

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13

2019

No. 10

**Betti numbers of Shimura curves
and arithmetic three-orbifolds**

Mikołaj Frączyk and Jean Raimbault

Betti numbers of Shimura curves and arithmetic three-orbifolds

Mikołaj Frączyk and Jean Raimbault

We show that asymptotically the first Betti number b_1 of a Shimura curve satisfies the Gauss–Bonnet equality $2\pi(b_1 - 2) = \text{vol}$ where vol is hyperbolic volume; equivalently $2g - 2 = (1 + o(1))\text{vol}$ where g is the arithmetic genus. We also show that the first Betti number of a congruence hyperbolic 3-orbifold asymptotically vanishes relatively to hyperbolic volume, that is $b_1/\text{vol} \rightarrow 0$. This generalizes previous results obtained by Frączyk, on which we rely, and uses the same main tool, namely Benjamini–Schramm convergence.

1. Introduction

1A. Benjamini–Schramm convergence. Let G be a semisimple Lie group, $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup and $X = G/K$ the associated symmetric space. Benjamini–Schramm convergence of locally symmetric orbifolds $\Gamma \backslash X$ of finite volume was introduced in [Abert et al. 2017]. The Benjamini–Schramm convergence of a sequence of finite volume locally symmetric spaces $(\Gamma_i \backslash X)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ to the symmetric space X is equivalent to the following simple geometric condition:

$$\forall R > 0, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}((\Gamma_i \backslash X)_{<R})}{\text{vol}(\Gamma_i \backslash X)} = 0, \quad (1-1)$$

where $M_{<R}$ denotes the R -thin part of a Riemannian orbifold M (which we take to include the full singular set, see (3-1) below).

In addition to X there are other possible limits in the Benjamini–Schramm topology. In order to describe them it is convenient to pass to the language of invariant random subgroups (IRS) of the group G . These are the Borel probability measures on the Chabauty space Sub_G of closed subgroups which are invariant under conjugation by elements of G . For every lattice Γ of G there is a unique G -invariant probability measure on G/Γ and its pushforward by the map $g\Gamma \mapsto g\Gamma g^{-1}$ gives an IRS denoted μ_Γ . It was observed in [Abert et al. 2017] that $(\Gamma_i \backslash X)$ converges to X if and only if μ_{Γ_i} converge weakly-* to the trivial IRS $\delta_{\{1\}}$. In general a sequence $(\Gamma_i \backslash X)$ converges Benjamini–Schramm if and only if μ_Γ

The writing of this paper was facilitated by a visit of the second author to the Rényi Institute, funded by ERC Consolidator Grant 648017 to Miklós Abért. The second author also benefited from support from the grant ANR-16-CE40-0022-01 - AGIRA. The first author was supported by ERC Consolidator Grant 648017. We are grateful to an anonymous referee who pointed out a gap in a previous version of this paper.

MSC2010: primary 11F06; secondary 20H10.

Keywords: Shimura curves, arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds, Betti numbers.

converges weakly-* to some IRS ν . The limit IRS ν is always supported on discrete subgroups and the Benjamini–Schramm limit is the random locally symmetric space X/Λ where Λ is a ν -random subgroup of G .

It was proven in [Abert et al. 2017], as a consequence of the Nevo–Stück–Zimmer theorem, that if G is semisimple of higher rank, with all factors having property (T) then any sequence of irreducible locally symmetric spaces converges in the Benjamini–Schramm sense to X . This was extended to all nontrivial products in [Levit 2017] (see also [Matz 2019] for more precise results in a very specific case).

This statement is known to be false when $G = \mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$, because in those cases there are lattices $\Gamma \subset G$ such that $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$ (see [Millson 1976; Li and Millson 1993; Kazhdan 1977]). On the other hand restricting attention to the family of *arithmetic congruence lattices* in G (see Section 1D below for a short description) Fraczyk [2016] proved that for $G = \mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(3, 1)$ the symmetric space $X = \mathbb{H}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^3$, respectively, is the only possible limit in the Benjamini–Schramm topology for a sequence of torsion-free congruence lattices. Previously Raimbault [2017] proved a similar result for the family of nonuniform, not necessarily torsion-free lattices (nonuniformity makes them much easier to deal with algebraically). In this paper we remove the torsion-free hypothesis in general.

Theorem A. If $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and Γ_n is a sequence of irreducible arithmetic lattices in G , which are either all congruence and pairwise distinct, or pairwise noncommensurable, then the sequence of locally symmetric spaces $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ converges in the Benjamini–Schramm sense to X .

In [Fraczyk 2016] the torsion free assumption was necessary because the methods only allowed control of the volume of the subset of thin part consisting of the collars of short geodesics. For a sequence of general arithmetic congruence orbifolds $(\Gamma_n \backslash X)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ it could *a priori* happen that the vast majority of the thin part comes from the cusps or the conical singularities so the sequence does not converge to X . Theorem A excludes this possibility. For the proof we use the estimates developed in [Fraczyk 2016] to show that any weak-* limit of the sequence μ_{Γ_n} is supported on elementary subgroups. By [Osin 2017] the only IRS supported on this set is the trivial IRS, hence the theorem. We carry out the second step of this scheme of proof in detail in Proposition A.4, which is valid for all sequences of lattices in proper Gromov-hyperbolic spaces.

We note that because we are using a soft method our approach does not indicate the rate of decay of $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash X)_{<R} / \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash X)$ as opposed to [Fraczyk 2016].

1B. Genus of Shimura curves. One application of Theorem A is to determine the asymptotic genus of congruence surfaces of large volume. For compact surfaces without singularities the genus and volume are essentially linearly related by the Gauss–Bonnet formula. However for 2-orbifolds terms coming from cone points and cusps appear in the formula, and it is easy to see that there exists sequences of hyperbolic orbifolds with underlying space a sphere and volume going to infinity. This also has an algebraic interpretation: if S is isomorphic as a Riemann surface to the $\mathbb{C}$ -points of an algebraic variety defined over a number field, which is the case for orbifolds obtained from congruence groups (so-called Shimura curves [1971]), then its arithmetic genus is given by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula

and essentially proportional to the volume while its geometric genus equals the topological genus of the underlying surface and can be arbitrarily smaller than the former.

It is known that this phenomenon cannot occur for congruence orbifolds: using the uniform spectral gap for congruence quotients (see [Clozel 2003] for a more general result) and a theorem of P. Zograf [1991] it follows that there is a lower bound of the form $g \geq c \operatorname{vol}$ for congruence subgroups (see also [Long et al. 2006]). As a consequence of Theorem A we obtain the following asymptotically more precise result (we note that it was known for congruence covers of the modular surface by a result of J. G. Thompson [1980]).

Theorem B. Let Γ_n be a sequence of congruence lattices in $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and let g_n be the topological genus of the orbifold $O_n = \Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$. Then, assuming the Γ_n are not pairwise conjugated, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g_n}{\operatorname{vol} O_n} = \frac{1}{4\pi}.$$

1C. Betti numbers of 3-orbifolds. Theorem B is equivalent to the statement that $b_1(\Gamma_n)/\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^2)$ converges to $1/2\pi$ for a sequence of congruence lattices. Indeed, the rank of abelianization is essentially equal to twice the genus in a BS-convergent sequence. This can be proven more directly by analytical means, as $1/2\pi$ is the first L^2 -Betti number of the hyperbolic plane. While more complicated, the analytic approach generalizes to the dimension 3 and where obtain the following result.

Theorem C. Let Γ_n be a sequence of congruence lattices in $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b_1(\Gamma_n)}{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^3)} = 0.$$

This was proven in [Raimbault 2017] for nonuniform lattices, and in [Fraczyk 2016] for the case of all torsion-free lattices. Our proof is very similar to the proof for hyperbolic 3-manifolds appearing in [Abert et al. 2017].

1D. Congruence lattices. For completeness we give an explicit description of the congruence arithmetic lattices in $G = \operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{R}), \operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, though we will not directly use this structure theory in the rest of the paper. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$. We start by choosing a number field k with Archimedean places $v_1, \dots, v_d$ such that $k_{v_1} \simeq \mathbb{K}$ and $k_{v_i} \simeq \mathbb{R}$ for $i \geq 2$. In what follows $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}_f$ stand for the ring of adèles and, respectively, finite adèles of k . We will write $k \ni x \mapsto (x)_v \in k_v$ for the embedding of k in its completion k_v . Let $a, b \in k^\times$ be such that $(a)_{v_i}, (b)_{v_i}$ are positive for $i \geq 2$ and $(a)_{v_1}$ or $(b)_{v_1}$ is negative if $\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{R}$. We define the quaternion algebra A as

$$A = k + ik + jk + ijk,$$

subject to the relations $i^2 = -a, j^2 = -b, ij = -ji$. By our choice of a, b we have $A \otimes_k k_{v_1} \simeq M(2, \mathbb{K})$ and for $i \geq 2$ the algebra $A \otimes_k k_{v_i}$ is isomorphic to the Hamilton's quaternions. We form an algebraic group $\operatorname{PA}^\times = A^\times/k^\times$. It is an adjoint simple group of type A_1 defined over k . Note that $\operatorname{PA}^\times(\mathbb{A}) =$

$\mathrm{PA}^\times(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PA}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and

$$\mathrm{PA}^\times(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \mathrm{PA}^\times(k_{v_i}) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{K}) \times \mathrm{PO}(3)^{d-1}.$$

Choose an open compact subgroup U of $\mathrm{PA}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Let $\Gamma_U = \mathrm{PA}^\times(k) \cap (\mathrm{PA}^\times(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PA}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f))$. By a classical result of Borel and Harish-Chandra [1962] the group Γ_U is a lattice in $\mathrm{PA}^\times(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PA}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{K}) \times \mathrm{PO}(3)^{d-1} \times U$. The projection of Γ_U to the factor $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{K})$ is a *congruence arithmetic lattice* in $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{K})$. Every congruence arithmetic lattice of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{K})$ arises in this way.

