

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

vol. 2 no. 3 2017

**Real cohomology and the powers of
the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring**

Jeremy A. Jacobson



A JOURNAL OF THE K-THEORY FOUNDATION

Real cohomology and the powers of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring

Jeremy A. Jacobson

Let A be a local ring in which 2 is invertible. It is known that the localization of the cohomology ring $H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ with respect to the class $(-1) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is isomorphic to the ring $C(\text{sp} A, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ of continuous $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -valued functions on the real spectrum of A . Let $I^n(A)$ denote the powers of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring of symmetric bilinear forms over A . The starting point of this article is the “integral” version: the localization of the graded ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n(A)$ with respect to the class $\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle := \langle 1, 1 \rangle \in I(A)$ is isomorphic to the ring $C(\text{sp} A, \mathbb{Z})$ of continuous \mathbb{Z} -valued functions on the real spectrum of A .

This has interesting applications to schemes. For instance, for any algebraic variety X over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} and any integer n strictly greater than the Krull dimension of X , we obtain a bijection between the Zariski cohomology groups $H_{\text{Zar}}^*(X, \mathcal{I}^n)$ with coefficients in the sheaf \mathcal{I}^n associated to the n -th power of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring $W(X)$ and the singular cohomology groups $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$.

1. Introduction

Let X be an algebraic variety over the field of real numbers and let d denote the Krull dimension of X . Let \mathcal{H}^n denote the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^n(U, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, where $H_{\text{ét}}^n(U, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ denotes the étale cohomology of U with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients. Under the hypotheses that X is smooth, integral, and quasiprojective, a classic theorem of Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Raman Parimala [1990, Theorem 2.3.1] states that the sections of \mathcal{H}^n are in bijection with

The author wishes to thank Raman Parimala and Suresh Venapally for their support and encouragement. He would like to thank Claus Scheiderer for helpful answers to several questions and Marco Schlichting for comments on an earlier draft, both of which led to improvements over an earlier version. He would also like to thank Jean Fasel, Max Karoubi, Charles Weibel, Tom Bachmann, and an anonymous reviewer of an earlier draft for helpful comments. The author would like to thank the Emory University Department of Mathematics and Computer Science for a travel grant supporting conference travel.

MSC2010: 11E81, 14F20, 14F25, 19G12.

Keywords: Witt group, real cohomology, real variety.

$H_{\text{sing}}^0(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ when $n \geq d + 1$; it follows from this that there is a bijection of cohomology groups

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^*(X, \mathcal{H}^n) \simeq H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}/2) \tag{1.1}$$

when $n \geq d + 1$, where $X(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the real points of X equipped with the Euclidean topology (defined in Remark 4.4) and $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ denotes the singular cohomology groups of the real points with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients.

Let $W(X)$ denote the Witt ring of symmetric bilinear forms over X and $I^n(X)$ the powers of the fundamental ideal; see [Knebusch 1977]. Let \mathcal{I}^n denote the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto I^n(U)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{I}}^n$ denote the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{I}^n(U)/\mathcal{I}^{n+1}(U)$. The short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}^n \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence in Zariski cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \mathcal{I}^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \mathcal{I}^n) \\ \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \overline{\mathcal{I}}^n) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{Zar}}^{m+1}(X, \mathcal{I}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

The introduction to [Fasel 2013] made the following assertions:

- the Zariski cohomology groups $H_{\text{Zar}}^*(X, \mathcal{I}^n)$ are the analogue of the singular cohomology groups $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$, while $H_{\text{Zar}}^*(X, \overline{\mathcal{I}}^n)$ are the analogue of $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}/2)$;
- the map $H_{\text{Zar}}^*(X, \mathcal{I}^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^*(X, \mathcal{I}^n)$ corresponds to the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2} H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the multiplication by 2 on the coefficients;

- the connecting homomorphism $H_{\text{Zar}}^*(X, \overline{\mathcal{I}}^n) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{Zar}}^{*+1}(X, \mathcal{I}^{n+1})$ is analogous to the Bockstein homomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{\text{sing}}^{*+1}(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Under the additional hypothesis that X is affine, smooth, and has trivial canonical sheaf, Fasel [2011, Proposition 5.1] proved that $H_{\text{Zar}}^d(X, \mathcal{I}^n) \simeq H_{\text{sing}}^d(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \geq d$.

We prove these assertions as a consequence of our more general results on real cohomology and the powers of the fundamental ideal. Precisely, we show in Corollary 8.11 that when $n \geq d + 1$, the global signature induces an isomorphism $H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \mathcal{I}^n) \simeq^{\text{sign}} H_{\text{sing}}^m(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$ for all $m \geq 0$, which in turn induces an

isomorphism of long exact sequences from (1.2) to

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^m(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2} H_{\text{sing}}^m(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}) \\ \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^m(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{\text{sing}}^{m+1}(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Real cohomology is a cohomology theory for schemes that globalizes singular cohomology to any scheme X in the sense that when X is a real variety, the real cohomology groups $H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z})$ may be identified with the singular cohomology groups $H_{\text{sing}}^m(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$. For details, see Remark 4.4. The foundations and fundamental results on real cohomology are due to Claus Scheiderer [1994]. There is a close relationship between real and étale cohomology: the étale cohomology of X with 2-primary coefficients stabilizes in high degrees against the real cohomology of X with 2-primary coefficients [Scheiderer 1994, Corollary 7.19, Proposition 19.8]. Scheiderer also obtained a generalization to schemes of the bijection (1.1). To introduce it, first recall that for any scheme X , multiplication by cup product with $(-1) \in H^1(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ induces a morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$. Consequently, one may consider the colimit $\varinjlim \mathcal{H}^n$ over the system

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \xrightarrow{(-1)} \mathcal{H}^1 \xrightarrow{(-1)} \mathcal{H}^2 \xrightarrow{(-1)} \dots$$

The signature modulo 2 induces an isomorphism of sheaves $\varinjlim \mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}/2$ which induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \varinjlim \mathcal{H}^n) \simeq H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/2) \tag{1.3}$$

for all $m \geq 0$, where $H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ denotes the real cohomology of X with coefficients in the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}/2$ [Scheiderer 1994, Corollary 19.5.1].

Note that one cannot obtain integral coefficient versions of the isomorphisms (1.1) and (1.3) by simply replacing everywhere $\mathbb{Z}/2$ with \mathbb{Z} , because when $n > d$ the étale cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét}}^n(U, \mathbb{Z})$ are always torsion for any open subscheme U of X [Scheiderer 1994, Corollary 7.23.3].

Here, we obtain integral versions by demonstrating in Theorem 8.6 that for any scheme X with 2 invertible in its global sections, the signature induces an isomorphism of sheaves $\varinjlim \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}$ which induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \varinjlim \mathcal{T}^n) \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $m \geq 0$, where $\varinjlim \mathcal{T}^n$ denotes the Zariski sheaf on X obtained by taking the colimit of the system of sheaves

$$\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\langle(-1)\rangle} \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\langle(-1)\rangle} \mathcal{I}^2 \xrightarrow{\langle(-1)\rangle} \dots$$

and $\mathcal{I}^n \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{I}^{n+1}$ denotes the map induced by tensor product with the Pfister form $\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle := \langle 1, 1 \rangle$.

These global results follow from the local case, that is, the statement on the localization of the graded ring $I^*(A)$ from the abstract. Another way of stating this is to say that

$$\text{sign} : \varinjlim I^n(A) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.4}$$

is bijective for any local ring A with 2 invertible. Injectivity of (1.4) is well-known and follows from the local ring version of Pfister’s local-global principal (for instance [Knebusch 1977, Chapter II, §5], or directly in terms of the signature used in this article [Mahé 1982, Théorème 2.1 and Corollaire]). The statement that (1.4) is surjective is stronger than Mahé’s theorem, which states that the cokernel of $\text{sign} : W(A) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z})$ is 2 -primary torsion for any commutative ring with 2 invertible. We believe that surjectivity of (1.4) when A is local is known as well, but we don’t know of a reference in the literature. We give a proof of bijectivity of (1.4) in Proposition 7.2 in a much different way using cohomological methods. For instance, in Theorem 5.3 we prove the Gersten conjecture for the Witt groups with 2 inverted of any regular excellent local ring. From this we deduce injectivity of (1.4) for any local ring with 2 invertible using “Hoobler’s trick”. Similarly, in Proposition 6.3 we prove a purity result for $\varinjlim I^n(A)$ in “geometric” cases and deduce surjectivity in general from this.

2. Total signature

Throughout this section, let F be a field of characteristic different from 2 , though the hypothesis on the characteristic is not necessary for the definitions.

Definition 2.1. An *ordering* on F is a subset $P \subset F$ satisfying

- (1) $P + P \subset P, PP \subset P$;
- (2) $P \cap (-P) = 0$;
- (3) $P \cup -P = F$.

If $b - a \in P$, then we write $a \leq_P b$. If $a \in P$ and $a \neq 0$, then $a >_P 0$. It follows from the axioms that if F is nontrivial, then $1 >_P 0$. Also, for any $a \neq 0$ we write $\text{sgn}_P(a) = 1$ if $a \in P$ and $\text{sgn}_P(a) = -1$ if $a \in -P$. From the axioms one has that $\text{sgn}_P(ab) = \text{sgn}_P(a) \text{sgn}_P(b)$ for any $a, b \in F^\times$; consequently, assigning any $a \in F^\times$ to $\text{sgn}_P(a)$ determines a homomorphism $\text{sgn}_P : F^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ of groups. The pair (F, P) is called an *ordered field* [Knebusch and Scheiderer 1989, Kapitel I, Definition 1 and Bemerkungen].

