

# ANNALS OF K-THEORY

vol. 5 no. 2 2020

**Tate tame symbol and  
the joint torsion of commuting operators**

Jens Kaad and Ryszard Nest



A JOURNAL OF THE K-THEORY FOUNDATION



# Tate tame symbol and the joint torsion of commuting operators

Jens Kaad and Ryszard Nest

We investigate determinants of Koszul complexes of holomorphic functions of a commuting tuple of bounded operators acting on a Hilbert space. Our main result shows that the analytic joint torsion, which compares two such determinants, can be computed by a local formula which involves a tame symbol of the involved holomorphic functions. As an application we are able to extend the classical tame symbol of meromorphic functions on a Riemann surface to the more involved setting of transversal functions on a complex analytic curve. This follows by spelling out our main result in the case of Toeplitz operators acting on the Hardy space over the polydisc.

1. Introduction	181
2. Determinants, torsion and joint torsion	187
3. Joint torsion of commuting operators	193
4. Multiplicative Lefschetz numbers	198
5. Localization of the joint torsion	201
6. Application: tame symbols of complex analytic curves	206
References	210

## 1. Introduction

The main theme of this paper, which is a continuation of the work begun in [Kaad and Nest 2015; 2019], is the study of the algebraic structure of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  as a module over an algebra of holomorphic functions of a finite family of bounded commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The simplest example is the case of the Toeplitz operator  $T_z$  acting on the Hardy space over the open unit disc  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Here  $z$  denotes the complex coordinate in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The holomorphic functional calculus of bounded operators furnishes the

---

Kaad is partially supported by the DFF-Research Project 2 “Automorphisms and Invariants of Operator Algebras”, no. 7014-00145B. Nest is partially supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF92) through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation.

*MSC2010:* primary 32A10, 47A13; secondary 19C20, 19K56, 32C15.

*Keywords:* determinant functors, Koszul complexes, holomorphic functional calculus, joint torsion, tame symbols.

Hilbert space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  with the structure of a module over the ring  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$  of germs of functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closed unit disc, and analysis of Toeplitz operators is closely related to the algebraic properties of this module structure.

The prototype of results of the type we are interested in is the following:

**Theorem 1.1** (Fritz Noether). *Given a holomorphic function  $f$  on a neighborhood of  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and invertible on its boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  is Fredholm and its index is given by (minus) the winding number of  $f$ ,*

$$\text{Ind}(T_f) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{-1} df = -\sum_{|\lambda|<1} \text{res}_{\lambda}(f^{-1} df). \quad (1.2)$$

A way of interpreting this result is to notice that the left-hand side of this equality is an *analytic object*, the Euler characteristic of the cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{T_f} H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow 0,$$

while the right-hand side has an algebraic  $K$ -theory interpretation. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the field of fractions of  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ . Then an element  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$  whose restriction to the unit circle is invertible determines an element

$$[f] \in K_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{K})$$

and, in this situation, the right-hand side of (1.2) becomes the residue of  $-d \log f$ . This residue should be thought of as the result of the composition of the regulator map

$$K_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{K}) \ni [f] \mapsto [\log f] \in \varinjlim_{S \subseteq \mathbb{D}} H_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathbb{D} \setminus S, \mathbb{Z}(1))$$

from algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $\mathcal{K}$  to the *Deligne cohomology*  $\varinjlim_{S \subseteq \mathbb{D}} H_{\mathcal{D}}^*(\mathbb{D} \setminus S)$  (where the direct limit is taken over finite subsets  $S \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ ) with the residue map (in fact minus the exterior derivative followed by the residue; see, for example, [Brylinski 2008]).

While this particular case does not really justify the reference to algebraic  $K$ -theory, the corresponding computations in the case of  $K_2^{\text{alg}}$  become much more demanding. In their work on algebraic  $K$ -theory of the quotient of the algebra of bounded operators by the ideal of trace class operators, Carey and Pincus introduced an analytic object, the joint torsion  $\text{JT}(A, B)$  of a pair of commuting Fredholm operators  $A$  and  $B$ . In the particular case of a pair of Toeplitz operators  $T_f$  and  $T_g$  on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  and with symbols  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ , they proved the following theorem (in fact, Carey and Pincus work more generally with symbols in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ).

**Theorem 1.3** [Carey and Pincus 1999, Proposition 1]. *Suppose that both  $T_f$  and  $T_g$  are Fredholm. Then*

$$\mathrm{JT}(T_f, T_g) = \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}} (-1)^{m_\lambda(g) \cdot m_\lambda(f)} \lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{g(z)^{m_\lambda(f)}}{f(z)^{m_\lambda(g)}} \in \mathbb{C}^*, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $m_\lambda(f)$  is the multiplicity of a zero of  $f$  at  $\lambda$ .

The left-hand side of this equality is a certain *analytic* invariant of the pair of operators  $(T_f, T_g)$ , while the right-hand side is again best understood via evaluating the regulator map, this time on the Steinberg symbol  $[f, g] \in K_2^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{H})$ . The regulator map provides a class

$$K_2^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{H}) \ni [f, g] \mapsto [\log f] \cup [\log g] \in \varinjlim_{S \subseteq \mathbb{D}} H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathbb{D} \setminus S, \mathbb{Z}(2)),$$

where the element  $[\log f] \cup [\log g]$  in the direct limit of Deligne cohomology groups  $\varinjlim_{S \subseteq \mathbb{D}} H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathbb{D} \setminus S, \mathbb{Z}(2))$  is determined by the cup product in Deligne cohomology. The right-hand side of (1.4) then agrees with the residue map applied to the cohomology class  $[\log f] \cup [\log g]$ ; see [Bloch 1981; Esnault and Viehweg 1988]. The right-hand side of (1.4) is known as the *Tate tame symbol* of the class  $[f, g] \in K_2^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{H})$ ; see [Tate 1968; Deligne 1991]. A related construction in the case of a compact Riemann surface was considered in [Gustafsson and Tkachev 2009].

The subject of this paper is the generalization of these results to the case of a commuting  $n$ -tuple  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  of bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The basic idea is to replace the single Toeplitz operator  $T_z$  by the Koszul complex  $K(A, \mathcal{H})$  of our arbitrary  $n$ -tuple; see Section 3A. The generalization of the closed unit disc in the Toeplitz case becomes the Taylor spectrum  $\mathrm{Sp}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ , the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  for which  $K(A - \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , the Koszul complex of  $A - \lambda = (A_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, A_n - \lambda_n)$ , is not contractible. The notions of essential (resp. Fredholm) spectrum correspond to the values of  $\lambda$  for which  $K(A - \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  has infinite-dimensional (resp. nontrivial finite-dimensional) cohomology. In the case that  $\lambda$  belongs to the Fredholm spectrum of  $A$ , the index  $\mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda)$  stands for the Euler characteristic of the cohomology of the Koszul complex  $K(A - \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ .

By a theorem of Taylor [1970a], the standard holomorphic functional calculus extends to a multivariable holomorphic functional calculus of such an  $n$ -tuple  $A$ , i.e.,

$\mathcal{H}$  is a module over the ring  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  of germs of functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$ .

Our goal is to study the algebraic structure of this module.

The analogue of the Fritz Noether index theorem was dealt with in [Kaad and Nest 2015; Eschmeier and Putinar 1996]. Since it is needed to formulate the results of this paper, we recall the statement.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$ . The  $n$ -tuple*

$$f(A) = (f_1(A_1, \dots, A_n), \dots, f_n(A_1, \dots, A_n))$$

*is Fredholm if and only if the set  $Z(f) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(A)$  of common zeroes of the  $f_i$  has no intersection with the essential spectrum of  $A$ . If  $f(A)$  is Fredholm, the following hold:*

- (1) *The set  $Z(f)$  of common zeroes is finite.*
- (2) *We have*

$$\mathrm{Ind}(f(A)) = \sum_{\lambda \in Z(f)} \mathrm{Ind}_\lambda(f), \quad \mathrm{Ind}_\lambda(f) = m_\lambda(f) \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda). \quad (1.6)$$

*Here  $m_\lambda(f)$  are the multiplicities of the points  $\lambda \in Z(f)$  given by the dimensions*

$$m_\lambda(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{O}_\lambda / \langle f \rangle_\lambda),$$

*where  $\langle f \rangle_\lambda$  is the ideal generated by  $f$  in the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  of convergent power series near  $\lambda$ .*

The joint torsion, the generalization of the torsion invariant of Carey and Pincus, is defined in the following context. First a bit of notation. A complex  $\mathcal{C}$  of Hilbert spaces is Fredholm if it has finite-dimensional cohomology and, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is Fredholm, its determinant line is the one-dimensional vector space

$$|\mathcal{C}| = \Lambda^{\mathrm{top}} H^+(\mathcal{C}) \otimes (\Lambda^{\mathrm{top}} H^-(\mathcal{C}))^\dagger$$

(see Section 2B for more details).

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a complex of Hilbert spaces and  $f, g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two commuting morphisms of complexes. Suppose that the mapping cones  $C_f$  and  $C_g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  are both Fredholm. Then the long exact cohomology sequences of the mapping cone of  $f$  acting on  $C_g$  and of  $g$  acting on  $C_f$  provide two trivializations of the determinant line of  $C_{f,g}$  and the joint torsion  $\mathrm{JT}(\mathcal{C}; f, g)$  is the quotient of these two trivializations. See [Kaad 2012, Section 3.3] and Section 2A3 for details.<sup>1</sup>

The notion of joint torsion for the  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  appears in the following situation. Let  $h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}, f, g$  be holomorphic functions defined in a neighborhood of  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$  and suppose that the zero sets  $Z(h) \cap Z(f)$  and  $Z(h) \cap Z(g)$  do not intersect the essential spectrum of  $A$ . In this case the commuting tuples

---

<sup>1</sup>The choice of signs in this paper is not standard, but is dictated by Theorem 2.17.