1E. Outline of the paper. In Section 2 we apply a “soft” criterion for Benjamini–Schramm convergence, together with the estimates from [Frączyk 2016], to deduce Theorem A. The criterion is proven, in a general form including lattices in the isometry group of any proper Gromov-hyperbolic space, in Appendix A. Next, in Section 3 we give a precise metric description of the singular locus of hyperbolic 2- and 3-orbifolds, and (in the 3-dimensional case) a way to smooth the boundary of the thick part while keeping control of the geometry (the technical details of which are left to a second Appendix B). We use this description of singularities and Theorem A to deduce Theorem B in Section 4. In Section 5 we use heat kernel methods (for which we need the precise description of the smoothed thick part) to deduce Theorem C from Theorem A.

2. Benjamini–Schramm convergence of quotients of hyperbolic spaces

2A. A criterion for convergence. Let G be a semisimple Lie group and γ a semisimple element of G . Let G_γ be the centralizer in G of γ , then for any sufficiently decreasing (for example compactly supported) continuous function on G the following integral makes sense.

$$\mathcal{O}_f(\gamma) = \int_{G/G_\gamma} f(\gamma^{-1}x\gamma) dx \quad (2-1)$$

The following proposition is a generalization of [Raimbault 2017, Proposition 2.2]. We provide a self-contained proof (along the same lines as that of [loc. cit.]) of a much more general result valid for all Gromov-hyperbolic spaces in Proposition A.4 below.

Proposition 2.1. *Let Γ_n be a sequence of lattices in either $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $d = 2, 3$ accordingly. Let U be the subset of loxodromic elements in G . If for every smooth compactly supported function f on G the limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{[\gamma]_{\Gamma_n} \subset U} \mathrm{vol}((\Gamma_n)_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_f(\gamma)}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)} = 0 \quad (2-2)$$

holds, then $\Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^d$ is BS-convergent to $\mathbb{H}^d$.

This is essentially tautological if the Γ_n are torsion-free; the nontrivial part is that it allows us to avoid studying the elliptic conjugacy classes (and the parabolic classes if the Γ_n are noncompact) in order to establish BS-convergence of a sequence of orbifolds.

2B. Proof of Theorem A. If X is a rank-one irreducible symmetric space such as $\mathbb{H}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^3$ and $G = \text{Isom}(X)$ then G is a simple Lie group of noncompact type and its elliptic radical is trivial. Theorem A thus follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 and the following result extracted from [Fraczyk 2016].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $G = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and let U be the set of hyperbolic elements of G . Let Γ_n a sequence of arithmetic congruence lattices in G , such that $\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G) \rightarrow +\infty$ or any sequence of pairwise noncommensurable arithmetic lattices. Then for any $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)} \left| \sum_{[\gamma] \subset U} \text{vol}((\Gamma_n)_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_f(\gamma) \right| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \quad (2-3)$$

Proof. If Γ is an arithmetic lattice in $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ then an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is hyperbolic if and only if it is semisimple and of infinite order. In the proof of [Fraczyk 2016, Theorem 1.8], starting from the lines (10.7–10.9) the author bounds the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{[\gamma]_\Gamma \\ \text{nontorsion}}} \text{vol}(\Gamma_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) \quad (2-4)$$

for congruence arithmetic lattices. The line (10.7) of [loc. cit., page 67] is the adèlic version of the last sum where we group together the classes conjugate over $\text{PA}^\times(k)$, where $\text{PA}^\times$ is the group used to construct the lattice Γ as explained in Section 1D. The passage between the adèlic and classical trace formula is explained in [loc. cit., Theorem 4.21]. Proceeding as in [loc. cit., pages 67–69] we obtain the bound

$$\sum_{\substack{[\gamma]_\Gamma \\ \text{nontorsion}}} \text{vol}(\Gamma_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) \ll \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G)^{0.986}.$$

Any hyperbolic conjugacy class $[\gamma]_\Gamma$ is nontorsion so we can deduce that the sum (2-3) converges to 0 as $\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash X) \rightarrow \infty$ and Γ is a congruence arithmetic lattice. In order to establish the convergence for sequences of pairwise noncommensurable arithmetic lattices $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we choose for each n a maximal arithmetic lattice Λ_n containing Γ_n . It is always a congruence arithmetic lattice. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash X)} \left| \sum_{[\gamma]_{\Gamma_n} \in U} \text{vol}((\Gamma_n)_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_f(\gamma) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash X)} \sum_{[\gamma]_{\Gamma_n} \in U} \text{vol}((\Gamma_n)_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_{|f|}(\gamma) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Lambda_n \backslash X)} \sum_{[\gamma]_{\Lambda_n} \in U} \text{vol}((\Lambda_n)_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_{|f|}(\gamma) \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. Structure of the singular locus of closed hyperbolic orbifolds

To be able to deduce from the sole Benjamini–Schramm convergence of a sequence of orbifolds further asymptotic results on topological invariants we need a fine metric description of the singular locus. The

results in this section provide it; they are not really original but precise statements such as we need are not easily found in the literature. As usual our main tool is the Margulis lemma.

Theorem 3.1. *For every $n \geq 2$ there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n) > 0$ such that the following holds. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^n$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ the subgroup*

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon := \langle \gamma \in \Gamma : d(x, \gamma x) \leq \varepsilon \rangle$$

is virtually abelian.

In the sequel we will only work in 2 or 3-dimensional hyperbolic space, and we let ε denote a Margulis constant which is valid for both cases. Recall that $O_{\leq \varepsilon}$ stands for the ε -thin part of an orbifold O , for which we use the following definition: if $O = \Gamma \backslash X$ where X is the orbifold universal cover and we assume X to be CAT(0) then

$$O_{\leq \varepsilon} = \Gamma \backslash \{ \tilde{x} \in X : \exists \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\text{Id}\}, d(\tilde{x}, \gamma \tilde{x}) \leq \varepsilon \} \quad (3-1)$$

which includes the singular locus of O — note that in the literature, e.g., in [Boileau et al. 2003], a different convention is often used where only points with large stabilizers are included. The closure of the complement of $O_{\leq \varepsilon}$ (the ε -thick part) will be denoted by $O_{\geq \varepsilon}$.

In fact we need to tweak a bit the definition of the thin part around that part of the singular locus where the cone angle is π : around these vertices or geodesics we put a collar whose width is $\varepsilon/6$ (instead of $\varepsilon/2$).

3A. 2-dimensional orbifolds. In $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ all the virtually abelian discrete subgroups are given by the following list:

- (1) An infinite cyclic group generated by an hyperbolic or parabolic isometry.
- (2) A finite cyclic group generated by an elliptic isometry.
- (3) An infinite dihedral group generated by two elliptic isometries of order 2.

As a first consequence we see that the singular locus of an orientable hyperbolic 2-orbifold consists only of *cone points*, that is all nonmanifold points have a neighborhood which is isometric to the quotient of a disc by a finite cyclic group.

In addition we can deduce from this classification a metric description of the singular locus. We need the following notation: given an elliptic isometry γ with fixed point x and rotation angle θ , let $\ell(\theta, \varepsilon)$ be the smallest ℓ such that $d(y, \gamma y) \geq \varepsilon$ for $d(x, y) = \ell$. Similarly, given a hyperbolic isometry γ of minimal displacement ℓ we define $r(\ell, \varepsilon)$ to be the minimal distance from its axis at which an hyperbolic isometry translates of at least ε .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $O = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ be an orientable hyperbolic 2-orbifold and x a point in its singular locus. Then x is an isolated cone point and one of the following possibilities hold:*

- (1) If its angle is $2\pi/m$ with $m \geq 3$ then there is no other singular point in the ball $B_O(x, \ell)$ where $\ell = \ell(2\pi/m, \varepsilon)$.
- (2) If the angle is equal to π then either there is no other singular point within distance $\ell(\pi, \varepsilon)$, or there is one (and its cone angle is also π) at distance $\ell_x < \ell(\pi, \varepsilon)$ but no other within distance $r(\ell_x, \varepsilon)$ of x .

Proof. Let $\Gamma x \in O$ be as in the statement, with $x \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Then x is a fixed point of a nontrivial element of Γ , and it follows that the subgroup

$$\Gamma_x^\varepsilon = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : d(x, \gamma x) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

must be one of those described in (2) or (3) at the beginning of this section; let γ_0 be a generator (with minimal rotation angle) of the cyclic subgroup fixing x and $m > 1$ its order.

In any case x lies above a conical point in O . Assume now that $m \geq 3$; then $\Gamma_x = \langle \gamma_0 \rangle$ and by the Margulis lemma there is no other fixed point of a nontrivial element in Γ within the set

$$C = \{y \in \mathbb{H}^2 : d(y, \gamma_0 y) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

By definition the ball $B_{\mathbb{H}^2}(x, \ell(2\pi/m, \varepsilon))$ is contained in C , so it contains no other singular point.

If $m = 2$ and there is another elliptic fixed point $x' \in \mathbb{H}^2$ with $d(x, x') \leq \ell(\pi, \varepsilon)$ then we might assume that x' is the closest such point. By the previous paragraph any nontrivial $\gamma'_0 \in \Gamma$ fixing x' must be of order 2. Let $\eta = \gamma_0 \gamma'_0$. It is a hyperbolic isometry with axis containing the geodesic α joining x to x' and translation distance $2d(x, x')$. Write Γ_α for the setwise stabilizer of α in Γ . For every $\gamma \in \Gamma_\alpha$ not fixing x we will have $d(x, \gamma x) \geq 2d(x, x')$ as otherwise $\gamma_0 \gamma$ would have a fixed point closer to x than x' . We deduce that $\Gamma_\alpha = \langle \gamma_0, \gamma'_0 \rangle$. The former is a maximal virtually abelian subgroup of Γ (it is an intersection of Γ with the normalizer of a split torus). The Margulis lemma now implies that within the ball $B_{\mathbb{H}^2}(x, \ell(\pi, \varepsilon))$ (resp. $B_{\mathbb{H}^2}(x, r(\ell_x, \varepsilon))$) any other elliptic fixed point must be a translate of either x or x' by a power of η , as any such point is moved by at most ε by γ_0 (resp. η) and hence its stabilizer in Γ must belong to Γ_α . $\square$

3B. 3-dimensional orbifolds.

