mathcal{K}_I; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $H_1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/3$. Then the graded components of the module $\text{Tor}_2^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ having multidegrees $(-n, 2I)$ and $(-n, 2J)$ are equal to $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\mathbb{Z}/3$. By Theorem A.10, every $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous presentation of $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Z})$ should contain relations of these multidegrees. On the other hand, these $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded components contribute $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6$ to the \mathbb{Z} -graded component of degree n . Hence we can take just one \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous relation (for example, the sum of these $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -homogeneous relations). Let us give a general result.

Theorem 5.7 Let \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex and k be a principal ideal domain. Consider all homogeneous presentations of the \mathbb{Z} -graded k -algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)$.

- (i) There is a presentation that consists of, for each $n \geq 0$, exactly $\sum_{|J|=n} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ generators and exactly $\text{gen}(\bigoplus_{|J|=n} H_1(\mathcal{K}_J; k))$ relations of degree n . One can take GPTW generators as generators, and take linear combinations of identities from Theorem 5.6, corresponding to minimal generators of the k -module $\bigoplus_{|J|=n} H_1(\mathcal{K}_J; k)$, as relations.
- (ii) For every $n \geq 0$, any presentation contains at least $\sum_{|J|=n} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ generators and at least $\text{gen}(\bigoplus_{|J|=n} H_1(\mathcal{K}_J; k))$ relations of degree n .

Proof By Theorem A.10, $\text{gen Tor}_1^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)}(k, k)_n$ and $\text{gen Tor}_2^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)}(k, k)_n + \text{rel Tor}_1^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)}(k, k)_n$ are precise bounds on the number of generators and relations, respectively, of degree n . By (9), we have $\text{Tor}_1^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)}(k, k)_n = \bigoplus_{|J|=n} \tilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K}_J; k) \simeq k^{\oplus \sum_{|J|=n} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)}$, $\text{Tor}_2^{H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)}(k, k) = \bigoplus_{|J|=n} H_1(\mathcal{K}_J; k)$;

hence $\text{gen Tor}_1 = \sum_{|J|=n} \tilde{b}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ and $\text{rel Tor}_1 = 0$. One can take the GPTW generators since the images of corresponding cycles generate Tor_1 by Corollary 4.11. □

5.4 Example: moment-angle complexes for m -cycles

Let \mathcal{K} be the boundary of an m -gon. The corresponding moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is homeomorphic to a connected sum of sphere products, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \cong \#_{k=3}^{m-1} (S^k \times S^{m+2-k})^{\#(k-2) \binom{m-2}{k-1}}$, and hence $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; k)$ is a one-relator algebra. It was considered in [24; 42]. From the point of view of Theorem 5.6, the relation corresponds to the 1-cycle

$$\kappa = [\{1, m\}] - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [\{i, i+1\}] \in \tilde{C}_1(\mathcal{K}; k)$$

and has the form

$$\sum_{\substack{\{2, \dots, m-1\} = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > 1 \\ \max(B) > m}} (\dots) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{(i-1)+i} \sum_{\substack{[m] \setminus \{i, i+1\} = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > i \\ \max(B) > i+1}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |A|} [\hat{c}(A, u_i), \hat{c}(B, u_{i+1})] = 0.$$

The first sum is empty, since $\max(B) \leq m - 1$. Similarly, in the second sum the inner sum is empty for $i = m - 1, m - 2$. The simplified relation is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-3} \sum_{\substack{[m] \setminus \{i, i+1\} = A \sqcup B \\ \max(A), \max(B) \geq i+2}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |A|} [\hat{c}(A, u_i), \hat{c}(B, u_{i+1})] = 0.$$

Some summands are immediately zero. For example, if $\max(B) = i + 2$, then

$$c(B, u_{i+1}) = c(B \setminus \{i+2\}, [u_{i+2}, u_{i+1}]) = 0,$$

so we can take $\widehat{c}(B, u_{i+1}) = 0$. Similarly, $c(A, u_1) = 0$ if $i = 1$ and $m \in A$. Other summands can be computed using Algorithm 5.4. We were not able to obtain a closed formula for this relation (as a polynomial of GPTW generators or other minimal generators). However, we at least have an effective algorithm that computes the relation for any given m .

Consider the case $m = 5$. Besides from the partitions $[5] \setminus \{i, i + 1\} = A \sqcup B$ considered above, for $i = 1$ the allowed partitions are $\{3, 4, 5\} = \{3\} \sqcup \{4, 5\} = \{4\} \sqcup \{3, 5\} = \{3, 4\} \sqcup \{5\}$; for $i = 2$ the allowed partitions are $\{1, 4, 5\} = \{4\} \sqcup \{1, 5\} = \{1, 4\} \sqcup \{5\}$. The resulting relation has five summands:

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{\theta(3,45)+1}[\widehat{c}(3, u_1), \widehat{c}(45, 2)] + (-1)^{\theta(4,35)+1}[\widehat{c}(4, u_1), \widehat{c}(35, 2)] + (-1)^{\theta(34,5)+2}[\widehat{c}(34, u_1), \widehat{c}(5, u_2)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\theta(4,15)+1}[\widehat{c}(4, u_2), \widehat{c}(15, u_3)] + (-1)^{\theta(14,5)+2}[\widehat{c}(14, u_2), \widehat{c}(5, u_3)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

All commutators, apart from $\widehat{c}(14, u_2) = [u_1, [u_4, u_2]] = -[u_2, [u_4, u_1]] = -c(24, u_1)$, already are GPTW generators. We obtain the following identity between the generators:

$$\begin{aligned} &-[[u_3, u_1], [u_4, [u_5, u_2]]] + [[u_4, u_1], [u_3, [u_5, u_2]]] - [[u_5, u_2], [u_3, [u_4, u_1]]] \\ &\quad + [[u_4, u_2], [u_1, [u_5, u_3]]] + [[u_5, u_3], [u_2, [u_4, u_1]]] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This relation was first obtained by Veryovkin as a result of brute force [42, Theorem 3.2]. For $m = 6$, the analogous relation is initially the sum of $7 + 10 + 4 = 21$ commutators. After computing the elements $\widehat{c}(J \setminus i, u_i)$ and changing the set of generators, it can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{17} [a_i, b_i] = 0$ (see [42, Theorem 4.1]). This agrees with the homeomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \cong (S^3 \times S^5)^{\#9} \# (S^4 \times S^4)^{\#8}$.

6 Homotopical properties in the flag case

6.1 Homotopy groups

As in [39], we denote by \mathcal{P} the class of H-spaces which are homotopy equivalent to finite-type products of spheres and loops on simply connected spheres, and by \mathcal{W} the class of topological spaces which are homotopy equivalent to finite-type wedges of simply connected spheres. The author thanks Lewis Stanton for providing a proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 *Let A_1, \dots, A_m be connected topological spaces, \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$, and suppose that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite-type product of loops on simply connected spheres.*

Proof By [40, Corollary 9.8], $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\mathcal{K}} \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega \Sigma Y_i$ for some spaces Y_i . Since the class \mathcal{P} is closed under retracts [39, Theorem 3.10], $\Omega \Sigma Y_i \in \mathcal{P}$. By repeated use of the homotopy equivalence $\Sigma(X \times Y) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma(X \wedge Y)$ and the James splitting $\Sigma \Omega \Sigma X \simeq \bigvee_{n \geq 1} \Sigma X^{\wedge n}$ [27], we have $\Sigma Z \in \mathcal{W}$ for $Z \in \mathcal{P}$. In particular, $\Omega \Sigma \Sigma Y_i \in \mathcal{W}$. On the other hand, ΣY_i is a retract of $\Sigma \Omega \Sigma Y_i$ by the James splitting. The class \mathcal{W} is closed under retracts (see, for example, [1, Lemma 3.1]), so $\Sigma Y_i \in \mathcal{W}$. Now $\Omega \Sigma Y_i$ is homotopy equivalent to a product of loops on spheres by the Hilton–Milnor theorem. It follows that the same holds for $\prod_{i=1}^m \Omega \Sigma Y_i$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Since \mathcal{K} is flag, we have $\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{P}$ by Theorem 3.11. Hence $\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} = \Omega(CS^1, S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ is a product of loops on spheres by Lemma 6.1. It follows that for some $D_n \geq 0$ we have a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \simeq \prod_{n \geq 2} (\Omega S^n)^{\times D_n}.$$

The numbers D_n are finite, since $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} H_i(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}) < \infty$ for all i . (Here \mathbf{k} is any field.) Also $D_2 = 0$, since $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is 2-connected [15, Proposition 4.3.5]. In order to compute D_n , we calculate $\dim H_i(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ twice. Recall that the *Poincaré series* $F(V; t)$ of a graded \mathbf{k} -vector space V are the formal power series

$$F(V; t) := \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(V_i) \cdot t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]].$$

We have

$$F(V \oplus W) = F(V; t) + F(W; t) \quad \text{and} \quad F(V \otimes W; t) = F(V; t) \cdot F(W; t).$$

From $F(H_*(\Omega S^k; \mathbf{k}); t) = (1 - t^{k-1})^{-1}$ and the Künneth formula we have

$$F(H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}); t) = \prod_{n \geq 3} (1 - t^{n-1})^{-D_n}.$$

On the other hand, it is known (see [15, Proposition 8.5.4] and [43, Theorem 4.8]) that

$$F(H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k}); t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^{m-d} \cdot h_{\mathcal{K}}(-t)} = - \frac{1}{\sum_{J \subset [m]} \bar{\chi}(\mathcal{K}_J) t^{|J|}}$$

for a flag complex \mathcal{K} . We obtain the required identity (3). \square

Remark 6.2 In the proof above, the algebra $H_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbf{k})$ is actually $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded. We expect that factors of the product (2) can be considered as “ $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -graded spheres”, and thus $\pi_*(\Omega \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}})$ admits a functorial $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ -grading as conjectured in [43, Remark 4.10].

Problem 6.3 Describe the Whitehead bracket in $\pi_*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}})$ in terms of the decomposition (4).

