

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 6

2012

No. 3

*L*-invariants and Shimura curves

Samit Dasgupta and Matthew Greenberg



mathematical sciences publishers

# $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants and Shimura curves

Samit Dasgupta and Matthew Greenberg

In earlier work, the second named author described how to extract Darmon-style  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants from modular forms on Shimura curves that are special at  $p$ . In this paper, we show that these  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants are preserved by the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. As a consequence, we prove the second named author’s period conjecture in the case where the base field is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As a further application of our methods, we use integrals of Hida families to describe Stark–Heegner points in terms of a certain Abel–Jacobi map.

1. Introduction	455
2. Modular forms on quaternion algebras and the cohomology of Shimura curves	459
3. Hecke operators and group cohomology	461
4. $p$ -adic measures, Hida families, and Greenberg–Stevens $\mathcal{L}$ - invariants	463
5. Some commutative diagrams	468
6. $p$ -arithmetic cohomology classes and Darmon $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants	470
7. Equality of the Greenberg–Stevens and Darmon $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants	474
8. Multiplicative integrals and period lattices	479
9. Abel–Jacobi maps and Stark–Heegner points	481
References	484

## 1. Introduction

Let  $N$  and  $p$  be relatively prime positive integers with  $p$  prime and let

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f)q^n \in S_2(\Gamma_0(Np))^{p\text{-new}}$$

be a Hecke eigenform with  $a_1(f) = 1$ . In their study of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions associated to modular forms, Mazur, Tate and Teitelbaum [Mazur et al. 1986] introduce a  $p$ -adic invariant of  $f$  which they call its  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant. Let  $\mathcal{X}(f, p)$  be the set of primitive Dirichlet characters with conductor prime to  $p$  such that

*MSC2000:* primary 11F41; secondary 11G18, 11F67, 11F75.

*Keywords:*  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants, Shimura curves, Hida families, Stark–Heegner points.

$\chi(p) = a_p(f) = \pm 1$ . If  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(f, p)$  then the interpolation property forces the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p(f, \chi, s)$  of  $f$  twisted by  $\chi$  to vanish at  $s = 0$ . This is called an *exceptional zero* phenomenon. In this case, it is conjectured in [Mazur et al. 1986] that there is a  $p$ -adic number  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTT}}(f)$  such that for all  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(f, p)$  of conductor  $c$ ,

$$L'_p(f, \chi, 0) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTT}}(f) \frac{c}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \frac{L(f, \bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\chi(-1)}}. \tag{1-1}$$

Here,  $\tau(\bar{\chi})$  is the Gauss sum associated to  $\bar{\chi}$  and  $\Omega_f^{\chi(-1)}$  is the real or imaginary period of  $f$ , depending on the parity of  $\chi$ . Note that (1-1) makes sense after fixing embeddings  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ , since  $L(f, \bar{\chi}, 1)/\Omega_f^{\chi(-1)}$  is algebraic by a theorem of Shimura. It follows from nonvanishing results on critical  $L$ -values that  $L(f, \bar{\chi}, 1) \neq 0$  for some  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(f, p)$ , making (1-1) a nontrivial statement; see [Darmon 2001, Lemma 2.17] and the following remark.

The existence of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTT}}(f)$  was proved in the influential paper [Greenberg and Stevens 1993]. Since  $f$  is  $p$ -ordinary, that is,  $a_p(f)$  is a  $p$ -adic unit,  $f$  lives in a  $p$ -adic analytic family  $\mathbf{f}$  of eigenforms by the work of Hida [1986]. More precisely, there is a  $p$ -adic disk  $U \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  containing 2 and a  $p$ -adic analytic function  $\mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{f}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , with  $\mathbf{a}_1(\mathbf{f}) = 1$ , such that

- (1) for all integers  $k \geq 2$  with  $k \in U$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{f}, k) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  and the image of

$$\mathbf{f}(k) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{f}, k) q^n$$

in  $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$  is the  $q$ -expansion of an eigenform in  $S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))$ ,

- (2)  $\mathbf{f}(2) = f$ .

Moreover, up to shrinking  $U$  around 2,  $\mathbf{f}$  is completely determined by  $f$ . Note that  $1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\mathbf{f}, k)^2$  vanishes at  $k = 2$  since  $a_p(f) = \pm 1$ . Thus, it is natural to consider the derivative of this quantity. Greenberg and Stevens show that (1-1) holds with

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTT}}(f) = \frac{d}{dk} (1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\mathbf{f}, k)^2) \Big|_{k=2} =: \mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(f). \tag{1-2}$$

Also, (1-2) extends the definition of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant from the case  $a_p(f) = 1$  originally considered in [Mazur et al. 1986] to the case  $a_p(f) = \pm 1$ .

Mazur, Tate, and Teitelbaum further conjecture in the same work that  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MTT}}(f)$  is of local type, that is, depends only on the two-dimensional  $p$ -adic representation  $\sigma_p(f)$  of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  associated to  $f$ . Greenberg and Stevens [1993] proved this by showing that  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(f)$  may be described in terms of the deformation theory of  $\sigma_p(f)$ .

Since the  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant is a local-at- $p$  invariant of  $f$ , it is natural to attempt to extract the  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant of  $f$  from its Jacquet–Langlands lift  $g$  to another indefinite quaternion algebra  $B$  split at  $p$ , that is, with  $B_p \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , since the corresponding automorphic representations have the same local components at  $p$ . (The case of definite quaternion algebras was resolved by Bertolini, Darmon and Iovita [Bertolini et al. 2010].) Following Darmon [2001], a conjectural method for doing this was proposed in [Greenberg 2009], as follows.

We first consider a certain  $p$ -arithmetic subgroup  $\Theta \subset B^\times$  of level

$$N^+ := N / \text{disc } B, \tag{1-3}$$

defined precisely in (6-1). We view  $\Theta$  as a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  using the chosen isomorphism  $B_p \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Let  $M^0(X)$  be the space of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued measures on  $X := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  with total measure zero (see Section 4). The group  $\Theta$  acts on  $X$  by linear fractional transformations. This induces an action of  $\Theta$  on  $M^0(X)$ . A Mayer–Vietoris argument, together with multiplicity one, shows that for each choice of sign  $\pm$  at infinity,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_p} H^1(\Theta, M^0(X))^{g, \pm} = 1$ . Here, the superscript  $g$  indicates the eigensubspace on which the Hecke operators act according to the Hecke eigenvalues of  $g$ . The superscript  $\pm$  indicates the  $\pm 1$ -eigenspace for the natural conjugation action of a matrix of determinant  $-1$  that normalizes  $\Theta$ . Let  $\varphi_g^\pm$  be a nonzero element of  $H^1(\Theta, M^0(X))^{g, \pm}$ . Our definition of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant of  $g$  will arise by considering the image of  $\varphi_g^\pm$  under a certain integration pairing that we now define.

For each  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{C}_p$ , there is a unique branch  $\log_{\mathcal{L}}$  of the  $p$ -adic logarithm such that  $\log_{\mathcal{L}}(p) = \mathcal{L}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) - \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  be the  $p$ -adic upper half-plane. Associated to each branch of the  $p$ -adic logarithm, there is a  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant integration pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} : M^0(X) \times \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$$

defined by

$$\langle \mu, \{\tau'\} - \{\tau\} \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = \int_X \log_{\mathcal{L}} \left( \frac{x - \tau'}{x - \tau} \right) \mu(x),$$

which, in turn, induces a pairing  $H^1(\Theta, M^0(X)) \times H_1(\Theta, \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ . Let  $\partial : H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\Theta, \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p)$  be the boundary map in the long exact sequence in  $\Theta$ -cohomology associated to the short exact sequence defining  $\text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \text{Div} \mathcal{H}_p \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proposition 1** [Greenberg 2009, Prop. 30]. *There are unique constants  $\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm)$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  such that  $\langle \varphi_g^\pm, \partial H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rangle_{-\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm)} = \{0\}$ .*

We have chosen the notation  $\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm)$  for these  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants since they are defined following methods of Darmon [2001]. The goal of this paper is to relate these  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants  $\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm)$  arising from the cohomology of Shimura curves to those whose origins lie in the arithmetic of classical modular curves. The following is our main result:

**Theorem 2.** 
$$\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^{GS}(f).$$

Using Theorem 2, we deduce Conjecture 2 of [Greenberg 2009] in the case where the base field is  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; see Section 8 for details. The proof of Theorem 2 falls into two steps. Applying a result of Hida’s theory, we deform the Jacquet–Langlands lift  $g$  of  $f$  into a cohomological Hida family  $\Phi_g^\pm$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_p = \mathbf{a}_p(k)$  be the eigenvalue of  $U_p$  acting on  $\Phi_g^\pm$ . Group cohomological calculations building upon those in [Dasgupta 2005] show that

$$\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm) = \frac{d}{dk}(1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\mathbf{g}, k)^2) \Big|_{k=2} =: \mathcal{L}^{GS}(g).$$

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{L}^{GS}(g) = \mathcal{L}^{GS}(f)$ . We prove this in Theorem 8, which asserts a compatibility between the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence with the formation of Hida families. This result is a weak analogue of results of Chenevier [2005] for definite quaternion algebras and may be of independent interest.

In the last section of this paper, we apply our computations to the theory of *Stark–Heegner points*. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve of conductor  $Np$  and suppose that  $\mathbb{O}$  is a real quadratic order with fraction field  $K$  such that  $(\text{disc } \mathbb{O}, Np) = 1$ . Assume further that the sign in the functional equation of  $L(E/K, s)$  is  $-1$ . Then for each character  $\chi : \text{Cl}_{\mathbb{O}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  of the narrow ideal class group of  $\mathbb{O}$ , the sign in the functional equation of  $L(E/K, \chi, s)$  is also  $-1$ . Thus, the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer leads one to expect that

$$\text{rank } E(H_{\mathbb{O}}) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/H_{\mathbb{O}}, s) = \text{ord}_{s=1} \prod_{\chi : \text{Cl}_{\mathbb{O}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} L(E/K, \chi, s) \geq |\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{O}}^+|, \tag{1-4}$$

where  $H_{\mathbb{O}}$  is the narrow ring class field associated to the order  $\mathbb{O}$ . In [Greenberg 2009], a  $p$ -adic analytic construction of local *Stark–Heegner points* on  $E$  was presented, generalizing a construction of Darmon [2001] applicable when  $p$  is inert in  $K$  and the primes dividing  $N$  split in  $K$ . The local definition of Stark–Heegner points given in [Greenberg 2009] is contingent upon Conjecture 2 [ibid.] over the base field  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; this now follows from Theorem 2. The analytically defined Stark–Heegner points are conjectured to be defined over the field  $H_{\mathbb{O}}$ , and are expected to generate a finite index subgroup of  $E(H_{\mathbb{O}})$  when the inequality in (1-4) is an equality.

The strongest theoretical evidence presented to date for the conjectures of [Darmon 2001] on the rationality of Stark–Heegner points is the main result of [Bertolini and Darmon 2009], which proves the rationality of certain linear combinations of Stark–Heegner points. A key tool in the proof of this result is a description of the formal group logarithms of Stark–Heegner points in terms of periods of Hida families. In Section 9, we prove such a formula for the Stark–Heegner points of [Greenberg 2009]. We intend to pursue the analogue of the rationality result of [Bertolini and Darmon 2009] in future work.

