

# On the relative Galois module structure of rings of integers in tame extensions 

Adebisi Agboola and Leon R. McCulloh

Let $F$ be a number field with ring of integers $O_{F}$ and let $G$ be a finite group. We describe an approach to the study of the set of realisable classes in the locally free class group $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ of $O_{F} G$ that involves applying the work of McCulloh in the context of relative algebraic $K$ theory. For a large class of soluble groups $G$, including all groups of odd order, we show (subject to certain mild conditions) that the set of realisable classes is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. This may be viewed as being a partial analogue in the setting of Galois module theory of a classical theorem of Shafarevich on the inverse Galois problem for soluble groups.
Introduction ..... 1824

1. Notation and conventions ..... 1829
2. Principal homogeneous spaces and resolvends ..... 1830
3. Resolvends and cohomology ..... 1834
4. Determinants and character maps ..... 1839
5. Twisted forms and relative $K$-groups ..... 1844
6. Cohomological classes in relative $K$-groups ..... 1848
7. Local extensions I ..... 1851
8. Local extensions II ..... 1857
9. The Stickelberger pairing ..... 1859
10. The Stickelberger map and transpose homomorphisms ..... 1862
11. Modified ray class groups ..... 1868
12. Proof of Theorem 6.6 ..... 1871
13. Realisable classes from field extensions ..... 1872
14. Abelian groups ..... 1875
15. Neukirch's lifting theorem ..... 1877
16. Soluble groups ..... 1880
Acknowledgements ..... 1884
References ..... 1885
[^0]
## Introduction

Suppose that $F$ is a number field with ring of integers $O_{F}$, and let $G$ be a finite group. If $F_{\pi} / F$ is any tame Galois $G$-algebra extension of $F$, then a classical theorem of E. Noether implies that the ring of integers $O_{\pi}$ of $F_{\pi}$ is a locally free $O_{F} G$-module, and so determines a class ( $O_{\pi}$ ) in the locally free class group $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ of $O_{F} G$. Hence, if we write $H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ for the pointed set of isomorphism classes of tame $G$-extensions of $F$, then we obtain a map of pointed sets

$$
\psi: H_{t}^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right), \quad[\pi] \mapsto\left(O_{\pi}\right)
$$

Even when $G$ is abelian, so that $H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ is actually a group, this map is almost never a group homomorphism. We say that an element $c \in \mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is realisable if $c=\left(O_{\pi}\right)$ for some tame Galois $G$-algebra extension $F_{\pi} / F$, and we write $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ for the collection of realisable classes in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. These classes are natural objects of study, and they have arisen in a number of different contexts in Galois module theory. The problem of describing $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ for a given $G$ may be viewed as being a loose analogue of the inverse Galois problem in the setting of arithmetic Galois module theory.

When $G$ is abelian, McCulloh [1987] has given a complete description of $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ by showing that it is equal to the kernel of a certain Stickelberger homomorphism on $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. In particular, he has shown that $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is in fact a group. In subsequent unpublished work McCulloh [2011; 2012] showed that, for arbitrary $G$, the set $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is always contained in the kernel of this Stickelberger homomorphism, and he raised the question of whether or not $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is in fact always equal to this kernel. This question has inspired research by a number of authors, and we refer the reader to, e.g., [Byott and Sodaïgui 2005; Byott et al. 2006; Farhat and Sodaïgui 2015] and to the bibliographies of these papers for further information concerning previous work on this problem.

In this paper we shall describe a new approach to studying this topic that involves combining the methods introduced by McCulloh [1987; 2011] with techniques involving relative algebraic $K$-theory and categorical twisted forms introduced by D. Burns and Agboola [2006]. This enables us to both clarify certain aspects of the theory of realisable classes and to establish new results. Although our perspective is somewhat different, it should be stressed that many of the main ideas that we use are in fact already present in some form in [McCulloh 1987; 2011].

Let us now describe the contents of this paper in more detail. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts concerning principal homogeneous spaces, Galois algebras and resolvends; these play a key role in everything that follows. Next, we assemble a number of technical results explaining how resolvends may be used to compute discriminants of rings of integers in Galois $G$-extensions. We also discuss how certain Galois cohomology groups may be expressed in terms of resolvends in a manner that is very useful for calculations in class groups and $K$-groups. In Section 4 we explain how determinants of resolvends may be represented in terms of certain character maps, and we recall an approximation theorem of A. Siviero (which is in turn a variant of [McCulloh 1987, Theorem 2.14]).

We begin Section 5 by outlining the results we need about twisted forms and relative algebraic $K$ groups from [Agboola and Burns 2006]. Each tame $G$-extension $F_{\pi} / F$ of $F$ has an associated resolvend isomorphism

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}: F_{\pi} \otimes_{F} F^{c} \simeq F^{c} G
$$

of $F^{c} G$-modules, and this may be used to construct a categorical twisted form which is represented by an element $\left[O_{\pi}, O_{F} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right]$ in a certain relative algebraic $K$-group $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$. The group $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ admits a natural surjection onto the locally free class group $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, sending $\left[O_{\pi}, O_{F} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right]$ to $\left(O_{\pi}\right)$, and so there is a map of pointed sets

$$
\Psi: H_{t}^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right), \quad[\pi] \mapsto\left[O_{\pi}, O_{F} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right]
$$

which is a refinement (more precisely, a lifting) of the map $\psi$ above.
Crucial to our approach is the fact that each of the constructions that we have just described admits a local variant. Let $v$ be any place of $F$, and write $H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ for the pointed set of isomorphism classes of tame $G$-extensions of $F_{v}$. Then there is a localisation homomorphism

$$
\lambda_{v}: K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)
$$

as well as a map of pointed sets

$$
\Psi_{v}: H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right), \quad\left[\pi_{v}\right] \mapsto\left[O_{\pi_{v}}, O_{F_{v}} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right]
$$

The following result reflects the fact that $\left[O_{\pi}, O_{F} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right]$ is a much finer structure invariant than $\left(O_{\pi}\right)$ (see Proposition 13.1 below):

## Proposition A. The kernel of $\Psi$ is finite.

Let $G^{\prime}$ denote the derived subgroup of $G$. We may identify $H^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$ with a subset of $H^{1}(F, G)$ via the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G^{\prime} \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow 0$. Proposition A is proved by showing that $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$ is a subset of the pointed set $H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$ of isomorphism classes of $G^{\prime}$-Galois $F$-algebras that are unramified at all finite places of $F$; this last set is finite because there are only finitely many unramified extensions of $F$ of bounded degree. If $G$ is abelian, the map $\Psi$ is injective (see Proposition 14.3). In many cases one can show that $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)=H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$, but we do not know whether this equality always holds.

Write $K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ for the image of $\Psi$, i.e., for the collection of realisable classes of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$. The central conjecture of this paper gives a precise description of $K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ in terms of a local-global principle for the relative algebraic $K$-group $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$. This may be described as follows.

For each place $v$ of $F$, let $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ denote the subset $H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ consisting of isomorphism classes of unramified $G$-extensions of $F_{v}$. We define a pointed set of ideles $J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right)$ of $H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ to be the restricted direct product over all places $v$ of the sets $H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ with respect to the subsets $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ (see Definition 6.2). The natural maps $H_{t}^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ for each $v$ induce a map $H_{t}^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right)$. We also define a group of ideles $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$ of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ to be the restricted direct product over all places of $F$ of the groups $K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)$ with respect to the
subgroups $K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)$ (see Definition 5.8). We show that the maps $\lambda_{v}$ above induce an injective localisation map

$$
\lambda: K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)
$$

(see Proposition 5.9), and that the maps $\Psi_{v}$ induce an idelic version

$$
\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}: J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)
$$

of the map $\Psi$ (see Definition 6.2). We conjecture that $K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ has the following description (see Conjecture 6.5 below):
Conjecture B. $K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)=\lambda^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\text {id }}\right)\right)$.
In other words, our conjecture predicts that an element $x$ lies in the image of $\Psi$ if and only if $\lambda_{v}(x)$ lies in the image of $\Psi_{v}$ for every place $v$ of $F$. We remark that it follows directly from the definitions that

$$
K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right) \subseteq \lambda^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}\right)\right)
$$

We point out that, in contrast to $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, it is not difficult to show that if $G$ is nontrivial, then $K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is never a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ (cf. [Agboola and Burns 1998, Remark 2.10(iii); 2006, Remarks 6.13(i)].) Nevertheless, by applying the methods of [McCulloh 1987; 2011] in the present context, we show that Conjecture B implies both an affirmative answer to McCulloh's question concerning $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ as well as a positive solution to the inverse Galois problem for $G$ over $F$ (see Theorems 6.6, 6.7 and 13.6 below):

Theorem C. If Conjecture B holds, then $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. Furthermore, if $c \in$ $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, then there exist infinitely many $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that $F_{\pi}$ is a field and $\left(O_{\pi}\right)=c$. The extensions $F_{\pi} / F$ may be chosen to have ramification disjoint from any finite set $S$ of places of $F$. In particular, the inverse Galois problem for $G$ admits a positive solution over $F$.

In order to orient the reader, we shall now briefly indicate the main ideas involved in the proof of Theorem C.

We begin by observing that the long exact sequence of relative algebraic $K$-theory yields a sequence

$$
K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right) \xrightarrow{\partial^{1}} K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial^{0}} \mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Hence, in order to show that $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)=\operatorname{Im}(\psi)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, it suffices to show that $\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\Psi)$ is a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$.

To do this, we first show that it suffices to prove that

$$
\lambda\left(\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}\right)
$$

is a subgroup of $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$. Once this is done, it is not hard to show that $\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\Psi)$ is equal to the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \xrightarrow{\lambda} J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}\right)}
$$

and so is indeed a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ (see Theorem 6.7 below). The crux of the proof of the first part of Theorem C therefore consists of showing that $\lambda\left(\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\text {id }}\right)$ is a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$.

This is accomplished as follows. Write $G(-1)$ for the group $G$ (viewed as a set) endowed with an action of $\Omega_{F}$ via the inverse cyclotomic character. Although in general this is only an action on $G$ as a set (rather than via automorphisms of $G$ ), the induced action on conjugacy classes of $G$ does induce an action on the centre $Z\left(F^{c}[G]\right)$ of the group ring $F^{c} G$. We write $Z\left(F^{c}[G(-1)]\right)$ to denote $Z\left(F^{c}[G]\right)$ endowed with this action. We set

$$
\Lambda(F G):=Z\left(F^{c}[G(-1)]\right)^{\Omega_{F}}
$$

and we write $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$ for the (unique) $O_{F}$-maximal order in $\Lambda(F G)$. For each place $v$ of $F$, we define $\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)$ and $\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)$ in an analogous manner. We write $J(\Lambda(F G))$ for the restricted direct product over all places of $F$ of the groups $\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$with respect to the subgroups $\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$.

Let $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ denote the set of irreducible characters of $G$. Motivated by an analysis of normal integral basis generators of tame local extensions, we define a Stickelberger pairing

$$
\langle-,-\rangle_{G}: \operatorname{Irr}(G) \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} .
$$

(Loosely speaking, this may be viewed as being a monodromy-type pairing that encodes ramification data associated to tame extensions of local fields in a uniform manner (cf. Definition 10.6 below).) We then use this pairing to construct a $K$-theoretic transpose Stickelberger homomorphism

$$
K \Theta^{t}: J(\Lambda(F G)) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)
$$

The homomorphism $K \Theta^{t}$ is closely related to the map $\Psi^{\text {id }}$ in the following way. We show that even though the map $\Psi_{v}$ is just a map of pointed sets, the image $\Psi_{v}\left(H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)\right)$ of the restriction of $\Psi_{v}$ to $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ is in fact a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)$ for each $v$. Using an approximation theorem for $J(\Lambda(F G))$, we show further that, for a suitable choice of auxiliary ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $O_{F}$, the homomorphism $K \Theta^{t}$ may be used to construct a homomorphism

$$
\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}: \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \Psi_{v}\left(H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)\right)},
$$

where $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ is a certain finite quotient of $J(\Lambda(F G))$. We prove that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\overline{\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}}\right)
$$

where $\overline{\Psi^{\text {id }}}$ denotes the composition of $\Psi^{\text {id }}$ with the obvious quotient map

$$
J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \Psi_{v}\left(H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)\right)}
$$

We then show that this in turn implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(K \Theta^{t}\right)=\lambda\left(\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}\right) \tag{0-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this proves that the right-hand side of (0-1) is a subgroup of $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right.$, as claimed. This completes our outline of the proof of the first part of Theorem C.

The strategy of the proof of the second part of Theorem C may be very roughly described as follows. Suppose that $x \in \lambda^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\text {id }}\right)\right)$. By using the map $K \Theta^{t}$ together with a suitable approximation theorem on $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right.$, we show that there are infinitely many $y \in \lambda^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\text {id }}\right)\right)$ such that (i) $\partial^{0}(y)=\partial^{0}(x)$, and (ii) each $y$ corresponds via Conjecture B to an element $\left[\pi_{y}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ which is ramified (away from $S$ ) in such a way that $\pi_{y} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)$ is forced to be surjective. This in turn implies that $F_{\pi_{y}}$ is a field (rather than just a Galois algebra), and so the inverse Galois problem for $G$ admits a positive solution over $F$.

Let us now turn to our results concerning the validity of Conjecture B.
When $G$ is abelian, we obtain the following refinement of [McCulloh 1987, Theorem 6.7] (see Theorem 14.2 below):
Theorem D. Conjecture B is true if $G$ is abelian.
By combining our methods with work of Neukirch, we are able to establish a variant of Conjecture B for a large class of soluble groups, including all groups of odd order (see Theorems 16.4 and 16.5 below). We thereby obtain the following result, which may be viewed as being a partial analogue of a classical theorem of Shafarevich [1954] on the inverse Galois problem for soluble groups in the context of arithmetic Galois module theory. (See Theorem 16.7 of the main text.)
Theorem E. Suppose that $G$ is of odd order and that $\left(|G|, h_{F}\right)=1$, where $h_{F}$ denotes the class number of $F$. Suppose also that $F$ contains no nontrivial $|G|-$ th roots of unity. Then $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. If $c \in \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, then there exist infinitely many $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that $F_{\pi}$ is a field and $\left(O_{\pi}\right)=c$. The extensions $F_{\pi} / F$ may be chosen to have ramification disjoint from any finite set $S$ of places of $F$.

While it is perhaps conceivable that it might be possible to remove the hypothesis $\left(|G|, h_{F}\right)=1$ of Theorem E using methods similar to those of the present paper (although we do not as yet know how to do this), the same probably cannot be said of the condition concerning the number of roots of unity in $F$. This latter hypothesis is forced upon us because our proof makes crucial use of a lifting theorem of Neukirch (see Section 15) where such hypotheses are unavoidable (cf. the last paragraph of the introduction of [Neukirch 1979]). It would be interesting to determine whether or not the methods of [Shafarevich 1954] can be used to prove a result similar to Theorem E for all soluble groups.

The results and techniques introduced in this paper suggest a number of different avenues of further investigation. For example, our methods may also be applied in the context of the relative Galois module structure of the square root of the inverse different as studied by C. Tsang [2016; 2017], and it seems reasonable to expect that an analogue of Theorem E holds in this setting. Applying the methods of [Agboola 2012] to the study of counting and equidistribution problems involving cohomological classes in relative algebraic $K$-groups should lead to new results concerning similar problems for number fields, generalising certain aspects of e.g., [Wright 1989; Malle 2002]. Our techniques may also be applied in
the setting of global function fields [Agboola and Burns 2001; 2006], and it would be of interest to further investigate the connection between the approach adopted here and that taken in e.g., [Chinburg 1994] (cf., for example, [Agboola and Burns 2006, §4]).

Here is an outline of the rest of this paper. In Section 7, we explain a hitherto unpublished result of McCulloh that describes how resolvends of normal integral bases of tamely ramified extensions of nonarchimedean local fields admit certain Stickelberger factorisations (see Definition 7.12); this is a nonabelian analogue of a version of Stickelberger's factorisation of abelian Gauss sums. A somewhat analogous (but much simpler) framework over $\mathbb{R}$ is described in Section 8.

In Section 9, we recall the definition and properties of the Stickelberger pairing. We also give a new character-theoretic description of this pairing (see Proposition 9.2) as well as an application of this description (see Corollary 9.4).

We construct a $K$-theoretic version of the transpose Stickelberger homomorphism in Section 10, and we also briefly describe an alternative approach to defining the Stickelberger pairing and establishing its basic properties. In Section 11 we construct transpose Stickelberger homomorphisms $\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}$ on modified narrow ray class groups $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$. These are used in Section 12 to prove Theorem 6.6, thereby completing the proof of the first part of Theorem C.

In Section 13 we prove Proposition A, and we explain how a weaker form of Conjecture B implies that every realisable class in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ may be realised (in infinitely many ways) by rings of integers of tame field (and not merely Galois algebra) $G$-extensions of $F$. This proves the second part of Theorem C.

We give a proof of Theorem D in Section 14. In Section 15, we describe work of Neukirch on the solution to an embedding problem that is required for the proof of Theorem E. This proof is completed in Section 16 via showing that a suitable variant of Conjecture B holds for a large class of soluble groups (see Definition 16.1 and Theorems 16.3 and 16.4).

## 1. Notation and conventions

For any field $L$, we write $L^{c}$ for an algebraic closure of $L$, and we set

$$
\Omega_{L}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{c} / L\right)
$$

If $L$ is a number field or a nonarchimedean local field (by which we shall always mean a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for some prime $p$ ), then $O_{L}$ denotes the ring of integers of $L$. If $L$ is an archimedean local field, then we adopt the usual convention of setting $O_{L}=L$.

Throughout this paper, $F$ will denote a number field. For each place $v$ of $F$, we fix an embedding $F^{c} \rightarrow F_{v}^{c}$, and we view $\Omega_{F_{v}}$ as being a subgroup of $\Omega_{F}$ via this choice of embedding. We write $I_{v}$ for the inertia subgroup of $\Omega_{F_{v}}$ when $v$ is finite.

The symbol $G$ will always denote a finite group upon which $\Omega_{F}$ acts trivially. If $H$ is any finite group, we write $\operatorname{Irr}(H)$ for the set of irreducible $F^{c}$-valued characters of $H$ and $R_{H}$ for the corresponding ring of virtual characters. We write $\mathbf{1}_{H}$ (or simply $\mathbf{1}$ if there is no danger of confusion) for the trivial character
in $R_{H}$. If $h \in H$, then we write $c(h)$ for the conjugacy class of $h$ in $H$ and $\mathcal{C}(H)$ for the set of conjugacy classes of $H$. We denote the derived subgroup of $H$ by $H^{\prime}$.

If $L$ is a number field or a local field, and $\Gamma$ is any group upon which $\Omega_{L}$ acts continuously, we identify $\Gamma$-torsors over $L$ (as well as their associated algebras, which are Hopf-Galois extensions associated to $A_{\Gamma}:=\left(L^{c} \Gamma\right)^{\Omega_{L}}$ ) with elements of the set $Z^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)$ of $\Gamma$-valued continuous 1-cocycles of $\Omega_{L}$ (see [Serre 1997, I.5.2] and Section 2 below). If $\pi \in Z^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)$, then we write $L_{\pi} / L$ for the corresponding Hopf-Galois extension of $L$, and $O_{\pi}$ for the integral closure of $O_{L}$ in $L_{\pi}$. (Thus $O_{\pi}=L_{\pi}$ if $L$ is an archimedean local field.) Each such $L_{\pi}$ is a principal homogeneous space (p.h.s.) of the Hopf algebra $\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega_{L}}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right)$ of $\Omega_{L}$-equivariant maps from $\Gamma$ to $L^{c}$. It may be shown that if $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in Z^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)$, then $L_{\pi_{1}} \simeq L_{\pi_{2}}$ if and only if $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ differ by a coboundary. The set of isomorphism classes of $\Gamma$-torsors over $L$ may be identified with the pointed cohomology set $H^{1}(L, \Gamma):=H^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)$. We write $[\pi] \in H^{1}(L, \Gamma)$ for the class of $L_{\pi}$ in $H^{1}(L, \Gamma)$. If $L$ is a number field or a nonarchimedean local field we write $H_{t}^{1}(L, \Gamma)$ for the subset of $H^{1}(L, \Gamma)$ consisting of those $[\pi] \in H^{1}(L, \Gamma)$ for which $L_{\pi} / L$ is at most tamely ramified. If $L$ is an archimedean local field, we set $H_{t}^{1}(L, G)=H^{1}(L, G)$. We denote the subset of $H_{t}^{1}(L, \Gamma)$ consisting of those $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(L, \Gamma)$ for which $L_{\pi} / L$ is unramified at all (including infinite) places of $L$ by $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}(L, \Gamma)$. (So, with this convention, if $L$ is an archimedean local field, we have $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}(L, \Gamma)=0$.) If $L$ is a number field, we write $H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}(F, \Gamma)$ for the subset of $H_{t}^{1}(F, \Gamma)$ consisting of those $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, \Gamma)$ for which $L_{\pi} / L$ is unramified at all finite places of $L$.

If $A$ is any algebra, we write $Z(A)$ for the centre of $A$. If $A$ is semisimple, we write

$$
\operatorname{nrd}: A^{\times} \rightarrow Z(A)^{\times}, \quad \operatorname{nrd}: K_{1}(A) \rightarrow Z(A)^{\times}
$$

for the reduced norm maps on $A^{\times}$and $K_{1}(A)$ respectively [Fröhlich 1983, Chapter II, §1]. If $A$ is an $R$-algebra for some ring $R$, and $R \rightarrow R_{1}$ is an extension of $R$, we write $A_{R_{1}}:=A \otimes_{R} R_{1}$ to denote extension of scalars from $R$ to $R_{1}$.

If $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are sets, we sometimes use the notation $S_{1} \xrightarrow{\text { epi }} S_{2}$ to denote a surjective map from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$.