3B1. Description of the singular locus. The list of discrete virtually abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is long enough to make us avoid giving a complete description. Rather, we will assume that Γ is a cocompact lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and Λ a maximal virtually abelian subgroup of Γ which contains torsion elements (which is all we need to prove [Theorem C](#)). If Λ contains a hyperbolic element γ then it must normalize $\langle \gamma \rangle$, so it is contained in the normalizer of a maximal torus. Any such normalizer is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$. Otherwise Λ contains only elements of finite order and so by Burnside's theorem it must be a finite subgroup of the maximal compact $\mathrm{PU}(2)$. It follows that Λ is one of the following groups:

- (1) $\langle \gamma, \eta \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m$ where γ, η are respectively hyperbolic and elliptic isometries sharing the same axis.

- (2) $\langle \gamma, \eta, \rho \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ where η, γ are as above (with η possibly trivial) and ρ is an elliptic of order 2 with axis orthogonal to that of γ or η .
- (3) One of the finitely many nondihedral finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PU}(2)$.

We see from this description that the singular locus of an hyperbolic 3-orbifold consists of closed geodesics (which we will call *singular geodesics*), which can intersect each other. A singular point not on the intersection of two singular geodesics has a neighborhood isometric to the quotient of a ball by a rotation; the angle of the latter we will call the cone angle of the singular geodesic. We will call a vertex which is at the intersection of two or more singular geodesics a *vertex* of the singular locus.

Together with the Margulis lemma the list above allows us to give the following metric description of the singular locus (see also [Boileau et al. 2003, Corollary 6.3] for a more geometric description, and [loc. cit., Figure 5 on page 33] for illustrations). This description is analogous to the situation from Lemma 3.2; we recall that ℓ and r were defined there.

Lemma 3.3. *Let O be a compact orientable 3-dimensional hyperbolic orbifold and Σ its singular locus. Let $x \in \Sigma$ be a vertex. Then one of the two following possibilities hold:*

- (1) *The $\varepsilon/2$ -neighborhood of x is isometric to one of a finite list of orbifolds, whose singular locus has only one vertex and all singular geodesics go through x .*
- (2) *There is at most one other singular vertex x' within distance $\varepsilon/2$ of x ; x and x' are joined by a singular geodesic c of length ℓ and cone angle $2\pi/m$, there are two singular geodesics with cone angle π and orthogonal to c each going through one of x or x' . There are no further components of the singular locus within distance $\max(\ell(2\pi/m, \varepsilon), r(\ell, \varepsilon))$ of x and x' .*

Moreover if two nonintersecting singular geodesics of O are within distance $\varepsilon/2$ of each other then both have angle π .

Proof. Let $O = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ a closed hyperbolic 3-orbifold. Let x be a vertex in the singular locus of O and Π the subgroup of Γ fixing a lift $\tilde{x}$ of x to $\mathbb{H}^3$. Then Π is either a dihedral group $\mathbb{Z}/m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ or one of finitely many finite nondihedral subgroups of $\mathrm{PU}(2)$, according to the list of virtually abelian subgroups of Γ above.

If the vertex is as in (1) and $\eta \in \Gamma$, $\eta \notin \Pi$ is an elliptic isometry of order m then as (by the Margulis lemma) Π contains all isometries moving $\tilde{x}$ by at most ε any fixed point of η must be at distance at least $\ell(2\pi/m, \varepsilon) \geq \ell(\pi, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon/2$ of $\tilde{x}$. Similarly any hyperbolic isometry in Γ must move $\tilde{x}$ by at least ε . Hence the quotient $\Pi \backslash B(\tilde{x}, \varepsilon/2)$ embeds into O .

If the vertex has a dihedral stabilizer as in (2) let η be a generator of the $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -subgroup and γ a generator of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -subgroup commuting with η . We might assume that either $\ell < \varepsilon/2$ or $m > 5$ (otherwise we can add its neighborhood to the finite list in (1)). Then any elliptic element of Γ which does not normalize $\langle \eta \rangle$ cannot fix a point in $B(\tilde{x}, \varepsilon)$ (otherwise it and η would generate a subgroup moving a point by less than ε but not in the list given above, which is not possible by the Margulis lemma). Similarly it cannot fix a point within $r(\ell, \varepsilon)$ of the axis of γ . $\square$

3B2. *Smoothing the thick part.* Let $C = (C_0, C_1, \dots) \in [0, +\infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$. As (a slight variation of) the definition in [Lück and Schick 1999] we say that a Riemannian manifold has C -bounded geometry if its injectivity radius is at least C_0 , the normal geodesic flow up to C_0 gives coordinates for a collar neighborhood of the boundary, and the k -th derivatives of the metric tensor and its inverse (in normal coordinates) are bounded in sup norm by C_k . In this section we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists C such that for any hyperbolic 3-orbifold O there exists a smooth submanifold O' such that:*

- $O_{\geq \varepsilon} \subset O'$ and this is an homotopy equivalence.
- O' is of C -bounded geometry.

We will deduce the lemma from the description of the singular locus and the following general proposition, the proof of which we give in [Appendix B](#).

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a Riemannian d -manifold and H_1, H_2 two open subsets whose closures have smooth boundary. Assume the following hold:*

- *They intersect transversally in a compact subset; let α_0 such that the dihedral angles at the intersection stay within the interval $]\alpha_0, \pi - \alpha_0[$.*
- *Both manifolds $X \setminus H_i$ are of bounded geometry.*

Then for any $\delta > 0$ there exists an open subset H of X such that:

- (1) $H \supset H_1 \cup H_2$ and they are equal outside of the δ -neighborhood of $H_1 \cap H_2$.
- (2) *The closure of H has a smooth boundary.*
- (3) $X \setminus H$ is of bounded geometry; the bounds depend only on δ , on the bounds on the geometry of X and $X \setminus H_i$ and on α_0 .

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Observe first that the boundary of the thin part is smooth away from the geodesics with cone angle π and the vertices of the singular locus, as follows from the third part of [Lemma 3.3](#). Thus the nonsmooth part of $\partial O_{\geq \varepsilon}$ comes from intersecting tubular neighborhoods of singular geodesics and short geodesics. There are finitely many possible configurations where the geodesics are not orthogonal to each other (corresponding to case (1) of [Lemma 3.3](#)); we do not need to deal in detail with these, so the only problem left to deal with is the following: at all points in the intersection of the tubular neighborhood N_1 (with varying radius) of one geodesic, and the $\varepsilon/6$ -tubular neighborhood N_2 of another geodesic orthogonal to the first, the dihedral angle between ∂N_1 and ∂N_2 stays bounded away from 0 and from π .¹

To prove this note that the maximum and minimum values for these angles both are continuous functions of the radius $0 \leq r < +\infty$ of N_1 . It can be continuously extended to $r = +\infty$, the values then being those of the angle (in a conformal model of $\mathbb{H}^3$) between ∂N_1 and the boundary at infinity of $\mathbb{H}^3$. As N_1

¹Note that the neighborhoods corresponding to two geodesics orthogonal to a third one cannot intersect each other, because we took their radius to be $\varepsilon/3$ and the distance between the geodesics outside the ε -thin part is at least $\varepsilon/2$

and N_2 are never tangent to each other we see by compactness that the maximal and minimal values stay bounded away from 0 and π . $\square$

4. The genus of congruence orbifolds

In this section we prove [Theorem B](#). Let O be an hyperbolic orbifold of dimension 2, which is a quotient of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$ by a lattice of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the underlying topological space $|O|$ is a surface of finite type, that is it is homeomorphic to a compact surface S with a finite number of points removed. The *genus* of O is defined to be the genus of S .

Suppose that O has genus g , k punctures and r conical singularities with angles $2\pi/m_1, \dots, 2\pi/m_r$ (the tuple $(g, k, m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is then called the *signature* of O). Then, computing the volume of a well-chosen fundamental polygon we get the following equality (see [\[Beardon 1983, Theorem 10.4.2\]](#)):

$$\mathrm{vol} O = 2\pi \left(2g - 2 + k + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_i} \right) \right). \quad (4-1)$$

From this equation we obtain the bound:

$$\left| g - \frac{\mathrm{vol}(O)}{4\pi} \right| \leq \frac{k + r + 2}{4\pi}.$$

We now see that [Theorem B](#) follows from [Theorem A](#) together with the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let O_n be a sequence of hyperbolic 2-orbifolds which is Benjamini–Schramm convergent to $\mathbb{H}^2$. Let k_n, r_n be the numbers of cusps and conical points of O_n , respectively. Then $k_n + r_n = o(\mathrm{vol} O_n)$.*

Proof. To prove that $r_n = o(\mathrm{vol} O_n)$ we associate to each conical point x with angle θ the region

$$\Omega_x = B(x, \ell(\theta, \varepsilon))$$

if there is no other singular point within distance $\ell(\theta, \varepsilon)$. Otherwise let ℓ_x be the distance to the nearest singular point and put

$$\Omega_x = B(x, r(\ell_x, \varepsilon)).$$

We will check below the following facts:

- (1) There exists $c > 0$ such that $\mathrm{vol} \Omega_x > c$ for all n and $x \in O_n$.
- (2) Any point $p \in O_n$ is covered by at most two distinct sets Ω_x .
- (3) For all conical points $x \in O_n$ we have $\Omega_x \subset (O_n)_{\leq \varepsilon}$.

