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We prove a modified version of Previdi’s conjecture stating that the Waldhausen space ($K$-theory space) of an exact category is delooped by the Waldhausen space ($K$-theory space) of Beilinson’s category of generalized Tate vector spaces. Our modified version states the delooping with nonconnective $K$-theory spectra, extending and almost including Previdi’s original statement. As a consequence we obtain that the negative $K$-groups of an exact category are given by the 0th $K$-groups of the idempotent-completed iterated Beilinson categories, extending a theorem of Drinfeld that the first negative $K$-group of a ring is isomorphic to the 0th $K$-group of the exact category of Tate modules.

1. Introduction

In his Ph.D. thesis, Previdi [2010] developed a categorical generalization of Kapranov’s work [2001] on dimensional and determinantal theories for Tate vector spaces over a field. His main results are formulated in terms of algebraic $K$-theory, and he observes a certain relation between the $K$-groups $K_i(A)$ and $K_{i+1}(\lim A)$ for $i = 0, 1$, where $A$ is an exact category and $\lim A$ is an associated exact category introduced by Beilinson [1987]. (See Section 2 below.) Previdi concluded the thesis with the following conjecture, which would include all the higher analogues of that relation:

**Conjecture 1.1** [Previdi 2010, 5.1.7]. Write $S(A)$ for the geometric realization of the simplicial category $iS_{\bullet}(A)$ given by Waldhausen’s $S_{\bullet}$-construction [1985], the homotopy groups of whose loop space are the algebraic $K$-theory groups of the exact category $A$. If $A$ is partially abelian, i.e., if it and its opposite have pullbacks of admissible monomorphisms with common target, then $S(A)$ is delooped by $S(\lim A)$. In particular, for such $A$ there is an isomorphism between $K_i(A)$ and $K_{i+1}(\lim A)$ for every $i \geq 0$.

In this article we prove the following modified version of the conjecture:
Theorem 1.2. Let $K(A)$ be the nonconnective $K$-theory spectrum of the exact category $A$, whose $i$-th homotopy group is the $i$-th $K$-group of $A$ if $i > 0$, the 0th $K$-group of the idempotent-completion of $A$ if $i = 0$, and the $(-i)$-th negative $K$-group of $A$ if $i < 0$. (See [Schlichting 2006].) Then there is a homotopy equivalence of spectra $K(A) \simeq \Omega K(\varprojlim A)$.

Note that no assumption on $A$ is necessary. We also remark that Theorem 1.2 includes almost all of the essential part of Conjecture 1.1. Indeed, there results an isomorphism $K_i(A) \simeq K_{i+1}(\varprojlim A)$ for any $A$ and for every $i \geq 1$. If $A$ is idempotent-complete (this is the case for most of the typical examples, such as the category $\mathcal{P}(R)$ of finitely generated projective modules over a ring $R$, the category of vector bundles on a scheme, or any abelian category) this holds also for $i = 0$. Theorem 1.2 moreover says that the $i$-th negative $K$-group $K_{-i}(A)$, $i > 0$, is isomorphic to the 0th $K$-group of the idempotent-completion of the $i$-times iterated Beilinson category $\varprojlim^i A$.

Applications to the study of generalized Tate vector spaces. Previdi’s work has its background in the study of generalized Tate vector spaces. Recall that a Tate vector space over a discrete field $k$ is a topological $k$-vector space of the form $P \oplus Q^*$, where $P$ and $Q$ are discrete spaces and $(-)^*$ denotes the topological dual. There is a canonical equivalence of the Beilinson category $\varprojlim \text{Vect}_0 k$ of the exact category $\text{Vect}_0 k$ of finite-dimensional $k$-vector spaces, with the category of Tate $k$-vector spaces of countable type, i.e., Tate vector spaces of the form $P \oplus Q^*$ with $P$ and $Q$ discrete of countable dimensions. (See [Previdi 2011, 7.4]).

