

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 6

2012

No. 3

**Resonance equals reducibility for  $A$ -hypergeometric  
systems**

Mathias Schulze and Uli Walther



mathematical sciences publishers

# Resonance equals reducibility for $A$ -hypergeometric systems

Mathias Schulze and Uli Walther

Classical theorems of Gel'fand et al. and recent results of Beukers show that nonconfluent Cohen–Macaulay  $A$ -hypergeometric systems have reducible monodromy representation if and only if the continuous parameter is  $A$ -resonant.

We remove both the confluence and Cohen–Macaulayness conditions while simplifying the proof.

1. Introduction	527
2. Hypergeometric system and Euler–Koszul homology	529
3. Pyramids and resonance centers	532
4. Resonance implies reducibility	534
5. Resonance follows from reducibility	535
Acknowledgments	536
References	536

## 1. Introduction

In a series of seminal papers of the 1980s, Gel'fand, Graev, Kapranov and Zelevinskiĭ introduced  $A$ -hypergeometric systems  $H_A(\beta)$ , a class of maximally overdetermined systems of linear PDEs. These systems, today also known as  $GKZ$ -systems, are induced by an integer  $d \times n$ -matrix  $A$  and a parameter vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ .

$A$ -hypergeometric structures are nearly ubiquitous, generalizing most classical differential equations. Indeed, toric residues, generating functions for intersection numbers on moduli spaces, and special functions (Gauß, Bessel, Airy, etc.) may all be viewed as solutions to  $GKZ$ -systems, and the same is true for varying Hodge structures on families of Calabi–Yau toric hypersurfaces as well as the space of roots of univariate polynomials with undetermined coefficients.

---

Uli Walther was supported by the NSF under grant DMS 0901123.

*MSC2010*: primary 13N10; secondary 32S40, 14M25.

*Keywords*: toric, hypergeometric, Euler–Koszul,  $D$ -module, resonance, monodromy.

We shall identify  $A$  with its set of columns  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ . A parameter  $\beta$  is *nonresonant* if it is not contained in the locally finite subspace arrangement of *resonant* parameters

$$\text{Res}(A) := \bigcup_{\tau} (\mathbb{Z}A + \mathbb{C}\tau), \quad (1-1)$$

the union being taken over all linear subspaces  $\tau \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^n$  that form a boundary component of the rational polyhedral cone  $\mathbb{Q}_+A$ .

Assuming that the toric ring  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n]$  is Cohen–Macaulay and standard graded (the latter is equivalent to the classical notion of nonconfluence; see [Schulze and Walther 2008]), Gel’fand et al. [1989; 1990] proved the following fundamental theorems:

- (I)  $H_A(\beta)$  is holonomic.
- (II) The rank (dimension of the solution space) of  $H_A(\beta)$  equals the degree of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A]$  for generic  $\beta$ .
- (III) If  $\beta$  is nonresonant, the monodromy representation of the solutions of  $H_A(\beta)$  in a generic point is irreducible.

More recent research has shown that statements (I) and (II) hold true irrespective of whether  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A]$  is Cohen–Macaulay or standard graded, [Adolphson 1994; Saito et al. 2000; Matusевич et al. 2005]. In Theorems 4.1 and 5.1, we prove the same of statement (III) while providing a converse inspired by [Beukers 2011].

The crucial tool for the proof of (III) in [Gel’fand et al. 1990, Theorem 2.11] is the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence of Kashiwara and Mebkhout, relating regular holonomic  $D$ -modules to perverse sheaves. Confluence (i.e., irregularity) of  $M_A(\beta)$  rules out the use of the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence in the general case.

A powerful way of studying  $H_A(\beta)$  is to consider the corresponding  $D$ -module  $M_A(\beta)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as a 0-th homology of the *Euler–Koszul complex*  $K_{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A], \beta)$ . This idea can be traced back to [Gel’fand et al. 1989] and was developed into a functor in [Matusевич et al. 2005]. Results from [Matusевич et al. 2005] show that  $K_{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A], \beta)$  is a resolution of  $M_A(\beta)$  if and only if  $\beta$  is not in the *A-exceptional arrangement*  $\mathcal{E}_A$  (see Remark 2.2), a well-understood (finite) subspace arrangement of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  comprised of the parameters  $\beta$  for which the solution space of  $H_A(\beta)$  is unusually large.