**2. Modular forms on quaternion algebras and the cohomology of Shimura curves**

Let  $f$  be as in the introduction with level  $Np$ ,  $p \nmid N$ . In order to ensure that  $f$  admits a Jacquet–Langlands lift to an indefinite quaternion  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, we suppose that the tame part  $N$  of the level of  $f$  admits a factorization

$$N = N^- N^+, \quad (N^-, N^+) = 1,$$

such that  $f$  is  $N^-$ -new. We work under the additional simplifying assumption that  $N^-$  is squarefree.

Let  $B$  be the indefinite quaternion  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra with discriminant  $N^-$ . Let  $R_{\max}$  be a maximal order in  $B$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime with  $\ell \nmid N^-$ . Since  $B$  is split at  $\ell$ , we may choose an embedding

$$\iota_\ell : B \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

such that  $\iota_\ell(R_{\max}) \subset M_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . Define

$$R = \left\{ \alpha \in R_{\max} : \iota_\ell(\alpha) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N^+ \mathbb{Z}_\ell} \text{ for all } \ell \nmid N^- \right\}, \tag{2-1}$$

$$R_0 = \left\{ \alpha \in R_{\max} : \iota_\ell(\alpha) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{pN^+ \mathbb{Z}_\ell} \text{ for all } \ell \nmid N^- \right\}. \tag{2-2}$$

The rings  $R$  and  $R_0$  are Eichler orders in  $B$  of level  $N^+$  and  $pN^+$ , respectively. Set

$$\Gamma = R_+^\times / \{\pm 1\}, \quad \Gamma_0 = R_{0,+}^\times / \{\pm 1\},$$

where the subscript  $+$  indicates elements with positive reduced norm.

Since  $B$  is split at the infinite place of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we may choose an embedding

$$\iota_\infty : B \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{2-3}$$

The groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  may be viewed as discrete groups of transformations of the complex upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}$  by identifying them with subgroups of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  via  $\iota_\infty$ . The quotients

$$Y(\mathbb{C}) := \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}, \quad Y_0(\mathbb{C}) := \Gamma_0 \backslash \mathcal{H}$$

are Riemann surfaces, compact exactly when  $N^- \neq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  be the extended complex upper half-plane and define

$$X(\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} Y(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } N^- \neq 1, \\ \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}^* & \text{if } N^- = 1. \end{cases}$$

Define  $X_0(\mathbb{C})$  analogously. The Riemann surfaces  $X(\mathbb{C})$  and  $X_0(\mathbb{C})$  are compact and may be identified with the loci of complex points of Shimura curves  $X$  and  $X_0$  that admit canonical models over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Of course, these are just the classical modular curves in the case  $N^- = 1$ . For the remainder of this section, we assume that  $N^- \neq 1$ .

Let  $S_k(\Gamma)$  and  $\overline{S_k(\Gamma)}$  be the spaces of holomorphic and, respectively, antiholomorphic weight  $k$  cusp forms on  $X(\Gamma)$ . The spaces  $S_k(\Gamma_0)$  and  $\overline{S_k(\Gamma_0)}$  are defined analogously. These spaces admit the action of a commutative algebra of Hecke operators, all commuting with complex conjugation (see Section 3).

**Theorem 3** (Jacquet–Langlands correspondence). *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. There are isomorphisms*

$$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))^{N^- \text{-new}} \cong S_k(\Gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))^{N^- \text{-new}} \cong S_k(\Gamma_0).$$

*Both isomorphisms are equivariant with respect to the Hecke operators  $T_\ell$  for  $\ell \nmid Np$ ,  $U_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid N^+$  and  $W_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid N^-$ . In addition, the first isomorphism is equivariant with respect to  $T_p$ , and the second is equivariant with respect to  $U_p$ .*

Therefore, there is a one-dimensional subspace of  $S_2(\Gamma_0)$ , independent of the choice of isomorphism in the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence, on which the Hecke operators act via the eigenvalues of  $f$ . Let  $g$  be a nonzero element of this space. We call  $g$  a *Jacquet–Langlands lift* of  $f$ . Let  $a_\ell(g) = a_\ell(f)$  be the eigenvalue of  $T_\ell$ ,  $U_\ell$ , or  $-W_\ell$  acting on  $g$  in the cases  $\ell \nmid Np$ ,  $\ell \mid pN^+$ , and  $\ell \mid pN^-$ , respectively.

We are also interested in cohomological avatars of  $g$ . We have canonical isomorphisms of Betti and group cohomology

$$H^*(\Gamma, E) \cong H^*(X(\mathbb{C}), E), \quad H^*(\Gamma_0, E) \cong H^*(X_0(\mathbb{C}), E)$$

for any characteristic zero field  $E$  endowed with the trivial action of  $\Gamma$ . By the de Rham theorem and the Hodge decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{C}) &= H^1(X_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \\ &= H^{1,0}(X_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{0,1}(X_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \cong S_2(\Gamma_0) \oplus \overline{S_2(\Gamma_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $E$  is any field containing the Hecke eigenvalues of  $g$ , we have

$$\dim_E H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^g = 2,$$

where the superscript  $g$  indicates Hecke eigenspace corresponding to the system of Hecke eigenvalues of  $g$ :

$$H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^g = \{c \in H^1(\Gamma_0, E) : T_\ell(c) = a_\ell(g)c \text{ for } \ell \nmid N, \\ U_\ell(c) = a_\ell(g)c \text{ for } \ell \mid pN^+\}.$$

(See Section 3 for a detailed description of Hecke operators acting on group cohomology.) Note that this space is stable for the Atkin–Lehner involutions  $-W_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid pN^-$  with eigenvalues  $a_\ell(g)$ . Conjugation by an element of  $R_0^\times$  of reduced norm  $-1$  induces an automorphism of  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)$  under which the subspace  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^g$  is stable. This action corresponds to complex conjugation of cusp forms and is denoted  $W_\infty$ . Therefore,  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^g$  decomposes into one-dimensional  $\pm$ -eigenspaces for this action:

$$H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^g = H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^{g,+} \oplus H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^{g,-}.$$

We denote by  $g^\pm$  a nonzero element of  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^{g,\pm}$ . In Section 4 we construct a cohomological Hida family  $\Phi_g^\pm$  that specializes to  $g^\pm$  in weight 2, and in Section 6 we use  $\Phi_g^\pm$  to define the Darmon  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant  $\mathcal{L}^D(g^\pm)$ .

### 3. Hecke operators and group cohomology

In anticipation of the delicate group cohomological calculations to follow, we carefully set up notation for describing the action of Hecke operators on various cohomology groups. Let  $G \subset K$  be an inclusion of groups,  $x$  an element of  $K$ ,  $M$  a  $G$ -module, and  $M'$  an  $xGx^{-1}$ -module. Suppose that  $\xi : M \rightarrow M'$  is a group homomorphism such that

$$\xi(gm) = xgx^{-1}\xi(m). \tag{3-1}$$

for all  $g \in G$  and  $m \in M$ . In our applications,  $M \subset M''$  for a  $K$ -module  $M''$ , and  $\xi$  is the map  $m \mapsto xm$  with  $M' = xM \subset M''$ . The map  $\xi$  induces a homomorphism

$$\xi_* : H^*(G, M) \rightarrow H^*(xGx^{-1}, M') \tag{3-2}$$

as follows: Let  $F_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by free  $K$ -modules. Note that  $F_r$  is also a free  $G$ -module and a free  $xGx^{-1}$ -module. In what follows, we will often take  $F_r = \mathbb{Z}[K^{r+1}]$ . Formally,  $\xi$  induces a map of cochain complexes relative to this resolution,

$$\xi_* : \text{Hom}_G(F_r, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{xGx^{-1}}(F_r, M'), \quad \xi_*(\varphi)(f_r) = \xi(\varphi(x^{-1}f_r)),$$

which induces (3-2). We now use this formalism to define the Hecke operators that play a role in this paper.

• Suppose that  $\ell > 0$  is a prime divisor of  $N^-$ . Then there exists an element  $\lambda \in R_0$  whose reduced norm is  $\ell$  and such that  $\lambda$  generates the unique two-sided ideal of  $R_0$  with norm  $\ell$ . The element  $\lambda$  normalizes  $R_0$  by [Vignéras 1980, chapitre II, corollaire 1.7]. Take  $G = \Gamma_0$  or  $\Gamma$ ,  $K = B^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ ,  $x = \lambda$ . Let  $M$  be a  $G$ -module such that  $M = \lambda M$  (that is, this equality holds in a  $K$ -module  $M''$  containing  $M$ ). The formalism above then yields the *Atkin–Lehner involutions*

$$W_\ell : H^r(\Gamma_0, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma_0, M), \quad W_\ell : H^r(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma, M). \tag{3-3}$$

• Let  $w_p \in R_0$  be an element of reduced norm  $p$  that generates the normalizer of  $\Gamma_0$  in  $R[1/p]_+^\times$  and define

$$\tilde{\Theta} = R[1/p]_+^\times / \mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times. \tag{3-4}$$

The groups  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Gamma' := w_p \Gamma w_p^{-1}$  are all subgroups of  $\tilde{\Theta}$ . Using the above formalism with  $G = \Gamma_0$  or  $\Gamma$ ,  $K = \tilde{\Theta}$ , and  $x = w_p$  yields *Atkin–Lehner maps*

$$W_p : H^r(\Gamma_0, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma_0, M'), \quad W_p : H^r(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma', M'), \tag{3-5}$$

with  $M' = w_p M$ . We note that these maps are isomorphisms, as applying the same formalism with  $w_p^{-1}$  instead of  $w_p$  yields inverse homomorphisms  $W_p^{-1}$ .

• Let  $\ell > 0$  be a prime with  $\ell \nmid N^-$ . Choose an element  $\lambda \in R_0$  of reduced norm  $\ell$ . When  $\ell \mid pN^+$ , we insist that

$$\iota_\ell(\lambda)\mathfrak{I}_\ell \in \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{I}_\ell, \tag{3-6}$$

where  $\mathfrak{I}_\ell$  is the Iwahori subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{I}_\ell = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \alpha \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\ell} \right\}.$$

Consider a double coset decomposition

$$\Gamma_0 \cdot \lambda \cdot \Gamma_0 = \bigcup_i \gamma_a \Gamma_0. \tag{3-7}$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be the subsemigroup of  $\tilde{\Theta}$  generated by  $\Gamma_0$  together with  $\lambda$ , and let  $M$  be a  $\Sigma$ -module. Let  $F_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by free  $\tilde{\Theta}$ -modules, and define an endomorphism  $T_\ell$  of the cochain complex  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_0}(F_\bullet, M)$  by

$$(T_\ell \varphi)(f_r) = \sum_i \gamma_i \varphi(\gamma_i^{-1} f_r), \quad f_r \in F_r. \tag{3-8}$$

It is routine to check that  $T_\ell$  does not depend on the choice of coset representatives and descends to a well defined endomorphism  $T_\ell$  of  $H^*(\Gamma_0, M)$ . When  $\ell \mid pN^+$ , we write  $U_\ell$  instead of  $T_\ell$  for this operator.

