

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13

2019

No. 6

Unlikely intersections in semiabelian surfaces

Daniel Bertrand and Harry Schmidt



Unlikely intersections in semiabelian surfaces

Daniel Bertrand and Harry Schmidt

We consider a family, depending on a parameter, of multiplicative extensions of an elliptic curve with complex multiplications. They form a 3-dimensional variety G which admits a dense set of special curves, known as Ribet curves, which strictly contains the torsion curves. We show that an irreducible curve W in G meets this set Zariski-densely only if W lies in a fiber of the family or is a translate of a Ribet curve by a multiplicative section. We further deduce from this result a proof of the Zilber–Pink conjecture (over number fields) for the mixed Shimura variety attached to the threefold G , when the parameter space is the universal one.

1. Introduction	1455
2. Proof of Theorem 1.w	1461
3. The weakly special case over \mathbb{Z}	1466
4. End of proof of Theorem 1	1468
5. The Zilber–Pink conjecture for \mathcal{P}_0	1470
Acknowledgements	1472
References	1472

1. Introduction

1.1. Statement of the results and plan of the proofs. Let $E_0/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ be an elliptic curve with complex multiplications. On any extension G_0 of E_0 by \mathbb{G}_m defined over \mathbb{Q}^{alg} , there exists a particular subgroup Γ_0 of $G_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, whose elements are called Ribet points. We refer to [Section 1.2](#) below for their precise definition, but point out right now that Γ_0 contains the torsion subgroup G_0^{tor} of $G_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$. In fact $\Gamma_0 = G_0^{\text{tor}}$ if the extension G_0 is isosplit, while Γ_0 has rank 1 otherwise.

Let further $X/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ be a smooth irreducible algebraic curve and let G/X be an X -extension of E_0/X by \mathbb{G}_m/X . Let q be the section of $\hat{E}_{0/X} \rightarrow X$ representing the isomorphism class of the extension G/X . We identify q with its image in $E_0(X)$ under the standard polarization $\hat{E}_0 \simeq E_0$, and write $G \simeq G_q$. Given a section s of G/X , we denote by $p = \pi \circ s \in E_0(X)$ its composition with the projection $\pi : G \rightarrow E_0 \times X$.

Let $\delta \neq 0$ be a purely imaginary complex multiplication of E_0 , and let $\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$. A first property of Ribet points is that if $s(\xi)$ is a Ribet point of its fiber $G_\xi \simeq G_{q(\xi)}$, then its projection $p(\xi)$ to E_0 and the point $\delta q(\xi)$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Z} . Usually, this condition alone will be satisfied by infinitely

MSC2010: primary 14K15; secondary 11G15, 11G50, 11U09.

Keywords: semiabelian varieties, complex multiplication, Zilber–Pink conjecture, mixed Shimura varieties, heights, o -minimality, Ribet sections.

many ξ 's. But asking that $s(\xi)$ be a Ribet point in the fiber of $G_\xi \rightarrow E_0$ above $p(\xi)$ brings a second condition, unlikely to be satisfied infinitely often. And indeed, we prove in this paper:

Theorem 1. *Let $G \simeq G_q$ be a nonconstant (hence nonisoplit) extension of $E_{0/X}$ by $\mathbb{G}_{m/X}$, and let s be a section of $G \rightarrow X$, all defined over \mathbb{Q}^{alg} . Assume that the set*

$$\Xi = \Xi_s := \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \mid s(\xi) \text{ is a Ribet point of its fiber } G_\xi \simeq G_{q(\xi)}\}$$

is infinite. Then, the sections p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$.

Referring again to [Section 1.2](#) for the definition of the Ribet sections of G/X (which in view of the hypothesis on G , also form a group Γ of rank 1, containing the torsion sections), we deduce the following (actually equivalent) version of [Theorem 1](#):

Theorem 2. *Assume that the hypotheses of [Theorem 1](#) on the extension G , the section s and the set Ξ are satisfied. Then, there exists a nonconstant or trivial section s' in $\mathbb{G}_m(X)$ such that $s - s'$ is a Ribet section of G/X .*

The conclusion of [Theorem 2](#) is best possible. Indeed, let s' be such a section in $\mathbb{G}_m(X)$ and let s'' be a Ribet section. Then, $s''(\xi)$ is a Ribet point of G_ξ for any $\xi \in X$, while $s'(\xi)$ lies in $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tor}}$ infinitely often. The set Ξ_s attached to $s = s' + s''$ is therefore infinite.

As a corollary to [Theorem 1](#), we consider the case when the curve $X = \hat{E}_0 \simeq \text{Ext}(E_0, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the parameter space of the universal extension \mathcal{P}_0 of E_0 by \mathbb{G}_m . This extension, which identifies with the Poincaré biextension of $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ by \mathbb{G}_m , is naturally endowed with the structure of a mixed Shimura variety, for which we prove:

Theorem 3. *Let $W/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ be an irreducible algebraic curve in \mathcal{P}_0 . Assume that W contains infinitely many points lying on special curves of the mixed Shimura variety \mathcal{P}_0 . Then, W is contained in a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0 .*

Combined with Gao's work on the Andr e–Oort conjecture, this readily implies the following conclusion, which answers a question of J. Pila.

Theorem 4. *The mixed Shimura variety \mathcal{P}_0 satisfies the Zilber–Pink conjecture over number fields.*

See [Section 5](#) below for the statement of this conjecture, and for the deduction of [Theorems 3](#) and [4](#) from [Theorem 1](#).

The proof of [Theorem 1](#) will distinguish three cases. In the first one, we establish the following weaker version, where the conclusion is replaced by a “weakly special” one. Denote by $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \subset E_0(X)$ the group of constant sections of $E_{0/X}$.

Theorem 1.w. *Same hypotheses as in [Theorem 1](#). Then, the sections p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ modulo $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$.*

The proof of [Theorem 1.w](#) (see [Section 2](#)) follows the o -minimal strategy of Pila–Zannier and Masser–Zannier, starting with the observation that if its conclusion does not hold, then the points ξ of Ξ have bounded height.

In the remaining cases, we suppose that p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ modulo $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$. In the second one (see [Section 3](#)), we assume that they are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Z} modulo $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, but that p is not (i.e., p is not constant). Here again, we use the o -minimal strategy, but a new argument is required to check bounded height.

In the last case (see [Section 4](#)), we reduce a weakly special relation over $\text{End}(E_0)$ to one over \mathbb{Z} , and therefore to a constant section p . We finally show that p must be torsion, thanks to a duality argument which turns the problem into a special case of the Mordell–Lang theorem (recalled in [Section 1.3\(v\)](#) below) for a constant semiabelian variety attached not to q , but to p .

1.2. Ribet sections and points. Let $\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ be a smooth irreducible variety, let A be an abelian scheme over \mathcal{X} , let $q \in \hat{A}(\mathcal{X})$ be a section of the dual abelian scheme $\hat{A}/\mathcal{X} \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$, and let $G = G_q$ be the corresponding \mathcal{X} -extension of A by \mathbb{G}_m/\mathcal{X} , obtained by removing its zero section from the line bundle defined by q . We point out that G_q is an isosplit extension (i.e., isogenous to the product $\mathbb{G}_m \times A$) if and only if q is a torsion section. When A/\mathcal{X} is a constant group scheme, G_q is a constant group scheme if and only if q is a constant section (for instance a torsion one).

Let \mathcal{P} be the Poincaré biextension of $A \times_{\mathcal{X}} \hat{A}$ by \mathbb{G}_m . For any $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{A}, A)$, with transpose $\hat{\varphi}$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\sigma_{\varphi,q} : \mathcal{P}((\varphi - \hat{\varphi})(q), q) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m/\mathcal{X}$ of \mathbb{G}_m -torsors over \mathcal{X} (see [[Chambert-Loir 1999](#), Proposition 6.3], whose description of $\sigma_{\varphi,q}$ works over an arbitrary base scheme [[Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019](#), Proposition 3.1]). We define the *basic Ribet section* associated to φ as the section $s_{\varphi,q} = \sigma_{\varphi,q}^*(1_{\mathcal{X}})$ of the semiabelian scheme $G = G_q = (\text{id}_A, q)^*\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}|_{A \times q}$ over \mathcal{X} . We say “point” instead of “section” if \mathcal{X} is a point, and drop the index q when the context is clear.

The Ribet section $s_{\varphi} \in G(\mathcal{X})$ depends additively on φ , and in fact only on $\varphi - \hat{\varphi}$ [[Jacquinot and Ribet 1987](#), Proposition 4.2; [Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019](#), Formula 3.1.2]. Its projection under $\pi : G \rightarrow A$ is the section

$$p_{\varphi} := \pi \circ q_{\varphi} = (\varphi - \hat{\varphi}) \circ q \in A(\mathcal{X}).$$

So, when φ varies, the basic Ribet sections form a finitely generated subgroup of $G(\mathcal{X})$, of rank r_q at most equal to the rank of the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathcal{E} = \{\varphi - \hat{\varphi}, \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{A}, A)\}$, and equal to it when q is sufficiently general. On the other hand, $r_q = 0$ if q is a torsion section. Indeed, although their dependence in q is *not linear*, the Ribet sections s_{φ} satisfy the following “lifting property” (for (i) \Rightarrow (ii), see [[Bertrand 2011](#), §1], [[Bertrand et al. 2016](#), Theorem 3(i)] in the case of points, and [[Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019](#), Proposition 3.3] in general).

