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Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, a finite field of $q$ elements, and let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{d}(q)$ be a linear group. A base for $G$ is a set of vectors whose pointwise stabilizer in $G$ is trivial. We prove that if $G$ is a quasisimple group (i.e., $G$ is perfect and $G / Z(G)$ is simple) acting irreducibly on $V$, then excluding two natural families, $G$ has a base of size at most 6 . The two families consist of alternating groups $\mathrm{Alt}_{m}$ acting on the natural module of dimension $d=m-1$ or $m-2$, and classical groups with natural module of dimension $d$ over subfields of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$ of size $n$. A subset of $\Omega$ is said to be a base for $G$ if its pointwise stabilizer in $G$ is trivial. The minimal size of a base for $G$ is denoted by $b(G)$ (or sometimes $b(G, \Omega)$ if we wish to emphasize the action). It is easy to see that $|G| \leq n^{b(G)}$, so that $b(G) \geq \log |G| / \log n$. A well known conjecture of Pyber [1993] asserts that there is an absolute constant $c$ such that if $G$ is primitive on $\Omega$, then $b(G)<c \log |G| / \log n$. Following substantial contributions by a number of authors, the conjecture was finally established in [Duyan et al. 2018] in the following form: there is an absolute constant $C$ such that for every primitive permutation group $G$ of degree $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(G)<45 \frac{\log |G|}{\log n}+C . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain a more explicit, usable bound, one would like to reduce the multiplicative constant 45 in the above, and also estimate the constant $C$.

Most of the work in [Duyan et al. 2018] was concerned with affine groups contained in AGL( $V$ ), acting on the set of vectors in a finite vector space $V$ (since the conjecture had already been established for nonaffine groups elsewhere). For these, one needs to bound the base size for a linear group $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ that acts irreducibly on $V$. One source for the undetermined constant $C$ in the bound (1) comes from a key result in this analysis, namely Proposition 2.2 of [Liebeck and Shalev 2002], in which quasisimple linear groups are handled. This result says that there is a constant $C_{0}$ such that if $G$ is a quasisimple group acting irreducibly on a finite vector space $V$, then either $b(G) \leq C_{0}$, or $G$ is a classical or alternating

[^0]group and $V$ is the natural module for $G$; here by the natural module for an alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}_{m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}$ ( $p$ prime) we mean the irreducible "deleted permutation module" of dimension $m-\delta(p, m)$, where $\delta(p, m)$ is 2 if $p \mid m$ and is 1 otherwise. This result played a major role in the proof of Pyber's conjecture for primitive linear groups in [Liebeck and Shalev 2002; 2014], which was heavily used in the final completion of the conjecture in [Duyan et al. 2018].

The main result in this paper shows that the constant $C_{0}$ just mentioned can be taken to be 6 . Recall that for a finite group $G$, we denote by $E(G)$ the subgroup generated by all quasisimple subnormal subgroups of $G$. Also write $V_{d}(q)$ to denote a $d$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Theorem 1. Let $V=V_{d}(q)\left(q=p^{e}, p\right.$ prime $)$ and $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$, and suppose that $E(G)$ is quasisimple and absolutely irreducible on $V$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $E(G)=\mathrm{Alt}_{m}$ and $V$ is the natural $\mathrm{Alt}_{m}$-module over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of dimension $d=m-\delta(p, m)$.
(ii) $E(G)=\mathrm{Cl}_{d}\left(q_{0}\right)$, a classical group with natural module of dimension $d$ over a subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
(iii) $b(G) \leq 6$.

This result has been used in [Halasi et al. 2018] to improve the bound (1), replacing the multiplicative constant 45 by 2 , and the constant $C$ by 24 .

With substantially more effort, it should be possible to reduce the constant 6 in part (iii) of theorem, and work on this by the first author is in progress.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminary results needed for the proof of Theorem 1. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 3.1, a result that bounds the base size for various actions of classical groups on orbits of nondegenerate subspaces. The proof of Theorem 1 follows in Section 4, where crucial use of Theorem 3.1 is made in Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

If $G$ is a finite classical group with natural module $V$, we define a subspace action of $G$ to be an action on an orbit of subspaces of $V$, or, in the case where $G=\operatorname{Sp}_{2 m}(q)$ with $q$ even, the action on the cosets of a subgroup $O_{2 m}^{ \pm}(q)$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be an almost simple group with socle $G_{0}$ and suppose $G$ acts transitively on a set $\Omega$.
(i) If $G_{0}$ is exceptional of Lie type, or sporadic, then $b(G) \leq 7$, with equality only if $G=M_{24}$.
(ii) If $G_{0}$ is classical, and the action of $G$ on $\Omega$ is primitive and not a subspace action, then $b(G) \leq 5$, with equality if and only if $G=U_{6}(2) \cdot 2, \Omega=\left(G: U_{4}(3) \cdot 2^{2}\right)$.
Proof. Part (i) follows from [Burness et al. 2009, Corollary 1] and [Burness et al. 2010, Corollary 1]. Part (ii) is [Burness 2007c, Theorem 1.1].

For a simple group $G_{0}$, and $1 \neq x \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{0}\right)$, define $\alpha(x)$ to be the minimal number of $G_{0}$-conjugates of $x$ required to generate the group $\left\langle G_{0}, x\right\rangle$, and define

$$
\alpha\left(G_{0}\right)=\max \left\{\alpha(x) \mid 1 \neq x \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{0}\right)\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $G_{0}=\mathrm{Cl}_{n}(q)$, a simple classical group over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with natural module of dimension $n$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $\alpha\left(G_{0}\right) \leq n$.
(ii) $G_{0}=\operatorname{PSp}_{n}(q)(q$ even $)$ and $\alpha\left(G_{0}\right) \leq n+1$.
(iii) $G_{0}=L_{2}(q)$ and $\alpha\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 4$.
(iv) $G_{0}=L_{3}(q)$ and $\alpha\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 4$.
(v) $G_{0}=L_{4}^{\epsilon}(q)$ and $\alpha\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 6$.
(vi) $G_{0}=\mathrm{PSp}_{4}(q)$ and $\alpha\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 5$.
(vii) $G_{0}=L_{2}(9), U_{3}(3)$ or $L_{4}^{\epsilon}(2)$.

Proof. This is [Guralnick and Saxl 2003, 3.1 and 4.1].
To state the next result, let $\bar{G}$ be a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic $p$, and let $V=V(\lambda)$ be an irreducible $K \bar{G}$-module of $p$-restricted highest weight $\lambda$. Let $\Phi$ be the root system of $\bar{G}$, with simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}$, and let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}$ be the corresponding fundamental dominant weights. Denote by $\Phi_{S}$ and $\Phi_{L}$ the set of short and long roots in $\Phi$, respectively, and if all roots have the same length, just write $\Phi_{S}=\Phi$ and $\Phi_{L}=\varnothing$. Let $W=W(\Phi)$ be the Weyl group, and for $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $U_{\alpha}=\left\{u_{\alpha}(t): t \in K\right\}$ be a corresponding root subgroup with respect to a fixed maximal torus.

Now let $\mu$ be a dominant weight of $V=V(\lambda)$, write $\mu=\sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{j} \lambda_{j}$, and let $\Psi=\left\langle\alpha_{i} \mid c_{i}=0\right\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \Phi$, a subsystem of $\Phi$. Define

$$
r_{\mu}=\frac{|W: W(\Psi)| \cdot\left|\Phi_{S} \backslash \Psi_{S}\right|}{2\left|\Phi_{S}\right|} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{\mu}^{\prime}=\frac{|W: W(\Psi)| \cdot\left|\Phi_{L} \backslash \Psi_{L}\right|}{2\left|\Phi_{L}\right|}
$$

(the latter only if $\Phi_{L} \neq \varnothing$ ). Let

$$
s_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu} r_{\mu} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\sum_{\mu} r_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(\text { if } \Phi_{L} \neq \varnothing\right),
$$

where each sum is over the dominant weights $\mu$ of $V(\lambda)$.
For $g \in \bar{G} \backslash Z(\bar{G})$ and $\gamma \in K^{*}$, let $V_{\gamma}(g)=\{v \in V: v g=\gamma v\}$, and write

$$
\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(g)=\operatorname{dim} V-\operatorname{dim} V_{\gamma}(g)
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $V=V(\lambda)$ be as above.
(i) If $g \in \bar{G} \backslash Z(\bar{G})$ is semisimple and $\gamma \in K^{*}$, then $\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(g) \geq s_{\lambda}$.
(ii) If $\alpha \in \Phi_{S}$, then $\operatorname{codim} V_{1}\left(u_{\alpha}(1)\right) \geq s_{\lambda}$.
(iii) If $\Phi_{L} \neq \varnothing$ and $\beta \in \Phi_{L}$, then $\operatorname{codim} V_{1}\left(u_{\beta}(1)\right) \geq s_{\lambda}^{\prime}$.
(iv) For any nonidentity unipotent element $u \in \bar{G}$, we have $\operatorname{codim} V_{1}(u) \geq \min \left(s_{\lambda}, s_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Parts (i)-(iii) are [Guralnick and Lawther $\geq 2018$, Proposition 2.2.1]. For part (iv), note that [Guralnick and Malle 2004, Corollary 3.4] shows that $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}(u)$ is bounded above by the maximum of $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}\left(u_{\alpha}(1)\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}\left(u_{\beta}(1)\right)$; hence (iv) follows from (ii) and (iii).