6.2 Rational coformality of moment-angle complexes

Let X be a simply connected space and ΩX be the space of Moore loops. Since ΩX is a strictly associative topological monoid, the chain complex $C_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ is a dga algebra with respect to the Pontryagin product for any \mathbf{k} . Also, the cochain complex $C^*(X; \mathbf{k})$ is a dga algebra with respect to the Kolmogorov–Alexander product (cup product).

Definition 6.4 A topological space X is *formal* over a ring \mathbf{k} if the dga algebras $H^*(X; \mathbf{k})$ (with zero differential) and $C^*(X; \mathbf{k})$ are quasi-isomorphic (are connected by a zigzag of dga maps which induce isomorphisms on homology).

Definition 6.5 A simply connected space X is *coformal* over a ring \mathbf{k} if the dga algebras $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ (with zero differential) and $C_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ are quasi-isomorphic.

The notions of formality and coformality (over a field of characteristic zero) arose in rational homotopy theory, and were initially formulated in terms of Sullivan and Quillen models. The rational homotopy type of a formal (coformal) space is fully determined by the algebra $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ (by the algebra $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q})$). As proved by Saleh [38, Corollary 1.2, 1.4], our definitions are equivalent to the classical ones.

Notbohm and Ray proved [34, Theorem 4.8] that all Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces $DJ(\mathcal{K})$ are formal over \mathbb{Z} . (In fact, the proof works over any ring \mathbf{k} ; see also [20, Theorem 1.3] for a stronger formality result). Also, $DJ(\mathcal{K})$ is coformal over \mathbb{Q} if and only if \mathcal{K} is flag [15, Theorem 8.5.6]. First examples of nonformal moment-angle complexes were constructed by Baskakov [6] using Massey products. See [14, Introduction] for a survey of further developments in this area.

The following result of Huang can be used to prove coformality over \mathbb{Q} .

Proposition 6.6 [26, Proposition 5.1] *Let $F \xrightarrow{i} E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration of nilpotent spaces of finite type, such that*

- *the map $i_*: \pi_*(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_*(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is injective;*
- *E is coformal over \mathbb{Q} .*

Then F is coformal over \mathbb{Q} . □

Corollary 6.7 *Let \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex with no ghost vertices. Then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is coformal over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof We apply Proposition 6.6 to the fibration $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow DJ(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{T}^m$. By Proposition 3.1 and exact sequence of homotopy groups, $\pi_*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) \rightarrow \pi_*(DJ(\mathcal{K}))$ is injective. The second condition holds by [15, Theorem 8.5.6]. □

It is natural to hope that Huang’s theorem admits the following generalisation.

Conjecture 6.8 *Let $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a fibration of simply connected spaces of finite type, such that*

- *Ωp has a homotopy section;*
- *E is coformal over \mathbf{k} .*

Then F is coformal over \mathbf{k} .

Let X be a simply connected space such that $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ is a free \mathbf{k} -module. The tensor filtration on the bar construction $\overline{B}(C_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k}))$ gives rise to the *Milnor–Moore spectral sequence*

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^{H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_q \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^{C_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \cong H_{p+q}(X; \mathbf{k}).$$

(The last isomorphism is due to Eilenberg and Moore; see [18, Theorem IV]).

The differential Tor is preserved by quasi-isomorphisms. Hence the spectral sequence collapses at E^2 if X is coformal over \mathbf{k} . On the other hand, it collapses for $X = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ in the flag case; see (9). This suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.9 *Let \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex. Then the spaces $\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ are coformal over any commutative ring with unit.*

6.3 A necessary condition for the rational formality in the flag case

The space X is Koszul if it is both formal and coformal over \mathbb{Q} . Hence $\text{DJ}(\mathcal{K})$ is Koszul if and only if \mathcal{K} is flag. Koszul spaces were introduced by Berglund [10].

Definition 6.10 Let k be a field, $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^n$ be a graded k -algebra that admits an additional “weight” grading $A^n = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} A^{n,(j)}$. The algebra A is Koszul with respect to the weight grading if $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, k)^{n,(j)} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

For every Koszul algebra, there is a quadratic dual Koszul algebra $A^!$; see [22]. More explicitly, we set

$$A^! := \text{Ext}_A(k, k), \quad (A^!)^{n,(i)} = \text{Ext}_A^i(k, k)^{-i-n,(i)}.$$

Then it is known that $(A^!)^! \cong A$ as bigraded algebras.

Remark 6.11 In the classical theory of Koszul algebras [22; 36] the \mathbb{Z} -grading $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^n$ is absent, and only the weight grading $(A^!)^{(i)} = \text{Ext}_A^i(k, k)^{(i)}$ is considered. Classical results are readily generalised to the graded case.

The following result is due to Berglund. We replace the Koszul Lie algebras with their universal enveloping algebras. Berglund considers a stronger version of the Koszul duality, the duality between Lie algebras and commutative algebras.

Theorem 6.12 [10, Theorems 2 and 3] *Let X be a simply connected space of finite type such that X is coformal over \mathbb{Q} . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X is formal over \mathbb{Q} .
- (b) The graded algebra $A = H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q})$ admits a weight grading $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^{(i)}$ such that A is Koszul with respect to it.

Moreover, if these conditions are met, then the \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras $A^!$ and $H^{-*}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ are isomorphic: $H^n(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (A^!)^{-n,(i)}$. □

Theorem 6.13 *Let \mathcal{K} be a flag simplicial complex on $[m]$ with no ghost vertices, such that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is rationally formal. Then $\Gamma = H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Q})$ is a Koszul algebra with respect to the grading*

$$\Gamma^{(i)} := \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} H^{i-|J|, 2^J}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}^{i-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Q}).$$

In particular, Γ is generated by elements in $\widetilde{H}^0(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Q})$ modulo the relations in $\widetilde{H}^1(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof By Theorem 6.12, the algebra $A = H_*(\Omega Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Q})$ is Koszul with respect to a weight grading $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^{(i)}$. From [43, Theorem 1.2] we have $\text{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})_j = \bigoplus_{|J|=j} \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Q})$. Therefore, $\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})^j = \bigoplus_{|J|=j} \tilde{H}^{i-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Q})$. The algebra A is Koszul, hence

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})^j = \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})^{j,(i)} = (A^1)^{-i-j,(i)}.$$

Since $(A^1)^* \cong \Gamma^{-*}$ as graded algebras, we obtain a weight grading

$$\Gamma^{i+j,(i)} = \bigoplus_{|J|=j} \tilde{H}^{i-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Q}), \quad \Gamma^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \tilde{H}^{i-1}(\mathcal{K}_J; \mathbb{Q})$$

such that Γ is Koszul with respect to it. Finally, any Koszul algebra is generated by elements of weight 1 modulo relations of weight 2. □

Conjecture 6.14 *If \mathcal{K} is a flag complex and $H^*(Z_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Q})$ is Koszul with respect to the grading from Theorem 6.13, then $Z_{\mathcal{K}}$ is formal over \mathbb{Q} .*

Appendix A Presentations of connected graded algebras

In this section we prove Theorems A.1 and A.10 that generalise some results of Wall [44, Section 7]. We also prove Theorem A.6, which seems to be new. We use the notation from Section 2; some of which is recalled below.

A.1 Conventions

The ring k is assumed to be an arbitrary commutative associative ring with unit. All tensor products are over k .

We consider G -graded k -algebras, where G is a commutative monoid supplied with a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. It induces a \mathbb{Z} -grading. Such an algebra A is *connected* if it is connected with respect to the \mathbb{Z} -grading, ie $A_{<0} = 0$ and $A_0 = k \cdot 1$. Then the standard augmentation $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow A_0 \cong k$ makes k a left A -module and a right A -module.

Every complex of G -graded modules is considered as a $\mathbb{Z} \times G$ -graded module with a differential of degree $(-1, 0)$. Hence, A -linear differentials satisfy the following version of Leibniz's rule:

$$d(a \cdot x) = (-1)^{\text{deg}(a)} a \cdot d(x) = -\bar{a} \cdot d(x),$$

where $\bar{a} := (-1)^{1+\text{deg}(a)} a$.

A *presentation* of a connected k -algebra A is an isomorphism of the form $A \simeq T(x_1, \dots, x_N)/(r_1, \dots, r_M)$, sometimes written as

$$A \simeq T(x_1, \dots, x_N)/(r_1 = \dots = r_M = 0),$$

where $T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is a tensor algebra and $(r_1, \dots, r_M) \subset T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is the two-sided ideal generated by the set $\{r_1, \dots, r_M\}$. It is assumed that generators and relations are homogeneous and have positive degree, hence belong to $\text{Ker } \varepsilon$. Note that A is not required to be a free k -module, and M, N can be infinite of any cardinality.

A.2 Exact sequence of a presentation

Let $T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be a tensor algebra generated by homogeneous elements of positive degrees. Every element $w \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is uniquely represented as a sum

$$w = \varepsilon(w) + \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \cdot x_i, \quad w_i \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

In the next proposition we use this representation implicitly. For example, we assume that

$$r_j = \varepsilon(r_j) + \sum_{i=1}^N r_{ji} \cdot x_i.$$

Since $r_j \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$, the first summand is zero.