There are two generalizations of this notion, one of which due to Arkhipov and Kremnizer [2010] is the notion of an $n$-Tate vector space as an object of the $n$-times iterated Beilinson category $\varprojlim^n \text{Vect}_0 k$, $n \geq 1$. The other one, due to Drinfeld [2006], replaces the field $k$ with a general commutative ring $R$ to get the notion of a Tate $R$-module. (We assume commutativity for simplicity, although Drinfeld’s definition makes sense for noncommutative rings.) More precisely, Drinfeld defined an elementary Tate $R$-module to be a topological $R$-module of the form $P \oplus Q^*$, where $P$ and $Q$ are discrete projective $R$-modules, and a Tate $R$-module to be a direct summand of an elementary Tate $R$-module. Drinfeld [2006, Theorem 3.6(iii)] showed that the first negative $K$-group $K_{-1}(R)$ of the ring $R$ is isomorphic to the 0th $K$-group of the exact category of Tate $R$-modules. A very important theorem on Tate $R$-modules, due to [Drinfeld 2006, Theorems 3.4, 3.7], is that they are Nisnevich-locally elementary, so that the presheaf of first negative $K$-groups on the Nisnevich site of Spec $R$ becomes trivial after Nisnevich-sheafification.

The former of the two generalizations is obtained purely formally by iterating the Beilinson construction, whereas the latter is based on nontrivial facts in ring
theory. In fact, these two generalizations can be combined together. The equivalence of $\lim \Vect_0 k$ with Tate $k$-vector spaces of countable type can be generalized to show that $\lim \mathcal{P}(R)$ is very close to the category of elementary Tate $R$-modules. (More precisely, $\lim \mathcal{P}(R)$ is equivalent to the category of topological $R$-modules isomorphic to extensions of $P$ and $Q^*$, where $P$ and $Q$ are discrete $R$-modules obtained as the inductive limits of systems

$$P_1 \hookrightarrow P_2 \hookrightarrow P_3 \hookrightarrow \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1 \hookrightarrow Q_2 \hookrightarrow Q_3 \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

This in particular shows that the idempotent-completion of $\lim \mathcal{P}(R)$ is very close to the category of Tate $R$-modules. Most objects of the latter category which one usually deals with can be considered as objects of the former, and vice versa.

In this sense, we regard the idempotent-completion of $\lim \mathcal{P}(R)$ as a categorical substitute for Drinfeld’s category of Tate $R$-modules. It is thus plausible to define an $n$-Tate $R$-module, $n \geq 1$, as an object of the idempotent-completion of $\lim^n \mathcal{P}(R)$. Theorem 1.2 then can be regarded as a generalization of Theorem 3.6(iii) of [Drinfeld 2006], as it says that the $n$-th negative $K$-group $K_n(R)$ is isomorphic to the $0$th $K$-group of $n$-Tate $R$-modules.

We also briefly discuss here a consequence of Theorem 1.2 on 1-Tate modules. Denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the sheaf of group-like $E_\infty$-spaces on the Nisnevich site of Spec $R$, that sends an étale $R$-algebra $S$ to the space $\Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{K}(S)$. We describe how our Theorem 1.2, together with Drinfeld’s theorem on the Nisnevich-local vanishing of $K_{-1}$, provides a purely formal way to associate to a 1-Tate $R$-module $M$ a $\mathcal{K}$-torsor with a canonical action of the sheaf of groups of automorphisms of $M$. We note that this construction was essentially explained by Drinfeld [2006, Section 5.5], who attributes it to Beilinson.

Firstly, Theorem 1.2 shows that, in the $\infty$-topos of sheaves of spaces on the Nisnevich site of Spec $R$, the sheaf $S \mapsto \Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{K}(\lim \mathcal{P}(S))$ is an object whose loop-space object is $\mathcal{K}$. It is obviously a pointed object. In addition, Drinfeld’s theorem on the Nisnevich-local vanishing of $K_{-1}$ tells that this object is connected, i.e., $S \mapsto \Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{K}(\lim \mathcal{P}(S))$ is the classifying-space object for the $\infty$-group object $\mathcal{K}$. Then by general theory a $\mathcal{K}$-torsor corresponds to a map from the terminal object to the sheaf $S \mapsto \Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{K}(\lim \mathcal{P}(S))$, i.e., to a point of the space $\Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{K}(\lim \mathcal{P}(R))$. Thus the 1-Tate $R$-module $M$, as an object of the idempotent-completion of $\lim \mathcal{P}(R)$, defines such a torsor. The sheaf of groups of automorphisms of $M$ acts on it since, in general, for any idempotent-complete exact category $A$ and an object $A$ of $A$, the classifying space of $\text{Aut}_A A$ admits a natural, canonical mapping to $\Omega S(A) = \Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{K}(A)$ which sends the base point to the point of $\Omega S(A) = \Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{K}(A)$ defined by the object $A$. (This is the composition of the map $B \text{Aut}_A A \to Bi A$ with the first structure map $Bi A \to \Omega S(A)$ of Waldhausen’s connective algebraic
\( K \)-theory spectrum of \( A \), where \( iA \) is the category of isomorphisms of \( A \), and \( B \) indicates the classifying space of a category.