Definition 2.2. The *real spectrum* of F , denoted $\text{sper } F$, is the topological space formed by equipping the set of all orderings on F with the topology generated by

the subbasis consisting of subsets $H(a) \subset \text{sper } F$, $a \in F$, where $H(a)$ denotes the set of all orderings P satisfying $a >_P 0$.

Definition 2.3. Let P be an ordering on F . Any nondegenerate quadratic form ϕ over F splits as an orthogonal sum $\phi \simeq \phi_+ \perp \phi_-$, where the form ϕ_+ is positive definite with respect to the ordering (for all $0 \neq v$, $q(v) > 0$ with respect to P) and the form ϕ_- is negative definite with respect to the ordering (i.e., $-\phi_-$ is positive definite). The numbers $n_+ := \dim \phi_+$ and $n_- := \dim \phi_-$ do not change under an isometry of ϕ [Knebusch and Scheiderer 1989, Kapitel I, §2, Satz 2]. The integer $\text{sign}_P([\phi]) := n_+ - n_-$ is called the *signature of $[\phi]$ with respect to P* . As the signature of the hyperbolic form is trivial, assigning to an isometry class $[\phi]$ its signature $\text{sign}_P([\phi])$ defines a map

$$\text{sign}_P : W(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which is a homomorphism of rings [loc. cit.]. Let $C(\text{sper } F, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of continuous integer-valued functions on the real spectrum of F . The *total signature* is the ring homomorphism

$$\text{sign} : W(F) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } F, \mathbb{Z})$$

which assigns to an isometry class $[\phi]$ the continuous function $P \mapsto \text{sign}_P([\phi])$ [Knebusch and Scheiderer 1989, Kapitel III, §8, Satz 1]. If F has no ordering, then sign is trivial.

The following lemma is obtained directly from the definition of the signature and the fact that the signature is a ring homomorphism.

Lemma 2.4. *Let P be an ordering on F .*

- (1) *If ϕ is a diagonalizable form, $\phi \simeq \langle a_1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle a_n \rangle$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$, then*

$$\text{sign}_P([\phi]) := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{sgn}_P(a_i).$$

- (2) *Let $a \in F^\times$. The Pfister form $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle := \langle 1, -a \rangle$ has total signature*

$$\text{sign}(\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle) = 2\mathbb{1}_{\{a < 0\}}.$$

- (3) *Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$. The n -fold Pfister form*

$$\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle := \langle\langle a_1 \rangle\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle\langle a_n \rangle\rangle$$

has total signature

$$\text{sign}(\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle) = 2^n \mathbb{1}_{\{a_1 < 0, \dots, a_n < 0\}}.$$

Definition 2.5. As hyperbolic forms have even rank, assigning a quadratic form to its rank modulo 2 determines a ring homomorphism $W(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The kernel is denoted $I(F)$ and is called the fundamental ideal of F . The powers of the fundamental ideal $I^j(F)$ are additively generated by Pfister forms $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_j \rangle\rangle$, so it follows from Lemma 2.4 that the signature induces a group homomorphism

$$\text{sign} : I^j(F) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } F, 2^j\mathbb{Z})$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^j(F) & \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} & C(\text{sper } F, 2^j\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle & & \downarrow 2 \\ I^{j+1}(F) & \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} & C(\text{sper } F, 2^{j+1}\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

commutes. So after identifying

$$\varinjlim (C(\text{sper } F, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2} C(\text{sper } F, 2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2} C(\text{sper } F, 2^2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2} \dots) \simeq C(\text{sper } F, \mathbb{Z}),$$

one obtains the map

$$\varinjlim (W(F) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I(F) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I^2(F) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \dots) \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} C(\text{sper } F, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{2.6}$$

where \varinjlim denotes the colimit of the directed system of groups.

The following result first appeared in a paper of J. Arason and M. Knebusch. Injectivity follows from A. Pfister’s local-global principal [Pfister 1966, Satz 22], and surjectivity follows immediately from the “normality theorem” of R. Elman and T.Y. Lam [1972, 3.2].

Proposition 2.7 [Arason and Knebusch 1978, Satz 2a]. *The morphism (2.6) is a bijection.*

3. Residues

Throughout this section A denotes a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and residue field $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ of characteristic different from 2. Let π be a uniformizing parameter for A . The following lemma restates well-known facts on the second residue for Witt groups; see [Milnor and Husemoller 1973, Chapter IV (1.2)–(1.3)].

Lemma 3.1. (1) *Every rank one quadratic form over K is isometric to some $\langle c \rangle$, where $c = b\pi^n$, b is a unit in A , and either $n = 0$ or $n = 1$.*

(2) *The second residue $\partial_\pi : W(K) \rightarrow W(k)$ has the description*

$$\partial_\pi(\langle c \rangle) = \begin{cases} \langle \bar{b} \rangle & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

on rank one forms $\langle c \rangle$ as in (1).

(3) *The second residue respects the powers of the fundamental ideal, that is, for any integer $n \geq 1$, it induces a homomorphism of groups*

$$\partial_\pi : I^n(K) \rightarrow I^{n-1}(k),$$

where $I^0(k) := W(k)$.

Definition 3.2. Let P be an ordering on the fraction field K . One says that A is *convex in K* (with respect to P) when for all $x, y, z \in K$,

$$\{x \leq_P z \leq_P y \text{ and } x, y \in A\} \Rightarrow z \in A;$$

see [Knebusch and Scheiderer 1989, Kapitel II, §1, Definition 1 and §2, Satz 3; Bochnak et al. 1998, Definition 10.1.3(ii), Proposition 10.1.4]. If A is convex in K , then the subset $\bar{P} := \sigma(P \cap A) \subset k$, where $\sigma : A \rightarrow k$ is the surjection onto the residue field, defines an ordering on k called the *induced ordering* [Knebusch and Scheiderer 1989, Kapitel II, §2, Bemerkungen]. For any ordering $\xi \in \text{sper } k$, let $Y_\xi \subset \text{sper } K$ denote the subset consisting of orderings such that A is convex in K and $\xi = \bar{P}$ is the induced ordering. The assignment

$$P \mapsto \text{sgn}_P(\pi)$$

defines a bijection from Y_ξ to the set $\{\pm 1\}$ [Knebusch and Scheiderer 1989, Kapitel II, §7, Theorem (Baer–Krull)], cf. [Bochnak et al. 1998, Theorem 10.1.10 and its proof]. That is to say, there are exactly two orderings in Y_ξ , say η_+ and η_- , where $\text{sgn}_{\eta_+}(\pi) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}_{\eta_-}(\pi) = -1$. The group homomorphism

$$\beta_\pi : C(\text{sper } K, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } A/\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is defined by assigning $s \in C(\text{sper } K, \mathbb{Z})$ to the map $\xi \mapsto \beta_\pi(s)(\xi)$, where

$$\beta_\pi(s)(\xi) := s(\eta_+) - s(\eta_-).$$

If $\text{sper } A/\mathfrak{m} = \emptyset$, then it is defined to be zero.

Lemma 3.3. *Let π be a uniformizing parameter for A . The morphism β_π of Definition 3.2 has the following description on elements $\text{sign}(\langle c \rangle)$, where $c = b\pi^n$, b is a unit in A , and either $n = 0$ or $n = 1$:*

$$\beta_\pi(\text{sign}(\langle c \rangle)) = \begin{cases} 2 \text{sign}(\langle \bar{b} \rangle) & \text{if } n \text{ is } 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is } 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $c = b\pi^n$, where b is a unit in A , and either $n = 0$ or $n = 1$. For any $\xi \in \text{sper } A/\mathfrak{m}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \beta_\pi(\text{sign}(\langle c \rangle))(\xi) \\
 &= \text{sign}_{\eta_+}(\langle c \rangle) - \text{sign}_{\eta_-}(\langle c \rangle) \\
 &= \text{sgn}_{\eta_+}(c) - \text{sgn}_{\eta_-}(c) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \text{sgn}_\xi(\bar{c}) - \text{sgn}_\xi(\bar{c}) & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ (both orderings induce } \xi), \\ \text{sgn}_{\eta_+}(b\pi) - \text{sgn}_{\eta_-}(b\pi) & \text{if } n = 1, \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \text{sgn}_{\eta_+}(b) \text{sgn}_{\eta_+}(\pi) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ -\text{sgn}_{\eta_-}(b) \text{sgn}_{\eta_-}(\pi) & \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \text{sgn}_{\eta_+}(b) + \text{sgn}_{\eta_-}(b) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ (by definition of } \eta_+ \text{ and } \eta_-), \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \text{sgn}_\xi(\bar{b}) + \text{sgn}_\xi(\bar{b}) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ (both orderings induce } \xi), \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 2 \text{sgn}_\xi(\bar{b}) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities prove the lemma. □

The next lemma follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *The diagram of abelian groups below is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \varinjlim I^n(K) & \xrightarrow{\partial_\pi} & \varinjlim_{n \geq -1} I^n(k) \\
 \downarrow \text{sign} & & \downarrow 2 \text{ sign} \\
 C(\text{sper } K, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_\pi} & C(\text{sper } k, \mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

where $\varinjlim_{n \geq -1} I^n(k)$ denotes the colimit over

$$W(k) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} W(k) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I(k) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I^2(k) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \dots$$

4. Real cohomology

C. Scheiderer [1994] developed a theory of *real cohomology* for schemes. It “globalizes” to schemes the singular cohomology of the real points of a real variety in the same way that étale cohomology globalizes the singular cohomology of the complex points of a complex variety. Following [Scheiderer 1994], we recall the definition and some needed properties.