Proposition A.1 *Let $A = T(x_1, \dots, x_N)/(r_1, \dots, r_M)$ be a presentation of a connected k -algebra,*

$$\pi: T(x_1, \dots, x_N) \twoheadrightarrow A$$

be the projection. Then the following sequence of graded free left A -modules is exact:

$$A \cdot \{R_1, \dots, R_M\} \xrightarrow{d_2} A \cdot \{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \xrightarrow{d_1} A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} k \rightarrow 0,$$

$$d_2(R_j) := - \sum_{i=1}^N \pi(\overline{r_{ji}}) \cdot X_i, \quad d_1(X_i) := x_i.$$

Proof We first prove that the sequence is a chain complex. Indeed, $\varepsilon(d_1(X_i)) = \varepsilon(x_i) = 0$ and

$$d_1(d_2(R_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \pi(r_{ji}) \cdot d_1(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N \pi(r_{ji})x_i = \pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^N r_{ji}x_i\right) = \pi(r_j) = 0 \in A$$

($r_j \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$, hence $r_j = \sum_i r_{ji}x_i$). We check the exactness in the term A . Let $y \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon \subset A$. We have $y = \pi(w)$ for some element $w \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ of positive degree, hence

$$y = \pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \pi(w_i)x_i = d_1\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N \pi(\overline{w_i}) \cdot X_i\right) \in \text{Im } d_1.$$

We check the exactness in the term $A \cdot \{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \cdot X_i \in \text{Ker } d_1$, so $\sum_{i=1}^N \overline{a_i} x_i = 0$. We have $a_i = \pi(v_i)$ for some $v_i \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$. Then the element $w := \sum_{i=1}^N \overline{v_i} x_i \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ belongs to $\text{Ker } \pi$. This kernel is a two-sided ideal generated by r_j . Hence $w = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} u_{j,\alpha} r_j w_{j,\alpha}$ for some $u_{j,\alpha}, w_{j,\alpha} \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$. We can rewrite it as

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} u_{j,\alpha} r_j \varepsilon(w_{j,\alpha}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N u_{j,\alpha} r_j w_{j,\alpha,i} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} (\varepsilon(w_{j,\alpha}) u_{j,\alpha} r_{ji} + u_{j,\alpha} r_j w_{j,\alpha,i}) x_i.$$

On the other hand, $w = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{v}_i x_i$. Such a representation is unique, so we have

$$\bar{v}_i = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon(w_{j,\alpha}) u_{j,\alpha} r_{ji} + u_{j,\alpha} r_j w_{j,\alpha,i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Applying π to both parts of this identity, we obtain $\bar{a}_i = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon(w_{j,\alpha}) \pi(u_{j,\alpha}) \pi(r_{ji})$, since $\pi(v_i) = a_i$ and $\pi(r_j) = 0$. Finally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \cdot X_i = - \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon(w_{j,\alpha}) \pi(\bar{u}_{j,\alpha}) \pi(\bar{r}_{ji}) \cdot X_i = d_2 \left(- \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon(w_{j,\alpha}) \pi(u_{j,\alpha}) \cdot R_j \right) \in \text{Im } d_2. \quad \square$$

Remark A.2 Proposition A.1 holds for presentations of *augmented* algebras such that $\varepsilon(x_i) = \varepsilon(r_j) = 0$. The corresponding exact sequence is called the ‘‘Koszul resolution’’ in [3, Section 2].

Corollary A.3 Let $A = T(x_1, \dots, x_N)/(r_1, \dots, r_M)$ be a presentation of a connected graded k -algebra, which is a free k -module. Then the k -module $\text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)$ is additively generated by images of cycles $[x_1], \dots, [x_N] \in \bar{B}_1(A)$.

Proof We extend the exact sequence from Proposition A.1 to a free resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow A \cdot \{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \xrightarrow{d_1} A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} k \rightarrow 0, \quad d_1(X_i) = x_i,$$

of the left A -module k . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & A \cdot \{X_1, \dots, X_N\} & \xrightarrow{d_1} & A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & k \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow X_i \mapsto [x_i] & & \downarrow a \mapsto a[\cdot] & & \parallel \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & B_1(A) & \xrightarrow{d_{B,1}} & B_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & k \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

It is commutative, since $d_1(a \otimes X_i) = -\bar{a}x_i$ and $d_{B,1}(a[x_i]) = -\bar{a}x_i[\cdot]$. Hence it can be extended to a map of resolutions (eg using Lemma 2.1). Apply the functor $k \otimes_A (-)$. We obtain a map of chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & k \cdot \{X_1, \dots, X_N\} & \xrightarrow{0} & k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow X_i \mapsto [x_i] & & \downarrow a \mapsto a[\cdot] & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \bar{B}_1(A) & \xrightarrow{d_{\bar{B},1}} & \bar{B}_0(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The homology of both complexes equals $\text{Tor}^A(k, k)$, and the induced map in homology is an isomorphism. The elements X_i in the first complex are cycles, and their images generate $\text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)$. \square

Corollary A.4 Let $A = T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be the tensor algebra over a ring k , where x_1, \dots, x_N are homogeneous elements of positive degrees. Then $\text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)$ is a free k -module with the basis represented by cycles $[x_1], \dots, [x_N] \in \bar{B}_1(A)$. Moreover, $\text{Tor}_i^A(k, k) = 0$ for $i > 1$.

Proof By Proposition A.1, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \cdot \{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \xrightarrow{d_1} A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0, \quad d_1(X_i) = x_i,$$

is exact. As in the proof of Corollary A.3, we obtain a map of chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k} \cdot \{X_1, \dots, X_N\} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbf{k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow X_i \mapsto [x_i] & & \downarrow a \mapsto a[\cdot] & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathbf{B}}_1(A) & \xrightarrow{d_{\bar{\mathbf{B}},1}} & \bar{\mathbf{B}}_0(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Homology of both complexes is equal to $\text{Tor}^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$, and the induced map in homology is the identity. \square

A.3 A presentation that corresponds to cycles

Recall that $\text{Tor}^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \cong H(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(A))$ if A is a free \mathbf{k} -module. The following lemma is proved by Lemaire [29, Corollaire 1.2.3] in the case of field coefficients.

Lemma A.5 *Let $f : A \rightarrow C$ be a morphism of connected \mathbf{k} -algebras, where \mathbf{k} is a commutative ring with unit.*

- (i) *Suppose that the map $f_{*,1} : H_1(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(A)) \rightarrow H_1(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(C))$ is surjective. Then $f : A \rightarrow C$ is surjective.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $f_{*,1} : H_1(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(A)) \rightarrow H_1(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(C))$ is bijective, and $f_{*,2} : H_2(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(A)) \rightarrow H_2(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(C))$ is surjective. Then $f : A \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism.*

We prove by induction that the maps $f_n : A_n \rightarrow C_n$ are surjective (bijective). The base case is the bijection $A_0 \cong \mathbf{k} \cong C_0$. Recall that the bar construction $\bar{\mathbf{B}}(A)$ is the chain complex

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{B}}_3(A) \xrightarrow{d_3} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_2(A) \xrightarrow{d_2} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_1(A) \xrightarrow{0} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0, \\ \bar{\mathbf{B}}_k(A) = I(A)^{\otimes k}, \quad d_2(x \otimes y) = \bar{x}y, \quad d_3(x \otimes y \otimes z) = \bar{x}y \otimes z + \bar{x} \otimes \bar{y}z. \end{aligned}$$

We define $f_{\#} : \bar{\mathbf{B}}(A) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{B}}(C)$.

Proof of statement (i) Suppose that $f : A_i \rightarrow C_i$ is surjective for $i < n$. Consider the following map of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_2(A)_n & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \bar{\mathbf{B}}_1(A)_n \cong A_n & \longrightarrow & H_1(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(A))_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow f_{\#,2} & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_{*,1} & & \parallel \\ \bar{\mathbf{B}}_2(C)_n & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \bar{\mathbf{B}}_1(C)_n \cong C_n & \longrightarrow & H_1(\bar{\mathbf{B}}(C))_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The map $f_{\#,2}$ is surjective, since it is a direct sum of maps $f \otimes f : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow C_i \otimes C_j$ for $i, j < n$, and f is surjective in these degrees by the inductive hypothesis. The surjectivity $f_{*,1}$ is given, and $0 \rightarrow 0$ is injective. Hence $f : A_n \rightarrow C_n$ is surjective by the ‘‘first half of five lemma’’ [37, Proposition 2.72(i)]. \square

Proof of statement (ii) Suppose that $f : A_i \rightarrow C_i$ is bijective for $i < n$. Consider the following map of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{B}_3(A)_n & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \text{Ker } d_2 & \longrightarrow & H_2(\bar{B}(A))_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow f_{\#,3} & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow f_{*,2} & & \parallel \\ \bar{B}_3(C)_n & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \text{Ker } d_2 & \longrightarrow & H_2(\bar{B}(C))_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The map $f_{\#,3}$ is surjective, since it is a direct sum of maps $f \otimes f \otimes f : A_i \otimes A_j \otimes A_k \rightarrow C_i \otimes C_j \otimes C_k$ for $i, j, k < n$, and f is surjective in these degrees. The surjectivity of $f_{*,2}$ is given, and $0 \rightarrow 0$ is injective. Hence φ is surjective by the “first half of five lemma”. Now consider the following map of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Ker } d_{\bar{B},2} & \longrightarrow & \bar{B}_2(A)_n & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \bar{B}_1(A)_n \cong A_n & \longrightarrow & H_1(\bar{B}(A))_n \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \cong \downarrow f_{\#,2} & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_{*,1} \\ \text{Ker } d_{\bar{B},2} & \longrightarrow & \bar{B}_2(C)_n & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \bar{B}_1(C)_n \cong C_n & \longrightarrow & H_1(\bar{B}(C))_n \end{array}$$

We proved that φ is surjective. The map $f_{\#,2}$ is bijective by the inductive hypothesis (it is a direct sum of maps $f \otimes f : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow C_i \otimes C_j$, $i, j < n$); in particular, it is injective. The injectivity of $f_{*,1}$ is given. Hence the map $f : A_n \rightarrow C_n$ is injective by the “second half of five lemma” [37, Proposition 2.72(ii)]. By (i), this map is also surjective. □

The following theorem allows one to obtain a presentation of a connected k -algebra A , knowing the structure of k -modules $H_1(\bar{B}(A))$ and $H_2(\bar{B}(A))$. In the proof, we do not use the notation $[x|y|z]$ for elements of the bar construction, and write $x \otimes y \otimes z$ instead. Therefore, $[c]$ always denotes the class in $H(\bar{B}(\Gamma))$ represented by a cycle $c \in \bar{B}(\Gamma)$.