**Organization and conventions.** In Section 2 we recall the definition and properties of the Beilinson category \( \lim A \), following [Beilinson 1987] and [Previdi 2011]. We recall the notions of ind- and pro-objects, introduce the categories \( \text{Ind}^a_\mathcal{N} A \) and \( \text{Pro}^a_\mathcal{N} A \), and discuss their relation to \( \lim A \). All statements in this section are either results of [Beilinson 1987] and [Previdi 2011] or their immediate consequences.

Section 3 begins by recalling Schlichting’s results [2004], which provide a powerful tool for constructing a homotopy fibration sequence of nonconnective \( K \)-theory spectra. We prove Theorem 1.2 according to the following strategy: We construct, using Schlichting’s method, two homotopy fibration sequences which fit into the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{K}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\text{Ind}^a_\mathcal{N} A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{K}(\text{Pro}^a_\mathcal{N} A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\lim A)
\end{array}
\]

as the horizontal sequences. We then go on to show that the third vertical map is an equivalence, and that in the left-hand square the upper-right and lower-left corners are contractible, so that the stated homotopy equivalence is obtained. (We remark that the upper horizontal homotopy fibration sequence and its consequence that \( \mathbb{K}(A) \) is delooped by \( \mathbb{K}(\text{Ind}^a_\mathcal{N} A/A) \) are Schlichting’s results [2004]. Our delooping is a combination of his delooping with its dual.)

We follow the notation adopted in [Previdi 2010; 2011]. For instance, we write \( \text{Ind}^a_\mathcal{N} A \) for what is denoted by \( \mathcal{F}A \) in [Schlichting 2004], and \( \text{Fun}^a(\Pi, A) \) instead of the notation \( A^\Pi_a \) used in [Beilinson 1987]. We write \( \tilde{A} \) for the idempotent-completion of \( A \). By saying a functor \( A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \) between exact categories is an **embedding of exact categories**, we mean that it is a fully faithful exact functor whose essential image is closed under extensions in \( \mathcal{U} \) and such that a short sequence in \( A \) is exact if and only if its image in \( \mathcal{U} \) is exact.

**2. Beilinson’s category \( \lim A \)**

**2A. ind- and pro-objects in a category.** We first recall some generalities on ind- and pro-objects. For any category \( C \), the category \( \text{Ind} C \) (resp. \( \text{Pro} C \)) of **ind-objects** (resp. **pro-objects**) in \( C \) is defined to have as objects functors \( \mathcal{X}: J \rightarrow C \) with domain \( J \) small and filtering (resp. \( \mathcal{X}: I^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C \) with \( I \) small and filtering). The ind-object \( \mathcal{X}: J \rightarrow C \) (resp. pro-object \( \mathcal{X}: I^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C \)) defines a functor \( C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \) (sets), \( C \hookrightarrow \lim_{\mathcal{X} \in J} \text{Hom}_C(C, \mathcal{X}_j) \) (resp. \( C \hookrightarrow \lim_{\mathcal{X} \in I} \text{Hom}_C(\mathcal{X}_i, C) \)). A morphism \( \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \) of ind-objects (resp. pro-objects) is a natural transformation between the
functors $C^{\text{op}} \to (\text{sets})$ (resp. $C \to (\text{sets})$) associated to $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$. Equivalently, the sets of morphisms of ind- and pro-objects can be defined to be the projective-inductive limits $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \varprojlim_j \varprojlim I \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}_j, \mathcal{Y}_I)$ and $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \varprojlim_k \varprojlim I \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}_k, \mathcal{Y}_I)$, respectively.