$(h_1(A), \dots, h_{n-1}(A), f(A))$  and  $(h_1(A), \dots, h_{n-1}(A), g(A))$  are Fredholm and the joint torsion  $\text{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  is well-defined. The main result of this paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.8** (Theorem 5.9). *Joint torsion is multiplicative, i.e.,*

$$\text{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) = \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h) \cap (Z(f) \cup Z(g))} c_\lambda(h; f, g)^{\text{Ind}(A-\lambda)},$$

where the local terms  $c_\lambda(h; f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  (which are given explicitly in Theorem 1.9) only depend on the image of the functions  $f, g, h_i, i = 1, \dots, n-1$  in the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ .

The local terms in the product above are given by the following result. Notice that the quantities  $m_\mu(h^k, g^k)$  (and  $m_\nu(h^k, f^k)$ ) appearing in the statement are multiplicities of the points  $\mu \in Z(h^k) \cap Z(g^k) = Z(h^k, g^k)$  that are common zeroes of the  $n$ -tuple of holomorphic functions  $(h_1^k, \dots, h_{n-1}^k, g^k)$ ; see the statement of Theorem 1.5. In particular, we see that a combination of Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9 recovers Theorem 1.3 by specializing to the case where  $n = 1$  and  $A := T_z : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is just given by the single Toeplitz operator with symbol the inclusion  $z : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and spectrum  $\text{Sp}(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

**Theorem 1.9** (Theorem 5.6). *Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be open with compact closure  $\overline{U}$  and consider  $h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}, f, g \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{U})$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \in U$  satisfies*

$$Z(h) \cap (Z(f) \cup Z(g)) \subseteq \{\lambda\}.$$

*Then the sequence of quotients*

$$c_\lambda(h^k; f^k, g^k) = \frac{\prod_{\mu \in U \cap Z(h^k) \cap Z(g^k)} f^k(\mu)^{m_\mu(h^k, g^k)}}{\prod_{\nu \in U \cap Z(h^k) \cap Z(f^k)} g^k(\nu)^{m_\nu(h^k, f^k)}}$$

*converges to  $c_\lambda(h; f, g)$  for any sequences  $\{h_i^k\}$ ,  $\{f^k\}$ ,  $\{g^k\}$  in  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{U})$  which converge uniformly to  $h_i, f, g$  and for which*

$$Z(h^k) \cap Z(f^k) \cap Z(g^k) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Remark 1.10.** The assumptions of Theorem 1.8 can be weakened. In fact it is sufficient for the functions  $f$  and  $g$  to be defined and holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $X = Z(h) \cap \text{Sp}(A)$ . Using the methods of [Müller 2002], Taylor holomorphic calculus can be extended to define operators  $f(A)$  and  $g(A)$  acting on the Koszul complex  $K(h, \mathcal{H})$  and the conclusions of the theorem still hold.

**Remark 1.11.** One can also define the “local joint torsion” by localizing the Hilbert space at the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda$  of functions in  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Sp}(A))$  vanishing at  $\lambda$ . The resulting numbers are conjecturally the same as the local joint torsion  $c_\lambda(h; f, g)^{\text{Ind}(A-\lambda)}$  as defined above.

As an application of our main results we are able to extend the definition of the Tate tame symbol from Riemann surfaces to more general complex analytic curves. More precisely, we work with a complex analytic curve  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and a fixed point  $x \in X$  such that there exists a local model  $(Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)})$  near  $x$  which is determined by a holomorphic map

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1},$$

where  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is an open set. In other words,  $X$  is a complete intersection in a neighborhood of  $x$ . For any two holomorphic functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  which are transversal to the curve near  $x \in X$ , we may then define the Tate tame symbol

$$c_x(X; f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

by applying the local description of Theorem 1.9. This invariant satisfies the properties which define a symbol in arithmetic; see [Tate 1971].

**Remark 1.12.** The conditions on the specific type of local model near  $x \in X$  can be removed by working with more general resolutions than the Koszul complex. In particular, we will be able to study tame symbols of general complex analytic curves. We plan to carry out the details in a future publication.

The structure of the paper is as follows.

Section 2 is devoted to basic definitions involving determinants and torsion of Fredholm complexes. The definition essential to this paper, that of joint torsion, is given in Section 2A3.

The notion underlying these constructions is a determinant functor on the triangulated category of Fredholm complexes but, since this more abstract context is not necessary to understand what follows, we have avoided this language.

Section 3 is devoted to the generalities involving Koszul complexes of commuting families of bounded operators. After recalling the basic definitions (Section 3A) we describe the localization procedure involved in the computation of local indices and state the local index theorem (see Section 3C).

Section 4 contains the basic technical computations involving the joint torsion. The main result of this section, Theorem 4.3, gives a formula for joint torsion in the case when the  $(n + 1)$ -tuple  $(h, f, g)$  has no common zeroes in  $\text{Sp}(A)$ . The proof is based on the observation that in this case the joint torsion is given by the quotient of two determinants, both of which can be computed explicitly.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>The fact that the joint torsion of two Fredholm operators  $(A_1, A_2)$  whose Koszul complex is contractible can be given as a quotient of two determinants holds in fact in a more general context. It is sufficient to assume that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  commute up to a trace class operator and that, moreover, one can construct an acyclic complex  $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathcal{H}$ , where the boundary maps are trace class perturbations of  $(A_1, A_2)^t$  (and  $(A_2, -A_1)$ ); see [Migler 2014].

Section 5 contains the proofs of the main theorems listed above. This section relies heavily on the continuity properties of the joint torsion as investigated by the authors in [Kaad and Nest 2019].

Section 6 contains the application of our results to the setting of complex analytic curves.

## 2. Determinants, torsion and joint torsion

Throughout this section  $\mathbb{F}$  is a fixed field of characteristic zero.

### 2A. Determinants of vector spaces.

#### 2A1. Picard category of graded lines.

**Definition 2.1.**  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the category of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded lines over  $\mathbb{F}$ . The objects of  $\mathcal{L}$  are thus pairs  $(V, n)$ , where  $V$  is a one-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The set of morphisms  $\text{Mor}((V, n), (W, m))$  is the set of isomorphisms  $V \rightarrow W$  when  $n = m$  and empty when  $n \neq m$ .

The category  $\mathcal{L}$  becomes a *Picard category* when equipped with the bifunctor

$$\otimes : ((V, n), (W, m)) \mapsto (V \otimes W, n + m),$$

which satisfies the obvious associativity constraint and the commutativity constraint

$$\psi_{(V,n),(W,m)} : (V, n) \otimes (W, m) \rightarrow (W, m) \otimes (V, n), \quad \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto (-1)^{n \cdot m} \eta \otimes \xi.$$

Let  $\dagger : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  denote the covariant functor:

$$\dagger(V, n) := (V^*, -n) \text{ on objects} \quad \text{and} \quad \dagger(\alpha) := (\alpha^{-1})^* \text{ on morphisms},$$

where the superscript  $(\cdot)^*$  denotes the linear dual (resp. transpose) of a vector space (resp. linear transformation). Below we often use the notation  $(V, n)^\dagger := \dagger(V, n)$  and  $\alpha^\dagger := \dagger(\alpha)$ .

Together with the natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(V,n),(W,m)} : (V, n)^\dagger \otimes (W, m)^\dagger &\rightarrow ((V, n) \otimes (W, m))^\dagger, \\ (c_{(V,n),(W,m)}(\lambda \otimes \mu))(\xi \otimes \eta) &:= \lambda(\xi) \cdot \mu(\eta) \cdot (-1)^{n \cdot m}, \end{aligned}$$

the covariant functor  $\dagger$  becomes a monoidal functor. Furthermore, for any graded line  $(V, n)$ , the image  $(V, n)^\dagger = (V^*, -n)$ , together with the isomorphism

$$\varepsilon_{(V,n)} : V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad \varepsilon_{(V,n)} : \xi \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda(\xi),$$

is a fixed right inverse. Here the ground field  $(\mathbb{F}, 0)$  and the obvious isomorphisms  $V \otimes \mathbb{F} \cong V \cong \mathbb{F} \otimes V$  play the role of a fixed unit.

## 2A2. Graded vector spaces.

**Definition 2.2.**  $\mathfrak{V}$  denotes the abelian category of *finite*-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{V}_{\text{iso}}$  denotes the subcategory of  $\mathfrak{V}$  with the same objects as  $\mathfrak{V}$  and where

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathfrak{V}_{\text{iso}}}(V, W) = \{A \in \text{Mor}_{\mathfrak{V}}(V, W) \mid A \text{ is invertible}\}.$$

We let  $|\cdot|$  denote the determinant functor given by

$$\mathfrak{V}_{\text{iso}} \ni V \rightarrow (\Lambda^{\text{top}} V, \dim V) \in \mathfrak{L}$$

on objects and by  $f \rightarrow \Lambda^{\text{top}}(f)$  on morphisms (invertible linear transformations), where  $\Lambda(V)$  denotes the exterior algebra over  $V$ .

The basic property of the determinant functor is the following simple observation. Given a short exact sequence of finite-dimensional vector spaces

$$\Delta : 0 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\iota} W \xrightarrow{\pi} Z \rightarrow 0,$$

there exists an associated canonical isomorphism

$$|\Delta| : |W| \rightarrow |V| \otimes |Z| \tag{2.3}$$

given as follows: Let  $v_1, \dots, v_{\dim V}$  be a linear basis of the image of  $V$  in  $W$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_{\dim Z}$  its completion to a linear basis of  $W$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta|(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\dim V} \wedge w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_{\dim Z}) \\ = (-1)^{\dim V \cdot \dim Z} (\iota^{-1}(v_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \iota^{-1}(v_{\dim V})) \otimes (\pi(w_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \pi(w_{\dim Z})). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that  $|\Delta|$  is independent of the choices made. Remark that the extra sign  $(-1)^{\dim V \cdot \dim Z}$  is nonstandard.

**Remark 2.4.** Note that the above rules determine a determinant functor as defined for example in [Breuning 2011, Definition 2.3]; see also [Deligne 1987] or [Knudsen 2002, Definition 1.4].

This determinant functor extends to the category of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded finite-dimensional vector spaces. First some notation.

