

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 13

2019

No. 6

**Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces**

Daniele Faenzi

# Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces

Daniele Faenzi

We show that any polarized K3 surface supports special Ulrich bundles of rank 2.

Given an  $n$ -dimensional closed subvariety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ , a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is Ulrich if  $H^*(\mathcal{F}(-t)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ . We refer to [Coskun 2017; Beauville 2018] for an introduction. We mention that Ulrich sheaves are related to Chow forms (this was their main motivation for the study in [Eisenbud et al. 2003]), to determinantal representations and generalized Clifford algebras, to Boij–Söderberg theory [Schreyer and Eisenbud 2010], to the minimal resolution conjecture, and to the representation type of varieties [Faenzi and Pons-Llopis 2015].

Conjecturally, Ulrich sheaves exist for any  $X$ , see [Eisenbud et al. 2003]. They are known to exist for several classes of varieties e.g., complete intersections, curves, Veronese, Segre, Grassmann varieties. Low-rank Ulrich bundles on surfaces have been studied intensively, and Ulrich bundles of rank 2 (or sometimes 1) are known in many cases. We refer to [Casnati 2017; Beauville 2018] for a survey and further references. Let us only review some of the cases that are most relevant for us, namely among surfaces with trivial canonical bundle.

In [Beauville 2016], Ulrich bundles of rank 2 are proved to exist on abelian surfaces. In [Aprodu et al. 2017], it is proved that K3 surfaces support Ulrich bundles of rank 2, provided that some Noether–Lefschetz open condition is satisfied. The case of quartic surfaces was previously analyzed in detail in [Coskun et al. 2012]. The main techniques used so far are the Serre construction starting from points on  $X$  and Lazarsfeld–Mukai bundles.

In this note, we show that any K3 surface supports an Ulrich bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank 2 with  $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3H$ , for any polarization  $H$ . So these bundles are *special* [Eisenbud et al. 2003]. We allow singular surfaces with trivial canonical bundle. The main tool is an enhancement of Serre’s construction based on unobstructedness of simple sheaves on a K3 surface.

Let us state the result more precisely. We work over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $X$  be an integral (i.e., reduced and irreducible) projective surface with  $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . We denote by  $X_{\text{sm}}$  the smooth locus of  $X$ .

Fix a very ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$ . Under the closed embedding given by the complete linear series  $|\mathcal{O}_X(H)|$  we may view  $X$  as a subvariety of some projective space  $\mathbb{P}^g$ . A hyperplane section  $C$  of  $X$

---

Author partially supported by ISITE-BFC project (contract ANR-IS-IDEX-000B).

MSC2010: primary 14F05; secondary 13C14, 14J60.

Keywords: ACM vector sheaves and bundles, Ulrich sheaves, K3 surfaces.

is a projective Gorenstein curve of arithmetic genus  $g$  with  $\omega_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(H)$ , where  $H$  also denotes the restriction of  $H$  to  $C$ . We may choose  $C$  to be integral too.

A locally Cohen–Macaulay sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X$  is arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay (ACM) if  $H^1(\mathcal{E}(tH)) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A special class of ACM sheaves are Ulrich sheaves, which are characterized by the property  $H^*(\mathcal{E}(-tH)) = 0$  for  $t = 1, 2$ . Of course all these notions depend on the polarization  $H$ . We call simple a sheaf whose only endomorphisms are homotheties.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $H$  be as above. Then there exists a simple Ulrich vector bundle of rank 2 on  $X$  whose determinant is  $\mathcal{O}_X(3H)$ .*

The strategy to prove the theorem is the following. First we build an ACM vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank 2 by Serre’s construction applied to a projective coordinate system in  $X$ . Then we perform an elementary modification of  $\mathcal{E}$  along a single generic point  $p \in X$ , producing a simple nonreflexive sheaf having the Chern character of an Ulrich bundle. Finally we flatly deform such sheaf and check that generically this yields the desired Ulrich bundle.

Prior to all this, we start by observing that the trivial bundle is a (trivial) example of ACM line bundle. Indeed, using that  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and that  $C$  is connected, one checks that  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-H)) = 0$ . In turn, this easily implies  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-tH)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 2$ . Also, Serre duality and triviality of  $\omega_X$  give  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(tH)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . This way, we see that  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is an ACM line bundle on  $X$ . Combining this with Max Noether’s theorem on the generation of the canonical ring of curves (see [Rosenlicht 1952] for a version for Gorenstein curves) one obtains, working as in [Saint-Donat 1974, Theorem 6.1], that  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^g$  is an ACM surface of degree  $2g - 2$ .

However this line bundle is never Ulrich, nor is any line bundle of the form  $\mathcal{O}_X(dH)$ . So generically (for instance when  $X$  has Picard number 1) the surface  $X$  will not support Ulrich line bundles. We thus move to rank two and start by constructing a simple ACM bundle.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $Z \subset X_{\text{sm}}$  be a set of  $g + 2$  points in general linear position. Then there is a unique coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank 2 fitting into a nonsplitting exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(H) \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

*The sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free, simple and ACM. It satisfies*

$$\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}^*(H), \quad h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 1, \quad h^1(\mathcal{E}) = h^2(\mathcal{E}) = 0, \quad \text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 2g + 4.$$

*Proof.* Taking cohomology of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

and using the fact that  $Z$  is in general linear position and hence contained in no hyperplane, we get  $H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(H)) = 0$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H)) = 1$ .