We also use the following notation. Let $a_1, \dots, a_N \in A$ be some homogeneous elements of positive degree and $K, L \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be homogeneous noncommutative polynomials that belong to the augmentation ideal. Then the elements $K(a_1, \dots, a_N), L(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in I(A)$ are defined, and hence we can consider the elements $K(a_1, \dots, a_N) \otimes L(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in I(A) \otimes I(A) = \bar{B}_2(A)$ and

$$d_{\bar{B},2}(K(a_1, \dots, a_N) \otimes L(a_1, \dots, a_N)) = \bar{K}(a_1, \dots, a_N) \cdot L(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \bar{B}_1(A) = I(A).$$

Theorem A.6 *Let A be a connected algebra over a commutative ring k with unit.*

- (i) *Suppose that, for homogeneous elements $a_1, \dots, a_N \in A_{>0}$, the k -module $H_1(\bar{B}(A))$ is additively generated by the classes $[a_1], \dots, [a_N] \in H_1(\bar{B}(A))$. Then A is multiplicatively generated by a_1, \dots, a_N .*
- (ii) *Suppose that the k -module $H_1(\bar{B}(A))$ is additively generated by N elements $[a_1], \dots, [a_N]$ modulo R relations*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{ri} [a_i] = 0 \in H_1(\bar{B}(A)), \quad r = 1, \dots, R, \lambda_{ri} \in k.$$

Suppose that homogeneous polynomials $P_{j,\alpha}, Q_{j,\alpha}, K_{r,\beta}, L_{r,\beta} \in T(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ are such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{ri} \cdot a_i = d_{\bar{B},2} \left(\sum_{\beta} K_{r,\beta}(a_1, \dots, a_N) \otimes L_{r,\beta}(a_1, \dots, a_N) \right) \in I(A), \quad r = 1, \dots, R,$$

and the cycles in bar construction

$$\sum_{\alpha} P_{j,\alpha}(a_j, \dots, a_N) \otimes Q_{j,\alpha}(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in I(A) \otimes I(A), \quad j = 1, \dots, M,$$

generate the k -module $H_2(\bar{B}(A))$. Then the algebra A has a presentation

$$A \cong T(x_1, \dots, x_N) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{ri} x_i = \sum_{\beta} \bar{K}_{r,\beta} \cdot L_{r,\beta}, r = 1, \dots, R; \sum_{\alpha} \bar{P}_{j,\alpha} \cdot Q_{j,\alpha} = 0, j = 1, \dots, M \right).$$

(Here N, M, R can be infinite of any cardinality.)

Proof of statement (i) Consider the morphism $f: T(x_1, \dots, x_N) \rightarrow A$, $x_i \mapsto a_i$, of connected algebras. The classes $[a_1], \dots, [a_N]$ generate $H_1(\bar{B}(A))$ and are images of classes $[x_1], \dots, [x_N]$ with respect to the map $f_{*,1}: H_1(\bar{B}(T(x_1, \dots, x_N))) \rightarrow H_1(\bar{B}(A))$. Hence $f_{*,1}$ is surjective. By Lemma A.5(i), f is surjective. \square

Proof of statement (ii) Consider the algebra

$$C := T(x_1, \dots, x_N) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{ri} x_i = \sum_{\beta} \bar{K}_{r,\beta} \cdot L_{r,\beta}, r = 1, \dots, R; \sum_{\alpha} \bar{P}_{j,\alpha} \cdot Q_{j,\alpha} = 0, j = 1, \dots, M \right).$$

The following identities in A are given:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{ri} \cdot a_i = \sum_{\beta} \bar{K}_{r,\beta}(a_1, \dots, a_N) \cdot L_{r,\beta}(a_1, \dots, a_N), \quad 0 = \sum_{\alpha} \bar{P}_{j,\alpha}(a_1, \dots, a_N) \cdot Q_{j,\alpha}(a_1, \dots, a_N).$$

Hence the morphism $f: C \rightarrow A$, $x_i \mapsto a_i$, is well defined. The induced map $f_{*,1}: H_1(\bar{B}(C)) \rightarrow H_1(\bar{B}(A))$ is surjective, since the elements $[a_i] = f_{*,1}([x_i])$ generate $H_1(\bar{B}(A))$.

We prove that $f_{*,1}$ is injective. Let $\xi \in H_1(\bar{B}(C))$ and $f_{*,1}(\xi) = 0$. By Corollary A.4 and surjectivity of $T(x_1, \dots, x_N) \rightarrow C$, we have $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \cdot [x_i]$ for some $\mu_i \in k$. Then $0 = f_*(\xi) = \sum_i \mu_i [a_i] \in H_1(\bar{B}(A))$. All linear relations between $[a_1], \dots, [a_N]$ follow from the relations $\sum_i \lambda_{ri} [a_i] = 0$, and therefore $\mu_i = \sum_{r=1}^R c_r \lambda_{ri}$ for some $c_r \in k$. It follows that ξ is represented by the cycle

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=1}^R c_r \lambda_{ri} \cdot x_i = \sum_{r=1}^R c_r \sum_{\beta} \bar{K}_{r,\beta} \cdot L_{r,\beta} = d_{\bar{B},2} \left(\sum_{r=1}^R c_r \sum_{\beta} K_{r,\beta} \otimes L_{r,\beta} \right) \in \bar{B}_1(C).$$

Hence $\xi = 0$. We proved that $f_{*,1}$ is bijective.

The elements $\sum_{\alpha} P_{i,\alpha} \otimes Q_{i,\alpha} \in I(C) \otimes I(C)$ are cycles in $\bar{B}_2(C)$, and their images generate $H_2(\bar{B}(A))$. Hence $f_{*,2}: H_2(\bar{B}(C)) \rightarrow H_2(\bar{B}(A))$ is surjective. Conditions of Lemma A.5(ii) are satisfied, so f is bijective. \square

A.4 Bounds on the number of homogeneous generators and relations

Let A be a connected k -algebra. Proposition A.1 gives a lower bound on the number of generators and relations in the homogeneous presentations of A , and Theorem A.6 gives an upper bound. These bounds coincide if k is a principal ideal domain, A is a free k -module, and graded components are finitely generated. We introduce some notation.

Definition A.7 Let M be a finitely generated module over a principal ideal domain k . By the structure theorem of such modules, we have

$$(11) \quad M \simeq k/(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus k/(d_r),$$

where $d_1, \dots, d_r \in k$ are noninvertible, and $d_i \mid d_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. The number r is determined uniquely, and the elements d_i — uniquely up to a multiplication by an invertible element. Hence,

$$\text{gen } M := r, \quad \text{rel } M := \max\{s : d_s \neq 0\}$$

are well defined. We get a short exact sequence $k^{\text{rel } M} \rightarrow k^{\text{gen } M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma A.8 Let k be a principal ideal domain. Suppose that there exists a short exact sequence $k^A \xrightarrow{f} k^B \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ for some $A, B < \infty$. Then $A \geq \text{rel } M$ and $B \geq \text{gen } M$.

Proof We can assume that f is in the Smith normal form, that is, f is represented by a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements d'_1, \dots, d'_s such that $d'_1 \mid d'_2 \mid \cdots \mid d'_s$. Remove all nonzero columns: this preserves cokernel and does not increase A . If d'_i is invertible, remove the i^{th} row and the i^{th} column: this preserves cokernel and diminish A and B by 1. We obtain a diagonal matrix $B' \times A'$ having no zero columns and no invertible elements on diagonal. Hence the cokernel is exactly of the form (11) for $B' = r = \text{gen } M$ and $A' = s = \text{rel } M$. \square

Lemma A.9 Let k be a principal ideal domain and $0 \rightarrow k^a \rightarrow k^b \xrightarrow{f} k^c \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of k -modules for some $a, b, c < \infty$. Then $b = a + c$.

Proof We can assume that f is in a Smith normal form. In this basis, f is represented by a diagonal matrix $c \times b$. Since f is surjective, the matrix has no nonzero rows, and all diagonal elements are noninvertible. Hence $\text{Ker } f \simeq k^{b-c}$. We have $k^d \not\cong k^{d'}$ for $d \neq d'$, so $a = b - c$. \square

Recall that we consider G -graded algebras that are connected with respect to the \mathbb{Z} -grading given by a map $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem A.10 Let A be a connected associative algebra with unit over a principal ideal domain k . Suppose that k -modules $\text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)_n$ and $\text{Tor}_2^A(k, k)_n$ are finitely generated for all $n \in G$. Then:

- (i) If A is a free k -module, it admits a homogeneous presentation that contains (for every n) precisely $\text{gen } \text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)_n$ generators and $\text{gen } \text{Tor}_2^A(k, k)_n + \text{rel } \text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)_n$ relations of degree n .
- (ii) If A admits a homogeneous presentation that contains N_n generators and M_n relations of degree n ,

$$(12) \quad N_n \geq \text{gen } \text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)_n, \quad M_n \geq \text{gen } \text{Tor}_2^A(k, k)_n + \text{rel } \text{Tor}_1^A(k, k)_n.$$

Proof of statement (i) For every n , choose a set of $\text{gen}(\text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n)$ additive generators for the \mathbf{k} -module $\text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$, a set of $\text{rel}(\text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n)$ linear relations between them, and a set of $\text{gen}(\text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n)$ generators for $\text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$. These elements are represented by cycles and boundaries in the bar construction. Applying Theorem A.6 to them, we obtain a presentation of required size. \square

Proof of statement (ii) Apply Proposition A.1 and continue the exact sequence to the free resolution of the left A -module \mathbf{k} . It has the form

$$\dots \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbf{k}^M \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbf{k}^N \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor $\mathbf{k} \otimes_A (-)$, we obtain a chain complex of graded \mathbf{k} -modules

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^M \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbf{k}^N \xrightarrow{0} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0,$$

having $\text{Tor}^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ as homology. Therefore, for some $\partial_n: \mathbf{k}^{M_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{N_n}$ we have

$$\text{Coker } \partial_n \simeq \text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n, \quad \text{Ker } \partial_n \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n.$$

In particular, $\text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$ is generated by N_n elements, so $N_n \geq \text{gen } \text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$.

