ANNALS OF K-THEORY

vol. 3 no. 3 2018

On a localization formula of epsilon factors via microlocal geometry

Tomoyuki Abe and Deepam Patel

msp

A JOURNAL OF THE K-THEORY FOUNDATION

ANNALS OF K-THEORY
Vol. 3, No. 3, 2018
dx.doi.org/10.2140/akt.2018.3.461

On a localization formula of epsilon factors via microlocal geometry

Tomoyuki Abe and Deepam Patel

Given a lisse l-adic sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on a smooth proper variety X and a lisse sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on an open dense U in X, Kato and Saito conjectured a localization formula for the global l-adic epsilon factor $\varepsilon_l(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})$ in terms of the global epsilon factor of $\mathcal{F}$ and a certain intersection number associated to $\det(\mathcal{G})$ and the Swan class of $\mathcal{F}$. In this article, we prove an analog of this conjecture for global de Rham epsilon factors in the classical setting of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules on smooth projective varieties over a field of characteristic zero.

1. Introduction

Let X denote a smooth proper variety of dimension d over a finite field F of characteristic p, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth étale $\mathbb{Q}_l$ (or $\mathbb{F}_l$) sheaf. Then, one has the usual global l-adic epsilon factor

$$\varepsilon_l(X, \mathcal{F}) := \prod_{q=0}^{2d} \det(-\sigma : \mathrm{H}^q_c(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{F}))^{(-1)^{q+1}},$$

where $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ is the geometric Frobenius. In this setting, Kato and Saito conjectured the following "localization" formula for the epsilon factor of the tensor product:

Conjecture [Kato and Saito 2008, Conjecture 4.3.11]. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible sheaf on X, and $\mathcal{G}$ a smooth sheaf on X. Then one has

$$\varepsilon_l(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) = \varepsilon_l(X, \mathcal{F})^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \cdot \langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle.$$

Here $r_{\mathcal{G}}$ denotes the rank of $\mathcal{G}$, and $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes a pairing defined using the class field theory which we do not recall here.

Abe is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) 16H05993. Patel would like to acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation award DMS-1502296. Finally, both authors would like to thank the referee for reading the manuscript very carefully.
MSC2010: 14C35, 14F10, 19M05.
Keywords: K-theory, epsilon factors.

When X is a proper smooth variety over a field k of characteristic 0, the second author constructed the de Rham epsilon factor formalism in [Patel 2012]. More precisely, let $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, and $\mathrm{K}(T^*X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of coherent sheaves. Then he constructed a map of spectra

$$\varepsilon : \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(T^*X).$$

At the level of Grothendieck groups, given a holonomic module $\mathcal{F}$, the class $[\varepsilon(\mathcal{F})] \in \mathrm{K}_0(T^*X)$ is the class $[\mathrm{gr}^F(\mathcal{F})]$, where F is a good filtration of $\mathcal{F}$. It is well-known that the class is independent of the choice of good filtration. The composition of ε with the pull-back by a certain twist of the zero-section followed by the push-forward $R\Gamma : \mathrm{K}(X) \to \mathrm{K}(k)$ is homotopic to the de Rham cohomology map $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$ (see Lemma 2.7.5). In particular, passing to Grothendieck groups, we may proceed via ε in order to compute the Euler–Poincaré characteristic. Moreover, an automorphism f of $\mathcal{F}$ determines an element in $\pi_1\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ whose image under the morphism $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$ gives an element of $\pi_1\mathrm{K}(k) \cong k^\times$. The latter is precisely the determinant of the induced automorphism on the de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{F}$. In [Patel 2012], a "microlocalized" version of ε was also constructed, which allows one to pass to the K-theory of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules and construct a morphism of spectra

$$\mathrm{CC} : \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -).$$

Here $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is the K-theory spectrum of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, and $\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$ is part of Levine's homotopy coniveau tower. We do not recall the definition here, but only note that $\pi_0(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)) = \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ and, at the level of π_0, CC associates to the class of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module the zero cycle given by pulling back its characteristic cycle by the zero section. Our main result is the following analog of the Kato–Saito localization formula in the de Rham and K-theoretic setting. Below, we let $\mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules with singular support contained in the zero section. Since any such $\mathcal{D}_X$-module is just a flat connection, one has a natural morphism $\mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{for}^\nabla} \mathrm{K}(X)$ given by forgetting the connection.

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let d be the dimension of X. The following diagram commutes up to homotopy*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{for}^\nabla \wedge \mathrm{CC}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}} \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) & \xrightarrow[\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d,-)}]{} & \mathrm{K}(k) \end{array}$$

The pairing $\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d,-)}$ is an analog in our setting of the pairing appearing in the conjecture above. The usual dictionary between connective spectra and Picard groupoids allows one to get formulas for determinants of endomorphisms, and, in

particular, by taking π_1 of the commutative diagram above we get an equality of actual numbers analogous to that in the conjecture above. We refer the reader to Theorem 4.3.1 for a precise statement. We note that this particular consequence can be shown with a much simpler argument as described in the proof of Theorem 4.3.1. On the other hand, by the same method, we also obtain similar formulas in the setting of correspondences (and not just endomorphisms). In particular, suppose we are given an automorphism φ of X. Then a correspondence of a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \to \varphi_* \mathcal{F}$. Given a correspondence, it induces an automorphism on the cohomology $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F})$, and we may again consider the determinant of this automorphism. We also obtain a localization formula in this setting.

We note that, after most of this paper was written, the original conjecture of Kato and Saito was proven, with some modification of the definition of characteristic cycles and following recent developments in ramification theory for l-adic sheaves, in [Umezaki et al. 2018]. However, following the philosophy of Beilinson [2007], we believe that the K-theoretic method gives a different perspective on localization formulas for epsilon factors. In principle, proving the formula at the level of K-theory spectra should also give formulas in higher K-theory. At the level of K_0 one gets formulas for the Euler characteristic, and at the level of K_1, for determinants. It would be interesting to see the consequences at the level of K_2 (or higher K-groups).

Let us explain the structure of the paper. We begin with collecting some materials from K-theory used in this paper. In particular, we recall some basic properties of Levine's homotopy coniveau tower. In Section 3, we define the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d,-)}$, and prove a key vanishing lemma (Lemma 3.7.1). This allows us to compute the pairing in the setting of correspondences. We formulate and prove the localization formula in the last section. The localization formula as an equality of values is especially easy to prove when we are given actual automorphism of modules. We conclude the paper by providing an elementary proof of this simple case.

2. Background

In this article, we shall make use of K-theory spectra and their associated Picard groupoids. However, our applications will mostly use these constructions in a formal manner. We briefly recall the required concepts and constructions for ease of exposition.

2.1. ***Spectra.*** In the following, we fix a symmetric monoidal category of spectra and denote it by $\mathcal{S}$. For example, one could take for $\mathcal{S}$ Lurie's $(\infty, 1)$-category of spectra or the category of symmetric spectra. We only make use of this category in a formal manner. Moreover, our results on traces only depend on the associated homotopy category (which are all known to be equivalent for the various models

for spectra). Recall that $\mathcal{S}$ is a proper simplicial model category. In particular, one has functorial fibrant-cofibrant replacements. In the following, we assume all our spectra are fibrant-cofibrant. We denote by $\wedge$ the monoidal structure in $\mathcal{S}$.

The homotopy category of $\mathcal{S}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{S})$. By definition, this is the localization of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the weak equivalences. A weak equivalence of spectra $P \to Q$ can be inverted as a morphism in the homotopy category. However, in general such a morphism cannot be inverted as a morphism of spectra. To remedy this situation, one can use the more general notion of a homotopy morphism of spectra. A *homotopy morphism* $P \to Q$ consists of a contractible simplicial set K and a genuine morphism of spectra $f : K \wedge P \to Q$. We refer to K as the base of the homotopy morphism, and by abuse of notation we denote the homotopy morphism simply by $f : P \to Q$. Given two homotopy morphisms f, g with bases K_f, K_g, an *identification of f and g* is a homotopy morphism h with base K_h together with morphisms $K_f \to K_h \leftarrow K_g$ such that f, g are the respective pull-backs of h. One can define the composition of two homotopy morphisms $f : P \to Q$ and $g : Q \to R$ as the composition $K_g \wedge K_f \wedge P \to K_g \wedge Q \to R$. A homotopy morphism from a sphere spectrum to a given spectrum P will be referred to as a homotopy point of P. If f and g are identified, then they induce the same maps on homotopy groups. A weak equivalence between fibrant-cofibrant spectra can be canonically inverted as a homotopy morphism. We refer to [Patel 2012, Section 2.1] or [Beilinson 2007, Section 1.4, Example (ii)] for the details. We note that in the following the language of homotopy morphisms is not necessary, since, for our purposes, we could work directly in the homotopy category. However, it is a convenient notion for constructions at the level of actual spectra (rather than the homotopy category).

2.2. ***K-theory spectra.*** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a small exact category. Then Quillen's K-theory construction gives a functor from the category of small exact categories to the category of spectra. If $F_1 : \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_2$ and $F_2 : \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_3$ are exact functors, then one has

$$\mathrm{K}(F_2) \circ \mathrm{K}(F_1) = \mathrm{K}(F_2 \circ F_1).$$

More generally, a natural isomorphism of functors induces a homotopy equivalence of the corresponding morphisms of K-theory spectra. By taking a large enough Grothendieck universe, we may assume all our categories are small.

