

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

vol. 4 no. 1 2019

**On the Farrell–Jones conjecture
for algebraic K -theory of spaces:
the Farrell–Hsiang method**

Mark Ullmann and Christoph Winges



A JOURNAL OF THE K-THEORY FOUNDATION

On the Farrell–Jones conjecture for algebraic K -theory of spaces: the Farrell–Hsiang method

Mark Ullmann and Christoph Winges

We prove the Farrell–Jones conjecture for algebraic K -theory of spaces for virtually poly- \mathbb{Z} -groups. For this, we transfer the “Farrell–Hsiang method” from the linear case to categories of equivariant, controlled retractive spaces.

1. Introduction	57
2. Controlled equivariant CW-complexes	62
3. Categories of controlled retractive spaces	65
4. Comparison theorems and vanishing theorems	78
5. Nonconnective A -theory spectra	94
6. The Davis–Lück assembly map	97
7. The isomorphism conjecture for Dress–Farrell–Hsiang groups	104
8. The A -theoretic Swan group	109
9. The transfer functor	116
10. The “squeezing” theorem	119
11. Applications	124
Acknowledgments	135
References	135

1. Introduction

The classification of high-dimensional manifolds and the understanding of their automorphism groups is a long-standing question in algebraic topology. The former turned out to be intimately related to the algebraic K - and L -theory of group rings [Wall 1999], while the latter has a deep connection to pseudo-isotopy theory and Waldhausen’s algebraic K -theory of spaces [Waldhausen et al. 2013; Weiss and Williams 2014].

Since the 1970s, a lot of progress has been made to calculate the algebraic K - and L -theory of group rings. This culminated in what is now called the *Farrell–Jones conjecture*, first stated in [Farrell and Jones 1993]. For algebraic K -theory, it

MSC2010: primary 19D10; secondary 18F25, 57Q10.

Keywords: algebraic K -theory of spaces, Farrell–Jones conjecture, poly- \mathbb{Z} -groups.

predicts that the algebraic K -theory of $R[G]$ can be computed from the algebraic K -theory of a certain set of subgroups by a homological recipe. The Farrell–Jones conjectures for algebraic K - and L -theory of group rings have been a highly active research area over the last 20 years. Though many cases of the conjectures are known by now, they remain open in general.

In the language of [Davis and Lück 1998], the conjecture takes the following form: Let \mathbb{F} be a homotopy invariant functor from spaces to spectra. Let X be a connected CW-complex with fundamental group G . Then we obtain an induced functor \mathbb{F}_X from the orbit category $\text{Or}(G)$ to the category of spectra which sends G/H to $\mathbb{F}(\tilde{X} \times_G G/H)$. By the methods of [Davis and Lück 1998], \mathbb{F}_X gives rise to a G -homology theory $H_*^G(-; \mathbb{F}_X)$. The *Farrell–Jones conjecture* for \mathbb{F}_X predicts that the *assembly map*

$$H_n^G(E_{\mathcal{VCyc}}G; \mathbb{F}_X) \rightarrow H_n^G(G/G; \mathbb{F}_X) \cong \pi_n \mathbb{F}(X), \quad (1.1)$$

which is induced by the projection map $E_{\mathcal{VCyc}}G \rightarrow G/G$ from the classifying space for virtually cyclic subgroups to a point, is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we choose \mathbb{F} to be nonconnective algebraic K -theory $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(-)])$ or Ranicki’s ultimate lower L -theory $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(-)])$, we obtain the K - or L -theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture for group rings, respectively.

In this article, we consider the case that $\mathbb{F}(-) = \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(-)$, a nonconnective delooping of Waldhausen’s algebraic K -theory of spaces. We obtain the following result:

1.2. Theorem. *Let X be a connected CW-complex with fundamental group G . If G is a virtually poly- \mathbb{Z} -group, then the assembly map*

$$H_n^G(E_{\mathcal{VCyc}}G; \mathbb{A}_X^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \pi_n \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(X)$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In addition to the algebraic K - and L -theory of group rings, Farrell and Jones also stated conjecture (1.1) for pseudo-isotopy. They went on to prove the pseudo-isotopy version of the conjecture for spaces whose fundamental group is a co-compact lattice in an almost connected Lie group, assuming that it holds for spaces whose fundamental group is virtually poly- \mathbb{Z} . However, the announced proof of this special case was never published; see [Bartels et al. 2014a, Remark 7.1]. Since the isomorphism conjecture in A -theory is in fact equivalent to the (topological, PL and smooth) pseudo-isotopy version [Enkelmann et al. 2018, Theorem 3.2], the present article closes this gap in the published literature.

We also give a description of the A -theory of spaces with finite fundamental group, which is similar to Lemma 4.1 of [Bartels and Lück 2007]. Call a finite group D a *Dress group* if there are primes p and q and a normal series $P \trianglelefteq C \trianglelefteq D$ such that P is a p -group, C/P is cyclic and D/C is a q -group.

1.3. Theorem. *Let X be a connected CW-complex with finite fundamental group G . Let \mathcal{D} denote the family of Dress subgroups of G . Then the assembly map*

$$H_n^G(E_{\mathcal{D}}G; \mathbb{A}_X^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \pi_n \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(X)$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are not the first results of this type. For the algebraic K -theory and L -theory of group rings, the last decade has seen dramatic progress on the Farrell–Jones conjecture. To name some important results, the conjecture has been shown to hold for word-hyperbolic groups [Bartels et al. 2008b], CAT(0)-groups [Bartels and Lück 2012a; Wegner 2012], lattices in almost connected Lie groups [Bartels et al. 2014a; Kammeyer et al. 2016], subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ [Bartels et al. 2014b] and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ as well as $\mathrm{GL}_n(F(t))$ for any finite field F [Rüping 2016], solvable groups [Wegner 2015], and mapping class groups [Bartels and Bestvina 2019].

The proofs of these results make heavy use of a set of ideas known as “controlled algebra”, which go back to work of Connell and Hollingsworth [1969] and Quinn [1979]. It was shown in [Bartels et al. 2004] that the methods of controlled algebra can be used to produce explicit models for the (equivariant) assembly map $H_n^G(E_{\mathcal{VCyc}}G; \mathbb{K}_R^{-\infty}) \rightarrow K_n(R[G])$. Precursors of this model appeared for example in [Pedersen and Weibel 1989] and [Anderson et al. 1994]. All recent proofs of the Farrell–Jones conjecture use this setup, and rely on at least one of two sufficient criteria to prove the conjecture: the notions of *transfer reducibility* and *being a Farrell–Hsiang group* (see [Bartels 2016]).

For the algebraic K -theory of spaces, known as A -theory, Vogell used the ideas of controlled algebra in the setting of retractive spaces to describe an A -theory assembly map [Vogell 1990; Carlsson et al. 1998]. These models were recast in [Weiss 2002] to repair some problems with the original approach.

In this article, we promote Weiss’ categories of controlled retractive spaces to the equivariant setting (even though our notions of weak equivalence are closer to those of Carlsson–Pedersen–Vogell). We give a self-contained discussion of the categories of equivariant, controlled retractive spaces. We prove a number of theorems modeled after those of [Bartels et al. 2004], and produce a model for the equivariant A -theory assembly map. One particular feature of our treatment lies in the fact that we can reuse a considerable amount of results from [Bartels et al. 2004] and subsequent work.

One obtains a category (of controlled retractive spaces) whose K -theory vanishes if and only if the assembly map for G is an isomorphism. In the linear case, proofs of the Farrell–Jones conjecture proceed by using the notions of transfer reducibility or Farrell–Hsiang group to show that the K -theory of a similar “obstruction category” is trivial. We adapt the “Farrell–Hsiang method” to our setting:

1.4. Definition. Let G be a group and S be a finite, symmetric generating set of G . Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subgroups of G .

Call (G, S) a *Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to \mathcal{F}* if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an epimorphism $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow F$ onto a finite group F such that the following holds: for every Dress group $D \leq F$, there are a $\bar{D} := \pi^{-1}(D)$ -simplicial complex E_D of dimension at most N whose isotropy groups lie in \mathcal{F} , and a \bar{D} -equivariant map $\varphi_D : G \rightarrow E_D$ such that

$$d^{\ell^1}(\varphi_D(g), \varphi_D(g')) \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever $g^{-1}g' \in S$.

1.5. Theorem. *Let X be a connected CW-complex with fundamental group G . Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subgroups of G . If G is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to \mathcal{F} , then the assembly map*

$$H_n^G(E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_X^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \pi_n \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(X)$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from this result. Theorem 1.2 is deduced in Section 11 following the strategy of [Bartels et al. 2014a], using previous results from [Winges 2015] that all relevant instances of Farrell–Hsiang groups are actually Dress–Farrell–Hsiang.

Using the framework we develop here, the proof of the Farrell–Jones conjecture for transfer reducible groups can also be adapted to the A -theory setting; see [Enkelmann et al. 2018].

Structure of the article. Let us outline the structure of this article.

In the first half, we set up the technical background for our constructions. In Section 2, we define the notion of a coarse structure and explain how a coarse structure \mathfrak{J} gives rise to the notion of a *controlled space* relative to a base space W . In Section 3, we use these notions to construct the category of *controlled retractive spaces* $\mathcal{R}(W, \mathfrak{J})$ relative to a base space W . Every subspace A of \mathfrak{J} gives rise to a class of weak equivalences h^A on $\mathcal{R}(W, \mathfrak{J})$; if A is empty, this gives a notion of homotopy equivalence. We show that the category $\mathcal{R}(W, \mathfrak{J})$, together with the weak equivalences h^A , is a Waldhausen category which has a cylinder functor and satisfies the saturation axiom and the cylinder axiom. As usual, we need some finiteness condition to make algebraic K -theory nontrivial, so we define subcategories of finite, homotopy finite and finitely dominated objects. In fact, we work G -equivariantly and obtain in particular a Waldhausen category of finite, G -equivariant controlled retractive spaces $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{J})$.

In Section 4, we compare the different finiteness conditions and show that the resulting (connective) algebraic K -theory differs at most in degree 0. We show

that we have a version of Waldhausen’s fibration theorem which applies in our situation, even though the h^A -equivalences do not satisfy the extension axiom. We call this the modified fibration theorem and prove it as Proposition 4.14. (Such a statement was already used in [Weiss 2002].) We use this to construct homotopy fiber sequences which compare homotopy equivalences and h^A -equivalences and show an excision result, the “coarse Mayer–Vietoris theorem” in Theorem 4.23. These results still have a certain “defect” in degree 0, which is corrected in the next section. The section concludes with a criterion for the vanishing of the algebraic K -theory of the categories $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$.

In Section 5, we define a delooping of the algebraic K -theory space $K(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}))$ to obtain the *nonconnective algebraic K -theory spectrum* $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}))$. We establish nonconnective versions of the homotopy fiber sequences and “coarse Mayer–Vietoris theorem” from the previous section. In particular, this repairs the “defect” in degree 0 of the connective case.

The second half of the article discusses the Farrell–Jones conjecture for A -theory. Section 6 constructs a model for the assembly map. As in the linear case, there exists for any G -CW-complex X a coarse structure $\mathbb{J}(X)$ which, together with a certain class of weak equivalences h^∞ , makes

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(-)), h^\infty)$$

into a G -homology theory. If W is a free G -CW-complex, we identify its coefficients with $\mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(H \setminus W)$. Here, $\mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(V)$ is a nonconnective delooping of Waldhausen’s algebraic K -theory of spaces $A(V)$, which we define using the results of Section 5. Applying the G -homology theory to the map $E_{\mathcal{F}}G \rightarrow G/G$ gives the assembly map. We conclude with a criterion when this assembly map is a weak equivalence.

In Section 7, we recall the fibered isomorphism conjecture for A -theory. We define the notion of a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to a family \mathcal{F} . Theorem 7.4 states that the fibered isomorphism conjecture is true for this class of groups. Imitating [Bartels and Lück 2012b], we show how the theorem follows once we know Corollary 9.6 and Theorem 10.1. Theorem 1.5 is a special case of Theorem 7.4.

In Section 8, we introduce the *A -theoretic Swan group* and show that it acts on the K -theory of the categories $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X))$. We prove an analog of Swan’s induction theorem as Theorem 8.7. This is used to construct a “transfer map” in Section 9. Section 10 contains a proof of the “squeezing theorem”, Theorem 10.1.

Section 11 is devoted to applications. We prove Theorem 1.3 and proceed to show Theorem 1.2 following the strategy of [Bartels et al. 2014a]. We state the “fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory”, establish the usual inheritance properties and generalize Theorem 7.4 to cover this case as well.

We conclude with the proof that virtually poly- \mathbb{Z} -groups satisfy the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory.

2. Controlled equivariant CW-complexes

Throughout this article, G denotes a discrete group and W denotes a G -space.

2.1. Definition. Let Z be a G -space which is Hausdorff. A set of *morphism control conditions* \mathfrak{C} is a collection of G -invariant subsets of $Z \times Z$ with the following properties:

- (C1) Every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ contains the diagonal $\Delta(Z) := \{(z, z) \mid z \in Z\}$.
- (C2) Every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ is symmetric.
- (C3) For all $C, C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ there is some $C'' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C \cup C' \subseteq C''$.
- (C4) For all $C, C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ there is some $C'' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C' \circ C \subseteq C''$, where the composition $C' \circ C$ is defined as

$$C' \circ C := \{(z'', z) \mid \exists z' : (z', z) \in C, (z'', z') \in C'\}.$$

A set of *object support conditions* \mathfrak{S} is a collection of G -invariant subsets of Z with the following property:

- (S1) For all $S, S' \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some $S'' \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $S \cup S' \subseteq S''$.

The triple $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ is called a *coarse structure*.

Note that conditions (C1) and (C4) imply condition (C3).

2.2. Example [Bartels et al. 2004, Sections 2.3.2 and 2.3.3; 2008b, Section 3.2].

- (1) Let Z be a G -space. The *trivial object support condition* is $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{triv}}(Z) = \{Z\}$. The *trivial morphism control condition* is given by $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{triv}}(Z) := \{Z \times Z\}$. Together, these form the *trivial coarse structure* $\mathfrak{T}(Z)$.
- (2) Let X be a G -space. The *G -compact support condition* is the object support condition defined to be

$$\mathfrak{C}_{G\text{-cpt}}(X) := \{K \subseteq X \mid K \text{ is } G\text{-compact}\}.$$

- (3) Let M be a metric space with isometric G -action; metrics are allowed to map to the extended real line $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The *bounded morphism control condition* is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{bdd}}(M) := \{B \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M) \mid \text{there is some } R > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$d(m_1, m_2) \leq R \text{ for all } (m_1, m_2) \in B\}.$$

Together with the trivial object support condition on M , we obtain the *bounded coarse structure*

$$\mathfrak{B}(M) := (M, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{bdd}}(M), \mathfrak{S}_{\text{triv}}(M)).$$

- (4) Let X be a G -space. The G -continuous control condition $\mathfrak{C}_{G\text{-cc}}(X)$ is the morphism control condition given by the set of all subsets

$$C \subseteq (X \times [1, \infty[) \times (X \times [1, \infty[)$$

which satisfy the following:

- (a) For every $x \in X$ and every G_x -invariant open neighborhood U of (x, ∞) in $X \times [1, \infty]$, there exists a G_x -invariant open neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of (x, ∞) in $X \times [1, \infty]$ such that $((X \times [1, \infty[) \setminus U) \times V) \cap C = \emptyset$.
 - (b) Let $p_{[1, \infty[} : X \times [1, \infty[\rightarrow [1, \infty[$ be the projection map and equip $[1, \infty[$ with the Euclidean metric. Then the set $(p_{[1, \infty[} \times p_{[1, \infty[})(C)$ is a member of $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{bdd}}([1, \infty[)$.
 - (c) C is symmetric, G -invariant and contains the diagonal.
- (5) If $\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_2$ are sets of morphism control conditions on the same space Z , then

$$\mathfrak{C}_1 \mathbin{\frown} \mathfrak{C}_2 := \{C_1 \cap C_2 \mid C_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_1, C_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_2\}$$

is again a set of control conditions. We refer to this construction as “pointwise intersection”.

There are further constructions which allow us to produce new coarse structures out of these; see [Bartels et al. 2004, Section 2.3.1]. We will introduce these on the way as we need them; see, for example, Definitions 5.1, 5.2 and 6.1.

Recall that W is a space with a G -action. Let Y be a G -CW-complex relative to W . The *structural inclusion* of the relative G -CW-complex (Y, W) is usually denoted by $s : W \rightarrow Y$. If we speak about a “cell” of Y , this always means a (nonequivariant) open, relative cell. The closure of a cell e is denoted by \bar{e} , and ∂e is always the boundary of the cell e , i.e., the image of any attaching map for e . Let $\diamond_k Y$ denote the set of k -cells of Y . Set $\diamond Y := \bigcup_k \diamond_k Y$. If $e \in \diamond Y$ is a cell in Y , we define $\langle e \rangle \subseteq Y$ to be the smallest nonequivariant subcomplex of Y (relative W) which contains e . For a subgroup $H \leq G$, let $\langle e \rangle_H \subseteq Y$ denote the smallest H -CW-subcomplex of Y which contains e . Similarly, we define $\langle S \rangle, \langle S \rangle_H$ for any subset $S \subseteq Y$.

A nonequivariant version of the following definition was already considered in [Weiss 2002].

2.3. Definition. Let $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ be a coarse structure. Let Y be a G -CW-complex relative to W . A *control map* for Y is an equivariant function $\kappa : \diamond Y \rightarrow Z$.

A G -CW-complex Y relative W together with a control map κ is called a *labeled G -CW-complex relative W* .

Let (Y_1, κ_1) and (Y_2, κ_2) be labeled G -CW-complexes relative W . A \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is an equivariant, cellular map (relative W) such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is some $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ for which

$$(\kappa_2 \times \kappa_1)(\{(e_2, e_1) \mid e_1 \in \diamond_k Y_1, e_2 \in \diamond Y_2, \langle f(e_1) \rangle \cap e_2 \neq \emptyset\}) \subseteq C$$

holds.

A \mathfrak{Z} -controlled G -CW-complex relative W is a labeled G -CW-complex (Y, κ) such that the identity map on Y is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is some $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that

$$\kappa(\diamond_k Y) \subseteq S.$$

We abbreviate the terminology to *controlled map* and *controlled G -CW-complex* if the coarse structure \mathfrak{Z} is understood.

2.4. Remark. The \mathfrak{Z} -control condition for a labeled G -CW-complex (Y, κ) is a statement about attaching maps. Since \mathfrak{C} is closed under composition and taking finite unions, the control condition in Definition 2.3 is equivalent to requiring that for each k , there is some $C_k \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that for every k -cell e and every cell e' intersecting the closed cell \bar{e} nontrivially, we have $(\kappa(e'), \kappa(e)) \in C_k$.

Moreover, if C_k witnesses \mathfrak{Z} -controlledness for a given complex Y , we may assume that $C_k \subseteq C_{k+1}$, and the same holds for the support conditions. In particular, if Y is finite-dimensional, there are a single support condition S and a single control condition C witnessing that Y is \mathfrak{Z} -controlled.

Let (Y, κ) be a labeled G -CW-complex relative W . Define the *relative cylinder* $Y \searrow [0, 1]$ by the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \times [0, 1] & \longrightarrow & W \\ s \times \text{id}_{[0, 1]} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y \times [0, 1] & \longrightarrow & Y \searrow [0, 1] \end{array}$$

The projection map $p : Y \searrow [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ induces a function $\diamond p : \diamond(Y \searrow [0, 1]) \rightarrow \diamond Y$, so $\kappa \circ \diamond p$ is a control map for $Y \searrow [0, 1]$. This turns $Y \searrow [0, 1]$ into a labeled G -CW complex relative to W . If (Y, κ) is \mathfrak{Z} -controlled, then $(Y \searrow [0, 1], \kappa \circ \diamond p)$ is also \mathfrak{Z} -controlled.

2.5. Definition. Let (Y_1, κ_1) and (Y_2, κ_2) be labeled G -CW-complexes relative W . A \mathfrak{Z} -controlled homotopy is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map $H : Y_1 \searrow [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_2$. Two maps $f_0, f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ are \mathfrak{Z} -controlled homotopic, $f_0 \simeq_{\mathfrak{Z}} f_1$, if there is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled homotopy whose restriction to $Y_1 \times \{0\}$ and $Y_1 \times \{1\}$ equals f_0 and f_1 , respectively.

A \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled homotopy equivalence if there is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map $\bar{f} : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ such that $\bar{f}f \simeq_{\mathfrak{Z}} \text{id}_{Y_1}$ and $f\bar{f} \simeq_{\mathfrak{Z}} \text{id}_{Y_2}$.

Suppose (Y, κ) is a labeled G -CW-complex relative to W , and that $B \subseteq Y$ is a G -invariant subcomplex of Y which contains W . Then B naturally becomes a labeled G -CW-complex relative to W by restricting κ to B . If we do not say otherwise, we always think about subcomplexes as labeled G -CW-complexes in this way.

2.6. Proposition (\mathfrak{Z} -controlled homotopy extension property [Weiss and Williams 1998, Section 1.A.6]). *Let (Y, κ) be a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled G -CW-complex relative W , and let $B \subseteq Y$ be a G -invariant subcomplex. Let Y_1 be a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled G -CW-complex relative W , and suppose that $h : Y \times \{0\} \cup B \searrow [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_1$ is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map.*

Then there is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map $Y \searrow [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_1$ extending h .

Proof. The proof follows the usual pattern. Subject to a choice of deformation retraction of $D^n \times [0, 1]$ to $D^n \times \{0\} \cup \partial D^n \times [0, 1]$, we can define a G -equivariant deformation retraction of $(\text{sk}_n Y \cup B) \searrow [0, 1]$ onto $\text{sk}_n Y \times \{0\} \cup B \searrow [0, 1]$ by composing with the characteristic maps of equivariant n -cells. The resulting deformation retraction can be chosen to be constant on all points which do not lie on an n -cell of Y which is not in B . It is \mathfrak{Z} -controlled because points on a given cell are moved at most into the image of the attaching sphere of the same cell (and attaching maps are controlled).

We obtain a deformation retraction of $Y \searrow [0, 1]$ onto $Y \times \{0\} \cup B \searrow [0, 1]$ by stacking the homotopies defined in the first step. This produces another \mathfrak{Z} -controlled homotopy since, for each n , all but finitely many of the stacked homotopies are constant on the n -skeleton. The endpoint of this homotopy is a retraction

$$r : Y \searrow [0, 1] \rightarrow Y \times \{0\} \cup B \searrow [0, 1],$$

so we may define an extension of h by $H := h \circ r$. □

3. Categories of controlled retractive spaces

The primary objective of the following discussion is to form a Waldhausen category of controlled G -CW-complexes relative W . This enables us to study the controlled A -theory of W in the sequel. Since the terminology can be considered standard by now, we freely use the notions of category with cofibrations [Waldhausen 1985, page 320], Waldhausen category [Waldhausen 1985, page 326] (where it is called “category with cofibrations and weak equivalences”) and cylinder functor [Waldhausen 1985, page 348]. The saturation and extension axioms [Waldhausen 1985, page 327] as well as the cylinder axiom [Waldhausen 1985, page 349] play a role.

3.1. Definition. Let $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ be a coarse structure. The category $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ of \mathfrak{Z} -controlled retractive spaces over W is the category whose objects are \mathfrak{Z} -controlled, free G -CW-complexes Y relative to W which come equipped with an

equivariant retraction $r : Y \rightarrow W$ (i.e., $r \circ s = \text{id}_W$, where s denotes the structural inclusion $W \rightarrow Y$). Morphisms in this category are \mathfrak{Z} -controlled maps over and under W .

We write (Y, s_Y, r_Y) or $Y \rightrightarrows W$ for objects of $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, if we want to emphasize the section and retraction or the base space.

3.2. Remark. We would like to emphasize a few points about Definition 3.1 which may be easy to overlook.

By definition, the morphisms in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ are all *cellular* maps, and we never consider maps which are not cellular. This is important for inductive arguments, and also provides us with mapping cylinders.

Requiring the relative G -CW-complexes (Y, W) to have a retraction $Y \rightarrow W$ and morphisms to respect this retraction provides $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ with a basepoint. However, the homotopy equivalences we define later are inherited from the category of relative G -CW-complexes. This means that some arguments lead us to consider maps which do not have to respect the retraction. We use the word *morphism* if a map respects the retractions, and speak about *maps* if the retractions do not need to be preserved.

3.3. Definition (finiteness conditions). Let $(Y \rightrightarrows W, \kappa)$ be a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled retractive space over W .

We call Y *finite* if it is finite-dimensional, the image of $Y \setminus W$ under the retraction meets the orbits of only finitely many path components of W , and for all $z \in Z$ there is some open neighborhood U of z such that $\kappa^{-1}(U)$ is finite.

An object Y is *homotopy finite* if there is a finite object F and a morphism $F \rightarrow Y$ which is a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled homotopy equivalence.

We call Y *finitely dominated* if there are a finite \mathfrak{Z} -controlled G -CW-complex D relative W , a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled morphism $p : D \rightarrow Y$ and a \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map $i : Y \rightarrow D$ such that $pi \simeq_{\mathfrak{Z}} \text{id}_Y$ as \mathfrak{Z} -controlled maps.

Let us denote the full subcategories of finite, homotopy finite and finitely dominated \mathfrak{Z} -controlled retractive spaces by $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$.

3.4. Remark. Note that the cells of finite objects can only be labeled with points whose isotropy group is finite. In fact, all control spaces we consider are free.

3A. $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ as a Waldhausen category. Observe that $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ is canonically pointed by the zero object $* = (W \rightrightarrows W, \emptyset)$, and that $*$ is finite. Let $\text{co}\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ be the subcategory of all morphisms which are isomorphic to the inclusion of a G -invariant subcomplex. We call such morphisms *cofibrations* and denote them by “ \rightarrow ”. Since isomorphisms are controlled, the controlled homotopy extension property (CHEP) holds with respect to cofibrations as a consequence of Proposition 2.6. As observed in [Weiss and Williams 1998, Section 1.A.6], the

CHEP is key to showing that $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ is a Waldhausen category. In the remainder of this section, we elaborate on this remark, and also introduce a more general notion of weak equivalences in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, which is inspired by [Carlsson et al. 1998].

3.5. Lemma. *The subcategory $co\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ is a subcategory of cofibrations for $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. If in a diagram $Y_2 \leftarrow Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ all three objects are finite, then so is the pushout $Y_1 \cup_{Y_0} Y_2$.*

Proof. The unique morphism $* \rightarrow Y$ (given by the structural inclusion) is clearly in $co\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, and the same holds true for any isomorphism. This shows Waldhausen’s first two axioms. We are left to show that cofibrations admit cobase changes. Clearly isomorphisms do, so we can restrict ourselves to inclusions of G -invariant subcomplexes.

Let a diagram of the form $Y_2 \leftarrow Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ be given, where Y_0 is a subcomplex of Y_1 . The pushout $Y := Y_1 \cup_{Y_0} Y_2$ exists in the category of G -CW-complexes relative W , and the resulting map $Y_2 \rightarrow Y$ is the inclusion of a subcomplex. By the universal property of the pushout, we obtain a structural retraction $Y \rightarrow W$.

We observe that $\diamond Y = \diamond Y_2 \sqcup (\diamond Y_1 \setminus \diamond Y_0)$. This allows us to define a control map $\kappa : \diamond Y \rightarrow Z$ by setting

$$\kappa(e) := \begin{cases} \kappa_2(e), & e \in \diamond Y_2, \\ \kappa_1(e), & e \in \diamond Y_1 \setminus \diamond Y_0. \end{cases}$$

Then (Y, κ) is an object in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ because the map $Y_0 \rightarrow Y_2$ is controlled. If Y_1 and Y_2 are finite, then so is Y .

By the universal property of Y , morphisms of retractive spaces out of Y are in bijection with compatible pairs of morphisms of retractive spaces out of Y_1 and Y_2 . It is straightforward to check that this correspondence restricts to a bijection between controlled maps. Hence, (Y, κ) is also a pushout in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. \square

Setting $co\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) := \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \cap co\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, Lemma 3.5 shows that both $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ are categories with cofibrations.

The pushout of homotopy finite or finitely dominated objects is also homotopy finite or finitely dominated, respectively, and both $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ are therefore also categories with cofibrations. However, the proof requires us to know more about the Waldhausen category structure of $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. It is given in Lemma 3.25.

3.6. Definition (cofinal subcomplexes). Let $A \subseteq Z$ be a G -invariant subspace. A \mathfrak{Z} -thickening A is a set of the form

$$A^C := \{z \in Z \mid (z, a) \in C, a \in A\}$$

for some $C \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Let additionally (Y, κ) be a labeled G -CW-complex relative W . A subcomplex $Y' \subseteq Y$ is called *cofinal away from A* if for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is some \mathfrak{Z} -thickening A^C of A such that $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^C) \cap \diamond_k Y \subseteq \diamond_k Y'$.

In the following discussion, we tacitly assume the next lemma.

