Pseudorepresentations of weight one are unramified

Frank Calegari and Joel Specter

msp

Pseudorepresentations of weight one are unramified

Frank Calegari and Joel Specter

We prove that the determinant (pseudorepresentation) associated to the Hecke algebra of Katz modular forms of weight one and level prime to p is unramified at p.

1. Introduction

Let p be prime and let $N \geq 5$ be prime to p. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with uniformizer ϖ. Let $X_1(N)$ be the modular curve considered as a smooth proper curve over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ and let ω be the pushforward of the relative dualizing sheaf along the universal elliptic curve. The coherent cohomology group $H^0(X_1(N), \omega)$ may be identified with the space of modular forms of weight one with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$. For general m, one knows that the map:

$$H^0(X_1(N), \omega) \to H^0(X_1(N), \omega/\varpi^m)$$

need not be surjective. This was first observed by Mestre for $N = 1429$ and $p = 2$ (see [Edixhoven 2006, Appendix A]) and many examples for larger p have been subsequently computed by Buzzard [2014] and Schaeffer [2015]. In particular, if $\boldsymbol{T}$ denotes the subring of

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}} \varinjlim H^0(X_1(N), \omega/\varpi^m) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}} H^0(X_1(N), \omega \otimes K/\mathcal{O}),$$

generated by Hecke operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for $(l, N) = 1$, then $\boldsymbol{T}$ may be bigger than the classical Hecke algebra acting on the space $H^0(X_1(N), \omega \otimes \mathbb{C})$ of classical modular forms of weight one. Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $G_{\mathbb{Q},N}$ be the absolute Galois group of the maximal extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $N\infty$. Our main theorem is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{T} \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}} H^0(X_1(N), \omega \otimes K/\mathcal{O})$ denote the algebra generated by Hecke operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for all l prime to N. There is a degree $d = 2$ determinant:[1]*

$$\boldsymbol{D} : \boldsymbol{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to \boldsymbol{T}, \quad P(\boldsymbol{D}, \sigma) = X^2 - T(\sigma)X + D(\sigma),$$

---

[1]A notion of pseudorepresentation which works in all characteristics, see Section 2.

which is unramified outside $N\infty$ — equivalently, which factors through $\boldsymbol{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q},N}]$ — such that for all primes $l \nmid N$, including $l = p$, one has

$$T(\mathrm{Frob}_l) = T_l \quad and \quad D(\mathrm{Frob}_l) = \langle l \rangle.$$

The ring $\boldsymbol{T}$ is a finite $\mathcal{O}$-algebra and is moreover a semilocal ring, and thus is a direct sum $\bigoplus \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of its completions at maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$. For each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\boldsymbol{T}$, the residual determinant $\overline{P} : \mathcal{O}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m} = k$ arises from to a semisimple Galois representation $\overline{\rho}$ over $\overline{k}$ [Chenevier 2014, Theorem A]. If this representation is irreducible, then P itself also arises from a genuine representation, which, by a theorem of Carayol [1994], takes values in $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that the corresponding representation

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}})$$

is unramified at p. For $p > 2$, this is a consequence of Theorem 3.11 of [Calegari and Geraghty 2018]. Hence the main interest of this result is to residually reducible representations. However, the result is new even for absolutely irreducible representations when $p = 2$ (although there are significant partial results by Wiese [2014]). Although the proof of Theorem 1.1 is similar to that of Theorem 3.11 of [Calegari and Geraghty 2018], it is more direct, and does not rely on any explicit analysis of the ordinary deformation rings of Snowden [2018]. Hence this paper can also be seen as providing a simplification of the proof of Theorem 3.11 of [Calegari and Geraghty 2018]. (See also Remark 3.3.)

The existence of the determinant without any condition at p is an easy consequence of the corresponding result in higher weight: first consider the action of $\boldsymbol{T}$ on $H^0(X_1(N), \omega/\varpi^m)$ and then multiply by a suitable power of the Hasse invariant which is Hecke equivariant. Hence the main content of this theorem is that the determinant is unramified at p.

2. Determinants

In this paper, we will use the term "pseudorepresentation" as a catch-all to refer to various types of generalized representations. The first pseudorepresentations were introduced by Wiles [1988] for 2-dimensional representations; these were later generalized to any dimension by Taylor [1991]. Following Roquier [1996], we will call Taylor-style pseudorepresentations "pseudocharacters," because of their resemblance to the trace of a representation. In this paper, we will mainly consider the pseudorepresentations of Chenevier [2014] called "determinants." These are more general and flexible than pseudocharacters, and in particular allow us to treat the case where $p = d = 2$. We shall only be concerned with determinants of degree $d = 2$.

We begin by recalling the notion of a determinant [Chenevier 2014, page 223]. Let G be a group and A be a ring. Let d be a positive integer. If M is a free, rank-d A-module equipped with a linear G action, then one may consider the family of characteristic polynomials associated to the elements of $A[G]$ acting on M. This family of polynomials is highly interdependent and is a robust invariant of the representation M. Informally, a degree d determinant is a pseudorepresentation containing the

information of a family of polynomials which satisfies the collection of common relations shared by all families of degree d characteristic polynomials. If B is an A-algebra, one can extend the action of $A[G]$ on M to an action of $B[G]$ on $M \otimes_A B$, and also obtain corresponding characteristic polynomials over B for elements in $B[G]$. Chenevier's definition of a determinant follows from the following two insights. First, the data of the characteristic polynomials for elements in $B[G]$ as one ranges over all A-algebras B is equivalent to that of the literal determinants of the elements of $B[G]$ acting on $M \otimes_A B$ as one ranges over all A-algebras B: the characteristic polynomial of an element $m \in B[G]$ is, by definition, the determinant of the endomorphism $X - m$ acting on $M \otimes_A B[X]$. Second, relations in families of characteristic polynomials arise via compatibilities of the determinant map. The literal determinants of the elements of $B[G]$ acting on $M \otimes_A B$ can be organized as a series of set theoretic maps $\det : B[G] \to B$, one for each A-algebra B, which satisfy the following compatibilities:

(1) The maps det are natural in B.