If M_n is infinite, both inequalities (12) are true, since the right side is finite. If M_n is finite, then N_n is finite, since $\text{Coker } \partial_n$ is finitely generated. Thus $\text{Ker } \partial_n \subset \mathbf{k}^{M_n}$, $\text{Im } \partial_n \subset \mathbf{k}^{N_n}$ are submodules of finitely generated free modules, so these modules are free: $\text{Ker } \partial_n \simeq \mathbf{k}^P$, $\text{Im } \partial_n \simeq \mathbf{k}^Q$. We obtain exact sequences

$$\mathbf{k}^P \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{N_n} \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbf{k}^Q \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^P \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{M_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $N_n \geq \text{gen } \text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$, $P \geq \text{rel } \text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$, $Q \geq \text{gen } \text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$ by Lemma A.8 and $P + Q = M_n$ by Lemma A.9. This proves (12). \square

As a corollary, we obtain a well known result by Wall [44, Section 7]:

Corollary A.11 *Let A be a connected associative algebra with unit over a field \mathbf{k} . Then:*

- (i) *A admits a homogeneous presentation that contains (for every n) precisely $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$ generators and $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$ relations of degree n .*
- (ii) *If A admits a homogeneous presentation that contains N_n generators and M_n relations of degree n , then $N_n \geq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$ and $M_n \geq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})_n$.* \square

We also obtain a criterion of freeness.

Corollary A.12 [32, Proposition 8.5.4] *Let A be a connected associative algebra with unit over a principal ideal domain \mathbf{k} , which is a free \mathbf{k} -module. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *A is a free algebra (a tensor algebra on homogeneous generators).*
- (b) *$\text{Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ is a free \mathbf{k} -module, and $\text{Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) = 0$.*

Proof By Corollary A.4, (a) implies (b). Conversely, suppose that (b) holds. Then

$$\text{rel Tor}_1^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) = \text{gen Tor}_2^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) = 0.$$

By Theorem A.10(i), the algebra A admits a presentation with no relations. Hence A is free. \square

Appendix B Loop homology and extensions of Hopf algebras

Consider a homotopy fibration $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ of simply connected spaces, such that $\Omega p: \Omega E \rightarrow \Omega B$ admits a homotopy section (ie there is a continuous map $\sigma: \Omega B \rightarrow \Omega E$ that preserves basepoints, and a homotopy $\Omega p \circ \sigma \sim \text{id}_{\Omega B}$). It is well known that then ΩE is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega F \times \Omega B$ (see [7, Proposition A.2; 17, Theorem 5.2]). If \mathbf{k} -homology of these loop spaces is free, we obtain an extension of Hopf algebras $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow H_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega B; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$. In Theorem B.3 we give a full proof of this folklore result. We consider ordinary loop spaces instead of Moore loop spaces, so that $\Omega(X \times Y) \cong \Omega X \times \Omega Y$ is a strict isomorphism of H-spaces.

We have a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: \pi_n(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_n(A) \oplus \pi_n(B), \quad [f] \mapsto [\text{pr}_A \circ f] \oplus [\text{pr}_B \circ f],$$

for any A, B and $n \geq 1$. We denote basepoint inclusion by $\varepsilon: * \rightarrow Y$ and collapse map by $\eta: Y \rightarrow *$.

Lemma B.1 *Let X be a simply connected space and $\mu: \Omega X \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X$ be the composition of loops. Then the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_n(\Omega X \times \Omega X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_*} & \pi_n(\Omega X) \\ \alpha \downarrow \cong & \nearrow (x,y) \mapsto x+y & \\ \pi_n(\Omega X) \oplus \pi_n(\Omega X) & & \end{array}$$

Proof Let elements $x, y \in \pi_n(\Omega X)$ be represented by maps $f, g: S^n \rightarrow \Omega X$. Consider the element $z = [f \times \eta] + [\eta \times g] \in \pi_n(\Omega X \times \Omega X)$.

The map $\mu \circ (f \times \eta)$ is the composition $S^n \xrightarrow{f} \Omega X \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \eta} \Omega X \times \Omega X \xrightarrow{\mu} \Omega X$. The composition of two right maps is homotopic to the identity, hence $\mu \circ (f \times \eta) \sim f$. Passing to homotopy groups, we have $\mu_*([f \times \eta]) = x$. Similarly, $\mu_*([\eta \times g]) = y$, hence $\mu_*(z) = x + y$. On the other hand, $\alpha([f \times \eta]) = [\text{pr}_1 \circ (f \times \eta)] \oplus [\text{pr}_2 \circ (f \times \eta)] = [f] \oplus [\eta \varepsilon] = x \oplus 0$. Similarly, $\alpha([\eta \times g]) = 0 \oplus y$, hence $\alpha(z) = x \oplus y$. We obtained $\mu_*(\alpha^{-1}(x \oplus y)) = \mu_*(z) = x + y$, so the diagram commutes. \square

In the following lemma, we say that a diagram commutes if it homotopy commutes.

Lemma B.2 *Let $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a fibration of simply connected spaces, and $\sigma: \Omega B \rightarrow \Omega E$ be a homotopy section for Ωp . Consider the composition*

$$f: \Omega F \times \Omega B \xrightarrow{\Omega i \times \sigma} \Omega E \times \Omega E \xrightarrow{\mu} \Omega E.$$

Then:

(i) f is a weak homotopy equivalence.

(ii) f respects the inclusion and the projection, that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Omega E & & \\
 & \nearrow \Omega i & \uparrow f & \searrow \Omega p & \\
 \Omega F \times * & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \eta} & \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \times \text{id}} & * \times \Omega B
 \end{array}$$

(iii) f respects the left action of ΩF , that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega F \times \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\Omega i \times f} & \Omega E \times \Omega E \\
 \downarrow \mu \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \mu \\
 \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{f} & \Omega E
 \end{array}$$

(iv) f respects the right coaction of ΩB , that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{f} & \Omega E \\
 \downarrow \text{id} \times \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta \\
 \Omega F \times \Omega B \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}} & \Omega E \times \Omega B
 \end{array}$$

Proof We have an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_n(\Omega F) \xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_*} \pi_n(\Omega E) \xrightarrow{(\Omega p)_*} \pi_n(\Omega B) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where the map $(\Omega p)_*$ has a section σ_* . For every $n \geq 1$, we obtain an isomorphism of groups

$$\varphi: \pi_n(\Omega F) \oplus \pi_n(\Omega B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_n(\Omega E), \quad \varphi(x, y) = (\Omega i)_*(x) + \sigma_*(y).$$

(We use that $\pi_1(\Omega X)$ is abelian.) By Lemma B.1 and naturality of

$$\alpha: \pi_n(\Omega F \times \Omega B) \rightarrow \pi_n(\Omega F) \oplus \pi_n(\Omega B)$$

we have

$$\varphi \circ \alpha = (\mu \circ (\Omega i \times \sigma))_* = f_*: \pi_n(\Omega F \times \Omega B) \rightarrow \pi_n(\Omega E).$$

Hence f_* is an isomorphism for all n , so f is a weak homotopy equivalence. Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\Omega p} & \Omega B \\
 & \nearrow \text{id} & \uparrow \mu & & \uparrow \mu \\
 \Omega E \times * & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \eta} & \Omega E \times \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\Omega p \times \Omega p} & \Omega B \times \Omega B \\
 \uparrow \Omega i \times \text{id} & & \uparrow \Omega i \times \sigma & & \uparrow \eta \times \text{id} \\
 \Omega F \times * & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \eta} & \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \times \text{id}} & * \times \Omega B
 \end{array}$$

The triangle commutes, since η is a homotopy unit in ΩE . The upper right square commutes, since Ωp is a map of H-spaces. The bottom left square commutes, since $\eta_{\Omega E} = \sigma \circ \eta_{\Omega B} : * \rightarrow \Omega E$. Finally, the commutativity of bottom right square is equivalent to the existence of homotopies $\Omega p \circ \Omega i \sim \eta \circ \varepsilon$ and $\Omega p \circ \sigma \sim \text{id}$. The first homotopy exists, since $p \circ i$ is homotopy trivial; the second exists, since σ is a homotopy section for Ωp . Hence the whole diagram is commutative. The right side of the diagram is homotopic to $\text{id} : \Omega B \rightarrow \Omega B$, since η is a homotopy unit in ΩB . We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\Omega p} \Omega B \\
 \Omega F \times * & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \eta} \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \times \text{id}} * \times \Omega B \\
 & \uparrow f & \uparrow \text{id} \\
 & \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\Omega p} \Omega B
 \end{array}$$

that is equivalent to the diagram from (ii). Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega F \times \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\Omega i \times \Omega i \times \sigma} & \Omega E \times \Omega E \times \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \mu} & \Omega E \times \Omega E \\
 \downarrow \mu \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \mu \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \mu \\
 \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\Omega i \times \sigma} & \Omega E \times \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Omega E
 \end{array}$$

The left square commutes, since $\Omega i : \Omega F \rightarrow \Omega E$ is a map of H-spaces; the right square commutes, since μ is homotopy associative. The top side of the diagram equals $\Omega i \times (\mu \circ (\Omega i \times \sigma)) = \Omega i \times f$, the bottom side equals f . Hence, it is the diagram from (iii). Finally, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\Omega i \times \sigma} & \Omega E \times \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Omega E \\
 \downarrow D & & \downarrow D & & \downarrow \Delta \\
 \Omega F \times \Omega B \times \Omega F \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\Omega i \times \sigma \times \Omega i \times \sigma} & \Omega E \times \Omega E \times \Omega E \times \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\mu \times \mu} & \Omega E \times \Omega E \\
 \downarrow \text{pr}_{124} & \searrow \Omega i \times \sigma \times \varepsilon \times \text{id} & \downarrow \text{id} \times \text{id} \times \Omega p \times \Omega p & & \downarrow \text{id} \times \Omega p \\
 \Omega F \times \Omega B \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Omega E \times \Omega E \times \Omega B \times \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\mu \times \mu} & \Omega E \times \Omega B
 \end{array}$$

where $\Delta(x) := (x, x)$, $D(x, y) := (x, y, x, y)$ and $\phi(f, b_1, b_2) := (\Omega i(f), \sigma(b_1), *, b_2)$. Clearly, the top two squares commute. The bottom right square commutes, since $\text{id} : \Omega E \rightarrow \Omega E$ and $\Omega p : \Omega E \rightarrow \Omega B$ are maps of H-spaces. The upper triangle commutes, since $\Omega p \circ \Omega i \sim \varepsilon$ and $\Omega p \circ \sigma \sim \text{id}$; the bottom triangle commutes by the definition of ϕ . The outer maps in the diagram give the required diagram (iv). \square

In the proof of next theorem we use the Künneth map $\kappa : H_*(X; \mathbf{k}) \otimes H_*(Y; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(X \times Y; \mathbf{k})$. It is natural and associative. It is an isomorphism if $H_*(Y; \mathbf{k})$ is a free \mathbf{k} -module.