## 2. Principal homogeneous spaces and resolvends

In this section we shall describe some basic facts concerning principal homogeneous spaces and resolvends.
Throughout this section, the symbol $L$ denotes either a number field or a local field.
Principal homogeneous spaces. [McCulloh 1987, §1; Byott 1998, §1]. Let $\Gamma$ be any finite group upon which $\Omega_{L}$ acts continuously on the left, and write $Z^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)$ for the set of $\Gamma$-valued continuous $\Omega_{L}$ 1-cocycles. If $\pi \in Z^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)$, then we write ${ }^{\pi} \Gamma$ for the set $\Gamma$ endowed with the following modified action of $\Omega_{L}$ : if

$$
\Gamma \rightarrow{ }^{\pi} \Gamma, \quad \gamma \mapsto \bar{\gamma}
$$

is the identity map on the underlying sets, then

$$
\bar{\gamma}^{\omega}=\overline{\pi(\omega) \cdot \gamma^{\omega}}
$$

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{L}$. The group $\Gamma$ acts on ${ }^{\pi} \Gamma$ via right multiplication.
We define an associated $L$-algebra $L_{\pi}$ by

$$
L_{\pi}:=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega_{L}}\left({ }^{\pi} \Gamma, L^{c}\right) ;
$$

this is the algebra of $L^{c}$-valued functions on ${ }^{\pi} \Gamma$ that are fixed under the action of $\Omega_{L}$. The Hopf algebra

$$
A=A_{L}:=\left(L^{c} \Gamma\right)^{\Omega_{L}}
$$

acts on $L_{\pi}$ via the rule

$$
(\alpha \cdot a)(\gamma)=\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \alpha_{g} \cdot a(\gamma \cdot g)
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha=\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \alpha_{g} \cdot g \in A$. The algebra $L_{\pi}$ is a principal homogeneous space (p.h.s. for short) of the Hopf algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
B:=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega_{L}}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right) \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be shown that every p.h.s. of $B$ is isomorphic to an algebra of the form $L_{\pi}$ for some $\pi$, and so every such p.h.s. may be viewed as being a subset of the $L^{c}$-algebra $\operatorname{Map}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right)$. It is easy to check that

$$
L_{\pi} \otimes_{L} L^{c}=L^{c} \Gamma \cdot \ell_{\Gamma}
$$

where $\ell_{\Gamma} \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\ell_{\Gamma}(\gamma)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \gamma=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This implies that $L_{\pi}$ is a free, rank one $A$-module.
The Wedderburn decomposition of $L_{\pi}$ may be described as follows. For any $\bar{\gamma} \in{ }^{\pi} \Gamma$, write $\operatorname{Stab}(\bar{\gamma})$ for the stabiliser of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $\Omega_{L}$, and set

$$
L(\bar{\gamma}):=\left(L^{c}\right)^{\operatorname{Stab}(\bar{\gamma})}
$$

Then

$$
L_{\pi} \simeq \prod_{\Omega_{L} \backslash^{\pi} \Gamma} L(\bar{\gamma})
$$

where $\Omega_{L} \backslash^{\pi} \Gamma$ denotes the set of $\Omega_{L}$-orbits of ${ }^{\pi} \Gamma$, and the product is taken over a set of orbit representatives. In general, the field $L(\bar{\gamma})$ is not normal over $L$. However, if $\Omega_{L}$ acts trivially on $\Gamma$, then $Z^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{L}, \Gamma\right)$, and for each $\bar{\gamma} \in^{\pi} \Gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\bar{\gamma})=\left(L^{c}\right)^{\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)}=: L^{\pi} \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\pi} / L\right) \simeq \pi\left(\Omega_{L}\right)$. In this case, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\pi} \simeq \prod_{\Gamma / \pi\left(\Omega_{L}\right)} L^{\pi} \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this isomorphism depends only upon the choice of a transversal of $\pi\left(\Omega_{L}\right)$ in $\Gamma$.

Remark 2.1. For most of this paper we shall only need to consider the case in which $\Omega_{L}$ acts trivially on $\Gamma$; in this situation $A=L \Gamma$, and $L_{\pi}$ is a $\Gamma$-Galois $L$-algebra. A notable exception to this will occur in Section 7, when we take $L$ to be a nonarchimedean local field, and we construct a canonical subextension of a tame extension $L_{\pi} / L$ (see Definitions 7.4 and 7.6). This canonical subextension is complementary to the maximal unramified subextension of $L_{\pi} / L$, and is not usually a Galois algebra extension of $L$. It is however, a p.h.s. of a Hopf algebra of the form (2-1) associated to a certain group $\Gamma$ equipped (as a set) with a nontrivial $\Omega_{L}$-action.

Resolvends. [McCulloh 1987, §1; Byott 1998, §2]. Since every p.h.s. of $B$ may be viewed as being a subset of $\operatorname{Map}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right)$, it is natural to consider the Fourier transforms of elements of $\operatorname{Map}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right)$. These arise via the resolvend map

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}: \operatorname{Map}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right) \rightarrow L^{c} \Gamma, \quad a \mapsto \sum_{s \in \Gamma} a(s) s^{-1}
$$

The map $\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism of left $L^{c} \Gamma$-modules, but not of algebras, because it does not preserve multiplication. It is easy to show that for any $a \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right)$, we have that $a \in L_{\pi}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}(a)^{\omega}=\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}(a) \cdot \pi(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_{L}$. It may also be shown that an element $a \in L_{\pi}$ generates $L_{\pi}$ as an $A$ module if and only if $\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}(a) \in\left(L^{c} \Gamma\right)^{\times}$. Two elements $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\Gamma, L^{c}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}\left(a_{1}\right), \boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}\left(a_{2}\right) \in\left(L^{c} \Gamma\right)^{\times}$ generate the same p.h.s. as an $A$-module if and only if $\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}\left(a_{1}\right)=b \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}\left(a_{2}\right)$ for some $b \in A^{\times}$. If $a$ is any generator of $L_{\pi}$ as an $A$-module, then a $\Gamma$-valued $\Omega_{L} 1$-cocycle that represents the class [ $\pi$ ] of $\pi$ in the pointed cohomology set $H^{1}(L, \Gamma)$ is given by

$$
\omega \mapsto \boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}(a)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}(a)^{\omega} .
$$

We define pointed sets (where in each case the distinguished element is afforded by $\left.1 \in A_{L^{c}}^{\times}=\left(L^{c} \Gamma\right)^{\times}\right)$

$$
H(A):=\left\{\alpha \in A_{L^{c}}^{\times}: \alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha^{\omega} \in \Gamma, \forall \omega \in \Omega_{L}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{H}(A):=H(A) / \Gamma=\{\alpha \cdot \Gamma: \alpha \in H(A)\},
$$

and we write $r_{\Gamma}(a) \in \mathcal{H}(A)$ for the image in $\mathcal{H}(A)$ of $\boldsymbol{r}_{\Gamma}(a) \in H(A)$. The element $r_{\Gamma}(a)$ is referred to as the reduced resolvend of $a$. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is any $O_{L}$-order in $A$, then we define $H(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{A})$ in a similar manner. Hence we have

$$
H(\mathfrak{A})=\mathfrak{A}_{O_{L^{c}}} \cap H(A) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{A})=H(\mathfrak{A}) / \Gamma
$$

Write $L^{t}$ for the maximal, tamely ramified extension of $L$. We set

$$
H_{t}(A):=\left\{\alpha \in H(A): \alpha^{\omega}=\alpha, \forall \omega \in \Omega_{L^{t}}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{H}_{t}(A):=H_{t}(A) / \Gamma=\left\{\alpha \cdot \Gamma: \alpha \in H_{t}(A)\right\}
$$

and we define $H_{t}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{t}(\mathfrak{A})$ analogously for any $O_{L}$-order $\mathfrak{A}$ in $A$.
We shall now give a characterisation of the set $H(A)$ that avoids any explicit mention of Galois action. This is a nonabelian version of a description of $H(A)$ in terms of primitive elements of quotients of groups of units in Hopf algebras in the abelian case [Agboola and Burns 2006, Theorem 6.4].

In order to do this, we first note that there are $\Omega_{L}$-equivariant homomorphisms of algebras

$$
\Delta, i_{1}, i_{2}: A_{L^{c}} \rightarrow A_{L^{c}} \otimes_{L^{c}} A_{L^{c}}
$$

induced by the maps

$$
\Delta(\gamma)=\gamma \otimes \gamma, \quad i_{1}(\gamma)=\gamma \otimes 1, \quad i_{2}(\gamma)=1 \otimes \gamma
$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
We define a map of pointed sets

$$
\mathcal{P}: A_{L^{c}}^{\times} \rightarrow\left(A_{L^{c}} \otimes_{L^{c}} A_{L^{c}}\right)^{\times}, \quad x \mapsto \Delta(x) \cdot\left[i_{1}(x) \cdot i_{2}(x)\right]^{-1} .
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot \mathcal{P}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdot\left[i_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot i_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

As $\mathcal{P}(\gamma)=1$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}$ induces a map of pointed sets (which we denote by the same symbol)

$$
\mathcal{P}: A_{L^{c}}^{\times} / \Gamma \rightarrow\left(A_{L^{c}} \otimes_{L^{c}} A_{L^{c}}\right)^{\times}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $x \in A_{L^{c}}^{\times}$. Then $x \in H(A)$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}(x) \in\left(A \otimes_{L} A\right)^{\times}$.
Proof. Suppose that $x \in H(A)$. Then if $\omega \in \Omega_{L}$, we have

$$
x^{\omega}=x \cdot \gamma \omega
$$

for some $\gamma_{\omega} \in \Gamma$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\Delta(x)\left(i_{1}(x) i_{2}(x)\right)^{-1}\right]^{\omega} } & =\Delta(x)\left(\gamma_{\omega} \otimes \gamma_{\omega}\right)\left[i_{1}(x)\left(\gamma_{\omega} \otimes 1\right) i_{2}(x)\left(1 \otimes \gamma_{\omega}\right)\right]^{-1} \\
& =\Delta(x)\left(\gamma_{\omega} \otimes \gamma_{\omega}\right)\left(1 \otimes \gamma_{\omega}\right)^{-1} i_{2}(x)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{\omega} \otimes 1\right)^{-1} i_{1}(x)^{-1} \\
& =\Delta(x)\left(\gamma_{\omega} \otimes \gamma_{\omega}\right)\left(1 \otimes \gamma_{\omega}\right)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{\omega} \otimes 1\right)^{-1} i_{2}(x)^{-1} i_{1}(x)^{-1} \\
& =\Delta(x)\left[i_{1}(x) i_{2}(x)\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\mathcal{P}(x) \in\left[\left(A_{L^{c}} \otimes_{L^{c}} A_{L^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right]^{\Omega_{L}}=\left(A \otimes_{L} A\right)^{\times}
$$

Suppose conversely that $\mathcal{P}(x) \in\left(A \otimes_{L} A\right)^{\times}$, and that $x^{\omega}=x \cdot u_{\omega}$ for each $\omega \in \Omega_{L}$. We wish to show that $u_{\omega} \in \Gamma$. As the maps $\Delta, i_{1}$, and $i_{2}$ are $\Omega_{L}$-equivariant, we have that

$$
\Delta(x)^{\omega}=\Delta(x) \cdot \Delta\left(u_{\omega}\right), \quad i_{1}(x)^{\omega}=i_{1}(x) \cdot i_{1}\left(u_{\omega}\right), \quad i_{2}(x)^{\omega}=i_{2}(x) \cdot i_{2}\left(u_{\omega}\right),
$$

and a straightforward computation shows that

$$
\mathcal{P}(x)^{\omega}=\Delta(x) \cdot \mathcal{P}\left(u_{\omega}\right) \cdot\left[i_{1}(x) \cdot i_{2}(x)\right]^{-1}
$$

As $\mathcal{P}(x)=\mathcal{P}(x)^{\omega}$, this implies that $\mathcal{P}\left(u_{\omega}\right)=1$, i.e., that

$$
\Delta\left(u_{\omega}\right)=i_{1}\left(u_{\omega}\right) \cdot i_{2}\left(u_{\omega}\right)
$$

It now follows that $u_{\omega} \in \Gamma$ via an argument identical to that given in [Agboola and Burns 2006, Theorem 6.4].

Let $F$ be a number field. Our next result shows that the pointed set $H\left(A_{F}\right)$ of resolvends satisfies a Hasse principle.

Proposition 2.3. Let $F$ be a number field, and suppose that $x \in\left(F^{c} \Gamma\right)^{\times}$. Then $x \in H\left(A_{F}\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{loc}_{v}(x) \in H\left(A_{F_{v}}\right)$ for every finite place $v$ of $F$.

Proof. We first observe that the map $\mathcal{P}$ commutes with localisation, i.e., for each finite place $v$ of $F$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{loc}_{v}(\mathcal{P}(x))=\mathcal{P}\left(\operatorname{loc}_{v}(x)\right) \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in\left(F^{c} \Gamma\right)^{\times}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \in H\left(A_{F}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(x) \in\left(A_{F} \otimes_{F} A_{F}\right)^{\times} & & \text {(from Theorem 2.2) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{loc}_{v}(\mathcal{P}(x)) \in\left(A_{F_{v}} \otimes_{F_{v}} A_{F_{v}}\right)^{\times} & & \text {for each finite } v \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\operatorname{loc}_{v}(x)\right) \in\left(A_{F_{v}} \otimes_{F_{v}} A_{F_{v}}\right)^{\times} & & \text {for each finite } v \text { (from (2-4)) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{loc}_{v}(x) \in H\left(A_{F_{v}}\right) & & \text { for each finite } v \text { (from Theorem 2.2). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.4. It is also possible to give a proof of Proposition 2.3 directly from the definition of $H\left(A_{F}\right)$. The standard such proof that was known to the authors is valid only for abelian groups $\Gamma$; we are grateful to an anonymous referee for explaining how this proof may be modified so as to hold for arbitrary finite groups.

Suppose that $x \in A_{F^{c}}^{\times}$is such that, for each finite place $v$ of $F$, we have $\operatorname{loc}_{v}(x) \in H\left(A_{F_{v}}\right)$. We wish to show that $x \in H\left(A_{F}\right)$.

Let $E / F$ be any finite Galois extension such that $\Omega_{E}$ fixes $x$. Then the action of $\Omega_{F}$ on $x$ factors through the action of the finite group $D:=\operatorname{Gal}(E / F)$. Hence, to prove the desired result, it suffices to show that for any $\delta \in D$, we have $x^{\delta}=x \cdot \gamma_{\delta}$, with $\gamma_{\delta} \in \Gamma$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{F}$ denote the subgroup of $\Omega_{F}$ generated by the subgroups $\Omega_{F_{v}}$ as $v$ runs over the finite places of $F$. As each element of $\Omega_{F}$ is conjugate to an element of $\Omega_{F_{v}}$ for some $v$, it follows via the Chebotarev density theorem that the image $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{F}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{F}$ in $D$ has nontrivial intersection with every conjugacy class of $D$. A lemma of Jordan now implies that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{F}$ must be equal to the whole of $D$ [Serre 2003, p. 435, Theorem 4']. The result we seek now follows at once.

## 3. Resolvends and cohomology

Recall that $F$ is a number field and $G$ is a finite group upon which $\Omega_{F}$ acts trivially. In this section, we explain, following [McCulloh 1987, §2], how resolvends may be used to compute discriminants of rings of integers of $G$-Galois extensions of $F$, and to describe certain Galois cohomology groups.

For each $[\pi] \in H^{1}(F, G)$, the standard trace map

$$
\operatorname{Tr}: \operatorname{Map}\left(G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow F^{c}
$$

induces a trace map

$$
\operatorname{Tr}: F_{\pi} \rightarrow F
$$

via restriction. This in turn yields an associated, nondegenerate bilinear form $(a, b) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(a b)$ on $F_{\pi}$. If $M$ is any full $O_{F}$-lattice in $F_{\pi}$, then we set

$$
M^{*}:=\left\{b \in F_{\pi} \mid \operatorname{Tr}(b \cdot M) \subseteq O_{F}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O_{F}\right):=\left[O_{\pi}^{*}: O_{\pi}\right]_{O_{F}}
$$

where the symbol $[-:-]_{O_{F}}$ denotes the $O_{F}$-module index. We see from the isomorphism (2-3) that we have

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O_{F}\right)=\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{F^{\pi}} / O_{F}\right)^{\left[G: \pi\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right]}
$$

where $\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{F^{\pi}} / O_{F}\right)$ denotes the usual discriminant of the number field $F^{\pi}$ over $F$, and so it follows that

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O_{F}\right)=O_{F}
$$

if and only if $F_{\pi} / F$ is unramified at all finite places of $F$.
Definition 3.1. We write $[-1]$ for the maps induced on $\operatorname{Map}\left(G, F^{c}\right)$ and $F^{c} G$ by the map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ on $G$.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $a, b \in F_{\pi}$ for some $[\pi] \in H^{1}(F, G)$. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}(b)^{[-1]}=\sum_{s \in G} \operatorname{Tr}\left(a^{s} b\right) \cdot s^{-1} \in F G
$$

Proof. This may be verified via a straightforward calculation (see, e.g., [McCulloh 1983, (1.6)], and note that the calculation given there is valid for an arbitrary finite group G).

Corollary 3.3. Suppose that $F_{\pi}=F G \cdot a$. Then we have:
(i) $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a)^{-1}=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(b)^{[-1]}$, where $b \in F_{\pi}$ satisfies $\operatorname{Tr}\left(a^{s} b^{t}\right)=\delta_{s, t}$.
(ii) $\left(O_{F} G \cdot a\right)^{*}=O_{F} G \cdot b$.
(iii) $\left[\left(O_{F} G \cdot a\right)^{*}: O_{F} G \cdot a\right] O_{F}=\left[O_{F} G: O_{F} G \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a)^{[-1]}\right] o_{F}$.
(iv) $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a) \in\left(O_{F^{c}} G\right)^{\times}$if and only if $O_{\pi}=O_{F} G \cdot a$ and $\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O_{F}\right)=O_{F}$.

Analogous results hold if $F$ is replaced by $F_{v}$ for any finite place $v$ of $F$.
Proof. Exactly as in [McCulloh 1987, 2.10 and 2.11].
Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $L$ is either a number field or a local field. Then
(i) $H^{1}\left(L,\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}\right)=1$,
(ii) $H^{1}\left(L, Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}\right)=1$.

Proof. For each $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$, write $d(\chi)$ for the degree of $\chi$, and $M_{d(\chi)}\left(L^{c}\right)$ for the algebra of $d(\chi) \times d(\chi)-$ matrices over $L^{c}$. Then the Wedderburn isomorphism of algebras

$$
L^{c} G \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} M_{d(\chi)}\left(L^{c}\right)
$$

yields isomorphisms of groups

$$
\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \mathrm{GL}_{d(\chi)}\left(L^{c}\right), \quad Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)}\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}
$$

Let $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{m} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ be a set of representatives of $\Omega_{L} \backslash \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Write $\operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{i}\right)$ for the stabiliser of $\chi_{i}$ in $\Omega_{L}$, and set $L\left[\chi_{i}\right]:=\left(L^{c}\right)^{\operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{i}\right)}$. There are isomorphisms of $\Omega_{L}$-modules

$$
\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Omega_{L\left[x_{i}\right]}}^{\Omega_{L}}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{d\left(\chi_{i}\right)}\left(L^{c}\right)\right), \quad Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Omega_{L\left[\chi_{i}\right]}^{\Omega_{L}}}\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}
$$

We have

$$
H^{1}\left(L,\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(L, \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Omega_{L\left[x_{i}\right]}^{\Omega_{L}}} \mathrm{GL}_{d\left(\chi_{i}\right)}\left(L^{c}\right)\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} H^{1}\left(L\left[\chi_{i}\right], \operatorname{GL}_{d\left(\chi_{i}\right)}\left(L^{c}\right)\right)=1
$$

where the second isomorphism follows via Shapiro's lemma and the final equality is a standard consequence of Hilbert's Theorem 90. This proves (i). The proof of (ii) is very similar.

Recall that two pointed sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are said to be isomorphic if there is a bijection of sets

$$
f: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}
$$

with $f\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{2}\right)$, where $x_{i}$ is the distinguished element of $S_{i},(i=1,2)$.
A sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow S_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i}} S_{i} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} S_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

of pointed sets is said to be exact if there is an equality of sets

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{i}\right)=f_{i+1}^{-1}\left(x_{i+1}\right)
$$

where $x_{i+1}$ is the distinguished element of $S_{i+1}$.
Theorem 3.5. (1) There is an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(F G) \rightarrow H^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) For each finite place $v$ of $F$, recall that $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ denotes the subset of $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ consisting of those $\left[\pi_{v}\right] \in H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ for which the associated G-Galois extension $F_{\pi_{v}} / F_{v}$ is unramified. Then there is an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) There are exact sequences of pointed sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{t}(F G) \rightarrow H_{t}^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{t}\left(F_{v} G\right) \rightarrow H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each place $v$ of $F$.
Proof. When $G$ is abelian, parts (a) and (b) are proved in [McCulloh 1987, p. 268 and p. 273] by considering the $\Omega_{F}$ and $\Omega_{F_{v}}$-cohomology of the exact sequences of abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times} / G \rightarrow 1 \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}} G\right)^{\times} / G \rightarrow 1
$$

respectively. If $G$ is nonabelian, and these exact sequences are viewed as exact sequences of pointed sets instead, then a similar proof of part (a) also holds, as is pointed out in [McCulloh 1987, p. 268]: taking $\Omega_{F}$-cohomology of the exact sequence (3-5) of pointed sets yields an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(F G) \rightarrow H^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(F,\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $H^{1}\left(F,\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}\right)=1$ (see Lemma 3.4(i)), (3-1) immediately follows.
Alternatively, we could also argue directly (as is done in [McCulloh 1987]) that the map $\mathcal{H}(F G) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}(F, G)$ in (3-6) is surjective. Let us briefly describe the argument given in [McCulloh 1987]. Suppose that $[\pi] \in H^{1}(F, G)$, and let $a \in F_{\pi}$ be a normal basis generator of $F_{\pi} / F$. Set $\alpha=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a)$; then the coset $\alpha \cdot G \in \mathcal{H}(F G)$ lies in the preimage of [ $\pi$ ], and so it follows that (3-6) is indeed surjective on the right, as claimed.