Lemma 1. *Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{A}, A)$, let $q \in \hat{A}(\mathcal{X})$ and consider the conditions:*

- (i) q is a torsion section.
- (ii) s_{φ} is a torsion section.

(iii) p_φ is a torsion section.

Then, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), and if $\varphi - \hat{\varphi}$ is an isogeny, the three conditions are equivalent.

More generally, let s be a local section of $G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ (for the étale topology). We say that s is a *Ribet section* of G/\mathcal{X} if there exists a positive integer n satisfying $n.s = s_\varphi$ for some φ , with multiplication by n in the sense of the group scheme G/\mathcal{X} . The projection p of s to A satisfies $np = (\varphi - \hat{\varphi}) \circ q$. All (local) torsion sections of G/\mathcal{X} now appear as such Ribet sections, and [Lemma 1](#) extends to this more general setting. Viewed as points above the generic point η of \mathcal{X} , with $K = \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{X}_\eta)$, the Ribet sections form a subgroup Γ of the group $G_\eta(K^{\text{alg}})$, of same rank r_q as above.

The construction of Ribet sections commutes with any base change. For instance, given a basic Ribet section $s_{\varphi,q}$ of G/\mathcal{X} , and a point ξ in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, $s_{\varphi,q}(\xi) = s_{\varphi_\xi,q(\xi)}$ is the basic Ribet point of the fiber G_ξ attached to the specialization φ_ξ of φ at ξ . Conversely, let s^ξ be a Ribet point of $G_\xi(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$. By definition, there exist $n_\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\varphi_\xi \in \text{Hom}(\hat{A}_\xi, A_\xi)$ such that $n_\xi s^\xi = s_{\varphi_\xi,q(\xi)}$. Assume further that φ_ξ extends to an element $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\hat{A}, A)$ (which occurs automatically if A/\mathcal{X} is a constant abelian scheme as in [Section 1.1](#)). Then, $s_{\varphi_\xi,q(\xi)} = s_{\varphi,q}(\xi)$, and there exists a local section s of G/\mathcal{X} such that $n_\xi.s = s_\varphi$, whose image in G contains s^ξ . So, the Ribet point s^ξ extends locally to a Ribet section of G/\mathcal{X} .

Let us now return to the situation of [Section 1.1](#), where $A = E_0 \times \mathcal{X}$, for a CM elliptic curve E_0 , and \mathcal{X} is either the curve X or a point ξ on X . Then, the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathcal{E} above identifies with

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\varphi - \bar{\varphi} \mid \varphi \in \text{End}(E_0)\} = \mathbb{Z}\delta,$$

where $\delta = \alpha - \bar{\alpha} \neq 0$ is a purely imaginary quadratic number, which will be fixed from now on. Consequently, for any $q \in E_0(X)$, the group of basic Ribet sections of $G = G_q$ is cyclic, generated by the section

$$s^R := s_{\alpha,q} \in G(X), \quad \text{with } p^R := \pi \circ s^R = \delta q \in E_0(X).$$

Viewed at the generic point η of X , the Ribet sections of G/X then form the divisible hull Γ of the group $\mathbb{Z}.s^R(\eta)$ in $G_\eta(K^{\text{alg}})$. Furthermore, for any $\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, the value $s^R(\xi) = s_{\alpha,q(\xi)}$ of s^R at ξ generates the group of basic Ribet sections of $G_\xi = G_{q(\xi)}$, and the Ribet points of G_ξ form the divisible hull

$$\Gamma_\xi = \{s^\xi \in G_\xi(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \mid \exists(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, n \neq 0, ns^\xi = ms^R(\xi)\} \supset G_\xi^{\text{tor}}$$

of $\mathbb{Z}.s^R(\xi)$ in $G_\xi(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$.

Under the assumptions of [Section 1.1](#), the section q is not constant, hence not torsion, while δ is an isogeny, so s^R is not torsion by [Lemma 1](#), and the rank r_q of Γ is equal to 1. On the other hand, by [Lemma 1](#) (now at the level of points), given a point $\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$,

$$q(\xi) \in E_0^{\text{tor}} \Leftrightarrow s^R(\xi) \in G_\xi^{\text{tor}} \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_\xi = G_\xi^{\text{tor}},$$

and this occurs for *infinitely many* ξ 's since q is not constant [[Bertrand 2011](#), Theorem 1]. Otherwise, Γ_ξ has rank 1, but for $s(\xi) \in \Gamma_\xi$, we still have $s(\xi) \in G_\xi^{\text{tor}} \Leftrightarrow p(\xi) \in E_0^{\text{tor}}$.

In view of these descriptions of the groups Γ and Γ_ξ , our work can be interpreted as a particular case of the study of unlikely intersections within an isogeny class [Gao 2017a], or of a relative version of the Mordell–Lang problem (compare with Section 1.3(v) below).

1.3. The context. We here put the results of Section 1.1 in perspective with other statements of unlikely intersections. Two sets

$$\Xi^{\text{tor}} \subset \Xi \subset \Xi^{\ell d}$$

related to the section $s \in G(X)$ naturally appear in the process.

- (i) **Theorem 1** gives a positive answer to the “Question 2” raised in [Bertrand 2013, §5], while a positive answer to its “Question 1” was recently obtained by Barroero [2017]. However, the applications to Pink’s conjecture given in [Bertrand 2013] require clarification, because of their ambiguous use of Hecke orbits. We bypass this problem for the mixed Shimura variety \mathcal{P}_0 studied in Section 5, by describing all its possible special curves. **Theorem 3** will then follow from **Theorem 1**, along the method of [Bertrand 2013].
- (ii) Contrary to the convention of [Bertrand et al. 2016], the torsion points are here viewed as particular cases of Ribet points. Therefore, **Theorem 2** implies the restriction to the case of our semiabelian scheme G/X of the main theorem of [Bertrand et al. 2016], which concerns the subset

$$\Xi^{\text{tor}} = \Xi_s^{\text{tor}} := \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}), s(\xi) \text{ is a torsion point of its fiber } G_\xi\}$$

of Ξ , and asserts the following statement.

Lemma 2. *Let G/X and s be as in **Theorem 1**, and assume moreover that the subset Ξ^{tor} of Ξ is infinite. Then s is a Ribet section or a torsion translate of a nonconstant section in $\mathbb{G}_m(X)$.*

For $\xi \in \Xi^{\text{tor}}$, $p(\xi)$ too is torsion, so (by the Manin–Mumford theorem [Hindry 1988] for the image of (p, s') in $E_0 \times \mathbb{G}_m$), the conclusion of **Theorem 2** can be sharpened to the same statement.

Let $\Xi_{s^R}^{\text{tor}}$ be the set attached to the Ribet section s^R , defined similarly as Ξ_s^{tor} . We pointed out at the end of Section 1.2 that $\Xi_{s^R}^{\text{tor}}$ is infinite. Therefore, **Lemma 2** too is best possible.

- (iii) In relation with the two sections s, s^R of G/X , consider the set

$$\Xi^{\ell d} = \Xi_{s, s^R}^{\ell d} := \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \mid s(\xi) \text{ and } s^R(\xi) \text{ are linearly dependent over } \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

For ξ in this set, either $s(\xi)$ lies in the divisible hull Γ_ξ of $\mathbb{Z} \cdot s^R(\xi)$, or $s^R(\xi)$ is a torsion point. So $\Xi^{\ell d}$ is the (not necessarily disjoint) union of Ξ and $\Xi_{s^R}^{\text{tor}}$ and in particular, is always infinite. More generally, given two sections s, s' in $G(X)$, the similarly defined set $\Xi_{s, s'}^{\ell d}$ will be infinite as soon as the group generated by s and s' in $G(X)$ contains a nontorsion Ribet section. So, in contrast with the case of abelian schemes (see [Masser and Zannier 2015; Barroero and Capuano 2018]), the subgroup schemes of $G \times_X G$ do not suffice to control the finiteness of $\Xi_{s, s'}^{\ell d}$; as in [Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019], the special subvarieties of the corresponding mixed Shimura variety should also be taken into account.