**Notation 2.5.** (1)  $\mathfrak{V}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$  denotes the category of finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces with objects  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  and morphisms

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^- \end{pmatrix} : V \rightarrow W,$$

where  $\alpha^\pm : V^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm$  are linear maps.

(2) The map  $[1]: \mathfrak{V}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$  is the self-equivalence of  $\mathfrak{V}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$  given by change of grading:

$$V[1]^\pm = V^\mp \text{ on objects and } \alpha[1]^\pm = \alpha^\mp \text{ on morphisms.}$$

(3)  $\mathfrak{V}_{\text{iso}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$  is the category with the same objects as  $\mathfrak{V}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$  and morphisms given by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^- \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\alpha^\pm$  invertible.

**Definition 2.6.** The functor  $|\cdot|: \mathfrak{V}_{\text{iso}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$  is given by

$$|V| = |V^+| \otimes |V^-|^\dagger \text{ on objects and } |\alpha| = |\alpha^+| \otimes |\alpha^-|^\dagger \text{ on morphisms.}$$

**2A3. Torsion.** The analogue of the isomorphism (2.3) in the context of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ) graded vector spaces has the following form.

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space. The *degree map*  $\varepsilon: \Lambda(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  on the exterior algebra over  $V$  is defined on homogeneous elements by  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \mapsto k$ . Suppose that  $L$  is a one-dimensional vector space and  $t \in L$  a nonzero vector. Then  $t^* \in L^*$  denotes the unique vector such that  $t^*(t) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $V_i = V_i^+ \oplus V_i^-$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  are  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces and that we are given grading-preserving linear maps

$$f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2, \quad i: V_2 \rightarrow V, \quad p: V \rightarrow V_1[1]$$

such that the following six-term sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_1^+ & \xrightarrow{f^+} & V_2^+ & \xrightarrow{i^+} & V^+ \\ \downarrow p^- & & & & \downarrow p^+ \\ V^- & \xleftarrow{i^-} & V_2^- & \xleftarrow{f^-} & V_1^- \end{array} \quad (2.7)$$

For future use, let us introduce the following.

**Notation 2.8.** We write the six-term exact sequence  $\mathcal{V}$  above as the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V_2 \\ & \swarrow p[1] & \searrow i \\ & V & \end{array}$$

and refer to it as an *exact triangle* of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces.

**Definition 2.9.** Suppose that we are given a six-term exact sequence  $\mathcal{V}$  of the form (2.7). Set

$$\begin{aligned} (V_1^+)_0 &:= \text{Ker}(f^+), & (V_2^+)_0 &:= \text{Ker}(i^+), & (V^+)_0 &:= \text{Ker}(p^+), \\ (V_1^-)_0 &:= \text{Ker}(f^-), & (V_2^-)_0 &:= \text{Ker}(i^-), & (V^-)_0 &:= \text{Ker}(p^-). \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 1, 2$ , choose subspaces  $(V_i^\pm)_{(1)} \subset V_i^\pm$  (resp.  $(V^\pm)_{(1)} \subset V^\pm$ ) complementary to  $(V_i^\pm)_0 \subset V_i^\pm$  (resp.  $(V^\pm)_0 \subset V^\pm$ ) and nonzero vectors

$$t_i^\pm \in |(V_i^\pm)_{(1)}|, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (\text{resp. } t^\pm \in |(V^\pm)_{(1)}|)$$

The *torsion isomorphism* of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the isomorphism

$$|\mathcal{V}| : |V_2| \rightarrow |V_1| \otimes |V|$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{V}|((f^+(t_1^+) \wedge t_2^+) \otimes (f^-(t_1^-) \wedge t_2^-)^*) \\ = (-1)^{\mu(\mathcal{V})} (p^-(t^-) \wedge t_1^+) \otimes (p^+(t^+) \wedge t_1^-)^* \otimes (i^+(t_2^+) \wedge t^+) \otimes (i^-(t_2^-) \wedge t^-)^*. \end{aligned}$$

The sign exponent is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{V}) := (\varepsilon(t_2^+) + 1) \cdot (\varepsilon(t_1^-) + \varepsilon(t_1^+)) + \varepsilon(t_1^-) \cdot (\varepsilon(t^+) + \varepsilon(t^-)) \\ + \varepsilon(t^-) \cdot (\varepsilon(t_2^+) + \varepsilon(t_2^-)) + \varepsilon(t^+) \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

It is a consequence of [Kaad 2012, Lemma 2.1.3] that the torsion isomorphism does not depend on the choices made. For future reference let us note the following simple fact:

**Lemma 2.10.** Suppose that we are given a six-term exact sequence  $\mathcal{V}$  of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f & \\ \mathcal{V} : & W \xrightarrow{\quad} & W \\ & \nwarrow & \swarrow \\ & 0 & \end{array}$$

The torsion isomorphism of  $\mathcal{V}$  is given by

$$|\mathcal{V}| = (-1)^{\dim(W^+) + \dim(W^-)} \cdot \frac{\det f^-}{\det f^+}.$$

*Proof.* This is a straightforward consequence of the definitions.  $\square$

## 2B. Fredholm complexes.

**Definition 2.11.** A *Fredholm complex*  $\mathcal{X}$  is a finite cochain complex of (possibly infinite-dimensional) vector spaces

$$\mathcal{X} : \dots \rightarrow X^k \xrightarrow{d^k} X^{k+1} \xrightarrow{d^{k+1}} X^{k+2} \rightarrow \dots$$

such that the cohomology groups

$$H^k(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Ker } d^k / \text{Im } d^{k-1}$$

are finite-dimensional.

The *determinant* of the Fredholm complex  $\mathcal{X}$  is the graded line

$$|\mathcal{X}| = |H^+(\mathcal{X})| \otimes |H^-(\mathcal{X})|^\dagger,$$

where  $H^+(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{2k}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $H^-(\mathcal{X}) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{2k+1}(\mathcal{X})$ . The *index* of  $\mathcal{X}$  is the integer

$$\text{Ind}(\mathcal{X}) = \dim(H^+(\mathcal{X})) - \dim(H^-(\mathcal{X})).$$

We let  $\mathcal{X}[1]$  denote the *shift* of the Fredholm complex  $\mathcal{X}$ .  $\mathcal{X}[1]$  is again a Fredholm complex with cochains  $\mathcal{X}[1]^k := \mathcal{X}^{k+1}$  and with differentials

$$d[1]^k := -d^{k+1} : X^{k+1} \rightarrow X^{k+2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be finite cochain complexes and let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a cochain map. The *mapping cone* of  $f$  is the cochain complex  $C_f$  defined by  $C_f^k := X^{k+1} \oplus Y^k$  and

$$d_f^k := \begin{pmatrix} -d_{\mathcal{X}}^{k+1} & 0 \\ f^{k+1} & d_{\mathcal{Y}}^k \end{pmatrix} : X^{k+1} \oplus Y^k \rightarrow X^{k+2} \oplus Y^{k+1}.$$

Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is a cochain map of Fredholm complexes. The mapping cone is again a Fredholm complex and it fits into the *mapping cone triangle*

$$\Delta_f : \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{i} C_f \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{X}[1],$$

where the cochain maps  $i : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C_f$  and  $p : C_f \rightarrow \mathcal{X}[1]$  are given by the inclusions  $i^k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : Y^k \rightarrow X^{k+1} \oplus Y^k$  and the projections  $p^k := (1 \ 0) : X^{k+1} \oplus Y^k \rightarrow X^{k+1}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By passing to cohomology, the mapping cone triangle associated to  $f$  yields an exact triangle of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^+(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{H^+(f)} & H^+(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{H^+(i)} & H^+(C_f) \\ H(\Delta_f) : & & \uparrow H^-(p) & & \downarrow H^+(p) \\ H^-(C_f) & \xleftarrow{H^-(i)} & H^-(\mathcal{Y}) & \xleftarrow{H^-(f)} & H^-(\mathcal{X}) \end{array} \quad (2.13)$$

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a cochain map of Fredholm complexes. The *torsion isomorphism* of  $f$  is the torsion isomorphism of  $H(\Delta_f)$ ,

$$|H(\Delta_f)| : |\mathcal{Y}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{X}| \otimes |C_f|$$

(compare with Definition 2.9).

**2C. Joint torsion.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a finite cochain complex and let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be two commuting endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Remark that  $\mathcal{X}$  is *not* assumed to be a Fredholm complex. Instead, we assume that the mapping cones  $C_f$  and  $C_g$  are Fredholm complexes. Since  $f$  and  $g$  commute we then obtain two cochain maps of Fredholm complexes:

$$\delta(g) = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} : C_f \rightarrow C_f \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} : C_g \rightarrow C_g.$$

Note that the two mapping cones  $C_{\delta(f)}$  and  $C_{\delta(g)}$  are in fact isomorphic: the isomorphism is given by the cochain map  $\Phi : C_{\delta(g)} \rightarrow C_{\delta(f)}$  defined by

$$\Phi^k := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : X^{k+2} \oplus X^{k+1} \oplus X^{k+1} \oplus X^k \rightarrow X^{k+2} \oplus X^{k+1} \oplus X^{k+1} \oplus X^k \quad (2.15)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.16.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a finite cochain complex and let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be two commuting morphisms of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that both  $C_f$  and  $C_g$  are Fredholm complexes. The *joint torsion* of  $f$  and  $g$  is the nonzero number inducing the automorphism

$$JT(\mathcal{X}; f, g) := (-1)^{\text{Ind}(C_f) + \text{Ind}(C_g)} \cdot |H(\Delta_{\delta(f)})|^{-1} \circ |\Phi| \circ |H(\Delta_{\delta(g)})| : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F},$$

where we have used the canonical isomorphisms

$$|C_f| \otimes |C_f|^\dagger \cong |C_g| \otimes |C_g|^\dagger \cong \mathbb{F}$$

to identify the torsion isomorphisms of  $\delta(g)$  and  $\delta(f)$  with maps

$$|H(\Delta_{\delta(g)})| : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow |C_{\delta(g)}| \quad \text{and} \quad |H(\Delta_{\delta(f)})| : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow |C_{\delta(f)}|.$$

**2C1. Analyticity of joint torsion.** Let  $\{X^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a fixed and finite family of *Hilbert spaces*; thus  $X^k = \{0\}$  for all indices outside a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let us for future reference state the following result, which is a consequence of [Kaad and Nest 2019, Theorem 9.1].