3.7. Lemma. *Let Y, Y_1, Y_2 be labeled G -CW-complexes.*

- (1) *If $Y' \subseteq Y$ and $Y'' \subseteq Y$ are cofinal subcomplexes away from A , then so is $Y' \cap Y''$.*
- (2) *If $Y'' \subseteq Y' \subseteq Y$ are inclusions of subcomplexes, $Y' \subseteq Y$ is cofinal away from A and $Y'' \subseteq Y'$ is cofinal away from A , then $Y'' \subseteq Y$ is cofinal away from A .*
- (3) *Let $f : (Y_1, \kappa_1) \rightarrow (Y_2, \kappa_2)$ be a controlled map, and let $Y'_2 \subseteq Y_2$ be cofinal away from A . Let $f^*Y'_2$ be the largest subcomplex of Y_1 whose image under f is contained in Y'_2 . Then $f^*Y'_2 \subseteq Y_1$ is cofinal away from A .*
- (4) *Suppose that Y is \mathfrak{Z} -controlled. Let $B \subseteq Y$ be a subcomplex and $B' \subseteq B$ a cofinal subcomplex. Then there is a cofinal subcomplex $Y' \subseteq Y$ with $Y' \cap B = B'$.*

Proof. (1) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose morphism control conditions C' and C'' such that $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C'}) \cap \diamond_k Y \subseteq \diamond_k Y'$ and $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C''}) \cap \diamond_k Y \subseteq \diamond_k Y''$. Let $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C' \cup C'' \subseteq C$. Then $Z \setminus A^C \subseteq Z \setminus A^{C'}$ and $Z \setminus A^C \subseteq Z \setminus A^{C''}$, so

$$\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^C) \cap \diamond_k Y \subseteq \diamond_k (Y' \cap Y'').$$

(2) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This time, take morphism control conditions C' and C'' such that $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C'}) \cap \diamond_k Y \subseteq \diamond_k Y'$ and $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C''}) \cap \diamond_k Y' \subseteq \diamond_k Y''$. Let $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C' \cup C'' \subseteq C$. Then $Z \setminus A^C \subseteq Z \setminus A^{C'}$ and $Z \setminus A^C \subseteq Z \setminus A^{C''}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^C) \cap \diamond_k Y &\subseteq \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C''}) \cap \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C'}) \cap \diamond_k Y \\ &\subseteq \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C''}) \cap \diamond_k Y' \\ &\subseteq \diamond_k Y''. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$(\kappa_2 \times \kappa_1)(\{(e_2, e_1) \mid e_1 \in \diamond_k Y_1, e_2 \in \diamond_k Y_2, \langle f(e_1) \rangle \cap e_2 \neq \emptyset\}) \subseteq C,$$

and let $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\kappa_2^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C'}) \cap \diamond_k Y_2 \subseteq \diamond_k Y'_2$. Choose $C'' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C' \circ C \subseteq C''$. Let $e_1 \in \diamond_k Y_1$ such that $\kappa_1(e_1) \in Z \setminus A^{C''}$. Then $\kappa_2(e_2) \in Z \setminus A^{C'}$ for all $e_2 \in \langle f(e_1) \rangle$, so $e_2 \in Y'_2$ and hence $e_2 \in \diamond_k f^*Y'_2$.

(4) This is proven by induction over the skeleta. For $k = 0$, define the 0-skeleton of Y' to contain all 0-cells of B' and all 0-cells of Y which do not lie in B . Suppose that the k -skeleton $\text{sk}_k Y'$ of Y' has been defined such that $\text{sk}_k Y' \subseteq \text{sk}_k Y$ is cofinal

and $\text{sk}_k Y' \cap B = \text{sk}_k B'$. There exists $C_k \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C_k}) \cap \diamond_{\leq k} Y \subseteq \diamond_{\leq k} Y'$. Choose $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$(\kappa \times \kappa)(\{(e', e) \mid e \in \diamond_{k+1} Y, e' \in \langle e \rangle\}) \subseteq C.$$

Choose $C'_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C_k \circ C \subseteq C'_{k+1}$. Define $\text{sk}_{k+1} Y'$ by adding to $\text{sk}_k Y'$ all $(k+1)$ -cells of Y which lie in B' or which do not lie in B' and are labeled by points in $Z \setminus A^{C'_{k+1}}$. Then $\text{sk}_{k+1} Y' \cap B = \text{sk}_{k+1} B'$, and it is easy to check that $\text{sk}_{k+1} Y' \subseteq \text{sk}_{k+1} Y$ is cofinal.

The desired complex Y' is obtained by taking the union over all $\text{sk}_k Y'$. \square

3.8. Definition (partially defined maps). Let (Y_1, κ_1) , (Y_2, κ_2) and (Y_3, κ_3) be labeled G -CW-complexes. A *partially defined \mathfrak{Z} -controlled map (away from A)* $Y_1 \rightarrow^A Y_2$ is a pair (Y'_1, f_1) where $Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$ is cofinal away from A and $f_1 : Y'_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a controlled map.

For two partially defined controlled maps $(Y'_1, f_1) : Y_1 \rightarrow^A Y_2$ and $(Y'_2, f_2) : Y_2 \rightarrow^A Y_3$, their *composition* $(Y'_2, f_2) \circ^A (Y'_1, f_1)$ is the partially defined controlled map $(f_1^* Y'_2, f_2 \circ f_1|_{f_1^* Y'_2}) : Y_1 \rightarrow^A Y_3$.

Composition of partially defined maps is well-defined. It is also associative: Let $(Y'_1, f_1) : Y_1 \rightarrow^A Y_2$, $(Y'_2, f_2) : Y_2 \rightarrow^A Y_3$ and $(Y'_3, f_3) : Y_3 \rightarrow^A Y_4$ be partially defined maps. In order to show associativity, it is enough to check that the cofinal subcomplexes $(f_2 \circ f_1|_{f_1^* Y'_2})^* Y'_3$ and $f_1^*(f_2^* Y'_3)$ coincide. Observe that e is a cell in $(f_2 \circ f_1|_{f_1^* Y'_2})^* Y'_3$ if and only if $f_1(e) \subseteq Y'_2$ and $f_2(f_1(e)) \subseteq Y'_3$. If e is a cell in $f_1^*(f_2^* Y'_3)$, then $f_1(e) \subseteq f_2^* Y'_3 \subseteq Y'_2$ and hence $f_2(f_1(e)) \subseteq Y'_3$. So, we have $f_1^*(f_2^* Y'_3) \subseteq (f_2 \circ f_1|_{f_1^* Y'_2})^* Y'_3$. On the other hand, if $f_1(e) \subseteq Y'_2$ and $f_2(f_1(e)) \subseteq Y'_3$, then $f_2(\langle f_1(e) \rangle) \subseteq \langle f_2(f_1(e)) \rangle \subseteq Y'_3$. Therefore, $f_1(e) \subseteq f_2^* Y'_3$, so $(f_2 \circ f_1|_{f_1^* Y'_2})^* Y'_3 \subseteq f_1^*(f_2^* Y'_3)$.

3.9. Definition. Let $(Y'_1, f_0), (Y''_1, f_1) : Y_1 \rightarrow^A Y_2$ be partially defined controlled maps. Then (Y'_1, f_0) and (Y''_1, f_1) are *controlled homotopic away from A* , written $(Y'_1, f_0) \simeq^A (Y''_1, f_1)$, if there is a cofinal subcomplex $Y'''_1 \subseteq Y'_1 \cap Y''_1$ and a controlled homotopy $H : Y'''_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_2$ from $f_0|_{Y'''_1}$ to $f_1|_{Y'''_1}$.

3.10. Lemma. Let $H : Y_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_2$ be a controlled homotopy, and suppose that $Y'_2 \subseteq Y_2$ is cofinal away from $A \subseteq Z$.

Then there is a cofinal subcomplex $Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$ away from A such that H restricts to a controlled homotopy $Y'_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y'_2$.

Proof. We construct Y'_1 by induction over the skeleta. Assume that we have constructed $\text{sk}_{n-1} Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$ such that $\text{sk}_{n-1} Y'_1 \subseteq \text{sk}_{n-1} Y_1$ is cofinal and such that $H(\text{sk}_{n-1} Y'_1 \times [0, 1]) \subseteq Y'_2$. Define

$$I_n := \{e \in \diamond_n Y_1 \mid \partial e \subseteq \text{sk}_{n-1} Y'_1, H(\langle e \rangle \times [0, 1]) \subseteq Y'_2\}.$$

Then $\text{sk}_n Y'_1 := \text{sk}_{n-1} Y'_1 \cup \bigcup_{e \in I_n} \langle e \rangle$ is a G -invariant subcomplex such that

$$H(\text{sk}_n Y'_1 \searrow [0, 1]) \subseteq Y'_2.$$

So we only have to show that $\text{sk}_n Y'_1 \subseteq \text{sk}_n Y_1$ is cofinal. There are control conditions C_1 , C'_1 , C and C'_2 with the following properties:

- (1) For all $e, e' \in \diamond \text{sk}_n Y_1$ with $e' \subseteq \langle e \rangle$ we have $(\kappa_1(e'), \kappa_1(e)) \in C_1$.
- (2) $\kappa_1^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C'_1}) \cap \diamond \text{sk}_{n-1} Y_1 \subseteq \diamond \text{sk}_{n-1} Y'_1$.
- (3) For all $e \in \diamond_n Y_1$ and all $e' \in \diamond \langle H(\langle e \rangle \searrow [0, 1]) \rangle$, we have $(\kappa_2(e'), \kappa_1(e)) \in C$.
- (4) $\kappa_2^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C'_2}) \cap \diamond \text{sk}_{n+1} Y_2 \subseteq \diamond \text{sk}_{n+1} Y'_2$.

Suppose $e \in \diamond_n Y_1$ such that $e \notin I_n$. If $\partial e \not\subseteq \text{sk}_{n-1} Y'_1$, then $\kappa_1(e) \in A^{C'_1 \circ C_1}$. If $H(\langle e \rangle \searrow [0, 1]) \not\subseteq Y'_2$, then $\kappa_1(e) \in A^{C'_2 \circ C}$. Hence, $\kappa_1(\diamond_n Y_1 \setminus I_n) \subseteq A^{C'_1 \circ C_1 \cup C'_2 \circ C}$. This proves that $\text{sk}_n Y'_1 \subseteq \text{sk}_n Y_1$ is cofinal away from A .

Defining $Y'_1 := \bigcup_n \text{sk}_n Y'_1$ finishes the proof. \square

3.11. Lemma. *Let $(Y_1^0, f_0), (Y_1^1, f_1) : Y_1 \rightarrow^A Y_2$ be partially defined controlled maps such that $(Y_1^0, f_0) \simeq^A (Y_1^1, f_1)$.*

- (1) *For every partially defined controlled map $(Y'_0, \alpha) : Y_0 \rightarrow^A Y_1$ we have*

$$(Y_1^0, f_0) \circ^A (Y'_0, \alpha) \simeq^A (Y_1^1, f_1) \circ^A (Y'_0, \alpha).$$

- (2) *For every partially defined controlled map $(Y'_2, \beta) : Y_2 \rightarrow^A Y_3$ we have*

$$(Y'_2, \beta) \circ^A (Y_1^0, f_0) \simeq^A (Y'_2, \beta) \circ^A (Y_1^1, f_1).$$

Proof. Consider the second claim. Since $(Y_1^0, f_0) \simeq^A (Y_1^1, f_1)$, there are a cofinal subcomplex $Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$ and a controlled homotopy $H : Y'_1 \searrow [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_2$ from $f_0|_{Y'_1}$ to $f_1|_{Y'_1}$. Consider $Y'_2 \subseteq Y_2$. By Lemma 3.10, there is a cofinal subcomplex $Y''_1 \subseteq Y'_1$ such that H restricts to a homotopy $H' : Y''_1 \searrow [0, 1] \rightarrow Y'_2$. Then $\beta \circ H'$ is the desired homotopy. The other claim is similar, but easier. \square

3.12. Definition (homotopy equivalences away from A). A controlled map $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ between controlled G -CW-complexes relative W is a *controlled homotopy equivalence away from A* if there is a partially defined controlled map $(Y'_2, \tilde{f}) : Y_2 \rightarrow^A Y_1$ such that $f \circ^A (Y'_2, \tilde{f}) \simeq^A \text{id}_{Y_2}$ and $(Y'_2, \tilde{f}) \circ^A f \simeq^A \text{id}_{Y_1}$.

Such maps are called h^A -equivalences, abbreviated to h -equivalences if $A = \emptyset$. We denote by $h^A \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ the collection of all morphisms in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ which are controlled homotopy equivalences away from A .

3.13. Remark. Note that maps in $h^A \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ are *morphisms*, hence required to respect the retractions, while in general partially defined maps and partially defined homotopy equivalences do not need to respect the retractions. This means that homotopy inverses of morphisms in $h^A \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ do not need to lie in $h^A \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$.

See Section 2.1 of [Waldhausen 1985], where weak equivalences are defined in a similar way.

The following results are proven using *maps*. We obtain results about $h^A \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ because it is the intersection of the h^A -equivalences with the morphisms.

Note also that h -equivalences are h^A -equivalences for any choice of A .

The collection $h^A \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ is closed under composition of morphisms, and identity morphisms are controlled homotopy equivalences away from A . Hence $h^A \mathcal{R}_G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Moreover, this subcategory satisfies the saturation axiom, i.e., whenever f_1 and f_2 are composable morphisms, and two out of f_1 , f_2 and $f_2 f_1$ are h^A -equivalences, so is the third.

We also need to discuss the cylinder functor on $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ before we are ready to continue. Let $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a controlled map of controlled G -CW-complexes relative W . Then we define $\text{Cyl}(f)$ by the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 \times \{1\} = Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_1 \times [0, 1] & \longrightarrow & \text{Cyl}(f) \end{array}$$

of G -CW-complexes relative W . We choose the canonical cofibration $Y_2 \hookrightarrow \text{Cyl}(f)$ as the back inclusion of the cylinder, and let $Y_1 = Y_1 \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow Y_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Cyl}(f)$ be the front inclusion. The back projection $\text{Cyl}(f) \rightarrow Y_2$ is induced by id_{Y_2} and f via the projection $Y_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_1$ and the universal property of the pushout. If f is a morphism in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, we can equip $\text{Cyl}(f)$ with the induced structural retraction to obtain a retractive space $\text{Cyl}_W(f)$. Then the above diagram becomes a pushout in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, and the front inclusion, back inclusion and back projection are morphisms in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$.

If we use the construction of the pushout given in the proof of Lemma 3.5 and the fact that $Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y_1 \times [0, 1]$ is the inclusion of a subcomplex, it is clear that this defines a functor from the category of arrows in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ to the category of diagrams of the shape

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Cyl}_W(f) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Y_2 \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow & \swarrow = & \\ & & Y_2 & & \end{array} \quad (3.14)$$

in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Observe also that the back projection is a controlled homotopy equivalence: the usual deformation retraction of $\text{Cyl}(f)$ onto Y_2 is a controlled homotopy. We can choose $\text{Cyl}_W(* \rightarrow A) = A$, which is needed for the following lemma.

3.15. Lemma. $\text{Cyl}_W(-)$ gives a **cylinder functor** on $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ which satisfies the cylinder axiom with respect to h -equivalences.

We are heading towards the following proposition.

3.16. Proposition (gluing lemma). *Assume we have the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_2 & \xleftarrow{x_2} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{x_1} & X_1 \\ \sim^A \downarrow f_2 & & \sim^A \downarrow f_0 & & \sim^A \downarrow f_1 \\ Y_2 & \xleftarrow{y_2} & Y_0 & \xrightarrow{y_1} & Y_1 \end{array} \quad (3.17)$$

Assume x_1, y_1 are cofibrations and the f_i are h^A -equivalences. Then the induced map on the pushouts $f : X_2 \cup_{X_0} X_1 \rightarrow Y_2 \cup_{Y_0} Y_1$ is an h^A -equivalence.

The uncontrolled version of Proposition 3.16 is well-known. Our proof follows the strategy pursued in [Kamps and Porter 1997, pages 33–59], which gives a detailed argument relying only on the homotopy extension property.

For the purpose of the proof, we introduce the following notation: if f and g are partially defined maps $X \rightarrow^A Y$ whose restrictions to some cofinal subcomplex of X are equal, we write $f =^A g$.

3.18. Remark. Note that Definition 3.12 could have been phrased in terms of equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation $=^A$, and that some of the more formal properties of the subcategory $h^A\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ can be easily derived by manipulating such equivalence classes.

However, “germs” of this kind are not adequate for proofs which require explicit constructions involving partially defined maps. The proof of the gluing lemma relies heavily on the CHEP, Proposition 2.6; see, e.g., Lemmas 3.19 and 3.20, which can only be applied to explicit choices of representatives. Similarly, the proof of Theorem 4.16 only makes sense with explicitly chosen partially defined maps.

For the proof of the gluing lemma, we need the following auxiliary results.

3.19. Lemma. *Let $j_i : B \rightarrow Y_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, be cofibrations. Let $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be an h^A -equivalence which satisfies $fj_1 = j_2$. Then there is a partially defined map $(Y'_2, g) : Y_2 \rightarrow^A Y_1$ with $(Y'_2, g) \circ^A j_2 =^A j_1$ and a homotopy $H : (Y'_2, g) \circ^A f \simeq^A \text{id}_{Y_1}$ away from A with $H \circ^A (j_1 \times [0, 1]) =^A j_1 \times [0, 1]$.*

Furthermore, $f \circ^A (Y'_2, g)$ is also homotopic to the inclusion via a homotopy under B , i.e., f is an “ h^A -equivalence under B ”.

Proof. This is very similar to the standard proofs in the uncontrolled case, e.g., [May 1999, §6.5]. In our situation, one needs to take into account that maps and homotopies are only defined on cofinal subcomplexes. \square

3.20. Lemma (left inverses for h^A -equivalences, relative case). *Assume we have the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_1 & \xrightarrow{b} & B_2 \\ i_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow i_2 \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_2 \end{array} \quad (3.21)$$

Assume that b is an h^A -equivalence with inverse $(B'_2, b') : B_2 \rightarrow^A B_1$ and homotopy $H_{B_1} : (B'_2, b') \circ^A b \simeq^A \text{id}_{B_1}$. (We do not need to specify the other homotopy.)

If f is an h^A -equivalence, then there is a partially defined map $(Y'_2, f') : Y_2 \rightarrow^A Y_1$ and a homotopy $H_{Y_1} : (Y'_2, f') \circ^A f \simeq^A \text{id}_{Y_1}$ such that $i_1 \circ^A (B'_2, b') =^A (Y'_2, f') \circ^A i_2$ and $H_{Y_1} \circ^A (i_1 \times [0, 1]) =^A i_1 \circ^A H_{B_1}$. (In short, f has a left h^A -inverse relative to B_i .)

Proof. Compare [Kamps and Porter 1997, I.7.3]. For the purpose of this proof, we omit the domains of partially defined maps from the notation. Let g be an h^A -inverse for f . The map $g \circ^A i_2$ is homotopic away from A to $g \circ^A i_2 \circ^A b \circ^A b'$ and hence to $i_1 \circ^A b'$. As i_2 is a cofibration, g is homotopic to a map $g' : Y_2 \rightarrow^A Y_1$ such that $g' \circ^A i_2 =^A i_1 \circ^A b'$. Now $i_1 \circ^A H_{B_1}$ is a homotopy away from A from $i_1 \circ^A b' \circ^A b =^A g' \circ^A f \circ^A i_1$ to i_1 . As i_1 is a cofibration, homotopy extension gives a homotopy K , extending H_{B_1} , from $g' \circ^A f$ to a map l .

Then $l \circ^A i_1 =^A i_1$. Hence, Lemma 3.19 provides a left h^A -inverse l' of l under B_1 . Define $f' := l' \circ^A g'$. Then, as a composition of h^A -equivalences, f' is itself an h^A -equivalence, and $f' \circ^A i_2 =^A i_1$.

We have homotopies $f' \circ^A f =^A l' \circ^A g' \circ^A f \simeq_K^A l' \circ^A l \simeq^A \text{id}$. Restricting along i_1 , this is the concatenation of the homotopy H_{B_1} and the constant homotopy. There is a cofinal subcomplex $B'_1 \subseteq B_1$ such that we get a map $B'_1 \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow^A Y_1$ by projecting to the first two factors and then applying H_{B_1} . The homotopies above extend this to a map $Y'_1 \times [0, 1] \times 0 \cup Y_1 \times \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow^A Y_1$, defined on some cofinal subcomplex $Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$. We may assume that $B'_1 \subseteq Y'_1$. The CHEP, Proposition 2.6, then gives the homotopy H_{Y_1} . \square

3.22. Remark. We cannot make special assumptions about the cofinal subcomplex on which f' is defined. In particular, it could happen that $Y'_2 \cap B_2 \not\subseteq B'_2$. We need to take care of this situation in the proof of Lemma 3.23 below.

3.23. Lemma (gluing lemma, special case). *Assume in (3.17) additionally that x_2 and y_2 are cofibrations. Then the conclusion of the proposition holds, i.e., the map f on the pushout is an h^A -equivalence.*

Proof. We can assume x_i, y_i are cellular inclusions, because they are so up to isomorphism.

Pick an h^A -inverse (Y'_0, g_0) of f_0 and a homotopy $H_0 : (Y'_0, g_0) \circ^A f_0 \simeq^A \text{id}_{X_0}$. By Lemma 3.20, for $i = 1, 2$ there are h^A -left inverses (Y'_i, g_i) of f_i and homotopies $H_i : (Y'_i, g_i) \circ^A f_i \simeq^A \text{id}_{X_i}$ such that

$$(Y'_i, g_i) \circ^A y_i =^A x_i \circ^A (Y'_0, g_0) \quad \text{and} \quad H_i \circ^A (x_i \times [0, 1]) =^A y_i \circ^A H_0.$$

Choose a cofinal subcomplex Y''_0 of Y_0 such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y'_2 & \xleftarrow{y_2} & Y''_0 & \xrightarrow{y_1} & Y'_1 \\ \sim^A \downarrow g_2 & & \sim^A \downarrow g_0 & & \sim^A \downarrow g_1 \\ X_2 & \xleftarrow{x_2} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{x_1} & X_1 \end{array}$$

commutes. However, $Y'_1 \cup_{Y'_0} Y'_2$ does not need to be a subcomplex of $Y_1 \cup_{Y_0} Y_2$, as the Y'_0 provided by Lemma 3.20 could be too small. But by part (4) of Lemma 3.7 we can restrict further to cofinal subcomplexes Y''_i , $i = 1, 2$, such that $Y''_i \cap Y_0 = Y''_0$. Then $Y'' := Y''_1 \cup_{Y''_0} Y''_2$ is canonically isomorphic to the cofinal subcomplex $Y''_2 \cup Y''_1$ of $Y_1 \cup_{Y_0} Y_2$. Thus we get a partially defined map $(Y'', g) : Y_1 \cup_{Y_0} Y_2 \rightarrow^A X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2$.

By the same argument, we get a partially defined homotopy from $(Y'', g) \circ^A f$ to the inclusion.

Repeating the argument with g_i instead of f_i , we get a partially defined map $l : X_1 \cup_{X_0} X_2 \rightarrow^A Y_1 \cup_{Y_0} Y_2$ with $l \circ^A g \simeq^A \text{id}_{Y_2 \cup_{Y_0} Y_1}$. It follows that $f \circ^A g \simeq^A \text{id}_{Y_2 \cup_{Y_0} Y_1}$, and hence f is an h^A -equivalence. \square

3.24. Lemma. *Assume that (3.21) is a pushout square and b an h -equivalence. Then f is an h -equivalence.*

Proof. We can factor b into $B_1 \xrightarrow{b'} \text{Cyl}(b) \rightarrow B_2$, and by saturation both maps are h -equivalences. Taking the pushout along the first map, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B_1 & \xrightarrow{b'} & \text{Cyl}(b) & \longrightarrow & B_2 \\ i_1 \downarrow & & i' \downarrow & & i_2 \downarrow \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{j} & M_{b,i_1} & \xrightarrow{p} & Y_2 \\ & \searrow & \text{f} & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

Here M_{b,i_1} is the double mapping cylinder. One now shows that j is an h -equivalence using that b' is a cofibration and an h -equivalence, and that p is an h -equivalence because i_1 is a cofibration. The usual proofs of these facts apply almost verbatim. We refer to [Kamps and Porter 1997, Proposition I.7.4] for the details. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.16. See also [Kamps and Porter 1997, Theorem 7.1]. Using the mapping cylinder we can factor the diagram (3.17) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & x_2 & & & & \\
 & \swarrow & \sim & \swarrow & \xrightarrow{x_1} & & \\
 X_2 & \xleftarrow{x_3} & X' & \xleftarrow{x'_2} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{x_1} & X_1 \\
 \sim^A \downarrow f_2 & & \sim^A \downarrow f' & & \sim^A \downarrow f_0 & & \sim^A \downarrow f_1 \\
 Y_2 & \xleftarrow{y_3} & Y' & \xleftarrow{y'_2} & Y_0 & \xrightarrow{y_1} & Y_1 \\
 & \nwarrow & \sim & \nwarrow & & & \\
 & & y_2 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The maps x_3 and y_3 are h -equivalences by Lemma 3.15, so f' is an h^A -equivalence by saturation. The right part of the diagram consisting of x'_2, y'_2, x_1, y_1 satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.23. Therefore, the induced map $f'' : X' \cup_{X_0} X_1 \rightarrow Y' \cup_{Y_0} Y_1$ is an h^A -equivalence. Abbreviate $X'' := X' \cup_{X_0} X_1, Y'' := Y' \cup_{Y_0} Y_1$. We get induced cofibrations $x_4 : X' \rightarrow X'', y_4 : Y' \rightarrow Y''$.

We obtain the cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & X' & \xrightarrow{x_4} & X'' & \\
 \sim \swarrow x_3 & \downarrow & & \swarrow x_5 & \downarrow f'' \\
 X_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_2 \cup_{X_0} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\sim^A} & \\
 \downarrow f_2 & \downarrow f' & \downarrow f & & \\
 Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y'' \\
 \sim \swarrow y_3 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow y_5 & \\
 Y_2 & \xrightarrow{y_4} & Y_2 \cup_{Y_0} Y_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

where the top and bottom are pushout squares. By Lemma 3.24, the maps x_5, y_5 are h -equivalences. By saturation, f is an h^A -equivalence, which proves the proposition. \square

3.25. Lemma. *Let $Y_2 \leftarrow Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a diagram of homotopy finite or finitely dominated objects. Then the pushout $Y_1 \cup_{Y_0} Y_2$ in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{J})$ is also homotopy finite or finitely dominated, respectively.*

Proof. For homotopy finite objects, this is a formal consequence of the gluing lemma, Proposition 3.16, for h -equivalences. For the second claim, we show that the following two statements are equivalent:

- (1) $Y \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{J})$ is finitely dominated.
- (2) $Y \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{J})$ is a retract of a homotopy finite object.

Suppose (Y, s_Y, r_Y) is finitely dominated, i.e., there are a finite object (D, s_D, r_D) , a morphism $p : D \rightarrow Y$, a controlled map $i : Y \rightarrow D$ and a homotopy $h : pi \simeq \text{id}_Y$. These data give a map $f : \text{Cyl}(i) \rightarrow Y$ whose composition with the front inclusion is id_Y and whose composition with the back inclusion is p . Then $r := r_Y \circ f$ is a retraction which makes $\text{Cyl}(i)$ into a retractive space over W , and both f and the front inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow \text{Cyl}(i)$ are morphisms. By construction, Y is a retract of $\text{Cyl}(i)$. The back inclusion $D \hookrightarrow \text{Cyl}(i)$ is an h -equivalence. Hence $\text{Cyl}(i)$ is a homotopy finite object.

Conversely, assume that there is a homotopy finite object F as well as morphisms $s : Y \rightarrow F$ and $q : F \rightarrow Y$ such that $qs = \text{id}_Y$. Since F is homotopy finite, there is a finite object D and a morphism $e : D \rightarrow F$ which is an h -equivalence. Let $\bar{e} : F \rightarrow D$ be an inverse controlled map. Then $i := \bar{e}s : Y \rightarrow D$ is a controlled map to a finite object, and $p := qe : D \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism. Moreover, we have $pi = qe\bar{e}s \simeq qs = \text{id}_Y$ by assumption, so Y is finitely dominated.

With the characterization of finitely dominated objects as retracts of homotopy finite objects at our disposal, it is a formal consequence of the first part of the lemma and the universal property of the pushout that pushouts of finitely dominated objects are finitely dominated.

Sections 7.3 and 7.4 of [Ullmann 2018] spell out the formal arguments we left out here. \square

3.26. Corollary. *For any G -invariant subset $A \subseteq Z$, the categories $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ are Waldhausen categories with respect to $h^A\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. The saturation axiom holds for these categories.*

There is a cylinder functor on $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ which restricts to a cylinder functor on the subcategories of finite, homotopy finite and finitely dominated objects; the h^A -equivalences satisfy the cylinder axiom.

