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#### Abstract

We prove that along any marked point the Green function of a meromorphic family of polynomials parametrized by the punctured unit disk is the sum of a logarithmic term and a continuous function.


## Introduction

Our aim is to analyze in detail the degeneration of the Green function of a meromorphic family of polynomials. Our main result is somewhat technical but is the key for applications in the study of algebraic curves in the parameter space of polynomials using techniques from arithmetic geometry. In particular it applies to the dynamical André-Oort conjecture for algebraic curves in the moduli space of polynomials [Baker and De Marco 2013; Ghioca and Ye 2017; Favre and Gauthier 2018] and to the problem of unlikely intersection [Baker and DeMarco 2011]. We postpone to another paper these applications.

Let us describe our setup. We fix any algebraically closed complete metrized field $(k,|\cdot|)$. In the applications we have in mind, the field $k$ is either the field of complex numbers, or the $p$-adic field $\mathbb{C}_{p}$. In particular, the norm $|\cdot|$ may be either Archimedean or non-Archimedean.

Let $P$ be any polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with coefficients in $k$. Recall that the sequence of functions $\frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \max \left\{1,\left|P^{n}\right|\right\}$ converges uniformly on $k$ to a continuous function $g_{P}$ which satisfies the invariance property $g_{P} \circ P=d g_{P}$. The function $g_{P}$ is also continuous as a function of $P$ when the polynomial ranges over the set of polynomials of degree $d$. Our analysis gives precise information on the behavior of $g_{P}$ when $P$ degenerates.

More precisely, denote by $\mathbb{D}=\{|z|<1\}$ the open unit disk in the affine line over $k$, and let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ be the set of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. A function $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ if it can be expanded as a power series $f(t)=\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} t^{i}$ with the condition that $\sum_{i \geq 0}\left|a_{i}\right| \rho^{i}<\infty$ when $k$ is Archimedean and $\left|a_{i}\right| \rho^{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ when $k$ is non-Archimedean, for all $\rho<1$. Observe that a function $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$ if and only if it is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{D}$ with (at worst) a single pole at 0 .

[^0]Given any meromorphic family $P_{t} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right][z]$ of polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$, and any marked point $a(t) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$, it follows from [DeMarco 2016, §3] that $g_{P_{t}}(a(t)) \sim \alpha \log |t|^{-1}$ for some nonnegative constant $\alpha$ (see also [Favre 2016] for a generalization of this fact to higher dimension).

Main Theorem. For any meromorphic family $P \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right][z]$ of polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$ and for any function $a(t) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$, there exists a nonnegative rational number $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$such that the function

$$
h(t):=g_{P_{t}}(a(t))-\alpha \log |t|^{-1}
$$

on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ extends continuously across the origin. Moreover, one of the following occurs:
(1) There exists an affine change of coordinates depending analytically on $t$ conjugating $P_{t}$ to $Q_{t}$ such that the family $Q$ is analytic and $\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{0}\right)=d$, and the constant $\alpha$ vanishes.
(2) The constant $\alpha$ is strictly positive and $h$ is harmonic in a neighborhood of 0.
(3) The constant $\alpha$ vanishes and $h(0)=0$.

This result was previously known only for polynomials of degree 3 with a marked critical point, see [Ghioca and Ye 2017, Theorem 3.3]. Indeed the core of the proof is the continuity of $h(t)$ when the constant $\alpha$ is zero and we follow their line of arguments at this crucial step.

Observe that our main theorem fails for meromorphic families of rational maps. DeMarco and Okuyama have recently constructed a meromorphic family of rational maps of degree 2 with a critical marked point for which the continuity statement does not hold. The rationality of the coefficient $\alpha$ also fails for rational maps, as shown by DeMarco and Ghioca [2016].

Suppose that $k$ is of characteristic zero. Recall that the equilibrium measure $\mu_{P}$ of a polynomial $P$ of degree $d \geq 2$ is the limit of the sequence of averaged pull-backs $\mu_{P}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{-n} P^{n *} \delta_{x}$ on the Berkovich projective line over $k$ for all $x$ but at most two exceptions, see [Favre and Rivera-Letelier 2010] in the non-Archimedean case and [Brolin 1965] in the complex case. It is a $P$-invariant probability measure whose support is the Julia set, and it integrates the logarithm of the modulus of any nonzero polynomial. In particular, one can define the Lyapunov exponent $L(P)$ as the integral $L(P)=\int \log \left|P^{\prime}\right| d \mu_{P}$. By the Manning-Przytycki's formula (obtained by Okuyama [2015, §2] in the non-Archimedean case), the Lyapunov exponent satisfies the formula

$$
L\left(P_{t}\right)=\log |d|+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} g_{P_{t}}\left(c_{i}(t)\right)
$$

where $c_{1}(t), \ldots, c_{d-1}(t)$ denote the critical points of $P_{t}$ in $k$ counted with multiplicity.
Our Main Theorem then implies:
Corollary 1. For any meromorphic family $P_{t} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right][z]$ of polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$ defined over a field of characteristic zero, there exists a nonnegative rational number $\lambda$ such that the function $t \mapsto L\left(P_{t}\right)-\lambda \log |t|^{-1}$ extends continuously through the origin.

Moreover we have $\lambda>0$ unless there exists an affine change of coordinates depending on $t$ conjugating $P_{t}$ to an analytic family of polynomials.

Let $C$ be a smooth connected affine curve defined over a number field $\mathbb{K}$. An algebraic family $P$ parametrized by $C$ is determined by ( $d+1$ )-regular maps $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{K}[C]$ where $\alpha_{0}$ is invertible (i.e., has no zero on $C$ ) so that $P_{t}(z)=\alpha_{0}(t) z^{d}+\cdots+\alpha_{d}(t)$.

A pair ( $P, a$ ) with $a \in \mathbb{K}[C]$ is said to be isotrivial if there exists a finite field extension $\mathbb{L} / \mathbb{K}$, a finite branched cover $p: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ defined over $\mathbb{L}$ and a map $\phi: C^{\prime} \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow C^{\prime} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ of the form $\phi(t, z)=\left(t, \phi_{t}(z)\right)$ where $\phi_{t}$ is an affine map for all $t$ such that both $\phi_{t} \circ P_{t} \circ \phi_{t}^{-1}$ and $\phi_{t}(a(t))$ are independent of $t$. Finally $a$ is persistently preperiodic on $C$ if there exist two integers $n>m \geq 0$ such that $P^{n}(a)=P^{m}(a)$ (as regular functions on $C$ ).

Recall from [Silverman 2007] that for any $t$ in the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ of $\mathbb{K}$, one can build a canonical height function $\hat{h}_{P_{t}}: \overline{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\hat{h}_{P_{t}} \circ P_{t}=d \hat{h}_{P_{t}}$ and $\hat{h}_{P_{t}}(b)=0$ if and only if $b$ is preperiodic.

Let us define the height function $h_{P, a}$ on $C(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ by setting

$$
h_{P, a}(t):=\hat{h}_{P_{t}}(a(t)), \text { for all } t \in C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) .
$$

Note that $h_{P, a}(t)=0$ if and only if $a(t)$ is preperiodic under iteration of $P_{t}$.
Our next result shows that this height function is in fact determined by nice geometric data in the sense of Arakelov theory. We refer to, e.g., the survey [Chambert-Loir 2011] for basics on metrizations on line bundles and their associated height function.