Definition 4.1. The *real spectrum* of a ring A is a topological space denoted by $\text{sper } A$. As a set it consists of all pairs $\xi = (\mathfrak{p}, P)$ with $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec } A$ and P an ordering of the residue field $k(\mathfrak{p})$. For any point $\xi \in \text{sper } A$, let $k(\xi)$ denote the real closure of the ordered field $k(\mathfrak{p})$ with respect to P . For $a \in A$, write

$a(\xi) > 0$ to indicate that the image of a in $k(\xi)$ is positive. The sets of the form $D(a) := \{\xi \in \text{sp} A : a(\xi) > 0\}$ for $a \in A$ are a subbasis for the topology on $\text{sp} A$. The *real spectrum of a scheme* X is the topological space X_r formed by glueing the real spectra of its open affine subschemes. This does not depend on the open cover of X that was chosen. Furthermore, any map of schemes $f : Y \rightarrow X$ induces a continuous map of real spectra $f_r : Y_r \rightarrow X_r$. The assignment $(\mathbf{p}, P) \mapsto \mathbf{p}$ defines a continuous map of topological spaces $\text{sp} A \rightarrow \text{spec} A$, and similarly one has a continuous map $\text{supp} : X_r \rightarrow X$ called the *support map*.

Definition 4.2. Let X be a scheme. First we recall the definition of the *real site* of X , which we also denote by X_r . It is the category $\mathcal{O}(X_r)$ of open subsets of X_r equipped with the “usual” coverings, i.e., a family of open subspaces $\{U_\lambda \rightarrow U\}$ is a covering of $U \in \mathcal{O}(X_r)$ if $U = \bigcup U_\lambda$.¹ The category of sheaves of abelian groups on X_r is denoted $\text{Ab}(X_r)$ and the category of abelian groups by Ab . For any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ab}(X_r)$, the *real cohomology groups of X with coefficients in \mathcal{F}* are the right derived functors of the global sections functor $\Gamma : \text{Ab}(X_r) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. They are denoted by

$$H^p(X_r, \mathcal{F}) := R^p \Gamma \mathcal{F},$$

where $R^p \Gamma$ is the p -th derived functor of Γ . When $X = \text{spec} A$ is affine, we may write $H^p(\text{sp} A, \mathcal{F})$ instead of $H^p(X_r, \mathcal{F})$. For any abelian group M , we also denote by M the sheaf on X_r associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto M$ for U any open set in X_r . Such a sheaf is called a *constant sheaf*. Moreover, when the group M is equipped with the discrete topology we may write $C(\text{sp} A, M)$ instead of $H^0(\text{sp} A, M)$. If $i : S \rightarrow X_r$ is a closed subspace, then for any abelian sheaf F on X_r , define

$$H_S^0(X_r, F) := \ker(F(X_r) \rightarrow F(X_r \setminus S)).$$

The functor $F \mapsto H_S^0(X_r, F)$ is left exact and its right derived functors

$$H_S^q(X_r, F) := R^q H_S^0(X_r, F)$$

are called the *relative cohomology of F with support in S* [Scheiderer 1995, Notations] see [SGA 4₃ 1973, Exposé V, 6.3] or [SGA 2 2005, Exposé I, §2, Defini-

¹The *real étale site*, denoted $X_{\text{rét}}$, is obtained by equipping the category of étale X -schemes with coverings given by the *real surjective families*, that is, $\{f_\lambda : U_\lambda \rightarrow U\}$ is a covering if the real spectrum U_r equals the union of the images $(f_\lambda)_r((U_\lambda)_r)$. For any sheaf F on X_r ,

$$\{X' \xrightarrow{f} X\} \mapsto H^0(X', f_r^* F)$$

defines a sheaf on $X_{\text{rét}}$ denoted F^{\flat} . This determines a functor from the category \widetilde{X}_r of sheaves on X_r to the category $\widetilde{X}_{\text{rét}}$ of sheaves on $X_{\text{rét}}$, which is an equivalence of categories compatible with morphisms $Y \rightarrow X$ of schemes [Scheiderer 1994, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.14, and Remark 1.16]. We follow [Scheiderer 1995, Notation] in defining real cohomology and cohomology with supports as sheaf cohomology on the topological space X_r .

tion 2.1]. Additionally, $i^!F$ is defined to be the sheaf

$$S \cap U \mapsto \ker(F(U) \rightarrow F(U \setminus (S \cap U)))$$

on S (U open in X_r) and one has that

$$H_S^0(X_r, F) = H^0(X_r, i_*i^!F)$$

using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_*i^!F \rightarrow F \rightarrow j^*j_*F \rightarrow i_*R^1i^!F \rightarrow 0; \quad (4.3)$$

see [SGA 4₃ 1973, Exposé V, Proposition 6.5] or [SGA 2 2005, Exposé I, Corollaire 2.11], noting that $R^1i_*i^!F \simeq i_*R^1i^!F$ since i_* is exact [Scheiderer 1994, Corollary 3.11.1].

Remark 4.4. Let X be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{R} , by which we mean an \mathbb{R} -scheme that is separated and of finite type. We explain in this remark how to equip $X(\mathbb{R})$ with a topology and identify its singular cohomology with the real cohomology of X_r . For any affine scheme $U = \text{spec } \mathbb{R}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]/I$, we consider the \mathbb{R} -points $U(\mathbb{R})$ as a topological space by equipping $U(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the subspace topology, where \mathbb{R}^n has the Euclidean topology. The *Euclidean topology* on the set of \mathbb{R} -points $X(\mathbb{R})$ is the topological space formed by glueing the $U(\mathbb{R})$ of the open affine subschemes U taken from an open cover of X . This does not depend on the open cover of X that was chosen. The inclusion map $i : X(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X_r$, sending an \mathbb{R} -point x to the pair $(x, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, is continuous and i^{-1} induces a bijection from connected components of X_r to connected components of $X(\mathbb{R})$ and from connected components of any basic open $D(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ in X_r to connected components of $i^{-1}(D(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n))$ [Coste and Roy 1982, Corollaire 3.7]. Hence, the functor i_* determines an equivalence from the category of constant sheaves of abelian groups on $X(\mathbb{R})$ to the category of constant sheaves of abelian groups on X_r . Consequently, for any abelian group M , the sheaf cohomology $H^*(X(\mathbb{R}), M)$ coincides with the real cohomology groups $H^*(X_r, i_*M)$ and $H^*(X_r, M)$. Also, singular cohomology $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), M)$ is canonically isomorphic to sheaf cohomology $H^*(X(\mathbb{R}), M)$; see [Scheiderer 1994, Remark 13.6]. In particular, the real cohomology groups $H^*(X_r, \mathbb{Z})$ are finitely generated groups, isomorphic to $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 4.5. Let $\text{Ab}(X_{\text{Zar}})$ denote the category of sheaves of abelian groups on the Zariski site X_{Zar} . Since the support map is a continuous map of topological spaces, it induces the direct image functor

$$\text{supp}_* : \text{Ab}(X_r) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(X_{\text{Zar}}),$$

and this functor is faithful and exact [Scheiderer 1994, Theorem 19.2].

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a scheme. For any sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ab}(X_r)$,*

$$H^p(X_r, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_{\text{Zar}}^p(X, \text{supp}_* \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. Using the Grothendieck spectral sequence for the composition of the functors supp_* and the global sections functor Γ , we obtain a spectral sequence with $E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{Zar}}^p(X, R^q \text{supp}_* \mathcal{F})$ that abuts to $H^{p+q}(X_r, \mathcal{F})$. For $q > 0$, the sheaves $R^q \text{supp}_* \mathcal{F}$ vanish [Scheiderer 1994, Theorem 19.2]. Therefore the edge maps in this spectral sequence determine isomorphisms $H^p(X_r, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{Zar}}^p(X, \text{supp}_* \mathcal{F})$ for $p \geq 0$. \square

Next we recall the work of C. Scheiderer [1995], in which he constructs a “Bloch–Ogus” style complex that computes real cohomology. The codimension of support filtration on X determines a spectral sequence abutting to real cohomology. Scheiderer shows that for regular excellent schemes the E_1 -page is zero except for the complex $E_1^{*,0}$, and hence obtains the result below. Recall that a locally noetherian scheme is called excellent if X can be covered by open affine subschemes $\text{spec } A_\alpha$, where the A_α are excellent rings [EGA IV₂ 1965, 7.8.5]. For a point $x \in X$ of a scheme, we denote $\text{sper } k(x)$ by x_r .

Proposition 4.7 [Scheiderer 1995, Theorem 2.1]. *Let X be a noetherian regular excellent scheme. Let W be an open constructible subset of X_r , and let \mathcal{F} be a locally constant sheaf on W . Then there is a complex of abelian groups*

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} H_x^0(W, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_x^1(W, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(2)}} H_x^2(W, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots \quad (4.8)$$

natural in W and \mathcal{F} , whose q -th cohomology group is canonically isomorphic to $H^q(W, \mathcal{F})$, $q \geq 0$. Here $H_x^q(W, \mathcal{F}) := H_{x_r \cap W}^q(\text{sper } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \cap W, \mathcal{F})$ are the relative cohomology groups of $\text{sper } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ with support in $x_r \cap W$ (Definition 4.2) and $X^{(i)}$ denotes, for $i \geq 0$, the set of codimension i points ($\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = i$) of X . This complex is contravariantly functorial for flat morphisms of schemes.

The following lemma is based on the proof of [Scheiderer 1995, Proposition 2.6], where $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let X be a noetherian regular excellent scheme which is integral with function field K . Let $x \in X^{(1)}$ and let π denote a choice of uniformizing parameter for $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Fix an integer $n \geq 0$ and let M denote the constant sheaf \mathbb{Z} . Denote by ∂ the map*

$$H^0(\text{sper } K, M) \rightarrow H_{x_r}^1(\text{sper } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, M)$$

induced by first differential of the complex (4.8) from Proposition 4.7. Then there is an isomorphism $\iota_\pi : H_{x_r}^1(\text{sper } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, M) \rightarrow H^0(x_r, M)$ for which $\iota_\pi \circ \partial = \beta_\pi$, where β_π is the map of Definition 3.2.