More generally, Waldhausen associates to any category with cofibrations and weak equivalences a corresponding K-theory spectrum. Moreover, an exact functor between Waldhausen categories induces a morphism between the corresponding spectra. In this article, we are mostly interested in complicial bi-Waldhausen categories and complicial exact functors; we refer the reader to [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990] for details. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an exact category, then $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{E})$ is a complicial bi-Waldhausen category with weak equivalences. A fundamental result of Thomason,

Trobaugh, Waldhausen, and Gillet [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990] shows that the inclusion of $\mathcal{E}$ into $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{E})$ as degree zero morphisms induces a canonical weak equivalence of spectra $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{E}))$. Here the right side is the Waldhausen K-theory spectrum associated to $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{E})$. This allows us to canonically identify various Quillen and Waldhausen K-theory spectra. In the following, we always assume all our spectra to be fibrant-cofibrant. In particular, the machinery from the previous section allows us to invert various weak equivalences canonically as homotopy morphisms.

Given a Waldhausen category $\mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{tri}}$ the associated homotopy category given by inverting the weak equivalences; note that this is a triangulated category. If $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a complicial exact functor between two complicial bi-Waldhausen categories such that the induced map on homotopy categories is an equivalence of categories, then the induced map on K-theory spectra is a weak equivalence. We often consider derived functors which are a priori only defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{tri}}$. Usually, these can be lifted to functors on certain full complicial bi-Waldhausen subcategories $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that the inclusion induces an equivalence on the associated triangulated categories. Using the formalism of homotopy morphisms, we can lift the derived functor to a morphism of K-theory spectra. A typical application is the following: Let X be a proper scheme over k, and let $\mathrm{K}(X)$ be the K-theory spectrum of perfect complexes on X. Since X is proper, we can define $R\Gamma : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{perf}}(X) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{perf}}(k)$. The above approach allows us to lift this to a homotopy morphism $R\Gamma : \mathrm{K}(X) \to \mathrm{K}(k)$, where $\mathrm{K}(X)$ is the K-theory spectrum of the category of perfect complexes on X and similarly for $\mathrm{K}(k)$. First, we may consider the (full) complicial bi-Waldhausen subcategory of flasque perfect complexes. On this subcategory, $R\Gamma$ is represented by Γ. Furthermore, the properness assumption implies that Γ preserves perfectness. We refer to [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990] for more details.

Remark 2.2.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k, and $\mathcal{D}_X$ the sheaf of differential operators on X. Let $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of complexes of coherent-$\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. Then, via the above procedure, the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module push-forward induces a homotopy morphism $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(k)$. For example, one can take the usual locally free resolution by the de Rham complex and restrict to flasque complexes.

2.3. ***Picard groupoids, determinants, and traces.*** We recall some basic facts about Picard groupoids and determinants which will be useful in the following. We refer to the beautiful article [Deligne 1987] for the basic theory of Picard groupoids and determinants.

A *Picard groupoid* $\mathcal{P}$ is a symmetric monoidal category in which every object is invertible, which satisfies natural commutativity and associativity constraints. We

refer the reader to [Patel 2012, Section 5.2] for a discussion of the definition. In the following, we always assume that our Picard groupoids come with a fixed unit $\mathbb{I}$. In order to avoid confusion, we denote by $+$ the monoidal structure in a Picard groupoid. The following will be one of our main examples of a Picard groupoid.

Example 2.3.1. Let $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ denote the category whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on X, and $\alpha : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a continuous function. We define $\mathrm{Hom}((\mathcal{L}, \alpha), (\mathcal{L}', \alpha'))$ to be the set of isomorphisms $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$ if $\alpha = \alpha'$ and the empty set if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$. The monoidal structure is given by setting

$$(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) + (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') := (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}', \alpha + \alpha').$$

The commutativity constraint

$$c_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}'} : (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) + (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \cong (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') + (\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$$

is given (locally) by sending $l_x \otimes l'_x$ to $(-1)^{\alpha(x)\cdot\alpha'(x)}(l'_x \otimes l_x)$.

Given a vector bundle V on X, one can associate to it an object $\det(V) \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$, where $\alpha(x)$ is taken to be the rank of V at x. This construction gives rise to a *determinant* functor

$$\det : \mathrm{Vect}(X)^{\mathrm{iso}} \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X).$$

Here $\mathrm{Vect}(X)^{\mathrm{iso}}$ denotes the category whose objects are vector bundles on X, and morphisms are isomorphisms of vector bundles. We do not recall the definition of a determinant functor and refer to [Deligne 1987] for details. We only note here that there are natural isomorphisms

$$\det(x \oplus y) \cong \det(x) + \det(y)$$

which are compatible with commutativity constraints. In fact, one can define the notion of a $\mathcal{P}$-valued determinant functor for any exact category $\mathcal{E}$ or even derived categories of exact categories; see [Knudsen 2002]. Moreover, one can extend the determinant functor det above to the category of coherent sheaves or even derived category of perfect complexes on X [Knudsen and Mumford 1976; Knudsen 2002].

One can associate natural homotopy groups to a Picard groupoid. By definition, $\pi_0(\mathcal{P})$ is the group of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{P}$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}) := \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{I})$. We note that if $\mathrm{L} \in \mathcal{P}$, then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{L}) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{P})$$

defined as follows. If $f : \mathrm{L} \to \mathrm{L}$ is an endomorphism, then it induces an endomorphism

$$f \otimes \mathrm{Id} : \mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{L}^{-1} \to \mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{L}^{-1},$$

and composing this with the natural isomorphisms $\mathbb{I} \to \mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{L}^{-1} \to \mathbb{I}$ gives an element of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{I})$. We call this the trace of f, denoted $\mathrm{Tr}(f \mid \mathrm{L}) \in \pi_1(\mathcal{P})$. The following example explains this terminology.

Example 2.3.2. For a field k, $\pi_1(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)) = k^{\times}$. An automorphism $f : V \to V$ of a finite dimensional vector space over k gives a map

$$\det(f) : (\det(V), \dim(V)) \to (\det(V), \dim(V))$$

in $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$. One can check that $\mathrm{Tr}(\det(f) \mid \det(V)) \in k^{\times}$ is the usual determinant of f.

The following lemma is immediate, and only recorded here for future use

Lemma 2.3.3. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a Picard groupoid and $\mathrm{L} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

(1) *If $\mathrm{Id} : \mathrm{L} \to \mathrm{L}$ is the identity, then $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Id} \mid \mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{Id} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{I})$.*

(2) *If $f, g : \mathrm{L} \to \mathrm{L}$ are two automorphisms, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f \circ g \mid \mathrm{L}) = \mathrm{Tr}(f \mid \mathrm{L}) \circ \mathrm{Tr}(g \mid \mathrm{L}).$$

2.4. ***Picard groupoids and spectra.*** Let Pic be the category of Picard groupoids. We let Ho(Pic) denote the homotopy category of Picard groupoids. This is by definition the category of Picard groupoids localized at equivalences of Picard groupoids. It is well-known that the category of Picard groupoids identifies homotopically with the category of spectra [Patel 2012, §5] with homotopy groups concentrated in degrees 0 and 1. In particular, there are natural adjoint functors $\Pi : \mathcal{S}^{\geq 0} \to \mathrm{Pic}$ and $\mathrm{B} : \mathrm{Pic} \to \mathcal{S}^{\geq 0}$ which induce an equivalence on the associated homotopy categories when restricted to spectra with only nonvanishing homotopy groups in degree 0 or 1. Here B takes a Picard groupoid to its usual classifying space, Π is the fundamental groupoid associated to a connective spectrum, and $\mathcal{S}^{\geq 0}$ denotes the category of spectra with nonvanishing homotopy groups only in nonnegative degrees.

This construction allows one to view the Picard groupoid associated to K-theory as a universal determinant functor. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an exact category and $C^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{E})$ the corresponding Waldhausen category of bounded chain complexes in $\mathcal{E}$. The homotopy point construction gives rise to a natural universal determinant functor

$$\det : (\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{E}), \mathrm{qis}) \to \Pi(\mathrm{K}(C^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{E}))).$$

In the following, we are mostly interested in applying this construction to the K-theory spectrum of a scheme. In particular, let $\mathrm{K}(X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of vector bundles (or coherent sheaves or perfect complexes) on a smooth scheme X. In that case, there is a natural map

$$\mathrm{Det} : \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X).$$

Moreover, the usual determinant functor $\det : (\mathrm{D}^b(X), \mathrm{qis}) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ is compatible with the previous two. In particular, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X), \mathrm{qis}) & \longrightarrow & \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \end{array}$$

We note that an explicit construction of a model for the Picard groupoid $\Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$ can be given by Deligne's virtual categories [1987].

2.5. ***Distributive functors.*** In the following, we are interested in certain pairings of Picard groupoids. Given two Picard groupoids $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$, let $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}'$ denote the product groupoid. Note that we consider this as a mere groupoid (and not a Picard groupoid). A *distributive functor* is a functor

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}''$$

which satisfies some natural "bilinearity" or "distributive" conditions. We refer to [Deligne 1987, 4.11] for the precise definitions. The definition, in particular, implies that for fixed $\mathrm{L} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathrm{L}' \in \mathcal{P}$, the induced functors $\langle \mathrm{L}, - \rangle$ and $\langle -, \mathrm{L}' \rangle$ are morphisms of Picard groupoids. These morphisms are natural in L and L′, respectively. Moreover, one also has natural isomorphisms

$$\langle \mathrm{L}_1 + \mathrm{L}_2, \mathrm{L}' \rangle \cong \langle \mathrm{L}_1, \mathrm{L}' \rangle + \langle \mathrm{L}_2, \mathrm{L}' \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}'_1 + \mathrm{L}'_2 \rangle \cong \langle \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}'_1 \rangle + \langle \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}'_1 \rangle.$$

We refer to such a distributive functor simply as a pairing of Picard groupoids. The following is one of our main examples of a pairing.