For $\bar{G}$ of type $D_{5}$ or $D_{6}$ and $V$ a half-spin module for $\bar{G}$, we shall need the following sharper result. Note that the root system $D_{n}(n \geq 5)$ has two subsystems of type $A_{1}^{2}$ (up to conjugacy in the Weyl group); with the usual labeling of fundamental roots, we denote these by $\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(1)}=\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}\right\rangle$ and $\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(2)}=\left\langle\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\bar{G}=D_{n}$ with $n \in\{5,6\}$, and let $V=V(\lambda)$ be a half-spin module for $\bar{G}$ with $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$ or $\lambda_{n-1}$. Let $s \in \bar{G} \backslash Z(\bar{G})$ be a semisimple element, and $u \in \bar{G}$ a unipotent element of order $p$.
(i) Suppose $n=6$. Then $\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(s) \geq 12$ for any $\gamma \in K^{*}$; and $\operatorname{codim} V_{1}(u) \geq 12$ provided $u$ is not a root element.
(ii) Suppose $n=5$.
(a) If $C_{\bar{G}}(s)^{\prime} \neq A_{4}$ then $\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(s) \geq 8$ for any $\gamma \in K^{*}$ and if $C_{\bar{G}}(s)^{\prime}=A_{4}$ then $\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(s) \geq 6$.
(b) Provided $u$ is not a root element and also does not lie in a subsystem subgroup $\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(1)}$, we have $\operatorname{codim} V_{1}(u) \geq 8$.

Proof. For semisimple elements $s$, we follow the method of [Guralnick and Lawther $\geq 2018$, §2.6] (originally in [Kenneally 2010]). Let $\Psi$ be a closed subsystem of the root system $\Phi$ of $\bar{G}$, and define an equivalence relation on the set of weights of $V(\lambda)$ by saying that two weights are related if their difference is a sum of roots in $\Psi$. Call the equivalence classes $\Psi$-nets.

Now define $\Phi_{s}=\{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha(s)=1\}$, the root system of $C_{\bar{G}}(s)$. If $\Phi_{s} \cap \Psi=\varnothing$, then any two weights in a given $\Psi$-net that differ by a root in $\Psi$ correspond to different eigenspaces for $s$.

The subsystem $\Phi_{s}$ is contained in a proper subsystem spanned by a subset of the nodes of the extended Dynkin diagram of $\bar{G}$. Suppose $\Phi_{s} \neq A_{n-1}$. Then it is straightforward to check that there is a subsystem $\Psi$ that is $W$-conjugate to $\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(2)}$ such that $\Phi_{s} \cap \Psi=\varnothing$. For this $\Psi$ there are $2^{n-2} \Psi$-nets of size 2 , and so it follows from the observation in the previous paragraph that $\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(s) \geq 2^{n-2}$ for any $\gamma \in K^{*}$.

Now suppose $\Phi_{s}=A_{n-1}$. Here there is a subsystem $\Psi$ that is $W$-conjugate to $\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(1)}$ such that $\Phi_{s} \cap \Psi=\varnothing$. For this $\Psi$ there are $2^{n-5}, 2^{n-3}$ or $2^{n-3} \Psi$-nets of size 4,2 or 1 , respectively, and hence $\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(s) \geq 2^{n-4}+2^{n-3}$ for any $\gamma \in K^{*}$. This lower bound is 12 when $n=6$, and 6 when $n=5$. This proves (i) and (ii) for semisimple elements.

Now consider unipotent elements $u \in \bar{G}$ of order $p$. Assume first that $p$ is odd. Recall that the Jordan form of a unipotent element $u \in D_{n}$ on the natural module determines a partition $\phi$ of $2 n$ having an even number of parts of each even size; moreover, each such partition corresponds to a single conjugacy class, except when all parts of $\phi$ are even, in which case there are two classes, interchanged by a graph automorphism of $D_{n}$ (see [Liebeck and Seitz 2012, Chapter 3]). Denote by $u_{\phi}$ (and by $u_{\phi}, u_{\phi}^{\prime}$ for the exceptional partitions) representatives of the unipotent classes in $\bar{G}$. By [Spaltenstein 1982, §4], if $\mu$ and $\phi$ are partitions and $\mu<\phi$ in the usual dominance order, then $u_{\mu}$ lies in the closure of the class $u_{\phi}^{\bar{G}}$ (or $u_{\phi}^{\prime \bar{G}}$ ).

Suppose $u$ is not a root element, and also is not in a subsystem subgroup $\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(1)}$ when $n=5$. Then it follows from the above that the closure of $u^{\bar{G}}$ contains $u^{\prime}=u_{\mu}$ with $\mu=\left(3,1^{2 n-3}\right)$ or $\left(2^{4}, 1^{2 n-8}\right)$, the latter only if $n=6$. Moreover, codim $V_{1}(u) \geq \operatorname{codim} V_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ (see the proof of [Guralnick and Malle 2004, 3.4]). If $\mu=\left(3,1^{2 n-3}\right)$, then $u^{\prime}$ lies in the $B_{1}$ factor of a subgroup $B_{1} \times B_{n-2}$ of $\bar{G}$, and the restriction of $V$ to this subgroup is given by [Liebeck and Seitz 2012, 11.15(ii)]; it follows that $u^{\prime}$ acts on $V$ with Jordan form $J_{2}^{2^{n-2}}$, giving the conclusion in this case. And if $\mu=\left(2^{4}, 1^{4}\right)$ with $n=6$, then $u^{\prime}$ is in $\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(1)}$, which is contained in a subsystem $A_{4}$, and the restriction of the half-spin module $V$ to $A_{4}$ can be deduced from [Liebeck and Seitz 2012, 11.15(i)]; the lower bound on codim $V_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ in (i) follows easily from this.

It remains to consider unipotent involutions with $p=2$. The conjugacy classes of these in $\bar{G}$ are described in [Aschbacher and Seitz 1976, §7] (alternatively in [Liebeck and Seitz 2012, Chapter 6]). Adopting the notation of [Aschbacher and Seitz 1976], representatives are $a_{l}, c_{l}(l$ even, $2 \leq l \leq n)$, and also $a_{6}^{\prime}$ in $D_{6}$ (which is conjugate to $a_{6}$ under a graph automorphism). These are regular elements of Levi subsystem subgroups $S$, as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccccccc}
u & a_{2} & c_{2} & a_{4} & c_{4} & a_{6} & a_{6}^{\prime} & c_{6} \\
\hline S & A_{1} & \left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(2)} & \left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(1)} & A_{1}\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(2)} & \left(A_{1}^{3}\right)^{(1)} & \left(A_{1}^{3}\right)^{(2)} & A_{1}^{4}
\end{array}
$$

where $\left(A_{1}^{3}\right)^{(1)}=\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{5}\right\rangle$ and $\left(A_{1}^{3}\right)^{(2)}=\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{6}\right\rangle$. The restrictions $V \downarrow S$ can be worked out using [Liebeck and Seitz 2012, 11.15], from which we calculate $\operatorname{dim} C_{V}(u)$ for all the representatives:

| $u$ | $a_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | $a_{4}$ | $c_{4}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{6}^{\prime}$ | $c_{6}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{dim} C_{V}(u), n=5$ | 12 | 8 | 10 | 8 | - | - | - |
| $\operatorname{dim} C_{V}(u), n=6$ | 24 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 16 |

The conclusion of the lemma follows.

## 3. Bases for some subspace actions

Let $G=\mathrm{Cl}(V)$ be a simple symplectic, unitary or orthogonal group over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, with natural module $V$ of dimension $n$. For $r<n$, denote by $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ an orbit of $G$ on the set of nondegenerate $r$-subspaces of $V$. The main result of this section gives an upper bound for the base size of the action of $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ when $r$ is very close to $\frac{n}{2}$. This will be used in the next section in the proof of Theorem 1 (see Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8).