If X is a simply connected space and $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k})$ is free over \mathbf{k} , this module is a connected \mathbf{k} -Hopf algebra with the standard cup coproduct (see Section 2.4) and the Pontryagin product

$$m : H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k}) \otimes H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\kappa} H_*(\Omega X \times \Omega X; \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k}).$$

The unit and counit $\mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\eta} H_*(\Omega X; \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{k}$ are induced by the H-space maps $* \xrightarrow{\eta} \Omega X \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} *$.

Theorem B.3 Let k be an associative ring with unit. Let $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a homotopy fibration of simply connected spaces such that $H_*(\Omega B; k)$ and $H_*(\Omega F; k)$ are free k -modules, and the map Ωp admits a homotopy section $\sigma : \Omega B \rightarrow \Omega E$. Consider the composition

$$\Phi : H_*(\Omega F; k) \otimes H_*(\Omega B; k) \xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_* \otimes \sigma_*} H_*(\Omega E; k) \otimes H_*(\Omega E; k) \xrightarrow{m} H_*(\Omega E; k).$$

Then

- (i) Φ is an isomorphism of k -modules;
- (ii) $(\Omega i)_* = \Phi \circ (\text{id}_{H_*(\Omega F; k)} \otimes \eta_{H_*(\Omega B; k)})$;
- (iii) $(\Omega p)_* \circ \Phi = \varepsilon_{H_*(\Omega F; k)} \otimes \text{id}_{H_*(\Omega B; k)}$;
- (iv) Φ is a morphism of left $H_*(\Omega F; k)$ -modules and right $H_*(\Omega B; k)$ -comodules, where the (co)module structure on $H_*(\Omega E; k)$ is induced by the maps $(\Omega i)_*$ and $(\Omega p)_*$.

In particular, $k \rightarrow H_*(\Omega F; k) \xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_*} H_*(\Omega E; k) \xrightarrow{(\Omega p)_*} H_*(\Omega B; k) \rightarrow k$ is an extension of connected Hopf algebras over k .

Proof We write $H_*(\Omega X)$ instead of $H_*(\Omega X; k)$. Note that σ is continuous, and $\Omega i, \Omega p$ are maps of H-spaces. Hence σ_* is a map of coalgebras, and $(\Omega i)_*, (\Omega p)_*$ are maps of Hopf algebras. By the naturality of Künneth map, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_* \otimes \sigma_*} & H_*(\Omega E) \otimes H_*(\Omega E) & \xrightarrow{m} & H_*(\Omega E) \\ \kappa \downarrow \simeq & & \kappa \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H_*(\Omega F \times \Omega B) & \xrightarrow{(\Omega i \times \sigma)_*} & H_*(\Omega E \times \Omega E) & \xrightarrow{\mu_*} & H_*(\Omega E) \end{array}$$

The top side of diagram equals Φ , and the bottom side equals f_* . Hence Φ is the composition $H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) \xrightarrow{\kappa} H_*(\Omega F \times \Omega B) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_*(\Omega E)$. The left map is bijective by the assumption, the right map is bijective by Lemma B.2(i). Hence Φ is an isomorphism, so (i) is proved. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H_*(\Omega E) & & \\ & \nearrow (\Omega i)_* & \uparrow f_* & \searrow (\Omega p)_* & \\ H_*(\Omega F \times *) & \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times \eta)_*} & H_*(\Omega F \times \Omega B) & \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon \times \text{id})_*} & H_*(\Omega B) \\ \kappa \uparrow \simeq & & \kappa \uparrow & & \kappa \uparrow \simeq \\ H_*(\Omega F) \otimes k & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \eta} & H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}} & k \otimes H_*(\Omega B) \end{array}$$

The top half of the diagram commutes by Lemma B.2(ii), the bottom half commutes by naturality of κ . Since $f_* \circ \kappa = \Phi$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H_*(\Omega E) & & \\ & \nearrow (\Omega i)_* & \uparrow \Phi & \searrow (\Omega p)_* & \\ H_*(\Omega F) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \eta} & H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}} & H_*(\Omega B) \end{array}$$

which proves (ii) and (iii). Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \kappa} & H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega F \times \Omega B) & \xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_* \otimes f_*} & H_*(\Omega E) \otimes H_*(\Omega E) \\
 \downarrow \kappa \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \kappa \\
 H_*(\Omega F \times \Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\kappa \otimes \text{id}} & H_*(\Omega F \times \Omega F \times \Omega B) & \xrightarrow{(\Omega i \times f)_*} & H_*(\Omega E \times \Omega E) \\
 \downarrow \mu_* \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow (\mu \times \text{id})_* & & \downarrow \mu_* \\
 H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H_*(\Omega F \times \Omega B) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_*(\Omega E)
 \end{array}$$

The bottom right square commutes by Lemma B.2(iii), the other squares commute by naturality of κ . Since $\mu_* \circ \kappa = m: H_*(\Omega X) \otimes H_*(\Omega X) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega X)$, the outer maps in the diagram are

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_* \otimes \Phi} & H_*(\Omega E) \otimes H_*(\Omega E) \\
 \downarrow m \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow m \\
 H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & H_*(\Omega E)
 \end{array}$$

Hence Φ is a map of left $H_*(\Omega F)$ -modules. Similarly, by Lemma B.2(iv) and the Künneth isomorphisms we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & H_*(\Omega E) \\
 \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta \\
 H_*(\Omega F) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\Phi \otimes \text{id}} & H_*(\Omega E) \otimes H_*(\Omega B) \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes (\Omega p)_*
 \end{array}$$

hence Φ is a map of right $H_*(\Omega B)$ -comodules.

Since (i)–(iv) hold, the maps of Hopf algebras $(\Omega i)_*: H_*(\Omega F) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega E)$ and $(\Omega p)_*: H_*(\Omega E) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega B)$ form an extension of Hopf algebras by Proposition 2.4. \square

Recall that an element $x \in A$ of a Hopf algebra is *primitive* if $\Delta x = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1$. The set of primitive elements is a Lie subalgebra $PA \subset A$. Every map of Hopf algebras $f: A \rightarrow A'$ induces a map of Lie algebras $Pf := f|_{PA}: PA \rightarrow PA'$.

Corollary B.4 *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem B.3 are met. Let $x \in H_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k})$ be a primitive element such that $(\Omega p)_*(x) = 0$. Then $x = (\Omega i)_*(y)$ for some $y \in H_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k})$.*

Proof Since $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow H_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega B; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is a Hopf algebra extension, the sequence $0 \rightarrow PH_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow PH_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow PH_*(\Omega B; \mathbf{k})$ is exact; see [31, Proposition 4.10]. (This also easily follows from definitions). We have

$$x \in \text{Ker}(PH_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow PH_*(\Omega B; \mathbf{k})) = \text{Im}(PH_*(\Omega F; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow PH_*(\Omega E; \mathbf{k})). \quad \square$$

Appendix C Commutator identities

Fix elements u_1, \dots, u_m of degree 1 in a graded associative algebra Γ . For a subset $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\} \subset [m]$, we define

$$\hat{u}_I := u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_k}, \quad c(I, x) := [u_{i_1}, [u_{i_2}, [\dots [u_{i_k}, x], \dots]]], \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

We write $A < B$ when $A, B \subset [m]$ and $\max(A) < \min(B)$. If $A < B$, we have $\hat{u}_{A \sqcup B} = \hat{u}_A \cdot \hat{u}_B$ and $c(A \sqcup B, x) = c(A, c(B, x))$. Also, $\hat{u}_\emptyset = 1, c(\emptyset, x) = x$.

Define the Koszul sign by $\theta(A, B) := |\{(a, b) \in A \times B : a > b\}|$. In a graded commutative algebra, we would have $\hat{u}_A \cdot \hat{u}_B = (-1)^{\theta(A, B)} \hat{u}_{A \sqcup B}$ if $A \cap B = \emptyset$. It has the following properties:

- (i) $\theta(A, B) \equiv |A| \cdot |B| + \theta(B, A) \pmod{2}$.
- (ii) If $A_1 \sqcup B_1 < A_2 \sqcup B_2$, then

$$\theta(A_1 \sqcup A_2, B_1 \sqcup B_2) \equiv \theta(A_1, B_1) + \theta(A_2, B_2) + |A_2| \cdot |B_1|.$$

For $I \subset [m], j \in [m]$, we write $I_{<j} = \{i \in I : i < j\}, I_{>j} = \{i \in I : i > j\}$. We also use i as a shortened notation for $\{i\}$.