Example 2.5.1. Let X be an integral scheme over k. The tensor product $\otimes$ of line bundles induces a distributive functor:

$$(- \otimes -) : \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \times \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X).$$

Explicitly, it sends $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \otimes (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') := (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \alpha'} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes \alpha}, \alpha\alpha')$. Note that for vector bundles G and G', one has $\det(G \otimes G') \cong \det(G) \otimes \det(G')$ in $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$.

Lemma 2.5.2. *Let $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}''$ be a distributive functor. Given morphisms $f : \mathrm{L} \to \mathrm{L} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $g : \mathrm{L}' \to \mathrm{L}' \in \mathcal{P}'$, let $\langle \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}' \rangle(f, g) := \mathrm{Tr}(\langle f, g \rangle \mid \langle \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}' \rangle) \in \pi_1(\mathcal{P}'')$.*

(i) *If f is the identity, then $\langle \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}' \rangle(\mathrm{Id}, g)$ is the image of $\mathrm{Tr}(g \mid \mathrm{L}')$ under the induced map $\pi_1 \langle \mathrm{L}, - \rangle : \pi_1(\mathcal{P}') \to \pi_1(\mathcal{P}'')$.*

(ii) *If $f_i : \mathrm{L}_i \to \mathrm{L}_i \in \mathcal{P}$ $(i = 1, 2)$, then*

$$\langle \mathrm{L}_1 + \mathrm{L}_2, \mathrm{L}' \rangle(f_1 + f_2, g) = \langle \mathrm{L}_1, \mathrm{L}' \rangle(f_1, g) \langle \mathrm{L}_2, \mathrm{L}' \rangle(f_2, g).$$

Any abelian group can be considered as a Picard groupoid. We will sometimes be interested in a pairing of a Picard groupoid $\mathcal{P}$ and an abelian group G with values in a Picard groupoid $\mathcal{P}''$. By definition, this means that for each $g \in G$, we have a morphism of Picard groupoids $F_g : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}''$ such that $F_e = \mathbb{I}$ (where $e \in G$ is the identity), and there are natural isomorphisms $F_{g+h} \cong F_g + F_h$. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'')$ is also a Picard groupoid, and such a pairing can be interpreted as a morphism of groupoids

$$G \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'').$$

The following is our central example of such a pairing.

Example 2.5.3. Let $f : X \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ denote a smooth proper scheme over a field k, and $\mathrm{Z}_0(X)$ denote the abelian group of 0-cycles on X. Then we have a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \times \mathrm{Z}_0(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$$

defined as follows. If $i_Z : Z \subset X$ is a closed integral subscheme of dimension 0, then we set

$$\langle (\mathcal{L}, \alpha), [Z] \rangle := \big(\det(\pi_{Z,*}\mathcal{O}_Z)^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes N(i_Z^*\mathcal{L}), \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)\big).$$

Here $\pi_Z : Z \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is the structure map, N is the norm functor on line bundles, and Tr is the trace map. Note $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)$ is just given by $n\alpha$, where n is the degree of $k(Z)$ over k. We refer the reader to [Deligne 1987, §7] for the details. This defines the pairing for all effective cycles, and then we extend by linearity.

Finally, we note that pairings of spectra induce pairings of Picard groupoids. We refer to [Schwede 2012, Chapter I, Section 5.1] for details on the notion of bilinear pairings of spectra. Here we only note that a bilinear pairing of spectra K_1 and K_2 with values in K_3 is equivalent to giving a morphism of spectra

$$\mathrm{K}_1 \wedge \mathrm{K}_2 \to \mathrm{K}_3.$$

Furthermore, a biexact functor of exact categories (or Waldhausen categories) induces a bilinear pairing of the corresponding K-theory spectra [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990, 3.15]. Moreover, under the equivalence of categories between Picard groupoids and spectra, a bilinear map gives rise to a pairing of the associated Picard groupoids. In particular, the usual tensor product of vector bundles induces a pairing of spectra $\mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(X) \to \mathrm{K}(X)$ and, therefore, a pairing $\Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \times \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \to \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$. Moreover, this pairing is compatible with the one from Example 2.5.1 under $\mathrm{Det} : \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$. In the following, we sometimes use the notation

$$\mathcal{P} \wedge \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}''$$

to mean a pairing $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}''$. We note that this should only be thought of as formal notation, and the Picard groupoid $\mathcal{P} \wedge \mathcal{P}'$ has not been defined.

Remark 2.5.4. We can take the fundamental Picard groupoid associated to $\mathrm{B}\mathcal{P}\wedge\mathrm{B}\mathcal{P}'$ as the definition of $\mathcal{P}\wedge\mathcal{P}'$. Moreover, there is an equivalence between pairings $\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{P}'\to\mathcal{P}''$ and morphisms of Picard groupoids $\mathcal{P}\wedge\mathcal{P}'\to\mathcal{P}''$. However, we shall not need this in what follows. Note that for strictly commutative Picard groupoids this construction is described in [SGA 4_3 1973, Exposé XVIII].

A homotopy equivalence between two morphisms of spectra induces a monoidal natural transformation of the corresponding morphisms of Picard groupoids. In particular, it is compatible with the monoidal structures. A homotopy equivalence between bilinear pairing of spectra induces a natural transformation between the corresponding distributive functor, which is a monoidal natural transformation when restricted to each variable. We refer to such a natural transformation as an equivalence of distributive functors. In the following, a diagram of Picard groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_1\wedge\mathcal{P}_2 & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{P}_3 \\ {\scriptstyle G}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle G'} \\ \mathcal{P}'_1\wedge\mathcal{P}'_2 & \xrightarrow{F'} & \mathcal{P}'_3 \end{array}$$

with horizontal maps distributive functors, right vertical map a morphism of Picard groupoids, and left vertical map a functor which is a morphism of Picard groupoids in each variable, is said to be commutative if the induced distributive functors

$$G'\circ F,\ \ F'\circ G : \mathcal{P}_1\wedge\mathcal{P}_2\to\mathcal{P}_3$$

are equivalent. A homotopy commutative square of spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1\wedge K_2 & \longrightarrow & K_3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K'_1\wedge K'_2 & \longrightarrow & K'_3 \end{array}$$

induces a commutative diagram at the level of Picard groupoids.

2.6. ***Levine's homotopy coniveau tower.*** In this subsection, X will be a smooth scheme of finite type over a field k. Moreover, $\mathrm{K}(X)$ will denote the K-theory spectrum of coherent sheaves on X. We recall the construction and some basic properties of Levine's homotopy coniveau tower associated to the K-theory of schemes which shall be used in the following. We refer to [Levine 2006; 2008] for details.

Let $\Delta^n := \mathrm{Spec}\big(k[t_0,\dots,t_r]/\big(\sum_j t_j - 1\big)\big)$ denote the usual n-simplex. These form a cosimplicial scheme. A face of Δ^n is a closed subscheme defined by equations of the form $t_{i_1}=\cdots=t_{i_s}=0$. Then one defines

$$\mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X,p) := \varinjlim_W \mathrm{K}_W(X\times\Delta^p),$$

where the homotopy limit is taken over closed subschemes $W \subset X \times \Delta^p$ such that

$$\operatorname{codim}_{X\times F}(W \cap (X \times F)) \geq q$$

for all faces $F \subset \Delta^p$. We set $\mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X) := \mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X, 0)$. The spectra $\mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X, p)$ form a simplicial spectrum, and we let $\mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X, -)$ denote the corresponding total spectrum [Levine 2006, §1.5]. Moreover, one has a tower of spectra

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X, -) \to \mathrm{K}^{(q-1)}(X, -) \to \cdots \to \mathrm{K}^{(0)}(X, -).$$

This tower of spectra is referred to as the homotopy coniveau tower. It satisfies the following properties proved by Levine:

(1) Given a morphism of smooth schemes $F : X \to Y$ there is a natural pull-back morphism on the corresponding coniveau towers [Levine 2008, Theorem 4.1.1].

(2) There are natural augmentation maps $\eta_q : \mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(q)}(X, -)$. Moreover, the composition

$$\eta : \mathrm{K}(X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(0)}(X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(0)}(X, -)$$

is a weak equivalence.

(3) The cofibers $\mathrm{K}^{(p/p+1)}(X, -)$ of the homotopy coniveau tower are naturally weak equivalent to Bloch's higher Chow groups cycles complex [Levine 2008, Theorem 6.4.2]. In particular, there is a functorial (with respect to pull-backs) isomorphism $\mathrm{CH}^d(X) \to \pi_0(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$ if $d = \dim(X)$, since $\pi_0\mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X, -)$ is 0 for reasons of dimension.

(4) Finally, we note that the tensor product induces natural (functorial) pairings:

$$\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d')}(X, -) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d+d')}(X, -).$$

Remark 2.6.1. The existence of a pairing as in (4) is a deep theorem and relies on Levine's moving lemma for the homotopy coniveau tower. However, we shall only use the result in the case where $d' = 0$. In that case, $\eta : \mathrm{K}(X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(0)}(X, -)$ is a weak equivalence, and the induced pairing

$$\mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$$

is simply given by tensor product. In particular, no "moving" is required.

2.7. ***Microlocalization map of K-theory of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.*** In this paragraph, X will denote a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic zero. Below we recall the construction of a microlocalization map for K-theory spectra of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. We refer to [Patel 2012] for details.