- (iv) Consider the curve $W = s(X)$ in G and define a Ribet curve as the image in G of a Ribet section. [Theorem 2](#) then says that W is the translate of a Ribet curve by a section in $\mathbb{G}_m(X)$. Since any curve W in G dominating X can be viewed as the image of a section after a base extension, while any Ribet point of a fiber G_ξ locally extends to a Ribet section, this justifies the last but one sentence of the abstract.
- (v) Assume that contrary to the hypothesis of [Theorem 1](#), $G = G_0 \times X$ for some constant semiabelian surface $G_0/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$, and that s is not constant. Then, the projection W_0 of $W = s(X)$ to G_0 is a curve, which contains infinitely many points of the group Γ_0 of Ribet points of G_0 . Since Γ_0 has finite rank (at most 1), the solution by Vojta and McQuillan [[McQuillan 1995](#)] of the *Mordell–Lang* conjecture for semiabelian varieties implies that s factors through a translate by a Ribet point of a strict connected algebraic subgroup of G_0 . If the section q , here constant, is not torsion, the only such one is \mathbb{G}_m . So the conclusions of [Theorems 1](#) and [2](#) still hold true in this case.
- (vi) Same as in (v), but assume furthermore that q is a torsion section, say the trivial one, so $G_0 \simeq \mathbb{G}_m \times E_0$. Then, $s = (s', p)$ for some section $s' \in \mathbb{G}_m(X)$, while the group Γ_0 of Ribet points of G_0 coincides with G_0^{tor} . By Manin–Mumford, $\Xi = \Xi^{\text{tor}}$ is then infinite if and only if s' is a torsion section, or p is a torsion section.
- (vii) In this paper, we do not touch on the question of replacing \mathbb{Q}^{alg} by \mathbb{C} , or of applying [Theorem 2](#) to generalized Pell equations as in [[Masser and Zannier 2015](#); [Barroero and Capuano 2018](#)]. Nor do we study how effective our results can be made. Note that [Lemma 2](#) above is made effective in the ongoing work [[Jones and Schmidt \$\geq 2019\$](#)]. Due to the use of Pfaffian methods, in particular [[Jones and Thomas 2018](#); [Jones and Schmidt 2017](#)], the bounds for the counting problem in [[Jones and Schmidt \$\geq 2019\$](#)] are uniform and effective.

We take opportunity of these comments to show the following equivalence:

[Theorem 1](#) \Leftrightarrow [Theorem 2](#). [Theorem 2](#) clearly implies [Theorem 1](#). Indeed, the sections s and $s'' = s - s'$ have the same projection p to E_0 . Since s'' is a Ribet section, p and δq are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Z} , so p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$.

Conversely, assume that the hypotheses and the conclusion of [Theorem 1](#) hold true, and let $np - \rho q = 0$ be a nontrivial relation with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\rho \in \text{End}(E_0)$ not both 0 (equivalently, $n \neq 0$ since q is not a torsion section). Without loss of generality, we can assume that Ξ^{tor} is finite, otherwise [Lemma 2](#) readily implies the conclusion of [Theorem 2](#). For any $\xi \in \Xi$, $\delta q(\xi)$ and the projection $p(\xi)$ of the Ribet point $s(\xi)$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Z} , so there exist $n_\xi, m_\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both zero, such that $n_\xi p(\xi) - m_\xi \delta q(\xi) = 0$, while the generic relation implies $np(\xi) - \rho q(\xi) = 0$. If these two relations are linearly independent over $\text{End}(E_0)$, then $q(\xi)$, hence $s^R(\xi)$, hence $s(\xi)$, are torsion points and ξ lies in Ξ^{tor} . So, for infinitely many, hence at least one, ξ , these two relations must be linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$, and in fact over \mathbb{Z} , since n does not vanish. This implies that ρ is a rational multiple of δ , and by their very construction, this in turn implies the existence of a Ribet section s'' projecting to p . So, $s' = s - s''$ factors through \mathbb{G}_m .

Finally, if s' is a constant section, it must be a torsion one since $s'(\xi)$ is a Ribet point of G_ξ projecting to 0 for one (any) $\xi \in \Xi$. In this case, s itself is a Ribet section, and otherwise s' is not constant, so the conclusion of [Theorem 2](#) holds in all cases. \square

2. Proof of [Theorem 1.w](#)

Recall the hypotheses of [Theorem 1.w](#), as well as the notation s^R, Γ_ξ, \dots of [Section 1.2](#). So, $q \in E_0(X)$ is not constant, s is a section of $G = G_q \rightarrow X$ projecting to the section $\pi \circ s = p \in E_0(X)$, and the set $\Xi = \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}), s(\xi) \in \Gamma_\xi\}$, concretely described as

$$\Xi = \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \mid \exists(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, n \neq 0, ns(\xi) - ms^R(\xi) = 0\}$$

is infinite. We assume that the sections p and q are linearly independent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ modulo $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, and search for a contradiction.

We fix a number field k over which X and G , hence the sections q and s^R , as well as the section s , hence p , and the isogeny δ , are defined. We recall that the basic Ribet section s^R projects to E_0 on the section $p^R = \delta q$.

2.1. The *o*-minimal strategy. The proof of [Theorem 1.w](#) will be done in 5 steps. The third one is developed in [Section 2.2](#). By a “constant” c, γ , we mean a positive real number which depends only on the data X, E_0, q, s and the number field k . The constants C may depend on further data introduced in the proof.

We point out that any finite set of points can without loss of generality be withdrawn from the curve X . To ease a technical point in the third step, we will for instance require that the sections p, q and $p + q \in E_0(X)$ never vanish on X . The complement is a finite set since q is not constant, p can be assumed to be so (constant p 's are treated by a direct method in [Section 4.2](#)), and if $p + q$ is constant, we can make it nonconstant by replacing s by $2s$, so p by $2p$, without modifying the content of the theorems.

2.1.1. Bounded heights of points. Let h denote a height on $X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$ attached to a divisor of degree 1 on the completed curve. Consider the set

$$\Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\mathbb{Z} \ell d} = \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \mid p(\xi) \text{ and } \delta q(\xi) \text{ are linearly dependent over } \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since the projection $p(\xi) = \pi \circ s(\xi)$ of a Ribet point $s(\xi)$ lies in the divisible hull of the group $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \delta q(\xi)$ in $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, this set contains Ξ .

Lemma 3. *Let $p, q \in E_0(X)$ be linearly independent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ modulo $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$. There exists a constant c_0 such that $h(\xi) \leq c_0$ for any $\xi \in \Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\mathbb{Z} \ell d}$, and in particular, for any $\xi \in \Xi$.*

Proof. In view of the hypothesis on p, q , bounded height on $\Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\mathbb{Z} \ell d}$ follows directly from [[Viada 2003, Theorem 4](#)] (and one can even replace \mathbb{Z} by $\text{End}(E_0)$ in the definition of $\Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\mathbb{Z} \ell d}$). Alternatively, one can appeal to Silverman’s specialization theorem [[1983](#)]. \square

To get the desired contradiction, it remains to show that the degrees

$$d_\xi = [k(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}]$$

too are bounded from above on the set Ξ .

2.1.2. Heights of relations bounded by degrees.

Lemma 4. *There exist two constants c, γ such that for any point $\xi \in \Xi$, there exist two integers $n \neq 0, m$ with $|n|, |m| \leq cd_\xi^\gamma$ such that $ns(\xi) - ms^R(\xi) = 0$.*

Proof. By [Bertrand et al. 2016], Corollary of Section 3.1, there exists a constant c' such that if $s(\xi)$ is a torsion point of G_ξ , its order n is bounded from above by $c'd_\xi^4$, so $(n, 0)$ satisfies the required condition. We can therefore assume that the Ribet point $s(\xi)$, hence $q(\xi)$ by Lemma 1, is not a torsion point. For $\xi \in \Xi$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both 0, such that $ap(\xi) - b\delta q(\xi) = 0$, and since $q(\xi) \notin E_0^{\text{tor}}$, any such relation will automatically imply $a \neq 0$. The points $p(\xi), \delta q(\xi)$ are defined over $k(\xi)$, and have heights $\leq c_0$. By works of Masser and David (see for instance Lemma 6.1 of [Barroero 2017]), there then exists such a relation with $\max(|a|, |b|) \leq c_1 d_\xi^{\gamma_1}$ for some constants c_1, γ_1 .

By our running hypothesis that $q(\xi)$ is not torsion, the set of such relations (trivial one included) is a free \mathbb{Z} module of rank 1, and its generator (a_0, b_0) satisfies the above bound.

Consider now the nontorsion Ribet point $s(\xi)$ (so, $s^R(\xi)$ too is nontorsion), and let $(n_0 \neq 0, m_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a generator of the group of relations $ns(\xi) - ms^R(\xi) = 0$, which is again free of rank 1. Projecting to E_0 , we then have $n_0 p(\xi) - m_0 \delta q(\xi) = 0$. So, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n_0, m_0) = d \cdot (a_0, b_0)$, and $a_0 s(\xi) - b_0 s^R(\xi)$ is a torsion point of $G_{q(\xi)}$, of exact order d since (n_0, m_0) is minimal. Since it projects to 0 on E_0 , it is actually a d -th root of unity ζ_d . Now, both $s(\xi)$ and $s^R(\xi)$ are defined over $k(\xi)$ (since s and s^R are global sections of $G \rightarrow X$), so ζ_d too lies in $k(\xi)$. Since ζ_d has order d , this implies that $d \leq c_2 d_\xi^{\gamma_2}$, say with $\gamma_2 = 2$.