Surprisingly, the Euler–Koszul technique combined with the  $D$ -module/representation-theoretic description of GKZ-systems from [Schulze and Walther 2009] serves as a replacement for the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence in the proof of (III). This provides an approach that is simultaneously conceptually simpler and more widely applicable.

## 2. Hypergeometric system and Euler–Koszul homology

**Hypergeometric  $D$ -module.** Let  $A = (a_{i,j}) : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$  be an integer  $d \times n$ -matrix, which we view both as a map, and as the finite subset  $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$  of columns. We assume that the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}A$  generated by the columns of  $A$  is the free Abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , but we do not assume that  $A$  is positive, i.e., we do allow nontrivial units in the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}A$  (see Remarks 2.1 and 2.4).

Let  $x_A = x_1, \dots, x_n$  be coordinates on  $X := \mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $\partial_A = \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$  be the corresponding partial derivative operators on  $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$ . Then the *Weyl algebra*

$$D_A = \mathbb{C}\langle x_A, \partial_A \mid [x_i, \partial_j] = \delta_{i,j}, [x_i, x_j] = 0 = [\partial_i, \partial_j] \rangle$$

is the ring of algebraic differential operators on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . With  $\mathbf{u}_+ = (\max(0, u_j))_j$  and  $\mathbf{u}_- = \mathbf{u}_+ - \mathbf{u}$ , write  $\square_{\mathbf{u}}$  for  $\partial^{\mathbf{u}_+} - \partial^{\mathbf{u}_-}$ , where here and elsewhere we freely use multiindex notation. The *toric relations of  $A$*  are then

$$\square_A := \{\square_{\mathbf{u}} \mid A\mathbf{u} = 0\} \subseteq R_A := \mathbb{C}[\partial_A],$$

and generate the *toric ideal*  $I_A = R_A \cdot \square_A$ , whose residue ring is the *toric ring*

$$S_A := R_A/I_A \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}A] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n].$$

The *Euler vector fields*  $E = E_1, \dots, E_d$  induced by  $A$  are defined as

$$E_i := \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} x_j \partial_j.$$

Then, for  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , the  *$A$ -hypergeometric ideal and  $D$ -module* are, by [Gel’fand et al. 1987; 1989], the left  $D_A$ -ideal and  $D_A$ -module

$$H_A(\beta) = D_A \cdot \{E - \beta\} + D_A \cdot \square_A \quad \text{and} \quad M_A(\beta) = D_A/H_A(\beta).$$

The structure of the solutions to  $H_A(\beta)$  is tightly interwoven with the combinatorics of the pair  $(A, \beta) \in (\mathbb{Z}A)^n \times \mathbb{C}A$  [Sturmfels and Takayama 1998; Cattani et al. 1999; Matusевич and Miller 2006; Okuyama 2006; Berkesch 2011].

**Remark 2.1.** Suppose we were to weaken the condition  $\mathbb{Z}A = \mathbb{Z}^d$  to “the rank of  $\mathbb{Z}A$  is  $d$ ”. Pick a basis  $B$  for  $\mathbb{Z}A$ , interpreted as elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . In terms of  $B$ ,  $A$  takes the form of the  $d \times n$  matrix  $A'$  (say) which satisfies  $A = BA'$  and  $\mathbb{Z}A' = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Choose  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A = \mathbb{C}A'$ . The hypergeometric systems  $H_A(\beta)$  and  $H_{A'}(B^{-1}\beta)$  are equivalent since  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A) = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A')$ .

**Torus action.** Consider the algebraic  $d$ -torus  $T := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}A]) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$  with coordinate functions  $t = t_1, \dots, t_d$ . The columns  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  of  $A$  can be viewed as characters  $\mathbf{a}_i(t) = t^{\mathbf{a}_i}$  on  $T$ , and the parameter vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$  as a character on its Lie algebra via  $\beta(t_i \partial_{t_i}) = -\beta_i + 1$ . These characters define an action of  $T$  on

$X^* := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}^n])$ , interpreted as the cotangent space  $T_0^*X$  of  $X$  at 0, by

$$t \cdot \partial_A = (t^{a_1} \partial_1, \dots, t^{a_n} \partial_n).$$

The toric ideal  $I_A$  is the ideal of the closure of the orbit  $T \cdot \mathbf{1}_A$  of  $\mathbf{1}_A = (1, \dots, 1)$  in  $X^*$ , whose coordinate ring is  $S_A$ .