(2) $\det(1) = 1$ and the element $\det(xy) = \det(x) \det(y)$ for all $x, y \in B[G]$.

(3) $\det(bx) = b^d \det(x)$, where $b \in B$ and d is equal to the rank of M.

A *determinant* is simply a family of maps which are compatible in these three ways.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a ring,[2] G be a topological group, and d be a positive integer. A degree d determinant is a continuous A-valued polynomial law $\boldsymbol{D} : A[G] \to A$,[3] which is multiplicative and homogeneous of degree d. If B is an A-algebra and $m \in B[G]$, we call $P(\boldsymbol{D}, m)(X) := \boldsymbol{D}(X - m) \in B[X]$ the characteristic polynomial of m.

Given a determinant $\boldsymbol{D} : A[G] \to A$ and an A-algebra B, the restriction of $\boldsymbol{D}$ to the category of B-algebras defines a determinant $\boldsymbol{D}_B : B[G] \to B$ on B. We call $\boldsymbol{D}_B$ the base change of $\boldsymbol{D}$ to B.

Determinants of degree $d = 2$. Given a determinant $\boldsymbol{D} : A[G] \to A$ of degree 2, the corresponding characteristic polynomials $P(\boldsymbol{D}, m) \in B[X]$ for $m \in B[G]$ have degree 2 and can be written in the form

$$P(m) = P(\boldsymbol{D}, m) = X^2 - T(m)X + D(m),$$

for maps $T, D : B[G] \to B$. Note that the family of maps $D : B[G] \to B$ as B ranges over all A-algebras is precisely the data which defines the polynomial law $\boldsymbol{D}$. In practice, our groups G will always be Galois groups with the usual profinite topology, and our rings A will either be p-adically complete semilocal $W(k)$-algebras with the p-adic topology or p-adic fields with the p-adic topology. We insist that all

---

[2]All rings considered in this note will carry a Hausdorff topology, and, with the exception of group rings, will be commutative. Our terminology will suppress these topological and algebraic considerations. We use the terms *module* and *algebra* to denote a Hausdorff topological module and a commutative, Hausdorff topological algebra, respectively.

[3]An A-valued polynomial law between two A-modules M and N is by definition a natural transformation $N \otimes_A B \to M \otimes_A B$ on the category of commutative A-algebras B. A polynomial law is called multiplicative if $\boldsymbol{D}(1) = 1$ and $\boldsymbol{D}(xy) = \boldsymbol{D}(x)\boldsymbol{D}(y)$ for all $x, y \in A[G] \otimes B$, and is called homogeneous of degree d, if $\boldsymbol{D}(xb) = b^d \boldsymbol{D}(x)$ for all $x \in A[G] \otimes B$ and $b \in B$. A polynomial law is called continuous if its characteristic polynomial map on G given by $g \mapsto P(\boldsymbol{D}, g)$ is continuous.

Galois representations and all determinants considered in this paper are continuous with respect to the topologies on G and A.

In residue characteristic different from 2 and degree 2, one can recover D from T via the identity

$$D(\sigma) = \frac{T(\sigma)^2 - T(\sigma^2)}{2}.$$

On the other hand, for any p, one can recover T from D by the formula

$$T(\sigma) = D(\sigma + 1) - D(\sigma) - 1.$$

We have the following characterization of determinants of degree 2.

Lemma 2.2 [Chenevier 2014, Lemma 7.7]. *The set of determinants of G over A of degree 2 are in bijection with maps (T, D) from G to A satisfying the following two conditions*:

(1) $D : G \to A^\times$ *is a homomorphism.*

(2) $T : G \to A$ *is a function with $T(1) = 2$ and such that, for all $g, h \in G$:*

    (a) $T(gh) = T(hg)$.

    (b) $D(g)T(g^{-1}h) - T(g)T(h) + T(gh) = 0$.

In light of this lemma, we shall (from now on) regard a determinant D of G over A of degree 2 as precisely given by a pair of functions (T, D) satisfying the equations above. Given $g \in G$, we have a corresponding characteristic polynomial $P(g) = X^2 - T(g)X + D(g)$. By abuse of notation, we shall denote the pair (T, D) by $P = (T, D)$. By [Chenevier 2014, Lemma 7.7], the functions T and D extend to functions from $A[G]$ to A. In the case of T, this extension is the linear extension, and in the case of D, it can be constructed explicitly by using the equation for $D(xt + ys)$ given below. Note that D as a function of $A[G]$ determines T and hence P and hence D, but D as a function of G (in general) does not. Under this equivalence, the base change of a determinant $P := (T, D)$ to an A-algebra B corresponds to the determinant $f \circ P := (f \circ T, f \circ D)$ obtained by post-composing the functions T and D with the structure homomorphism $f : A \to B$.

If A is an algebraically closed field, then (T, D) may be realized as the trace and (classical) determinant of an actual semisimple representation [Chenevier 2014, Theorem A].

There is a well-defined notion of the kernel of P (see [Chenevier 2014, Section 1.4]), which in our case has the following simple description:

Lemma 2.3. *The kernel of a determinant $P = (T, D)$ of degree 2 consist of the elements $x \in A[G]$ satisfying the following two conditions*:

(1) $T(xy) = 0$ *for all $y \in A[G]$.*

(2) $D(x) = 0$.