Denote by $\bar{C}$ the (unique up to marked isomorphism) smooth projective curve containing $C$ as an open Zariski dense subset.

Corollary 2. Let $C$ be an irreducible affine curve defined over a number field $\mathbb{K}$. Let $P$ be an algebraic family parametrized by $C$ and pick any marked point $a \in \mathbb{K}[C]$. Assume that the pair $(P, a)$ is not isotrivial and that a is not persistently preperiodic on $C$.

Then there exists an integer $q \geq 1$ such that the height function $q \cdot h_{P, a}$ is induced by an adelic semipositive continuous metrization on some ample line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \bar{C}$.

Moreover, the global height of the curve $\bar{C}$ is zero, i.e., $h_{P, a}(\bar{C})=0$.
Let us explain how we prove our Main Theorem. Basic estimates using the Nullstellensatz imply the existence of a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{d} \log \max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}(z)\right|\right\}-\log \max \{1,|z|\}\right| \leq C \log |t|^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<|t|<\frac{1}{2}$ and for all $z \in k$. Using (1), it is not difficult to see that $g_{P_{t}}(a(t))=\alpha \log |t|^{-1}+o\left(\log |t|^{-1}\right)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$[DeMarco 2016, §3]. To get further, we shall interpret the constant $\alpha$ in terms of the dynamics of the polynomial with coefficients in $k((t))$ naturally induced by the family $P_{t}$.

We endow the ring $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$ with the $t$-adic norm $|\cdot|$ whose restriction to $k$ is trivial and normalized by $|t|=e^{-1}$. Observe that the completion of its field of fraction is the field of Laurent series $(k((t)),|\cdot|)$. We may then view the family $P_{t}$ as a polynomial P with coefficients in the complete metrized $(k((t)),|\cdot|)$
and consider its dynamical Green function $\left.g_{\mathrm{P}}: k((t))\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$. The marked point $a$ gives rise to a point $\mathrm{a} \in k((t))$, and it follows from the analysis developed in [Favre 2016] that $\alpha=g_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{a})$.

Let us first consider the case $\alpha>0$. The point a then belongs to the basin of attraction at infinity of P which implies $a(t)$ to also belong to the basin of attraction at infinity of $P_{t}$ for all $t$ small enough. To conclude one then uses the fact that the Green function is the logarithm of the modulus of the Böttcher coordinate and expand this coordinate as an analytic function in the two parameters $z$ and $t$. This strategy was made precise in degree 3 in [Favre and Gauthier 2018; Ghioca and Ye 2017], and we write the details here in arbitrary degree for the convenience of the reader.

When $\alpha=0$ the point a lies in the filled-in Julia set of P . Since $k((t))$ is discretely valued, results of Trucco [2014] give strong restrictions on the orbit of a. In Section 1, we give a direct argument showing that either a lies in the Fatou set of P and belongs to a preperiodic ball under iteration of P ; or a lies in the Julia set of P and the closure of its orbit under P is compact in $k((t))$.

In the former case, one can make a change of coordinates (depending on $t$ ) and assume that $P_{t}(z)=$ $Q(z)+t R_{t}(z)$ where $\delta=\operatorname{deg}(Q) \leq d$. When $\delta=d$ the family of polynomial $P_{t}$ degenerates to a polynomial of degree $d$ and the Green function $g_{P_{t}}(z)$ is continuous both in $z$ and $t$. Otherwise $\delta<d$, and direct estimates show that $g_{P_{t}}(a(t))=o(1)$ as required.

In the latter case, the estimates are more delicate and we follow the arguments of Ghioca and Ye [2017, Theorem 3.1]. The key observation is the following. Since the closure of the orbit of a is compact, for any integer $l$ there exists a finite collection of polynomials $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{N}$ such that for all $n$, one has $P_{t}^{n}(a(t))=Q_{i_{n}}(t)+o\left(t^{l+1}\right)$ for some $i_{n} \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. The proof of $g_{P_{t}}(a(t))=o(1)$ uses in a subtle way this approximation result together with (1).

## 1. Compact orbits of polynomials

In this section we fix a discrete valued complete field $(L,|\cdot|)$. In our applications we shall take $L=k((t))$ endowed with the $t$-adic norm normalized by $|t|=e^{-1}<1$ where $k$ is an arbitrary field.
1.1. A criterion for the compactness of polynomial orbits. Let $P$ be any polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with coefficients in $L$. Recall that one can find a positive constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C^{\prime}} \leq \frac{\max \{1,|P(z)|\}}{\max \{1,|z|\}^{d}} \leq C^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in L$. It follows that the sequence $\frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \max \left\{1,\left|P^{n}\right|\right\}$ converges uniformly on $L$ to a continuous function $g_{P}: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $g_{P} \circ P=d g_{P}$ and $g_{P}(z)=\log |z|+O(1)$.

Theorem 3. Suppose $P \in L[z]$ is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$, and $a$ is a point in $L$. Then $g_{P}(a) \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$ and one of the following holds:
(1) The iterates of a tend to infinity in $L$, and $g_{P}(a)>0$.
(2) The point a lies in a preperiodic ball $B$ under iteration of $P$ whose radius lies in $\left|L^{*}\right|$, and $g_{P}(a)=0$.
(3) The closure of the orbit of $a$ in $L$ is compact in $(L,|\cdot|)$, and $g_{P}(a)=0$.

Remark. This fact is a direct consequence of the results of Trucco [2014, Proposition 6.7] when the characteristic of $k$ is zero. We present here a simple proof which does not rely on the delicate combinatorial analysis done by Trucco in his paper and does not use any assumption on $k$.
1.2. The tree of closed balls. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the space of closed balls in $L$; a point in $\mathcal{T}$ is a set of the form $\overline{B\left(z_{0}, r\right)}:=\left\{z \in L,\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leq r\right\}$ for some $z_{0} \in L$ and some $r \in\left|L^{*}\right|$. The map sending a point $z \in L$ to the ball of center $z$ and radius 0 identifies $L$ with a subset of $\mathcal{T}$. We endow $\mathcal{T}$ with the weakest topology making all evaluation maps $Q \mapsto|Q(x)|:=\sup _{x}|Q|$ continuous for all $Q \in L[T]$. By Tychonov, for any $r \geq 0$ the set $\{x \in \mathcal{T},|T(x)| \leq r\}$ is compact for this (weak) topology.

Observe that for any closed ball $x=\overline{B(z, r)} \in \mathcal{T}$ with $r \in\left|L^{*}\right|$, we have $\operatorname{diam}(x):=\sup _{z, z^{\prime} \in x}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|=r$. Since $(L,|\cdot|)$ is non-Archimedean, any point $z^{\prime} \in x$ is a center for $x$ so that $x=\overline{B\left(z^{\prime}, \operatorname{diam}(x)\right)}$. Also any two balls $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}$ are either contained one into the other or disjoint. When $x$ is contained in $x^{\prime}$, we may write $x=\overline{B(z, r)}$ and $x^{\prime}=\overline{B\left(z, r^{\prime}\right)}$ for some $r, r^{\prime} \in\left|L^{*}\right|$, and one sets $d\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)=\left|r^{\prime}-r\right|=$ $\left|\operatorname{diam}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{diam}(x)\right|$.