By Serre duality we get  $\text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H)) = 1$  so, up to proportionality, there is a unique nonsplitting extension of the desired form. Correspondingly, there exists a unique coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of

rank two fitting into a nonsplitting exact sequence of the form (1). The sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  we obtain this way satisfies  $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$  and  $H^1(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X)^* = 0$  because applying  $\text{Hom}_X(-, \mathcal{O}_X)$  to (1) we obtain a nonzero map (and thus an isomorphism)  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

This map is the dual of the homomorphism  $H^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$  obtained by taking global sections in (1). So  $H^1(\mathcal{E}) = H^2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ .

If  $X$  is smooth we deduce that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free from the Cayley–Bacharach property, see for instance [Huybrechts and Lehn 1997, Theorem 5.1.1]. Indeed, since  $Z$  is in general linear position (i.e.,  $Z$  is a projective frame in  $\mathbb{P}^g$ ), no hyperplane passes through any subset of  $g + 1$  points of  $Z$ . Anyway the statement follows in general by a minor modification of the argument appearing in [Faenzi and Pons-Llopis 2015, Lemma 7.2]. Indeed by the local-to-global spectral sequence, using  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-H)) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-H)$  we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X)) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-H)) \rightarrow 0.$$

In turn, using  $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \omega_Z \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$  and  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-H)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))^*$ , if we choose  $Z$  to be a projective coordinate system of  $\mathbb{P}^g$ , we rewrite this exact sequence as

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \xrightarrow{M} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So  $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X)$  is generated by the vector  $(1, \dots, 1, -1)^t$  and since this vector corresponds to an extension in  $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X)$  which is nonzero at any point of  $Z$  we have that the sequence defining  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally nonsplit around each point of  $Z$ , which in turn implies that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free at each such point (and hence everywhere). From  $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = H$ , since  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free of rank 2, we get a canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}^*(H)$ .

Let us prove that  $\mathcal{E}$  is ACM. We already have  $h^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  and thus by Serre duality  $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-H)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*(H)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ . Also  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-H)) = 0$  and  $h^2(\mathcal{E}(-H)) = 1$ . Note that, choosing an integral hyperplane section curve  $C$  that avoids  $Z$ , (1) becomes:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(H) \rightarrow 0.$$

From  $H^k(\mathcal{E}(-H)) = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1$  we deduce  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_C) = 1$  so the previous exact sequence does not split. Then  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_C(-H)) = 0$ . This easily implies  $H^1(\mathcal{E}(-2H)) = 0$  and actually  $H^1(\mathcal{E}(-tH)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 2$ . Serre duality now gives  $H^1(\mathcal{E}(tH)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 1$ . In other words  $\mathcal{E}$  is ACM.

It remains to check that  $\mathcal{E}$  is simple. Applying  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, -)$  to the exact sequence (2) we get that the nonzero space  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}_Z(H))$  is contained in  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathbf{k}$ , so  $\text{hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}_Z(H)) = 1$ . As  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_Z)$  is a skyscraper sheaf of rank 2 at  $Z$  we have  $\text{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_Z) = (2g + 4)\delta_{0,k}$ . We deduce  $\text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}_Z(H)) = 2g + 4$  and  $\text{ext}_X^0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}_Z(H)) = 0$ .

Therefore, applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, -)$  to the (1), since  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq h^2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  we get that  $\mathrm{End}_X(\mathcal{E})$  is contained in  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}_Z(H))$  and is therefore 1-dimensional. This says that  $\mathcal{E}$  is simple. By Serre duality  $\mathrm{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 1$ . We deduce  $\mathrm{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}_Z(H)) = 2g + 4$ .  $\square$

Given a reduced subscheme  $Z \in \mathrm{Hilb}_{g+2}(X_{\mathrm{sm}})$  consisting of points in general linear position, there is a unique rank-2 bundle associated with  $Z$  according to the previous lemma. We denote it by  $\mathcal{E}_Z$ . We write  $\mathcal{O}_p$  for the skyscraper sheaf of a point  $p \in X$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Assume  $\eta : \mathcal{E}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p$  is surjective. Then  $\mathcal{E}^\eta = \ker(\eta)$  is a simple sheaf with*

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}^\eta) = H, \quad c_2(\mathcal{E}^\eta) = g + 3, \quad \mathrm{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}^\eta, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 2g + 8.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_Z$  is simple and observe that this implies  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 0$ , as the composition of any nonzero map  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\eta$  with  $\mathcal{E}^\eta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$  would provide a self-map of  $\mathcal{E}$  which is not a multiple of the identity. Also, since  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free we have  $\mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) = 2$  and  $\mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) = 0$  for  $k > 0$ . Therefore, using Lemma 2 and applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, -)$  to the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

we obtain  $\mathrm{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 2g + 5$  and  $\mathrm{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 1$ .