Theorem 3.1. Let $G_{0}=\operatorname{PSp}_{n}(q)(n \geq 6), \operatorname{PSU}_{n}(q)(n \geq 4)$ or $P \Omega_{n}^{\epsilon}(q)(n \geq 7, q$ odd $)$, and let $G$ be $a$ group with socle $G_{0}$ such that $G \leq \operatorname{PGL}(V)$, where $V$ is the natural module for $G_{0}$. Define

$$
r= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}(n-(n, 4)) & \text { if } G_{0}=\operatorname{PSp}_{n}(q) \\ \frac{1}{2}(n-(n, 2)) & \text { if } G_{0}=\operatorname{PSU}_{n}(q) \text { or } P \Omega_{n}^{\epsilon}(q)\end{cases}
$$

Then $b\left(G, \mathcal{N}_{r}\right) \leq 5$.
Theorem 3.1 will follow quickly from the following result. The deduction is given in Section 3B.

| Type of element $x$ | $N_{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| semisimple of odd prime order | $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(n-l_{0}\right)+m^{2}$ |
| semisimple involutions | $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 m}{n}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}$ |
| unipotent of odd prime order | $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(n-\sum_{i \text { odd }} r_{i}\right)+m^{2}$ |
| unipotent involutions of types $b_{l}, c_{l}$ | $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 m+1}{n+2}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}$ |
| unipotent involutions of type $a_{l}$ | $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3 m}{2 n}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}$ |

Table 3.1. Bounds on $\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right)$ for elements $x$ of prime order. Here, $l_{0}$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 in the action of $x$ on $V$, and $r_{i}$ is the number of Jordan blocks of size $i$ in the Jordan form of $x$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ and $r$ be as in Theorem 3.1, and let $H$ be the stabilizer in $G$ of a nondegenerate $r$-subspace in $\mathcal{N}_{r}$. Let $x \in G$ be an element of prime order. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right| / \log \left|x^{G}\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7}{30}$.
(ii) $G_{0}=\operatorname{PSp}_{8}(q)$ and $x$ is a unipotent element with Jordan form $\left(2,1^{6}\right)$.

Our proof is modeled on that of [Burness 2007b, Theorem 1.1], where a similar conclusion is obtained for the action of $G$ on the set of pairs $\left\{U, U^{\perp}\right\}$ of nondegenerate $n / 2$-spaces.

3A. Proof of Theorem 3.2. We shall give a proof of the theorem just for the case where $G_{0}$ is a symplectic group $\operatorname{PSp}_{n}(q)$. The proofs for the orthogonal and unitary groups run along entirely similar lines.

We begin with a lemma on the corresponding algebraic groups. Let $K=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ and $\bar{G}=\mathrm{PSp}_{n}(K)$, and let $V=V_{n}(K)$ be the underlying symplectic space. As in Theorem 3.2, write $r=\frac{1}{2}(n-(n, 4))=$ $\frac{1}{2} n-m$, where $m=\frac{1}{2}(n, 4)$. Let $\bar{H}$ be the stabilizer in $\bar{G}$ of a nondegenerate $r$-subspace, so that $\bar{H}=\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{n / 2-m}(K) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{n / 2+m}(K)\right) /\{ \pm I\}$.

Write $p=\operatorname{char}(K)$. When $p=2$, the classes of involutions in $\bar{G}$ are determined by [Aschbacher and Seitz 1976]: For any odd $l \leq n / 2$, there is one class with Jordan form of type $\left(2^{l}, 1^{n-2 l}\right)$, with representative denoted by $b_{l}$. For any nonzero even $l \leq n / 2$ there are two such classes, with representatives denoted by $a_{l}, c_{l}$. These are distinguished by the fact that $\left(v, v a_{l}\right)=0$ for all $v \in V$.

Lemma 3.3. With the above notation, if $x$ is an element of prime order in $\bar{H}$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right) \leq N_{x}$, where $N_{x}$ is given in Table 3.1.

Proof. Denote by $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}=V_{1}^{\perp}$ the $(n / 2-m)$ - and $(n / 2+m)$-dimensional subspaces of $V$ preserved by $\bar{H}$. First suppose $x \in \bar{H}$ is a semisimple element of odd prime order $t$. Define $\omega$ to be a $t$-th root of unity and let $l_{i}$ be the multiplicity of $\omega^{i}(0 \leq i \leq t-1)$ as an eigenvalue of $x$ in its action on $V$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=\frac{n^{2}+n}{2}-\left(\frac{l_{0}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} l_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

and furthermore, $x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}$ is a union of a finite number of $\bar{H}$-classes, from which we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)+m^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2} l_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} l_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(n-l_{0}\right)+m^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n+2}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+m^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose that $x$ is a semisimple involution. Here $C_{\bar{G}}(x)^{0}$ is the image modulo $\pm I$ of either $\mathrm{GL}_{n / 2}(K)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_{l}(K) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{n-l}(K)$, for some even $l \leq n / 2$. In the first case, $\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=n^{2} / 4+n / 2$ and so

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{n}{4}+\frac{m^{2}}{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(m^{2}-1\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{n}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} .
$$

Now consider the second case, where $C_{\bar{G}}(x)^{0}=\operatorname{Sp}_{l}(K) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{n-l}(K)$. Here $x$ is $\bar{G}$-conjugate to $\left[-I_{l}, I_{n-l}\right]$, and $\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=n l-l^{2}=l(n-l)$. For $j=1,2$, the restriction of $x$ to $V_{j}$ is $\operatorname{Sp}\left(V_{j}\right)$-conjugate to $\left[-I_{l_{j}}, I_{d_{j}-l_{j}}\right]$ for some even integer $l_{j} \geq 0$, where $d_{j}=\operatorname{dim} V_{j}$. Noting that $l=l_{1}+l_{2}$, we then have

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right)=l_{1}\left(\frac{n}{2}-m-l_{1}\right)+l_{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+m-l_{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+m\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 m}{n}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}
$$

Now suppose that $x$ is a unipotent element of odd prime order $p$ and that $x$ has Jordan form on $V$ corresponding to the partition $\left(p^{r_{p}}, \ldots, 1^{r_{1}}\right) \vdash n$. By [Lawther et al. 2002, 1.10],

$$
\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}+n\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\sum_{k=i}^{p} r_{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \text { odd }} r_{i}
$$

Hence, using [Burness 2007b, p.698], we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(n-\sum_{i \text { odd }} r_{i}\right)+m^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n+2}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+m^{2}
$$

Finally, we consider the case where $x$ is a unipotent involution. First suppose that $x$ is $\bar{G}$-conjugate to either $b_{l}$ or $c_{l}$ (as described in the preamble to the lemma). Then [Lawther et al. 2002, 1.10] implies that $\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=l(n-l+1)$. Let $x$ act on $V_{i}$ with associated partition $\left(2^{l_{i}}, 1^{d_{i}-2 l_{i}}\right)$ for $i=1$, 2, where $d_{1}=n / 2-m$ and $d_{2}=n / 2+m$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{l}{2}+m\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 m+1}{n+2}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} .
$$

Lastly, if $x$ is $\bar{G}$-conjugate to $a_{l}$ for some $2 \leq l \leq n / 2$, then by [Lawther et al. 2002, 1.10], $\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=l(n-l)$. By the definition of an $a$-type involution, if $y \in x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}$ fixes a subspace $V_{i}$, then the restriction of $y$ to $V_{i}$ is conjugate to $a_{l_{i}}$ for some even integer $l_{i} \geq 0$. Therefore

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+m\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)
$$

Since $l_{2} \leq \frac{d_{2}}{2}$ and $l_{1}=l-l_{2}$, we see that $l_{2}-l_{1} \leq 3 l(n-l) /(2 n)$, so

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(x^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{H}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3 m}{2 n}\right) \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Now we embark on the proof of Theorem 3.2, considering in turn the various types of elements $x$ of prime order in the symplectic group $G$. We shall frequently use the notation for such elements given in [Burness and Giudici 2016, §3.4]. Our approach in general is to find a function $\kappa(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right|}{\log \left|x^{G}\right|}<\frac{1}{2}+\kappa(n), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa(n)<\frac{7}{30}$ except possibly for some small values of $n$; these small values are then handled separately, usually by direct computation.