Denote by $\leq$ the partial order relation on $\mathcal{T}$ induced by the inclusion, i.e., $x \leq x^{\prime}$ if and only if the ball $x$ is included in $x^{\prime}$. For any two balls $x=\overline{B(z, r)}$ and $x^{\prime}=\overline{B\left(z^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)} \in \mathcal{T}$, we let $x \vee x^{\prime}$ be the smallest closed ball containing both $x$ and $x^{\prime}$, so that

$$
x \vee x^{\prime}=B\left(z, \max \left\{r, r^{\prime},\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|\right\}\right)=B\left(z^{\prime}, \max \left\{r, r^{\prime},\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|\right\}\right) .
$$

The distance between any two closed balls $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ is now defined as

$$
d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\max \left\{\left|\operatorname{diam}\left(x \vee x^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{diam}(x)\right|,\left|\operatorname{diam}\left(x \vee x^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{diam}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|\right\} \in\left|L^{*}\right|
$$

The restriction of the distance $d$ to $L$ is the ultradistance induced by the norm $|\cdot|$.
In the sequel the strong topology refers to the topology on $\mathcal{T}$ induced by the ultradistance $d$. It is not locally compact unless the residue field $\tilde{L}:=\{|z| \leq 1\} /\{|z|<1\}$ is finite.

Let $x_{n}$ be a sequence of points in $L$ of norm $\leq 1$. Its residue classes $\tilde{x}_{n}$ are by definition their images in $\tilde{L}$ under the natural projection $\{|z| \leq 1\} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$. If the points $\tilde{x}_{n}$ are all distinct then for any polynomial $Q=\sum_{k \leq d} a_{k} T^{k} \in L[T]$ we have $\left|Q\left(x_{n}\right)\right|=\max \left\{\left|a_{k}\right|\right\}$ for all $n$ large enough so that $x_{n}$ converges in the weak topology to the point $\overline{B(0,1)}$.

Proposition 4. Let $F$ be any bounded infinite subset of $L$ so that $\sup _{F}|T|<\infty$. Then
(1) either the weak closure of $F$ is strongly compact,
(2) or one can find a closed ball of positive radius $x \in \mathcal{T}$ containing infinitely many points $x_{n} \in F$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$.
Proof. Let $\bar{F}$ be the weak closure of $F$ inside $\mathcal{T}$. Since $F$ is supposed to be bounded, $\bar{F}$ is weakly compact. Observe that for any $z \in L$ and for any $\epsilon>0$, the set $B_{\mathcal{T}}(z, \epsilon):=\{x \in \mathcal{T}, d(x, z)<\epsilon\}$ is weakly open since it coincides with $B_{\mathcal{T}}(z, \epsilon)=\{x \in \mathcal{T},|(T-z)(x)|<\epsilon\}$.

Assume first that $\bar{F}$ is included in $L$, and take $\epsilon>0$. The previous observation implies that by weak compactness the covering of $\bar{F}$ by the family of balls for all $z \in \bar{F}$ admits a finite subcover. It follows that $\bar{F}$ is strongly precompact. Since $L$ is complete, $\bar{F}$ is also complete hence strongly compact.

Assume now that $\bar{F}$ contains a point $x$ of positive diameter. Up to making an affine change of coordinates, we may suppose that $x=\overline{B(0,1)}$. Since $(L,|\cdot|)$ is discrete, we may find $\epsilon>0$ such that $1-\epsilon<|z|<1+\epsilon$ implies $|z|=1$. It follows that the set $U=\{y \in \mathcal{T},|T(y)|<1+\epsilon\}$ is an open neighborhood of $x$, hence it contains infinitely many points of $F$.

Let us now prove that one can find a sequence of points $x_{n} \in F \cap U$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. We construct the sequence $x_{n}$ by induction. Choose any $x_{1} \in x$, and consider the open set

$$
U_{1}:=\{y \in \mathcal{T},|T(y)|<1+\epsilon\} \cap\left\{y \in \mathcal{T},\left|\left(T-x_{1}\right)(y)\right|>1-\epsilon\right\} .
$$

It is an open neighborhood of $x$ which does not contain $x_{1}$. We may thus find a point $x_{2} \in U_{1} \cap F$. Proceeding inductively, we find a sequence of points $x_{n}$ and open neighborhoods $U_{n}$ of $x$ such that

$$
x_{n} \in U_{n}:=\{y \in \mathcal{T},|T(y)|<1+\epsilon\} \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1}\left\{y \in \mathcal{T},\left|\left(T-x_{i}\right)(y)\right|>1-\epsilon\right\}
$$

The choice of $\epsilon$ implies that the residue classes of $x_{n}$ and $x_{m}$ are all distinct which implies $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as required.

Let $P(T)=a_{0} T^{d}+\cdots+a_{d}$ be any polynomial with coefficients in $L$. We define the image of $x=\overline{B(z, r)} \in \mathcal{T}$ by the formula

$$
P(x)=\overline{B\left(P(z), \max _{i \geq 1}\left\{\left|a_{i}\right| r^{i}\right\}\right)}
$$

This map is weakly continuous since one has $|Q(P(x))|=|(Q \circ P)(x)|$ for all polynomials $Q$. It coincides with $P$ on $L$. Observe that $P: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ preserves the order relation.

Remark. There is a canonical continuous and injective map from $\mathcal{T}$ into the (Berkovich) analytification of $\mathbb{A}_{L}^{1}$ sending a closed ball $x \in \mathcal{T}$ to the multiplicative seminorm on $L[T]$ defined by $P \mapsto|P(x)|$. This map identifies $\mathcal{T}$ with the smallest closed subset of $\mathbb{A}_{L}^{1}$ whose intersection with the set of rigid points in $\mathbb{A}_{L}^{1}$ is equal to $L$. In the terminology of Berkovich [1990, $\left.\S 1\right]$, it consists only of type 1 and type 2 points.
1.3. Proof of Theorem 3. When $g_{P}(a)$ is positive, then $\log \left|P^{n}(a)\right| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2} g_{P}(a)\right)^{d^{n}}$ for $n$ large enough so that $P^{n}(a)$ tends to infinity. In that case one can refine (2) since by the non-Archimedean inequality one has $|P(z)|=(r|z|)^{d}$ for all $|z|$ large enough where $r^{d}$ is the norm of the leading coefficient of $P$ hence belongs to $e^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If follows that $g_{P}(z)=\log (r|z|)$ for $|z|$ large enough, hence

$$
g_{P}(a)=\frac{1}{d^{N}} g_{P}\left(P^{N}(a)\right)=\frac{1}{d^{N}} \log (r|a|) \in \mathbb{Q}_{+} .
$$

When $g_{P}(a)=0$, all iterates of $a$ belong to $\left\{g_{P}=0\right\}$ which is a bounded set of $L$. We consider the weak closure $\Omega$ of the orbit of $a$ in the space of balls $\mathcal{T}$. If $\Omega$ consists only of points in $L$, then it is compact in ( $L,|\cdot|$ ) by Proposition 4(1), and we are in case (3).

Otherwise by Proposition 4(2) there exists a closed ball $x$ with radius in $\left|L^{*}\right|$, and a strictly increasing sequence $n_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $P^{n_{i}}(a) \rightarrow x$, and $P^{n_{i}}(a) \in x$ for all $i$. Observe that there exists a closed ball containing the orbit of $a$, hence the orbit of $x$ is also bounded. Replacing $x$ by a suitable iterate we may assume that $\operatorname{diam}(x)=\max \left\{\operatorname{diam}\left(P^{n}(x)\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Since $x$ contains both $P^{n_{0}}(a)$ and $P^{n_{1}}(a)$, the ball $P^{n_{1}-n_{0}}(x)$ intersects $x$ and thus is included in $x$. When $P^{n_{1}-n_{0}}(x)=x$, we are in case (2). When $P^{n_{1}-n_{0}}(x)$ is strictly included in $x$, then $P^{n_{1}-n_{0}}$ admits an attracting fixed point lying in $L \cap P^{n_{1}-n_{0}}(x)$ whose basin of attraction contains $x$. This is however not possible because $x$ lies in the closure of the orbit of $a$.