• Finally, let  $\Pi$  denote the matrix  $\lambda \in R_0$  of reduced norm  $p$  chosen above to satisfy (3-6) when  $\ell = p$ . Let  $\Pi' = w_p \Pi w_p^{-1}$ . Then

$$\iota_p(\Pi')\mathfrak{J}_p = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{J}_p.$$

Let  $U'_p$  be the Hecke operator associated to the double coset  $\Gamma_0 \Pi' \Gamma_0$ . It is easy to check that

$$U'_p = W_p \circ U_p \circ W_p^{-1}. \tag{3-9}$$

Note that this holds on the level of cochains if we choose compatible double coset decompositions:

$$\Gamma_0 \Pi \Gamma_0 = \bigcup_i \gamma_a \Gamma_0, \quad \Gamma_0 \Pi' \Gamma_0 = \bigcup_i (w_p \gamma_a w_p^{-1}) \Gamma_0.$$

**4.  $p$ -adic measures, Hida families, and Greenberg–Stevens  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants**

Let  $Y$  be a compact topological space with a basis of compact-open subsets and let  $A$  be a subring of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Write  $C^\infty(Y) = C^\infty(Y, A)$  for the group of locally constant,  $A$ -valued functions on  $Y$ , equipped with the sup-norm. An  $A$ -valued measure on  $Y$  is a bounded  $A$ -linear functional on  $C^\infty(Y, A)$ . We write  $M(Y) = M(Y, A)$  for the space of such measures, which can be identified with the space of finitely additive,  $A$ -valued functions on the set of compact-open subsets of  $Y$  whose values are bounded. For details, see [Mazur and Swinnerton-Dyer 1974, §7.1].

Let

$$\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{Z}_p^2)' := \mathbb{Z}_p^2 - p(\mathbb{Z}_p^2), \quad \mathbb{X}_\infty = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times p\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{X}. \tag{4-1}$$

The spaces  $M(\mathbb{X})$  and  $M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)$  are naturally modules for the Iwasawa algebra  $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p]]$ , where group-like elements act via the natural diagonal action of  $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$  on  $\mathbb{X}$ ; given  $\ell \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ , we define  $([\ell]\mu)(h(x, y)) := \mu(h(\ell x, \ell y))$ .

Let

$$\epsilon : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \tag{4-2}$$

be the augmentation map defined by  $[\ell] \mapsto 1$  and let  $I_\epsilon$  be the kernel of  $\epsilon$ . Letting  $\gamma$  be a topological generator of  $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ , it follows that  $I_\epsilon$  is generated by

$$\varpi := [\gamma] - 1.$$

The group  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}$  from the left by viewing elements of  $\mathbb{X}$  as column vectors. The group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}$  via the embedding  $\iota_p : R^\times \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , and  $\mathbb{X}_\infty$  is stable under  $\Gamma_0$ . Therefore, we may consider the cohomology groups  $H^*(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X}))$  and  $H^*(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty))$ . These cohomology groups are canonically isomorphic:

**Lemma 4.** *The map*

$$H^*(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty))$$

*induced by the  $\Gamma_0$ -equivariant inclusion  $\mathbb{X}_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The  $p + 1$  translates of  $\mathbb{X}_\infty$  by  $\Gamma$  cover  $\mathbb{X}$ . It follows that

$$M(\mathbb{X}) = \text{Co-Ind}_{\Gamma_0}^\Gamma M(\mathbb{X}_\infty).$$

The lemma now follows from Shapiro’s lemma. □

Let us assume that our measures take values in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (so  $M(\mathbb{X})$  denotes  $M(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , etc.). We set  $\tilde{\mathbb{W}} := H^1(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)) \cong H^1(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X}))$ . View  $\Lambda$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]]$ -algebra via the canonical projection

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \ell \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle := \ell/\omega(\ell),$$

where  $\omega$  is the Teichmüller character. Define the  $\Lambda$ -algebra  $\mathbb{W} := \tilde{\mathbb{W}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]]} \Lambda$ .

As  $\Pi\mathbb{X}_\infty \subset \mathbb{X}_\infty$ , the semigroup  $\Sigma$  of Section 3 acts on  $M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)$ . Therefore, the formalism of Section 3 endows  $\mathbb{W}$  with an action of the  $U_p$ -operator. In addition to the  $U_p$ -action, the group  $\mathbb{W}$  enjoys an action of

- Hecke operators  $T_\ell$  for primes  $\ell \nmid pN$  and  $U_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid N^+$ , and
- Atkin–Lehner involutions  $W_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid N^-$ .

See Section 3 for the definitions of these operators. Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the commutative  $\Lambda$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_\Lambda \mathbb{W}$  generated by these operators. Let  $\rho : M(\mathbb{X}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  be the total measure map. It induces a corresponding map

$$\rho : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{Z}_p). \tag{4-3}$$

The map  $\rho$  respects the decomposition into  $\pm$ -eigenspaces:

$$\rho : \mathbb{W}^\pm \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)^\pm.$$

Let  $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_p^{n!}$  denote Hida’s ordinary idempotent and, for any  $\mathbb{T}$ -module  $M$ , let  $M^o = eM$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{T}^o = e\mathbb{T}$  is Hida’s ordinary Hecke algebra.

**Theorem 5** (Hida’s control theorem). *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \varpi \mathbb{W}^{\pm, o} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}^{\pm, o} \xrightarrow{\rho} H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\pm, o} \rightarrow 0. \tag{4-4}$$

The kernel of the  $\Lambda$ -algebra homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}^o \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  given by sending a Hecke operator to its eigenvalue on  $g$  is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{T}^o$  lying above the augmentation ideal  $I_\epsilon \subset \Lambda$ . The following fundamental result is due to Hida in the case  $N^- = 1$  (see [Greenberg and Stevens 1993]), and was extended in [Balasubramanyam and Longo 2011] to the case  $N^- \neq 1$ .

**Theorem 6.** *There is a unique minimal prime  $\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , and the quotient  $R := \mathbb{T}^o / \mathfrak{P}$  is a finite flat extension of  $\Lambda$  unramified above  $I_\epsilon$ .*

Let  $R$  be as in the theorem, and let  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the localization of  $R$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $E$  be the field of fractions of the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $R$ . It is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We write  $\epsilon : R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \varpi R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong E$  for the reduction map. This notation is justified as this map extends the augmentation  $\epsilon : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Write  $(\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm, g}$  for the subspace of  $(\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm}$  on which  $\mathbb{T}$  acts via the canonical map  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Note that

$$(\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm, g} \subset (\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm, o} = \mathbb{W}^{\pm, o} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

and that

$$H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}} = H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E = H^1(\Gamma_0, E). \tag{4-5}$$

On the left of (4-5), we view  $H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  as a  $\Lambda$ -module via the augmentation  $\epsilon$ .

**Corollary 7** [Balasubramanyam and Longo 2011, §3.6]. *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \varpi (\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm, g} \rightarrow (\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm, g} \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^{\pm, g} \rightarrow 0$$

*obtained by tensoring (4-4) with  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  over  $\Lambda$  and taking  $g$ -isotypic components is exact, and  $\text{rank}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm, g} = 1$ .*

We now view  $g^{\pm}$  as an element of  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)^{\pm, g}$ . By Corollary 7, we may choose a lift

$$\Phi_g^{\pm} \in (\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\pm, g} \tag{4-6}$$

of  $g^{\pm}$ . The element  $\Phi_g^{\pm}$  is well defined up to multiplication by an element of  $1 + \varpi R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We call  $\Phi_g^{\pm}$  a *Hida family through  $g^{\pm}$* . We denote its  $U_p$ -eigenvalue by  $\mathbf{a}_p(\Phi_g^{\pm}) \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\epsilon(\mathbf{a}_p(\Phi_g^{\pm})) = a_p(g^{\pm}) = a_p(g) = a_p(f) = \pm 1$ , we see that  $1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\Phi_g^{\pm})^2$  lies in  $\varpi R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . There is a ‘‘derivative map’’  $d_\epsilon : \varpi R_{\mathfrak{p}} / (\varpi R_{\mathfrak{p}})^2 \rightarrow E$  that extends the map  $I_\epsilon / I_\epsilon^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  given by the  $p$ -adic logarithm:

$$[\ell] - 1 \mapsto \log(\ell). \tag{4-7}$$

Since  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , we need not specify a branch of the  $p$ -adic logarithm. We define the *Greenberg–Stevens  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant of  $g$*  by

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(\Phi_g^{\pm}) = d_\epsilon(1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\Phi_g^{\pm})^2) \in E.$$

The derivative map  $d_\epsilon$  is related to the usual notion of derivative in the following way. For  $0 < r \leq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_r$  be the subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[x]]$  consisting of those powers series that converge on the closed disk centered at 0 with radius  $r$ . Evidently, if  $r < s$ , then there is a canonical inclusion  $\mathcal{A}_s \subset \mathcal{A}_r$ . Therefore, we may set  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_r \mathcal{A}_r$ . Define  $i : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$  by sending a group-like element  $[\ell]$ , for  $\ell \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ , to the

function  $k \mapsto \ell^{k-2}$ . Since  $R$  is unramified over  $I_\epsilon$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is Henselian, there is a unique extension of  $i$  to a  $\Lambda$ -algebra homomorphism  $i : R_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . An element  $\lambda \in R_p$  lies in  $\varpi R_p$  if and only if the associated analytic function  $i(\lambda)$  has a zero at  $k = 2$ . In this case,  $d_\epsilon(\lambda) = i(\lambda)'(2)$ .

**Theorem 8.** *We have the following equality of Greenberg–Stevens  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants:*

$$\mathcal{L}^{GS}(\Phi_g^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^{GS}(f).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $R'$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -subalgebra of  $R_p$  such that  $\Phi_g^\pm$  lies in  $(\mathbb{W} \otimes_\Lambda R')^{g,\pm}$ . With notation as above, there is some  $r_0$  such that  $i(R')$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}_{r_0}$ .

Let  $P_{k-2}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  be the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k - 2$  in indeterminates  $x$  and  $y$ , and let  $V_{k-2}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  be its  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear dual. Define a “specialization to weight  $k$ ” map

$$\rho_k : M(\mathbb{X}_\infty) \rightarrow V_{k-2}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$$

by the rule

$$\rho_k(\Phi)(P) = \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} P(x, y)\Phi(x, y).$$

This map being  $\Gamma_0$ -equivariant, it induces a homomorphism

$$\rho_k : H^1(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, V_{k-2}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})).$$

The map  $\rho$  defined in (4-3) coincides with  $\rho_2$  in this more general notation.

If  $|k - 2|_p \leq r$ , we may extend  $\rho_k$  to a map

$$\rho_k : H^1(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)) \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, V_{k-2}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))$$

by setting

$$\rho_k\left(\sum_i \varphi_i \otimes \alpha_i\right) = \sum_i \alpha_i(k)\rho_k(\varphi_i).$$

One may verify formally that  $\rho_k$  is Hecke-equivariant.

Let  $\mathbf{a}_\ell$  be the image in  $\mathcal{A}_{r_0}$  of the eigenvalue of  $T_\ell$ ,  $-\langle \ell \rangle^{(k-2)/2} W_\ell$ , or  $U_\ell$  acting on  $\Phi_g^\pm$  in the cases  $\ell \nmid Np$ ,  $\ell \mid N^-$ , and  $\ell \mid N^+p$ , respectively. Here  $\langle \ell \rangle$  denotes the projection of  $\ell$  onto  $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Set  $\mathbf{a}_1 = 1$  and define  $\mathbf{a}_n$  in terms of the  $\mathbf{a}_\ell$  with  $\ell \mid n$  by the usual formulas for Hecke operators.