Part (b) follows from Corollary 3.3(iv) (cf. the proof of (2.12) on [McCulloh 1987, p. 273]).
The proof of (c) is very similar to that of (a). Let $F^{t}$ and $F_{v}^{t}$ denote the maximal tamely ramified extensions of $F$ and $F_{v}$ respectively, and set $\Omega_{F}^{t}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{t} / F\right), \Omega_{F_{v}}^{t}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{v}^{t} / F_{v}\right)$. Then (c) follows via considering the $\Omega_{F}^{t}$ and $\Omega_{F_{v}}^{t}$-cohomology of the exact sequences of pointed sets

$$
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow\left(F^{t} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow\left(F^{t} G\right)^{\times} / G \rightarrow 1
$$

and

$$
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow\left(F_{v}^{t} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow\left(F_{v}^{t} G\right)^{\times} / G \rightarrow 1
$$

respectively, using the direct argument given in [McCulloh 1987, p. 268] that we have described above.
Suppose that $L$ is a number field or a local field. Recall that $Z(L G)$ denotes the centre of $L G$. Before stating our next result, we note that the reduced norm map

$$
\operatorname{nrd}:(L G)^{\times} \rightarrow Z(L G)^{\times}
$$

induces an injection $G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow Z(L G)^{\times}$. (More explicitly, if we identify $Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}$with $\prod_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)}\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}$via the Wedderburn decomposition of $L^{c} G$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.4), then the injection $G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow Z\left(L^{G}\right)^{\times}$ is induced by the map $G \rightarrow Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}$given by $g \mapsto[(\operatorname{det}(\chi))(g)]_{\chi}$, where $\operatorname{det}(\chi)$ is the abelian character of $G$ defined below in Definition 4.3. See also (4-5).) In what follows, we shall identify $G^{\text {ab }}$ with its image in $Z(L G)^{\times}$under this map. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(Z(L G)):=\left\{\alpha \in Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}: \alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha^{\omega} \in G^{\mathrm{ab}}, \forall \omega \in \Omega_{L}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{H}(Z(L G)):=H(Z(L G)) / G^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left\{\alpha \cdot G^{\mathrm{ab}}: \alpha \in H(Z(L G))\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $H(Z(\mathfrak{A}))$ and $\mathcal{H}(Z(\mathfrak{A}))$ analogously for any $O_{L}$-order $\mathfrak{A}$ in $L G$.
Proposition 3.6. Let $L$ be a number field or a local field. Then there is an exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow Z(L G)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Z(L G)) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(L, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This follows at once from taking $\Omega_{L}$ cohomology of the exact sequence of abelian groups

$$
1 \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} / G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow 1
$$

arising from the injection $G^{\text {ab }} \rightarrow Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}$induced by the reduced norm map nrd : $(L G)^{\times} \rightarrow Z(L G)^{\times}$ as described above, and noting that $H^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}, Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}\right)=1$, via Lemma 3.4(ii).

It is easy to see that the group $(L G)^{\times}$acts on the pointed set $\mathcal{H}(L G)$ by left multiplication. Write $(L G)^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}(L G)$ for the quotient set afforded by this action. It follows from Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 that there are isomorphisms

$$
H^{1}(L, G) \xrightarrow{\sim}(L G)^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}(L G) \quad \text { and } \quad H^{1}\left(L, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(L G)^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}(Z(L G))
$$

of pointed sets and abelian groups respectively, and that the following diagram commutes:

(Here the left-hand vertical arrow is induced by the quotient map $G \rightarrow G^{\text {ab }}$, while the right-hand vertical arrow is induced by the reduced norm map nrd : $\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}$.)

We shall need the following result in Section 6.
Proposition 3.7. Let $F$ be a number field. For each finite place $v$ of $F$, the image of the map

$$
\operatorname{nrd}:\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right) \rightarrow Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}\left(Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)
$$

of pointed sets is in fact a group.

Proof. Just as in the case of (3-8), we see from the exact sequences (3-2) and (3-7) that there is a commutative diagram


The middle horizontal arrow of this commutative diagram is therefore injective, and its image is a subgroup of $Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}\left(Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)$. Hence, to prove the desired result, it suffices to show that the map $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ is surjective. This is in turn an immediate consequence of the fact that the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}} / F_{v}\right)$ is profinite free on a single generator.

## 4. Determinants and character maps

In this section we shall describe how determinants of resolvends may be represented in terms of certain character maps.

Let $L$ be a number field or a local field.
Suppose that $\Gamma$ is any finite group upon which the absolute Galois group $\Omega_{L}$ of $L$ acts (possibly trivially). Then $\Omega_{L}$ also acts on the ring $R_{\Gamma}$ of virtual characters of $\Gamma$ according to the following rule: if $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\Gamma)$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{L}$, then, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\chi^{\omega}(\gamma)=\omega\left(\chi\left(\omega^{-1}(\gamma)\right)\right)$.

We begin by recalling some well-known facts and definitions concerning determinant maps (see, e.g., [Fröhlich 1983, Chapter II; 1984, Chapter I]).

Definition 4.1. For each element $a$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(L^{c} G\right)$, we define an element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}(a) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the following way: if $T$ is any representation of $G$ over $L^{c}$ with character $\phi$, then we set

$$
\operatorname{Det}(a)(\phi):=\operatorname{det}(T(a))
$$

It may be shown that this definition depends only upon the character $\phi$, and not upon the choice of representation $T$. The map

$$
\text { Det : } \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(L^{c} G\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

is $\Omega_{L}$-equivariant, and so induces a map

$$
\text { Det }: \operatorname{GL}_{n}(L G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{L}}\left(R_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

Remark 4.2. The map Det in (4-1) above is essentially the same as the reduced norm map. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{nrd}:\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \tag{4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the reduced norm. Then (4-2) induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{nrd}: K_{1}\left(L^{c} G\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{4-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, e.g., [Curtis and Reiner 1987, Theorem 45.3]). Suppose now that $\phi$ is any $L^{c}$-valued character of $G$ and let $a \in\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}$. Then we have that

$$
\operatorname{Det}(a)(\phi)=\operatorname{nrd}(a)(\phi)
$$

(see [Fröhlich 1984, Chapter I, Proposition 2.7]).
Definition 4.3. Suppose that $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. We define an abelian character $\operatorname{det}(\chi)$ of $G$ as follows. Let $T$ be any representation of $G$ over $L^{c}$ affording $\chi$. For each element $g \in G$, we set

$$
(\operatorname{det}(\chi))(g)=\operatorname{Det}(T(g))
$$

Then $\operatorname{det}(\chi)$ is independent of the choice of $T$, and may be viewed as being a character of $G^{\mathrm{ab}}$. We extend det to a homomorphism $R_{G} \rightarrow\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)^{\wedge}$, where $\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)^{\wedge}$ denotes the group of characters of $G^{\mathrm{ab}}$, by defining

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} a_{\chi} \chi\right)=\prod_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)}(\operatorname{det}(\chi))^{a_{\chi}}
$$

and we set

$$
A_{G}:=\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{det})
$$

Hence we have an exact sequence of groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow A_{G} \rightarrow R_{G} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{det}}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)^{\wedge} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}\left(-,\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$to (4-4), we obtain an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rag}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow 0, \tag{4-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is surjective on the right because $\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}$is divisible. It follows that there are $\Omega_{L}$-equivariant isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) / G^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} / G^{\mathrm{ab}} \tag{4-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we shall sometimes identify $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$with $Z\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} / G^{\text {ab }}$ via (4-6) without explicit mention.

Taking $\Omega_{L}$-cohomology of (4-5) yields an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{L}}\left(R_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rag}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{L}}\left(A_{G},\left(L^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(L, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \rightarrow 1 \tag{4-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is surjective on the right via Lemma 3.4(ii).

Definition 4.4. Let $R_{G}^{s}$ denote the (additive) subgroup of $R_{G}$ generated by the symplectic characters of $G$. Thus, $R_{G}^{s}$ is generated by the irreducible symplectic characters of $G$, together with elements of the form $\chi+\bar{\chi}$, where $\chi \in R_{G}$ and $\bar{\chi}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\chi$. All virtual characters lying in $R_{G}^{s}$ are real-valued.

If $F$ is a number field, and $v$ is a real place of $F$, we write

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}^{+}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

for those elements $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$for which $f(\eta)>0$ for all $\eta \in R_{G}^{s}$. Note that if $f \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$and $\chi \in R_{G}$, then we automatically have

$$
f(\chi+\bar{\chi})=f(\chi) \cdot \overline{f(\chi)}>0
$$

Hence in fact $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}^{+}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$if and only if $f$ is positive on all irreducible, symplectic characters of $G$. In particular, if $G$ has no nontrivial irreducible symplectic characters (e.g., if $|G|$ is odd), then we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}^{+}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

We write $Z\left(F_{v} G\right)_{+}^{\times}$for the image of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}^{+}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$in $Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$under the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} .
$$

Proposition 4.5. Let $F$ be a number field. For each place $v$ of $F$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Det : }\left(F_{v}^{c} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq Z\left(F_{v}^{c} G\right)^{\times} \tag{4-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the determinant homomorphism afforded by Definition 4.1.
(1) If $v$ is real, then (4-8) induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}^{+}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq Z\left(F_{v} G\right)_{+}^{\times} . \tag{4-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $v$ is finite or complex, then the map (4-8) induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}\right) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}  \tag{4-10}\\
\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(F_{v} G\right)\right) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{4-11}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) If $v$ is finite of residue characteristic coprime to $|G|$, so $O_{F_{v}} G$ is an $O_{F_{v}}$-maximal order in $F_{v} G$, then (4-8) induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}\right) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}  \tag{4-12}\\
\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{4-13}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The isomorphisms (4-9), (4-10) and (4-12) are standard and are explained in e.g., [Fröhlich 1983, Chapter II, §1].

Suppose that $v$ is either finite or complex. Theorem 3.5(a) and (4-10) yield the commutative diagram

and this implies that the map

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(F_{v} G\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, which proves (4-11).
Suppose now that $v$ is finite of residue characteristic coprime to $|G|$. In order to establish (4-13), we first observe that applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}\left(-,\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)$to the exact sequence (4-4) yields a sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow 1 \tag{4-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is surjective on the right because $\left(O_{F_{v}}\right)^{\times}$is divisible. Taking $\Omega_{F_{v}}$-cohomology of (4-15) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \xrightarrow{f} H^{1}\left(F_{v}, \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)\right) . \tag{4-16}
\end{align*}
$$

Now since $v$ does not divide the order of $G, Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)$ is an $O_{F_{v}}$-maximal order in (the split algebra) $Z\left(F_{v} G\right)$ and

$$
Z\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

(see (4-12)). Suppose that $\pi \in \operatorname{Ker}(f)$. Then there exists $u \in Z\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}} G\right)^{\times}$such that $u^{\omega} \cdot u^{-1}=\pi(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_{F_{v}}$. This implies that $u^{\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|} \in Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$. As $v \nmid\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|$ and $Z\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)$ is a maximal order, it follows that $u \in Z\left(O_{F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}} G\right)^{\times}$, and so $\pi \in H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$. Hence there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \tag{4-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall also (see the proof of Proposition 3.7) that the natural map $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ is surjective because the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}} / F_{v}\right)$ is profinite free on a single generator. Theorem 3.5(b) together with (4-12) and (4-17) now yield the following commutative diagram:


It follows from (4-18) that the third row of this diagram is surjective on the right. Since $\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)$, we see that the map

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. This establishes (4-13).
If on the other hand $v$ is finite and $v\left||G|\right.$, so $O_{F_{v}} G$ is not an $O_{F_{v}}$-maximal order in $F_{v} G$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

but this inclusion is not in general an equality. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is any integral ideal of $O_{F}$, set

$$
U_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right):=\left(1+\mathfrak{a} O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right) \cap\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times},
$$

and write $U_{\alpha}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)$ instead of $U_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)$ when $\mathfrak{a}=\alpha O_{F}$. We shall need the following result of A. Siviero (which is a variant of [McCulloh 1987, Theorem 2.14]) in Section 11.

Proposition 4.6 (A. Siviero). Let $v$ be a finite place of $F$. Then if $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is divisible by a sufficiently large power of $|G|$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G}, U_{N}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

Proof. This is shown in [Siviero 2013, Theorem 5.1.10] when $G$ is abelian, and the proof for arbitrary finite $G$ is quite similar. As the reference is not widely accessible, we describe the argument.

If $v \nmid|G|$, then Proposition 4.5 (iii) implies that we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G}, O_{F_{v}^{c}}^{\times}\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right),
$$

and so it follows that the desired result holds in this case. We may therefore suppose that $v \| G \mid$.
We first observe that the group

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)}{\operatorname{Det}\left(\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times} / G\right)}
$$

is annihilated by $\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{M}_{v}^{\times}\right): \operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}\right]$, where $\mathcal{M}_{v}$ denotes any $O_{F_{v}}$-maximal order in $F_{v} G$ containing $O_{F_{v}} G$. Since $A_{G}$ is finitely generated, it follows that $\operatorname{Det}\left(\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times} / G\right)$ is of finite index in
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)$, and so is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)$. The result now follows from the fact that, because $v||G|$, the collection of groups

$$
\left\{\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G}, U_{|G|^{n}}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)\right) \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

is a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of the identity of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)$.
Remark 4.7. When $G$ is abelian, it follows from [McCulloh 1987, Theorem 2.14] that we may take $N=|G|^{2}$ in Proposition 4.6.

We shall also require the following related result in Section 15.
Proposition 4.8. Let $\Gamma$ be a finite group with an action of $\Omega_{F}$. Suppose that $v \| \Gamma \mid$ is a finite place of $F$, and write $\mathfrak{p}_{v}$ for the maximal ideal of $O_{F_{v}}$. Then for all sufficiently large $n$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{\Gamma}, U_{\mathfrak{p}_{v}^{n}}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rag}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}^{c}}}\left(R_{\Gamma},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)\right]
$$

Proof. The proof of this is very similar to that of Proposition 4.6. We observe that

$$
\left|\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}\right| \cdot \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}^{c}}}\left(A_{\Gamma},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rag}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}^{c}}}\left(R_{\Gamma},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)\right],
$$

which implies that $\operatorname{rag}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}^{c}}}\left(R_{\Gamma},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)\right]$is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}^{c}}}\left(A_{\Gamma},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)$because $A_{\Gamma}$ is finitely generated. The desired result now follows since the collection of groups $\left\{\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{\Gamma}, U_{\mathfrak{p}_{v}^{n}}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)\right) \mid\right.$ $n \geq 0\}$ is a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of the identity of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}^{c}}}\left(A_{\Gamma},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)$.

## 5. Twisted forms and relative $K$-groups

Recall that $G$ is a finite group upon which $\Omega_{F}$ acts trivially. In this section, we shall recall some basic facts concerning categorical twisted forms and relative algebraic $K$-groups. The reader may consult [Agboola and Burns 2006; Swan 1968, Chapter 15] for some of the details that we omit.

Twisted forms. Suppose that $R$ is a Dedekind domain with field of fractions $L$ of characteristic zero. (For notational convenience, we shall sometimes also allow ourselves to take $R=L$.) Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be any $R$-algebra which is finitely generated as an $R$-module and which satisfies $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} L \simeq L G$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\Lambda$ be any extension of $R$, and write $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right)$ for the categories of finitely generated, projective $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda$-modules respectively. A categorical $\Lambda$-twisted $\mathfrak{A}$-form (or twisted form for short) is an element of the fibre product category $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \times_{\mathcal{P}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right)} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$, where the fibre product is taken with respect to the functor $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right)$ afforded by extension of scalars. In concrete terms therefore, a twisted form consists of a triple $(M, N ; \xi)$, where $M$ and $N$ are finitely generated, projective $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, and

$$
\xi: M \otimes_{R} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes_{R} \Lambda
$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda$-modules.

Example 5.2. If $F_{\pi} / F$ is any $G$-extension and $\mathcal{L}_{\pi} \subseteq F_{\pi}$ is any nonzero projective $O_{F} G$-module, then $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\pi}, O_{F} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right)$ is a categorical $F^{c}$-twisted $O_{F} G$-form. In particular, if $F_{\pi} / F$ is a tame $G$-extension, then $\left(O_{\pi}, O_{F} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right)$ is a categorical $F^{c}$-twisted $O_{F} G$-form. Similarly, if $v$ is any place of $F$, then (still assuming $F_{\pi} / F$ to be tame) ( $O_{\pi, v}, O_{F_{v}} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}$ ) is a categorical $F_{v}^{c}$-twisted $O_{F_{v}} G$-form. We shall mainly be concerned with twisted forms of these types in this paper.

We write $K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda)$ for the Grothendieck group associated to the fibre product category $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \times{ }_{\mathcal{P}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right)}$ $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$, and we write $[M, N ; \xi]$ for the isomorphism class of the twisted form $(M, N ; \xi)$ in $K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda)$. The group $K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda)$ is often called the relative $K$-group with respect to the homomorphism $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \Lambda$. Recall [Swan 1968, Theorem 15.5] that there is a long exact sequence of relative algebraic $K$-theory:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda}^{1}} K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{R}, \Lambda}^{0}} K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right) . \tag{5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first and last arrows in this sequence are afforded by extension of scalars from $R$ to $\Lambda$. The map $\partial_{\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda}^{0}$ is defined by

$$
\partial_{\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda}^{0}([M, N ; \lambda])=[M]-[N] .
$$

The map $\partial_{\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda}^{1}$ is defined by first recalling that the group $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right)$ is generated by pairs of the form $(V, \phi)$, where $V$ is a finitely generated, free, $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda$-module, and $\phi: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ is an $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{R} \Lambda$-isomorphism. If $T$ is any projective $\mathfrak{A}$-submodule of $V$ satisfying $T \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \Lambda \simeq V$, then we set

$$
\partial_{\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda}^{1}(V, \phi)=[T, T ; \phi] .
$$

It may be shown that this definition is independent of the choice of $T$.
We shall often ease notation and write e.g., $\partial^{0}$ rather than $\partial_{\mathfrak{A}, \Lambda}^{0}$ when no confusion is likely to result.
Idelic description and localisation. [Fröhlich 1983, Chapter II,§1]. Let us retain the notation established above, and suppose in addition that we now work over a number field $F$. The reduced norm map

$$
\operatorname{nrd}:(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow Z(F G)^{\times}
$$

induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(F G) \simeq \operatorname{nrd}\left(K_{1}(F G)\right) \simeq \operatorname{nrd}\left((F G)^{\times}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Det}\left((F G)^{\times}\right) \subseteq Z(F G)^{\times} \tag{5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}\left(F_{v} G\right) \simeq \operatorname{nrd}\left(K_{1}\left(F_{v} G\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{nrd}\left(\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Det}\left(\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}\right) \subseteq Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \tag{5-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each place $v$ of $F$. In general the natural map $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right) \rightarrow K_{1}\left(F_{v} G\right)$ is not injective, and so the reduced norm map

$$
\operatorname{nrd}: K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right) \rightarrow Z\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times}
$$

is not an isomorphism (although it is surjective if $\mathfrak{A}_{v}$ is an $O_{F_{v}}$-maximal order in $F_{v} G$ ). If we write $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\prime}$ for the image of $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)$ in $K_{1}\left(F_{v} G\right)$, then (5-3) induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\prime} \simeq \operatorname{nrd}\left(K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{nrd}\left(\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}^{\times}\right) \tag{5-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall make frequent use of the identifications (5-2), (5-3) and (5-4) (as well as those afforded by Proposition 4.5) in what follows, sometimes without explicit mention.

For each place $v$ of $F$, we write

$$
\operatorname{loc}_{v}: K_{1}(F G) \rightarrow K_{1}\left(F_{v} G\right)
$$

for the obvious localisation map.
Definition 5.3. We define the group of ideles $J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)$ of $K_{1}(F G)$ to be the restricted direct product over all places $v$ of $F$ of the groups $\operatorname{Det}\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \simeq K_{1}\left(F_{v} G\right)$ with respect to the subgroups $\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$. We define the group of finite ideles $J_{f}\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)$ in a similar manner but with the restricted direct product taken over all finite places $v$ of $F$.

If $E$ is any extension of $F$, then the homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right) \times \operatorname{Det}(E G)^{\times}, \quad x \mapsto\left(\left(\operatorname{loc}_{v}(x)\right)_{v}, x^{-1}\right)
$$

induces a homomorphism

$$
\Delta_{\mathfrak{A}, E}: \operatorname{Det}(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)}{\prod_{v} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times}} \times \operatorname{Det}(E G)^{\times}
$$

Theorem 5.4. (a) There is a natural isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)}{\operatorname{Det}(F G)^{\times} \prod_{v} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times}}
$$

(b) There is a natural isomorphism

$$
h_{\mathfrak{A}, E}: K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Coker}\left(\Delta_{\mathfrak{A}, E}\right)
$$

Proof. Part (a) is a well-known result of A. Fröhlich [1984, Chapter I]. Part (b) is proved in [Agboola and Burns 2006, Theorem 3.5].

Remark 5.5. If $[M, N ; \xi] \in K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$ and $M, N$ are locally free $\mathfrak{A}$-modules of rank one (which is the only case that we shall need in this paper), then $h_{\mathfrak{A}, E}([M, N ; \xi])$ may be described explicitly as follows.

For each place $v$ of $F$, we choose $\mathfrak{A}_{v}$-bases $m_{v}$ of $M_{v}$ and $n_{v}$ of $N_{v}$. We also choose an $F G$ basis $n_{\infty}$ of $N_{F}$, as well as an $F G$-module isomorphism $\theta: M_{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{F}$. Then, for each $v$, we may write $n_{v}=v_{v} \cdot n_{\infty}$, with $v_{v} \in\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$. As $\theta^{-1}\left(n_{\infty}\right)$ is an $F G$-basis of $M_{F}$, we may write $m_{v}=\mu_{v} \cdot \theta^{-1}\left(n_{\infty}\right)$, with $\mu_{v} \in\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$. Finally, writing $\theta_{E}$ for the map $M_{E} \rightarrow N_{E}$ afforded by $\theta$ via extension of scalars from $F$ to $E$, we have that $\left(\xi \circ \theta_{E}^{-1}\right)\left(n_{\infty}\right)=v_{\infty} \cdot n_{\infty}$ for some $v_{\infty} \in(E G)^{\times}$. Then a representative of $h_{\mathfrak{A}, E}([M, N ; \xi])$ is given by the image of $\left[\left(\mu_{v} \cdot v_{v}^{-1}\right)_{v}, v_{\infty}\right]$ in $J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right) \times K_{1}(E G)$, and a representative of $\partial^{0}\left(h_{\mathfrak{A}, E}([M, N ; \xi])\right) \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{A})$ is given by the image of $\left(\mu_{v} \cdot v_{v}^{-1}\right)_{v} \in J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)$.
Remark 5.6. As $\mathfrak{A}_{v}=F_{v} G$ when $v$ is infinite (by convention), we see that

$$
\frac{J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)}{\prod_{v} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times}} \simeq \frac{J_{f}\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)}{\prod_{v \nmid \infty} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times}} .
$$

Hence the infinite places of $F$ in fact play no explicit role on the right-hand sides of the isomorphisms given by Theorem 5.4, and so these isomorphisms may be formulated using the finite idele group $J_{f}\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)$ of $K_{1}(F G)$ instead of the full idele group $J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)$.

Lemma 5.7. Suppose that $v$ is a place of $F$ and that $E_{v}$ is any extension of $F_{v}$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$
K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, E_{v}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Det}\left(E_{v} G\right)^{\times} / \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times} .
$$

Proof. This follows directly from the long exact sequence of relative $K$-theory (5-1) applied to $K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, E_{v}\right)$, together with (5-3) and (5-4).