Let $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of the abelian category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, and similarly let $\mathrm{K}_S(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of the abelian category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules with singular support contained in $S \subset T^*X$. Recall that any $\mathcal{D}_X$ module $\mathcal{M}$ has a good filtration $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ such that the associated graded gives rise to a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$-module. This construction gives rise to a well-defined (i.e., independent of the choice of filtration) map $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}_0(T^*X)$. One has an analogous statement in the setting of supports. The following theorem extends this construction to the setting of higher K-theory. Below, let $\mathrm{KF}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of the exact category whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$, where $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ is a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module and $\mathcal{F}$ is a good filtration. We can similarly define $\mathrm{KF}_S(\mathcal{D}_X)$. There is a natural map $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathrm{F}}_S : \mathrm{KF}_S(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}_S(T^*X)$ induced by sending a pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ to $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{M})$. One also has a natural map $\mathrm{ff} : \mathrm{KF}_S(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}_S(\mathcal{D}_X)$ given by simply forgetting the filtration.

Theorem 2.7.1 [Patel 2012]. *Let X be as above. There is a natural (in S) microlocalization morphism of K-theory spectra*:

$$\mathrm{gr}_S : \mathrm{K}_S(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}_S(T^*X).$$

In particular, these are compatible with respect to the inclusions $S \subset S'$. Moreover, by construction, $\mathrm{gr}_S \circ \mathrm{ff}$ is homotopic to $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathrm{F}}_S$.

Let $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the K-theory spectrum of the abelian category of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. The preceding theorem immediately gives the following corollary by passing to homotopy colimits.

Corollary 2.7.2. *With notation as above, one has a morphism of spectra*:

$$\varepsilon : \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X).$$

Proof. By definition, we may view the category of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules as a direct limit of the categories of the full subcategories of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules with singular support in a fixed codimension d subset $S \subset T^*X$. Since K-theory commutes with direct limits, we may write $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ as the colimit of the corresponding spectra $\mathrm{K}_S(\mathcal{D}_X)$. The result now follows from the previous theorem by taking limits. □

Remark 2.7.3. Note that there is a natural map $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Moreover, by the compatibility of gr_S, one has a natural commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{gr}} & \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X) \end{array}$$

Let $f : X \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ denote the structure map, $\pi : T^*X \to X$ the projection map, and $\sigma : X \to T^*X$ the zero section. Then f and σ induce morphisms of K-theory spectra (Section 2.2) $\mathrm{K}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathrm{K}(k)$ and $\mathrm{K}(T^*X) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathrm{K}(X)$. The canonical bundle ω_X induces a natural morphism

$$\mathrm{K}(X) \xrightarrow{(-\otimes\omega_X)} \mathrm{K}(X).$$

We define the twisted pull-back σ^+ as the composition

$$\mathrm{K}(T^*X) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathrm{K}(X) \xrightarrow{(-\otimes\omega_X)} \mathrm{K}(X).$$

These give rise to a morphism $f_* \circ \sigma^+ \circ \mathrm{gr} : \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(k)$. On the other hand, the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module push-forward induces a morphism of K-theory spectra $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(k)$ (Remark 2.2.1). The next lemma is a restatement of the following remark in terms of K-theory.

Remark 2.7.4. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Then there is a natural isomorphism $Rf_*(\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}) \cong Rf_+(\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E})$. We refer to [Laumon 1983, 6.5] for the details.

Lemma 2.7.5. *The morphisms $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$ and $f_* \circ \sigma^+ \circ \mathrm{gr}$ are homotopic.*

Proof. First note that the composition $\mathrm{K}(X) \to \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \to \mathrm{K}(X)$ is homotopic to the identity. Here the first map is the natural map induced by $\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (-)$, which is a weak equivalence by a theorem of Quillen [Patel 2012]. Thus, one is reduced to showing the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(X) & \xrightarrow{(-\otimes\omega_X)} & \mathrm{K}(X) \\ {\scriptstyle (\mathcal{D}_X\otimes -)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}} & \mathrm{K}(k). \end{array}$$

is commutative. This follows from Remark 2.7.4. □

Remark 2.7.6. We think of $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$ as the global de Rham epsilon factor. Recall that at the level of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, up to a shift, the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module push-forward computes de Rham cohomology of the corresponding $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

2.8. ***Global epsilon factors and tensor products.*** We record an elementary lemma computing the global epsilon factor of a tensor product. Below, we denote by $\pi^* : \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(T^*X)$ the morphism given by pulling back a flat connection under the projection map $\pi : T^*X \to X$. The following remark will be useful in the proof of the lemma, and, in fact, the lemma itself is the K-theoretic version of the remark.

Remark 2.8.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a flat connection on X, and $\mathcal{N}$ a filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. Note that $\mathcal{M}$ has a canonical good filtration given by taking the whole module in degrees greater than or equal to 0 and 0 in negative degrees. Then $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N})$ is isomorphic to $\pi^*(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}} \mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{N})$.

Lemma 2.8.2. *The following diagram is commutative*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{(-\otimes-)} & \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*\wedge \mathrm{gr}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{gr}} \\ \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(T^*X) & \xrightarrow{(-\otimes-)} & \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(X) & \xrightarrow{\star} & \mathrm{KF}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{KF}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{KF}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}\wedge(\mathcal{D}_X\otimes-)}\ \sim & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ff}\wedge\mathrm{ff}} & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ff}} \\ \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) & & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & & \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*\wedge\mathrm{gr}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{gr}} \\ \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(T^*X) & & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & & \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \end{array}$$

Here, $\star$ is defined as follows: There is a natural map $\mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{KF}_X(\mathcal{D}_X)$ induced by giving a flat connection $\mathcal{M}$ the trivial filtration (i.e., it is $\mathcal{M}$ in degree greater than or equal to 0 and 0 in negative degrees). Similarly, there is a natural map $\mathrm{K}(X) \to \mathrm{KF}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ induced by $(\mathcal{D}_X \otimes -)$ and taking the filtration induced by the usual filtration by order on $\mathcal{D}_X$. The map $\star$ is defined by taking $\wedge$ of these two maps.

Our goal is to show that the lower square is commutative. The upper square is commutative. Since the first left vertical map is a weak equivalence, it suffices to check the commutativity for the outer square. The composition of the top horizontal and right vertical maps is given by sending a bundle with connection $\mathcal{M}$ and an induced $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{D}_X \otimes \mathcal{N}$ to the associated graded of the tensor product. By Remark 2.8.1 above, this composition is homotopic to

$$(- \otimes -) \circ (\pi^* \wedge (\mathrm{gr} \circ (\mathcal{D}_X \otimes -))). \qquad \square$$

3. Comparison of traces for various pairings of Picard groupoids

In this subsection, we recall the construction of some pairings on K-theory spectra at the level of Levine's homotopy coniveau tower, and make some computations of traces of tensor products in this setting. In this section, let X be a smooth and connected scheme of finite type over k.

3.1. ***Pairings on K-theory with supports.*** Given a closed subset $Z \subset X$, there is a natural pairing of K-theory spectra

$$\mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_Z(X) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathrm{K}_Z(X)$$

induced by the tensor product [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990, 3.15]. Since X is smooth, Quillen's localization theorem implies that the natural map $\mathrm{K}_Z(X) \to \mathrm{G}(Z)$ is a weak equivalence. Here $\mathrm{G}(Z)$ is the K-theory spectrum of coherent sheaves. If $i_Z : Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a reduced closed subscheme of dimension 0, and hence regular, then we may identify $\mathrm{G}(Z)$ with the K-theory spectrum $\mathrm{K}(Z)$ of locally free sheaves. Below we shall make this assumption on Z. Moreover, one also has a natural pairing

$$\mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(Z) \xrightarrow{i_Z^* \wedge \mathrm{Id}} \mathrm{K}(Z) \wedge \mathrm{K}(Z) \to \mathrm{K}(Z).$$

We record the following standard lemma for future use.

Lemma 3.1.1. *The following diagram is commutative*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_Z(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}_Z(X) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id} \wedge i_{Z,*}} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}(Z) \end{array}$$

Proof. This is a special case of the projection formula [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990, Proposition 3.17]. □

One has a natural norm map (given by the push-forward):

$$N : \mathrm{K}(Z) \to \mathrm{K}(k).$$

Similarly, one has the usual push-forward $\pi_* : \mathrm{K}_Z(X) \to \mathrm{K}(k)$. Composing the pairings above with these push-forward maps give rise to pairings

$$\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}} : \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_Z(X) \to \mathrm{K}(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}} : \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(Z) \to \mathrm{K}(k).$$

By the previous lemma these two pairings are identified via the natural weak equivalence $i_{Z,*} : \mathrm{K}(Z) \to \mathrm{K}_Z(X)$. Therefore, in the following we use the two interchangeably and use the same notation to denote the two pairings.

Since the pairings above are compatible with respect to inclusions $Z' \subset Z$, we may pass to homotopy limits and deduce a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d)} : \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X) \to \mathrm{K}(k).$$

Note this pairing is simply the composition

$$\mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X) \xrightarrow{(-\otimes-)} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathrm{K}(k).$$

Here we define π_* as the one induced by taking homotopy limits of the maps $\pi_* : \mathrm{K}_Z(X) \to \mathrm{K}(k)$.

Remark 3.1.2. We may also define π_* by taking homotopy limits of the compositions $\mathrm{K}_Z(X) \to \mathrm{K}(Z) \xrightarrow{N} \mathrm{K}(k)$. The two constructions are homotopic.