## 2. The point a has an unbounded orbit under $P$

Recall the setting of the statement of the Main Theorem. Let $P_{t}(z)=\alpha_{0}(t) z^{d}+\alpha_{1}(t) z^{d-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{d}(t)$ be a meromorphic family of polynomials of degree $d$ with $\alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$ and $\alpha_{0}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{D}^{*}$. Take also any meromorphic map $a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$.

Recall from, e.g., [DeMarco 2016, Lemma 3.3] or [Favre 2016, Proposition 4.4] that the Nullstellensatz implies the existence of a constant $\beta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|t|^{\beta} \leq \frac{\max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}(z)\right|\right\}}{\max \left\{1,|z|^{d}\right\}} \leq|t|^{-\beta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and for all $z \in k$. Observe that in particular we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{P_{t}}(z)-\log \max \{1,|z|\}\right| \leq C^{\prime \prime} \log |t|^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and all $z \in k$, for some constant $C^{\prime \prime}>0$.
Since the base field $k$ is supposed to be algebraically closed conjugating $P_{t}$ by a suitable homothety with coefficient in $k$, we may write $\alpha_{0}(t)=t^{N}(1+o(1))$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. One can then consider the family of monic polynomials $\tilde{P}_{t}(z)=\phi_{t}^{-1} \circ P_{t^{d-1}} \circ \phi_{t}$ with $\phi_{t}(z)=\alpha_{0}\left(t^{d-1}\right)^{-1 /(d-1)} z$. Observe that $g_{P_{t^{d-1}}}\left(a\left(t^{d-1}\right)\right)=g_{\tilde{P}_{t}}\left(\phi_{t}^{-1} \circ a\left(t^{d-1}\right)\right)$ so that the proof of the Main Theorem is reduced to the case of a family of monic polynomials. From now on we shall therefore assume that $\alpha_{0} \equiv 1$.

Proof of the Main Theorem in the case $\left|\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathrm{a})\right| \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that P denotes the monic polynomial of degree $d$ with coefficients in $k((t))$ induced by the family $P_{t}(z)=z^{d}+\alpha_{1}(t) z^{d-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{d}(t)$, and a is the point in $k((t))$ defined by the meromorphic function $a(t) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$. We endow $k((t))$ with the $t$-adic norm $|\cdot|$ normalized by $|t|=e^{-1}$.

If a has an unbounded orbit under P , then replacing $a$ by $P^{n_{0}} \circ a$ for $n_{0}$ sufficiently large we may suppose that $a$ has a pole of order $l$ which can be taken as large as we wish.

If $l$ is strictly larger than the constant $C^{\prime \prime}$ appearing in (4), then we get

$$
g_{P_{t}}(a(t)) \geq \log \max \{1,|a(t)|\}-C^{\prime \prime} \log |t|^{-1} \geq\left(l-C^{\prime \prime}\right) \log |t|^{-1}+O(1) .
$$

In particular $g_{P_{t}}(a(t))$ is positive for any $0<|t| \leq \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. And by (3) the convergence $g_{P_{t}}(a(t))=\lim _{n} \frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left|P_{t}^{n}(a(t))\right|$ holds uniformly on compact subsets on $\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}$.

Lemma 5. There exists an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that for any meromorphic function $a(t)=t^{-l}(1+h)$ with $l \geq N$ and $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $h(0)=0$ and $\sup _{\mathbb{D}}|h| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$
\sup _{0<|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}}\left|\frac{1}{d} \log \right| P_{t}(a(t))|-\log | a(t)| |<+\infty .
$$

Now suppose $l \geq N$ so that we may apply Lemma 5. It follows that the sequence of functions $\frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left|P^{n} \circ a\right|-\log |a|$ converges uniformly in $\mathbb{D}_{1 / 2}^{*}$ to a function $\varphi$ which is necessarily harmonic and bounded. By [Ransford 1995, Corollary 3.6.2], this function thus extends to the origin and remains harmonic. We conclude that $g_{P_{t}}(a(t))=\varphi(t)+\log |a(t)|$ which shows that we are in case 2 of the Main Theorem.

Proof of Lemma 5. Pick $N$ large enough such that $\sup _{1 \leq i \leq d,|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}}|t|^{N}\left|\alpha_{i}(t)\right| \leq 1$. For any $l \geq N$, we may then write

$$
P_{t}(a(t))=t^{-l d}\left((1+h)^{d}+\alpha_{1} t^{l}(1+h)^{d-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{0} t^{l d}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\frac{1}{d} \log \left|P_{t}(a(t))\right|-\log |a(t)|=\log \left|\frac{(1+h)^{d}+\alpha_{1} t^{k}(1+h)^{d-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{0} t^{k d}}{1+h}\right|
$$

is bounded by $\log \left((d+1) 2^{d+1}\right)$.

## 3. The point a has a bounded orbit under $P$ and lies in the Fatou set of $P$

We suppose now that $\sup _{m \geq 0}\left|\mathrm{P}^{m}(\mathrm{a})\right|<\infty$ and a belongs to a closed ball $B:=\overline{B(\mathrm{~b}, \rho)}$ fixed by P with $\mathrm{b} \in k((t))$ and $\rho=e^{-n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that we may take b to be a Laurent polynomial $b(t)$, and conjugating $P_{t}$ by $\phi_{t}(z)=t^{n}(z+b(t))$, we may thus suppose that $B$ is the closed unit ball.

In that case, we can write $P_{t}(z)=Q(z)+t R_{t}(z)$ for some polynomial $Q \in k[z]$ with $1 \leq \delta:=\operatorname{deg}(Q) \leq d$ and $R_{t}(z) \in k((t))[z]$. When $\delta=d$ then we are in the case 1 of the Main Theorem.

When $\delta<d$, we shall prove that we fall in case 3 . To see this, observe first that there exists $C_{1} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}(z)\right|\right\} \leq C_{1} \cdot \max \left\{1,|z|^{\delta},|t| \cdot|z|^{d}\right\},
$$

for all $z \in k$ and all $t \in \mathbb{D}$.
Lemma 6. There exists a constant $A \geq 1$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}^{n}(a(t))\right|\right\} \leq C_{1}^{1+\cdots+\delta^{n-1}} \cdot A^{\delta^{n}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $|t|=\left(C_{1}^{1+\cdots+\delta^{n-2}} \cdot A^{\delta^{n-1}}\right)^{\delta-d}$.
We fix some integer $N \geq 2$ and suppose $|t|=R_{N}:=\left(C_{1}^{1+\cdots+\delta^{N-2}} \cdot A^{\delta^{N-1}}\right)^{\delta-d}$. Under these assumptions (4) and (5) imply

$$
0 \leq g_{t}(a(t)) \leq \frac{1}{d^{N}} \log ^{+}\left|P_{t}^{N}(a(t))\right|-C^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{d^{N}} \log |t| \leq\left(\frac{\delta}{d}\right)^{N}\left(1+\frac{d-\delta}{\delta} C^{\prime \prime}\right) \log \left(C_{1}^{1 /(\delta-1)} A\right) .
$$