For each place $v$ of $F$, there is a localisation map on relative $K$-groups:

$$
\lambda_{v}: K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, E_{v}\right), \quad[M, N ; \xi] \mapsto\left[M_{v}, N_{v}, \xi_{v}\right]
$$

where $\xi_{v}$ denotes the map obtained from $\xi$ via extension of scalars from $E$ to $E_{v}$. It is not hard to check that, in terms of the descriptions of $K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$ and $K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, E_{v}\right)$ afforded by Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.7, the map $\lambda_{v}$ is that induced by the homomorphism (which we denote by the same symbol $\lambda_{v}$ )

$$
\lambda_{v}: J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right) \times \operatorname{Det}(E G)^{\times} \rightarrow \operatorname{Det}\left(E_{v} G\right)^{\times}, \quad\left[\left(x_{v}\right)_{v}, x_{\infty}\right] \mapsto\left[x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right]
$$

Definition 5.8. We define the idele group $J\left(K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)\right)$ of $K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$ to be the restricted direct product over all places $v$ of $F$ of the groups $K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, E_{v}\right)$ with respect to the subgroups $K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, O_{E_{v}}\right)$.

We define the group of finite ideles $J_{f}\left(K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}, F^{c}\right)\right)$ in a similar manner, but with the restricted direct product taken over all finite places of $F$.

Proposition 5.9. (a) The homomorphism

$$
\lambda:=\prod_{v} \lambda_{v}: K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E) \rightarrow \prod_{v} K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, E_{v}\right)
$$

is injective.
(b) If $F$ has no real places or if $G$ admits no irreducible symplectic characters, then the homomorphism

$$
\lambda_{f}:=\prod_{v \nmid \infty} \lambda_{v}: K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E) \rightarrow \prod_{v \nmid \infty} K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, E_{v}\right)
$$

is injective.
(c) The image of $\lambda$ lies in the idele group $J\left(K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)\right)$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that $\alpha \in K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$ lies in the kernel of $\lambda$, and let

$$
\left[\left(x_{v}\right)_{v}, x_{\infty}\right] \in J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right) \times \operatorname{Det}(E G)^{\times}
$$

be a representative of $\alpha$. Then for each $v$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}\right)^{\times} \subseteq \operatorname{Det}\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \tag{5-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{v} \in \operatorname{Det}\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \subseteq Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$, we see that $\operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right) \in Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$for each $v$. Hence $x_{\infty} \in Z(F G)^{\times}$, and so via the Hasse-Schilling norm theorem [Swan 1970, Theorem 7.6; Curtis and Reiner 1981, Theorem 7.8] we deduce that $x_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Det}(F G)^{\times}$. Hence $\alpha$ is also represented by the idele

$$
\left[\left(\operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right)_{v}, x_{\infty}^{-1}\right] \cdot\left[\left(x_{v}\right)_{v}, x_{\infty}\right]=\left[\left(x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right)_{v}, 1\right]
$$

and now (5-5) and Theorem 5.4(b) imply that $\alpha=0$ in $K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$. Therefore $\lambda$ is injective, as claimed.
(b) The proof of this assertion is virtually identical to that of part (a). Using the same notation as in the proof of part (a), we see that $\operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \simeq Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$for each finite place $v$ of $F$. This implies that $x_{\infty} \in Z(F G)^{\times}$. Under our hypotheses, we have that $\operatorname{Det}(F G)^{\times} \simeq Z(F G)^{\times}$, and so $x_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Det}(F G)^{\times}$. The remainder of the argument proceeds exactly as in the proof of part (a).
(c) If $\beta=[M, N ; \xi] \in K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$, then for all but finitely many places $v$, the isomorphism $\xi_{v}$ : $M \otimes_{O_{F}} E_{v} \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes_{O_{F}} E_{v}$ obtained from $\xi$ via extension of scalars from $E$ to $E_{v}$ restricts to an isomorphism $M \otimes_{O_{F}} O_{E_{v}} \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes_{O_{F}} O_{E_{v}}$. Hence, for all but finitely many $v$, we have that $\lambda_{v}(\beta) \in K_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{v}, O_{E_{v}}\right)$, and so $\lambda(\beta) \in J\left(K_{0}(\mathfrak{A}, E)\right)$, as asserted.

## 6. Cohomological classes in relative $\boldsymbol{K}$-groups

Recall that $F$ is a number field and that $G$ is a finite group upon which $\Omega_{F}$ acts trivially. In this section we shall explain how the set of realisable classes $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ may be studied via imposing local cohomological conditions on elements of the relative $K$-group $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$.
Definition 6.1. We define maps $\Psi$ and $\Psi_{v}$ (for each place $v$ of $F$ ) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi & =\Psi_{G}: H_{t}^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right), & {[\pi] \mapsto\left[O_{\pi}, O_{F} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right] } \\
\Psi_{v} & =\Psi_{G, v}: H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right), & {\left[\pi_{v}\right] \mapsto\left[O_{\pi_{v}}, O_{F_{v}} G ; \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

We set

$$
K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right):=\operatorname{Im}(\Psi)
$$

Definition 6.2. We define the pointed set of ideles $J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right)$ of $H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ to be the restricted direct product over all places $v$ of $F$ of the pointed sets $H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ with respect to the pointed subsets $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$, and we write

$$
\Psi^{\text {id }}: J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)
$$

for the map afforded by the maps $\Psi_{v}: H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)$.
In general, $K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is not a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$. However, although $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ is in general merely a pointed set and not a group, the following result holds.
Proposition 6.3. Let $v$ be any place of $F$, and write $\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ for the restriction of $\Psi_{v}$ to $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ is a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)$.
Proof. If $v$ is infinite, then $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)=0$, and so $\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)=0$. For finite $v$, the result follows from Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 5.7.

Definition 6.4. We say that an element $x \in K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ is cohomological (respectively cohomological at $v$ ) if $x \in \operatorname{Im}(\Psi)$ (respectively $\lambda_{v}(x) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}\right)$ ). We say that $x$ is locally cohomological if $x$ is cohomological at $v$ for all places $v$ of $F$. We write

$$
\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right):=\lambda^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}\right)\right)
$$

for the subset of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ consisting of locally cohomological elements.
The long exact sequence of relative $K$-theory (5-1) applied to $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ yields a long exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}\left(O_{F} G\right) \rightarrow K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right) \xrightarrow{\partial^{1}} K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial^{0}} \mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{6-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ denotes the locally free class group of $O_{F} G$. We set

$$
\psi:=\partial^{0} \circ \Psi
$$

and we write

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right):=\operatorname{Im}(\psi)
$$

McCulloh has conjectured that $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is always a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, and he has proved that this is true whenever $G$ is abelian [McCulloh 1987, Corollary 6.20]. The following conjecture gives a precise characterisation of the image $K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ of $\Psi$.

Conjecture 6.5. An element of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ is cohomological if and only if it is locally cohomological. In other words, we have that

$$
K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)=\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)
$$

Let us now explain why Conjecture 6.5 implies that $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. In order to do this, we shall require the following result which is equivalent to a theorem of McCulloh when $G$ is abelian, and whose proof relies on results contained in [McCulloh 1987; 2011]. Before stating the result, we remind the reader that $\prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ is not merely a pointed set, but is in fact a subgroup of $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$ (see Proposition 6.3).

Theorem 6.6. Let

$$
\overline{\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}}: J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
$$

denote the map of pointed sets given by the composition of the map $\Psi^{\text {id }}$ with the quotient homomorphism

$$
J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
$$

Then the image of $\overline{\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}}$ is in fact a group. Hence it follows that

$$
\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}\right)
$$

is a subgroup of $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$.

This theorem will be proved in Section 12. It implies the following result.
Theorem 6.7. If Conjecture 6.5 holds, then $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$.
Proof. It follows from the exact sequence (6-1) that $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ if and only if $\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right) \cdot K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$. However, if Conjecture 6.5 is true, then Theorem 6.6 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right) \cdot K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)=\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right) \tag{6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \xrightarrow{\lambda} J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi^{\text {id }}\right)}
$$

where the last arrow denotes the obvious quotient homomorphism. This implies the desired result.
We conclude this section with the following result on unramified locally cohomological classes in $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$. This will be used in the proofs of Theorem 16.4 and Theorem E of the introduction (see Section 16 below).

Proposition 6.8. (a) Let $L$ be the maximal, abelian, everywhere unramified (including at all infinite places) extension of $F$ of exponent $\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|$, and suppose that $y \in K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ lies in the kernel of the map

$$
\beta: K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{F}} J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
$$

Then $y$ lies in the kernel of the extension of scalars map

$$
e_{L}: K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{L} G, F^{c}\right)
$$

Hence, if $\left(h_{F}^{+},\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|\right)=1$ (where $h_{F}^{+}$denotes the narrow class number of $\left.F\right)$, then $L=F$, and so $\beta$ is injective.
(b) Suppose that $G$ admits no nontrivial irreducible symplectic characters, or that $F$ has no real places, and that $y \in K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ lies in the kernel of the map

$$
\beta_{f}: K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{f, F}} J_{f}\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J_{f}\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\prod_{v \nmid \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
$$

Then $y$ lies in the kernel of the extension of scalars map

$$
e_{M}: K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{M} G, F^{c}\right)
$$

where $M$ is the maximal, abelian, unramified (at all finite places) extension of $F$ of exponent $\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|$.
Hence if $\left(h_{F},\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|\right)=1$ then $L=F$, and so $\beta_{f}$ is injective.
Proof. (a) Suppose that $y=\left[\left(y_{v}\right), y_{\infty}\right]$ lies in the kernel of $\beta$, and let $E / F$ be the smallest Galois extension such that $\Omega_{E}$ fixes $y_{\infty}$. For each place $v$ of $F$, let $w(v)$ be the place of $E$ afforded by our fixed choice of embedding $F^{c} \rightarrow F_{v}^{c}$.

As $y$ lies in the kernel of $\beta$, we have that $y_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(y_{\infty}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ for each place $v$. Hence, for each $v$, $\operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(y_{\infty}\right) \in H\left(Z\left(F_{v} G\right)\right)$ is an unramified $G^{\text {ab }}$-resolvend over $F_{v}$ (see Proposition 3.6). It follows that, for each $v$, the extension $E_{w(v)} / F_{v}$ is unramified and that $\left[E_{w(v)}: F_{v}\right]$ divides $\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|$. This implies that $E / F$ is unramified at all places $v$, and is of exponent dividing $\left|G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right|$. Hence $E \subseteq L$, and so $y_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Det}(L G)^{\times}$.

Now since $y_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(y_{\infty}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ for each place $v$, we see that in fact $y_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(y_{\infty}\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(O_{L_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$. Hence $e_{L}(y)$ is in the kernel of the localisation map

$$
\lambda_{L}: K_{0}\left(O_{L} G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{L} G, F^{c}\right)\right)
$$

and since $\lambda_{L}$ is injective (see Proposition 5.9(a)) it follows that $e_{L}(y)=0$.
The final assertion now follows immediately.
(b) This proof is virtually identical to the proof of (a), except that here, because either $G$ admits no irreducible symplectic characters or $F$ has no real places, we may appeal to the injectivity of the localisation map $\lambda_{f, M}$ (see Proposition 5.9(b)) rather than that of $\lambda_{M}$.

## 7. Local extensions I

The goal of this section is to describe how resolvends of normal integral bases of tamely ramified, nonarchimedean local extensions admit Stickelberger factorisations (see Definition 7.12). This reflects the fact that every tamely ramified $G$-extension of $F_{v}$ is a compositum of an unramified extension of $F_{v}$ and a twist of a totally ramified extension of $F_{v}$. All of the results in this section are based on unpublished notes of the second-named author.

For each finite place $v$ of $F$, we fix a uniformiser $\varpi_{v}$ of $F_{v}$, and we write $q_{v}$ for the order of the residue field of $F_{v}$. We fix a compatible set of roots of unity $\left\{\zeta_{m}\right\}$, and a compatible set $\left\{\varpi_{v}^{1 / m}\right\}$ of roots of $\varpi_{v}$. So, if $m$ and $n$ are any two positive integers, then we have $\left(\zeta_{m n}\right)^{m}=\zeta_{n}$, and $\left(\varpi_{v}^{1 / m n}\right)^{m}=\varpi_{v}^{1 / n}$.

Recall that $F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ (respectively $F_{v}^{t}$ ) denotes the maximal unramified (respectively tamely ramified) extension of $F_{v}$. Then

$$
F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}=\bigcup_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\\left(m, q_{v}\right)=1}} F_{v}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad F_{v}^{t}=\bigcup_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\\left(m, q_{v}\right)=1}} F_{v}\left(\zeta_{m}, \varpi_{v}^{1 / m}\right)
$$

The group $\Omega_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}} / F_{v}\right)$ is topologically generated by a Frobenius element $\phi_{v}$ which may be chosen to satisfy

$$
\phi_{v}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)=\zeta_{m}^{q_{v}} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{v}\left(\varpi_{v}^{1 / m}\right)=\varpi_{v}^{1 / m}
$$

for each integer $m$ coprime to $q_{v}$. Our choice of compatible roots of unity also uniquely specifies a topological generator $\sigma_{v}$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{v}^{t} / F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ by the conditions

$$
\sigma_{v}\left(\varpi_{v}^{1 / m}\right)=\zeta_{m} \cdot \varpi_{v}^{1 / m} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{v}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)=\zeta_{m}
$$

for all integers $m$ coprime to $q_{v}$. The group $\Omega_{v}^{t}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{v}^{t} / F_{v}\right)$ is topologically generated by $\phi_{v}$ and $\sigma_{v}$, subject to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{v} \cdot \sigma_{v} \cdot \phi_{v}^{-1}=\sigma_{v}^{q_{v}} \tag{7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

While reading the remainder of this section (especially Proposition 7.7 below), it may be helpful for the reader to keep in mind the statement and proof of the following well-known result which provides some motivation for a number of subsequent constructions.
Proposition 7.1. Set $L:=F_{v}$. Let $n$ be a positive integer with $\left(n, q_{v}\right)=1$, and suppose that $\mu_{n} \subseteq L$. Set $E=L\left(\varpi_{v}^{1 / n}\right), \Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(E / L)=\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varpi_{v}^{i / n}$. Then $O_{E}=O_{L} \Gamma \cdot \beta$.
Proof. We first observe that plainly $O_{L} \Gamma \cdot \beta \subseteq O_{E}$, as $\beta \in O_{E}$.
Let $\chi$ denote the Kummer character of $\Gamma$, defined by

$$
\chi(\gamma)=\frac{\gamma\left(\varpi_{v}^{1 / n}\right)}{\varpi_{v}^{1 / n}} \in \mu_{n}
$$

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\hat{\Gamma}=\langle\chi\rangle$, and for each $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{\gamma} \chi^{j}(\gamma) \gamma^{-1}\right) \cdot \beta=\left(\sum_{\gamma} \chi^{j}(\gamma) \gamma^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varpi_{v}^{i / n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{\gamma} \chi^{j}(\gamma) \cdot \chi^{-i}(\gamma) \cdot \varpi_{v}^{i / n}\right)=n \cdot \varpi_{v}^{j / n}
$$

As $n \in O_{L}^{\times}$, we therefore see that $\left\{\varpi_{v}^{j / n}\right\}_{j=0}^{n-1} \subseteq O_{L} \Gamma \cdot \beta$, which implies that $O_{E} \subseteq O_{L} \Gamma \cdot \beta$. This implies the desired result.
Definition 7.2. For each finite place $v$ of $F$, we define

$$
\Sigma_{v}(G):=\left\{s \in G \mid s^{q_{v}} \in c(s)\right\}
$$

(recall that $c(s)$ denotes the conjugacy class of $s$ in $G$ ). Plainly if $s \in \Sigma_{v}(G)$, then both $c(s)$ and $\langle s\rangle$ are subsets of $\Sigma_{v}(G)$. Let us also remark that if $s \in \Sigma_{v}(G)$, then the order $|s|$ of $s$ is coprime to $q_{v}$.

Definition 7.3. If $s \in G$, we set

$$
\beta_{s}:=\frac{1}{|s|} \sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \varpi_{v}^{i /|s|}
$$

note that $\beta_{s}$ depends only upon $|s|$, and so in particular we have

$$
\beta_{s}=\beta_{g^{-1} s g}
$$

for every $g \in G$. We define $\varphi_{v, s} \in \operatorname{Map}\left(G, O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)$ by setting

$$
\varphi_{v, s}(g)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{v}^{i}\left(\beta_{s}\right) & \text { if } g=s^{i} \\ 0 & \text { if } g \notin\langle s\rangle\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \varphi_{v, s}\left(s^{i}\right) s^{-i}=\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \sigma_{v}^{i}\left(\beta_{s}\right) s^{-i} \tag{7-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that for each $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, g^{-1} s g}\right)=g^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right) \cdot g \tag{7-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, g^{-1} s g}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right) \tag{7-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the element $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)$ depends only upon the conjugacy class $c(s)$ of $s$ in $G$. We remark that it will be shown later as a consequence of properties of the Stickelberger pairing that $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)$ in fact determines the subgroup $\langle s\rangle$ of $G$ up to conjugation (see Remark 4.2 and Proposition 10.5(b)).

We shall see that generators of inertia subgroups of tame Galois $G$-extensions of $F_{v}$ lie in $\Sigma_{v}(G)$, and that the elements $\varphi_{v, s}$ for $s \in G$ with $\left(|s|, q_{v}\right)=1$ may be used to construct normal integral basis generators of tame (and of course totally ramified) Galois $G$-extensions of $F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}$.

In order to ease notation, we shall now set $L:=F_{v}$ and $O:=O_{L}$, and we shall drop the subscript $v$ from our notation for the rest of this section.

Suppose now that $L_{\pi} / L$ is a tamely ramified Galois $G$-extension of $L$, corresponding to $\pi \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega^{t}, G\right)$. We are going to describe McCulloh's [2011] decomposition of resolvends of normal integral basis generators of $L_{\pi} / L$ (see also [Byott 1998, §6]). When $G$ is abelian, this decomposition is an analogue of a version of Stickelberger's factorisation of Gauss sums.

Write $s:=\pi(\sigma)$ and $t:=\pi(\phi)$; then $t \cdot s \cdot t^{-1}=s^{q}$, and so $s \in \Sigma(G)$. We define $\pi_{r}, \pi_{\mathrm{nr}} \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\Omega^{t}, G\right)$ by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{r}\left(\sigma^{m} \phi^{n}\right) & =\pi\left(\sigma^{m}\right)=s^{m}  \tag{7-5}\\
\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\sigma^{m} \phi^{n}\right) & =\pi\left(\phi^{n}\right)=t^{n} \tag{7-6}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\omega_{i} \in \Omega^{t}(i=1,2)$ with $\omega_{i}=\sigma^{m_{i}} \cdot \phi^{n_{i}}$, then a straightforward calculation using (7-1) shows that

$$
\omega_{1} \cdot \omega_{2}=\sigma^{m_{1}+m_{2} q^{n_{1}}} \cdot \phi^{n_{1}+n_{2}}
$$

This implies that $\pi_{\mathrm{nr}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$. Plainly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(\omega)=\pi_{r}(\omega) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega) \tag{7-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\omega=\sigma^{m} \cdot \phi^{n} \in \Omega^{t}$. The map $\pi_{\mathrm{nr}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$ corresponds to an unramified Galois $G$-extension $L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}}$ of $L$ (see Remark 7.10 below for a more detailed discussion of this point). Since $L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}} / L$ is unramified, $O_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}}$ is a free $O_{L} G$-module. Let $a_{\mathrm{nr}}$ be any normal integral basis generator of this extension. Note that $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \in H(O G)$, because $L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}} / L$ is unramified (see Corollary 3.3(iv)).
Definition 7.4. Let $G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ denote the group $G$ with $\Omega^{t}$-action given by

$$
\omega(g)=\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega) \cdot g \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega)^{-1}
$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^{t}$ and $g \in G$.
Lemma 7.5. The map $\pi_{r}$ is a $G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$-valued 1 -cocycle of $\Omega^{t}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega^{t}$. Then since $\pi_{\mathrm{nr}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$ and $\pi=\pi_{r} \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}$, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\pi_{r}\left(\omega_{1} \omega_{2}\right)=\pi_{r}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \cdot \pi_{r}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\omega_{1}\right)^{-1}
$$

and this establishes the desired result.
Definition 7.6. We write ${ }^{\pi_{r}} G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ for the set $G$ endowed with the following action of $\Omega^{t}$ : for every $g \in G$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{t}$ we have

$$
g^{\omega}=\pi_{r}(\omega) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega) \cdot g \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega)^{-1}
$$

Lemma 7.5 implies that if $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega^{t}$, then

$$
g^{\left(\omega_{1} \omega_{2}\right)}=\left(g^{\omega_{2}}\right)^{\omega_{1}}
$$

We set

$$
L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right):=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega^{t}}\left(\pi_{r} G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right), L^{t}\right)
$$

The algebra $\left(L^{t} G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)\right)^{\Omega^{t}}$ acts on $L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ via the rule

$$
(\alpha \cdot a)(h)=\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g} \cdot a(h \cdot g)
$$

for all $h \in G$ and $\alpha=\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g} \cdot g \in\left(L^{t} G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)\right)^{\Omega^{t}}$.
Proposition 7.7. (a) Recall that $s \in \Sigma(G)$. We have that $\varphi_{s} \in L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$.
(b) Set

$$
\mathfrak{A}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)=\left(O_{L^{c}} G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)\right)^{\Omega^{t}}
$$

and let $O_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ be the integral closure of $O_{L}$ in $L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{A}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \varphi_{s}=O_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)
$$

(c) For any $\alpha_{r} \in L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{t}$, we have

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)^{\omega}=\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right) \cdot \pi(\omega)
$$

Proof.
(a) Suppose that $\omega=\sigma^{m} \cdot \phi^{n} \in \Omega^{t}$. If $g \in G$ and $g \notin\langle s\rangle$, then we have that

$$
\varphi_{s}\left(g^{\omega}\right)=0=\varphi_{s}(g)^{\omega}
$$

On the other hand, we also have
$\varphi_{s}\left(\left(s^{i}\right)^{\omega}\right)=\varphi_{s}\left(\left(s^{i}\right)^{\sigma^{m} \phi^{n}}\right)=\varphi_{s}\left(s^{m} \cdot t^{n} \cdot s^{i} \cdot t^{-n}\right)=\varphi_{s}\left(s^{m+i q^{n}}\right)=\sigma^{m+i q^{n}}\left(\beta_{s}\right)=\left(\sigma^{m} \cdot \phi^{n}\right) \cdot \sigma^{i}\left(\beta_{s}\right)=\varphi_{s}\left(s^{i}\right)^{\omega}$.
Hence $\varphi_{s} \in L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$, as claimed.
(b) The proof of this assertion is very similar to that of [Byott 1998, Lemma 6.6], which is in turn an analogue of [McCulloh 1987, 5.4].

