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Let \( p \) be a prime, \( k \) a field of characteristic \( \neq p \) and \( N \) the normalizer of the maximal torus in the projective linear group \( \text{PGL}_n \). We compute the exact value of the essential dimension \( \text{ed}_k(N; p) \) of \( N \) at \( p \) for every \( n \geq 1 \).

1. Introduction

Let \( k \) be a field, \( \text{Fields}_k \) the category of field extensions \( K/k \), and \( F \) a covariant functor from \( \text{Fields}_k \) into the category of sets. As usual, for a field extension \( L/K \), we denote the image of \( a \in F(K) \) under the natural map \( F(K) \to F(L) \) by \( a_L \).

Given a field extension \( L/k \), an object \( a \in F(L) \) is said to descend to an intermediate field \( k \subseteq K \subseteq L \) if \( a \) is in the image of the induced map \( F(K) \to F(L) \). The essential dimension \( \text{ed}(a) \) of \( a \in F(L) \) is the minimum of the transcendence degrees \( \text{trdeg}_k(K) \) taken over all fields \( k \subseteq K \subseteq L \) such that \( a \) descends to \( K \). The essential dimension \( \text{ed}(a; p) \) of \( a \) at a prime integer \( p \) is the minimum of \( \text{ed}(a_{L'}) \), taken over all finite field extensions \( L'/L \) such that the degree \( [L':L] \) is prime to \( p \).

The essential dimension \( \text{ed}(F) \) of the functor \( F \) (respectively, the essential dimension \( \text{ed}(F; p) \) of \( F \) at a prime \( p \)) is the supremum of \( \text{ed}(a) \) (respectively, of \( \text{ed}(a; p) \)) taken over all \( a \in F(L) \) and over all field extensions \( L/k \). Informally speaking, the essential dimension of \( a \in F(L) \) can be thought of as the minimal number of parameters one needs to define \( a \), and \( \text{ed}(F) \) as the minimal number of parameters required to define any object in \( F \).

An important example is the Galois cohomology functor \( F_G = H^1(\ast, G) \) sending a field \( K/k \) to the set \( H^1(K, G) \) of isomorphism classes of \( G \)-torsors over
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Spec(K), in the fppf topology. Here G is an algebraic group defined over k. The essential dimension of this functor is a numerical invariant of G, which, informally speaking, measures the complexity of G-torsors over fields. This number is usually denoted by ed_k(G) or, if k is fixed throughout, simply by ed(G). The notion of essential dimension was originally introduced and has since been extensively studied in this context; see for example [Buhler and Reichstein 1997; Reichstein 2000; Reichstein and Youssin 2000; Lemire 2004; Chernousov and Serre 2006].

The theory of essential dimension of algebraic groups may be viewed as a natural extension of the theory of special groups initiated in [Serre 1958]. Over an algebraically closed field k special groups are precisely those of essential dimension 0, these groups were classified in [Grothendieck 1958]. The more general definition of essential dimension for a covariant functor given above is due to Merkurjev [Berhuy and Favi 2003; Merkurjev 2007].

The purpose of this paper is to compute the relative essential dimension ed(N; p), where N is the normalizer of the (split) maximal torus in the projective linear group PGL_n. Before proceeding to state our main result, we would like to explain why we are interested in the essential dimension of N.

We begin by recalling that elements of H^1(K, G) can often be naturally identified with K-forms of a single “split” algebraic object over k. Here by an algebraic object we mean a tensor t defined on a finite-dimensional k-vector space V; the group G ⊂ GL(V) then naturally arises as the automorphism group of t [Serre 1997, Chapter III]. Two examples will be of primary interest in the sequel:

\[ H^1(\ast, \text{PGL}_n) : K \mapsto \left\{ \text{degree } n \text{ central simple algebras } A/K, \text{ up to } K\text{-isomorphism} \right\} \]

(1)

and

\[ H^1(\ast, N) : K \mapsto \left\{ K\text{-isomorphism classes of pairs } (A, L) \right\}, \]

(2)

where K is a field extension of k, A is a degree n central simple algebra over K, L is a maximal étale subalgebra of A, and N is the normalizer of a split maximal torus in PGL_n, as above. For the functor (1) the split central simple k-algebra of degree n is M_n, its automorphism group is PGL_n. Similarly, in the case of the functor (2) the split pair (A, L) is (M_n, Diag_n), where Diag_n denotes the subalgebra of diagonal matrices in M_n(k). The automorphism group of this split pair is N.

Computing the essential dimension of the projective linear group PGL_n, or equivalently, of the functor (1), is a fundamental problem in the theory of central simple algebras. To the best of our knowledge, it was first raised by C. Procesi, who showed (using different terminology) that ed(PGL_n) ≤ n^2 [Procesi 1967, Theorem 2.1]. This problem and the related question of computing the relative essential dimension ed(PGL_n; p) at a prime p remain largely open. The best currently
known lower bound [Reichstein 1999, Theorem 16.1(b); Reichstein and Youssin
2000, Theorem 8.6] is
\[ \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_{p^r}; \ p) \geq 2r, \]
and it falls far below the best known upper bound [Lorenz and Reichstein 2000;
Lorenz et al. 2003, Theorem 1.1; Lemire 2004, Proposition 1.6; Favi and Florence
2008], given by
\[ \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) & \text{for every odd } n \geq 5, \\ n^2 - 3n + 1 & \text{for every } n \geq 4. \end{cases} \quad (3) \]

We remark that the primary decomposition theorem reduces the computation
of \( \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n; \ p) \) to the case where \( n \) is a power of \( p \). That is, if \( n = p^{r_1} \cdots p^{r_s} \)
then \( \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n; \ p_i) = \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_{p^{r_i}}; \ p_i) \). The computation of \( \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n) \) also partially
reduces to the prime power case, because
\[ \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_{p^{r_i}}) \leq \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n) \leq \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_{p^{r_1}}) + \cdots + \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_{p^{r_s}}) \]
for every \( i = 1, \ldots, s \) [Reichstein 2000, Proposition 9.8].

Note that the proofs of the upper bounds (3) are not based on a direct analysis
of the functor \( H^1(\ast, \text{PGL}_n) \). Instead, one works with the related functor \( H^1(\ast, N) \)
of (2). This functor is often more accessible than \( H^1(\ast, \text{PGL}_n) \) because many of
the standard constructions in the theory of central simple algebras depend on the
choice of a maximal subfield \( L \) in a given central simple algebra \( A/K \). Projecting
a pair \( (A, L) \) to the first component, we obtain a surjective morphism of functors
\( H^1(\ast, N) \to H^1(\ast, \text{PGL}_n) \). [Rowen 1980, Corollary 3.1.11]. The surjectivity of
this morphism leads to the inequalities
\[ \text{ed}(N) \geq \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ed}(N; \ p) \geq \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n; \ p); \quad (4) \]
see [Merkurjev 2007, Proposition 1.3], [Berhuy and Favi 2003, Lemma 1.9] or
[Reichstein 2000, Proposition 4.3].