Proof. Let $X' = \text{sper } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $Z' = x_r$. Let $i : Z' \rightarrow X'$ denote the inclusion, and let $j : \text{sper } K \rightarrow X'$ denote the inclusion of the complement to Z' . For any abelian sheaf M on X' the sequence

$$M \rightarrow j_*j^*M \rightarrow i_*R^1i^!(M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact (Definition 4.2, (4.3)). By [Scheiderer 1995, Lemma 1.3], for any locally constant sheaf M on X' the sequence

$$M \rightarrow j_*j^*M \xrightarrow{\beta} i_*i^*M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where β is defined on stalks as $(\beta s)_\zeta = s(\eta_+) - s(\eta_-) \in M$. Hence we get an isomorphism ι_π of cokernels and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_*j^*M(X') & \xrightarrow{\partial} & i_*R^1i^!M(X') \\ & \searrow \beta & \downarrow \iota_\pi \\ & & i_*i^*M(X') \end{array} \tag{4.10}$$

Tracking down all the definitions, one finds that (4.10) is equal to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X' - Z', M) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1_{Z'}(X', M) \\ & \searrow \beta_\pi & \downarrow \iota_\pi \\ & & H^0(Z', M) \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is the isomorphism ι_π , the diagonal map is the map β_π of Definition 3.2, and $\text{sper } K$ equals $X' - Z'$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let A be a regular excellent local ring with fraction field K . Let $X = \text{spec } A$, and for any $x \in X^{(1)}$, let π_x be a choice of uniformizing parameter for $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Then the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C(\text{sper } K, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\oplus \beta_\pi} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} C(\text{sper } k(x), \mathbb{Z})$$

is exact, where β_π is the map of Definition 3.2.

Proof. To prove the lemma, choose isomorphisms ι_π for each $x \in X^{(1)}$ as in Lemma 4.9, and then use Proposition 4.7. \square

5. On the Gersten conjecture with 2 inverted

Definition 5.1. Let A be a regular local ring with 2 invertible and let $X = \text{spec } A$. Let d denote the Krull dimension of A and K the fraction field of A . We work with the Gersten complex for the Witt groups of X as found for instance in [Balmer

et al. 2002, Definition 3.1], which we denote by $C^\bullet(A, W)$. Recall that for any integer $p \geq 0$, after choosing local parameters for $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for each $x \in X^{(p)}$ one may write down isomorphisms $\iota_p : C^p(A, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} W(k(x))$. Then $C^\bullet(A, W)$ is isomorphic to the complex

$$C^\bullet(A, W, \iota) := W(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_\iota} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} W(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\partial_\iota} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_\iota} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(d)}} W(k(x)),$$

where the differentials are $\partial_\iota := \iota_{p+1} \circ \partial \circ \iota_p^{-1}$ and ∂ is the differential leaving $C^p(A, W)$. The differentials ∂_ι may differ for different choices of isomorphisms ι_p but the resulting complexes will all be isomorphic. For all $x \in X^{(1)}$ we may choose parameters $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ so that $\partial_\iota : W(K) \rightarrow W(k(x))$ equals the second residue ∂_π of Lemma 3.1; see [Balmer and Walter 2002, Lemma 8.4], cf. [Gille 2007, Proposition 6.5]. It was proved by J. Arason that the second residue ∂_π respects the filtration by powers of the fundamental ideal, that is, $\partial_\pi(I^n(K)) \subset I^{n-1}(k(x))$ [Arason 1975] and similarly one may show that all the differentials ∂_ι respect this filtration; for instance, this was shown by S. Gille [2007, Corollary 7.3] for coherent Witt groups, which gives the same complex since A is regular [Balmer et al. 2002, Section 3]. So one may obtain a subcomplex

$$C^\bullet(A, I^n, \iota) := \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} I^n(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\partial_\iota} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} I^{n-1}(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\partial_\iota} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_\iota} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(d)}} I^{n-d}(k(x)),$$

where we set $I^m(k(x)) = W(k(x))$ when $m \leq 0$. Define

$$C^\bullet(A, W/I^n) := C^\bullet(A, W)/C^\bullet(A, I^n, \iota)$$

to be the quotient complex. The exact sequence of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(A, I^n, \iota) & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(A, W) & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(A, W/I^n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(A, I^{n+1}, \iota) & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(A, W) & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(A, W/I^{n+1}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

determines an exact sequence of colimits

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W/I^n) \rightarrow 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned} C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n) &:= \varinjlim C^\bullet(A, I^n, \iota), \\ C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W/I^n) &:= \varinjlim C^\bullet(A, W/I^n), \\ C^\bullet(A, W[\frac{1}{2}]) &:= \varinjlim (C^\bullet(A, W) \xrightarrow{2} C^\bullet(A, W) \xrightarrow{2} C^\bullet(A, W) \xrightarrow{2} \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.3. *If A is a regular excellent local ring with 2 invertible, then the Gersten complex $C^\bullet(A, W[\frac{1}{2}])$ is exact and $H^0(C^\bullet(A, W[\frac{1}{2}])) = W(A)[\frac{1}{2}]$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the Krull dimension of A . The Gersten complex without inverting 2 is exact already in low dimensions for any regular local ring [Balmer et al. 2002, Lemma 3.2]. Fix A and assume that the statement of the proposition is known for regular excellent local rings of Krull dimension less than that of A . It is sufficient to show that the cohomology of $C^\bullet(A, W[\frac{1}{2}])$ vanishes in degrees 2 and higher: one may use the Balmer–Walter spectral sequence with 2 inverted for Witt groups to show that this implies $H^*(C^\bullet(A, W[\frac{1}{2}])) = 0$ in positive degree and $H^0(C^\bullet(A, W[\frac{1}{2}])) = W(A)[\frac{1}{2}]$; see, e.g., [Balmer et al. 2002, Lemma 3.2]. For any regular parameter $f \in A$, there is a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(A, W) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A_f, W) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A/f, W)[-1] \rightarrow 0;$$

see, for instance, [Balmer et al. 2002, Lemma 3.3 and proof of Theorem 4.4]. Taking colimits it remains exact. As $\dim A/f$ is strictly less than $\dim A$ and A/f is again regular and excellent we have that $C^\bullet(A/f, W[\frac{1}{2}])[-1]$ is exact.

Hence it remains to see that $C^\bullet(A_f, W)$ is exact in degrees 2 and higher. Note that for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec } A_f$, $\dim(A_f)_\mathfrak{p}$ is strictly less than $\dim A$ and $(A_f)_\mathfrak{p}$ is again regular and excellent, hence the cohomology of $C^\bullet(A_f, W[\frac{1}{2}])$ agrees with $H_{\text{Zar}}^*(\text{spec } A_f, \varinjlim \mathcal{W})$, where $\varinjlim \mathcal{W}$ denotes the colimit over the sheaves

$$\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \dots$$

For any point \mathfrak{p} in $\text{spec } A_\mathfrak{p}$, using the induction hypothesis we have that the top row in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim W((A_f)_\mathfrak{p}) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim W(K) & \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_\pi} & \bigoplus_{x \in Y^{(1)}} \varinjlim W(k(x)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{sign} & & \downarrow 2 \text{ sign} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper}(A_f)_\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } K, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) & \xrightarrow{\oplus \beta_\pi} & \bigoplus_{x \in Y^{(1)}} C(\text{sper } k(x), \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \end{array}$$

is exact, and using Lemma 4.11 we have that the bottom row is exact, where $Y := \text{spec}(A_f)_\mathfrak{p}$. Proposition 2.7 implies the middle vertical map is a bijection and the rightmost vertical map is an injection, from which it follows that the leftmost vertical map is bijective. Thus we get an isomorphism $\varinjlim \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ of sheaves on A_f as it is an isomorphism on stalks, where we use Lemma 4.6 to identify the sheaf $\text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ as the sheaf $U \mapsto C(U_r, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$. Then the real cohomology groups $H^*(\text{sper } A_f, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ are isomorphic to $H_{\text{Zar}}^*(\text{spec } A_f, \varinjlim \mathcal{W})$, so it remains to prove their vanishing in degree 2 and higher. This is true since the real cohomology of local rings vanish in positive degree (in fact, semilocal too)

[Scheiderer 1994, Proposition 19.2.1] and the real cohomology of $\text{sper } A_f$ sits in a long exact sequence with that of $\text{sper } A/f$ and $\text{sper } A$ whenever A is regular excellent [Scheiderer 1995, Corollary (1.10)]. This finishes the proof. \square

Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim I^n(A) & \longrightarrow & W(A)\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim I^n(K) & \longrightarrow & W(K)\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \end{array}$$

is commutative and the horizontal maps in the diagram are injective, we have the following corollary to Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.4. *Let A be a regular excellent local ring with 2 invertible. The map*

$$\varinjlim I^n(A) \rightarrow \varinjlim I^n(K)$$

is injective.

We will also need the following result later.