We may shrink  $r_0$  if necessary to ensure that  $\rho_k(\Phi_g^\pm)$  is a nonzero element of  $H^1(\Gamma_0, V_{k-2}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))$  for all  $k \geq 2$  with  $|k - 2|_p \leq r_0$  and  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{p - 1}$ . The class  $\rho_k(\Phi_g^\pm)$  is an eigenvector for the  $\ell$ -th Hecke operator with eigenvalue  $\mathbf{a}_\ell(k)$ . Thus,  $\{\mathbf{a}_\ell(k)\}$  is a system of Hecke eigenvalues occurring in  $H^1(\Gamma_0, V_{k-2}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))$ . In particular,  $\{\mathbf{a}_\ell(k)\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . By the Eichler–Shimura isomorphism [Matsushima and Shimura 1963, §4], this system of Hecke eigenvalues also occurs in  $S_k(\Gamma_0)$ .

By the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence, it occurs in  $S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$  as well. Thus, if we set

$$\mathbf{h} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n q^n \in \mathcal{A}_{r_0} \llbracket q \rrbracket,$$

then  $\mathbf{h}(k) = \sum \mathbf{a}_n(k)q^n$  is in fact the  $q$ -expansion of a classical cusp form of weight  $k$  on  $\Gamma_0(Np)$  for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $|k - 2|_p \leq r_0$ ,  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{p - 1}$ . Furthermore, it is clear that  $\mathbf{h}(2) = f$ . Therefore, by the uniqueness of the Hida family through  $f$  [Hida 1986, Corollary 1.3, pg. 554], it follows that  $\mathbf{a}_n(k) = \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{f}, k)$  for  $|k - 2|_p \leq r_0$ . In particular, this is true for  $n = p$ ; Theorem 8 follows.  $\square$

Finally, we record a result that will be important later. Set

$$\mathbb{W}^0 = H^1(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p \llbracket \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rrbracket} \Lambda.$$

**Lemma 9.** *The canonical map*

$$(\mathbb{W}^0 \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p)^{\pm, g} \rightarrow (\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p)^{\pm, g} \tag{4-8}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The map  $\rho : M(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  gives rise to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^0(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $R$  is  $\Lambda$ -flat, we may tensor  $R_p$  with the associated long exact sequence in  $\Gamma$ -cohomology to obtain

$$\dots \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}^0 \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p \rightarrow \mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, E) \rightarrow \dots$$

The space  $H^0(\Gamma, E)$  is Eisenstein (that is,  $T_\ell$  acts as  $1 + \ell$ ), so its  $g$ -isotypic component is trivial. Since the maps in the sequence above are Hecke-equivariant, it follows that the map (4-8) is injective. Similarly, if  $\Phi \in (\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p)^{\pm, g}$ , then its image in  $H^1(\Gamma, E)$  must be zero. This holds because  $g$  is  $p$ -new of level  $\Gamma_0$ , so the system of Hecke eigenvalues of  $g$  does not occur in  $H^1(\Gamma, E)$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is the image of an element  $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbb{W}^0 \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p$ . Let  $\ell$  be any prime such that the eigenvalue  $a_\ell(g)$  of the Hecke operator  $T_\ell$  is not equal to  $\ell + 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_\ell(\Phi)$  denote the  $T_\ell$  eigenvalue of  $\Phi$ , that is, the image of  $T_\ell$  in  $R_p$ . We claim that

$$\tilde{\Phi}' := \frac{T_\ell - (\ell + 1)}{\mathbf{a}_\ell(\Phi) - (\ell + 1)} \tilde{\Phi} \tag{4-9}$$

is a lift of  $\Phi$  to  $(\mathbb{W}^0 \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p)^{\pm, g}$ . First note that the division in (4-9) is allowed in the localization, since the image of  $\mathbf{a}_\ell(\Phi) - (\ell + 1)$  under reduction modulo  $p$  is  $a_\ell(g) - (\ell + 1) \neq 0$ . Next, it is clear that  $\tilde{\Phi}'$  maps to  $\Phi$  under (4-8) since  $\Phi$  has  $T_\ell$  eigenvalue  $\mathbf{a}_\ell(\Phi)$ . Finally, let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^o$ , and let  $\mathbf{a}_\lambda(\Phi)$  be the corresponding eigenvalue of  $\Phi$ . Then  $(\lambda - \mathbf{a}_\lambda(\Phi))\tilde{\Phi}$  maps to 0 in  $\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\Lambda} R_p$  and hence arises from

$H^0(\Gamma, E)$ . Since this module is Eisenstein, it is killed by  $T_\ell - (\ell + 1)$ , and it follows that  $(\lambda - \mathbf{a}_\lambda(\Phi))\tilde{\Phi}' = 0$ . This shows that  $\tilde{\Phi}'$  lies in  $(\mathbb{W}^0 \otimes_\Lambda R_p)^{\pm, g}$ , and concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Using Lemma 9, we may view  $\Phi_g^\pm$  an element of  $(\mathbb{W}^0 \otimes_\Lambda R_p)^{\pm, g}$ .

### 5. Some commutative diagrams

In this section, we establish some commutative diagrams involving the operators  $U_p, U'_p,$  and  $W_p$  acting on the group cohomology of various spaces of  $p$ -adic measures. In fact, these diagrams are so natural that they commute on the level of cochains; this fact will be used heavily in the calculations of Section 7. Recall the group  $\tilde{\Theta}$  defined in (3-4). We describe cohomology classes in terms of homogeneous cochains relative to the complex of projective  $\tilde{\Theta}$ -modules

$$F_r := \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{\Theta}^{r+1}]. \tag{5-1}$$

Thus, if  $G$  is a subgroup of  $\tilde{\Theta}$ , our group of  $M$ -valued  $r$ -cochains is

$$C^r(G, M) := \text{Hom}_G(F_r, M). \tag{5-2}$$

Coboundary maps  $d : C^r(G, M) \rightarrow C^{r+1}(G, M)$  are defined by the usual formula

$$d\varphi(g_0, \dots, g_{r+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i \varphi(g_0, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_{r+1}).$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} Z^r(G, M) &= \text{Ker}(d : C^r(G, M) \rightarrow C^{r+1}(G, M)), \\ B^r(G, M) &= \text{Image}(d : C^{r-1}(G, M) \rightarrow C^r(G, M)), \end{aligned}$$

and have

$$H^r(G, M) = Z^r(G, M) / B^r(G, M).$$

Defining

$$\mathbb{X}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times = w_p^{-1} \mathbb{X}_\infty, \tag{5-3}$$

we obtain Atkin–Lehner maps as in (3-5) with  $M = M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)$  and  $M' = M(\mathbb{X}_p)$ .

**Proposition 10.** *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) & & \\ & \swarrow \rho_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} & & \searrow \rho_{\mathbb{X}_p} & \\ C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)) & \xrightarrow{U_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)) & \xrightarrow{W_p^{-1}} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_p)) \end{array} \tag{5-4}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C^r(\Gamma', M(w_p\mathbb{X})) & & \\
 & \swarrow^{\rho'_{p\mathbb{X}_p}} & & \searrow^{\rho'_{\mathbb{X}_\infty}} & \\
 C^r(\Gamma_0, M(p\mathbb{X}_p)) & \xrightarrow{U'_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(p\mathbb{X}_p)) & \xrightarrow{W_p^{-1}} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty))
 \end{array} \tag{5-5}$$

Here the maps  $\rho$  are the natural restriction maps.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in Z^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X}))$ . Let  $g \in \tilde{\Theta}^{r+1}$ , and let  $h$  be a locally analytic function on  $\mathbb{X}_p$ . In the following, we will write  $j_i$  for the extension-by-zero of a function  $j$  on  $\mathbb{X}_\infty$  to a function on  $\mathbb{X}$ . We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (W_p^{-1}U_p\rho_{\mathbb{X}_\infty}\varphi)(g)(h) &= (U_p\rho_{\mathbb{X}_\infty}\varphi)(w_pg)(h|w_p^{-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} (\rho_{\mathbb{X}_\infty}\varphi)(\delta_i^{-1}w_pg)(h|w_p^{-1}\delta_i) \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \varphi(\delta_i^{-1}w_pg)((h|w_p^{-1}\delta_i)!) \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \varphi(g)((h|w_p^{-1}\delta_i)!|\delta_i^{-1}w_p) \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \varphi(g)(h! \mathbf{1}_{\pi^{-1}(i+p\mathbb{Z}_p)}) \\
 &= (\rho_{\mathbb{X}_p}\varphi)(g)(h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Essential in this calculation is that  $w_p^{-1}\delta_i$  belongs to  $\Gamma$  and that

$$w_p^{-1}\delta_i(\mathbb{X}_\infty) = \gamma_i w_p^{-1}(\mathbb{X}_\infty) = \gamma_i(\mathbb{X}_p) = \pi^{-1}(i + p\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

The commutativity of (5-5) follows from applying the operator  $W_p$  to (5-4). □

Next, we will be interested in understanding the map

$$W_p U_p : H^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma', M(w_p\mathbb{X}))$$

with respect to the decomposition  $w_p\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_\infty \sqcup p\mathbb{X}_p$ .

**Proposition 11.** *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathbb{X}_\infty}} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty)) \\
 W_p U_p \downarrow & & \downarrow U_p^2 \\
 C^r(\Gamma', M(w_p\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{\rho'_{\mathbb{X}_\infty}} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty))
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The result follows from the following commutative diagram and (3-9). Note that the commutativity of the triangle on the right is given by that of (5-5).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{U_p} & C^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{W_p} & C^r(\Gamma', M(w_p\mathbb{X})) \\
 \downarrow \rho_{\mathbb{X}\infty} & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathbb{X}\infty} & & \downarrow \rho'_{p\mathbb{X}p} \\
 C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}\infty)) & \xrightarrow{U_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}\infty)) & \xrightarrow{W_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(p\mathbb{X}p)) \\
 & & & & \nearrow W_p^{-1}U'_p \\
 & & & & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}\infty)) \\
 & & & & \nwarrow \rho'_{\mathbb{X}\infty}
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition 12.** *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathbb{X}p}} & H^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}p)) \\
 W_p U_p \downarrow & & \downarrow p_* \\
 H^r(\Gamma', M(w_p\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{\rho'_{p\mathbb{X}p}} & H^r(\Gamma_0, M(p\mathbb{X}p))
 \end{array}$$

Here the map  $p_* : H^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}p)) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma_0, M(p\mathbb{X}p))$  is induced by  $p_*h(x, y) = h(px, py)$  for a locally analytic function  $h$  on  $p\mathbb{X}p$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{U_p} & C^r(\Gamma, M(\mathbb{X})) & \xrightarrow{W_p} & C^r(\Gamma', M(w_p\mathbb{X})) \\
 \downarrow \rho_{\mathbb{X}\infty} & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathbb{X}\infty} & \searrow \rho_{\mathbb{X}p} & \downarrow \rho'_{p\mathbb{X}p} \\
 C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}\infty)) & \xrightarrow{U_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}\infty)) & \xrightarrow{W_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(p\mathbb{X}p)) \\
 & & & & \nearrow W_p^{-2} = p_*^{-1}
 \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the diagonal map  $\rho_{\mathbb{X}p}$  with the arrows that lie below it follows from that of (5-4). The fact that  $W_p^2 = p_*$  follows from the fact that  $w_p^2 \in p\Gamma_0$  and hence induces the same map on  $\Gamma_0$ -cohomology as multiplication by  $p$ .  $\square$