3.2. ***Pairings on the homotopy coniveau tower.*** We now explain how the constructions of the previous paragraph lift to Levine's homotopy coniveau tower. We may consider the composition

$$\mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(0)}(X, -) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -),$$

where we refer to (2) in Section 2.6 for the first map and (4) for the last map. Moreover, we have $\pi_*^{(d)} : \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \to \mathrm{K}^{(0)}(X, -) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{K}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathrm{K}(k)$, where the isomorphism is induced by inverting η of (2). Composing with the map above, it gives rise to a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d,-)} : \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \to \mathrm{K}(k).$$

This pairing is compatible with the pairing constructed in the previous paragraph. Namely, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2.1. *The following diagram is commutative*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X) & \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d)}} & \mathrm{K}(k) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id} \wedge \eta_d} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}} \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) & \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d,-)}} & \mathrm{K}(k) \end{array}$$

Proof. First, recall that the tensor product is compatible with the augmentation. Therefore, one is reduced to showing that

$$\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X) \xrightarrow{\eta_d} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{(d)}} \mathrm{K}(k)$$

is homotopic to $\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathrm{K}(k)$. The latter is evident from the construction of $\pi_*^{(d)}$. □

Remark 3.2.2. One also has a product $\mathrm{K}(T^*X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X, -) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X, -)$. By functoriality, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X, -) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X, -) \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma^* \wedge \sigma^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \sigma^*} \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \end{array}$$

3.3. ***Pairings on Picard groupoids.*** Recall that pairings on spectra give rise to pairings on the corresponding fundamental Picard groupoids (Section 2.5). In particular, the pairing $\langle -,-\rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d)}$ induces a pairing

$$\langle -,-\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d)} : \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X)) \to \Pi(\mathrm{K}(k)).$$

By definition, it is defined as the composition

$$\Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X)) \to \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X)) \to \Pi(\mathrm{K}(k)),$$

where the first map is induced by the tensor product and the second by π_*. On the other hand, one has the following pairing which is a variant of Example 2.5.1:

$$\langle -,-\rangle : \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \wedge \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z).$$

Explicitly, this pairing sends $(\mathcal{L},\alpha) \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ and $(\mathcal{M},\beta) \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z)$ to the element $(\mathcal{L}|_Z^{\beta} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\alpha}, \alpha|_Z\beta)$. Recall that we have the universal determinant map $\mathrm{Det} : \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$, and similarly for Z. As before (see Section 2.5), this gives rise to a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}(Z)) & \longrightarrow & \Pi(\mathrm{K}(Z)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \wedge \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \end{array}$$

The push-forward induces a norm map $N : \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$. In particular, one has a natural pairing

$$N \circ \langle -,-\rangle : \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \wedge \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k).$$

By abuse of notation, we also denote this pairing by $\langle -,-\rangle$. Explicitly, this pairing sends $(\mathcal{L},\alpha) \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ and $(\mathcal{M},\beta) \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z)$ to the element

$$\big(\det(\pi_{Z,*}\mathcal{O}_Z)^{\otimes(\alpha|_Z\beta)} \otimes N(\mathcal{L}|_Z^{\beta} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\alpha}), \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha|_Z\beta)\big),$$

where $\pi_Z : Z \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is the natural structure map (see Example 2.5.3). The previous remarks show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}(Z)) & \xrightarrow{\langle -,-\rangle^{\Pi}} & \Pi(\mathrm{K}(k)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \wedge \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\langle -,-\rangle} & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) \end{array}$$

Remark 3.3.1. Recall that the map $\mathrm{Det} : \Pi(\mathrm{K}(k)) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$ is an isomorphism of Picard groupoids. In the following, we make this identification in our resulting pairings.

3.4. ***Picard groupoid pairings coming from coniveau tower.*** We now descend the pairings $\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d,-)}$ to the level of Picard groupoids. In particular, taking fundamental groupoids gives a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)} : \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k).$$

Combining everything gives rise to the following commutative diagrams, which we record as a lemma for future use.

Lemma 3.4.1. *The following diagrams commute* (*up to natural transformations*):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}(Z)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) \end{array}$$

Proof. The commutativity of the first diagram follows from the remarks in Section 3.1 and that of the second follows from Lemma 3.2.1. □

3.5. ***Compatibility of various traces of endomorphisms.*** We explain how the constructions of the previous subsection pass to traces in the presence of endomorphisms. Given endomorphisms g of $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ and f of $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z)$, we have an induced endomorphism $g \otimes f$ of $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \rangle \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$. Therefore, we have an element $\mathrm{Tr}(g \otimes f) \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) = k^{\times}$. We denote the latter trace by $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \rangle(g, f)$. Similarly, given endomorphisms g of $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$, f of $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$, and f' of $\mathcal{F}' \in \Pi(K^{(d)}(X, -))$, we can define the traces $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \rangle^{\Pi}_{(d)}(g, f)$ and $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}' \rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(g, f')$ in $k^{\times}$. Note that a pair $(\mathcal{F}, f)$ of an object and an endomorphism in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$ can also be considered as an object and endomorphism of $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$ simply by considering its image under the natural map $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X)) \to \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$. We record the following corollary of the previous result for future reference.

Corollary 3.5.1. *Let* $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$ *and* $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$, *and let* g *and* f *denote endomorphisms of* $\mathcal{G}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}$, *respectively. Then one has*

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(g, f) = \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \rangle^{\Pi}_{(d)}(g, f).$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.4.1. □

By definition, $\pi_i(\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))) = \lim \pi_i(\mathrm{K}(Z))$ for $i \leq 1$, where the direct limit is over closed reduced subschemes Z of dimension zero. Therefore, for any object $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$ and endomorphism $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$, we can choose a Z such that the pair $(\mathcal{F}, f)$ lifts to $\Pi(\mathrm{K}(Z))$. In particular, there is a pair $(\mathcal{F}_Z, f_Z)$ consisting of an object and an endomorphism in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}(Z))$, and an isomorphism h of the image of this pair in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$ (under the natural map $\Pi(\mathrm{K}(Z)) \to \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$) with the pair $(\mathcal{F}, f)$. In this setting, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5.2. *With notation as above,* $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d)}(g, f) = \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_Z\rangle^{\Pi}(g, f_Z)$. *Moreover, we have an equality* $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_Z\rangle^{\Pi}(g, f_Z) = \langle \mathrm{Det}(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{Det}(\mathcal{F}_Z)\rangle(g, f_Z)$.

Proof. The first statement follows from commutativity of the second diagram in Lemma 3.4.1 after passing to Picard groupoids and traces. The second similarly follows from the remarks in Section 3.3. □

3.6. ***Formula for traces of tensor products of endomorphisms.*** In this subsection, we prove an elementary formula for traces of tensor products of endomorphisms. In Section 3.9, we shall prove a similar formula in the more general setting of correspondences. The formula presented in this paragraph will be an easy corollary of that more general formula. However, we present the simpler version here since the proof has some features of the more general situation and might be useful in understanding the more complicated version presented later.

Suppose we are given $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$ with endomorphisms $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $g : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ as before. For any element of $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$, we let $r_{\mathcal{G}}$ (the rank of $\mathcal{G}$) denote its image by the canonical homomorphism $\pi_0(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)) \to \mathbb{Z}$. In this setting, one has the following standard formula at the level of traces.

Proposition 3.6.1. *With notation as above,*

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d)}(g, f) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*(f) \mid \pi_*(\mathcal{F}))^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \times \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d)}(g, \mathrm{Id}).$$

Here, $\pi_*(f)$ *and* $\pi_*(\mathcal{F})$ *are the images under the natural map*

$$\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X)) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.5.2, we are reduced to showing that

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle(g, f) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(\det(\pi_{Z,*}(f)) \mid \det(\pi_{Z,*}(\mathcal{F}))\big)^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \times \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle(g, \mathrm{Id}),$$

where $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z)$. Here $i_Z : Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed subscheme of dimension zero and $\pi_Z : Z \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is the structure map. Since

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle(g, f) = \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle(g, \mathrm{Id}) \times \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle(\mathrm{Id}, f)$$

by Lemma 2.3.3, we are reduced to showing that

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle(\mathrm{Id}, f) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(\det(\pi_{Z,*}(f)) \mid \det(\pi_{Z,*}(\mathcal{F}))\big)^{r_{\mathcal{G}}}. \qquad (\star)$$

We may assume Z is a closed integral point such that the degree of $k(Z)$ over k is n, and denote by $N_{k(Z)/k}$ the field norm map. The map

$$\rho := \pi_1\langle \mathcal{G}|_Z, - \rangle : \pi_1\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \to \pi_1\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z)$$

is nothing but the map sending $\alpha \in \pi_1\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \cong k(Z)^{\times}$ to $\alpha^{r_{\mathcal{G}}}$. Recall the notation

of Section 3.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle(\mathrm{Id},\, f) &= \mathrm{Tr}\big(N\langle \mathrm{Id},\, f\rangle \mid \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle\big) = N_{k(Z)/k}\mathrm{Tr}\big(\langle \mathrm{Id},\, f\rangle \mid \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle\big)\\ &= N_{k(Z)/k}\big(\rho(\mathrm{Tr}(f \mid \mathcal{F}))\big) = N_{k(Z)/k}(\mathrm{Tr}(f \mid \mathcal{F})^{r_\mathcal{G}}),\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality holds by Lemma 2.5.2, and we get the equality ($\star$). □