C.1 Regrouping of monomials

The following formulas can be used to express any monomial on u_1, \dots, u_m as a linear combination of $c_1 \cdots c_s \cdot \hat{u}_B, c_i = c(A_i, u_{j_i}), A_i \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma C.1 *Let $I \subset [m]$, and let $x \in \Gamma$ be homogeneous. Then*

$$(13) \quad \hat{u}_I \cdot x = \sum_{I=A \sqcup B} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + \deg(x) \cdot |B|} c(A, x) \hat{u}_B.$$

Proof Define $d := \deg(x)$. We induct on $|I|$. The base case $I = \emptyset$ is clear. For the inductive step, let $i = \min(I), I' = I \setminus i$. Then the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I'=A \sqcup B} (-1)^{\theta(i \sqcup A, B) + d \cdot |B|} c(i \sqcup A, x) \hat{u}_B &+ \sum_{I'=A \sqcup B} (-1)^{\theta(A, i \sqcup B) + d \cdot |i \sqcup B|} c(A, x) \hat{u}_{i \sqcup B} \\ &= \sum_{I'=A \sqcup B} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + d \cdot |B|} ([u_i, c(A, x)] + (-1)^{|A| + d} c(A, x) u_i) \cdot \hat{u}_B \\ &= \sum_{I'=A \sqcup B} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + d \cdot |B|} u_i c(A, x) \cdot \hat{u}_B. \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, this sum is equal to $u_i \cdot \hat{u}_{I'} x = \hat{u}_I \cdot x$. □

Proposition C.2 *Let $I \subset [m], j \in [m]$. Then*

$$\hat{u}_I \cdot u_j = \sum_{\substack{I=A \sqcup B \\ \max(A) > j}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} c(A, u_j) \hat{u}_B + (-1)^{|I_{>j}|} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{u}_{I \sqcup j}, & j \notin I \\ \hat{u}_{I_{<j}} \cdot u_j^2 \cdot \hat{u}_{I_{>j}}, & j \in I \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof Define $P = I_{\leq j}$, $Q = I_{> j}$. Then $P < Q$, therefore

$$\hat{u}_I = \hat{u}_P \hat{u}_Q, \quad r := \hat{u}_P u_j \hat{u}_Q = \begin{cases} \hat{u}_{I \sqcup \{j\}}, & j \notin I; \\ \hat{u}_{I_{< j}} \cdot u_j^2 \cdot \hat{u}_{I_{> j}}, & j \in I. \end{cases}$$

Apply (13) to $\hat{u}_Q \cdot u_j$, and consider the summand with $A_2 = \emptyset$ separately:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_I \cdot u_j &= \hat{u}_P \hat{u}_Q u_j = \sum_{Q=A_2 \sqcup B_2} (-1)^{\theta(A_2, B_2) + |B_2|} \hat{u}_P c(A_2, u_j) \hat{u}_{B_2} \\ &= (-1)^{|Q|} \hat{u}_P u_j \hat{u}_Q + \sum_{\substack{Q=A_2 \sqcup B_2 \\ A_2 \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A_2, B_2) + |B_2|} \hat{u}_P c(A_2, u_j) \hat{u}_{B_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (13) to $\hat{u}_P \cdot c(A_2, u_j)$, we obtain the required identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_I \cdot u_j &= (-1)^{|Q|} r + \sum_{P=A_1 \sqcup B_1} \sum_{\substack{Q=A_2 \sqcup B_2 \\ A_2 \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A_1, B_1) + (|A_2| + 1) \cdot |B_1| + \theta(A_2, B_2) + |B_2|} c(A_1, c(A_2, u_j)) \hat{u}_{B_1} \hat{u}_{B_2} \\ &= (-1)^{|Q|} r + \sum_{\substack{P \sqcup Q = A \sqcup B \\ A_{> j} \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} c(A, u_j) \hat{u}_B. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

C.2 Identities for nested commutators

In this section Γ can be a Lie superalgebra.

Lemma C.3 For $I \subset [m]$ and homogeneous elements $x, y \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad c(I, [x, y]) &= \sum_{I=A \sqcup B} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + \deg(x) \cdot |B|} [c(A, x), c(B, y)] \\ &= [c(I, x), y] + (-1)^{\deg(x) \cdot |I|} [x, c(I, y)] + \sum_{\substack{I=A \sqcup B \\ A, B \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + \deg(x) \cdot |B|} [c(A, x), c(B, y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof The second identity follows from $\theta(\emptyset, I) = \theta(I, \emptyset) = 0$ and $c(\emptyset, x) = x$. Let us prove the first identity by induction on $|I|$. The base case $I = \emptyset$ is clear. For the inductive step, define $i = \min(I)$, $I' = I \setminus i$, $d = \deg(x)$. Then, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} c(I, [x, y]) &= [u_i, c(I', [x, y])] = \sum_{I'=A' \sqcup B'} (-1)^{\theta(A', B') + d \cdot |B'|} [u_i, [c(A', x), c(B', y)]] \\ &= \sum_{I'=A' \sqcup B'} (-1)^{\theta(A', B') + d \cdot |B'|} [[u_i, c(A', x)], c(B', y)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{I'=A' \sqcup B'} (-1)^{\theta(A', B') + d \cdot |B'| + d + |A'|} [c(A', x), [u_i, c(B', y)]] \\ &= \sum_{I'=A' \sqcup B'} (-1)^{\theta(i \sqcup A', B') + d \cdot |B'|} [c(i \sqcup A', x), c(B', y)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{I'=A' \sqcup B'} (-1)^{\theta(A', i \sqcup B') + d \cdot |i \sqcup B'|} [c(A', x), c(i \sqcup B', y)] \\ &= \sum_{I=A \sqcup B} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + d \cdot |B|} [c(A, x), c(B, y)]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary C.4 Let $I \subset [m]$, $I = I'' \sqcup I'$, $I'' < I'$. Let $x, y \in \Gamma$ be homogeneous, and let $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{I'} \times 2^{I''}$ be a family of pairs of subsets. Then

$$(15) \quad \sum_{\substack{I' = A' \sqcup B' \\ (A', B') \in \mathcal{A}}} (-1)^{\theta(A', B') + |B'|} c(I'', [c(A', x), c(B', y)]) = \sum_{\substack{I = A \sqcup B \\ (A \cap I', B \cap I') \in \mathcal{A}}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} [c(A, x), c(B, y)].$$

Proof This follows from (14) and from identities

$$c(A'', c(A', x)) = c(A'' \sqcup A', x), \quad \theta(A'' \sqcup A', B'' \sqcup B') = \theta(A'', B'') + \theta(A', B') + |A'| \cdot |B''|$$

that are true for $A'', B'' < A', B'$. □

Proposition C.5 Let $J \subset [m]$ and $i, j \in J$ such that $i < j$ and $J_{>j} \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$(16) \quad c(J \setminus ij, [u_i, u_j]) = (-1)^{|J_{>j}|} c(J \setminus i, u_i) - (-1)^{|J_{>i}|} c(J \setminus j, u_j) + \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ A_{>i}, B_{>j} \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} [c(A, u_i), c(B, u_j)].$$

Proof Define $P = J_{<j}$, $Q = J_{>i} \cap J_{<j}$, $R = J_{>j}$. Hence $P < i < Q < j < R$ and $R \neq \emptyset$. The left-hand side is equal to $x := c(P \sqcup Q, c(R, [u_i, u_j]))$. Define also $y := c(P \sqcup Q, [c(R, u_i), u_j])$, $z := c(P \sqcup Q, [u_i, c(R, u_j)])$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= y + (-1)^{|R|} z + \sum_{\substack{R = A' \sqcup B' \\ A', B' \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A', B') + |B'|} c(P \sqcup Q, [c(A', u_i), c(B', u_j)]) \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} y + (-1)^{|R|} z + \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ A_{>j}, B_{>j} \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} [c(A, u_i), c(B, u_j)], \\ y &= (-1)^{|R|} c(P \sqcup Q, [u_j, c(R, u_i)]) = (-1)^{|R|} c(J \setminus i, u_i), \\ z &= c(P, c(Q, [u_i, c(R, u_j)])) \stackrel{(14)}{=} c(P, [c(Q, u_i), c(R, u_j)]) + (-1)^{|Q|} \underbrace{c(P, [u_i, c(Q \sqcup R, u_j)])}_{=c(J \setminus j, u_j)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{Q = A_2 \sqcup B_2 \\ A_2, B_2 \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A_2, B_2) + |B_2|} c(P, [c(A_2, u_i), c(B_2 \sqcup R, u_j)]) \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} (-1)^{|R|} \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ B \cap Q = \emptyset \\ A_{>j} = \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} [c(A, u_i), c(B, u_j)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|Q|} c(J \setminus j, u_j) + (-1)^{|R|} \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ B \cap Q \neq \emptyset, Q \\ A_{>j} = \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} [c(A, u_i), c(B, u_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (-1)^{|R|} c(J \setminus i, u_i) + (-1)^{|Q| + |R|} c(J \setminus j, u_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ A_{>i} \neq \emptyset \\ A_{>j} = \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} [c(A, u_i), c(B, u_j)] + \sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ A_{>j}, B_{>j} \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A, B) + |B|} [c(A, u_i), c(B, u_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

In the first sum the condition $B_{>j} \neq \emptyset$ is always true, since $R = A_{>j} \sqcup B_{>j}$, $A_{>j} = \emptyset$ and $R \neq \emptyset$. In the second sum, $A_{>i} \neq \emptyset$ is always true. Hence the sums can be merged into

$$\sum_{\substack{J \setminus ij = A \sqcup B \\ A_{>i}, B_{>j} \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{\theta(A,B) + |B|} [c(A, u_i), c(B, u_j)].$$