Set $H=\langle s\rangle$. Then $\Omega^{t}$ acts transitively on ${ }^{\pi_{r}} H\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \subseteq^{\pi_{r}} G\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$, and so the algebra

$$
L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{H}:=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega^{t}}\left(\pi_{r} H\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right), L^{t}\right)
$$

may be identified with a subfield of $L^{t}$ via identifying $b \in L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{H}$ with $x_{b}=b(\mathbf{1}) \in L^{t}$. We have that

$$
x_{b}^{\sigma^{m}}=b\left(s^{m}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad x_{b}^{\phi}=x_{b}
$$

and so it follows that $L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{H}$ is the subfield of $L^{t}$ consisting of those elements of $L^{t}$ that are fixed by both $\phi$ and $\sigma^{|s|}$. This implies that $L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{H}=L\left[\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right]$ (which in general will not be normal over $L$ ), and that the integral closure of $O_{L}$ in $L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{H}$ is equal to $O_{L}\left[\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right]$. Plainly $\beta_{s} \in O_{L}\left[\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right]$ (as $|s|$ is invertible in $O_{L}$ ), and the element $\beta_{s}$ corresponds to the element $\left.\varphi_{s}\right|_{H} \in L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{H}$.

If we set $\mathfrak{A}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)_{H}:=\left(O_{L^{t}} H\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)\right)^{\Omega^{t}}$, then for each integer $k$ with $0 \leq k \leq|s|-1$, it is not hard to check that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \zeta_{|s|}^{-k i} s^{i}\right)^{\phi}=\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \zeta_{|s|}^{-k i} s^{i}
$$

and so we see that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \zeta_{|s|}^{-k i} s^{i} \in \mathfrak{A}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)_{H}
$$

A straightforward computation (cf. [McCulloh 1987, 5.4]) also shows that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \zeta_{|s|}^{-k i} s^{i}\right) \cdot \beta_{s}=\varpi^{k /|s|}
$$

It therefore follows that $\mathfrak{A}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)_{H} \cdot \beta_{s}=O_{L}\left[\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right]$, and this in turn implies that

$$
\mathfrak{A}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \varphi_{s}=O_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)
$$

as asserted.
(c) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)^{\omega} & =\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{r}(g)^{\omega} \cdot g^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{r}\left(g^{\omega}\right) \cdot g^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{r}\left(\pi_{r}(\omega) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega) \cdot g \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}^{-1}(\omega)\right) \cdot g^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{r}(g) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega)^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \cdot \pi_{r}(\omega) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega) \\
& =\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}(\omega)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right) \cdot \pi(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.

Corollary 7.8. For any $\alpha_{r} \in L_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{nr}} \in L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}}$, there is a unique $\alpha \in L_{\pi}$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(\alpha)
$$

Proof. Proposition 7.7(c) implies that, for any $\omega \in \Omega^{t}$, we have

$$
\left[\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right]^{\omega}=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right) \cdot \pi(\omega)
$$

and so $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right) \in H(L G)$. As the map $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}$ is bijective, it follows that there is a unique $\alpha \in$ $\operatorname{Map}\left(G, L^{c}\right)$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(\alpha),
$$

and that $\alpha \in L_{\pi}$.
Theorem 7.9. If $a_{\mathrm{nr}} \in L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}}$ is any normal integral basis generator of $L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}} / L$, then the element $a \in L_{\pi}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a) \tag{7-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a normal integral basis generator of $L_{\pi} / L$.
Proof. The proof of this assertion is very similar to that of the analogous result in the abelian case described in [McCulloh 1987, (5.7), p. 283]. We first observe that plainly $O_{L} G \cdot a \subseteq O_{\pi}$ because $a_{\mathrm{nr}} \in O_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}}$ and $\varphi_{s} \in O_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$. Hence, to prove the desired result, it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{L} G \cdot a / O_{L}\right)=\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O_{L}\right)
$$

This will in turn follow if we show that

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}} G \cdot a / O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)=\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O_{L}\right) \cdot O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}
$$

Recall (see (2-3)) that we may write $L_{\pi} \simeq \bigoplus_{G / \pi\left(\Omega^{t}\right)} L^{\pi}$, where $L^{\pi}$ is a field with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\pi} / L\right) \simeq \pi\left(\Omega^{t}\right)$. Under this last isomorphism, the inertia subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\pi} / L\right)$ is isomorphic to $\langle s\rangle$. The standard formula for tame field discriminants therefore yields

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(O^{\pi} / O_{L}\right)=\varpi^{(|s|-1)\left|\pi\left(\Omega^{t}\right)\right| /|s|} \cdot O_{L}
$$

and so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O\right)=\varpi^{(|s|-1)|G| /|s|} \cdot O_{L} \tag{7-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \in\left(O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}} G\right)^{\times}$, and we see from the proof of Proposition 7.7(b) that

$$
O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}} G \cdot a=O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}} G \cdot \varphi_{s}=O_{\pi_{r}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \otimes_{O_{L}} O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}} \simeq \bigoplus_{G /\langle s\rangle} O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}\left[\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right]
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}\left[\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right] / O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)=\varpi^{|s|-1} \cdot O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}
$$

it follows that

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}} G \cdot a / O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)=\varpi^{(|s|-1)|G| /|s|} \cdot O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}=\operatorname{disc}\left(O_{\pi} / O\right) \cdot O_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}
$$

and this establishes the desired result.
Remark 7.10. We caution the reader that $L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}}$ is not in general equal to the maximal unramified subextension of $L_{\pi} / L$, even when $L_{\pi}$ is a field. Suppose, for example, that $L_{\pi}$ is a field, and write $L_{0}$ for the maximal unramified subextension of $L_{\pi} / L$. Set $f=\left[L_{0}: L\right]$. Then it is not hard to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}} \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{|G| / f} L_{0} \tag{7-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}}$ is a Galois algebra with "core field" $L_{0}$. If $\alpha \in O_{L_{0}}$ is such that $O_{L_{0}}=O_{L}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / L\right)\right] \cdot \alpha$, then we may take $a_{\mathrm{nr}}=(\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)$ under the identification given by (7-10).

Suppose further that $L$ contains the $|s|$-th roots of unity, and that $L_{\pi}=L_{0} \cdot L\left(\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right)$. To ease notation, write $M:=L\left(\varpi^{1 /|s|}\right)$, and set $H=\langle s\rangle$. Then a calculation similar to (but simpler than) that given in the proof of Proposition 7.7(b) (see also Proposition 7.1) shows that $O_{M}=O_{L}[H] \cdot \beta_{s}$, and it may be shown by computing the coefficient of $\mathbf{1}_{G}$ on the left-hand side of (7-8) that $a=\alpha \cdot \beta_{s}$, as is of course well known.

Remark 7.11. Suppose that $s \in G$ with $(|s|, q)=1$. A straightforward computation (cf. the proofs of Propositions 7.1 and 7.7(b)) shows that for every $\omega \in \Omega_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}$, we may write

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)^{\omega}=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{s}(\omega)
$$

where $\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{s}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(L^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$, and that $\varphi_{s}$ is a normal integral basis generator of $L_{\tilde{\varphi}_{s}}^{\mathrm{nr}} / L^{\mathrm{nr}}$. We have that $\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{s_{1}}\right]=\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{s_{2}}\right]$ in $H_{t}^{1}\left(L^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$ if and only if $c\left(s_{1}\right)=c\left(s_{2}\right)$. It is easy to show that every element of $H_{t}^{1}\left(L^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$ is of the form $\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{s}\right]$ for some $s \in G$ with $(|s|, q)=1$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 7.1 again).

Definition 7.12. Let $a$ be any normal integral basis generator of $L_{\pi} / L$. Theorem 7.9 implies that we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a)=u \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right), \tag{7-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in(O G)^{\times}$and $a_{\mathrm{nr}}$ is any normal integral basis generator of $L_{\pi_{\mathrm{nr}}} / L$. This may be viewed as being a nonabelian analogue of a version of Stickelberger's factorisation of abelian Gauss sums (see [Hilbert 1998, pages XXXV-XXXVI, and Theorems 135 and 136; McCulloh 1987, Introduction]), and so we call (7-11) a Stickelberger factorisation of $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a)$.

## 8. Local extensions II

Our goal in this section is to state certain results analogous to, (but very much simpler than), those in Section 7, for extensions of $F_{v}$ where $v$ is an infinite place of $F$. This section may therefore be viewed as
being a "supplement at infinity" to Section 7 (cf. [Fröhlich 1984, Chapter I, §3]). We remind the reader that, if $v$ is infinite, by convention, we set $O_{F_{v}} G=F_{v} G$ and $H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)=H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$.

Suppose first that $v$ is a complex place of $F$. Then

$$
K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)=0
$$

and we set $\Sigma_{v}(G)=\{1\}$. As this case is totally degenerate, we therefore suppose henceforth in this section that $v$ is real. We set $L=F_{v} \simeq \mathbb{R}$, and for the remainder of this section, we drop any further reference to $v$ from our notation.

Set $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{c} / L\right)=\langle\sigma\rangle$, and fix a primitive fourth root of unity $\zeta_{4} \in L^{c}$ (cf. the choice of compatible roots of unity made at the beginning of Section 7), so $L^{c}=L\left(\zeta_{4}\right)$.

Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(G):=\left\{s \in G \mid s^{2}=e\right\} . \tag{8-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that this set is in fact independent of $v$.) For each $s \in \Sigma(G)$, we set

$$
\beta_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\zeta_{4}\right)
$$

Define $\varphi_{s} \in \operatorname{Map}\left(G, L^{c}\right)$ by

$$
\varphi_{s}(g)= \begin{cases}\sigma^{i}\left(\beta_{s}\right) & \text { if } g=s^{i} \\ 0 & \text { if } g \notin\langle s\rangle\end{cases}
$$

Then it is easy to check that

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)=\beta_{s} \cdot e+\sigma\left(\beta_{s}\right) \cdot s=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1+\zeta_{4}\right) \cdot e+\left(1-\zeta_{4}\right) \cdot s\right] .
$$

Proposition 8.1. Suppose that $\pi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{L}, G\right)$ with $\pi(\sigma)=s$. Then $\varphi_{s} \in L_{\pi}$, and

$$
L_{\pi}=L G \cdot \varphi_{s}
$$

Proof. The first assertion follows directly from the definition of $\varphi_{s}$. The second is an immediate consequence of the fact that $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right) \in\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times}$, because

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(1+\zeta_{4}\right) \cdot e+\left(1-\zeta_{4}\right) \cdot s\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(1-\zeta_{4}\right) \cdot e+\left(1+\zeta_{4}\right) \cdot s\right)=1
$$

Proposition 8.2. Suppose that $\chi \in R_{G}$, and write

$$
\left.\chi\right|_{\langle s\rangle}=a \cdot \mathbf{1}+b \cdot \varepsilon
$$

where $\varepsilon$ denotes the unique nontrivial irreducible character of $\langle s\rangle$. Then

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)\right)\right](\chi)=(-1)^{b / 2}
$$

Proof. This follows via a straightforward computation:

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)\right)\right](\chi)=\mathbf{1}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)\right)^{a} \cdot \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)\right)^{b}=\left(\beta_{s}+\sigma\left(\beta_{s}\right)\right)^{a} \cdot\left(\beta_{s}-\sigma\left(\beta_{s}\right)\right)^{b}=1^{a} \cdot \zeta_{4}^{b}=(-1)^{b / 2}
$$

Remark 8.3. In terms of the Stickelberger pairing $\langle-,-\rangle_{G}$ which will be introduced in the next section, Proposition 8.2 asserts that

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)\right)\right](\chi)=(-1)^{\langle\chi, s\rangle_{G}}
$$

## 9. The Stickelberger pairing

Definition 9.1. The Stickelberger pairing is a $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle-,-\rangle_{G}: \mathbb{Q} R_{G} \times \mathbb{Q} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \tag{9-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is defined as follows.
Let $\zeta_{|G|}$ be a fixed, primitive $|G|$-th root of unity (see the conventions established at the beginning of Section 7), and suppose first that $G$ is abelian. Then if $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, we may write $\chi(g)=\zeta_{|G|}^{r}$ for some integer $r$. We define

$$
\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G}=\left\{\frac{r}{|G|}\right\}
$$

where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, and we extend this to a pairing on $\mathbb{Q} R_{G} \times \mathbb{Q} G$ via linearity. For arbitrary finite $G$, the Stickelberger pairing is defined via reduction to the abelian case by setting

$$
\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G}=\left\langle\left.\chi\right|_{\langle g\rangle}, g\right\rangle_{\langle g\rangle} .
$$

It is easy to check that both definitions agree when $G$ is abelian.
We shall now explain a different way of expressing the Stickelberger pairing using the standard inner product on $R_{G}$. In order to do this, we must introduce some further notation.

For each $s \in G$, we set $m_{s}:=|G| /|s|$. We define a character $\xi_{s}$ of $\langle s\rangle$ by $\xi_{s}\left(s^{i}\right)=\zeta_{|G|}^{i m_{s}}$; so $\xi_{s}$ is a generator of the group of irreducible characters of $\langle s\rangle$. Then it follows from Definition 9.1 that

$$
\left\langle\xi_{s}^{\alpha}, s^{\beta}\right\rangle_{\langle s\rangle}=\left\{\frac{\alpha \beta}{|s|}\right\}
$$

Define

$$
\Xi_{s}:=\frac{1}{|s|} \sum_{j=1}^{|s|-1} j \xi_{s}^{j}
$$

Proposition 9.2. Let $(-,-)_{G}$ denote the standard inner product on $R_{G}$, and suppose that $\chi \in R_{G}$ and $s \in G$. Then we have

$$
\left(\chi, \operatorname{Ind}_{\langle s\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s}\right)\right)_{G}=\langle\chi, s\rangle_{G}
$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$
\left.\chi\right|_{\langle s\rangle}=\sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1}|s|-1 a_{j} \xi_{s}^{j}
$$

where $a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $j$. Then we have

$$
\langle\chi, s\rangle_{G}=\sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} a_{j}\left\langle\xi_{s}^{j}, s\right\rangle_{\langle s\rangle}=\sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} a_{j}\left\{\frac{j}{|s|}\right\}=\frac{1}{|s|} \sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} a_{j} j
$$

On the other hand, via Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$
\left(\chi, \operatorname{Ind}_{\langle s\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s}\right)\right)_{G}=\left(\left.\chi\right|_{\langle s\rangle}, \Xi(s)\right)_{\langle s\rangle}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} a_{j} \xi_{s}^{j}, \frac{1}{|s|} \sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} j \xi_{s}^{j}\right)_{\langle s\rangle}=\frac{1}{|s|} \sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} a_{j} j=\langle\chi, s\rangle_{G},
$$

and this establishes the desired result.
In order to apply Proposition 9.2, we shall require the following result concerning traces of sums of roots of unity.

Lemma 9.3. Let $n>1$ be an integer, and suppose that $\zeta$ is any primitive $n$-th root of unity. Write

$$
y:=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot \zeta^{i}
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(y)=-\frac{1}{2} n \phi(n)
$$

where $\phi$ is the Euler $\phi$-function. In particular, $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(y) \neq 0$.
Proof. Each $\zeta^{i}$ is a primitive $d$-th root of unity for some divisor $d$ of $n$, and so it follows that

$$
y=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d-1 \\(r, d)=1}} \frac{\mathrm{nr}}{d} \zeta^{n r / d}
$$

If $d \mid n$, then applying Möbius inversion to the identity $x^{d}-1=\prod_{m \mid d} \Phi_{m}(x)$ (where $\Phi_{m}(x)$ denotes the $m$-th cyclotomic polynomial) yields $\Phi_{m}(x)=\prod_{m \mid d}\left(x^{m .}-1\right)^{\mu(d / m)}$, whence it is not hard to show that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) / \mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon)=\mu(d)$ for any primitive $d$-th root $\varepsilon$ of unity. Hence $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon)=\phi(n) \mu(d) / \phi(d)$, and so we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(y)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d-1 \\(r, d)=1}} \frac{\mathrm{nr}}{d} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\zeta^{n r / d}\right)=n \sum_{d \mid n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(d)} s(d),
$$

where

$$
s(d)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } d=1 \\ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d-1 \\(i, d)=1}} i & \text { if } d>1\end{cases}
$$

It is well-known that

$$
s(d)=\frac{1}{2} d \phi(d)
$$

for any integer $d>1$ (see, e.g., [Burton 2007, Theorem 7.7]). It therefore follows that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(y)=\frac{1}{2} n \phi(n) \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d>1}} \mu(d)=-\frac{1}{2} n \phi(n)
$$

as claimed.
We can now state the following corollary to Proposition 9.2.
Corollary 9.4. Suppose that $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are elements of $G$.
(i) If $c\left(s_{1}\right)=c\left(s_{2}\right)$, then $\left\langle\chi, s_{1}\right\rangle_{G}=\left\langle\chi, s_{2}\right\rangle_{G}$ for all $\chi \in \mathbb{Q} R_{G}$.
(ii) If $\left\langle\chi, s_{1}\right\rangle_{G}=\left\langle\chi, s_{2}\right\rangle_{G}$ for all $\chi \in \mathbb{Q} R_{G}$, then $\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle$ is conjugate to $\left\langle s_{2}\right\rangle$ in $G$.
(iii) We have that $\left\langle\chi, s_{1}\right\rangle_{G}=0$ for all $\chi \in \mathbb{Q} R_{G}$ if and only if $s_{1}=e$.

Proof. (i) Let $\chi \in R_{G}$ and $s \in G$. It follows from the definition of the Stickelberger pairing that for fixed $\chi$ the value of $\langle\chi, s\rangle_{G}$ depends only upon the conjugacy class $c(s)$ of $s$ in $G$. Hence, if $c\left(s_{1}\right)=c\left(s_{2}\right)$, then $\left\langle\chi, s_{1}\right\rangle_{G}=\left\langle\chi, s_{2}\right\rangle_{G}$ for all $\chi \in \mathbb{Q} R_{G}$.
(ii) To show this we use Proposition 9.2. We first note that a straightforward computation shows that the degree of the virtual character $\operatorname{Ind}_{\langle s\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s}\right)$ is equal to $|G|(|s|-1) / 2|s|$, and so we see that $\operatorname{Ind}_{\langle s\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s}\right)$ determines $|s|$. Next, we remark that If $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ is a set of representatives of $G /\langle s\rangle$, then for each $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\langle s\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s}\right)\right](g)=\sum_{t_{i}^{-1} g t_{i} \in\langle s\rangle} \xi_{s}\left(t_{i}^{-1} g t_{i}\right) \tag{9-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the character $\operatorname{Ind}_{\langle s\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s}\right)$ vanishes on all elements of $G$ that are not conjugate to an element of $\langle s\rangle$.
Proposition 9.2 implies that under our hypotheses, $\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\langle s_{2}\right\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{2}}\right)$. Hence, to prove the desired result, it suffices to show that $\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right)\right]\left(s_{1}\right) \neq 0$, because then

$$
\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\langle s_{2}\right\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right)\right]\left(s_{1}\right)=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right)\right]\left(s_{1}\right) \neq 0
$$

which implies (since $\left|s_{1}\right|=\left|s_{2}\right|$ ) that $s_{1}$ is conjugate to a generator of $\left\langle s_{2}\right\rangle$.
Now if $s_{1}^{a}$ is any generator of $\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle$, then $\xi_{s_{1}}\left(s_{1}^{a}\right)$ is a primitive $\left|s_{1}\right|$-th root of unity, and we have

$$
\xi_{s_{1}}\left(s_{1}^{a}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\left|s_{1}\right|-1} i \xi_{s_{1}}\left(s_{1}^{a}\right)^{i}
$$

Hence if $\zeta$ denotes any primitive $\left|s_{1}\right|$-th root of unity, Lemma 9.3 implies that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \mathbb{Q}}\left(\xi_{s_{1}}\left(s_{1}^{a}\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left|s_{1}\right| \phi\left(\left|s_{1}\right|\right) .
$$

It follows from (9-2) that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{s_{1}}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right)\right]\left(s_{1}\right)$ is equal to a nonzero multiple of $-\left|s_{1}\right| \phi\left(\left|s_{1}\right|\right) / 2$, and so is nonzero. This in turn implies that $\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{s_{1}}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right)\right]\left(s_{1}\right)$ is also nonzero, thereby establishing the desired result.
(iii) Proposition 9.2 implies that $\left\langle\chi, s_{1}\right\rangle_{G}=0$ for all $\chi \in \mathbb{Q} R_{G}$ if and only if $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right), \chi\right)_{G}=0$ for all $\chi \in \mathbb{Q} R_{G}$. The latter condition holds if and only if $\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle}^{G}\left(\Xi_{s_{1}}\right)=0$ and this happens if and only if $s_{1}=e$.
Remark 9.5. (a) The converse to Corollary 9.4(i) does not hold in general, e.g., it fails for the dihedral group $D_{2 p}$ of order $2 p$, where $p>3$ is a prime. (See [Siviero 2013, Chapter 3; 2016] for an explicit description of the Stickelberger pairing in this case.)
(b) Let $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{d}$ (respectively $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d}$ ) be the set of irreducible characters (respectively conjugacy classes) of $G$. We refer the reader to [Bueno et al. 2016] for computations and conjectures concerning the rank of the $d \times d$-matrix $\left[\left\langle\chi_{i}, c_{j}\right\rangle_{G}\right]$ associated to the Stickelberger pairing $\langle-,-\rangle_{G}$ when $G$ is cyclic.

## 10. The Stickelberger map and transpose homomorphisms

## The Stickelberger map.

Definition 10.1. The Stickelberger map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta_{G}: \mathbb{Q} R_{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} G \tag{10-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined by

$$
\Theta(\chi)=\sum_{g \in G}\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G} \cdot g
$$

We write $G(-1)$ for the set $G$ endowed with an action of $\Omega_{F}$ via the inverse cyclotomic character. Note that in general, for nonabelian $G$, this $\Omega_{F}$-action is not an action on $G$ via group automorphisms; it is only an action on the set $G$. However, it does induce an action on the additive group $\mathbb{Q} G(-1)$, which is all that we shall require.