If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ have a common index category, a natural transformation $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ between the functors $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ defines a map between the ind- or pro-objects $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$. Conversely, every map of ind- or pro-objects $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ can be “straightified” to a natural transformation, in the sense that there is a commutative diagram in $\text{Ind } C$ or $\text{Pro } C$

$$\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

$$\sim \downarrow \quad \sim \downarrow$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$$

with the vertical maps isomorphisms, $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ having a common index category, and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ coming from a natural transformation. (See [Artin and Mazur 1969, Appendix] for details.)

If $C$ is an exact category, the categories $\text{Ind } C$ and $\text{Pro } C$ possess exact structures. A pair of composable morphisms in $\text{Ind } C$ or $\text{Pro } C$ is a short exact sequence if it can be straightified to a sequence of natural transformations which is levelwise exact in $C$ [Previdi 2011, 4.15, 4.16]. In this article we are mainly concerned with the full subcategories $\text{Ind}^a C$ and $\text{Pro}^a C$ of admissible ind- and pro-objects introduced in [Previdi 2011, 5.6]: An ind-object $\mathcal{X} : J \to C$ (resp. pro-object $\mathcal{X} : I^{\text{op}} \to C$) is admissible if for every map $j \to j'$ in $J$ (resp. $i \to i'$ in $I$) the morphism $X_j \leftrightarrow X_{j'}$ is an admissible monomorphism in $C$ (resp. $X_i \leftrightarrow X_{i'}$ is an admissible epimorphism). These subcategories are extension-closed in the exact categories $\text{Ind } C$ and $\text{Pro } C$, respectively, so they have induced exact structures. Since an object $C$ of $C$ can be considered as an admissible ind- or pro-object which takes the constant value $C$ (one can use any small and filtering category as the category of indices), there are embeddings of exact categories $C \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}^a C$ and $C \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}^a C$.

We write $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{N}} C$ and $\text{Pro}_{\mathbb{N}} C$ for the full, extension-closed subcategories of $\text{Ind}^a C$ and $\text{Pro}^a C$ consisting of admissible ind- and pro-objects, respectively, indexed by the filtering category of natural numbers. (There is precisely one morphism $j \to k$ if $j \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$.) The object $C$ of $C$ defines an object $C = C = C = \cdots$ in $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{N}} C$ or $\text{Pro}_{\mathbb{N}} C$. Note that the resulting embedding $C \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{N}} C \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}^a C$ (resp. $C \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}_{\mathbb{N}} C \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}^a C$) is naturally isomorphic to the embedding $C \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}^a C$ (resp. $C \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}^a C$) mentioned above.

2B. Definition of $\varprojlim A$. Let $A$ be an exact category. We write $\Pi$ for the ordered set $\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid i \leq j\}$, where $(i, j) \leq (i', j')$ if $i \leq i'$ and $j \leq j'$. A functor $X : \Pi \to A$, where $\Pi$ is viewed as a filtering category, is admissible if
for every triple \( i \leq j \leq k \), the sequence \( X_{i,j} \hookrightarrow X_{i,k} \twoheadrightarrow X_{j,k} \) is a short exact sequence in \( \mathcal{A} \). We denote by \( \text{Fun}^{a}(\Pi, \mathcal{A}) \) the exact category of admissible functors \( X : \Pi \to \mathcal{A} \) and natural transformations, where a short sequence \( X \to Y \to Z \) of natural transformations of admissible functors is a short exact sequence in \( \text{Fun}^{a}(\Pi, \mathcal{A}) \) if \( X_{i,j} \hookrightarrow Y_{i,j} \twoheadrightarrow Z_{i,j} \) is a short exact sequence in \( \mathcal{A} \) for every \( i \leq j \).

A bicofinal map \( \phi : Z \to Z \) (\( \phi \) is said to be \textit{bicofinal} if it is nondecreasing and satisfies \( \lim_{i \to \pm \infty} \phi(i) = \pm \infty \)) induces a cofinal functor \( \widetilde{\phi} : \Pi \to \Pi, (i, j) \mapsto (\phi(i), \phi(j)) \). If \( \phi \) and \( \psi : Z \to Z \) are bicofinal maps such that \( \phi(i) \leq \psi(i) \) for all \( i \), and if \( X : \Pi \to \mathcal{A} \) is an admissible functor, then there is a natural transformation \( u_{X,\phi,\psi} : X \circ \widetilde{\phi} \to X \circ \widetilde{\psi} \).