It follows from these that

$$r_n \leq \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x \in \Sigma_{O_n}} \mathrm{vol} \Omega_x \leq \frac{2}{c} \mathrm{vol} \left(\bigcup_{x \in \Sigma_{O_n}} \Omega_x \right) \leq \frac{2}{c} \mathrm{vol} (O_n)_{\leq \varepsilon}$$

and as the right-hand side is $o(\mathrm{vol} O_n)$ in a BS-convergent sequence we get that $r_n = o(\mathrm{vol} O_n)$.

That (3) holds follows immediately from the definitions of $\ell(\theta, \varepsilon)$ and $r(\ell, \varepsilon)$. Point (2) follows from the Margulis lemma combined with Lemma 3.2.

It remains to prove (1). Let $x \in O_n$ be a singularity with cone angle $2\pi/m$ with $m > 2$, let $\tilde{x}$ be a lift of x to $\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\ell = \ell(2\pi/m, \varepsilon)$. Then we have

$$\text{vol}(B_{O_n}(x, \ell)) = \frac{1}{m} B_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\tilde{x}, \ell) \gg \frac{e^\ell}{m}$$

so we need to prove that $e^\ell \gg m$. This follows easily from distance computations in the disk model: by definition of $\ell(\theta, \varepsilon)$ we have that $\ell(\theta, \varepsilon) = \log((1+r)/(1-r))$ where $0 < r < 1$ is such that $d(r, re^{i\theta}) = \varepsilon$. It follows that

$$\cosh(\varepsilon) = 1 + \frac{2r^2|1 - e^{i\theta}|^2}{(1 - r^2)^2}$$

and by standard computations we get that

$$r = 1 - \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2} \sinh(\varepsilon)} + O(\theta^2)$$

whence it follows that

$$\ell(\theta, \varepsilon) = -\log(\theta) - c + O(\theta)$$

for some constant c depending on ε . We finally get that $\ell \gg e^{\log(m/2\pi)} \gg m$.

Assume now that $m = 2$ and that there is another singular point x' within $\ell(2, \varepsilon)$ of x . In this case the volume of Ω_x is half that of a collar around a closed geodesic of length $r(\ell_x, \varepsilon) \ll \varepsilon$; as the latter is bounded from below (see [Halpern 1981]) so is that of Ω_x .

The proof that $k_n = o(\text{vol } O_n)$ is similar: by the Margulis lemma the regions of the ε -thin part where a given conjugacy class of parabolic isometries realizes the injectivity radius are pairwise disjoint, and an easy hyperbolic area computation shows that the volume of such a region is bounded below. $\square$

5. Betti numbers of arithmetic 3-orbifolds

Recall that ε is the Margulis constant for $\mathbb{H}^3$. Let O be a 3-orbifold, then we will write O' for the manifold with boundary obtained by Lemma 3.4. We write Δ_{abs}^1 for the maximal self-adjoint extension of the Hodge–Laplace operator on O' with absolute boundary condition. The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition, which we do by extending the analysis at the end of section 7 in [Abert et al. 2017] to the orbifold case.

Proposition 5.1. *Let O_n be a sequence of closed hyperbolic 3-orbifolds which BS-converge to $\mathbb{H}^3$, and let O'_n be the smoothings described in Lemma 3.4. Then for all $t > 0$ we have that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O'_n]})}{\text{vol } O_n} = 0.$$

Before giving the proof we explain how this implies [Theorem C](#): let $O_n = \Gamma_n \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$. By Hodge theory we have $b_1(O'_n) \leq \text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O'_n]})$ for all t , and so [Proposition 5.1](#) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b_1(O'_n)}{\text{vol } O_n} = 0.$$

On the other hand we have that the orbifold fundamental group Γ_n is a quotient of $\pi_1(O'_n)$. Indeed, the universal cover of $(O_n)_{\geq \varepsilon}$ is a cover of the connected subset $(\hat{O}_n)_{\geq \varepsilon}$ of those $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$ which are not displaced by less than ε by some nontrivial element of Γ_n , and $(O_n)_{\geq \varepsilon}$ is homotopy equivalent to O'_n . Moreover $H_1(O'_n)$ is the abelianization of $\pi_1(O'_n)$. From these two facts it follows that $b_1(\Gamma_n) \leq b_1(O'_n)$, so that $b_1(\Gamma_n) = o(\text{vol } O_n)$ as well.

The proof of [Proposition 5.1](#) is done in four steps: first we prove an analogue of [Proposition 4.1](#) and then deduce the convergence of the part of the trace formula for O_n coming from the ε -thick part: see [\(5-1\)](#). The two next steps together imply that the trace of the heat kernel on O'_n is asymptotically the same as that computed in [\(5-1\)](#): first we analyze the integral of the difference on the R -thick part and show that its limit superior is $o(R)$ (see [\(5-6\)](#)), then we prove that the integral on the R -thin part of O'_n asymptotically vanishes (see [\(5-7\)](#)). Altogether these three steps imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O'_n]})}{\text{vol } O_n} = \text{tr } e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}$$

where we denoted $\text{tr } e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]} = \text{tr } e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})$ for any $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{H}^3$. The proposition now follows from the vanishing of the first L^2 -Betti number of $\mathbb{H}^3$, which means that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \text{tr } e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]} = 0$ (see [\[Lück 2002\]](#)).

5A. Upper bound on the total length of singular geodesics. Let Σ_n be the set of singular geodesics of O_n . To prove [Proposition 5.1](#) we will need to control the total length $\sum_{c \in \Sigma_n} \ell_n$ in terms of the volume of the thin part of O_n . This is problematic for 3-orbifolds because of an issue with singular geodesics corresponding to order-2 elements. For these geodesics we will need to replace the lengths in the sum by another quantity. To make it precise let us introduce some notations.

Let O be a finite volume hyperbolic 3-orbifold and let Σ be the set of singular geodesics on O . For $c \in \Sigma$ we will write $\tilde{c}$ for a lift of c to $\mathbb{H}^3$; in our arguments below we will clarify the choice of $\tilde{c}$ whenever it matters. Let Γ be the orbifold fundamental group of O . Let $c \in \Sigma$ and write $\Gamma_{\tilde{c}}$ for the pointwise stabilizer of its lift $\tilde{c}$. Then $\Gamma_{\tilde{c}}$ is a lattice inside a maximal torus of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, so it is of the form $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m_c$ for an integer $m_c \geq 2$. We write ℓ_c for the length of c .

Let M be the maximal order of a finite nondihedral subgroup of $\text{PU}(2)$. The relevance of M to the arguments below comes from the fact that finite subgroups of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ either stabilize a geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^3$ or are conjugate to a nondihedral subgroup of $\text{PU}(2)$. Accordingly, we divide Σ into three sets $\Sigma^1, \Sigma^2, \Sigma^3$ defined as follows:

$$\Sigma^1 = \{c \in \Sigma \mid m_c = 2\}, \quad \Sigma^2 = \{c \in \Sigma \mid 2 < m_c \leq M\}, \quad \Sigma^3 = \{c \in \Sigma \mid M < m_c\}.$$

The sets do not depend on the choice of $\tilde{c}$. Let $c \in \Sigma^1$. A point $p \in c$ will be called a *type I vertex* if there exists a closed geodesic $a \notin \Sigma^3$ on O (not necessarily singular) such that $p \in c \cap a$ and $\ell_a \leq \varepsilon$. A point $p \in c$ is a *type II vertex* if there exists $b \in \Sigma^3$ such that $p \in c \cap b$. Write $T^I(c)$, $T^{II}(c)$ for the sets of type I and type II vertices. For $p \in T^I(c)$, $T^{II}(c)$ we let $r_p := \max\{r(\ell_a, \varepsilon), \ell(2\pi/m_a, \varepsilon)\}$, $\max\{r(\ell_b, \varepsilon), \ell(2\pi/m_b, \varepsilon)\}$ respectively. Define $\ell'_c := \ell_c - \sum_{p \in T^{II}(c)} 2r_p$.

Proposition 5.2. *For any hyperbolic 3-orbifold O we have*

$$\sum_{c \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^1} \ell_c + \sum_{c \in \Sigma^1} (\ell'_c + |T^{II}(c)|) \ll \text{vol}(O_{\leq \varepsilon}).$$

Proof. As in the proof of [Proposition 4.1](#) we will construct sets $\Omega_c, \Omega_p^{II} \subset O$ attached to each singular geodesic $c \in \Sigma$ and to $p \in T^{II}(c)$ for $c \in \Sigma^1$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) For $c \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^1$ we have $\text{vol}(\Omega_c) \gg \ell_c$; for $c \in \Sigma^1$ we have $\text{vol}(\Omega_c) \gg \ell'_c$ and for $p \in T^{II}(c)$ $\text{vol}(\Omega_p^{II}) \gg 1$.
- (2) Any point $x \in O$ is covered by at most M distinct sets Ω_c, Ω_p^{II} .
- (3) $\Omega_c, \Omega_p^{II} \subset O_{\leq \varepsilon}$.

If $A \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ write $[A]$ for the image of A in O under the covering map. The subset Σ^1 is the most problematic so let us first define the sets Ω_c for $c \in \Sigma^2, \Sigma^3$:

- For $c \in \Sigma^3$ let $\Omega_c := [B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon))]$.
- For $c \in \Sigma^2$ let $\Omega_c := [B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \varepsilon/2)]$.

Now let $c \in \Sigma^1$. We construct sets $\Omega_p^I, \Omega_p^{II}$ for $p \in T^I(c), T^{II}(c)$ respectively:

- $\Omega_p^I = [B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{a}, r(\ell_a, \varepsilon))]$.
- $\Omega_p^{II} = [B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{b}, r_p)]$ (recall that $\max\{r(\ell_b, \varepsilon), \ell(2\pi/m_b, \varepsilon)\}$).