The inequalities (3) were, in fact, proved as upper bounds on \( \text{ed}(N) \) [Lorenz
et al. 2003; Lemire 2004]. It is thus natural to try to determine the exact values of
\( \text{ed}(N) \) and \( \text{ed}(N; \ p) \). In addition to being of independent interest, these numbers
represent a limitation on the techniques used in [Lorenz et al. 2003] and [Lemire
2004]. This brings us to the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( N \) the normalizer of a maximal torus in the projective linear
group \( \text{PGL}_n \) defined over a field \( k \) with \( \text{char}(k) \neq p \). Then:

a) \( \text{ed}_k(N; \ p) = [n/p] \), if \( n \) is not divisible by \( p \).

b) \( \text{ed}_k(N; \ p) = 2 \), if \( n = p \).

c) \( \text{ed}_k(N; \ p) = n^2/p - n + 1 \), if \( n = p^r \) for some \( r \geq 2 \).

d) \( \text{ed}_k(N; \ p) = p^r(n - p^r) - n + 1 \), in all other cases.
Here \( \lfloor n/p \rfloor \) is the integer part of \( n/p \) and \( p^e \) is the highest power of \( p \) dividing \( n \).

In each part we will prove an upper bound and a lower bound on \( \text{ed}(N) \) separately. We do not have an a priori reason why the two should match, thus yielding an exact value of \( \text{ed}(N; p) \); the fact that this happens may be viewed as a lucky coincidence. We also remark that our proof of the upper bounds on \( \text{ed}_k(N; p) \) in part (c) and (d) does not use the assumption that \( \text{char}(k) \neq p \). These bounds are valid for every base field \( k \).

As we mentioned above, the computation of \( \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n; p) \) reduces to the case where \( n \) is a power of \( p \). A quick glance at the statement of Theorem 1.1 shows that the computation of \( \text{ed}(N; p) \) does not. On the other hand, the proof of part (c), where \( n = p^r \) and \( r \geq 2 \), requires the most intricate arguments. Another reason for our special interest in part (c) is that it leads to a new upper bound on \( \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_n; p) \).

More precisely, combining the upper bound in part (c) with (4), and remembering that the upper bound in part (c) is valid for any the ground field \( k \), we obtain the following inequality.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let \( n = p^r \) be a prime power. Then

\[
\text{ed}_k(\text{PGL}_n; p) \leq p^{2r-1} - p^r + 1
\]

for any field \( k \) and for any \( r \geq 2 \). \( \square \)

Corollary 1.2 fails for \( r = 1 \) because

\[
\text{ed}_k(\text{PGL}_p; p) = 2;
\]

see [Reichstein 2000, Corollary 5.7] or [Reichstein and Youssin 2000, Lemma 8.5.7]. For \( r = 2 \), Corollary 1.2 is valid but is not optimal. Indeed, in this case L. H. Rowen and D. J. Saltman showed that, after a prime-to-\( p \) extension \( L/K \), every degree \( p^2 \) central simple algebra \( A/K \) becomes a \((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2\)-crossed product [Rowen and Saltman 1992, Corollary 1.3]. The upper bound on the essential dimension of a crossed product given by [Lorenz et al. 2003, Corollary 3.10] then yields the inequality \( \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_{p^2}; p) \leq p^2 + 1 \), which is stronger than Corollary 1.2 for any \( p \geq 3 \). Merkurjev [2008] recently showed that in fact, \( \text{ed}_k(\text{PGL}_{p^2}; p) = p^2 + 1 \) for any field \( k \) of characteristic different from \( p \). For \( r \geq 3 \) Corollary 1.2 gives the best currently known upper bound on \( \text{ed}(\text{PGL}_{p^2}; p) \).

We remark that the inequalities of (4) have counterparts for algebraic groups other than \( \text{PGL}_n \). Indeed, if \( G \) is a linear group defined over \( k \), \( C \) is a Cartan subgroup of \( G \) and \( N(C) \) is the normalizer of \( C \) then by a theorem of T. Springer the natural map \( H^1(K, N(C)) \rightarrow H^1(K, G) \) is surjective for every perfect field extension \( K/k \) [Serre 1997, III.4.3, Lemma 6]. Consequently, \( \text{ed}_k(N(C)) \geq \text{ed}_k(G) \) if \( \text{char}(k) = 0 \) and \( \text{ed}_k(N(C); p) \geq \text{ed}_k(G; p) \) if \( \text{char}(k) \neq p \); compare [Reichstein...
It would thus be of interest to prove an analogue of Theorem 1.1 in the more general setting, where $N$ is the normalizer of a split maximal torus in an arbitrary simple (or semisimple) linear algebraic group $G$. The new technical difficulty one encounters in this more general setting is that the natural sequence

$$1 \to T \to N \to W \to 1,$$

may not split. Here $T$ is a split maximal torus and $W = N/T$ is the Weyl group of $G$. The fact that this sequence splits for $G = \text{PGL}_n$ is an important ingredient in our proof of the upper bound on $\text{ed}(N; p)$.

A key ingredient in our proofs of the lower bounds in Theorem 1.1(c) and (d) is a recent theorem of Karpenko and Merkurjev [2008] on the essential dimension of a $p$-group, stated as Theorem 7.1 below. To the best of our knowledge, these lower bounds were not accessible by previous techniques. Corollary 1.2 and the other parts of Theorem 1.1 do not rely on the Karpenko–Merkurjev theorem.

2. A general strategy

Let $G$ be an algebraic group defined over a field $k$. Recall that the action of $G$ on an algebraic variety $X$ defined over $k$ is generically free if the stabilizer subgroup $\text{Stab}_G(x)$ is trivial for $x \in X(k)$ in general position.

**Remark 2.1.** If $G$ is a finite constant group and $X$ is irreducible then the $G$-action on $X$ is generically free if and only if it is faithful.