The following proposition summarises some basic properties of the Stickelberger map.
Proposition 10.2. (a) We have that $\Theta(\chi) \in Z(\mathbb{Q} G)$ for all $\chi \in R_{G}$, i.e., in fact

$$
\Theta: \mathbb{Q} R_{G} \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{Q} G)
$$

(b) Suppose that $\chi \in R_{G}$. Then $\Theta(\chi) \in \mathbb{Z} G$ if and only if $\chi \in A_{G}$. Hence $\Theta$ induces a homomorphism $A_{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} G$.
(c) The map

$$
\Theta: \mathbb{Q} R_{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} G(-1)
$$

is $\Omega_{F}$-equivariant.
Proof. The proofs of these assertions for arbitrary $G$ are essentially the same as those in the case of abelian $G$. See [McCulloh 1987, Propositions 4.3 and 4.5].
(a) It follows from the definition of the Stickelberger pairing that if $\chi \in R_{G}$ and $g \in G$, then $\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G}$ is determined by the conjugacy class $c(g)$ of $g$ in $G$. This implies that $\Theta\left(R_{G}\right) \subseteq Z(\mathbb{Q} G)$, as claimed.
(b) Suppose that $\chi \in R_{G}$ and $g \in G$. Write

$$
\left.\chi\right|_{\langle g\rangle}=\sum_{\eta} a_{\eta} \eta,
$$

where the sum is over irreducible characters of $\langle g\rangle$, and set $\zeta_{|g|}:=\zeta_{|G|}^{|G| /|g|}$. Then

$$
(\operatorname{det}(\chi))(g)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{\langle g\rangle}\right)(g)=\prod_{\eta} \eta(g)^{a_{\eta}}=\prod_{\eta} \zeta_{|g|}^{|g|\left\langle a_{\eta} \eta, g\right\rangle_{\langle g\rangle}}=\zeta_{|g|}^{|g| \sum_{\eta}\left\langle a_{\eta} \eta, g\right\rangle_{\langle g\rangle}}=\zeta_{|g|}^{|g|\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G}}
$$

It now follows that $\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $g \in G$ if and only if $\chi \in \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{det})=A_{G}$, as required.
(c) Let $\kappa$ denote the cyclotomic character of $\Omega_{F}$, and suppose that $\chi \in R_{G}$ is of degree one. Then, for each $g \in G$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{F}$, we have

$$
\chi^{\omega}(g)=\chi\left(g^{\kappa(\omega)}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\chi^{\omega}, g\right\rangle_{G}=\left\langle\chi, g^{\kappa(\omega)}\right\rangle_{G} \tag{10-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows via bilinearity that (10-2) holds for all $\chi \in R_{G}$ and all $g \in G$. Hence, if we view $\Theta(\chi)$ as being an element of $\mathbb{Q} G(-1)$, then

$$
\Theta\left(\chi^{\omega}\right)=\sum_{g \in G}\left\langle\chi^{\omega}, g\right\rangle_{G} \cdot g=\sum_{g \in G}\left\langle\chi, g^{\kappa(\omega)}\right\rangle_{G} \cdot g=\sum_{g \in G}\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G} \cdot g^{\kappa^{-1}(\omega)}=\Theta(\chi)^{\omega}
$$

Transpose Stickelberger homomorphisms. We see from Proposition 10.2 that dualising the homomorphism

$$
\Theta: A_{G} \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{Z} G)
$$

and twisting by the inverse cyclotomic character yields an $\Omega_{F}$-equivariant transpose Stickelberger homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{t}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(Z(\mathbb{Z} G(-1)),\left(F^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(F^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{10-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing (10-3) with the sequence of homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(F^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times} / G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Det}\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}}{\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F} G\right)^{\times}} \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \tag{10-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the first arrow is given by (4-6), the second via (the inverse of) (4-3), and the third is via the homomorphism $\partial^{1}$ of (6-1)) yields a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \Theta^{t}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(Z(\mathbb{Z} G(-1)),\left(F^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \tag{10-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if we write $\mathcal{C}(G(-1))$ for the set of conjugacy classes of $G$ endowed with $\Omega_{F}$-action via the inverse cyclotomic character, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right) & :=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F}}\left(Z(\mathbb{Z} G(-1)), O_{F^{c}}\right)=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega_{F}}\left(\mathcal{C}(G(-1)), O_{F^{c}}\right)=Z\left(O_{F^{c}}[G(-1)]\right)^{\Omega_{F}}, \\
\Lambda(F G) & :=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F}}\left(Z(\mathbb{Z} G(-1)), F^{c}\right)=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega_{F}}\left(\mathcal{C}(G(-1)), F^{c}\right)=Z\left(F^{c}[G(-1)]\right)^{\Omega_{F}},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $K \Theta^{t}$ induces a homomorphism (which we denote by the same symbol):

$$
K \Theta^{t}: \Lambda(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)
$$

For each place $v$ of $F$, we may apply the discussion above with $F$ replaced by $F_{v}$ to obtain local versions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{v}^{t}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(Z(\mathbb{Z} G(-1)),\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{10-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \Theta_{v}^{t}: \Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right) \tag{10-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the maps $\Theta^{t}$ and $K \Theta^{t}$ respectively. The homomorphism $\Theta^{t}$ commutes with local completion, and $K \Theta^{t}$ commutes with the localisation maps

$$
\lambda_{v}: K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)
$$

Definition 10.3. We define the group of ideles $J(\Lambda(F G))$ of $\Lambda(F G)$ to be the restricted direct product over all places $v$ of $F$ of the groups $\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$with respect to the subgroups $\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$.

For all finite places $v$ of $F$ not dividing the order of $G$, as $O_{F_{v}} G$ is an $O_{F_{v}}$-maximal order in $F_{v} G$, we have that (see Proposition 4.5(ii))

$$
\Theta_{v}^{t}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(A_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)
$$

and so

$$
K \Theta_{v}^{t}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right) \subseteq K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)
$$

It follows that the homomorphisms $\Theta_{v}^{t}$ combine to yield an idelic transpose Stickelberger homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \Theta^{t}: J(\Lambda(F G)) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \tag{10-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall see in the next subsection that the idelic homomorphism $K \Theta^{t}$ is closely related to the homomorphism

$$
\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}: J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)
$$

of Definition 6.2.

## Prime F-elements.

Definition 10.4. Let $v$ be a place of $F$. For each element $s \neq e$ of $\Sigma_{v}(G)$ (see Definition 7.2 and (8-1)), define $f_{v, s} \in \Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$by

$$
f_{v, s}(c)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } v \text { is real and } c=c(s)  \tag{10-9}\\ \varpi_{v} & \text { if } v \text { is finite and } c=c(s) \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Observe that $f_{v, s}$ is $\Omega_{F_{v}}$ equivariant because $s \in \Sigma_{v}(G)$ and so $\Omega_{F_{v}}$ fixes $c(s)$ when $s$ is viewed as an element of $G(-1)$. The element $f_{v, s}$ depends only upon the conjugacy class $c(s)$ of $s$. For all places $v$ of $F$, we define $f_{v, e} \in\left(\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)\right)^{\times}$to be the constant function $f_{v, e}=1$.

Write

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{v}:=\left\{f_{v, s} \mid s \in \Sigma_{v}(G)\right\}
$$

and define the subset $\boldsymbol{F} \subset J(\Lambda(F G))$ of prime $\boldsymbol{F}$-elements by

$$
f \in \boldsymbol{F} \Longleftrightarrow f \in J(\Lambda(F G)) \text { and } f_{v} \in \boldsymbol{F}_{v} \text { for all places } v \text { of } F
$$

Following [Byott 1998, Definition 7.1], we define the support $\operatorname{Supp}(f)$ of $f \in \boldsymbol{F}$ to be set of all places $v$ of $F$ for which $f_{v} \neq 1$. We say that $f$ is full if, for each $s \in G$ there is a place $v$ with $f_{v}=f_{v, s}$.

Our interest in the set $\boldsymbol{F}$, as well as the relationship between $K \Theta^{t}$ and $\Psi^{\text {id }}$, is explained by the following result.

Proposition 10.5. Let $v$ be a place of $F$.
(a) For each $s \in \Sigma_{v}(G)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)=K \Theta_{v}^{t}\left(f_{v, s}\right)
$$

in $K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)$.
(b) Suppose that $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \Sigma_{v}(G)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s_{1}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s_{2}}\right)\right) \tag{10-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle$ is conjugate in $G$ to $\left\langle s_{2}\right\rangle$.
(c) Suppose that $v$ is finite. Let $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)$ with $\left[\pi_{i}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ for each $i$, and set $s_{i}=\pi_{i}\left(\sigma_{v}\right)\left(\right.$ see (7-5)). Let $a_{i}$ be a normal integral basis generator of $F_{v, \pi_{i}} / F_{v}$, and let

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{i}\right)=u_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{i, n r}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{i}}\right)
$$

be a Stickelberger factorisation of $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{i}\right)$ (see Definition 7.12). Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Det}\left(\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}} G\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{10-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{1}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{2}}\right)\right)
$$

and for some integer $m$ and some $h \in G$, the equality

$$
\pi_{1}(\omega)=h \cdot \pi_{2}(\omega)^{m} \cdot h^{-1}
$$

holds for all $\omega \in I_{v}$.

## Proof.

(a) The proof of this assertion is very similar to that of [McCulloh 1987, Proposition 5.4].

It suffices to show that the equality

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)=\Theta_{v}^{t}\left(f_{v, s}\right)
$$

holds in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$.
Let $\chi \in R_{G}$, and write

$$
\left.\chi\right|_{<s>}=\sum_{\eta} a_{\eta} \eta
$$

where the sum is over irreducible characters $\eta$ of $\langle s\rangle$.
Suppose first that $v$ is finite. Using (7-2), we see that (cf. [McCulloh 1987, Proposition 5.4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)\right](\chi)=\prod_{\eta}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} \sigma_{v}^{i}\left(\beta_{s}\right) \eta\left(s^{-i}\right)\right)^{a_{\eta}}=\varpi_{v}^{\left\langle\sum_{\eta} a_{\eta} \eta, s\right\rangle_{\langle s\rangle}}=\varpi_{v}^{\langle\chi, s\rangle_{G}} \tag{10-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so it follows that

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)\right](\alpha)=\varpi_{v}^{\langle\alpha, s\rangle_{G}}
$$

for all $\alpha \in A_{G}$.
If $v$ is real, then the proof of Proposition 8.2 shows directly that

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)\right](\chi)=(-1)^{\langle\chi, s\rangle_{G}}
$$

and so we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)\right](\alpha)=(-1)^{\langle\alpha, s\rangle_{G}}
$$

for all $\alpha \in A_{G}$ in this case also.
Now suppose that $v$ is either finite or real. If $\alpha \in A_{G}$, then we have

$$
\left(\Theta_{v}^{t}\left(f_{v, s}\right)\right)(\alpha)=f_{v, s}(\Theta(\alpha))=f_{v, s}\left(\sum_{g \in G}\langle\alpha, g\rangle_{G} \cdot g\right)=\prod_{g \in G} f_{v, s}(g)^{\langle\alpha, g\rangle_{G}}= \begin{cases}\varpi_{v}^{\langle\alpha, s\rangle_{G}} & \text { if } v \text { is finite } \\ (-1)^{\langle\alpha, s\rangle_{G}} & \text { if } v \text { is real. }\end{cases}
$$

The desired result now follows.
(b) The proof of (a) above shows that if (10-10) holds, then

$$
\left\langle\chi, s_{1}\right\rangle_{G}=\left\langle\chi, s_{2}\right\rangle_{G}
$$

for every $\chi \in R_{G}$. It therefore follows from Corollary 9.4 that $\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle$ is conjugate in $G$ to $\left\langle s_{2}\right\rangle$.
(c) Observe that (10-11) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{1}}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}} G\right)^{\times}\right) \tag{10-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proof of part (a) (see (10-12)) implies that (10-13) holds if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{1}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{2}}\right)\right)
$$

Part (b) therefore implies that $\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle s_{2}\right\rangle$ are conjugate. Hence

$$
s_{1}=h \cdot s_{2}^{m} \cdot h^{-1}
$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in G$, and so

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{1}}\right)=h \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{2}^{m}}\right) \cdot h^{-1}
$$

(see (7-3)).
For any $\omega \in \Omega_{F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$, we have

$$
\pi_{i}(\omega)=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{i}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{i}\right)^{\omega}=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{i}}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{i}}\right)^{\omega}
$$

Applying the map $F_{v}^{c} G \rightarrow F_{v}^{c} G$ defined by $\sum_{g} a_{g} g \mapsto \sum_{g} a_{g} g^{m}$ to this equality (when $i=2$ ) yields

$$
\pi_{2}(\omega)^{m}=\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{2}^{m}}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{s_{2}^{m}}\right)^{\omega}
$$

The final assertion now follows.
The Stickelberger pairing revisited. In this subsection we shall briefly describe an alternative definition of the Stickelberger pairing that involves a direct connection with resolvends of local normal integral basis generators. This will not be used in the sequel.

Let $v$ be a finite place of $F$. There is a natural pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{-,-\}_{G, v}: \operatorname{Irr}(G) \times H^{1}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}, \quad(\chi,[\pi]) \mapsto\left[v\left(\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}(a(\pi))\right)(\chi)\right)\right], \tag{10-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(\pi)$ is any normal basis generator of $F_{v, \pi}^{\mathrm{nr}} / F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. Recall that every element of $H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$ is of the form $\tilde{\varphi}_{v, s}$ for some $s \in G$ with $v \nmid|s|$ (see Remark 7.11). The restriction of $\{-,-\}_{G, v}$ to $\operatorname{Irr}(G) \times H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right)$ yields a refined pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{-,-\}_{G, v}^{(1)}: \operatorname{Irr}(G) \times H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}, G\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad\left(\chi, \tilde{\varphi}_{v, s}\right) \mapsto v\left(\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)(\chi)\right) \tag{10-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to the following definition.
Definition 10.6. Suppose that $v$ is finite and that $v \nmid|G|$. We define a pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
[-,-]_{G, v}: \operatorname{Irr}(G) \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad(\chi, g) \mapsto v\left(\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, g}\right)\right)(\chi)\right) \tag{10-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we extend this to a pairing on $\mathbb{Q} R_{G} \times \mathbb{Q} G$ via linearity.
Proposition 10.7. Suppose that $v$ is finite and that $v \nmid|G|$. Then for each $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\chi, g]_{G, v}=\left[\left.\chi\right|_{\langle g\rangle}, g\right]_{\langle g\rangle, v} \tag{10-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $H:=\langle g\rangle$. The property (10-17) is a direct consequence of the fact that the restriction map $R_{G} \rightarrow R_{H}$ induces a homomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{H},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$such that the following diagram commutes:

(see, e.g., [Fröhlich 1976, p. 436; 1984, p. 118]).
Proposition 10.8. Suppose that $v$ is finite and that $v \nmid|G|$. Then for each $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\chi, g]_{G, v}=\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G} . \tag{10-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $[-,-]_{G, v}$ is independent of our choice of $v$.
Proof. Proposition 10.7 implies that we may assume that $G$ is cyclic. The equality (10-18) may then be established via an argument identical to that used in the proof of Proposition 10.5(a) (see also [McCulloh 1987, Proposition 5.4]).

## 11. Modified ray class groups

Definition 11.1. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an integral ideal of $O_{F}$. For each finite place $v$ of $F$, recall that

$$
U_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right):=\left(1+\mathfrak{a} O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right) \cap\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}
$$

We define

$$
U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right) \subseteq \Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(\mathcal{C}(G(-1)),\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

by

$$
U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right):=\left\{g_{v} \in \Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \mid g_{v}(c) \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right) \quad \forall c \neq 1\right\}
$$

(with $g_{v}(1)$ allowed to be arbitrary).
Set

$$
U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right):=\left(\prod_{v} U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)\right) \cap J(\Lambda(F G))
$$

Definition 11.2. For each real place $v$ of $F$, we define

$$
\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)_{+}^{\times}:=\left\{g_{v} \in \Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \mid g_{v}(c) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \text {for all } c \in \mathcal{C}(G(-1))\right\}
$$

(with $g_{v}(1)$ allowed to be arbitrary).
If $v$ is complex, we set $\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)_{+}^{\times}:=\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$. We define

$$
U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right):=\left(\prod_{v \mid \infty} \Lambda(F G)^{\times}\right) \cap J(\Lambda(F G))
$$

and

$$
U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)_{+}:=\left(\prod_{v \mid \infty} \Lambda(F G)_{+}^{\times}\right) \cap J(\Lambda(F G))
$$

Definition 11.3. The modified ray class group modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is defined by

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right):=\frac{J(\Lambda(F G))}{\Lambda(F G)^{\times} \cdot U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \cdot U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)}
$$

The modified narrow ray class group modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ is defined by

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right):=\frac{J(\Lambda(F G))}{\Lambda(F G)^{\times} \cdot U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \cdot U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)_{+}}
$$

We refer to the elements of $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ (respectively $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ ) as the modified ray classes (respectively modified narrow ray classes) of $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}$.

Remark 11.4. Fix a set of representatives $T$ of $\Omega_{F} \backslash \mathcal{C}(G(-1))$, and for each $t \in T$, let $F(t)$ be the smallest extension of $F$ such that $\Omega_{F(t)}$ fixes $t$. Then the Wedderburn decomposition of $\Lambda(F G)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(F G)=\operatorname{Map}_{\Omega_{F}}\left(\mathcal{C}(G(-1)), F^{c}\right) \simeq \prod_{t \in T} F(t) \tag{11-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the isomorphism is induced by evaluation on the elements of $T$.
The group $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ (respectively $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ ) above is finite, and is isomorphic to the product of the ray class groups $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(O_{F(t)}\right)$ (respectively the narrow ray class groups $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{+}\left(O_{F(t)}\right)$ ) modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ of the Wedderburn components $F(t)$ of $\Lambda(F G)$ with $t \neq 1$. There is a natural surjection

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)
$$

with kernel an elementary abelian 2-group.
If $|G|$ is odd, then (as no nontrivial element of $G$ is conjugate to its inverse) $F(t)$ has no real places when $t \neq 1$, and so $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(O_{F(t)}\right)=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{+}\left(O_{F(t)}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)
$$

whenever $G$ is of odd order.
Proposition 11.5. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be any integral ideal of $O_{F}$. Then the inclusion $\boldsymbol{F} \rightarrow J(\Lambda(F G))$ induces $a$ surjection $\boldsymbol{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$. In particular, each modified narrow ray class modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$ contains infinitely many elements of $\boldsymbol{F}$.

Proof. Let $I\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ denote the group of fractional ideals of $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$. Then via the Wedderburn decomposition (11-1) of $\Lambda(F G)$, we see that each fractional ideal $\mathfrak{B}$ in $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$ may be written in the form $\mathfrak{B}=\left(\mathfrak{B}_{t}\right)_{t \in T}$, where each $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ is a fractional ideal of $O_{F(t)}$. For each conjugacy class $t \in T$, let $o(t)$ denote the $\Omega_{F}$-orbit of $t$ in $\mathcal{C}(G(-1))$, and write $|t|$ for the order of any element of $t$.

For each idele $v \in J(\Lambda(F G))$, let

$$
\operatorname{co}(v):=\left[\operatorname{co}(v)_{t}\right]_{t \in T} \in I\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \simeq \prod_{t \in T} I\left(O_{F(t)}\right)
$$

denote the ideal obtained by taking the idele content of $v$. If $v$ is a place of $F$, we view $\boldsymbol{F}_{v}$ as being a subset of $\boldsymbol{F}$ via the obvious embedding $\Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \subseteq J(\Lambda(F G))$, and we set

$$
\mathcal{F}_{v}:=\left\{\operatorname{co}\left(f_{v}\right) \mid f_{v} \in \boldsymbol{F}_{v}\right\}
$$

Now suppose that $v$ is finite, and consider the ideal

$$
\operatorname{co}\left(f_{v, s}\right)=\left[\operatorname{co}\left(f_{v, s}\right)_{t}\right]_{t \in T}
$$

in $I\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$. If $c(s) \notin o(t)$, then it follows from the definition of $f_{v, s}$ that $\operatorname{co}\left(f_{v, s}\right)_{t}=O_{F(t)}$. Suppose that $c(s) \in o(t)$. Since $s \in \Sigma_{v}(G)$, it follows that $v(|s|)=0$ and that $\Omega_{F_{v}}$ fixes $c(s)$. Hence $F_{v}(t)=F_{v}$, and so we see that $\operatorname{co}\left(f_{v, s}\right)_{t}$ is a prime ideal of $O_{F(t)}$ of degree one lying above $v$ (cf. [McCulloh 1987, pp. 287-289]). Furthermore, if $t \in T$ and if $v$ is a finite place of $F$ that is totally split in $F(t)$, then $f_{v, s} \in \boldsymbol{F}_{v}$ for all $c(s) \in o(t)$.

We therefore deduce that if $v$ is finite, the set $\mathcal{F}_{v}$ consists precisely of the invertible prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}=\left(\mathfrak{p}_{t}\right)_{t \in T}$ of $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$ with $\mathfrak{p}_{t_{1}}$ a prime of degree one above $v$ in $F\left(t_{1}\right)$ for some $t_{1} \in T$ with $v\left(\left|t_{1}\right|\right)=0$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{t}=O_{F(t)}$ for all $t \neq t_{1}$. For every $t \in T$, the narrow ray class modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ of $F(t)$ contains infinitely many primes of degree one, and this implies that $\boldsymbol{F}$ surjects onto $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ as claimed.

Our next result describes a transpose Stickelberger homomorphism on modified narrow ray class groups $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$ for a suitable choice of $\mathfrak{a}$. Before stating it, we remind the reader that Proposition 6.3 implies that $\prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ is a subgroup of $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$.
Proposition 11.6. Let $N$ be an integer, and set $\mathfrak{a}:=N \cdot O_{F}$. Then if $N$ is divisible by a sufficiently high power of $|G|$, the idelic transpose Stickelberger homomorphism

$$
K \Theta^{t}: J(\Lambda(F G)) \rightarrow J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)
$$

induces a homomorphism

$$
\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}: \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
$$

Proof. To show this, we first observe that Proposition 4.6 implies that if $N$ is divisible by a sufficiently high power of $|G|$ and $v$ is any finite place of $F$, then we have

$$
\Theta_{v}^{t}\left(U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Det}\left(\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times} / G\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)
$$

and so it follows that

$$
K \Theta^{t}\left(U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)\right) \subseteq \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)
$$

in $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$.

Suppose that $v$ is a real place of $F$ and that $h \in \Lambda\left(F_{v} G\right)_{+}^{\times}$. Then for each $\chi \in R_{G}$, we have (recalling that $\langle\chi, e\rangle_{G}=0$ )

$$
\Theta_{v}^{t}(h)(\chi)=\prod_{g \in G} h(c(g))^{\langle\chi, g\rangle_{G}}>0
$$

and so $\Theta_{v}^{t}(h) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}^{+}\left(R_{G},\left(F_{v}^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$. This implies that $K \Theta^{t}(h)=1$ in $K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right)$, and therefore $K \Theta^{t}\left(U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)\right)=1$ in $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$.