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of triples  $(\mathcal{X}; f, g)$ , where

$$\mathcal{X} : \cdots \xrightarrow{d^k} X^{k+1} \xrightarrow{d^{k+1}} X^{k+2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is a cochain complex with each  $d^k : X^k \rightarrow X^{k+1}$  a bounded operator and with  $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  commuting cochain maps such that  $f^k, g^k : X^k \rightarrow X^k$  are bounded operators and such that  $C_f$  and  $C_g$  are Fredholm. We can realize the elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  as a set of bounded operators  $(d, f, g)$  on the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hilbert space  $X = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} X^k$  and hence endow  $\mathcal{F}$  with the induced topology coming from the *operator norm*.

More precisely,  $\mathcal{F}$  can be viewed as a subset of the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded operators on  $X \oplus X \oplus X$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X \oplus X \oplus X)$ , by mapping a triple  $(d, f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$  to the bounded operator given by  $\iota(d, f, g) : (\xi_k, \eta_l, \zeta_m) \mapsto (d^k(\xi_k), f^l(\eta_l), g^m(\zeta_m))$  for all  $\xi_k \in X^k$ ,  $\eta_l \in X^l$  and  $\zeta_m \in X^m$ . We emphasize that the individual Hilbert spaces  $X^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are fixed so that only the coboundary maps and cochain maps are allowed to vary. For an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  we then say that a map  $\alpha : U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is *analytic* when the associated map  $\iota \circ \alpha : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X \oplus X \oplus X)$  is analytic.

**Theorem 2.17.** *The map*

$$\mathcal{F} \ni (\mathcal{X}; f, g) \rightarrow \text{JT}(\mathcal{X}; f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

*is analytic. Thus, for any analytic map  $\alpha : U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  defined on an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  we have that  $\text{JT} \circ \alpha : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is analytic.*

The following variant of Theorem 2.17 also holds:

**Theorem 2.18.** *The map*

$$\mathcal{F} \ni (\mathcal{X}; f, g) \rightarrow \text{JT}(\mathcal{X}; f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

*is continuous.*

**Remark 2.19.** We could have defined the joint torsion in the context of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Fredholm complexes instead of in the more restricted context of finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Fredholm complexes. The reason for staying with finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Fredholm complexes is that we do not have a proof of Theorem 2.17 for  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Fredholm complexes.

### 3. Joint torsion of commuting operators

Throughout this section  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^n$  denotes a commuting  $n$ -tuple of bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, we have the relation  $A_i A_j - A_j A_i = 0$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $A - \lambda$  denotes the  $n$ -tuple  $(A_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, A_n - \lambda_n)$ . We start by recalling some basic constructions and facts.

**3A. The Koszul complex.** Let  $\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$  denote the exterior algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  on  $n$  generators  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ .

For each subset  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , let

$$e_I := e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where  $\wedge : \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$  denotes the wedge product.

The exterior algebra is then a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra with respect to the decomposition

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where

$$\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n) := \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{for } k \notin \{0, \dots, n\}, \\ \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_I \mid I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, |I| = k\} & \text{for } k \in \{0, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the interior multiplication with the  $j$ -th generator is denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_j^* : \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) &\rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), \\ \varepsilon_j^* : e_I &\mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j \notin I, \\ (-1)^{m-1} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_m}} \wedge e_{i_{m+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} & \text{for } j = i_m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This linear map has degree  $-1$  with respect to the above  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading.

**Definition 3.1.** By the *Koszul complex of the commuting  $n$ -tuple  $A$*  we understand the finite cochain complex of Hilbert spaces given by the following data:

- (1) The Hilbert space  $K^k(A, \mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^{-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2) The differential of degree one,

$$d_A := \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \otimes \varepsilon_j^* : K^k(A, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K^{k+1}(A, \mathcal{H}).$$

We use the notation  $K(A, \mathcal{H})$  for the Koszul complex and the notation  $H^k(A, \mathcal{H})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  for the cohomology groups of  $K(A, \mathcal{H})$ .

**Remark 3.2.** One may equally well define the Koszul complex of a commuting  $n$ -tuple  $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  of endomorphisms of a fixed vector space  $V$ , and we shall need this later on in the text; see Proposition 3.8.

**Definition 3.3.** We say that a commuting  $n$ -tuple  $A$  is *Fredholm* when the Koszul complex  $K(A, \mathcal{H})$  is Fredholm. In this case, the *index* of  $A$  is the Euler characteristic (or Fredholm index) of the Fredholm complex  $K(A, \mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(A) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^k(A, \mathcal{H})).$$

When  $A$  is Fredholm we have that  $d_A^k : K^k(A, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K^{k+1}(A, \mathcal{H})$  has closed image for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is a consequence of [Curto 1981, Corollary 6.2].

The above Definition 3.1 is not quite the conventional definition of the Koszul complex. The reason for using interior instead of exterior multiplication on the exterior algebra stems from the fact that we want the mapping cone of a bounded operator  $B : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  to be isomorphic to the Koszul complex  $K(B, \mathcal{H})$  (without the dimension shift). Indeed, with the present convention, we have the following; see [Kaad and Nest 2015, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $B : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a bounded operator such that  $BA_j = A_j B$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then the mapping cone of the cochain map*

$$K^*(B) := B \otimes 1 : K^*(A, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K^*(A, \mathcal{H})$$

*is cochain isomorphic to the Koszul complex  $K^*((B, A), \mathcal{H})$ .*

Recall that the *Taylor spectrum* of  $A$  is the set

$$\mathrm{Sp}(A) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid H^*(A - \lambda, \mathcal{H}) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

The Taylor spectrum is a compact nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  [Taylor 1970b, Theorem 3.1]. The essential Taylor spectrum of  $A$  is the set

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{ess}}(A) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid A - \lambda \text{ is not Fredholm}\}.$$

The unital commutative ring of germs of holomorphic functions on neighborhoods of  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$ . The elements in  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  are thus equivalence classes of holomorphic functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$ .

The commuting tuple of bounded operators  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  provides us with a holomorphic functional calculus. To be more precise, the following holds; see [Taylor 1970a, Theorem 4.8].

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the smallest unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra which contains the bounded operators  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . There exists a unital homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}''$  (the double commutant of  $\mathcal{A}$ ),  $f \mapsto f(A)$  such that  $z_i \mapsto A_i$ . Furthermore, whenever  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathrm{Sp}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  is holomorphic (i.e.,  $f_k \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ) the following identity holds:*

$$\mathrm{Sp}(f(A)) = f(\mathrm{Sp}(A)).$$

In particular, the above holomorphic functional calculus allows us to consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  as a left module over  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$ .

**Notation 3.6.** (1) Given  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$ , we denote the operator  $f(A)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $f$ .

Given  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^m$ ,  $K^*(g, \mathcal{H})$  denotes the Koszul complex of  $g(A) = (g_1(A), \dots, g_m(A))$  and  $H^*(g, \mathcal{H})$  denotes the cohomology of  $K^*(g, \mathcal{H})$ .

(2) For each  $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  denotes the localization of the module  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A)) \mid f(\lambda) = 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$ . More explicitly, the subset  $S_\lambda := (\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A)) \setminus \mathfrak{p}_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  is a multiplicatively closed subset and we put  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda := S_\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{H}$ . For a vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and an element  $s \in S_\lambda$  we let  $\xi/s \in S_\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{H}$  denote the associated equivalence class in the localization. In particular, since the unit 1 lies in  $S_\lambda$  we obtain the map  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S_\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{H}$  given by  $\xi \mapsto \xi/1$ . We emphasize that  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  is *not* a Hilbert space but that  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  remains a left module over  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  and a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(3) Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that we are given  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^m$ . We set

$$Z(g) := \{\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(A) \mid g_1(\lambda) = \dots = g_m(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

**Example 3.7** [Curto 1981]. Let  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  be the Hardy-space over the polydisc  $\mathbb{D}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_j| < 1 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $A = (T_{z_1}, \dots, T_{z_n})$  be the  $n$ -tuple of multiplication operators by the coordinate functions  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then

- (1)  $\mathrm{Sp}(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}^n}$ ;
- (2) for a function  $f$  holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}^n}$ ,  $f(A)$  coincides with the Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  of multiplication by  $f$ ;
- (3)  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{ess}}(A) = \partial \mathbb{D}^n$ ;
- (4) for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,  $\mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda) = 1$ ;
- (5) for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,  $H^k(A - \lambda, H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)) = \{0\}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

The next result is a consequence of [Kaad and Nest 2015, Proposition 4.5]. Notice that the cohomology groups involving the localizations  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  are again Koszul cohomology groups but this time in the sense of Remark 3.2 (considering each  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  as a vector space equipped with a commuting  $m$ -tuple of linear endomorphisms).