### 6. $p$ -arithmetic cohomology classes and Darmon $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants

Let

$$\Theta = \ker(\text{ord}_p \circ \text{nrd} : \tilde{\Theta} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \tag{6-1}$$

where  $\text{nrd} : B^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times$  is the reduced norm map. Thus,  $\Theta$  is a normal subgroup of  $\tilde{\Theta}$  of index two and  $\tilde{\Theta}/\Theta$  is generated by the image of  $w_p$ . By analyzing its action on the Bruhat–Tits tree of  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , the group  $\Theta$  can be expressed as an amalgamation (free product)  $\Theta \cong \Gamma *_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma'$  [Greenberg 2009]. Associated to such an amalgamation and a  $\Theta$ -module  $M$ , there is a Mayer–Vietoris sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{r-1}(\Gamma_0, M) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^r(\Theta, M) &\xrightarrow{(\text{res}_\Gamma^\Theta, \text{res}_{\Gamma'}^\Theta)} H^r(\Gamma, M) \oplus H^r(\Gamma', M) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\text{res}_{\Gamma_0}^\Gamma - \text{res}_{\Gamma_0}^{\Gamma'})} H^r(\Gamma_0, M) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6-2)$$

Recall that we defined  $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . View  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  via the inclusion  $z \mapsto (z : 1)$ . Thus,  $(x : y)$  can be identified with the fraction  $x/y$ . Set  $\infty = (1 : 0)$ . We view  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$  as a subspace of  $X$  and set

$$X_\infty = X - \mathbb{Z}_p = w_p \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Our first goal in this section is to use (6-2) in order to construct a cohomology class in  $H^1(\Theta, M^0(X))^\pm$  associated to  $g^\pm$ . (Such a class is constructed in [Greenberg 2009] using different methods.) The map

$$\pi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow X, \quad \pi(x, y) = (x : y)$$

and the induced pushforward of measures  $\pi_* : M(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow M(X)$  can be described via the following isomorphism, a consequence of the fact that  $\pi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ -fibration:

$$M(X) \cong M(\mathbb{X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p \llbracket \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rrbracket} \mathbb{Z}_p. \quad (6-3)$$

Here,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is given the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p \llbracket \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rrbracket$ -algebra via the augmentation map defined in (4-2). Recall that by Lemma 9, we may assume that the cohomological Hida family  $\Phi_g^\pm$  associated to  $g^\pm$  belongs to  $H^1(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p \llbracket \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rrbracket} R_p$ . For notational simplicity, we suppress the  $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p \llbracket \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rrbracket} R_p$  in the sequel and write  $g^\pm \in H^1(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X}))$ ; this does not affect any subsequent arguments in a substantive way, though our measures now take values in  $E$ .

**Proposition 13.** *There is a unique cohomology class  $\varphi_g^\pm \in H^1(\Theta, M^0(X))$  such that*

$$\text{res}_\Gamma^\Theta \varphi_g^\pm = \pi_* \Phi_g^\pm, \quad \text{res}_{\Gamma'}^\Theta \varphi_g^\pm = \pi_* W_p U_p \Phi_g^\pm.$$

*Proof.* The uniqueness follows from (6-2) as  $H^0(\Gamma_0, M^0(X)) = 0$ . We must show the existence of  $\varphi_g^\pm$ . To this end, let

$$\varphi_g^\pm = \pi_* \Phi_g^\pm \in H^1(\Gamma, M^0(X)), \quad \varphi'_g^\pm = \pi_* W_p U_p \Phi_g^\pm \in H^1(\Gamma', M^0(X)).$$

From (6-2), we must show that  $\text{res}_{\Gamma_0}^\Gamma \varphi_g^\pm = \text{res}_{\Gamma_0}^{\Gamma'} \varphi'_g^\pm$  in  $H^1(\Gamma_0, M^0(X))$ . Since the kernel of  $H^1(\Gamma_0, M^0(X)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X))$  is Eisenstein, it suffices to prove this

equality after viewing  $\varphi_g^\pm$  and  $\varphi'_g^\pm$  as taking values in  $M(X)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p} &: H^1(\Gamma, M(X)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \\ \rho'_{X_\infty} &: H^1(\Gamma', M(X)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \\ \rho_{X_\infty} &: H^1(\Gamma, M(X)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)) \\ \rho'_{X_\infty} &: H^1(\Gamma', M(X)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)) \end{aligned}$$

be the maps induced by the inclusions  $\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow X$  and  $X_\infty \hookrightarrow X$  and restriction of groups to  $\Gamma_0$ . From the decomposition

$$H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X)) = H^1(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \oplus H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)),$$

we must show that  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\varphi_g^\pm = \rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\varphi'_g^\pm$  and  $\rho_{X_\infty}\varphi_g^\pm = \rho'_{X_\infty}\varphi'_g^\pm$ . By Propositions 11 and 12, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma, M(X)) & & H^1(\Gamma, M(X)) \xrightarrow{\rho_{X_\infty}} H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)) \\ W_p U_p \downarrow & \searrow \rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & W_p U_p \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow U_p^2 \\ H^1(\Gamma', M(X)) \xrightarrow{\rho'_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} H^1(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{Z}_p)) & & H^1(\Gamma', M(X)) \xrightarrow{\rho'_{X_\infty}} H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)) \end{array}$$

The diagram on the left proves  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\varphi_g^\pm = \rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\varphi'_g^\pm$ , one of the desired identities. The one on the right says  $\rho'_{X_\infty}\varphi'_g^\pm = U_p^2\rho_{X_\infty}\varphi_g^\pm$ . By (6-3),

$$U_p^2\rho_{X_\infty}\varphi_g^\pm = \epsilon(\mathfrak{a}_p(\Phi_g^\pm))^2\rho_{X_\infty}\varphi_g^\pm = \rho_{X_\infty}\varphi_g^\pm,$$

completing the proof. □

For each choice of  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{P}^1(E)$ , we define an integration map

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} : H^r(\Theta, M^0(X)) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Theta, E)$$

as follows: Let  $C(X)$  denote the space of continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$ . Choose a base-point  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p(E) = \mathbb{P}^1(E) - \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and define

$$\xi_{\mathcal{L}, \tau} \in C^1(\tilde{\Theta}, C(X)/E)$$

by

$$\xi_{\mathcal{L}, \tau}(g_0, g_1) = \begin{cases} \log_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{z-g_1\tau}{z-g_0\tau}\right) & \text{if } \mathcal{L} \in E, \\ \text{ord}_p\left(\frac{z-g_1\tau}{z-g_0\tau}\right) & \text{if } \mathcal{L} = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $d\xi_{\mathcal{L}, \tau} = 0$  and that the cohomology class represented by  $\xi_{\mathcal{L}, \tau}$  does not depend on  $\tau$ .

Let  $G$  be any subgroup of  $\tilde{\Theta}$ , let  $\varphi \in C^r(G, M^0(X))$ , and consider the cup product

$$\xi_{\mathcal{L},\tau} \cup \varphi \in C^{r+1}(G, (C(X)/E) \otimes_E M^0(X)).$$

The  $\tilde{\Theta}$ -invariant integration pairing  $(C(X)/E) \otimes_E M^0(X) \rightarrow E$  induces a map

$$I : C^{r+1}(G, (C(X)/E) \otimes_E M^0(X)) \rightarrow C^{r+1}(G, E).$$

Set  $\kappa_{\mathcal{L},\tau}(\varphi) = I(\xi_{\mathcal{L},\tau} \cup \varphi) \in C^{r+1}(G, E)$ , i.e.,

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{L},\tau}(\varphi)(g_0, \dots, g_{r+1}) = \int_X \log_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{z - g_1\tau}{z - g_0\tau}\right) \varphi(g_1, \dots, g_{r+1}). \tag{6-4}$$

One may compute directly that

$$d\kappa_{\mathcal{L},\tau}(\varphi) = \kappa_{\mathcal{L},\tau}(d\varphi). \tag{6-5}$$

Therefore, the correspondence  $\varphi \mapsto \kappa_{\mathcal{L},\tau}(\varphi)$  induces a map

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} : H^r(G, M^0(X)) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(G, E),$$

which, as our notation suggests, does not depend on the choice of  $\tau$ . Define

$$H^1(\Gamma_0, E)_{p\text{-new}} := H^1(\Gamma_0, E) / \text{Image}(H^1(\Gamma, E) \oplus H^1(\Gamma', E) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, E)),$$

and let

$$\delta : H^1(\Gamma_0, E)_{p\text{-new}} \hookrightarrow H^2(\Theta, E) \tag{6-6}$$

be the injective map induced by the connecting homomorphism in the Mayer–Vietoris sequence (6-2).

**Proposition 14.** *The cohomology class  $\varphi_g^\pm$  defined in Proposition 13 satisfies the following:*

- (1) *The identity  $\kappa_\infty(\varphi_g^\pm) = \delta(g^\pm)$  holds in  $H^2(\Theta, E)$ .*
- (2) *There is a unique  $\mathcal{L} \in E$ , denoted  $-\mathcal{L}^D(g^\pm)$ , such that  $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi_g^\pm) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement is argued in the proof of [Greenberg 2009, Lemma 32]. By [ibid., Lemmas 32 and 33], the eigenspace of  $H^2(\Theta, E)^\pm$  on which the Hecke operators away from  $p$  act via the eigenvalues of  $g$  is 1-dimensional and is spanned by  $\kappa_\infty(\varphi_g^\pm) = \delta(g^\pm)$ , where  $\delta$  is as in (6-6). The class  $\delta(g^\pm)$  is nonzero as  $g^\pm$  is a nonzero  $p$ -new form and  $\delta$  is injective on such classes. Since the map  $\kappa_0$  (the one corresponding to  $\mathcal{L} = 0$ ) is Hecke-equivariant, there is a unique constant  $\mathcal{L}^D(g^\pm) \in E$  such that  $\kappa_0(\varphi_g^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^D(g^\pm)\kappa_\infty(\varphi_g^\pm)$ . But the identity  $\log_{\mathcal{L}} = \log_0 + \mathcal{L} \text{ord}_p$  implies that  $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} = \kappa_0 + \mathcal{L}\kappa_\infty$ , and the second statement of the proposition follows with  $\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{L}^D(g^\pm)$ . □

**Definition 15.** The quantity  $\mathcal{L}^D(g^\pm)$  is called the *Darmon  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant of  $g^\pm$* .

**7. Equality of the Greenberg–Stevens and Darmon  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariants**

Let  $\mathcal{L} \in E$ . The goal of this section is to prove the following:

**Theorem 16.** *We have*

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi_g^{\pm}) = (\mathcal{L}^{GS}(g) + \mathcal{L})\delta(g^{\pm})$$

in  $H^2(\Theta, E)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}^D(g^{\pm}) = \mathcal{L}^{GS}(g)$ .