Proof. For polynomial laws of degree 2, we have (see Example 7.6 of [Chenevier 2014])

$$D(xt + ys) = D(x)t^2 + (T(x)T(y) - T(xy))ts + D(y)s^2. \tag{1}$$

As follows from Section 1.4 of [Chenevier 2014], we may compute the $x \in \ker(P)$ by finding the x for which this expression is independent of t. Taking $y = 1$ yields the equalities $T(x) = 0$ and $D(x) = 0$. Returning to the case of general y, we then deduce that $T(xy) = T(x)T(y) = 0$. □

Suppose that H is a subgroup of G such that $[h] - 1 \in \ker(P)$ for all $h \in H$. In this case, by abuse of notation, we say that $\ker(P)$ contains H. If $\ker(P)$ contains H, then $[ghg^{-1}] - 1 \in \ker(P)$ for any $g \in G$, and (compare Lemma 7.14 of [Chenevier 2014]) the determinant P factors through $A[G/N]$, where N is the normal closure of H. (That is, the functions T and D on $A[G]$ depend only on their image in the quotient $A[G/N]$.) In particular, to show that a determinant on $\mathcal{O}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ is unramified at a prime l (for example $l = p$), it suffices to show that the kernel contains some (any) choice of inertia subgroup I_l at l, or equivalently:

Lemma 2.4. $I_l = H \subset G = G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *lies in the kernel of P if and only if*:

(1) $T(hg) = T(g)$ *for all $h \in H = I_l$ and $g \in G = G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

(2) $D(h - 1) = 0$ *for all $h \in H = I_l$.*

Ordinary determinants. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of a finite extension $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$, let ϖ be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}$, and suppose that $\mathcal{O}/\varpi = k$. Let $\bar{P} = (\bar{T}, \bar{D}) : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to k$ be a degree 2 determinant which is unramified outside Np. In practice, it will always be taken to be modular of level $\Gamma_1(N)$. Let us fix, once and for all, an embedding of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and hence inclusions

$$I_p \subset D_p \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where I_p is the inertia group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $D_p = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the decomposition group. Let us also fix a Frobenius element $\phi \in D_p$. There is a natural projection $D_p \to D_p/I_p \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ whose image is topologically generated by the image of ϕ. Let $\epsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the cyclotomic character; we may choose ϕ so that $\epsilon(\phi) = 1$. Enlarging k if necessary, let $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ be the roots of the quadratic polynomial

$$X^2 - \bar{T}(\phi)X + \bar{D}(\phi) = 0$$

over k. We do not assume that these are necessarily distinct.

There are a number of slightly different definitions of ordinary Galois representations in the literature. Let us say that a 2-dimensional representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is ordinary if the underlying 2-dimensional vector space V admits a two step filtration $0 \subsetneq V' \subsetneq V$ such that the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $V'' = V/V'$ is unramified. (This coincides, for example, with the definition of ordinary in [Skinner and Wiles 1997].) We furthermore say that ρ is ordinary of weight n if the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on V' is via an unramified twist of ϵ^{n-1}. By abuse of notation, if $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is a global Galois representation, we say that it is ordinary if $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is ordinary (respectively, ordinary of weight n). When a representation is ordinary, various relations are imposed on its associated determinant. We collect several of these relations common to all ordinary 2-dimensional representations of weight n, and then define that a determinant $P = (T, D) : A[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to A$ of degree 2 to be an "ordinary determinant of weight n" if and only if it

satisfies these conditions. Our definition includes the auxiliary data of an "eigenvalue" $\alpha \in A^\times$ of the Frobenius element ϕ. This "eigenvalue" satisfies some relations shared by every value which occurs as the eigenvalue of ϕ on a choice of unramified quotient of $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ in an 2-dimensional ordinary representation of weight n. We will be interested in deformations of $\overline{P}$ to Artinian local rings $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ which are ordinary of weight n.

Definition 2.5. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring with residue field k. An ordinary determinant $P : A[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to A$ of degree 2 and weight n with eigenvalue $\alpha \in A^\times$ consists of a pair (P, α) where $P = (T, D) : A[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to A$ is a degree $d = 2$ determinant satisfying the following properties:

(1) $P(h) = (X - 1)(X - \psi(h))$ for all $h \in I_p$, where $\psi = \epsilon^{n-1}$.

(2) α is a root of $X^2 - T(\phi)X + D(\phi)$.

(3) For all $h \in I_p$, $(h - \psi(h))(\phi - \alpha) \in \ker(P)$. Equivalently, for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $h \in I_p$,

$$T(g(h - \psi(h))(\phi - \alpha)) = T(gh\phi) - \psi(h)T(g\phi) - T(gh)\alpha + T(g)\psi(h)\alpha = 0.$$

The first two conditions of this definition are self-explanatory. The last may be somewhat surprising to the reader; note that it involves a condition on general elements $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ rather than simply being a condition on the decomposition group. This turns out to be necessary, because the determinant (or pseudocharacter) associated to the decomposition group of a locally reducible representation does not know which character comes from the quotient and which comes from the submodule. The idea behind this definition, as we shall see shortly below, is to capture the notion that the product $(h - \psi(h))(\phi - \alpha)$ is *identically* zero, rather than just of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. There is presumably a close relationship between this definition and the definition of ordinary pseudocharacters given by Wake and Wang-Erickson [2017] (see also Section 7.3 of [Wang-Erickson 2018]), although in our context it is important that we can work in non-p distinguished situations by choosing an eigenvalue of Frobenius, which amounts to a partial resolution of the corresponding deformation rings (presumably such modifications could also be adapted to [Wang-Erickson 2018]). On the other hand, we do exploit the crucial idea due to Wang-Erickson that the notion of ordinarity for pseudorepresentations should be a global rather than local condition. The following lemma provides a justification for the final condition above, and the proof provides a motivation for its definition.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that f is a classical modular eigenform of level $\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N)$ with nebentypus character χ of weight $n \geq 2$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$, and suppose that α is the U_p-eigenvalue of f. Assume that f is ordinary (equivalently, that α has trivial valuation). Then the associated determinant $P_f : \mathcal{O}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to \mathcal{O}$ is ordinary with eigenvalue α, weight n, and is unramified outside Np.*

Note that f in Lemma 2.6 need not be new at either the prime p or primes dividing N.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}$ has characteristic zero, there is a Galois representation (via [Deligne 1971])

$$\rho_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}, Np} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$$

associated to f. The determinant $P_f = (T_f, D_f)$ is (by definition) the determinant associated to the representation ρ_f. Since ρ_f factors through $G_{\mathbb{Q},Np}$, this determinant is unramified at primes outside Np. Let $\lambda_\alpha : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ denote the unramified character which sends Frob_p to α. We collect the following facts concerning the Galois representation ρ_f:

Fact 2.7. *The representation ρ_f has the following properties:*[4]

(1) *The representation ρ_f is unramified outside Np. The trace and (classical) determinant of $\rho_f(\mathrm{Frob}_l)$ are equal to $a_l(f)$ and $l^{n-1}\chi(l)$ respectively. The (classical) determinant of ρ_f is the character $\chi\epsilon^{n-1}$, where χ is unramified outside N.*

(2) *If f is old at level p, and the corresponding eigenform g of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ has T_p eigenvalue a_p, then α is the unit root of $X^2 - a_pX + p^{n-1}\chi(p)$, and*

$$\rho_f|_{D_p} = \rho_g|_{D_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{n-1}\lambda_\alpha^{-1}\chi & * \\ 0 & \lambda_\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) *If f is new at level p, then $n = 2$,*

$$\rho_f|_{D_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\lambda_\alpha & * \\ 0 & \lambda_\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\chi|_{D_p} \simeq \lambda_\alpha^2$.

Using these properties, we see that the required conditions for P_f to be ordinary with eigenvalue α are easily met with the possible exception of the final condition. For this, note that from the explicit descriptions above there exists a basis such that

$$\rho_f|_{I_p} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_f(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(\phi)\alpha^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\det(\rho_f) = \epsilon^{n-1}\chi$. We find, with $h \in I_p$, that, in $M_2(\mathcal{O})$,

$$(\rho_f(h) - \psi(h))(\rho_f(\phi) - \alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$T_f(s(h - \psi(h))(\phi - \alpha)) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho_f(s)(\rho_f(h) - \psi(h))(\rho_f(\phi) - \alpha)) = 0$$

for all $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$. □

---

[4] The fact that ρ_f is unramified outside Np already follows from the original construction of Deligne [1971]. Since the nebentypus character has conductor dividing N, the corresponding Galois representation χ is certainly unramified outside N. The second claim follows immediately from [Wiles 1988, Theorem 2]. Consider the third claim, so we are assuming that f is new at p. If one writes $\chi = \chi_p\chi_N$ where χ_p and χ_N are characters corresponding to the identification $(\mathbb{Z}/Np\mathbb{Z})^\times = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then (by assumption) χ_p is trivial. It follows (see Section 1 of [Atkin and Li 1978]) that f is an eigenform for operator W_p with eigenvalue $\lambda_p(f)$ satisfying $\lambda_p^2(f) = \chi(p)$ [Atkin and Li 1978, Proposition 1.1]. On the other hand, by [Atkin and Li 1978, Theorem 2.1], we deduce that $\alpha^2 = \lambda_p^2(f)p^{n-2} = \chi(p)p^{n-2}$. Under our assumption that α is a p-adic unit, this can only occur when the weight $n = 2$. When $n = 2$, however, we can appeal to [Darmon et al. 1997, Theorem 3.1(e)] which gives a detailed description of the local properties of Galois representations associated to ordinary forms. Finally, the identification of $\chi|_{D_p}$ with λ_α^2 follows either by considering determinants or the identity $\alpha^2 = \chi(p)$ discussed above.

We now fix our choice of $\bar{P}$. Let $\bar{P} : k[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to k$ be the determinant associated to a mod ϖ weight one eigenform g of level $\Gamma_1(N)$, i.e., the determinant associated to the Galois representation classically attached to g [Gross 1990, Proposition 11.1]. Suppose that g has nebentypus character χ and T_p-eigenvalue a_p, and let $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ be the roots of $X^2 - a_p X + \chi(p)$, which we assume (enlarging $\mathcal{O}$ if necessary) are k-rational.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $n \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)$ be an integer. The determinant $\bar{P}$ is ordinary of degree 2 and weight n with eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha}$ and is unramified outside Np. If $n > 1$, there is an eigenform f of level $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and weight n which is ordinary at p for which the U_p-eigenvalue of f is congruent to $\bar{\alpha} \bmod \varpi$ such that $\bar{P} = \bar{P}_f$.*

By symmetry, the result holds with $\bar{\alpha}$ replaced by $\bar{\beta}$.

Proof. Since ϵ^{n-1} is trivial mod ϖ for $n \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)$, if $\bar{P}$ is ordinary with eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha}$ for one such n, it is ordinary for all such n. Suppose we can construct an eigenform h modulo ϖ of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ of weight p and such that the T_p-eigenvalue of h is congruent to $\bar{\alpha} \bmod \varpi$, and such that $\bar{P}_h = \bar{P}$. By multiplying by powers of the Hasse invariant, we deduce that there also exists such a form in any weight $n \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)$ such that $n > 1$. All mod ϖ modular forms in weights $n > 1$ and level $\Gamma_1(N)$ lift to characteristic zero. (This follows as in [Katz 1973, Theorem 1.7.1], the running assumption that $N \geq 5$ guaranteeing that $X_1(N)$ is a fine moduli space.) Moreover, using the Deligne–Serre lifting lemma [Deligne and Serre 1974, Lemme 6.11], one can always choose a lift h which is an eigenform for all the Hecke operators. The lifted form h of weight $\Gamma_1(N)$ has weight $n > 1$ and T_p-eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha} \bmod \varpi$. But now the ordinary stabilization f of h of level $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ has U_p-eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha} \bmod \varpi$, and $\bar{P}_f = \bar{P}_h = \bar{P}$, as required. Finally, we deduce from Lemma 2.6 applied to f that $\bar{P}$ is ordinary with eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha}$ (of weight n and unramified outside Np). Thus it remains to construct h from g.