**Proposition 3.8.** *Suppose  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^m$  is such that  $g(A) = (g_1(A), \dots, g_m(A))$  is Fredholm. Then  $Z(g)$  is finite and the morphism of modules  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Z(g)} \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ ,  $\xi \mapsto \{\xi/1\}_{\lambda \in Z(g)}$ , induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups,*

$$H^*(g, \mathcal{H}) \cong H^*(g, \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Z(g)} \mathcal{H}_\lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Z(g)} H^*(g, \mathcal{H}_\lambda).$$

**3B. Joint torsion transition numbers.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\langle m \rangle := \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Fix an element  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^m$ . For a subset  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \langle m \rangle$  with  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq m$ , we define

$$g_J := (g_{j_1}, \dots, g_{j_k}) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^k.$$

The following assumption remains in effect throughout this subsection:

*Let  $i, j \in \langle m \rangle$  and suppose that the commuting  $(m-1)$ -tuples  $g_{\langle m \rangle \setminus \{i\}}(A)$  and  $g_{\langle m \rangle \setminus \{j\}}(A)$  are Fredholm.*

It then follows by Lemma 3.4 that the mapping cones of the commuting cochain maps

$$K^*(g_i) \text{ and } K^*(g_j) : K^*(g_{\langle m \rangle \setminus \{i, j\}}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K^*(g_{\langle m \rangle \setminus \{i, j\}}, \mathcal{H}) \quad (3.9)$$

are Fredholm complexes. In particular, the following definition makes sense:

**Definition 3.10.** The *joint torsion transition number* of  $g(A)$  (in position  $i, j$ ) is defined as the joint torsion of the cochain maps in (3.9). It is denoted by

$$\tau_{i,j}(g(A)) := \mathrm{JT}(K^*(g_{\langle m \rangle \setminus \{i, j\}}, \mathcal{H}); g_i, g_j) \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

**3B1. The torsion line bundle.** As in the last subsection, let  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$  be a fixed element of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^m$ . Recall that  $\langle m \rangle := \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , define the open subset

$$U_i = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid (g - \mu)_{\langle m \rangle \setminus \{i\}}(A) \text{ is Fredholm}\}.$$

For each  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and each  $\mu \in U_i \cap U_j$ , we then have the joint torsion transition number

$$\tau_{i,j}(g(A))(\mu) := \tau_{i,j}(g(A) - \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

See Definition 3.10.

As a consequence of [Kaad 2012, Lemma 3.3.3] these functions satisfy the transition identities of a line bundle. Thus

$$\tau_{i,j}(g(A)) \cdot \tau_{j,k}(g(A)) = \tau_{i,k}(g(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{i,j}(g(A)) = \tau_{j,i}(g(A))^{-1},$$

for all  $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Furthermore, by Theorem 2.17, each  $\tau_{i,j}(g(A)) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is analytic. Hence the following makes sense:

**Definition 3.11.** The *torsion line bundle* of  $g(A)$  is the analytic line bundle on  $U := \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$  with transition functions

$$\tau_{i,j}(g(A)) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

**3C. Local indices.** Let  $\Omega := \mathrm{Sp}(A)^\circ$  denote the interior of the Taylor spectrum and let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the sheaf of analytic functions on  $\Omega$ . Fix an element  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^n$ . Notice that the number of holomorphic functions in  $g$  coincides with the number of operators in the  $n$ -tuple  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ .

*Throughout this subsection we suppose that the commuting  $n$ -tuple  $g(A) = (g_1(A), \dots, g_n(A))$  is Fredholm.*

This means precisely that the intersection  $Z(g) \cap \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{ess}}(A)$  is the trivial set. In particular, there is a well-defined index  $\mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $\lambda \in Z(g)$ .

Now, applying Proposition 3.8, it also follows from the Fredholmness of  $g(A)$  that the set of common zeroes  $Z(g) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(A)$  is finite. In particular, each element  $\lambda \in \Omega \cap Z(g)$  is an isolated zero for the holomorphic map  $g|_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ . This is equivalent to the finite dimensionality of the quotient vector space  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda / \langle g \rangle_\lambda$ , where  $\langle g \rangle_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  is the ideal generated by the analytic functions  $g_1, \dots, g_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  at  $\lambda$ . See [Grauert and Remmert 1984, Chapter 5, §1.2].

**Definition 3.12.** The *local degree* or (multiplicity) of  $g$  at  $\lambda \in \Omega$  is the dimension  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda / \langle g \rangle_\lambda) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The local degree is denoted by  $m_\lambda(g)$ .

**Definition 3.13.** The *local index* of  $g(A)$  at  $\lambda \in \text{Sp}(A)$  is the Euler characteristic of the Koszul complex  $K(g, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ . The local index is denoted by  $\text{Ind}_\lambda(g(A)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus

$$\text{Ind}_\lambda(g(A)) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^i(g, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)).$$

Note that the finite dimensionality of the cohomology groups  $H^i(g, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  is nonobvious. This is a consequence of Proposition 3.8 which also implies the identity

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(g(A), \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{\lambda \in Z(g)} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^i(g, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)).$$

In particular we have that

$$\text{Ind}(g(A)) = \sum_{\lambda \in Z(g)} \text{Ind}_\lambda(g(A)).$$

The following “local” index theorem therefore yields the “global” index theorem of [Eschmeier and Putinar 1996, Theorem 10.3.13].

**Theorem 3.14** (see [Kaad and Nest 2015, Theorem 8.5]). *Suppose that  $g(A)$  is Fredholm and that  $\lambda \in Z(g)$ . The local index at  $\lambda$  is then given by*

$$\text{Ind}_\lambda(g(A)) = m_\lambda(g) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \lambda).$$

**Remark 3.15.** By homotopy invariance of the Fredholm index,  $\text{Ind}(A - \lambda) = 0$  when  $\lambda \in \partial(\text{Sp}(A)) \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A))$ . The right-hand side of the equation in the above theorem should therefore be understood as 0 in this case, even though the local degree,  $m_\lambda(g)$ , is *not* defined.

#### 4. Multiplicative Lefschetz numbers

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Fredholm complex over a fixed field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic 0, and let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a cochain map.

*Suppose that the induced maps  $H^+(f) : H^+(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H^+(\mathcal{X})$  and  $H^-(f) : H^-(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H^-(\mathcal{X})$  are invertible.*

**Definition 4.1.** The *multiplicative Lefschetz number* of  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is the invertible element

$$M(\mathcal{X}; f) := \frac{\det(H^+(f))}{\det(H^-(f))} \in \mathbb{F}^*.$$

**4A. Lefschetz numbers of Koszul complexes.** Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  be a commuting  $n$ -tuple of bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}, f, g$  be in  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Sp}(A))$ . We use the notation

$$(h, f) := (h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}, f) \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Sp}(A))^n$$

(and similarly for  $(h, g)$ ). Throughout this subsection we suppose the following:

*The sets  $Z(h, f) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  and  $Z(h, g) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  and  $Z(h, f, g)$  are empty.*

It follows from this assumption that the Koszul complexes  $K((h, f), \mathcal{H})$  and  $K((h, g), \mathcal{H})$  are Fredholm. Furthermore, we have that the cohomology of the Koszul complex  $K((h, f, g), \mathcal{H})$  is trivial.

Notice now that  $f$  and  $g$  induce cochain maps

$$\begin{aligned} f &:= K^*(f) : K((h, g), \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K((h, g), \mathcal{H}), \\ g &:= K^*(g) : K((h, f), \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K((h, f), \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

of Koszul complexes by means of the holomorphic functional calculus. As a consequence of the exactness of  $K((h, f, g), \mathcal{H})$  we obtain that the induced maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(f) &: H^*((h, g), \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^*((h, g), \mathcal{H}), \\ H^*(g) &: H^*((h, f), \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^*((h, f), \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

are invertible.

The quantities involved in the next proposition are therefore well-defined.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The following identities hold:*

$$\text{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) = \frac{M(K((h, g), \mathcal{H}); f)}{M(K((h, f), \mathcal{H}); g)} = \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in Z(h, g)} f(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h, g) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \lambda)}}{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h, f)} g(\mu)^{m_\mu(h, f) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \mu)}}.$$

*Proof.* The first identity is an immediate consequence of the definition of the joint torsion and of Lemma 2.10; see also [Kaad 2012, Theorem 3.4.1].

To prove the second identity, it suffices to show that

$$M(K((h, g), \mathcal{H}); f) = \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)} f(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h, g) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \lambda)}.$$

Let  $i \in \{-n, \dots, 0\}$ . Since  $Z(h) \cap Z(g) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A) = \emptyset$ , the Koszul cohomology group  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})$  is finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let

$$H^i(A) := (H^i(A_1), \dots, H^i(A_n))$$

denote the commuting  $n$ -tuple of linear operators on  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})$  induced by  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ . For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \subseteq H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})$  denote the generalized eigenspace of the commuting  $n$ -tuple  $H^i(A)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \\ := \{ \xi \in H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H}) \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N} : \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, H^i(A_j - \lambda_j)^m(\xi) = 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

Recall now that the finite dimensionality of  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})$  implies that

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in Z(h, g)} H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \cong H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})$$

and furthermore that each component  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \subseteq H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})$  admits a basis in which each of the restrictions

$$H^i(A_j)(\lambda) : H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \rightarrow H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)$$

is upper triangular with only  $\lambda_j$  on the diagonal.

For each  $\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)$ , let

$$H^i(f(A))(\lambda) : H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \rightarrow H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \quad (4.4)$$

be the restriction of the isomorphism  $H^i(f(A)) : H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})$ . It then follows immediately from the above that

$$\det(H^i(f(A))) = \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)} \det(H^i(f(A))(\lambda)). \quad (4.5)$$

The next lemma gives a computation of the determinant  $\det(H^i(f(A))(\lambda))$  for each  $\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)$ . The isomorphism (4.4) can be represented by an upper triangular matrix having  $f(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  as its only diagonal entry. In particular,*

$$\det(H^i(f(A))(\lambda)) = f(\lambda)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  is the restriction of a polynomial  $p$  in the variables  $z_1, \dots, z_n : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In this case (4.4) is given by the polynomial  $p(H^i(A)(\lambda))$ , where each variable  $z_j$  has been replaced by the linear operator  $H^i(A_j)(\lambda) : H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \rightarrow H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)$ . Choose a basis for  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)$  in which each of the operators  $H^i(A_j)(\lambda)$  is represented by an upper triangular matrix having  $\lambda_j$  as the only diagonal entry. Represented in this basis  $p(H^i(A)(\lambda))$  is an upper triangular matrix with  $p(\lambda)$  as its only diagonal entry. This proves the claim of the lemma in this case.

To treat the general case, note that the action of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  on  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)$  given by  $k \mapsto H^i(k(A))(\lambda)$  factorizes through the local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  of convergent power series near  $\lambda$  by [Kaad and Nest 2015, Proposition 4.4]. Since the endomorphisms  $H^i(A_j - \lambda_j)(\lambda) : H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \rightarrow H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)$  are nilpotent for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , this yields the existence of a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  with  $H^i(f(A))(\lambda) = H^i(p(A))(\lambda)$  and with  $p(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$ . To see this, remark that the nilpotency implies that the action of  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  on  $H^i(A_j - \lambda_j)(\lambda)$  factorizes through the quotient ring  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda / (\mathfrak{m}_\lambda)^m \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  denotes the unique maximal ideal in the local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . But each element in  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda / (\mathfrak{m}_\lambda)^m \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  can be represented by a polynomial.