3.7. ***A key vanishing lemma.*** We would like a formula similar to that of the last subsection for $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\prod}_{(d,-)}(g,\, f)$, where $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$. If the pair $(\mathcal{F},\, f)$ can be lifted to $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$, then we would get such a formula as a consequence of the previous proposition. Unfortunately, while we may lift any such object $\mathcal{F}$ to $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$, it is not always possible to lift the endomorphism f. However, we shall see that the desired formula (in the more general setting of correspondences) is an easy consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension d and W a closed subscheme of codimension > 0. The map $\pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \to \pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$ induced by $\otimes\mathcal{O}_W$ is trivial.*

Proof of Lemma 3.7.1. First, we remark that [Levine 2006, Theorem 2.6.2] holds when X is projective. Below, we follow the notation of [loc. cit.]. Using that theorem for $\mathcal{C} = \{W\}$ and $e = 0$, we get a weak equivalence $\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)_{\mathcal{C},e} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$. Now, the map $\otimes\mathcal{O}_W$ factors through $\mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X, -)_{\mathcal{C},e} \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)_{\mathcal{C},e}$, and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e} & \xrightarrow{\otimes\mathcal{O}_W} & \mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) & & \mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X,-) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)
\end{array}$$

$$\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) \xrightarrow{\otimes\mathcal{O}_W} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)$$

For $n \in \{d, d+1\}$, consider the spectral sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(E_1^{p,q})^{(n)}_{\mathcal{C},e} = \pi_{-q}\mathrm{K}^{(n)}(X, -p)_{\mathcal{C},e} & \Longrightarrow & \pi_{-p-q}\mathrm{K}^{(n)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow\sim \\
(E_1^{p,q})^{(n)} = \pi_{-q}\mathrm{K}^{(n)}(X, -p) & \Longrightarrow & \pi_{-p-q}\mathrm{K}^{(n)}(X,-)
\end{array}$$

By dimension reasons, we have $\mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X, 0)_{\mathcal{C},e} = \mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X, 0) = \{*\}$, which implies $(E_1^{0,q})^{(d+1)}_{\mathcal{C},e} = (E_1^{0,q})^{(d+1)} = 0$ for any q. Thus,

$$(E_2^{-1,0})^{(d+1)}_{\mathcal{C},e} \cong (E_2^{-1,0})^{(d+1)} \cong \pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X, -).$$

Now, we have the following big commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e} & \xrightarrow{\otimes\mathcal{O}_W} & \pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e} & \to & \pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X,-) & \to & \pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) \\
\downarrow\!\!\downarrow & & \Big\vert\sim & & \Big\vert\sim & & \\
(E_\infty^{-1,0})^{(d)}_{\mathcal{C},e} & \xleftarrow{\sim} (E_2^{-1,0})^{(d)}_{\mathcal{C},e} & \xrightarrow[\otimes\mathcal{O}_W]{} (E_2^{-1,0})^{(d+1)}_{\mathcal{C},e} & \longrightarrow & (E_2^{-1,0})^{(d+1)} & & \\
 & \uparrow\!\!\uparrow & \uparrow\!\!\uparrow & & & & \\
 & K & \longrightarrow (E_1^{-1,0})^{(d+1)}_{\mathcal{C},e} & & & &
\end{array}$$

where $K := \mathrm{Ker}\big((E_1^{-1,0})^{(d)}_{\mathcal{C},e} \to (E_1^{0,0})^{(d)}_{\mathcal{C},e}\big)$. Take $\alpha \in \pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) \cong \pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e}$. Our goal is to show that the image of α by the composition of the homomorphisms of the first row is trivial. By the diagram above, there exists

$$\tilde{\alpha} \in K \subset (E_1^{-1,0})^{(d)}_{\mathcal{C},e} = \pi_0\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,1)_{\mathcal{C},e}$$

such that $\alpha \otimes \mathcal{O}_W$ coincides with $\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{O}_W$ in $\pi_1\mathrm{K}^{(d+1)}(X,-)_{\mathcal{C},e}$. It suffices to show that the image of $\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{O}_W$ in $(E_2^{-1,0})^{(d+1)}$ is 0.

There exists a closed subscheme $Z \subset X \times \Delta^1$ belonging to $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}_{X,\mathcal{C},e}(1)$ (in particular, dimension 1) such that $\tilde{\alpha}$ can be lifted to $\mathrm{K}_Z(X,1)$, which we denote by $\tilde{\alpha}'$. We have $\tilde{\alpha}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_W \in \pi_0\mathrm{K}_{Z\cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W)}(X \times \Delta^1)$ (where $\mathrm{pr} : X \times \Delta^1 \to X$ is the projection). Since $Z \in \mathcal{S}^{(d)}_{X,\mathcal{C},e}(1)$, the intersection $Z \cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W)$ is 0-dimensional. By definition of $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}_{X,\mathcal{C},e}(1)$, note that $Z \cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W) \subset X \times (\Delta^1 \setminus \{0,1\})$. The canonical coordinates of Δ^2 are denoted by t_1, t_2. Take a closed point $(w,s) \in X \times (\Delta^1 \setminus \{0,1\})$. Let

$$H_{(w,s)} := \{w\} \times \{t_1 + st_2 - s = 0\} \subset X \times \Delta^2,$$

namely the closed subscheme in $\{w\} \times \Delta^2$ which is the line connecting $(s,0)$ and $(0,1)$. We have the morphism $\rho_{(w,s)} : H_{(w,s)} \to \{(w,s)\} \hookrightarrow X \times \Delta^1$. Now, put

$$\beta := \bigoplus_{(w,s)\in Z\cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W)} \rho^*_{(w,s)}(\tilde{\alpha}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_W) \in \pi_0\mathrm{K}_H(X \times \Delta^2) \quad \text{for } H := \bigcup_{(w,s)\in Z\cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W)} H_{(w,s)}.$$

By construction, this gives a homotopy between $\tilde{\alpha}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_W$ and 0. Indeed, let $f_1 : X \times \Delta^1 \hookrightarrow X \times \Delta^2$ be the map defined by $t_2 = 1$, f_3 by $t_1 = 0$, and f_2 by $t_1 + t_2 = 1$ sending 0 and 1 to $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$, respectively. The homotopy β defines

$$f_1^*(\beta) + f_2^*(\beta) \sim f_3^*(\beta).$$

On the other hand, $f_1^*(\beta) = \tilde{\alpha}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_W$ and $f_2^*(\beta) = f_3^*(\beta)$, and thus $\tilde{\alpha}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_W$ is homotopic to 0. □

3.8. ***An elementary projection formula.*** In this subsection, we recall an elementary projection formula which will be used in the next subsection. Let $\varphi : X \to X$ be an endomorphism. In this setting, we have the following elementary projective formula.

Lemma 3.8.1. *The following diagram is commutative*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\wedge\varphi_*} & \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^*\wedge\mathrm{Id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-\otimes-)} \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*\circ(-\otimes-)} & \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) \end{array}$$

Proof. By definition of $(- \otimes -)$, we are reduced to showing the corresponding statement for each level of the simplicial spectrum corresponding to $\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)$. In that case, it follows directly from Thomason's projection formula for K-theory spectra [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990]. □

It follows that we have a commutative diagram at the level of Picard groupoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\wedge\varphi_*} & \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^*\wedge\mathrm{Id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-\otimes-)} \\ \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*\circ(-\otimes-)} & \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)) \end{array}$$

In particular, for $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-))$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-))$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{proj}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{F}} : \varphi_*(\varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{G} \otimes \varphi_*\mathcal{F}.$$

3.9. ***Formula for traces of tensor products of correspondences.*** We now prove a formula for the traces of tensor products of correspondences. Let $\varphi : X \to X$ be an endomorphism. Then one has an induced map $\varphi_* : \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)$. Moreover, we also have the push-forward map

$$\pi_*^{(d)} : \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-) \to \mathrm{K}(k).$$

Note that $\pi_*^{(d)} \circ \varphi_*$ is homotopic to $(\pi_*^{(d)} \circ \varphi)_* = \pi_*^{(d)}$. Below, we use the same notation to denote the corresponding induced morphisms on the associated Picard groupoids.

Definition 3.9.1. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)$. A *right correspondence* on $\mathcal{F}$ is a morphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \varphi_*\mathcal{F}$ in $\Pi\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)$, and a *left correspondence* is a morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} : \varphi^*\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ in $\Pi\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X,-)$. If no confusion can arise, we abbreviate right or left correspondence simply by correspondence.

Let $(\mathcal{F}, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}})$ be an object in $\Pi\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$ endowed with a left correspondence. Then we have morphisms

$$\pi_*^{(d)}(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}) : \pi_*^{(d)}(\mathcal{F}) \to \pi_*^{(d)}(\varphi_*\mathcal{F}) \cong \pi_*^{(d)}(\mathcal{F})$$

in $\Pi\mathrm{K}(k)$. Suppose now we are given $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi\mathrm{K}(X)$ and a left correspondence $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} : \varphi^*\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} \in \Pi\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$ is endowed with a correspondence as follows:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\otimes\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{G} \otimes \varphi_*\mathcal{F} \xleftarrow{\mathrm{proj}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{F}}} \varphi_*(\varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}\otimes\mathrm{Id})} \varphi_*(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

In the following, we sometimes denote the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}))$, which is an element of $k^\times$, by $\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}})$. When $\varphi = \mathrm{Id}$, this notation is compatible with the one in Section 3.4.