Lemma 3.4. The conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds when $x$ is a semisimple element of odd prime order.
Proof. Suppose $x \in H$ is a semisimple element of odd prime order $r$. Let $\mu=\left(l, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ be the tuple associated to $x$ (as defined in [Burness 2007a, Definition 3.27]), and define $i$ to be the smallest natural number such that $r \mid q^{i}-1$. According to [Burness 2007a, 3.30] this means that

$$
\left|C_{G}(x)\right|= \begin{cases}\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{l}(q)\right| \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|\mathrm{GL}_{a_{j}}\left(q^{i}\right)\right| & i \text { odd } \\ \left|\operatorname{Sp}_{l}(q)\right| \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|\mathrm{GU}_{a_{j}}\left(q^{i / 2}\right)\right| & i \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

Let $d$ be the number of nonzero $a_{j}$, and further define $e$ to be equal to 1 or 2 when $i$ is even or odd, respectively. By Lemma 3.3 and adapting the argument given in [Burness 2007b, p.720], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<\left(\frac{n-l}{d i}+1\right)^{d / e} 2^{d(e-1)} q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+(n-l) / 4+m^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, [Burness 2007a, 3.27] implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{G}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{q+1}\right)^{d(2-e)} q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and [Burness 2007a, 3.33] gives the lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}+n-l^{2}-l-\frac{1}{e i}(n-l-i(d-e))^{2}-i(d-e)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

First suppose $m=1$ (so that $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ ). Then (3)-(5) imply that the inequality (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{3}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}$. Note that $\kappa(n)<\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 18$. For $n=6,10,14$, we must either adjust our value of $\kappa(n)$ or compute $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|$ explicitly, since here $\frac{3}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}>\frac{7}{30}$. For $n=14$, we find that (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{7}{30}$ for all choices of $(l, i, d)$ except $(l, i, d)=(0,1,2)$. In the latter case, $H=\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{8}(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{6}(q)\right) /\{ \pm I\}$ and $\left|C_{G}(x)\right|=\left|\mathrm{GL}_{a_{1}}(q)\right|\left|\mathrm{GL}_{a_{2}}(q)\right|$ with $a_{1}+a_{2}=7$. Hence

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|=\sum_{\substack{b_{i} \leq a_{i} \\ b_{1}+b_{2}=4}}\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{8}(q): \mathrm{GL}_{b_{1}}(q) \times \mathrm{GL}_{b_{2}}(q)\right|+\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{6}(q): \mathrm{GL}_{a_{1}-b_{1}}(q) \times \mathrm{GL}_{a_{2}-b_{2}}(q)\right|,
$$

and explicit computation gives $\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right| / \log \left|x^{G}\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7}{30}$. For $n=10$, (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{7}{30}$ for all valid choices of $(l, i, d)$ except $(l, i, d)=(0,1,2)$ or $(0,1,4)$, and again explicit calculations as above
give $\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right| / \log \left|x^{G}\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7}{30}$. Finally, for $n=6$, we find that $\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right| / \log \left|x^{G}\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7}{30}$ for all choices of $x$ with associated parameters $(l, i, d)$.

Now suppose $m=2$. Then (3)-(5) imply that (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{79}{20(n+1)}$ (when $e=1$ ), and with $\kappa(n)=\frac{22}{5(n+2)}$ (when $e=2$ ). We have $\kappa(n)<\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 20$. For $n<20$, explicit calculations of $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|$ as above yield the conclusion.
Lemma 3.5. The conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds when $x$ is a semisimple involution.
Proof. Suppose that $x \in H$ is a semisimple involution. Denote by $s$ the codimension of the largest eigenspace of $x$ on $V=V_{n}(K)$. By [Burness 2007a, 3.37], $\left|C_{G}(x)\right|$ is equal to $\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{s}(q)\right|\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{n-s}(q)\right|$, $\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{n / 2}(q)\right|^{2} .2,\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{n / 2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right| .2$ or $\left|\mathrm{GL}_{n / 2}^{\epsilon}(q)\right| .2$, with $s<\frac{n}{2}$ in the first case, and $s=\frac{n}{2}$ in the latter three cases. Suppose $x$ is as in one of the first two cases. Adapting the analogous argument given in [Burness 2007b, p.720], we deduce that

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<4\left(\frac{q^{2}+1}{q^{2}-1}\right) q^{s(n-s) / 2-m(1-m)} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{2} q^{s(n-s)}
$$

(the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ in the second inequality should be replaced by $\frac{1}{4}$ when $s=\frac{n}{2}$ ). These bounds imply that (2) holds with

$$
\kappa(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{2}{n} & \text { if } s<\frac{n}{2}, m=1, \\
\frac{3}{n+1} & \text { if } s<\frac{n}{2}, m=2, \\
\frac{3}{2 n} & \text { if } s=\frac{n}{2}, n \geq 12
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $n \geq 12$ we have $\kappa(n)<\frac{7}{30}$, giving the conclusion. And for smaller values of $n$, we obtain the conclusion by explicit calculation of the values of $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|$.

Next suppose $\left|C_{G}(x)\right|=2\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{n / 2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|$. Then $\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{4} q^{n^{2} / 4}$ by [Burness 2007a, 3.37]. If $\frac{n}{4}$ is even then $x^{G} \cap H=\varnothing$, so assume $\frac{n}{4}$ is odd. An argument analogous to that at the top of p. 722 of [Burness 2007b] for this case gives $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<\frac{1}{4} q^{\left(n^{2} / 8\right)+2}$. These bounds imply that (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{2}{n}$, and this is less than $\frac{7}{30}$ for all $n \geq 12$.

Finally, suppose that $\left|C_{G}(x)\right|=2\left|\mathrm{GL}_{n / 2}^{\epsilon}(q)\right|$. Again [Burness 2007a, 3.37] and arguments of [Burness 2007b, p.722] give

$$
\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{q}{q+1}\right) q^{n(n+2) / 4} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<\frac{1}{4} q^{n^{2} / 8+n / 2+m^{2} / 2} .
$$

Hence (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{5}{2 n}$, which is less than $\frac{7}{30}$ for $n>10$, and for $n \leq 10$ we obtain the conclusion as usual by explicit calculation of $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|$.

Lemma 3.6. The conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds when $x$ is a unipotent element of odd prime order.
Proof. Let $x \in H$ be a unipotent element of order $p$, and suppose $p$ is odd. Let the Jordan form of $x$ on $V$ correspond to the partition $\lambda \vdash n$. By Lemma 3.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{H}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}+\frac{1}{4}(n-e)+m^{2}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e$ is the number of odd parts in $\lambda$.

Case $\lambda=\left(k^{n / k}\right)$ : Since $k$ must divide both $\frac{n}{2}-m$ and $\frac{n}{2}+m$, we have $k=2$ or 4 (the latter only if $m=2$ ). Arguing as at the bottom of p. 722 of [Burness 2007b], we have $\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} \geq \frac{1}{4} n(n+2)$, and also

$$
\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{q}{q+1} q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|=\left|x^{H}\right|<4 q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{H}}} \leq 4 q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+(n-e) / 4+m^{2}}
$$

These bounds imply that (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{3}{n+1}$, which is less than $\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 12$. As usual, for smaller values of $n$ we obtain the result by explicit computation of $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|$.

Case $\lambda=\left(2^{j}, 1^{n-2 j}\right), n-2 j>0$ : First suppose $j=1$. Then $\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{4} q^{n}$ and $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<q^{n / 2+m}+q^{n / 2-m}$. This implies that $\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right| / \log \left|x^{G}\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7}{30}$ for all values of $n \geq 6$ except $n=8$. The case $n=8$ is the exception in part (ii) of Theorem 3.2.

Next suppose that $j=2$. Here $\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{4(q+1)} q^{2 n-1}$. Since the two Jordan blocks of size 2 can lie in the two different subspaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, or in the same one, we have

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<q^{(n-2 m) / 2+(n+2 m) / 2}+2 q^{n-4+m(m-1)}+2 q^{n+m(m-1)}
$$

Hence (2) holds with $\kappa(n)=\frac{3}{n+1}$, which is less than $\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 12$. For smaller values of $n$ we obtain the conclusion by explicit computations of $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|$.