Since it now has been established that  $H^i(f(A))(\lambda) = H^i(p(A))(\lambda)$  for some polynomial with  $p(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$ , the first part of the proof yields the general result of the lemma.  $\square$

Let  $\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)$  be fixed. To continue the proof of Theorem 4.3, remark that it follows by [Kaad and Nest 2015, Proposition 4.5], that the vector spaces  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)$  and  $H^i((h, g), \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$  are isomorphic. Recall in this respect that  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  denotes the localization of the module  $\mathcal{H}$  over  $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  at the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda := \{k \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A)) \mid k(\lambda) = 0\}$ . In particular, it follows from Theorem 3.14 that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^+((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^-((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda) \\ = \mathrm{Ind}_\lambda((h, g)(A)) = m_\lambda(h, g) \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The desired multiplicative Lefschetz number  $M(K((h, g), \mathcal{H}); f) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  can now be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M(K((h, g), \mathcal{H}); f) &= \det(H^+(f)) \cdot \det(H^-(f))^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)} (\det(H^+(f)(\lambda)) \cdot \det(H^-(f)(\lambda))^{-1}) \\ &= \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)} (f(\lambda)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^+((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)} \cdot f(\lambda)^{-\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^-((h, g), \mathcal{H})(\lambda)}) \\ &= \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)} f(\lambda)^{\mathrm{Ind}_\lambda((h, g)(A))} = \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h) \cap Z(g)} f(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h, g) \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity follows from (4.5), the third identity from Lemma 4.6, and the final two identities from (4.7). This proves the claim of Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

## 5. Localization of the joint torsion

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  we let  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  denote the unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of holomorphic functions on  $U$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For each compact set  $K \subseteq U$ , we let  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  denote the unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of continuous functions  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that the restriction to the interior  $f|_{K^\circ} : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic. The unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  becomes a Banach algebra when equipped with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty : f \mapsto \sup_{z \in K} |f(z)|$ . We let

$$r_K : \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$$

denote the restriction homomorphism.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ . We say that a map  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$  is *holomorphic* when the composition

$$r_K \circ \alpha : V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$$

is holomorphic for each compact set  $K \subseteq U$ .

Let us fix a commuting  $n$ -tuple  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  of bounded operators on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $U \supseteq \text{Sp}(A)$  be an open set and  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$  be holomorphic. Then the map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $w \mapsto \alpha(w)(A)$  is holomorphic in operator norm.*

*Proof.* Choose a compact set  $K \subseteq U$  such that  $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq K^\circ$ . By [Taylor 1970a, Theorem 4.3] the map  $\mathcal{C}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $f \mapsto f(A)$  is a bounded operator. Since the composition  $r_K \circ \alpha : V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$  is holomorphic by definition, this proves the proposition.  $\square$

Let us write

$$\Omega := \text{Sp}(A)^\circ$$

for the interior of the spectrum of  $A$ .

In the next theorem, we are using holomorphic maps  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)^{n+1}$  with certain properties, to approximate the tuple  $(h, f, g)$  and circumvent the existence of common zeroes for the  $n$ -tuples  $(h, f)$  and  $(h, g)$ . In the very beginning of the proof of Theorem 5.3, we argue that this kind of approximation does in fact exist.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $U \supseteq \text{Sp}(A)$  be an open set and let  $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  and  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic maps. Suppose that  $Z(h, f) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  and  $Z(h, g) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  are empty. Then the joint torsion of  $(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g)$  is given by*

$$\text{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in Z(h_w, g_w) \cap \Omega} f_w(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h_w, g_w) \cdot \text{Ind}(A-\lambda)}}{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h_w, f_w) \cap \Omega} g_w(\mu)^{m_\mu(h_w, f_w) \cdot \text{Ind}(A-\mu)}} \right) \quad (5.4)$$

for any holomorphic map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)^{n+1}$ ,  $w \mapsto (h_w, f_w, g_w)$  satisfying

- (1)  $0 \in V$  and  $(h_0, f_0, g_0) = (h, f, g)$ ;
- (2)  $Z(h_w, f_w, g_w) \cap \text{Sp}(A) = \emptyset$  for all  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (3)  $Z(h_w, f_w) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  and  $Z(h_w, g_w) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A)$  are empty for all  $w \in V$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the existence of a holomorphic map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)^{n+1}$  with the properties (1), (2), and (3).

Define the strictly positive numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 &:= \inf \{ |f(z)| \mid z \in Z(h) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A) \}, \\ \delta_1 &:= \inf \{ |f(z)| \mid z \in Z(h, f) \cap \text{Sp}(A) \text{ and } f(z) \neq 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the open ball

$$\mathbb{B}_\delta(0) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < \delta\}$$

of radius  $\delta := \inf\{\delta_0, \delta_1\}$  and center  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . It can then be verified that the holomorphic map  $\mathbb{B}_\delta(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)^{n+1}$ ,  $w \mapsto (h, f-w, g)$  has the properties (1), (2), and (3).

Let now  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)^{n+1}$  be any holomorphic map which satisfies (1), (2), and (3). By Theorem 2.17 and Proposition 5.2 we have that

$$\text{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \text{JT}(K(h_w, \mathcal{H}); f_w, g_w).$$

However, by Theorem 4.3 we may compute the joint torsion on the right-hand side,

$$\text{JT}(K(h_w, \mathcal{H}); f_w, g_w) = \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in Z(h_w, g_w) \cap \Omega} f_w(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h_w, g_w) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \lambda)}}{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h_w, f_w) \cap \Omega} g_w(\mu)^{m_\mu(h_w, f_w) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \mu)}}$$

for all  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.3 that  $\text{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g)$  can be computed more explicitly as the limit

$$\text{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in Z(h, g) \cap \Omega} (f(\lambda) - w)^{m_\lambda(h, g) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \lambda)}}{\prod_{\mu \in \Omega \cap Z(h) \cap f^{-1}(\{w\})} g(\mu)^{m_\mu(h, f - w) \cdot \text{Ind}(A - \mu)}} \right),$$

where  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  approaches zero in the Euclidean metric.

For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we write

$$\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_j - \lambda_j| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

for the open polydisc with radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  and center  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be open and let  $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  and  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic. Suppose that  $\lambda \in U$  is an isolated point in  $Z(h, f) \cup Z(h, g)$ . Then the limit*

$$c_\lambda(h; f, g) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h_w, g_w) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} f_w(\mu)^{m_\mu(h_w, g_w)}}{\prod_{\nu \in Z(h_w, f_w) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} g_w(\nu)^{m_\nu(h_w, f_w)}} \right)$$

*exists for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any holomorphic map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda))^{n+1}$ ,  $w \mapsto (h_w, f_w, g_w)$  such that*

- (1)  $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \subseteq U$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \cap (Z(h, f) \cup Z(h, g)) = \{\lambda\}$ ;
- (2)  $0 \in V$  and  $(h_0, f_0, g_0) = (h, f, g)$ ;
- (3)  $Z(h_w, f_w, g_w) \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} = \emptyset$  for  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (4)  $Z(h_w, f_w) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)$  and  $Z(h_w, g_w) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)$  are empty for all  $w \in V$ .

Furthermore,  $c_\lambda(h; f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  only depends on the image of  $(h, f, g) \in \mathcal{O}(U)^{n+1}$  in the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^{n+1}$  at  $\lambda \in U$ .

*Proof.* Consider the commuting  $n$ -tuple  $A := (\varepsilon/2T_{z_1}, \dots, \varepsilon/2T_{z_n}) + \lambda$  of Toeplitz operators acting on the Hardy space over the polydisc  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . It then follows that  $\text{Sp}(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)}$  and that  $\text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A) = \partial \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)$ . Furthermore, we have that  $\text{Ind}(A - \mu) = 1$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)$ ; see Example 3.7.

An application of Theorem 5.3 then yields that the limit  $c_\lambda(h; f, g)$  exists and coincides with the joint torsion  $\text{JT}(K(h(A), H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)); f(A), g(A))$ .

To see that  $c_\lambda(h; f, g)$  only depends on the value of  $(h, f, g) \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  in the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ , it suffices to check that it is independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$  and of the holomorphic map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda))^{n+1}$ .

It follows immediately by Theorem 5.3 that  $c_\lambda(h; f, g)$  is independent of the choice of holomorphic map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda))^{n+1}$ .

Let us thus choose an alternative  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  with  $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$ . We may then find a holomorphic map  $V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda))$ ,  $w \mapsto (h_w, f_w, g_w)$  such that

$$(Z(h_w, f_w) \cup Z(h_w, g_w)) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon_0/2}^n(\lambda) = (Z(h_w, f_w) \cup Z(h_w, g_w)) \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)}$$

and such that (2) is satisfied as well. It is then clear that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda^\varepsilon(h; f, g) &= \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h_w, g_w) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} f_w(\mu)^{m_\mu(h_w, g_w)}}{\prod_{\nu \in Z(h_w, f_w) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} g_w(\mu)^{m_\nu(h_w, f_w)}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h_w, g_w) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon_0/2}^n(\lambda)} f_w(\mu)^{m_\mu(h_w, g_w)}}{\prod_{\nu \in Z(h_w, f_w) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon_0/2}^n(\lambda)} g_w(\mu)^{m_\nu(h_w, f_w)}} \right) = c_\lambda^{\varepsilon_0}(h; f, g). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.** The value  $c_\lambda(h; f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  may be computed more explicitly by the formula

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{(f(\lambda) - w)^{m_\lambda(h, g)}}{\prod_{\nu \in Z(h) \cap f^{-1}(\{w\}) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} g(\nu)^{m_\nu(h, f-w)}} \right),$$

where  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  approaches zero in Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}$  and where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is chosen such that

$$\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \subseteq U \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \cap (Z(h, g) \cup Z(h, f)) = \{\lambda\}.$$

This is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 5.6 and Remark 5.5.