\textbf{Definition 2.1} [Beilinson 1987, A.3]. The category \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) is defined to be the localization of \( \text{Fun}^{a}(\Pi, \mathcal{A}) \) by the morphisms \( u_{X,\phi,\psi} \), where \( X : \Pi \to \mathcal{A} \) is in \( \text{Fun}^{a}(\Pi, \mathcal{A}) \) and \( \phi \leq \psi : Z \to Z \) are bicofinal.

If \( X : \Pi \to \mathcal{A} \) is an admissible functor, we have for each \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) an admissible pro-object \( X_{*,j} : \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i \leq j\} \to \mathcal{A}, i \mapsto X_{i,j} \), in \( \mathcal{A} \). We get in turn an admissible ind-object \( Z \to \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A}, j \mapsto X_{*,j} \), in \( \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \). Thus the admissible functor \( X \) can be viewed as an object of the iterated Ind-Pro category \( \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \). If \( \phi \leq \psi : Z \to Z \) are bicofinal, the map \( u_{X,\phi,\psi} \) defines an isomorphism between the ind-pro-objects \( X \circ \widetilde{\phi} \) and \( X \circ \widetilde{\psi} \). We get a functor \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \to \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \). In view of the following theorem, we regard \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) as an exact subcategory of \( \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \).

\textbf{Theorem 2.2} [Previdi 2011, 5.8, 6.1]. The functor \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \to \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \) is fully faithful. Moreover, the image is closed under extensions in \( \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \). In particular, \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) has an exact structure in which a sequence in \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) is exact if and only if its image in \( \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \) is exact.

By [Previdi 2011, 6.3], there are embeddings \( \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) and \( \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) of exact categories, respectively sending \( X_{1} \hookrightarrow X_{2} \hookrightarrow X_{3} \hookrightarrow \cdots \in \text{ob} \text{Ind}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \) to the object in \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) determined by \( X_{i,j} = X_{0,j} = X_{j} \) for \( i \leq 0 < j \), and sending \( X_{1} \hookrightarrow X_{2} \hookrightarrow X_{3} \hookrightarrow \cdots \in \text{ob} \text{Pro}^{a}_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{A} \) to the object in \( \text{lim}_{\Pi} \mathcal{A} \) determined by \( X_{i,j} = X_{i,1} = X_{-i+1} \) for \( i \leq 0 < j \).

We refer to [Previdi 2011] for detailed discussion of ind/pro-objects in exact categories.

3. Proof of \textbf{Theorem 1.2}

We prove the theorem using the \( s \)-filtering localization sequence constructed by Schlichting [2004].

Let \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \) be an embedding of exact categories. Following [Schlichting 2004], we define a map in \( \mathcal{U} \) to be a \textit{weak isomorphism} with respect to \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \) if it is either an admissible monomorphism that admits a cokernel in the essential image
of \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \) or an admissible epimorphism that admits a kernel in the essential image of \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \). In particular, for every \( A \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \), the maps \( 0 \to A \) and \( A \to 0 \) are weak isomorphisms. The localization of \( \mathcal{U} \) by weak isomorphisms with respect to \( \mathcal{A} \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{U} / \mathcal{A} \). Recall, from [Schlichting 2004], that the embedding \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \) of exact categories is a left s-filtering if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. If \( A \to U \) is an admissible epimorphism in \( \mathcal{U} \) with \( A \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \), then \( U \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \).
2. If \( U \to A \) is an admissible monomorphism in \( \mathcal{U} \) with \( A \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \), then \( U \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \).
3. Every map \( A \to U \) in \( \mathcal{U} \) with \( A \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \) factors through an object \( B \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \) such that \( B \to U \) is an admissible monomorphism in \( \mathcal{U} \).
4. If \( U \to A \) is an admissible epimorphism in \( \mathcal{U} \) with \( A \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \), then there is an admissible monomorphism \( B \to U \) with \( B \in \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \) such that the composition \( B \to A \) is an admissible epimorphism in \( \mathcal{A} \).

(Here \( \text{ob} \mathcal{A} \) denotes by slight abuse of notation the collection of objects of \( \mathcal{U} \) contained in the essential image of \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \).) A right s-filtering embedding is defined by dualizing the conditions above.