Indeed, the “only if” is obvious. Conversely, if the $G$-action on $X$ is faithful then $\text{Stab}_G(x) = \{1\}$ for any $x$ outside of the closed subvariety $\bigcup_{1 \neq g \in G} X^{(g)}$, whose dimension is at most $\dim X - 1$. □

**Remark 2.2.** Suppose $k'/k$ is a field extension of degree prime to $p$. Then the essential dimension at $p$ does not change if we replace $k$ by $k'$ [Merkurjev 2007, Proposition 1.5(2)]. This happens in particular if $\text{char}(k) \neq p$ and $k'$ is obtained from $k$ by adjoining a primitive $p$-th root of unity. Thus in the course of proving Theorem 1.1 we may assume without loss of generality that $k$ contains a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

In the sequel we will repeatedly encounter the following situation. Suppose we want to show that

$$\text{ed}_k(G) = \text{ed}_k(G; p) = d,$$

where $G$ is a linear algebraic group defined over $k$. All such assertions will be proved in two steps:

(i) Construct a generically free linear representation of $G$ over $k$ of dimension $d + \dim G$. This implies that $\text{ed}_k(G) \leq d$; see [Reichstein 2000, Theorem 3.4] or [Berhuy and Favi 2003, Proposition 4.11].
(ii) Prove the lower bound \( ed_k(G; p) \geq d \).

Since clearly \( ed(G; p) \leq ed(G) \), equality (6) follows from (i) and (ii).

The group \( G \) will always be of the form \( G = D \times F \), where \( D \) is diagonalizable and \( F \) is finite. In the next section we will recall some known facts about representations of such groups. This will help us in carrying out step (i) and, in the most interesting cases, step (ii) as well, via the Karpenko–Merkurjev Theorem 7.1.

3. Representation-theoretic preliminaries

We will work over a ground field \( k \) which remains fixed throughout. Suppose that a linear algebraic \( k \)-group \( G \) contains a diagonalizable (over \( k \)) group \( D \) and the quotient \( G/D \) is a constant finite group \( F \). Here diagonalizable over \( k \) means that \( D \) is a subgroup of the split torus \( G_m^d \) defined over \( k \) or, equivalently, that every linear representation of \( D \) defined over \( k \) decomposes as a direct sum of one-dimensional subrepresentations.

Denote the group of (multiplicative) characters of \( D \) by \( X(D) \). Note that since \( D \) is diagonalizable over \( k \), every multiplicative character of \( D \) is defined over \( k \).

Consider a linear \( k \)-representation \( G \to GL(V) \). Restricting this representation to \( D \), we decompose \( V \) into a direct sum of one-dimensional character spaces. Let \( \Lambda \subseteq X(D) \) be the set of characters (weights) of \( D \) which occur in this decomposition. Note that here \( |\Lambda| \leq \dim V \), and equality holds if and only if each character from \( \Lambda \) occurs in \( V \) with multiplicity 1. The finite group \( F \) acts on \( X(D) \) and \( \Lambda \) is invariant under this action. Moreover, if the \( G \)-action (and hence, the \( D \)-action) on \( V \) is generically free then \( \Lambda \) generates \( X(D) \) as an abelian group. In summary, we have proved the following lemma; cf. [Serre 1977, Section 8.1].

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that every \( F \)-invariant generating set \( \Lambda \) of \( X(D) \) contains at least \( d \) elements. If \( G \to GL(V) \) is a generically free \( k \)-representation of \( G \) then \( \dim V \geq d \).

As we explained in the previous section, we are interested in constructing low-dimensional generically free representations of \( G \). In this section we will prove simple sufficient conditions for generic freeness for two particular families of representations.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( W \) be a faithful representation of \( F \) and \( V \) be a representation of \( G \) whose restriction to \( D \) is generically free. Then \( V \times W \) is a generically free representation of \( G \).

Here we view \( W \) as a representation of \( G \) via the natural projection

\[ G \to G/D = F. \]
Proof: For \( w \in W(\overline{k}) \) in general position, we have \( \text{Stab}_G(w) = D \) by Remark 2.1. Choosing \( v \) in general position in \( V(\overline{k}) \), we see that \( \text{Stab}_G(v, w) = \text{Stab}_G(v) \cap \text{Stab}_G(w) = \text{Stab}_D(v) = \{1\} \). \( \square \)

From now on we will assume that \( G = D \ltimes F \) is the semidirect product of \( D \) and \( F \). In this case, given an \( F \)-invariant generating set \( \Lambda \subset X(D) \), we can construct a linear \( k \)-representation \( V_\Lambda \) of \( G \) so that each character from \( \Lambda \) occurs in \( V_\Lambda \) exactly once. To do this, we associate a basis element \( v_\lambda \) to each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). The finite group \( F \) acts on \( V_\Lambda = \text{Span}(v_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda) \) by permuting these basis elements in the natural way, that is, via

\[
\sigma : v_\lambda \mapsto v_{\sigma(\lambda)} \tag{7}
\]

for any \( \sigma \in F \) and any \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). The diagonalizable group \( D \)-acts by the character \( \lambda \) on each one-dimensional space \( \text{Span}(v_\lambda) \), that is, via

\[
t : v_\lambda \mapsto \lambda(t)v_\lambda \tag{8}
\]

for any \( t \in D \) and \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). Extending (7) and (8) linearly to all of \( V_\Lambda \), we obtain a linear representation \( G = D \ltimes F \to GL(V_\Lambda) \). Note that by our construction \( \dim V_\Lambda = |\Lambda| \).

Our second criterion for generic freeness is a variant of [Lorenz and Reichstein 2000, Lemma 3.1] or [Lemire 2004, Proposition 2.1]. For the sake of completeness we outline a characteristic-free proof.

Lemma 3.3. Let \( \Lambda \) be an \( F \)-invariant subset of \( X(D) \) and \( \phi : \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \to X(D) \) be the natural morphism of \( \mathbb{Z}[F] \)-modules, taking \( \lambda \in \Lambda \) to itself. Let \( V_\Lambda \) be the linear representation of \( G = D \ltimes F \) defined by (7) and (8), as above. The \( G \)-action on \( V_\Lambda \) is generically free if and only if

(a) \( \Lambda \) spans \( X(D) \) (or equivalently, \( \phi \) is surjective) and

(b) the \( F \)-action on \( \ker \phi \) is faithfull.

Proof: Let \( U \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^n \) be the diagonal subgroup of \( GL(V_\Lambda) \), in the basis \( e_\lambda \), where \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). Here \( n = |\Lambda| = \dim V_\Lambda \). The \( G \)-action on \( V \) induces an \( F \)-equivariant morphism \( \rho : D \to U \), which is dual to \( \phi \) under the usual (antiequivalence) \( \text{Diag} \) between finitely generated abelian groups and diagonalizable algebraic groups. Applying \( \text{Diag} \) to the exact sequence

\[
(0) \longrightarrow \ker \phi \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \longrightarrow X(D) \longrightarrow \text{coker} \phi \longrightarrow (0)
\]

of finitely generated abelian \( \mathbb{Z}[F] \)-modules and setting

\[
U = \text{Diag}(\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]), \quad N = \text{Diag}(\text{coker} \phi), \quad Q = \text{Diag}(\ker \phi),
\]
we obtain an $F$-equivariant exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow D \overset{\rho}{\longrightarrow} U \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

of diagonalizable groups; see [Jantzen 2003, I 5.6] or [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, IV 1.1]. Since $U$ is $F$-equivariantly isomorphic to a dense open subset of $V$, the $G$-action on $V$ is generically free if and only if the $G$-action on $U$ is generically free. On the other hand, the $G$-action on $U$ is generically free if and only if the $D$-action on $U$ is generically free and the $F$-action on $Q$ is generically free. But the first of these conditions is equivalent to (a), while the second is equivalent to (b); see Remark 2.1.