**Remark 5.8.** The quantity  $c_\lambda(h; f, g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  can be expressed as a limit of a sequence instead of as a limit point of a holomorphic function; see Theorem 1.9. To see this it suffices to apply Theorem 2.18 instead of Theorem 2.17 in the proof of Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.6.

The next theorem is the main result of this paper. It provides a local formula for the joint torsion.

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  be a commuting  $n$ -tuple on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $h : \text{Sp}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  and  $f, g : \text{Sp}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic. Suppose that*

$$Z(h, f) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A) = \emptyset = Z(h, g) \cap \text{Sp}_{\text{ess}}(A).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) = \prod_{\lambda \in (Z(h, f) \cup Z(h, g)) \cap \Omega} c_\lambda(h; f, g)^{\mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda)},$$

where  $\Omega := \mathrm{Sp}(A)^\circ$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{h} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  and  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic representatives for  $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))^{n-1}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}(A))$  on an open set  $U \supseteq \mathrm{Sp}(A)$ .

Choose an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \subseteq U, \quad \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \cap (Z(h, f) \cup Z(h, g)) = \{\lambda\}, \quad \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \cap \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{ess}}(A) = \emptyset$$

for all  $\lambda \in Z(h, f) \cup Z(h, g)$ . We may furthermore arrange that

$$\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \subseteq \Omega$$

for all  $\lambda \in (Z(h, f) \cup Z(h, g)) \cap \Omega$ . Finally, we may assume that

$$\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\lambda) \cap \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon^n(\mu) = \emptyset$$

whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in Z(h, f) \cup Z(h, g)$ .

Choose a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \inf\{|f(z)| \mid z \in Z(h, g) \text{ and } f(z) \neq 0\}, \\ \delta &\leq \inf\{|f(z)| \mid z \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in Z(h, g) \cup Z(h, f)} (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)) \cap Z(h)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\mathbb{B}_\delta(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)^{n+1}$ ,  $w \mapsto (\tilde{h}, \tilde{f} - w, \tilde{g})$  is then holomorphic and it satisfies conditions (1), (2), and (3) of Theorem 5.3. Furthermore, we have that

$$(Z(h, f - w) \cup Z(h, g)) \cap \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in Z(h, g) \cup Z(h, f)} \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda) \right) = Z(h, f - w) \cup Z(h, g)$$

for all  $w \in \mathbb{B}_\delta(0)$ .

An application of Theorem 5.3 now yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) &= \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \prod_{\lambda \in Z(h, g) \cup Z(h, f)} \frac{f_w(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h, g) \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda)}}{\prod_{v \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda) \cap Z(h, f_w) \cap \Omega} g(v)^{m_v(h, f_w) \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(A - v)}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_w := f - w$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{B}_\delta(0)$ .

Since the Fredholm index is a homotopy invariant and  $\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda) \cap \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{ess}}(A) = \emptyset$  for all  $\lambda \in Z(h, g) \cup Z(h, f)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{JT}(K(h, \mathcal{H}); f, g) &= \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \prod_{\lambda \in (Z(h, g) \cup Z(h, f)) \cap \Omega} \frac{f_w(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h, g) \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda)}}{\prod_{v \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda) \cap Z(h, f_w)} g(v)^{m_v(h, f_w) \cdot \mathrm{Ind}(A - \lambda)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

However, from Theorem 5.6 we know that the limit

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_w(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(h, g)}}{\prod_{v \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda) \cap Z(h, f_w)} g(v)^{m_v(h, f_w)}}$$

exists and agrees with  $c_\lambda(h; f, g)$  for each  $\lambda \in (Z(h, g) \cup Z(h, f)) \cap \Omega$ . This proves the present theorem.  $\square$

## 6. Application: tame symbols of complex analytic curves

**6A. Preliminaries on complex analytic spaces.** Consider an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  together with holomorphic functions  $h_1, \dots, h_m : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Define the zero-set

$$Z(h) := \{z \in U \mid h_1(z) = \dots = h_m(z) = 0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_U$  denote the sheaf of holomorphic functions on  $U$ . For each  $z \in Z(h)$ , let

$$\langle h \rangle_z \subseteq \mathcal{O}_z$$

denote the ideal generated by  $h_1, \dots, h_m : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_z$  of  $\mathcal{O}_U$  at the point  $z \in Z(h)$ .

The *complex model space* associated to  $h_1, \dots, h_m : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the pair

$$(Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)})$$

consisting of the Hausdorff space  $Z(h)$  and the restriction of the quotient sheaf  $\mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle$  to  $Z(h)$ . Thus, for an open set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ , a local section  $s \in (\mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle)(V \cap Z(h))$  is a collection

$$\{s_z\}_{z \in V \cap Z(h)}, \quad s_z \in \mathcal{O}_z/\langle h \rangle_z$$

such that for each  $z_0 \in V \cap Z(h)$  there exists an open set  $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $z_0 \in W$  and a holomorphic map  $t : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$s_z = [t_z] \quad \text{for all } z \in W \cap Z(h),$$

where  $[t_z]$  denotes image of  $t \in \mathcal{O}(W)$  under the map

$$\mathcal{O}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_z/\langle h \rangle_z.$$

We recall the following definition from [Grauert and Remmert 1984].

**Definition 6.1.** A *complex analytic space* is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , where  $X$  is a Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a sheaf of local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras on  $X$ , such that for each  $x \in X$  there exist an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq X$  and a complex model space  $(Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)})$  together with an isomorphism

$$(\phi, \bar{\phi}) : (Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)}) \rightarrow (V, \mathcal{O}_X|_V)$$

of sheaves of local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras. Thus,  $\phi : Z(h) \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism of topo-

logical spaces and  $\bar{\phi}(W) : \mathcal{O}_X(W) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle)(\phi^{-1}(W))$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras for each open set  $W \subseteq V$ .

We refer to  $(Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)})$  as a *local model* for  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  near the point  $x \in X$ .

**6B. The Tate tame symbol.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a complex analytic space and let us fix a point  $x \in X$ .

Suppose that  $\dim_x(X) = 1$ .

Thus, there exists an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq X$  of  $x$  and a section  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and such that the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle f_x \rangle$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , where  $\langle f_x \rangle$  is the ideal generated by  $f$  in the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ .

The following assumption also remains valid throughout this subsection.

**Assumption 6.2.** Suppose that  $X$  can be represented as a complete intersection in a neighborhood of  $x$ . Thus there exists a local model  $(Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)})$  for  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  near  $x \in X$ , where

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$$

is holomorphic and  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is open.

We are now ready to define the Tate tame symbol at the point  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 6.3.** Take an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq X$  of  $x \in X$  and let  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  with

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle f_x \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle g_x \rangle$$

of finite dimension over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $(Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)})$  be a local model for  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  near  $x \in X$  as in Assumption 6.2 with associated isomorphism

$$(\phi, \bar{\phi}) : (Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)}) \rightarrow (V, \mathcal{O}_X|_V).$$

The *Tate tame symbol* of  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  at  $x \in X$  is defined by

$$c_x(X; f, g) := c_{\phi^{-1}(x)}(h|_{\Omega}; \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}),$$

where  $\Omega \subseteq U$  is an open neighborhood of  $\phi^{-1}(x) \in Z(h)$  and  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are holomorphic functions such that the identities

$$[\tilde{f}_\mu] = \bar{\phi}(V)(f)_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad [\tilde{g}_\mu] = \bar{\phi}(V)(g)_\mu$$

hold in  $\mathcal{O}_\mu/\langle h \rangle_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in \Omega \cap Z(h)$ .

We need to show that the Tate tame symbol is independent of the various choices. This is part of the next proposition, which also gives a more concrete expression for the tame symbol. Let us introduce the notation

$$m_x(f) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle f_x \rangle$$

for any local section  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$ . We remark that  $m_x(f) = 0$  whenever  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *Take an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq X$  of  $x \in X$  and let  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  with*

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle f_x \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle g_x \rangle$$

*finite-dimensional. Then*

$$c_x(X; f, g) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(x) - w)^{m_x(g)}}{\prod_{y \in \Theta \cap f^{-1}(\{w\})} g(y)^{m_y(f-w)}},$$

*where  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  approaches 0 in the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and where  $\Theta \subseteq V$  is any open neighborhood of  $x$  such that*

- (1)  $\bar{\Theta} \subseteq V$  and  $\bar{\Theta}$  is compact;
- (2)  $\bar{\Theta} \cap (Z(f) \cup Z(g)) \subseteq \{x\}$ .

*In particular, we have that  $c_x(X; f, g)$  is well-defined.*

*Proof.* Let us choose a local model  $(Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)})$  for  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  near the point  $x \in X$ , and let

$$(\phi, \bar{\phi}) : (Z(h), \mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle|_{Z(h)}) \rightarrow (V, \mathcal{O}_X|_V)$$

denote the associated isomorphism.

Put  $\lambda := \phi^{-1}(x)$  and choose lifts

$$\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

of the sections  $\bar{\phi}(V)(f), \bar{\phi}(V)(g) \in (\mathcal{O}/\langle h \rangle)(Z(h))$  near  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . We remark that

$$\tilde{f}(\mu) = f(\phi(\mu)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}(\mu) = g(\phi(\mu))$$

for all  $\mu \in \Omega \cap Z(h)$ .