It now follows that $K \Theta^{t}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}: \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
$$

as claimed.

## 12. Proof of Theorem 6.6

In this section we shall prove Theorem 6.6. Recall that we wish to show that if

$$
\overline{\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}}: J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
$$

denotes the map of pointed sets given by the composition of the map $\Psi^{\text {id }}$ with the quotient homomorphism

$$
q_{1}: J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)},
$$

then the image of $\overline{\Psi^{\text {id }}}$ is in fact a group.
To show this, we choose an ideal $\mathfrak{a}=N \cdot O_{F}$ as in Proposition 11.6, and we consider the diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right) \\
& \Psi^{\text {id }} \downarrow \\
& \begin{array}{cccc}
\boldsymbol{F} & \longrightarrow & J(\Lambda(F G)) & \xrightarrow{K \Theta^{t}}
\end{array} \quad J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)  \tag{12-1}\\
& \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}} \frac{J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)}{\lambda\left[\partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)\right] \cdot \prod_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $q_{2}$ denotes the obvious quotient map. Proposition 11.6 shows that the right-hand square commutes, and Proposition 11.5 shows that the left-most vertical arrow is surjective.

It follows from Proposition 10.5(a) that

$$
q_{1}\left[K \Theta^{t}(\boldsymbol{F})\right]=q_{1}\left[\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}\left(J\left(H_{t}^{1}(F, G)\right)\right)\right]=\operatorname{Im} \overline{\Psi^{\mathrm{id}}}
$$

On the other hand, we also have that

$$
q_{1}\left[K \Theta^{t}(\boldsymbol{F})\right]=\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime+}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)\right)
$$

which is a group. It therefore follows that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\overline{\Psi^{\text {id }}}\right)$ is indeed a group, as claimed.
This completes the proof of Theorem 6.6.

## 13. Realisable classes from field extensions

In this section, after first proving that the kernel of $\Psi$ is finite, we explain how a slightly weaker form of Conjecture B implies that every element of $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ may be realised by the ring of integers of a tame field (as opposed to merely a Galois algebra) $G$-extension of $F$.

Recall that $G^{\prime}$ denotes the derived subgroup of $G$, and note that we may view $H^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\prime}\right)$ as being pointed subsets of $H^{1}(F, G)$ and $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ respectively via taking Galois cohomology of the exact sequence of groups

$$
0 \rightarrow G^{\prime} \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Recall also that we write $H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$ for the set of isomorphism classes of $G^{\prime}$-Galois $F$-algebras that are unramified at all finite places of $F$.

Proposition 13.1. (a) Let $v$ be a finite place of $F$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Psi_{v}\right) \subseteq H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\prime}\right)$.
(b) Suppose that $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$. Then $[\pi] \in H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq H^{1}(F, G)$. We have that $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$ is finite.
(c) Suppose that $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is at most tamely ramified at all primes dividing $|G|$. Then $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$.
(d) Suppose that $G$ has no irreducible symplectic characters or that $F$ has no real places. Suppose also that $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is at most tamely ramified at all primes dividing $|G|$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)=H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof.
(a) Let $v$ be a finite place of $F$. Suppose that $\left[\pi_{v}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$, and that $O_{\pi_{v}}=O_{F_{v}} G \cdot a_{v}$. Recall (see Sections 5 and 6) that we have

$$
\Psi_{v}: H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right) \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Det}\left(F_{v}^{c} G\right)^{\times}}{\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}}
$$

and that $\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v}\right]\right)=\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right)\right]$ (see also Definition 4.1 and Remark 4.2). It follows that $\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v}\right]\right)=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$.

Hence, if $\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v}\right]\right)=0$, then for each $\omega \in \Omega_{F_{v}}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right)^{\omega}=1
$$

and so we deduce from (3-8) that $\left[\pi_{v}\right]$ lies in the kernel of the natural map $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ of pointed sets. This implies that $\left[\pi_{v}\right] \in H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\prime}\right)$. Finally, we see from (7-11) and Proposition 10.5(c) that $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}\right)$only if $\left[\pi_{v}\right] \in H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$. We now conclude that if $\left[\pi_{v}\right] \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\Psi_{v}\right)$, then $\left[\pi_{v}\right] \in H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\prime}\right)$. This establishes part (a).
(b) Suppose that $[\pi] \in H^{1}(F, G)$ satisfies $\Psi([\pi])=0$. Then $\Psi_{v}\left(\operatorname{loc}_{v}([\pi])\right)=0$ for each place $v$, and so it follows from part (a) that $\operatorname{loc}_{v}([\pi]) \in H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\prime}\right)$ for all finite places $v$ of $F$. Therefore $[\pi] \in H^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$, and $\pi$ is unramified at each finite place of $F$, i.e., $[\pi] \in H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$. As there are only finitely many
unramified extensions of $F$ of bounded degree, it follows that $H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$ is finite, and so $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$ is finite, as claimed.
(c) Suppose that $[\pi] \in H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$, and write $O_{\pi_{v}}=O_{F_{v}} G \cdot a_{v}$ for each finite place $v$ of $F$. As $\pi$ is unramified at $v$, it follows that $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v} \mathrm{nr}} G\right)^{\times}$. Since $\operatorname{loc}_{v}([\pi])$ lies in the kernel of the natural map $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$, we see from the diagram (3-8) that the image of $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right)$ in $Z\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times} \backslash \mathcal{H}\left(Z\left(F_{v} G\right)\right)$ is trivial, and so in fact $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right) \in\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}\right]^{\Omega_{F_{v}}}$. Note that $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right)$ is defined over the finite, unramified extension $F_{v}^{\pi_{v}}$ of $F_{v}$ (see (2-2)). Let $L$ denote an arbitrary finite, unramified extension of $F_{v}$.

If $v \nmid|G|$, then $O_{L} G$ is an $O_{L}$-maximal order in $L G$, and we have (see (4-12))

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{L} G\right)^{\times}\right]^{\Omega_{F_{v}}} \simeq\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{L}}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right)\right]^{\Omega_{F_{v}}} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F_{v}}}\left(R_{G},\left(O_{F_{v}^{c}}\right)^{\times}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}
$$

If $v||G|$, then because $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is at most tamely ramified at all primes dividing $| G \mid$, it follows from M. J. Taylor's fixed point theorem for group determinants [1984, Chapter VIII] that

$$
\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{L} G\right)^{\times}\right]^{\Omega_{F_{v}}}=\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}
$$

Hence, for each finite place $v$ of $F$, we see that $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$, and so $\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v}\right]\right)=0$ (cf. part (a) above).

Since $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)=0$ for all infinite places of $F$, it follows that $\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v}\right]\right)=0$ for all places $v$ of $F$. This in turn implies that $\lambda(\Psi([\pi]))=0$. As the localisation map $\lambda$ is injective (see Proposition 5.9(a)), it follows that $\Psi([\pi])=0$. Hence $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$, as claimed.
(d) The proof of this assertion is very similar to that of part (c) above, and so here we shall be brief. Suppose that $[\pi] \in H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)$. Arguing exactly as in part (c), we see that $\Psi_{v}\left([\pi]_{v}\right)=0$ for all finite places $v$ of $F$, which in turn implies that $\lambda_{f}(\Psi([\pi]))=0$. Under our hypotheses, Proposition 5.9(b) implies that the localisation map $\lambda_{f}$ is injective, and so $\Psi([\pi])=0$. Hence we see that $H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$, and so it follows from part (b) above that in fact $H_{\mathrm{fnr}}^{1}\left(F, G^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$, as asserted.

Definition 13.2. Suppose that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ (see Definition 6.4). We say that $x$ is unramified (respectively ramified) at a place $v$ of $F$ if $\lambda_{v}(x) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)\right.$ ) (respectively if $\lambda_{v}(x) \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)\right)$ ).

If $S$ is any finite set of places of $F$, we denote the set of $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ that are unramified at all places in $S$ by $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$.

Before stating our next result, it will be helpful to introduce the following notation. Suppose that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ and let $\left[\left(x_{v}\right)_{v}, x_{\infty}\right] \in J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right) \times \operatorname{Det}\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}$be a representative of $x$. Then $\lambda(x) \in$ $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$ is represented by the element $\left(x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right) \in \prod_{v} \operatorname{Det}\left(F_{v}^{c} G\right)^{\times}$. Hence it follows from Theorem 7.9 and Proposition 10.5(a) that we have an equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right)\right]=[a(x)] \cdot K \Theta^{t}(f(x)) \tag{13-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$, where $a(x)=\left(a(x)_{v}\right) \in \prod_{v} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)$ and $f(x) \in \boldsymbol{F}$.

Definition 13.3. We say that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is fully ramified if $f(x)$ is full (see Definition 10.4 — note in particular that this does not mean that $x$ is ramified at all places of $F$, which would of course be absurd!).

Let us also recall that $\partial^{0}(x) \in \mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is represented by the idele $\left(x_{v}\right)_{v} \in J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right)$ (see Remark 5.5).
Proposition 13.4. Suppose that $S$ is any finite set of places of $F$, and that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. Then there exist infinitely many $y \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ with $\partial^{0}(y)=\partial^{0}(x)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{0}\left(L C\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)=\partial^{0}\left(L C\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}\right) \tag{13-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of $F$ chosen as in Proposition 11.6 (so $\mathfrak{a}$ is divisible by a sufficiently high power of $|G|$ for the homomorphism $\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}$ to be defined). Proposition 11.5 implies that there are infinitely many choices of $g \in \boldsymbol{F}$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(g)$ is disjoint from $S$ and $g$ lies in the same modified narrow ray class modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ as $f(x)$, i.e.,

$$
f(x) \equiv g\left(\bmod \Lambda(F G)^{\times} \cdot U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \cdot U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)_{+}\right)
$$

Hence for any such $g$, we have

$$
K \Theta^{t}(f(x))=K \Theta^{t}(\beta \cdot b \cdot g)
$$

where $\beta \in \Lambda(F G)^{\times}$and $b=\left(b_{v}\right) \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \cdot U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)_{+}$. Now $K \Theta^{t}(\beta) \in \partial^{1}\left(K_{1}\left(F^{c} G\right)\right)$ (see (10-3)-(10-5)), while $K \Theta^{t}(b)$ lies in the image of $\prod_{v} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)\right)$ in $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right.$ ), by virtue of our choice of $\mathfrak{a}$. We therefore see from (13-1) that we have the equality

$$
\left[\left(x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right)\right] \cdot K \Theta^{t}(\beta)^{-1}=[a(x)] \cdot K \Theta^{t}(b) \cdot K \Theta^{t}(g)
$$

in $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$. Then the class

$$
y=\left[\left(x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right)\right] \cdot K \Theta^{t}(\beta)^{-1}
$$

in $J\left(K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)\right)$ satisfies the desired conditions.
The final assertion follows immediately from the exact sequence (6-1).
Proposition 13.5. Suppose that $S$ is any finite set of places of $F$, and that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. Then there exist infinitely many $y \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ such that $y$ is fully ramified and $\partial^{0}(y)=\partial^{0}(x)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$.

Proof. This is a generalisation of [McCulloh 1983, Proposition 6.14], and it may be proved in the same way as [Byott 1998, Proposition 7.4].

We begin by constructing a full element $h$ of $\boldsymbol{F}$ as follows. Let $M / F$ be a finite Galois extension such that $\Omega_{M}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}(G(-1))$. For each $s \in G$, choose a place $v(s)$ of $F$ that splits completely in $M / F$; the Chebotarev density theorem implies that this may be done so that the places $v(s)$ are distinct and disjoint from $S$. Then the element $h=\prod_{s \in G} f_{v(s), s}$ is full.

Next, we choose an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $F$ as in Proposition 11.6 and observe that Proposition 11.5 implies that there are infinitely many choices of $g \in \boldsymbol{F}$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(g)$ disjoint from $S \cup \operatorname{Supp}(h)$ such that $g$ lies in the
same modified narrow ray class of $\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ as $f(x) \cdot h^{-1}$. Then, for any such $g$, we have that

$$
f(x) \equiv g \cdot h\left(\bmod \Lambda(F G)^{\times} \cdot U_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \cdot U_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)_{+}\right)
$$

and $g \cdot h \in \boldsymbol{F}$ is full. Now exactly as in the proof of Proposition 13.4 we may replace $f(x)$ by $g \cdot h$ in (13-1), changing the other terms in the equality as needed, to obtain $y \in K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ satisfying the stated conditions.

Theorem 13.6. Let $S$ be any finite set of places of $F$, and suppose that Conjecture $B$ holds for $\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$, i.e., that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S} \subseteq K \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)=\operatorname{Im}(\Psi) \tag{13-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. If $c \in \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, then there exist infinitely many $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that $F_{\pi}$ is a field and $\left(O_{\pi}\right)=c$. The extensions $F_{\pi} / F$ may be chosen to have ramification disjoint from $S$.

Proof. To prove the first assertion, it suffices to show that, under the given hypotheses, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{0}\left(\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right) \tag{13-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see the proof of Theorem 6.7, especially (6-2)).
We plainly have $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right) \subseteq \partial^{0}\left(\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)\right)$. Suppose that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, and set $c_{x}=\partial^{0}(x)$. Then Proposition 13.5 implies that there exists $y \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ with $\partial^{0}(y)=c_{x}$. By hypothesis, we have $y \in \operatorname{Im}(\Psi)$, and so $\partial^{0}(y)=c_{x} \in \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. This implies that $\partial^{0}\left(\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)\right) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. Hence (13-4) holds, and so $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, as claimed.

Next, we observe that if $c \in \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, then (13-4) and Proposition 13.5 imply that there are infinitely many $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ such that $x$ is fully ramified and $\partial^{0}(x)=c$. For each such $x$, our hypotheses imply that there exists $\pi_{x} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)$ with $\left[\pi_{x}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ and $\Psi\left(\left[\pi_{x}\right]\right)=x$. The set of primes that ramify in $F_{\pi_{x}} / F$ is equal to $\operatorname{Supp}(f(x))$, and so $F_{\pi_{x}} / F$ has ramification disjoint from $S$. As $f(x)$ is full, we see that for each nonidentity element $s \in G$, there is a place $v(s) \in \operatorname{Supp}(f(x))$ such that $\pi_{x}\left(\sigma_{v(s)}\right) \in c(s)$ (see (7-5) and Proposition 10.5(a) and (b)). Hence $\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi_{x}\right)$ has nontrivial intersection with every conjugacy class of $G$ and so is equal to the whole of $G$, by a lemma of Jordan (see [Serre 2003, p. 435, Theorem 4']). Therefore $\pi_{x}$ is surjective, and so $F_{\pi_{x}}$ is a field. This establishes the result.

## 14. Abelian groups

In this section we shall prove that Conjecture 6.5 holds for abelian groups. We shall also show that the map $\Psi$ is injective in this case.

Let $G$ be abelian, and suppose that $L$ is any finite extension of $F$ or of $F_{v}$ for some place $v$ of $F$. As $G$ is abelian, the reduced norm map induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L G)^{\times} \simeq \operatorname{Det}(L G)^{\times}, \quad\left(O_{L} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \operatorname{Det}\left(O_{L} G\right)^{\times}, \quad\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \simeq \operatorname{Det}\left(L^{c} G\right)^{\times} \tag{14-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each finite place $v$ of $F$, Lemma 5.7 and (14-1) imply that there are isomorphisms

$$
K_{0}\left(O_{F_{v}} G, F_{v}^{c}\right) \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Det}\left(F_{v}^{c} G\right)^{\times}}{\operatorname{Det}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}} \simeq \frac{\left(F_{v}^{c} G\right)^{\times}}{\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}}
$$

Proposition 14.1. Let $G$ be abelian and suppose that $v$ is a finite place of $F$. Then the map $\Psi_{v}$ is injective. Proof. Suppose that $\left[\pi_{v, i}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)(i=1,2)$, with $O_{\pi_{v, i}}=O_{F_{v}} G \cdot a_{v, i}$. Then $\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v, i}\right]\right)=\left[\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v, i}\right)\right]$ in $\left(F_{v}^{c} G\right)^{\times} /\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$. Hence if $\Psi\left(\left[\pi_{v, 1}\right]\right)=\Psi\left(\left[\pi_{v, 2}\right]\right)$, then we have $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v, 1}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{v, 2}\right)^{-1} \in\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}$. This implies that $\left[\pi_{1, v}\right]=\left[\pi_{2, v}\right]$ in $H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$, and so it follows that $\Psi_{v}$ is injective, as claimed.

Again because $G$ is abelian, the pointed set of resolvends $H_{t}(L G)$ is an abelian group, and the exact sequences (3-3) and (3-4) show that there is an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau: H_{t}^{1}(L, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{H_{t}(L G)}{(L G)^{\times}} \tag{14-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined as follows: if $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(L, G)$ with $L_{\pi}=L G \cdot b_{\pi}$, then $\tau([\pi])=\left[\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(b_{\pi}\right)\right]$.
Note also that Theorem 5.4(b) and (14-1) imply that $K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right)$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$
\Delta_{O_{F} G, F^{c}}:(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow \frac{J(F G)}{\prod_{v}\left(O_{F_{v}} G\right)^{\times}} \times\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}
$$

induced by

$$
(F G)^{\times} \rightarrow J(F G) \times\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}, \quad x \mapsto\left(\left(\operatorname{loc}_{v}(x)\right)_{v}, x^{-1}\right)
$$

Theorem 14.2. Conjecture 6.5 is true when $G$ is abelian.
Proof. Suppose that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, and let $\left[\left(x_{v}\right)_{v}, x_{\infty}\right] \in J(F G) \times\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}$be a representative of $x$. We shall explain how to construct an element $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that $\lambda_{v}(x)=\lambda_{v}(\Psi([\pi]))$ for all finite places $v$ of $F$. Since $G$ is abelian, and therefore admits no nontrivial irreducible symplectic characters, this will imply that $x=\Psi([\pi])$ (see Proposition 5.9(b)).

For each $v$, we have that $x_{v} \cdot \operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right) \in H_{t}\left(F_{v} G\right)$. As $x_{v} \in\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$, this implies that $\operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right) \in$ $H_{t}\left(F_{v} G\right)$ for each $v$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that $x_{\infty} \in H(F G)$, and we see in addition that in fact $x_{\infty} \in H_{t}(F G)$. Hence $x_{\infty}$ is the resolvend of a normal basis generator of a tame extension $F_{\pi} / F$. Set $\pi_{v}:=\operatorname{loc}_{v}(\pi)$. Then for each finite $v$, we have

$$
\tau\left(\Psi_{v}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{v}(x)\right)\right)=\left[\operatorname{loc}_{v}\left(x_{\infty}\right)\right]=\tau\left(\left[\pi_{v}\right]\right)
$$

in $H_{t}\left(F_{v} G\right) /\left(F_{v} G\right)^{\times}$, which in turn implies that

$$
\lambda_{v}(x)=\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v}\right]\right)=\lambda_{v}(\Psi([\pi]))
$$

Hence $x=\Psi([\pi])$, as required.
Proposition 14.3. If $G$ is abelian, then the map $\Psi$ is injective.

Proof. Let $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$, and suppose that $\left[\left(x_{v}\right)_{v}, x_{\infty}\right] \in J\left(K_{1}(F G)\right) \times\left(F^{c} G\right)^{\times}$is a representative of $\Psi([\pi])$. Then it follows from the proof of Theorem 14.2 that $\tau([\pi])=x_{\infty}$ in $H_{t}(F G) /(F G)^{\times}$. Since $\tau$ is an isomorphism, we deduce that $\Psi$ is injective.

## 15. Neukirch's lifting theorem

Our main purpose in this section is to describe certain results, mainly from [Neukirch 1979], that will be used in the proof of Theorem E. We refer the reader to [Neukirch 1979; 2008, IX.5] for full details regarding these topics.

Let $D$ be an arbitrary finite group. Consider the category $\mathcal{D}$ of homomorphisms $\eta: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow D$ of arbitrary profinite groups $\mathcal{G}$ into $D$ in which a morphism between two objects $\eta_{1}: \mathcal{G}_{1} \rightarrow D$ and $\eta_{2}: \mathcal{G}_{2} \rightarrow D$ is defined to be a homomorphism $v: \mathcal{G}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{2}$ such that $\eta_{1}=\eta_{2} \circ v$. We say that two such morphisms $v_{i}: \mathcal{G}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{2}(i=1,2)$ are equivalent if there is an element $k \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ such that $v_{1}(\omega)=k \cdot v_{2}(\omega) \cdot k^{-1}$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. Write $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ for the set of equivalence classes of homomorphisms $\mathcal{G}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{2}$, and $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)_{\text {epi }}$ for the subset of $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ consisting of equivalence classes of surjective homomorphisms.

Suppose now that we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{q} D \rightarrow 0
$$

with $B$ abelian, and that $L$ is a number field or a local field. Let $h: \Omega_{L} \rightarrow D$ be a fixed homomorphism. We view $\Omega_{L} \xrightarrow{h} D$ and $G \xrightarrow{q} D$ as being elements of $\mathcal{D}$. The group $D$ acts on $B$ via inner automorphisms, and this in turn induces an action of $\Omega_{L}$ on $B$ via $h$. We write $L(B)$ for the smallest extension of $L$ such that $\Omega_{L(B)}$ fixes $B$ (i.e., $L(B)$ is the field of definition of $B$ ).

It may be shown that the group $H^{1}(L, B)$ acts on $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{L}, G\right)$ in the following way. Let $z \in$ $Z^{1}(L, B)$ be any 1-cocycle representing $[z] \in H^{1}(L, B)$, and let $v \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{L}, G\right)$ be any homomorphism, representing an element $[v] \in \mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{L}, G\right)$. Define $z \cdot v: \Omega_{L} \rightarrow G$ by

$$
(z \cdot v)(\omega)=z(\omega) \cdot v(\omega)
$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_{L}$. It is not hard to check that

$$
h=q \circ(z \cdot v)
$$

and that the element $[z \cdot v] \in \operatorname{Hom}_{D}\left(\Omega_{L}, G\right)$ is independent of the choices of $z$ and $v$. It may also be shown that $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{L}, G\right)$ is a principal homogeneous space over $H^{1}(L, B)$.

For a number field $F$, and a finite place $v$ of $F$, we let $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)_{\mathrm{nr}}$ denote the set of classes of homomorphisms $\Omega_{F_{v}} \rightarrow G$ that are trivial on $I_{v}$. We write $J_{f}\left(\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)\right)$ for the restricted direct product over all finite places of $F$ of the sets $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)$ with respect to the subsets $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)_{\mathrm{nr}}$.