Next, Serre duality gives  $\mathrm{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}) = 2\delta_{2,k}$ , while  $\mathrm{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p)$  is the dimension of the  $k$ -th exterior power of the normal bundle of  $p$  in  $X$  and thus takes value  $\binom{2}{k}$ . Therefore, applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_p, -)$  to (3) we find  $\mathrm{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 1$  and  $\mathrm{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 3$ . Putting these computations together and applying

$$\mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{E}^\eta, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = \mathrm{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}^\eta, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 1, \quad \mathrm{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}^\eta, \mathcal{E}^\eta) = 2g + 8.$$

The computation of Chern classes is straightforward.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $p \in X_{\mathrm{sm}} \setminus Z$ . Then, for a generic map  $\eta : \mathcal{E}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p$ , the induced map on global sections  $H^0(\eta) : H^0(\mathcal{E}_Z) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_p)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Put  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_Z$ . It suffices to check that there exists  $\eta$  such that the induced map  $H^0(\eta) : \mathbf{k} \simeq H^0(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_p) \simeq \mathbf{k}$  is an isomorphism, for this is an open condition. To do it, we apply  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), -)$  to the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

This gives an exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{I}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_p).$$

Observe that  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_p) \simeq \mathcal{O}_p$  and  $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_p) = 0$  as these sheaves are computed locally on  $X$  and, since  $p \cap Z = \emptyset$ , we may choose an open cover of  $X$  consisting of subsets where  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  is trivial or  $\mathcal{O}_p$  vanishes. Then the local-to-global spectral sequence gives  $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(H), \mathcal{O}_p) = 0$  so

the extension corresponding to (1) admits a lifting to  $\mathcal{I}_p$ . In other words, we get the commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_p & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^\eta & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_Z(H) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_Z(H) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta & & \\
 & & \mathcal{O}_p & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_p & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\eta$  and  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$  are defined by the diagram. For this choice of  $\eta$  we get, by the top row of the diagram,  $H^0(\mathcal{E}^\eta) = 0$ , which implies that  $H^0(\eta)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

By the previous lemma, we may choose  $\mathcal{E}_Z$  as in Lemma 2, a point  $p \in X_{\text{sm}} \setminus Z$ , some  $\eta : \mathcal{E}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p$  and consider the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$ . The goal is to deform  $\mathcal{E}^\eta(H)$  to an Ulrich bundle. We use the notation  $\mathcal{F}_s^*$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_s)^*$  (which is a priori not the same as  $(\mathcal{F}^*)_s$ ).

**Lemma 5.** *There exist a smooth connected variety  $S_0$  of dimension  $2g + 8$  and a flat family of simple sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X \times S_0$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$  is an Ulrich bundle for  $s$  generic in  $S_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{s_0} \simeq \mathcal{E}^\eta$  for some distinguished point  $s_0$  of  $S_0$ .*

*Proof.* We proved in Lemma 3 that  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$  is simple. Since the nonlocally free locus of  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$  is disjoint from the singular locus of  $X$ , we may apply the arguments of [Mukai 1984, Theorem 0.1]. In particular [Altman and Kleiman 1980] the moduli functor of simple sheaves on  $X$  is prorepresented by a moduli space  $\text{Spl}_X$  which can be constructed in the étale topology and which is smooth of dimension  $2g + 8$  at  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$  (this is essentially [Mukai 1984, Theorem 0.3]). Therefore there exists an open piece of  $\text{Spl}_X$  which is a quasiprojective variety  $S$  equipped with a flat family  $\mathcal{F}$  of simple sheaves on  $X$ , such that the induced map  $S \rightarrow \text{Spl}_X$  is a local isomorphism around the point corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$ . We denote this point by  $s_0$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}_{s_0} \simeq \mathcal{E}^\eta$ .

We may assume that  $S$  is smooth and connected of dimension  $2g + 8$ . Since the reflexive hull  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$  is locally free and satisfies the assumption of [Artamkin 1990, Corollary 1.5], we get that  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is locally free for all  $s$  in an open dense subset  $S_1$  of  $S$ .

Now observe that  $H^*(\mathcal{F}_{s_0}) = 0$  by Lemmas 2 and 4. Then, semicontinuity ensures that  $H^*(\mathcal{F}_s) = 0$  for all  $s$  in an open dense subset  $S_0$  of  $S_1$ . Therefore, the isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_s^* \simeq \mathcal{F}_s(-H)$  and Serre duality give  $H^i(\mathcal{F}_s(-H)) \simeq H^{2-i}(\mathcal{F}_s^*(H))^* \simeq H^{2-i}(\mathcal{F}_s)^* = 0$ . This says that  $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$  is a special Ulrich bundle, for all  $s \in S_0$ .  $\square$

For the reader's benefit we also provide a proof of [Lemma 5](#) independent of [\[Artamkin 1990\]](#). The point is to check that  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is locally free for all  $s$  in an open dense subset of  $S$ . To do this, first recall again that the nonlocally free locus of  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$  is disjoint from the singular locus of  $X$ , so up to shrinking  $S$  we may assume that this happens for  $\mathcal{F}_s$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_s^{**}$  is locally free for  $s \in S$ .