Proof. We only need to summarize what we already know. Lemmas 3.5 and 3.25 state that the cofibrations indeed form a subcategory of cofibrations. The collection of h^A -equivalences defines a subcategory of weak equivalences by the gluing lemma, Proposition 3.16. Saturation and the cylinder functor have been discussed right before the statement of the gluing lemma. Since every h -equivalence is an h^A -equivalence, the cylinder axiom is obvious. \square

3B. Functoriality. Let us turn to the question of in which sense the categories $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ are functorial with respect to the space W and the coarse structure \mathfrak{Z} . Changing G is discussed in Section 6.

If $f : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is a G -equivariant (continuous) map, pushout along f and the structural inclusion of a given object defines an exact functor

$$\mathcal{R}^G(f, \mathfrak{Z}) : \mathcal{R}^G(W_1, \mathfrak{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^G(W_2, \mathfrak{Z}).$$

For changing the coarse structure, we need to define a notion of morphism; compare [Bartels et al. 2004, Section 3.3].

3.27. Definition. Let $\mathfrak{Z}_1 = (Z_1, \mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{S}_1)$, $\mathfrak{Z}_2 = (Z_2, \mathfrak{C}_2, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ be two coarse structures. A *morphism of coarse structures* $z : \mathfrak{Z}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_2$ is a G -equivariant map of sets $z : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) For every $S_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$, there is some $S_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ such that $z(S_1) \subseteq S_2$.
- (2) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and $C_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_1$, there is some $C_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_2$ such that

$$(z \times z)((S \times S) \cap C_1) \subseteq C_2.$$

- (3) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and all subsets $A \subseteq S$ which are locally finite in Z_1 , the set $z(A)$ is locally finite in Z_2 and for all $x \in z(A)$, the set $z^{-1}(x) \cap A$ is finite.

Note that z does not need to be continuous, but the topology of Z_1 and Z_2 is used in the third condition. Morphisms of coarse spaces induce morphisms of controlled categories:

3.28. Proposition. *The categories $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ are functorial in \mathfrak{Z} , i.e., they define functors from the category of coarse structures and their morphisms to the category of Waldhausen categories.*

The canonical inclusion functors yield natural transformations

$$\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, -) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, -) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, -) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^G(W, -).$$

See also Remark 3.30 for some set-theoretical issues.

Proof. Let $z : \mathfrak{Z}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_2$ be a morphism of coarse structures. Define the induced functor

$$\mathcal{R}^G(W, z) : \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}_2)$$

by mapping an object (Y, κ) to $(Y, z \circ \kappa)$ and by the identity on morphisms. We only have to show that this is well-defined. Let $(Y, \kappa) \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}_1)$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some $S_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\kappa(\diamond_k Y) \subseteq S_1$. Since z is a morphism of coarse structures, we can find some $S_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ such that $z(\kappa(\diamond_k Y)) \subseteq z(S_1) \subseteq S_2$. The verification that controlled maps are sent to controlled maps is similar. Condition (3) of Definition 3.27 ensures that this construction preserves finiteness. Hence, homotopy finite and finitely dominated objects are also preserved. \square

3.29. Example. One of the most frequent examples of a morphism of coarse structures is the following. Let $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ be a coarse structure, and suppose that $A \subseteq Z$ is a G -invariant subspace. Denote by $\mathfrak{Z} \cap A$ the coarse structure $(A, \mathfrak{C} \cap \{A \times A\}, \mathfrak{S} \cap \{A\})$, where \cap denotes pointwise intersection.

If A is closed in Z , the inclusion map of A into Z defines a morphism $\mathfrak{Z} \cap A \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ of coarse structures. Here closedness is required to preserve local finiteness of subsets.

3.30. Remark (set-theoretical smallness requirements). In the following, we discuss the algebraic K -theory of the categories $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. As always, one faces certain set-theoretic difficulties in making sense of the K -theory of these categories; see [Waldhausen 1985, Remark on page 379]. Possible solutions include the use of a change-of-universe functor to make the categories at hand small, or to choose small models to replace these categories. For example, we may redefine $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ so that the underlying set of every retractive space is a subset of $W \times \lambda$, where λ is a sufficiently large cardinal.

The algebraic K -theory of $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ does not depend, up to homotopy, on the set-theoretic model we choose, as long as λ is large enough compared to \mathfrak{Z} . Proposition 3.28 then only asserts functoriality on some small, but arbitrarily large subcategory of the category of all coarse structures. To avoid further complications, we ignore these matters from now on.

4. Comparison theorems and vanishing theorems

In addition to the notions used in the previous section, we now have the opportunity to use all three fundamental results of Waldhausen K -theory: the additivity theorem [Waldhausen 1985, Theorem 1.4.2], the fibration theorem [Waldhausen 1985, Theorem 1.6.4] and the approximation theorem [Waldhausen 1985, Theorem 1.6.7].

4A. Comparing finiteness conditions. We discuss to which extent the K -theory spaces arising from the various finiteness conditions differ. The answer is given in Proposition 4.8, but the proof requires two preparatory lemmas.

4.1. Lemma (mapping cylinder argument). *Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ and $g : Y'' \rightarrow Y'$ be morphisms in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Suppose that g is a retraction up to homotopy, i.e., that there exists a map $\bar{g} : Y' \rightarrow Y''$ such that $g\bar{g}$ is controlled homotopic to the identity map. Then there is an object Q in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ which fits into the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y' \\
 i_Y \downarrow & \nearrow q & \uparrow g \\
 Q & \xleftarrow[\sim]{i_{Y''}} & Y''
 \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, in which i_Y and $i_{Y''}$ are cofibrations. The underlying controlled G -CW-complex of Q can be chosen to be $\text{Cyl}(\bar{g}f)$.

In particular, q is an h -equivalence if and only if g is one.

Proof. Denote the retractions of Y, Y', Y'' by r, r', r'' . Note that \bar{g} does not need to respect the retraction. Define $Q := \text{Cyl}(\bar{g}f)$, and let i_Y and $i_{Y''}$ be the front and back inclusion. Since $g\bar{g}f \simeq f$, any choice of homotopy $g\bar{g} \simeq \text{id}_{Y''}$ induces a map

$q : Q \rightarrow Y'$ which restricts to f and g on the front and back of the cylinder. We can turn q into a morphism of retractive spaces by defining a retraction on Q via $r_Q := r' \circ q$. Since q restricts to f and g on the two ends of the cylinder and both of these maps are morphisms of retractive spaces, i_Y and $i_{Y''}$ also respect the retractions. This proves the existence of the commutative diagram (4.2). \square

The following lemma reflects the fact that something close to a Puppe sequence exists in any Waldhausen category \mathcal{C} with a cylinder functor. Even though the extension axiom does not hold in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{J})$ [Waldhausen 1985, Section 1.2], it follows that the axiom does hold up to suspension. Recall that the suspension of an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is defined to be

$$\Sigma A := \text{Cyl}(A \rightarrow *) / A,$$

and that this extends to an exact endofunctor on \mathcal{C} [Waldhausen 1985, page 349].

4.3. Lemma. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Waldhausen category which possesses a cylinder functor such that the cylinder axiom and the saturation axiom hold. Consider a morphism between cofiber sequences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & C \\ a \downarrow \sim & & b \downarrow & & c \downarrow \sim \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & B' & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & C' \end{array} \quad (4.4)$$

in which a and c are weak equivalences. Then Σb is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Repeated use of the cylinder functor gives rise to the commutative diagram in Figure 1.

The cylinder and saturation axioms imply that all vertical arrows in this diagram are weak equivalences. Moreover, we have the following commutative square in the category of arrows of \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B) & \xrightarrow{(a, b)} & (A' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} B') \\ (\text{id}, *) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{id}, *) \\ (A \rightarrow *) & \xrightarrow{(a, *)} & (A' \rightarrow *) \end{array}$$

Applying the cylinder functor to this square, and taking quotients with respect to the front and back inclusions of the cylinders, we obtain a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S = \text{Cyl}(\alpha) / (A \vee B) & \xrightarrow{S} & \text{Cyl}(\alpha') / (A' \vee B') = S' \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\Sigma a} & \Sigma A' \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

Then there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$wS_\bullet \mathcal{D} \rightarrow wS_\bullet \mathcal{C} \rightarrow N_\bullet \operatorname{coker}(K_0 \mathcal{D} \rightarrow K_0 \mathcal{C}).$$

4.7. Remark. The conditions on \mathcal{D} imply that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a subcategory with cofibrations and weak equivalences in the sense of [Waldhausen 1985, Section 1.1], and that \mathcal{D} satisfies condition (iii) of [Vogell 1990, Theorem 1.6].

Let us also remark why Vogell’s cofinality theorem holds true: As written, Vogell seems to prove only the cofinality theorem suggested by [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990, Exercise 1.10.2] since, in the last three lines of his proof, he chooses “ C'_0 such that $C_0 \vee C'_0$ is in \mathcal{D} ”. This proves Theorem 4.6 under the additional assumption that $k = 0$ in condition (1). In fact, the more general statement follows:

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\mathcal{C}_k := \{C \in \mathcal{C} \mid \exists C' \in \mathcal{C} : \Sigma^k C \vee C' \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_k$, each \mathcal{C}_k is a full subcategory of \mathcal{C} and inherits a Waldhausen structure from \mathcal{C} (one needs to check that each \mathcal{C}_k is closed under pushouts), and \mathcal{D} is cofinal in \mathcal{C}_0 . Hence, we can apply the case $k = 0$ of Theorem 4.6 to conclude that there is a homotopy fiber sequence $wS_\bullet \mathcal{D} \rightarrow wS_\bullet \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow N_\bullet \operatorname{coker}(K_0 \mathcal{D} \rightarrow K_0 \mathcal{C}_0)$. Observe that the suspension functor induces a functor $\Sigma : \mathcal{C}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_k$; since the suspension functor induces an equivalence on algebraic K -theory [Waldhausen 1985, Proposition 1.6.2], we conclude that the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_k \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{k+1}$ does so, too. Therefore, $wS_\bullet \mathcal{C} \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_k wS_\bullet \mathcal{C}_k \simeq wS_\bullet \mathcal{C}_0$, and Theorem 4.6 follows.

4.8. Proposition. (1) *The natural inclusion of the finite into the homotopy finite objects induces a weak equivalence*

$$hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}),$$

hence a weak equivalence on algebraic K -theory spaces.

(2) *The inclusion $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ induces an isomorphism on K_i for $i \geq 1$ and an injection on K_0 , where we take K -theory with respect to the h -equivalences.*

Proof. To prove the first part, we appeal to Waldhausen’s approximation theorem. Both categories satisfy the saturation axiom and have a cylinder functor which satisfies the cylinder axiom. The first part of the approximation property is clear. We check the second part.

Let F be a finite object, let Y be homotopy finite, and let $f : F \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. We have to construct a finite object F' , and further, a morphism $F \rightarrow F'$ as well as an h -equivalence $F' \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ such that their composition is f .

Since Y is homotopy finite, there is a finite object F_0 and an h -equivalence $e : F_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$. The approximation property now follows from the mapping cylinder argument, Lemma 4.1.

We turn to the proof of the second part of the proposition, which uses Vogell's cofinality Theorem 4.6.

Since the inclusion of $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ into $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ factors via $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, we need only consider the inclusion of the latter category. We show that Vogell's cofinality theorem applies in this situation.

We show first that $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ is saturated in $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Let Y_1 be homotopy finite. There is a finite object (F, s_F, r_F) and an h -equivalence $a : F \rightarrow Y_1$. Let $b : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ be an h -equivalence. There is an inverse map $\bar{b} : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$, but the composition $\bar{b}a$ does not have to respect the retractions. Define a retraction $r' := r_{Y_2}\bar{b}a$. Then $(F, s_F, r') \rightarrow (Y_2, s_{Y_2}, r_{Y_2})$ is an h -equivalence and (F, s_F, r') is a different object, but still finite. Hence Y_2 is homotopy finite. The case $b : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is obvious and the general case follows by induction.

So we are left with showing weak cofinality. Let $Y \in \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ be arbitrary. Then we can find a finite object D as well as a morphism $d : D \rightarrow Y$ and a map $i : Y \rightarrow D$ such that $d \circ i$ is controlled homotopic to the identity map. Define an object \tilde{Y} which is the same controlled G -CW-complex as Y , but equipped with a new retraction which turns $i : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow D$ into a morphism. Note that the composition $d \circ i : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is an h -equivalence.

Let $C\tilde{Y}$ denote the cone $\text{Cyl}(\text{id}_{\tilde{Y}})/\tilde{Y}$, let $S\tilde{Y}$ denote the object $C\tilde{Y} \cup_{\tilde{Y}} C\tilde{Y}$, and define $SCyl(i)$ and $SC(i)$ analogously. Then we have a canonical h -equivalence $S\tilde{Y} \rightarrow C\tilde{Y} \cup_{\tilde{Y}} C\tilde{Y} \cong \Sigma\tilde{Y}$. Moreover, we have a morphism $SCyl(i) \rightarrow \Sigma\text{Cyl}(i) \vee \Sigma\text{Cyl}(i)$ given by the quotient map with respect to the canonical cofibration $\text{Cyl}(i) \hookrightarrow SCyl(i)$. These objects fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S\tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & SCyl(i) & \twoheadrightarrow & SC(i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma C(i) & \twoheadrightarrow & \Sigma C(i) \end{array}$$

in which the upper row comes from the cofiber sequence and the lower row is the split cofiber sequence. The vertical arrows are given as follows: The left vertical morphism is the composition $S\tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma\tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\Sigma di} \Sigma Y$, and the right vertical arrow is the canonical morphism $SC(i) \rightarrow * \cup_{C(i)} C(C(i)) \cong \Sigma C(i)$, i.e., the collapse of the other half of $SC(i)$. For the middle vertical morphism, we take the composition $SCyl(i) \rightarrow \Sigma\text{Cyl}(i) \vee \Sigma\text{Cyl}(i) \xrightarrow{\Sigma d' \vee \Sigma q} \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma C(i)$, where d' is the composition of the back projection $\text{Cyl}(i) \rightarrow D$ with d , and q is the projection $\text{Cyl}(i) \rightarrow C(i)$. Since both the left and the right vertical arrows are h -equivalences, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that the induced morphism $\Sigma SCyl(i) \rightarrow \Sigma^2 Y \vee \Sigma^2 C(i)$ is an h -equivalence. Recall that $\text{Cyl}(i)$ is homotopy finite (since it is h -equivalent to D). Since $\Sigma SCyl(i)$ is h -equivalent to $\Sigma^2 \text{Cyl}(i)$, and suspension preserves finiteness, it follows that $\Sigma^2 Y \vee \Sigma^2 C(i)$ is homotopy finite. This proves weak cofinality, and we are done. \square

4.9. Definition. Let $D \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, and let $\alpha : D \rightarrow D$ be a controlled map. The *mapping telescope* $\text{Tel}(\alpha)$ of α is the controlled G -CW-complex relative W

$$\text{Cyl}(\alpha) \cup_D \text{Cyl}(\alpha) \cup_D \cdots$$

obtained by taking countably many copies of the mapping cylinder of α and gluing the back and front end of each consecutive pair of cylinders.

Note that $\text{Tel}(\alpha)$ does not need to be a retractive space. However, in certain cases it can be equipped with a retraction, and can then be used to replace dominated spaces by “nicer” ones.

4.10. Proposition. *Let $Y, D \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Suppose we have maps $i : Y \rightarrow D$ and $d : D \rightarrow Y$ such that $d \circ i$ is (controlled) homotopic to id_Y .*

Then the canonical map $j : Y \xrightarrow{i} D \hookrightarrow \text{Tel}(i \circ d)$ is a controlled homotopy equivalence. If d is a morphism, $\text{Tel}(i \circ d)$ admits a retraction such that there exists an h -equivalence $\text{Tel}(i \circ d) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ which is a homotopy inverse to j .

Proof. The proof of Proposition 1.4 in [Ferry and Ranicki 2001] works also in our setting. Note that we have an h -equivalence $Y \simeq Y \searrow [0, \infty[$ because the control map disregards the cylinder coordinate.

The homotopy $d \circ i \simeq \text{id}_Y$ induces a map $\bar{d} : \text{Cyl}(i \circ d) \rightarrow Y$ which restricts to d on the front and back. Hence, countably many copies of \bar{d} glue to a controlled map $T(d) : \text{Tel}(i \circ d) \rightarrow Y$. Since $T(d) \circ j = d \circ i \simeq \text{id}_Y$, the map $T(d)$ is homotopy inverse to j . If d is a morphism, we can define a retraction $r : \text{Tel}(i \circ d) \rightarrow W$ by composing $T(d)$ with the retraction of Y . This makes $D \hookrightarrow \text{Tel}(i \circ d)$ into a morphism, and $T(d)$ becomes an h -equivalence. \square

4.11. Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{R}_{fd, \dim < \infty}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ denote the full Waldhausen subcategory of finite-dimensional objects. Then the inclusion functor induces a weak equivalence*

$$hS_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_{fd, \dim < \infty}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} hS_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}).$$

Proof. This comes from another application of the approximation theorem, using Proposition 4.10 and the mapping cylinder argument Lemma 4.1. \square

4B. Comparing different notions of weak equivalences. Let \mathfrak{Z} be a coarse structure, and let $A \subseteq Z$ be a G -invariant subspace. We would like to compare the K -theory spaces of $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ with respect to the h - and h^A -equivalences. Unfortunately, the standard procedure to obtain homotopy fiber sequences relating these does not apply in our situation since the fibration theorem requires one subcategory of weak equivalences to satisfy the extension axiom.¹ We present a solution to this

¹Added in proof: Meanwhile, Raptis [2018, Theorem 2.7] has observed that the extension axiom can be dropped in the assumptions of the fibration theorem.

problem which has also been employed by Weiss [2002, towards the end of the proof of Proposition 8.3]. For the sake of completeness, we record its validity for any suitable Waldhausen category.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \text{co}\mathcal{C}, w\mathcal{C})$ be a small Waldhausen category which satisfies the saturation axiom and possesses a cylinder functor which satisfies the cylinder axiom with respect to $w\mathcal{C}$.

4.12. Definition. We call a morphism f in \mathcal{C} an *equivalence after n -fold suspension* if $\Sigma^n f$ lies in $w\mathcal{C}$. We say that f is a *stable equivalence* if there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f is an equivalence after n -fold suspension. Denote the class of equivalences after n -fold suspension by $w_{\Sigma, n}\mathcal{C}$, and the class of stable equivalences by $w_{\Sigma}\mathcal{C}$.

4.13. Lemma. *Let $n \geq 0$.*

- (1) *The collections $w_{\Sigma, n}\mathcal{C}$ and $w_{\Sigma}\mathcal{C}$ are classes of weak equivalences which satisfy the saturation axiom. The cylinder functor satisfies the cylinder axiom with respect to both classes. Moreover, $w_{\Sigma}\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the extension axiom.*
- (2) *The natural map $wS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow w_{\Sigma}S_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. Almost everything in (1) is straightforward; the only exception is the validity of the extension axiom for $w_{\Sigma}\mathcal{C}$.

Assume that we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \twoheadrightarrow & B & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ a \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & c \downarrow \\ A' & \twoheadrightarrow & B' & \twoheadrightarrow & C' \end{array}$$

in which a and c are weak equivalences after n -fold suspension. Suspend the diagram n times to obtain a diagram of the same shape in which the left and right arrows are weak equivalences. Then it follows from Lemma 4.3 that b is a weak equivalence after $(n+1)$ -fold suspension.

For (2), we can apply the fibration theorem to the inclusion $w\mathcal{C} \subseteq w_{\Sigma}\mathcal{C}$ since we have just shown that $w_{\Sigma}\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the saturation and extension axioms, and that the cylinder axiom holds as well. So, it suffices to show that $wS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{w_{\Sigma}}$ is contractible. Observe that $\mathcal{C}^{w_{\Sigma}}$ is the union of the ascending sequence $\mathcal{C}^w \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{w_{\Sigma, 1}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{w_{\Sigma, 2}} \subseteq \dots$. Since K -theory commutes with directed colimits, it is enough to show that each $\mathcal{C}^{w_{\Sigma, n}}$ has contractible K -theory.

By the additivity theorem, the exact endofunctor $\Sigma^n : \mathcal{C}^{w_{\Sigma, n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{w_{\Sigma, n}}$ induces a self-homotopy equivalence in K -theory. Furthermore, it factors over \mathcal{C}^w . Since $wS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^w$ is contractible, the claim follows. \square

4.14. Proposition (modified fibration theorem). *Let \mathcal{C} be a category with cofibrations. Let $v\mathcal{C} \subseteq w\mathcal{C}$ be two subcategories of weak equivalences. Suppose that \mathcal{C} has*

a cylinder functor which satisfies the cylinder axiom with respect to $v\mathcal{C}$ (hence also with respect to $w\mathcal{C}$). Assume that $v\mathcal{C}$ and $w\mathcal{C}$ satisfy the saturation axiom.

Then the canonical inclusion functors induce a homotopy pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} vS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^w & \longrightarrow & wS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^w \simeq * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ vS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & wS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

in which the corner on the top right is canonically contractible.

Proof. The square that we are claiming to be a homotopy pullback comes with a transformation to the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} vS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma} & \longrightarrow & w_{\Sigma}S_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma} \simeq * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ vS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & w_{\Sigma}S_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} \end{array} \quad (4.15)$$

This transformation is the identity on the lower left corner, and is induced by the canonical inclusion functors on the other three corners. As $w_{\Sigma}\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the extension axiom by Lemma 4.13, the square (4.15) is a homotopy pullback by the fibration theorem. The entries on the top right corners of both squares are contractible. The map between the lower right corners is a weak equivalence by Lemma 4.13. So all we have to check is that the canonical map $vS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^w \rightarrow vS_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma}$ is a weak equivalence.

Just as in the proof of Lemma 4.13, we can write $\mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma}$ as a directed union $\mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma,n}$. In the direct limit system

$$\mathcal{C}^w \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{w\Sigma,2} \hookrightarrow \dots,$$

each arrow induces an equivalence in K -theory (the suspension functor provides a homotopy inverse), and the claim follows from this. \square

We can now begin to study the K -theory of categories of controlled retractive spaces. For technical reasons, we need to impose certain intermediate finiteness conditions on the objects as long as we are dealing with connective K -theory. This phenomenon is well-known in the linear setting; see [Cárdenas and Pedersen 1997].

Let $F \leq K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}), h)$ be a subgroup. Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{fd,F}^G(W, \mathfrak{I})$ the full subcategory of all those objects whose K_0 -class lies in F . We think of these objects as being subject to an intermediate finiteness condition. Note that these objects can be equivalently characterized as those complexes whose K_0 -class lies in the kernel of the projection homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}), h) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}), h)/F$. It is now a consequence of Thomason's cofinality theorem [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990, Cofinality Theorem 1.10.1] that there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$hS_{\bullet}\mathcal{R}_{fd,F}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}) \rightarrow hS_{\bullet}\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}) \rightarrow N_{\bullet}(K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}), h)/F).$$

In particular, the change of finiteness condition only affects K_0 ; there, the induced map is a monomorphism which can be identified with the inclusion map $F \hookrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$. A typical choice for F is $F := K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$, which we regard as a subgroup of $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$ by virtue of Proposition 4.8.

Let $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ be a coarse structure and A be a G -invariant subset of Z . Let $\mathfrak{S}\langle A \rangle$ be the collection of all sets of the form $A^C \cap S$, where S is an element of \mathfrak{S} and $C \in \mathfrak{C}$; see Definition 3.6. Define a new coarse structure $\mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle := (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S}\langle A \rangle)$.

Recall from Definition 3.12 that A gives rise to a class of weak equivalences $h^A \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$.

4.16. Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{Z} be a coarse structure and let $A \subseteq Z$ be a G -invariant subset. Set $K := K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h) \leq K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$. Let $F \leq K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle), h)$ be the preimage of K under the natural homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle), h) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$. Then*

$$hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle) \rightarrow hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \rightarrow h^A S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \quad (4.17)$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence. Upon realization, there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$|hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle)| \rightarrow |hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})| \rightarrow |h^A S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})| \quad (4.18)$$

which is weakly equivalent to the former one.

Proof. The modified fibration theorem (Proposition 4.14) applies to our situation, so we have a homotopy fiber sequence

$$hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A} \rightarrow hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \rightarrow h^A S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}).$$

Define $F' \leq K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}, h)$ to be the preimage of $K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$ under the canonical homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}, h) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$. We first prove the following two assertions:

- (1) The natural inclusion functor $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{fd,F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ induces an equivalence in K -theory.
- (2) The natural inclusion functor $\mathcal{R}_{fd,F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{fd,F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ induces an equivalence in K -theory.

The first claim is proved in a fashion similar to that of Proposition 4.8. First of all, we may replace $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ by the category of homotopy finite objects $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ since the inclusion of the former into the latter induces an equivalence in K -theory by the approximation theorem.

To conclude that the inclusion $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{fd,F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ induces an equivalence as well, we rely on Vogell's cofinality Theorem 4.6 once again. As we already saw in the proof of Proposition 4.8, for every object $Y_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{fd,F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ there are some finitely dominated object $Y_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ with $[Y_2] \in K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$,

a finite object $Y \in \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and an h -equivalence $f : Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma^2 Y_1 \vee Y_2$. However, there is no reason for Y_2 to be h^A -contractible, so we have to improve it.

Since Y_1 is h^A -contractible, there is some cofinal subcomplex $Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$ away from A such that the inclusion map $j_1 : Y'_1 \hookrightarrow Y_1$ is nullhomotopic. By Lemma 3.7, there is a cofinal subcomplex $Y' \subseteq Y$ whose image under f is contained in $\Sigma^2 Y'_1 \vee Y_2$; note that $Y' \subseteq Y$ is finite. Let $j : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be the inclusion map. Define $f' : Y' \rightarrow Y_2$ as the composition $Y' \xrightarrow{j} Y \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma^2 Y_1 \vee Y_2 \twoheadrightarrow Y_2$. Set $Y_3 := C(f')$ and observe that this is a finitely dominated object with $[Y_3] \in K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$. Let $i_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow \Sigma^2 Y_1 \vee Y_2$ be the canonical cofibration. Since j_1 is nullhomotopic, the map fj is homotopic to $i_2 f'$. Hence, there is an h -equivalence $C(fj) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(i_2 f') \simeq_h \Sigma^2 Y_1 \vee Y_3$. Observe that $C(fj)$ is homotopy finite, so $\Sigma^2 Y_1 \vee Y_3$ is homotopy finite, too.

Moreover, the natural map $C(fj) \rightarrow C(f)$ is an h^A -equivalence because j is one. As $C(f)$ is contractible, both $C(fj)$ and $\Sigma^2 Y_1 \vee Y_3$ are h^A -contractible. It follows that Y_3 is also h^A -contractible. Note $[Y_3] \in F'$. Therefore, Vogell's cofinality theorem applies. That is, all higher K -theory groups of $\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$ coincide, and the induced homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{hf}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}, h) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}, h)$ is injective.

On the level of K_0 , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}, h) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}, h) \\ & \searrow \quad \quad \swarrow & \\ & K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}, h) & \end{array}$$

in which the left diagonal arrow is an injection. The right diagonal map is an injection by Thomason's cofinality theorem. Since the top horizontal and right diagonal homomorphism have the same image, it follows that the left diagonal map is an isomorphism. This shows claim (1).

Let us now turn to the second claim. We apply the approximation theorem. By Corollary 4.11, we may assume without loss of generality that all complexes are finite-dimensional. Only the second part of the approximation property needs checking. Let $Y_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{fd, F, \dim < \infty}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(A))$; then Y_0 is h^A -contractible. Let $f : Y_0 \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{R}_{fd, F', \dim < \infty}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$.

Let $r : Y \rightarrow W$ be the structural retraction and $s : W \rightarrow Y$ be the structural inclusion of Y . Since Y is h^A -contractible, there are a subcomplex $Y' \subseteq Y$ which is cofinal away from A and a homotopy h from the inclusion map $Y' \hookrightarrow Y$ to the composition $Y' \xrightarrow{r|_{Y'}} W \xrightarrow{s} Y$. By the CHEP, we find an extension of h to a controlled homotopy $H : Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ from id_Y to a controlled map $p' : Y \rightarrow Y$ which extends $s \circ r|_{Y'}$.

Let Y'' be the G -subcomplex of Y generated by the image of p' . Since p' is controlled and Y is finite-dimensional, Y'' is supported on some \mathfrak{Z} -thickening of A .

Note that we do not claim that Y'' is finitely dominated. Let $j : Y'' \hookrightarrow Y$ be the inclusion. Denote by p the map p' , regarded as a map $Y \rightarrow Y''$. Then $Y \xrightarrow{p} Y'' \xrightarrow{j} Y$ is homotopic to the identity. Proposition 4.10 provides us with an h -equivalence $T(j) : \text{Tel}(jp) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$. In particular, $[\text{Tel}(jp)] = [Y] \in K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$, and hence both lie in $K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$. Observe that $\text{Tel}(jp)$ is also supported on a \mathfrak{Z} -thickening of A .