In conclusion, for any $\xi \in \Xi$, there is a linear relation $ns(\xi) - ms^R(\xi) = 0$, with $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, n \neq 0$ and $\max(|n|, |m|) \leq cd_\xi^\gamma$ for some constants c and $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$. □

2.1.3. Counting relations of bounded height. In this step and the next one, we extend the scalars from \mathbb{Q}^{alg} to \mathbb{C} , but still write $X, K = \mathbb{C}(X)$, etc, instead of $X_{\mathbb{C}}, K \otimes \mathbb{C}, \dots$. We sometimes indicate by the exponent ^{an} the analytic object attached to an algebraic one over \mathbb{C} .

We now follow the usual procedure of studying the lifts to a universal covering of the relations considered in Lemma 4, and bounding their number via (generalizations of) the Pila–Wilkie theorem for a relevant o -minimal structure. There are several ways to implement this method. For instance, we can

- (A) choose a fundamental domain \mathcal{F} for the uniformization map $\text{unif} : \tilde{G} \simeq \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{X}) \rightarrow G^{\text{an}}$, and count the relations in \tilde{G} when the transcendence degree over \mathbb{C} of the field of definition of $(\text{unif}|_{\mathcal{F}})^{-1} \circ s$ is large enough. Here, \mathcal{F} is unbounded, but by work of Peterzil and Starchenko, a convenient choice allows to work in the o -minimal structure $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$; or

(B) fix a simply connected domain $D \subset X^{\text{an}}$, consider the exponential morphism \exp_G , restricted over D , and count the relations in $(\text{Lie } G)/D \simeq (\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{C}) \times D$ when the transcendence degree over $\mathbb{C}(X)$ of the field of definition of $\exp_G^{-1}(s|_D)$ is sufficiently large. Here, D can be compact, and it suffices to work in the o -minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{an} .

An advantage of (A) is its impact on effectivity, as alluded to in Comment (vii) of Section 1.3 (see also Remark 3 of Section 4.3). But as in [Bertrand et al. 2016, §3.3], we here follow the more elementary approach (B), taking advantage of the computation of transcendence degrees already established in this paper.

So, let (D, ξ_0) be a pointed set in X^{an} , homeomorphic to a closed disk. The group scheme G/X defines an analytic family G^{an} of Lie groups over the Riemann surface X^{an} . Similarly, its relative Lie algebra $(\text{Lie } G)/X$ defines an analytic vector bundle $\text{Lie } G^{\text{an}}$ over X^{an} , of rank 2. We denote by Π_G the \mathbb{Z} -local system of periods of $G^{\text{an}}/X^{\text{an}}$; it is the kernel of the exponential exact sequence of analytic sheaves over X^{an} :

$$0 \rightarrow \Pi_G \rightarrow \text{Lie } G^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\exp_G} G^{\text{an}} \rightarrow 0.$$

For any U_0 in $\text{Lie}(G_{\xi_0}(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\exp_{G_{\xi_0}}(U_0) = s(\xi_0) \in G_{\xi_0}(\mathbb{C})$, there exists a unique analytic section U of $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{an}})/D$ (meaning over a neighborhood of D), such that

$$U(\xi_0) = U_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \xi \in D, \exp_{G_{\xi}^{\text{an}}}(U(\xi)) = s(\xi).$$

Since D is fixed, we will just write $U = \log_G(s)$, although only its class modulo Π_G is well defined. Similarly, let $U^R = \log_G(s^R)$ for the Ribet section s^R . By the same process for E_0/X (and the tacit assumption that the logarithms at ξ_0 are chosen in a compatible way), the projection $p = \pi \circ s \in E_0(X)$ admits as logarithm $\log_{E_0}(p) := u = d\pi(U)$; we also set $v = \log_{E_0}(q)$, so $d\pi(U^R) := u^R = \delta v$.

We will use the explicit expressions given in [Bertrand et al. 2016] for U, U^R and Π_G . These hold on any simply connected domain of X^{an} where u, v and $u + v$ do not assume period values. This is ensured by the hypothesis, made at the beginning of Section 2.1, that p, q and $p + q$ vanish nowhere on X .

Let $K = \mathbb{C}(X)$ be the field of rational functions of X . Since $\text{Lie } G$ is a vector bundle over X , it makes sense to speak of the field of definition $K(U)$ of U over K . Similarly, let $F_G = K(\Pi_G)$ be the field of definition of Π_G . Notice that the field $F_G(U)$ now depends only on the section s . Moreover, for the Ribet section s^R , we have:

Lemma 5. *The field of definition $F^R = K(U^R)$ of any logarithm U^R of s^R coincides with the field of periods F_G of G .*

Proof. The explicit expressions of Π_G and U^R given in [Bertrand et al. 2016, §A.1], show that both fields coincide with the field $K(v, \zeta(v))$, where ζ denotes the Weierstrass zeta function of the elliptic curve E_0 . \square

For any real number $T \geq 1$, set $\mathbb{Z}[T] = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}, |n| \leq T\}$, and consider the subset

$$\Xi[T] := \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \mid \exists(n, m) \in (\mathbb{Z}[T])^2, n \neq 0, ns(\xi) - ms^R(\xi) = 0\}$$

of $\Xi = \Xi_s$. We then have:

Proposition 1. *Let D be a closed disk in X^{an} . For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a real number C_ϵ , depending only on X, E_0, q, s, D and ϵ , such that*

- (a) *either, for any $T \geq 1$, there are at most $C_\epsilon T^\epsilon$ points in $D \cap \Xi[T]$; or*
- (b) *the field $F_G(U)$ has transcendence degree at most 1 over the field F_G .*

The proof of [Proposition 1](#) is given in [Section 2.2](#) below, as a corollary of Habegger and Pila’s “semirational” count [[2016](#), Corollary 7.2].

2.1.4. Logarithmic Ax. Assume that conclusion (b) of [Proposition 1](#) holds. Since $u = d\pi(U)$, the field $F_G(U)$ has transcendence degree at most 1 over $F_G(u)$, and

- (b1) either u is algebraic over $F_G = K(v, \zeta(v))$, in which case we know by the Ax–Schanuel theorem on the universal vectorial extension of the elliptic curve E_0 (see for instance [[Bertrand et al. 2016](#), §6, Case (SC3)]) that p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ modulo constants; or
- (b2) $U = \log_G(s)$ is algebraic over $F_G(u)$, hence over $K(u, \zeta(u), v, \zeta(v))$, in which case we know by [[Bertrand et al. 2016](#), Lemma 5.1], that s is a translate of a Ribet section by a constant one, i.e., one in $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})$ since G is not isosplit. Then, $p = \pi \circ s$ and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$.

In both cases, we get a contradiction to our hypothesis that p and q are linearly independent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ modulo $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$. So, conclusion (a) must hold.

2.1.5. Conclusion. It follows from [Lemma 3](#) and a compactness argument (see [[Masser and Zannier 2015](#), Lemma 8.2 and the paragraph after (9.2)]) that there exists a finite set of closed disks D_i in X^{an} and a constant c' such that the following holds: for any $\xi \in \Xi$, a positive proportion $\frac{1}{c}d_\xi$ of the conjugates of ξ over k lie in one of the D_i ’s, say D_1 . Now, all these conjugates are still in Ξ , since $\sigma(s^R(\xi)) = s^R(\sigma\xi)$ is a Ribet point of $G_{q(\sigma\xi)}$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}/k)$. Actually, by [Lemma 4](#), all the conjugates of ξ over k lie in $\Xi[T]$ with $T = cd_\xi^\gamma$. Choosing $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\gamma$, we deduce from conclusion (a) that $D_1 \cap \Xi$ has at most $c''d_\xi^{1/2}$ (and at least $\frac{1}{c}d_\xi$) elements. Therefore, d_ξ is bounded from above on Ξ , and this concludes the proof of [Theorem 1.w](#).

2.2. The semirational count. The proof of [Proposition 1](#) uses Betti coordinates and maps, defined as follows. We recall that $D \subset X^{\text{an}}$ is homeomorphic to a closed complex disk.

The sections of the local system Π_G over D form a \mathbb{Z} -module $\Pi_G(D) \subset \text{Lie } G^{\text{an}}(D)$ of rank 3, with a basis $\{\varpi_0, \varpi_1, \varpi_2\}$ such that ϖ_0 generates $\Pi_{\mathbb{G}_m}(D)$, and ϖ_1, ϖ_2 project to a basis ω_1, ω_2 of $\Pi_{E_0}(D)$. Then, any logarithm $U := \log_G(s)$ of a section s of G/X over the disk D can uniquely be written as

$$U = b_0\varpi_0 + b_1\varpi_1 + b_2\varpi_2,$$

where b_0, b_1, b_2 are real analytic functions on D , with values in \mathbb{C} for b_0 , and in \mathbb{R} for b_1 and b_2 . We call (b_0, b_1, b_2) the Betti coordinates of U , and define the Betti map attached to U as

$$U_B = (b_0; b_1, b_2) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$

Similarly, we write $U_B^R = (b_0^R; b_1^R, b_2^R)$ for the Betti map attached to $U^R = \log_G(s^R)$, and denote by S the image of the disk D under the map

$$\mathcal{U}_B := (U_B, U_B^R) : D \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^8.$$

We will work in the o -minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{an} of globally subanalytic sets.