Next, we may find an integer  $t_0 \leq -1$  such that  $H^0(\mathcal{F}_s^{**}(t_0 H)) = H^1(\mathcal{F}_s^{**}(t_0 H)) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . This can be done for instance using Kollar's theory of husks [\[2008\]](#), which gives a stratification  $(S_i)_{i=1,\dots,r}$  of  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_s^{**}$  defines a flat family of sheaves on  $X$  parametrized by  $S_i$ . Using base change over each  $S_i$  one finds  $t_i$  satisfying the required vanishing together with  $H^0(\mathcal{F}_s^{**}(t_i H)|_C) = 0$ , for a fixed curve  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_X(H)|$ . Then  $t_0$  can be taken to be the minimum among  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ .

Recall that  $H^*(\mathcal{F}_{s_0}) = 0$  and observe that [\(3\)](#) gives:

$$h^1(\mathcal{F}_{s_0}(tH)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq -1, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

By semicontinuity, we have that  $H^*(\mathcal{F}_s) = 0$ ,  $h^1(\mathcal{F}_s(tH)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{F}_s(tH)) \leq 1$  for  $t \leq -1$  for all  $s$  in an open dense subset of  $S$ . We still call  $S$  this subset.

Next, for all  $s \in S$  we consider the double dual sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s^{**} \rightarrow \tau(\mathcal{F}_s) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

where the torsion sheaf  $\tau(\mathcal{F}_s)$  is defined by the sequence. Put  $\ell_s$  for the length of  $\tau(\mathcal{F}_s)$ .

Since  $H^0(\mathcal{F}_s^{**}(t_0 H)) = H^1(\mathcal{F}_s^{**}(t_0 H)) = 0$ , from the previous exact sequence we get  $\ell_s = h^0(\tau(\mathcal{F}_s)) = h^1(\mathcal{F}_s(t_0 H)) \leq 1$  (we neglect to indicate the twist on zero-dimensional sheaves).

Now we have two alternatives. Namely, either for  $s$  general enough in  $S$  one has  $\ell_s = 0$ , i.e.,  $\tau(\mathcal{F}_s) = 0$ ; or otherwise for all  $s \in S$  we get  $\ell_s = 1$ , i.e.,  $\tau(\mathcal{F}_s) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{p_s}$ , for some point  $p_s \in X$  with  $p_{s_0} = p$ .

In the first case, we have  $\mathcal{F}_s \simeq \mathcal{F}_s^{**}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is locally free. So we would like to rule out the second alternative. By contradiction we assume that, for all  $s \in S$ , we have  $\tau(\mathcal{F}_s) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{p_s}$ . This gives a map  $\gamma : S \rightarrow X$  associating  $p_s$  to  $s$ . This time  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  is flat over  $S$  and [\(4\)](#) is the restriction to  $X \times \{s\}$  of a sequence on  $X \times S$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{**} \rightarrow \tau(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0,$$

with  $(\mathcal{F}_s)^{**} \simeq (\mathcal{F}^{**})_s$  and where  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$  is a line bundle supported on the graph of  $\gamma$ .

Also, again the previous exact sequence together with  $H^*(\mathcal{F}_s) = 0$  gives  $h^0(\mathcal{F}_s^{**}) = 1$  so that  $\mathcal{F}_s^{**}$  has a unique nonzero global section up to a scalar. This section vanishes along a subscheme  $Z_s \subset X$  and, up to shrinking again  $S$  we may assume that  $Z_s$  is zero-dimensional reduced and in general linear position, because these are open conditions, so that  $\mathcal{F}_s^{**} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{Z_s}$ .

For each sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_s^{**}$  of this family, we denote by  $\eta_s : \mathcal{F}_s^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p_s}$  the induced surjection of  $\mathcal{F}_s^{**}$  onto  $\tau(\mathcal{F}_s)$ . We think of  $\eta_s$  as an element of  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{F}_s^{**}|_{p_s})) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  (we adopt the convention of writing  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  for the projective space of hyperplanes of a vector space  $V$ ). Plainly, we have  $\mathcal{F}_{s_0}^{**} \simeq \mathcal{E}^\eta$ ,  $\tau(\mathcal{F}_{s_0}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_p$  and  $\eta_{s_0}$  is identified with  $\eta$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_s = \ker(\eta_s)$ .

We assert that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is parametrized by an open subset  $T$  of the set of triples:

$$\{(W, q, \xi) \mid W \in \text{Hilb}_{g+2}(X), q \in X, \xi \in \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{E}_W|_q))\}.$$

The subset  $T$  consists of triples  $(W, q, \xi)$  with  $W \subset X_{\text{sm}}$  reduced and in general linear position in  $X$ ,  $q \in X_{\text{sm}} \setminus W$  and  $\xi$  is surjective. Given such a triple, we get that the sheaf  $\ker(\xi)$  is simple by [Lemma 3](#). Clearly this gives a flat deformation of  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$  so, because  $S \rightarrow \text{Spl}_X$  is a local isomorphism at  $\mathcal{E}^\eta$ , there is a possibly smaller open subset  $T_0$  such that all the resulting sheaves  $\ker(\xi)$  are of the form  $\mathcal{F}_s$ , for some  $s \in S$ . By construction any sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_s$  should be of this form by taking  $q = p_s$ ,  $W = Z_s$  and  $\xi = \eta_s$ .