Pick now a finite domination $Y \xrightarrow{i} D \xrightarrow{d} Y$ of Y in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Let D' be the smallest subcomplex of D which contains the image of $i \circ T(j)$. Since $i \circ T(j)$ is a controlled map and $\text{Tel}(jp)$ is supported on a \mathfrak{Z} -thickening of A , the complex D' is also supported on some \mathfrak{Z} -thickening of A . The composition of the maps $\text{Tel}(jp) \xrightarrow{i \circ T(j)} D'$ and $d' : D' \hookrightarrow D \xrightarrow{d} Y \rightarrow \text{Tel}(jp)$ is homotopic to the identity. Redefining the retraction of D' to be d' composed with the retraction of $\text{Tel}(jp)$, the latter map becomes a morphism. Hence, $\text{Tel}(jp) \in \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle)$.

Another application of the mapping cylinder argument (Lemma 4.1) to the diagram $Y_0 \xrightarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{T(j)} \text{Tel}(jp)$ yields the approximation property, and hence the second assertion.

There is a map of homotopy fiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A} & \longrightarrow & hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) & \longrightarrow & h^A S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A} & \longrightarrow & hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) & \longrightarrow & h^A S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \end{array}$$

The middle vertical map is a weak equivalence by Thomason cofinality. Observe that $\mathcal{R}_{fd,F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A} = \mathcal{R}_{fd,K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$. Hence, assertion (1) implies that the left vertical map is a weak equivalence. Therefore, the right vertical map is a weak equivalence, too. Composing the weak equivalence

$$hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle) \xrightarrow{\sim} hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd,F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})^{h^A}$$

from assertion (2) with the inclusion of the homotopy fiber yields sequence (4.17).

After taking realizations, we can invert the weak equivalence of assertion (1) to obtain sequence (4.18). \square

4.19. Definition (cf. [Bartels et al. 2004, Definition 4.1]). A coarse structure \mathfrak{Z} is called *G-proper with respect to A* if for every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ and every $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ there are $S' \in \mathfrak{S}$, $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ and a G -equivariant function $c : A^C \cap S \rightarrow A \cap S'$ such that

- (1) $\{(c(z), z) \mid z \in A^C \cap S\} \subseteq C'$,
- (2) for every set $B \subseteq A^C \cap S$ which is locally finite in Z , the image $c(B)$ is locally finite in Z and $c^{-1}(x) \cap B$ is finite for all $x \in c(B)$.

4.20. Proposition. *Let $A \subseteq Z$ be a closed, G -invariant subset. Let \mathfrak{Z} be a coarse structure which is G -proper with respect to A . Recall the definition of the coarse*

structure $\mathfrak{Z} \cap A$ from Example 3.29. Let $F \leq K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A), h)$ be the preimage of $K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$ under the natural homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A), h) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$, and define $F' \leq K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle), h)$ analogously.

Then the exact inclusion functor

$$\mathcal{R}_{fd, F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle)$$

is an equivalence of Waldhausen categories.

Proof. It suffices to show that the inclusion functor is essentially surjective. So let $Y \in \mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}\langle A \rangle)$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $S_k \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $\kappa(\diamond_k Y) \subseteq A^{C_k} \cap S_k$. Since \mathfrak{Z} is G -proper with respect to A , there is a G -equivariant function

$$c_k : A^{C_k} \cap S_k \rightarrow A \cap S'_k$$

as in Definition 4.19. The collection $\{c_k \circ \kappa|_{\diamond_k Y}\}_k$ defines a G -equivariant function $\kappa_A : \diamond Y \rightarrow A$ such that $\kappa(\diamond_k Y) \subseteq A \cap S'_k$. By construction, the identity map is a controlled isomorphism between (Y, κ) and (Y, κ_A) , where the latter complex is now supported on A . The modification we make to the control map κ also preserves finiteness, and hence finite dominations. Note that $[(Y, \kappa)] = [(Y, \kappa_A)]$ is in $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$, i.e., $[(Y, \kappa_A)] \in F$. This finishes the proof. \square

4C. The coarse Mayer–Vietoris theorem. The main application of the homotopy fiber sequence established in the previous subsection is the excision result we prove next. Let $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ be a coarse structure.

4.21. Definition [Bartels et al. 2004, Proposition 4.3]. A pair (A, B) of G -invariant subspaces in Z is called *coarsely excisive* if for all $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ there is $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $A^C \cap B^C \subseteq (A \cap B)^{C'}$.

A *coarsely excisive triple* is a coarse structure \mathfrak{Z} together with two closed, G -invariant subspaces $A_1, A_2 \subseteq Z$ such that $A_1 \cup A_2 = Z$ and the pair (A_1, A_2) is coarsely excisive.

We require a little more notation. For a closed, G -invariant subspace $A \subseteq Z$ we define $\mathfrak{Z}|_A := (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S} \cap A)$. Observe that $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}|_A) \cong \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A)$.

4.22. Lemma. Suppose that (A, B) is a coarsely excisive pair. Then

$$h^{A \cap B} S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}|_A) = h^B S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}|_A).$$

Proof. Let (Y, κ) be an object in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}|_A)$. Note that the image of κ is contained in A . It suffices to show that a subcomplex $Y' \subseteq Y$ is cofinal away from $A \cap B$ if and only if it is cofinal away from B . Since $A \cap B \subseteq B$, it is obvious that every subcomplex which is cofinal away from $A \cap B$ is also cofinal away from B .

Now suppose that $Y' \subseteq Y$ is cofinal away from B . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus B^C) \cap \diamond_k Y \subseteq \diamond_k Y'$. By assumption, we can find $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $A^C \cap B^C \subseteq (A \cap B)^{C'}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus (A \cap B)^{C'}) \cap \diamond_k Y &\subseteq \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus (A^C \cap B^C)) \cap \diamond_k Y \\ &= \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^C \cup Z \setminus B^C) \cap \diamond_k Y \\ &= (\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^C) \cap \diamond_k Y) \cup (\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus B^C) \cap \diamond_k Y) \\ &= \kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus B^C) \cap \diamond_k Y \\ &\subseteq \diamond_k Y'. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $Y' \subseteq Y$ is cofinal away from $A \cap B$, and we are done. \square

4.23. Theorem (coarse Mayer–Vietoris, connective version). *Let (\mathfrak{Z}, A_1, A_2) be a coarsely excisive triple, and assume that \mathfrak{Z} is G -proper with respect to A_1, A_2 and $A_1 \cap A_2$. Let F be the preimage of $K := K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$ under the canonical homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_2), h) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$, and let F' be the preimage of $K' := K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1), h)$ under the canonical homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)), h) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1), h)$.*

Then the natural inclusion maps induce a homotopy pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)) & \longrightarrow & hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_2) & \longrightarrow & hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \end{array}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 4.16 and Proposition 4.20, we have a map of homotopy fiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)) & \rightarrow & hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1) & \rightarrow & h^{A_1 \cap A_2} S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_2) & \longrightarrow & hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) & \longrightarrow & h^{A_2} S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \end{array}$$

Hence, it suffices to show that the right vertical map is a weak equivalence. We may replace $\mathcal{R}_{fd, K'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{fd, K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ by $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, respectively. Using Lemma 4.22, we can therefore identify the right vertical map as the natural inclusion map

$$\begin{aligned} h^{A_1 \cap A_2} S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1) &\cong h^{A_1 \cap A_2} S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}|_{A_1}) = h^{A_2} S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}|_{A_1}) \\ &\rightarrow h^{A_2} S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Our claim is that the approximation theorem applies to show that this is an equivalence. We need only check the second part of the approximation property. So let

$f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ such that Y_1 is an object in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}|_{A_1})$. Define Y'_2 as the smallest subcomplex of Y_2 which contains both the image of f and all cells which are labeled with points in A_1 . Then Y'_2 is supported on a \mathfrak{Z} -thickening of A_1 . Since $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A_2) \subseteq \kappa^{-1}(A_1) \subseteq \diamond Y'_2$, the subcomplex $Y'_2 \subseteq Y_2$ is cofinal away from A_2 . This implies that the inclusion map $Y'_2 \hookrightarrow Y_2$ is an h^{A_2} -equivalence. As in the proof of Proposition 4.20, \mathfrak{Z} being G -proper with respect to A_1 implies that Y'_2 is isomorphic to an object Y_3 with support in A_1 . Then f factors over Y_3 , which shows the approximation property. \square

4D. A vanishing result. To conclude this section, we also record a criterion which guarantees the vanishing of the K -theory of a category of controlled retractive spaces.

4.24. Proposition (Eilenberg swindles). *Let \mathcal{C} be a small Waldhausen category. Let \vee be a functorial coproduct on \mathcal{C} . Suppose that there is an exact endofunctor sw on \mathcal{C} and a natural isomorphism $\text{id} \vee \text{sw} \cong \text{sw}$.*

Then there is a contraction H_{sw} of $K(\mathcal{C})$ which is natural in the following sense: Let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be small Waldhausen categories, equipped with functorial coproducts \vee_i , $i = 1, 2$. Let $F : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ be an exact functor which strictly preserves the coproduct, i.e., $F \circ \vee_1 = \vee_2 \circ (F \times F)$. Let sw_i be exact endofunctors on \mathcal{C}_i together with natural isomorphisms $\eta_i : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_i} \vee \text{sw}_i \cong \text{sw}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\text{sw}_2 \circ F = F \circ \text{sw}_1$ and $F \circ \eta_{1,A} = \eta_{2,FA}$. Then

$$H_{\text{sw}_2} \circ (K(F) \times [0, 1]) = K(F) \circ H_{\text{sw}_1}.$$

Proof. Recall that $K(\mathcal{C}) = \Omega|wS_\bullet \mathcal{C}|$, so concatenation of loops defines an H -space structure “+” on $K(\mathcal{C})$. Subject to the choice of an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $[0, 1] \cong [0, 2]$, the H -space product is naturally homotopy associative. Similarly, any choice of orientation-reversing homeomorphism $[0, 1] \cong [0, 1]$, say $t \mapsto 1 - t$, induces a homotopy inverse inv such that $\text{id} + \text{inv}$ is nullhomotopic. This nullhomotopy depends on a choice of contraction of $[0, 1]$ to the point 0. Fixing, once and for all, suitable homeomorphisms $[0, 1] \cong [0, 2]$ and $[0, 1] \cong [0, 1]$ and a contraction of $[0, 1]$, all these homotopies become natural with respect to maps induced by exact functors.

The functorial coproduct $\vee : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces another H -space structure “ \vee ” on $K(\mathcal{C})$. Since + and \vee satisfy the interchange law, the Eckmann–Hilton argument shows that there is a natural homotopy $\vee \simeq +$. By abuse of notation, we use in the sequel the same name for functors and the maps they induce on K -theory. Let 0 denote the constant functor mapping everything to the zero object. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{id} &\simeq \text{id} + 0 \simeq \text{id} + (\text{sw} + (\text{inv} \circ \text{sw})) \simeq (\text{id} + \text{sw}) + (\text{inv} \circ \text{sw}) \\
 &\simeq (\text{id} \vee \text{sw}) + (\text{inv} \circ \text{sw}) \simeq \text{sw} + \text{inv} \circ \text{sw} \simeq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The fifth homotopy is induced by the natural isomorphism η . Hence, the concatenation of these homotopies defines a contraction of $K(\mathcal{C})$, and it is straightforward to check that this contraction is natural in the desired sense. \square

4.25. Proposition. *Let \mathfrak{Z} be a coarse structure and let $A \subseteq Z$ be a G -invariant subset. Suppose that there is a sequence of G -equivariant functions $(s_n : Z \rightarrow Z)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) $s_0 = \text{id}_Z$.
- (2) For every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} (s_n \times s_n)(C \cap (S \times S)) \subseteq C'.$$

- (3) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some $S' \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $\bigcup_n s_n(S) \subseteq S'$.
- (4) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ and every $B \subseteq S$ which is locally finite in Z , each image $s_n(B)$ is locally finite in Z and $s_n^{-1}(x) \cap B$ is finite for all $x \in s_n(B)$. Furthermore, there are for every $z \in Z$ some n_0 and an open neighborhood U of z such that $s_n^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
- (5) For every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ there exists $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} s_n(A^C) \subseteq A^{C'}.$$

- (6) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{(s_{n+1}(x), s_n(x)) \mid x \in S\} \subseteq C.$$

Then there is an exact endofunctor on $(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A)$ as in Proposition 4.24. This swindle is natural in the following sense: Let $\mathfrak{z} : \mathfrak{z}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_2$ be a morphism of coarse structures. If $(s_n^i : Z_i \rightarrow Z_i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i = 1, 2$, are as above such that $\mathfrak{z} \circ s_n^1 = s_n^2 \circ \mathfrak{z}$ for all n , then the induced exact functor $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{z})$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.24.

The same holds with $(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A)$ instead of $(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A)$.

Proof. Define a functor $S : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ as follows. Given a controlled retractive space (Y, κ) over W , consider the infinite coproduct $Y^\infty := \bigvee_{n \geq 0} Y$. So $\diamond Y^\infty = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \diamond Y$. Define a control map $\kappa^\infty : \diamond Y^\infty \rightarrow Z$ by $\kappa^\infty(e) := (s_n \circ \kappa)(e)$ if e is a cell in the n -th copy of Y . Then conditions (2) and (3) ensure that $(Y^\infty, \kappa^\infty)$ is a controlled retractive space over W . If $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a controlled morphism, then $\bigvee_{n \geq 0} f : Y_1^\infty \rightarrow Y_2^\infty$ is again a controlled morphism. Moreover, condition (4) guarantees that Y^∞ is finite if Y is finite.

Define $S(Y) := (Y^\infty, \kappa^\infty)$. We claim that this functor preserves h^A -equivalences. It suffices to check that for any subcomplex $Y' \subseteq Y$ which is cofinal away from A , the subcomplex $S(Y') \subseteq S(Y)$ is also cofinal away from A .

For the next paragraph, denote the n -th copy of Y by Y_n , and use Y'_n in the same way. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is some $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\kappa^{-1}(Z \setminus A^C) \cap \diamond_k Y \subseteq \diamond_k Y'$. Let $e \in (\kappa^\infty)^{-1}(Z \setminus s_n(A^C)) \cap \diamond_k Y_n$, and let e' be the corresponding k -cell in the original copy of Y . Since $s_n(\kappa(e')) = \kappa^\infty(e) \notin s_n(A^C)$, it follows that $e' \in \diamond_k Y'$. Consequently, $e \in \diamond_k Y'_n$, and we have shown that $(\kappa^\infty)^{-1}(Z \setminus s_n(A^C)) \cap \diamond_k Y_n \subseteq \diamond_k Y'_n$. Choosing $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ as in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa^\infty)^{-1}(Z \setminus A^{C'}) \cap \diamond_k Y^\infty &\subseteq (\kappa^\infty)^{-1}\left(Z \setminus \bigcup_n s_n(A^C)\right) \cap \diamond_k Y^\infty \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \left((\kappa^\infty)^{-1}\left(Z \setminus \bigcup_n s_n(A^C)\right) \cap \diamond_k Y_m \right) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \left((\kappa^\infty)^{-1}(Z \setminus s_m(A^C)) \cap \diamond_k Y_m \right) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \diamond_k Y'_m = \diamond_k (Y')^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $S(Y') \subseteq S(Y)$ is also cofinal away from A , and it follows that S is an exact functor with respect to the h^A -equivalences.

The map $Y \vee Y^\infty \rightarrow Y^\infty$ which maps Y_n identically to Y_{n+1} and Y to Y_0 is a controlled isomorphism by condition (6). It induces a natural isomorphism $\text{id} \vee S \cong S$.

Checking the naturality statement is straightforward. \square

4.26. Remark. Note that the conditions of Proposition 4.25 hold for $A = \emptyset$ whenever they are satisfied for some $A \subseteq Z$. Hence, the K -theory space $\Omega|hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})|$ is also contractible.

Most of the time, the sequence of maps $(s_n)_n$ is induced by an *infinite shift map*, i.e., a G -equivariant function $s : Z \rightarrow Z$ with the following properties:

- (1) For every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\bigcup_n (s \times s)^n(C \cap (S \times S)) \subseteq C'.$$

- (2) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some $S' \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $\bigcup_n s^n(S) \subseteq S'$.
- (3) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ and every $B \subseteq S$ which is locally finite in Z , the image $s(B)$ is locally finite in Z and $s^{-1}(x) \cap B$ is finite for all $x \in s(B)$. Furthermore, there are for every $z \in Z$ some n_0 and an open neighborhood U of z such that $(s^n)^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
- (4) For every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ there exists $C' \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} s^n(A^C) \subseteq A^{C'}.$$

(5) For every $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\left\{ (s(x), x) \mid x \in \bigcup_n s^n(S) \right\} \subseteq C.$$

In this case, the proposition applies with $s_n := s^n$, and the corresponding naturality statement applies whenever we have two infinite shift maps s_1 and s_2 as well as a morphism of coarse structures \mathfrak{z} such that $\mathfrak{z} \circ s_1 = s_2 \circ \mathfrak{z}$.

5. Nonconnective A-theory spectra

We are now ready to put the results of the previous sections to use. Namely, we define (potentially) nonconnective deloopings of the K -theory spaces of controlled retractive spaces. The resulting spectra are insensitive to specific choices of finiteness conditions, and the main results of Section 4 simplify accordingly.

For linear K -theory, such deloopings have been defined previously by Pedersen and Weibel [1985]. Vogell [1990] adopted this approach to define a nonconnective delooping of $A(X)$.

5.1. Definition. Suppose that $Z = Z_1 \times Z_2$, and that $(Z_1, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ is a coarse structure. Let $p : Z \rightarrow Z_1$ be the projection map. Then we define a coarse structure $(Z, p^*\mathfrak{C}, p^*\mathfrak{S})$ by setting

$$p^*\mathfrak{C} := \{(p \times p)^{-1}(C) \mid C \in \mathfrak{C}\} \quad \text{and} \quad p^*\mathfrak{S} := \{p^{-1}(S) \mid S \in \mathfrak{S}\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{S})$ be a coarse structure. Let $p_n : \mathbb{R}^n \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p_Z : \mathbb{R}^n \times Z \rightarrow Z$ denote the respective projection maps. Consider the bounded coarse structure $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{bdd}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathfrak{S}_{\text{triv}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ from Example 2.2.

5.2. Definition. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the coarse structure $\mathfrak{Z}(n) = (\mathbb{R}^n \times Z, \mathfrak{C}(n), \mathfrak{S}(n))$ by letting a set $C \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n \times Z)^2$ be in $\mathfrak{C}(n)$ if and only if

- (1) C is symmetric, G -invariant and contains the diagonal.
- (2) There is a $C' \in p_n^*\mathfrak{C}_{\text{bdd}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $C \subseteq C'$.
- (3) For all $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ compact, there is a $C'' \in p_Z^*\mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$C \cap ((K \times Z) \times (K \times Z)) \subseteq C''.$$

Set $\mathfrak{S}(n) := p_Z^*\mathfrak{S}$.

Consider for all n also the restricted coarse structures

$$\mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^+ := \mathfrak{Z}(n+1) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times Z),$$

$$\mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^- := \mathfrak{Z}(n+1) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times Z).$$

Note that $\mathfrak{Z}(n+1) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\} \times Z) = \mathfrak{Z}(n)$.

Let $A \subseteq Z$ be a G -invariant subset. The obvious inclusion maps give rise to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^A S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)) & \longrightarrow & h^A S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^+) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ h^A S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^-) & \longrightarrow & h^A S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)) \end{array} \quad (5.3)$$

Using the results of Section 4D, the top right and bottom left corners of this square are contractible since they admit infinite shift maps $(\vec{x}, x_{n+1}, z) \mapsto (\vec{x}, x_{n+1} \pm 1, z)$. This provides us with structure maps for a spectrum

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A) := \{K(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)), h^A)\}_n.$$

These are the algebraic K -theory spectra we use for our main results. It follows from Propositions 3.28, 4.24 and 4.25 that the construction of this spectrum is natural in \mathfrak{Z} .

5.4. Remark. Definition 5.2 is more involved than one might expect. The coarse structure

$$\mathfrak{Z}[n] := (\mathbb{R}^n \times Z, p_n^* \mathfrak{C}_{\text{bdd}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap p_Z^* \mathfrak{C}, p_Z^* \mathfrak{S})$$

might appear to be a more intuitive choice. There is a canonical inclusion functor $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}[n]) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n))$ which induces an isomorphism on homotopy groups in sufficiently high degrees, using $\mathfrak{Z}[0] = \mathfrak{Z}(0)$ and Proposition 5.5 below. We conjecture that this map is in fact a weak equivalence.

The difference between the coarse structures $\mathfrak{Z}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{Z}[n]$ is analogous to the linear situation (cf. [Pedersen and Weibel 1989]). Take, for example, categories $\mathcal{C}_X(R)$ of bounded morphisms over a metric space. Then $\mathfrak{Z}[n]$ corresponds to the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n \times X}(R)$, while $\mathfrak{Z}(n)$ corresponds to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathcal{C}_X(R))$. The inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n \times X}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathcal{C}_X(R))$ always induces an equivalence of algebraic K -theory spectra: apply nonconnective algebraic K -theory to the inclusion map and prove that both sides are equivalent to the spectrum $\Omega^n \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{C}_X(R))$.

5.5. Proposition. (1) *The structure maps of the spectrum $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$ induce isomorphisms on π_i for $i \geq 1$.*

(2) *The structure maps of the spectrum $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A)$ induce isomorphisms on π_i for $i \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.23, there is a homotopy pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)) & \longrightarrow & h S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_{fd, K'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^+) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ h S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_{fd, F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^-) & \longrightarrow & h S_{\bullet} \mathcal{R}_{fd, K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)) \end{array} \quad (5.6)$$

There is a transformation from square (5.3) to (5.6) induced by inclusion functors. By Thomason cofinality, this transformation is a weak equivalence on the top right and bottom right corners. Therefore, $hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^+)$ is weakly contractible. In particular, its K_0 is trivial, so $\mathcal{R}_{fd, F'}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)) = \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n))$.

We claim that $K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)), h) = 0$. Since we can filter any object by its skeleta, and suspension corresponds to taking inverses in K_0 , the class of any object in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1))$ equals its K -theoretic Euler characteristic, i.e., it equals an alternating sum of classes of 0-dimensional objects. The same argument as in the linear case [Pedersen and Weibel 1985, Corollary 1.3.1] now shows that the K_0 -class of every 0-dimensional object is trivial.

From $K_0(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)), h) = 0$ it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}_{fd, F}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^-) = \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^-).$$

Since $\mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^-$ admits an infinite shift map, $hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^-)$ is weakly contractible by Section 4D. We already know that $hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)^-)$ is weakly contractible, so the transformation from (5.3) to (5.6) is also a weak equivalence on the bottom left corner.

As the square (5.6) is a homotopy pullback in which the bottom left and top right corners are weakly contractible, we get a weak equivalence

$$|hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n))| \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega |hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd, K}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1))|. \quad (5.7)$$

By Proposition 4.8, the map $|hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n))| \rightarrow |hS_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n))|$ induces an isomorphism on π_i for $i \geq 2$. Hence, the structure map

$$K(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)), h) \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)), h)$$

is an isomorphism on π_i for $i \geq 1$.

The structure map $K(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)), h^A) \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n+1)), h^A)$ sits in a map of homotopy fiber sequences arising from Theorem 4.16. The second assertion of the proposition follows from the first assertion and a five-lemma argument. \square

5.8. Remark. We can also define a nonconnective spectrum $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A)$ using the finitely dominated objects. The natural maps

$$K(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)), h^A) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(n)), h^A)$$

are isomorphisms on π_i for $i \geq 1$; hence, the induced map

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A)$$

is a stable equivalence of spectra by Proposition 5.5.

For convenience, we record the nonconnective versions of the main results of the previous section.

5.9. Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{Z} be a coarse structure and let $A \subseteq Z$ be a closed, G -invariant subset such that \mathfrak{Z} is G -proper with respect to A . Then the inclusion functors induce a homotopy fiber sequence*

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A), h) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A).$$

Proof. This is Theorem 4.16 together with Proposition 4.20 and Remark 5.8. \square

5.10. Theorem (coarse Mayer–Vietoris theorem). *Let (\mathfrak{Z}, A_1, A_2) be a coarsely excisive triple, and assume that \mathfrak{Z} is G -proper with respect to A_1 , A_2 and $A_1 \cap A_2$. Then the obvious inclusion maps give rise to a homotopy pullback square of spectra*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)), h) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_1), h) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z} \cap A_2), h) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h) \end{array}$$

Proof. This is Theorem 4.23 together with Remark 5.8. \square

5.11. Theorem (Eilenberg swindle). *Let \mathfrak{Z} be a coarse structure and let $A \subseteq Z$ be a G -invariant subset. Suppose that there is a sequence of G -equivariant functions $(s_n : Z \rightarrow Z)_n$ as in Proposition 4.25. Then $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h^A)$ is weakly contractible.*

Proof. This follows from Section 4D. \square

6. The Davis–Lück assembly map

We can now translate the model of the assembly map given in [Bartels et al. 2004] to A -theory. Assume from now on that G is a countable discrete group.

6.1. Definition. Let X be a G -CW-complex and M a metric space with free, isometric G -action.

Define the coarse structure $\mathbb{J}(M, X) = (M \times X \times [1, \infty[, \mathfrak{C}(M, X), \mathfrak{S}(M, X))$ as follows. Let p_M , $p_{M \times X}$, $p_{X \times [1, \infty[}$ and $p_{[1, \infty[}$ denote the projection maps from $M \times X \times [1, \infty[$ to the factor indicated by the index of p . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}(M, X) &:= p_M^* \mathfrak{B}(M) \cap p_{X \times [1, \infty[}^* \mathfrak{C}_{G\text{-cc}}(X), \\ \mathfrak{S}(M, X) &:= p_{M \times X}^* \mathfrak{S}_{G\text{-cpt}}(M \times X). \end{aligned}$$

The bounded coarse structure, G -compact support condition and G -continuous control condition have been defined in Example 2.2.

One particular instance of this definition is the case where $M = G$, equipped with a left invariant and proper metric, “proper” meaning that every ball of finite radius is finite. Such metrics exist [Dranishnikov and Smith 2006, Proposition 1.3];

if G is finitely generated, we can pick a word metric. Whenever d and d' are two left invariant, proper metrics on G , the identity map $\text{id} : (G, d) \rightarrow (G, d')$ is a coarse equivalence by [Dranishnikov and Smith 2006, Proposition 1.1]. In particular, every R -ball with respect to d is contained in some R' -ball with respect to d' , and vice versa. Hence, the bounded control condition on G is independent of the choice of left invariant, proper metric, and we can suppress the metric in our notation.

6.2. Definition. We abbreviate $\mathbb{J}(X) := \mathbb{J}(G, X)$.

When considering $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(M, X))$, we denote the class of weak equivalences $h^{M \times X \times \{1\}}$ by h^∞ . Observe also that for a G -invariant subcomplex $A \subseteq X$, we have $\mathbb{J}(M, X) \cap (M \times A \times [1, \infty[) = \mathbb{J}(M, A)$. Finally, we note that $\mathbb{J}(M, X)$ is G -proper with respect to subspaces of the form $M \times A \times [1, \infty[$ for $A \subseteq X$ a G -invariant subcomplex.

6.3. Definition. Let us introduce the following shorthands:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}(G, W, X) &:= \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X) \cap (G \times X \times \{1\})), h), \\ \mathbb{F}(G, W, X) &:= \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)), h), \\ \mathbb{D}(G, W, X) &:= \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)), h^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 5.9, these spectra fit into a natural homotopy fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{T}(G, W, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(G, W, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G, W, X). \quad (6.4)$$

6.5. Definition. An (*unreduced*) G -homology theory is a functor \mathbb{H} from the category of G -CW-complexes to the category of spectra such that the following hold:

- (1) Every G -equivariant homotopy equivalence $f : X_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_2$ induces a weak equivalence $\mathbb{H}(f) : \mathbb{H}(X_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(X_2)$.
- (2) Every homotopy pushout square of G -CW-complexes induces a homotopy pullback square of spectra upon application of $\mathbb{H}(-)$.
- (3) If $X = \text{colim}_i X_i$ is a directed colimit, the natural map $\text{hocolim}_i \mathbb{H}(X_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(X)$ is a weak equivalence.

6.6. Remark. Observe that any unreduced G -homology theory in the sense of Definition 6.5 automatically respects finite coproducts because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \amalg X_2 \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pushout square. From the direct limit axiom Definition 6.5(3), conclude that any unreduced G -homology theory commutes with arbitrary coproducts.

6.7. Theorem. (1) *The projection $X \rightarrow G/G$ induces a weak equivalence*

$$\mathbb{T}(G, W, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}(G, W, G/G)$$

for every G -CW-complex X .