4. Subgroups of prime-to-$p$ index

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $G'$ be a closed subgroup of a smooth algebraic group $G$ defined over $k$. Assume that the index $[G : G'] := \dim_k k[G/G']$ is finite and prime to $p$. Then $\text{ed}(G; p) = \text{ed}(G'; p)$.

In the case where $G$ is finite a proof can be found in [Merkurjev 2007, Proposition 4.10]; the argument below proceeds along similar lines.

**Proof.** Recall that if $G$ is a linear algebraic group and $H$ is a closed subgroup then

$$\text{ed}(G; p) \geq \text{ed}(H; p) + \dim H - \dim G$$

for any prime $p$; see [Brosnan et al. 2008, Lemma 2.2] or [Merkurjev 2007, Corollary 4.3]. Since $\dim G' = \dim G$, this yields $\text{ed}(G; p) \geq \text{ed}(G'; p)$.

To prove the opposite inequality, it suffices to show that for any field $K/k$ the map $H^1(K, G') \to H^1(K, G)$ induced by the inclusion $G' \subset G$ is $p$-surjective, meaning that for every $\alpha \in H^1(K, G)$ there is a finite field extension $L/K$ of degree prime to $p$ such that $\alpha_L$ is in the image of $H^1(L, G') \to H^1(L, G)$; see for example [Merkurjev 2007, Proposition 1.3].

Let $X \to \text{Spec}(K)$ be a $G$-torsor and $X/G'$ be the natural quotient of $X$ by the action of $G'$. Recall that $X/G'$ is a $K$-form of $G/G'$ and that it is constructed by descent [Serre 1962, I.3.2]. Alternatively, $X/G'$ may be viewed as the Galois twist of $G/G'$ by $X$ with respect to the natural $G$-action on $G/G'$ [Milne 1980, p. 134].

For a field $L/K$ and an $L$-point $\text{Spec}(L) \to X/G'$ we construct a $G'$-torsor $Y$ as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec}(L) & \longrightarrow & X/G' \\
& & \downarrow \\
& & \text{Spec}(K)
\end{array}$$
In this situation $Y \times^G G \cong X_L$ as $G$-torsors. Thus we have the natural diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(L, G') & \longrightarrow & H^1(L, G) \\
[Y] & \longmapsto & [X]_L \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
[X] & & [X]_L \\
& & \downarrow \\
& & H^1(K, G)
\end{array}
$$

where $[X]$ and $[Y]$ denote the classes of $X$ and $Y$ in $H^1(K, G)$ and $H^1(L, G')$, respectively. It remains to show the existence of such an $L$-point, with the degree $[L : K]$ prime to $p$.

Note that $G/G'$ is affine, since $G$ and $G'$ are of the same dimension and hence $G/G' \cong (G/G^o)/(G'/G^o) = \text{Spec} k[G/G^o]/G'/G^o$ where $G^o$ is the connected component of $G$ (and $G'$). Furthermore $G/G'$ is smooth [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, III 3.2.7]. Let $K_s$ be a separable closure of $K$. Since $X$ is a $G$-torsor, we have $X_{K_s} \cong G_{K_s}$ and $(X/G')_{K_s} \cong (G/G')_{K_s}$, which implies that $X/G'$ is also affine [Demazure and Gabriel 1970, III 3.5.6 d)]. Thus, $K[X/G'] \otimes K_s \cong k[G/G'] \otimes K_s$ is reduced and its dimension $\dim_K K[X/G'] = [G : G']$ is not divisible by $p$ by assumption.

Therefore $K[X/G']$ is étale or, equivalently, a product of separable field extensions of $K$

$$
K[X/G'] = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_r;
$$

see for example [Bourbaki 1990, V, Theorem 4]. For each $L_j$ the projection $K[X/G'] \rightarrow L_j$ is an $L_j$-point of $X/G'$ and since $\dim_K K[X/G'] = \sum_{j=1}^r [L_j : K]$ is prime to $p$, one of the fields $L_j$ must be of degree prime to $p$ over $K$. We now take $L = L_j$.

**Corollary 4.2.** Suppose $k$ is a field of characteristic $\neq p$. Then $\text{ed}_k(S_n; p) = \lceil n/p \rceil$.

**Proof.** Let $m = \lceil n/p \rceil$ and let $D \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^m$ be the subgroup generated by the disjoint $p$-cycles

$$
\sigma_1 = (1, \ldots, p), \ldots, \sigma_m = ((m-1)p+1, \ldots, mp).
$$

The inequality $\text{ed}(S_n; p) \geq \text{ed}(D; p) \geq \lceil n/p \rceil$ is well known; see any of [Buhler and Reichstein 1997, Section 6; Buhler and Reichstein 1999, Section 7; Berhuy and Favi 2003, Proposition 3.7].

To the best of our knowledge, the opposite inequality was first noticed by J.-P. Serre (private communication, May 2005) and independently by R. L"otscher [L"otscher 2008]. The proof is quite easy. However, since it has not previously appeared in print, we reproduce it below.
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The semidirect product $D \rtimes S_m$, where $S_m$ permutes $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$, embeds in $S_n$ with index prime to $p$. By Lemma 4.1, $\text{ed}_k(D \rtimes S_m; p) = \text{ed}_k(S_n; p)$ and it suffices to show that $\text{ed}_k(D \rtimes S_m) \leq [n/p]$. As we mentioned in Section 2, in order to prove this, it is enough to construct a generically free $m$-dimensional representation of $D \rtimes S_m$ defined over $k$. Moreover, by Remark 2.2 we may assume that $\zeta_p \in k$, where $\zeta_p$ denotes a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

To construct a generically free $m$-dimensional representation of $D \rtimes S_m$, let $\sigma_1^*, \ldots, \sigma_m^* \subset X(D)$ be the “basis” of $D$ dual to $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$. That is,

$$\sigma_i^*(\sigma_j) = \begin{cases} \zeta_p & \text{if } i = j, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The $S_m$-invariant subset $\Lambda = \{\sigma_1^*, \ldots, \sigma_m^*\}$ of $X(D)$ gives rise to the $m$-dimensional $k$-representation $V_\Lambda$ of $D \rtimes S_m$, as in Section 3. An easy application of Lemma 3.3 shows that this representation is generically free. □

5. First reductions and proof of Theorem 1.1 parts (a) and (b)

Let $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^n / \Delta$ be the diagonal maximal torus in $\text{PGL}_n$, where $\Delta = \mathbb{G}_m$ is diagonally embedded into $\mathbb{G}_m^n$. Recall that the normalizer $N$ of $T$ is isomorphic to $T \rtimes S_n$, where we identify $S_n$ with the subgroup of permutation matrices in $\text{PGL}_n$.