But  $T_0$  is an open dense subset of a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over an open subset of  $\text{Hilb}_{g+2}(X) \times X$  and thus has dimension  $1 + 2(g + 2) + 2 = 2g + 7$ . Therefore  $T_0$  cannot dominate  $S$ , as  $\dim(S) = 2g + 8$ . This says that the second alternative does not take place, so we have proved that  $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$  is an Ulrich bundle for general  $s$ .

Recall the notation  $M_X(v)$  for the moduli space of  $H$ -semistable sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  whose Mukai vector  $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$  satisfies  $v_0 = \text{rk}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $v_1 = c_1(\mathcal{F})$  and  $v_2 = \chi(\mathcal{F}) - \text{rk}(\mathcal{F})$ . From [\[Qin 1993, Lemma 2.1\]](#) we obtain the following stronger version of [Theorem 1](#).

**Corollary 6.** *If  $X$  is smooth,  $M_X(2, H, -2)$  is of dimension  $2g + 8$  and a general point of it corresponds to a sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  which is stable (with respect to all polarizations) and such that  $\mathcal{E}(H)$  is a special Ulrich bundle.*

Again, we also offer a proof independent of [\[Qin 1993; Artamkin 1990\]](#). Consider the family of Ulrich sheaves  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  with parameter space  $S_0$  constructed in the previous lemma. Recall that, for generic  $s \in S_0$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$  is Ulrich, hence semistable with Ulrich sheaves as Jordan–Hölder factors [\[Faenzi and Pons-Llopis 2015, Lemma 7.1\]](#). So we have to check that  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is not strictly semistable. If it was, we would have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(H) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  is an Ulrich sheaf or rank 1 on  $X$ . Actually  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  is an Ulrich line bundle since  $X$  is smooth. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^*(H)$  are rigid in view of  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , they do not depend on  $s$ , which justifies the notation. Since  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  is an Ulrich line bundle we have  $\chi(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(\mathcal{L}(-H)) = 0$  which gives  $L^2 = -4$  and  $LH = g - 1$ , where  $L = c_1(\mathcal{L})$ . Similar constraints hold for  $H - L$ . In particular,  $L$  and  $H - L$  have the same degree with respect to  $H$ , hence  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2L - H)) \leq 1$ , with equality being attained if and only if  $L \equiv H - L$ . Likewise,  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(2L - H)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(H - 2L)) \leq 1$ . Now we observe the following bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{L}^*(H), \mathcal{L}) &= h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(2L - H)) \\ &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2L - H)) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(2L - H)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(2L - H)) \\ &\leq 2 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(2L - H)) \\ &= g + 7, \end{aligned}$$

the last equation being obtained by Riemann–Roch after plugging  $L^2 = -4$  and  $HL = g - 1$ . In view of the rigidity of  $H - L$  and  $L$ , the family of sheaves appearing as an extension (5) is parametrized by  $\mathbb{P}(\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{L}^*(H), \mathcal{L}))$  and hence has dimension at most  $g + 6$ . So this family cannot dominate the  $(2g + 8)$ -dimensional family  $S_0$ , a contradiction.

It follows from [Theorem 1](#) that  $X$  is strictly Ulrich wild in the sense of [\[Faenzi and Pons-Llopis 2015\]](#). The next result refines this fact in terms of moduli spaces. It was proved when  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is generated by  $H$  in [\[Aprodu et al. 2017, Theorem 2.7\]](#). A modification of that argument allows to prove the result in general.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X$  be a K3 surface and  $H$  be a very ample line bundle on  $X$ . Then, for any positive integer  $r$ , the moduli space  $M_X(2r, rH, -2r)$  is of dimension  $2(r^2(g + 3) + 1)$ . Given a general sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  in this space,  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  is a stable Ulrich bundle.*

*Proof.* Given a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank  $r > 0$  on  $X$  we write  $P(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  for the Hilbert polynomial of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $p(\mathcal{E})$  for its reduced version, namely  $P(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(\mathcal{E}(tH))$  and  $p(\mathcal{E}) = P(\mathcal{E})/r$ . We put  $p_0 = (g - 1)(t + 1)t$  so that, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is an Ulrich sheaf, then  $p(\mathcal{E}(-H)) = p_0$ . Note that, if  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$  are nonisomorphic stable sheaves with  $p(\mathcal{E}_1) = p(\mathcal{E}_2)$ , then  $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) = 0$  for  $k = 0, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

The proof goes by induction on  $r$ , the case  $r = 1$  being given by [Corollary 6](#). For  $r \geq 1$ , we select a stable bundle  $\mathcal{E}_2$  in  $M_X(2r, rH, -2r)$  given by the induction hypothesis and a stable bundle  $\mathcal{E}_1$  in  $M_X(2, H, -2)$ , with  $\mathcal{E}_i(H)$  Ulrich for  $i = 1, 2$ , taking care that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_2$  for  $r = 1$ . This is of course possible since  $\dim(M_X(2, H, -2)) > 0$ . This way we have:

$$\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 0, 2 \text{ and } i \neq j, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) = 2r(g + 3) \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \quad (7)$$

Note that, for any choice of  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}(\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_1))$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}^\zeta$  fitting as middle term of the associated extension is a locally free semistable sheaf, with  $\mathcal{E}^\zeta(H)$  (as extension of sheaves having these properties). By direct computation, we see that it lies  $M_X(2(r + 1), (r + 1)H, -2(r + 1))$ . Of course this sheaf is not stable, as  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{E}^\zeta$  with quotient  $\mathcal{E}_2$  and the reduced Hilbert polynomial of all these sheaves is  $p_0$ . However, it follows by [\[Faenzi and Pons-Llopis 2015, Theorem A, ii\)\]](#) that  $\mathcal{E}^\zeta$  is simple, as the representation of the associated Kronecker consists of a single nonzero map of one-dimensional vector spaces, and as such it is simple. Alternatively one may apply [\[Pons-Llopis and Tonini 2009, Proposition 5.3\]](#).