Finally, assume $j \geq 3$ (and so $n \geq 8$ since $n-2 j>0$ ). The number of ways to distribute the $j$ Jordan blocks of size 2 amongst the subspaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ is at most $j+1$. Then, adapting the analogous bound in [Burness 2007b, p.723] and making use of Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<4(j+1) q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+j / 2+m^{2}}
$$

and as in [Burness 2007b, p.723], we have $\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{4} q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}}=\frac{1}{4} q^{j(n-j+1)}$. This yields (2) with $\kappa(n)=\frac{4}{n+2}$, which is less than $\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 16$. As usual, smaller values of $n$ are handled by direct computation.
Case $\lambda=\left(k^{a_{k}}, \ldots, 2^{a_{2}}, 1^{l}\right), k \leq n / 2+m$ : In the computations below, we adapt the arguments on $p .723$ of [Burness 2007b]. Let $d$ be the number of nonzero $a_{i}$. Then

$$
\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{2^{d+1}}\left(\frac{q}{q+1}\right)^{d} q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}}
$$

If $d=1$ then $\lambda=\left(k^{(n-l) / k}, 1^{l}\right)$, and we can take $k>2$ by the previous case. By [Lawther et al. 2002, 1.10], we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=\frac{n^{2}}{2}+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{l(n-l)}{k}-\frac{l^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2 k}(n-l)^{2}-\frac{l}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2 k}(n-l)
$$

where $\alpha$ is zero if $k$ is even and one if $k$ is odd. Arguing as in [Burness 2007b, p.723] we also have

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<\left(\frac{n-l}{k}+1\right) 2^{2} q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+(n-l)(1-\alpha / k) / 4+m^{2}}
$$

These bounds imply (2) with $\kappa(n)=\frac{3}{n-3}$, which is less than $\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 16$, and smaller values of $n$ are handed by explicit computation.

Now suppose that $d \geq 2$. By [Burness 2007b, p.723],

$$
\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} \geq \frac{1}{4} n^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(d^{2}-d+2\right)-\frac{1}{16} d^{4}-\frac{1}{24} d^{3}+\frac{3}{16} d^{2}-\frac{1}{3} d-\frac{1}{4} l^{2}-\frac{1}{2},
$$

and adapting the analogous bound given in [Burness 2007b, p.723] and referring to Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<4^{d}\left(\frac{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{d^{2}}{4}+\frac{d}{4}-\frac{l}{2}-1}{d}+1\right)^{d} q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+(n-l) / 4+m^{2}} .
$$

These bounds give (2) with $\kappa(n)=\frac{4}{n}$, which is less than $\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 18$, and smaller values of $n$ are handed by explicit computation.

Lemma 3.7. The conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds when $x$ is a unipotent involution.
Proof. Let $p=2$, and recall the description of the involution class representatives $a_{l}, b_{l}, c_{l}$ of $G$ in the preamble to Lemma 3.3.

First assume that $x$ is conjugate to $a_{l}$ for some even integer $l$ with $2 \leq l \leq \frac{n}{2}$. If $l=2$, then by [Lawther et al. 2002, 1.10] and [Burness 2007a, Proposition 3.9] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<2 q^{2(n / 2-m-2)}+2 q^{2(n / 2+m-2)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $l \geq 4$ then we may adapt the analogous equation in [Burness 2007b, p.723] and obtain

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<\left(\frac{l}{2}+1\right) 2^{2} q^{(1 / 2+3 m /(2 n)) l(n-l)} .
$$

Furthermore, for all $l$, by [Burness 2007b, p.723]

$$
\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{2} q^{l(n-l)} .
$$

These bounds imply that $\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right| / \log \left|x^{G}\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7}{30}$, provided $n \geq 14$ when $l=2$, and $n \geq 24$ when $l \geq 4$. Smaller values of $n$ can be dealt with by explicit computation of $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|$.

Now suppose that $x$ is conjugate to either a $b_{l}$ - or $c_{l}$-type involution. If $l=1$ then by [Lawther et al. 2002, 1.10] and [Burness 2007a, Proposition 3.9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<q^{n / 2-m}+q^{n / 2+m}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $l=2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<q^{n}+q^{2(n / 2-m-1)}+q^{2(n / 2+m-1)} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $l \geq 3$, then by adapting the analogous argument in [Burness 2007b, p.724], we deduce

$$
\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|<4\left(\frac{q^{2}+1}{q^{2}-1}\right)\left(q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+2 m-1}+q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+m-1}\right)+4\left(\frac{q^{2}+1}{q^{2}-1}\right) q^{\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}} / 2+l / 2+m}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} x^{\bar{G}}=l(n-l+1)$. Lastly, [Burness 2007b, p.724] gives

$$
\left|x^{G}\right|>\frac{1}{2} q^{l(n-l+1)} .
$$

As usual, these bounds imply that $\log \left|x^{G} \cap H\right| / \log \left|x^{G}\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{7}{30}$ for $n \geq 14$, and explicit computations give the same conclusion for smaller values of $n$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.
3B. Deduction of Theorem 3.1. The deduction of Theorem 3.1 from Theorem 3.2 proceeds along the lines of the proof of [Burness 2007c, 1.1].

First we shall require a small extension of [Burness 2007c, Proposition 2.2]. For a finite group $G$, define

$$
\eta_{G}(t)=\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}}|C|^{-t}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of conjugacy classes of elements of prime order in $G$.
Lemma 3.8. Let $G$ be a finite classical group as in Theorem 3.1, with $n \geq 6$.
(i) Then $\eta_{G}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)<1$.
(ii) Let $G=\operatorname{PGSp}_{8}(q)$. Then $\eta_{G}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)<0.396$.

Proof. (i) This is [Burness 2007c, Proposition 2.2].
(ii) We compute the sizes of the conjugacy classes with each centralizer type using [Burness and Giudici 2016, Table B.7], and bound the number of classes with each centralizer type using the same arguments as those given in the proof of [Burness 2007c, Lemma 3.2]. The result follows from these computations.

We also need to cover separately the two cases of Theorem 3.1 for dimensions less than 6 .
Lemma 3.9. Theorem 3.1 holds for $G_{0}=\operatorname{PSU}_{4}(q)$ or $\operatorname{PSU}_{5}(q)$.
Proof. Consider the first case. Here $G=\mathrm{PGU}_{4}(q)$ acting on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$, the set of nondegenerate 1 -spaces. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{4}$ be an orthonormal basis of the natural module for $G$. If $q$ is odd, then $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{3}\right\rangle$, $\left\langle v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}\right\rangle$ is a base for the action of $G$; and if $q$ is even, then $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}\right\rangle$, $\left\langle v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}\right\rangle$ is a base.

Now let $G=\operatorname{PGU}_{5}(q)$ acting on $\mathcal{N}_{2}$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{5}$ be an orthonormal basis. Any element of $G$ that fixes the three nondegenerate 2 -spaces $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{2}, v_{3}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle v_{3}, v_{4}\right\rangle$ also fixes $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{5}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle v_{4}, v_{5}\right\rangle$ (as these are $\left\langle v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\rangle^{\perp}$ and $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\rangle^{\perp}$ ), hence fixes all the 1 -spaces $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle v_{5}\right\rangle$. Hence adding two further nondegenerate 2-spaces intersecting in $\left\langle v_{1}+\cdots+v_{5}\right\rangle$ to the first three gives a base of size 5 .
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ and $r$ be as in the statement of Theorem 3.1, and let $H$ be the stabilizer of a nondegenerate $r$-subspace in $\mathcal{N}_{r}$. In view of Lemma 3.9, we may assume that the dimension $n \geq 6$.

For a positive integer $c$, let $Q(G, c)$ be the probability that a randomly chosen $c$-tuple of elements of $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ does not form a base for $G$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(G, c) \leq \sum_{x \in X}\left|x^{G}\right|\left(\frac{\operatorname{fix}_{\mathcal{N}_{r}}(x)}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{r}\right|}\right)^{c}=\sum_{x \in X}\left|x^{G}\right|\left(\frac{\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|}{\left|x^{G}\right|}\right)^{c} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is a set of conjugacy class representatives of the elements of $G$ of prime order. Clearly $G$ has a base of size $c$ if and only if $Q(G, c)<1$.

Assume for the moment that $G_{0} \neq \mathrm{PSp}_{8}(q)$. Then by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$
\frac{\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|}{\left|x^{G}\right|}<\left|x^{G}\right|^{-1 / 2+7 / 30}
$$

for all elements $x \in G$ of prime order. Hence it follows from (10) that

$$
Q(G, 5)<\sum_{x \in X}\left|x^{G}\right|^{1+5(-1 / 2+7 / 30)}=\eta_{G}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) .
$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.8(i), $G$ has a base of size 5 , as required.
It remains to consider the case where $G_{0}=\operatorname{PSp}_{8}(q)$. Here Theorem 3.2(ii) gives $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right| /\left|x^{G}\right|<$ $\left|x^{G}\right|^{-1 / 2+7 / 30}$ for all elements $x \in G$ of prime order, except when $x$ is a unipotent element with Jordan form $\left(2,1^{6}\right)$. In the latter case $\left|x^{G}\right|=q^{8}-1$ and $\left|x^{G} \cap H\right|=q^{6}+q^{2}-2$. Hence

$$
Q(G, 5)<\eta_{G}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+\left(q^{8}-1\right)\left(\frac{q^{6}+q^{2}-2}{q^{8}-1}\right)^{5}
$$

and this is less than 1 for all $q$, by Lemma 3.8(ii).
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Thus $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)=\mathrm{GL}_{d}(q)$, and $E(G)$ is quasisimple and absolutely irreducible on $V$. Then the group $Z:=Z(G)$ consists of scalars, and $G / Z$ is almost simple. Let $G_{0}$ be the socle of $G / Z$. Note that $G_{0}=E(G) /(Z \cap E(G))$.