The contragredient action of  $T$  on the coordinate ring  $R_A$  of  $X^*$  is given by

$$(t \cdot P)(\partial_A) = P(t^{-a_1} \partial_1, \dots, t^{-a_n} \partial_n)$$

for  $P \in R_A$ . It yields a  $\mathbb{Z}A$ -grading on  $R_A$  on the coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[x_A, \partial_A]$  of  $T^*X$ :

$$-\deg \partial_j = \mathbf{a}_j = \deg x_j. \quad (2-1)$$

In particular,  $\deg \partial^u = A\mathbf{u}$ , and  $E - \beta$  and  $\square_A$  are homogeneous.

The following description of  $M_A(\beta)$  was given in [Schulze and Walther 2009]. Consider the algebraic  $\mathcal{D}_T$ -module

$$\mathcal{M}(\beta) := \mathcal{D}_T / \mathcal{D}_T \cdot \langle \partial_i t + \beta \rangle,$$

where  $\partial_i t := \partial_1 t_1, \dots, \partial_d t_d$ . It is  $\mathbb{C}_T$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}_T$  but equipped with a twisted  $\mathcal{D}_T$ -module structure expressed symbolically as

$$\mathcal{M}(\beta) = \mathbb{C}_T \cdot t^{-\beta-1}$$

on which  $\mathcal{D}_T$  acts via the product rule. The orbit inclusion

$$\phi: T \rightarrow T \cdot \mathbf{1} \hookrightarrow X$$

gives rise to a (derived) direct image functor  $\phi_+ : \mathcal{D}_T\text{-mods} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X\text{-mods}$ . On  $X$  one has access to the *Fourier transform*:  $\mathcal{F}(x_i) = \partial_i$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\partial_i) = -x_i$ . By [Schulze and Walther 2009, Proposition 2.1],  $\mathcal{F} \circ \phi_+ \mathcal{M}(\beta)$  is represented by the Euler–Koszul complex  $K_*(S_A[\partial_A^{-1}], \beta)$ . Thus, the latter is quasiisomorphic to  $K_*(S_A, \beta)$  if  $\beta \notin \text{Res}(A)$  by [Schulze and Walther 2009, Theorem 3.6], and hence Corollary 3.8 of [Schulze and Walther 2009] yields

$$M_A(\beta) = \mathcal{F} \circ \phi_+ \mathcal{M}(\beta) \quad \text{if } \beta \notin \text{Res}(A). \quad (2-2)$$

**Euler–Koszul functor.** We say that  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}A$  is a *true degree* of the graded  $R_A$ -module  $M$  if  $\beta$  is the degree of a nonzero homogeneous element of  $M$ . The *quasidegrees* of  $M$  are the points  $\text{qdeg } M$  in the Zariski closure of  $\text{tdeg } M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}A \subseteq \mathbb{C}A$ .

A graded  $R_A$ -module  $M$  is called a *toric module* if it has a finite filtration by graded  $R_A$ -modules such that each filtration quotient is a finitely generated  $S_A$ -module. The toric modules with  $\mathbb{Z}A$ -homogeneous maps of degree zero form a category that is closed under subquotients and extensions. For every toric module the

quasidegrees form a finite subspace arrangement where each participating subspace is a shift of a complexified face of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A$  by a lattice element.