Now we can state Neukirch's lifting theorem.

Theorem 15.1. Let $F$ be a number field and let $h: \Omega_{F} \rightarrow D$ be a fixed, surjective homomorphism. Suppose that

$$
0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{q} D \rightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence for which $B$ is a simple $\Omega_{F}$-module. (This implies that $l \cdot B=0$ for a unique prime l.) Assume that the field of definition $F(B)$ of $B$ contains no nontrivial l-th roots of unity, and that $J_{f}\left(\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)\right) \neq \varnothing$. Let $S$ be any finite set of finite places of $F$. Then the natural map

$$
\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)_{\mathrm{epi}} \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} \mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)
$$

is surjective.
Proof. This is [Neukirch 1979, Main Theorem, p. 148].
The following result implies that $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right) \neq \varnothing$ for all but finitely many $v$.
Proposition 15.2 [Neukirch 1979, Lemma 5]. Let $F$ be a number field, and let $v$ be a finite place of $F$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{2}$ is a surjective homomorphism of arbitrary profinite groups, and that there exists an unramified homomorphism $h_{v}: \Omega_{F_{v}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{2}$. Then $\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{G}_{2}}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{nr}} \neq \varnothing$, and so $\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{G}_{2}}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, \mathcal{G}_{1}\right) \neq \varnothing$ also.
Proof. If $h_{v}$ is unramified, then $h_{v}$ factors through $\Omega_{F_{v}} / I_{v} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, and a map $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{2}$ may always be lifted to a map $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{1}$ by lifting the image of a topological generator of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We now turn to two results of a local-global nature that will play a role in the proof of Theorem 16.4. In order to describe them, we let $\Gamma$ be a finite abelian group equipped with an action of $\Omega_{F}$ such that $\Gamma$ is a simple $\Omega_{F}$-module. Then $l \cdot \Gamma=0$ for a unique prime $l$. Write $F(\Gamma)$ for the field of definition of $\Gamma$.

Theorem 15.3. Let $M / F$ be a Galois extension with $F(\Gamma) \subseteq M$ and $\mu_{l} \nsubseteq M$, and let $\mathcal{N} / M$ be a finite abelian extension. Let $S$ be a finite set of finite places of $F$, and suppose given an element $y_{v} \in H^{1}\left(F_{v}, \Gamma\right)$ for each $v \in S$. Then there exists an element $z \in H^{1}(F, \Gamma)$ satisfying the following local conditions:
(i) $z_{v}=y_{v}$ for each $v \in S$.
(ii) If $v \notin S$, then $z_{v}$ is cyclic (i.e., is trivialised by a cyclic extension of $F_{v}$ ), and if $z_{v}$ is ramified, then $v$ splits completely in $\mathcal{N} / F$.
Proof. This is [Neukirch 1979, Theorem 1].
In order to state our next result, we introduce the following notation.
Definition 15.4. Let $T:=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ be any finite set of finite places of $F$ containing all places that ramify in $F(\Gamma) / F$ and all places above $l$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ denote the prime ideal of $F$ corresponding to $v_{i}$. Proposition 4.8 implies that we may choose an integer $N=N(T)$ such that for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ and for every place $w$ of $F(\Gamma)$ lying above $v_{i}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F(\Gamma) w}}\left(A_{\Gamma}, U_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{N}}\left(O_{F(\Gamma)_{w}^{c}}^{c}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rag}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{F(\Gamma) w}}\left(R_{\Gamma}, O_{F(\Gamma)_{w}^{c}}^{\times}\right)\right]
$$

Set

$$
\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}(T)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{p}_{i} .
$$

Let $F\left(\mathfrak{a}^{N}\right)$ denote the ray class field of $F$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}^{N}$.
Theorem 15.5. Let $v \notin T$ be any finite place of $F$ that splits completely in $F\left(\mathfrak{a}^{N}\right)$, and suppose that $s$ is any nontrivial element of $\Gamma$. Then there is an element $b=b(v ; s) \in H^{1}(F, \Gamma)$ satisfying the following local conditions:
(i) $\operatorname{loc}_{v_{i}}(b)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.
(ii) $\left.b\right|_{I_{v}}=\tilde{\varphi}_{v, s}($ see Remark 7.11).
(iii) $b$ is unramified away from $v$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime ideal of $F$ corresponding to $v$. Our hypotheses on $v$ imply that $\mathfrak{p}$ is principal, with $\mathfrak{p} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathfrak{a}^{N}\right)$. Set $M:=F(\Gamma)$. As $\Gamma$ is abelian, we have that $\mathcal{H}(M \Gamma) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{M}}\left(A_{\Gamma},\left(M^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$ (see (4-6)). Let $\varpi$ be a generator of $\mathfrak{p}$, and define $\rho \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{M}}\left(A_{\Gamma},\left(M^{c}\right)^{\times}\right)$by

$$
\rho(\alpha)=\varpi^{\langle\alpha, s\rangle_{\Gamma}} .
$$

(This homomorphism is $\Omega_{M}$-equivariant because $\Omega_{M}$ fixes $\Gamma$.) Then $\rho$ is the reduced resolvend of a normal basis generator of an extension $M_{\pi(\rho)} / M$ corresponding to $[\pi(\rho)] \in H^{1}(M, \Gamma)$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathfrak{a}^{N}\right)$, for each place $w$ of $M$ lying above a place $v_{i}$ in $T$, we have

$$
\operatorname{loc}_{w}(\rho) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{M_{w}}}\left(A_{\Gamma}, U_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{N}}\left(O_{M_{w}^{c}}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rag}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{M_{w}}}\left(R_{\Gamma}, O_{M_{w}^{c}}^{\times}\right)\right]
$$

and so it follows that $\operatorname{loc}_{w}(\pi(\rho))=0$ (see (4-7)). In particular, $\pi(\rho)$ is unramified at all places above $T$.
For all places $w^{\prime}$ of $M$ not lying above $T$ or $v$ we have that

$$
\operatorname{loc}_{w^{\prime}}(\rho) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{M_{w^{\prime}}}}\left(A_{\Gamma}, O_{M_{w^{\prime}}^{c}}^{\times}\right)
$$

and so $\pi(\rho)$ is unramified at $w^{\prime}$. This implies that $\pi(\rho)$ is unramified away from $v$, since we have already seen that $\pi(\rho)$ does not ramify at any place above $T$. It is also easy to see that

$$
\left.b\right|_{I_{w(v)}}=\tilde{\varphi}_{w(v), s}
$$

for any place $w(v)$ of $M$ lying above $v$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 10.5(a)).
As $\varpi \in F$, we have that $\pi(\rho) \in H^{1}(M, \Gamma)^{\operatorname{Gal}(M / F)}$. Since $\Gamma^{\Omega_{F}}=0$ (because $\Gamma$ is a simple $\Omega_{F^{-}}$ module), the restriction map $H^{1}(F, \Gamma) \rightarrow H^{1}(M, \Gamma)$ is injective and induces an isomorphism $H^{1}(F, \Gamma) \simeq$ $H^{1}(M, \Gamma)^{\operatorname{Gal}(M / F)}$. Hence $\pi(\rho)$ is the image of an element $b \in H^{1}(F, \Gamma)$ satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of the theorem.

## 16. Soluble groups

In this section we shall use Neukirch's lifting theorem to prove a result (see Theorem 16.4 below) that implies Theorem E of the introduction. In order to describe this result, it will be helpful to formulate the following definition.
Definition 16.1 (Property R). Let $S$ be any finite (possibly empty) set of places of $F$. We shall say that $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property $R$ if the following holds: Suppose given any fully ramified $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$. For each finite place $v$ of $F$, suppose also given a homomorphism $\pi_{v, x} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)$ such that $\left[\pi_{v, x}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ and $\lambda_{v}(x)=\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v, x}\right]\right)$. (Note that in general, such a choice of $\pi_{v, x}$ is not unique.) Then there exists $\Pi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)$ with $[\Pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that
(a) $x=\Psi([П])$,
(b) $\left.\Pi\right|_{I_{v}}=\left.\pi_{v, x}\right|_{I_{v}}$ for each finite place $v$ of $F$.
(So in particular, $x$ is cohomological.)
Proposition 16.2. If $G$ is abelian, then $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ satisfies Property $R$.
Proof. We shall in fact prove a slightly stronger result. Suppose that $G$ is abelian, and let $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. (Note that we do not assume that $x$ is fully ramified.) Then Theorem 14.2 implies that $x$ is cohomological. As $G$ is abelian, the maps $\Psi$ and $\Psi_{v}$ are injective (see Propositions 14.1 and 14.3). Hence it follows that there is a unique $[\Pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that $x=\Psi([\Pi])$, and a unique $\left[\pi_{v, x}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ such that $\lambda_{v}(x)=\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v, x}\right]\right)$. We therefore see that

$$
\lambda_{v}(x)=\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\Pi_{v}\right]\right)=\Psi\left(\left[\pi_{v, x}\right]\right)
$$

and so $\Pi_{v}=\pi_{v, x}$. This implies that $\operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ satisfies Property R.
Theorem 16.3. Suppose that $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property $R$. Then $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. If $c \in \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, then there exist infinitely many $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that $F_{\pi}$ is a field and $\left(O_{\pi}\right)=c$. The extensions $F_{\pi} / F$ may be chosen to have ramification disjoint from $S$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 13.6.
Our proof of Theorem E rests on the following result.
Theorem 16.4. Suppose that there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow G \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0
$$

where $B$ is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of $G$ with $l \cdot B=0$ for an odd prime $l$. Let $S$ be any finite set of finite places of $F$ containing all places dividing $|G|$. Assume that the following conditions hold:
(i) The set $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} D\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property $R$.
(ii) We have $\left(|G|, h_{F}\right)=1$, where $h_{F}$ denotes the class number of $F$.
(iii) Either $G$ admits no irreducible symplectic characters, or $F$ has no real places.
(iv) The field $F$ contains no nontrivial l-th roots of unity.

Then $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property $R$.
Proof. We shall establish this result in several steps, one of which crucially involves Neukirch's lifting theorem (see Theorem 15.1).

Suppose that $x \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ is fully ramified. For each finite place $v$ of $F$, choose $\pi_{v, x} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)$ such that $\left[\pi_{v, x}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ with

$$
\lambda_{v}(x)=\Psi_{v}\left(\left[\pi_{v, x}\right]\right)
$$

The choice of $\pi_{v, x}$ is not unique. However, if $a\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)$ is any normal integral basis generator of $F_{\pi_{v, x}} / F_{v}$, with Stickelberger factorisation (see Definition 7.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)\right)=u\left(a\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)\right), \tag{16-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Proposition 10.5(c) implies that $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)\right)\right)$ is independent of the choice of $\pi_{v, x}$. Hence, if $\varphi\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)=\varphi_{v, s}$, say, then it follows from Proposition 10.5(b) that the subgroup $\langle s\rangle$ of $G$ (up to conjugation) and the determinant $\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)\right)$ of the resolvend $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)$ do not depend upon the choice of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{v, x}$.

We write $q: G \rightarrow D$ for the obvious quotient map, and we use the same symbol $q$ for the induced maps

$$
K_{0}\left(O_{F} G, F^{c}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(O_{F} D, F^{c}\right), \quad H^{1}(F, G) \rightarrow H^{1}(F, D), \quad H^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(F_{v}, D\right)
$$

Set

$$
\bar{x}:=q(x), \quad \pi_{v, \bar{x}}:=q\left(\pi_{v, x}\right) .
$$

Then $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} D\right)_{S}$ with

$$
\lambda_{v}(\bar{x})=\Psi_{D, v}\left(\pi_{v, \bar{x}}\right)
$$

for each finite place $v$ of $F$, and $\bar{x}$ is fully ramified.
By hypothesis, $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} D\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property R , and so there exists $\rho \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F}, D\right)$ with $[\rho] \in$ $H_{t}^{1}(F, D)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=\Psi_{D}([\rho]) \tag{16-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\rho\right|_{I_{v}}=\left.\pi_{v, \bar{x}}\right|_{I_{v}} \tag{16-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each finite place $v$ of $F$. Hence, for each such $v$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{D}\left(\varphi\left(\rho_{v}\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{D}\left(\varphi\left(\pi_{v, \bar{x}}\right)\right)\right)
$$

using the notation established in (16-1) above concerning Stickelberger factorisations. As $\bar{x}$ is fully ramified, we see from the proof of Theorem 13.6 that $\rho$ is surjective, and so $F_{\rho}$ is a field. We also see that, as $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{LC}\left(O_{F} D\right)_{S}$, the extension $F_{\rho} / F$ is unramified at all places dividing $|D|$. Furthermore, if $v \mid l$ (so $v \in S$ ), then since $\pi_{v, x}$ is unramified, the same is true of $\pi_{v, \bar{x}}$, and so $F_{\rho} / F$ is also unramified at $v$. Hence, as $F \cap \mu_{l}=\{1\}$ by hypothesis, it follows that $F_{\rho} \cap \mu_{l}=\{1\}$ also.

For each finite place $v$ of $F$, we are now going to use the fact that $x \in \mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ to construct a lift $\tilde{\rho}_{v} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)$ of $\rho_{v}$ such that $\left[\tilde{\rho}_{v}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\tilde{\rho}_{v}\right|_{I_{v}}=\left.\pi_{v, x}\right|_{I_{v}} \tag{16-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, we first observe that if $\varphi\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)=\varphi_{v, s}$, then $\varphi\left(\pi_{v, \bar{x}}\right)=\varphi_{v, \bar{s}}$, where $\bar{s}=q(s)$, and so we have

$$
\varphi\left(\rho_{v}\right)=\varphi\left(\pi_{v, \bar{x}}\right)=\varphi_{v, \bar{s}}
$$

(see (16-3)).
Next, we write

$$
\rho_{v}=\rho_{v, r} \cdot \rho_{v, n r}
$$

with $\left[\rho_{v, n r}\right] \in H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, D\right)$ (see (7-7)). Since $\rho_{v, n r}$ is unramified, Proposition 15.2 implies that $\left[\rho_{v, n r}\right]$ may be lifted to $\left[\tilde{\rho}_{v, n r}\right] \in H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, G\right)$. Let $a\left(\tilde{\rho}_{v, n r}\right)$ be a normal integral basis generator of $F_{\tilde{\rho}_{v, n r}} / F_{v}$. Then $\boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(a\left(\tilde{\rho}_{v, n r}\right)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{G}\left(\varphi_{v, s}\right)$ is the resolvend of a normal integral basis generator of a tame Galois $G$-extension $F_{\tilde{\rho}_{v}} / F_{v}$ such that $q\left(\left[\tilde{\rho}_{v}\right]\right)=\rho_{v}$ (see Corollary 7.8 and Theorem 7.9). As $\varphi\left(\pi_{v, x}\right)=\varphi_{v, s}$, we see from the construction of $\tilde{\rho}$ that

$$
\left.\tilde{\rho}_{v}\right|_{I_{v}}=\left.\pi_{v, x}\right|_{I_{v}}=\tilde{\varphi}_{v, s}
$$

where $\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{v, s}\right] \in H_{t}^{1}\left(I_{v}, G\right)$ is defined in Remark 7.11. The map $\tilde{\rho}_{v}$ is our desired lift of $\rho_{v}$.
We are now ready to apply the results contained in Section 15. Consider the following diagram:


The group $D$ acts on $B$ via inner automorphisms, and we view $B$ as being an $\Omega_{F}$-module via $\rho$. Then $B$ is a simple $\Omega_{F}$-module because $B$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G$ and $\rho$ is surjective. The field of definition $F(B)$ of $B$ is contained in the field $F_{\rho}$, and so in particular $F(B)$ contains no nontrivial $l$-th roots of unity. We are going to construct an element $\Pi \in \mathcal{H o m}{ }_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)$ such that

$$
\left.\Pi\right|_{I_{v}}=\left.\pi_{v, x}\right|_{I_{v}}
$$

for each finite place $v$ of $F$. This will be accomplished in the following three steps:
I. We begin by observing that our construction above of a lift $\tilde{\rho}_{v}$ of $\rho_{v}$ for each finite $v$ shows that $J_{f}\left(\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)\right)$ is nonempty. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of finite places $v$ of $F$ at which $x$ is ramified or $v||G|$. Theorem 15.1 implies that there exists $\Pi_{1} \in \mathcal{H o m}{ }_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)$ such that $\Pi_{1, v}=\tilde{\rho}_{v}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{S}$. Observe that $\Pi_{1}$ is unramified at all $v\left||G|\right.$ because $\tilde{\rho}_{v}$ is unramified at these places (see (16-4)). Note also that $\Pi_{1}$ may well be ramified outside $\mathcal{S}$.
II. Recall that $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)$ (respectively $\mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)$ for each finite $v$ ) is a principal homogeneous space over $H^{1}(F, B)$ (respectively $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, B\right)$ ). Let $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ denote the set of finite places $v \notin \mathcal{S}$ of $F$ at which $\Pi_{1}$ is ramified. For each $v \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, choose $y_{v} \in H^{1}\left(F_{v}, B\right)$ so that $y_{v} \cdot \Pi_{1, v} \in \mathcal{H o m}_{D}\left(\Omega_{F_{v}}, G\right)$ is unramified.

Now apply Definition 15.4 (with $\Gamma=B$ and $T=\mathcal{S}$ ) to obtain an ideal $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{S})$ and an integer $N=N(\mathcal{S})$ as described there. Theorem 15.3 implies that there exists an element $z \in H^{1}(F, B)$ such that:
(z1) $z_{v}=y_{v}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$.
(z2) $z_{v}=1$ for all $v \in \mathcal{S}$.
(z3) If $v \notin \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then $z_{v}$ is cyclic, and if $z_{v}$ is ramified, then $v$ splits completely in $\left(F(B) \cdot F\left(\mathfrak{a}^{N}\right)\right) / F$, where $F\left(\mathfrak{a}^{N}\right)$ denotes the ray class field of $F$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}^{N}$.
Set $\Pi_{2}:=z \cdot \Pi_{1} \in \mathcal{H o m}{ }_{D}\left(\Omega_{F}, G\right)$. Note that, as $z$ might possibly be ramified, the homomorphism $\Pi_{2}$ might be ramified outside $\mathcal{S}$. We shall eliminate any such potential ramification in the third and final step.
III. Let $\mathcal{S}_{z}$ be the set of places of $F$ at which $z$ is ramified (so $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}_{z}=\varnothing$ ). We see from (z3) that each $v \in \mathcal{S}_{z}$ is totally split in $F\left(\mathfrak{a}^{N}\right) / F$. Hence Theorem 15.5 implies that for each $v \in \mathcal{S}_{z}$, we may choose $b(v) \in H^{1}(F, B)$ such that:
(b1) $b(v)_{w}=1$ for all $w \in \mathcal{S}$.
(b2) $\left.b(v)\right|_{I_{v}}=\left.z_{v}^{-1}\right|_{I_{v}}$.
(b3) $b(v)$ is unramified away from $v$.
Set

$$
\Pi:=\left[\left(\prod_{v \in S_{z}} b(v)\right) \cdot z\right] \cdot \Pi_{2}
$$

Then it follows directly from the construction of $\Pi$ that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Pi\right|_{I_{v}}=\left.\pi_{v, x}\right|_{I_{v}} \tag{16-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all finite places $v$ of $F$.
We claim that

$$
x=\Psi(\Pi)
$$

To show this, let $\tau=\Psi(\Pi)^{-1} \cdot x$. We see from (16-5) that

$$
\lambda_{v}(\tau) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)
$$

for every finite place $v$ of $F$. As either $G$ admits no irreducible symplectic characters or $F$ has no real places, and as $\left(h_{F},|G|\right)=1$ by hypothesis, Proposition $6.8(\mathrm{~b})$ implies that $\tau=0$. Hence $x=\Psi(\Pi)$, as claimed.

This completes the proof that $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property R.
Theorem 16.4 (in conjunction with Proposition 16.2) yields an abundant supply of groups $G$ for which $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property R (for a suitable choice of $S$ ), and therefore also for which Theorem 16.3 holds. Here is an example of this.
Theorem 16.5. Let $G$ be of odd order. Suppose that $\left(|G|, h_{F}\right)=1$ and that $F$ contains no nontrivial $|G|-$ th roots of unity. Let $S$ be any finite set of finite places of $F$ containing all places dividing $|G|$. Then $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} G\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property $R$.

Proof. We shall establish this result by induction on the order of $G$. We first note that Proposition 16.2 implies that the theorem holds if $G$ is abelian.

Suppose now that $G$ is an arbitrary finite group of odd order. As $|G|$ is odd, a well-known theorem of Feit and Thompson [1963] implies that $G$ is soluble. Hence $G$ has an abelian minimal normal subgroup $B$ such that $l \cdot B=0$ for some odd prime $l$ (see, e.g., [Rotman 1995, Theorem 5.24]), and there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow G \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0
$$

with $D$ soluble. As $|G|$ is odd, $G$ admits no nontrivial irreducible symplectic characters. We may therefore suppose by induction on the order of $G$ that $\mathrm{LC}\left(O_{F} D\right)_{S}$ satisfies Property R. The desired result now follows from Theorem 16.4.

Remark 16.6. It follows from Theorem 14.2 that in Theorem 16.4 , we may take $D$ to be a finite abelian group of arbitrary order (subject of course to the obvious constraint that the number field $F$ is such that all other conditions of Theorem 16.4 are satisfied). This enables one to show that Property R holds for many nonabelian groups of even order (e.g., $S_{3}$ ). However, if for example $G$ is a nonabelian 2-group (e.g., $H_{8}$ ), then because $\mu_{2} \subseteq F$ for any number field $F$, we can no longer appeal to Neukirch's lifting theorem, and our proof of Theorem 16.4 fails. It appears very likely that new ideas are needed to establish Property R in such cases (see also the remarks contained in the final paragraph of [Neukirch 1979, Introduction], where a similar difficulty is briefly discussed in the context of the inverse Galois problem for finite groups).

We can now prove Theorem E of the introduction.

Theorem 16.7. Let $G$ be of odd order and suppose that $\left(|G|, h_{F}\right)=1$, where $h_{F}$ denotes the class number of $F$. Suppose also that $F$ contains no nontrivial $|G|$-th roots of unity. Then $\mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(O_{F} G\right)$. If $c \in \mathcal{R}\left(O_{F} G\right)$, then there exist infinitely many $[\pi] \in H_{t}^{1}(F, G)$ such that $F_{\pi}$ is a field and $\left(O_{\pi}\right)=c$. The extensions $F_{\pi} / F$ may be chosen to have ramification disjoint from any finite set $S$ of places of $F$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorems 16.5 and 16.3.
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