For any $m \geq 0$, since $\mathrm{P}^{m}$ (a) belongs to the closed unit ball for all $m$ by assumption, the functions $P^{m}(a(t))$ are analytic at 0 so that $\frac{1}{d^{m}} \log \left|P^{m}(a(t))\right|$ is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$ (in the sense of Thuillier when $(k,|\cdot|)$ is non-Archimedean, see e.g., [Thuillier 2005, §3]). It follows that $g_{t}(a(t))$ is also subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, and the maximum principle implies

$$
0 \leq g_{t}(a(t)) \leq\left(\frac{\delta}{d}\right)^{N} \cdot B
$$

for all $|t| \leq R_{N}$ with $B:=\left(1+(d-\delta) C^{\prime \prime} / \delta\right) \log \left(C_{1}^{1 /(\delta-1)} A\right)$.
Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and pick $N \geq 1$ large enough such that $\left(\frac{\delta}{d}\right)^{N} \cdot B \leq \varepsilon$. We have proved that $0 \leq g_{t}(a(t)) \leq \epsilon$ when $|t| \leq \eta:=R_{N}$ so that $g_{t}(a(t))$ is a nonnegative subharmonic function on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g_{t}(a(t))=0$. In particular, it is continuous at $t=0$.

Proof of Lemma 6. We argue by induction on $n \geq 2$. Note that since $a$ is analytic as seen above, there exists $A \geq 2$ such that $|a(t)| \leq A$ for all $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Assume that $|t|=A^{\delta-d}$. Then we have

$$
\max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}(a(t))\right|\right\} \leq C_{1} \cdot \max \left\{1, A^{\delta},|t| \cdot A^{d}\right\}=C_{1} \cdot A^{\delta}
$$

Now let $|t|=\left(C_{1} \cdot A^{\delta}\right)^{\delta-d}$. We obtain

$$
\max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}^{2}(a(t))\right|\right\} \leq C_{1} \cdot \max \left\{1,\left(C_{1} \cdot A^{\delta}\right)^{\delta},|t| \cdot\left(C_{1} \cdot A^{\delta}\right)^{d}\right\}=C_{1}^{1+\delta} \cdot A^{\delta^{2}}
$$

Suppose (5) holds for some $n \geq 2$. When $|t|=\left(C_{1}^{1+\cdots+\delta^{n-1}} \cdot A^{\delta^{n}}\right)^{\delta-d}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}^{n+1}(a(t))\right|\right\} & \leq C_{1} \max \left\{1,\left|P_{t}^{n}(a(t))\right|^{\delta},|t| \cdot\left|P_{t}^{n}(a(t))\right|^{d}\right\} \\
& \leq C_{1} \max \left\{1,\left(C_{1}^{1+\cdots+\delta^{n-1}} \cdot A^{\delta^{n}}\right)^{\delta},|t|\left(C_{1}^{1+\cdots+\delta^{n-1}} \cdot A^{\delta^{n}}\right)^{d}\right\} \\
& \leq C_{1}^{1+\cdots+\delta^{n}} \cdot A^{\delta^{n+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof.

## 4. The point a lies in the Julia set of $P$

In this section, we complete the proof of the Main Theorem. We apply Theorem 3 and discuss the situation case by case.

- In case 1 of Theorem 3, the arguments of Section 2 enable us to conclude directly.
- In case 2 of Theorem 3, we replace the marked point by $P^{m}(a)$ for a suitable $m$, and $P$ by a suitable iterate so that a belongs to a ball fixed by $P$. This case was treated in the previous section.

It thus remains to treat case 3 of Theorem 3: the orbit a is compact in $k((t))$.
Conjugating the family by linear maps $\phi_{t}(z)=t^{-M} z$ with $M$ sufficiently large, we may suppose that the fixed ball (under P) containing a, and thus the orbit of a, is the closed unit ball. It follows that

$$
g_{m}(t):=\frac{1}{d^{m}} \log \max \left\{\left|u_{m}(t)\right|, 1\right\} \text { with } u_{m}(t):=P_{t}^{m}(a(t))
$$

is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$ and $g_{t}(a(t))$ also.
Replacing $P_{t}$ by its second iterate, we may and shall assume that $d \geq 3$.

As $\left(g_{n}(t)\right)_{n}$ converges locally uniformly to $g_{t}(a(t))$ on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ and as $g_{t}(a(t))$ is bounded on $\left\{|t|=\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that, $\sup _{|t|=1 / 2} g_{n}(t) \leq M$ for all $n \geq 1$. By the maximum principle, this gives

$$
\sup _{|t| \leq 1 / 2} g_{n}(t) \leq M .
$$

Fix any integer $l \geq C^{\prime \prime} \cdot d$, where $C^{\prime \prime}>0$ is the constant given by (4). Recall that the orbit of a lies in the unit ball in $k((t))$, hence $P_{t}^{n}(a(t))$ is analytic at 0 for all $n$. Observe that the set of balls of radius $r^{-l}$ centered at polynomials covers the unit ball in $k((t))$. Since the orbit of a is compact in $k$, we may thus find a finite collection of polynomials $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{N}$ such that for any $n$ one can find $i_{n} \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $P_{t}^{n}(a(t))-Q_{i_{n}}(t)=O\left(t^{l}\right)$.

Let

$$
A:=\max _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left\{\sup _{|t|<1}\left|Q_{j}(t)\right|+2\right\} .
$$

Fix a very large integer $n_{0} \geq 1$ once and for all. We may thus find $r_{0}>0$ small enough such that

$$
\sup _{|t|<r_{0}}\left|u_{n_{0}}(t)\right| \leq A .
$$

Set $r_{j}:=r_{0}^{2 j}$ for any $j \geq 0$, so that $0<r_{j+1}<r_{j}$ and $r_{j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 7. For all $j \geq 0$, one has

$$
\sup _{|t|<r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j}(t) \leq \frac{C_{1}}{d^{n_{0}}}
$$

with $C_{1}=d \log (3 A) /(d-1)$.
Using (3), an easy induction gives a constant $C^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that

$$
0 \leq g_{n+\ell}(t) \leq g_{n}(t)+\frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{d^{n}} \log |t|^{-1}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{1 / 2}^{*}$ and all $n, \ell \geq 1$. For $|t|=r_{j}$ and $n=n_{0}+j$, this reads as

$$
0 \leq g_{n_{0}+j+\ell}(t) \leq \sup _{|\tau|=r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j}(\tau)-\frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{d^{n_{0}+j}} \log r_{j}
$$