For all  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and for any toric  $R_A$ -module  $M$  one can define a collection of  $d$  commuting  $D_A$ -linear endomorphisms denoted  $E_i - \beta_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , on the  $D_A$ -module  $D_A \otimes_{R_A} M$  which operate on a homogeneous element  $m \in D_A \otimes_{R_A} M$  by  $m \mapsto (E_i - \beta_i) \circ m$ , where

$$(E_i - \beta_i) \circ m = (E_i - \beta_i - \deg_i m) \cdot m.$$

There is an exact functor  $K_\bullet(-, \beta) = K_\bullet(-, E - \beta)$  from the category of graded  $R_A$ -modules to the category of complexes of graded  $D_A$ -modules; it sends  $M$  to the Koszul complex defined by all morphisms  $E_i - \beta_i$ . On toric modules, the functor returns complexes with holonomic homology. A short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

of graded  $R_A$ -modules with homogeneous maps of degree zero induces a long exact sequence of *Euler–Koszul homology*

$$\dots \rightarrow H_i(M'', \beta) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(M', \beta) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(M, \beta) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(M'', \beta) \rightarrow \dots$$

where  $H_i(-, \beta) = H_i(K_\bullet(-, \beta))$ . If  $M = S_A$  then  $H_0(M, \beta) = M_A(\beta)$ .

We refer to [Matusевич et al. 2005; Schulze and Walther 2009] for more details.

**Rank (jumps) and monodromy reducibility.** We shall write  $D_A(x_A)$  for the ring of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear differential operators on  $\mathbb{C}(x_A)$ ; note that  $D_A(x_A) = \mathbb{C}(x_A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x_A]} D_A$  as left  $D_A$ -module. We further set  $M(x_A) := \mathbb{C}(x_A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x_A]} M$  for any  $D_A$ -module  $M$ .

The *rank*  $\text{rk}(M)$  of a  $D_A$ -module  $M$  is the  $\mathbb{C}(x_A)$ -dimension of  $M(x_A)$ . By Kashiwara’s Cauchy–Kovalevskaya theorem [Saito et al. 2000, Theorem 1.4.19], it equals the  $\mathbb{C}$ -dimension of the *solution space*  $\text{Sol}(M) = \text{Hom}_{D_A}(M, \mathbb{C}\{x_A - \varepsilon\})$  of  $M$  with coefficients in the convergent power series near the generic point  $x_A = \varepsilon$  in (the analytic space associated to)  $X$ .

**Remark 2.2.** By [Adolphson 1994, Theorem 5.15] and [Matusевич et al. 2005, Theorems 2.9, 7.5],

$$\text{rk } M_A(\beta) \geq \text{vol}_A(A)$$

with equality for generic  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Here  $\text{vol}_A(G)$  denotes, for any  $G \subseteq \mathbb{Z}A$ , the simplicial volume of the convex hull of  $G$  taken in the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}A$ . More precisely, equality is equivalent to  $\beta \notin \mathcal{E}_A$ , where

$$\mathcal{E}_A := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{a}_j - \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \text{qdeg Ext}_{R_A}^{n-i}(S_A, R_A)$$

is the *exceptional arrangement*.

**Definition 2.3.** We say that a  $D_A$ -module  $M$  has *irreducible monodromy* if  $M(x_A)$  is an irreducible  $D_A(x_A)$ -module (i.e., it has no nontrivial  $D_A(x_A)$ -quotients).

By [Walther 2007, Theorem 3.15], monodromy irreducibility of  $M(\beta)$  is a property of the equivalence class  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A/\mathbb{Z}A$ .

The nomenclature is based on the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence:  $D_A(x_A)$ -quotients of  $M(x_A)$  correspond to monodromy-invariant subspaces of  $\text{Sol}(M)$  in nonsingular points of  $M$ . (Analytic continuations of an analytic germ satisfy the same differential equations as the germ itself).

**Remark 2.4.** Careful reading of [Matusevich et al. 2005] reveals that all fundamental results obtained through Euler–Koszul technology do not require  $\mathbb{N}A$  to be a positive semigroup. As a matter of fact,  $\mathcal{E}_A$  was defined in [Matusevich et al. 2005] in terms of local cohomology with supports at the origin of  $X^*$ ; the translation between this definition and ours here can only be done if  $A$  is pointed. On the other hand, it is the Ext-based definition that is (implicitly) used in all proofs in loc. cit.

In consequence, the main theorems in [Walther 2007] and [Schulze and Walther 2009] remain true in the absence of positivity since the only ingredients in their proofs that are specific to the hypergeometric situation are those of [Matusevich et al. 2005].

### 3. Pyramids and resonance centers

**Definition 3.1.** For any subset  $F$  of the columns of  $A$  we write  $\bar{F}$  for the complement  $A \setminus F$ .