Let $P_n$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S_n$. Lemma 4.1 tells us that

$$\text{ed}_k(N; p) = \text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n; p).$$

Note also that by Remark 2.2 we may assume without loss of generality that $k$ contains a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

Thus in order to prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to establish the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. Let $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^n / \Delta$, where $\Delta = \mathbb{G}_m$ is diagonally embedded into $\mathbb{G}_m^n$. Assume that $k$ is of characteristic $\neq p$, containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity. Then:

(a) $\text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n) = \text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n; p) = [n/p]$, if $n$ is not divisible by $p$.
(b) $\text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n) = \text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n; p) = 2$, if $n = p$.
(c) $\text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n) = \text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n; p) = n^2/p - n + 1$, if $n = p^r$ for some $r \geq 2$.
(d) $\text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n) = \text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n; p) = p^e(n - p^r) - n + 1$, in all other cases.

Here $P_n$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S_n$, $[n/p]$ is the integer part of $n/p$ and $p^e$ is the highest power of $p$ dividing $n$.

The assumption that $k$ contains a primitive $p$-th root of unity is only needed for the proof of the first equality in parts (a) and (b).
Our proof of each part of this proposition will be based on the strategy outlined in Section 2, with $G = T \rtimes P_n$. We start by recalling that the character lattice $X(T)$ is naturally isomorphic to

$$\{ (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0 \},$$

where we identify the character

$$(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \mapsto t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_n^{a_n}$$

of $T = \mathbb{G}_m^n / \Delta$ with $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Note that $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is viewed as an element of $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ modulo the diagonal subgroup $\Delta$, so the above character is well defined if and only if $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0$. An element $\sigma$ of $S_n$ (and in particular, of $P_n \subset S_n$) acts on $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in X(T)$ by naturally permuting $a_1, \ldots, a_n$.

For notational convenience, we will denote by $a_{i,j} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in X(T)$ the element such that $a_i = 1$, $a_j = -1$ and $a_h = 0$ for every $h \neq i, j$.

We also recall that for $n = p^r$ the Sylow $p$-subgroup $P_n$ of $S_n$ can be described inductively as the wreath product

$$P_{p^r} \cong P_{p^{r-1}} \circ \mathbb{Z}/p \cong (P_{p^{r-1}})^p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p.$$

For general $n$, $P_n$ is the direct product of certain $P_{p^i}$; see Section 8.

Proof of Proposition 5.1(a). (i) Since $n$ is not divisible by $p$, we may assume that $P_n$ is contained in $S_{n-1}$, where we identify $S_{n-1}$ with the subgroup of $S_n$ consisting of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\sigma(1) = 1$.

We will now construct a generically free linear representation $V$ of $T \rtimes P_n$ of dimension $n - 1 + [n/p]$. This will show that $\text{ed}(T \rtimes P_n) \leq [n/p]$.

To construct $V$, let $A = \{ a_{1,i} \mid i = 2, \ldots, n \}$ and $V_A$ be as in Section 3 and let $W$ be an $[n/p]$-dimensional faithful linear representation of $P_n$ constructed in the proof of Corollary 4.2. Applying Lemma 3.2, we see that $V = V_A \times W$ is generically free.

(ii) Since the natural projection $p : T \rtimes P_n \to P_n$ has a section, so does the map $p^* : H^1(K, T \rtimes P_n) \to H^1(K, P_n)$ of Galois cohomology sets. Hence, $p^*$ is surjective for every field $K/k$. This implies that

$$\text{ed}(T \rtimes P_n) \geq \text{ed}(P_n; p) = [n/p].$$

Here $\text{ed}(P_n; p) = \text{ed}(S_n; p)$ by Lemma 4.1 and $\text{ed}(S_n; p) = [n/p]$ by Corollary 4.2.

Remark 5.2. We will now outline a different and perhaps more conceptual proof of the upper bound $\text{ed}(N; p) \leq [n/p]$ of Theorem 1.1(a). As we pointed out in the introduction, $\text{ed}(N; p)$ is the essential dimension at $p$ of the functor

$$H^1(\ast, N) : K \mapsto \{ K\text{-isomorphism classes of pairs } (A, L) \}.$$
where $A$ is a degree $n$ central simple algebra over $K$ and $L$ is a maximal étale subalgebra of $A$. Similarly, $\text{ed}(S_n; p)$ is the essential dimension at $p$ of the functor $H^1(\ast, S_n) : K \mapsto \{ K\text{-isomorphism classes of } n\text{-dimensional étale algebras } L/K \}$.

Let $\alpha : H^1(\ast, S_n) \to H^1(\ast, N)$ be the map taking an $n$-dimensional étale algebra $L/K$ to $(\text{End}_K(L), L)$. Here we embed $L$ in $\text{End}_K(L) \simeq M_n(K)$ via the regular action of $L$ on itself.

It is easy to see that, in the terminology of \cite{Merkurjev 2007, Section 1.3}, $\alpha$ is $p$-surjective. That is, for any class $(A, L)$ in $H^1(K, N)$ there exists a prime-to-$p$ extension $K'/K$ such that $(A \otimes_K K', L \otimes_K K')$ lies in the image of $\alpha$. In fact, any $K'/K$ of degree prime to $p$ which splits $A$ will do (such an extension exists because we are assuming that the degree $n$ of $A$ is not divisible by $p$). Indeed, by the Skolem–Noether theorem, any two embeddings of $L \otimes_K K'$ into $M_n(K')$ are conjugate. By \cite{Merkurjev 2007, Proposition 1.3}, we conclude that $\text{ed}(N; p) \leq \text{ed}(S_n; p)$. Combining this with Corollary 4.2 yields the desired inequality $\text{ed}(N; p) \leq [n/p]$.

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Proposition 5.1(b).** Here $n = p$ and $P_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$ is generated by the $p$-cycle $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$. We follow the strategy outlined in Section 2.

(i) To show that $\text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n) \leq 2$, we construct a generically free $k$-representation of $T \rtimes P_n$ of dimension $2 + \dim(T \rtimes P_n) = n + 1$.