Proposition 3.9.2. *Let X be* projective *and $\varphi : X \to X$ be an endomorphism. Suppose $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi\mathrm{K}(X)$, $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$, and both are endowed with correspondences $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} : \varphi^*\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \varphi_*\mathcal{F}$. Assume given another correspondence $\Phi'_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \varphi_*\mathcal{F}$. Then one has the formula*

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}) \times \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}))^{-r_{\mathcal{G}}} = \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}, \Phi'_{\mathcal{F}}) \times \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\Phi'_{\mathcal{F}}))^{-r_{\mathcal{G}}},$$

where $r_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the generic rank of $\mathcal{G}$, i.e., the image of $\mathcal{G}$ by the canonical map $\pi_0(\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)) \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We may write $\mathcal{G} = [\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r_{\mathcal{G}}}] + \mathcal{G}_0 \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$. Note that $r_{\mathcal{G}_0} = 0$. Now, $\mathcal{O}_X$ comes equipped with a canonical correspondence $\mathrm{can} : \varphi^*\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$, and therefore $\mathcal{O}_X^{r_{\mathcal{G}}}$ also comes equipped with a canonical correspondence (also denoted by can). Using this, we define a correspondence $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ on $\mathcal{G}_0$ so that $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathrm{can} + \Psi_{\mathcal{G}_0}$. Since $\langle -, -\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}$ is distributive we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{F}})) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\mathrm{can} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{F}})) \times \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}_0} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{F}})).$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\mathrm{can} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{F}})) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}))^{r_{\mathcal{G}}}$, we are reduced to showing that $\langle \mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}_0}, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}) = \langle \mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}_0}, \Phi'_{\mathcal{F}})$. The result follows if we show that the two ways of composing the following maps are homotopic:

$$\langle \mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)} \underset{\langle \mathrm{Id}, \Phi'_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle}{\overset{\langle \mathrm{Id}, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle}{\rightrightarrows}} \langle \mathcal{G}_0, \varphi_*\mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj}} \langle \varphi^*\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)} \xrightarrow{\langle \Psi_{\mathcal{G}_0}, \mathrm{Id}\rangle} \langle \mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}.$$

To check this, we only need to show that the first two maps, namely $\langle \mathrm{Id}, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Id}, \Phi'_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle$, are homotopic. Recall that for any sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ of generic rank r, there exists a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}'$ the codimension of whose support is ≥ 1 and $[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}] + [\mathcal{L}']$ in $\mathrm{K}_0(X)$; see [Fulton 1998, Example 15.1.5]. This implies that, since $\mathcal{G}_0$ has rank

zero, there exists $C \in \Pi \mathrm{K}^{(1)}(X)$ such that $C \cong \mathcal{G}_0$. Then the two maps above are isomorphic to

$$\langle C, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)} \underset{\langle \mathrm{Id}, \Phi'_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle}{\overset{\langle \mathrm{Id}, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle}{\rightrightarrows}} \langle C, \varphi_* \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}.$$

It is enough to show that the path $\Phi'^{-1}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}} \in \pi_1 \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$ tensored with C is homotopic to the identity. In particular, we just need to show that it maps to the identity when viewed as an element of $\pi_1 \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$. But this is precisely the content of Lemma 3.7.1. □

Corollary 3.9.3. *Suppose* $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$ *and* $g : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G} \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$. *Then*

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(g, f) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(\pi^{(d)}_*(f) \mid \pi^{(d)}_*(\mathcal{F})\big)^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \times \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}\rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}(g, \mathrm{Id}).$$

Here, $\pi^{(d)}_*(f)$ *and* $\pi^{(d)}_*(\mathcal{F})$ *are the images under the natural map*

$$\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k).$$

Proof. Note that if $\varphi = \mathrm{Id} : X \to X$, then a correspondence on $\mathcal{F}$ just amounts to giving an endomorphism of $\mathcal{F}$, and likewise a correspondence on $\mathcal{G}$. The corollary follows by taking $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} = f$, $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} = g$, and $\Phi'_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathrm{id}$ in the previous proposition. □

4. Localization formula for holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules

We now prove our main results on the global epsilon factors of tensor products of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules and flat connections. In the following, $\pi : X \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is a smooth projective variety over a field of characteristic zero.

4.1. ***The main theorem.*** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. We set

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F}) := \det(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F})) \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k).$$

We consider the following variant of the microlocalization map of Corollary 2.7.2:

$$\mathrm{CC}^{\mathrm{K}} : \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X) \to \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X, -),$$

where the second map is the natural augmentation map. Recall that we have defined a twisted pull-back map

$$\sigma^+ : \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \to \mathrm{K}(X).$$

In an analogous manner we can define the twisted pull-back

$$\sigma^+ : \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X, -) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \xrightarrow{\otimes \omega_X} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -).$$

We set $\mathrm{CC} := \sigma^+ \circ \mathrm{CC}^{\mathrm{K}}$, and let $\mathrm{for}^{\nabla} : \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathrm{K}(X)$ denote the morphism induced by forgetting the $\mathcal{D}_X$ module structure. Recall that this is well-defined since any $\mathcal{D}_X$-module with singular support in the zero section is coherent as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1.1. *The following diagram commutes up to homotopy equivalence*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{for}^{\nabla} \wedge \mathrm{CC}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}} \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) & \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle^{\mathrm{K}}_{(d,-)}} & \mathrm{K}(k) \end{array}$$

Proof. We only need to show that the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{for}^{\nabla} \wedge \mathrm{CC}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma^+ \circ \mathrm{gr}} \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(X) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^+ \circ \mathrm{gr}} & \mathrm{K}(X) \\ {\scriptstyle R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}}\downarrow & \swarrow_{f_*} & \\ \mathrm{K}(k) & & \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the right-hand diagram follows from Lemma 2.7.5. Therefore, it is enough to verify that the diagram on the left is commutative. The bottom horizontal in this diagram is by definition the composition

$$\mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \to \mathrm{K}^{(0)}(X, -) \leftarrow \mathrm{K}(X).$$

Since σ^+ commutes with $\otimes$ and augmentation, we are reduced to showing that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{for}^{\nabla} \wedge \mathrm{CC}^{\mathrm{K}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma^* \circ \mathrm{gr}} \\ \mathrm{K}(X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(X) \end{array}$$

Note that for^{∇} is homotopic to $\sigma^* \circ \pi^*$ (see Lemma 2.8.2 for the definition of π^*). Therefore, by Lemma 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.2, we are reduced to showing that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi^* \wedge \varepsilon}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{gr}} \\ \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \end{array}$$

By definition, this commutative diagram factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi^* \wedge \varepsilon}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi^* \wedge \mathrm{gr}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{gr}} \\ \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(T^*X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \wedge \mathrm{K}(T^*X) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{K}(T^*X) \end{array}$$

The left square in this diagram commutes by Remark 2.7.3, and the right square commutes by Lemma 2.8.2. □

The theorem has a direct consequence for the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle^{\Pi}_{(d,-)}$. Namely, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, and $\mathcal{G}$ a vector bundle with connection. Then, forgetting the connection, $\mathcal{G}$ gives rise to a natural object $\det(\mathcal{G}) \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{F}$ gives rise to an object of the Picard groupoid associated to $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, and therefore, an object of $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$ via the morphism CC. We denote the corresponding object by $\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \in \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$. Applying the pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{(d)} : \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$$

to $\det(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})$ gives rise to an object $\langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$. An isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $g : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ induces an isomorphism $g : \det(\mathcal{G}) \to \det(\mathcal{G}')$, and an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ induces an isomorphism $f : \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}')$. Therefore we have an isomorphism $f \otimes g : \langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle \to \langle \det(\mathcal{G}'), \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}') \rangle$. Similarly, we get an isomorphism $\varepsilon(g \otimes f) : \varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \to \varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{G}' \otimes \mathcal{F}')$.

Corollary 4.1.2. *One has a natural* (*in* f *and* g *as above*) *isomorphism in* $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \cong \langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle.$$

Proof. The theorem gives rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(\mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)) & \longrightarrow & \Pi(\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi(\mathrm{K}(X)) \wedge \Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k) \end{array}$$

Recall, $\mathcal{G}$ gives rise to a homotopy point of $\mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X)$, and therefore an object, also denoted by $\mathcal{G}$, in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}_X(\mathcal{D}_X))$. Likewise, $\mathcal{F}$ gives a homotopy point of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and therefore an object $\mathcal{F}$ in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X))$. By construction, the composition of the top arrow and right vertical is naturally isomorphic to $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F})$. The image of $\mathcal{G}$ in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}(X))$ is by definition $\det(\mathcal{G})$ and similarly the image of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$ is $\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})$. Therefore, the commutativity of the diagram above gives rise to the desired natural isomorphism. □

We now apply the previous corollary to compute traces of correspondences and endomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module and $\mathcal{G}$ a flat connection as above, and fix an automorphism $\varphi : X \to X$.

Definition 4.1.3. A *correspondence* $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \varphi_* \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. Since φ is assumed to be an automorphism, this is equivalent to giving an isomorphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} : \varphi^* \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$.

We fix correspondences $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}$ on $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. Note that if $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$ is the identity, then a correspondence is simply an automorphism. Moreover, just as in Section 3.9, one has an induced correspondence

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} \to \varphi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}).$$

It follows that one has an induced quasi-isomorphism:

$$R\Gamma(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathcal{G}}) : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}).$$

We let $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}; \Phi_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathcal{G}}) := \mathrm{Tr}\big(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathcal{G}} \mid \det(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}))\big) \in k^{\times}$. If φ is the identity, we have simply automorphisms $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $g : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ (as $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules). In this case we denote the corresponding epsilon factor by $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}; f \otimes g) := \mathrm{Tr}\big(f \otimes g \mid \det(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}))\big) \in k^{\times}$. In the following, we fix a lift $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{Z}_0(X)$ of $[\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})] \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$. Moreover, we fix an object (as in Section 3.9), also denoted by $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})$, of $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X))$ whose image in $\Pi(\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is equipped with a correspondence, we have $\varphi_*(\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})) = \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \cong \pi_0\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$. This enables us to take a path $\alpha : \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \to \varphi_*(\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}))$, and we normalize so that $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_*^{(d)}(\alpha)) = 1$. By Proposition 3.9.2, this data allows us to define $\langle \mathcal{G}_0, \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})\rangle(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}, \alpha)^{\prod}_{(d,-)} \in k^{\times}$.