Lemma 5.5. *Let A be a regular excellent local ring with 2 invertible. The cohomology groups $H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n))$ vanish when $m \geq 2$.*

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence in cohomology

$$\dots \rightarrow H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n)) \rightarrow H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W)) \rightarrow H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W/I^n)) \rightarrow \dots$$

associated to the short exact sequence of complexes (5.2). The cohomology groups $H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W))$ vanish when $m > 0$ by Theorem 5.3. Then $H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n))$ is isomorphic to $H^{m-1}(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W/I^n))$ for all $m \geq 2$. The cohomology groups $H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W/I^n))$ are 2-primary torsion since the complex $C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim W/I^n)$ is, while the groups $H^m(C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n))$ have no 2-primary torsion since multiplication by 2

$$C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n) \xrightarrow{2} C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n)$$

is an isomorphism of complexes. Thus both groups vanish proving, the lemma. \square

6. Purity of the limit in the local “geometric” case

For any prime p , we use $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to denote the localization of \mathbb{Z} at the prime ideal $\langle p \rangle \in \text{spec } \mathbb{Z}$. In this section we prove purity of $\varinjlim I^n(A)$ in the case that A is essentially smooth over either \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (Proposition 6.3). When A is a local ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with $p \neq 2$ (that is to say, the characteristic of the fraction field K is 0 and the characteristic of the residue field is p) we say that A is essentially smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ if $A = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the localization at a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec } R$ of a smooth and finite type $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]/I$.

Lemma 6.1. *If A is essentially smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for some prime $p \neq 2$ or over \mathbb{Q} , then the sequence*

$$I^n(A)/I^{n+1}(A) \longrightarrow I^n(K)/I^{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_\pi} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} I^{n-1}(k(x))/I^n(k(x))$$

is exact, where $X = \text{spec } A$ and K is the fraction field of A .

Proof. Let $K_n^M(A)/2$ denote the “naive” Milnor K -theory defined exactly as for a field. Kummer theory gives a “symbol map” $K_n^M(A)/2 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_n^M(A)/2 & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(K)/2 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} K_{n-1}^M(k(x))/2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^n(K, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_{\text{ét}}^{n-1}(k(x), \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

where $X = \text{spec } A$ and K is the fraction field of A , the lower row is exact as a consequence of Gillet’s Gersten conjecture for étale cohomology in the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ case,² and Bloch–Ogus in the \mathbb{Q} case. Furthermore, the Galois symbol

$$K_n^M(A)/2 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective when A is essentially smooth over \mathbb{Q} [Kerz 2009; 2010] and when A is essentially smooth over a discrete valuation ring³; see [Kahn 2002, p. 114, surjectivity of the Galois symbol]. Applying the Milnor conjecture as proved by V. Voevodsky, we have that the vertical maps in the middle and on the right are bijections. It follows that the upper row is exact in the middle. Since $\langle\langle a, 1 - a \rangle\rangle = 0$ in $W(A)$ for $a \in A^\times$ such that $1 - a \in A^\times$, there is a well-defined homomorphism $K_n^M(A)/2 \rightarrow I^n(A)/I^{n+1}(A)$. Hence, in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_n^M(A)/2 & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(K)/2 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} K_{n-1}^M(k(x))/2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I^n(A)/I^{n+1}(A) & \longrightarrow & I^n(K)/I^{n+1}(K) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} I^{n-1}(k(x))/I^n(k(x)) \end{array}$$

²Manuscript notes titled “Bloch–Ogus for the étale cohomology of certain arithmetic schemes” distributed at the 1997 Seattle algebraic K-theory conference. Also, this follows from Thomas Geisser’s proof of the Gersten conjecture for motivic cohomology [Geisser 2004]. This is explicitly stated in the sentence after Geisser’s Theorem 1.2, because $R^n \epsilon_* \mu_2$ is the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^n(U, \mu_2)$, and the affirmation of the Milnor conjecture allows one to identify the Gersten complex for motivic cohomology with the Gersten complex for étale cohomology.

³In a correspondence with the author, B. Kahn explained that the passage from surjectivity in the essentially smooth over a field case to this case is easy and goes back to Lichtenbaum, if you grant Gillet’s Gersten conjecture for étale cohomology.

after using again the Milnor conjecture, by which the vertical maps in the middle and on the right are bijections, we have that the lower row is exact in the middle. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let A be essentially smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ($p \neq 2$) or \mathbb{Q} .*

- (1) *There exists an integer N such that $C^\bullet(A, I^s, \iota) \xrightarrow{2} C^\bullet(A, I^{s+1}, \iota)$ is an isomorphism of complexes for all $s \geq N$.*
- (2) *The groups $H^m(C^\bullet(A, W))$ are 2^N -torsion for all $m \geq 2$.*
- (3) *There exists an integer $B \geq 0$ such that $2^B H^0(C^\bullet(A, W)) \subset i_*(W(A))$, where $i^* : W(A) \rightarrow W(K)$ denotes the map induced by $i : \text{spec } K \rightarrow \text{spec } A$.*
- (4) *$2^{B+N} H^0(C^\bullet(A, W)) \subset i_*(I^N(A))$.*

Proof. To prove (1), note that the cohomological 2-dimension of $k(x)[\sqrt{-1}]$ is finite and, for all points x , bounded strictly less than some integer n . Using the Arason–Pfister Hauptsatz and the Milnor conjecture for fields it follows that $I^n(k(x)[\sqrt{-1}])$ vanishes for all x , and from this it follows that, for all x , we have an isomorphism $I^s(k(x)) \xrightarrow{2} I^{s+1}(k(x))$ for all $s \geq n$ [Elman et al. 2008, Corollary 35.27]. Hence $C^\bullet(A, I^s, \iota) \xrightarrow{2} C^\bullet(A, I^{s+1}, \iota)$ is an isomorphism of complexes for all $s \geq N$, where $N := n + \dim X$. Then $C^\bullet(A, \varinjlim I^n)$ and $C^\bullet(A, I^N, \iota)$ are isomorphic complexes, so the cohomology group $H^m(C^\bullet(A, I^N, \iota))$ vanishes when $m \geq 2$ by Lemma 5.5. It follows that the groups $H^m(C^\bullet(A, W))$ are 2^N -torsion when $m \geq 2$ since $H^m(C^\bullet(A, W)) \xrightarrow{2^N} H^m(C^\bullet(A, W))$ factors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^m(C^\bullet(A, W)) & \xrightarrow{2^N} & H^m(C^\bullet(A, W)) \\
 & \searrow^{2^N} & \nearrow \\
 & H^m(C^\bullet(A, I^N, \iota)) &
 \end{array}$$

proving (2).

Now to prove (3), let $q \in H^0(C^\bullet(A, W))$. From the Balmer–Walter spectral sequence for Witt groups [Balmer and Walter 2002] we have that $W(A)$ surjects onto $E_\infty^{0,0}$, which consists of the elements in $H^0(C^\bullet(A, W))$ mapped to zero under all the differentials in the spectral sequence leaving $H^0(C^\bullet(A, W))$. So it suffices to show that some 2-power of q maps to zero under all of these finitely many nontrivial differentials. The first nontrivial differential is $d : H^0(C^\bullet(A, W)) \rightarrow H^5(C^\bullet(A, W))$. Since $2^N H^5(C^\bullet(A, W)) = 0$, we have that $d(2^N q) = 0$. Repeating this argument for each nontrivial differential $d : H^0(C^\bullet(A, W)) \rightarrow H^{4^{*}+1}(C^\bullet(A, W))$ we eventually find some 2-power 2^B , which does not depend on q , such that $2^B q$ is in the kernel of all differentials, hence is in $E_\infty^{0,0}$. Finally, to prove (4), let $q \in 2^{B+N} H^0(C^\bullet(A, W))$. Write it as $q = 2^{B+N} q_{unr}$ for some $q_{unr} \in H^0(C^\bullet(A, W))$. By (3), we have that $2^B q_{unr} = i_*(Q)$ for some $Q \in W(A)$. So $i_*(2^N Q) = q$ and $2^N Q \in I^N(A)$. This proves $2^{B+N} H^0(C^\bullet(A, W)) \subset i_*(I^N(A))$, finishing the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Let A be essentially smooth over either $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ($p \neq 2$) or \mathbb{Q} . The sequence*

$$\varinjlim I^n(A) \longrightarrow \varinjlim I^n(K) \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_\pi} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} \varinjlim_{n \geq -1} I^n(k(x))$$

is exact, where $\varinjlim_{n \geq -1} I^n(k(x))$ denotes the colimit over

$$W(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} W(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I^2(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \dots$$

Proof. Let q be in the kernel of the residue, hence $q \in H^0(C^\bullet(A, I^N, \iota))$ for some $N \geq 0$. We may assume that N is the integer N from Lemma 6.2(1) by either multiplying by 2 or dividing by 2 as needed. Using Lemma 6.1 we find $\overline{Q_N} \in I^N(A)/I^{N+1}(A)$, which we may then lift to obtain $Q_N \in I^N(A)$ satisfying $q - i_*(Q_N) \in H^0(C^\bullet(A, I^{N+1}, \iota))$. By repeating this argument we find that $q - i_*(Q_N + Q_{N+1} + \dots + Q_{B+2N-1}) \in H^0(C^\bullet(A, I^{B+2N}, \iota))$, where B is the integer from Lemma 6.2(3). Since we are in the “stable” range we have that $H^0(C^\bullet(A, I^{B+2N}, \iota)) = 2^{B+N}H^0(C^\bullet(A, I^N, \iota)) \subset 2^{B+N}H^0(C^\bullet(A, W)) \subset i_*(I^N(A))$, where we used Lemma 6.2(4) to obtain the rightmost inclusion. Hence we have $Q'_N \in I^N(A)$ such that

$$q = i_*(Q_N + Q_{N+1} + \dots + Q_{B+2N-1} + Q'_N),$$

where $Q_N + Q_{N+1} + \dots + Q_{B+2N-1} + Q'_N \in I^N(A)$. This finishes the proof. \square

7. On the signature: local case

In this section we use “Hoobler’s trick”, which is a method due to R. Hoobler [2006] for passing from the smooth geometric case to the geometric case for many questions involving cohomological invariants satisfying “rigidity” in the sense of the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *If B is a local ring and (B, I) a henselian pair such that 2 is invertible in both B and B/I , then for all integers $n \geq 0$, the homomorphisms of groups*

$$\begin{aligned} I^n(B) &\rightarrow I^n(B/I), \\ I^n(B)/I^{n+1}(B) &\rightarrow I^n(B/I)/I^{n+1}(B/I) \end{aligned}$$

induced by the surjection $B \rightarrow B/I$ are bijections.