Let $\Lambda = \{a_{1,2}, \ldots, a_{p-1,p}, a_{p,1}\}$ and $V = V_\Lambda \times L$, where $L$ is a one-dimensional faithful representation of $P_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$ and $T \rtimes P_n$ acts on $L$ via the natural projection $T \rtimes P_n \to P_n$. Note that $\dim V = |\Lambda| + 1 = n + 1$. Since $\Lambda$ generates $X(T)$, Lemma 3.2 tells us that $V$ is a generically free representation of $T \rtimes P_n$.

(ii) Recall that $\text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n; p) = \text{ed}_k(N; p)$ by Lemma 4.1. On the other hand, as we mentioned in the introduction,

\[ \text{ed}_k(N; p) \geq \text{ed}_k(\text{PGL}_p; p) = 2; \]

see (4) and (5). This completes the proof of Proposition 5.1(b) and of Theorem 1.1(b). \[ \square \]

6. **Proof of Theorem 1.1(c): The upper bound**

In the next two sections we will prove Proposition 5.1(c), and hence Theorem 1.1(c). We will assume that $n = p^r$ for some $r \geq 2$ and follow the strategy of Section 2. In this section we will carry out Step (i). That is, we will construct a generically free representation $V$ of $T \rtimes P_n$ of dimension $p^{2r-1}$. This will show
that $\text{ed}(T \times P_n) \leq p^{2r-1} - p^r + 1$. Our $V$ will be of the form $V_{\Lambda}$ for a particular $P_n$-invariant $\Lambda \subset X(T)$, following the recipe of Section 3. Note that this construction (and thus the above inequality) will not require any assumption on the base field $k$.

For notational convenience, we will subdivide the integers $1, 2, \ldots, p^r$ into $p$ big blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_p$, where each $B_i$ consists of the $p^r-1$ consecutive integers $(i-1)p^r + 1, (i-1)p^r + 2, \ldots, ip^r$.

We define $\Lambda \subset X(T)$ as the $P_n$-orbit of the element

$$a_{1,p^r+1} = (1, 0, \ldots, 0, -1, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

in $X(T)$. Thus, $\Lambda$ consists of elements $a_{\alpha, \beta}$, subject to the condition that if $\alpha$ lies in the big block $B_i$ then $\beta$ has to lie in $B_j$, where $j-i \equiv 1$ modulo $p$. There are $p^r$ choices for $\alpha$. Once $\alpha$ is chosen, there are exactly $p^r-1$ further choices for $\beta$. Thus

$$|\Lambda| = p^r \cdot p^r - 1 = p^{2r-1}.$$ 

As described in Section 3, we obtain a linear representation $V_{\Lambda}$ of $T \times P_n$ of the desired dimension

$$\dim V_{\Lambda} = |\Lambda| = p^{2r-1}.$$

It remains to prove that $V_{\Lambda}$ is generically free. By Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show that

(i) $\Lambda$ generates $X(T)$ as an abelian group and

(ii) the $P_n$ action on the kernel of the natural morphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \to X(T)$ is faithful.

The elements $a_{\alpha, \beta}$ clearly generate $X(T)$ as an abelian group, as $\alpha$ and $\beta$ range over $1, 2, \ldots, p^r$. Thus in order to prove (i) it suffices to show that $\text{Span}_\mathbb{Z}(\Lambda)$ contains every element of this form. Suppose $\alpha$ lies in the big block $B_i$ and $\beta$ in $B_j$. If $j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $a_{\alpha, \beta}$ lies in $\Lambda$ and there is nothing to prove. If $j - i \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ then choose some $\gamma \in B_{i+1}$ (where the subscript $i+1$ should be viewed modulo $p$) and write

$$a_{\alpha, \beta} = a_{\alpha, \gamma} + a_{\gamma, \beta}.$$

Since both terms on the right are in $\Lambda$, we see that in this case $a_{\alpha, \beta} \in \text{Span}_\mathbb{Z}(\Lambda)$. Using this argument recursively, we see that $a_{\alpha, \beta}$ also lies in $\text{Span}_\mathbb{Z}(\Lambda)$ if $j - i \equiv 3, \ldots, p \pmod{p}$, i.e., for all possible $i$ and $j$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii), denote the kernel of $\phi$ by $M$. Since $P_n$ is a finite $p$-group, every normal subgroup of $P_n$ intersects the center of $P_n$, which we shall denote by $Z_n$. Thus it suffices to show that $Z_n$ acts faithfully on $M$. 
Recall that \( Z_n \) is the cyclic subgroup of \( P_n \) of order \( p \) generated by the product of disjoint \( p \)-cycles
\[
\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{p^r-1} = (1 \cdots p)(p+1 \cdots 2p) \cdots (p^r-p+1 \cdots p^r).
\]
Since \(|Z_n|=p\), it either acts faithfully on \( M \) or it acts trivially, so we only need to check that the \( Z_n \)-action on \( M \) is nontrivial. Indeed, \( Z_n \) does not fix the nonzero element
\[
a_{1,p^r-1+1} + a_{p^r-1+1,2p^r-1+1} + \cdots + a_{(p-1)p^r-1+1,1} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]
\]
which lies in \( M \). This proves the upper bound of Proposition 5.1(c) and Theorem 1.1(c).

7. Proof of Theorem 1.1(c): The lower bound

In this section we will continue to assume that \( n = p^r \). We will show that
\[
ed(N; p) \geq p^{2r-1} - p^r + 1,
\]
thus completing the proof of Proposition 5.1(c) and Theorem 1.1(c). Let
\[
q := p^e, \text{ where } e \geq 1 \text{ if } p \text{ is odd and } e \geq 2 \text{ if } p = 2.
\]
be a power of \( p \). The specific choice of \( e \) will not be important in the sequel; in particular, the reader may assume that \( q = p \) if \( p \) is odd and \( q = 4 \), if \( p = 2 \). Whatever \( e \) we choose, \( q = p^e \) will remain unchanged for the rest of this section.

We now recall that if \( k'/k \) is a field extension then
\[
ed_k(N; p) \geq ed_{k'}(N; p),
\]
by [Merkurjev 2007, Proposition 1.5(1)]. Thus for the purpose of proving (10) we may replace \( k \) by \( k' \). In particular, we may assume that \( k' \) contains a primitive \( q \)-th root of unity.

Let \( T(q) = \mu_n^p/\mu_q \) be the \( q \)-torsion subgroup of \( T = \mathbb{G}_m^n/\Delta \). Applying the inequality (9) to \( G = T \rtimes P_n \) and its finite subgroup \( H = T(q) \rtimes P_n \), we obtain
\[
ed(T \rtimes P_n; p) \geq ed(T(q) \rtimes P_n; p) - p^r + 1.
\]
Thus it suffices to show that
\[
ed(T(q) \rtimes P_n; p) \geq p^{2r-1}.
\]
The advantage of replacing \( T \rtimes P_n \) by \( T(q) \rtimes P_n \) is that \( T(q) \rtimes P_n \) is a finite \( p \)-group, so that we can apply the following result:
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**Theorem 7.1** [Karpenko and Merkurjev 2008]. Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group and $k$ be a field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity. Then $\text{ed}_k(G; p) = \text{ed}_k(G)$ equals the minimal value of $\dim V$, where $V$ ranges over all faithful linear $k$-representations $G \to \text{GL}(V)$.