We record the defining sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

In the same spirit as in [Lemma 5](#), we take a deformation of  $\mathcal{E}^\zeta$  in the space of simple sheaves, which is unobstructed of dimension  $2((r + 1)^2(g + 3) + 1)$  at  $\mathcal{E}^\zeta$ . We consider thus an integral quasiprojective variety  $S$  as base of an  $S$ -flat family of simple sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_s$  with  $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$  Ulrich for all  $s$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{s_0} \simeq \mathcal{E}^\zeta$

for some  $s_0 \in S$ , the base  $S$  being locally isomorphic to the moduli space of simple sheaves around the point  $s_0$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is locally free for all  $s \in S$ .

**Claim 8.** *There is an open dense subset  $S_0$  of  $S$  such that, for any stable sheaf  $\mathcal{K}$  with  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{K}) < 2(r+1)$ ,  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{K}) \neq 2$  and  $p(\mathcal{K}) = p_0$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}_s) = 0$ , for all  $s \in S_0$ .*

*Proof of the claim.* Clearly it suffices to find such open subset for a fixed rank  $u$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  and take the intersection of the corresponding open subsets for all  $u < 2(r+1)$ ,  $u \neq 2$ .

So let  $N$  be the moduli space of stable sheaves  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X$  with Hilbert polynomial  $P(\mathcal{E}) = up_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a quasiuniversal family over  $X \times N$  [Huybrechts and Lehn 1997, Proposition 4.6.2] and denote by  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  the projection maps  $X \times N \rightarrow N$  and  $X \times N \rightarrow X$ , respectively.

For  $y \in N$  let  $\mathcal{U}_y$  be the corresponding sheaf over  $X$ . We observe that, applying  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_y, -)$  to (8), using the definition of  $N$  and  $\zeta$  and the fact that the  $\mathcal{E}_i$ 's are stable with  $p(\mathcal{E}_i) = p(\mathcal{U}_y)$  we get  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_y, \mathcal{E}^\zeta) = 0$ . Indeed, the only case to check is for  $u = 2r$  when  $y$  corresponds to the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_2$ , but  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}^\zeta) = 0$ , for otherwise by stability of  $\mathcal{E}_2$  the exact sequence (8) would split, contradicting our assumption on  $\zeta$ .

Then, Serre duality gives, for all  $y \in N$ ,

$$H^2((\mathcal{E}^\zeta)^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_y) \simeq \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}^\zeta, \mathcal{U}_y) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Now consider  $X \times N \times S$ , put  $\tau$  for the projection  $N \times S \rightarrow S$  and denote by  $\bar{\sigma}$ ,  $\bar{\pi}$ ,  $\bar{\tau}$  the projection maps from  $X \times N \times S$  onto  $X \times S$ ,  $N \times S$  and  $X \times N$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{V} = \bar{\pi}^*(\mathcal{F}^*) \otimes \bar{\tau}^*(\mathcal{U})$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is flat over the integral base  $N \times S$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$  has relative dimension 2, base-change gives, for all  $(y, s) \in N \times S$

$$R^2\bar{\sigma}_*(\mathcal{V})_{(y,s)} \simeq H^2(\mathcal{F}_s^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_y). \quad (10)$$

Let  $W$  be the support of  $R^2\sigma_*(\mathcal{V})$ , i.e., the closed subset of points  $(y, s) \in N \times S$  such that

$$R^2\sigma_*(\mathcal{V})_{(y,s)} \neq 0.$$

By (9) and (10), we have  $W \cap N \times \{s_0\} = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $s_0$  does not lie in  $\tau(W)$ . Then there is an open neighborhood  $S_0 \subset S$  of  $s_0$  which is disjoint from  $\tau(W)$ . Again by (10), we get  $H^2(\mathcal{F}_s^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_y) = 0$  for all  $(y, s) \in N \times S_0$ , which proves the claim.  $\square$

Let us now conclude the proof of the theorem. In view of the claim, we have two alternatives for  $s$  generic in  $S_0$ : either  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}_s) = 0$  for any stable sheaf  $\mathcal{K}$  with  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{K}) < 2(r+1)$  and  $p(\mathcal{K}) = p_0$  or otherwise this happens for all such  $\mathcal{K}$  except for  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{K}) = 2$  and there actually exists a stable  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $N$  such that  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}_s) \neq 0$ .