Proof. Let B be a local ring and (B, I) a henselian pair such that 2 is invertible in both B and B/I . Considering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{n+1}(B) & \longrightarrow & I^n(B) & \longrightarrow & I^n(B)/I^{n+1}(B) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{n+1}(B/I) & \longrightarrow & I^n(B/I) & \longrightarrow & I^n(B/I)/I^{n+1}(B/I) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

we see, by the two out of three lemma, that it suffices to prove $I^n(B) \rightarrow I^n(B/I)$ is a bijection for all $n \geq 0$. To prove injectivity for all $n \geq 0$, note that as $I^n(B)$ is contained in $W(B)$, it suffices to prove that $W(B) \rightarrow W(B/I)$ is injective.

We now claim that the assignment $b + I \mapsto b$ determines a well-defined map $(B/I)^\times / (B/I)^{\times 2} \rightarrow B^\times / B^{\times 2}$. This claim follows from rigidity for étale cohomology due to Strano [1984] and Gabber [1994] (independently), but one may also prove it directly from the definition of Henselian pair⁴: let $b_1, b_2 \in B^\times$ be such that $b_1 + I = b_2 + I$; the polynomial $T^2 - b_1/b_2$ has image $T^2 - 1$ in $B/I[T]$; as (B, I) is a henselian pair, from the factorization $T^2 - 1 = (T - 1)(T + 1)$ in $B/I[T]$ we obtain a factorization $T^2 - b_1/b_2 = (T - a)(T + a)$ in $B[T]$, for some $a \in B$; hence $b_1 = a^2 b_2$ for some $a \in B^\times$, that is, $b_1 = b_2$ in $B^\times / (B^\times)^2$. The claim follows.

Next recall that for any semilocal ring A , the Witt group $W(A)$ is a quotient of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[A^\times / A^{\times 2}]$ modulo the set of relations R additively generated by $[1] + [-1]$ and all elements

$$\sum_{i=1}^h [a_i] - \sum_{i=1}^h [b_i]$$

satisfying

$$\perp_{i=1}^h \langle a_i \rangle \simeq \perp_{i=1}^h \langle b_i \rangle$$

with $h = 4$ [Knebusch 1977, Chapter 2, §4, Theorem 2]. Hence, the rows are exact in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[B^\times / B^{\times 2}] & \longrightarrow & W(B) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[(B/I)^\times / (B/I)^{\times 2}] & \longrightarrow & W(B/I) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Thus we obtain a well-defined map of cokernels $W(B/I) \rightarrow W(B)$ such that the composition $W(B) \rightarrow W(B/I) \rightarrow W(B)$ is the identity. This proves the desired injectivity. The composition $W(B/I) \rightarrow W(B) \rightarrow W(B/I)$ is the identity, hence $W(B) \rightarrow W(B/I)$ is surjective. To prove surjectivity of $I^n(B) \rightarrow I^n(B/I)$ for all $n \geq 0$, recall that $I^n(B/I)$ is additively generated by Pfister forms $\langle\langle \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_n \rangle\rangle$, where $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_n$ are units in B/I [Baeza 1978, Chapter V, Section 1, Remark 1.3]. For any Pfister form $\langle\langle \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_n \rangle\rangle$ we may lift the \bar{b}_i to units b_i of B to obtain an element $\langle\langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle\rangle \in I^n(B)$ mapping to it, proving surjectivity of $I^n(B) \rightarrow I^n(B/I)$ and finishing the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 7.2. *If A is a local ring with $2 \in A^\times$, then the signature map*

$$\varinjlim I^n(A) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z})$$

is a bijection.

⁴The author learned this from a recent preprint of Stefan Gille [2015].

Proof. As both groups respect filtered colimits, it suffices to consider the case where A is a localization of a finite type \mathbb{Z} -algebra: any local ring may be written as a union of its finitely generated subrings A_α ; pulling back the maximal ideal of A over $A_\alpha \rightarrow A$ yields a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_\alpha \in \text{spec } A_\alpha$; localizing the A_α with respect to these primes yields a directed system of local rings $A_{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha}$, and taking the direct limit yields A .

From now on we assume $A = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec } R$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]/I$ for some ideal I . We obtain a *henselian pair* (B, I) for A as follows: let s denote the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow R$, and let $B_0 := \mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]_{s^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})}$ and similarly $I_0 := I_{s^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})}$; also let B denote the henselization of B_0 along I_0 and $I := I_0 B$. Recall that the henselization along I_0 is obtained by taking the colimit over the directed category consisting of those étale B_0 -algebras C having the property that $B_0/I_0 \rightarrow C/I_0 C$ is an isomorphism. The map $B_0 \rightarrow B$ induces on quotients $A = B_0/I_0 \rightarrow B/I$ an isomorphism of local rings. In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} \varinjlim I^n(B) @>>> \varinjlim I^n(A) \\ @VV \text{sign} V @VV \text{sign} V \\ \text{C}(\text{sp} B, \mathbb{Z}) @>>> \text{C}(\text{sp} A, \mathbb{Z}) \end{CD}$$

the horizontal maps induced by the surjection $B \rightarrow B/I \simeq A$ are isomorphisms for the powers of the fundamental ideal (Lemma 7.1) and for real cohomology.⁵ Therefore it suffices to prove bijectivity for B .

We claim that the local ring B is a filtered colimit of local rings which are essentially smooth over either $\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle}$ ($p \neq 2$) or over \mathbb{Q} . To prove the claim, first note that the pullback of $s^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \in \text{spec } \mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]$ over $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]$ yields a prime $\langle p \rangle \in \text{spec } \mathbb{Z}$, and localizing with respect to this prime induces $\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} \hookrightarrow B_0$. When $\langle p \rangle = 0$ it follows that B_0 contains \mathbb{Q} , otherwise B_0 contains $\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle}$, $p \neq 2$. The morphisms $\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} \rightarrow B_0$ and $B_0 \rightarrow B$ are both flat with geometrically regular fibers, hence the composition $\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} \rightarrow B$ has these properties. Then it follows from Popescu’s theorem that B is a filtered colimit of either smooth $\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle}$ -algebras or \mathbb{Q} -algebras A_α . Pulling back the maximal ideal over $A_\alpha \rightarrow B$ and localizing, one obtains the statement of the claim. Thus, we may assume that B is essentially smooth over \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle}$. Then we may apply Lemma 4.11 to get exactness of the lower row in the commutative diagram

⁵The following proof was communicated to the author by C. Scheiderer: every point in $\text{sp} B$ specializes to a point in $\text{sp} B/I$ by the henselian property; since any real spectrum is a “normal” spectral space, meaning that every point of X_r specializes to a unique closed point, the restriction map in sheaf cohomology $H^*(X_r, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^*((X_r)_{\text{max}}, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism for every sheaf \mathcal{F} on any scheme X ; thus restriction gives isomorphisms

$$H^*(\text{sp}(B), \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{sp}(B)_{\text{max}}, \mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} H^*(\text{sp}(B/I), \mathcal{F}).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim I^n(B) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim I^n(K) & \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_\pi} & \bigoplus_{x \in Y^{(1)}} \varinjlim_{n \geq -1} I^n(k(x)) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{sign} & & \downarrow 2 \text{ sign} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } B, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } K, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\oplus \beta_\pi} & \bigoplus_{x \in Y^{(1)}} C(\text{sper } k(x), \mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

where $Y = \text{spec } B$. We have exactness of the upper row by Proposition 6.3 and Corollary 5.4. Using the bijection of Proposition 2.7 we get that the middle vertical map in the diagram above is bijective and the rightmost vertical map is injective. The square on the right commutes by Lemma 3.4. Hence $\varinjlim I^n(B) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } B, \mathbb{Z})$ is bijective, finishing the proof of the theorem. \square

The following corollary is well-known, as mentioned in the introduction.

Corollary 7.3. *Let A be a local ring with $2 \in A^\times$. Then the signature induces an isomorphism*

$$W(A)\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \rightarrow C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z})\left[\frac{1}{2}\right].$$

Proof. From the preceding theorem, any $f \in C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z})$ has $2^n f = \text{sign}(Q)$ for some $Q \in I^n(A) \subset W(A)$, proving surjectivity, and for any $Q' \in W(A)$, if $\text{sign}(Q') = 0$ then $2^n Q' = 0$ for some n , proving injectivity. \square

Remark 7.4. Let $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ be a \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded ring and let $s \in A_1$ be a homogeneous element of degree 1. Recall that the homogeneous localization $A_{(s)}$ is the subring of degree zero elements in the localization of A with respect to $\{1, s, s^2, \dots\}$, and that $A_{(s)} \simeq A/(s-1)A$ as rings. Furthermore, $A_{(s)}$ may be obtained by taking the direct limit of the sequence $A_0 \xrightarrow{s} A_1 \xrightarrow{s} A_2 \xrightarrow{s} \dots$.