Since the Riemann surfaces  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$  and  $\Gamma' \backslash \mathcal{H}$  are compact if and only if  $N^- \neq 1$ , we have

$$H^2(\Gamma, E) \cong \begin{cases} E & \text{if } N^- \neq 1, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } N^- = 1. \end{cases}$$

In either case, this space is Eisenstein for the Hecke operators. Since the restriction maps are Hecke-equivariant,  $\text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\Theta} \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi_g^{\pm}) = 0$  and  $\text{res}_{\Gamma'}^{\Theta} \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi_g^{\pm}) = 0$ .

Fix a base point  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p(E)$  and a representative  $\varphi \in C^1(\Theta, M^0(X))$  for the cohomology class  $\varphi_g^{\pm} \in H^1(\Theta, M^0(X))$ . Let  $\psi \in C^1(\Gamma, E)$  and  $\psi' \in C^1(\Gamma', E)$  be 1-cochains such that  $d\psi = \kappa_{\mathcal{L},\tau}(\varphi)|_{\Gamma}$  and  $d\psi' = \kappa_{\mathcal{L},\tau}(\varphi)|_{\Gamma'}$ . Then  $\psi - \psi'$  is a 1-cocycle on  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \cap \Gamma'$  and, tracing through the construction of the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to (6-6), one finds that

$$\delta([\psi - \psi']) = \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi_g^{\pm}) \tag{7-1}$$

in  $H^2(\Theta, E)$ . Through a general cohomological calculation, we will find explicit formulas for  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  and show that

$$[\psi - \psi'] = (\mathcal{L}^{GS}(g) + \mathcal{L})g^{\pm}. \tag{7-2}$$

Equations (7-1) and (7-2) prove Theorem 16.

Let  $\varphi \in C^1(\Theta, M^0(X))$  be a cocycle representing the class  $\varphi_g^{\pm}$ . Let

$$\Phi = \Phi_g^{\pm} \in H^1(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X}))$$

denote the Hida family defined in (4-6) that lifts  $\text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\Theta}[\varphi]$  with respect to the push-forward map  $\pi_* : M^0(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow M^0(X)$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}_0 \in C^1(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X}))$  be a cocycle representing  $\Phi$ . Then there exists a cochain  $m \in Z^0(\Gamma, M^0(X))$  such that  $\pi_*\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \varphi + dm$ . Since  $F_0 = \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{\Theta}]$  is  $\Theta$ -projective and thus  $\Gamma$ -projective, we may lift  $m$  to a cochain  $\tilde{m} \in C^0(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X}))$ . Setting  $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}_0 - d\tilde{m} \in C^1(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X}))$ , we obtain a cocycle representing  $\Phi$  that satisfies

$$\pi_*\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi. \tag{7-3}$$

For any  $\sigma \in C^r(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X}))$  and  $\sigma' \in C^r(\Gamma', M^0(w_p\mathbb{X}))$ , define  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma) \in C^r(\Gamma, E)$  and  $\lambda'_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma') \in C^r(\Gamma', E)$  by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma)(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_r) &= \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) \sigma(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_r)(x, y), \quad (7-4) \\ \lambda'_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma')(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_r) &= \int_{w_p\mathbb{X}} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) \sigma'(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_r)(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

These maps are  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$ -invariant, respectively, because the values of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  have total measure zero.

**Lemma 17.** *For any  $\sigma \in C^r(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X}))$  and  $\sigma' \in C^r(\Gamma', M^0(w_p\mathbb{X}))$ , we have*

$$d\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma) = \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\pi_*\sigma) + \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(d\sigma), \quad d\lambda'_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma') = \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\pi_*\sigma') + \lambda'_{\mathcal{L}}(d\sigma').$$

*Proof.* Letting  $h = (g_0, \dots, g_{r+1})$  and  $h_i = (g_0, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_r)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda(\sigma)(h) &= \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_1\tau)y) \sigma(h_0)(x, y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) \sigma(h_i)(x, y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{x - (g_1\tau)y}{x - (g_0\tau)y}\right) \sigma(h_0)(x, y) + \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) d\sigma(h)(x, y) \\ &= \int_X \log_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{z - g_1\tau}{z - g_0\tau}\right) \pi_*\sigma(h_0)(z) + \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(d\sigma)(h) \\ &= \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\pi_*\sigma)(h) + \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(d\sigma)(h), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The second equality is proved in a similar manner. □

Lemma 17 implies that if we define

$$\psi = \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(\widetilde{\varphi}) \in C^1(\Gamma, E), \quad (7-5)$$

then  $d\psi = \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi)$ . Similarly, define

$$\psi' = \lambda'_{\mathcal{L}}(W_p U_p \widetilde{\varphi}) \in C^1(\Gamma', E). \quad (7-6)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi' &= \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\pi_* W_p U_p \widetilde{\varphi}) + d\lambda'_{\mathcal{L}}(dW_p U_p \widetilde{\varphi}) \\ &= \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(W_p U_p \varphi) + 0 \\ &= \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is justified by the following lemma:

**Lemma 18.** *We have the identity of  $\Theta$ -cochains  $W_p U_p \varphi = \varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C^r(\Gamma, M(X)) & & \\
 & \swarrow^{\rho_{X_\infty}} & & \searrow^{\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} & \\
 C^r(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)) & \xrightarrow{U_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)) & \xrightarrow{W_p} & C^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \\
 \rho_{X_\infty}^{-1} \downarrow & & \rho_{X_\infty}^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{-1} \\
 C^r(\Gamma, M(X)) & \xrightarrow{U_p} & C^r(\Gamma, M(X)) & \xrightarrow{W_p} & C^r(\Gamma', M(X))
 \end{array}$$

The maps  $\rho_{X_\infty}$  and  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  are isomorphisms by Shapiro’s lemma. The bottom squares of the diagram commute by definition and the upper triangle commutes as it is the pushforward via  $\pi_*$  in (5-4). The lemma follows.  $\square$

Having found explicit formulas for  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  in (7-5) and (7-6), respectively, we now turn towards proving (7-2). Recall that  $\Phi = [\tilde{\varphi}]$  is a  $U_p$ -eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\mathbf{a}_p(\Phi)$  satisfying  $\epsilon(\mathbf{a}_p(\Phi)) = \pm 1$ . We defined

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(\Phi) = d_\epsilon(1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\Phi)^2).$$

**Proposition 19.** *The class of the cocycle  $\psi - \psi'$  in  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)$  is equal to*

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(\Phi) + \mathcal{L})\rho_*[\varphi],$$

where  $\rho_* : H^1(\Theta, M^0(X)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, M(X_\infty)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0, E)$  is the composition of the canonical restriction map  $\rho_{X_\infty}$  with the total measure on  $X_\infty$  map (as in (4-3)).

*Proof.* We use the decompositions  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_\infty \sqcup \mathbb{X}_p$  and  $w_p\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_\infty \sqcup p\mathbb{X}_p$  to study the integrals defining  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  (see (4-1) and (5-3)). Writing  $h = (g_0, g_1)$ , we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\psi - \psi')(h) &= \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)\tilde{\varphi}(h) + \int_{\mathbb{X}_p} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)\tilde{\varphi}(h) \\
 &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)W_pU_p\tilde{\varphi}(h) \\
 &\quad - \int_{p\mathbb{X}_p} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)W_pU_p\tilde{\varphi}(h). \tag{7-7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Propositions 11 and 12 allow us to rewrite these last two integrals as

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)W_pU_p\tilde{\varphi}(h) = \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)U_p^2\tilde{\varphi}(h) \tag{7-8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{p\mathbb{X}_p} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) W_p U_p \tilde{\varphi}(h) &= \int_{p\mathbb{X}_p} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) p_* \tilde{\varphi}(h) = \int_{\mathbb{X}_p} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(px - (g_0\tau)py) \tilde{\varphi}(h) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{X}_p} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) \tilde{\varphi}(h) + \mathcal{L}\tilde{\varphi}(h)(\mathbb{X}_p). \end{aligned} \tag{7-9}$$

Combining (7-7), (7-8), and (7-9), we obtain

$$(\psi - \psi')(h) = \int_{\mathbb{X}_{\infty}} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y) (1 - U_p^2) \tilde{\varphi}(h) - \mathcal{L}\tilde{\varphi}(h)(\mathbb{X}_p). \tag{7-10}$$

We now view  $\tilde{\varphi}$  as an element of  $Z^r(\Gamma_0, M^0(\mathbb{X}_{\infty}))$  and calculate the class in  $H^r(\Gamma_0, E)$  represented by the right side of (7-10). We have that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(h)(\mathbb{X}_p) = \varphi(h)(\mathbb{Z}_p) = -\varphi(h)(X_{\infty}),$$

and hence represents the class  $-\rho_*[\varphi]$  in  $H^r(\Gamma_0, E)$ . Therefore the last term in (7-10) represents the class  $\mathcal{L}\rho_*[\varphi]$ .

It remains to prove that the first term in (7-10) represents the class  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(\tilde{\varphi})\rho_*[\varphi]$  in  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)$ . Since  $(1 - U_p^2)\Phi = \alpha\Phi$  with  $\alpha = 1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\Phi)^2$ , we may write

$$(1 - U_p^2)\tilde{\varphi} = \alpha\tilde{\varphi} + d\nu \tag{7-11}$$

for some  $\nu \in C^0(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_{\infty}))$ . Pushing forward via  $\pi_*$ , we obtain

$$(1 - U_p^2)\varphi = 0 + \pi_*(d\nu).$$

Since the term on the left is zero, we obtain  $d\pi_*(\nu) = 0$ . Thus  $\pi_*\nu$  represents a class in  $H^0(\Gamma_0, M(X_{\infty}))$ .

**Lemma 20.** *The cohomology group  $H^0(\Gamma_0, M(X_{\infty}))$  is zero.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$\mathfrak{I}_p = \{g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) : g \text{ is upper-triangular modulo } p\}$$

acts transitively on the set of balls in  $X_{\infty}$  of radius  $p^{-n}$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\Gamma_0$  is  $p$ -adically dense in  $\mathfrak{I}_p$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  acts transitively on this set as well. It follows that if  $\mu$  is a  $\Gamma_0$ -invariant measure on  $X_{\infty}$ , then  $\mu(B) = p^{-n+1}\mu(X_{\infty})$  for all compact-open balls  $B \subset X_{\infty}$  of radius  $p^{-n}$ . Since the values of  $\mu$  are assumed to be  $p$ -adically bounded, it follows that  $\mu = 0$ .  $\square$

By the lemma, we conclude that  $\pi_*\nu$  is a coboundary. Arguing above as in the definition of the cocycle  $\tilde{\varphi}$  satisfying (7-3), we may alter  $\nu$  by a coboundary to assume that  $\pi_*\nu = 0$ .