By the maximum principle applied to $g_{n_{0}+j+\ell}$ and $g_{n_{0}+j}$ and by Lemma 7, we find

$$
0 \leq g_{n_{0}+j+\ell}(t) \leq \sup _{|\tau|<r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j}(\tau)-\frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{d^{n_{0}+j}} \log r_{j} \leq \frac{C_{2}}{d^{n_{0}}}\left(1-\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{j} \log r_{0}\right)
$$

for any $|t|<r_{j}$ and any $\ell \geq 1$, where $C_{2}:=\max \left(C^{\prime \prime}, C_{1}\right)$.
Pick now $\varepsilon>0$. We may choose $n_{0}$ be large enough such that $C_{2} / d^{n_{0}} \leq \varepsilon / 2$. We then fix $j \geq 0$ large enough (depending on $r_{0}$, hence on $n_{0}$ ) so that $\left(1-\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{j} \log r_{0}\right) \leq 2$. The previous estimate implies

$$
0 \leq g_{n}(t) \leq \varepsilon
$$

for all $|t|<r_{j}$ and all $n \geq n_{0}+j$. Letting $n$ tend to infinity we finally obtain

$$
0 \leq g_{t}(a(t)) \leq \varepsilon
$$

for all $|t|<r_{j}$ which concludes the proof.
Proof of Lemma 7. It is sufficient to show by induction on $j \geq 0$ that

$$
\sup _{|t|<r_{j+1}} g_{n_{0}+j+1}(t) \leq \frac{\log (3 A)}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}}+\sup _{|s|<r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j}(s) .
$$

By assumption, for all $j \geq 1$, there exists $1 \leq i_{j} \leq N$ such that the function

$$
\frac{u_{n_{0}+j}(t)-Q_{i_{j}}(t)}{t^{l}}
$$

is analytic on $\mathbb{D}$. The maximum principle applied for the analytic function $\left(u_{n_{0}+j}(t)-Q_{i_{j}}(t)\right) / t^{l}$ on $\mathbb{D}(0, r)$ for $0<r<1$ gives

$$
\left|\frac{u_{n_{0}+j}(t)-Q_{i_{j}}(t)}{t^{l}}\right| \leq\left(A+\sup _{|s|<r}\left|u_{n_{0}+j}(s)\right|\right) \cdot \frac{1}{r^{l}}, \quad \text { for all }|t|<r .
$$

This implies for any $0<r<1$ the estimate

$$
\left|u_{n_{0}+j}(t)-Q_{i_{j}}(t)\right| \leq\left(A+\sup _{|s|<r}\left|u_{n_{0}+j}(s)\right|\right) \cdot\left(\frac{|t|}{r}\right)^{l}, \quad \text { for all }|t|<r .
$$

In particular, we find

$$
\sup _{|t|<r_{j+1}}\left|u_{n_{0}+j+1}(t)\right| \leq A+\left(A+\sup _{|s|<r_{j}}\left|u_{n_{0}+j+1}(s)\right|\right) \cdot\left(\frac{r_{j+1}}{r_{j}}\right)^{l} \leq 2 A+\left(\sup _{|s|<r_{j}}\left|u_{n_{0}+j+1}(s)\right|\right) r_{j}^{l},
$$

hence

$$
\sup _{|t|<r_{j+1}} \max \left\{1,\left|u_{n_{0}+j+1}(t)\right|\right\} \leq(3 A) \sup _{|s|<r_{j}} \max \left\{1,\left|u_{n_{0}+j+1}(s)\right| r_{j}^{l}\right\}
$$

When $\sup _{|s|<r_{j}}\left|u_{n_{0}+j+1}(s)\right| r_{j}^{l} \leq 1$, we get

$$
\sup _{|t|<r_{j+1}} g_{n_{0}+j+1}(t) \leq \frac{\log (3 A)}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}} \leq \frac{\log (3 A)}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}}+\sup _{|s|<r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j}(s)
$$

as required. Otherwise, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{|t|<r_{j+1}} g_{n_{0}+j+1}(t) & \leq \frac{\log (3 A)}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}}+\frac{l}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}} \log r_{j}+\sup _{|s|<r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j+1}(s) \\
& \leq \frac{\log (3 A)}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}}+\left(\frac{l}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}}-\frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{d^{n_{0}+j}}\right) \log r_{j}+\sup _{|s|<r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j}(s) \\
& \leq \frac{\log (3 A)}{d^{n_{0}+j+1}}+\sup _{|s|<r_{j}} g_{n_{0}+j}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $r_{j}<1$ and $l \geq C^{\prime \prime} d$, where the middle inequality follows from (1). The lemma follows.

Remark. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{P_{t}}(a(t))=g_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{a}) \log |t|^{-1}+h(t), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h$ continuous.
When $\left|\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathrm{a})\right|$ is bounded, then $g_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{a})=0$ and the equation follows from the arguments in Section 3 and Section 4. When $\left|\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathrm{a})\right| \rightarrow \infty$ by the invariance of the Green function under iteration it is sufficient to prove (6) when $a(t)=t^{-l} h$ with $h(0) \neq 0, l \geq C^{\prime \prime}$ as in the proof of the Main Theorem in the case $\left|\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathrm{a})\right| \rightarrow \infty$ on page 1477.

In that case we have

$$
\frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left|P_{t}^{n}(a(t))\right|=\log |a(t)|+\varphi_{n}(t)=\log |\mathrm{a}| \log |t|^{-1}+\log |h(t)|+\varphi_{n}(t)
$$

where $\varphi_{n}$ is a sequence of harmonic functions converging uniformly on $\mathbb{D}_{1 / 2}$. We also have

$$
\frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left|P_{t}^{n}(a(t))\right|=\frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left|\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathrm{a})\right| \log |t|^{-1}+\psi(t),
$$

where $\psi$ is harmonic, hence $\frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left|\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathrm{a})\right|=\log |\mathrm{a}|$ for all $n$ and $g_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{a})=\log |\mathrm{a}|$ which implies (6).

## 5. Degeneration of the Lyapunov exponent

In this section we prove Corollary 1. Assume $(k,|\cdot|)$ is an algebraically closed complete metrized field of characteristic zero. Fix a meromorphic family $P_{t}(z)=a_{d}(t) z^{d}+\cdots+a_{0}(t) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right][z]$ of degree $d \geq 2$ polynomials defined over $k$. Recall that the Lyapunov exponent of $P_{t}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(P_{t}\right)=\log |d|+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} g_{P_{t}}\left(c_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d-1}$ denote the critical points of $P_{t}$ in $k$ counted with multiplicity.
To control these critical points when $t$ varies, we observe that the polynomial $P_{t}^{\prime}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $d-1$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})\left[t^{-1}\right]$ and dominant term $d a_{d}(t) z^{d-1}$. It splits over the field of Puiseux series so that one can find Puiseux series $c_{1}(t), \ldots, c_{d-1}(t)$ such that $P_{t}^{\prime}(z)=d a_{d}(t) \prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(z-c_{i}(t)\right)$. Pick any sufficiently divisible integer $N$ such that all series $c_{i}\left(t^{N}\right)$ become formal power series. By Artin's approximation theorem [1968], they are necessarily analytic in a neighborhood of 0 .

Our Main Theorem applied to the meromorphic family $P_{t^{N}}$ and the marked points $c_{i}\left(t^{N}\right)$ shows that we can write $g_{P_{t^{N}}}\left(c_{i}\left(t^{N}\right)\right)=\lambda_{i} \log |t|^{-1}+h_{i}(t)$ where $h_{i}$ is a continuous function and $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$. By (7), we infer

$$
L\left(P_{t^{N}}\right)=\log |d|+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_{i}\right) \log |t|^{-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} h_{i}(t)
$$

Now observe that by definition $\tilde{h}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} h_{i}(t)$ is a continuous function on the unit disk which is invariant by the multiplication by any $N$-th root of unity. It follows that one may find a continuous function $h$ on the unit disk such that $h\left(t^{N}\right)=\tilde{h}(t)$, and we get $L\left(P_{t}\right)=\lambda \log |t|^{-1}+\log |d|+h(t)$ with $\lambda:=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$as required.