A *face* of  $A$  is any subset  $F \subseteq A$  subject to the condition that there be a linear functional  $\phi_F: \mathbb{Z}A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  that vanishes on  $F$  but is positive on  $\bar{F}$ . This includes  $F = A$  as possibility. Every face contains all units of  $\mathbb{N}A$ , and  $A$  is positive if and only if the empty set is a face of  $A$ .

For a given face  $F$ , we set

$$I_A^F := I_A + R_A \cdot \partial_{\bar{F}}$$

and note that  $R_A/I_A^F = S_F$  as  $R_A$ -module.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $F$  be a face of  $A$ . The parameter  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$  is *F-resonant* if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}A + \mathbb{C}G$  for a proper subface  $G$  of  $F$ .

If  $\beta$  is  $G$ -resonant for all faces  $G$  properly containing  $F$ , but not for  $F$  itself, we call  $F$  a *resonance center* for  $\beta$ .

A resonance center is a minimal face  $F$  for which  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}A + \mathbb{C}F$ . Every parameter  $\beta$  has a resonance center;  $A$  is a (and then the only) center of resonance for  $\beta$  if and only if  $\beta$  is nonresonant in the usual sense (i.e.,  $\beta \notin \text{Res}(A)$ , defined in (1-1)).

On the other hand, for positive  $A$ , the empty face is a resonance center for  $\beta$  if and only if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}A$ .

**Example 3.3.** It is easy to have several resonance centers for  $\beta$ . For example, consider  $\beta = (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  on the quadric cone  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $\beta$  has both extremal rays as resonance centers.

**Definition 3.4.** We say that  $A$  is a(n iterated) *pyramid over the face  $F$*  if  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}A)$  equals  $|\bar{F}| + \dim_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}F)$ .

The following equivalences are trivial or follow from [Walther 2007, Lemma 3.13].

**Lemma 3.5.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $F$  is a face and  $A$  is a pyramid over  $F$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{a}_j \notin \mathbb{Q}(A \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_j\})$  for any  $j \notin F$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{Z}A = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a}_j \oplus \mathbb{Z}(A \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_j\})$  for any  $j \notin F$ .
- (4)  $\text{vol}_F(F) = \text{vol}_A(A)$ .
- (5) For every  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$ , the coefficients  $c_j$  in the sum  $\beta = \sum_A c_j \mathbf{a}_j$  are uniquely determined by  $\beta$  for  $j \notin F$ .
- (6) The generators  $\square_A$  of  $I_A$  do not involve  $\partial_j$  for any  $j \notin F$ .
- (7)  $S_F \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\partial_{\bar{F}}] = S_A$  as  $R_A$ -modules.

**Notation 3.6.** Suppose  $F$  is any nonempty face of  $A$ , and let  $X_F, X_F^*, T_F, H_F^*$ , etc. be defined as in Section 2 with  $A$  replaced by  $F$  (cf. Remark 2.1 for the case where  $\mathbb{Z}A/\mathbb{Z}F$  has torsion). Write  $E^F = E_1^F, \dots, E_d^F$  where  $E_i^F := \sum_{j \in F} a_{i,j} x_j \partial_j$  is the part of  $E_i$  supported in  $F$ . Then, in particular,

$$M_F(\beta) = D_F / (D_F \cdot \langle E^F - \beta \rangle + D_F \cdot I_F) \quad \text{for } \beta \in \mathbb{C}F.$$

Suppose now that  $A$  is a pyramid over the face  $F$ , and let  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$ . The splitting in Lemma 3.5(3) corresponds to a splitting of tori  $T_A = T_F \times \prod_{\mathbf{a}_j \in \bar{F}} T_{\mathbf{a}_j}$  which in turn gives a splitting of the spaces of Lie algebra characters  $\mathbb{C}A = \mathbb{C}F \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a}_j \in \bar{F}} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{a}_j$ . Then  $\beta$  decomposes correspondingly as

$$\beta = \beta^F + \sum_{j \in \bar{F}} \beta_j^{\bar{F}}.$$

Let  $\iota_F: X_F^* \hookrightarrow X_A^*$  be the inclusion. By [Matusevich et al. 2005, Lemma 4.8], for  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}F$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F} \circ \iota_{F,+} \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1})M_F(\beta) &= \mathbb{C}[x_{\bar{F}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_F(\beta) \\ &\cong H_0(S_F, \beta) = D_A / (D_A \cdot \langle E^F - \beta \rangle + D_A \cdot I_A^F) \end{aligned} \quad (3-1)$$

as  $D_A$ -modules. In the following lemma, (9) follows from (8) and (3-1) above.