The Margulis lemma and the description of nilpotent subgroups from [Section 3B1](#) imply that $\Omega_p^I, \Omega_q^{II}$ are pairwise disjoint if $p \in T^I(c), q \in T^{II}(c)$. We define

$$\Omega_c := [B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \varepsilon/2)] \cup \bigcup_{p \in T^I(c)} \Omega_p^I \setminus \bigcup_{p \in T^{II}(c)} \Omega_p^{II}.$$

5A1. Step 1. We verify condition (1). Recall that in the proof of [Proposition 4.1](#) we showed that $e^{\ell(2\pi/m, \varepsilon)} \gg m$. For $c \in \Sigma^3$ the formula for integration in cylindrical coordinates [[Fenchel 1989](#), page 205] yields

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{\tilde{c}} \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon))) \gg e^{2\ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon)} \ell_c m_c^{-1} \gg \ell_c.$$

Using the Margulis lemma and the description of nilpotent subgroups from [Section 3B1](#) we can show that the map

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{c}} \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow [\Gamma_{\tilde{c}} \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon))] = \Omega_c$$

is at most 2-to-1, so $\text{vol}(\Omega_c) \gg \ell_c$.

For $c \in \Sigma^2$ we similarly get

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{\tilde{c}} \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon)) \gg \ell_c.$$

By [Lemma 3.3](#) and the Margulis lemma the map

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{c}} \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \varepsilon/2) \rightarrow [\Gamma_{\tilde{c}} \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \varepsilon/2)]$$

is at most M -to-one. Hence $\text{vol}(\Omega_c) \gg \ell_c$.

Now let $c \in \Sigma^1$. Since the sets Ω_p^I, Ω_q^I for $p \in T^I(c), q \in T^I(c)$ are pairwise disjoint we can write

$$\ell_c = \ell'_c + \sum_{p \in T^I(c)} 2r_p \quad \text{and} \quad \ell'_c = \ell''_c + \sum_{p \in T^I(c)} 2r_p$$

where $\ell''_c \geq 0$. Let $p \in T^I(c)$. Let γ be an element of $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$ translating $\tilde{a}$ by ℓ_a . Integration in cylindrical coordinates yields

$$\text{vol}(\langle \gamma \rangle \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{a}, r_p) \gg \ell_a^{-1} \gg r_p.$$

Note that we implicitly used here the fact that m_a is bounded. The Margulis lemma implies that the quotient map from the last set to $[B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{a}, r(\ell_a, \varepsilon))]$ is at most M -to-1 so we deduce $\text{vol}(\Omega_p^I) \gg r_p$.

Reasoning as in the previous cases we get $\text{vol}(\Omega_c) \gg \ell''_c + \sum_{p \in T^I(c)} r_p \gg \ell'_c$.

Finally let $p \in T^I(c)$. Integrating in cylindrical coordinates we get

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_b \backslash B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{b}, \max\{r(\ell_b, \varepsilon), \ell(2\pi/m_b, \varepsilon)\}) \gg \frac{\ell_b}{m_b} \max\{m_b^2, \ell_b^{-2}\} \gg 1.$$

As before we deduce $\text{vol}(\Omega_p^I) \gg 1$. This concludes the first step.

5A2. Step 2. We verify condition (2). For $c \in \Sigma^3$ the sets Ω_c are pairwise disjoint. Indeed let $c_1, c_2 \in \Sigma^3$ and assume $\Omega_{c_1} \cap \Omega_{c_2} \neq \emptyset$. By the Margulis lemma, for some lifts $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2$ the torsion parts of the stabilizers $\Gamma_{\tilde{c}_1}, \Gamma_{\tilde{c}_2}$ generate a nilpotent subgroup. By discussion in [Section 3B1](#) it is either contained in a normalizer of geodesic or in a finite nondihedral subgroup of $\text{PU}(2)$, and the definition of Σ^3 excludes the second option so $\Gamma_{\tilde{c}_1}, \Gamma_{\tilde{c}_2}$ both normalize the same geodesic. This can happen only if $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_2$.

A similar argument shows that for $c_1 \in \Sigma^2, c_2 \in \Sigma^3$ the sets $\Omega_{c_1}, \Omega_{c_2}$ are disjoint.

By [Lemma 3.3](#) and the Margulis lemma the sets Ω_p^I are pairwise disjoint or equal. It is not hard to verify that we can have at most two different $p \in T^I(c), p' \in T^I(c')$ such that $\Omega_p^I = \Omega_{p'}^I$. By construction Ω_p^I contains exactly one set of form Ω_c with $c \in \Sigma^3$. By [Lemma 3.3](#) together with the Margulis lemma Ω_p^I are disjoint from Ω_c if $c \in \Sigma^1, \Sigma^2$. Again by the Margulis lemma and [Lemma 3.3](#), every point $x \in O$ can be covered by at most M sets Ω_c with $c \in \Sigma^1, \Sigma^2$. We conclude that any point is covered by at most M distinct sets of form $\Omega_c, c \in \Sigma$ and $\Omega_p^I, p \in T^I(c), c \in \Sigma^3$.

5A3. Last step. Property (3) holds by construction. We get

$$\sum_{c \in \Sigma} \text{vol}(\Omega_c) + \sum_{c \in \Sigma^3} \sum_{p \in T^I(c)} \text{vol}(\Omega_p^I) \ll M \text{vol}(O_{\leq \varepsilon}).$$

By the first step we conclude that

$$\sum_{c \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^1} \ell_c + \sum_{c \in \Sigma^1} (\ell'_c + |T^{\text{II}}(c)|) \ll \text{vol}(O_{\leq \varepsilon}). \quad \square$$

5B. Trace formula on the thick part. Let O_n be a sequence as in [Proposition 5.1](#). We prove here that

$$\int_{(O_n)_{\geq \varepsilon}} \text{tr} e^{-t\Delta^1[O_n]}(x, x) dx - \text{tr} e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]} \cdot \text{vol } O_n = o(\text{vol } O_n). \quad (5-1)$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,e}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n,h}$ be the sets of conjugacy classes of respectively elliptic and hyperbolic elements in Γ_n . For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ let $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$ be a fundamental domain for the centralizer Γ_γ of γ in Γ and $\mathcal{F}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}$ the part of it on which the nontrivial elements of Γ displace by at least ε . The proof of the Selberg trace formula then gives that

$$\int_{(O_n)_{\geq \varepsilon}} \text{tr} e^{-t\Delta^1[O_n]}(x, x) dx = \text{vol}(O_n)_{\geq \varepsilon} \text{tr} e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]} + \sum_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}_{n,e} \cup \mathcal{C}_{n,h}} \int_{\mathcal{F}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} \text{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx. \quad (5-2)$$

Because of Benjamini–Schramm convergence we have $\text{vol } O_n - \text{vol}(O_n)_{\geq \varepsilon} = o(\text{vol } O_n)$. Then (5-1) will follow from (5-2) together with the following limit:

$$\sum_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}_{n,e} \cup \mathcal{C}_{n,h}} \int_{\mathcal{F}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} \text{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx = o(\text{vol } O_n). \quad (5-3)$$

We proceed to prove (5-3). The proof for the hyperbolic part is exactly the same as in [\[Abert et al. 2017, Section 7\]](#).

We deal now with the elliptic part; similar computations are done in [\[Elstrodt et al. 1998, pages 193 and following\]](#). To simplify the computations we integrate over a subset $\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}$ of $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$ which is slightly larger than $\mathcal{F}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}$.

If $[\gamma]$ is an elliptic conjugacy class let c be the singular geodesic on O_n corresponding to γ and $\tilde{c}$ the lift of c to $\mathbb{H}^3$ which is fixed by γ . Let ℓ_c be the length of c and m_c the order of the torsion subgroup of Γ_γ . If $m_c > 2$ we put

$$\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon} = \mathcal{F}_\gamma \setminus B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, \max\{r(\ell_c, \varepsilon), \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon)\}).$$

The definition for γ with $m_c = 2$ is bit more involved. Recall from [Proposition 5.2](#) that we call a point $p \in c$ a type II vertex if there exists a singular geodesic b in O_n such that $p \in c \cap b$ and the torsion part of $\Gamma_{\tilde{b}}$ is of order at least M (a constant defined there). Write $T^{\text{II}}(c)$ for the set of type II vertices on c . For each point $p \in T^{\text{II}}(c)$ the geodesic b is unique so the values ℓ_b, m_b are well defined. To shorten notation we will write $r_c := \max\{r(\ell_c, \varepsilon), \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon)\}$ and $r_p := \max\{r(\ell_b, \varepsilon), \ell(2\pi/m_b, \varepsilon)\}$. Let $T^{\text{II}}(\tilde{c}) \subset \tilde{c}$ be the set of lifts of $p \in T^{\text{II}}(c)$. Set $T^{\text{II}}(\tilde{c})$ is Γ_γ invariant. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon} := \mathcal{F}_\gamma \setminus \left(B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{c}, r_c) \cup \bigcup_{\tilde{p} \in T^{\text{II}}(\tilde{c})} B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{p}, r_p) \right).$$

We are ready to bound the integrals in (5-3) corresponding to the elliptic elements. For γ with $m_c > 2$ we have

$$e \cdot \int_{\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx = \frac{2\pi}{m_c} \ell_c \int_{\max(\ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon), r(\ell_\gamma, \varepsilon))}^{+\infty} f_\theta(r) dr$$

where $f_\theta(r) = \sinh(r) \cosh(r) \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x))$ for a point x at distance r from the axis, and $e = 1$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ according to whether $\Gamma_\gamma \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m$ or $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ (see 3B1 for the geometric significance of this). This is a consequence of disintegration of hyperbolic volume in cylindrical coordinates [Fenchel 1989, page 205]. By the Gaussian estimate of the heat kernel of $\mathbb{H}^3$ (which can be seen from its explicit expression; see [Taylor 2011, Proposition 2.2 on page 425] for a more general statement) we have that

$$f_{2\pi/m_c}(r) \ll C(t) e^{-c(t)r^2}$$

uniformly for $r \geq \ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon)$. We get

$$\int_{\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx \ll \frac{\ell_c}{m_c}. \quad (5-4)$$