Lemma 4.1. If $G_{0}$ is exceptional of Lie type or sporadic, then $b(G) \leq 6$.
Proof. Pick $v \in V \backslash\{0\}$, and consider the action of $G$ on the orbit $\Delta=v^{G}$. By Lemma 2.1(i), if $G_{0} \neq M_{24}$ then there exist $Z$-orbits $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{6}$ such that $G_{\delta_{1} \cdots \delta_{6}} \leq Z$. Hence $b(G) \leq 6$. The case where $G_{0}=M_{24}$ is taken care of in Remark 4.3 below.

Lemma 4.2. Theorem 1(i) or (iii) holds if $G_{0}$ is an alternating group.
Proof. This follows from [Fawcett et al. 2016, Theorem 1.1].
In view of the previous two lemmas, we can suppose from now on that $G_{0}$ is a classical simple group. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(G) \geq 7 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We aim to show that conclusion (ii) of Theorem 1 must hold. By the above assumption, the dimension $d \geq 7$, and also every element of $V^{6}$ is fixed by some element of prime order in $G \backslash Z$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{6}=\bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{P}} C_{V^{6}}(g) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the set of elements of prime order in $G \backslash Z$. Now $\left|C_{V^{6}}(g)\right|=\left|C_{V}(g)\right|^{6}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} C_{V}(g) \leq\left\lfloor\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha(g)}\right) \operatorname{dim} V\right\rfloor \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(g)$ is as defined in the preamble to Lemma 2.2 (strictly speaking, it is $\alpha(g Z)$ for $g Z \in G / Z$ ). Writing $\alpha=\alpha\left(G_{0}\right)$, it follows that

$$
|V|^{6}=q^{6 d} \leq|\mathcal{P}| q^{6\lfloor d(1-1 / \alpha)\rfloor} .
$$

Since $|G|=|Z||G / Z| \leq(q-1)\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{0}\right)\right|$, we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{6\lceil d / \alpha\rceil} \leq|\mathcal{P}|<|G| \leq(q-1)\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{0}\right)\right| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.3. Using (14) we can handle the case $G_{0}=M_{24}$ as follows, completing the proof of Lemma 4.1: we have $\alpha\left(M_{24}\right) \leq 4$ by [Goodwin 2000, 2.4], so (14) yields $\frac{6}{4} d<\log _{2}\left|M_{24}\right|$, hence $d \leq 18$. By [Hiss and Malle 2001], this forces $d=11$ and $q=2$, so $G=M_{24}<\mathrm{GL}_{11}(2)$. Here $V$ or $V^{*}$ is a quotient of the binary Golay code of length 24 , dimension 12 , by a trivial submodule, and we see from [Conway et al. 1985, p.94] that there is a $G$-orbit on $V$ of size 276 or 759 on which $G$ acts primitively. The base sizes of these actions of $M_{24}$ are less than 7, by [Burness et al. 2010], and the conclusion follows. Similar, much simpler, computations also rule out the cases where $G_{0}$ is one of the three small groups in the conclusion of Lemma 2.2(vii).

Let $q=p^{a}$, where $p$ is prime. The analysis divides naturally, according to whether or not the underlying characteristic of $G_{0}$ is equal to $p$ - that is, whether or not $G_{0}$ is in the set $\operatorname{Lie}(p)$.

Lemma 4.4. Under assumption (11), $G_{0}$ is not in $\operatorname{Lie}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose $G_{0} \in \operatorname{Lie}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. Lower bounds for $d=\operatorname{dim} V$ are given by [Landazuri and Seitz 1974; Seitz and Zalesskii 1993], and the values of $\alpha$ by Lemma 2.2. Plugging these into (14) (and also using the fact that $d \geq 7$ ), we see that $G_{0}$ must be one of the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{PSp}_{4}(3), \mathrm{PSp}_{4}(5), \mathrm{Sp}_{6}(2), \mathrm{PSp}_{6}(3), \mathrm{PSp}_{8}(3), \mathrm{PSp}_{10}(3), \\
& U_{3}(3), U_{4}(3), U_{5}(2), \\
& \Omega_{7}(3), \Omega_{8}^{+}(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point we use [Hiss and Malle 2001], which gives the dimensions and fields of definition of all the irreducible projective representations of the above groups of dimension up to 250 . Combining this information with (14) leaves just the following possibilities:

| $G_{0}$ | $d$ | $q$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $U_{5}(2)$ | 10 | 3 |
| $U_{4}(3)$ | 20 | 2 |
| $\operatorname{SP}_{6}(2)$ | 7,8 | $q \leq 11$ |
| $\Omega_{8}^{+}(2)$ | 14 | 8 |

Consider first $G_{0}=U_{5}(2)$. Here $G=\langle-I\rangle \times U_{5}(2) .2<\mathrm{GL}_{10}(3)$, and the Brauer character of this representation of $G$ is given in [Conway et al. 1985]. From this we can read off the dimensions of the fixed point spaces of $3^{\prime}$-elements of prime order. These are as follows, using Atlas notation:

| $g$ | $2 A,-2 A$ | $2 B,-2 B$ | $2 C,-2 C$ | $5 A$ | $11 A B$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{dim} C_{V}(g)$ | 2,8 | 6,4 | 5,5 | 2 | 0 |

Also $\alpha \leq 5$ by Lemma 2.2, so (13) gives $\operatorname{dim} C_{V}(g) \leq 8$ for all elements $g \in G$ of order 3. At this point, the inequality $|V|^{6} \leq \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}}\left|C_{V}(g)\right|^{6}$ implied by (12) gives

$$
3^{60} \leq|2 A| \cdot\left(3^{12}+3^{48}\right)+|2 B| \cdot\left(3^{24}+3^{36}\right)+|2 C| \cdot\left(3^{30}+3^{30}\right)+|5 A| \cdot 3^{12}+|3 A B C D E F| \cdot 3^{48},
$$

where $|2 A|$ denotes the size of the conjugacy class of $2 A$-elements, and so on. This is a contradiction.
This method works for all the cases in the above table, except $\left(G_{0}, d, q\right)=\left(\Omega_{8}^{+}(2), 8,3\right)$; in this case the crude inequality $|V|^{6} \leq \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}}\left|C_{V}(g)\right|^{6}$ implied by (12) does not yield a contradiction. Here we have $G \leq 2 . \Omega_{8}^{+}(2) .2<\mathrm{GL}(V)=\mathrm{GL}_{8}(3)$. Observe that $\Omega_{8}^{+}(2) .2$ has a subgroup $N=S_{3} \times \Omega_{6}^{-}(2) .2$, and $N$ is the normalizer of $\langle x\rangle$, where $x$ is an element of order 3 . Then $C_{V}(x) \neq 0$, and $N$ must fix a 1 -space in $C_{V}(x)$. Moreover, we compute that the minimal base size of $\Omega_{8}^{+}(2) .2$ acting on the cosets of $N$ is equal to 4 . It follows that there are four 1-spaces in $V$ whose pointwise stabilizer in $G$ is $Z$. Hence $b(G) \leq 4$ in this case.