Lemma 6. $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{U}_B(D)$ is a compact 2-dimensional set, definable in the structure \mathbb{R}_{an} .

Proof. By definition (or by inspection of the formulae in [Bertrand et al. 2016]), the maps U_B and U_B^R extend to real analytic maps on a neighborhood of the compact disk D . Therefore, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{U}_B(D)$ is a compact definable set. Furthermore, the Betti map $\pi \circ U_B^R := u_B^R = (b_1^R, b_2^R)$ attached to $u^R = \log_{E_0}(p^R)$ is an immersion (since $p^R = \delta q \in E_0(X)$ is not a constant section), so \mathcal{S} is indeed a real surface. \square

With this notation in mind, a point ξ of D lies in $D \cap \Xi$ if and only if

$$\exists(v \neq 0, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \exists(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3, vU(\xi) - \mu U^R(\xi) = \beta_0 \varpi_0(\xi) + \beta_1 \varpi_1(\xi) + \beta_2 \varpi_2(\xi),$$

or alternatively, in terms of the Betti maps,

$$\exists(v \neq 0, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \exists(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3, vU_B(\xi) - \mu U_B^R(\xi) = (\beta_0; \beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Remark that:

- If $|\nu|, |\mu|$ are bounded by some number T , then $|\beta_0|, |\beta_1|, |\beta_2| \leq C_1 T$ for some constant C_1 , since D is compact.
- Given any real numbers $\nu \neq 0, \mu, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$, there are only finitely many ξ 's in D such that $\nu U_B(\xi) - \mu U_B^R(\xi) = (\beta_0; \beta_1, \beta_2)$. Otherwise, $\nu u - \mu \delta v$ would be constant on D , contradicting the Ax–Schanuel theorem invoked in Section 2.1.4(b1).

We can now describe the definable set \mathcal{Z} to which Habegger and Pila’s semirational count [2016] will be applied. On the one hand, we have the affine space \mathbb{R}^5 with real coordinates $(\nu, \mu, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$; we will indicate by the index $*$ the complement of the hyperplane $\nu = 0$. On the other hand, we have the affine space $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^4$ and its square \mathbb{R}^8 , which is the target space of the map \mathcal{U}_B . We consider the incidence variety \mathcal{Z} in $\mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}^8$, with projections π_1 to $\mathbb{R}_*^5 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ and π_2 to $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{U}_B(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^8$:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{((\nu, \mu, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2); (w := (w_0; w_1, w_2), w^R := (w_0^R; w_1^R, w_2^R))) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}^8, \text{ such that } \nu \neq 0 \text{ and } \nu \cdot w - \mu \cdot w^R = (\beta_0; \beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^4\}$$

By Lemma 6, \mathcal{Z} is a definable subset of \mathbb{R}^{13} . Furthermore, $\mathcal{U}_B(D \cap \Xi) = \pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_*^5))$.

Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Given $T \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{Z}[T]$ be the subset $\pi_1^{-1}((\mathbb{Z}[T])_*^5)$ formed by those elements of \mathcal{Z} whose projection to \mathbb{R}_*^5 have integer coordinates of height $\leq T$. By [Habegger and Pila 2016, Corollary 7.2], (with no \mathbb{R}^ℓ), there is a constant C'_ϵ such that one of the following holds:

- (a') $\pi_2(\mathcal{Z}[T]) \subset \mathcal{U}_B(D \cap \Xi[T]) \subset \mathcal{S}$ has less than $C_\epsilon T^\epsilon$ elements. Recalling the two remarks above, we then deduce from an o -minimal uniformity argument (or from a zero estimate as in [Bertrand et al. 2016, Proposition 3.3]) that for some constant C_ϵ , there are at most $C_\epsilon T^\epsilon$ points $\xi \in D \cap \Xi$ for which $vU(\xi) - \mu U^R(\xi) \in \Pi_{G_\xi}$ for some $(v \neq 0, \mu) \in (\mathbb{Z}[T])^2$. This is conclusion (a) of Proposition 3.
- (b') There is a definable connected curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathbb{R}_*^5$ is semialgebraic and $\pi_2(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{S}$ has (real) dimension 1. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset D \subset X(\mathbb{C})$ be the inverse image of $\pi_2(\mathcal{C})$ under the map \mathcal{U}_B . We can view \mathcal{C} as parametrized by the curve \mathcal{T} . The coordinates $\mu, v, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2; w_0, w_1, w_2, w_0^R, w_1^R, w_2^R$ on $\mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}^8$, restricted to \mathcal{C} , then become functions of the (real) variable $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}$. Since $\pi_1(\mathcal{C})$ is semialgebraic, the functions $\mu(\gamma), v(\gamma), \beta_0(\gamma), \beta_1(\gamma), \beta_2(\gamma)$ generate a field of transcendence degree 1 (or 0, if constant) over \mathbb{C} . In view of the incidence relations, whose v -component does not vanish by definition, the restrictions to \mathcal{T} of the functions $w_0 = b_0, w_1 = b_1, w_2 = b_2$ generate a field of transcendence degree ≤ 1 over the field generated by the restrictions to \mathcal{T} of the functions $w_0^R = b_0^R, w_1^R = b_1^R, w_2^R = b_2^R$. Recalling that $U = b_0\varpi_0 + b_1\varpi_1 + b_2\varpi_2$, and similarly with U^R , we deduce that $U|_{\mathcal{T}}$ generate a field of transcendence degree ≤ 1 over the field generated by $U|_{\mathcal{T}}^R$ and the $\varpi_i|_{\mathcal{T}}$'s. By complex analyticity, the corresponding algebraic relation extends to D , so U generates a field of transcendence degree ≤ 1 over the field $F^R.F_G$ generated over $\mathbb{C}(X)$ by U^R and the ϖ_i 's. In view of Lemma 5, this is Conclusion (b), and the proof of Proposition 1 is completed. \square

3. The weakly special case over \mathbb{Z}

From now on, we assume that the sections p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ modulo the subgroup $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$ of constant sections of $E_0(X)$, and look for a proof of Theorem 1. Since its statement is invariant under multiplication of s by a positive integer, and since q is not constant, we can assume without loss of generality that the generic relation they satisfy takes the form

$$p = \rho q + p_0, \quad \text{with } \rho \in \text{End}(E_0), p_0 \in E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}), p_0 \notin E_0^{\text{tor}}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$$

(if p_0 is torsion, the conclusion of Theorem 1 is trivially satisfied). In such a case, the initial Step 2.1.1 of the previous proof simply does not hold; contrary to the situation of Lemma 5, the set

$$\Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\mathbb{Z}ld} = \{\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \mid p(\xi) \text{ and } \delta q(\xi) \text{ are linearly dependent over } \mathbb{Z}\}$$

may well have unbounded height.

In this section, we show that if

$$\rho = r \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad r \neq 0,$$

upper bounds for the height on $\Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\mathbb{Z}ld}$, hence on its subset Ξ , can still be recovered, thanks to Silverman's theorem and basic orthogonality properties of Néron–Tate pairings. Theorem 1 then follows by reproducing most of the previous proof.

3.1. Bounded height. Let again h denote the height on $X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$ attached to a divisor of degree 1.

Proposition 2. *Let $p, q \in E_0(X)$, $p_0 \in E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, q not constant, and assume that there exists a nonzero integer r such that $p = rq + p_0$. Then, there exists a constant c'_0 such that $h(\xi) \leq c'_0$ for any $\xi \in \Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\text{Zld}}$, hence for any $\xi \in \Xi$.*

Proof. This follows from an elementary computation, using the fact that for any $\rho \in \text{End}(E_0)$, the Néron–Tate height of $\rho q(\xi)$ is $\rho\bar{\rho}$ times that of $q(\xi)$. The following argument is based solely on orthogonality properties. Assume for a contradiction that there exists a sequence $\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, of points of $\Xi_{p,\delta q}^{\text{Zld}}$ whose heights $h(\xi_n)$ tend to infinity. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{geo}}$ the (geometric) Néron–Tate pairing on $E_0(K^{\text{alg}}) \times E_0(K^{\text{alg}})$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}(X)$, and by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{ari}}$ the (arithmetic) Néron–Tate pairing on $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \times E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$.