We may now calculate the cohomology class represented by (7-10). Substituting (7-11) into (7-10), the term from  $\alpha\tilde{\varphi}$  yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)\alpha\tilde{\varphi}(h). \tag{7-12}$$

By Proposition 21 below, the expression in (7-12) represents the class  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(\tilde{\varphi})\rho_*[\varphi]$  in  $H^1(\Gamma_0, E)$ . It remains to prove that the term arising from  $d\nu$  is trivial in cohomology, i.e., that

$$h \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)d\nu(h) \tag{7-13}$$

is a coboundary. Note that the right side of (7-13) is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x)d\nu(h) + \int_{X_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(1 - (g_0\tau)/z)\pi_*d\nu(h). \tag{7-14}$$

The last term of (7-14) is zero since  $\pi_*d\nu = 0$ . The first term of (7-14) is equal to the coboundary of the 0-cochain given by

$$g_0 \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x)\nu(g_0). \tag{7-15}$$

We leave to the reader the exercise of using the equation  $\pi_*\nu = 0$  to show that the 0-cochain in (7-15) is  $\Gamma_0$ -invariant. This proves that (7-13) is a coboundary and completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

The following proposition, applied with  $\alpha = 1 - \mathbf{a}_p(\Phi)^2$ , was used above to extract the invariant  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(\Phi)$  from the cohomology class  $[\Phi]$ .

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $\sigma \in Z^r(\Gamma_0, M(\mathbb{X}_\infty))$ , let  $\alpha \in I_\epsilon \subset \Lambda$  and define*

$$\eta(g_0, \dots, g_r) = \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)\alpha\sigma(g_0, \dots, g_r).$$

*Then  $\eta \in Z^r(\Gamma_0, E)$  and represents the class*

$$[\eta] = d_\epsilon(\alpha)\rho_*[\sigma] \in H^r(\Gamma_0, E).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha \in I_\epsilon$ , we have  $\pi_*(\alpha\sigma) = 0$ ; in particular,  $\alpha\sigma$  has total measure 0. It follows from this fact and a routine calculation that  $\eta$  is a cochain. That  $\eta$  is a cocycle follows from the equations  $d(\alpha\sigma) = \alpha d\sigma = 0$ .

To evaluate the class  $[\eta] \in H^r(\Gamma_0, E)$ , we consider  $\alpha$  of the form  $[\ell] - 1$  for  $\ell \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Writing  $h = (g_0, \dots, g_r)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(h) &= \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y)([\ell]\sigma - \sigma)(h) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} (\log_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell x - (g_0\tau)\ell y) - \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x - (g_0\tau)y))\sigma(h) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{X}_\infty} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell)\sigma(h) = \log(\ell) \cdot \sigma(h)(\mathbb{X}_\infty) = d_\epsilon([\ell] - 1)\rho_*\sigma(h). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result for  $\alpha = [\ell] - 1$ , and hence gives the result for general  $\alpha \in I_\epsilon$  as the ideal  $I_\epsilon$  is generated over  $\Lambda$  by such elements.  $\square$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 19, and since  $\rho_*\varphi_g^\pm = g^\pm$ , we deduce (7-2) and hence Theorem 16. Combining with Theorem 8, we also complete the proof of Theorem 2.

### 8. Multiplicative integrals and period lattices

In this section, we suppose that the Hecke eigenvalues of  $g$  belong to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case, it is shown in [Greenberg 2009, §8] that we may take

$$\varphi_g^\pm \in H^1(\Theta, M^0(X, \mathbb{Z}))^{g, \pm}.$$

That is, we may find an element  $\varphi_g^\pm \in H^1(\Theta, M^0(X, \mathbb{Z}))^{g, \pm}$  whose image in  $H^1(\Theta, M^0(X, E))$  is a basis for  $H^1(\Theta, M^0(X, E))^{g, \pm}$ . Using this integral cohomology class, we may define multiplicative versions of many of the objects considered in previous sections.

Following Darmon [2001], we consider the *multiplicative integration pairing*

$$C(X)^\times/E^\times \times M^0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow E^\times, \quad (f, \mu) \mapsto \int_X f \mu \tag{8-1}$$

defined by

$$\int_X f \mu = \lim_{\|\mathcal{U}\| \rightarrow 0} \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} f(z_U)^{\mu(U)}.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finite cover of  $X$  by compact open sets and  $z_U$  is an arbitrary point of  $U$ . The limit is taken over uniformly finer covers  $\mathcal{U}$ . It is clear that

$$\log_{\mathcal{L}} \int_X f \mu = \int \log_{\mathcal{L}}(f)\mu \quad \text{for any } \mathcal{L}.$$

The pairing (8-1) is easily seen to be  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant and thus induces a corresponding pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\times : H_1(\Theta, C(X)^\times/E^\times) \times H^1(\Theta, M^0(X, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow E^\times. \tag{8-2}$$

Let  $\Delta = \text{Div } \mathcal{H}_p$  and let  $\Delta^0 = \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_p$ . From the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow \Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ , we extract a connecting homomorphism  $\partial : H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\Theta, \Delta^0)$ . Let  $j : \Delta^0 \rightarrow C(X)^\times / E^\times$  be the map sending a divisor  $D$  to a rational function on  $X$  with divisor  $D$ . (Note that such a function is only well-defined up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar.) The map  $j$  being  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant, it induces a corresponding map

$$j_* : H_1(\Theta, \Delta^0) \rightarrow H_1(\Theta, C(X)^\times / E^\times).$$

We may also define multiplicative refinements of the cocycles  $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}, \tau}(\varphi)$  as follows. Let  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$ , let  $\varphi \in C^r(\Theta, M^0(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , and define  $\kappa_\tau(\varphi) \in C^{r+1}(\Theta, E^\times)$  by the rule

$$\kappa_\tau(\varphi)(g_0, \dots, g_{r+1}) = \int_X \left( \frac{z - g_1 \tau}{z - g_0 \tau} \right) \varphi(g_1, \dots, g_{r+1}) \in E^\times.$$

As with  $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}, \tau}$ , the homomorphism  $\kappa_\tau$  induces a map

$$\kappa : H^r(\Theta, M^0(X, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Theta, E^\times)$$

that does not depend on  $\tau$ .

By the universal coefficients theorem, there is a natural surjective map

$$H^{r+1}(\Theta, E^\times) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{r+1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}), E^\times).$$

**Lemma 22.** *The image of  $\kappa(\varphi_g^\pm)$  in  $\text{Hom}(H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}), E^\times)$  is given by*

$$\xi \mapsto \langle -j_* \partial \xi, \varphi_g^\pm \rangle^\times.$$

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\xi = \sum_i 1 \otimes (\gamma_i, \delta_i, \epsilon_i) \in Z_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Theta \mathbb{Z}[\Theta^3]$$

is a 2-cycle on  $\Theta$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Tracing through the construction of the connecting homomorphism, one computes that  $\partial[\xi]$  is represented by the cycle

$$\sum_i (\gamma_i \tau - \delta_i \tau) \otimes (\delta_i, \epsilon_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\langle j_* \partial \xi, \varphi_g^\pm \rangle^\times = \prod_i \int_X \left( \frac{z - \gamma_i \tau}{z - \delta_i \tau} \right) \varphi_g^\pm(\delta_i, \epsilon_i).$$

By the definition of the map in the universal coefficients theorem, the image of  $\kappa(\varphi_g^\pm)$  in  $\text{Hom}(H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}), E^\times)$  sends  $\xi$  to

$$\prod_i \kappa(\varphi_g^\pm)(\gamma_i, \delta_i, \epsilon_i) = \prod_i \int_X \left( \frac{z - \delta_i \tau}{z - \gamma_i \tau} \right) \varphi_g^\pm(\delta_i, \epsilon_i).$$

The result follows. □

In view of Lemma 22, we set

$$L_g^\pm = \langle j_* \partial H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}), \varphi_g^\pm \rangle^\times = \langle H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}), \kappa(\varphi_g^\pm) \rangle \subset E^\times.$$

**Proposition 23** [Greenberg 2009, Proposition 30].  *$L_g^\pm$  is a lattice in  $E^\times$ .*

Therefore, there is a unique  $\mathcal{L} \in E$  such that  $\log_{\mathcal{L}}(L_g^\pm) = 0$ . We define the  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant of the lattice  $L_g^\pm$ , denoted  $\mathcal{L}(L_g^\pm)$ , to be the negative of this constant  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Proposition 24.** *The  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant of the lattice  $L_g^\pm$  is equal to  $\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm)$ .*

*Proof.* By the universal coefficients theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \log_{\mathcal{L}}(L_g^\pm) &= \log_{\mathcal{L}} \langle H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}), \kappa(\varphi_g^\pm) \rangle \\ &= \langle H_2(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}), \kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi_g^\pm) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is equal to 0 if and only if  $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}}(\varphi_g^\pm) = 0$ . By definition, this occurs if and only if  $\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm)$ . □

**Corollary 25** [Greenberg 2009, Conjecture 2]. *Let  $q$  be the Tate period of the elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Q}$  associated to  $f$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(L_g^\pm) = \log_p(q) / \text{ord}_p(q).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 23 and Theorem 2,  $\mathcal{L}(L_g^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^D(\varphi_g^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(f)$ . By the Galois-theoretic portion of the proof of the Greenberg–Stevens theorem [Greenberg and Stevens 1993, Theorem 3.18], we have  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(f) = \log_p(q) / \text{ord}_p(q)$ . □

In [Greenberg 2009], a construction was given for local Stark–Heegner points on  $E^\times/L_g^\pm$ . We conjectured that the elliptic curve  $E^\times/L_g^\pm$  is isogenous to  $\mathcal{E}/E$ , yielding a construction of local points on  $\mathcal{E}$ . Corollary 25 proves this conjecture and makes the construction unconditional. In the following section, we apply the above techniques further to obtain a formula for the formal group logarithms of these Stark–Heegner points in terms of Hida families.

### 9. Abel–Jacobi maps and Stark–Heegner points

In this section we recall the definition of Stark–Heegner points and give a formula for the formal group logarithms of these points in terms of Hida families. This formula will be used in [Greenberg and Shahabi ≥ 2012] to prove partial results towards the rationality of the Stark–Heegner points following the methods of [Bertolini and Darmon 2009].

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{p,\text{ur}}$  denote the *unramified  $p$ -adic upper half-plane*:

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,\text{ur}} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) - r^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)) \subset \mathcal{H}_p,$$

where  $r : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  is the reduction map. The action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  preserves  $\mathcal{H}_{p,\mathrm{ur}}$ . We set  $\Delta_{\mathrm{ur}} = \mathrm{Div} \mathcal{H}_{p,\mathrm{ur}}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0 = \mathrm{Div}^0 \mathcal{H}_{p,\mathrm{ur}}$ . If  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{p,\mathrm{ur}}$ ,  $z \in X$  and  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{X}$ , then the quantities

$$\log_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{z-\tau}{z-\tau'}\right), \quad \log_{\mathcal{L}}(x-y\tau)$$

do not depend on  $\mathcal{L}$  because the arguments are  $p$ -adic units. For this reason, we do not specify a branch of the  $p$ -adic logarithm and simply write  $\log$ . The natural  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M^0(X) \times C(X)/E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$$

induces a pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^1(\Gamma, M^0(X)) \times H_1(\Gamma, C(X)/E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p. \tag{9-1}$$

Define  $j : \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0 \rightarrow C(X)/E$  by

$$j(\{\tau_2\} - \{\tau_1\})(z) = \log\left(\frac{z-\tau_2}{z-\tau_1}\right).$$

Since it is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant,  $j$  induces a homomorphism

$$j_* : H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0) \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma, C(X)/E).$$

We define one more pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^1(\Gamma, M^0(X)) \times H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$$

by  $\langle \varphi, \xi \rangle = \langle \varphi, j_*\xi \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T}^{(p)}$  be the Hecke generated by the operators away from  $p$ , that is, the operators  $T_\ell$  for  $\ell \nmid pN$ ,  $U_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid N^+$ , and the involutions  $W_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid N^-$  (see §3). There is a natural action of  $\mathbb{T}^{(p)}$  on  $H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0)$  described by double cosets such that, endowing  $\mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0), E)$  with the corresponding dual action, the map

$$A : H^1(\Gamma, M^0(X)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0), E), \\ \varphi \mapsto (\xi \mapsto \langle \varphi, \xi \rangle)$$

induced by the pairing (9-1) is  $\mathbb{T}^{(p)}$ -equivariant. For  $g$  as in the previous sections, define

$$A_g^\pm = A(\mathrm{Res}_\Gamma^\ominus \varphi_g^\pm).$$

We have  $A_g^\pm \in \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0), E)^{g,\pm}$ , where  $\mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{ur}}^0), E)^{g,\pm}$  is the eigenspace on which  $\mathbb{T}^{(p)}$  acts via the Hecke eigenvalues of  $g$  and  $W_\infty$  acts as  $\pm 1$ .