Furthermore, we notice that  $(\phi, \bar{\phi})$  induces isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(\mu)}/\langle f_{\phi(\mu)} - w \rangle \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mu}/\langle h, \tilde{f} - w \rangle_{\mu},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(\mu)}/\langle g_{\phi(\mu)} \rangle \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mu}/\langle h, \tilde{g} \rangle_{\mu}$$

for all  $\mu \in \Omega \cap Z(h)$  and all  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ . In particular, we have the identities

$$m_{\mu}(h, \tilde{f} - w) = m_{\phi(\mu)}(f - w) \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\lambda}(h, \tilde{g}) = m_x(g)$$

for all  $\mu \in \Omega \cap Z(h)$  and all  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let us now choose an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(Z(h, \tilde{f}) \cup Z(h, \tilde{g})) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^n(\lambda) \subseteq \{\lambda\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \subseteq \Omega.$$

Thus, by Remark 5.7 and the above observations, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\lambda(h|_\Omega; \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) &= \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\tilde{f}(\lambda) - w)^{m_\lambda(h, \tilde{g})}}{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(\{w\}) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} \tilde{g}(\mu)^{m_\mu(h, \tilde{f} - w)}} \\
&= \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(x) - w)^{m_x(g)}}{\prod_{\mu \in Z(h) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(\{w\}) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)} g(\phi(\mu))^{m_{\phi(\mu)}(f - w)}} \\
&= \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(x) - w)^{m_x(g)}}{\prod_{y \in \phi(Z(h) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)) \cap f^{-1}(\{w\})} g(y)^{m_y(f - w)}}. \tag{6.5}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement of the proposition for the open neighborhood

$$\Theta := \phi(Z(h) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)) \subseteq V$$

of  $x \in X$ .

To prove the general statement, we now let  $\Theta \subseteq V$  be an arbitrary open neighborhood of  $x \in X$  such that the conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. Since the limit in (6.5) is independent of the choice of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may assume that

$$\phi(Z(h) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)) \subseteq \Theta.$$

It then suffices to find a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\Theta \cap f^{-1}(\{w\}) \subseteq \phi(Z(h) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)) \cap f^{-1}(\{w\})$$

for all  $w \in \mathbb{B}_\delta(0)$ . But this property is satisfied with

$$\delta := \inf \{ |f(y)| \mid y \in \bar{\Theta} \setminus \phi(Z(h) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon/2}^n(\lambda)) \}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $V \subseteq X$  be an open neighborhood of  $x \in X$  and let  $f_j \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $t \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  be local sections over  $V$  such that*

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle (f_j)_x \rangle, \quad \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle t_x \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle 1 - t_x \rangle$$

*are finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the Tate tame symbol satisfies the properties*

- (1)  $c_x(X; f_1, f_2) = c_x(X; f_2, f_1)^{-1}$ ;
- (2)  $c_x(X; f_1, f_2 f_3) = c_x(X; f_1, f_2) \cdot c_x(X; f_1, f_3)$ ;
- (3)  $c_x(X; t, 1 - t) = 1$ .

*Proof.* This is an easy consequence of the definition of the Tate tame symbol; see Definition 6.3 and Theorem 5.6.  $\square$

For completeness, let us compute the resulting formula in the case when  $x \in X$  above is a regular point.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface and let  $f, g$  be holomorphic functions defined in a neighborhood of  $x \in X$ . Then*

$$c_x(X; f, g) = (-1)^{m_x(f)m_x(g)} \lim_{w \rightarrow x} \frac{f(w)^{m_x(g)}}{g(w)^{m_x(f)}}. \quad (6.8)$$

*Proof.* Since the computation is local, we can just as well assume that  $x = 0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f$  and  $g$  are two functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0. Hence they can be written in the form

$$f(z) = z^{m_0(f)}\phi(z) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = z^{m_0(g)}\psi(z),$$

where both  $\phi(0) \neq 0$  and  $\psi(0) \neq 0$ . Then, since the formula (6.8) has the properties listed in the proposition above, the computation reduces to checking that

$$c_0(\mathbb{C}; z, z) = -1, \quad c_0(\mathbb{C}; \phi, z) = \phi(0), \quad \text{and} \quad c_0(\mathbb{C}; \phi, \psi) = 1.$$

But this is obvious by Remark 5.7. □

## References

- [Bloch 1981] S. Bloch, “The dilogarithm and extensions of Lie algebras”, pp. 1–23 in *Algebraic K-theory* (Evanston, IL, 1980), edited by E. M. Friedlander and M. R. Stein, Lecture Notes in Math. **854**, Springer, 1981. MR Zbl
- [Breunung 2011] M. Breunung, “Determinant functors on triangulated categories”, *J. K-Theory* **8**:2 (2011), 251–291. MR Zbl
- [Brylinski 2008] J.-L. Brylinski, *Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2008. MR Zbl
- [Carey and Pincus 1999] R. Carey and J. Pincus, “Joint torsion of Toeplitz operators with  $H^\infty$  symbols”, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **33**:3 (1999), 273–304. MR Zbl
- [Curto 1981] R. E. Curto, “Fredholm and invertible  $n$ -tuples of operators: the deformation problem”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **266**:1 (1981), 129–159. MR Zbl
- [Deligne 1987] P. Deligne, “Le déterminant de la cohomologie”, pp. 93–177 in *Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry* (Arcata, CA, 1985), edited by K. A. Ribet, Contemp. Math. **67**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987. MR Zbl
- [Deligne 1991] P. Deligne, “Le symbole modéré”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **73** (1991), 147–181. MR Zbl
- [Eschmeier and Putinar 1996] J. Eschmeier and M. Putinar, *Spectral decompositions and analytic sheaves*, London Mathematical Society Monographs **10**, Oxford University Press, 1996. MR Zbl
- [Esnault and Viehweg 1988] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, “Deligne–Beilinson cohomology”, pp. 43–91 in *Beilinson’s conjectures on special values of L-functions*, edited by M. Rapoport et al., Perspect. Math. **4**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988. MR Zbl
- [Grauert and Remmert 1984] H. Grauert and R. Remmert, *Coherent analytic sheaves*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **265**, Springer, 1984. MR Zbl
- [Gustafsson and Tkachev 2009] B. Gustafsson and V. G. Tkachev, “The resultant on compact Riemann surfaces”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **286**:1 (2009), 313–358. MR Zbl

- [Kaad 2012] J. Kaad, “Joint torsion of several commuting operators”, *Adv. Math.* **229**:1 (2012), 442–486. MR Zbl
- [Kaad and Nest 2015] J. Kaad and R. Nest, “A transformation rule for the index of commuting operators”, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **9**:1 (2015), 83–119. MR Zbl
- [Kaad and Nest 2019] J. Kaad and R. Nest, “Canonical holomorphic sections of determinant line bundles”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **746** (2019), 67–116. MR Zbl
- [Knudsen 2002] F. F. Knudsen, “Determinant functors on exact categories and their extensions to categories of bounded complexes”, *Michigan Math. J.* **50**:2 (2002), 407–444. MR Zbl
- [Migler 2014] J. Migler, “Joint torsion equals the determinant invariant”, preprint, 2014. arXiv
- [Müller 2002] V. Müller, “On the Taylor functional calculus”, *Studia Math.* **150**:1 (2002), 79–97. MR Zbl
- [Tate 1968] J. Tate, “Residues of differentials on curves”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **1** (1968), 149–159. MR Zbl
- [Tate 1971] J. Tate, “Symbols in arithmetic”, pp. 201–211 in *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens* (Nice, 1970), vol. 1, edited by M. Berger et al., 1971. MR Zbl
- [Taylor 1970a] J. L. Taylor, “The analytic-functional calculus for several commuting operators”, *Acta Math.* **125** (1970), 1–38. MR Zbl
- [Taylor 1970b] J. L. Taylor, “A joint spectrum for several commuting operators”, *J. Functional Analysis* **6** (1970), 172–191. MR Zbl

Received 8 Feb 2018. Revised 26 Jul 2019. Accepted 7 Oct 2019.

JENS KAAD: [kaad@imada.sdu.dk](mailto:kaad@imada.sdu.dk)

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

RYSZARD NEST: [rnest@math.ku.dk](mailto:rnest@math.ku.dk)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark



# ANNALS OF K-THEORY

msp.org/akt

## EDITORIAL BOARD

Joseph Ayoub	ETH Zürich, Switzerland joseph.ayoub@math.uzh.ch
Paul Balmer	University of California, Los Angeles, USA balmer@math.ucla.edu
Guillermo Cortiñas	Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, Argentina gcorti@dm.uba.ar
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany liveesnault@math.fu-berlin.de
Eric Friedlander	University of Southern California, USA ericmf@usc.edu
Max Karoubi	Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, France max.karoubi@imj-prg.fr
Moritz Kerz	Universität Regensburg, Germany moritz.kerz@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de
Huaxin Lin	University of Oregon, USA livehlin@uoregon.edu
Alexander Merkurjev	University of California, Los Angeles, USA merkurev@math.ucla.edu
Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg, Germany birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de
Jonathan Rosenberg	(Managing Editor) University of Maryland, USA jmr@math.umd.edu
Marco Schlichting	University of Warwick, UK schlichting@warwick.ac.uk
Charles Weibel	(Managing Editor) Rutgers University, USA weibel@math.rutgers.edu
Guoliang Yu	Texas A&M University, USA guoliangyu@math.tamu.edu

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy	(Scientific Editor) production@msp.org
-------------	---

Annals of K-Theory is a journal of the K-Theory Foundation ([ktheoryfoundation.org](http://ktheoryfoundation.org)). The K-Theory Foundation acknowledges the precious support of Foundation Compositio Mathematica, whose help has been instrumental in the launch of the Annals of K-Theory.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/akt](http://msp.org/akt) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$510/year for the electronic version, and \$575/year (+\$25, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

---

Annals of K-Theory (ISSN 2379-1681 electronic, 2379-1683 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

AKT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY  
 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing  
<http://msp.org/>  
© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANNALS OF K-THEORY

2020

vol. 5

no. 2

Tate tame symbol and the joint torsion of commuting operators JENS KAAD and RYSZARD NEST	181
Witt and cohomological invariants of Witt classes NICOLAS GARREL	213
The Godbillon–Vey invariant and equivariant $KK$ -theory LACHLAN MACDONALD and ADAM RENNIE	249
The extension problem for graph $C^*$ -algebras SØREN EILERS, JAMES GABE, TAKESHI KATSURA, EFREN RUIZ and MARK TOMFORDE	295
On line bundles in derived algebraic geometry TONI ANNALA	317
On modules over motivic ring spectra ELDEN ELMANTO and HÅKON KOLDERUP	327
The Omega spectrum for mod 2 $KO$ -theory W. STEPHEN WILSON	357