Now recall that we are assuming that $k$ contains a primitive $q$-th root of unity and hence, a primitive $p$-th root of unity. Hence, Theorem 7.1 applies in our situation.

That is, in order to prove (12) it suffices to show that $T(q) \rtimes P_n$ does not have a faithful linear representation of dimension less than $p^{2r-1}$. **Lemma 3.1** further reduces this representation-theoretic assertion to the combinatorial statement of **Proposition 7.2** below. Before stating the proposition we recall that the character lattice of $T(q) \simeq \mu_n^q/\mu_q$ is

$$X_n := \{(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n \mid a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}\},$$

where we identify the character $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \to t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_n^{a_n}$ of $T(q)$ with $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$. Here $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ stands for an element of $\mu_n^q$, modulo the diagonally embedded $\mu_q$, so the character above is well defined if and only if $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. (This is completely analogous to our description of the character lattice of $T$ in the previous section.) Note that $X_n$ depends on the integer $q = p^e$, which we assume to be fixed throughout this section.

**Proposition 7.2.** Let $n = p^r$ and $P_n$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S_n$. If $\Lambda$ is a $P_n$-invariant generating subset of $X_n$ then $|\Lambda| \geq p^{2r-1}$ for any $r \geq 1$.

Our proof relies on the following special case of Nakayama’s Lemma:

**Lemma 7.3** [Atiyah and Macdonald 1969, Proposition 2.8]. Let $q = p^e$ be a prime power, $M = (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^d$ and $\Lambda$ be a generating subset of $M$ (as an abelian group). If we remove from $\Lambda$ all elements that lie in $pM$, the remaining set, $\Lambda \setminus pM$, will still generate $M$. \qed

**Proof of Proposition 7.2.** We argue by induction on $r$. For the base case, set $r = 1$. We need to show that $|\Lambda| \geq p$. Assume the contrary. In this case $P_n$ is a cyclic $p$-group, and every nontrivial orbit of $P_n$ has exactly $p$ elements. Hence, $|\Lambda| < p$ is only possible if every element of $\Lambda$ is fixed by $P_n$. Since we are assuming that $\Lambda$ generates $X_n$ as an abelian group, we conclude that $P_n$ acts trivially on $X_n$. This can happen only if $p = q = 2$. Since these values are ruled out by our definition (11) of $q$, we have proved the proposition for $r = 1$.

In the previous section we subdivided the integers $1, 2, \ldots, p^r$ into $p$ big blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_p$ of length $p^{r-1}$. Now we will now work with small blocks $b_1, \ldots, b_{p^{r-1}}$.\}
where \( b_j \) consists of the \( p \) consecutive integers

\[
(j - 1)p + 1, \ (j - 1)p + 2, \ldots, \ jp.
\]

We can identify \( P_{p^{r-1}} \) with the subgroup of \( P_{p^r} \) that permutes the small blocks \( b_1, \ldots, b_{p^{r-1}} \) without changing the order of the elements in each block.

For the induction step, assume \( r \geq 2 \) and consider the homomorphism \( \Sigma : X_{p^r} \to X_{p^{r-1}} \) given by

\[
a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{p^r}) \mapsto s = (s_1, \ldots, s_{p^{r-1}}),
\]

where \( s_i = a_{(i-1)p+1} + a_{(i-1)p+2} + \cdots + a_{ip} \) is the sum of the entries of \( a \) in the \( i \)-th small block \( b_i \). Thus

(i) if \( \Lambda \) generates \( X_{p^r} \) then \( \Sigma(\Lambda) \) generates \( X_{p^{r-1}} \).

(ii) if \( \Lambda \) is a \( P_{p^{r-1}} \)-invariant subset of \( X_{p^r} \) then \( \Sigma(\Lambda) \) is a \( P_{p^{r-1}} \)-invariant subset of \( X_{p^{r-1}} \).

Let us remove from \( \Sigma(\Lambda) \) all elements which lie in \( pX_{p^{r-1}} \). The resulting set, \( \Sigma(\Lambda) \setminus pX_{p^{r-1}} \), is clearly \( P_{p^{r-1}} \)-invariant. By Lemma 7.3 this set generates \( X_{p^{r-1}} \).

Thus by the induction assumption

\[
|\Sigma(\Lambda) \setminus pX_{p^{r-1}}| \geq p^2 \cdot |pX_{p^{r-1}}| \geq p^2 \cdot p^{2r-3} = p^{2r-1},
\]

thus completing the proof of Proposition 7.2.

Let \( \sigma_i \) be the single \( p \)-cycle, cyclically permuting the elements in the small block \( b_i \). To prove the claim, note that the subgroup

\[
\langle \sigma_i \ | \ i = 1, \ldots, p^{r-1} \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{p^{r-1}}
\]

of \( P_n \) acts on each fiber of \( \Sigma \).

To simplify the exposition in the argument to follow, we introduce the following bit of terminology. Let us say that \( a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n \) is \textit{scalar in the small block} \( b_i \) if all the entries of \( a \) in the block \( b_i \) are the same, that is, if

\[
a_{(i-1)p+1} = a_{(i-1)p+2} = \cdots = a_{ip}.
\]

We are now ready to prove the claim. Suppose \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{p^r}) \in X_{p^r} \) lies in the preimage of \( s = (s_1, \ldots, s_{p^{r-1}}) \), as in (13). If \( a \) is scalar in the small block \( b_i \) then clearly

\[
s_i = a_{(i-1)p+1} + a_{(i-1)p+2} + \cdots + a_{ip} \in p\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}.
\]

Since we are assuming that \( s \) lies in

\[
\Sigma(\Lambda) \setminus pX_{p^{r-1}},
\]
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s must have at least two entries that are not divisible by p, say, s_i and s_j. (Recall that s_1 + \cdots + s_p = 0 in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}, so s cannot have exactly one entry not divisible by p.) Thus a is nonscalar in the small blocks b_i and b_j. Consequently, the elements \sigma^a_i \sigma^b_j(a) are distinct, as \alpha and \beta range between 0 and p - 1. All of these elements lie in the fiber of s under \Sigma. Therefore we conclude that this fiber contains at least \(p^2\) distinct elements. This completes the proof of the claim and thus of Proposition 7.2, Proposition 5.1(c) and Theorem 1.1(c). □

8. Proof of Theorem 1.1(d)

In this section we assume that n is divisible by p but is not a power of p. We will modify the arguments of the last two sections to show that 

\[ \text{ed}(T \rtimes P_n) = \text{ed}(T \rtimes P_n; p) = p^e(n - p^e) - n + 1, \]

where \(p^e\) is the highest power of p dividing n. This will complete the proof of Proposition 5.1 and thus of Theorem 1.1.