Now let γ be an elliptic element of order 2. The singular geodesic c can be identified with its lift to $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$. Let $\operatorname{pr}: \mathcal{F}_\gamma \rightarrow c$ be the “closest point projection” to c . By triangle inequality, for every point $y \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}$ we have $d(y, \operatorname{pr}(y)) \geq \max\{r_c, r_p - d(\operatorname{pr}(y), p) \mid p \in T^\Pi(c)\}$. Let ℓ'_c be as in Proposition 5.2 and let $r_p := \max\{\ell(2\pi/m_b, \varepsilon), r(\ell_b, \varepsilon)\}$ where b is the singular geodesic of O such that $p \in c \cap b$ (see the definition of type II vertices). Write $c_0 = c \setminus \bigcup_{p \in T^\Pi(c)} B(p, r_p)$ and $c_1 := c \setminus c_0$. Note that ℓ'_c is the length of c_0 . We will split the integral over $\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}$ according to whether $\operatorname{pr}(y)$ falls into c_0 or c_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} e \cdot \int_{\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx &= \int_{\operatorname{pr}^{-1}(c_0) \cap \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} f_\pi(d(y, \operatorname{pr}(y))) dy + \int_{\operatorname{pr}^{-1}(c_1) \cap \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} f_\pi(d(y, \operatorname{pr}(y))) dy \\ &\leq \pi \ell'_c \int_{\max(\ell(2\pi/m_c, \varepsilon), r(\ell_c, \varepsilon))}^{+\infty} f_\pi(r) dr + \pi \sum_{p \in T^\Pi(c)} 2 \int_0^{r_p} \int_s^{+\infty} f_\pi(r) dr ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate for the heat kernel we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx \ll \ell'_c + |T^\Pi(c)|. \quad (5-5)$$

Let Σ_n be the set of singular geodesics in O_n (so each is the image of an axis of an elliptic conjugacy class in Γ_n) with subsets $\Sigma_n^1, \Sigma_n^2, \Sigma_n^3$ defined as in Section 5A. If γ is an elliptic isometry of order m , primitive in Γ , there are $m - 1$ elliptic elements in Γ_γ sharing the same axis. We have $\mathcal{F}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}$ so by (5-4) and (5-5) we get that

$$\sum_{[\gamma] \in C_{n,e}} \int_{\mathcal{F}_\gamma^{\geq \varepsilon}} \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t\Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx \ll \sum_{c \in \Sigma_n^2, \Sigma_n^3} \ell_c \frac{m_c - 1}{m_c} + \sum_{c \in \Sigma_n^1} (\ell'_c + |T^\Pi(c)|).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}_{n,e}} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}^{\geq \varepsilon}} \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* e^{-t \Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]}(x, \gamma x)) dx \ll \sum_{c \in \Sigma_n \setminus \Sigma_n^1} \ell_c + \sum_{c \in \Sigma_n^1} (\ell'_c + |T^{\Pi}(c)|).$$

By [Proposition 5.2](#) the right hand side is of order $O(\operatorname{vol}((O_n)_{\leq \varepsilon}))$. The sequence converges Benjamini–Schramm to $\mathbb{H}^3$ so $\operatorname{vol}((O_n)_{\leq \varepsilon}) = o(\operatorname{vol}(O_n))$. Estimate [\(5-3\)](#) follows.

5C. Comparison between heat kernels. We prove here that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} O_n} \int_{(O_n)_{\geq R}} \operatorname{tr}(e^{-t \Delta^1[O_n]} - e^{-t \Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O'_n]})(x, x) dx = 0. \quad (5-6)$$

To do this we let U_n be the subset of $\mathbb{H}^3$ covering O'_n and choose a fundamental domain D_n for Γ acting in the subset of U_n covering $(O_n)_{\geq R}$ (we assume R is large enough so that $(O_n)_{\geq R} \subset O'_n$). Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(O_n)_{\geq R}} \operatorname{tr}(e^{-t \Delta^1[O_n]} - e^{-t \Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O'_n]})(x, x) dx &= \int_{D_n} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \operatorname{tr} \gamma^* (e^{-t \Delta^1[\mathbb{H}^3]} - e^{-t \Delta_{\text{abs}}[U_n]})(x, \gamma x) dx \\ &\ll e^{-\frac{R^2}{Ct}} \int_{D_n} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-d(x, \gamma x)^2/(Ct)} dx \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{\text{abs}}[U_n]$ is the Laplacian with absolute boundary conditions on the complete manifold U_n , and the second line follows from [\[Lück and Schick 1999, Theorem 2.26\]](#). By the same arguments as used above to demonstrate [\(5-1\)](#) the integral is $O(\operatorname{vol} O_n)$ (with a constant independent of R as the domain of integration shrinks when we take R to infinity). In the end we get that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} O_n} \int_{(O_n)_{\geq R}} \operatorname{tr}(e^{-t \Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O_n]} - e^{-t \Delta^1[O'_n]})(x, x) dx \ll e^{-R^2/(Ct)}$$

from which [\(5-6\)](#) follows immediately.

5D. Heat kernel near the boundary. Here we prove the final ingredient for the proof of [Proposition 5.1](#): for all $R > 0$ we have

$$\int_{O'_n \setminus (O_n)_{\geq R}} \operatorname{tr} e^{-t \Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O'_n]}(x, x) dx = o(\operatorname{vol} O_n). \quad (5-7)$$

By Benjamini–Schramm convergence we have that $\operatorname{vol}(O'_n \setminus (O_n)_{\geq R}) = o(\operatorname{vol} O_n)$. So to prove [\(5-6\)](#) it suffices to see that $\operatorname{tr} e^{-t \Delta_{\text{abs}}^1[O'_n]}(x, x) = O_t(1)$ for $x \in O'_n$. As in [\[Abert et al. 2017, \(7.19.4\)\]](#) this follows from [\[Lück and Schick 1999, Theorem 2.35\]](#); the latter is applicable with a uniform constant in our context by [Lemma 3.4](#).

Appendix A: Benjamini–Schramm convergence in Gromov-hyperbolic spaces

AA. Orbital integrals on hyperbolic spaces. Let X be a proper Gromov-hyperbolic space and $G = \operatorname{Isom}(X)$. With the compact-open topology G is a locally compact second countable topological group.

For $\gamma \in G$ we denote by G_γ its centralizer. The following lemma is a slight generalization of [Bridson and Haefliger 1999, Corollary 3.10(2) on page 463] — the latter dealing only with discrete groups. It might be possible to straightforwardly adapt the arguments in [loc. cit.] to our case, but we give a different, mostly self-contained proof.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\gamma \in G$ be an hyperbolic isometry. Then $G_\gamma / \langle \gamma \rangle$ is compact.*

For the proof we use the following lemma, which should be standard but we could not find in the literature. The proof is a bit long and technical so we put it at the end of this appendix (see Section AC).

Lemma A.2. *Let γ be an hyperbolic isometry of X . For any $x \in X$ there exists constants $C = C(x, \gamma, \delta)$ and $A = A(x, \gamma, \delta)$ such that for any $y \in X$ and any k sufficiently large (depending on γ, x, δ) we have*

$$d(y, \gamma^k y) \geq Ck + 2d(y, \langle \gamma \rangle x) - A.$$

Proof of Lemma A.1. Let $\tau = d(\gamma) := \inf\{d(y, \gamma y) \mid y \in X\}$ be the minimal displacement of γ . Fix $x \in X$, let k, A, C as given by Lemma A.2 and define:

$$D = \{y \in X \mid d(y, \gamma^k y) \leq k\tau + 1\}.$$

It is a nonempty (by definition of τ) closed G_γ -invariant subset of X . Given that the action of G_γ on D is proper, the lemma will follow once we prove that $\langle \gamma \rangle \backslash D$ is compact. The previous lemma implies that

$$D \subset \{y \in X : d(y, \langle \gamma \rangle x) \leq (\tau - C)k + A + 1\}$$

so that $D \subset \gamma^{\mathbb{Z}} B(x, R)$ for some sufficiently large R , and as X is proper this in turn implies that $\langle \gamma \rangle \backslash D$ is compact. $\square$

Let dg be a fixed Haar measure on G . According to the lemma above the subgroup G_γ admits a lattice so it is unimodular and we have a decomposition $dg = dx dh$ where dx is a G -invariant measure on G/G_γ and dh a Haar measure on G_γ , both depending only on the original choice of dg . For a function $f \in C_0(G)$ we can then define the *orbital integral* associated to γ by

$$\mathcal{O}_f(\gamma) = \int_{G/G_\gamma} f(\gamma^{-1}x\gamma) dx \tag{A-1}$$

which depends only on the G -conjugacy class $[\gamma]_G$.

AB. General criterion for Benjamini–Schramm convergence. Here again X is always a proper Gromov-hyperbolic space and $G = \text{Isom}(X)$. We assume that the action of G on X is nonelementary. The *elliptic radical* of G can then be defined as its unique maximal normal compact subgroup (see [Osin 2017, Proposition 3.4]; in our context, by properness of X bounded elements are the same as compact ones). The following lemma is a special case of [Osin 2017, Theorem 1.5].

Lemma A.3. *Let μ be an invariant random subgroup of G . Then either μ is supported on the elliptic radical or it has full limit set.*

Recall from [Gelander 2019, Section 3] that there is a “Benjamini–Schramm topology” on the set of Borel probability measures on the Gromov–Hausdorff space of pointed proper metric spaces (up to isometry). The set of measures supported on spaces locally isometric to X is precompact in this topology. Moreover, if X is a locally symmetric space then (1-1) is equivalent to $\Gamma_i \backslash X$ converging in the Benjamini–Schramm topology to X .