Recall that for both pairings, the adjoint of $\rho \in \text{End}(E_0)$ is its complex conjugate. In particular, $\delta q(\xi) = -\bar{\delta}q(\xi)$ is orthogonal to $q(\xi)$, so $\langle p(\xi_n), q(\xi_n) \rangle_{\text{ari}} = 0$ for all n . By Silverman [1983] (or see [Lang 1983, p. 306]), we deduce that

$$\langle p, q \rangle_{\text{geo}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle p(\xi_n), q(\xi_n) \rangle_{\text{ari}}}{h(\xi_n)} = 0.$$

Now, $p = rq + p_0$, and the constant part $E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$ is orthogonal to the full space $E_0(K^{\text{alg}})$ for the geometric pairing. So

$$\langle p, q \rangle_{\text{geo}} = \langle rq, q \rangle_{\text{geo}} + \langle p_0, q \rangle_{\text{geo}} = r \langle q, q \rangle_{\text{geo}} \quad \text{with } r \neq 0.$$

Therefore, the section q has vanishing Néron–Tate height, hence must be constant, contrary to our hypothesis. □

3.2. Algebraic (in)dependence. Assuming that $p = rq + p_0$ as above, we now follow the proof of Section 2.1. All its steps go through, except that conclusion (b) of Proposition 1 is now automatically satisfied. Indeed, we have $u = rv + u_0$, where $u_0 \in \text{Lie } E_0(\mathbb{C})$ is a conveniently chosen elliptic logarithm of p_0 , so $K(u)$ lies in the field $K(v) \subset F_G$, and automatically, $U = \log_G(s)$ generates a field of transcendence degree at most 1 over F_G .

To overcome this difficulty, we will now deduce from the generic relation $p = rq + p_0$ that Conclusion (b) can here be replaced by the more precise statement that

(b[#]) the field $F_G(U)$ is algebraic over the field $F_G(u) = F_G$

(which is actually conclusion (b2) of Section 2.1.4).

To check this, we use the same incidence variety \mathcal{Z} as in Section 2.2, and follow Alternative (b') of the discussion. Notice that any relation $\nu U(\xi) - \mu U^R(\xi) = \beta_0 \varpi_0(\xi) + \beta_1 \varpi_1(\xi) + \beta_2 \varpi_2(\xi)$, projected to $\text{Lie } E_0$, yields $\nu u(\xi) - \mu u^R(\xi) = \beta_1 \omega_1 + \beta_2 \omega_1$ hence since $u^R = \delta v$:

$$(\nu r - \mu \delta)v(\xi) = \beta_1 \omega_1 + \beta_2 \omega_2 - \nu u_0.$$

Restricting this relation to the real curve $\mathcal{T} \subset D$, and recalling that $v \neq 0, r \neq 0$ and $\delta \notin \mathbb{R}$, we deduce that if Alternative (b') holds, then the field generated over \mathbb{C} by the restriction of the function v to \mathcal{T} lies in the field generated over \mathbb{C} by the restriction to \mathcal{T} of the real functions μ, ν and the β_i 's, $i = 1, 2$. Since the latter field has transcendence degree at most 1 over \mathbb{C} , while v is not constant, the two fields have the same algebraic closure, in which u lies. The full incidence relation then implies that U is algebraic over the field $F^R.F_G(u) = F_G$. This is conclusion (b[#]).

So, $\log_G(s)$ is algebraic over F_G . As explained in case (b2) of Section 2.1.4, Lemma 5.1 of [Bertrand et al. 2016] then implies that p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ and Theorem 1 is established in this “ $\rho = r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \neq 0$ -weakly special” case. □

4. End of proof of Theorem 1

4.1. From weakly special to constant. In this subsection, we assume that the projection $p \in E_0(X)$ of $s \in G(X)$ and the section $q \in E_0(X)$ are linked by a generic relation of arbitrary shape:

$$p = \rho q + p_0, \quad \text{with } \rho \in \text{End}(E_0), p_0 \in E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}).$$

We will deduce from the previous section that either p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$ (as predicted by Theorem 1), or we may assume that $\rho = 0$, i.e., p itself is a constant section.

Replacing s by $2s$ if necessary, we can write $\rho = r + r'\delta \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta \subset \text{End}(E_0)$, and consider the basic Ribet section $s_{r'\alpha} = r's^R$ of $G = G_q$ over X . Its projection to $E_0(X)$ is the section $r'p^R = r'\delta q$. Therefore, the section $s' := s - s_{r'\alpha}$ of G/X projects to

$$\pi(s') := p' = p - r'\delta q = r q + p_0.$$

Moreover, for any $\xi \in X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, $s_{r'\alpha}(\xi) = s_{r'\alpha, q(\xi)}$ is by definition a Ribet point of $G_{q(\xi)}$. Consequently, the set $\Xi := \Xi_s$ of points of $X(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$ where $s(\xi)$ is a Ribet point coincides with the set $\Xi_{s'}$ similarly attached to s' , which is therefore infinite. Since $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce from the result of Section 3 that either p' and q , hence p and q , are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$, or that $r = 0$.

Assume now that $r = 0$, so the generic relation reads: $p = r'\delta q + p_0$, and consider again the section $s' = s - r's^R$, which projects to $p' = p_0$. The corresponding set $\Xi_{s'}$ is still infinite. Therefore, we have reduced the proof of Theorem 1 to the case where $\rho = 0$, i.e., where the projection p of s is a constant section p_0 . We must then show that p_0 is necessarily a torsion point.

4.2. The constant case. The word constant here refers not to the semiabelian scheme G/X , which we still assume to be nonconstant ($q \notin E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$), but to the section $\pi \circ s := p = p_0 \in E_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$. However, the duality properties of the Poincaré biextension \mathcal{P}_0 of $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ by \mathbb{G}_m enable us to permute the roles of q and p , thereby translating the problem into one on the constant semiabelian variety $G'_{p_0} = \mathcal{P}_{0|p_0 \times \hat{E}_0} \in \text{Ext}(\hat{E}_0, \mathbb{G}_m)$ parametrized by the point p_0 of (the bidual of) E_0 . We must then prove that p_0 is torsion, i.e., that G'_{p_0} is isosplit.

Assume for a contradiction that p_0 is not torsion. Then for each ξ in the set Ξ , there is a relation $np_0 - m\delta q(\xi) = 0$ with $nm \neq 0$, so $q(\xi)$ lies in the divisible hull of $\mathbb{Z}\delta p_0$, and is not torsion either. Consider the constant semiabelian surface $G'_{p_0} \in \text{Ext}(\hat{E}_0, \mathbb{G}_m)$. By duality, we can view s as a section $\check{s} \in G'_{p_0}(X)$, and $s(\xi)$ as a point $\check{s}(\xi)$ on G'_{p_0} projecting to $q(\xi)$ in \hat{E}_0 . Furthermore, $\check{s}(\xi)$ is a nontorsion Ribet point of G'_{p_0} if and only if $s(\xi)$ is a nontorsion Ribet point of $G_{q(\xi)}$: in the setting of Section 1.2, this is clear when $\varphi - \hat{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism, and it remains true in general via an isogeny. (In fact, it is proven in [Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019, Remark 5.4.1], that the 1-motive attached to $s_{\varphi,q}$ is isogenous to its Cartier dual as soon as $\varphi - \hat{\varphi}$ is an isogeny.)

Therefore, the image $\check{s}(X)$ of \check{s} is an irreducible curve in G'_{p_0} which contains infinitely many points of the group Γ'_0 formed by all the Ribet points of G'_{p_0} . Since this group has finite rank (at most 1), McQuillan’s Mordell–Lang theorem [1995], as recalled in Section 1.3(v), can be applied to G'_{p_0} . We derive that \check{s} factors through a translate by a Ribet point of a strict connected algebraic subgroup of G'_{p_0} . Since p_0 is not torsion, the only such one is \mathbb{G}_m , so $q(X)$ reduces to a point of \hat{E}_0 . This contradicts our assumption that q is not constant, and concludes the proof of Theorem 1. □

4.3. Further comments. We here list properties of Ribet points and sections which although not used in the proof, may be relevant to further studies of unlikely intersections.

Remark 1 (in relation with Proposition 2). Attached to the divisor at infinity D_ξ of the standard compactification of $G_{q(\xi)}$, there is a canonical “relative height” \hat{h}_{D_ξ} , which vanishes on the Ribet points of $G_{q(\xi)}$; see [Bertrand 1995, §3]. Is there a Zimmer-like comparison of \hat{h}_{D_ξ} with a Weil height h_{D_ξ} , of the type $\hat{h}_{D_\xi} - h_{D_\xi} = O((\hat{h}(q(\xi)))^{1/2})$, or even just $o(\hat{h}(q(\xi)))$, where \hat{h} is the Néron–Tate height on $\hat{E}_0(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$? Bounded height on Ξ would then follow in all cases, “weakly special” or not. See [Chambert-Loir 1999, Theorem 5.5] for an Arakelov approach to this problem.

Remark 2 (on the Betti maps). Let $\xi \in \Xi$. By [Bertrand 1995, Theorem 4], the Ribet point $s(\xi)$ lies in the maximal compact subgroup of its fiber G_ξ^{an} . So its logarithm $U(\xi)$ lies in $\Pi_{G_\xi} \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and its Betti coordinate $b_0(\xi)$ is a real number. Similarly, the Betti coordinate b_0^R of the Betti map U_B^R attached to $U^R = \log_G(s^R)$ is actually real-valued. But a priori, not the Betti coordinate b_0 of U . It would be interesting to characterize the sections $s \in G(X)$ whose images meet the union of the maximal compact subgroups of all the fibers infinitely often.