(2) *The assignment $X \mapsto \mathbb{D}(G, W, X)$ is an unreduced G -equivariant homology theory.*

(3) *The connecting map $\Omega\mathbb{D}(G, W, G/G) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(G, W, G/G)$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. For part (1), consider the functor

$$p : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)(n) \cap (G \times X \times \{1\})(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/G) \cap (G \times G/G \times \{1\})(n))$$

induced by the projection map $X \rightarrow G/G$; it is well-defined because of the G -compact support condition on $G \times X$. Let $(Y \rightrightarrows W, \kappa)$ be any object from the category on the right-hand side. Then any choice of a point $x \in X$ induces a control map

$$\tilde{\kappa} : \diamond Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times G \times X, \quad e \mapsto (\kappa_{\mathbb{R}^n}(e), \kappa_G(e), \kappa_G(e) \cdot x)$$

which turns Y into an object \tilde{Y} of the left-hand side. This construction provides an inverse to p , showing that p is an exact equivalence of Waldhausen categories.

For the second part of the theorem, observe first that $X \mapsto \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X))$ is indeed a functor on G -CW-complexes; this follows from Proposition 3.28 using Lemma 3.3 from [Bartels et al. 2004] and the G -compact support condition. Also, due to the G -compact support condition, in conjunction with the fact that algebraic K -theory commutes with directed colimits, we immediately obtain the direct limit axiom (3). Hence, it suffices to consider only cocompact G -CW-complexes. The remainder of the proof is formally the same as in [Bartels et al. 2004, §5]; note that in the proof of the property Definition 6.5(2), the special case of a coproduct is missing in [Bartels et al. 2004] and has to be treated separately. For more details, see also [Ullmann 2010, Section 7.2].

For the last part of the theorem, consider $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/G))$. The map

$$s : G \times G/G \times [1, \infty[, \quad (g, G, t) \mapsto (g, G, t+1)$$

is an infinite shift map, so $\mathbb{F}(G, W, G/G)$ is weakly contractible by Theorem 5.11. The claim follows. \square

Let $\text{Or}(G)$ denote the *orbit category* of G , i.e., the category of left G -sets G/H and G -equivariant maps between them.

Let V be a topological space. Then $\mathcal{R}_f(V)$, the category of finite retractive spaces over V , is isomorphic to the category $\mathcal{R}_f^{(1)}(V, \mathfrak{T}(*))$, where $\mathfrak{T}(*)$ is the

trivial coarse structure over a point from Example 2.2. The results of Section 5 provide us with a spectrum

$$\mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(V) := \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^{\{1\}}(V, \mathfrak{T}(*)), h)$$

which is a (potentially nonconnective) delooping of $A(V)$. We call this the *non-connective algebraic K-theory spectrum* of V . Given any G -space W , we may therefore define an $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectrum $\mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}$ by setting

$$\mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}(G/H) := \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(W^{\text{op}} \times_G G/H) \cong \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(H \setminus W),$$

where W^{op} denotes the space W equipped with the right action of G induced by the original left action via $w \cdot g := g^{-1}w$.

6.8. Theorem. *Let W be a free G -CW-complex. Then there is a zig-zag of equivalences of $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectra*

$$\Omega\mathbb{D}(G, W, -) \simeq \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}(-).$$

With the exception of Corollary 6.17 below, the proof of this theorem occupies the rest of this section. Both the strategy of proof and the method to use Theorem 6.8 to relate the connecting map $\Omega\mathbb{D}(G, W, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(G, W, X)$ to the Davis–Lück assembly map go back to work of Hambleton and Pedersen [2004, Sections 7 and 8].

Consider $\mathbb{D}(G, W, G/H)$ for some $G/H \in \text{Or}(G)$. Define a coarse structure

$$\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H) = (G \times G/H \times [1, \infty[, \mathfrak{C}^{\text{dis}}(G, G/H), \mathfrak{S}(G, G/H)),$$

where $\mathfrak{C}^{\text{dis}}(G, G/H)$ is the collection of all $C \in \mathfrak{C}(G, G/H)$ such that $\gamma H = \gamma' H$ for all $((g, \gamma H, t), (g', \gamma' H, t')) \in C$.

6.9. Lemma. *For all n , the natural inclusion functor*

$$\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)(n)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/H)(n))$$

induces an equivalence in K-theory with respect to the h^∞ -equivalences.

Proof. Let $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be an arbitrary morphism in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/H)(n))$. Let C be a control condition witnessing that f is a controlled map. For each closed ball $B_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $C \cap (B_R \times G \times G/H \times [1, \infty[)^2$ satisfies the continuous control condition. Therefore, there is some $t_0 > 1$ such that $((x, g, \gamma H, t), (x', g', \gamma' H, t')) \in C$ implies $\gamma H = \gamma' H$ whenever $x, x' \in B_R$ and $t, t' \geq t_0$. Since we require bounded control over \mathbb{R}^n , and since the G -continuous control condition includes bounded control over $[1, \infty[$, there exists some cofinal subcomplex $Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$ away from $\mathbb{R}^n \times G \times G/H \times \{1\}$ such that $f|_{Y'_1}$ satisfies a control condition in $\mathfrak{C}^{\text{dis}}(G, G/H)$.

We want to prove the approximation property. For the first part, consider a morphism $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)(n))$ which is an h^∞ -equivalence

in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/H)(n))$. If g is an h^∞ -inverse to f , we can restrict it to a suitable cofinal subcomplex such that its restriction satisfies a control condition in $\mathfrak{C}^{\text{dis}}(G, G/H)$ by the previous paragraph. The same works for homotopies. This shows the first part of the approximation property.

For the second part, let $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/H))$, where Y_1 is an object in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H))$. Again by the first paragraph, there is some cofinal subcomplex $Y'_2 \subseteq Y_2$ which satisfies a control condition in $\mathfrak{C}^{\text{dis}}(G, G/H)$. Then there exists a cofinal subcomplex $Y'_1 \subseteq Y_1$ such that $f|_{Y'_1}$ maps into Y'_2 . Let Y be the pushout of

$$Y_1 \leftarrow Y'_1 \xrightarrow{f|_{Y'_1}} Y'_2.$$

Then Y is an object in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)(n))$ and the canonical morphism $Y'_2 \rightarrow Y$ is an h^∞ -equivalence. Hence, the morphism $Y \rightarrow Y_2$ induced by the universal property of the pushout is also an h^∞ -equivalence by saturation. This proves the second part of the approximation property. \square

Defining $\mathbb{D}'(G, W, G/H) := \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)), h^\infty)$, Lemma 6.9 states that the natural map $\mathbb{D}'(G, W, G/H) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G, W, G/H)$ is a weak equivalence. Observe that, by considering $\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)$, we have effectively eliminated the G -continuous control condition. It has been replaced by bounded control over $[1, \infty[$ together with discrete control over G/H .

6.10. Lemma. *For all $n \geq 1$, there is a zig-zag of exact functors*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)(n-1)), h^\infty) \rightarrow \\ &\quad \dots \leftarrow (\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, (\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H) \cap (G \times G/H \times \{1\}))(n), h)) \end{aligned}$$

which induces equivalences in K -theory and which is natural in G/H .

Proof. Recall the temporary notation $\mathfrak{Z}[n]$ we introduced in Remark 5.4. We only need to use

$$\mathfrak{Z}[1] := (\mathbb{R} \times Z, p_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mathfrak{C}_{\text{bdd}}(\mathbb{R}) \cap p_Z^* \mathfrak{C}, p_Z^* \mathfrak{S}).$$

In analogy to the delooping construction we discussed in Section 5, we also use coarse structures $\mathfrak{Z}[1]^+$ and $\mathfrak{Z}[1]^-$.

For the purpose of this proof, define

$$\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1 := \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H) \cap (G \times G/H \times \{1\}).$$

The underlying space of the coarse structure $\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)(n-1)$ is the product $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times G \times G/H \times [1, \infty[$. The obvious isometry $[1, \infty[\cong [0, \infty[$ induces a homeomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times G \times G/H \times [1, \infty[\cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, \infty[\times G \times G/H$. This homeomorphism gives rise to an isomorphism of Waldhausen categories

$$\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)(n-1)) \cong \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1]^+(n-1)). \quad (6.11)$$

Under this isomorphism, the class of h^∞ -equivalences corresponds to the homotopy equivalences h^0 away from $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\} \times G \times G/H \times \{1\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.23, we obtain a weak equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} h^0 S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1]^+(n-1)) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} h^- S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1](n-1)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

where in the second term h^- refers to the class of homotopy equivalences away from $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times G \times G/H \times \{1\}$.

There is a natural, exact inclusion functor

$$\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1](n-1)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(1)(n-1)).$$

Analogous to (5.7) in the proof of Proposition 5.5, there are weak equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} |h S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(n-1))| &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega |h S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1](n-1))|, \\ |h S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(n-1))| &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega |h S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(1)(n-1))|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the inclusion maps

$$\begin{aligned} h S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1](n-1)) &\rightarrow h^- S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1](n-1)), \\ h S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(1)(n-1)) &\rightarrow h^- S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(1)(n-1)) \end{aligned}$$

are weak equivalences, too, we conclude that the map

$$h^- S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1[1](n-1)) \rightarrow h^- S_\bullet \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(1)(n-1)) \quad (6.13)$$

is also a weak equivalence. There is another exact inclusion functor

$$\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(n)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H)_1(1)(n-1)), \quad (6.14)$$

which induces an equivalence on K -theory with respect to the h^- -equivalences for similar reasons. The desired zig-zag is then formed by the equivalences arising from (6.11), (6.12), (6.13) and (6.14). \square

Since there is a weak equivalence from the shifted spectrum $\{\mathbb{D}'(G, W, G/H)_{n-1}\}_n$, where we set $\mathbb{D}'(G, W, G/H)_{-1} = *$, to $\Omega \mathbb{D}'(G, W, G/H)$, Lemma 6.10 provides us with a zig-zag of natural weak equivalences

$$\Omega \mathbb{D}'(G, W, G/H) \simeq \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H) \cap (G \times G/H \times \{1\})), h). \quad (6.15)$$

In order to prove Theorem 6.8, it is therefore sufficient to identify the latter $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectrum.

6.16. Lemma. *There is a zig-zag of weak equivalences of $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectra*

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(-) \cap (G \times - \times \{1\})), h) \simeq \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}(-).$$

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, (\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H) \cap (G \times G/H \times \{1\})))$ be the full Waldhausen subcategory of those objects (Y, κ) for which the set of cells $\kappa^{-1}(\{1_G\} \times \{H\} \times \{1\})$ intersects every G -orbit of cells.

We claim that the inclusion functor $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, (\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H) \cap (G \times G/H \times \{1\})))$ is an exact equivalence. What we need to show is that every object is isomorphic to some object in $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Let $(Y, \kappa) \in \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, (\mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(G/H) \cap (G \times G/H \times \{1\})))$. Due to the G -compact support condition, we can find a set of representatives R for the G -cells of Y such that $\kappa(R) \subseteq F_1 \times F_2 \times \{1\}$ for some finite sets $F_1 \subseteq G$, $F_2 \subseteq G/H$. Multiplying by appropriate group elements, we can assume without loss of generality that $\kappa(R) \subseteq F \times \{H\} \times \{1\}$ for some finite set $F \subseteq G$. Let $c : F \rightarrow \{1_G\}$ be the unique function. By requiring G -equivariance, c induces a G -equivariant function $\kappa_c : \diamond Y \rightarrow G \times G/H \times \{1\}$. Since there are only finitely many equivariant cells in Y , the labeled G -CW-complex (Y, κ_c) satisfies bounded control over G . By construction, (Y, κ_c) is an object of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$. The identity map on Y defines an isomorphism $(Y, \kappa) \cong (Y, \kappa_c)$. This proves that the inclusion functor is an equivalence.

Next, we define an exact functor $Q : \hat{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f(W^{\text{op}} \times_G G/H, \mathfrak{T}(*))$. Let $(Y, \kappa) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Define $Y_H \subseteq Y$ to be the H -invariant subcomplex given by $\kappa^{-1}(H \times \{H\} \times \{1\})$. Then $H \backslash Y_H$ is naturally a retractive space over $H \backslash \text{res}_H^G W \cong W^{\text{op}} \times_G G/H$. Set $Q(Y) := H \backslash Y_H$.

We claim that this functor is also an equivalence of Waldhausen categories. The following argument is similar to [Waldhausen 1985, Lemma 2.1.3].

Let $(X, \kappa) \in \mathcal{R}_f(W^{\text{op}} \times_G G/H, \mathfrak{T}(*))$. Let $\pi : W \times G/H \rightarrow W^{\text{op}} \times_G G/H$ denote the G -equivariant map sending (w, gH) to $(g^{-1}w, H)$. By pulling back along π , we obtain a retractive space \tilde{X} relative $W \times G/H$. Define $\Phi(X)$ as the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \times G/H & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W & \xrightarrow{\Phi(s)} & \Phi(X) \end{array}$$

The retraction of \tilde{X} induces a retraction $\Phi(r)$ on $\Phi(X)$. Note that there is a canonical bijection $\diamond \Phi(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \diamond \tilde{X}$. The projection map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow W \times G/H \rightarrow G/H$ induces a G -equivariant function $\tilde{\kappa} : \diamond \tilde{X} \rightarrow G/H$ with the property that, if e, e' are cells in \tilde{X} such that $e' \subseteq \langle e \rangle$, then $\tilde{\kappa}(e) = \tilde{\kappa}(e')$.

Choose a set of representatives S for the G -orbits of cells in $\Phi(X)$ such that $\tilde{\kappa}(e) = H$ for all $e \in S$. Define the G -equivariant function

$$\Phi(\kappa) : \diamond \Phi(X) \rightarrow G \times G/H \times \{1\}$$

by $\Phi(\kappa)(e) := (1_G, H, 1)$ for all $e \in S$ and extending G -equivariantly. This turns $\Phi(X)$ into an object $(\Phi(X), \Phi(\kappa)) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. As W is a free G -CW complex, $\Phi(Q(Y))$

is canonically isomorphic to Y . Since $Q(\Phi(X))$ is canonically isomorphic to X , this shows that Q is essentially surjective and fully faithful. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Combining Lemmas 6.9 and 6.16 with the zig-zag (6.15), we obtain the zig-zag of weak equivalences of $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectra

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega\mathbb{D}(G, W, -) &\simeq \Omega\mathbb{D}(G, W, -) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}^{\text{dis}}(-) \cap (G \times - \times \{1\})), h) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}(-), \end{aligned}$$

whose existence we claimed in Theorem 6.8.

As explained in [Davis and Lück 1998], any $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectrum \mathbb{E} gives rise to a G -homology theory $\mathbb{H}^G(-; \mathbb{E})$. By considering the map induced by the projection $X \rightarrow G/G$, one obtains for every G -CW-complex X a *Davis–Lück assembly map*

$$\alpha_X : \mathbb{H}^G(X; \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(G/G).$$

The upshot of our discussion is that we have constructed a model for the assembly map associated to the $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectrum $\mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}$:

6.17. Corollary. *Let W be a free G -CW-complex. Then the following holds:*

- (1) *The connecting map $\Omega\mathbb{D}(G, W, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(G, W, X)$ is equivalent to the equivariant A -theory assembly map*

$$\alpha_{X,W} : \mathbb{H}^G(X; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}(G/G) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(G \setminus W).$$

- (2) *The assembly map $\alpha_{X,W}$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\mathbb{F}(G, W, X)$ is weakly contractible.*

Proof. The first claim follows from Theorem 6.8 by the argument given in [Bartels et al. 2004, Section 6.2]. The second part of the corollary is then evident from the homotopy fiber sequence $\mathbb{T}(G, W, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(G, W, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G, W, X)$. \square

7. The isomorphism conjecture for Dress–Farrell–Hsiang groups

Recall (e.g., from [Lück and Reich 2005, Conjecture 113]) the statement of the isomorphism conjecture for A -theory:

7.1. Conjecture (A -theoretic fibered isomorphism conjecture). Let \mathcal{F} be a family of groups and let G be a countable discrete group. Then for every free G -CW-complex W the assembly map

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{F},W} : \mathbb{H}^G(E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^G(G/G; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(G \setminus W)$$

is a weak equivalence, where $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ is the classifying space of G for the family \mathcal{F} .

Whenever Conjecture 7.1 holds for some group G , we say that G *satisfies the A -theoretic fibered isomorphism conjecture with respect to \mathcal{F}* . For the special case that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{VCyc}$ is the family of virtually cyclic groups, we also say that G *satisfies the A -theoretic fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture*.

Due to Corollary 6.17, the fibered isomorphism conjecture is equivalent to the weak contractibility of the spectra $\mathbb{F}(G, W, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)$ introduced in the previous section. Thus, the A -theoretic isomorphism conjecture becomes accessible via the methods employed in [Bartels et al. 2008b; Bartels and Lück 2012a; 2012b] for the algebraic K -theory and L -theory of group rings. Our goal is to establish an analog of the main result of [Bartels and Lück 2012b].

Let us recall the definition of Dress–Farrell–Hsiang groups.

7.2. Definition. Let D be a finite group. We call D a *Dress group* if there are primes p and q and subgroups $P \trianglelefteq C \trianglelefteq D$ such that P is a p -group, C/P is cyclic and D/C is a q -group.

Recall the definition of the ℓ^1 -metric on a simplicial complex. If X is a simplicial complex and $\xi = \sum_x \xi_x \cdot x$, $\eta = \sum_x \eta_x \cdot x$ are points in X , this metric is given by

$$d^{\ell^1}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_x |\xi_x - \eta_x|.$$

All simplicial complexes we consider are equipped with this metric.

We call a generating set S of a group G *symmetric* if $s \in S$ implies $s^{-1} \in S$.

7.3. Definition. Let G be a group and S be a symmetric, finite generating set of G . Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subgroups of G .

Call (G, S) a *Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to \mathcal{F}* if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an epimorphism $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow F$ onto a finite group F such that the following holds: for every Dress group $D \leq F$, there are a $\bar{D} := \pi^{-1}(D)$ -simplicial complex E_D of dimension at most N whose isotropy groups lie in \mathcal{F} , and a \bar{D} -equivariant map $\varphi_D : G \rightarrow E_D$ such that

$$d^{\ell^1}(\varphi_D(g), \varphi_D(g')) \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever $g^{-1}g' \in S$.

A slightly stricter version of this definition appeared previously in [Winges 2015, Definition 3.1]. The notion of Dress–Farrell–Hsiang groups generalizes that of Farrell–Hsiang groups from [Bartels and Lück 2012b, Definition 1.1; 2014a, Definition 2.14]. For examples, we refer to Section 11 and [Winges 2015].

7.4. Theorem. Let G be a discrete group. Suppose that there are a symmetric, finite generating set $S \subseteq G$ and a family of subgroups \mathcal{F} of G such that (G, S) is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to \mathcal{F} . Then G satisfies the fibered

isomorphism conjecture in A-theory, Conjecture 7.1, with respect to \mathcal{F} , i.e., the assembly map

$$\mathbb{H}^G(E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(G \backslash W)$$

is a weak equivalence for every free G -CW-complex W .

Choosing W to be the universal cover of a given connected CW-complex whose fundamental group is G , Theorem 7.4 implies Theorem 1.5.

Before we can turn to the proof of Theorem 7.4, we need to extend the definition of the obstruction category $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X))$. Recall the definition of the coarse structure $\mathbb{J}(M, X) = (M \times X \times [1, \infty[, \mathfrak{C}(M, X), \mathfrak{S}(M, X))$ from Definition 6.1. Let $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of metric spaces with a free, isometric G -action, and suppose that X is a G -CW-complex. Equip G with a proper, left invariant metric. Then we define a coarse structure

$$\mathbb{J}((M_k)_k, X) = \left(\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k \times X \times [1, \infty[, \mathfrak{C}((M_k)_k, X), \mathfrak{S}((M_k)_k, X) \right)$$

as follows:

- (1) A set C lies in $\mathfrak{C}((M_k)_k, X)$ if it is of the form $C = \coprod_k C_k$ with $C_k \in \mathfrak{C}(M_k, X)$, and it additionally satisfies the following *uniform metric control condition*: there is some $R > 0$ such that for all pairs $((m, x, t), (m', x', t')) \in C$ we have $d(m, m') < R$ (i.e., the bound does not depend on k).
- (2) A set S lies in $\mathfrak{S}((M_k)_k, X)$ if it is of the form $S = \coprod_k S_k$ with $S_k \in \mathfrak{S}(M_k, X)$.

We consider the Waldhausen category $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((M_k)_k, X))$. Note that this is a subcategory of $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(M_k, X))$ in a natural way, and that we therefore typically write objects and morphisms as sequences $(Y_k)_k$ and $(f_k)_k$. Moreover, the category

$$\prod^{\text{fin}} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(M_k, X)) := \text{colim}_l \prod_{k=1}^l \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(M_k, X))$$

of eventually trivial sequences is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((M_k)_k, X))$ and inherits a Waldhausen structure. With this additional notation at our disposal, the proof of Theorem 7.4 proceeds as follows.

Suppose that (G, S) is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to \mathcal{F} . Pick N to be as in Definition 7.3, so that for every $k \geq 1$, there are a finite group F_k , an epimorphism $\pi_k : G \twoheadrightarrow F_k$ and a family of maps $(\varphi_D : G \rightarrow E_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}_k}$ such that

- (1) the space E_D is a $\bar{D} := \pi_k^{-1}(D)$ -simplicial complex of dimension at most N whose stabilizers lie in \mathcal{F} ,
- (2) the map φ_D is \bar{D} -equivariant and $d^{\ell^1}(\varphi_D(g), \varphi_D(g')) \leq 1/k$ whenever $g^{-1}g' \in S$,

where \mathcal{D}_k denotes the family of Dress subgroups of F_k . Then the proof is organized around a sequence of diagrams, indexed over $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \prod^{\text{fin}} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(E_k \times G, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k \times G)_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)) & \xrightarrow{((\varphi_k)_k)_*} & \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((E_k \times G)_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)) \\
 & \searrow P_j \quad \swarrow Q_j & \\
 & \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)) &
 \end{array}$$

$\text{tr} \dashrightarrow$ (dashed arrow from $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k \times G)_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G))$ to $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, E_{\mathcal{F}}G))$)

Define $T_k := \coprod_{D \in \mathcal{D}_k} G/\bar{D}$, equipped with the discrete metric which assigns distance ∞ to any two points which are not equal. Define $E_k := \coprod_{D \in \mathcal{D}_k} G \times_{\bar{D}} E_D$, equipped with the diagonal G -action. Consider the metric $k \cdot d^{\ell^1}$ on E_k . Equip G with the word metric given by S . The products $T_k \times G$ and $E_k \times G$ become metric spaces by summing up the metrics on the two factors.

Define P_j to be the projection functor which takes the inclusion into the full product category, projects onto the j -th component, and then applies the functor induced by the projection $T_j \times G \times E_{\mathcal{F}}G \times [1, \infty[\rightarrow G \times E_{\mathcal{F}}G \times [1, \infty[$. Define Q_j analogously, and let the unlabeled arrow be the canonical inclusion functor.

To show that the K -theory of the obstruction category $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, E_{\mathcal{F}}G))$ is trivial, the following input is required:

- (1) There is a sequence of G -equivariant maps $\varphi_k : T_k \times G \rightarrow E_k \times G$ inducing the functor $((\varphi_k)_k)_*$ such that $Q_j \circ ((\varphi_k)_k)_* = P_j$ for all j . This is Lemma 7.5.
- (2) For each n , there is a *transfer functor*

$$\text{tr} : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k \times G)_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)(n))$$

(the dashed arrow in the above diagram) such that $P_j \circ \text{tr}$ induces the identity in K -theory for all j ; in fact, there is even an appropriate map on nonconnective K -theory, but we do not need to know that. This is covered in Section 9; see Corollary 9.6 in particular.

- (3) The canonical inclusion functor

$$\prod^{\text{fin}} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(E_k \times G, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((E_k \times G)_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G))$$

induces a weak equivalence in nonconnective K -theory. This follows from Theorem 10.1.

Using the fact that $K_{-n}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X))) \cong K_1(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)(n+1)))$ for any G -CW-complex X (Proposition 5.5), a diagram chase shows that $K_n(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X))) = 0$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ under these assumptions; see [Bartels and Lück 2012b, Section 4]. We remark that the only part of the proof which uses the presence of the classifying space $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ is (3); the other two parts still work if we replace $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ with an arbitrary G -CW-complex.

7.5. Lemma (cf. [Bartels and Lück 2012b, Section 7]). *Let X be a G -CW-complex. For each D , the \bar{D} -equivariant map φ_D gives rise to a G -equivariant map*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\varphi}_D : G/\bar{D} \times G &\rightarrow G \times_{\bar{D}} E_D, \\ (\gamma \bar{D}, g) &\mapsto (\gamma, \varphi_D(\gamma^{-1}g)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the equivariant maps

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k : T_k \times G \times X \times [1, \infty[&\rightarrow E_k \times G \times X \times [1, \infty[, \\ (\gamma \bar{D}, g, x, t) &\mapsto (\widetilde{\varphi}_D(\gamma \bar{D}, g), g, x, t) \end{aligned}$$

induce an exact functor

$$((\varphi_k)_k)_* : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k \times G)_k, X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((E_k \times G)_k, X))$$

such that $Q_j \circ ((\varphi_k)_k)_ = P_j$ for all j .*

Proof. If the given maps induce a well-defined functor, this functor has the required property. So we have to check that composing with the maps φ_k preserves the uniform metric control condition. Let k be arbitrary. Suppose that $d_{T_k \times G}((\gamma \bar{D}, g), (\gamma' \bar{D}', g')) < R$. Then $d_G(g, g') < R$ and $d_{T_k}(\gamma \bar{D}, \gamma' \bar{D}') < R$, which implies that $\gamma \bar{D} = \gamma' \bar{D}'$. Hence, $\bar{D} = \bar{D}'$ and there is some $\delta \in \bar{D}$ such that $\gamma' = \gamma \delta$. Moreover, we can find $m < R$ and $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ such that $g' = gs_1 \cdots s_m$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G \times_{\bar{D}} E_D}((\gamma, \varphi_D(\gamma^{-1}g)), (\gamma', \varphi_D(\gamma'^{-1}g'))) &= d_{G \times_{\bar{D}} E_D}((\gamma, \varphi_D(\gamma^{-1}g)), (\gamma \delta, \varphi_D(\delta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}g'))) \\ &= d_{G \times_{\bar{D}} E_D}((\gamma, \varphi_D(\gamma^{-1}g)), (\gamma, \varphi_D(\gamma^{-1}g'))) \\ &= d_{E_D}(\varphi_D(\gamma^{-1}g), \varphi_D(\gamma^{-1}g')) \\ &\leq \frac{m}{k} < \frac{R}{k} \end{aligned}$$

due to the S -equivariance of φ_D up to $1/k$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{E_k \times G}((\widetilde{\varphi}_D(\gamma \bar{D}, g), g), (\widetilde{\varphi}_D(\gamma' \bar{D}', g'), g')) &= d_{E_k \times G}((\widetilde{\varphi}_D(\gamma \bar{D}, g), g), (\widetilde{\varphi}_D(\gamma \bar{D}, g'), g')) \\ &< d_G(g, g') + k \cdot \frac{R}{k} < 2R, \end{aligned}$$

so uniform metric control is preserved. \square

8. The A -theoretic Swan group

In the linear setting, the transfer functors mentioned in the previous section are defined via the action of the *Swan group* of G on the K -theory of the obstruction category. This group arises as the Grothendieck group of the category of integral, finite-rank G -representations, and the action is induced by tensoring such a representation with geometric modules. See [Bartels and Lück 2012b, Section 5].

To establish the existence of a transfer functor, we need a nonlinear analog of this action. For this purpose, recall the notion of *biexact functor* from [Waldhausen 1985, page 342]: If \mathcal{C}_1 , \mathcal{C}_2 and \mathcal{C}_3 are Waldhausen categories, a *biexact functor* is a functor

$$\wedge : \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_3$$

with the following properties:

- (E1) The functor is *exact in the first variable*, i.e., for all $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ the functor $-\wedge A_2 : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_3$ is exact.
- (E2) The functor is *exact in the second variable*, i.e., for all $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ the functor $A_1 \wedge - : \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_3$ is exact.
- (TC) The functor satisfies the “more technical condition” that for every pair of cofibrations $A_1 \rightarrowtail A'_1$ in \mathcal{C}_1 and $A_2 \rightarrowtail A'_2$ in \mathcal{C}_2 , the canonical morphism $(A_1 \wedge A'_2) \cup_{A_1 \wedge A_2} (A'_1 \wedge A_2) \rightarrow A'_1 \wedge A'_2$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{C}_3 .

As explained in [loc. cit.], such a functor induces pairings on homotopy groups

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}_1) \times K_j(\mathcal{C}_2) \rightarrow K_{i+j}(\mathcal{C}_3)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define $\mathcal{Rep}(G)$ to be the category of pointed (right) G -CW-complexes whose underlying CW-complex is finite; the morphisms of this category are those maps which are pointed, equivariant and cellular. This category can be equipped with a Waldhausen structure in which the cofibrations are the morphisms isomorphic to a cellular inclusion, and the weak equivalences are the morphisms which are homotopy equivalences *in the nonequivariant sense*. We denote the subcategory of these weak equivalences by $h\mathcal{Rep}(G)$.

