

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 11

2017

No. 9

**Rationality does not specialize  
among terminal fourfolds**

Alexander Perry



# Rationality does not specialize among terminal fourfolds

Alexander Perry

We show that rationality does not specialize in flat projective families of complex fourfolds with terminal singularities. This answers a question of Totaro, who established the corresponding result in dimensions greater than 4.

## 1. Introduction

Rationality behaves subtly in families of complex algebraic varieties. In general, given a flat projective family, the locus of rational fibers forms a countable union of locally closed subsets of the base [de Fernex and Fusi 2013, Proposition 2.3]. Recently, Hassett, Pirutka, and Tschinkel [2016] produced a smooth projective family of fourfolds where none of these locally closed subsets is dense, but their union is dense (even in the Euclidean topology). In particular, rationality is neither an open nor closed condition in smooth families.

This paper concerns the question of whether the locally closed subsets parametrizing the rational fibers of a family are actually closed, i.e., whether rationality specializes.

**Question 1.** Given a flat projective family of complex varieties, does geometric rationality of the generic fiber imply the same of every fiber?

Without further restrictions, the answer is negative: specializations of rational varieties need not even be rationally connected, as shown by a family of smooth cubic surfaces degenerating to a cone over a smooth cubic curve. However, if the fibers of the family are required to be smooth of dimension at most 3, Timmerscheidt [1982] proved the answer is positive. In fact, as Totaro observed, it follows from the results of de Fernex and Fusi [2013] and Hacon and Mckernan [2007] that the answer remains positive if the fibers are allowed to have log terminal singularities and dimension at most 3.

In higher dimensions, however, Totaro [2016b] showed that rationality does not

---

This work was partially supported by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship, DMS-1606460.

MSC2010: 14E08.

Keywords: rationality, specialization, fourfold, terminal singularities.

specialize among varieties with mild singularities. Namely, specialization fails in every dimension greater than 4 if terminal singularities (the mildest type of singularity arising from the minimal model program) are allowed, and in dimension 4 if canonical singularities (the second mildest type of singularity) are allowed. This left open the possibility that rationality specializes among terminal fourfolds. The purpose of this paper is to show that this fails too.

**Theorem 2.** *There is a flat projective family of fourfolds over a Zariski open neighborhood  $U$  of the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$  in the complex affine line such that:*

- (1) *All the fibers have terminal singularities.*
- (2) *The fibers over  $U \setminus \{0\}$  are rational.*
- (3) *The fiber over 0 is stably irrational.*

Our proof of [Theorem 2](#) closely follows [[Totaro 2016b](#)]. There, starting from a stably irrational smooth quartic fourfold  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  (known to exist by [[Totaro 2016a](#)]), Totaro constructs a family of fivefolds satisfying conditions (1)–(3) in [Theorem 2](#) by deforming the cone over  $Y$  to rational fivefolds. More generally, starting from any smooth hypersurface  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  which is Fano of index at least 2, his construction produces a family of  $n$ -folds satisfying (1) and (2), whose fiber over 0 is birational to  $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . It is thus tempting to take  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ . However, then the only potential candidate for  $Y$  is a cubic threefold such that  $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is irrational, the existence of which is a difficult open problem.

Our idea is to instead take  $Y$  to be a quartic double solid. Then  $Y$  is a Fano threefold of index 2, and can be chosen to be stably irrational by Voisin’s seminal work [[2015](#)]. Although  $Y$  is not a hypersurface in projective space, it is a hypersurface in a *weighted* projective space, which we show is enough to run Totaro’s argument.

The natural question left open by this paper is whether rationality specializes among smooth varieties of dimension greater than 3.

**Conventions.** We work over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . For positive integers  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  the weighted projective space with weights  $a_i$ . We use superscripts to denote that a weight is repeated with multiplicity, e.g.,  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2) = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ . For a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on a scheme  $S$ , the associated projective bundle is  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Proj}_S(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{E}^\vee))$ .

## 2. Proof of [Theorem 2](#)

Let  $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  be a quartic double solid, i.e., a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  branched along a smooth quartic surface. We regard  $Y$  as a hypersurface in the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2)$ , cut out by a polynomial of the form

$$f_4(x_0, \dots, x_4) = x_4^2 - h_4(x_0, \dots, x_3),$$

where  $h_4(x_0, \dots, x_3)$  is a quartic. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 1)$  be the cone over  $Y$  defined by the same polynomial  $f_4(x_0, \dots, x_4)$  in the bigger weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 1)$ . For a stably irrational choice of  $Y$ , the variety  $X$  will form the central fiber in the promised family of fourfolds.

**Lemma 3.**  *$X$  is birational to  $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and has terminal singularities.*

*Proof.* This can be deduced from a general result on cones (see [Kollár 2013, §3.1]), but we give a direct argument. Let  $H$  denote the pullback of the hyperplane class on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  to  $Y$ . Define

$$\pi : \tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_Y(-H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow Y.$$

There is a natural morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 1)$  given as follows. Let  $\zeta$  denote the divisor corresponding to the relative  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  line bundle on  $\tilde{X}$ . Then

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\zeta)) = \mathcal{O}_Y(H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(2\zeta)) = \mathcal{O}_Y(2H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

Hence  $H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\zeta)) \cong \mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , and  $H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(2\zeta))$  has a canonical 1-dimensional subspace corresponding to the canonical section of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(2H)$ . This data specifies the morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 1)$ . In fact, this morphism factors through  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 1)$  and gives a resolution of singularities  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  with a single exceptional divisor

$$E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \subset \tilde{X},$$

which is contracted to  $[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1] \in X$ . Thus the first claim of the lemma holds.