There is a continuous injective map from the space of invariant random subgroups of G to the Benjamini–Schramm space. If Γ_i are lattices in G then the sequence of uniformly pointed spaces $\Gamma_i \backslash X$ converges to X if and only if the IRSs μ_{Γ_i} converge to the trivial IRS. We will use this to prove the following criterion for convergence, which is a more general version of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition A.4. *Let U the set of hyperbolic isometries in G . Assume that the elliptic radical of G is trivial. If Γ_n is a sequence of lattices in G which satisfies*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{[\gamma] \in \Gamma_n \backslash U} \text{vol}((\Gamma_n)_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_f(\gamma)}{\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)} = 0 \quad (\text{A-2})$$

then the sequence of metric spaces $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ converges to X in the Benjamini–Schramm topology.

Proof. Let μ_n be the invariant random subgroup of G supported on the conjugacy class of Γ_n . We want to prove that any weak limit μ of a subsequence of (μ_n) is equal to the trivial IRS δ_e . By Lemma A.3 and the fact that a subgroup of G containing no hyperbolic isometries has at most one limit point (see [Gromov 1987, Section 8.2]) it suffices to prove that any such μ contains no hyperbolic isometries.

To prove this choose a covering $U = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C$ of U where $\mathcal{C}$ is countable and every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is compact. We can do this since Sub_G is metrizable [de la Harpe 2008, Proposition 2]. Let $W_C = \Lambda : \Lambda \cap C \neq \emptyset$; this is a Chabauty-closed subset of Sub_G . If ν is a nontrivial IRS then by Lemma A.3 and previous paragraph it almost surely contains a hyperbolic element. Hence, there is $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\nu(W_C) > 0$. We need to prove the opposite for μ , which amounts to the following: for every C there exists a nonnegative Borel function F on Sub_G which is positive on W_C and such that $\int_{\text{Sub}_G} F(\Lambda) d\mu(\Lambda) = 0$.

Let us fix $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and prove this. There exists an open relatively compact subset V with $C \subset V$ and $\bar{V} \subset U$. Choose any $f \in C^\infty(G)$ such that $f > 0$ on C and $f = 0$ on $G \setminus V$ and define

$$F(\Lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda) & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is discrete,} \\ 1 & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is not discrete and intersects } C, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then F is lower semicontinuous on Sub_G , nonnegative and positive on W_C . On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Sub}_G} F(\Lambda) d\mu_n(\Lambda) &= \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)} \int_{G/\Gamma_n} \sum_{\gamma \in g\Gamma_n g^{-1}} f(\gamma) dg \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)} \sum_{[\gamma] \in \Gamma_n \backslash U} \text{vol}((\Gamma_n)_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \mathcal{O}_f(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

By the so-called “portemanteau theorem” [Klenke 2014, Theorem 13.16] the limit inferior of the left-hand side is larger or equal to $\int_{\text{Sub}_G} F(\Lambda) d\mu(\Lambda)$. By (A-2) we have that the right-hand side converges to 0. It follows that

$$\int_{\text{Sub}_G} F(\Lambda) d\mu(\Lambda) = 0$$

which finishes the proof. $\square$

AC. Proof of Lemma A.2. Let us recall the statement. We have a proper hyperbolic geodesic space X and an hyperbolic isometry γ of X . We fix $x \in X$ and we want to show that there exists constants $C = C(x, \gamma, \delta)$ and $A = A(x, \gamma, \delta)$ such that for any $y \in X$ and any k sufficiently large (depending on γ, x, δ) we have

$$d(y, \gamma^k y) \geq Ck + 2d(y, \langle \gamma \rangle x) - A. \quad (\text{A-3})$$

Let $x, y \in X$. As γ is hyperbolic there exists a, c such that $L = \langle \gamma \rangle x$ is a (c, a) -quasigeodesic. Regarding the conclusion of the proposition it does not change anything if we assume that x is the approximate projection of y on L , meaning that any point x' of L within distance $d(y, L)$ of y , satisfies $d(x', x) \leq K$ (where K depends only on the hyperbolicity constant δ).

Let $\ell = d(x, \gamma x)$. Note first that if k is large enough so that

$$k > 100c\ell^{-1}K \log(k) + ac \quad (\text{A-4})$$

holds, and y is close enough to L so that

$$d(y, x) > c^2\ell^{-1} \log(k) + cK(2 + \log(2 + k)) + ca \quad (\text{A-5})$$

does not then we see immediately that (A-3) holds, by the triangle inequality. Thus from now on we will assume that both inequalities above hold for y and k .

Let $x_i = \gamma^i x$, $y_i = \gamma^i y$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Let F be the finite set

$$F = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\} \cup \{y_0, y_k\};$$

by [Bowditch 1991, Proposition 7.3.1] there exists a choice of a “spanning tree” on F (that is, a tree whose edges are a subset of all pairs of geodesics segment between points of F) such that

$$\forall p, q \in F : d(p, q) \geq d_{T_F}(p, q) - (1 + \log(2 + k))K \quad (\text{A-6})$$

where K depends only on δ (so we take it equal to the K introduced above to simplify notation). One of y_0, y_k must be connected to one of the x_i in T_F ; we may assume that $[y_0, x_i]$ is an edge in T_F for some i . We claim that this i must be unique, and we must have

$$i < c\ell^{-1}((\log(k + 2) + 2)K + a). \quad (\text{A-7})$$

Indeed, let i be the smallest integer such that $[x_i, y_0] \subset T_F$. Then, because

$$d_{T_F}(x_0, y_0) \leq d(x_0, y_0) + (\log(k + 2) + 1)K$$

and

$$d_{T_F}(x_0, y_0) \geq d(x_0, x_i) + d(x_i, y_0) \geq \frac{i\ell}{c} - a + d(x_0, y_0) - K$$

we see that i must verify (A-7). Now assume that there is a $j > i$ such that $[x_j, y_0] \subset T_F$, and take it to be the smallest such; we want to reach a contradiction. Consider $i \leq l < j$ to be maximal such that the path in T_F from x_l to x_i does not go through y_0 . Then the path in T_F from x_l to x_{l+1} must go through y_0 (otherwise we would have a path from x_{l+1} to x_i via x_l avoiding y_0). We have thus $d_{T_F}(x_l, x_{l+1}) \geq d(x_0, y_0) - K$ which together with (A-5) and (A-6) contradicts the fact that $d(x_l, x_{l+1}) = \ell$.

We now want to prove that $[y_0, y_k]$ is not an edge in T_F . To do so we must consider two possibilities. Assume first that $[y_k, x_j] \subset T_F$ for some j . Then reasoning as above we see that j is the only such index, and $j > k - c\ell^{-1}((\log(k+2) + 2)K + a) > i$. In this case we reach a contradiction in the same way as in the previous paragraph: considering a maximal $i \leq l < j$ such that the path from x_l to x_i does not go through y_0 we see that $d_{T_F}(x_l, x_{l+1})$ is too large.

If there is no edge $[y_k, x_j]$ in T_F then the path from x_k to y_k must go first to x_i , then to y_0 and finally to y_k . But as $d(x_k, x_i) > (\log(k+2) + 1)K$ by (A-7) and (A-4) we see that this contradicts $d(x_0, y_0) = d(x_k, y_k)$.

So we get that there must be a unique edge $[y_k, x_j]$ in T_F , and the path in T_F from y_0 to y_k must go through x_j and x_i . As before we must have

$$j > k - c\ell^{-1}((\log(k+2) + 2)K + a)$$

and we finally get using first (A-6), then the fact that $(x_0, \dots, x_k)$ is a quasigeodesic, and finally the above together with (A-7) that:

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_0, y_k) &\geq d(y_0, x_i) + d(x_i, x_j) + d(x_j, y_k) - K - K \log(2+k) \\ &\geq 2d(x_0, y_0) + c^{-1}(j-i)\ell - a - 3K - K \log(2+k) \\ &\geq 2d(x_0, y_0) + c^{-1}\ell k - B - b \log(k) \end{aligned}$$

where B, b depend only on x, γ, δ . From the last inequality and (A-4) we can conclude that (A-3) holds.

Appendix B: Smoothing corners

In this appendix we prove Proposition 3.5; as the argument is technical but has no subtleties we will be quite sketchy in presenting it.

Recall that we have the following situation: X is a manifold with bounded geometry, $H_1, H_2 \subset X$ such that $X \setminus H_i$ both have bounded geometry, meet transversally and the dihedral angle between them is bounded away from 0 and π . We remark that constructing a smoothing of $Y = X \setminus (H_1 \cup H_2)$ satisfying the conclusions of Proposition 3.5 is immediate in the case where the intersection $I = H_1 \cap H_2$ has a neighborhood in Y which is isometric to the product $[0, \delta]^2 \times I$. In general we will prove the following statement: there exists a diffeomorphism φ from $[0, \delta]^2 \times I$ to a neighborhood of I in Y such that φ

and φ^{-1} have all their derivatives uniformly bounded. In view of the preceding remark this proves the proposition.

To define φ we need some more auxiliary notation: for a vector field V and $t \geq 0$ we let Φ_V^t be its flow at time t ; if $H \subset Z$ is open with smooth boundary we denote by N_H^Z the normal field of H in Z . We put

$$\varphi_1(x, t, s) = \Phi_{N_{H_1}^X}^t(\Phi_{N_I^{H_1}}^s(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2(x, t, s) = \Phi_{N_{H_2}^X}^s(\Phi_{N_I^{H_2}}^t(x))$$

We fix a smooth nondecreasing function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1[$ such that h is zero on negative numbers, and at infinity it tends to 1 and all its derivatives vanish at all orders. Let $0 < a < 1$ such that the convex hull of all $\varphi_1(x, t, s)$ and $\varphi_2(x, t, s)$ for $as \leq t \leq a^{-1}s$ is contained in Y . For $x, y \in X$ and $u \in [0, 1]$ let $ux + (1 - u)y$ denote the barycenter of x, y on the geodesic segment between them.² With this notation we define

$$\varphi(x, t, s) = h\left(\frac{at - s}{as - t}\right)\varphi_1(x, t, s) + \left(1 - h\left(\frac{at - s}{as - t}\right)\right)\varphi_2(x, t, s)$$

and we claim that φ has the desired properties. It is smooth as a composition of smooth maps. To deduce the remaining properties we will use the following lemma.