Remark 3 (about effectivity). As suggested in Section 2.1.3(A) (see also Section 1.3(vii)), making the “constants” of the text effective in terms of the initial data X, E_0, q, s , requires a global version of Proposition 1. One should here start with the uniformization map $\text{Unif} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0^{\text{an}}$ of the Poincaré biextension itself, thereby reflecting the symmetric roles played by p and q in the construction of Ribet sections. As far as the dependence in s is concerned, a first aim would be to show that these constants are uniformly bounded in terms of the degree of the curve $W = s(X)$ in a projective embedding of G . We point out that this aim has indeed been reached in various versions of the Mordell–Lang problem itself; see [Hrushovski and Pillay 2000] for a differential algebraic approach (inspired by work of Buium, and recently sharpened in [Binyamini 2017]) and [Rémond 2011, Theorem 2.4], for the general case.

5. The Zilber–Pink conjecture for \mathcal{P}_0

Pink’s generalization of the conjectures on unlikely intersections proposed by Bombieri, Masser, Zannier and by Zilber asserts:

Conjecture [Pink 2005, Conjecture 1.3]. *Let S/\mathbb{C} be a mixed Shimura variety, and let W be an irreducible algebraic subvariety of S , of dimension d . Assume that the intersection of W with the union of all the special subvarieties of S of codimension $> d$ is Zariski dense in W . Then, W is contained in a special subvariety of S of positive codimension.*

As in the text, let again $E_0/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ be an elliptic curve with complex multiplications, with dual $\hat{E}_0 \simeq \text{Ext}(E_0, \mathbb{G}_m)$, and let $\mathcal{P}_0/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ be the Poincaré biextension of $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ by \mathbb{G}_m . This is a \mathbb{G}_m -torsor over $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$, which admits two families of group laws. Namely, for any $q \in \hat{E}_0$, the restriction of \mathcal{P}_0 above $E_0 \times \{q\}$ is the semiabelian variety attached to q , viewed as a point in $\text{Ext}(E_0, \mathbb{G}_m)$, while for any $p \in E_0$, the restriction of \mathcal{P}_0 above $\{p\} \times \hat{E}_0$ is the semiabelian variety attached to p , viewed by biduality as a point in $\text{Ext}(\hat{E}_0, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq E_0$. The important point in this section is that \mathcal{P}_0 admits a canonical structure of a mixed Shimura variety, which is described in detail in [Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019]. However, only a minimal knowledge of MSV theory will be needed to prove [Theorem 3](#) of the introduction.

Before proving this theorem, we note (as pointed out by J. Pila) that it completely establishes [Theorem 4](#), i.e., Pink’s conjecture for the MSV $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}_0$ when the variety W is defined over \mathbb{Q}^{alg} . Indeed, if the dimension d of W is 0 or 3, there is nothing to prove. If $d = 2$, then the special subvarieties of \mathcal{P}_0 of codimension $> d$ are its special points, and the statement reduces to the André–Oort conjecture, which follows in this case from [Gao 2017b, Theorem 13.6]. So, only the case $d = 1$, i.e., [Theorem 3](#), needs to be treated.

Through the first family of group laws above, the projection $\varpi : \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \hat{E}_0$ turns \mathcal{P}_0 into the universal extension \mathcal{G} of E_0 by \mathbb{G}_m , over the moduli space \hat{E}_0 . For any integer n , we will denote by $[n]_{\mathcal{G}}$ the morphism of multiplication by n of the group scheme \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0 . Its Ribet sections are well defined, and we call their images *Ribet curves of \mathcal{P}_0 , in the sense of \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0* . Similarly, the projection $\varpi' : \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow E_0$ turns \mathcal{P}_0 into a group scheme \mathcal{G}'/E_0 , with morphisms $[n]_{\mathcal{G}'}$ and *Ribet curves of \mathcal{P}_0 , in the sense of \mathcal{G}'/E_0* . Furthermore, $[n]_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $[n]_{\mathcal{G}'}$ induce the same morphism $[n]$ on the fiber \mathbb{G}_m of (ϖ, ϖ') above $(0, 0)$. With these definitions in mind, we have the following explicit necessary conditions for an irreducible curve to be special in \mathcal{P}_0 . It follows from [Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019, §5], (see also [Bertrand 2011, §2]) that they are also sufficient, but we will not need this sharper result.

Proposition 3. *Let C be a special curve of the MSV \mathcal{P}_0 . Then:*

- (i) *If $\varpi : C \rightarrow \hat{E}_0$ is dominant, C is a Ribet curve in the sense of \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0 .*
- (ii) *If $\varpi' : C \rightarrow E_0$ is dominant, C is a Ribet curve in the sense of \mathcal{G}'/E_0 .*
- (iii) *If $(\varpi', \varpi)(C)$ is a point (p_0, q_0) of $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$, this point is a torsion point, and C is the fiber of \mathcal{P}_0 above (p_0, q_0) .*

Notice that most special curves C satisfy both (i) and (ii), and are therefore Ribet curves in both senses. This reflects the self-duality of nontorsion Ribet sections, already encountered in [Section 4.2](#). As for (iii), it occurs if neither (i) nor (ii) are satisfied.

Proof. We will use the following facts, for which we refer to [\[Pink 2005; Gao 2017a\]](#):

- (F1) A point P of \mathcal{P}_0 is special (if and) only if $(p, q) = (\varpi', \varpi)(P)$ is torsion in $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ and P is torsion in the (isosplit) extension \mathcal{G}_q (equivalently, in the isosplit \mathcal{G}'_p).
- (F2) A special curve of \mathcal{P}_0 contains a Zariski-dense set of special points, hence by F1 a Zariski-dense set of torsion points of the various fibers of \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0 (or of \mathcal{G}'/E_0).
- (F3) The image of a special subvariety under a Shimura morphism (such as $\varpi', \varpi, [n]_{\mathcal{G}}, [n]_{\mathcal{G}'}$) is a special subvariety.

Let then $C \subset \mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{G}$ be a special curve, dominating \hat{E}_0 as in (i). By base extension along the finite cover $\varpi : X := C \rightarrow \hat{E}_0$, we can view the diagonal map $X \rightarrow C_X$ as a section s of the group scheme $G = \mathcal{G}_X := \mathcal{G} \times_{\hat{E}_0} X$ over X . We can now apply [Lemma 2](#) of [Section 1.3](#) (relative Manin–Mumford) to $s \in G(X)$: by Facts F1 and F2, the set Ξ_s^{tor} is infinite and we infer that s is a Ribet section of G/X , or factors through a torsion translate of $\mathbb{G}_m/X = \mathbb{G}_m \times X$. In the first case, the image $C \subset \mathcal{G}$ of $s(X) \subset C_X \subset \mathcal{G}_X$ is a Ribet curve of \mathcal{P}_0 in the sense of \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0 , as was to be shown.

In the second case, a multiple $C' := [n]_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$ of C lies in the fiber $\mathbb{G}_m \times \hat{E}_0$ of \mathcal{P}_0 above $p = 0$, and is still a special curve of \mathcal{P}_0 by F3. So, by F2, C' contains infinitely many special points of \mathcal{P}_0 lying in $\mathbb{G}_m \times \hat{E}_0$. But by F1, these special points are contained in (in fact, fill up) the torsion of the group $\mathbb{G}_m \times \hat{E}_0$. We can now apply the standard Manin–Mumford theorem [\[Hindry 1988\]](#) to $C' \cap (\mathbb{G}_m \times \hat{E}_0)^{\text{tor}}$, and deduce that C' is a torsion translate of $\mathbb{G}_m \times \{0\}$ or of $\{1\} \times \hat{E}_0$. The first conclusion cannot occur since C' too dominates \hat{E}_0 . So, a multiple $[m]C' = [mn]_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$ of C is the image of the unit section of \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0 . Therefore, C is in all cases a Ribet curve of \mathcal{P}_0 in the sense of \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0 .

The same proof applies to (ii), while (iii) easily follows from F1 (or from F3, in view of [\[Gao 2017a\]](#)). This concludes the proof of [Proposition 3](#). □

We can now turn to the proof of [Theorem 3](#). We will need the following complement to Fact F3:

- (F4) Under a Shimura morphism, the irreducible components of the inverse image of a special subvariety are special subvarieties.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $W/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ be an irreducible algebraic curve in \mathcal{P}_0 , which contains infinitely many points lying on special curves of \mathcal{P}_0 . We must show that W is contained in a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0 . We deduce from [Proposition 3](#) that

- (a) W contains infinitely many points lying on Ribet curves in the sense of \mathcal{G}/\hat{E}_0 , and if not,
- (b) W contains infinitely many points lying on Ribet curves in the sense of \mathcal{G}'/E_0 , and if not,
- (c) W contains infinitely many points lying in the fibers of \mathcal{P}_0 above the torsion points of $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$.