Theorem 4.1.4. *With notation as above*:

(i) *One has*

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}; \Phi_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathcal{G}}) = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F}; \Phi_{\mathcal{F}})^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \times \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})\rangle(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}, \alpha)^{\prod}_{(d,-)}.$$

(ii) *In the setting of endomorphisms* (*i.e.*, $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$), *we have*

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}; f \otimes g) = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F}; f)^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \times \langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})\rangle(g).$$

Proof. The first equality follows directly from Theorem 4.1.1 and Proposition 3.9.2. For the second statement, we note that in the setting of endomorphisms one has, by Corollary 3.9.3,

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}; f \otimes g) = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F}; f)^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \times \langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})\rangle^{\prod}_{(d,-)}(g, \mathrm{Id}).$$

On the other hand, the latter is

$$\langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})\rangle^{\prod}_{(d,-)}(g, \mathrm{Id}) = \langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})\rangle^{\prod}_{(d)}(g, \mathrm{Id}) = \langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})\rangle(g)$$

by Corollary 3.5.1. □

Remark 4.1.5. We note that $\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ is precisely the pull-back of the characteristic cycle of $\mathcal{F}$ under the zero section $\sigma^* : \mathrm{CH}^d(T^*X) \to \mathrm{CH}^d(X)$.

4.2. ***A formula for the local pairing.*** Let $\mathcal{G} \in \Pi\mathrm{K}(X)$, and $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} : \varphi^*\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a correspondence in $\Pi\mathrm{K}(X)$. Assume given a cycle $z \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ such that $z = \varphi_*(z)$. We take an object $\mathcal{O}_Z \in \Pi\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -)$ which corresponds to z via the isomorphism $\pi_0\mathrm{K}^{(d)}(X, -) \cong \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$, and take a correspondence $P : \mathcal{O}_Z \to \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$, normalized so that the trace of the action of P on the cohomology is 1 as well. Since $z = \varphi_*(z)$, such a correspondence must exist (though it may not be unique).

In this setting, we have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.9.2 that

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_Z \rangle^{\prod}_{(d,-)}(\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}, P) \in k^{\times}$$

is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{O}_Z$ and P. When z is represented by $z_0 \in \mathrm{Z}^d(X)$ such that $\varphi_*(z_0) = z_0$, we may take P such that the description of the pair is especially simple. For simplicity, we assume that z_0 is an effective cycle. In the general case, we can proceed by writing it as a difference of two effective cycles. In this case, let W be the underlying reduced scheme of z_0 in X. Note that W is a smooth scheme of dimension 0. Since, by assumption, $\varphi_*(z_0) = z_0$, there exists an endomorphism φ_W of W such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\varphi_W} & W \\ {\scriptstyle i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since z_0 is an effective cycle, we may write $z_0 = \sum_{w \in |W|} n_w \cdot [w]$, where $n_w > 0$. We set $\mathcal{O}_{z_0} := \bigoplus_{w \in |W|} \mathcal{O}_w^{\oplus n_w}$. The endomorphism φ_W yields a correspondence $P : \mathcal{O}_{z_0} \to \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{z_0}$. We can pull back the correspondence $\varphi^* A \to A$ by i, and get a correspondence $i^*\Psi : \varphi_W^*(i^*A) \to i^*A$. One can check that

$$\langle A, z \rangle(\Psi, P) = \mathrm{Tr}(R\Gamma(i^*\Psi)).$$

4.3. ***Elementary proof of localization formula for endomorphisms.*** In this section, we give an elementary proof of the main theorem when the correspondence is merely an automorphism. While the proof below is elementary, it doesn't seem to generalize to the setting of correspondences (unlike the K-theoretic approach of the previous sections). We only give an outline of the proof below, and leave the details to the reader.

We begin by recalling the statement for the reader's convenience. Let X denote a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote a flat connection on X, and $\mathcal{F}$ a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. Let f denote a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module automorphism of $\mathcal{F}$, and g a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module automorphism of $\mathcal{G}$. Given a cycle $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ representing the pull-back (by the zero section) of the characteristic cycle of $\mathcal{F}$, we have defined the trace $\langle \det(\mathcal{G}), \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle(g) \in k^{\times}$. Note that $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}) = [\mathrm{CC}(\mathcal{F})]$ using the previous notation.

Theorem 4.3.1. *With notation as above*:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}, f \otimes g) = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathcal{F}, f)^{r_{\mathcal{G}}} \times \langle \det(\mathcal{G})(g), \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle.$$

Proof. Suppose that $0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}$ is a finite filtration of $\mathcal{F}$ and that f is an endomorphism which preserves this filtration. Since both sides of the formula are

compatible with exact sequences (i.e., are multiplicative), we are reduced to showing the validity of the given formula for $\mathcal{F}$ replaced by $\mathrm{gr}_i(\mathcal{F})$ with the morphism induced by f. A similar assertion holds for $\mathcal{G}$. In particular, we can assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a simple holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. Then f is given by multiplication by a scalar. A similar assertion holds for $\mathcal{G}$ and g. Suppose $f = \alpha \in k^\times$ and $g = \beta \in k^\times$. Then the left-hand side of the formula is given by $(\alpha\beta)^{\chi(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G})}$. The right-hand side is given by $\alpha^{\chi(\mathcal{F})r_\mathcal{G}}\beta^{r_\mathcal{G}\chi(\mathcal{F})}$. Therefore, we are reduced to showing that $\chi(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}) = \chi(\mathcal{F})r_\mathcal{G}$. This follows from a direct computation or by the Dubson–Kashiwara formula once one notes that the associated graded (with respect to a good filtration) commutes with the tensor product since $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$-coherent (see Remark 2.8.1). □

References

[Beilinson 2007] A. Beilinson, "Topological $\mathcal{E}$-factors", *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3**:1 (2007), 357–391. MR Zbl

[Deligne 1987] P. Deligne, "Le déterminant de la cohomologie", pp. 93–177 in *Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry* (Arcata, CA, 1985), edited by K. A. Ribet, Contemp. Math. **67**, American Mathematics Society, Providence, RI, 1987. MR Zbl

[Fulton 1998] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **2**, Springer, 1998. MR Zbl

[Kato and Saito 2008] K. Kato and T. Saito, "Ramification theory for varieties over a perfect field", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **168**:1 (2008), 33–96. MR Zbl

[Knudsen 2002] F. F. Knudsen, "Determinant functors on exact categories and their extensions to categories of bounded complexes", *Michigan Math. J.* **50**:2 (2002), 407–444. MR Zbl

[Knudsen and Mumford 1976] F. F. Knudsen and D. Mumford, "The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves, I: Preliminaries on "det" and "Div"", *Math. Scand.* **39**:1 (1976), 19–55. MR Zbl

[Laumon 1983] G. Laumon, "Sur la catégorie dérivée des $\mathcal{D}$-modules filtrés", pp. 151–237 in *Algebraic geometry* (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), edited by M. Raynaud and T. Shioda, Lecture Notes in Math. **1016**, Springer, 1983. MR Zbl

[Levine 2006] M. Levine, "Chow's moving lemma and the homotopy coniveau tower", *K-Theory* **37**:1-2 (2006), 129–209. MR Zbl

[Levine 2008] M. Levine, "The homotopy coniveau tower", *J. Topol.* **1**:1 (2008), 217–267. MR Zbl

[Patel 2012] D. Patel, "de Rham $\mathcal{E}$-factors", *Invent. Math.* **190**:2 (2012), 299–355. MR Zbl

[Schwede 2012] S. Schwede, "Symmetric spectra", book in progress, 2012, available at http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/schwede/SymSpec-v3.pdf.

[SGA 4_3 1973] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J. L. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 3: Exposés IX–XIX* (Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963–1964), Lecture Notes in Math. **305**, Springer, 1973. MR Zbl

[Thomason and Trobaugh 1990] R. W. Thomason and T. Trobaugh, "Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories", pp. 247–435 in *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, vol. III, edited by P. Cartier et al., Progr. Math. **88**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990. MR Zbl

[Umezaki et al. 2018] N. Umezaki, E. Yang, and Y. Zhao, "Characteristic class and the epsilon-factor of an étale sheaf", preprint, 2018. arXiv

Received 3 Feb 2017. Revised 16 May 2017. Accepted 23 Jul 2017.

TOMOYUKI ABE: tomoyuki.abe@ipmu.jp
Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Chiba, Japan

DEEPAM PATEL: patel471@purdue.edu
Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

msp.org/akt

Annals of K-Theory is a journal of the K-Theory Foundation (ktheoryfoundation.org). The K-Theory Foundation acknowledges the precious support of Foundation Compositio Mathematica, whose help has been instrumental in the launch of the Annals of K-Theory.

See inside back cover or msp.org/akt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US $475/year for the electronic version, and $535/year (+$30, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Annals of K-Theory (ISSN 2379-1681 electronic, 2379-1683 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

AKT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

2018 vol. 3 no. 3