In view of the previous lemmas, from now on we may assume that $G_{0}=\mathrm{Cl}_{n}\left(q_{0}\right)$, a classical simple group over a field $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}}$ of characteristic $p$, with natural module of dimension $n$. There are various standard isomorphisms between classical groups of low dimensions (e.g., $L_{4}\left(q_{0}\right) \cong P \Omega_{6}^{+}\left(q_{0}\right)$ ); in such cases we adopt the notation $\mathrm{Cl}_{n}\left(q_{0}\right)$ taking $n$ to be the minimal possible value. Recall that $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)=\mathrm{GL}_{d}(q)$ and $G_{0}=\operatorname{soc}(G / Z)=E(G) /(Z \cap E(G))$. The next lemma identifies the possible highest weights for $V$ as a module for the quasisimple classical group $E(G)$.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose as above that $G_{0}=\mathrm{Cl}_{n}\left(q_{0}\right)$, a classical group in $\operatorname{Lie}(p)$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and one of the following holds:
(1) $V=V(\lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is one of the weights $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 2 \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+p^{i} \lambda_{1}$, or $\lambda_{1}+p^{i} \lambda_{n-1}(i>0)$ (listed up to automorphisms of $G_{0}$, the last one only for $\left.G_{0}=L_{n}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)\right)$.
(2) $G_{0}=L_{n}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)(n \geq 3)$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{n-1}\right)$.
(3) $G_{0}=L_{n}\left(q_{0}\right)(7 \leq n \leq 21)$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{3}\right)$.
(4) $G_{0}=L_{6}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{3}\right)$.
(5) $G_{0}=L_{8}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{4}\right)$.
(6) $G_{0}=\mathrm{PSp}_{6}\left(q_{0}\right)$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{3}\right)(p$ odd $)$.
(7) $G_{0}=\mathrm{PSp}_{8}\left(q_{0}\right)$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{3}\right)(p$ odd $)$ or $V\left(\lambda_{4}\right)(p$ odd $)$.
(8) $G_{0}=\mathrm{PSp}_{10}\left(q_{0}\right)$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{3}\right)(p=2)$.
(9) $G_{0}=P \Omega_{n}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)(7 \leq n \leq 20, n \neq 8)$ and $V$ is a spin or half-spin module.

Proof. Assume first that $q_{0}>q$. Then by [Kleidman and Liebeck 1990, 5.4.6], there is an integer $s \geq 2$ such that $q_{0}=q^{s}$ and $d=m^{s}$, where $m$ is the dimension of an irreducible module for $E(G)$. Note that $m \geq n$ (by the minimal choice of $n$ ). By (14),

$$
q^{6 m^{s} / \alpha} \leq(q-1)\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{n}\left(q^{s}\right)\right)\right|
$$

Lemma 2.2 shows that $\alpha \leq n+2$ (excluding the small groups in Lemma 2.2(vii) which were ruled out in Remark 4.3), and hence

$$
q^{6 m^{s} /(n+2)} \leq(q-1)\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{n}\left(q^{s}\right)\right)\right|<(q-1) q^{s\left(n^{2}-1\right)}\left(2 s \log _{p} q\right)
$$

Since $m \geq n$, it follows from this that $s=2$ and

$$
m^{2}<\frac{(n+2)\left(2 n^{2}+1\right)}{6}
$$

Now using [Lübeck 2001], we deduce that $m=n$ and so

$$
E(G) \leq \mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)<\mathrm{SL}_{n^{2}}(q)
$$

As in [Liebeck and Shalev 2002, p.104], we see that there is a vector $v$ such that $E(G)_{v} \leq \mathrm{SU}_{n}(q)$. By Lemma 2.1, the base size of an almost simple group with socle $L_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)$ acting on the cosets of a subgroup containing $U_{n}(q)$ is at most 4 . Hence there are 1 -spaces $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{4}$ whose pointwise stabilizer in $G$ is equal to $Z$, and so $b(G) \leq 4$ in this case. This contradicts our initial assumption that $b(G) \geq 7$.

Hence we may assume now that $q_{0} \leq q$, so that $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by [Kleidman and Liebeck 1990, 5.4.6]. Now (14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d<\frac{\alpha}{6}\left(1+\log _{q}\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{0}\right)\right|\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that apart from the case where $G_{0}=P \Omega_{8}^{+}\left(q_{0}\right)$, we have $\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(G_{0}\right)\right| \leq q$, it now follows using Lemma 2.2 that $d<N$, where $N$ is as defined in Table 4.1.

| $G_{0}$ | $N$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $L_{n}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{6} n\left(1+n^{2}\right), n>4$ |
|  | $\frac{1}{6}(n+2)\left(1+n^{2}\right), n \leq 4$ |
| $\operatorname{PSp}_{n}\left(q_{0}\right), n \geq 4$ | $\frac{1}{6}(n+1)\left(2+\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)\right), n>4$ |
|  | $10, n=4$ |
| $P \Omega_{n}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right), n \geq 7$ | $\frac{1}{6} n\left(2+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)\right)+\delta$ |

Table 4.1. Where $\delta$ is $\log _{q} 6$ if $G_{0}=P \Omega_{8}^{+}\left(q_{0}\right)$, and $\delta=0$ otherwise.
Now applying the bounds in [Lübeck 2001] (and also the improved bound for type $A$ in [Martínez 2017]), we see that with one possible exception, one of the cases (1)-(9) in the conclusion holds. The
possible exception is $G_{0}=L_{4}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$ with $p=3$ and $V=V\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)$, of dimension 16. But in this case $G$ does not contain a graph automorphism of $G_{0}$ (since the weight $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$ is not fixed by a graph automorphism), and so [Guralnick and Saxl 2003, 4.1] implies that we can take $\alpha=4$ in (15), and this rules out this case.

Lemma 4.6. Under the above assumption (11), $G_{0}$ is not as in (3)-(9) of Lemma 4.5.
Proof. Suppose $G_{0}$ is as in (3)-(9) of Lemma 4.5. First we consider the actions of the simple algebraic groups $\bar{G}$ over $K=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ corresponding to $G_{0}$ on the $K \bar{G}$-modules $\bar{V}=V \otimes K=V_{\bar{G}}(\lambda)$. Define

$$
M_{\lambda}=\min \left\{\operatorname{codim} V_{\gamma}(g) \mid \gamma \in K^{*}, g \in \bar{G} \backslash Z(\bar{G})\right\} .
$$

By Lemma 2.3, a lower bound for $M_{\lambda}$ is given by $\min \left(s_{\lambda}, s_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$, and simple calculations give the following lower bounds:

| $\bar{G}$ | $\lambda$ | $M_{\lambda} \geq$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A_{n}(n \geq 5)$ | $\lambda_{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)$ |
| $A_{7}$ | $\lambda_{4}$ | 20 |
| $C_{3}$ | $\lambda_{3}(p>2)$ | 4 |
| $C_{4}$ | $\lambda_{3}(p>2)$ | 13 |
|  | $\lambda_{4}(p>2)$ | 13 |
| $C_{5}$ | $\lambda_{3}(p=2)$ | 25 |
| $D_{n}(n \geq 5)$ | $\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n}$ | $2^{n-3}$ |
| $B_{n}(n \geq 3)$ | $\lambda_{n}$ | $2^{n-2}$ |

Apart from cases (4) and (5) of Lemma 4.5, the group $G / Z$ is contained in $\bar{G} / Z$; in cases (4) and (5), a graph automorphism of $\bar{G}$ may also be present. Thus excluding (4) and (5), we see that (12) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{6 M_{\lambda}} \leq|G| . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounds for $M_{\lambda}$ in the above table now give a contradiction, except when $\bar{G}=D_{n}(n \leq 6)$ or $B_{n}(n \leq 5)$.
We now consider the cases $\bar{G}=D_{n}(n \leq 6)$ or $B_{n}(n \leq 5)$. Since $B_{n-1}(q)<D_{n}(q)<\mathrm{GL}(V)$, it suffices to deal with $\bar{G}=D_{6}, D_{5}$ or $B_{3}$.

Suppose $G_{0}=D_{6}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$ with $\mathbb{F}_{q_{0}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q}$. By Lemma 2.4(i), for any element $g \in G$ that is not a scalar multiple of a root element, we have $\operatorname{codim} C_{V}(g) \geq 12$; and for root elements $u$, from the above table we have $\operatorname{codim} C_{V}(u) \geq 8$. The number of root elements in $G_{0}$ is less than $2 q^{18}$. Hence (12) gives

$$
|V|^{6}=q^{32 \times 6} \leq 2 q^{18}(q-1) \cdot q^{24 \times 6}+|G| q^{20 \times 6},
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now suppose $G_{0}=D_{5}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$. We perform a similar calculation, using Lemma 2.4(ii). The number of semisimple elements $s$ of $G$ for which $C_{\bar{G}}(s)^{\prime}=A_{4}$ is at most $|Z| \cdot(q-1)\left|D_{5}^{\epsilon}(q): A_{4}^{\epsilon}(q) .(q-1)\right|<2 q^{22}$. The number of root elements in $G_{0}$ is less than $2 q^{14}$, and the number of unipotent elements in the class
$\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)^{(1)}$ is less than $2 q^{20}$ (these have centralizer in $D_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$ of order $q^{14}\left|\operatorname{Sp}_{4}(q)\right|(q-\epsilon)$, see [Liebeck and Seitz 2012, Table 8.6a]). Hence (12) together with Lemma 2.4(ii) gives

$$
q^{16 \times 6} \leq 2\left(q^{14}+q^{20}\right)(q-1) q^{12 \times 6}+2 q^{22} q^{10 \times 6}+|G| q^{8 \times 6} .
$$

This is a contradiction.
Next consider $G_{0}=B_{3}\left(q_{0}\right)$. In the action on the spin module $V$, there is a vector $v$ with stabilizer $G_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)$ in $B_{3}\left(q_{0}\right)$. Hence $b(G) \leq 4$ in this case, by Lemma 2.1(ii).