**Lemma 3.7.** *If  $A$  is a pyramid over  $F$  then the following conditions hold:*

- (8) *The ideal  $H_A(\beta)$  contains  $x_j \partial_j - \beta_j^{\bar{F}}$  for  $j \notin F$ .*
- (9)  *$M_A(\beta)(x_A) = \mathbb{C}(x_A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x_F]} M_F(\beta)$  for  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}F$ .*
- (10) *The solutions of  $M_A(\beta)$  are the solutions of  $M_F(\beta^F)$ , multiplied with the unique solution to the system*

$$\{x_j \partial_j \cdot f = \beta_j^{\bar{F}} \cdot f\}_{j \in \bar{F}}.$$

*In particular,  $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_A$  if and only if  $\beta^F \in \mathcal{E}_F$ .*

**Proposition 3.8.** *If  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$  has a resonance center  $F$  over which  $A$  is a pyramid, then  $F$  is the only resonance center for  $\beta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a second resonance center for  $\beta$  and suppose  $G$  meets the complement of  $F$ ; pick  $\mathbf{a}_k \in G \cap \bar{F}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a}_k$  is a direct summand of  $\mathbb{Z}A$ , it is also a direct summand of  $\mathbb{Z}G$ . It follows that  $G \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_k\}$  is a face  $G'$  of  $A$ .

As  $F$  and  $G$  are resonance centers,

$$\beta = z_k \mathbf{a}_k + \sum_{j \in \bar{F} \setminus \{k\}} z_j \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j \in F} c_j \mathbf{a}_j, \quad \beta = c'_k \mathbf{a}_k + \sum_{j \in \bar{G}' \setminus \{k\}} z'_j \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j \in G'} c'_j \mathbf{a}_j$$

where  $z_k, z_j, z'_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c'_k, c_j, c'_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . By Lemma 3.5(5), the coefficients for  $\mathbf{a}_k$  in these sums are identical,  $c'_k = z_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that

$$\beta = \left( z_k \mathbf{a}_k + \sum_{j \in \bar{G}' \setminus \{k\}} z'_j \mathbf{a}_j \right) + \sum_{G'} c'_j \mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{Z}A + \mathbb{C}G'.$$

This contradicts  $G$  being a resonance center. Thus  $G \cap \bar{F} = \emptyset$  and so  $G \subseteq F$ . But then  $F$  can only be a resonance center if  $F = G$ . □

#### 4. Resonance implies reducibility

The following result generalizes Theorem 3.4 in [Walther 2007] and Theorem 1.3 in [Beukers 2011].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $F$  be a resonance center for  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$ . If  $A$  is not a pyramid over  $F$  then  $M_A(\beta)$  has reducible monodromy.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we have  $\beta - \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}A$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}F$ . We first dispose of the case  $F = \emptyset$ . In that case,  $A$  is positive,  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}A$  and, by [Walther 2007, Theorem 3.15], we may assume  $\beta = 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}(x_A)$  is a rank-1 quotient of  $M_A(\beta)(x_A)$ . But  $A$  is not a pyramid over  $F$ , so

$$\text{rk}(M_A(\beta)) \geq \text{vol}_A(A) > \text{vol}_F(F) = 1 = \text{rk}(\mathbb{C}(x_A))$$

by Remark 2.2 and Lemma 3.5. So  $\mathbb{C}(x_A)$  is a proper quotient of  $M_A(\beta)(x_A)$ , and hence  $M_A(\beta)$  has reducible monodromy. We can hence assume that  $F$  is not empty, and by [Walther 2007, Theorem 3.15], we need to show the reducibility of  $M_A(\gamma)$ .