If A is the Hasse invariant, then Ag is a modular form mod ϖ of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ and weight p which is an eigenform for all Hecke operators except for T_p, and moreover has the same eigenvalues as g. The same is true of $T_p(Ag)$ and also $A(T_p g)$ (the latter is just $a_p Ag$). On the level of q-expansions, there are equalities $Ag = g$ and $AT_p(g) - T_p(Ag) = Vg$ respectively. Hence $h = g - \bar{\beta} Vg$ is a weight p modular eigenform mod ϖ of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ with $\bar{P}_f = \bar{P}$ and with T_p-eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha}$. To see that $T_p h = \bar{\alpha} h$, note (compare [Gross 1990, Section 4, especially (4.7)]) that $T_p(Vg) = Ag$ and $T_p Ag = a_p Ag - \chi(p) Vg$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_p h &= T_p (Ag - \bar{\beta} Vg) \\
&= a_p Ag - \chi(p) Vg - \bar{\beta} Ag \\
&= (\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}) Ag - \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} Vg - \bar{\beta} Ag \\
&= \bar{\alpha}(Ag - \bar{\beta} Vg) = \bar{\alpha} h. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $R = R^{\text{univ}}$ denote the universal deformation ring of $\bar{P}$ (compare [Chenevier 2014, Proposition 7.59]) unramified outside Np. It prorepresents the functor which, for Artinian local $W(k)$-algebras $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ with residue field $A/\mathfrak{m} = k$, consists of determinants $P = (T, D)$ valued in A whose mod $\mathfrak{m}$ reduction is $\bar{P}$.

Let $P^{\mathrm{univ}} = (T^{\mathrm{univ}}, D^{\mathrm{univ}})$ denote the corresponding universal determinant. We define a mild variant on this ring by considering such determinants: $P = (T, D) : A[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to A$ *together* with a root α of $X^2 - T(\phi)X + D(\phi)$. The result is an extension $\tilde{R}$ of R given by

$$\tilde{R} = R[\alpha]/(\alpha^2 - T^{\mathrm{univ}}(\phi)\alpha + D^{\mathrm{univ}}(\phi)).$$

The ring R is a local $W(k)$-algebra, but the ring $\tilde{R}$ is a semilocal $W(k)$-algebra with either one or two maximal ideals. It has 2 maximal ideals precisely when the polynomial $\alpha^2 - \overline{T}(\phi)\alpha + \overline{D}(\phi) \in k[\alpha]$ is separable.

Definition 2.9. Let $\tilde{D}_n^\dagger(A)$ denote the functor which, for Artinian local rings $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ with residue field $A/\mathfrak{m} = k$, consists of ordinary determinants (P, α_0) of weight n unramified outside Np, where P is a deformation of $\overline{P}$ to A, and $n \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)$ is a positive integer.

Note that elements in $\tilde{D}_n^\dagger(k)$ are in bijection with choices of $\bar{\alpha} \in k$ so that $\overline{P}$ is ordinary of weight n with eigenvalue $\bar{\alpha}$. By Lemma 2.8, such a choice of eigenvalue exists. Furthermore since $\bar{\alpha}$ is a root $X^2 - \overline{T}(\phi)X + \overline{D}(\phi)$, the size of $\tilde{D}_n^\dagger(k)$ is at most 2. For each root $\bar{\alpha} \in k$ of $X^2 - \overline{T}(\phi)X + \overline{D}(\phi)$, consider the subfunctor $\tilde{D}_n^{\dagger,\bar{\alpha}}(A) \subseteq \tilde{D}_n^\dagger(A)$ consisting of pairs with (P, α_0) such that $\alpha_0 \equiv \bar{\alpha} \bmod \mathfrak{m}$. The functor $\tilde{D}_n^\dagger$ decomposes as the coproduct

$$\tilde{D}_n^\dagger(A) = \coprod_{(\overline{P},\bar{\alpha}) \in \tilde{D}_n^\dagger(k)} \tilde{D}_n^{\dagger,\bar{\alpha}}(A),$$

and each of the subfunctors $\tilde{D}_n^{\dagger,\bar{\alpha}}$ are prorepresented by a (potentially trivial) Noetherian local $W(k)$-algebra $\tilde{R}_n^{\dagger,\bar{\alpha}}$. By abuse of terminology, we will say $\tilde{D}_n^\dagger$ is prorepresented by the semilocal ring

$$\tilde{R}_n^\dagger := \bigoplus_{(\overline{P},\bar{\alpha}) \in \tilde{D}_n^\dagger(k)} \tilde{R}_n^{\dagger,\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Explicitly, if $\tilde{P}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is the base change of P^{univ} to the R-algebra $\tilde{R}$, then $\tilde{R}_n^\dagger$ is the quotient of $\tilde{R}$ by the ideal generated by all the relations which obstruct P^{univ} from being ordinary of weight n with eigenvalue α. The universal determinant $P_n^{\dagger,\mathrm{univ}}$ is base change of $\tilde{P}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ to $\tilde{R}_n^\dagger$ and the universal eigenvalue is α.

The determinant $P^{\dagger,\mathrm{univ}}$ itself is valued in the subring $R_n^\dagger$ of $\tilde{R}_n^\dagger$, which is the image of $R \subset \tilde{R}$. However, the element α will not, in general, lie in $R_n^\dagger$. The extra data of α records, implicitly, the "choice" of realizing the corresponding determinant as ordinary. (The same determinant P can in principle be realized as an ordinary determinant (P, α) for different values of α.)

The following result is the key proposition which allows us to prove that certain ordinary determinants are unramified. The idea is that, given a representation which is ordinary, the more the representation is ramified, the more the choice of ordinary eigenvalue α is pinned down by the Galois representation, because the ramification structure gives a partial filtration on the representation which mirrors the ordinary filtration. The extreme case, in which α cannot be distinguished from the other root $\alpha^{-1}D(\phi)$ of the characteristic polynomial of ϕ, should only occur when the representation is unramified. While these

claims are obvious for $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-valued representations, the key property of our definition is that one can prove this for any quotient of $\tilde{R}_n^\dagger$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\tilde{R}_n^\dagger \to \tilde{S}$ be a surjective homomorphism of $W(k)$-algebras, and let S denote the image of $R_n^\dagger$ in $\tilde{S}$. Suppose that $\tilde{S}/S$ is a free S-module of rank one, or equivalently, that the annihilator of $\tilde{S}/S$ as an S-module is trivial. Then the corresponding determinant P valued in S is unramified.*