Assume first that $\varpi : W \rightarrow \hat{E}_0$ is dominant, and that we are in case (a). Base changing along $\varpi : X = W \rightarrow \hat{E}_0$ as above, we may view the diagonal map $X \rightarrow W_X \subset \mathcal{G}_X = G$ as a section $s \in G(X)$, to which [Theorem 1](#) (or the relative Mordell–Lang Theorem 2) of [Section 1.1](#) applies. By (a), the set Ξ_s is infinite, and we infer that the sections p and q attached to s are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$. So, $(\varpi', \varpi)(W)$ lies in a torsion translate of an elliptic curve $B \subset E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ passing through 0. By [\[Gao 2017a\]](#), these are special curves of the MSV $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$. Therefore, by F4, W lies in a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0 . Vice versa, the same conclusion holds if $\varpi' : W \rightarrow E_0$ is dominant and we are in case (b).

Secondly, assume that W still dominates \hat{E}_0 , but that we are in case (b). As just pointed out, we can then assume that W does not dominate E_0 , and so, projects to a point $p \in E_0$ under ϖ' . If p is not torsion, W lies in the nonisospit extension $\mathcal{G}'_p = \varpi'^{-1}(p)$ (which is then not a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0). Now, the Ribet curves in the sense of \mathcal{G}'/E_0 meet \mathcal{G}'_p at Ribet points of \mathcal{G}'_p , so by (b), W contains infinitely many Ribet points of \mathcal{G}'_p . We deduce from the standard Mordell–Lang theorem [\[McQuillan 1995\]](#) that W lies in a translate of \mathbb{G}_m by a Ribet point. But then, W cannot dominate \hat{E}_0 . So, p is a torsion point, and W lies in $\varpi'^{-1}(p)$, which is a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0 by F4. Vice versa, the same conclusion holds if $\varpi' : W \rightarrow E_0$ is dominant and we are in case (a).

Thirdly, assume that W dominates \hat{E}_0 or E_0 , and that we are in case (c). Then, the projection W' of W in $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ is a curve which contains infinitely many torsion points of $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$. By Manin–Mumford, we deduce that W' lies in a torsion translate of an elliptic curve $B \subset E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ passing through 0. So, W lies in a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0 .

It remains to study the case when W projects to a point (p, q) of $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ under (ϖ', ϖ) . Then, the only special curve of type (c) which meets W is the closure of W itself, so in case (c), (p, q) is a torsion point, and W lies in (many) a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0 . Assume finally that we are in case (a), or in case (b). Then, W contains a Ribet point of \mathcal{G}_q , or of \mathcal{G}'_p , projecting to $p \in E_0$, or to $q \in \hat{E}_0$. In both cases, we deduce that the points p and q are linearly dependent over $\text{End}(E_0)$. So, the projection to $E_0 \times \hat{E}_0$ of W lies in a torsion translate of an elliptic curve B passing through 0, and W lies in a special surface of \mathcal{P}_0 . This concludes the proof of [Theorem 3](#). \square

Acknowledgements

Both authors thank the Fields Institute for invitations to their 2017 program on Unlikely Intersections, Heights, and Efficient Congruencing, where this work was initiated. We also thank Gareth Jones and Jonathan Pila for motivating discussions. Schmidt would further like to thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for support under grant EP/N007956/1.

References

- [Barroero 2017] F. Barroero, “CM relations in fibered powers of elliptic families”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* (online publication August 2017).
- [Barroero and Capuano 2018] F. Barroero and L. Capuano, “Unlikely intersections in families of abelian varieties and the polynomial Pell equation”, preprint, 2018. [arXiv](#)

- [Bertrand 1995] D. Bertrand, “Minimal heights and polarizations on group varieties”, *Duke Math. J.* **80**:1 (1995), 223–250. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bertrand 2011] D. Bertrand, “Special points and Poincaré bi-extensions”, preprint, 2011. [arXiv](#)
- [Bertrand 2013] D. Bertrand, “Unlikely intersections in Poincaré biextensions over elliptic schemes”, *Notre Dame J. Form. Log.* **54**:3–4 (2013), 365–375. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bertrand and Edixhoven 2019] D. Bertrand and B. Edixhoven, “Pink’s conjecture on unlikely intersections and families of semi-abelian varieties”, preprint, 2019. [arXiv](#)
- [Bertrand et al. 2016] D. Bertrand, D. Masser, A. Pillay, and U. Zannier, “Relative Manin–Mumford for semi-Abelian surfaces”, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **59**:4 (2016), 837–875. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Binyamini 2017] G. Binyamini, “Bezout-type theorems for differential fields”, *Compos. Math.* **153**:4 (2017), 867–888. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chambert-Loir 1999] A. Chambert-Loir, “Géométrie d’Arakelov et hauteurs canoniques sur des variétés semi-abéliennes”, *Math. Ann.* **314**:2 (1999), 381–401. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gao 2017a] Z. Gao, “A special point problem of André–Pink–Zannier in the universal family of Abelian varieties”, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5) **17**:1 (2017), 231–266. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gao 2017b] Z. Gao, “Towards the André–Oort conjecture for mixed Shimura varieties: the Ax–Lindemann theorem and lower bounds for Galois orbits of special points”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **732** (2017), 85–146. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Habegger and Pila 2016] P. Habegger and J. Pila, “O-minimality and certain atypical intersections”, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **49**:4 (2016), 813–858. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hindry 1988] M. Hindry, “Autour d’une conjecture de Serge Lang”, *Invent. Math.* **94**:3 (1988), 575–603. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hrushovski and Pillay 2000] E. Hrushovski and A. Pillay, “Effective bounds for the number of transcendental points on subvarieties of semi-abelian varieties”, *Amer. J. Math.* **122**:3 (2000), 439–450. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jacquinot and Ribet 1987] O. Jacquinot and K. A. Ribet, “Deficient points on extensions of abelian varieties by \mathbb{G}_m ”, *J. Number Theory* **25**:2 (1987), 133–151. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jones and Schmidt 2017] G. Jones and H. Schmidt, “Pfaffian definitions of Weierstrass elliptic functions”, preprint, 2017. [arXiv](#)
- [Jones and Schmidt \geq 2019] G. Jones and H. Schmidt, “Effective relative Manin–Mumford for families of \mathbb{G}_m -extensions of an elliptic curve”, in preparation.
- [Jones and Thomas 2018] G. O. Jones and M. E. M. Thomas, “Effective Pila–Wilkie bounds for unrestricted Pfaffian surfaces”, preprint, 2018. [arXiv](#)
- [Lang 1983] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*, Springer, 1983. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Masser and Zannier 2015] D. Masser and U. Zannier, “Torsion points on families of simple abelian surfaces and Pell’s equation over polynomial rings”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **17**:9 (2015), 2379–2416. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [McQuillan 1995] M. McQuillan, “Division points on semi-abelian varieties”, *Invent. Math.* **120**:1 (1995), 143–159. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pink 2005] R. Pink, “A common generalization of the conjectures of André–Oort, Manin–Mumford, and Mordell–Lang”, preprint, 2005, Available at <https://tinyurl.com/rpinkaom>.
- [Rémond 2011] G. Rémond, “Une remarque de dynamique sur les variétés semi-abéliennes”, *Pacific J. Math.* **254**:2 (2011), 397–406. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Silverman 1983] J. H. Silverman, “Heights and the specialization map for families of abelian varieties”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **342** (1983), 197–211. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Viada 2003] E. Viada, “The intersection of a curve with algebraic subgroups in a product of elliptic curves”, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5) **2**:1 (2003), 47–75. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Jonathan Pila

Received 2018-11-06 Revised 2019-04-08 Accepted 2019-05-14

daniel.bertrand@imj-prg.fr

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

harry.schmidt@unibas.ch

Mathematik und Informatik, Universität Basel, Switzerland

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Antoine Chambert-Loir	Université Paris-Diderot, France	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	University of California, Santa Cruz, USA	Michael Rapoport	Universität Bonn, Germany
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Christopher Skinner	Princeton University, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Pham Huu Tiep	University of Arizona, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Melanie Matchett Wood	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$385/year for the electronic version, and \$590/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 13 No. 6 2019

Positivity functions for curves on algebraic varieties	1243
BRIAN LEHMANN and JIAN XIAO	
The congruence topology, Grothendieck duality and thin groups	1281
ALEXANDER LUBOTZKY and TYAKAL NANJUNDIAH VENKATARAMANA	
On the ramified class field theory of relative curves	1299
QUENTIN GUIGNARD	
Blow-ups and class field theory for curves	1327
DAICHI TAKEUCHI	
Algebraic monodromy groups of l -adic representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$	1353
SHIANG TANG	
Weyl bound for p -power twist of $\text{GL}(2)$ L -functions	1395
RITABRATA MUNSHI and SAURABH KUMAR SINGH	
Examples of hypergeometric twistor \mathcal{D} -modules	1415
ALBERTO CASTAÑO DOMÍNGUEZ, THOMAS REICHELT and CHRISTIAN SEVENHECK	
Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces	1443
DANIELE FAENZI	
Unlikely intersections in semiabelian surfaces	1455
DANIEL BERTRAND and HARRY SCHMIDT	
Congruences of parahoric group schemes	1475
RADHIKA GANAPATHY	
An improved bound for the lengths of matrix algebras	1501
YAROSLAV SHITOV	