Write out the \(p\)-adic expansion

\[ n = n_1 p^{e_1} + n_2 p^{e_2} + \cdots + n_u p^{e_u}, \tag{14} \]

of n, where \(1 \leq e = e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_u\), and \(1 \leq n_i < p\) for each \(i\). Subdivide the integers 1, \ldots, n into \(n_1 + \cdots + n_u\) blocks \(B_j^i\) of length \(p^{e_i}\), for \(j\) ranging over 1, 2, \ldots, \(n_i\). By our assumption there are at least two such blocks. The Sylow subgroup \(P_n\) is a direct product

\[ P_n = (P_{p^{e_1}})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times (P_{p^{e_u}})^{n_u} \]

where each \(P_{p^{e_i}}\) acts on one of the blocks \(B_j^i\).

Once again we will use the strategy outlined in Section 2.

(i) We will construct a generically free representation of \(T \rtimes P_n\) of dimension \(p^{e_1}(n - p^{e_1})\). This will prove the upper bound \(\text{ed}_k(T \rtimes P_n) \leq p^{e_1}(n - p^{e_1}) - n + 1\). Note that this construction (and thus the above inequality) do not require any assumption on the field \(k\).

To construct this representation, let \(\Lambda \subset X(T)\) be the union of the \(P_n\)-orbits of the elements

\[ a_{1,j+1} \text{ where } j = p^{e_1}, \ldots, n_1 p^{e_1}, n_1 p^{e_1} + p^{e_2}, \ldots, n - p^{e_u}, \]

i.e., the union of the \(P_n\)-orbits of elements of the form \((1, 0, \ldots, 0, -1, 0, \ldots, 0)\), where 1 appears in the first position of the first block and \(-1\) appears in the first position of one of the other blocks. For \(a_{\alpha, \beta}\) in \(\Lambda\) there are \(p^{e_1}\) choices for \(\alpha\) and \(n - p^{e_1}\) choices for \(\beta\). Thus

\[ \dim V_\Lambda = |\Lambda| = p^{e_1}(n - p^{e_1}). \]
It is not difficult to see that $\Lambda$ generates $X(T)$ as an abelian group. To conclude with Lemma 3.3 that $V_\Lambda$ is a generically free representation of $T \times P_n$, it remains to show that the $P_n$-action on the kernel of the natural morphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \to X(T)$ is faithful when $e_1 \geq 1$. As in Section 6 we only need to check that the center $Z_n$ of $P_n$ acts faithfully on the kernel. Let $\sigma$ be a nontrivial element of $\mathbb{Z}_n = (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^{n_e}$, with each $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ cyclic of order $p$. Let $h, h'$ be in the first block $B_1^j$ and $l, l'$ in some other block $B_i^j$ (there are at least two blocks each of size at least $p$). The element
\[
a = a_{h,l} - a_{h',l'} + a_{h',l'} - a_{h,l}
\]
lies in the kernel of $\phi$. To fix $a, \sigma$ must either (1) fix all $h, h', l, l'$ or (2) $\sigma(h) = h', \sigma(h') = h$ and $\sigma(l) = l', \sigma(l') = l$. Since $\sigma$ is nontrivial we may choose $B_i^j$ such that (1) is not possible and if $p \neq 2$, (2) is not possible either. If $p = 2$, by (14), $B_i^j$ is at least of size 4 and we can choose $l, l'$ within $B_i^j$ such that (2) does not hold. Therefore $\sigma$ does not fix a nonzero element of the kernel of $\phi$.

(ii) We now want to prove the lower bound,
\[
\text{ed}(T \times P_n; p) \geq p^{\nu_1}(n - p^{\nu_1}) - n + 1.
\]
Arguing as in Section 7 (and using the same notation, with $q = p$), it suffices to show that $\text{ed}(T(p) \times P_n; p) \geq p^{\nu_1}(n - p^{\nu_1})$. By the Karpenko–Merkurjev Theorem 7.1 this is equivalent to showing that every faithful representation of $T(p) \times P_n$ has dimension at least $p^{\nu_1}(n - p^{\nu_1})$. By Lemma 3.1 it now suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8.1. Let $n$ be a positive integer, $P_n$ be the Sylow subgroup of $S_n$, $p^{\nu}$ be the highest power of $p$ dividing $n$, and
\[
X_n := \{(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \mid a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}.
\]
Then every $P_n$-invariant generating subset of $X_n$ has at least $p^{\nu}(n - p^{\nu})$ elements.

In the statement of the lemma we allow $e = 0$, to facilitate the induction argument. For the purpose of proving the lower bound in Proposition 5.1(d) we only need this lemma for $e \geq 1$.

Proof. Once again, we consider the $p$-adic expansion (14) of $n$ with $0 \leq e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_u$ and $1 \leq n_i < p$. We may assume that $n$ is not a power of $p$, since otherwise the lemma is vacuous.

We will argue by induction on $e = e_1$. For the base case, let $e_1 = 0$. Here the lemma is obvious: since $X_n$ has rank $n - 1$, every generating set ($P_n$-invariant or not) has to have at least $n - 1$ elements.
For the induction step, we may suppose $e = e_1 \geq 1$; in particular, $n$ is divisible by $p$. Define $\Sigma : X_n \to X_{n/p}$ by sending $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ to $(s_1, \ldots, s_{n/p})$, where

$$s_j = a_{(j-1)p+1} + \cdots + a_{jp}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n/p$. Arguing as in Section 7 we see that $\Sigma(\Lambda) \setminus pX_{n/p}$ is an $(P_{p^{e-1}}^{p}) \times \cdots \times (P_{p^{e-1}}^{n})$-invariant generating subset of $X_{n/p}$ and that every $s \in \Sigma(\Lambda) \setminus pX_{n/p}$ has at least $p^2$ preimages in $\Lambda$. By the induction assumption,

$$|\Sigma(\Lambda) \setminus pX_{n/p}| \geq p^{e-1}\left(\frac{n}{p} - p^{e-1}\right)$$

and thus

$$|\Lambda| \geq p^2 \cdot p^{e-1}\left(\frac{n}{p} - p^{e-1}\right) = p^e(n - p^e).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 8.1 and thus of parts (d) of Proposition 5.1 and of Theorem 1.1.

\[\square\]
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