**Proposition 26.** *There is a unique homomorphism  $AJ_g^\pm \in \text{Hom}(H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}), E)^{g, \pm}$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}^0) & \longrightarrow & H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}) \\ & \searrow A_g^\pm & \swarrow AJ_g^\pm \\ & & E \end{array}$$

*commutes, where the horizontal map is induced by the inclusion  $\Delta_{ur}^0 \hookrightarrow \Delta_{ur}$ .*

The proof of Proposition 26 is given in [Greenberg 2009, §10] and is very similar to the first half of the proof of Lemma 9.

**Remark 27.** We have chosen the notation  $AJ_g^\pm$  for this map because it formally resembles an Abel–Jacobi map.

Define  $J : \Delta_{ur} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{X})/E$  by  $J(\{\tau\})(x, y) = \log(x - y\tau)$ . Since it is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant,  $J$  induces a homomorphism  $J_* : H_1(\Gamma, \Delta) \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma, C(\mathbb{X})/E)$ . The natural  $\Gamma$ -equivariant pairing  $M^0(\mathbb{X}) \times C(\mathbb{X})/E \rightarrow E$  induces a corresponding pairing  $H^1(\Gamma, M^0(\mathbb{X})) \times H_1(\Gamma, C(\mathbb{X})/E) \rightarrow E$ .

**Corollary 28.** *The map  $AJ_g^\pm : H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}) \rightarrow E$  is given by  $AJ_g^\pm(\xi) = \langle \Phi_g^\pm, J_*\xi \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the element  $\widetilde{AJ}_g^\pm$  of  $\text{Hom}(H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}), E)$  defined by  $\xi \mapsto \langle \Phi_g^\pm, J_*\xi \rangle$  belongs to the  $(g, \pm)$ -eigenspace. Since  $\pi_*\Phi_g^\pm = \text{Res}_\Gamma^\ominus \varphi_g^\pm$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}^0) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_1(\Gamma, C(X)/E) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^* & \searrow \langle \text{Res}_\Gamma^\ominus \varphi_g^\pm, \cdot \rangle & \\ H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}) & \xrightarrow{J_*} & H_1(\Gamma, C(\mathbb{X})/E) & \xrightarrow{\langle \Phi_g^\pm, \cdot \rangle} & E \end{array}$$

commutes, implying that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}^0) & \longrightarrow & H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{ur}) \\ & \searrow A_g^\pm & \swarrow \widetilde{AJ}_g^\pm \\ & & E \end{array}$$

commutes as well. Therefore, by Proposition 26,  $AJ_g^\pm = \widetilde{AJ}_g^\pm$ . □

Let  $K$  be a real quadratic field and let  $\mathcal{O} \subset K$  be an order such that  $\text{disc } \mathcal{O}$  is relatively prime to  $N$  and  $p$ . There is an embedding

$$\psi : K \rightarrow B$$

such that  $\psi(\mathcal{O}) = \psi(K) \cap R$ . For details regarding this point, see [Vignéras 1980, chapitre III, 5C]. Suppose further that  $p$  is inert in  $K$ . Then  $\psi(K^\times)$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(E)$  via  $\iota_p$  with two fixed points  $\tau_\psi$  and  $\bar{\tau}_\psi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{p,\text{ur}}$ , conjugate under the action of  $\text{Gal}(K_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be a generator of the unit group of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then since  $\psi(\epsilon)\tau_\psi = \tau_\psi$ , we have

$$\{\tau_\psi\} \otimes (1, \psi(\epsilon)) \in Z_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\text{ur}}).$$

Let  $C_{[\psi]}$  be the corresponding class in  $H_1(\Gamma, \Delta_{\text{ur}})$ . The brackets around  $\psi$  indicate that  $C_{[\psi]}$  depends only on the  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy class of the embedding  $\psi$ . Assuming that the Hecke eigenvalues of  $g$  lie in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we may associate an elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Q}$  to  $g$  by the Eichler–Shimura construction. Let  $\log_\omega$  be the logarithm of the formal group law on  $\mathcal{E}$  associated to the differential  $dq/q$  on  $E^\times/q^\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $\log_\omega$  factorizes as

$$\mathcal{E}(E) \rightarrow E^\times/q^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow E,$$

where the left arrow is the inverse of the Tate uniformization of  $\mathcal{E}$  and the right arrow is  $\log_{\mathcal{E}}$  with

$$-\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{GS}}(g) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{D}}(\varphi_g^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{MTT}}(g) = \frac{\log_p(q)}{\text{ord}_p(q)}.$$

The points

$$\text{AJ}_g^\pm(C_{[\psi]}) \in E = \log_\omega \mathcal{E}(E)$$

are called *Stark–Heegner points* on  $\mathcal{E}$ . We conjecture in [Greenberg 2009, §10] that the locally defined points  $\text{AJ}_g^\pm(C_{[\psi]})$  in fact belong to  $\log_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}(H_{\mathcal{O}}))$ , where  $H_{\mathcal{O}}$  is the ring class field of  $K$  associated to the order  $\mathcal{O}$ . By the results of this section, we have the following formula for  $\text{AJ}_g^\pm(C_{[\psi]})$  in terms of the Hida family  $\Phi_g^\pm$ :

**Corollary 29.** 
$$\text{AJ}_g^\pm(C_{[\psi]}) = \langle \Phi_g^\pm, J_* C_{[\psi]} \rangle.$$

In [Greenberg and Shahabi ≥ 2012], we apply this formula with the methods of [Bertolini and Darmon 2009] to prove partial results towards the rationality of the Stark–Heegner points  $\text{AJ}_g^\pm(C_{[\psi]})$  over  $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ .

### References

[Balasubramanyam and Longo 2011] B. Balasubramanyam and M. Longo, “ $\Lambda$ -adic modular symbols over totally real fields”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **86**:4 (2011), 841–865. MR 2851871 Zbl 0596 5407

- [Bertolini and Darmon 2009] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, “The rationality of Stark–Heegner points over genus fields of real quadratic fields”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170**:1 (2009), 343–370. MR 2010m:11072 Zbl 1203.11045
- [Bertolini et al. 2010] M. Bertolini, H. Darmon, and A. Iovita, “Families of automorphic forms on definite quaternion algebras and Teitelbaum’s conjecture”, pp. 29–64 *Astérisque* **331**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2010. MR 2012c:11109 Zbl 05765039
- [Chenevier 2005] G. Chenevier, “Une correspondance de Jacquet–Langlands  $p$ -adique”, *Duke Math. J.* **126**:1 (2005), 161–194. MR 2006f:11144 Zbl 1070.11016
- [Darmon 2001] H. Darmon, “Integration on  $\mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}$  and arithmetic applications”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **154**:3 (2001), 589–639. MR 2003j:11067 Zbl 1035.11027
- [Dasgupta 2005] S. Dasgupta, “Stark–Heegner points on modular Jacobians”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **38**:3 (2005), 427–469. MR 2006e:11080 Zbl 1173.11334
- [Greenberg 2009] M. Greenberg, “Stark–Heegner points and the cohomology of quaternionic Shimura varieties”, *Duke Math. J.* **147**:3 (2009), 541–575. MR 2010f:11097 Zbl 1183.14030
- [Greenberg and Shahabi  $\geq$  2012] M. Greenberg and S. Shahabi, “ $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions associated to families of modular forms on Shimura curves”, in preparation.
- [Greenberg and Stevens 1993] R. Greenberg and G. Stevens, “ $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions and  $p$ -adic periods of modular forms”, *Invent. Math.* **111**:2 (1993), 407–447. MR 93m:11054 Zbl 0778.11034
- [Hida 1986] H. Hida, “Galois representations into  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p[[X]])$  attached to ordinary cusp forms”, *Invent. Math.* **85**:3 (1986), 545–613. MR 87k:11049 Zbl 0612.10021
- [Matsushima and Shimura 1963] Y. Matsushima and G. Shimura, “On the cohomology groups attached to certain vector valued differential forms on the product of the upper half planes”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **78** (1963), 417–449. MR 27 #5274
- [Mazur and Swinnerton-Dyer 1974] B. Mazur and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, “Arithmetic of Weil curves”, *Invent. Math.* **25** (1974), 1–61. MR 50 #7152 Zbl 0281.14016
- [Mazur et al. 1986] B. Mazur, J. Tate, and J. Teitelbaum, “On  $p$ -adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer”, *Invent. Math.* **84**:1 (1986), 1–48. MR 87e:11076 Zbl 0699.14028
- [Vignéras 1980] M.-F. Vignéras, *Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **800**, Springer, Berlin, 1980. MR 82i:12016 Zbl 0422.12008

Communicated by Richard Taylor

Received 2010-07-15

Revised 2011-04-08

Accepted 2011-05-23

sdasgup2@ucsc.edu

*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1156 High St, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, United States*  
<http://people.ucsc.edu/~sdasgup2/>

mgreenbe@math.ucalgary.ca

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, AL T2N 1N4, Canada*

# Algebra & Number Theory

msp.berkeley.edu/ant

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

## PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [www.jant.org](http://www.jant.org) for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$175/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$40 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6 No. 3 2012

---

The image of complex conjugation in $l$ -adic representations associated to automorphic forms	405
RICHARD TAYLOR	
Betti numbers of graded modules and the multiplicity conjecture in the non-Cohen–Macaulay case	437
MATS BOIJ and JONAS SÖDERBERG	
$\mathcal{L}$ -invariants and Shimura curves	455
SAMIT DASGUPTA and MATTHEW GREENBERG	
On the weak Lefschetz property for powers of linear forms	487
JUAN C. MIGLIORE, ROSA M. MIRÓ-ROIG and UWE NAGEL	
Resonance equals reducibility for $A$ -hypergeometric systems	527
MATHIAS SCHULZE and ULI WALTHER	
The Chow ring of double EPW sextics	539
ANDREA FERRETTI	
A finiteness property of graded sequences of ideals	561
MATTIAS JONSSON and MIRCEA MUSTĂŢĂ	
On unit root formulas for toric exponential sums	573
ALAN ADOLPHSON and STEVEN SPERBER	
Symmetries of the transfer operator for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and a character deformation of the Selberg zeta function for $\Gamma_0(4)$	587
MARKUS FRACZEK and DIETER MAYER	



1937-0652(2012)6:3;1-C