Consider the surjection

$$M_A(\gamma) = H_0(S_A, \gamma) \twoheadrightarrow H_0(S_F, \gamma)$$

induced by the surjection  $S_A \twoheadrightarrow S_F$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that  $0 < \text{rk}(H_0(S_F, \gamma)) < \text{vol}_A(A)$  by Remark 2.2. Since  $F$  is a resonance center for  $\beta$ , and hence for  $\gamma$  as well,  $\gamma$  is a nonresonant parameter for the GKZ-system

$$M_F(\gamma) = D_F / (D_F \cdot \langle E^F - \gamma \rangle + D_A \cdot I_F).$$

Then, by Remark 2.2,  $\text{rk}(M_F(\gamma)) = \text{vol}_F(F) > 0$  and  $\text{rk}(M_A(\gamma)) \geq \text{vol}_A(A)$ . As  $A$  is not a pyramid over  $F$ ,  $\text{vol}_F(F) < \text{vol}_A(A)$  by Lemma 3.5. Finally,  $\text{rk}(M_F(\gamma)) = \text{rk}(H_0(S_F, \gamma))$  by (3-1). Combining the above (in)equalities yields the claim.  $\square$

### 5. Resonance follows from reducibility

We now generalize Theorem 2.11 in [Gel'fand et al. 1990].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $F$  be a resonance center for  $\beta$ . If  $A$  is a pyramid over  $F$  then  $M_A(\beta)$  has irreducible monodromy.*

*Proof.*

First consider the case  $F = A$ . Then  $\beta \notin \text{Res}(A)$  and hence  $M_A(\beta) = \mathcal{F} \circ \phi_+(\mathcal{M}_\beta)$  by (2-2). As in the proof of [Schulze and Walther 2009, Proposition 2.1], factor  $\phi = \varpi \circ \iota$  into the closed embedding of tori

$$\iota : T \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n]) = Y^* \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \tag{5-1}$$

induced by  $\mathbb{Z}A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ , followed by the open embedding

$$\varpi : Y^* = X^* \setminus \text{Var}(\partial_1 \cdots \partial_n) \hookrightarrow X^*. \tag{5-2}$$

By Kashiwara equivalence,  $\iota$  preserves irreducibility. The same holds for  $\varpi$ , because  $D$ -affinity of both the target and the source of the inclusion map allows to detect submodules on global sections. But global sections on  $Y^*$  and  $X^*$  agree because we are looking at an open embedding. Since  $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$  is clearly irreducible,  $\phi_+\mathcal{M}(\beta)$  is as well. As Fourier transforms preserve composition chains,  $M_A(\beta)$  is irreducible. It follows that  $M_A(\beta)$  has irreducible monodromy.

Suppose now that  $F$  is a proper face. Choose  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}F$  with  $\beta - \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}A$ . Then  $M_F(\gamma)$  is irreducible by the first part of the proof, and the claim follows from Lemma 3.7(9) and [Walther 2007, Theorem 3.15]. Finally, if  $F = \emptyset$  then  $A$  is positive and Lemma 3.7(8) shows that  $M_A(\beta)(x_A) = \mathbb{C}(x_A)$  which has clearly irreducible monodromy.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the referees for their comments, and for informing us that Mutsumi Saito has an article in press with *Compositio Mathematica* that also discusses reducibility of GKZ-systems (in much greater detail). We would also like to thank Alan Adolphson for raising a relevant question.