We use the following theorem, due to [Schlichting 2004, 1.16, 1.20, 2.10], as the main technical tool for the proof:

**Theorem 3.1.** If \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \) is left or right s-filtering, then the localization \( \mathcal{U} / \mathcal{A} \) has an exact structure in which a short sequence is exact if and only if it is isomorphic to the image of a short exact sequence in \( \mathcal{U} \). Moreover, the sequence of exact categories \( \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U} / \mathcal{A} \) induces a homotopy fibration \( \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U} / \mathcal{A}) \) of nonconnective \( K \)-theory spectra.

**Remark.** Theorem 2.10 of [Schlichting 2004], which constructs this homotopy fibration sequence, is stated there under the assumption that \( \mathcal{A} \) is idempotent-complete. But the theorem holds for general \( \mathcal{A} \) in view of Lemma 1.20 of [loc. cit.], which assures, whenever \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \) is left or right s-filtering, the existence of an extension-closed full subcategory \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \) such that \( \mathcal{U} \) is cofinally contained in \( \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \), the induced embedding \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \) factors through a left or right s-filtering embedding \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \), and \( \mathcal{U} / \mathcal{A} \to \tilde{\mathcal{U}} / \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \) is an equivalence of exact categories. The homotopy fibration sequence \( \mathbb{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \to \mathbb{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}} / \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \) is equivalent to the sequence \( \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U} / \mathcal{A}) \), since a cofinal embedding of exact categories induces an equivalence of nonconnective \( K \)-theory spectra.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any exact category \( \mathcal{A} \), the embedding \( \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}^a \mathcal{A} \) is left s-filtering.

**Proof.** We start by checking condition (3) for being left s-filtering. Let \( X \) be an object of \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( Y \) an admissible ind-object in \( \mathcal{A} \) indexed by a small filtering category \( J \). A morphism \( f : X \to Y \) in \( \text{Ind}^a \mathcal{A} \) is an element of \( \lim_{\to, j \in J} \text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}(X, Y_j) \), i.e., is
represented as the class of a map $f_{j_0} : X \to Y_{j_0}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ for some $j_0 \in J$. The canonical map $Y_{j_0} \hookrightarrow Y$ is an admissible monomorphism because the diagram $j_0 / J \to \mathcal{A}$, $j \mapsto Y_j / Y_{j_0}$, serves as its cokernel, where $j_0 / J$ is the under-category of $j_0$. We get a factorization

$$f : X \xrightarrow{f_{j_0}} Y_{j_0} \hookrightarrow Y,$$

as desired.

Condition (1) follows from (3). Indeed, an admissible epimorphism $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$ with $X$ in $\mathcal{A}$ factors through some $Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $Z \hookrightarrow Y$ is an admissible monomorphism. The composition $X \to Y \to Y / Z$ is 0, but since this composition is also an admissible epimorphism, $Y / Z$ must be 0. This forces $Y$ to be essentially constant.

To prove (4), let $Y \to X$ be an admissible epimorphism in $\text{Ind}^a \mathcal{A}$ with $X$ in $\mathcal{A}$, whose kernel we denote by $Z$. The short exact sequence $0 \to Z \hookrightarrow Y \to X \to 0$ is isomorphic to a straight exact sequence $0 \to Z' \hookrightarrow Y' \to X' \to 0$, where $Z'$, $Y'$, and $X'$ are all indexed by the same small filtering category $J$ and are respectively isomorphic to $Z$, $Y$, and $X$. The isomorphism $X' \cong X$ is a compatible collection of morphisms $g_j : X'_{j_0} \to X$ in $\mathcal{A}$, $j \in J$, such that there is a morphism $h : X \to X'_{j_0}$ for some $j_0 \in J$ such that $g_{j_0} \circ h = \text{id}_X$ and $h \circ g_{j_0}$ is equivalent to $\text{id}_{X'_{j_0}}$ in $\varinjlim_{j \in J} \text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}(X'_{j_0}, X'_j)$. Since $X'$ is an admissible ind-object, this implies that $h \circ g_{j_0} = \text{id}_{X'_{j_0}}$, i.e., $g_{j_0}$ is an isomorphism. (Note also that the $g_j$ are isomorphisms for all $j \in j_0 / J$.) The map $Y'_{j_0} \hookrightarrow Y' \cong Y$ is an admissible monomorphism, as noted above, and its composition with $Y \to X$ equals the composition $Y'_{j_0} \to X'_{j_0} \cong X$, which is an admissible epimorphism in $\mathcal{A}$.