In the first alternative  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is stable, so we assume that the second one takes place and look for a contradiction. We go back to Claim 8 and carry out the same argument for  $u = 2$ , with  $y_0$  being the point corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}_1$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{K}$  must lie in  $M_X(2, H, -2)$  as the proof of Claim 8 applies verbatim on any other component of  $N$ .

We note that  $W \cap N \times \{s_0\} = \{(y_0, s_0)\}$ , as clearly  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}^\xi) = 0$  for all  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $N \setminus \{y_0\}$ . So  $W$  is properly contained in  $N \times S$ . Moreover, we easily have  $\mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}^\xi) = 1$ . Recall by construction of the quasiuniversal family that there is  $u_0$  such that  $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{U}) = 2u_0$  and that, for  $y \in N$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{U}_y$  is a direct sum of  $u_0$  copies of the stable sheaf of rank 2 in  $M_X(2, H, -2)$  corresponding to  $y$ . Therefore, the sheaf  $\mathbf{R}^2\bar{\sigma}_*(\mathcal{V})_{(y,s)}$  has rank at least  $u_0$  at any  $(y, s) \in W$ , and rank precisely  $u_0$  at  $(y_0, s_0)$ . So there is an open dense subset  $W_0$  of  $W$  where  $\mathbf{R}^2\bar{\sigma}_*(\mathcal{V})$  is free of rank  $u_0$ . For any  $(y, s) \in W_0$ , the stable sheaf  $\mathcal{K}$  corresponding to  $y$  satisfies  $\mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}_s) = 1$ ; up to proportionality we have thus a unique nonzero map  $\eta_{y,s} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s$ . Stability easily implies that  $\eta_{y,s}$  is injective, so there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{K}' \rightarrow 0,$$

for a well-defined sheaf  $\mathcal{K}' = \mathrm{coker}(\eta_{y,s})$ , for all  $(y, s) \in W_0$ .

For  $s = s_0$  the sheaf  $\mathcal{K}'$  is just  $\mathcal{E}_2$  so, by openness of stability, up to shrinking  $W_0$  we may assume that  $\mathcal{K}'$  is stable for all  $(y, s) \in W_0$ . Note that  $\mathcal{K}'$  lies in  $M(2r, rH, -2r)$ .

Under our assumption, such sequence should exist for any  $s$  in an open neighborhood of  $s_0$ . Then the family of sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  should be dominated by the family of extensions of  $\mathcal{K}$  by  $\mathcal{K}'$  as  $s$  varies around  $s_0$ . We see that the dimension of this family of extensions is

$$\dim(M_X(2, H, -2)) + \dim(M_X(2r, rH, -2r)) + \dim(\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{K}', \mathcal{K})),$$

which equals  $2(r(r+1)+1)(g+3)+3$ , as it follows by formulas (6) and (7) applied to  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$  instead of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ . On the other hand, the dimension of  $S$  is  $2((r+1)^2(g+3)+1)$ . The difference of these dimensions is  $2r(g+3)-1$  and since this is always positive for  $r \geq 1, g \geq 3$ , we get that the family of simple sheaves appearing as extensions cannot be dense in  $S_0$ . This contradiction concludes the proof.  $\square$

The previous result is in some sense optimal as general K3 surfaces do not support Ulrich bundles of odd rank [Aprodu et al. 2017, Corollary 2.2].

**Remark.** An argument similar to the one of Theorem 1 has been used to construct ACM and Ulrich bundles on Fano threefolds of index 1. Indeed, it follows from the main result of [Brambilla and Faenzi 2011] that any smooth Fano threefold of Picard number 1 and index 1, containing a line  $L$  with normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$  (such a threefold was called “ordinary” in that paper) admits an Ulrich bundle of rank 2. Ulrich sheaves of rank 2 are precisely ACM sheaves  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $c_1(\mathcal{E}(-H)) = H$  and  $c_2(\mathcal{E}(-H)) = (g+3)L$ , where  $L \subset X$  is a line. We do not know if the same result holds for nonordinary threefolds.

**Remark.** Theorem 1 implies for instance that any integral quartic surface supports an Ulrich bundle of rank 2. If  $X$  is not integral, then  $X$  must be the union of (possibly multiple) surfaces of degree  $\geq 3$ . For each component it is possible to find a rank-2 Ulrich bundle, we refer to [Faenzi and Pons-Llopis 2015, Lemma 7.2] for the slightly delicate case of singular cubic surfaces. This yields existence of an Ulrich sheaf of rank 2 on an arbitrary quartic surface.

However the resulting sheaf will fail to be locally free over the intersection of the components. Finding locally free Ulrich sheaves of rank 2 seems more tricky when  $X$  is not irreducible and might be impossible

when  $X$  is not reduced. To justify this let us mention that, for instance if  $X$  the union of two distinct double planes, the rank of any locally free Ulrich sheaf on  $X$  must be a multiple of 4 by [Ballico et al. 2019, Proposition 4.14].

### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank M. Aprodu, G. Casnati, A. Perego, J. Pons-Llopis and P. Stellari for useful discussions. I am grateful to the referee for useful remarks.