Corollary 7.5. *Let A be a local ring with 2 invertible.*

- (1) *Let $I^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle}$ be the homogeneous localization of the graded ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n(A)$ with respect to the element $\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, 1 \rangle \in I(A)$. The signature defines an isomorphism of rings*

$$I^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \simeq C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

- (2) *Let $\bar{I}^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle}$ be the homogeneous localization of the graded ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \bar{I}^n(A)$ with respect to $\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, 1 \rangle \in \bar{I}^1(A)$, where $\bar{I}^n(A) := I^n(A)/I^{n+1}(A)$. The signature defines an isomorphism of rings*

$$\bar{I}^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \simeq C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Proof. Recall (Remark 7.4) that one may identify $\varinjlim I^n(A)$ with $I^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle}$: using the direct sum construction of the direct limit $\varinjlim I^n(A)$, the relations one finds are the same as the relations defining the localization $I^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle}$; explicitly, the

isomorphism $\varphi : \varinjlim I^n(A) \rightarrow I^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle}$ is given by $\varphi_n : I^n(A) \rightarrow I^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle}$ defined by

$$q \mapsto \frac{q}{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle^n},$$

and consequently we obtain using the preceding proposition that the assignment

$$\frac{q}{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle^n} \mapsto \frac{\text{sign}(q)}{2^n},$$

for $q \in I^n(A)$, defines an isomorphism from $I^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle}$ to $C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z})$. To prove (2), we obtain the desired isomorphism as an isomorphism of cokernels in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} I^n(A) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim I^n(A) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \bar{I}^n(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } A, 2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\varinjlim_{n \geq 1} I^n(A) \rightarrow C(\text{sper } A, 2\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism since in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} I^n(A) & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } A, 2\mathbb{Z}) \\ \langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle \uparrow & & 2 \uparrow \\ \varinjlim I^n(A) & \longrightarrow & C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

the vertical maps and the lower horizontal map are isomorphisms. □

Corollary 7.6. *Let A be a local ring with 2 invertible. Let $H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)_{(-1)}$ denote the homogeneous localization of the cohomology ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{\text{ét}}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to $(-1) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Then the n -th cohomological invariant*

$$\bar{e}_n : \bar{I}^n \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/2),$$

which assigns the class of a Pfister form $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ to the cup product $(a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_n)$, determines a well-defined homomorphism

$$\bar{e}_* : \bar{I}^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)_{(-1)}$$

which is an isomorphism of rings.

Proof. For any local ring A essentially smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ or \mathbb{Q} , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I^n(A) & \longrightarrow & I^n(K) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} I^{n-1}(k(x))/2 & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^n(K) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_{\text{ét}}^{n-1}(k(x)) & \end{array}$$

commutes and the lower row is exact as the Gersten conjecture is known for étale cohomology in this case.

As the diagram commutes it follows that $I^n(A)/I^{n+1}(A)$ maps into $H^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Let \bar{e}_n denote this map. As the lower row is exact, it has the description asserted on Pfister forms. Using rigidity and the fact that both groups respect filtered colimits as in the proof of Theorem 8.6, we obtain the map \bar{e}_n for any local ring, and after localizing, we obtain the map in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{I}^*(A)_{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}_*} & H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)_{(-1)} \\
 & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \cong \\
 & & C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}/2)
 \end{array}$$

where we use the fact that for any semilocal ring A with 2 invertible, the signature modulo 2 defines an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)_{(-1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} C(\text{sper } A, \mathbb{Z}/2) \tag{7.7}$$

of rings. This is due to J. Burési and L. Mahé in the semilocal case [Burési 1995; Mahé 1995] and C. Scheiderer in general [Scheiderer 1994, Corollaries 7.10.3 and 7.19]. From the isomorphisms in the diagram, the desired isomorphism follows. \square

8. Globalization

In this section X always denotes a scheme. Let $W(X)$ denote the Witt ring of symmetric bilinear forms over X ; see [Knebusch 1977].

Definition 8.1. Recall that the *global signature* is the ring homomorphism

$$\text{sign} : W(X) \rightarrow H^0(X_r, \mathbb{Z})$$

that assigns an isometry class $[\phi]$ of a symmetric bilinear form ϕ over X to the function on X_r defined by

$$\text{sign}([\phi])(x, P) := \text{sign}_P([i_x^* \phi]),$$

where $i_x : x \rightarrow X$ is any point and P is any ordering on $k(x)$; see [Mahé 1982].

Definition 8.2. There exists a well-defined ring homomorphism on the Witt ring $W(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, called the *rank*, which assigns an isometry class of a symmetric bilinear form $[\mathcal{E}, \phi]$ over X to the rank of its underlying vector bundle \mathcal{E} modulo 2; see [Knebusch 1977, Chapter 1, §7]. The kernel of the rank map is called the *fundamental ideal* and is denoted by $I(X)$.

It follows from the definitions that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} & H^0(X_r, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \downarrow \text{rank mod 2} & & \downarrow \\
 H^0_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{h_0} & H^0(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})
 \end{array} \tag{8.3}$$

commutes, where h_0 denotes the *signature modulo 2* defined as follows: given $\alpha \in H^0_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, if $\xi : x \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of a “real” point (that is, for some $(x, P) \in X_r$), then $h_0(\alpha)$ evaluated at ξ is $\xi^*\alpha \in H^0(x_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; write $\alpha(\xi)$ for this element of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, so $h_0(\alpha)$ is the locally constant map $X_r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $\xi \mapsto \alpha(\xi)$; see [Scheiderer 1994, (7.19.1)].

Definition 8.4. As there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_r, 2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(X_r, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

one finds using commutativity of (8.3) that the restriction of the signature to $I(X)$ defines the homomorphism of groups

$$I(X) \rightarrow H^0(X_r, 2\mathbb{Z}).$$

For $n \geq 0$, let $I^n(X)$ denote the powers of the fundamental ideal and $I^0(X) = W(X)$. Since the global signature is a ring homomorphism that maps elements of $I(X)$ into $H^0(X_r, 2\mathbb{Z})$, it follows that for any $n \geq 0$ it induces a homomorphism

$$I^n(X) \rightarrow H^0(X_r, 2^n\mathbb{Z})$$

of groups. Moreover, multiplication by $2 = \langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle \in I(X)$ induces a homomorphism $I^n(X) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I^{n+1}(X)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I^j(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} & H^0(X_r, 2^j\mathbb{Z}) \\
 \downarrow \langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle & & \downarrow 2 \\
 I^{j+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} & H^0(X_r, 2^{j+1}\mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Hence, we obtain a homomorphism

$$\varinjlim I^n(X) \rightarrow H^0(X_r, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\varinjlim I^n(X)$ denotes the direct limit of the sequence of groups

$$W(X) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I(X) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I^2(X) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \dots$$

Definition 8.5. It follows from Lemma 4.6 that $\text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}$ is the Zariski sheaf $U \mapsto H^0(U_r, \mathbb{Z})$ on X . Recall that \mathcal{I}^n denotes the Zariski sheaf on X associated to the

presheaf $U \mapsto I^n(U)$. For any integer $n \geq 0$, the restriction of the global signature to the powers of the fundamental ideal of Definition 8.4 induces a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{I}^n \rightarrow \text{supp}_* 2^n \mathbb{Z}$$

of Zariski sheaves on X . Similarly, $I^n(X) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I^{n+1}(X)$ induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{I}^n \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{I}^{n+1}$ of sheaves for any $n \geq 0$, and a homomorphism of sheaves

$$\varinjlim I^n \rightarrow \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\varinjlim I^n$ denotes the direct limit of the sequence of sheaves

$$\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{I}^2 \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \dots$$

Similarly, the signature induces a morphism of sheaves

$$\mathcal{W}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}],$$

where $\mathcal{W}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto W(U)[\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is the sheaf $U \mapsto H^0(U, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$.

Theorem 8.6. *Let X be a scheme with 2 invertible in its global sections.*

(1) *The signature morphism of sheaves*

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{I}^n \rightarrow \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z} \tag{8.7}$$

of Definition 8.5 is an isomorphism.

(2) *The signature morphism of sheaves*

$$\mathcal{W}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \tag{8.8}$$

of Definition 8.5 is an isomorphism.

(3) *The signature induces an isomorphism of short exact sequence of sheaves on X ,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \mathcal{I}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W}[\frac{1}{2}] & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{I}^n \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \longrightarrow & \text{supp}_* (\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{I}^n$ denotes the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{W}(U)/\mathcal{I}^n(U)$.

(4) *The signature induces an isomorphism of short exact sequence of sheaves on X ,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \mathcal{T}^n & \xrightarrow{\langle(-1)\rangle} & \varinjlim \mathcal{T}^n & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \overline{\mathcal{T}}^n \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \text{supp}_* \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^n$ denotes the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{T}^n(U)/\mathcal{T}^{n+1}(U)$.

Proof. Statements (1) and (2) follow immediately from the local case, Proposition 7.2 and Corollary 7.3 respectively, as it is sufficient to prove that they induce an isomorphism on stalks. As supp_* is exact, statements (3) and (4) may be obtained by applying supp_* to the analogous short exact sequences of groups and then using the two out of three lemma to conclude. For (4), one should also note that

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{T}^n \xrightarrow{\langle(-1)\rangle} \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{T}^n$$

is an isomorphism to obtain exactness of the top row of the diagram in (4). □

The next corollary is an immediate consequence of the previous theorem and Lemma 4.6.

Corollary 8.9. *Let X be a scheme with 2 invertible.*

(1) *For any $m \geq 0$, the morphism (8.7) induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups*

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \varinjlim \mathcal{T}^n) \rightarrow H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z}).$$

(2) *For any $m \geq 0$, the morphism (8.8) induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups*

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \mathcal{W}[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]).$$

Corollary 8.10. *Let X be a scheme with 2 invertible which is quasiseparated and quasicompact. Then there is an isomorphism of cohomology groups for all $m \geq 0$,*

$$\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \varinjlim \overline{\mathcal{T}}^n) \simeq \varinjlim H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Proof. Under the hypotheses stated C. Scheiderer [1994, Corollary 7.19] has proved that there is an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/2),$$

and from Theorem 8.6 one has an isomorphism $H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \overline{\mathcal{T}}^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^m(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ for all $m \geq 0$. Thus one obtains the isomorphism stated. □

Corollary 8.11. *If X is a real variety (by which we mean a scheme which is separated and of finite type over \mathbb{R}) and the Krull dimension of X is d , then whenever $n \geq d + 1$, the signature induces an isomorphism in cohomology*

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^m(X, \mathcal{I}^n) \xrightarrow{\text{sign}} \cong H_{\text{sing}}^m(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$$

for all integers $m \geq 0$ and an isomorphism of long exact sequences as stated in the introduction.