Suppose now that $\lambda=0$. Denote by P the polynomial with coefficients in $k((t))$ defined by the family $P_{t}$, and by $\mathrm{c}_{i}$ the point in $k\left(\left(t^{1 / N}\right)\right)$ defined by the Puiseux series $c_{i}(t)$. By [Favre 2016, Theorem C] and [Okuyama 2015, §5], it follows that $g_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{c}_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$ so that all critical points of P belongs to the filled-in Julia set.

We claim that there exists an affine transformation $\phi$ with coefficients in $k((t))$ such that $\mathrm{Q}:=\phi^{-1} \circ \mathrm{P} \circ \phi$ leaves the closed unit ball totally invariant.

Granting this claim we conclude the proof of the corollary. We write $\phi(z)=b_{0}(t) z+b_{1}(t)$ with $b_{i}(t)=t^{-n_{i}}\left(b_{i 0}+\sum_{i \geq 1} b_{i j} t^{j}\right), b_{i 0} \neq 0$ and $b_{i j} \in k$, and we define for all $M \geq 1$ the affine transformation

$$
\phi_{M}=b_{0}^{M}(t) z+b_{1}^{M}(t),
$$

where $b_{i}^{M}=t^{-n_{i}}\left(b_{i 0}+\sum_{M \geq i \geq 1} b_{i j} t^{j}\right)$. For $M$ large enough the difference $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}_{M}$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $t k \llbracket t \rrbracket$ so that the polynomial $\mathrm{Q}_{M}:=\phi_{M}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{P} \circ \phi_{M}$ leaves the closed unit ball totally invariant too.

In particular $\mathrm{Q}_{M}$ is a polynomial of degree $d$ with coefficients in $k \llbracket t \rrbracket$ and dominant term $\mathrm{b} z^{d}$ with b invertible (in $k \llbracket t \rrbracket$ ). Together with the fact that the family $P_{t}$ is meromorphic and the coefficients of $\phi_{M}$ are Laurent polynomials, we conclude that b determines an analytic function $b(t)$ with $b(0) \neq 0$, and $\mathrm{Q}_{M}$ determines an analytic family of polynomials of the form $Q_{t}(z)=b(t) z^{d}+$ 1.o.t. conjugated to $P_{t}$, as required.

It remains to prove our claim. Denote by $\mathbb{L}$ the completion of the field of Puiseux series (i.e., of the algebraic closure of $k((t)))$.

By [Kiwi 2006, Corollary 2.11] the fact that all critical points of $P$ belong to the filled-in Julia set implies that $P$ is simple over $\mathbb{L}$. This means that the filled-in Julia set of $P$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1}$ is equal to a closed ball $B$. This ball $B$ contains all fixed points, and this set of fixed points is defined by a polynomial equation of the form $a_{0} z^{l}+a_{1} z^{l-1}+\cdots+a_{l}=0$ of degree $l$ with $a_{i} \in k((t))$ hence $B$ contains the point $-a_{1} /\left(l a_{0}\right) \in k((t))$. The radius of $B$ also belongs to $\left|k((t))^{*}\right|$ because $B$ is fixed by P , so that we can find an affine transformation with coefficients in $k((t))$ sending $B$ to the closed unit ball.

## 6. The adelic metric associated to a pair $(P, a)$

In this section, we prove Corollary 2. Let us first recall the setting. Let $C$ be any smooth connected affine curve $C$ defined over a number field $\mathbb{K}$. Assume $P$ is an algebraic family parametrized by $C$ and $a \in \mathbb{K}[C]$ is a marked point such that $(P, a)$ is not isotrivial, and $a$ is not persistently preperiodic.

Denote by $M_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the set of places of $\mathbb{K}$.
Step 1: construction of a suitable line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\bar{C}$ the (smooth) projective compactification of $C$.
To any branch at infinity $\mathfrak{c} \in \bar{C} \backslash C$ we associate a nonnegative rational number $\alpha(\mathfrak{c})$ as follows.
We fix a projective embedding of $\bar{C}$ into the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{3}$ such that $\mathfrak{c}$ is the homogeneous point [ $0: 0: 0: 1$ ]. By, e.g., [Favre and Gauthier 2018, Proposition 3.1] there exist a number field $\mathbb{L} \supset \mathbb{K}$, a finite set of places $S$ of $\mathbb{L}$ and adelic series $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3} \in t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}} \Omega \llbracket t \rrbracket$ such that the following holds.

For each place $v \in M_{\rrbracket}$ the series $\beta_{j}(t)$ are convergent in some neighborhood $\left\{|t|<c_{v}\right\}$ of the origin. The map $\left.\beta(t)=\left[\beta_{1}(t): \beta_{2}(t): \beta_{3}(t)\right): 1\right]$ induces an analytic isomorphism from $\left\{|t|<c_{v}\right\}$ to a neighborhood
of $\mathfrak{c}$ in $\bar{C}\left(\mathbb{C}_{v}\right)$. And the constants $c_{v}$ equal 1 for all but finitely many places. In the sequel we refer to an adelic parametrization of $\bar{C}$ near $\mathfrak{c}$ for such a data.

Our family of polynomials is determined by $d+1$ rational functions on $\bar{C}$

$$
P(z)=\alpha_{0} z^{d}+\alpha_{1} z^{d-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{d}
$$

with $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{K}(C)$, so that $P_{\mathfrak{c}}:=\left(\alpha_{0} \circ \beta\right) z^{d}+\left(\alpha_{1} \circ \beta\right) z^{d-1}+\cdots+\left(\alpha_{d} \circ \beta\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, S}((t))[z] \subset \mathbb{L}((t))[z]$. Write $a_{\mathrm{c}}=a \circ \beta \in \mathbb{L}((t))$.

Working over the non-Archimedean field $L=\mathbb{L}((t))$ endowed with the $t$-adic norm, we may define $\alpha(\mathfrak{c}):=g_{P_{\mathrm{c}}}\left(a_{\mathfrak{c}}\right)$ which is a nonnegative rational number by Theorem 3 .

We finally define the effective divisor with rational coefficients

$$
\mathrm{D}:=\sum_{\bar{C} \backslash C} \alpha(\mathfrak{c})[\mathfrak{c}]
$$

and set $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}(q \mathrm{D})$ for a sufficiently divisible $q \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Observe that since $C$ is defined over $\mathbb{K}$, its projective compactification $\bar{C}$ is also defined over $\mathbb{K}$ and the divisor $D$ too since it is invariant by the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{K}$.

Step 2: we build a semipositive and continuous metrization $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{L}, v}$ on the line bundle induced by $\mathcal{L}$ on $\bar{C}_{\mathbb{K}_{v}}$ for any place $v \in M_{\llbracket}$.

Fix a place $v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}$. We let $\mathbb{C}_{v}$ be the completion of the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{K}}_{v}$ of the completion $\mathbb{K}_{v}$ of $\left(\mathbb{K},|\cdot|{ }_{v}\right)$, and define

$$
g_{P_{t}, v}(z):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{n}} \log ^{+}\left|P_{t}^{n}(z)\right|_{v}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{v}
$$

Pick a branch at infinity $\mathfrak{c} \in \bar{C} \backslash C$ and choose a local adelic parametrization $\beta$ of $\bar{C}$ centered at $\mathfrak{c}$ as in the previous step. It is given by formal power series with coefficients in a number field $\mathbb{L}$.