Proof. We first verify that $D(h-1) = 0$ without any assumptions. From first condition of Definition 2.5 we see that $D(h) = \psi(h)$ and $T(h) = 1 + \psi(h)$, and thus, from (1) in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we deduce that

$$D(h-1) = D(h) - (T(h)T(1) - T(h)) + D(1) = \psi(h) - (\psi(h)+1) + 1 = 0.$$

We now turn to the second condition of Lemma 2.4. The module $\tilde{S}/S$ is a cyclic S-module generated by α, so it is free if and only if the annihilator of α is trivial. We have by definition the identity (for $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ and $h \in I_p$ and $\psi = \epsilon^{n-1}$)

$$T(sh\phi) - \psi(h)T(s\phi) - T(sh)\alpha + T(s)\psi(h)\alpha = 0.$$

We may rearrange this to obtain the identity

$$\alpha(T(sh) - T(s)\psi(h)) = T(sh\phi) - \psi(h)T(s\phi).$$

Note that the value $T(s)$ for any $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ lands in S, as does the image of any element of $W(k)$, and hence it follows that

$$\alpha(T(sh) - T(s)\psi(h)) = 0 \in \tilde{S}/S.$$

Take g to be the identity, so $T(sh) = T(h) = 1 + \psi(h)$ and $T(s) = 2$. Then we deduce that

$$\alpha(1 - \psi(h)) = 0 \in \tilde{S}/S$$

for all $h \in I_p$. If $\tilde{S}/S$ is free, then its annihilator of α is trivial, and thus $\psi(h) = 1$ for all h. But we then deduce for the same reason that $T(sh) - T(s)\psi(h) = T(sh) - T(s) = 0$ for all $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ and $h \in I_p$, from which it follows by Lemma 2.4 (note that $T(sh) = T(hs)$) that I_p is contained in the kernel. $\qquad\square$

3. Galois Deformations

By Lemma 2.8, our fixed determinant $\overline{P} = (\overline{T}, \overline{D}) : k[G_\mathbb{Q}] \to k$ is associated to an ordinary mod ϖ eigenform of level $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ in each weight $n \geq 2$ satisfying $n \equiv 1 \bmod p-1$. Given our choice of Frobenius element $\phi \in D_p \subset G_\mathbb{Q}$, recall that $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\beta}$ are the roots of the polynomial

$$\overline{P}(\phi) = X^2 - \overline{T}(\phi)X + \overline{D}(\phi).$$

We start by considering determinants arising from forms of higher weight.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer such that $n \equiv 1 \bmod p - 1$. Let $\tilde{T}_n$ denote the $\mathcal{O}$-algebra of endomorphisms of*

$$M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{O})$$

generated by Hecke operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for l prime to Np, together with U_p. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the ideal of $\tilde{T}_n$ generated by ϖ and by any lift in $\tilde{T}_n$ of the following elements of $\tilde{T}_n / \varpi$: the operators $T_l - \overline{T}(\mathrm{Frob}_l)$ and $\langle l \rangle l^{n-1} - \overline{D}(\mathrm{Frob}_l)$ for $(l, Np) = 1$, and $(U_p - \bar{\alpha})(U_p - \bar{\beta})$. Assume that $\overline{P}$ is associated to an ordinary mod ϖ eigenform of level $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and weight n with U_p-eigenvalue congruent to either $\bar{\alpha}$ or $\bar{\beta}$ modulo ϖ, so that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a proper ideal. Then there exists a canonical surjection of semilocal rings

$$\tilde{R}_n^{\dagger} \to \tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}$$

sending $\alpha \in \tilde{R}_n^{\dagger}$ to U_p.

Remark 3.2. If $T_n \subset \tilde{T}_n$ denotes the subring generated by the all the Hecke operators except U_p, then $\mathfrak{m} \cap T_n$ is maximal. However, $\mathfrak{m}$ itself need not be maximal. Throughout the rest of the paper, we let $\tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}$ denote the completion $\tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}} := \mathrm{proj}\lim \tilde{T}_n / \mathfrak{m}^r$ — it need not be a local ring. The Hecke algebra $\tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}$ is nonlocal precisely when $\bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\beta}$ and when $\overline{P}$ is associated to an ordinary mod ϖ eigenform with U_p-eigenvalue congruent to $\bar{\alpha}$ mod ϖ and is *also* associated to an eigenform with U_p-eigenvalue congruent to $\bar{\beta}$ mod ϖ. In that case, the ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\beta}}$ obtained by adjoining any lift of $U_p - \bar{\alpha}$ or $U_p - \bar{\beta}$ respectively from $\tilde{T}_n / \varpi$ to $\mathfrak{m}$ are both maximal, and there is an isomorphism $\tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}} \cong \tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\alpha}}} \oplus \tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\beta}}}$. Working with semilocal rings allows us to treat the cases $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\beta}$ simultaneously. If M is a module for $\tilde{T}_n$, then, when $\mathfrak{m}$ is not maximal, there is also a corresponding identification $M_{\mathfrak{m}} := \mathrm{proj}\lim M / \mathfrak{m}^r = M_{\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\alpha}}} \oplus M_{\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\beta}}}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Consider an embedding $K \to L$, where L is a field which contains the eigenvalues of all elements of $\tilde{T}_n$. The Hecke algebra $\tilde{T}_n$ acts faithfully on $M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), L)$. Recall that $T_n \subset \tilde{T}_n$ denotes the subring generated by Hecke operators away from Np (i.e., without U_p). For each newform h which contributes to $M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), L)$, there is a corresponding vector space $V(h) \subset M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), L)$ generated by h together with the old forms associated to h. (The space $V(h)$ can also be identified with the invariants $\pi^{\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)}$, where π is the smooth admissible $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}^{(\infty)})$-representation over L generated by h.) There is a T_n-equivariant isomorphism

$$M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), L) \simeq \bigoplus_g V(h),$$

where T_n acts on $V(h)$ through scalars corresponding to the homomorphism $\eta_h : T_n \to L$ sending T_l to $a_l(h)$ and $\langle l \rangle$ to $l^{n-1}\chi(l)$ where χ is the nebentypus character of h. Let us now consider the action of the operator U_p. For each map $\eta_h : T_n \to L$ (which corresponds to a fixed Galois representation ρ_h) one of the following two things happens:

(1) The newform h has level $\Gamma_0(p)$ at p, in which case U_p acts on $V(h)$ via a scalar.