Finally, if $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is an admissible monomorphism with $X$ in $\mathcal{A}$, its cokernel $Z$ is in $\mathcal{A}$ by condition (1). Let $0 \to Y' \hookrightarrow X' \twoheadrightarrow Z' \to 0$ be a straightification of the exact sequence $0 \to Y \hookrightarrow X \to Z \to 0$, whose common indices we denote by $J$. Then an argument similar to above shows that there is a $j_0 \in J$ such that $X'_{j_0}$ and $Z'_{j_0}$ are isomorphic to $X$ and $Z$, respectively, for every $j \in j_0 / J$. It follows that $Y'_{j_0}'$ is essentially constant above $j_0$, and we conclude that $Y$ is contained in the essential image of $\mathcal{A}$, verifying condition (2).

We remark that, given a composable pair of embeddings of exact categories $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$, if their composition is naturally isomorphic to a left $s$-filtering embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ then $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is also left $s$-filtering. This in particular implies that the embeddings $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{Pro}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{A}$ are left $s$-filtering. Hence by Theorem 3.1 we get two homotopy fibration sequences of nonconnective $K$-theory spectra $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbb{K}(\text{Pro}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\varinjlim \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\varinjlim \mathcal{A} / \text{Pro}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A})$. We compare these sequences to obtain Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.3. There is an equivalence $\text{Ind}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{A} \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{A} / \text{Pro}^a_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. We have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & \text{Ind}_A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Pro}_A & \longrightarrow & \text{lim}_A
\end{array}
\]

whence there results a functor \( F : \text{Ind}_A A / A \to \text{lim}_A / \text{Pro}_A A \).

To construct a quasi-inverse, first we note that the functor \( \text{Fun}^a(\Pi, A) \to \text{Ind}_A A \), \((X, j)_{i \leq j} \mapsto X_{0,1} \hookrightarrow X_{0,2} \hookrightarrow \cdots\), induces a functor \( G : \text{lim}_A \to \text{Ind}_A A / A \). Indeed, if \( \phi \leq \psi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) are bicofinal, the map \( u_{X, \phi, \psi} : X \circ \phi \to X \circ \psi \) in \( \text{Fun}^a(\Pi, A) \) is sent to the map \( X_{\phi(0), \phi(\bullet)} \to X_{\psi(0), \psi(\bullet)} \), which factors as \( X_{\phi(0), \phi(\bullet)} \to X_{\phi(0), \psi(\bullet)} \to X_{\psi(0), \psi(\bullet)} \). The map \( X_{\phi(0), \phi(\bullet)} \to X_{\phi(0), \psi(\bullet)} \) is an isomorphism in \( \text{Ind}_A A \), since it consists of natural isomorphisms

\[
\lim_j \text{Hom}_A(A, X_{\phi(0), \phi(j)}) \cong \lim_j \text{Hom}_A(A, X_{\phi(0), \psi(j)}), \quad A \in \text{ob} \; A,
\]

as \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are bicofinal. We also see that \( X_{\phi(0), \psi(\bullet)} \to X_{\psi(0), \psi(\bullet)} \) is a weak isomorphism in \( \text{Ind}_A A \) with respect to \( A \), since it has constant kernel \( X_{\phi(0), \psi(0)} = X_{\phi(0), \psi(\bullet)} = \cdots \). The functor \( G \) thus defined takes weak isomorphisms in \( \text{lim}_A \) with respect to \( \text{Pro}_A A \) to weak isomorphisms in \( \text{Ind}_A A \) with respect to \( A \), since if \( X \in \text{ob} \; \text{lim}_A A \) is in the image of \( \text{Pro}_A A \), then its 0th row is constant: \( X_{0,1} = X_{0,2} = \cdots \), i.e., \( G(X) \) is in the image of \( A \). Hence \( G \) factors through a functor \( G : \text{lim}_A / \text{Pro}_A A \to \text{Ind}_A A / A \).