According to the Main Theorem, there exists $\alpha_{v}(\mathfrak{c}) \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a, v}(t):=g_{P_{\beta(t)}, v}(a(t))=\alpha_{v}(\mathfrak{c}) \cdot \log |t|^{-1}+h_{\mathfrak{c}, v}(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{\mathfrak{c}, v}$ extends continuously across 0 . Moreover by (6) the constant $\alpha_{v}(\mathfrak{c})$ is equal to $g_{P_{\mathfrak{c}}}\left(a_{\mathfrak{c}}\right)=\alpha(\mathfrak{c})$. In particular, $\alpha_{v}(\mathfrak{c})$ is independent of $v$.

Pick an open subset $U$ of the Berkovich analytification $\bar{C}^{v, \text { an }}$ of $\bar{C}$ over the field $\mathbb{K}_{v}$ and a section $\sigma$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $U$. By definition, $\sigma$ is a meromorphic function on $U$ whose divisor of poles and zeroes satisfies $\operatorname{div}(\sigma)+q \mathrm{D} \geq 0$. We set

$$
|\sigma|_{a, v}:=|\sigma|_{v} e^{-q \cdot g_{a, v}}
$$

According to (8), the function $|\sigma|_{a, v}$ is continuous. Moreover, since $g_{a, v}$ is subharmonic on $C^{v, \text { an }}$ and since the function $-\log |\sigma|_{a, v}$ extends continuously to $U$, [Favre and Gauthier 2018, Lemma 3.7] implies that $-\log |\sigma|_{a, v}$ is subharmonic on $U$. This implies the metrization $|\cdot|_{a, v}$ is continuous and semipositive in
the sense of Zhang (by definition in the Archimedean case and by [Favre and Gauthier 2018, Lemma 3.11] in the non-Archimedean case).

Step 3: the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is (very) ample (if $q$ is large enough).
Since $\mathcal{L}$ is determined by the effective divisor $\mathrm{D}=\sum_{\bar{C} \backslash C} \alpha(\mathfrak{c}) \cdot[\mathfrak{c}]$ it is sufficient to show that $\alpha(\mathfrak{c})>0$ for at least one branch at infinity. Suppose to the contrary that $D=0$, and choose any Archimedean place $v_{0} \in M_{\llbracket}$. Observe first that the function $g_{a, v_{0}}$ extends continuously to $\bar{C}(\mathbb{C})$ as a subharmonic function which is thus constant since $\bar{C}(\mathbb{C})$ is compact.

By [Dujardin and Favre 2008] the family of analytic maps $t \mapsto P_{t}^{n}(a(t))$ is hence normal locally near any point $t \in C$. Since ( $P, a$ ) not isotrivial, [DeMarco 2016, Theorem 1.1] implies $a$ is persistently preperiodic, which is a contradiction.

Step 4: the collection of metrizations $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{L}, v}$ equips $\mathcal{L}$ with an adelic semipositive continuous metrization whose induced height function $h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(t)=q \cdot h_{P, a}(t), \text { for all } t \in C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove the first assertion. Since for any place $v$ the metrization $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{L}, v}$ is semipositive and continuous, one only needs to show that the collection $\left\{|\cdot|_{a, v}\right\}_{v \in M_{\nwarrow}}$ is adelic. Following exactly the proof of [Ghioca and Ye 2017, Lemma 4.2], we get the existence of $g \in \mathbb{K}(\bar{C})$ such that $q \cdot g_{a, v}(z)=\log |g(z)|_{v}$ for all but finitely many places $v \in M_{\mathbb{}}$ and the conclusion follows.

To get (9), we follow closely [Favre and Gauthier 2018, §4.1]. If $t$ is a point in $C$ that is defined over a finite extension $\mathbb{K}$, denote by $\mathrm{O}(t)$ its orbit under the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{K}$, and let $\operatorname{deg}(t):=$ $\operatorname{Card}(\mathrm{O}(t))$. Fix a rational function $\phi$ on $\bar{C}$ with $\operatorname{div}(\phi)+q \mathrm{D} \geq 0$ that is not vanishing at $t$. By [ChambertLoir 2011, §3.1.3], since $\phi(t) \neq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(t) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(t)} \sum_{t^{\prime} \in \mathrm{O}(t)} \sum_{v \in M_{K}}-\log |\phi|_{a, v}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(t)} \sum_{t^{\prime} \in \mathrm{O}(t)} \sum_{v \in M_{K}}\left(q \cdot g_{a, v}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-\log |\phi|_{v}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(t)} \sum_{t^{\prime} \in \mathrm{O}(t)} \sum_{v \in M_{\nwarrow}} q \cdot g_{P_{t^{\prime}}, v}\left(a\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)=q \cdot \hat{h}_{P_{t}}(a(t)) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line follows from the product formula and the definition of $\hat{h}_{P_{t}}$.
Step 5: the total height of $\bar{C}$ is $h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(\bar{C})=0$.
We use [Chambert-Loir 2011, (1.2.6) and (1.3.10)]. Choose any two meromorphic functions $\phi_{0}$ and $\phi_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{0}\right)+q \mathrm{D}$ and $\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}\right)+q \mathrm{D}$ are both effective with disjoint support included in $C$. Let $\sigma_{0}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ be the associated sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}(q \mathrm{D})$. Let $\sum n_{i}\left[t_{i}\right]$ be the divisor of zeroes of $\sigma_{0}$ and $\sum n_{j}^{\prime}\left[t_{j}^{\prime}\right]$ be
the divisor of zeroes of $\sigma_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(\bar{C}) & =\sum_{v \in M_{\nwarrow}}\left(\widehat{\operatorname{div}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \cdot \widehat{\operatorname{div}}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \mid \bar{C}\right)_{v} \\
& =\sum_{i} n_{i} \cdot q \cdot \hat{h}_{P_{t_{i}}}\left(a\left(t_{i}\right)\right)-\left.\sum_{v \in M_{K}} \int_{\bar{C}} \log \sigma_{0}\right|_{a, v} \Delta\left(q \cdot g_{a, v}\right) \\
& =\sum_{v \in M_{\nwarrow}} \int_{\bar{C}} q \cdot g_{a, v} \Delta\left(q \cdot g_{a, v}\right) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third equality follows from Poincaré-Lelong formula and writing $\log \left|\sigma_{0}\right|_{a, v}=\log \left|\phi_{0}\right|_{v}-q \cdot g_{a, v}$.
Pick any Archimedean place $v_{0}$. The total mass on $\bar{C}$ of the positive measure $\Delta g_{a, v_{0}}$ is the degree of $\mathcal{L}$, hence is nonzero. It follows from, e.g., [Dujardin and Favre 2008, Lemma 2.3] that any point $t_{0}$ in the support of $\Delta g_{a, v_{0}}$ is accumulated by parameters $t_{*} \in C(\mathbb{K})$ such that $P_{t_{*}}^{n}\left(a\left(t_{*}\right)\right)=P_{t_{*}}^{m}\left(a\left(t_{*}\right)\right)$ for some $n>m \geq 0$. For any such point (9) implies $h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\left(t_{*}\right)=0$. In particular, the essential minimum of $h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}$ is nonpositive. By the arithmetic Hilbert-Samuel theorem (see [Thuillier 2005, Théorème 4.3.6], [Autissier 2001, Proposition 3.3.3], or [Zhang 1995, Theorem 5.2]), we get $h_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}(\bar{C})=0$, ending the proof.
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