### References

- [Adolphson 1994] A. Adolphson, “Hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials”, *Duke Math. J.* **73**:2 (1994), 269–290. MR 96c:33020 Zbl 0804.33013
- [Berkesch 2011] C. Berkesch, “The rank of a hypergeometric system”, *Compos. Math.* **147**:1 (2011), 284–318. MR 2012f:16022 Zbl 1214.33009
- [Beukers 2011] F. Beukers, “Irreducibility of  $A$ -hypergeometric systems”, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **21**:1-2 (2011), 30–39. MR 2832480 Zbl 1229.33023
- [Cattani et al. 1999] E. Cattani, C. D’Andrea, and A. Dickenstein, “The  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system associated with a monomial curve”, *Duke Math. J.* **99**:2 (1999), 179–207. MR 2001f:33018 Zbl 0952.33009
- [Gel’fand et al. 1987] I. M. Gel’fand, M. I. Graev, and A. V. Zelevinskiĭ, “Holonomic systems of equations and series of hypergeometric type”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **295**:1 (1987), 14–19. In Russian; translated in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36**:1(1988), 5–10. MR 88j:58118
- [Gel’fand et al. 1989] I. M. Gel’fand, A. V. Zelevinskiĭ, and M. M. Kapranov, “Hypergeometric functions and toric varieties”, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **23**:2 (1989), 12–26. In Russian; translated in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **23**:2 (1989), 94–106. MR 90m:22025 Zbl 0721.33006
- [Gel’fand et al. 1990] I. M. Gel’fand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, “Generalized Euler integrals and  $A$ -hypergeometric functions”, *Adv. Math.* **84**:2 (1990), 255–271. MR 92e:33015
- [Matusevich and Miller 2006] L. F. Matusevich and E. Miller, “Combinatorics of rank jumps in simplicial hypergeometric systems”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134**:5 (2006), 1375–1381. MR 2006j:33016 Zbl 1090.33005
- [Matusevich et al. 2005] L. F. Matusevich, E. Miller, and U. Walther, “Homological methods for hypergeometric families”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18**:4 (2005), 919–941. MR 2007d:13027 Zbl 1095.13033
- [Okuyama 2006] G. Okuyama, “ $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric ranks for toric threefolds”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2006** (2006), Art. ID 70814, 38. MR 2007h:14076
- [Saito et al. 2000] M. Saito, B. Sturmfels, and N. Takayama, *Gröbner deformations of hypergeometric differential equations*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics **6**, Springer, Berlin, 2000. MR 2001i:13036 Zbl 0946.13021
- [Schulze and Walther 2008] M. Schulze and U. Walther, “Irregularity of hypergeometric systems via slopes along coordinate subspaces”, *Duke Math. J.* **142**:3 (2008), 465–509. MR 2009b:13067 Zbl 1144.13012
- [Schulze and Walther 2009] M. Schulze and U. Walther, “Hypergeometric  $D$ -modules and twisted Gauß-Manin systems”, *J. Algebra* **322**:9 (2009), 3392–3409. MR 2010m:14028 Zbl 1181.13023
- [Sturmfels and Takayama 1998] B. Sturmfels and N. Takayama, “Gröbner bases and hypergeometric functions”, pp. 246–258 in *Gröbner bases and applications* (Linz, 1998), edited by B. Buchberger and F. Winkler, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **251**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998. MR 2001c:33026 Zbl 0918.33004

[Walther 2007] U. Walther, “Duality and monodromy reducibility of  $A$ -hypergeometric systems”, *Math. Ann.* **338**:1 (2007), 55–74. MR 2008e:32043 Zbl 1126.33006

Communicated by Bernd Sturmfels

Received 2010-10-01    Revised 2011-01-04    Accepted 2011-02-22

mschulze@math.okstate.edu    *Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University,  
Stillwater, OK 74078, United States*

walther@math.purdue.edu    *Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 North Uni-  
versity Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, United States*

# Algebra & Number Theory

msp.berkeley.edu/ant

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

## PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [www.jant.org](http://www.jant.org) for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$175/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$40 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6 No. 3 2012

---

The image of complex conjugation in $l$ -adic representations associated to automorphic forms	405
RICHARD TAYLOR	
Betti numbers of graded modules and the multiplicity conjecture in the non-Cohen–Macaulay case	437
MATS BOIJ and JONAS SÖDERBERG	
$\mathcal{L}$ -invariants and Shimura curves	455
SAMIT DASGUPTA and MATTHEW GREENBERG	
On the weak Lefschetz property for powers of linear forms	487
JUAN C. MIGLIORE, ROSA M. MIRÓ-ROIG and UWE NAGEL	
Resonance equals reducibility for $A$ -hypergeometric systems	527
MATHIAS SCHULZE and ULI WALTHER	
The Chow ring of double EPW sextics	539
ANDREA FERRETTI	
A finiteness property of graded sequences of ideals	561
MATTIAS JONSSON and MIRCEA MUSTĂŢĂ	
On unit root formulas for toric exponential sums	573
ALAN ADOLPHSON and STEVEN SPERBER	
Symmetries of the transfer operator for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and a character deformation of the Selberg zeta function for $\Gamma_0(4)$	587
MARKUS FRACZEK and DIETER MAYER	



1937-0652(2012)6:3;1-C