(2) The newform h has level $\Gamma_0(1)$ at p, in which case U_p acts on $V(h)$ and satisfies the identity
$$U_p^2 - a_p U_p + p^{n-1}\chi(p) = 0.$$

In particular, the algebra $\tilde{T}_n$ will always acts semisimply in the first case and act semi-simply in the second case as long as the corresponding polynomial $X^2 - a_p X + p^{n-1}\chi(p)$ has distinct roots. This is known in general only under the assumption of the Tate conjecture (see [Coleman and Edixhoven 1998]), but it can certainly only fail to happen when $a_p^2 = 4p^{n-1}\chi(p)$, which would force the (multiple) eigenvalue of U_p to have positive valuation (since $n \geq 2$). In particular, such forms do not contribute to $M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$, because (since $\mathfrak{m}$ contains the preimage of $(U_p - \bar{\alpha})(U_p - \bar{\beta})$ for nonzero $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$) the element U_p acts invertibly on this space. (Recall, following Remark 3.2, that when $\mathfrak{m}$ is contained in two primes, $M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is simply the direct sum of $M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\alpha}}}$ and $M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\beta}}}$.) It follows that there is an injection

$$i_n : \tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_f L,$$

where the sum ranges over all $\tilde{T}_n$-eigenforms $f \in M_n(\Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N), L)$ such that $\bar{P}_f = \bar{P}$ and the U_p-eigenvalue is congruent either to $\bar{\alpha}$ or $\bar{\beta}$. We identify $\tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}$ with its image under i_n. For each of the forms f above, denote the U_p-eigenvalue by $\alpha(f)$. By Lemma 2.6, the determinants P_f are ordinary with eigenvalues $\alpha(f)$, weight n, and unramified outside Np. Hence, for each form f there is a homomorphism

$$i_f : \tilde{R}_n^\dagger \to L$$

such that $i_f \circ P^{\dagger,\mathrm{univ}} = P_f$ and which maps α to $\alpha(f)$. Taking the direct sum of the maps i_f, we obtain a homomorphism

$$j_n : \tilde{R}_n^\dagger \to \bigoplus_f L$$

under which α maps to U_p, $T(\mathrm{Frob}_l)$ maps to T_l, and $D(\mathrm{Frob}_l)$ maps to $\langle l \rangle$. We conclude that j_n factors through a surjective homomorphism

$$\tilde{R}_n^\dagger \to \tilde{T}_{n,\mathfrak{m}}$$

under which α maps to U_p. $\hfill\square$

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that $\boldsymbol{T} = \boldsymbol{T}_1$ is the $\mathcal{O}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}} H^0(X_1(N), \omega \otimes K/\mathcal{O})$ generated by T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for $(l, N) = 1$. This ring contains T_p, but the element T_p in weight one is also generated by the other Hecke operators (see, for example, Lemma 3.1 of [Calegari 2018]). For each positive integer m, let $\boldsymbol{T}(m)$ denote the image $\boldsymbol{T}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}} H^0(X_1(N), \omega/\varpi^m)$. The ring $\boldsymbol{T} \cong \varprojlim \boldsymbol{T}(m)$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to construct for each $m > 0$ a degree $d = 2$ determinant

$$\boldsymbol{D}_m : \boldsymbol{T}(m)[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \to \boldsymbol{T}(m), \quad P(\boldsymbol{D}_m, \sigma) = X^2 - T_m(\sigma)X + D_m(\sigma),$$

which is unramified outside $N\infty$, and such that for all primes $l \nmid N$ (including $l = p$) the characteristic polynomial of Frob_l satisfies

$$T_m(\mathrm{Frob}_l) = T_l \quad \text{and} \quad D_m(\mathrm{Frob}_l) = \langle l \rangle.$$

In the remainder of the proof, we will assume that $m > 0$ is fixed, and will denote by an abuse of notation $\boldsymbol{T}(m)$ by $\boldsymbol{T}$.

There is a decomposition $\boldsymbol{T} = \bigoplus \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ over the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\boldsymbol{T}$. Hence, it suffices to construct the desired determinant after completing at a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\boldsymbol{T}$. Let $\bar{P}$ denote our fixed modular residual determinant, which we have assumed is supported in weight one, and let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the maximal ideal which is the kernel of the corresponding map $\boldsymbol{T} \to k$. Let $\tilde{T}_n$ denote the Hecke algebra of Lemma 3.1 in weight $n := 1 + p^{m-1}(p-1)$ which contains U_p (and has coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$). By abuse of notation, we also let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the ideal of $\tilde{T}_n$ defined in Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 2.8, this ideal is proper.

By Lemma 3.16 of [Calegari and Geraghty 2018], there is a surjective map

$$\tilde{R}_n^{\dagger} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{n,\mathfrak{m}} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{S} := \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}[U_p]/(U_p^2 - T_p U_p + \langle p \rangle) \tag{2}$$

(which sends T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ to T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ respectively, and sends U_p to U_p, where U_p in $\tilde{S}$ is viewed as a formal variable satisfying the given quadratic relation). Although the running assumption in Section 3 of [Calegari and Geraghty 2018] is that $p > 2$, the proof of [loc. cit., Lemma 3.16] applies (as written with no changes necessary) with $p = 2$. The image S of $R_n^{\dagger} \subset \tilde{R}_n^{\dagger}$ is generated by the values of T and D on Frobenius elements, which land inside the ring $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (in fact, they generate the ring $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$). But $\tilde{S}$ is free of rank two over $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, and thus $\tilde{S}/S$ has no annihilator. Consequently, the corresponding determinant in $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is unramified by Proposition 2.10. To show that $T(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = T_p$ and $D(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = \langle p \rangle$, it suffices to show that $T(\phi) = T_p$ and $D(\phi) = \langle p \rangle$. The image of α in $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}[U_p]/(U_p^2 - T_p U_p + \langle p \rangle)$ was U_p, which satisfies the equation $X^2 - T_p X + \langle p \rangle = 0$. Yet α also satisfies the equation $X^2 - T(\phi)X + D(\phi) = 0$. Since this algebra is free of rank two over $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, these quadratics must be the same, and hence $T(\phi) = T_p$ and $D(\phi) = \langle p \rangle$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.3. The proof above relies on [Calegari and Geraghty 2018, Lemma 3.16]. We also note, however, that the content of this lemma is simply an alternate form of doubling which is a already implicit in the work of Wiese [2014].