We have \( G \circ F = \text{id}_{\text{Ind}_A A / A} \) by definition. On the other hand, if \( X = (X_{i,j})_{i \leq j} \in \text{ob} \; \text{lim}_A A \), then \( F \circ G(X) \) is the object \( \widetilde{X} \) of \( \text{lim}_A A \) determined by \( \widetilde{X}_{i,j} = \widetilde{X}_{0,j} = X_{0,j}, i \leq 0 < j \). Define an admissible epimorphism \( f_X : X \to \widetilde{X} \) in \( \text{Fun}^a(\Pi, A) \) (hence in \( \text{lim}_A A \)) by

\[
(f_X)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
X_{i,j} = X_{i,j} & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq j, \\
X_{i,j} \to X_{0,j} & \text{for } i \leq 0 < j, \\
X_{i,j} \to 0 & \text{for } i \leq j \leq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The kernel coincides with the image of \( 0 \to X_{-1,0} \to X_{-2,0} \to X_{-3,0} \to \cdots \in \text{ob} \; \text{Pro}_A A \) in \( \text{lim}_A A \). Hence \( f_X \) is a weak isomorphism in \( \text{lim}_A A \) with respect to \( \text{Pro}_A A \). Thus we get an isomorphism \( f : \text{id}_{\text{lim}_A / \text{Pro}_A A} \cong F \circ G \), to conclude that \( G \) is a quasi-inverse to \( F \).

This means that in the commutative diagram of nonconnective \( K \)-theory spectra

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{K}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\text{Ind}_A A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{K}(\text{Pro}_A A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\text{lim}_A A)
\end{array}
\]
the third vertical map is an equivalence. Since the two horizontal sequences are homotopy fibrations, it follows that the square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\ku(A) & \longrightarrow & \ku(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\ku(\text{Pro}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A) & \longrightarrow & \ku(\text{lim} A)
\end{array}
$$

is homotopy-cartesian, i.e., \(\ku(A) \Rightarrow \text{holim}(\ku(\text{Pro}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A) \rightarrow \ku(\text{lim} A) \leftarrow \ku(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A))\) is an equivalence. We finally note:

**Lemma 3.4.** There are canonical contractions for the nonconnective \(K\)-theory spectra \(\ku(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A)\) and \(\ku(\text{Pro}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A)\).

**Proof.** The contraction for \(\ku(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A)\) comes from the canonical flasque structure on \(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A\) (i.e., an endofunctor whose direct sum with the identity functor is naturally isomorphic to itself), given as follows. Let \(X = (X_j)_{j \geq 1} \in \text{ob} \text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A\) be an \(\mathbb{N}\)-indexed admissible ind-object in \(A\), whose structure maps we denote by \(\rho = \rho_{j, j'} : X_j \hookrightarrow X_{j'}\), \(j \leq j'\). Write \(T(X) \in \text{ob} \text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A\) for the admissible ind-object

\[
0 \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{(\rho, 0)} X_2 \oplus X_1 \xrightarrow{(\rho \oplus \rho, 0)} X_3 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_1 \xrightarrow{(\rho \oplus \rho \oplus \rho, 0)} \cdots.
\]

A morphism \(f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A}(Y, X) = \lim_j \lim_l \text{Hom}_A(Y_j, X_l)\) with \(j\)-th component represented by \(f_j : Y_j \rightarrow X_{l(j)}\) defines a morphism \(T(f) : T(Y) \rightarrow T(X)\) whose \(j\)-th component is the class of the composition

\[
Y_{j-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_1 \xrightarrow{f_{j-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus f_1} X_{l(j-1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{l(1)} \xrightarrow{\rho \oplus \cdots \rho} X_{k+j-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{k+1} \hookrightarrow T(X)_{k+j},
\]

where \(k\) is chosen to be sufficiently large. The endofunctor \(T\) thus defined is a flasque structure on \(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A\) since \((X \oplus T(X))_j \Rightarrow T(X)_{j+1}\) give a natural isomorphism of ind-objects.

The contraction for \(\ku(\text{Pro}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A)\) follows from the contraction for \(\ku(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} (-))\) via the identification \(\text{Pro}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A = (\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}\) and the general equivalence \(\ku(B^{\text{op}}) \Rightarrow \ku(B)\).

We now obtain the desired homotopy equivalence \(\ku(A) = \text{holim}(\ku(\text{Pro}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A) \rightarrow \ku(\text{lim} A) \leftarrow \ku(\text{Ind}^a_{\mathbb{N}} A)) \Rightarrow \text{holim}(\ast \rightarrow \ku(\text{lim} A) \leftarrow \ast) = \Omega \ku(\text{lim} A)\), and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.
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