8.1. Definition. Define the *A -theoretic Swan group* $\mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ to be

$$\mathrm{Sw}^A(G) := K_0(\mathcal{Rep}(G), h).$$

Explicitly, $\mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ is generated by h -equivalence classes of objects in $\mathcal{Rep}(G)$, subject to the condition that $[D_0] + [D_2] = [D_1]$ whenever there is a cofibration sequence $D_0 \rightarrowtail D_1 \rightarrowtail D_2$ in $\mathcal{Rep}(G)$. As $-[D] = [\Sigma D]$, every element $s \in \mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ can be written as $s = [D]$ for some object $D \in \mathcal{Rep}(G)$.

We can extend the abelian group structure on $\mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ to a ring structure using the smash product. The proof of the following proposition amounts to a number of well-known facts about the smash product of CW-complexes.

8.2. Proposition. *The functor*

$$\wedge : \mathcal{R}ep(G) \times \mathcal{R}ep(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}ep(G), \quad (D, D') \mapsto D \wedge D'$$

is biexact. The functors $-\wedge S^0$ and $S^0 \wedge -$ are naturally equivalent to the identity functor, and the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}ep(G) \times \mathcal{R}ep(G) \times \mathcal{R}ep(G) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times \wedge} & \mathcal{R}ep(G) \times \mathcal{R}ep(G) \\ \wedge \times \mathrm{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \wedge \\ \mathcal{R}ep(G) \times \mathcal{R}ep(G) & \xrightarrow{\wedge} & \mathcal{R}ep(G) \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural isomorphism.

Thus, $\mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ becomes a ring under the product $[D] \cdot [D'] := [D \wedge D']$. The main point about $\mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ is that it admits an action on A -theory. Suppose that $(Y \rightrightarrows W, \kappa)$ is a labeled G -CW-complex and retractive space relative W ; let $s : W \rightarrow Y$ be the structural inclusion and $r : Y \rightarrow W$ be the structural retraction. Then we can form the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (* \times Y) \cup (D \times W) & \xrightarrow{r \cup \mathrm{pr}_W} & W \\ \mathrm{inc} \cup (\mathrm{id} \times s) \downarrow & & \downarrow D \wedge_W s \\ D \times Y & \longrightarrow & D \wedge_W Y \end{array}$$

to obtain a G -CW-complex under W . We equip the product $D \times Y$ with the diagonal action $g \cdot (d, y) := (dg^{-1}, gy)$. By the universal property of the pushout, every map of retractive spaces $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ induces a map $D \wedge_W f : D \wedge_W Y_1 \rightarrow D \wedge_W Y_2$. In particular, we can equip $D \wedge_W Y$ with a structural retraction

$$D \wedge_W r : D \wedge_W Y \rightarrow D \wedge_W W \cong W.$$

Regarding D as a G -CW-complex relative to the basepoint, we let $\diamond D$ denote the set of relative cells in D . Then $D \wedge_W Y$ becomes a labeled G -CW-complex via the control map

$$D \wedge_W \kappa : \diamond(D \wedge_W Y) \cong \diamond D \times \diamond Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} \diamond Y \xrightarrow{\kappa} Z.$$

Our goal is to show that this pairing defines a biexact functor. To do this, we need the controlled version of a well-known statement about homotopy equivalences of free G -CW-complexes.

Let \mathfrak{Z} be a coarse structure and consider the category of controlled retractive spaces $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Observe that we have a notion of control for nonequivariant maps between labeled G -CW-complexes.

8.3. Lemma. *Let Y_1 and Y_2 be objects in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. Suppose $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ such that there are a nonequivariant controlled map $\bar{g} : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ as well as nonequivariant controlled homotopies $\bar{H} : \text{id}_{Y_1} \simeq_{\mathfrak{Z}} \bar{g}f$ and $\bar{K} : \text{id}_{Y_2} \simeq_{\mathfrak{Z}} f\bar{g}$.*

Then f is an h -equivalence, i.e., there are a G -equivariant, controlled inverse g and G -equivariant, controlled homotopies $gf \simeq \text{id}_{Y_1}$ and $fg \simeq \text{id}_{Y_2}$.

Proof. The proof works as in the uncontrolled case; cf. [tom Dieck 1987, Proposition II.2.7]. \square

8.4. Proposition. *The smash product \wedge_W over W induces a biexact functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge_W : \text{Rep}(G) \times \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), \\ (D, (Y \hookrightarrow W, \kappa)) &\mapsto (D \wedge_W Y \hookrightarrow W, D \wedge_W \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

which preserves the property of being finite.

The functor $S^0 \wedge_W -$ is naturally equivalent to the identity functor, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G) \times \text{Rep}(G) \times \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\wedge \times \text{id}} & \text{Rep}(G) \times \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \\ \text{id} \times \wedge_W \downarrow & & \downarrow \wedge_W \\ \text{Rep}(G) \times \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\wedge_W} & \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}) \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural isomorphism.

Proof. Observe that $D \wedge_W Y$ contains only free G -cells because Y is assumed to be free (relative W). Every cell (e_D, e_Y) of $D \wedge_W Y$ is labeled by the same point in Z as e_Y , so it is immediate that the support and control conditions are preserved by \wedge_W . Moreover, for any subset $A \subseteq Z$ we have $(D \wedge_W \kappa)^{-1}(A) = \diamond D \times \kappa^{-1}(A)$; since D is finite, $D \wedge_W Y$ lies in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ whenever Y is an object in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$.

Let us turn to exactness in the first variable. Fix $Y \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$. We have $* \wedge_W Y = W$. Let $i : D \rightarrow D'$ be a cofibration in $\text{Rep}(G)$. Then

$$i \wedge_W Y : D \wedge_W Y \rightarrow D' \wedge_W Y$$

is also a cofibration, because the same holds for cellular inclusions.

Suppose that D is the pushout of $D_2 \leftarrow D_0 \rightarrow D_1$ in $\text{Rep}(G)$. Then $D \times Y$ is also the pushout of $(D_2 \leftarrow D_0 \rightarrow D_1) \times Y$, and similarly for $(* \times Y) \cup (D \times W)$. Since pushouts commute with each other, we see that $D \wedge_W Y$ is also the pushout of $(D_2 \wedge_W Y) \leftarrow (D_0 \wedge_W Y) \rightarrow (D_1 \wedge_W Y)$.

The interesting part of the argument is to show that $- \wedge_W Y$ preserves h -equivalences. Suppose that $\delta : D \xrightarrow{\sim} D'$ is a weak equivalence, i.e., there is a nonequivariant map $\bar{\delta} : D' \rightarrow D$ such that $\delta\bar{\delta}$ and $\bar{\delta}\delta$ are (nonequivariantly) homotopic to the identity map. Taking smash products with id_Y and the constant homotopy on Y , we observe that $\delta \wedge_W Y$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ which is an h -equivalence in $\mathcal{R}(W, \mathfrak{Z})$, i.e., upon forgetting all G -actions. By Lemma 8.3, $\delta \wedge_W Y$ is an h -equivalence in $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$.

Exactness in the second variable is similar, but easier. To show condition (TC), one has to show that for $D' \in \mathcal{R}ep(G)$, $Y' \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z})$ and subcomplexes $D \subseteq D'$ and $Y \subseteq Y'$, the complex $(D \wedge_W Y') \cup (D' \wedge_W Y)$ is naturally a subcomplex of $D' \wedge_W Y'$, which is the case.

Finally, $S^0 \wedge_W Y \cong Y$, and associativity of the pairing follows again from the fact that pushouts commute with each other. \square

As explained at the beginning of this section, the biexact functor $- \wedge_W -$ from Proposition 8.4 turns $K_i(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$ and $K_i(\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h)$ into $\text{Sw}^A(G)$ -modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (using that $K_{-i}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}), h) := K_1(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathfrak{Z}(i+1), h))$ for $i \geq 0$).

8.5. Remark. Let us digress for a moment to outline the connection between the pairing induced by the biexact functor \wedge_W and bivariant A -theory [Williams 2000, Section 4] (see also [Raptis and Steimle 2014, Section 3]). For the purpose of this remark, we relax the definition of retractive spaces to allow for spaces which are not CW-complexes.

Let $p : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ be a fibration. Then the category $\mathcal{R}(p)$ consists of those retractive spaces (Y, r, s) over V_1 such that the composition $p \circ r$ is a fibration, and for every $v \in V_2$ the (homotopy) fiber $\mathcal{F}_v(p \circ r)$ of $p \circ r$ at v is finitely dominated in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_v(p))$. Note that $\mathcal{R}(V \rightarrow *)$ is simply the category of (finitely dominated) retractive spaces over V .

For two composable fibrations $p : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and $q : V_2 \rightarrow V_3$, there is defined an exact functor

$$\mathcal{R}(q) \times \mathcal{R}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(q \circ p)$$

given on objects by first pulling back along p , then taking the external smash product and finally pulling back once more along the diagonal map $\Delta : V_1 \rightarrow V_1 \times V_1$.

Let W be a free G -CW-complex (or more generally, a principal G -bundle). Let $V := * \times_G W = G \backslash W$ denote the quotient. Taking quotients with respect to the G -action defines a functor $\mathcal{R}_{fd}^G(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{fd}(V) = \mathcal{R}(V \rightarrow *)$ which induces an equivalence in K -theory [Waldhausen 1985, Lemma 2.1.3].

Moreover, there exists an exact functor $F : \mathcal{R}ep(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(V \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V)$ sending D to $D \times_G W$; since D comes equipped with a base point, there is an induced section to the canonical retraction map $D \times_G W \rightarrow * \times_G W = V$.

Combining these functors, we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}ep(G) \times \mathcal{R}^G(W) & \xrightarrow{\wedge_W} & \mathcal{R}^G(W) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{id}_V) \times \mathcal{R}(* \times_G W \rightarrow *) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}(V \rightarrow *) \end{array}$$

commutative up to natural isomorphism, which relates the action of $\mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ on A -theory to the bivariant theory.

In fact, Malkiewich and Merling [2016, Proposition 3.7] have shown that the functor F induces an equivalence in K -theory for $W = EG$; they have the standing assumption that the group G is finite, but this specific part of the argument works for arbitrary discrete groups. Hence, the action of $\mathrm{Sw}^A(G)$ on $A(BG)$ coincides with that of the “upside-down- A -theory” of BG .

This ends the digression.

We need to consider functoriality of Sw^A in G to some extent. For any group homomorphism $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$, restriction defines an exact functor

$$\mathrm{res}_\varphi : \mathcal{R}ep(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}ep(H), \quad D \mapsto \mathrm{res}_\varphi D.$$

If H is a subgroup of G and $[G : H] < \infty$, we can also define an exact induction functor

$$\mathrm{ind}_H^G : \mathcal{R}ep(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}ep(G), \quad D \mapsto D \wedge_H (G_+).$$

Note that this does not preserve the unit object S^0 ; in fact, $\mathrm{ind}_H^G S^0 = (H \backslash G)_+$.

We also consider the case of A -theory. Abbreviate the category $\mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{B}(G)(n))$ by $\mathcal{R}^G(W, n)$. There we have for an arbitrary subgroup $H \leq G$ an induction functor

$$\mathrm{ind}_H^G : \mathcal{R}^H(\mathrm{res}_G^H W, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^G(W, n), \quad (Y, \kappa) \mapsto (\mathrm{ind}_H^G Y, \mathrm{ind}_H^G \kappa),$$

where $\mathrm{ind}_H^G Y$ is defined as the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_H (\mathrm{res}_G^H W) & \xrightarrow{(g, w) \mapsto g \cdot w} & W \\ G \times_H s \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{ind}_H^G s \\ G \times_H Y & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ind}_H^G Y \end{array}$$

and the control map $\mathrm{ind}_H^G \kappa$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ind}_H^G \kappa : \diamond(\mathrm{ind}_H^G Y) = G \times_H (\diamond Y) &\rightarrow G \times_H (\mathbb{R}^n \times H) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times G, \\ (g, e) &\mapsto (\kappa(e), g). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $[G : H] < \infty$. Choose a set-theoretic section σ to the projection map $G \rightarrow H \backslash G$ which satisfies $\sigma(H) = 1$. Then σ induces an H -equivariant map

$p_\sigma : G \rightarrow H$, $g \mapsto g\sigma(Hg)^{-1}$ which defines a morphism of coarse structures $\mathfrak{B}(G)(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(H)(n)$; we retain bounded control because $H \setminus G$ is finite. Then define an exact functor

$$\text{res}_G^H : \mathcal{R}^G(W, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^H(\text{res}_G^H W, n), \quad (Y, \kappa) \mapsto (\text{res}_G^H Y, (\mathbb{R}^n \times p_\sigma) \circ \kappa).$$

Note that this functor also preserves finiteness. The functor res_G^H depends on the choice of σ , but a different choice of σ yields a naturally isomorphic functor. Hence, we suppress σ in what follows.

The restriction and induction functors are related in the expected way:

8.6. Lemma (Frobenius reciprocity). *Let G be a group and let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of finite index. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_H^G(s) \cdot t &= \text{ind}_H^G(s \cdot \text{res}_G^H t), \\ \text{ind}_H^G(s) \cdot a &= \text{ind}_H^G(s \cdot \text{res}_G^H a) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \text{Sw}^A(H)$, $t \in \text{Sw}^A(G)$ and $a \in K_i(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, n))$. More precisely, there are natural equivalences

$$\wedge \circ (\text{ind}_H^G \times \text{id}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{ind}_H^G \circ \wedge \circ (\text{id} \times \text{res}_G^H) : \mathcal{R}ep(H) \times \mathcal{R}ep(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}ep(G)$$

and

$$\wedge_W \circ (\text{ind}_H^G \times \text{id}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{ind}_H^G \circ \wedge_W \circ (\text{id} \times \text{res}_G^H) : \mathcal{R}ep(H) \times \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{I}).$$

Proof. Let $D \in \mathcal{R}ep(H)$ and $D' \in \mathcal{R}ep(G)$. Then the first equivalence is implemented by the G -equivariant homeomorphism

$$(D \wedge_H G_+) \wedge D' \xrightarrow{\cong} (D \wedge \text{res}_G^H D') \wedge_H G_+, \quad ((d, g), d') \mapsto ((d, d'g^{-1}), g).$$

For $D \in \mathcal{R}ep(H)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{R}^G(W, \mathfrak{I})$, the G -equivariant homeomorphism

$$(D \wedge_H G_+) \wedge_W Y \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{ind}_H^G(D \wedge_{\text{res}_G^H W} \text{res}_G^H Y), \quad ((d, g), y) \mapsto (g^{-1}, (d, gy))$$

yields the second equivalence. \square

8.7. Theorem. *Let G be a finite group. The homomorphism*

$$\sum_H \text{ind}_H^G : \bigoplus_{H \leq G \text{ Dress}} \text{Sw}^A(H) \rightarrow \text{Sw}^A(G)$$

is a surjection.

Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity, it suffices to show that $1_G = [S^0]$ lies in the image of the homomorphism. Since we can filter $D \in \mathcal{R}ep(G)$ by its skeleta and suspension of objects corresponds to taking inverses in K_0 , the class of D equals its (equivariant) Euler characteristic. Hence, if $[S^0] = [D_+]$ for some finite G -CW-complex D which has no G -fixed-point, then $[S^0]$ is a sum of elements which are induced from proper subgroups.

If G is not a Dress group, then G acts on a finite, contractible CW-complex D without G -fixed points by a theorem of Oliver [1975]. Then $[D_+] = [S^0]$ in $\text{Sw}^A(G)$. The claim follows by induction. \square

8.8. Remark. For the sake of completeness, note that Oliver’s theorem [1975] even says that a finite group acts without a global fixed-point on a finite, contractible CW-complex if and only if the group is not Dress.

One can do slightly better than the induction argument in the proof of Theorem 8.7. As shown in [Winges 2015, Corollary 2.10], Oliver’s theorem implies the existence of a finite, contractible G -CW-complex, all of whose stabilizers are Dress groups.

8.9. Corollary. *Let G be a finite group, and let W be a G -CW-complex. Then the homomorphism*

$$\sum_H \text{ind}_H^G : \bigoplus_{H \leq G \text{ Dress}} K_i(\mathcal{R}_f^H(\text{res}_G^H W, n)) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, n))$$

is surjective for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 8.6 and Theorem 8.7. \square

We are also able to describe the kernel of the surjection in Corollary 8.9 once we have proven Theorem 7.4 (see Theorem 11.1). As a second application, we obtain a variant of Swan’s induction theorem [1960, Corollary 4.2]. Recall that the Swan group $\text{Sw}(G)$ is the Grothendieck group of integral, finite-rank G -representations.

8.10. Corollary. *Let G be a finite group. Then the unit element $1_G = [\mathbb{Z}] \in \text{Sw}(G)$ can be written as a sum of permutation modules*

$$1_G = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \cdot [\mathbb{Z}[G/H_i]],$$

where each H_i is a Dress group and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We define a linearization homomorphism

$$\text{Sw}^A(G) \rightarrow \text{Sw}(G), \quad [D] \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k [\tilde{C}_k(D)],$$

where \tilde{C}_* denotes the reduced cellular chain complex. This is a well-defined ring homomorphism. Then the claim follows from the proof of Theorem 8.7. \square

Corollary 8.10 differs from Swan’s theorem [1960, Corollary 4.2] in that we obtain a description of 1_G in terms of permutation modules instead of arbitrary representations, at the expense of considering a larger family of subgroups.

9. The transfer functor

We proceed to construct the transfer functors tr from Section 7. This uses the action of Sw^A induced by “ \wedge_W ” on A -theory from the previous section. The proof proceeds as in [Bartels and Lück 2012b, Section 6].

Let G be a countable discrete group and X a G -CW-complex. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow Q$ be a surjective group homomorphism, and let $H \leq Q$ be a subgroup of finite index. Then we define a biexact functor

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\pi, H} : \mathcal{R}ep(H) \times \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)), \\ (D, (Y, \kappa)) &\mapsto \mathrm{res}_\pi(\mathrm{ind}_H^Q D) \wedge_W (Y, \kappa). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the coarse structure $\mathbb{J}(X) = \mathbb{J}(G, X)$ from Definition 6.1. Let $\bar{H} := \pi^{-1}(H)$, and equip $G/\bar{H} \times G$ with the metric

$$d_{G, \bar{H}}((\gamma_1 \bar{H}, g_1), (\gamma_2 \bar{H}, g_2)) := \begin{cases} d_G(g_1, g_2), & \gamma_1 \bar{H} = \gamma_2 \bar{H}, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, we define another functor

$$\hat{T}_{\pi, H} : \mathcal{R}ep(H) \times \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/\bar{H} \times G, X))$$

which lifts $T_{\pi, H}$ along the projection functor induced by $G/\bar{H} \times G \rightarrow G$. To do so, we equip $\mathrm{res}_\pi(\mathrm{ind}_H^Q D) \wedge_W Y$ with a different control map whose definition we give next.

The unique map $\diamond D \rightarrow H \backslash H$ induces a Q -equivariant function $\diamond(\mathrm{ind}_H^Q D) = (\diamond D) \times_H Q \rightarrow H \backslash Q$. Restricting the Q -actions along π , we obtain a G -equivariant function $c'_D : \diamond(\mathrm{res}_\pi \mathrm{ind}_H^Q D) \rightarrow \bar{H} \backslash G$. Regarding source and target as left G -sets by letting g act via g^{-1} on the right, we obtain a map of left G -sets. Moreover, we can identify $\bar{H} \backslash G$ with its left G -action with G/\bar{H} . Then we regard c'_D as a G -equivariant function of left G -sets

$$c_D : \diamond(\mathrm{res}_\pi \mathrm{ind}_H^Q D) \rightarrow G/\bar{H}.$$

For $D \in \mathcal{R}ep(H)$ and $(Y, \kappa) \in \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, X))$, define

$$\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(Y) := \mathrm{res}_\pi(\mathrm{ind}_H^Q D) \wedge_W Y$$

and its control map

$$\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(\kappa) : \hat{T}_{\pi, H}(Y) \cong \diamond(\mathrm{res}_\pi \mathrm{ind}_H^Q D) \times \diamond Y \xrightarrow{c_D \times \kappa} G/\bar{H} \times G \times X \times [1, \infty[.$$

On morphisms, we set $\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(\delta, f) := \mathrm{res}_\pi(\mathrm{ind}_H^Q \delta) \wedge_W f$.

9.1. Remark. In this section and the next, we need to pay special attention to the behavior of morphisms over the metric space M appearing in the control space $M \times X \times [1, \infty[$ underlying $\mathbb{J}(M, X)$. We call a morphism f *R-controlled* if there

exists a morphism control condition C such that f is C -controlled and $d_M(m, m') \leq R$ for all $((m, x, t), (m', x', t')) \in C$.

9.2. Lemma. *This defines a biexact functor*

$$\hat{T}_{\pi, H} : \mathcal{R}ep(H) \times \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/\bar{H} \times G, X))$$

with the following properties:

- (1) If f is a morphism in $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, X))$ which is R -controlled over G and δ is any morphism in $\mathcal{R}ep(H)$, then $\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(\delta, f)$ is R -controlled over $G/\bar{H} \times G$.
- (2) Let $P : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G/\bar{H} \times G, X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(G, X))$ denote the canonical projection functor. Then $P \circ \hat{T}_{\pi, H} = T_{\pi, H}$.

Proof. Let $\delta : D \rightarrow D'$ be an arbitrary morphism in $\mathcal{R}ep(H)$. Then the induced morphism $\text{ind}_H^G \delta = \delta \wedge_H Q_+$ has the property that any cell $(e, q) \in \diamond D \times_H Q$ is mapped to $D \wedge_H (Hq)_+ \subseteq D \wedge_H Q_+$. It follows that $\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(\delta, f)$ is 0-controlled over G/\bar{H} . Since the control map of $\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(D, Y)$ is defined as a product, $\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(\delta, f)$ is R -controlled if f is R -controlled. In particular, $\hat{T}_{\pi, H}$ is well-defined.

The equality $P \circ \hat{T}_{\pi, H} = T_{\pi, H}$ is obvious. \square

9.3. Proposition. *Let G be a countable discrete group and let $\pi : G \rightarrow F$ be a surjective group homomorphism onto a finite group F . Suppose that G is equipped with a proper, left invariant metric. Let \mathcal{D} denote the family of Dress subgroups of F . Define $M := \coprod_{H \in \mathcal{D}} G/\bar{H} \times G$; we equip $G/\bar{H} \times G$ with the metric in which different summands are infinitely far apart, and where each summand carries the metric $d_{G, \bar{H}}$.*

Then there is an exact functor $\text{tr}_\pi : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(M, X))$ with the following properties:

- (1) *If f is a morphism which is R -controlled over G , then $\text{tr}_\pi(f)$ is R -controlled over M .*
- (2) *Let $P : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(M, X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X))$ denote the functor induced by the projection map $M \rightarrow G$. Then $P \circ \text{tr}_\pi$ induces the identity map on K -groups.*

Proof. Using Theorem 8.7, we can find a sequence $(D_H)_{H \in \mathcal{D}}$ with $D_H \in \mathcal{R}ep(H)$ such that

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{D}} [\text{ind}_H^F D_H] = 1_F \in \text{Sw}^A(F). \quad (9.4)$$

Define the transfer by

$$\text{tr}_\pi(Y, \kappa) := \bigvee_{H \in \mathcal{D}} \hat{T}_{\pi, H}(D_H, (Y, \kappa)),$$

where we regard $\hat{T}_{\pi, H}(D_H, (Y, \kappa))$ as an object over $M \times X \times [1, \infty[$ via the natural inclusion $G/\bar{H} \times G \times X \times [1, \infty[\subseteq M \times X \times [1, \infty[$. Similarly, we set $\text{tr}_\pi(f) := \bigvee_H \hat{T}_{\pi, H}(\text{id}_{D_H}, f)$ for morphisms.

As a consequence of Lemma 9.2(1), this functor preserves R -controlled morphisms. Moreover, we have

$$P \circ \text{tr}_\pi = P \circ \left(\bigvee_{H \in \mathcal{D}} \hat{T}_{\pi, H}(D_H, -) \right) \cong \bigvee_{H \in \mathcal{D}} P \circ \hat{T}_{\pi, H}(D_H, -) = \bigvee_{H \in \mathcal{D}} T_{\pi, H}(D_H, -).$$

Using the action of $\text{Sw}^A(G)$ on $K_i(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)))$ and the identity (9.4), we conclude that for $a \in K_i(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)))$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_i(P \circ \text{tr}_\pi)(a) &= \sum_{H \in \mathcal{D}} [\text{res}_\pi(\text{ind}_H^F D_H)] \cdot a = \left(\sum_{H \in \mathcal{D}} [\text{res}_\pi(\text{ind}_H^F D_H)] \right) \cdot a \\ &= \text{res}_\pi \left(\sum_{H \in \mathcal{D}} [\text{ind}_H^F D_H] \right) \cdot a = \text{res}_\pi(1_F) \cdot a \\ &= 1_G \cdot a = a, \end{aligned}$$

so $P \circ \text{tr}_\pi$ induces the identity map as claimed. \square

9.5. Corollary. *Let G be a countable discrete group. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\pi_k : G \twoheadrightarrow F_k$ be an epimorphism onto a finite group. Let \mathcal{D}_k be the family of Dress subgroups of F_k , and define $T_k := \coprod_{H \in \mathcal{D}_k} G/\bar{H} \times G$. Recall the definition of the coarse structure $\mathbb{J}((T_k)_k, X)$ from Section 7.*

Then there is an exact functor

$$\text{tr} : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k)_k, X))$$

such that each composition $P_k \circ \text{tr}$ of tr with the functor

$$P_k : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k)_k, X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X))$$

from Section 7 induces the identity on K -groups.

Proof. Define $\text{tr} := (\text{tr}_{\pi_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and use Proposition 9.3. \square

9.6. Corollary. *Assume we are in the same situation as in Corollary 9.5. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an exact functor*

$$\text{tr} : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k)_k, X)(n))$$

such that each composition $P_k \circ \text{tr}$ of tr with the functor

$$P_k : \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((T_k)_k, X)(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)(n))$$

from Section 7 induces the identity on K -groups.

Proof. The definitions above generalize to $\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(X)(n))$. The statements follow from the case $n = 0$ because the \mathbb{R}^n -coordinate remains untouched. \square

Corollary 9.6 even provides us with a sequence of functors which induces a map on nonconnective algebraic K -theory spectra. This map splits the map induced by each functor P_k up to homotopy.

10. The “squeezing” theorem

The main result of this section is the following analog of [Bartels et al. 2008b, Theorem 7.2], which is the final ingredient for the proof of Theorem 7.4. We freely use the notation from Section 7. Recall also Remark 9.1.

10.1. Theorem (squeezing theorem). *Let G be a countable discrete group, and let \mathcal{F} be a family of subgroups of G . Let $(E_k)_k$ be a sequence of G -simplicial complexes whose isotropy lies in \mathcal{F} . Suppose that there is some N such that the dimension of E_k is at most N for all k . Equip E_k with the metric $k \cdot d^{\ell^1}$. Then the inclusion functor induces a weak equivalence*

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}\left(\prod^{\text{fin}} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(E_k \times G, E_{\mathcal{F}}G))\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((E_k \times G)_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G))).$$

For the purposes of this section, abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((M_k)_k) &:= \prod^{\text{fin}} \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}(M_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)), \\ \mathcal{B}((M_k)_k) &:= \mathcal{R}_f^G(W, \mathbb{J}((M_k)_k, E_{\mathcal{F}}G)) \end{aligned}$$

for any sequence $(M_k)_k$ of metric spaces with free, isometric G -action (in our case $M_k = E_k \times G$). Observe that $\mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((M_k)_k)$ can be described as the full subcategory of objects in $\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)$ with support on a finite disjoint union.

Let $Y = (Y_k)_k$ be an object in $\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)$. For $K \in \mathbb{N}$, define $(Y_k)_{k>K}$ to be the sequence $(X_k)_k$ with $X_k = *$ for $k \leq K$ and $X_k = Y_k$ for $k > K$. Define $h^{\text{fin}}\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)$ to be the category of those morphisms $f = (f_k)_k : (Y_k^1)_k \rightarrow (Y_k^2)_k$ for which there is some $K > 0$ such that the induced morphism $(f_k)_{k>K} : (Y_k^1)_{k>K} \rightarrow (Y_k^2)_{k>K}$ is an h -equivalence in $\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)$. Note that this is a stronger condition than requiring f_k to be a controlled homotopy equivalence for all $k > K$. Using the modified fibration theorem (Proposition 4.14), there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$hS_{\bullet}\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)^{h^{\text{fin}}} \rightarrow hS_{\bullet}\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k) \rightarrow h^{\text{fin}}S_{\bullet}\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k).$$

It is straightforward to check that this homotopy fiber sequence can be delooped, and that the approximation theorem applies to the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((M_k)_k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)^{h^{\text{fin}}}$. We conclude that there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((M_k)_k), h) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h^{\text{fin}}). \quad (10.2)$$

Consequently, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}})$ is weakly contractible in order to prove Theorem 10.1. As in [Bartels et al. 2008b], the proof is by induction on N .