### References

- [Altman and Kleiman 1980] A. B. Altman and S. L. Kleiman, “Compactifying the Picard scheme”, *Adv. Math.* **35**:1 (1980), 50–112. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Aprodu et al. 2017] M. Aprodu, G. Farkas, and A. Ortega, “Minimal resolutions, Chow forms and Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **730** (2017), 225–249. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Artamkin 1990] I. V. Artamkin, “Deformation of torsion-free sheaves on an algebraic surface”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **54**:3 (1990), 435–468. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR-Izv.* **36**:3 (1991), 449–485. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ballico et al. 2019] E. Ballico, S. Huh, F. Malaspina, and J. Pons-Llopis, “ACM sheaves on the double plane”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372**:3 (2019), 1783–1816. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Beauville 2016] A. Beauville, “Ulrich bundles on abelian surfaces”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144**:11 (2016), 4609–4611. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Beauville 2018] A. Beauville, “An introduction to Ulrich bundles”, *Eur. J. Math.* **4**:1 (2018), 26–36. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Brambilla and Faenzi 2011] M. C. Brambilla and D. Faenzi, “Moduli spaces of rank-2 ACM bundles on prime Fano threefolds”, *Michigan Math. J.* **60**:1 (2011), 113–148. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Casnati 2017] G. Casnati, “Special Ulrich bundles on non-special surfaces with  $p_g = q = 0$ ”, *Int. J. Math.* **28**:8 (2017), art. id. 1750061. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Coskun 2017] E. Coskun, “A survey of Ulrich bundles”, pp. 85–106 in *Analytic and algebraic geometry* (Mumbai/Hyderabad, 2015), edited by A. Aryasomayajula et al., Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2017. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Coskun et al. 2012] E. Coskun, R. S. Kulkarni, and Y. Mustopa, “Pfaffian quartic surfaces and representations of Clifford algebras”, *Doc. Math.* **17** (2012), 1003–1028. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Eisenbud et al. 2003] D. Eisenbud, F.-O. Schreyer, and J. Weyman, “Resultants and Chow forms via exterior syzygies”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**:3 (2003), 537–579. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Faenzi and Pons-Llopis 2015] D. Faenzi and J. Pons-Llopis, “The Cohen–Macaulay representation type of arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay varieties”, preprint, 2015. [arXiv](#)
- [Huybrechts and Lehn 1997] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Math. **E31**, Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, Germany, 1997. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kollár 2008] J. Kollár, “Hulls and husks”, preprint, 2008. [arXiv](#)
- [Mukai 1984] S. Mukai, “Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface”, *Invent. Math.* **77**:1 (1984), 101–116. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pons-Llopis and Tonini 2009] J. Pons-Llopis and F. Tonini, “ACM bundles on del Pezzo surfaces”, *Matematiche (Catania)* **64**:2 (2009), 177–211. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Qin 1993] Z. Qin, “Moduli of simple rank-2 sheaves on K3-surfaces”, *Manuscripta Math.* **79**:3-4 (1993), 253–265. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rosenlicht 1952] M. Rosenlicht, “Equivalence relations on algebraic curves”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **56** (1952), 169–191. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Saint-Donat 1974] B. Saint-Donat, “Projective models of K-3 surfaces”, *Amer. J. Math.* **96** (1974), 602–639. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Schreyer and Eisenbud 2010] F.-O. Schreyer and D. Eisenbud, “Betti numbers of syzygies and cohomology of coherent sheaves”, pp. 586–602 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, II* (Hyderabad, 2010), edited by R. Bhatia et al., Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Gavril Farkas

Received 2018-10-07    Revised 2019-02-07    Accepted 2019-03-11

[daniele.faenzi@u-bourgogne.fr](mailto:daniele.faenzi@u-bourgogne.fr)

*Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne, UMR CNRS 5584,  
Université de Bourgogne, Dijon, France*

# Algebra & Number Theory

[msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant)

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Antoine Chambert-Loir	Université Paris-Diderot, France	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	University of California, Santa Cruz, USA	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Melanie Matchett Wood	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan		

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/ant](http://msp.org/ant) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$385/year for the electronic version, and \$590/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.


---

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13      No. 6      2019

---

Positivity functions for curves on algebraic varieties	1243
BRIAN LEHMANN and JIAN XIAO	
The congruence topology, Grothendieck duality and thin groups	1281
ALEXANDER LUBOTZKY and TYAKAL NANJUNDIAH VENKATARAMANA	
On the ramified class field theory of relative curves	1299
QUENTIN GUIGNARD	
Blow-ups and class field theory for curves	1327
DAICHI TAKEUCHI	
Algebraic monodromy groups of $l$ -adic representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$	1353
SHIANG TANG	
Weyl bound for $p$ -power twist of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ $L$ -functions	1395
RITABRATA MUNSHI and SAURABH KUMAR SINGH	
Examples of hypergeometric twistor $\mathcal{D}$ -modules	1415
ALBERTO CASTAÑO DOMÍNGUEZ, THOMAS REICHELT and CHRISTIAN SEVENHECK	
Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces	1443
DANIELE FAENZI	
Unlikely intersections in semiabelian surfaces	1455
DANIEL BERTRAND and HARRY SCHMIDT	
Congruences of parahoric group schemes	1475
RADHIKA GANAPATHY	
An improved bound for the lengths of matrix algebras	1501
YAROSLAV SHITOV	