It remains to handle cases (4) and (5), where $G$ may contain graph automorphisms of $\bar{G}$. For $G_{0}=L_{6}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$ or $L_{8}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$, the conjugacy classes of involutions in the coset of a graph automorphism are given by [Aschbacher and Seitz 1976, §19] for $q$ even and by [Gorenstein et al. 1998, 4.5.1] for $q$ odd. It follows that the number of such involutions is less than $2 q^{21}$ or $2 q^{36}$ in case (4) or (5), respectively. For such an involution $g$, by (13) we have $\operatorname{dim} C_{V}(g) \leq 16$ or 60 , respectively. All other elements of prime order in $G$ lie in $\bar{G} Z$, hence have fixed point space of codimension at least $M_{\lambda}$. Hence we see that (12) gives

$$
|V|^{6}= \begin{cases}q^{20 \times 6} \leq|G| \cdot q^{14 \times 6}+2 q^{21} \cdot q^{16 \times 6} & \text { in case (4) }, \\ q^{70 \times 6} \leq|G| \cdot q^{50 \times 6}+2 q^{36} \cdot q^{60 \times 6} & \text { in case (5) } .\end{cases}
$$

Both of these yield contradictions.
This completes the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 4.7. The group $G_{0}$ is not as in (2) of Lemma 4.5.
Proof. Here $G_{0}=L_{n}^{\epsilon}\left(q_{0}\right)$ with $n \geq 3$, and $V=V\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{n-1}\right)$. Suppose first that $\epsilon=+$. Then $G / Z \leq \operatorname{PGL}_{n}(q)$, and $V$ can be identified with $T / T_{0}$, where

$$
T=\left\{A \in M_{n \times n}(q): \operatorname{Tr}(A)=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{0}=\left\{\lambda I_{n}: n \lambda=0\right\},
$$

and the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(q)$ is by conjugation. By [Steinberg 1962], we can choose $X, Y \in \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}\left(q_{0}\right)$ generating $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}\left(q_{0}\right)$. Let $x=\operatorname{Tr}(X), y=\operatorname{Tr}(Y)$, and define

$$
A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & 0 \\
0 & -x
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Y & 0 \\
0 & -y
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-x & 0 \\
0 & X
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-y & 0 \\
0 & Y
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{4}\right\}$ is a base for the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(q)$, and hence $b(G) \leq 4$.
Now suppose $\epsilon=-$, so that $G / Z \leq \operatorname{PGU}_{n}(q)$, where we take $\mathrm{GU}_{n}(q)=\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right): g^{T} g^{(q)}=I\right\}$. Then we can identify $V$ with the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-space $S$ modulo scalars, where

$$
S=\left\{A \in M_{n \times n}\left(q^{2}\right): \operatorname{Tr}(A)=0, A^{T}=A^{(q)}\right\}
$$

with $\mathrm{GU}_{n}(q)$ acting by conjugation. As in [Liebeck and Shalev 2002, p.104], there is a vector $A \in V$ such that $\mathrm{GU}_{n}(q)_{A} \leq N_{r}$, where $N_{r}$ is the stabilizer of a nondegenerate $r$-space and $r=\frac{1}{2} n$ or $\frac{1}{2}(n-(n, 2))$. In the first case, the base size of $\mathrm{PGU}_{n}(q)$ acting on $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ is at most 5, by Lemma 2.1(ii) (since in this case $N_{r}$ is contained in a nonsubspace subgroup of type $\mathrm{GU}_{n / 2}(q)$ 2 $S_{2}$ ); and the same holds in the second case, by Theorem 3.1. It follows that $b(G) \leq 5$, contradicting our assumption (11).

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed by the following lemma.
Lemma 4.8. If $G_{0}$ is as in (1) of Lemma 4.5, then conclusion (ii) of Theorem 1 holds.
Proof. Here $G_{0}=\mathrm{Cl}_{n}\left(q_{0}\right)$, and $V=V(\lambda)$ with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 2 \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+p^{i} \lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda_{1}+p^{i} \lambda_{n-1}$.
If $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$, then $d=n$ and $E(G)=\mathrm{Cl}_{d}\left(q_{0}\right)$ is as in part (ii) of Theorem 1.
Now consider $\lambda=\lambda_{2}$. Here we argue as in the proof of [Liebeck and Shalev 2002, 2.2] (see p.102). Assume first that $V=\wedge^{2} W$ where $W$ is the natural module for $\mathrm{Cl}_{n}\left(q_{0}\right)$ (with scalars extended to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ). Then $E(G)$ lies in the action of $\operatorname{SL}(W)$ on this space. If $n$ is even, then the argument in [loc. cit.] provides a vector $v \in V$ such that $\operatorname{SL}(W)_{v}=\operatorname{Sp}(W)$, and so $b(G) \leq b(\operatorname{PGL}(W) / \operatorname{PSp}(W))$. By Lemma 2.1(ii), this is at most 4 , provided $n \geq 6$; for $n=4$, the action $\mathrm{PGL}_{4} / \mathrm{PSp}_{4}$ is a subspace action (it is $O_{6} / N_{1}$ ), so Lemma 2.1 does not apply - but an easy argument shows that the base size is at most 5 in this case. And if $n$ is odd, say $n=2 k+1$, then the argument in [loc. cit.] gives three vectors with stabilizer normalizing a subgroup $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 k}$, and then adding three further vectors gives a base - so $b(G) \leq 6$ (again, a slightly different argument is needed for the case $2 k=4$, but this is straightforward). Now assume $V \neq \wedge^{2} W$. Then $V$ is equal to $\left(\wedge^{2} W\right)^{+}$(which is $f^{\perp}$ or $f^{\perp} /\langle f\rangle$ in the notation of [loc. cit., p.103]), and $E(G)$ lies in the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ on this space; the argument in [loc. cit.] gives

$$
b(G) \leq b\left(\operatorname{PSp}(W), \mathcal{N}_{r}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ is the set of nondegenerate subspaces of dimension $r$ and $r=\frac{1}{2} n$ or $\frac{1}{2}(n-(n, 4))$. As before, Lemma 2.1(ii) (in the first case) and Theorem 3.1 (in the second) now give $b(G) \leq 5$.

The case where $\lambda=2 \lambda_{1}$ is similar to the $\lambda_{2}$ case, arguing as in [loc. cit., p.103]. Note that $p$ is odd here. If $G_{0}$ is not an orthogonal group, then $E(G) \leq \mathrm{SL}(W)$ acting on $V=S^{2} W$, and there is a vector $v$ such that $\operatorname{SL}(W)_{v}=\mathrm{SO}(W)$; hence $b(G) \leq b(\mathrm{SL}(W) / \mathrm{SO}(W)) \leq 4$, by Lemma 2.1(ii). And if $G_{0}$ is orthogonal, then $V=\left(S^{2} W\right)^{+}$(of dimension $\operatorname{dim} S^{2} W-\delta, \delta \in\{1,2\}$ ), and we see as in the previous case that $b(G) \leq b\left(P G O(W), \mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ with $r=\frac{1}{2}(n-(n, 2))$. Hence Theorem 3.1 gives $b(G) \leq 5$ again.

Finally, suppose $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+p^{i} \lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda_{1}+p^{i} \lambda_{n-1}$. Here as in [loc. cit., p.103], we have $E(G) \leq \operatorname{SL}(W)=$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(q)$ acting on $V=W \otimes W^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$ or $W \otimes\left(W^{*}\right)^{\left(p^{i}\right)}$. We can think of the action of $\mathrm{SL}(W)$ on $V$ as the action on $n \times n$ matrices, where $g \in \operatorname{SL}(W)$ sends

$$
A \rightarrow g^{T} A g^{\left(p^{i}\right)} \text { or } g^{-1} A g^{\left(p^{i}\right)} .
$$

Hence we see that the stabilizer of the identity matrix $I$ is contained in $\mathrm{SU}_{n}\left(q^{1 / 2}\right)$ or $\mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(q^{1 / r}\right)$ for some $r>1$, and so as usual Lemma 2.1(ii) gives $b(G) \leq 5$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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