10.3. Remark. Before we start with the actual proof, let us provide some intuition why Theorem 10.1 holds true. We consider in Lemma 10.4 the case that each E_k is a disjoint union of equivariant simplices in which different simplices are infinitely far apart. In this case, the desired vanishing result is easily obtained since we can define an Eilenberg swindle by contracting each simplex to a point. This provides almost the start of the induction. However, in the situation considered in Theorem 10.1 different simplices in E_k are only distance $2k$ apart from each other. The basic observation is that this is ultimately the same as considering different simplices to have distance ∞ : since the notion of h^{fin} -equivalence allows us to ignore finitely many E_k and each morphism has a uniform control bound, morphisms cannot propagate between different simplices for sufficiently large k . Similarly, objects are forced to decompose over the various simplices provided k is large enough. This observation is formalized in an application of the approximation theorem; see Corollary 10.5.

To perform the induction step, we need to show that $(\mathcal{B}((E_k)_k), h^{\text{fin}})$ is sufficiently excisive after taking K -theory; see Lemma 10.7. The proof of Lemma 10.7 involves technicalities similar to the ones encountered in showing Corollary 10.5. Morally, excision is accomplished since bounded neighborhoods of the N -skeleton in the $(N + 1)$ -skeleton become arbitrarily small as k grows, due to the fact that we blow up the ℓ^1 -metric as k becomes larger, and since we are allowed to ignore finitely many components. Consequently, cells which are labeled by points sufficiently “deep” in a simplex can only be attached to cells which are based on the same simplex; i.e., ignoring finitely many of the E_k and modulo neighborhoods of the N -skeleton, each object decomposes disjointly over the $(N + 1)$ -simplices.

We turn now to the actual proof of Theorem 10.1.

10.4. Lemma. *Suppose that $(\Delta_k)_k$ is a sequence of G -simplicial complexes of the form*

$$\Delta_k = \coprod_{i \in I_k} G/H_i \times \Delta^N$$

such that $H_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for all i . Equip Δ_k with the metric which assigns distance ∞ to points in different path components, and equals $k \cdot d^{\ell^1}$ for points on the same simplex. Then

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((\Delta_k \times G)_k), h), \quad \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k), h), \quad \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}})$$

are all weakly contractible.

Proof. It is shown in [Bartels et al. 2008b, proof of Proposition 7.4] that there is a sequence of maps on the underlying control spaces such that Theorem 5.11 applies. \square

10.5. Corollary. *Theorem 10.1 holds for $N = 0$.*

Proof. Since each E_k is 0-dimensional, it is a disjoint union of transitive G -sets, $E_k = \coprod_{i \in I_k} G/H_i$ with $H_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Define Δ_k to be the simplicial complex E_k , equipped with the metric from Lemma 10.4. There is an exact functor

$$F : \mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k).$$

We claim that this functor induces a weak equivalence

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(F) : \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}}).$$

Obviously, F maps h^{fin} -equivalences to h^{fin} -equivalences. We claim that F satisfies the approximation property.

Let $f : (Y_k^1)_k \rightarrow (Y_k^2)_k$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k)_k)$ such that $F(f)$ is an h^{fin} -equivalence. Since we require uniform metric control, there is some $K > 0$ such that $(f_k)_{k > K}$ is an h -equivalence which is 0-controlled over $(E_k)_k$. We can assume that $(f_k)_{k > K}$ has an inverse which is 0-controlled over $(E_k)_k$, and that we can find homotopies between the compositions which are 0-controlled over $(E_k)_k$ as well. Hence, f is also an h^{fin} -equivalence in $\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$.

For the second part of the approximation property, let $Y^1 = (Y_k^1)_k \in \mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$, $Y^2 = (Y_k^2)_k \in \mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k)$, and let $f = (f_k)_k : F((Y_k^1)_k) \rightarrow (Y_k^2)_k$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k)$. Then there is some $K > 0$ such that $(Y_k^2)_{k > K}$ and $(f_k)_{k > K}$ are 0-controlled over $(E_k)_k$. Define $Y = (Y_k)_k$ via $Y_k := Y_k^1$ for $k \leq K$ and $Y_k := Y_k^2$ for $k > K$. Then f factors canonically as $Y^1 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y^2$, where the first morphism is 0-controlled over $(E_k)_k$ and the latter morphism is an h^{fin} -equivalence. Since Y is also 0-controlled over $(E_k)_k$, this proves the approximation property.

Hence, $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(F)$ is a weak equivalence by the approximation theorem. The claim follows from Lemma 10.4. \square

Suppose now that Theorem 10.1 holds for N , and let $(E_k)_k$ be a sequence of G -simplicial complexes of dimension at most $N + 1$. Consider for each k the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{i \in I_k^N} G/H_i \times \partial \Delta^{N+1} & \longrightarrow & \text{sk}_N E_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{i \in I_k^N} G/H_i \times \Delta^{N+1} & \longrightarrow & E_k \end{array} \quad (10.6)$$

describing the attachment of the $(N + 1)$ -simplices of E_k .

10.7. Lemma. *Let $N \geq 0$. The commutative square of nonconnective K -theory spectra*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((\coprod_{i \in I_k^N} G/H_i \times \partial \Delta^{N+1} \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((\text{sk}_N E_k \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((\coprod_{i \in I_k^N} G/H_i \times \Delta^{N+1} \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) \end{array}$$

induced by diagram (10.6) is a homotopy pullback square of spectra.

Lemma 10.7 provides the induction step: The top left and top right corners of the square from Lemma 10.7 are weakly contractible by the induction hypothesis. The bottom left corner is weakly contractible by Lemma 10.4. Hence, the bottom right corner is also weakly contractible, and Theorem 10.1 follows.

In the rest of this section, we prove Lemma 10.7.

10.8. Lemma. *Let $(M_k)_k$ be a sequence of metric spaces with free, isometric G -action, and let $X_k \subseteq M_k$ be G -invariant, closed subspaces.*

Define $X := \coprod_k X_k \times G \times E_{\mathcal{F}}G \times [1, \infty[$. Let $h^X \mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)$ be the subcategory of controlled homotopy equivalences away from X . Let $h^{X, \text{fin}} \mathcal{B}((M_k)_k)$ denote the subcategory of those morphisms $f : (Y_k^1)_k \rightarrow (Y_k^2)_k$ for which there is some $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the induced morphism $(f_k)_{k > K} : (Y_k^1)_{k > K} \rightarrow (Y_k^2)_{k > K}$ is an h^X -equivalence.

Then there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((X_k)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h^{X, \text{fin}}).$$

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((X_k)_k), h) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((X_k)_k), h) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((X_k)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((M_k)_k), h) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h^{\text{fin}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{fin}}((M_k)_k), h^X) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h^X) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((M_k)_k), h^{X, \text{fin}}) \end{array}$$

in which all maps are induced by the appropriate inclusion functors. The left and middle columns are homotopy fiber sequences by Theorem 5.9. The top and middle rows are instances of the homotopy fiber sequence (10.2). By a straightforward modification of the argument for (10.2), the bottom row is also a homotopy fiber sequence. Hence, the right column is a homotopy fiber sequence as claimed. \square

Proof of Lemma 10.7. Let $\Delta_k := \coprod_{i \in I_k^N} G/H_i \times \Delta^{N+1}$, equipped with the metric from Lemma 10.4, and $\partial\Delta_k := \coprod_{i \in I_k^N} G/H_i \times \partial\Delta^{N+1}$. The inclusion of metric spaces $\partial\Delta_k \times G \subseteq \Delta_k \times G$ gives rise to a class of weak equivalences $h^{\partial, \text{fin}} \mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$ and to a corresponding homotopy fiber sequence as in Lemma 10.8. Similarly, $\text{sk}_N E_k \times G \subseteq E_k \times G$ gives rise to a class of weak equivalences $h^{N, \text{fin}} \mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k)$ and a corresponding homotopy fiber sequence.

Diagram (10.6) induces a map between these homotopy fiber sequences. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the induced map on the homotopy cofibers

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k), h^{\partial, \text{fin}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k), h^{N, \text{fin}})$$

is a weak equivalence. Note that this map is induced by an exact functor F , namely the one induced by the characteristic maps of the $(N + 1)$ -simplices. The claim is that the approximation theorem applies again, but as for Corollary 10.5, we have to prove both parts of the approximation property.

We start with a preliminary observation. Let (Y^1, κ^1) and (Y^2, κ^2) be objects in $\mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k)$, and let $f : Y^1 \rightarrow Y^2$ be a morphism. Suppose that f is R -controlled, and let e be a cell in Y_k^1 such that the E_k -component x of $\kappa^1(e)$ is a point in an $(N + 1)$ -simplex σ . Let e' be a cell in Y_k^2 with $e' \subseteq \langle f(e) \rangle$, and suppose that the E_k -component y of $\kappa^2(e')$ does not lie in σ . Then $kd^{\ell^1}(x, y) \leq R$. According to [Bartels et al. 2008b, Lemma 7.15], there is a point z on the boundary of σ such that $kd^{\ell^1}(x, z) \leq 2R$. Hence, if the distance of x to the boundary of σ is greater than $2R$, then for every cell e' in Y_k^2 with $e' \subseteq \langle f(e) \rangle$, the E_k -component of $\kappa^2(e')$ also lies in σ .

Let us now turn to the first part of the approximation property. Let $f : Y^1 \rightarrow Y^2$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$ such that $F(f)$ is an $h^{N, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence. Choose $R > 0$ such that Y^1 , Y^2 and f are all R -controlled, and further, such that $F(f)$ has a (partially defined) homotopy inverse and homotopies which are also R -controlled. Let $Y_k^1(6R)$ be the subobject of Y_k^1 spanned by those cells $e \in \diamond Y_k^1$ such that the Δ_k -component of $\kappa^1(e)$ has distance at least $6R$ to $\partial\Delta_k$. If e is any cell in Y_k^1 , the E_k -component of $\kappa^1(e)$ has distance at least $5R$ to the boundary of the $(N + 1)$ -simplex in which it lies; to see this, combine the preliminary observation with the fact that Y^1 is R -controlled. Since $Y^1(6R) := (Y_k^1(6R))_k \subseteq Y^1$ is cofinal away from $\coprod_k \partial\Delta_k \times G \times E_{\mathcal{F}}G \times [1, \infty[$, the inclusion is an $h^{\partial, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence. In particular, it is an $h^{\partial, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence. We can similarly define a subcomplex $Y^2(4R) \subseteq Y^2$, and this inclusion is also an $h^{\partial, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence.

Since f is R -controlled, there is an induced morphism $f' : Y^1(6R) \rightarrow Y^2(4R)$. The morphism $F(f')$ is still an $h^{N, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence; the inverse and homotopies arise by restricting the inverse and homotopies of $F(f)$ to appropriate cofinal subcomplexes. Hence, they are still R -controlled. It follows that they do not cross the boundaries of simplices, so they lift to $\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$. This shows that f' is an $h^{\partial, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence.

For the second part of the approximation property, let $Y^1 \in \mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$, $Y^2 \in \mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k)$, and let $f : Y^1 \rightarrow Y^2$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{B}((E_k \times G)_k)$. The argument is similar to the first part. Again, choose $R > 0$ such that Y_1 , Y_2 and f are all R -controlled. Then $Y^1(6R)$, defined as before, is a subcomplex of Y^1 which is cofinal away from $\coprod_k \partial\Delta_k \times G \times E_{\mathcal{F}}G \times [1, \infty[$; similarly, $Y^2(4R)$ is a subcomplex of Y^2 which is cofinal away from $\coprod_k \text{sk}_N E_k \times G \times E_{\mathcal{F}}G \times [1, \infty[$. Moreover, $Y^2(4R)$ is supported on the interiors of the $(N + 1)$ -simplices, and if e is a cell in $Y^2(4R)$, the subcomplex $\langle e \rangle$ spanned by e is based on the same simplex as e by the preliminary observation. Since the characteristic maps of the

$(N + 1)$ -simplices are homeomorphisms on the interiors (and restrict to isometries on individual simplices), we can lift $Y^2(4R)$ to an object in $\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$. Now define Y to be the pushout of $Y^1 \leftarrow Y^1(6R) \xrightarrow{f|_{Y^1(6R)}} Y^2(4R)$ in $\mathcal{B}((\Delta_k \times G)_k)$. Since the inclusion $Y^1(6R) \hookrightarrow Y^1$ is an $h^{\partial, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence, the canonical inclusion $Y^2(4R) \hookrightarrow Y$ is also an $h^{\partial, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence. Since F is exact, $F(Y)$ is the pushout of $F(Y^1) \leftarrow F(Y^1(6R)) \rightarrow F(Y^2(4R))$. Let $g : F(Y) \rightarrow Y^2$ be the map induced by the universal property of the pushout. Since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^2(4R) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Y^2 \\ \searrow \sim & & \nearrow g \\ & F(Y) & \end{array}$$

commutes, g is an $h^{N, \text{fin}}$ -equivalence by the saturation axiom. We conclude that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(Y^1) & \xrightarrow{f} & Y^2 \\ \searrow & & \nearrow g \\ & F(Y) & \end{array}$$

is the required factorization. Therefore, the approximation property holds, and we are done. \square

11. Applications

To conclude, we turn to some applications of Theorem 7.4. As an immediate corollary, we obtain Theorem 1.3, which gives a description of the A -theory of spaces with finite fundamental group.

11.1. Theorem. *Let V be a connected CW-complex with finite fundamental group G . Let \tilde{V} be the universal cover of V . Denote by \mathcal{D} the family of Dress subgroups of G . Then the Davis–Lück assembly map*

$$\mathbb{H}^G(E_{\mathcal{D}}G; \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{V}}^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(V)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. The group G is Dress–Farrell–Hsiang with respect to \mathcal{D} : for every $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\pi = \text{id}_G$ and let f_D be the projection onto a point for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Now apply Theorem 7.4 with $W = \tilde{V}$. \square

Our ultimate goal is the proof of Theorem 1.2. Formally, everything we do is very close to the treatment in [Bartels et al. 2014a]. This involves a rather intricate induction process which relies on a number of inheritance properties of the isomorphism conjecture. These will be established along the way. The reader is encouraged to refer to [loc. cit.] for definitions.

11.2. Proposition (transitivity principle). *Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1$ be two families of subgroups of G . Suppose that G satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 , and that every $H \in \mathcal{F}_1$ satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to $\mathcal{F}_0|_H := \{H \cap K \mid K \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$.*

Then G satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to \mathcal{F}_0 .

Proof. The proof is analogous to the linear case. However, the published proofs (e.g., [Bartels and Lück 2006, Theorem 2.4; Bartels et al. 2008a, Theorem 3.3]) all rely on the formalism of equivariant homology theories. Since we want to avoid discussing to what extent the homology theories associated to A -theory spectra form equivariant homology theories, we give a proof using the language of $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectra.

Let \mathbb{E} be an arbitrary $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectrum, and let E be a G -CW-complex. Observe that $G/H \times E$ is naturally G -homeomorphic to $\text{ind}_H^G \text{res}_G^H E = G \times_H \text{res}_G^H E$. Induction defines a functor $\text{ind}_H^G : \text{Or}(H) \rightarrow \text{Or}(G)$, so we obtain an $\text{Or}(H)$ -spectrum $\mathbb{E} \circ \text{ind}_H^G$. The same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 157 of [Lück and Reich 2005] show that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^H(\text{res}_G^H E; \mathbb{E} \circ \text{ind}_H^G) \cong \mathbb{H}^G(\text{ind}_H^G \text{res}_G^H E; \mathbb{E}).$$

Now let $\mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}$ be the $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectrum from Section 6 associated to a free G -CW-complex W . Since $W \times_G (\text{ind}_H^G H/L) \cong \text{res}_G^H W \times_H H/L$, we have

$$\mathbb{H}^G(G/H \times E; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \cong \mathbb{H}^H(\text{res}_G^H E; \mathbb{A}_{\text{res}_G^H W}^{-\infty}).$$

In particular, the map $\mathbb{H}^G(G/H \times E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^G(G/H; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty})$ induced by the projection map is weakly equivalent to the map

$$\mathbb{H}^H(\text{res}_G^H E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G; \mathbb{A}_{\text{res}_G^H W}^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^H(H/H; \mathbb{A}_{\text{res}_G^H W}^{-\infty}) = \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(\text{res}_G^H W/H).$$

Since $\text{res}_G^H W$ is a free H -CW-complex and $\text{res}_G^H E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G = E_{\mathcal{F}_0|_H} H$, this map is an equivalence for all $H \in \mathcal{F}_1$ by assumption. It follows that the maps

$$\mathbb{H}^G\left(\coprod_i E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G \times G/H_i \times D^n; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^G\left(\coprod_i G/H_i \times D^n; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}\right)$$

are weak equivalences whenever H_i lies in \mathcal{F}_1 for all i because $G/H_i \times D^n$ is G -homotopy equivalent to G/H_i and the homology theory under consideration commutes with coproducts. By an induction along the skeleta, it follows that the projection map $E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G \times X \rightarrow X$ induces an equivalence in $\mathbb{H}^G(-, \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty})$ for every finite-dimensional G -CW-complex X whose isotropy groups lie in \mathcal{F}_1 . Since homology commutes with filtered colimits, the same holds for all G -CW-complexes X whose isotropy groups lie in \mathcal{F}_1 .

In particular, we can pick $X = E_{\mathcal{F}_1} G$. Then $E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G \times E_{\mathcal{F}_1} G$ is G -homotopy equivalent to $E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G$, so we conclude that the G -map $E_{\mathcal{F}_0} G \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{F}_1} G$ (which is unique up to G -homotopy) induces a weak equivalence. This implies the claim. \square

11.3. Proposition. *Let $\varphi : K \rightarrow G$ be a group homomorphism. Suppose that G satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to the family \mathcal{F} of subgroups of G .*

Then K satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to the family of subgroups

$$\varphi^* \mathcal{F} := \{\varphi^{-1}(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$ be a functor from the category of K -sets to the category of spectra; let \mathbb{E} denote its restriction to $\text{Or}(K)$. It has been shown in the proof of [Bartels and Reich 2007, Proposition 4.2] that there is for every G -CW-complex X a weak equivalence

$$\mathbb{H}^K(\text{res}_\varphi X; \mathbb{E}) \cong \text{map}_G(-, X)_+ \wedge_{\text{Or}(G)} \text{map}_K(?, \text{res}_\varphi -)_+ \wedge_{\text{Or}(K)} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}(?) \quad (11.4)$$

which is natural in X . Let $G/H \in \text{Or}(G)$, and let $K \backslash G/H$ denote the orbit space of $\text{res}_\varphi G/H$. Subject to a choice of (set-theoretic) section $\sigma : K \backslash G/H \rightarrow G$ of the obvious projection map, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{res}_\varphi G/H \cong \coprod_{KgH \in K \backslash G/H} T_\sigma(KgH), \quad \text{where } T_\sigma(KgH) := K/(K \cap \sigma(KgH)H\sigma(KgH)^{-1}).$$

This isomorphism gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bigvee_{KgH \in K \backslash G/H} \text{map}_K(?, T_\sigma(KgH))_+)^{\wedge_{\text{Or}(K)}} \mathbb{E}(?) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{map}_K(?, \text{res}_\varphi G/H)_+^{\wedge_{\text{Or}(K)}} \mathbb{E}(?) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigvee_{KgH \in K \backslash G/H} \mathbb{E}(T_\sigma(KgH)) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}(\text{res}_\varphi G/H) \end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are induced by evaluating $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$. The right vertical map is natural in G/H . The left vertical map is easily seen to be a weak equivalence. Whenever $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}$ commutes with coproducts, the lower horizontal map is a weak equivalence. In this case, the right vertical map is also a weak equivalence, and we obtain a weak equivalence of $\text{Or}(G)$ -spectra

$$\text{map}_K(?, \text{res}_\varphi -)_+^{\wedge_{\text{Or}(K)}} \mathbb{E}(?) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \circ \text{res}_\varphi. \quad (11.5)$$

The weak equivalences (11.4) and (11.5) combine to a weak equivalence, natural in X ,

$$\mathbb{H}^K(\text{res}_\varphi X; \mathbb{E}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^G(X; \widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \circ \text{res}_\varphi).$$

Let W be a free K -CW-complex. Since $\mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}$ extends to a functor on all K -sets and commutes with coproducts (see Lemma 11.6 below), we obtain a natural equivalence

$$\mathbb{H}^K(\operatorname{res}_\varphi E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^G(E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty} \circ \operatorname{res}_\varphi).$$

Since $\operatorname{ind}_\varphi W = W \times_K \operatorname{res}_\varphi G$ is a free G -CW-complex and

$$W \times_K \operatorname{res}_\varphi G/H \cong \operatorname{ind}_\varphi W \times_G G/H,$$

we have a natural weak equivalence of $\operatorname{Or}(G)$ -spectra $\mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty} \circ \operatorname{res}_\varphi \simeq \mathbb{A}_{\operatorname{ind}_\varphi W}^{-\infty}$. Hence, there is a natural weak equivalence

$$\mathbb{H}^K(\operatorname{res}_\varphi E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^G(E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_{\operatorname{ind}_\varphi W}^{-\infty}).$$

Observe that $\operatorname{res}_\varphi E_{\mathcal{F}}G = E_{\varphi^*\mathcal{F}}K$. We conclude that the assembly map

$$\mathbb{H}^K(E_{\varphi^*\mathcal{F}}K; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^K(K/K; \mathbb{A}_W^{-\infty})$$

is weakly equivalent to the assembly map

$$\mathbb{H}^G(E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathbb{A}_{\operatorname{ind}_\varphi W}^{-\infty}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^G(G/G; \mathbb{A}_{\operatorname{ind}_\varphi W}^{-\infty}).$$

The latter map is assumed to be a weak equivalence, so we are done. \square

11.6. Lemma. *Let W be a CW-complex, and let $W = \coprod_{i \in I} W_i$ be a decomposition of W into subspaces. Then the natural map*

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(W_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(W)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Let Y be a CW-complex relative W together with a retraction $r : Y \rightarrow W$. Then the partition $W = \coprod_i W_i$ induces a partition of Y into subcomplexes $Y = \coprod_i Y_i$, where $Y_i := r^{-1}(W_i)$. Similarly, every morphism of retractive spaces $f : Y^1 \rightarrow Y^2$ over W decomposes into a coproduct $f = \coprod_i f_i$ since f is compatible with the retractions. Restricting to finite objects, this shows that there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{J \subseteq I \text{ finite}} \prod_{i \in J} \mathcal{R}_f(W_i, \mathfrak{T}(*)(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}_f(W, \mathfrak{T}(*)(n)).$$

Here we have used the fact that the image of the retraction of a finite object intersects only finitely many path components of W . It follows that the map of spectra $\bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(W_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{-\infty}(W)$ is a levelwise equivalence. \square

11.7. Corollary. *Let G be a discrete group and $H \leq G$ a subgroup. If G satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to the family \mathcal{F} , then H satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to $\mathcal{F}|_H$.*

Proof. Apply Proposition 11.3 to the inclusion $H \hookrightarrow G$. \square

11.8. Corollary. *Let $\pi : G \rightarrow Q$ be a surjective group homomorphism. Suppose that Q satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture in A -theory, and that for every virtually cyclic subgroup $V \leq Q$, the preimage $\pi^{-1}(V)$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture in A -theory. Then G satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture in A -theory.*

Proof. Note that $\pi^* \mathcal{VCyc} = \{\pi^{-1}(V) \mid V \leq Q \text{ virtually cyclic}\}$. Thus, the claim is a combination of Proposition 11.3 and the transitivity principle, Proposition 11.2. \square

11.9. Corollary. *Let $\pi : G \rightarrow Q$ be a surjective group homomorphism with finite kernel. If Q satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture in A -theory, then so does G .*

Proof. If $V \leq Q$ is virtually cyclic, then $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is also virtually cyclic, and thus G satisfies the conjecture by Corollary 11.8. \square

The next two statements and their proofs are analogous to [Bartels et al. 2014a, Sections 3.2 and 3.3]. We only sketch their proofs and refer to [loc. cit.] for details.

11.10. Lemma (cf. [Bartels et al. 2014a, Lemma 3.15]). *Let Γ be a crystallographic group of virtual cohomological dimension 2 which possesses a normal, infinite cyclic subgroup. Then Γ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture in A -theory.*

Proof. Do an induction on the order of the smallest finite group F such that there is a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$. Using Theorem 7.4, the claim follows from [Winges 2015, Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3] in conjunction with the induction hypothesis and the transitivity principle, Proposition 11.2. \square

11.11. Proposition (cf. [Bartels et al. 2014a, Section 3.3]). *Let Γ be a virtually finitely generated abelian group. Then Γ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture in A -theory.*

Proof. We do an induction on the virtual cohomological dimension of Γ . If $\text{vcd}(\Gamma) \leq 1$, the group Γ is virtually cyclic and there is nothing to show. So assume $\text{vcd}(\Gamma) \geq 2$. Then do a subinduction on the cardinality of the smallest finite group F such that Γ admits an epimorphism onto F whose kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{vcd}(\Gamma)}$.

Since Γ admits a surjection with finite kernel onto a crystallographic group [Quinn 2012, Lemma 4.2.1], we may assume by Corollary 11.9 that Γ is crystallographic of the same virtual cohomological dimension. Now fix an epimorphism $p : \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow F$ onto a finite group F such that the kernel of p is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{vcd}(\Gamma)}$ and such that the cardinality of F is minimal among all finite groups which admit such an epimorphism. By induction and the transitivity principle, Proposition 11.2, it suffices to show that Γ satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture with respect to the family of all virtually finitely generated abelian subgroups A of Γ which satisfy either of the following:

- $\text{vcd}(A) < \text{vcd}(\Gamma)$ or
- $\text{vcd}(A) = \text{vcd}(\Gamma)$ and A admits an epimorphism $p' : A \rightarrow F'$ onto a finite group F' such that $|F'| < |F|$ and the kernel of p' is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{vcd}(\Gamma)}$.

Suppose that Γ possesses a normal, infinite cyclic subgroup $C \trianglelefteq \Gamma$. We want to apply Corollary 11.8. Since $\text{vcd}(\Gamma/C) < \text{vcd}(\Gamma)$, the quotient Γ/C satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture. Let $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/C$ be the projection. For every virtually cyclic subgroup $V \leq G/C$, the preimage $\pi^{-1}(V)$ has virtual cohomological dimension 2. Again, $\pi^{-1}(V)$ admits a surjection with finite kernel onto a crystallographic group K [Quinn 2012, Lemma 4.2.1], so we may assume that $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is crystallographic by Corollary 11.9. Since $\text{vcd}(\pi^{-1}(V)) = 2$ and there exists a normal, infinite cyclic subgroup, it follows from Lemma 11.10 that $\pi^{-1}(V)$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture. So Corollary 11.8 applies.

Suppose that there is no normal, infinite cyclic subgroup in Γ . Then Γ is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to a family containing only groups to which the induction hypothesis applies [Winges 2015, Proposition 5.4]. Theorem 7.4 and Proposition 11.2 imply that Γ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture. \square

Proposition 11.11 is the stepping stone to proving an even slightly stronger version of Theorem 1.2; see Theorem 11.19 below. Recall that the (unrestricted) wreath product $G_1 \wr G_2$ of a group G_1 with another group G_2 is the semidirect product $(\prod_{G_2} G_1) \rtimes G_2$, where G_2 acts on the left factor by left translations.

11.12. Definition. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of groups and let G be a discrete group. We say that G *satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture with wreath products in A -theory* with respect to \mathcal{F} if for every finite group F , the wreath product $G \wr F$ satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture in A -theory with respect to \mathcal{F} .

If \mathcal{F} is the family of virtually cyclic groups, we say that G *satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory*.

11.13. Corollary. *Every virtually finitely generated abelian group satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 11.11 since the wreath product of a virtually finitely generated abelian group with a finite group is again virtually finitely generated abelian. \square

Let us record some additional inheritance properties of the fibered isomorphism conjecture with wreath products. The following results have been worked out in [Kühl 2008]; we collect them here for reference and the convenience of the reader.

11.14. Lemma. *Let G, G_1, G_2 be discrete groups, and let \mathcal{F} be a family of groups.*

- (1) *Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup. If G satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture with wreath products with respect to \mathcal{F} , then so does H .*

- (2) *Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of finite index. If H satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture with wreath products with respect to \mathcal{F} , so does G .*
- (3) *If G_1 and G_2 satisfy the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products, so does $G_1 \times G_2$.*
- (4) *Suppose G satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture with wreath products with respect to \mathcal{F} , and that every subgroup $H \leq G$ which lies in \mathcal{F} satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products. If \mathcal{F} is closed under taking quotients, then G satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products.*
- (5) *Let $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be a surjective homomorphism. Suppose that Q satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products, and that for every virtually cyclic subgroup $V \leq Q$ the preimage $\pi^{-1}(V)$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products. Then G satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products.*
- (6) *Let $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be a surjective homomorphism with finite kernel. If Q satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products, so does G .*

Proof. Claim (1) is a consequence of Corollary 11.7 since $H \wr F$ is a subgroup of $G \wr F$ for every group F .