Note that  $X$  is normal with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier canonical divisor. We show that the discrepancy of the exceptional divisor  $E$  above is 1, so that  $X$  has terminal singularities, completing the proof. Write  $K_{\tilde{X}} = f^*(K_X) + aE$ . Then by adjunction

$$K_E = (K_{\tilde{X}} + E)|_E = (a + 1)E|_E.$$

Observe that  $E \cong Y$ , so  $K_E = -2H$ , and  $E = \zeta - \pi^*H$ , so  $E|_E = -H$ . We conclude  $a = 1$ . □

Next, choose a nonzero polynomial  $g_3(x_0, \dots, x_4) \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2), \mathcal{O}(3))$  of weighted degree 3. We consider the flat family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  over the affine line whose fiber  $\mathcal{X}_t \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 1)$  over  $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$  is given by

$$f_4(x_0, \dots, x_4) + tg_3(x_0, \dots, x_4)x_5 = 0.$$

Note that  $X = \mathcal{X}_0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There is a Zariski open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$  such that:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{X}_t$  has terminal singularities for all  $t \in U$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is rational for  $t \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* The fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0$  has terminal singularities by [Lemma 3](#). Since this condition is Zariski open in families [[Nakayama 2004](#), Corollary VI.5.3], there is a Zariski open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$  such that all fibers of  $\mathcal{X}_U \rightarrow U$  are terminal. Further, observe that for  $t \neq 0$ , projection away from the  $x_5$ -coordinate gives a birational map from  $\mathcal{X}_t$  to  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2)$ . Indeed, this map is an isomorphism over the locus where  $g_3(x_0, \dots, x_4) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 2)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is rational for  $t \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove [Theorem 2](#). By [[Voisin 2015](#), Theorem 1.1], a very general quartic double solid is stably irrational. Taking such a  $Y$  in the above construction and combining [Lemmas 3](#) and [4](#), we conclude that  $\mathcal{X}_U \rightarrow U$  is a family of fourfolds satisfying all of the required conditions.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

[Theorem 2](#) was conceived during Burt Totaro’s talk on [[Totaro 2016b](#)] at the Higher Dimensional Algebraic Geometry Conference at the University of Utah in July 2016. I thank Burt for his comments on a draft of this paper, and the organizers of the conference for a stimulating environment. I also thank János Kollár for bringing [[Timmerscheidt 1982](#)] to my attention, and the referee for useful comments.

### References

- [de Fernex and Fusi 2013] T. de Fernex and D. Fusi, “Rationality in families of threefolds”, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) **62**:1 (2013), 127–135. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hacon and Mckernan 2007] C. D. Hacon and J. Mckernan, “On Shokurov’s rational connectedness conjecture”, *Duke Math. J.* **138**:1 (2007), 119–136. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hassett et al. 2016] B. Hassett, A. Pirutka, and Y. Tschinkel, “Stable rationality of quadric surface bundles over surfaces”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv](#)
- [Kollár 2013] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **200**, Cambridge University Press, 2013. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nakayama 2004] N. Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs **14**, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Timmerscheidt 1982] K. Timmerscheidt, “On deformations of three-dimensional rational manifolds”, *Math. Ann.* **258**:3 (1982), 267–275. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Totaro 2016a] B. Totaro, “Hypersurfaces that are not stably rational”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29**:3 (2016), 883–891. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Totaro 2016b] B. Totaro, “Rationality does not specialise among terminal varieties”, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **161**:1 (2016), 13–15. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Voisin 2015] C. Voisin, “Unirational threefolds with no universal codimension 2 cycle”, *Invent. Math.* **201**:1 (2015), 207–237. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by János Kollár

Received 2017-01-11

Revised 2017-07-07

Accepted 2017-09-28

[aperry@math.columbia.edu](mailto:aperry@math.columbia.edu)

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University,  
New York, NY, United States

# Algebra & Number Theory

[msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant)

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Samit Dasgupta	University of California, Santa Cruz, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan		

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$325/year for the electronic version, and \$520/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

---

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 11    No. 9    2017

---

<a href="#">A nonarchimedean Ax–Lindemann theorem</a>	1967
ANTOINE CHAMBERT-LOIR and FRANÇOIS LOESER	
<a href="#">A modular description of <math>\mathcal{X}_0(n)</math></a>	2001
KĘSTUTIS ČESNAVIČIUS	
<a href="#">Elementary equivalence versus isomorphism, II</a>	2091
FLORIAN POP	
<a href="#">On the algebraic structure of iterated integrals of quasimodular forms</a>	2113
NILS MATTHES	
<a href="#">On the density of zeros of linear combinations of Euler products for <math>\sigma &gt; 1</math></a>	2131
MATTIA RIGHETTI	
<a href="#">Adams operations on matrix factorizations</a>	2165
MICHAEL K. BROWN, CLAUDIA MILLER, PEDER THOMPSON and MARK E. WALKER	
<a href="#">Rationality does not specialize among terminal fourfolds</a>	2193
ALEXANDER PERRY	
<a href="#">Topological noetherianity for cubic polynomials</a>	2197
HARM DERKSEN, ROB H. EGGERMONT and ANDREW SNOWDEN	