Remark 3.4. One should also be able to apply the methods of this paper in the case $l \neq p$ when l exactly divides N, where now one wants to capture in this context the notion of a determinant "admitting an unramified quotient line" when restricted to the inertia group I_l at l (compare Section 1.8 of [Wake and Wang-Erickson 2018]).

References

[Atkin and Li 1978] A. O. L. Atkin and W. C. W. Li, "Twists of newforms and pseudo-eigenvalues of W-operators", *Invent. Math.* **48**:3 (1978), 221–243. MR Zbl

[Buzzard 2014] K. Buzzard, "Computing weight one modular forms over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$", pp. 129–146 in *Computations with modular forms* (Heidelberg, 2011), edited by G. Böckle and G. Wiese, Contrib. Math. Comput. Sci. **6**, Springer, 2014. MR Zbl

[Calegari 2018] F. Calegari, "Non-minimal modularity lifting in weight one", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **740** (2018), 41–62. MR Zbl

[Calegari and Geraghty 2018] F. Calegari and D. Geraghty, "Modularity lifting beyond the Taylor–Wiles method", *Invent. Math.* **211**:1 (2018), 297–433. MR Zbl

[Carayol 1994] H. Carayol, "Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet", pp. 213–237 in *p-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture* (Boston, 1991), edited by B. Mazur and G. Stevens, Contemp. Math. **165**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. MR Zbl

[Chenevier 2014] G. Chenevier, "The *p*-adic analytic space of pseudocharacters of a profinite group and pseudorepresentations over arbitrary rings", pp. 221–285 in *Automorphic forms and Galois representations, I* (Durham, UK, 2011), edited by F. Diamond et al., London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **414**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014. MR Zbl

[Coleman and Edixhoven 1998] R. F. Coleman and B. Edixhoven, "On the semi-simplicity of the U_p-operator on modular forms", *Math. Ann.* **310**:1 (1998), 119–127. MR Zbl

[Darmon et al. 1997] H. Darmon, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, "Fermat's last theorem", pp. 2–140 in *Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat's last theorem*, 2nd ed. (Hong Kong, 1993), edited by J. Coates and S. T. Yau, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997. MR Zbl

[Deligne 1971] P. Deligne, "Formes modulaires et représentations l-adiques", exposé 355, pp. 139–172 in *Séminaire Bourbaki 1968/69*, Lecture Notes in Math. **175**, Springer, 1971. MR Zbl

[Deligne and Serre 1974] P. Deligne and J.-P. Serre, "Formes modulaires de poids 1", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **7** (1974), 507–530. MR Zbl

[Edixhoven 2006] B. Edixhoven, "Comparison of integral structures on spaces of modular forms of weight two, and computation of spaces of forms mod 2 of weight one", *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **5**:1 (2006), 1–34. MR Zbl

[Gross 1990] B. H. Gross, "A tameness criterion for Galois representations associated to modular forms (mod *p*)", *Duke Math. J.* **61**:2 (1990), 445–517. MR Zbl

[Katz 1973] N. M. Katz, "*p*-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms", pp. 69–190 in *Modular functions of one variable, III* (Antwerp, 1972), edited by W. Kuyk and J.-P. Serre, Lecture Notes in Math. **350**, Springer, 1973. MR Zbl

[Rouquier 1996] R. Rouquier, "Caractérisation des caractères et pseudo-caractères", *J. Algebra* **180**:2 (1996), 571–586. MR Zbl

[Schaeffer 2015] G. J. Schaeffer, "Hecke stability and weight 1 modular forms", *Math. Z.* **281**:1-2 (2015), 159–191. MR Zbl

[Skinner and Wiles 1997] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles, "Ordinary representations and modular forms", *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **94**:20 (1997), 10520–10527. MR Zbl

[Snowden 2018] A. Snowden, "Singularities of ordinary deformation rings", *Math. Z.* **288**:3-4 (2018), 759–781. MR Zbl

[Taylor 1991] R. Taylor, "Galois representations associated to Siegel modular forms of low weight", *Duke Math. J.* **63**:2 (1991), 281–332. MR Zbl

[Wake and Wang-Erickson 2017] P. Wake and C. Wang-Erickson, "Ordinary pseudorepresentations and modular forms", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **4** (2017), 53–71. MR Zbl

[Wake and Wang-Erickson 2018] P. Wake and C. Wang-Erickson, "The Eisenstein ideal with squarefree level", preprint, 2018. arXiv

[Wang-Erickson 2018] C. Wang-Erickson, "Algebraic families of Galois representations and potentially semi-stable pseudodeformation rings", *Math. Ann.* **371**:3-4 (2018), 1615–1681. MR Zbl

[Wiese 2014] G. Wiese, "On Galois representations of weight one", *Doc. Math.* **19** (2014), 689–707. MR Zbl

[Wiles 1988] A. Wiles, "On ordinary λ-adic representations associated to modular forms", *Invent. Math.* **94**:3 (1988), 529–573. MR Zbl

fcale@math.uchicago.edu　　　　*Department of Mathematics, The University of Chicago, IL, United States*

jspecter@jhu.edu　　　　*Mathematics Department, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States*

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.