Using $|R| = |J_{>j}|$ and $|Q + R| = |J_{>i}| - 1$, we obtain (16). \square

References

- [1] **S Amelotte**, *Connected sums of sphere products and minimally non-Golod complexes*, from “Toric topology and polyhedral products” (A Bahri, L Jeffrey, T Panov, D Stanley, S Theriault, editors), Fields Inst. Commun. 89, Springer (2024) 1–12 MR
- [2] **N Andruskiewitsch, J Devoto**, *Extensions of Hopf algebras*, Algebra i Analiz 7 (1995) 22–61 MR In Russian; translated in St. Petersburg Math. J. 7 (1995) 17–52
- [3] **D Anick, W Dicks**, *A mnemonic for the graded-case Golod–Shafarevich inequality*, preprint (2015) arXiv 1508.03231
- [4] **L L Avramov**, *Infinite free resolutions*, from “Six lectures on commutative algebra”, Progr. Math. 166, Birkhäuser, Basel (1998) 1–118 MR
- [5] **A Bahri, M Bendersky, F R Cohen**, *Polyhedral products and features of their homotopy theory*, from “Handbook of homotopy theory” (H Miller, editor), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2020) 103–144 MR
- [6] **I V Baskakov**, *Triple Massey products in the cohomology of moment-angle complexes*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 58 (2003) 199–200 MR In Russian; translated in Russian Math. Surveys 58:5 (2003) 1039–1041
- [7] **S Basu, A Bhowmick, S Samanta**, *On the James brace product: generalization, relation to H -splitting of loop space fibrations & the J -homomorphism*, preprint (2024) arXiv 2401.16206
- [8] **H J Baues**, *The double bar and cobar constructions*, Compositio Math. 43 (1981) 331–341 MR
- [9] **P Beben, S Theriault**, *Homotopy groups of highly connected Poincaré duality complexes*, Doc. Math. 27 (2022) 183–211 MR
- [10] **A Berglund**, *Koszul spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014) 4551–4569 MR
- [11] **W Browder**, *On differential Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1963) 153–176 MR
- [12] **G Brumfiel, J Morgan**, *Explicit acyclic models and (co)chain operations*, preprint (2023) arXiv 2310.03729
- [13] **V Buchstaber, J Grbić**, *Hopf algebras and homology of loop suspension spaces*, from “Topology, geometry, integrable systems, and mathematical physics” (V M Buchstaber, B A Dubrovin, I M Krichever, editors), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 234, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2014) 75–92 MR
- [14] **V M Buchstaber, I Y Limonchenko**, *Massey products, toric topology and combinatorics of polytopes*, Izv. Math. 83 (2019) 1081–1136
- [15] **V M Buchstaber, T E Panov**, *Toric topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 204, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2015) MR
- [16] **L Cai**, *On the graph products of simplicial groups and connected Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra 647 (2024) 99–143 MR

- [17] **B Eckmann, P J Hilton**, *Operators and cooperators in homotopy theory*, Math. Ann. 141 (1960) 1–21 MR
- [18] **Y Félix, S Halperin, J-C Thomas**, *Adams' cobar equivalence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 329 (1992) 531–549 MR
- [19] **M Franz**, *The cohomology rings of smooth toric varieties and quotients of moment-angle complexes*, Geom. Topol. 25 (2021) 2109–2144 MR
- [20] **M Franz**, *Homotopy Gerstenhaber formality of Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 23 (2021) 325–347 MR
- [21] **R Fröberg**, *Determination of a class of Poincaré series*, Math. Scand. 37 (1975) 29–39 MR
- [22] **R Fröberg**, *Koszul algebras*, from “Advances in commutative ring theory”, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 205, Dekker, New York (1999) 337–350 MR
- [23] **J Grbić, T Panov, S Theriault, J Wu**, *The homotopy types of moment-angle complexes for flag complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016) 6663–6682 MR
- [24] **J Grbić, G Simmons, M Ilyasova, T Panov**, *One-relator groups and algebras related to polyhedral products*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 152 (2022) 128–147 MR
- [25] **S Halperin**, *Universal enveloping algebras and loop space homology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 83 (1992) 237–282 MR
- [26] **R Huang**, *Coformality around fibrations and cofibrations*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 25 (2023) 235–248 MR
- [27] **IM James**, *Reduced product spaces*, Ann. of Math. 62 (1955) 170–197 MR
- [28] **SM Lane**, *Homology*, Springer, Berlin (1995)
- [29] **J-M Lemaire**, *Algèbres connexes et homologie des espaces de lacets*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 422, Springer, Berlin (1974) MR
- [30] **C-C Li, G-S Zhou**, *The structure of connected (graded) Hopf algebras revisited*, J. Algebra 610 (2022) 684–702 MR
- [31] **J W Milnor, J C Moore**, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965) 211–264 MR
- [32] **J Neisendorfer**, *Algebraic methods in unstable homotopy theory*, New Mathematical Monographs 12, Cambridge Univ. Press (2010) MR
- [33] **J A Neisendorfer**, *What is loop multiplication anyhow?*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 12 (2017) 659–690 MR
- [34] **D Notbohm, N Ray**, *On Davis–Januszkiewicz homotopy types, I: Formality and rationalisation*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 5 (2005) 31–51 MR
- [35] **T E Panov, N Ray**, *Categorical aspects of toric topology*, from “Toric topology”, Contemp. Math. 460, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2008) 293–322 MR
- [36] **S B Priddy**, *Koszul resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 152 (1970) 39–60 MR
- [37] **J J Rotman**, *An introduction to homological algebra*, 2nd edition, Springer (2009) MR
- [38] **B Saleh**, *Noncommutative formality implies commutative and Lie formality*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 17 (2017) 2523–2542 MR
- [39] **L Stanton**, *Loop space decompositions of moment-angle complexes associated to flag complexes*, Q. J. Math. 75 (2024) 457–477 MR

- [40] **S Theriault**, *Toric homotopy theory*, from “Combinatorial and toric homotopy” (A Darby, J Grbić, Z Lü, J Wu, editors), Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap. 35, World Sci., Hackensack, NJ (2018) 1–66 MR
- [41] **S Theriault**, *Homotopy fibrations with a section after looping*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 1499 (2024) MR
- [42] **Y A Verevkin**, *Pontryagin algebras of some moment-angle complexes*, Dal’nevost. Mat. Zh. 16 (2016) 9–23 MR
- [43] **F E Vylegzhanin**, *Pontryagin algebras and the LS-category of moment-angle complexes in the flag case*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 317 (2022) 55–77 In Russian; translated in Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 317 (2022) 64–88
- [44] **C T C Wall**, *Generators and relations for the Steenrod algebra*, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960) 429–444 MR

Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences
Moscow, Russia

vylegf@gmail.com

Received: 12 April 2024 Revised: 4 October 2024

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

msp.org/agt

EDITORS

PRINCIPAL ACADEMIC EDITORS

John Etnyre
etnyre@math.gatech.edu
Georgia Institute of Technology

Kathryn Hess
kathryn.hess@epfl.ch
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

BOARD OF EDITORS

Julie Bergner	University of Virginia jeb2md@eservices.virginia.edu	Thomas Koberda	University of Virginia thomas.koberda@virginia.edu
Steven Boyer	Université du Québec à Montréal cohf@math.rochester.edu	Markus Land	LMU München markus.land@math.lmu.de
Tara E Brendle	University of Glasgow tara.brendle@glasgow.ac.uk	Christine Lescop	Université Joseph Fourier lescop@ujf-grenoble.fr
Indira Chatterji	CNRS & Univ. Côte d'Azur (Nice) indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr	Norihiko Minami	Yamato University minami.norihiko@yamato-u.ac.jp
Octav Cornea	Université de Montreal cornea@dms.umontreal.ca	Andrés Navas	Universidad de Santiago de Chile andres.navas@usach.cl
Alexander Dranishnikov	University of Florida dranish@math.ufl.edu	Jessica S Purcell	Monash University jessica.purcell@monash.edu
Tobias Ekholm	Uppsala University, Sweden tobias.ekholm@math.uu.se	Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
Mario Eudave-Muñoz	Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México mario@matem.unam.mx	Jérôme Scherer	École Polytech. Féd. de Lausanne jerome.scherer@epfl.ch
David Futер	Temple University dfuter@temple.edu	Vesna Stojanoska	Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign vesna@illinois.edu
John Greenlees	University of Warwick john.greenlees@warwick.ac.uk	Zoltán Szabó	Princeton University szabo@math.princeton.edu
Matthew Hedden	Michigan State University mhedden@math.msu.edu	Maggy Tomova	University of Iowa maggy-tomova@uiowa.edu
Kristen Hendricks	Rutgers University kristen.hendricks@rutgers.edu	Daniel T Wise	McGill University, Canada daniel.wise@mcgill.ca
Hans-Werner Henn	Université Louis Pasteur henn@math.u-strasbg.fr	Lior Yanovski	Hebrew University of Jerusalem lior.yanovski@gmail.com
Daniel Isaksen	Wayne State University isaksen@math.wayne.edu		


See inside back cover or msp.org/agt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$760/year for the electronic version, and \$1110/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Algebraic & Geometric Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology (ISSN 1472-2747 printed, 1472-2739 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004.

AGT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<https://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ALGEBRAIC & GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Volume 25 Issue 9 (pages 5175–5754) 2025

Orbifolds, orbispaces and global homotopy theory	5175
BRANKO JURAN	
Higher symplectic capacities	5205
KYLER SIEGEL	
The cohomology of biquotients via a product on the two-sided bar construction	5279
JEFFREY D CARLSON	
Envelopes for algebraic patterns	5319
SHAUL BARKAN, RUNE HAUGSENG and JAN STEINEBRUNNER	
On the topology of character varieties of once-punctured torus bundles	5389
STEPHAN TILLMANN and YOUHENG YAO	
Segalification and the Boardman–Vogt tensor product	5439
SHAUL BARKAN and JAN STEINEBRUNNER	
Small cancellation and outer automorphisms of Kazhdan groups acting on hyperbolic spaces	5463
IONUȚ CHIFAN, ADRIAN IOANA, DENIS OSIN and BIN SUN	
The structure of relatively hyperbolic groups in convex real projective geometry	5503
MITUL ISLAM and ANDREW ZIMMER	
Characterizations of stability via Morse limit sets	5541
JACOB GARCIA	
Pre-Lie algebras with divided powers and the Deligne groupoid in positive characteristic	5567
MARVIN VERSTRAETE	
A note on rational maps with three branching points on the Riemann sphere	5607
ZHIQIANG WEI, YINGYI WU and BIN XU	
Loop homology of moment-angle complexes in the flag case	5619
FEDOR VYLEGZHANIN	
Algebraicity in monochromatic homotopy theory	5665
TORGEIR AAMBØ	
Twisted spectra revisited	5693
ALICE HEDENLUND and TASOS MOULINOS	