Lemma B.1. *For $i = 1, 2$ there is c depending only on the bounds on the geometry of H_i such that the following properties hold:*

- (1) *Let $z \in \partial H_i$ and $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. The linear map $D_z \Phi_{N_{H_i}^X}^t$ is c -Lipschitz on angles. The same holds for $x \in I$ and $D_x \Phi_{N_I^{H_i}}^t$.*
- (2) *For all $x \in I$ and all $0 \leq s, t < \delta$, let $y = \Phi_{N_{H_i}^X}^t(\Phi_{N_I^{H_i}}^s(x))$. Let γ be the geodesic (in X) from x to y , u_i the parallel transport along γ of the outward normal vector to H_i at x and $v_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Big|_{\tau=t} \Phi_{N_{H_i}^X}^\tau(\Phi_{N_I^{H_i}}^s(x))$. Then the angle between u_i and v_i is at most $c\delta$.*

Proof. (1) follows from the boundedness of coefficients of the metric tensor and its inverse in normal exponential coordinates (in both $I \subset H_i$ and $\partial H_i \subset X$). (2) follows from (1), together with the fact that parallel transport along a closed curve stays close to the identity within the δ -neighborhood. $\square$

Let V_i be the vector fields given by the vectors v_i defined in the lemma. As for any $x \in I$ we have that the angle between $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(x)$ lies in $[\alpha_0, \pi - \alpha_0]$ it follows from (2) that if we choose $\delta < c^{-1}\alpha_0/2$ we have that the angle between V_1 and V_2 at any point x in the δ -neighborhood of I lies in $[\alpha_0/2, \pi - \alpha_0/2]$. In particular V_1, V_2 define a plane field, and we define J to be its orthogonal.

Let π_J be orthogonal projection on J . The block decomposition of $D\varphi$ according to $TX = J \oplus (V_1 + V_2)$ is

$$D_{(x,t,s)}\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_J D_x \varphi & C \\ (1 - \pi_J) D_x \varphi & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

²This is well-defined for those pairs of points in X that we consider, as long as we take $\delta \ll \text{inj}(X)$.

We need to prove that:

- (1) $D_x\varphi$, B and C have bounded coefficients (in terms of the bounds on the geometry).
- (2) $\pi_J D_x\varphi$ and B are everywhere invertible and their inverses are bounded.
- (3) $\|(1 - \pi_J)D_x\varphi\| \ll \delta$.

Indeed, this shows that the map φ has a derivative which everywhere invertible. In particular, it is a local diffeomorphism and as it is the identity on I it is also a global diffeomorphism. This also implies that its derivative is uniformly bounded in terms of the geometry of H_i and α_0 , and so is its inverse.

We deal first with $D_x\varphi$. We note that

$$(D_x\varphi)_{(x,t,s)} = h\left(\frac{at-s}{as-t}\right)D_x\varphi_1(x, t, s) + \left(1 - h\left(\frac{at-s}{as-t}\right)\right)D_x\varphi_2(x, t, s) + O(\delta)$$

because of bounded geometry and the fact that to obtain φ we move φ_1 and φ_2 by at most δ . It follows that $D_x\varphi$ is bounded. By point (1) of the lemma we have that at all points the angle between the image of $D_x\varphi$ and V_i is at most $c\delta$; it follows that $\|(1 - \pi_J)D_x\varphi\| \ll \delta$. Moreover $D_x\varphi$ is everywhere invertible with bounded inverse, because both $A_1 = D_x\varphi_1$ and $A_2 = D_x\varphi_2$ are, and for $w \in T_x I$ the vectors $A_1(w)$, $A_2(w)$ have an angle $\leq c\delta$ between them by (1).

We also have

$$D_t\varphi = h\left(\frac{at-s}{as-t}\right)D_t\varphi_1(x, t, s) + \left(1 - h\left(\frac{at-s}{as-t}\right)\right)D_t\varphi_2(x, t, s) + O(\delta)$$

and similarly for $D_s\varphi$, so the coefficients of B , C are bounded.

It remains to prove that B is invertible and $\det(B)$ is bounded away from zero. At a point $x \in I$ we have $D_t\varphi$ and $D_s\varphi$ belong to two disjoint open convex cones in $T_x X/J_x$; by (2) and (1) this remains true in the δ -neighborhood and the angle between the cones remains bounded away from zero, hence the matrix B is invertible with uniformly bounded inverse.

References

- [Abert et al. 2017] M. Abert, N. Bergeron, I. Biringer, T. Gelander, N. Nikolov, J. Raimbault, and I. Samet, “On the growth of L^2 -invariants for sequences of lattices in Lie groups”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **185**:3 (2017), 711–790. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Beardon 1983] A. F. Beardon, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Grad. Texts Math. **91**, Springer, 1983. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Boileau et al. 2003] M. Boileau, S. Maillot, and J. Porti, *Three-dimensional orbifolds and their geometric structures*, Panor. Synth. **15**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Borel and Harish-Chandra 1962] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, “Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **75** (1962), 485–535. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bowditch 1991] B. H. Bowditch, “Notes on Gromov’s hyperbolicity criterion for path-metric spaces”, pp. 64–167 in *Group theory from a geometrical viewpoint* (Trieste, Italy, 1990), edited by É. Ghys et al., World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1991. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bridson and Haefliger 1999] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **319**, Springer, 1999. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Clozel 2003] L. Clozel, “Démonstration de la conjecture τ ”, *Invent. Math.* **151**:2 (2003), 297–328. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elstrodt et al. 1998] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke, *Groups acting on hyperbolic space: harmonic analysis and number theory*, Springer, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Fenchel 1989] W. Fenchel, *Elementary geometry in hyperbolic space*, de Gruyter Stud. Math. **11**, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fraczyk 2016] M. Fraczyk, “Strong limit multiplicity for arithmetic hyperbolic surfaces and 3-manifolds”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv](#)
- [Gelander 2019] T. Gelander, “A view on invariant random subgroups and lattices”, pp. 1321–1344 in *Proc. Int. Congr. Math., I* (Rio de Janeiro, 2018), edited by B. Sirakov et al., World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 2019.
- [Gromov 1987] M. Gromov, “Hyperbolic groups”, pp. 75–263 in *Essays in group theory*, edited by S. M. Gersten, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **8**, Springer, 1987. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Halpern 1981] N. Halpern, “A proof of the collar lemma”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **13**:2 (1981), 141–144. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [de la Harpe 2008] P. de la Harpe, “Spaces of closed subgroups of locally compact groups”, preprint, 2008. [arXiv](#)
- [Kazhdan 1977] D. Kazhdan, “Some applications of the Weil representation”, *J. Analyse Mat.* **32** (1977), 235–248. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Klenke 2014] A. Klenke, *Probability theory: a comprehensive course*, 2nd ed., Springer, 2014. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Levit 2017] A. Levit, “On Benjamini–Schramm limits of congruence subgroups”, 2017. To appear in *Israel J. Math.* [arXiv](#)
- [Li and Millson 1993] J.-S. Li and J. J. Millson, “On the first Betti number of a hyperbolic manifold with an arithmetic fundamental group”, *Duke Math. J.* **71**:2 (1993), 365–401. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Long et al. 2006] D. D. Long, C. Maclachlan, and A. W. Reid, “Arithmetic Fuchsian groups of genus zero”, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **2**:2 (2006), 569–599. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lück 2002] W. Lück, *L^2 -invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K -theory*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik* (3) **44**, Springer, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lück and Schick 1999] W. Lück and T. Schick, “ L^2 -torsion of hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9**:3 (1999), 518–567. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Matz 2019] J. Matz, “Limit multiplicities for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}_F)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r_2})$ ”, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **13**:3 (2019), 841–881. [MR](#)
- [Millson 1976] J. J. Millson, “On the first Betti number of a constant negatively curved manifold”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**:2 (1976), 235–247. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Osin 2017] D. Osin, “Invariant random subgroups of groups acting on hyperbolic spaces”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**:8 (2017), 3279–3288. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Raimbault 2017] J. Raimbault, “On the convergence of arithmetic orbifolds”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **67**:6 (2017), 2547–2596. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Shimura 1971] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Kanô Memor. Lect. **1**, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1971. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Taylor 2011] M. E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations, I: Basic theory*, 2nd ed., Appl. Math. Sci. **115**, Springer, 2011. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Thompson 1980] J. G. Thompson, “A finiteness theorem for subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ which are commensurable with $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ”, pp. 533–555 in *Santa Cruz Conf. Finite Groups* (Santa Cruz, CA, 1979), edited by B. Cooperstein and G. Mason, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **37**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1980. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zograf 1991] P. Zograf, “A spectral proof of Rademacher’s conjecture for congruence subgroups of the modular group”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **414** (1991), 113–116. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Peter Sarnak

Received 2018-11-30

Revised 2019-04-19

Accepted 2019-08-15

fraczyk@renyi.hu

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary

jean.raimbault@math.univ-toulouse.fr

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse,
CNRS, UPS IMT, France

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Antoine Chambert-Loir	Université Paris-Diderot, France	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Melanie Matchett Wood	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$385/year for the electronic version, and \$590/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13

No. 10

2019

The elliptic KZB connection and algebraic de Rham theory for unipotent fundamental groups of elliptic curves	2243
MA LUO	
Moments of random multiplicative functions, II: High moments	2277
ADAM J HARPER	
Artin–Mazur–Milne duality for fppf cohomology	2323
CYRIL DEMARCHE and DAVID HARARI	
Betti numbers of Shimura curves and arithmetic three-orbifolds	2359
MIKOŁAJ FRĄCZYK and JEAN RAIMBAULT	
Combinatorial identities and Titchmarsh’s divisor problem for multiplicative functions	2383
SARY DRAPPEAU and BERKE TOPACOGULLARI	
The construction problem for Hodge numbers modulo an integer	2427
MATTHIAS PAULSEN and STEFAN SCHREIEDER	