For (2), assume first that H is normal in G . Set $F := G/H$. Choose a set-theoretic section $s : F \rightarrow G$ of the projection map $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow F$. For $g \in G$ and $f \in F$ define

$$h(g, f) := s(f)^{-1} g s(\pi(g)^{-1} f).$$

Then $g \mapsto ((h(g, f))_f, \pi(g))$ defines a monomorphism $G \hookrightarrow H \wr F$. Thus, for every finite group F' , the wreath product $G \wr F'$ is a subgroup of $(H \wr F) \wr F'$. Since $(H \wr F) \wr F'$ itself embeds into $H \wr (F \wr F')$ [Kühl 2008, Lemma 1.21], the claim follows from (1). If H is not normal, (1) allows us to replace H by $\bigcap_{g \in G} g H g^{-1}$.

For (3), observe that $(G_1 \times G_2) \wr F$ is a subgroup of $(G_1 \wr F) \times (G_2 \wr F) =: \Gamma$. By (1), it suffices to check that the latter group satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture. Consider the projection map $p_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow G_1 \wr F$. We want to apply Corollary 11.8, so we need to check that $V \times (G_2 \wr F)$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture for every virtually cyclic subgroup V of $G_1 \wr F$. This can be done by another application of Corollary 11.8. The target of the projection map $p_2 : V \times (G_2 \wr F) \rightarrow G_2 \wr F$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture, so the only thing left to verify is that every product $V \times V'$ of virtually cyclic groups satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture. Since the product of two virtually cyclic groups is virtually finitely generated abelian, this is true by Proposition 11.11.

Let us turn to (4). Consider a wreath product $G \wr F$, where F is finite. Our goal is to apply the transitivity principle, so we need to check that every subgroup

$H \leq G \wr F$ which lies in \mathcal{F} satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture. Let H be such a subgroup. Since $H' := H \cap (\prod_F G)$ is normal in H and has finite index, it suffices to show that H' satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products by (2). Observe that $H' \in \mathcal{F}$. Let H_f denote the image of H' under the projection map $(\prod_F G) \rightarrow G$ onto the factor indexed by $f \in F$. Then H' embeds into $\prod_{f \in F} H_f$. Since \mathcal{F} is closed under quotients, H_f satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products, and so does the product $\prod_{f \in F} H_f$ by (3). Now (1) implies that H' satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products, and we are done.

For (5), observe that π induces a surjective homomorphism $\pi_F : G \wr F \twoheadrightarrow Q \wr F$ for every finite group F . The quotient $Q \wr F$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture by assumption. We want to apply Corollary 11.8. So let $V \leq Q \wr F$ be virtually cyclic. In order to show that $\pi_F^{-1}(V)$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture, it suffices to show that $\tilde{V} := \pi_F^{-1}(V) \cap (\prod_F G)$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products. Denote by V_f the image of $V \cap (\prod_F Q)$ under the projection $\prod_F Q \rightarrow Q$ onto the factor indexed by $f \in F$. Then \tilde{V} embeds into $\prod_{f \in F} \pi^{-1}(V_f)$. Since V_f is a virtually cyclic subgroup of Q , the preimage $\pi^{-1}(V_f)$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products by assumption, and hence so does $\prod_{f \in F} \pi^{-1}(V_f)$ by (3). Then \tilde{V} satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products by (1).

The last part of the lemma follows from (5) because the preimage of each virtually cyclic subgroup of Q is again virtually cyclic (and these satisfy the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products by Corollary 11.13). \square

In analogy to [Wegner 2015, Proposition 2.19], we are going to show next that the Dress–Farrell–Hsiang condition (Definition 7.3) is well-behaved with respect to wreath products with finite groups. Let G be a group, \mathcal{F} a family of subgroups and Φ a finite group. Denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\wr \Phi}$ the family of subgroups of $G \wr \Phi$ consisting of those groups which contain a finite-index subgroup of the form $\prod_{\psi \in \Phi} H_\psi$, where each H_ψ lies in \mathcal{F} .

Recall the following construction of the product of simplicial complexes. Let E_1, \dots, E_k be (abstract) ordered simplicial complexes. Then define $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_k$ to be the simplicial complex whose r -simplices are ascending chains $(e_1^0, \dots, e_k^0) < \dots < (e_1^r, \dots, e_k^r)$ with respect to the lexicographic ordering such that $\{e_i^0, \dots, e_i^r\}$ is a simplex in E_i for all i . The map $|E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_k| \rightarrow |E_1| \times \dots \times |E_k|$ induced by the obvious projections $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_k \rightarrow E_i$ is a homeomorphism (with respect to the topologies induced by the ℓ^1 -metric).

11.15. Proposition. *Let G be a discrete group and let \mathcal{F} be a family of subgroups. Let S be a finite, symmetric generating set such that (G, S) is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to \mathcal{F} .*

Then there is for every finite group Φ a generating set $S^{\wr\Phi}$ of $G \wr \Phi$ such that $(G \wr \Phi, S^{\wr\Phi})$ is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to $\mathcal{F}^{\wr\Phi}$.

Proof. We start with a preliminary observation. Let Φ be a finite group, and let $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow F$ be an epimorphism onto some finite group F . Then π induces a surjective homomorphism $\pi^{\wr\Phi} : G \wr \Phi \twoheadrightarrow F \wr \Phi$ given by $((g_\psi)_\psi, \varphi) \mapsto ((\pi(g_\psi))_\psi, \varphi)$.

Let $H \leq F \wr \Phi$ be a Dress group. Let Φ_H be the image of H under the canonical projection $F \wr \Phi \twoheadrightarrow \Phi$, and let H_ξ denote the image of $H \cap (\prod_\Phi F)$ under the map $p_\xi : (\prod_\Phi F) \rightarrow F$ given by projection onto the ξ -th component. Since the class of Dress groups is closed under quotients, each H_ξ is a Dress group. For each $\varphi \in \Phi_H$, pick a preimage $\kappa^\varphi = ((\kappa_\psi^\varphi)_\psi, \varphi) \in H$. Choose a section $s : \Phi_H \setminus \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ of the obvious projection map such that $s(\Phi_H) = 1$. Now define

$$\kappa := ((\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}^{s(\Phi_H\psi)\psi^{-1}})_\psi, 1) \in F \wr \Phi,$$

and let \hat{H} denote the group

$$\left(\prod_{\Phi_H\psi \in \Phi_H \setminus \Phi} \left(\prod_{\psi' \in \Phi_H\psi} H_{s(\Phi_H\psi')} \right) \right) \rtimes \Phi_H,$$

where Φ_H acts on the left-hand side by permuting the index set of every factor $\prod_{\Phi_H\psi} H_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}$. Observe that \hat{H} is naturally a subgroup of $F \wr \Phi$. We claim that κ subconjugates H into \hat{H} .

To see this, compute first, for an arbitrary element $((\alpha_\psi)_\psi, \varphi) \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa((\alpha_\psi)_\psi, \varphi)\kappa^{-1} &= ((\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}^{s(\Phi_H\psi)\psi^{-1}})_\psi, 1)((\alpha_\psi)_\psi, \varphi)(([\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}^{s(\Phi_H\psi)\psi^{-1}}]^{-1})_\psi, 1) \\ &= ((\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}^{s(\Phi_H\psi)\psi^{-1}}\alpha_\psi[\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}^{s(\Phi_H\psi)\psi^{-1}}]^{-1})_\psi, \varphi) \\ &= ((\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}^{s(\Phi_H\psi)\psi^{-1}}\alpha_\psi[\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\psi)}^{s(\Phi_H\psi)\psi^{-1}}]^{-1})_\psi, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that this element lies in \hat{H} , we need to check that for every $\xi \in \Phi$, $\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}\alpha_\xi[\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}]^{-1}$ lies in $H_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}((\alpha_\psi)_\psi, \varphi)(\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}\varphi)^{-1} \\ &= ((\kappa_\psi^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}1)_\psi, s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1})((\alpha_\psi)_\psi, \varphi)(([\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}]^{-1})_\psi, \varphi^{-1}\xi s(\Phi_H\xi)^{-1}) \\ &= ((\kappa_\psi^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}\alpha_{\xi s(\Phi_H\xi)^{-1}\psi}[\kappa_\psi^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}]^{-1})_\psi, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is an element in $H \cap (\prod_\Phi F)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}\alpha_\xi[\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}]^{-1} \\ &= p_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}(\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}((\alpha_\psi)_\psi, \varphi)[\kappa_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}^{s(\Phi_H\xi)\xi^{-1}}]^{-1}) \in H_{s(\Phi_H\xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\kappa H \kappa^{-1} \subseteq \hat{H}$.

Since (G, S) is Dress–Farrell–Hsiang, there is some N as in Definition 7.3. Let $\varepsilon' > 0$. Let $\pi = \pi_{\varepsilon'} : G \twoheadrightarrow F$ be some epimorphism satisfying the conditions in Definition 7.3. Define $\pi^{\wr\Phi}$ as above. According to our preliminary observation, it suffices to consider subgroups of $F \wr \Phi$ which have the form

$$H = \left(\prod_{\Phi_H \psi \in \Phi_H \setminus \Phi} \left(\prod_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi} H_{\Phi_H \psi'} \right) \right) \rtimes \Phi_H,$$

where Φ_H is some subgroup of Φ and each $H_{\Phi_H \psi}$ is a Dress subgroup of F . Define a generating set $S^{\wr\Phi}$ of $G \wr \Phi$ by

$$S^{\wr\Phi} := \{((g_\psi)_\psi, \varphi) \mid g_\psi \in S \text{ for all } \psi, \varphi \in \Phi\}.$$

For each $\Phi_H \psi \in \Phi_H \setminus \Phi$, choose a $\pi^{-1}(H_{\Phi_H \psi})$ -equivariant map $f_{\Phi_H \psi} : G \rightarrow E_{\Phi_H \psi}$ to a $\pi^{-1}(H_{\Phi_H \psi})$ -simplicial complex of dimension at most N whose stabilizers lie in \mathcal{F} , and such that $d(f_{\Phi_H \psi}(g), f_{\Phi_H \psi}(g')) \leq \varepsilon'$ whenever $g^{-1}g' \in S$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} f_H : G \wr \Phi &\rightarrow \prod_{\Phi_H \psi \in \Phi_H \setminus \Phi} \prod_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi} E_{\Phi_H \psi'} =: E_H, \\ ((g_\psi)_\psi, \varphi) &\mapsto ((f_{\Phi_H \psi}(g_{\psi'}))_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi})_{\Phi_H \psi \in \Phi_H \setminus \Phi}. \end{aligned}$$

We regard E_H as a simplicial complex via the product construction described previously. Let H act on E_H by

$$((h_{\psi'})_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi})_{\Phi_H \psi}, \varphi) \cdot ((x_{\psi'})_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi})_{\Phi_H \psi} := ((h_{\psi'} x_{\varphi^{-1}\psi'})_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi})_{\Phi_H \psi}.$$

This induces a $(\pi^{\wr\Phi})^{-1}(H)$ -action on E_H by restriction, and f_H is $(\pi^{\wr\Phi})^{-1}(H)$ -equivariant with respect to this action. Observe that the dimension of E_H is bounded by $|\Phi|N$, and that this number only depends on Φ .

Consider a point $x := ((x_{\psi'})_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi})_{\Phi_H \psi}$ in E_H , and the stabilizer $(\pi^{\wr\Phi})^{-1}(H)_x$. The intersection $(\pi^{\wr\Phi})^{-1}(H)_x \cap (\prod_{\Phi} G)$ is a finite-index subgroup of $(\pi^{\wr\Phi})^{-1}(H)_x$, and is equal to $\prod_{\Phi_H \psi \in \Phi_H \setminus \Phi} \prod_{\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi} H_{x_{\psi'}}$. Since each $H_{x_{\psi'}}$ lies in \mathcal{F} , this shows that the stabilizer of x lies in $\mathcal{F}^{\wr\Phi}$.

What is left to show is that the map f_H has the desired contracting property. So let $g = ((g_\psi)_\psi, \varphi)$ and $g' = ((g'_\psi)_\psi, \varphi')$ be elements in $G \wr \Phi$ such that $g^{-1}g' \in S^{\wr\Phi}$; equivalently, $g_\psi^{-1}g'_\psi \in S$ for all $\psi \in \Phi$. For each $\Phi_H \psi \in \Phi_H \setminus \Phi$ and $\psi' \in \Phi_H \psi$, we have

$$d_{E_{\Phi_H \psi}}^{\ell^1}(f_{\Phi_H \psi}(g_{\psi'}), f_{\Phi_H \psi}(g'_{\psi'})) \leq \varepsilon'.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 11.16 below, $d_{E_H}^{\ell^1}(f_H(g), f_H(g')) \leq \varepsilon$ as long as ε' was initially chosen to be small enough. \square

11.16. Lemma. *Let $N, K \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that for every sequence E_1, \dots, E_K of (abstract) ordered simplicial complexes, each of which has dimension at most N , the following holds:*

Let $E := E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_K$. For $x \in |E|$, let (x_1, \dots, x_K) denote the image of x under the canonical map $|E| \rightarrow |E_1| \times \cdots \times |E_K|$. Denote by d_i the ℓ^1 -metric on $|E_i|$, and let d be the ℓ^1 -metric on $|E|$.

Then for all $x, x' \in |E|$, we have $d(x, x') \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $d_i(x_i, x'_i) \leq \varepsilon'$.

Proof. The argument is very similar to the one employed in the proof of [Bartels et al. 2014b, Lemma 5.5]. Since distances with respect to the ℓ^1 -metric are independent of the ambient complex, we may assume that $E_i = \Delta^{2N+1}$. Consider the composition

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq K} |\Delta^{2N+1}| = |E_1| \times \cdots \times |E_K| \xrightarrow{\cong} |E| \subseteq |\Delta^{(2N+2)^K-1}|$$

of the inverse of the canonical homeomorphism with the inclusion into the full simplex. Consider the domain of this map as a metric space by taking the metric $d_\Sigma := \sum_i d_i$ and equip the target with its natural ℓ^1 -metric d_Δ . This map is uniformly continuous since the source is compact; hence, there is some $\varepsilon'' > 0$ such that $d_\Delta(x, x') \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $d_\Sigma((x_1, \dots, x_K), (x'_1, \dots, x'_K)) \leq \varepsilon''$. Thus, the claim holds for $\varepsilon' := \varepsilon''/K$. \square

11.17. Corollary. *Let G be a discrete group and let \mathcal{F} be a family of groups such that all groups in \mathcal{F} satisfy the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory. If there is a finite, symmetric generating set S of G such that (G, S) is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to \mathcal{F} , then G satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory.*

Proof. Let Φ be a finite group. By Proposition 11.15, the wreath product $G \wr \Phi$ is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to $\mathcal{F}^{\wr \Phi}$, so $G \wr \Phi$ satisfies the fibered isomorphism conjecture with respect to $\mathcal{F}^{\wr \Phi}$. Since all groups in \mathcal{F} satisfy the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products, parts (3) and (2) of Lemma 11.14 imply that all groups in $\mathcal{F}^{\wr \Phi}$ satisfy the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture. Hence, $G \wr \Phi$ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture by the transitivity principle, Proposition 11.2. \square

11.18. Theorem. *Let Γ be an irreducible special affine group. Then Γ satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory.*

Proof. By [Winges 2015, Theorem 6.1], Γ is a Dress–Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to the family of virtually finitely generated abelian groups. Since we have already shown that all virtually finitely generated abelian groups satisfy the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in Corollary 11.13, the theorem is an immediate consequence of Corollary 11.17. \square

11.19. Theorem (cf. [Bartels et al. 2014a, Section 5]). *Let G be a virtually poly- \mathbb{Z} -group. Then G satisfies the fibered Farrell–Jones conjecture with wreath products in A -theory.*

Proof. Repeat the argument on page 377 of [Bartels et al. 2014a], which relies only on the inheritance properties of the conjecture. \square

Theorem 1.2 from the introduction follows as a special case.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the DFG: Ullmann was supported by project C1 of CRC 647 in Berlin, and Wings was supported by project B5 of CRC 878 in Münster. Wings further acknowledges support by Wolfgang Lück’s ERC Advanced Grant “KL2MG-interactions” (no. 662400) The results of Section 8 are based on parts of Wings’ Ph.D. thesis written under the supervision of Arthur Bartels. Wings would like to thank Arthur Bartels and Michael Weiss for helpful discussions. Finally, we would like to thank Malte Pieper for comments on a draft of this article.

References

- [Anderson et al. 1994] D. R. Anderson, F. X. Connolly, S. C. Ferry, and E. K. Pedersen, “Algebraic K -theory with continuous control at infinity”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **94**:1 (1994), 25–47. MR Zbl
- [Bartels 2016] A. Bartels, “On proofs of the Farrell–Jones conjecture”, pp. 1–31 in *Topology and geometric group theory* (Columbus, OH, 2011), edited by M. W. Davis et al., Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **184**, Springer, 2016. MR
- [Bartels and Bestvina 2019] A. Bartels and M. Bestvina, “The Farrell–Jones conjecture for mapping class groups”, *Invent. Math.* (online publication January 2019).
- [Bartels and Lück 2006] A. Bartels and W. Lück, “Isomorphism conjecture for homotopy K -theory and groups acting on trees”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **205**:3 (2006), 660–696. MR Zbl
- [Bartels and Lück 2007] A. Bartels and W. Lück, “Induction theorems and isomorphism conjectures for K - and L -theory”, *Forum Math.* **19**:3 (2007), 379–406. MR Zbl
- [Bartels and Lück 2012a] A. Bartels and W. Lück, “The Borel conjecture for hyperbolic and CAT(0)-groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **175**:2 (2012), 631–689. MR Zbl
- [Bartels and Lück 2012b] A. Bartels and W. Lück, “The Farrell–Hsiang method revisited”, *Math. Ann.* **354**:1 (2012), 209–226. MR Zbl
- [Bartels and Reich 2007] A. Bartels and H. Reich, “Coefficients for the Farrell–Jones conjecture”, *Adv. Math.* **209**:1 (2007), 337–362. MR Zbl
- [Bartels et al. 2004] A. Bartels, T. Farrell, L. Jones, and H. Reich, “On the isomorphism conjecture in algebraic K -theory”, *Topology* **43**:1 (2004), 157–213. MR Zbl
- [Bartels et al. 2008a] A. Bartels, S. Echterhoff, and W. Lück, “Inheritance of isomorphism conjectures under colimits”, pp. 41–70 in *K -theory and noncommutative geometry* (Valladolid, Spain, 2006), edited by G. Cortiñas et al., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008. MR Zbl
- [Bartels et al. 2008b] A. Bartels, W. Lück, and H. Reich, “The K -theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture for hyperbolic groups”, *Invent. Math.* **172**:1 (2008), 29–70. MR Zbl
- [Bartels et al. 2014a] A. Bartels, F. T. Farrell, and W. Lück, “The Farrell–Jones conjecture for co-compact lattices in virtually connected Lie groups”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27**:2 (2014), 339–388. MR Zbl

- [Bartels et al. 2014b] A. Bartels, W. Lück, H. Reich, and H. Rüping, “ K - and L -theory of group rings over $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **119** (2014), 97–125. MR Zbl
- [Cárdenas and Pedersen 1997] M. Cárdenas and E. K. Pedersen, “On the Karoubi filtration of a category”, *K-Theory* **12**:2 (1997), 165–191. MR Zbl
- [Carlsson et al. 1998] G. Carlsson, E. K. Pedersen, and W. Vogell, “Continuously controlled algebraic K -theory of spaces and the Novikov conjecture”, *Math. Ann.* **310**:1 (1998), 169–182. MR Zbl
- [Connell and Hollingsworth 1969] E. H. Connell and J. Hollingsworth, “Geometric groups and Whitehead torsion”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (1969), 161–181. MR Zbl
- [Davis and Lück 1998] J. F. Davis and W. Lück, “Spaces over a category and assembly maps in isomorphism conjectures in K - and L -theory”, *K-Theory* **15**:3 (1998), 201–252. MR Zbl
- [tom Dieck 1987] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **8**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987. MR Zbl
- [Dranishnikov and Smith 2006] A. Dranishnikov and J. Smith, “Asymptotic dimension of discrete groups”, *Fund. Math.* **189**:1 (2006), 27–34. MR Zbl
- [Enkelmann et al. 2018] N.-E. Enkelmann, W. Lück, M. Pieper, M. Ullmann, and C. Winges, “On the Farrell–Jones conjecture for Waldhausen’s A -theory”, *Geom. Topol.* **22**:6 (2018), 3321–3394. MR Zbl
- [Farrell and Jones 1993] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones, “Isomorphism conjectures in algebraic K -theory”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6**:2 (1993), 249–297. MR Zbl
- [Ferry and Ranicki 2001] S. Ferry and A. Ranicki, “A survey of Wall’s finiteness obstruction”, pp. 63–79 in *Surveys on surgery theory*, vol. 2, edited by S. Cappell et al., Ann. of Math. Stud. **149**, Princeton University Press, 2001. MR Zbl
- [Hambleton and Pedersen 2004] I. Hambleton and E. K. Pedersen, “Identifying assembly maps in K - and L -theory”, *Math. Ann.* **328**:1-2 (2004), 27–57. MR Zbl
- [Kammeyer et al. 2016] H. Kammeyer, W. Lück, and H. Rüping, “The Farrell–Jones conjecture for arbitrary lattices in virtually connected Lie groups”, *Geom. Topol.* **20**:3 (2016), 1275–1287. MR Zbl
- [Kamps and Porter 1997] K. H. Kamps and T. Porter, *Abstract homotopy and simple homotopy theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, NJ, 1997. MR Zbl
- [Kühl 2008] P. Kühl, *Isomorphismvermutungen und 3-Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Diplomarbeit, Universität Münster, 2008. arXiv
- [Lück and Reich 2005] W. Lück and H. Reich, “The Baum–Connes and the Farrell–Jones conjectures in K - and L -theory”, pp. 703–842 in *Handbook of K -theory*, vol. 2, edited by E. M. Friedlander and D. R. Grayson, Springer, 2005. MR Zbl
- [Malkiewich and Merling 2016] C. Malkiewich and M. Merling, “Equivariant A -theory”, preprint, 2016. arXiv
- [May 1999] J. P. May, *A concise course in algebraic topology*, University of Chicago Press, 1999. MR Zbl
- [Oliver 1975] R. Oliver, “Fixed-point sets of group actions on finite acyclic complexes”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **50** (1975), 155–177. MR Zbl
- [Pedersen and Weibel 1985] E. K. Pedersen and C. A. Weibel, “A nonconnective delooping of algebraic K -theory”, pp. 166–181 in *Algebraic and geometric topology* (New Brunswick, NJ, 1983), edited by A. Ranicki et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **1126**, Springer, 1985. MR Zbl

- [Pedersen and Weibel 1989] E. K. Pedersen and C. A. Weibel, “ K -theory homology of spaces”, pp. 346–361 in *Algebraic topology* (Arcata, CA, 1986), edited by G. Carlsson et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **1370**, Springer, 1989. MR Zbl
- [Quinn 1979] F. Quinn, “Ends of maps, I”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **110**:2 (1979), 275–331. MR Zbl
- [Quinn 2012] F. Quinn, “Algebraic K -theory over virtually abelian groups”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216**:1 (2012), 170–183. MR Zbl
- [Raptis 2018] G. Raptis, “Dévissage for Waldhausen K -theory”, preprint, 2018. arXiv
- [Raptis and Steimle 2014] G. Raptis and W. Steimle, “On the map of Bökstedt–Madsen from the cobordism category to A -theory”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **14**:1 (2014), 299–347. MR Zbl
- [Rüping 2016] H. Rüping, “The Farrell–Jones conjecture for S -arithmetic groups”, *J. Topol.* **9**:1 (2016), 51–90. MR Zbl
- [Swan 1960] R. G. Swan, “Induced representations and projective modules”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **71** (1960), 552–578. MR Zbl
- [Thomason and Trobaugh 1990] R. W. Thomason and T. Trobaugh, “Higher algebraic K -theory of schemes and of derived categories”, pp. 247–435 in *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, vol. III, edited by P. Cartier et al., Progr. Math. **88**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990. MR Zbl
- [Ullmann 2010] M. Ullmann, *Controlled algebra for simplicial rings and the algebraic K -theory assembly map*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Düsseldorf, 2010, available at <http://docserv.uni-duesseldorf.de/servlets/DocumentServlet?id=17133>.
- [Ullmann 2018] M. Ullmann, “Controlled algebra for simplicial rings and algebraic K -theory”, pp. 182–266 in *Geometric and cohomological group theory*, edited by P. H. Kropholler et al., London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **444**, Cambridge University Press, 2018. MR
- [Vogell 1990] W. Vogell, “Algebraic K -theory of spaces, with bounded control”, *Acta Math.* **165**:3–4 (1990), 161–187. MR Zbl
- [Waldhausen 1985] F. Waldhausen, “Algebraic K -theory of spaces”, pp. 318–419 in *Algebraic and geometric topology* (New Brunswick, NJ, 1983), edited by A. Ranicki et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **1126**, Springer, 1985. MR Zbl
- [Waldhausen et al. 2013] F. Waldhausen, B. r. Jahren, and J. Rognes, *Spaces of PL manifolds and categories of simple maps*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **186**, Princeton University Press, 2013. MR Zbl
- [Wall 1999] C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **69**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. MR Zbl
- [Wegner 2012] C. Wegner, “The K -theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture for $CAT(0)$ -groups”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140**:3 (2012), 779–793. MR Zbl
- [Wegner 2015] C. Wegner, “The Farrell–Jones conjecture for virtually solvable groups”, *J. Topol.* **8**:4 (2015), 975–1016. MR Zbl
- [Weiss 2002] M. Weiss, “Excision and restriction in controlled K -theory”, *Forum Math.* **14**:1 (2002), 85–119. MR Zbl
- [Weiss and Williams 1998] M. Weiss and B. Williams, “Duality in Waldhausen categories”, *Forum Math.* **10**:5 (1998), 533–603. MR Zbl
- [Weiss and Williams 2014] M. S. Weiss and B. E. Williams, *Automorphisms of manifolds and algebraic K -theory, Part III*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1084**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. MR Zbl
- [Williams 2000] B. Williams, “Bivariant Riemann–Roch theorems”, pp. 377–393 in *Geometry and topology* (Aarhus, Denmark, 1998), edited by K. Grove et al., Contemp. Math. **258**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000. MR Zbl

[Winges 2015] C. Winges, “On the transfer reducibility of certain Farrell–Hsiang groups”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **15**:5 (2015), 2921–2948. MR Zbl

Received 4 Oct 2017. Revised 10 Sep 2018. Accepted 27 Sep 2018.

MARK ULLMANN: mark.ullmann.math@gmail.com

Institut für Mathematik, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

CHRISTOPH WINGES: winges@math.uni-bonn.de

Mathematisches Institut, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, Germany

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

msp.org/akt

EDITORIAL BOARD

Joseph Ayoub	ETH Zürich, Switzerland joseph.ayoub@math.uzh.ch
Paul Balmer	University of California, Los Angeles, USA balmer@math.ucla.edu
Guillermo Cortiñas	Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, Argentina gcorti@dm.uba.ar
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany liveesnault@math.fu-berlin.de
Eric Friedlander	University of Southern California, USA ericmf@usc.edu
Max Karoubi	Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, France max.karoubi@imj-prg.fr
Moritz Kerz	Universität Regensburg, Germany moritz.kerz@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de
Huaxin Lin	University of Oregon, USA livehlin@uoregon.edu
Alexander Merkurjev	University of California, Los Angeles, USA merkurev@math.ucla.edu
Amnon Neeman	Australian National University amnon.neeman@anu.edu.au
Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg, Germany birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
Jonathan Rosenberg	(Managing Editor) University of Maryland, USA jmr@math.umd.edu
Marco Schlichting	University of Warwick, UK schlichting@warwick.ac.uk
Charles Weibel	(Managing Editor) Rutgers University, USA weibel@math.rutgers.edu
Guoliang Yu	Texas A&M University, USA guoliangyu@math.tamu.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy (Scientific Editor)
production@msp.org

Annals of K-Theory is a journal of the K-Theory Foundation (ktheoryfoundation.org). The K-Theory Foundation acknowledges the precious support of Foundation Compositio Mathematica, whose help has been instrumental in the launch of the Annals of K-Theory.

See inside back cover or msp.org/akt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$490/year for the electronic version, and \$550/year (+\$25, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Annals of K-Theory (ISSN 2379-1681 electronic, 2379-1683 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

AKT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANNALS OF K-THEORY

2019

vol. 4

no. 1

Segal operations in the algebraic K -theory of topological spaces 1

THOMAS GUNNARSSON and ROSS STAFFELDT

On the Farrell–Jones conjecture for algebraic K -theory of spaces:
the Farrell–Hsiang method 57

MARK ULLMANN and CHRISTOPH WINGES

Almost complex structures on connected sums of complex
projective spaces 139

OLIVER GOERTSCHES and PANAGIOTIS KONSTANTIS