Proof. It suffices to see that the morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{I}^n \xrightarrow{\langle(-1)\rangle} \mathcal{I}^{n+1}$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq d + 1$, for then multiplication by 2^{d+1} defines an isomorphism of sheaves $\mathcal{I}^{d+1} \simeq \varinjlim \mathcal{I}^n$ and hence we obtain the statement of the corollary using Theorem 8.6 in view of Remark 4.4. When $n \geq d + 1$, for any U open in X we have an isomorphism of kernels in the diagram of residues

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^n(U) & \longrightarrow & I^n(K) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} I^{n-1}(k(x)) \\ & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^{n+1}(U) & \longrightarrow & I^{n+1}(K) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} I^n(k(x)) \end{array}$$

since the two rightmost vertical maps are isomorphisms for $n \geq d + 1$, which proves the desired isomorphism. □

References

[Arason 1975] J. K. Arason, “Cohomologische invarianten quadratischer Formen”, *J. Algebra* **36**:3 (1975), 448–491. MR Zbl

[Arason and Knebusch 1978] J. K. Arason and M. Knebusch, “Über die Grade quadratischer Formen”, *Math. Ann.* **234**:2 (1978), 167–192. MR Zbl

[Baeza 1978] R. Baeza, *Quadratic forms over semilocal rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **655**, Springer, 1978. MR Zbl

[Balmer and Walter 2002] P. Balmer and C. Walter, “A Gersten–Witt spectral sequence for regular schemes”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **35**:1 (2002), 127–152. MR Zbl

[Balmer et al. 2002] P. Balmer, S. Gille, I. Panin, and C. Walter, “The Gersten conjecture for Witt groups in the equicharacteristic case”, *Doc. Math.* **7** (2002), 203–217. MR Zbl

[Bochnak et al. 1998] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy, *Real algebraic geometry*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3)* **36**, Springer, Berlin, 1998. MR Zbl

[Burési 1995] J. Burési, “Local-global principle for étale cohomology”, *K-Theory* **9**:6 (1995), 551–566. MR Zbl

[Colliot-Thélène and Parimala 1990] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and R. Parimala, “Real components of algebraic varieties and étale cohomology”, *Invent. Math.* **101**:1 (1990), 81–99. MR Zbl

[Coste and Roy 1982] M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, “La topologie du spectre réel”, pp. 27–59 in *Ordered fields and real algebraic geometry* (San Francisco, 1981), edited by D. W. Dubois and T. Recio, *Contemp. Math.* **8**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1982. MR Zbl

- [EGA IV₂ 1965] A. Grothendieck, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique, IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, II”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **24** (1965), 5–231. MR Zbl
- [Elman and Lam 1972] R. Elman and T. Y. Lam, “Quadratic forms over formally real fields and pythagorean fields”, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 1155–1194. MR Zbl
- [Elman et al. 2008] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, Colloquium Publications **56**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. MR Zbl
- [Fasel 2011] J. Fasel, “Some remarks on orbit sets of unimodular rows”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **86**:1 (2011), 13–39. MR Zbl
- [Fasel 2013] J. Fasel, “The projective bundle theorem for \mathbf{I}^j -cohomology”, *J. K-Theory* **11**:2 (2013), 413–464. MR Zbl
- [Gabber 1994] O. Gabber, “Affine analog of the proper base change theorem”, *Israel J. Math.* **87**:1 (1994), 325–335. MR Zbl
- [Geisser 2004] T. Geisser, “Motivic cohomology over Dedekind rings”, *Math. Z.* **248**:4 (2004), 773–794. MR Zbl
- [Gille 2007] S. Gille, “A graded Gersten–Witt complex for schemes with a dualizing complex and the Chow group”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **208**:2 (2007), 391–419. MR Zbl
- [Gille 2015] S. Gille, “On quadratic forms over semilocal rings”, preprint, 2015, available at <http://www.math.ualberta.ca/~gille/QuadFormsLocalRings.pdf>.
- [Hoobler 2006] R. T. Hoobler, “The Merkurjev–Suslin theorem for any semi-local ring”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **207**:3 (2006), 537–552. MR Zbl
- [Kahn 2002] B. Kahn, “ K -theory of semi-local rings with finite coefficients and étale cohomology”, *K-Theory* **25**:2 (2002), 99–138. MR Zbl
- [Kerz 2009] M. Kerz, “The Gersten conjecture for Milnor K -theory”, *Invent. Math.* **175**:1 (2009), 1–33. MR Zbl
- [Kerz 2010] M. Kerz, “Milnor K -theory of local rings with finite residue fields”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **19**:1 (2010), 173–191. MR Zbl
- [Knebusch 1977] M. Knebusch, “Symmetric bilinear forms over algebraic varieties”, pp. 103–283 in *Conference on quadratic forms* (Kingston, ON, 1976), edited by G. Orzech, Queen’s Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics **46**, Queen’s University, Kingston, ON, 1977. MR Zbl
- [Knebusch and Scheiderer 1989] M. Knebusch and C. Scheiderer, *Einführung in die reelle Algebra*, Vieweg Studium: Aufbaukurs Mathematik **63**, Friedrich Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, Germany, 1989. MR Zbl
- [Mahé 1982] L. Mahé, “Signatures et composantes connexes”, *Math. Ann.* **260**:2 (1982), 191–210. MR Zbl
- [Mahé 1995] L. Mahé, “On the separation of connected components by étale cohomology”, *K-Theory* **9**:6 (1995), 545–549. MR Zbl
- [Milnor and Husemoller 1973] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* **73**, Springer, New York, 1973. MR Zbl
- [Pfister 1966] A. Pfister, “Quadratische Formen in beliebigen Körpern”, *Invent. Math.* **1** (1966), 116–132. MR Zbl
- [Scheiderer 1994] C. Scheiderer, *Real and étale cohomology*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1588**, Springer, Berlin, 1994. MR Zbl

- [Scheiderer 1995] C. Scheiderer, “Purity theorems for real spectra and applications”, pp. 229–250 in *Real analytic and algebraic geometry* (Trento, 1992), edited by F. Broglia et al., de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995. MR Zbl
- [SGA 2 2005] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux* (Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962), Documents Mathématiques (Paris) **4**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005. Revised reprint of the 1968 French original. MR Zbl
- [SGA 4₃ 1973] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J. L. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 3: Exposés IX–XIX* (Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963–1964), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **305**, Springer, Berlin, 1973. MR Zbl
- [Strano 1984] R. Strano, “On the étale cohomology of Hensel rings”, *Comm. Algebra* **12**:17–18 (1984), 2195–2211. MR Zbl

Received 16 Mar 2016. Revised 4 Aug 2016. Accepted 19 Sep 2016.

JEREMY A. JACOBSON: jeremy.a.jacobson@emory.edu
Institute for Quantitative Theory and Methods, Emory University, 532 Kilgo Circle, 4th Floor,
Atlanta, GA 30322, United States

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

msp.org/akt

EDITORIAL BOARD

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| Paul Balmer | University of California, Los Angeles, USA balmer@math.ucla.edu |
| Spencer Bloch | University of Chicago, USA bloch@math.uchicago.edu |
| Alain Connes | Collège de France; Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques; Ohio State University alain@connes.org |
| Guillermo Cortiñas | Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, Argentina gcorti@dm.uba.ar |
| Eric Friedlander | University of Southern California, USA ericmf@usc.edu |
| Max Karoubi | Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, France max.karoubi@imj-prg.fr |
| Gennadi Kasparov | Vanderbilt University, USA gennadi.kasparov@vanderbilt.edu |
| Alexander Merkurjev | University of California, Los Angeles, USA merkurev@math.ucla.edu |
| Amnon Neeman | amnon.Australian National University neeman@anu.edu.au |
| Jonathan Rosenberg | (Managing Editor) University of Maryland, USA jmr@math.umd.edu |
| Marco Schlichting | University of Warwick, UK schlichting@warwick.ac.uk |
| Andrei Suslin | Northwestern University, USA suslin@math.northwestern.edu |
| Vladimir Voevodsky | Institute for Advanced Studies, USA vladimir@math.ias.edu |
| Charles Weibel | (Managing Editor) Rutgers University, USA weibel@math.rutgers.edu |
| Guoliang Yu | Texas A&M University, USA guoliangyu@math.tamu.edu |

PRODUCTION

- | | |
|-------------|---|
| Silvio Levy | (Scientific Editor) production@msp.org |
|-------------|---|

Annals of K-Theory is a journal of the K-Theory Foundation (ktheoryfoundation.org). The K-Theory Foundation acknowledges the precious support of Foundation Compositio Mathematica, whose help has been instrumental in the launch of the Annals of K-Theory.


See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$470/year (+\$25, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Annals of K-Theory (ISSN 2379-1681 electronic, 2379-1683 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

AKT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

2017

vol. 2

no. 3

- | | |
|--|-----|
| Real cohomology and the powers of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring Jeremy A. Jacobson | 357 |
| Colocalising subcategories of modules over finite group schemes Dave Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, Henning Krause and Julia Pevtsova | 387 |
| Exterior power operations on higher K -groups via binary complexes Tom Harris, Bernhard Köck and Lenny Taelman | 409 |